Xanthomonas type III effector XopN targets scaffold protein OsRACK1B to suppress rice immunity

Jiuxiang Wang[1,3,*], Zhe Ni[1,2,*], Xin Chen[1], Yaqi Zhang[1], Huajun Qin[1], Haoyu Wang[1], Yongqiang He[1,3], Jiliang Tang[1], Sheng Huang[1]

[1]State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-bioresources, College of Life Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, Guangxi, China

[2]College of Plant Protection, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin 150030, Harbin, China

[3]College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, Guangxi, China

Author for correspondence:

Sheng Huang

Tel: +8618076635685

Email: shuang@gxu.edu.cn

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Summary

Bacterial leaf streak (BLS), caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola* (*Xoc*), is a major bacterial disease that poses a substantial threat to rice production. Although *Xoc* deploys a variety of non-transcription activator-like (non-TAL) effectors to subvert host defenses, the mechanistic basis of how these effectors modulate plant immune signaling remains largely unclear.

Through yeast two-hybrid screening, we identified the rice scaffold protein OsRACK1B as a host target of *Xoc* effector XopN, and its potential role during

Xoc infection was characterized by combining gene function with biochemical analyses.

We demonstrate that XopN suppresses rice immune responses and physically interacts with the rice scaffold protein OsRACK1B. Notably, OsRACK1B expression is induced following *Xoc* infection in a type III secretion system (T3SS)-independent manner. Functional analyses indicate that *OsRACK1B* overexpression enhances *Xoc* resistance, whereas loss-of-function mutants exhibit heightened susceptibility. This increased susceptibility is characterized by compromised immunity, including reduced callose deposition, diminished reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation, and attenuated expression of defense-related genes such as *OsPBZ1* and *OsPAL1*. Furthermore, XopN competes with OsRap2.6 for binding to OsRACK1B, thereby disrupting the formation of the OsRACK1B – OsRap2.6 immune complex.

Our findings reveal a novel virulence strategy employed by *Xoc*, in which XopN targets and disrupts OsRACK1B-mediated immune signaling, thereby compromising host defense.

Keywords: *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*; rice; non-TAL effectors; scaffold protein; immune responses

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a staple crop that sustains the nutritional demands of more than half of the global population (Yuan *et al.*, 2021). However, its productivity is continually threatened by a diverse array of pathogens and pests, which compromise both yield and grain quality (He *et al.*, 2023). Among these, bacterial leaf streak (BLS), caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola* (*Xoc*), is a major bacterial disease that results in yield losses ranging from 8% to 32% (Liu *et al.*, 2014). Genetically encoded resistance remains the most effective

and environmentally sustainable approach for long-term disease management. Despite progress, only a limited number of resistance (*R*) genes, such as *Xo1*, *xa5*, *bls1*, and *OsBLS6.2*, have been identified as effective against BLS. (Xie *et al.*, 2014; Triplett *et al.*, 2016; Ma *et al.*, 2021; Xie *et al.*, 2023). This underscores the pressing need to elucidate the molecular strategies employed by *Xoc* to facilitate infection, which will be instrumental for the development of novel resistance traits.

The continuous co-evolution of pathogenic microbes and their host plants has led to the emergence of a sophisticated, two-layered innate immune system in plants: pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones & Dangl, 2006; Wang *et al.*, 2022). PTI is initiated upon recognition of conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) located at the plasma membrane (Li *et al.*, 2016). In contrast, ETI is activated intracellularly through nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NLR) receptors that detect pathogen-secreted effectors (Tsuda & Katagiri, 2010). Immune activation induces a range of defense responses, including the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), callose deposition, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade activation, upregulation of defense-related genes, and hypersensitive cell death (HR) at the site of infection (Spoel & Dong, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2020; Gao *et al.*, 2021). While PTI provides basal immunity, ETI elicits a more robust and rapid defense, often accompanied by localized cell death to restrict pathogen spread (Spoel & Dong, 2012).

Many bacterial phytopathogens utilize a type III secretion system (T3SS) to translocate type III-secreted effectors (T3SEs) directly into host cells, where they manipulate host immunity and facilitate colonization (Boller & He, 2009). In *Xanthomonas* species, T3SEs are broadly classified into transcription activator-like (TAL) effectors and non-TAL effectors (Kay & Bonas, 2009). TAL effectors function as transcriptional activators upon nuclear import, often

reprogramming host gene expression to support bacterial growth. For instance,

TAL effectors from *X. oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) induce *OsSWEET* gene

expression, enabling pathogen exploitation of host sugars (Oliva *et al.*, 2019).

Similarly, the TAL effector Tal2g from *Xoc* promotes disease by upregulating the

sulfate transporter gene *OsSULTR3;6* (Cernadas *et al.*, 2014).

By contrast, non-TAL effectors exploit a diverse array of biochemical

strategies to reprogram host cellular processes and facilitate bacterial

colonization. For example, *X. campestris* pv. *vesicatoria* (*Xcv*) effector XopL

functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that interacts with and degrades the

autophagy component SH3P2, thereby promoting infection (Leong *et al.*, 2022).

Another effector, XopR from *X. campestris* pv. *campestris* (*Xcc*), forms

biomolecular condensates via electrostatic-driven liquid–liquid phase

separation (LLPS), coacervating with formin-mediated actin nucleation

complexes and manipulating the host cytoskeleton (Sun *et al.*, 2021). Recent

studies have increasingly highlighted the functional diversity of non-TAL

effectors deployed by *Xoc*. For instance, XopC2 acts as a kinase that

phosphorylates the Skp1 protein OSK1, thereby suppressing immune signaling

(Wang *et al.*, 2021). The effector AvrRxo1 degrades the pyridoxal phosphate

synthase OsPDX1, reducing vitamin B6 levels and suppressing stomatal

immunity (Liu, H *et al.*, 2022). XopAP competitively binds phosphatidylinositol

3,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(3,5)P2), inhibiting vacuolar acidification by interfering

with vacuolar H^+-pyrophosphatase (V-PPase), ultimately promoting stomatal

opening(Liu, L *et al.*, 2022). More recently, XopAK was shown to repress

OsMYBxoc1 transcription and alter host iron homeostasis, thereby modulating

rice immunity (Zhang *et al.*, 2024).

Several non-TAL effectors, including AvrBs2, hrpE3 and XopN, have been

identified as critical for the full virulence of *Xoc* (Cui *et al.*, 2013; Li *et al.*, 2015;

Liao *et al.*, 2020). However, the molecular mechanisms by which these effectors

contribute to pathogenicity in rice remain largely unknown. Our previous study

established that XopN significantly enhances the virulence of the *Xoc* strain GX01 (Liao *et al.*, 2020). Here, we demonstrate that XopN suppresses rice immune responses and identify the rice scaffold protein OsRACK1B as a novel host target of XopN. Mechanistically, XopN impairs OsRACK1B function by disrupting its association with the transcription factor OsRap2.6, thereby dismantling a critical immune signaling complex. Functional analyses revealed that overexpression of *OsRACK1B* enhances rice resistance to *Xoc*, whereas *OsRACK1B* knockout lines exhibit heightened susceptibility and impaired immune responses. Collectively, these findings highlight a virulence strategy whereby XopN targets a central immune scaffold to suppress host defense, highlighting OsRACK1B as a promising molecular target for breeding BLS-resistant rice varieties.

Materials and Methods

Plants and bacterial strains

Rice cultivar Nipponbare was used as the wild-type (WT) control in this study. For the overexpression of OsRACK1B, its coding sequence was PCR-amplified and cloned into the pCAMBIA1301-HA binary vector. To generate knockout lines, two guide RNAs targeting the coding region of OsRACK1B were designed using the CRISPR-GE online toolkit (Xie *et al.*, 2017). The gRNA expression cassettes were subsequently inserted into the pYLCRISPR/Cas9 binary vector. Both overexpression and CRISPR constructs were introduced into *Nipponbare* via *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation (Wuhan BioRun Biosciences Co., Ltd.). Rice plants were cultivated in greenhouse conditions under a 14-hour light (30°C)/10-hour dark (28°C) photoperiod.

E. coli and A. tumefaciens strains were propagated in LB medium at 37°C and 28°C, respectively. *Xoc* strains were cultured at 28°C in NB medium (polypeptone 5g/L, beef paste 3g/L, yeast extract 1g/L, sucrose 10g/L).

137 Virulence assays were conducted as previously described (Wang *et al.*, 2024).

138 Briefly, *Xoc* strains were resuspended in sterile distilled water to an optical

139 density of OD_{600} = 0.5 and infiltrated into rice leaves using needleless syringes.

140 Lesion lengths were recorded, and photographs were taken 14 days post-

141 inoculation.

RNA analysis

Total RNA was extracted from rice leaf tissue using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen,

Cat# 15596018, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. First-strand

cDNA synthesis was performed with the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis

Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# K1622, USA). Quantitative real-time PCR

(RT-qPCR) was conducted using the qTOWER 2.2 Real-Time PCR Detection

System (Analytik Jena, Germany) with ChamQ SYBR Color qPCR Master Mix

(Vazyme, Cat# Q411-02, China), following the manufacturer's instructions.

OsACTIN1 was used as the internal reference gene to normalize transcript

levels. Relative gene expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

Primer sequences used in this study are listed in Table S1.

Callose deposition and DAB staining

Leaves from 2−3-week-old rice seedlings inoculated with *Xoc* were fixed in

ethanol: acetic acid (3:1, v/v) for 5 h, with frequent replacement of the solution

until fully decolorized. Samples were subsequently rehydrated through a

graded ethanol series (70% for 2 h, 50% for 2 h), followed by incubation in

distilled water overnight. After triple rinsing with water, the tissues were cleared

by incubation in 10% (w/v) NaOH for 1 h. Leaves were then washed four times

with water and stained in 0.01% aniline blue solution (150 mM K_2HPO_4, pH 9.5)

for 4 h. Callose deposits were visualized immediately using a fluorescence

microscope equipped with a UV filter(Deb *et al.*, 2020).

For DAB staining, inoculated rice leaves were collected and incubated in DAB

solution (1 mg/mL, Sigma Aldrich) for 24 h with gentle agitation. Leaves were subsequently cleared in a decolorizing solution composed of ethanol, acetic acid, and glycerol (3:1:1, v/v/v) before imaging(Deb *et al.*, 2020).

Y2H assay and Y3H assay

The Matchmaker gold Y2H system (Clontech) was used to screen XopN-interacting proteins. The XopN coding sequence was cloned into the bait vector pGBKT7 and then transformed into the yeast strain Y2H gold. A rice cDNA library was constructed into the prey vector pGADT7 using mRNA extracted from rice leaves according to the manufacturer's protocol. Positive interactors were initially selected on SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade dropout medium. To validate the interaction between XopN and OsRACK1B, their coding sequences were individually cloned into pGBKT7 and pGADT7, respectively, and co-transformed into the Y2HGold strain. Transformed yeast colonies were selected on SD/-Leu/-Trp plates, and interactions were assessed by growth on quadruple dropout medium (SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade).

For the Y3H assay, the pBridge vector was used to express both XopN and OsRACK1B. The pGADT7 vector was used to express OsRap2.6. The recombinant constructs were co-transformed into the yeast strain Y2HGold. Transformants were selected on SD/-Met/-Trp/-Leu medium, and protein–protein interactions were assessed by growth on SD/-Met/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade selective plates.

Pull-down assay

The XopN coding sequence was cloned into the vector pET28a to generate His-XopN fusion protein. The coding sequence of OsRACK1B was cloned into the vector pGEX-6p-1 to generate GST-OsRACK1B fusion protein. His-XopN and GST-OsRACK1B were expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21 and were purified with Ni-NTA resin and GST resin, respectively (Transgen). His-XopN proteins were

immobilized on Ni-NTA resin and incubated with GST-OsRACK1B or GST at 4°C for 4 h with gentle shaking. After five times washing with 1 × PBS (4.2 mM Na_2HPO_4, 2 mM KH_2PO_4, 140 mM NaCl, and 10 mM KCl), the mixtures were eluted using the elution buffer. Last, the elution samples were boiled with 5 × SDS loading buffer at 100°C for 5 min for immunoblot analysis.

BIFC assay

The pXY104 and pXY106 plasmids were used for the BIFC assay. The coding sequence of XopN was cloned into pXY106 to make the XopN-nYFP fusion protein. The OsRACK1B coding sequence was cloned into pXY104 to make the OsRACK1B-cYFP fusion protein. The constructs were co-expressed in rice protoplasts. The YFP fluorescence was observed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP8 MP).

Subcellular localization

To examine the subcellular location of XopN and OsRACK1B, full-length cDNAs were constructed into vector pBWA(V)HS-GFP and pBWA(V)HS-GFP to make the fusion proteins XopN-GFP and OsRACK1B-CF, respectively. The recombinant plasmids were transfected into rice protoplasts, and the fluorescence was observed using a confocal laser scanning microscope at 24 h after transfection.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

Orthologs of XopN from various bacterial species were identified and retrieved from the NCBI database. Protein sequences were aligned using the Constraint-based Multiple Alignment Tool (COBALT), and the resulting alignments were visualized with the online software ESPript 3.0 (Robert & Gouet, 2014). NCBI BLASTP was used to select and download the orthologs of OsRACK1B in other plants. A phylogenetic tree was constructed with MEGA 7.0 using the maximum likelihood (ML) method and 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

Results

Δ*xopN* mutant elicits elevated rice immune responses

To gain insights into the evolutionary conservation of XopN, we performed a BLAST analysis using its amino acid sequence as a query. The results revealed that XopN is highly conserved within the *Xanthomonas* genus, exhibiting 96.0% sequence identity with its homolog in *Xoo*, 81.7% identity with the counterpart in *Xcc*, and 60.9% identity with the homolog in *Xcv* (Fig. S1). Notably, a putative homolog sharing 52.5% identity was also identified in *Acidovorax citrulli*, a vascular pathogen of cucurbits, suggesting potential horizontal conservation beyond the *Xanthomonas* clade. In contrast, XopN shows limited sequence similarity to effector-like proteins from more distantly related phytopathogens, such as *Ralstonia solanacearum* (25.2% identity), indicating that XopN and its functional equivalents are largely restricted to *Xanthomonas* and a few phylogenetically proximate taxa.

We further investigated the functional role of the *Xoc* effector XopN in modulating rice innate immunity by comparing host responses triggered by the wild-type strain GX01, the Δ*xopN* mutant, and the complemented strain CΔ*xopN*. Callose, a β-1,3-glucan polymer, serves as a critical component of plant immune defenses by reinforcing cell walls to restrict pathogen ingress and dissemination (Li *et al.*, 2023). Accordingly, we first assessed callose deposition in rice leaves infiltrated with GX01, Δ*xopN*, or CΔ*xopN*. The results revealed

that leaves infected with the Δ*xopN* mutant exhibited significantly enhanced

callose accumulation relative to those inoculated with either GX01 or CΔ*xopN*

(Fig. 1a,b). We next assessed ROS production, a rapid defense response

typically associated with PTI activation. Using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB)

staining, we observed a marked increase in H_2O_2 accumulation in Δ*xopN*-

infected leaves compared to those infected with GX01 or CΔ*xopN* (Fig. 1c). To

determine whether XopN also modulates transcriptional defense responses, we

conducted quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT–qPCR) to analyze the

transcript levels of canonical defense marker genes. The expression of

OsPBZ1 and *OsPAL1* was significantly upregulated in Δ*xopN*-infected leaves

compared to GX01 (Fig. 1d,e), indicating that XopN suppresses transcriptional

activation of these defense-associated genes.

Effectors are translocated into host cells to target various subcellular

compartments, manipulating diverse physiological and immune processes (Lo

Presti *et al.*, 2015). To determine the subcellular localization of XopN, we

expressed XopN-GFP in rice protoplasts. The fusion protein was primarily

localized to the plasma membrane (PM) and cytoplasm (Fig. 1f). Together,

these results demonstrate that XopN functions to dampen rice immune

responses at multiple levels, including callose deposition, ROS production, and

defense gene induction.

XopN targets the scaffold protein OsRACK1B in rice

To identify potential rice targets of XopN, we conducted a yeast two-hybrid (Y2H)

screen using a rice cDNA library with XopN as bait. This screen identified

OsRACK1B, a scaffold protein, as a putative interactor of XopN. To confirm this

preliminary interaction, full-length coding sequences of XopN and OsRACK1B

were cloned into the pGBKT7 (bait) and pGADT7 (prey) vectors, respectively.

Y2H assays confirmed that XopN interacts with OsRACK1B in yeast (Fig. 2a).

To further substantiate this interaction, we performed a reciprocal Y2H assay in

which full-length OsRACK1B was cloned into the pGBKT7 vector and XopN
into pGADT7. This reversed configuration also yielded a positive interaction
signal (Fig. 2a). To assess whether this interaction occurs in planta, we
performed a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay in rice
protoplasts. Yellow fluorescence was observed only upon co-expression of
nYFP–XopN and RACK1B–cYFP (Fig. 2b), indicating a specific interaction
between the two proteins. Additionally, a pull-down assay was conducted to
validate the interaction in vitro. His-tagged XopN was specifically pulled down
by GST–RACK1B, but not by GST alone, further supporting the direct
interaction (Fig. 2c).

We next mapped the interaction domains between XopN and OsRACK1B
using the Y2H system. A series of XopN truncations fused to GAL4-AD were
co-expressed with BD-OsRACK1B in yeast. The results indicated that the C-
terminal region of XopN (amino acids 240–358) is necessary for the interaction
(Fig. 2d). Similarly, truncated OsRACK1B constructs were tested for their ability
to bind XopN, revealing that the WD7 domain alone was sufficient to mediate
the interaction (Fig. 2e). Together, these data demonstrate that XopN physically
interacts with OsRACK1B both in vivo and in vitro and that this interaction is
mediated by specific regions within each protein.

OsRACK1B gene expression is upregulated upon _Xoc_ infection

OsRACK1B encodes a 336-amino-acid protein containing seven WD40 repeats,
a domain architecture highly conserved across eukaryotic organisms (Fig. S2a).
Phylogenetic analysis revealed that OsRACK1B shares the highest sequence
identity with its paralog OsRACK1A in rice (83.8%) and displays greater
similarity to orthologs in monocot species, including maize ZmRACK1 (82.5%)
and wheat TaRACK1A (80.5%), than to those in dicots such as tobacco
NtRACK1 (68.8%) and _Arabidopsis_ AtRACK1C (68.4%) (Supplementary Figure
S4B). Moderate sequence conservation was also observed with human (61.7%)

and yeast (44.0%) RACK1 homologs (Fig. S2b), underscoring a deep evolutionary relationship within the eukaryotic lineage. Notably, no RACK1 homologs have been identified in bacterial genomes, suggesting that RACK1 family proteins are unique to eukaryotes.

To determine whether *Xoc* infection alters *OsRACK1B* transcript levels, we analyzed *OsRACK1B* mRNA abundance in rice leaves following inoculation with the wild-type *Xoc* strain GX01. RT–qPCR revealed that *OsRACK1B* expression was significantly induced at 12 and 24 hours post-inoculation (hpi) (Fig. 3a), indicating transcriptional upregulation in response to pathogen challenge. To examine whether this induction depends on the T3SS, we examined *OsRACK1B* mRNA levels in rice leaves inoculated with a T3SS-deficient mutant (Δ*hrcC*). The expression of *OsRACK1B* was induced to a similar level compared to the leaves infected with GX01 (Fig. 3b), suggesting that the induction of *OsRACK1B* is independent of the bacterial T3SS.

OsRACK1B plays a positive role in rice resistance against *Xoc*

To determine the subcellular localization of OsRACK1B, we co-expressed OsRACK1B-CFP with the nuclear marker ABF1-mCherry in rice protoplasts. The CFP signal was observed in the plasma membrane, cytoplasm, and nucleus (Fig. 3c), indicating that OsRACK1B is a multi-compartmental protein. To further investigate the functional role of *OsRACK1B* in rice responses to *Xoc*, we generated stable transgenic rice lines constitutively overexpressing *OsRACK1B* under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation. A total of fifteen independent T_0 transgenic lines were confirmed by PCR and subsequently advanced to the T_2 generation. Among these, two independent homozygous overexpression lines, designated RACK1BOE-2 and RACK1BOE-3, exhibited elevated OsRACK1B transcript abundance compared to wild-type NIP plants and were selected for subsequent functional analyses (Fig. 3d). To evaluate the impact of *OsRACK1B*

overexpression on BLS resistance, we inoculated transgenic and wild-type plants with *Xoc* strain GX01. At 14 days post-inoculation (dpi), both RACK1BOE lines displayed significantly reduced lesion lengths compared to wild-type controls, indicative of enhanced resistance to *Xoc* infection (Fig. 3e,f).

We also generated *OsRACK1B* knockout mutants using CRISPR–Cas9-mediated genome editing. Two independent knockout lines, OsRACK1BKO-2 and OsRACK1BKO-8, were identified. PCR amplification and sequencing analysis revealed that OsRACK1BKO-2 carried a 2-bp deletion and a 1-bp insertion at the U6a-gRNA and U6b-gRNA target sites, respectively, whereas OsRACK1BKO-8 harbored a 1-bp insertion at both target sites (Fig. 4a). Following GX01 inoculation, lesion lengths in the knockout plants were significantly greater than those in wild-type controls (Fig. 4b,c). Together, these findings indicate that *OsRACK1B* functions as a positive regulator of resistance to BLS in rice.

Knockout of *OsRACK1B* suppresses the rice immune response

To gain mechanistic insights into the role of OsRACK1B in rice innate immunity, we assessed callose deposition in *OsRACK1B* knockout mutants. Following inoculation with the Δ*hrcC* mutant strain, the knockout lines exhibited a marked reduction in callose accumulation in leaf tissues compared to wild-type plants (Fig. 5a,b). In parallel, DAB staining indicated that Δ*hrcC*-induced ROS production was substantially attenuated in the knockout mutants relative to the wild type (Fig. 5c). To further explore the impact of *OsRACK1B* on immune signaling, we quantified the transcript levels of two defense-related genes, *OsPBZ1* and *OsPAL1*, in the mutant lines. RT–qPCR analysis revealed a significant downregulation of both genes following Δ*hrcC* challenge in OsRACK1B knockout plants compared to wild-type controls (Fig. 5d,e). These observations suggest that OsRACK1B contributes to PTI by regulating callose deposition, ROS accumulation, and defense gene expression.

XopN impairs the OsRACK1B–OsRap2.6 interaction

In order to explore the biological significance of the XopN–OsRACK1B interaction, we investigated whether XopN influences the protein stability of OsRACK1B. To this end, we assessed the accumulation of OsRACK1B–HA in stable RACK1B-OE transgenic plants following inoculation with either the wild-type GX01 or the ΔxopN mutant. Immunoblot analysis revealed no appreciable change in OsRACK1B protein abundance (Fig. S3), indicating that XopN does not affect OsRACK1B stability in planta.

The transcription factor OsRap2.6 plays a pivotal role in rice innate immunity through its interaction with RACK1 (Wamaitha *et al.*, 2012; Yoshihisa *et al.*, 2022). In the present study, we first confirmed the physical association between OsRap2.6 and OsRACK1B using Y2H assays (Fig. S4). Given that the bacterial effector XopN also binds to OsRACK1B, we hypothesized that XopN might modulate the OsRACK1B–OsRap2.6 interaction. Therefore, we first employed AlphaFold3 prediction to investigate this hypothesis. The results showed that the predicted interaction score between OsRACK1B and OsRap2.6 was 0.65, which decreased substantially to 0.25 upon the addition of XopN, suggesting that XopN may inhibit the interaction between OsRACK1B and OsRap2.6 (Fig. 6a). To validate this finding, we employed yeast three-hybrid (Y3H) assays to assess the OsRACK1B–OsRap2.6 interaction in the presence of either full-length. XopN or a truncated variant, XopN1–358. Notably, co-expression of either form of XopN significantly attenuated the interaction between OsRACK1B and OsRap2.6 (Fig. 6b). These findings suggest that XopN competitively disrupts the association between OsRACK1B and OsRap2.6, potentially interfering with downstream immune signaling.

Discussion

T3SEs are key virulence determinants in Gram-negative bacterial pathogens

that actively manipulate host cellular processes to promote infection(Kay &

Bonas, 2009). These effectors are known to specifically target host substrates,

thereby suppressing immunity and enhancing pathogen proliferation. In the

present study, we demonstrate that *Xoc* effector XopN facilitates bacterial

infection by targeting the rice scaffold protein OsRACK1B, a positive regulator

of innate immunity. During infection, XopN is translocated into host cells via the

T3SS and competes with OsRap2.6 for binding to OsRACK1B. This competitive

interaction leads to impaired immune signaling, as evidenced by reduced

callose deposition, attenuated ROS accumulation, and downregulation of

defense-related gene expression, thereby promoting successful colonization of

host tissues by *Xoc* (Fig. 6c).

To establish a successful infection, many host-adapted bacterial pathogens

deploy T3SEs that suppress plant immune responses from within host cells.

Previous research has demonstrated that *Xcv* effector XopN inhibits callose

deposition in both tomato and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Kim *et al.*, 2009). In line

with these findings, we observed that XopN from *Xoc* also suppresses callose

accumulation in rice (Figure 1a,b), suggesting a conserved effector function

across diverse plant species. Moreover, XopN inhibited ROS generation and

downregulated the expression of defense-related genes (Fig. 1c-e). Collectively,

these data indicate that XopN enhances *Xoc* virulence by broadly attenuating

multiple layers of basal immune defense.

Phytopathogen effectors have evolved to subvert host immunity through

direct interactions with plant proteins, thereby undermining defense signaling

pathways (Dodds & Rathjen, 2010). In the present work, we provide multiple

lines of evidence by Y2H, in vitro pull-down and BIFC assays showing that

XopN interacts with the rice scaffold protein OsRACK1B (Figure 2). Previous

studies have shown that *Xcv* XopN is localized to both the PM and the

cytoplasm (Kim *et al.*, 2009). Our analysis of XopN localization in planta, using

transient expression of XopN-GFP in rice protoplasts, supports this finding (Fig.

1f). OsRACK1B is localized in the PM, cytoplasm, and nucleus (Supplementary Fig. 3c), suggesting that the localization of OsRACK1B partially overlaps with that of XopN in plant cells. However, whether the interaction between XopN and OsRACK1B in these subcellular compartments is essential for full resistance remains unclear. Future studies will be necessary to delineate the precise subcellular locations of their interaction and further explore the molecular functions of XopN in plant immunity.

In *Arabidopsis*, the three RACK1 homologs AtRACK1A, AtRACK1B, and AtRACK1C have been implicated in a wide range of physiological processes, including developmental regulation, hormone signaling, innate immunity, and responses to abiotic stress (Chen *et al.*, 2006; Cheng *et al.*, 2015; Islas-Flores *et al.*, 2015). Rice encodes two homologs, OsRACK1A and OsRACK1B. While overexpression of OsRACK1A has been reported to promote ROS accumulation and enhance resistance to *Ustilaginoidea virens* and *Magnaporthe oryzae* (Nakashima *et al.*, 2008; Li *et al.*, 2022), the functional role of OsRACK1B in immunity has remained largely unexplored. Here, we show that OsRACK1B expression is transcriptionally upregulated during the early stages of infection, as revealed by RT-qPCR analysis (Fig. 3a-b), suggesting a role in basal defense against *Xoc*. This hypothesis was further substantiated by resistance assays in OsRACK1B-overexpression and knockout lines (Fig. 3 c-e and Fig. 4). Further functional analysis revealed that OsRACK1B is essential for Δ*hrcC*-triggered callose deposition, ROS production, and transcriptional activation of defense-related genes in rice (Fig. 5). Collectively, these findings identify OsRACK1B as a positive regulator of rice immunity and suggest that it may serve as a critical node in the defense signaling network against *Xoc* infection.

Scaffold protein RACK1 serves as a pivotal integrative hub in eukaryotes, coordinating diverse signaling pathways through interactions with numerous protein partners (Islas-Flores *et al.*, 2015; Fu *et al.*, 2024). Prior studies have

demonstrated that RACK1 forms a complex with the transcription factor Rap2.6, a module implicated in rice immunity against *M. oryzae* (Wamaitha *et al.*, 2012; Guo & Sun, 2017). More recently, OsRap2.6 has been shown to regulate NLR Xa1-mediated immunity in response to TAL effector recognition, underscoring its central role in pathogen resistance signaling (Yoshihisa *et al.*, 2022). Here, we report that the *Xoc* effector XopN disrupts the interaction between OsRACK1B and OsRap2.6 (Fig. 6a,b). However, the functional consequence of this disruption on OsRap2.6 remains unclear. Based on structural and functional considerations, we speculate that XopN may affect the stability or transcriptional activity of OsRap2.6. This mechanism is reminiscent of the interaction between *Xcv* effector XopN and the tomato proteins TFT1 and TARK1, where XopN promotes the formation of TFT1-TARK1 complex (Kim *et al.*, 2009; Taylor *et al.*, 2012). Notably, in contrast to the stabilizing effect observed in tomato, XopN in rice appears to dismantle the OsRACK1B–OsRap2.6 complex, suggesting a species-specific modulation by this evolutionarily conserved effector. Structural elucidation of XopN would likely reveal the molecular basis of its interaction with the OsRACK1B–OsRap2.6 subcomplex and offer mechanistic insights into its mode of action.

In conclusion, our findings reveal a potential pathogenic mechanism through which *Xoc* type III effector XopN targets the rice scaffold protein OsRACK1B to attenuate basal immunity and promote bacterial colonization. This work deepens current understanding of non-TALE-mediated host–pathogen interactions and holds implications for the development of disease-resistant rice cultivars.

Author contributions

S.H. conceived the research and designed the experiments. J.W., Z.N., X.C., Y.Z. and H.Q. performed the experiments; J.W., Z.N. and H.W. analysed the data. J.W. wrote the manuscript. S.H., J.T., and Y.H. revised the manuscript. All

authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

All relevant data can be found within the manuscript and its supporting materials.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31860032 and 32360045).

References

Boller T, He SY. 2009. Innate immunity in plants: an arms race between pattern recognition receptors in plants and effectors in microbial pathogens. SCIENCE 324(5928): 742-744.

Cernadas RA, Doyle EL, Nino-Liu DO, Wilkins KE, Bancroft T, Wang L, Schmidt CL, Caldo R, Yang B, White FF, Nettleton D, Wise RP, Bogdanove AJ. 2014. Code-assisted discovery of TAL effector targets in bacterial leaf streak of rice reveals contrast with bacterial blight and a novel susceptibility gene. PLoS Pathogens 10(2).

Chen JG, Ullah H, Temple B, Liang J, Guo J, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Jones AM. 2006. RACK1 mediates multiple hormone responsiveness and developmental processes in Arabidopsis. JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL BOTANY 57(11): 2697-2708.

Cheng Z, Li JF, Niu Y, Zhang XC, Woody OZ, Xiong Y, Djonovic S, Millet Y, Bush J, McConkey BJ, Sheen J, Ausubel FM. 2015. Pathogen-secreted proteases activate a novel plant immune pathway. NATURE 521(7551): 213-216.

Cui Y, Zou L, Zou H, Li Y, Zakria M, Chen G. 2013. HrpE3 is a type III effector
protein required for full virulence of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola in rice.
MOLECULAR PLANT PATHOLOGY 14(7): 678-692.

Deb S, Ghosh P, Patel HK, Sonti RV. 2020. Interaction of the Xanthomonas
effectors XopQ and XopX results in induction of rice immune responses. PLANT
JOURNAL 104(2): 332-350.

Dodds PN, Rathjen JP. 2010. Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of
plant-pathogen interactions. NATURE REVIEWS GENETICS 11(8): 539-548.

Fu Y, Zhu W, Zhou Y, Su Y, Li Z, Zhang D, Zhang D, Shen J, Liang J. 2024.
RACK1a promotes hypocotyl elongation by scaffolding light signaling
components in Arabidopsis. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 66(5): 956-972.

Gao M, He Y, Yin X, Zhong X, Yan B, Wu Y, Chen J, Li X, Zhai K, Huang Y,
Gong X, Chang H, Xie S, Liu J, Yue J, Xu J, Zhang G, Deng Y, Wang E,
Tharreau D, Wang G, Yang W, He Z. 2021. Ca2+ sensor-mediated ROS
scavenging suppresses rice immunity and is exploited by a fungal effector.
CELL 184(21): 5391-5404.

Guo R, Sun W. 2017. Sumoylation stabilizes RACK1b and enhance its
interaction with RAP2.6 in the abscisic acid response. Scientific Reports 7:
44090.

He Z, Zhang Z, Vale G, San SB, Chen X, Pasupuleti J. 2023. Editorial: disease
and pest resistance in rice. Frontiers in Plant Science 14: 1333904.

Islas-Flores T, Rahman A, Ullah H, Villanueva MA. 2015. The receptor for
activated c kinase in plant signaling: tale of a promiscuous little molecule.
Frontiers in Plant Science 6: 1090.

Jones JD, Dangl JL. 2006. The plant immune system. NATURE 444(7117): 323-
329.

Kay S, Bonas U. 2009. How Xanthomonas type III effectors manipulate the host plant. CURRENT OPINION IN MICROBIOLOGY 12(1): 37-43.

Kim JG, Li X, Roden JA, Taylor KW, Aakre CD, Su B, Lalonde S, Kirik A, Chen Y, Baranage G, McLane H, Martin GB, Mudgett MB. 2009. Xanthomonas t3s effector XopN suppresses PAMP-triggered immunity and interacts with a tomato atypical receptor-like kinase and TFT1. PLANT CELL 21(4): 1305-1323.

Leong JX, Raffeiner M, Spinti D, Langin G, Franz-Wachtel M, Guzman AR, Kim JG, Pandey P, Minina AE, Macek B, Hafren A, Bozkurt TO, Mudgett MB, Bornke F, Hofius D, Ustun S. 2022. A bacterial effector counteracts host autophagy by promoting degradation of an autophagy component. EMBO JOURNAL 41(13): e110352.

Li B, Meng X, Shan L, He P. 2016. Transcriptional regulation of pattern-triggered immunity in plants. Cell Host & Microbe 19(5): 641-650.

Li GB, He JX, Wu JL, Wang H, Zhang X, Liu J, Hu XH, Zhu Y, Shen S, Bai YF, Yao ZL, Liu XX, Zhao JH, Li DQ, Li Y, Huang F, Huang YY, Zhao ZX, Zhang JW, Zhou SX, Ji YP, Pu M, Qin P, Li S, Chen X, Wang J, He M, Li W, Wu XJ, Xu ZJ, Wang WM, Fan J. 2022. Enhanced production of OsRACK1a, an effector-targeted scaffold protein that promotes OsRBOHB-mediated ROS production, confers rice floral resistance to false smut disease without yield penalty. Molecular Plant.

Li N, Lin Z, Yu P, Zeng Y, Du S, Huang L. 2023. The multifarious role of callose and callose synthase in plant development and environment interactions. Frontiers in Plant Science 14: 9.

Li S, Wang Y, Wang S, Fang A, Wang J, Liu L, Zhang K, Mao Y, Sun W. 2015. The type III effector AvrBs2 in Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola suppresses rice immunity and promotes disease development. MOLECULAR PLANT-MICROBE INTERACTIONS 28(8): 869-880.

Liao Z, Li J, Mo X, Ni Z, Jiang W, He Y, Huang S. 2020. Type III effectors xopn and avrBS2 contribute to the virulence of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola strain GX01. RESEARCH IN MICROBIOLOGY 171(2): 102-106.

Liu H, Lu C, Li Y, Wu T, Zhang B, Liu B, Feng W, Xu Q, Dong H, He S, Chu Z, Ding X. 2022. The bacterial effector AvrRxo1 inhibits vitamin b6 biosynthesis to promote infection in rice. Plant Communications 3(3): 100324.

Liu L, Li Y, Xu Z, Chen H, Zhang J, Manion B, Liu F, Zou L, Fu ZQ, Chen G. 2022. The Xanthomonas type III effector XopAP prevents stomatal closure by interfering with vacuolar acidification. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 64(10): 1994-2008.

Liu W, Liu J, Triplett L, Leach JE, Wang GL. 2014. Novel insights into rice innate immunity against bacterial and fungal pathogens. Annual Review of Phytopathology 52: 213-241.

Lo Presti L, Lanver D, Schweizer G, Tanaka S, Liang L, Tollot M, Zuccaro A, Reissmann S, Kahmann R. 2015. Fungal effectors and plant susceptibility. Annual Review of Plant Biology 66: 513-545.

Ma Z, Qin G, Zhang Y, Liu C, Wei M, Cen Z, Yan Y, Luo T, Li Z, Liang H, Huang D, Deng G. 2021. Bacterial leaf streak 1 encoding a mitogen-activated protein kinase confers resistance to bacterial leaf streak in rice. PLANT JOURNAL 107(4): 1084-1101.

Nakashima A, Chen L, Thao NP, Fujiwara M, Wong HL, Kuwano M, Umemura K, Shirasu K, Kawasaki T, Shimamoto K. 2008. RACK1 functions in rice innate immunity by interacting with the Rac1 immune complex. PLANT CELL 20(8): 2265-2279.

Oliva R, Ji C, Atienza-Grande G, Huguet-Tapia JC, Perez-Quintero A, Li T, Eom JS, Li C, Nguyen H, Liu B, Auguy F, Sciallano C, Luu VT, Dossa GS, Cunnac S, Schmidt SM, Slamet-Loedin IH, Vera CC, Szurek B, Frommer WB, White FF,

Yang B. 2019. Broad-spectrum resistance to bacterial blight in rice using genome editing. NATURE BIOTECHNOLOGY 37(11): 1344-1350.

Robert X, Gouet P. 2014. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. NUCLEIC ACIDS RESEARCH 42(Web Server issue): W320-W324.

Spoel SH, Dong X. 2012. How do plants achieve immunity? Defence without specialized immune cells. NATURE REVIEWS IMMUNOLOGY 12(2): 89-100.

Sun H, Zhu X, Li C, Ma Z, Han X, Luo Y, Yang L, Yu J, Miao Y. 2021. Xanthomonas effector XopR hijacks host actin cytoskeleton via complex coacervation. Nature Communications 12(1): 4064.

Taylor KW, Kim J, Su XB, Aakre CD, Roden JA, Adams CM, Mudgett MB. 2012. Tomato TFT1 is required for PAMP-triggered immunity and mutations that prevent t3s effector XopN from binding to TFT1 attenuate Xanthomonas virulence. PLoS Pathogens 8(6): e1002768.

Triplett LR, Cohen SP, Heffelfinger C, Schmidt CL, Huerta AI, Tekete C, Verdier V, Bogdanove AJ, Leach JE. 2016. A resistance locus in the American heirloom rice variety Carolina Gold Select is triggered by TAL effectors with diverse predicted targets and is effective against African strains of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola. PLANT JOURNAL 87(5): 472-483.

Tsuda K, Katagiri F. 2010. Comparing signaling mechanisms engaged in pattern-triggered and effector-triggered immunity. CURRENT OPINION IN PLANT BIOLOGY 13(4): 459-465.

Wamaitha MJ, Yamamoto R, Wong HL, Kawasaki T, Kawano Y, Shimamoto K. 2012. OsRap2.6 transcription factor contributes to rice innate immunity through its interaction with receptor for activated kinase-c 1 (RACK1). Rice 5.

Wang J, Liao Z, Jin X, Liao L, Zhang Y, Zhang R, Zhao X, Qin H, Chen J, He Y,

Zhuang C, Tang J, Huang S. 2024. Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola effector
tal10a directly activates rice OsHXK5 expression to facilitate pathogenesis.
PLANT JOURNAL 119(5): 2423-2436.

Wang S, Li S, Wang J, Li Q, Xin XF, Zhou S, Wang Y, Li D, Xu J, Luo ZQ, He
SY, Sun W. 2021. A bacterial kinase phosphorylates OSK1 to suppress stomatal
immunity in rice. Nature Communications 12(1): 5479.

Wang W, Feng B, Zhou JM, Tang D. 2020. Plant immune signaling: advancing
on two frontiers. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 62(1): 2-24.

Wang Y, Pruitt RN, Nurnberger T, Wang Y. 2022. Evasion of plant immunity by
microbial pathogens. NATURE REVIEWS MICROBIOLOGY 20(8): 449-464.

Xie H, Lin C, Lu W, Han Z, Wei D, Huo X, Li T, Zhang J, He Y, Chen C. 2023.
OsBLS6.2: a rice bacterial leaf streak resistance gene identified by GWAS and
RNA-seq. Crop Journal (2095-5421) 11(6).

Xie X, Chen Z, Cao J, Guan H, Lin D, Li C, Lan T, Duan Y, Mao D, Wu W. 2014.
Toward the positional cloning of qblsr5a, a QTL underlying resistance to
bacterial leaf streak, using overlapping sub-CSSLs in rice. PLoS One 9(4):
e95751.

Xie X, Ma X, Zhu Q, Zeng D, Li G, Liu YG. 2017. CRISPR-GE: a convenient
software toolkit for CRISPR-based genome editing. Molecular Plant 10(9):
1246-1249.

Yoshihisa A, Yoshimura S, Shimizu M, Sato S, Matsuno S, Mine A, Yamaguchi
K, Kawasaki T. 2022. The rice OsERF101 transcription factor regulates the NLR
xa1-mediated immunity induced by perception of TAL effectors. NEW
PHYTOLOGIST 236(4): 1441-1454.

Yuan S, Linquist BA, Wilson LT, Cassman KG, Stuart AM, Pede V, Miro B, Saito
K, Agustiani N, Aristya VE, Krisnadi LY, Zanon AJ, Heinemann AB, Carracelas

G, Subash N, Brahmanand PS, Li T, Peng S, Grassini P. 2021. Sustainable

intensification for a larger global rice bowl. Nature Communications 12(1): 7163.

Zhang H, Sun B, Wu W, Li Y, Yin Z, Lu C, Zhao H, Kong L, Ding X. 2024. The

MYB transcription factor OsMYBxoc1 regulates resistance to xoc by directly

repressing transcription of the iron transport gene OsNRAMP5 in rice. Plant

Communications 5(6): 100859.

646 **Figure legends**

647 **Figure 1. XopN suppresses immune responses in rice. (a)** Callose

648 deposition in rice leaves at 72 hours post-inoculation with the *Xoc* wild-type

649 strain GX01, the Δ*xopN* mutant, and the complemented strain CΔ*xopN*. Scale

bar, 100 μm. **(b)** Quantification of callose deposits per leaf. Data represent means ± standard deviations, n=5. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences between the Δ*xopN* mutant and either the wild-type or complemented strain (**, $P < 0.01$, Student's *t*-test). **(c)** DAB staining of rice leaves at 72 hours post-inoculation with GX01, Δ*xopN*, and CΔ*xopN*. **(d, e)** Relative expression levels of *OsPBZ1* and *OsPAL1* in NIP rice at 24 hours post-inoculation with GX01, Δ*xopN*, and CΔ*xopN*. Rice actin was used as an internal control. Data represent means ± standard deviations, n = 3. Asterisks indicate significant differences compared to wild-type or complemented strains (**, $P < 0.01$, Student's *t*-test). **(f)** Subcellular localization of XopN in planta. Rice protoplasts were transfected with a construct expressing XopN fused to the N-terminus of GFP (XopN-GFP). At 24 h post-transfection, fluorescence was visualized by confocal laser scanning microscopy. Scale bars, 10 μm.

Figure 2. XopN physically interacts with OsRACK1B. (a) Y2H analysis showing the interaction between XopN and OsRACK1B. Yeast strain Y2HGold was co-transformed with the indicated plasmid combinations and cultured on SD/-Leu-Trp and the selective medium SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade. Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 3 days prior to imaging. The p53/T combination served as a positive control, and Lam/T as a negative control. EV, empty vector control. SD/-LW, SD/-Leu-Trp; SD/-LWHA, SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade. **(b)** BiFC assay demonstrating the in vivo interaction of XopN with OsRACK1B in rice protoplasts. XopN was fused to the N-terminal fragment of YFP (nYFP), and OsRACK1B to the C-terminal fragment (cYFP). Reconstituted YFP fluorescence was visualized by confocal microscopy 20 hours post-transformation. Scale bar, 10 μm. EV, empty vector control. **(c)** In vitro pull-down assay confirming the physical interaction between XopN and OsRACK1B. Recombinant His-tagged XopN and GST-tagged OsRACK1B, expressed and purified from *E. coli*, were subjected to pull-down using Ni-NTA resin. Bound proteins were detected by immunoblotting with anti-GST and anti-His

antibodies. **(d)** Y2H assay showing the interaction between OsRACK1B and

truncated fragments of XopN. **(e)** Y2H assay demonstrating the interaction

between XopN and truncated variants of OsRACK1B. Yeast strain Y2HGold

was co-transformed with the indicated plasmid combinations and cultured on

SD/-Leu-Trp and the selective medium SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade. Plates were

incubated at 30 °C for 3 days prior to imaging. SD/-LW, SD/-Leu-Trp; SD/-LWHA,

SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade.

Figure 3. Overexpression of *OsRACK1B* enhances rice resistance to *Xoc*.

(a, b) Expression dynamics of *OsRACK1B* in rice leaves following inoculation

with the wild-type *Xoc* strain GX01 or the T3SS-deficient mutant strain Δ*hrcC*.

Rice actin was used as an internal control. Data represent means ± standard

deviations, n = 3. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (**, *P* <

0.01, Student's *t*-test). **(c)** Subcellular localization of OsRACK1B in planta. Rice

protoplasts were transfected with a construct expressing OsRACK1B fused to

the N-terminus of CFP (OsRACK1B-CFP) and co-expressed with the nuclear

marker ABF1-mCherry. Fluorescence was detected by confocal laser scanning

microscopy at 24 h post-transfection. Scale bars, 10 µm. **(d)** Relative

expression of *OsRACK1B* in wild-type NIP and transgenic overexpression lines.

Rice actin was used as an internal control. Data represent means ± standard

deviations, n = 3. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (**, *P* <

0.01, Student's *t*-test). **(e)** Phenotypes of lesion expansion in the NIP and

OsRACK1B overexpression lines at 14 days post-inoculation with GX01. **(f)**

Lesion lengths in the NIP and *OsRACK1B* overexpression lines at 14 days post-

inoculation with GX01. Data are displayed as boxplots with individual data

points. Error bars represent maximum and minimum values. Center line,

median; box limits, 25th and 75th percentiles. Asterisk indicate a significant

difference between the NIP and *OsRACK1B* overexpression lines (**, *P* < 0.01,

Student's *t*-test, n = 20).

Figure 4. Loss of *OsRACK1B* function compromises rice resistance to

Xoc. **(a)** Generation of *OsRACK1B* homozygous knockout mutants via CRISPR-Cas9 editing. The schematic illustrates the *OsRACK1B* gene structure and the targeted genomic locus. Blue nucleotides denote the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM); red letters indicate inserted bases; hyphens represent nucleotide deletions. **(b)** Phenotypes of lesion expansion in the NIP and *OsRACK1B* edited mutants at 14 days post-inoculation with GX01. **(c)** Lesion lengths in the NIP and *OsRACK1B* edited mutants at 14 days post-inoculation with GX01. Data are displayed as boxplots. Error bars represent maximum and minimum values. Center line, median; box limits, 25th and 75th percentiles. Asterisks indicate a significant difference between the NIP and OsRACK1B edited mutants (**, $P < 0.01$, Student's *t*-test, n = 20).

Figure 5. *OsRACK1B* is required for rice immune responses to *Xoc*. (a) Callose deposition in wild-type NIP and *OsRACK1B* knockout lines at 48 hours post-inoculation with Δ*hrcC*. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(b)** Quantification of callose deposits per leaf in NIP and OsRACK1B knockout plants. Data represent means ± standard deviations, n=5. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences between NIP and *OsRACK1B* knockout lines (**, $P < 0.01$, Student's *t*-test). **(c)** DAB staining showing hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) accumulation in NIP and *OsRACK1B* knockout lines at 48 hours post-inoculation with Δ*hrcC*. **(d, e)** Relative transcript levels of *OsPBZ1* and *OsPAL1* in NIP and *OsRACK1B* knockout lines at 24 hours post-inoculation with Δ*hrcC*. Rice *actin* was used as an internal control. Data represent means ± standard deviations, n=3. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences between NIP and *OsRACK1B* knockout lines (**, $P < 0.01$, Student's *t*-test).

Figure 6. XopN competes with OsRap2.6 for binding to OsRACK1B. (a) AlphaFold predicts the effect of XopN on the OsRACK1B–Rap2.6 interaction. **(b)** Y3H assay was performed to evaluate the competitive binding dynamics between XopN and OsRap2.6 for OsRACK1B. Yeast strain Y2HGold was co-transformed with the indicated plasmid combinations and cultured on SD/-Leu-

Trp-Met and the selective medium SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade-Met. Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 3 days prior to imaging. EV, empty vector control. SD/-LWM, SD/-Leu-Trp-Met; SD/-LWHAM, SD/-Leu-Trp-His-Ade-Met. **(c)** Schematic summary of XopN function in rice–*Xoc* interactions. During infection, the type III effector XopN is secreted by *Xoc* and translocated into rice cells, where it targets the scaffold protein OsRACK1B, a key component of rice immune signaling against *Xoc*. XopN binds to OsRACK1B in a manner that competitively interferes with the recruitment of the transcription factor OsRap2.6, thereby disrupting the formation of an OsRACK1B–OsRap2.6 immune complex. This disruption attenuates downstream immune responses, including callose deposition, ROS accumulation, and the transcriptional activation of defense-related genes, ultimately facilitating bacterial colonization of host tissue.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1. Sequence alignment of XopN and its homologs.

Fig. S2. Sequence analysis of OsRACK1B.

Fig. S3. XopN does not affect protein stability of OsRACK1B.

Fig. S4. Validation of the interaction between OsRACK1B and OsRap2.6.

Table S1 Primers used in this study.

(a) GX01   ΔxopN   CΔxopN

(b)
Callose deposits

GX01   ΔxopN   CΔxopN

(c)
GX01   ΔxopN   CΔxopN

(d) *OsPR10a*

Relative expression

GX01   ΔxopN

(e) *OsPAL1*

Relative expression

GX01   ΔxopN

(f)
GFP   Bright field   Merge

XopN-GFP   Bright field   Merge

(a)

Bait/Prey	SD/-LW	SD/-LWHA+X-α-gal
XopN/RACK1B		
XopN/EV		
P53/T		

Bait/Prey	SD/-LW	SD/-LWHA+X-α-gal
RACK1B/XopN		
RACK1B/EV		
Lam/T		

(b)

	Input				His-pull down			
His-XopN	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
GST-RACK1B	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
GST	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-

anti-His

anti-GST

(c)

	YFP	Bright	Merged
nYFP-EV + RACK1B-cYFP			
nYFP-XopN + EV-cYFP			
nYFP-XopN + RACK1B-cYFP			

(d)

	BD-RACK1B	
	SD/-LW 1/10 1/100	SD/-LWHA 1/10 1/100
XopN 1 — 720		
1-358		
353-720		
1-187		
182-358		
240-358		
240-305		
300-358		

(e)

	SD/-LW 1/10 1/100	SD/-LWHA 1/10 1/100
BD-RACK1B+AD-XopN		
BD-RACK1B+AD-Ev		
BD-WD1-6+AD-XopN		
BD-WD1-6+AD-XopN		
BD-WD2-7+AD-XopN		
BD-WD2-7+AD-Ev		
BD-WD7+AD-XopN		
BD-WD7+AD-Ev		

(a) OsRACK1B — H₂O, GX01

(b) OsRACK1B

(c) CFP / Bright field / Merge; RACK1B-CFP / Bright field / ABF1-mCherry / Merge

(d) OsRACK1B

(e) NIP, OE-2, OE-3

(f)

(a) OsRACK1B

U6a U6b

U6a-gRNA

NIP	GGGCGAGTTGTCAAT CGG	(WT)
KO-2	GGGCGAGTTC - - AAT CGG	(-2 bp)
KO-8	GGGCGAGTTGTC A AAT CGG	(+1 bp)

U6b-gRNA

GCCAGATCGTCT -CCG CGG G	(WT)
GCCAGATCGTCT T CCG CGG G	(+1 bp)
GCCAGATCGTCT T CCG CGG G	(+1 bp)

(b)

NIP   KO-2   KO-8

(c)

Lesion length (mm)

NIP   KO-2   KO-8

** **

(a)

RACK1B-Rap2.6   RACK1B-Rap2.6-XopN

ipTM=0.65   ipTM=0.25

(b)

	SD/-LWM		SD/-LWHAM	
	1/10	1/100	1/10	1/100
pBridge-RACK1B+AD-Rap2.6				
pBridge-RACK1B-XopN+AD-Rap2.6				
pBridge-RACK1B-XopN$^{1-358}$+AD-Rap2.6				
pBridge-RACK1B-XopN+AD				
pBridge-RACK1B-XopN$^{1-358}$+AD				
pBridge-RACK1B+AD				
pBridge+AD-Rap2.6				

(c)

xopN mutant

WT

Plant cell

OsRap2.6

XopN

OsRap2.6

Competitive binding

OsRACK1B

OsRACK1B

OsRACK1B   OsRap2.6

OsRACK1B   XopN

OsRap2.6

Callose deposition
ROS accumulation
OsPBZ1/*OsPAL1* transcription

Callose deposition
ROS accumulation
OsPBZ1/*OsPAL1* transcription

Basal resistance

Basal resistance