The scaffold protein PRR14L links the PP2A-TACC3 axis to mitotic fidelity and sensitivity to MPS1 inhibition

Albert Z. Liu[1,2], Akshay Narkar[1], Keming Li[2], Thierry Bertomeu[3], Blake A. Johnson[1], Jasmin Coulombe-Huntington[4], Yi Dong[1], Jin Zhu[2], Mike Tyers[5,6], Rong Li[1,2,7]

1. Department of Cell Biology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

2. Mechanobiology Institute, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117411, Singapore

3. The ChemoGenix CRISPR screening facility of the Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer, University of Montreal, 2950 Chemin de Polytechnique, Montréal, QC H3T 1J4, Canada

4. Department of Bioengineering, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 0E9, Canada

5. Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto; Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A8, Canada

6. Program in Molecular Medicine, Peter Gilgan Centre for Research and Learning, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON M5G 0A4, Canada

7. Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117558, Singapore

*Correspondence and lead contact: Rong Li (mbihead@nus.edu.sg)

Abstract

Aneuploidy is a hallmark of cancer and is a potential vulnerability that can be selectively targeted.

To systematically identify genes that affect the incidence and fitness of aneuploid cells, we

conducted a genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen using NMS-P715, an inhibitor of the spindle

assembly checkpoint (SAC) kinase MPS1/TTK. In this study, we identified a number of genes

known to regulate aneuploidy and mitosis, and subsequently focused on *PRR14L*, a ubiquitously

expressed gene previously implicated in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML). Proximity

labeling of PRR14L using TurboID revealed several cell division proteins, including the PP2A-B56

phosphatase complex and the spindle assembly factor TACC3, as PRR14L-interacting proteins.

Loss of PRR14L prolongs SAC-dependent mitotic arrest in response to microtubule

depolymerization but, paradoxically, leads to catastrophic mitotic errors upon SAC abrogation by

MPS1 inhibitors. A model derived from our findings provides a rationale for exploiting MPS1

inhibition as a potential vulnerability in cancers containing either PRR14L loss of function

mutations or FGFR-TACC3 fusions.

Significance Statement

• Aneuploidy is a hallmark of cancer. Whether aneuploidy can be selectively targeted is not

known.

• Utilizing a CRISPR/Cas9 screen, the authors found that loss of the gene PRR14L

sensitizes cells to aneuploidy induction. Taking advantage of live cell imaging and

proximity labeling, they linked PRR14L to TACC3 and mitosis.

• These findings suggest that spindle checkpoint inhibitors may have therapeutic potential

in cancers with either loss-of-function PRR14L and/or gain of function TACC3 mutations.

Introduction

Intratumor heterogeneity, drug resistance, and metastasis constitute major challenges in

cancer therapy. All these have been associated with aneuploidy, a cellular state whereby the

chromosome number is not a multiple of the haploid set. Aneuploidy in cancer is a consequence

of chromosomal instability (CIN) due to heightened frequency of chromosome mis-segregation

(Bakhoum and Landau, 2017; Bakhoum *et al.*, 2018; Replogle *et al.*, 2020). Common

mechanisms that drive CIN in tumors include merotelic attachments, increased centrosome copy

number, and whole genome duplication (Thompson and Compton, 2008; Gordon et al., 2012;

Baker et al., 2024), but the molecular defects which underpin these mitotic errors remains

incompletely understood. The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) is a key safeguard that

prevents mitotic errors by delaying mitosis in the presence of improper kinetochore-microtubule

attachments and other mitotic defects. Although mutations in SAC genes enhance tumorigenesis

in animal models, SAC mutations are surprisingly rare in human tumors (Dobles *et al.*, 2000;

Baker *et al.*, 2004; Schvartzman *et al.*, 2010).

In this study, we performed a genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 knockout (KO) screen in that

aimed to identify genes and pathways that affect the frequency of or influence the cellular

response to chromosomal mis-segregation. The screen was conducted with the MPS1/TTK

inhibitor NMS-P715, and was designed to uncover genes whose loss either sensitized cells to

SAC abrogation or modified the fitness of aneuploid progeny. Our screen identified *PRR14L,* a

poorly understood nuclear gene which has loss of function mutations associated with clonality in

chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML) (Chase *et al.*, 2019). In order to further characterize

the function of *PRR14L*, we took advantage of proximity labeling with Turbo-ID to identify putative

interacting proteins. The proximal proteome suggested that PRR14L may regulate mitosis, which

we explored with live cell imaging in tandem with a variety of anti-mitotic treatments. Based on

the putative link discovered between PRR14L and TACC3, we propose that MPS1/TTK inhibitors,

such as NMS-P715, may have potentially unharnessed value as adjuvant treatments in tumors

with either PRR14L loss of function and/or TACC3 gain of function mutations.

Results and Discussion

A CRISPR/Cas9 screen to identify enhancers and suppressors of MPS1i

To identify genes that may regulate aneuploidy induction and/or survival, we

performed a genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 KO screen utilizing a previously established

NALM-6 pre-B cell lymphoblastic leukemia line, with a doxycycline-inducible Cas9, and

the extended-knockout (EKO) sgRNA library harboring 278,754 sgRNAs (Bertomeu *et*

al., 2018). Cells were treated for 7 days with doxycycline to induce Cas9 mediated gene

KO, followed by a 2 day treatment with either 1 µM NMS-P715, to inhibit MPS1/TTK and

induce aneuploidy, or vehicle control. NMS-P715 was subsequently washed out and

cells were allowed to recover for 6 days in drug free media prior to analysis (Narkar *et*

al., 2021). We then compared the frequency of sgRNAs between DMSO control and the

NMS-P715 treated population to identify genes which may enhance or suppress the

effect of MPS1/TTK inhibition (**Fig. 1A and B**).

Analysis of enriched sgRNAs revealed a number of genes that either encode

anaphase-promoting complex (APC/C) subunits or regulate APC/C activity. This finding

corroborates previous reports showing that a reduction in APC/C activity, which delays

mitotic exit, can compensate for a disrupted SAC to limit chromosomal instability (**Fig.**

1C) (Sansregret *et al.*, 2017). Another subset of suppressor genes encoded protein

phosphatase 2A (PP2A) subunits or their regulators, consistent with the idea that loss of

PP2A mitigates SAC silencing (Lara-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2021).

The depleted sgRNAs identified by our screen affected a more diverse profile of

genes (**Fig. 1d**). We identified a number of essential genes (*e.g. DDX3X and NCAPH2),*

some of which also have well-established roles in mitosis (*e.g. BUB1B* and *ZWINT*). We

decided to focus further investigation on *PRR14L*, a poorly understood gene

hypothesized to play a role in cell division. *PRR14L* encodes a 237 kDa protein

previously linked to myeloid neoplasms, PP2A, and PLK1 activity (Chase *et al.*, 2019,

2023; Normandin *et al.*, 2023).

Proximity labeling to identify likely interacting proteins of PRR14L.

To gain insight into the cellular function of *PRR14L*, we used TurboID (Branon *et*

al., 2018; Cho *et al.*, 2020) to identify the proximal proteome of PRR14L. HCT116 cells

were generated which stably expressed PRR14L fused to 3xHA-TurboID via a glycine-

serine linker (referred to as PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID) and a spatial control for

nuclear localization (3xHA-TurboID-3xNLS). We first validated nuclear localization of

these construct with anti-HA antibodies and biotin labeling. In the spatial control cells

with 3xHA-TurboID-3xNLS, we observed nuclear localization of the construct and strong

labeling of the nucleoli. In contrast, PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID localized primarily to the

nucleoplasm and displayed weak biotin labeling of the nucleoplasm and nucleoli (**Fig.**

2B). To confirm that 3xHA-TurboID-3xNLS and PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID labeled

different proximal proteins, we generated cell lysates from untransfected, unlabeled and

labeled cells and probed for both the HA-tag and biotinylated proteins (**Fig. 2C**).

Western blot analysis revealed notably different biotin-labeling patterns between the two

constructs, with strong PRR14L self-labeling and weaker labeling of other proteins.

For mass spectrometry analysis, we proceeded with a 4-sample experiment

design using a tandem mass tag (TMT) approach (**Fig. 2A**) (Branon *et al.*, 2018). To

control for endogenously biotinylated proteins and non-specific binding to the

streptavidin beads, we included a no ligase control labeled with 134N. To account for

background labeling of nuclear proteins, we included the spatial control, 3xHA-TurboID-

NLS, labeled with 133C. Two independent experiments using PRR14L-GS-3xHA-

TurboID, were labeled with 133N and 126C respectively (see Materials and Methods for

further details).

We observed a linear correlation between labeling of the spatial control and both

PRR14L independent experiments as expected (**Sup. Fig. 1A and B**). Importantly, the

two independent experiments had a strong linear correlation with a R^2 of 0.899 (**Sup.**

Fig. 1C). We utilized ER membrane and cell membrane proteins as false positive filters

(**Sup. Fig. 1D-G,** see methods). The two sets of filters reduced the potential PRR14L

proximal proteome roughly 50-fold (**Sup. Fig. 1H and I**). Overlapping of the two

independent experiments yielded the final PRR14L proximal proteome list, where

PRR14L itself was the most enriched as expected (**Sup. Fig. 1J**). GO annotation

identified several categories of proteins, including protein quality control, nuclear import,

and mitochondria (**Sup. Fig. 1K-N**). A subset of proteins found were related to cell

division and dephosphorylation (**Fig. 2D, Sup. Fig. 1K**). PRR14L has a C-terminal

tantalus domain that is suggested to bind B56-family regulatory subunit of PP2A, and

two of these subunits were identified within our Turbo-ID experiment (Serine/threonine-

protein phosphatase 2A 56 kDa regulatory subunit alpha and delta isoforms). PRR14L

proximity labeling also identified TACC3, which was validated via western blot (**Fig. 2E**).

TACC3 is a centrosome and spindle protein that is a substrate of AURKA and thus

hypothesized to be regulated by PP2A, as well as a known oncoprotein (Still *et al.*,

1999; Lin *et al.*, 2010; Wong *et al.*, 2025).

Loss of PRR14L alters the response of cells to anti-mitotic drugs

To study the cellular function of PRR14L, we generated *PRR14L* knockouts

(*PRR14L$^{-/-}$*) in the near diploid HCT116 human colon carcinoma cell line. We chose this

cell line because it is chromosomally stable and also adherent, allowing us to more

easily visualize mitosis. The success of CRISPR knockout was validated via both

genotyping and qPCR quantification of mRNA expression (**Sup. Fig. 2A and B**).

Protein-level validation was not successful using commercially available antibodies for

PRR14L, due to the presence of off-target antigens and likely also low endogenous

expression of the protein (data not shown). As a non-targeting control (*NTC*), we

generated monoclonal HCT116 cells transduced with LentiCRISPR v2 carrying a

protospacer not predicted to be in the human genome.

Given the nuclear localization of PRR14L (Chase *et al.*, 2019), we investigated

whether *PRR14L$^{-/-}$* caused a global change in gene transcription by bulk RNA-

sequencing comparing *PRR14L$^{-/-}$* cells with *NTC* cells. This revealed 375 differentially

expressed genes and GO analysis suggested an effect on cell differentiation

(Supplementary Tables 1,2). GSEA analysis revealed activation of interferon alpha

response and epithelial mesenchymal transition signatures, as well as suppression of

the G2/M checkpoint when comparing loss of PRR14L to control (**Sup Fig. 2C).** Further

analysis with PROGENy revealed significant upregulation of the WNT pathway in

PRR14L knockouts (**Sup Fig. 2D)**. However, the data does not suggest a general role

for PRR14L in transcriptional regulation, and the differential gene expression that we

observed could be attributable either to loss of PRR14L or cellular adaptation.

The proximity between PRR14L and both PP2A-B56 regulatory subunits and a

putative PP2A substrate led us to hypothesize that PRR14L plays a role in regulating

mitosis. To test this, we generated HCT116 *NTC* and HCT116 *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells

expressing both H2B-mNeonGreen to label chromatin, and mCherry-CAAX to label the

cell membrane. Surprisingly, live cell imaging revealed no significant difference in NEBD

to anaphase time between control and *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells (**Fig. 3A**). Additionally,

metaphase chromosome spreads revealed no significant difference in chromosome

numbers between the control and *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells (**Supplementary Fig. 3A**). These

results suggested that under unperturbed conditions, *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells undergo what

appear to be normal mitoses.

Since PP2A-B56 is known to play a role in SAC silencing (Vallardi *et al.*, 2019),

we next tested the strength of the SAC in *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells by treating cells with low dose

(25 nM) nocodazole and observing the duration of mitosis. Notably, *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells

spent a significantly longer time in mitosis than the control in the presence of low dose

nocodazole (**Fig. 3B**). This suggests that either PRR14L contributes to SAC silencing,

and/or loss of PRR14L leads to mitotic defects that sensitize cells to nocodazole

treatment. If the loss of PRR14L strengthened the SAC, then we would expect that

PRR14L$^{-/-}$ cells should resist MPS1/TTK inhibition which weakens the SAC. However,

PRR14L$^{-/-}$ cells were found to have more prominent mitotic defects than control cells in

the presence of NMS-P715 that frequently resulted in highly asymmetric chromatin

distribution, with the most extreme case similar to monopolar division resulting in a

single daughter cell with DNA inheritance (**Fig. 3C and D**). In line with this observation,

metaphase chromosome spreads revealed that the ploidy of *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells became

near-tetraploid after NMS-P715 treatment (**Sup. Fig. 3B**).

It is possible that *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells only show mitotic defects when the SAC is

disrupted by MPS1i (Colombo et al., 2010). We thus observed the response of PRR14L^{-}

$^{/-}$ cells to ZM447439, an aurora kinase (AURK) inhibitor that disables SAC through an

alternative mechanism. Surprisingly, we found that although control cells often fail cell

division even with low-dose ZM447439 treatment, this cell division defect is partially

suppressed by *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ (**Fig. 3C, Sup. Fig. 3D**). Thus, loss of PRR14L appears to

sensitize cells specifically to MPS1 inhibition and in fact compensates for AURK

inhibition.

Mutations affecting the PP2A- PRR14L-TACC3 axis sensitize cells to MPS1i

Given that PRR14L loss of function mutations have been found in CMML and are

potentially sufficient for clonality, we asked whether MPS1i can be used to eliminate

PRR14L deficient cells using a competition assay. HCT116-NTC cells were stably

transfected with mNeonGreen and HCT116-*PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ with mScarlet. These cells were

initially mixed with equal proportion and their relative abundance were tracked over 9

days in either DMSO or 1 μM NMS-P715 (**Fig. 4A and B**). *PRR14L*$^{-/-}$ cells were

significantly more sensitive to MPS1i relative to control (**Fig. 4C**), and this finding also

holds for both reversine and AZ-3146, other well-established MPS1/TTKi (**Sup. Fig. 3D**)

(Hewitt *et al.*, 2010; Santaguida *et al.*, 2010).

Based on findings described above, we propose a preliminary model whereby

PRR14L serves as an adaptor protein that facilitates phosphatase access to a subset of

its substrates (**Fig. 4D**). This model posits that PRR14L acts as a scaffold bringing

PP2A-B56 into proximity with TACC3, whose function is regulated by a phosphorylation

balance between Aurora A kinase and PP2A. If true, this would suggest that loss of

PRR14L could potentially phenocopy TACC3 overactivation due to FGFR-TACC3 gene

fusions - driver mutations in cervical cancer, glioblastoma, and NSCLC (Singh *et al.*,

2012; Capelletti *et al.*, 2014; Carneiro *et al.*, 2015). Both genetic alterations - loss of the

PRR14L scaffold or FGFR-TACC3 fusion - may converge on TACC3 dysregulation,

creating a synthetic lethal vulnerability to MPS1 inhibition. Supporting this idea, analysis

of data from the Cancer Dependency Map portal (DepMap) revealed that the three

cancer cell lines that harbor a FGFR-TACC3 fusion are sensitive to both NMS-P715 and

reversine (**Fig. 4E**).

In summary, findings of this study provide further insight into the role of PRR14L

as a regulator of mitotic fidelity whose function is particularly prominent when MPS1 is

inhibited. We hypothesize that PRR14L functions as a scaffold for the PP2A-B56

phosphatase, coordinating the dephosphorylation of distinct substrates to ensure proper

chromosome segregation. The paradoxical sensitivity to MPS1 and resistance to aurora

kinase inhibition suggests a dual role for PRR14L. On one hand, its loss impairs PP2A-

B56 mediated SAC silencing, leading to prolonged arrest in nocodazole. On the other

hand, PRR14L appears critical for maintaining spindle integrity, likely via TACC3

regulation. When MPS1 is inhibited, the SAC is bypassed, and this underlying spindle

fragility leads to catastrophic errors, overwhelming the cell despite the potentially

hyperactive SAC machinery. Our results point to a potential vulnerability toward MPS1

inhibitors with driver mutations impact the PP2A- PRR14L-TACC3 axis and suggest a

testable therapeutic strategy for such genetically defined cancers.

Materials and Methods

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Mouse monoclonal anti-alpha Tubulin [DM1A]	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#3873S; RRID:AB_1904178
Rabbit polyclonal anti-CEP152	Abcam	Cat# ab183911; RRID:AB_3106957
Rabbit monoclonal anti-TACC3 [EPR7756]	Abcam	Cat# ab134154; RRID: AB_3492052
Mouse monoclonal anti-gamma Tubulin [GTU-88]	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T6557; RRID:AB_477584
Rabbit polyclonal anti-PRR14L	Atlas Antibodies	Cat#HPA062645; RRID:AB_2684817
Rabbit polyclonal anti-C22ORF30	Origene	Cat#TA331394; RRID: AB_3492053
Rabbit monoclonal anti-HA-tag	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#3724S; RRID: AB_1549585
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (Heavy chain), Superclonal Recombinant Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Invitrogen	Cat#A27034; RRID:AB_2536097
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L), Superclonal Recombinant Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Invitrogen	Cat#A28175; RRID:AB_2536161
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Invitrogen	Cat#A-11011; RRID:AB_143157
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Invitrogen	Cat#A-11004; RRID:AB_2534072
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (Heavy chain), Superclonal Recombinant Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Invitrogen	Cat#A27040; RRID: AB_2536101
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L), Superclonal Recombinant Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647	Invitrogen	Cat#A55060; RRID: AB_2921068
IRDye 680RD Goat anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Antibody	LICORbio	Cat#926-68071; RRID: AB_10956166
IRDye 680RD Goat anti-Mouse IgG Secondary Antibody	LICORbio	Cat#926-68070; RRID:AB_10956588
IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Antibody	LICORbio	Cat#926-32211; RRID:AB_621843
IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Mouse IgG Secondary Antibody	LICORbio	Cat#926-32210; RRID:AB_621842
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
NMS-P715	Calbiochem	475949; CAS: 1202055-32-0
Reversine	Selleckchem	S7588; CAS: 656820-32-5
AZ-3146	Selleckchem	S2731; CAS: 1124329-14-1
ZM 447439	Selleckchem	S1103; CAS: 331771-20-1
PMSF Protease Inhibitor	Thermo Scientific	36978; CAS: 329-98-6
Pierce Universal Nuclease for Cell Lysis	Thermo Scientific	88700
Alisertib (MLN8237)	Selleckchem	S1133; CAS: 1028486-01-2

Nocodazole	Sigma-Aldrich	SML1665; CAS: 31430-18-9
Paclitaxel	Sigma-Aldrich	T7191; CAS: 33069-62-4
Doxycycline hyclate	Sigma-Aldrich	D5207; CAS: 24390-14-5
Hoechst 33342 trihydrochloride	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	200908; CAS: 23491-52-3
Alt-R S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3	IDT	1081059
Critical commercial assays		
DNEasy Blood & Tissue Kit	Qiagen	69504
SE Cell Line 96-well Nucleofector Kit	Lonza	V4SC-1096
PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit	Invitrogen	K182001
PureLink DNAse Set	Invitrogen	12185010
High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit	Applied Biosystems	4368814
PerfeCTa SYBR Green SuperMix	Quantabio	95054
SiR-Tubulin Kit	Cytoskeleton, Inc.	CY-SC002
QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit	QIAGEN	28704
NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix	New England Biolabs	E2621
NucleoSpin Plasmid Transfection-grade, Mini kit for ultrapure plasmid DNA	MACHEREY-NAGEL	740490
Plasmid Plus Midi Kit	QIAGEN	12943
Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent	Invitrogen	L3000001
Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kits	Thermo Scientific	23225
PureLink RNA Mini Kit	Invitrogen	12183018A
Deposited data		
Raw mass spectrometry data	ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository	PXDXXXXXX
RNA-sequencing data	GEO	GSEXXXXXX
Experimental models: Cell lines		
NALM-6	Laboratory of Mike Tyers	
NALM-6 pCW-Cas9	Laboratory of Mike Tyers(Bertomeu *et al.*, 2018)	
NALM-6 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 5-3 (monoclonal)	This study	
NALM-6 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-8 (monoclonal)	This study	
HCT116	Laboratory of Bert Vogelstein	CCL-247
HCT116 NTC (monoclonal)	This study	
HCT116 NTC mNeonGreen (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 NTC H2B-mNeonGreen mCherry-CAAX (polyclonal)		
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone A3 (monoclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone A3 mScarlet (polyclonal)	This study	

HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone A3 H2B-mNeonGreen mCherry-CAAX (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PIKFYVE$^{-/-}$ Clone C2 (monoclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PIFKYVE$^{-/-}$ Clone C2 mScarlet (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 mNeonGreen (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 H2B-mNeonGreen mCherry-CAAX (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 H2B-mCherry (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-7 (monoclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-7 mScarlet (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-7 H2B-mNeonGreen mCherry-CAAX (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-7 H2B-mCherry (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-10 (monoclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-10 mScarlet (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-10 H2B-mNeonGreen mCherry-CAAX (polyclonal)	This study	
HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 6-10 H2B-mCherry (polyclonal)	This study	
hTERT RPE-1	Laboratory of Siew Cheng Phua	CRL-4000
hTERT RPE-1 TetON-PRR14L-TurboID (polyclonal)	This study	
hTERT RPE-1 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 5-9 (monoclonal)	This study	
hTERT RPE-1 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 5-9 TetON-PRR14L-TurboID (polyclonal)	This study	
hTERT RPE-1 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone 5-22 (monoclonal)	This study	
293T	ATCC	CRL-3216
LentiX293T	Takara Bio	632180
HEK293FT	Invitrogen	R70007
Oligonucleotides		
Alt-R CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA targeting PRR14L (Azl_crR_005): TCCACTAGCCCACATCGCCC	IDT	
Alt-R CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA targeting PRR14L (Azl_crR_006): CTGTACCACGGCAGACATGG	IDT	
Alt-R CRISPR-Cas9 tracrRNA, ATTO 550	IDT	1075928
ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Pool	Horizon Discovery	D-001810-10-05
On-TARGETplus Human PPP2CA (5515) siRNA – SMARTpool	Horizon Discovery	L-003598-01-0005
ACTB qPCR Primer (Fwd): GGATCAGCAAGCAGGAGTATG	IDT	
ACTB qPCR Primer (Rev): AGAAAGGGTGTAACGCAACTAA	IDT	
PRR14L qPCR Primer (Fwd): GCTACAAGCGCCTCAGATATAA	IDT	
PRR14L qPCR Primer (Rev): CCATCCACTAGCTGCCAAATA	IDT	
Recombinant DNA		
pBOB-EF1-FastFUCCI-Puro	Koh et al.(Koh *et al.*, 2017)	Addgene #86849
pBOB-EF1-Neon-Puro	This study	
pBOB-EF1-mScarlet-Puro	This study	

pMD2.G	Gift from Didier Trono	Addgene #12259
pRSV-Rev	Gift from Didier Trono	Addgene #12253
pMDLg/pRRE	Gift from Didier Trono	Addgene #12251
pLV-H2B-Neon-T2A-mCherry-CAAX	Johnson et al.(Johnson *et al.*, 2024)	
pBrain-GFP-TACC3KDP-shTACC3	Booth et al.(Booth *et al.*, 2011)	Addgene #59356
3xHA-TurboID-NLS_pCDNA3	Branon et al.(Branon *et al.*, 2018)	Addgene #107171
pPB-cT3G-cERP2-NR5A1	Smela et al.(Smela *et al.*, 2023)	Addgene #192915
pDonor-tBFP-NLS-Neo (Universal)	Katoh et al.(Katoh *et al.*, 2017)	Addgene #80767
pDonor-PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID-BSD	This study	
pPB-cT3G-CERP2(BSD)-PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID	This study	
pPRR14L-GS-Neon-FLAG	This study	
pPRR14L(1-1487)-GS-Neon-FLAG	This study	
pPRR14L(1488-2151)-GS-Neon-FLAG	This study	
pLenti6-H2B-mCherry	Pemble et al.(Pemble *et al.*, 2017)	Addgene #89766
Lenti-Centrin1-GFP	Gift from Alexey Khodjakov	
pRSETB/mStayGold	Ando et al.(Ando *et al.*, 2024)	Addgene #212017
lentiCRISPR v2	Sanajana et al.(Sanjana *et al.*, 2014)	Addgene #52961
lentiCRISPR v2-NTC	This study	
lentiCRISPR v2-PRR14L	This study	
lentiCRISPR v2-PIKFYVE	This study	
psPAX2	Gift from Didier Trono	Addgene #12260
Software and algorithms		
Fiji	Schneider et al.(Schneider *et al.*, 2012)	https://imagej.net/software/fiji/
R, version 4.4.0	R Core Team (2024)	https://www.R-project.org/
RStudio, version 2024.04.1	RStudio Team (2024)	
ICE CRISPR Analysis Tool	Conant et al.(Conant *et al.*, 2022)	
FlowJo	FlowJo LLC	https://www.flowjo.com/
Zen Blue, version 3.5	Zeiss	
ggplot2, version 3.5.1	Wickham et al.(Wickham, 2016)	
Other		
Puromycin Dihydrochloride	Gibco	A1113803
Blasticidin S HCl (10 mg/mL)	Gibco	A1113903
RPMI 1640 Medium	Gibco	11875093
Fetal Bovine Serum, Value, heat inactivated, OneShot format	Gibco	A5209501

Fetal Bovine Serum, Tet system approved, USDA-approved regions, OneShot format	Gibco	A4736301
Penicillin-Streptomycin (10,000 U/mL)	Gibco	15140122
DMEM, high gluose	Gibco	11965092
DMEM/F-12	Gibco	11320033
Hygromycin B (50 mg/mL)	Gibco	10687010
GlutaMAX Supplement	Gibco	35050061
MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution (100x)	Gibco	11140050
Lenti-X Concentrator	Takara	631232
Sodium Pyruvate (100 mM)	Gibco	11360070
Nunc Lab-Tek Chambered Coverglass	Thermo Scientific	155411
FluoroBrite DMEM	Gibco	A1896701
μ-slide 8 well	ibidi	80826
μ-slide 8 well high Glass Bottom	ibidi	80807
Glass Base Dish 27 mm	iwaki	3970-035
KaryoMAX Colcemid Solution in PBS	Gibco	15212012
Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase	New England Biolabs	M0491
Platinum SuperFi II Green PCR Master Mix	Invitrogen	12369010
NEB 5-alpha Competent E. coli (High Efficiency)	New England Biolabs	C2987
NEB Stable Competent E. coli (High Efficiency)	New England Biolabs	C3040
ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant	Invitrogen	P36930
Fibronectin (pure)	Roche	11051407001
D-Biotin	Invitrogen	B20656
RIPA Lysis and Extraction Buffer	Thermo Scientific	89900
Protease/Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (100X)	Cell Signaling Technology	5872
Laemmli SDS sample buffer, reducing (6x)	Alfa Aesar	J61337
Precision Plus Protein Dual Color Standards	BIORAD	1610374
Spectra Multicolor High Range Protein Ladder	Thermo Scientific	26625
4-20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Protein Gels, 10-well, 50 μL	BIORAD	4561094
4-20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Protein Gels, 15-well, 15 μL	BIORAD	4561096
Trans-Blot Turbo Mini 0.2 μm Nitrocellulose Transfer Packs	BIORAD	1704158
Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate	Invitrogen	S11223
Streptavidin Protein, DyLight 680	Invitrogen	21848
Opti-MEM I Reduced Serum Media	Gibco	31985070

Cell culture

NALM-6 cells were grown at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10%

heat-inactivated (HI) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 U/mL Penicillin/Streptomycin

(Pen/Strep). HCT116 cells were grown at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 in DMEM supplemented

with 10% HI FBS and 100 U/mL Pen/Strep. hTERT RPE-1 cells were grown at 37 ˚C

with 5% CO_2 in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% HI FBS and 10 µg/mL Hygromycin

B. 293T cells were grown at 37 ˚C with 5% CO_2 in DMEM supplemented with 10% HI

FBS, 100 U/mL Pen/Strep, and 1% GlutaMAX. HEK293FT cells were grown at 37 ˚C

with 5% CO_2 in DMEM supplemented with 10% HI FBS. LentiX293T cells were grown at

37 ˚C with 5% CO_2 in DMEM supplemented with 10% HI FBS, 1% GlutaMAX, 1x MEM

non-essential amino acids, 1 mM Sodium Pyruvate, and 50 U/mL Pen/Strep.

Mycoplasma testing was completed every 3-6 months to ensure cultures were free of

mycoplasma contamination.

Genome-wide pooled CRISPR/Cas9 Screen

The genome-wide pooled CRISPR/Cas9 screen was completed as previously

described(Bertomeu *et al.*, 2018; Normandin *et al.*, 2023). In brief, a custom sgRNA

library was used comprised of 233,268 sgRNAs that target 19,084 protein coding genes

and 17,139 alternatively spliced exons. Each gene was targeted by approximately 10

sgRNAs. Noise was controlled for via 2,043 non-targeting sgRNAs. A doxycycline-

inducible Cas9 clone of NALM-6 was used. The two conditions that were compared

were vehicle control (DMSO, 1:1000) and 1 µM NMS-P715. After doxycycline induction

for 1 week, DMSO and 1 µM NMS-P715 treatment were started Day 0 of the screen,

and washed out Day 2. Cells were collected on both Day 2 and Day 8 for gDNA

extraction and NGS.

Generation of monoclonal KO cell lines

Two independent methods were used to generate monoclonal KO cell lines.

Lentivirus method:

HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ clone A3 was generated using the lentiCRISPRv2 system. In

brief, lentiCRISPRv2-PRR14L was cloned using the protospacer 5'-

TCTGCTCTACCCTCTAATGG-3', and lentiCRISPRv2-PIKFYVE was cloned using the

protospacer 5'-ACATATGTTCGGTTAGCCTG-3'. Lentiviral particles were generated and

collected via Lipofectamine-based transient transfection of HEK293FT cells with the

following three plasmids in 1:1:1 molar ratio: lentiCRISPRv2, pMD2.G, and psPax2.

HCT116 cells were transduced with lentiviral particles at a MOI of 0.5-1, and then

underwent selection with 1 µg/mL Puromycin for 3 days followed by single cell sorting.

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from single cell clones using DNEasy Blood and

Tissue Kit (Qiagen) and amplified using the following primers: PRR14L: FWD 5'-

CTGTTGCTACCATCCCCAGA-3' | REV 5'-GAGACCACTTGAGGAAAGCCA-3'. PCR

product was purified and submitted for sanger sequencing using the primer: 5'-

CCACTTGAGGAAAGCCAGCT-3'. Sequencing data was analyzed using the ICE

analysis tool to assess for successful gene knockout via frameshift mutation(Conant *et*

al., 2022). Control cells referred to as "HCT116 NTC" were generated in the exact same

manner except a non-targeting protospacer was used with the sequence 5'-

gccccgccgccctcccctcc-3'.

Lentivirus Generation and Transduction

Second generation lentivirus generation:

2 x 10^6 HEK293FT cells were seeded in a T-25 flask. After 24 hrs, cells were

transfected with the transfer plasmid, pMD2.G, and psPax2 in a 1:1:1 molar ratio using

Lipofectamine 3000. 48 hrs after transfection, lentivirus supernatant was collected,

aliquoted, and frozen at -80 ˚C for future use.

Third generation lentivirus generation:

Third generation lentivirus was produced following a previously published

protocol with a few modifications[25]. LentiX293T cells were used for lentivirus

production, and Lenti-X concentrator was used to concentrate lentiviral supernatants 5

to 10-fold. Concentrated lentiviral pellets were resuspended in DPBS and frozen at -

80 ˚C for future use.

Lentivirus transduction:

Lentivirus aliquots were warmed quickly using a 37 ˚C water bath for 2-3 min.

Cells were transduced with a mixture of fresh media, lentivirus supernatant, and 10

µg/mL polybrene for 48 hrs. This was followed by either antibiotic selection or

fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) to obtain polyclonal and/or monoclonal cell

lines.

Competition Assay

Polyclonal fluorescent populations of competing cell lines were obtained by lentiviral

transduction of cell lines with pBOB-EF1-Neon-Puro or pBOB-EF1-mScarlet-Puro. Cells

were seeded at equal cell number on Day 0 in triplicate for each day to be measured,

i.e., for a 9-day experiment with two different drug treatments, a total of 30 wells were

seeded initially. Media was changed to fresh media with drug treatment or control on

Day 1. Drug treatments were washed out on Day 3. Cells were passaged every 2 days

if the confluency was observed to be >75%; otherwise, a media change was completed.

Population numbers were measured using flow cytometry using either an Attune NxT

Flow Cytometer or a Sony SH800. Data were analyzed using FlowJo and plots were

generated with Rstudio and ggplot2.

qPCR Profiling and Analysis

RNA was extracted from cells using PureLink RNA Mini Kit in combination with

PureLink DNase Set. RNA concentration was measured with Nanodrop and then

normalized to 100 ng/μL with RNase free water. cDNA was generated using High

Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcriptase Kit. qPCR was completed using PerfeCTa SYBR

Green Supermix. Fold change was calculated using ddCt method with ACTB and/or

GAPDH as a control, and plots were generated with Rstudio and ggplot2.

RNA Sequencing and Data Analysis

Library Preparation and Sequencing Total RNA was extracted from HCT116 NTC and

PRR14L-/- (Clone A3) cells using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen) with on-column

DNase treatment (PureLink DNase Set, Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Stranded RNA sequencing library preparation and high-throughput

sequencing were performed by BGI Genomics (Hong Kong). Paired-end sequencing

(PE100) was conducted on the DNBSEQ platform.

Preprocessing and Quantification Raw FASTQ files were processed by BGI using their

internal analysis platform, Dr. Tom. Briefly, reads were quality-controlled, aligned to the

human reference genome (Ensembl release 105), and gene expression levels were

quantified as raw read counts.

Differential Gene Expression (DGE) Analysis Differential gene expression analysis was

performed in R (v4.4.0) using the DESeq2 package (Love et al., 2014). To improve

visualization and ranking, log2 fold changes (LFC) were shrunk using the apeglm

method (Zhu et al., 2019). Genes were considered significantly differentially expressed

if they met the criteria of an FDR-adjusted p-value (padj) < 0.05 and an absolute LFC >

1 (2-fold change). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) GSEA was performed using

the clusterProfiler package (Wu et al., 2021) against the MSigDB Hallmark gene sets,

retrieved via msigdbr. Genes were ranked by the Wald statistic obtained directly from

the DESeq2 results. Pathway activities were inferred using PROGENy (Schubert et al.,

2018). VST-normalized expression data, aggregated to gene symbols, were used as

input and pathway scores were calculated based on the expression of the top 100

footprint genes per pathway. Differential pathway activities between KO and NTC

samples were determined by applying a robust Student's t-test to the inferred activity

scores. Significance was determined by an FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.05.

Live Cell Imaging

Live cell imaging was done via two methods based on location of experiment.

1. Cells were seeded in Nunc Lab-Tek chambered cover glass 2 days prior to

351   imaging. Prior to the beginning of imaging, media was changed to fresh

352   FluoroBrite DMEM supplemented with HI FBS and Pen/Strep, with or without

353   drug treatment. Imaging was completed on a Zeiss LSM 780 laser scanning

354   confocal microscope with an environmental chamber kept at 37 ˚C with 5% CO_2

355   using a 63x oil-immersion objective (NA 1.4). For most independent experiments,

356   4-6 positions per genotype were imaged at once, with a 3 min time interval, and a

357   15-20 slice Z-stack with 1 μm slices. Deviations from this are mentioned in figure

358   captions. Images were processed in ImageJ, with adjustments to color balance

359   and cropping of selected cells of interest. Judgment of mitotic errors and/or

360   mitotic outcome was done manually. Any fluorescence intensity quantification

361   was done on unprocessed images.

362  2. Cells were seeded in ibidi μ-slides 2 days prior to imaging. 12 hrs prior to the

363   start of imaging, media was changed to fresh FluoroBrite DMEM supplemented

364   with HI FBS and 1x GlutaMAX. If drug treatment(s) were used, they were added

365   2 hrs prior to imaging in fresh FluoroBrite DMEM supplemented with HI FBS, 1x

366   GlutaMax, and 50 nM SiR-Tubulin. Imaging was completed on one of three

367   microscopes: Zeiss LSM 980 laser scanning confocal microscope with

368   environmental chamber, 63x oil-immersion objective (NA 1.4), in either confocal

369   mode, CO-8Y mode, or SR mode; Oxford Instruments BC43 spinning disk

370   confocal microscope with environmental chamber, 60x oil-immersion objective

371   (NA 1.42), and deconvolution completed with Imaris software; Zeiss Lattice

372   Lightsheet 7 with environmental chamber, water-based objective (NA 1.0), and

373   deskewing, cover glass transformation, and deconvolution was completed using

374   Zen Blue software.

Metaphase Spreads

Cells were treated with 100 ng/mL of colcemid for 6 hrs and then collected. Cell pellets

were resuspended in PBS, and then incubated with pre-warmed 75 mM KCl for 10 min

to induce cell swelling. Swollen cells were fixed with a solution of 3:1 methanol:glacial

acetic acid overnight at 4 ˚C. Fixed cells were dropped onto pre-humidified glass slides,

and then slides were dried by warming them to 65 ˚C on a hot plate for 20 min. Slides

were mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant with DAPI followed by the addition

of a coverslip and overnight curing at room temperature. Imaging was completed on a

Nikon TiE-Eclipse epifluorescence microscope with 60x oil immersion objective.

Chromosome number was recorded manually for each metaphase spread using the cell

counter plugin in ImageJ.

Plasmid cloning

A list of all plasmids used in this study can be found in the Recombinant DNA section of

the materials list. All plasmid generation was completed via Gibson assembly (Gibson *et*

al., 2009). Primers to generate new plasmids were designed using

https://nebuilder.neb.com/#!/. For assemblies of 2 or 3 fragments, primers were

designed with 20 bp overlaps. For assemblies of 4+ fragments, primers were designed

with >24 bp overlaps. For assemblies requiring the addition of small fragments (e.g. GS

linker, T2A sequence), small single-stranded oligonucleotide donors (ssODNs) were

used. PCRs were completed using either Q5 Polymerase or Platinum SuperFi

Polymerase. Products were run on 1% agarose gels, and then bands of the correct size

were extracted using QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit. Gibson assembly was performed

using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix, and products were transformed into

either NEB 5-alpha Competent E. coli or NEB Stable Competent E. coli. Multiple single

colonies were picked to inoculate miniprep cultures, and plasmid DNA was extracted

using NucleoSpin Plasmid Transfection-grade, Mini kit. Plasmids were submitted for

sanger sequencing to confirm assembly junctions. Confirmed plasmids were then re-

picked to inoculate midiprep cultures, and plasmid DNA was extracted using QIAGEN

Plasmid Plus Midi Kit. Midipreps were then submitted for sequencing of all functional

genetic components (i.e. promoter, transgene, etc.) before being used for cell

transfection.

Transient Transfection

Transient transfection was carried out using Lipofectamine 3000, following the

manufacturer's instructions with a few modifications. The amount of OptiMEM Reduced

Serum Media used was doubled, and prior to transfection the same amount of OptiMEM

to be added was removed from cell culture. Lipofectamine 3000:P3000 Reagent::1.18:1.

P3000 Reagent:Plasmid DNA::2 µL:1 µg. Cells were analyzed between 24-72 hrs after

transfection.

TurboID Immunofluorescence Validation

The following protocol is based on previously published work with slight

modifications(Cho *et al.*, 2020). Ibidi µ-slides 8-well were coated with 200 µL of 50

µg/mL fibronectin in DPBS per well for 30 min. 3.6×10^4 293T cells were seeded per well.

After 24 hours, TurboID constructs were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000. After

another 24 hours, media was replaced with fresh warm cDMEM supplemented with 50

µM biotin for either 10 min (3xHA-TurboID-3xNLS) or 20-30 min (PRR14L-GS-3xHA-

TurboID). Labeling was stopped with 5x ice cold DPBS washes followed by fixation with

4% PFA in PBS at RT for 10-15 min. Blocking and permeabilization was completed

simultaneously with 1 hr incubation with Abdil (2% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, in TBS).

1° antibody against HA-tag was incubated overnight (O/N) at 4 °C diluted 1:1,200 in

Abdil. Cells were washed 3x with TBST (0.1% Triton X-100 in TBS) followed by 1hr

room temperature (RT) incubation with 2° antibodies diluted in Abdil: anti-rabbit

conjugated with Alexa Fluor 568 (1:1,000) and Streptavidin conjugated with Alexa Fluor

488 (1:1,000). Cells were washed 1x with TBST, followed by counterstaining with

Hoechst 33342 in TBST for 5 min RT, followed by 1x TBST rinse, 1x TBST wash, and

cells were imaged in 1x TBS buffer.

Western Blot for TurboID Validation

$3x10^5$ 293T cells were plated per well in 6-well plates. After 24 hours, TurboID

constructs were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000. After another 24 hours, media

was replaced with fresh warm cDMEM supplemented with 50 µM biotin for either 10 min

(3xHA-TurboID-3xNLS) or 20-30 min (PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID). Labeling was

stopped with 5x ice cold DPBS washes followed by use of 1mL ice cold DPBS to detach

cells and collect cell pellets. Cell pellets were resuspended in 100 µL of RIPA buffer

supplemented with 1x protease and phosphatase inhibitor, 1 mM PMSF, and Pierce

universal nuclease for cell lysis, and lysed for 20-40 min on ice with thorough pipetting

every 10 min. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 14,000 rcf for 15 min at 4 °C. 80

µL of supernatant was collected and 5 µL was used for BCA protein quantification.

Protein concentration was normalized across samples and then combined with 6x

Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 10 min. Samples were loaded onto Mini-

PROTEAN TGX precast protein gels, 4-20%, either 15x15 μL wells or 10x50 μL wells

with either Precision Plus Dual Protein Color Standards or Spectra Multicolor High

Range Protein Ladder for molecular weight ladder. Samples were transferred onto 0.2

μm nitrocellulose blots using the Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system, mixed molecular

weight protocol. Blots were blocked with Intercept (TBS) Blocking buffer for 1 hr at RT

followed by O/N incubation with 1° Ab (anti-HA). Blots were washed 4x with TBST (0.1%

Tween-20 in TBS) followed by 1 hr incubation with 2° Ab diluted in 1:1::blocking

buffer:TBST – Streptavidin Dylight 680 (1:5,000) and IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit

IgG Secondary Antibody (1:20,000). Blots were washed again with 4x TBST followed by

2x TBS, and then imaged on an Odyssey CLx Imager.

TMT Mass Spectrometry

$2.25x10^6$ 293T cells were plated in T-75 flasks, two T-75 flasks per independent

experiment. After 24 hours, TurboID constructs were transfected using Lipofectamine

3000. After another 24 hours, media was replaced with fresh warm cDMEM

supplemented with 50 μM biotin for either 10 min (No ligase control and 3xHA-TurboID-

3xNLS) or 30 min (PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID). Labeling time of the PRR14L construct

was extended to compensate for the weaker labeling by the PRR14L fusion construct

relative to control. Labeling was stopped with 5x ice cold DPBS washes followed by use

of 7.5 mL ice cold DPBS per flask to detach cells and collect cell pellets. Cell pellets

were resuspended in 1.5 mL of RIPA buffer supplemented with 1x protease and

phosphatase inhibitor, 1 mM PMSF, and Pierce universal nuclease for cell lysis, and

lysed for 50 min on ice with thorough pipetting every 10 min. Lysates were clarified by

centrifugation at 14,000 rcf for 15 min at 4 ˚C. Streptavidin magnetic beads were

washed twice with 1 mL RIPA. 15 µL of lysate was retained as input, and the remainder

was loaded on the streptavidin beads O/N rotating at 4 °C. 15 µL of flowthrough was

collected per sample, and then beads were washed with: 2x 1 mL RIPA (2 min per

wash), 1x 1mL KCl (2 min), 1x 1mL 100 nM Na_2CO_3 (10 sec), 1x 1mL 2M urea in 10 mM

Tris-HCl pH 8.0 (10 sec), 2x 1 mL RIPA (2x 2min). The beads were resuspended in 1mL

RIPA, transferred to fresh microcentrifuge tubes, and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen

and stored at -80 °C until further processing.

For each sample 100 µg of protein was added to a 10 kDa ultrafiltration tube, and

volume was normalized across samples. Samples were centrifuged at 20 °C, 12,000 rcf

for 20 min. 100 µL of 0.5M TEAB was added to samples and then centrifuged at 20 °C

12,000 rcf for 20 min (3x). Samples were subjected to Trypsin digestion at 37 °C for 4

hours. Peptide solution was concentrated and desalted, and the collected peptide

fractions were freeze-dried. For peptide labeling, each tube of TMT (0.8 mg) was

dissolved in 41 µL acetonitrile and shaken for more than 1 min. Peptide fragments were

resuspended in 0.1M TEAB to a concentration of 3.74 µg/µL. 100 µg of peptide was

labeled with 41 µL of TMT reagent for 2 hr at room temperature, ensuring pH was

between 7-8.

For peptide fractionation the Shimadzu LC-20AB liquid phase system was used

with a 5 µm 4.6x250mm Gemini C18 column for liquid phase separation of the sample.

Dried peptide samples were reconstituted with mobile phase A (5% acetonitrile pH 9.8)

and injected, eluting at a flow rate of 1 mL/min with the following gradients: 5% mobile

phase B (95% acetonitrile, pH 9.8) for 10 min. 5% to 35% mobile phase B for 40 min.

35% to 95% mobile phase B for 1 min, mobile phase B for 3 min, and 5% mobile phase

B for 10 min. The elution peak was monitored at a wavelength of 214 nm and one

component was collected per minute, and the samples were combined according to the

chromatography elution peak map to obtain 20 fractions, which were then freeze-dried.

For HPLC the dried peptide samples were reconstituted with mobile phase A (2%

acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid), centrifuged at 20,000 rcf for 10 min, and the supernatant

was used for injection. Separation was performed using a Easy-nLC 1200, followed by a

tandem self-packed C18 column (75 μm internal diameter, 1.9 μm column size, 25 cm

column length) and separated at a flow rate of 200 nL/min using the following gradient:

0 – 3 min, 5% mobile phase B (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid); 3 – 45 min, mobile

phase B was linearly increased from 8 to 44%; 45-50 min, mobile phase B increased

from 44 to 60%; 50-53 min, mobile phase B rose from 60 to 100%; 53-60 min, 80%

mobile phase B. The nanoliter liquid phase separation was directly connected to the

mass spectrometer.

For mass spectrometry detection, the peptides separated by HPLC were ionized

by a nanoESI source and then passed to a tandem mass spectrometer Orbitrap

Exploris 480 for DDA mode detection. Parameters included: ion source voltage = 1.9

kV; MS1 mass spectrometer scanning range was 350-1,600m/z; resolution was set to

60,000; MS2 starting m/z was fixed at 100; resolution was 15,000. The ion screening

conditions for MS2 fragmentation were: charge 2+ to 7+, and the first 30 parent ions

with peak intensity exceeding 2×10^4. Ion fragmentation mode was HCD, and the

fragment ions were detected in Orbitrap. The dynamic exclusion time was set to 30

seconds. The automatic gain control was set to: MS1 300%, MS2 standard.

Mass spectrometry analysis was completed using Spectrum Mill MS Proteomics

software as well as R.

To distinguish specific proximal proteins from abundant nuclear background, we

employed a filtering strategy based on a custom False Positive Identification (FPI) rate,

calculated against curated lists of proteins from irrelevant subcellular compartments

(e.g., ER membrane, cell membrane) obtained from UniProt. This approach allowed us

to apply stringent cutoffs to enrich for high-confidence interactors. A full list of identified

proteins and quantitative values is provided in Supplementary Table 3. For the first filter

where we compared abundance of proteins labeled by PRR14L relative to no ligase

control, we applied a stringent cut-off of FPI < 0.03, given that we expect that almost all

the proteins identified in our no ligase control should be non-specific, using a false

positive list of ER membrane proteins. For the second filter where we compared

abundance of proteins labeled PRR14L relative to the nuclear spatial control, a more

relaxed cut-off of FPI < 0.05 was applied. This is because a greater percentage of

labeling overlap is expected when both PRR14L and the spatial control occupy the

nucleus. For this cut-off, we used a false positive list of cell membrane proteins.

Acknowledgments

A.Z.L., and B.A.J. received support from the NIH Medical Scientist Training Program

T32GM136577. This work was supported by Bloomberg Professorship funds to R.L. from Johns

Hopkins University, a Mid-sized Grant (NRF-MSG-2023-0001) from Singapore National

Research Foundation to R.L. and a Canadian Institutes of Health Research grant (FDN-

167277) to M.T. We thank Angela Xiong for assistance with molecular cloning. Portions of the

text were edited with the assistance of ChatGPT (OpenAI), a large language model AI tool.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and code availability

Mass spectrometry and RNA-sequencing data will be deposited in publicly accessible

databases prior to official publication.

Figure Titles and Legends

Figure 1. NALM-6 genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen identifies *PRR14L* as an

enhancer of NMS-P715

(A) Workflow of CRISPR/Cas9 screen

(B) (left) Rationale underlying observation of enhancer sgRNAs (center) Volcano plot

of CRISPR/Cas9 screen (right) Rationale underlying observation of suppressor

sgRNAs.

(C) Zoom-in on suppressor sgRNAs, with gene names highlighted, colored by gene

category.

(D) Zoom-in on enhancer sgRNAs, with gene names highlighted

Figure 2. TurboID proximity labeling of PRR14L identifies multiple cell division proteins

(A) Schematic of TurboID Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) mass spectrometry experiment

completed in HEK293T cells.

(B) Differences between TurboID-NLS and PRR14L-TurboID localization.

Representative images of hTERT RPE-1 cells transiently transfected with either

3xHA-TurboID-3xNLS or PRR14L-GS-3xHA-TurboID followed by 10 or 15 min

labeling with 50 µM biotin respectively. Images acquired on LSM980, SR-8Y

mode.

(C) Characterization of biotinylation by PRR14L-TurboID. HEK293T cells were

transiently transfected with either 3xHA-TurboID-3xNLS or PRR14L-GS-3xHA-

TurboID followed with or without incubation with 50 µM biotin for 20 min. Cell

lysates were used for western blot, and probed with anti-HA antibody and

Streptavidin Dylight 680. Untransfected (no ligase) control also included for

comparison.

(D) PRR14L proximal proteome includes cell division proteins regulated by PP2A.

Scatter plot of final PRR14L proximity proteome determined by mass

spectrometry with PRR14L itself excluded. Dots colored by GO annotation.

Proteins with GO annotations related to cell division have their names

highlighted.

(E) Western blot validation of PRR14L and TACC3 proximity. TurboID experiment

was repeated, and cell lysates were utilized for anti-TACC3 western blot.

Figure 3. Loss of *PRR14L* alters the response to anti-mitotic drugs

(A) Quantification of untreated mitotic duration. Beeswarm plot comparing NEBD to

anaphase time in HCT116 NTC and *PRR14L$^{-/-}$* Clone A3 stably expressing H2B-

mNeonGreen and mCherry-CAAX measured via live cell imaging. Colors denote

independent experiments (n=3), small dots denote individual cells (NTC n = 48,

PRR14L$^{-/-}$ n = 48), large dots denote mean of independent experiment. p-value

calculated via Mann U-Whitney test using replicate averages, ns = non-

significant. Images acquired on Zeiss LSM 780.

(B) Quantification of mitotic duration in the presence of 25 nM nocodazole. Kaplan-

Meier curve of % of cells still remaining in mitosis in HCT116 *NTC* and *PRR14L$^{-/-}$*

Clone A3 stably expressing H2B-mNeonGreen and mCherry-CAAX measured

via live cell imaging. + marks denote censored events, where the cell still

remained in mitosis at the end of the movie. n = 3 independent experiments.

Total number of observed mitoses (NTC n = 51, PRR14L$^{-/-}$ n = 44). p-value

calculated via logrank test on combined data from independent experiments.

Images acquired on Zeiss LSM 780.

(C) Quantification of asymmetric chromosome partitioning in the presence of various

drug treatments. Combined beeswarm and bar plot comparing proportion of cells

undergoing asymmetric divisions between HCT116 *PRR14L$^{+/+}$* and *PRR14L$^{-/-}$*

Clone A3 stably expressing H2B-mNeonGreen and mCherry-CAAX measured

via live cell imaging. Colors denote independent experiments (n=3), dots denote

mean of independent experiments with size correlated with number of mitoses

observed (For DMSO, *PRR14L$^{+/+}$* n = 72, *PRR14L$^{-/-}$* n = 77. For 1 µM NS-P715,

PRR14L$^{+/+}$ n = 68, *PRR14L$^{-/-}$* n = 44. For 250 nM ZM447439 *PRR14L$^{+/+}$* n = 68,

PRR14L$^{-/-}$ n = 55). p-value calculated using two-proportion Z-test using combined

data from independent experiments, ** = $p < 0.01$, **** = $p < 0.0001$. Images

acquired on Zeiss LSM 780.

(D) Representative images comparing live cell imaging of HCT116 *NTC* and

PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone A3 stably expressing H2B-mNeonGreen and mCherry-CAAX

with 1 µM NMS-P715 treatment. Z-max projections displayed. Frames

synchronized to onset of NEBD. Scale bar width = 10 µm. Images acquired on

Zeiss LSM 780.

Figure 4. NMS-P715 has therapeutic potential for *PRR14L* loss of function and FGFR-

TACC3 fusion tumors

(A) Flow cytometry tracking relative abundance of control and PRR14L$^{-/-}$ cells over

time. Cells were exposed to DMSO (1:1,000) during Days 1-3. Ribbons denote

standard deviation, data shown from n = 2 independent experiments.

(B) Flow cytometry tracking relative abundance of control and PRR14L$^{-/-}$ cells over

time. Cells were exposed to 1 µM NMS-P715 during Days 1-3. Ribbons denote

standard deviation, data shown from n = 2 independent experiments.

(C) Quantification of final timepoint (Day 9) of competition assay. Each dot

represents a single independent experiment (n = 3), and each bar represents

mean across independent experiments. P-value determined by one-sided

student's t-test, ** represents $p < 0.01$.

(D) Working model for PRR14L regulation of TACC3, which may potentially explain

PRR14L$^{-/-}$ phenotypes.

(E) Comparison of tumor cell line sensitivity between NMS-P715 and Reversine. Each point

represents a cancer cell line in the DepMap database. Three highlighted cell lines harbor

FGFR-TACC3 fusions.

Figure S1.

(A) Linear correlation between PRR14L-TurboID independent experiment 1 and

TurboID-NLS. Scatter plot displaying all proteins with >2 unique peptides

identified by mass spec, comparing replicate 1 against TurboID-NLS.

(B) Linear correlation between PRR14L-TurboID independent experiment 2 and

TurboID-NLS. Scatter plot displaying all proteins with >2 unique peptides

identified by mass spec, comparing replicate 2 against TurboID-NLS.

(C) Linear correlation between PRR14L-TurboID independent experiment 1 and

independent experiment 2. Scatter plot displaying all proteins with >2 unique

peptides identified by mass spec, comparing replicate 2 against replicate 1.

(D) Determination of filter 1 cut-off for independent experiment 1. False positive

644   protein list used was ER membrane proteins from Uniprot. False positive

645   identification plotted against $\log_2$ ratio comparing independent experiment 1 and

646   no ligase control. $\log_2$ cut-off determined at a FPI = 0.03 (3% of false positive

647   proteins have $\log_2$ ratios higher than the cut-off of 0.592).

648   (E) Determination of filter 1 cut-off for independent experiment 2. False positive

649   protein list used was ER membrane proteins from Uniprot. False positive

650   identification plotted against $\log_2$ ratio comparing independent experiment 2 and

651   no ligase control. $\log_2$ cut-off determined at a FPI = 0.03 (3% of false positive

652   proteins have $\log_2$ ratios higher than the cut-off of 0.804).

653   (F) Determination of filter 2 cut-off for independent experiment 1. False positive

654   protein list used was cell membrane proteins from Uniprot. False positive

655   identification plotted against $\log_2$ ratio comparing independent experiment 1 and

656   spatial control. $\log_2$ cut-off determined at a FPI = 0.05 (5% of false positive

657   proteins have $\log_2$ ratios higher than the cut-off of 0.335).

658   (G) Determination of filter 2 cut-off for independent experiment 2. False positive

659   protein list used was cell membrane proteins from Uniprot. False positive

660   identification plotted against $\log_2$ ratio comparing independent experiment 2 and

661   spatial control. $\log_2$ cut-off determined at a FPI = 0.05 (5% of false positive

662   proteins have $\log_2$ ratios higher than the cut-off of 0.603).

(H) Scatter plot displaying filter one and two for independent experiment 1.

(I) Scatter plot displaying filter one and two for independent experiment 2.

(J) Final PRR14L proximity proteome list. Most enriched protein is clearly PRR14L

itself.

(K) Final PRR14L proximity proteome list with PRR14L excluded and phosphatase

regulatory subunit genes highlighted, via GO annotations containing

"dephosphorylation."

(L) Final PRR14L proximity proteome list with PRR14L excluded and protein quality

control genes highlighted, via GO annotations containing "proteasome,"

"ubiquitin," and/or "protein folding."

(M)Final PRR14L proximity proteome list with PRR14L excluded and nuclear import

genes highlighted, via GO annotation containing "import into nucleus."

(N) Final PRR14L proximity proteome list with PRR14L excluded and mitochondrial

genes highlighted, via GO annotation containing "mitoch."

Figure S2.

(A) Genotyping validation of $PRR14L^{-/-}$ monoclonal HCT116 cells. Sanger

sequencing traces aligned to genomic sequence from genome assembly hg38.

(B) qPCR quantification of PRR14L mRNA in $PRR14L^{-/-}$ HCT116 cells. mRNA fold

change calculated via ddCt method, using *ACTB* as a control. Each dot

represents a single independent experiment (n = 3), and each bar represents

mean across independent experiments. P-value determined by one-sided

student's t-test, ** represents $p < 0.01$.

(C) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of MSigDB Hallmark pathways. RNA-seq

data from HCT116 $PRR14L^{-/-}$ (KO) and NTC cells were analyzed. Genes were

ranked by the Wald statistic derived from DESeq2. The dot plot shows the

significantly enriched pathways (FDR < 0.05), faceted by activation (positive

Normalized Enrichment Score, NES) or suppression (negative NES) in *PRR14L$^{-/-}$*

cells. Dot size corresponds to the number of genes in the leading edge, and color

indicates the FDR-adjusted p-value.

(D) Differential pathway activity inference using PROGENy. VST-normalized

expression data was used as input to estimate the activity of 14 major signaling

pathways based on the expression of pathway footprint genes. The bar plot

displays the change in activity score (KO - NTC). Bars are colored based on

significance and direction of change. FDR values are indicated next to the

corresponding bars.

Figure S3.

(A) Metaphase spread counts (untreated). Histogram comparing chromosome

number between HCT116 NTC and HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone A3. Bin width

displayed = 1. Data combined from n = 3 independent experiments. Total number

of spreads counted (NTC n = 101, PRR14L$^{-/-}$ n = 96). p-value calculated via two-

sided student's t-test using replicate averages.

(B) Metaphase spread counts (1 µM NMS-P715 treatment). Histogram comparing

chromosome number between HCT116 NTC and HCT116 PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone A3.

Bin width displayed = 3. Data from n = 1 independent experiment. Total number

of spreads counted (NTC n = 42, PRR14L$^{-/-}$ n = 42).

(C) Representative images comparing live cell imaging of HCT116 NTC and

PRR14L$^{-/-}$ Clone A3 stably expressing H2B-mNeonGreen and mCherry-CAAX

with 250 nM ZM 447439 treatment. Z-max projections displayed. Frames

synchronized to onset of NEBD. Scale bar width = 10 μm. Images acquired on

LSM780.

(D) Quantification of final timepoint (Day 9) of competition assay. Each dot

represents a single independent experiment (n = 2 or 1), and each bar

represents mean across independent experiments. DMSO values are the same

as independent experiments 1 and 2 from Fig. 4C.

Supplementary Table 3. Full list of proteins identified and quantified in the PRR14L
TurboID-MS experiment.

References

Ando, R, Shimozono, S, Ago, H, Takagi, M, Sugiyama, M, Kurokawa, H, Hirano, M,
Niino, Y, Ueno, G, Ishidate, F, *et al.* (2024). StayGold variants for molecular fusion and
membrane-targeting applications. Nat Methods 21, 648–656.

Baker, DJ, Jeganathan, KB, Cameron, JD, Thompson, M, Juneja, S, Kopecka, A,
Kumar, R, Jenkins, RB, De Groen, PC, Roche, P, *et al.* (2004). BubR1 insufficiency
causes early onset of aging-associated phenotypes and infertility in mice. Nat Genet 36,
744–749.

Baker, TM, Waise, S, Tarabichi, M, and Van Loo, P (2024). Aneuploidy and complex
genomic rearrangements in cancer evolution. Nat Cancer 5, 228–239.

Bakhoum, SF, and Landau, DA (2017). Chromosomal instability as a driver of tumor
heterogeneity and evolution. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 7.

Bakhoum, SF, Ngo, B, Laughney, AM, Cavallo, JA, Murphy, CJ, Ly, P, Shah, P, Sriram,
RK, Watkins, TBK, Taunk, NK, *et al.* (2018). Chromosomal instability drives metastasis
through a cytosolic DNA response. Nature 553, 467–472.

Bertomeu, T, Coulombe-Huntington, J, Chatr-aryamontri, A, Bourdages, KG, Coyaud, E,
Raught, B, Xia, Y, and Tyers, M (2018). A High-Resolution Genome-Wide CRISPR/Cas9
Viability Screen Reveals Structural Features and Contextual Diversity of the Human
Cell-Essential Proteome. Mol Cell Biol 38, 1–24.

Booth, DG, Hood, FE, Prior, IA, and Royle, SJ (2011). A TACC3/ch-TOG/clathrin
complex stabilises kinetochore fibres by inter-microtubule bridging. EMBO Journal 30,
906–919.

Branon, TC, Bosch, JA, Sanchez, AD, Udeshi, ND, Svinkina, T, Carr, SA, Feldman, JL,
Perrimon, N, and Ting, AY (2018). Efficient proximity labeling in living cells and
organisms with TurboID. Nat Biotechnol 36, 880–898.

Capelletti, M, Dodge, ME, Ercan, D, Hammerman, PS, Park, S Il, Kim, J, Sasaki, H,
Jablons, DM, Lipson, D, Young, L, *et al.* (2014). Identification of recurrent FGFR3-
TACC3 fusion oncogenes from lung adenocarcinoma. Clinical Cancer Research 20,
6551–6558.

Carneiro, BA, Elvin, JA, Kamath, SD, Ali, SM, Paintal, AS, Restrepo, A, Berry, E, Giles,
FJ, and Johnson, ML (2015). FGFR3-TACC3: A novel gene fusion in cervical cancer.
Gynecol Oncol Rep 13, 53–56.

Chase, A, Pellagatti, A, Singh, S, Score, J, Tapper, WJ, Lin, F, Hoade, Y, Bryant, C,
Trim, N, Yip, BH, *et al.* (2019). PRR14L mutations are associated with chromosome 22
acquired uniparental disomy, age-related clonal hematopoiesis and myeloid neoplasia.
Leukemia 33, 1184–1194.

Chase, A, Tarragona, GC, Lin, F, Yapp, S, Score, J, Bryant, C, and Cross, NCP (2023).
Identification of B56 α , B56 γ and BAP1 as PRR14L binding partners. 1–15.

Cho, KF, Branon, TC, Udeshi, ND, Myers, SA, Carr, SA, and Ting, AY (2020). Proximity
labeling in mammalian cells with TurboID and split-TurboID. Nat Protoc 15, 3971–3999.

Colombo, R, Caldarelli, M, Mennecozzi, M, Giorgini, ML, Sola, F, Cappella, P, Perrera,
C, Re Depaolini, S, Rusconi, L, Cucchi, U, *et al.* (2010). Targeting the mitotic checkpoint
for cancer therapy with NMS-P715, an inhibitor of MPS1 kinase. Cancer Res 70,
10255–10264.

Conant, D, Hsiau, T, Rossi, N, Oki, J, Maures, T, Waite, K, Yang, J, Joshi, S, Kelso, R,
Holden, K, *et al.* (2022). Inference of CRISPR Edits from Sanger Trace Data. CRISPR
Journal 5, 123–130.

Dobles, M, Liberal, V, Scott, ML, Benezra, R, and Sorger, PK (2000). Chromosome
Missegregation and Apoptosis in Mice Lacking the Mitotic Checkpoint Protein Mad2, Li
and Nicklas.

Gibson, DG, Young, L, Chuang, R-Y, Venter, JC, Hutchison, CA, and Smith, HO (2009).
Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods
6, 343–345.

Gill, KP, and Denham, M (2020). Optimized Transgene Delivery Using Third-Generation
Lentiviruses. Curr Protoc Mol Biol 133.

Gordon, DJ, Resio, B, and Pellman, D (2012). Causes and consequences of aneuploidy
in cancer. Nat Rev Genet 13, 189–203.

Hewitt, L, Tighe, A, Santaguida, S, White, AM, Jones, CD, Musacchio, A, Green, S, and
Taylor, SS (2010). Sustained Mps1 activity is required in mitosis to recruit O-Mad2 to the
Mad1-C-Mad2 core complex. Journal of Cell Biology 190, 25–34.

Johnson, BA, Liu, AZ, Bi, T, Dong, Y, Li, T, Zhou, D, Narkar, A, Wu, Y, Sun, SX, Larman,
TC, *et al.* (2024). Simple aneuploidy evades p53 surveillance and promotes niche
factor-independent growth in human intestinal organoids. Mol Biol Cell.

Katoh, Y, Michisaka, S, Nozaki, S, Funabashi, T, Hirano, T, Takei, R, and Nakayama, K
(2017). Practical method for targeted disruption of cilia-related genes by using
CRISPR/Cas9-mediated, homology-independent knock-in system. Mol Biol Cell 28,
898–906.

Koh, S-B, Mascalchi, P, Rodriguez, E, Lin, Y, Jodrell, DI, Richards, FM, and Lyons, SK
(2017). A quantitative FastFUCCI assay defines cell cycle dynamics at a single-cell
level. J Cell Sci 130, 512–520.

Lara-Gonzalez, P, Pines, J, and Desai, A (2021). Spindle assembly checkpoint
activation and silencing at kinetochores. Semin Cell Dev Biol 117, 86–98.

Lin, CH, Hu, CK, and Shih, HM (2010). Clathrin heavy chain mediates TACC3 targeting
to mitotic spindles to ensure spindle stability. Journal of Cell Biology 189, 1097–1105.

Narkar, A, Johnson, BA, Bharne, P, Zhu, J, Padmanaban, V, Biswas, D, Fraser, A,
Iglesias, PA, Ewald, AJ, and Li, R (2021). On the role of p53 in the cellular response to
aneuploidy. Cell Rep 34, 108892.

Normandin, K, Coulombe-Huntington, J, St-Denis, C, Bernard, A, Bourouh, M,
Bertomeu, T, Tyers, M, and Archambault, V (2023). Genetic enhancers of partial PLK1
inhibition reveal hypersensitivity to kinetochore perturbations. PLoS Genet 19.

Pemble, H, Kumar, P, van Haren, J, and Wittmann, T (2017). GSK3-mediated CLASP2
phosphorylation modulates kinetochore dynamics. J Cell Sci 130, 1404–1412.

Replogle, JM, Zhou, W, Amaro, AE, Mcfarland, JM, Villalobos-Ortiz, M, Ryan, J, Letai, A,
Yilmaz, O, Sheltzer, J, Lippard, SJ, *et al.* (2020). Aneuploidy increases resistance to
chemotherapeutics by antagonizing cell division.

Sanjana, NE, Shalem, O, and Zhang, F (2014). Improved vectors and genome-wide
libraries for CRISPR screening. Nat Methods 11, 783–784.

Sansregret, L, Patterson, JO, Dewhurst, S, López-García, C, Koch, A, McGranahan, N,
Chao, WCH, Barry, DJ, Rowan, A, Instrell, R, *et al.* (2017). APC/C dysfunction limits
excessive cancer chromosomal instability. Cancer Discov 7, 218–233.

Santaguida, S, Tighe, A, D'Alise, AM, Taylor, SS, and Musacchio, A (2010). Dissecting
the role of MPS1 in chromosome biorientation and the spindle checkpoint through the
small molecule inhibitor reversine. Journal of Cell Biology 190, 73–87.

Schneider, CA, Rasband, WS, and Eliceiri, KW (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years
of image analysis. Nat Methods 9, 671–675.

Schvartzman, JM, Sotillo, R, and Benezra, R (2010). Mitotic chromosomal instability
and cancer: Mouse modelling of the human disease. Nat Rev Cancer 10, 102–115.

Singh, D, Chan, JM, Zoppoli, P, Niola, F, Sullivan, R, Castano, A, Liu, EM, Reichel, J,
Porrati, P, Pellegatta, S, *et al.* (2012). Transforming Fusions of FGFR and TACC Genes
in Human Glioblastoma. Science (1979) 337, 1231–1235.

Smela, MDP, Kramme, CC, Fortuna, PRJ, Adams, JL, Su, R, Dong, E, Kobayashi, M,
Brixi, G, Kavirayuni, VS, Tysinger, E, *et al.* (2023). Directed differentiation of human
iPSCs to functional ovarian granulosa-like cells via transcription factor overexpression.
Elife 12.

Still, IH, Vince, P, and Cowell, JK (1999). The Third Member of the Transforming Acidic
Coiled Coil-Containing Gene Family, TACC3, Maps in 4p16, Close to Translocation
Breakpoints in Multiple Myeloma, and Is Upregulated in Various Cancer Cell Lines.

Thompson, SL, and Compton, DA (2008). Examining the link between chromosomal
instability and aneuploidy in human cells. Journal of Cell Biology 180, 665–672.

Vallardi, G, Allan, LA, Crozier, L, and Saurin, AT (2019). Division of labour between
pp2a-b56 isoforms at the centromere and kinetochore. Elife 8, 1–25.

Wickham, H (2016). ggplot2 Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis Second Edition,
Houston.

Wong, S-S, Monteiro, JM, Chang, C-C, Peng, M, Mohamed, N, Steinacker, TL, Xiao, B,
Saurya, S, Wainman, A, and Raff, JW (2025). Centrioles generate two scaffolds with
distinct biophysical properties to build mitotic centrosomes. Sci Adv.

A

Nalm-6 pCW-Cas9 → sgRNA Library Transduction → Doxycycline → Vehicle Control (DMSO) / 1 µM NMS-P715 (MPS1i) → 2 d Drug Pulse → Washout and 6 d Expansion → Compare sgRNA Fold Change

B

Enhancer sgRNAs

Increase aneuploidy generated
Reduce aneuploid cell fitness
Augment SAC inhibition

Suppressor sgRNAs

Decrease aneuploidy generated
Increase aneuploid cell fitness
Resist SAC inhibition

-log$_{10}$(p-value) vs RANKS Score

C

-log$_{10}$(p-value) vs RANKS Score

ANAPC7 ANAPC13 ARIH1 TRIP13 MAD2L1BP UBE2C
PPP1R2 CCNF PPP2R1A ANAPC15
UBE2S
SRSF1
LCMT1 CDK6
PPP2R5D CDC16
PPP1R7
USP17L2 ANAPC2
XPO1
PPP1CC SNAPC4
CDC20
OTUB1

Essential
Phosphatase
MCC Inactivation
Ubiquitination

D

-log$_{10}$(p-value) vs RANKS Score

IQCF3
DDX3X
CDKN1A
CCNB2
PIKFYVE
BUB1B NCAPH2
ZWINT KDR PRR14L

A NEBD to Anaphase (min) — Genotype: Control, PRR14L⁻/⁻ (ns)

B % of cells remaining in imtosis vs Time (min), p = 0.0106; *PRR14L⁻/⁻*, Control

C % Asymmetric Chromatin Partitioning vs Treatment (DMSO Control [ns], NMS-P715 [**], ZM447439 [****]); PRR14L⁺/⁺, PRR14L⁻/⁻

D Time After NEBD: 0 min, 9 min, 18 min, 27 min; Genotype: Control, PRR14L⁻/⁻; H2B-mNeonGreen, mCherry-CAAX