Hemocyte Viability Assay as an Alternative Method for Testing Bacterial Pathogenicity in

Bivalves

Jaypee S. Samson[1,2], David C. Rowley[3], and Marta Gomez-Chiarri[1]

[1] Department of Fisheries, Animal and Veterinary Science, University of Rhode Island, Kingston,

Rhode Island, USA

[2] College of Fisheries and Freshwater Aquaculture Center, Central Luzon State University, Science

City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines

[3] Department of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston,

Rhode Island, USA

ABSTRACT

Bacterial pathogens cause disease outbreaks in hatcheries, leading to larval mortality and significant economic losses. One of the major challenges in studying bacterial pathogenesis in larvae is the limited availability of healthy larvae throughout the year. This seasonal constraint delays testing and impedes progress in understanding bacterial infections, disease management, and the development of effective treatments for bivalve aquaculture. To address this challenge, a hemocyte viability assay was developed as an alternative for testing bacterial pathogenesis. A resazurin-based assay was optimized using eastern oyster hemocytes, and lethal concentration $(LC)_{50}$ values were determined for the bacterial pathogen *Vibrio coralliilyticus* RE22 (121 ± 2 multiplicity of infection, MOI), probiont *Phaeobacter inhibens* S4 ($2{,}157 \pm 56$ MOI), and several bacterial isolates from shellfish hatcheries. The most virulent isolates had LC_{50} values ranging from 53 to 195 MOI. To validate the assay, the isolates were tested on oyster larvae, confirming that bacterial isolates with $LC_{50} <200$ MOI against hemocytes also caused larval mortality, while isolates exhibiting LC_{50} values ≥690 MOI showed no adverse effects on oyster larvae. This high-throughput hemocyte viability assay provides a rapid and reliable alternative to larval assays, particularly during the off-season for hatcheries, facilitating year-round research on bacterial pathogenicity in bivalves.

Key words: Bivalve, Larvae, Hemocytes, Resazurin, Oyster, Pathogenicity, Viability

INTRODUCTION

Bivalves are important in fisheries, aquaculture, and ecosystem structure and function (Fernández Robledo et al. 2019; Willer et al. 2021; Mesquita et al. 2023). As filter feeders, bivalves acquire a rich and diverse bacterial commensal microbiota including species of *Vibrio, Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, Photobacterium, Moraxella, Aeromonas, Micrococcus* and *Bacillus* (Kueh and Chan 1985; Zannella et al. 2017). Many of these bacterial species play a beneficial role to the bivalve host, contributing to the digestion of food, including the breakdown of complex carbohydrates and the production of essential amino acids, and the detoxifying of pollutants like heavy metals and organochlorines (Masanja et al. 2023). A few of those bacterial species, however, are pathogenic to bivalves, constituting a leading cause of disease outbreaks in bivalve larviculture systems and resulting in significant mortality and economic losses (Zannella et al. 2017). These bacteria can rapidly proliferate in aquaculture environments, often exploiting stress or environmental fluctuations to infect and overwhelm bivalve hosts (Elston et al. 2008; Dubert et al. 2017). Pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. are particularly well-characterized, producing virulence factors like hemolysins, proteases, and ciliostatic toxins causing deciliation, loss of velar epithelium, and abnormal swimming behavior (Tubiash et al. 1965; Dubert et al. 2017; Gómez-Chiarri et al. 2025).

Experimental larval challenges are commonly used to identify and characterize bacterial pathogens of bivalve larvae (Estes et al. 2004; Gómez-León et al. 2008; Rojas et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2021). The time-consuming nature of these assays, combined with the limited availability of healthy bivalve larvae throughout the year, presents a major challenge in studying the pathogenesis of bacterial isolates, their effects on the larvae, and the development of effective management

strategies for bivalve aquaculture. The seasonality of larval production is further complicated by

environmental fluctuations and disease pressures that can eradicate or depress larval cohorts

(Elston et al. 2008; Dubert et al. 2017; Gradoville et al. 2018), leading to gaps in supply and

challenges for experimental replication and validation. Alternative high-throughput methods that

are more consistent, accessible, repeatable, and faster are essential. Assays based on measuring the

effect of bacterial pathogens on adult bivalve hemocyte viability may provide such an alternative.

Bivalves have an open circulatory system in which hemolymph, containing hemocytes,

bathes all the organs before returning to the heart via sinuses. These circulating hemocytes are

primarily responsible for defending against pathogens through mechanisms such as phagocytosis

and encapsulation (Fisher 1986; Canesi et al. 2002; Gerdol et al. 2018). Additionally, antibacterial

effectors, opsonins, nonspecific hydrolytic enzymes, and toxic oxygen intermediates found in

bivalve hemolymph coordinate the immune response (Song et al. 2010; Gerdol et al. 2018; Chong

2022). Hemocytes can recognize, locate, ingest, transport, and digest foreign particles, playing a

central role in phagocytosis, encapsulation, inflammation, and wound repair (Fisher 1986; Gerdol

et al. 2018). Hemocyte viability is a measure of the proportion of alive cells to evaluate overall

hemocyte health. Viability assays can be assessed based on cellular metabolism, enzyme activity,

or cell membrane integrity (Kamiloglu et al. 2020). Double fluorescence staining with membrane-

permeable nuclear dyes, such as SYBR, and membrane-impermeable dyes, such as propidium

iodide (PI), coupled with fluorescence microscopy or flow cytometry, has been commonly used to

determine hemocyte viability, but these assays are not quantitative or require complex

instrumentation (Allam et al. 2001). Alternatively, the Alamar Blue assay, also known as the

resazurin assay, offers an attractive means of assessing hemocyte viability. This assay relies on the

reduction of resazurin to resorufin, a fluorescent compound that serves as an indicator of cellular

metabolic activity (O'Brien et al. 2000). Resazurin-based assays have been widely used to measure

cell viability and cytotoxicity in a range of biological and environmental systems and several cell

types, including bacteria, yeast, fungi, protozoa, and cultured mammalian and piscine cells

(O'Brien et al. 2000; Rampersad 2012; Petiti et al. 2025).

The goal of this work was to develop a high-throughput hemocyte viability assay for

assessing bacterial virulence in bivalves without relying on the seasonal availability of bivalve

larvae. The assay workflow involves exposure of adult bivalve hemocytes to serial dilutions of

bacteria allowing for bacterial-hemocyte interaction, followed by treatment with antibiotics to

eliminate bacterial metabolic activity, and, finally, measurement of hemocyte cellular metabolic

activity via reduction of resazurin dye. The use of this assay as a screening tool for pathogens of

bivalve larvae was validated using larval bacterial challenge assays. This rapid, high-throughput,

plate-based resazurin assay will facilitate the identification and characterization of bivalve

bacterial pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria Isolation and Identification

Bacteria were isolated from larvae of the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* and northern

quahog *Mercenaria mercenaria* from four hatcheries located along the Atlantic Coast of the United

States. The larvae were rinsed and incubated in filtered sterile seawater (FSSW, 3% sea salts,

Instant Ocean) overnight at room temperature (20 to 22°C) with aeration before bacterial isolation.

After overnight incubation, swimming larvae were filtered through a 40-µm mesh and washed

with FSSW. A 100 µL aliquot of the concentrated larvae was combined with 100 µL FSSW and

macerated using a sterile grinder or pipette tip until the sample became homogeneous. A 100 µL

aliquot of the larvae homogenate was then taken for serial dilution. Then, 20 µL of each serial

dilution was plated onto various media, including a marine yeast peptone (YP30; 0.5 % yeast

extract, 0.1% peptone, 3% sea salts, 1.5 % agar; pH 7.6) (Karim et al., 2013), marine agar 2216

(BD Difco), seawater tryptone agar (SWT, 0.5% tryptone, 0.3% yeast extract, 0.3% glycerol, 3%

sea salts, 1.5% agar; pH 7.6), and nutrient-free agar plates (3% sea salts, 1.5% agar; pH 7.6), and

incubated for 2-5 days at 27°C. Morphologically distinct colonies were selected and re-streaked

onto sterile agar plates to ensure the isolation of pure strains.

Bacterial DNA was extracted following a modified protocol (Li et al. 2023). Briefly, an

overnight culture (500 µL) of each isolate was harvested and resuspended in DNase-free water

with 0.1 g of 0.1 mm glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The cells were heated at 80°C at 500 rpm

for 10 min, followed by RNase treatment (Qiagen, Germany). Bacterial isolates were identified by

16S rRNA sequencing using universal primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and

1492R (5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') (Weisburg et al. 1991). PCR reactions were

prepared in a total volume of 25 µL, comprising 5 µL of extracted DNA, 12.5 µL of PCR

mastermix (Thermo Scientific), 1 µL each of forward (27F) and reverse (1492R) primers, and 5.5

µL of nuclease-free water. Amplification was performed using the following thermal cycling

conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds,

annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 90 seconds; followed by a final

extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were purified using a Qiagen PCR purification kit

following the manufacturer's protocol and sequenced using a Applied Biosystems 3500xL Genetic

Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at the RI-INBRE Centralized Research Core Facility

(University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI). Sequence identification was conducted by comparing

obtained sequences to the NCBI 16S reference database using BLAST (Camacho et al. 2009).

Overview of the Hemocyte Viability Assay

The workflow for the hemocyte viability assay is illustrated in Fig. 1. The assay relies on

incubating hemocytes isolated from adult eastern oysters (Fig. 1A) with dilutions of the bacterial

isolates to allow for bacterial – hemocyte interaction (Fig. 1B). After this period of incubation,

the hemocyte – bacterial mix is incubated with antibiotics to kill the bacteria (Fig. 1C). Finally, a

resazurin-based dye (CellTiter-Blue, Promega) is added to evaluate hemocyte viability (Fig. 1C).

Preparation of Bacterial Dilutions

Bacterial isolates (Table 1) were cultured overnight on YP30 agar plates at 27°C for 18-24

hours. After incubation, individual colonies were picked and transferred to liquid YP30 medium,

which was incubated at 27°C with shaking (150 rpm) for 18-24 hours. Following the incubation,

the bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 16,000 ´ g for 5 min to pellet the cells. The supernatant

was discarded, and the bacterial pellet was washed twice with FSSW. The cell pellet was then

resuspended in FSSW, and the bacterial concentration of the stock was adjusted to 108 CFU mL-

1 based on optical density (OD_{600}). Two-fold bacterial dilutions were prepared in sterile 96-well

plates. The final bacterial concentration in each well was verified by spot-plating appropriate

dilutions onto YP30 agar plates and determining colony counts (Karim et al. 2013).

Preparation of Oyster Hemocytes

Hemocyte isolation was performed on adult eastern oysters collected from Rhode Island farms using aseptic conditions (Fig. 1A). The oyster shells were scrubbed with a sterilized soft-bristle brush to remove surface biofilm, rinsed in freshwater and sprayed with 70% ethanol. A small notch was made on the edge of the shell at the height of the adductor muscle using flame-sterilized pliers. Next, a sterile 1.5" 21G needle attached to a 3 mL syringe was primed with 100 µL of ice-cold FSSW, ensuring that air bubbles were removed from the syringe. The primed needle was inserted into the adductor muscle notch, and approximately 1 mL of hemolymph was extracted from each oyster. The needle was rotated gently during extraction to help remove tissue adhering to the needle. To remove tissue debris, hemolymph samples were passed through a 40 µm mesh filter into a 50 mL sterile Falcon tube kept on ice. The pooled hemolymph of 6-12 oysters was then centrifuged at $600 \times g$ for 3 min at 4°C to pellet the hemocytes. The hemocytes were washed twice in FSSW and finally resuspended in FSSW. Hemocyte concentration and viability were evaluated using a hemocytometer, and the concentration was adjusted to 2.0×10^6 cells mL^{-1}. For heat-killed hemocyte preparations (blank control), half of the total hemocyte suspension volume was placed in a water bath at 90°C for 10 min. Live and heat-killed (HK) hemocyte solutions were kept on ice until used in the assay.

Hemocyte Viability Assay Development

The assay was optimized to determine the appropriate hemocyte and antibiotic concentrations, as well as the incubation times for each phase: hemocyte–bacteria interaction, antibiotic treatment, and resazurin metabolism. The final test conditions for the optimized assay

were selected based on the most optimal signal to noise ratio and lowest coefficient of variation.

The optimized protocol is as follows. Using black clear-bottom 96-well plates (Corning, USA),

50 µL of either live or heat-killed hemocytes were added to designated wells of the plate to achieve

a final concentration of 1.0×10^6 cells mL^{-1} (Fig.1B). Fifty µL of serial dilutions of the test

bacterial suspensions (each in quadruplicate) were added to wells containing hemocytes to achieve

a range of multiplicity of infection (MOI). The MOI was calculated by dividing the bacterial

concentration (CFU mL^{-1}) by the hemocyte concentration (cells mL^{-1}). The plates were incubated

for 1 hour at room temperature to allow bacterial interaction with the hemocytes, then treated with

chloramphenicol (200 µg mL^{-1} final concentration) for an additional three hours to prevent further

bacterial metabolism. Next, wells were treated with 10 µL of resazurin reagent (Promega, USA)

and the plates were incubated for 20 hours at room temperature. Plates were gently shaken for 10

seconds and fluorescence was measured (Fluorometer model; BioTek, USA) at an excitation

wavelength of 560 nm and an emission wavelength of 590 nm (Fig. 1C).

The relative viability of the hemocytes was determined using the following formula:

171
$$\text{Viability (\%)} = \frac{F_{\text{Experimental}} - F_{\text{Blank}}}{F_{\text{Control}} - F_{\text{Background}}} \times 100$$

Where:

$F_{\text{Experimental}}$= fluorescence readings of wells containing hemocytes with bacterial treatment.

F_{Blank}= fluorescence readings of wells containing heat-killed hemocytes with bacterial treatments

(accounts for background fluorescence as well as potential residual metabolic activity from

bacteria that was not eliminated by the antibiotic treatment).

F_{Control} = fluorescence readings of wells containing hemocytes without bacterial treatment.

$F_{Background}$= fluorescence reading of wells containing heat-killed hemocytes without bacterial

treatment.

Linear regression analysis was performed to plot the relationship between MOI and relative

viability. Bacterial concentrations (expressed as MOI) resulting in a 50% reduction in hemocyte

viability (LC_{50}) were determined using the regression equation. All calculations were performed

using Microsoft Excel.

Larval Viability Assays

Larval viability assays were performed using a previously described protocol (Gómez-

León et al. 2008) with modifications. Briefly, 2 mL of 6-8-day-old healthy eastern oyster larvae

(veligers) were added to 24-well plates at 75-100 larvae mL^{-1}. Then, bacterial isolates were added

at a final concentration of 10^5 CFU mL^{-1} and incubated for 16-20 h at room temperature. Larval

mortality was determined by visual examination under a microscope (Leica, 400x magnification).

Larvae mortality was calculated as follows:

191
$$\text{Mortality (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of dead larvae}}{\text{Number of dead larvae} + \text{Number of alive larvae}} \times 100$$

RESULTS

Assay Development and Optimization

To establish a reliable and rapid hemocyte viability assay for assessing bacterial virulence,

we explored the use of resazurin dye to measure cell survival following treatment with various

bacteria. Several parameters were optimized, including hemocyte concentration (ranging from 2.5

$\times 10^5$ to 2×10^6), bacteria – hemocyte interaction time (1 to 5h), antibiotic treatment (ampicillin,

199 gentamycin, penicillin, chloramphenicol), and resazurin – hemocyte incubation times (from 1 to

200 24h). Hemocyte concentrations between 1×10^6 and 2×10^6 cells mL^{-1} were determined to yield

201 reliable and reproducible fluorescence measurements (not shown). A concentration of 200 μg mL^{-}

202 ^{1} of the broad-spectrum antibiotic chloramphenicol was determined to effectively inhibit the

203 metabolic activity of bacteria without impacting hemocyte viability (Table 2). Fluorescence

204 readings stabilized after 20 hours of incubation of the hemocytes with the resazurin dye, indicating

205 sufficient time for metabolic conversion and accurate viability measurement. Overall, the assay

206 has a 24 h duration, including the bacteria-hemocyte interaction, antibiotic treatment, and

207 incubation with dye (Fig. 1).

208 **Assay Validation Using Known Pathogenic and Probiotic Bacteria**

209   The assay was validated using the larval pathogen *V. coralliilyticus* RE22 and probiont

210 *Phaeobacter inhibens* S4 (Karim et al., 2013). The calculated LC$_{50}$ values, defined as the

211 multiplicity of infection (MOI, ratio of bacteria to hemocytes) required to reduce hemocyte

212 viability by 50%, were determined to be 121 ± 2 for RE22 and $2{,}157 \pm 56$ for *P. inhibens* S4 (Fig.

213 2). The assay was repeated three times, with each bacterial concentration tested in quadruplicate,

214 to calculate inter and intra-assay variability. The coefficient of variation for inter-assay accuracy

215 was determined to be 6.3% and 7.7% and for RE22 and S4, respectively.

216 **Application to Field Isolates**

217   The assay was further applied to evaluate the virulence of several bacterial isolates isolated

218 from oyster and clam larvae (Table 1). LC$_{50}$ values ranged from 53 to 25,389 MOI (Table 3),

219 indicating a wide spectrum of virulence. Among the most virulent isolates, *V. toranzoniae* CH7

displayed an LC$_{50}$ of 53 MOI, followed by *V. rotiferianus* CH3 (81 MOI), *V. fortis* CH6 (86 MOI),

V. neptunius DEN11 (129 MOI), *V. chagasii* CH4 (190 MOI), and *C. lytica* CH30 (195 MOI). In

contrast, *V. chagasii* CLAM14 and *T. ascidiaceicola* CLAM15 displayed LC$_{50}$ values > 20,000

MOI, suggesting these would be non-pathogenic isolates to eastern oysters.

Comparison of the Hemocyte Viability Assay with the Larval Assay

To assess the relationship between hemocyte viability and larval mortality, the bacterial

isolates were tested against eastern oyster larvae in challenge assays. Larvae were exposed to

bacterial isolates for 16 – 20 h at a standardized bacterial concentration of 10^5 CFU mL^{-1} (Table

2). Oyster larvae challenged with the known pathogen *V. coralliilyticus* RE22 had almost 100%

mortality. Similarly, potential pathogenic isolates *V. fortis* CH6 and *V. neptunius* DEN11 also had

100% mortality. In addition, *V. chagasii* CH4, *C. lytica* CH30, *V. rotiferianus* CH3, *V. toranzoniae*

CH7, and *V. tubiashii* DEN41 had larval survival below 50%, confirming their pathogenicity in

both assays. Conversely, larvae treated with putative commensal or probiotic isolates exhibited

survival rates of 96-100%, indicating their safety towards larvae. Bacterial isolates demonstrating

LC$_{50}$ values below 200 MOI in the hemocyte assay also exhibited significant pathogenicity towards

oyster larvae. The correlation between mortality and LC$_{50}$ of the pathogenic isolates was

significant, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.84 ($P = 0.0021$) (Fig. 3). The range of MOI

for isolates that did not cause mortality (survival >90%) in the 20 hour larval assay, however, was

highly variable, ranging from 690 to 23,389, suggesting that the hemocyte assay is more sensitive

than the short term larval assay.

DISCUSSION

This study presents a rapid, high-throughput, and reliable hemocyte viability assay as an alternative to traditional larval assays for assessing bacterial virulence against bivalves. Unlike larval-based methods, which are limited by the seasonal availability of healthy larvae and are time-consuming and variable, this assay provides a consistent and quantifiable measure of bacterial effects on pools of adult hemocytes, which can be accessed year-round. Hence, this assay is an attractive tool to complement traditional larval challenge models for the screening of potential bacterial pathogens and the development of disease management strategies, such as probiotic selection.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of using resazurin-based viability measurement in bivalve hemocytes to screen for potential pathogens and probiotics of larval bivalves and quantify bacterial virulence. By using resazurin reduction as a measure for hemocyte viability, the assay enables sensitive quantification of bacterial virulence in a controlled, reproducible format. One initial challenge encountered during the assay development was interference by bacterial reduction of resazurin. This issue was resolved by the addition of chloramphenicol, a broad-spectrum antibiotic, after allowing for bacterial-hemocyte interaction and bacterial pathogenicity, and the inclusion of the killed hemocyte and bacterial control, which helps identify those bacterial isolates for which the antibiotic treatment failed to eliminate bacterial metabolism. Hemocytes were unaffected by the antibiotic treatment step, similar to previous reports (Lacaze et al. 2015; Matozzo et al. 2016). Hence, the optimized assay is capable of measuring hemocyte reduction of resazurin without interference from the bacteria isolates being evaluated.

The Hemocyte Viability Assay was Significantly Correlated with the Larval Viability Assay

To validate the hemocyte viability assay, a collection of 19 bacterial isolates from bivalve larvae was tested using both the hemocyte viability assay and the larval viability assay. The two assays showed a significant correlation for those isolates causing mortality in oyster larvae. Isolates *V. toranzoniae* CH7, *V. rotiferianus* CH3, *V. fortis* CH6, *V. neptunius* DEN11, *V. chagasii* CH4, and *C. lytica* CH30, which showed LC_{50} values of <200 MOI, caused $\geq$ 30% mortality in oyster larvae, confirming the suitability of the hemocyte viability assay to identify larval pathogens. The taxonomic identification of pathogenic isolates using the hemocyte viability assays is consistent with previous reports of pathogenicity toward bivalves (Dubert et al. 2017; Gómez-Chiarri et al. 2025). For example, *V. toranzoniae* and several other *V{Citation}ibrio* spp. (*V. lentus*, *V. crassostreae*, and *V. cyclitrophicus*) caused significantly higher hemocyte cytotoxic rates in the scallop, *Argopecten purpuratus* (González et al. 2025 Apr 1). Similarly, *V. rotiferianus* shows pathogenicity in infection models (Harrison et al. 2022), and *V. fortis* has been implicated in the heat stress-induced mortality of *C. gigas* (Green et al. 2019). Additionally, *V. neptunius* has been identified as an opportunistic pathogen that causes disease in oysters and clams (Galvis et al. 2021), while *V. chagasii* was reported as the causative agent of massive larval mortalities in farmed scallops (*A. purpuratus*) in Chile (Urtubia et al. 2023). Lastly, *C. lytica* has been isolated in the eroded mantle epithelium of juvenile paua (*Haliotis iris*) in New Zealand (Diggles and Oliver 2005).

Similarly, most isolates with LC_{50} values $\geq$ 690 MOI in the hemocyte viability assay caused no mortality in the 16–20 hour larval assay, indicating overall good concordance between the two assays. Only three of the 19 tested isolates causing mortality in larvae (*V. tubiashii* isolates DEN12

and DEN41 and *V. alginolyticus* DEN30) showed levels of hemocyte viability comparable to

isolates that did not cause larval mortality (LC_{50} of 4,160, 848, and 12,204 MOI respectively).

This suggests that the pathogenicity of these three isolates to larvae may involve virulence

mechanisms not fully captured by hemocyte viability alone, such as tissue-specific interactions or

factors expressed only *in vivo*, and/or that adult oyster hemocytes have increased capability for

eliminating these strains due to immune system maturation and/or priming (Leonessi et al. 2025).

Differences in the LC_{50} in the hemocyte viability assay between the two *V. tubiashii* strains, as

well as differences between the two *V. chagasii* strains, is consistent with the well-established

principle that *Vibrio* pathogenicity is determined at the strain level, with most species exhibiting a

diversity of nonpathogenic and pathogenic strains (Estes et al. 2004; Ushijima et al. 2018;

Destoumieux-Garzón et al. 2020; Gómez-Chiarri et al. 2025).

The wide range of values in the hemocyte viability assay for isolates causing no mortality

in larvae (LC_{50} from 690 to 25,395 MOI) also suggests that the hemocyte viability assay may be

more sensitive than a larval assay of a similar duration (around 24 hours), and that some of the

isolates may require longer incubation periods with the larvae to show differential impacts on

survival. A higher sensitivity of hemocytes to bacterial pathogenicity compared to larvae may also

be due to the additional immune defenses and barriers present in larvae (e.g., mucosal barriers

(Fernández Robledo et al. 2019)) and/or differential interactions of these with the more complex

larval microbiome (Yeh et al. 2020; Arfken et al. 2021; Masanja et al. 2023; Destoumieux-Garzón

et al. 2024; Takyi et al. 2024). Notably, six of the eight bacterial strains causing no mortality in

oysters were isolated from clams. Because our hemocyte assays were performed using oyster

hemocytes, it is possible that these isolates exhibit host specificity and are pathogenic to clams.

Such host-specific interactions are well documented in Vibrio – bivalve systems, where virulence

can vary widely depending on the host species, immune cell type, and ecological context

(Destoumieux-Garzón et al. 2020; Gómez-Chiarri et al. 2025).

CONCLUSIONS

This study aimed to develop an alternative assay for assessing bacterial

pathogenicity in bivalves, utilizing hemocytes isolated from adult oysters as a substitute for oyster

larvae. The results from the hemocyte viability assay developed here correlated well with those

from traditional larval challenges, offering a rapid, consistent, and effective tool for testing the

pathogenicity of bacterial isolates against bivalves. Some potential limitations of this assay include

the fact that this *in vitro* assay may not fully capture the complexity of whole-organism responses

in larvae, such as tissue-specific effects or systemic immune responses, a limitation common to

most high-throughput *in vitro* screening assays (Ghallab 2013). Additionally, some bacterial

species or target host cells may exhibit variable sensitivity to chloramphenicol and/or resazurin

(Breijyeh et al. 2020; Vieira-da-Silva and Castanho 2023), potentially affecting assay accuracy.

Alternate antibiotics, or even antibiotic cocktails, may be needed in such cases. Despite these

limitations, the hemocyte viability assay provides a high-throughput tool for pre-screening isolates

for potential pathogenicity and helps solve the bottleneck of larval shortages encountered during

the off-season, thereby enabling year-round investigation of potential pathogens and the

development of disease mitigation tools for hatcheries. Importantly, this assay can be further

adapted to assess the pathogenicity of bacterial isolates in hemocytes from other bivalve species,

such as clams, scallops, and mussels. This flexibility makes it a valuable tool for investigating

host-specific pathogens across a broader range of bivalve species.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all the research and commercial hatcheries involved in the Bivalve

Hatchery Health Consortium, current and previous members of the Gomez-Chiarri lab for their

assistance during this study, and all the members of the Probiotics Working Group at the University

of Rhode Island.

Funding

This work was supported by USDA NIFA Aquaculture Research 2022-70007-38315

(Microbial solutions to improving larval resilience in shellfish hatcheries), and by Agriculture and

Food Research Initiative Competitive Grant no. 2023-67016-39712, awarded to MGC and DCR.

REFERENCES

Allam B, Ashton-Alcox KA, Ford SE. 2001. Haemocyte parameters associated with resistance to

brown ring disease in *Ruditapes* spp. clams. Dev Comp Immunol. 25(5):365–375.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X(00)00072-0

Arfken A, Song B, Allen SK, Carnegie RB. 2021. Comparing larval microbiomes of the eastern

oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) raised in different hatcheries. Aquaculture. 531:735955.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735955

Breijyeh Z, Jubeh B, Karaman R. 2020. Resistance of Gram-Negative Bacteria to Current

Antibacterial Agents and Approaches to Resolve It. Molecules. 25(6):1340.

https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25061340

Camacho C et al. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 10(1):421.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

Canesi L, Gallo G, Gavioli M, Pruzzo C. 2002. Bacteria–hemocyte interactions and phagocytosis

in marine bivalves. Microsc Res Tech. 57(6):469–476. https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.10100

Chong RS-M. 2022. Chapter 57 - Molluscan immunology. In: Kibenge FSB, Baldisserotto B,

Chong RS-M, editors. Aquaculture Pathophysiology. Academic Press; p 383–392

[accessed 2025 Sept 29].

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780323954341000577.

https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-95434-1.00057-7

Destoumieux-Garzón D et al. 2020. Vibrio–bivalve interactions in health and disease. Environ
Microbiol. 22(10):4323–4341. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15055

Destoumieux-Garzón D et al. 2024. Cross-talk and mutual shaping between the immune system
and the microbiota during an oyster's life. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci.
379(1901):20230065. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2023.0065

Diggles B, Oliver M. 2005. Diseases of Cultured Paua (*Haliotis iris*) in New Zealand. In: Walker
P, Lester R, Bondad-Reantaso MG, editors. Diseases in Asian Aquaculture V. Asian
Fisheries Society; p 275–287 (Fish Health Section). [accessed 2025 Apr 3].
https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Diseases-of-Cultured-Paua-(-Haliotis-iris-)-in-
New-Diggles/603882d9afdc76701bc5f3de406d34b382386e1c

Dubert J, Barja JL, Romalde JL. 2017. New Insights into Pathogenic Vibrios Affecting Bivalves
in Hatcheries: Present and Future Prospects. Front Microbiol. 8 [accessed 2025 Apr 11].
https://www.frontiersin.orghttps://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.
3389/fmicb.2017.00762/full. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00762

Elston RA et al. 2008. Re-emergence of *Vibrio tubiashii* in bivalve shellfish aquaculture: severity,
environmental drivers, geographic extent and management. Dis Aquat Organ. 82:119–134.
https://doi.org/10.3354/dao01982

Estes RM, Friedman CS, Elston RA, Herwig RP. 2004. Pathogenicity testing of shellfish hatchery
bacterial isolates on Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* larvae. Dis Aquat Organ. 58(2–
3):223–230. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao058223

Fernández Robledo JA et al. 2019. From the raw bar to the bench: Bivalves as models for human

health. Dev Comp Immunol. 92:260–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2018.11.020

Fisher WS. 1986. Structure and Functions of Oyster Hemocytes. Brehélin M, editor. Springer.

Galvis F et al. 2021. *Vibrio neptunius* Produces Piscibactin and Amphibactin and Both

Siderophores Contribute Significantly to Virulence for Clams. Front Cell Infect Microbiol.

11   [accessed   2025   Apr   3].

https://www.frontiersin.orghttps://www.frontiersin.org/journals/cellular-and-infection-

microbiology/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2021.750567/full.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.750567

Gerdol M et al. 2018. Immunity in Molluscs: Recognition and Effector Mechanisms, with a Focus

on Bivalvia. In: Cooper EL, editor. Advances in Comparative Immunology. Springer

International Publishing; p 225–341 [accessed 2022 Sept 30]. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-

3-319-76768-0_11. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76768-0_11

Ghallab A. 2013. In vitro test systems and their limitations. EXCLI J. 12:1024–1026

Gómez-Chiarri M et al. 2025. 11 - Vibriosis of bivalves. In: Smolowitz R, editor. Diseases of

Bivalves.   Academic   Press;   p   143–162   [accessed   2025   Apr   5].

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B978012820339200005X.

https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-820339-2.00005-X

Gómez-León J et al. 2008. Survival of eastern oysters *Crassostrea virginica* from three lines

following experimental challenge with bacterial pathogens. Dis Aquat Organ. 79(2):95–

105. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao01902

González R et al. 2025. Pathogenic impact of *Vibrio* spp. in scallop hemolymph: Implications for

health in aquaculture. Aquaculture. 742507.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2025.742507

Gradoville MR, Crump BC, Häse CC, White AE. 2018. Environmental Controls of Oyster-

Pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. in Oregon Estuaries and a Shellfish Hatchery. Appl Environ

Microbiol. 84(9):e02156-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02156-17

Green TJ et al. 2019. Simulated Marine Heat Wave Alters Abundance and Structure of Vibrio

Populations Associated with the Pacific Oyster Resulting in a Mass Mortality Event.

Microb Ecol. 77(3):736–747. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-018-1242-9

Harrison J et al. 2022. The increased prevalence of *Vibrio* species and the first reporting of *Vibrio*

jasicida and *Vibrio rotiferianus* at UK shellfish sites. Water Res. 211:117942.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117942

Kamiloglu S, Sari G, Ozdal T, Capanoglu E. 2020. Guidelines for cell viability assays. Food Front.

1(3):332–349. https://doi.org/10.1002/fft2.44

Karim M et al. 2013. Probiotic Strains for Shellfish Aquaculture: Protection of Eastern Oyster,

Crassostrea virginica, Larvae and Juveniles Against Bacterial Challenge. J Shellfish Res.

32(2):401–408. https://doi.org/10.2983/035.032.0220

Kueh CS, Chan KY. 1985. Bacteria in bivalve shellfish with special reference to the oyster. J Appl

Bacteriol. 59(1):41–47. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1985.tb01773.x

Lacaze E et al. 2015. Genotoxic and immunotoxic potential effects of selected psychotropic drugs

and antibiotics on blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) hemocytes. Environ Pollut. 202:177–186.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.03.025

Leonessi M et al. 2025. Interactions between the oyster larvae pathogen *Vibrio ostreicida* and the

bivalve hosts *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Magallana gigas*. Front Immunol. 16 [accessed

2025   Dec   1].

https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1711477/

full. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1711477

Li S et al. 2023. Combination of direct boiling and glass beads increases the purity and accuracy

of   bacterial   DNA   extraction.   Biotechnol   J.   18(11):2300135.

https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.202300135

Masanja F et al. 2023. Bivalves and microbes: a mini-review of their relationship and potential

implications for human health in a rapidly warming ocean. Front Mar Sci. 10 [accessed

2025   Nov   24].   https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/marine-

science/articles/10.3389/fmars.2023.1182438/full.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1182438

Matozzo V et al. 2016. Does the antibiotic amoxicillin affect haemocyte parameters in non-target

aquatic invertebrates? The clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* and the mussel *Mytilus*

galloprovincialis as model organisms. Mar Environ Res. 119:51–58.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.05.017

Mesquita AF et al. 2023. Marine Bivalves' Ecological Roles and Humans-Environmental

Interactions to Achieve Sustainable Aquatic Ecosystems. In: Marine Ecosystems -

Biodiversity, Ecosystem Services and Human Impacts. IntechOpen [accessed 2025 Dec

15]. https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/86889.

https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.111386

O'Brien J, Wilson I, Orton T, Pognan F. 2000. Investigation of the Alamar Blue (resazurin)

fluorescent dye for the assessment of mammalian cell cytotoxicity. Eur J Biochem.

267(17):5421–5426. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01606.x

Petiti J, Revel L, Divieto C. 2025. Optimizing resazurin-based viability assays for P-MSC/TER308

cell line to enhance results reliability. BMC Res Notes. 18(1):228.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-025-07298-w

Rampersad SN. 2012. Multiple Applications of Alamar Blue as an Indicator of Metabolic Function

and Cellular Health in Cell Viability Bioassays. Sensors. 12(9):12347–12360.

https://doi.org/10.3390/s120912347

Rojas R et al. 2019. Isolation and Pathogenic Characterization of *Vibrio bivalvicida* Associated

with a Massive Larval Mortality Event in a Commercial Hatchery of Scallop *Argopecten*

purpuratus in Chile. Front Microbiol. 10:855. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00855

Song L, Wang L, Qiu L, Zhang H. 2010. Bivalve Immunity. In: Söderhäll K, editor. Invertebrate

Immunity. Vol. 708. Springer US; p 44–65 (Advances in Experimental Medicine and

Biology). [accessed 2024 Nov 27]. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4419-8059-

5_3. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8059-5_3

Takyi E et al. 2024. Probiotic treatment modulates the bacterial microbiome of larval eastern

oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*, in hatcheries. Aquaculture. 583:740624.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2024.740624

Tubiash HS, Chanley PE, Leifson E. 1965. Bacillary necrosis, a disease of larval and juvenile

bivalve mollusks. I. Etiology and epizootiology. J Bacteriol. 90(4):1036–1044.

https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.90.4.1036-1044.1965

Urtubia R et al. 2023. First Report, Characterization and Pathogenicity of *Vibrio chagasii* Isolated

from Diseased Reared Larvae of Chilean Scallop, *Argopecten purpuratus* (Lamarck,

1819). Pathogens. 12(2):183. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12020183

Ushijima B et al. 2018. Factors affecting infection of corals and larval oysters by *Vibrio*

coralliilyticus.   PLOS   ONE.   13(6):e0199475.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199475

Vieira-da-Silva B, Castanho MARB. 2023. Resazurin Reduction-Based Assays Revisited:

Guidelines for Accurate Reporting of Relative Differences on Metabolic Status. Molecules.

28(5):2283. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28052283

Wang D et al. 2021. Pathogenesis of experimental vibriosis in blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) larvae

based on accurate positioning of GFP-tagged *Vibrio* strains and histopathological and

ultrastructural   changes   of   the   host.   Aquaculture.   535:736347.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736347

Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for

phylogenetic study. J Bacteriol. 173(2):697–703. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.2.697-

703.1991

Willer DF, Nicholls RJ, Aldridge DC. 2021. Opportunities and challenges for upscaled global

bivalve seafood production. Nat Food. 2(12):935–943. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-

021-00423-5

Yeh H et al. 2020. From Farm to Fingers: an Exploration of Probiotics for Oysters, from

Production to Human Consumption. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 12(2):351–364.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-019-09629-3

Zannella C et al. 2017. Microbial Diseases of Bivalve Mollusks: Infections, Immunology and

Antimicrobial Defense. Mar Drugs. 15(6):182. https://doi.org/10.3390/md15060182

Table 1. List of bacterial isolates used in the study.

ISOLATE TESTED	ISOLATION SOURCE	REFERENCE
Algoriphagus yeomjeoni DEN5	*C. virginica*	This study
Cellulophaga lytica CH30	*C. virginica*	This study
Glutamicibacter soli CLAM16	*M. mercenaria*	This study
Marinomonas gallaica CLAM9	*M. mercenaria*	This study
Phaeobacter inhibens S4	*C. virginica*	Karim et al., 2013
Pseudooceanicola nitratireducens NEH7	*C. virginica*	This study
Tenacibaculum ascidiaceicola CLAM15	*M. mercenaria*	This study
Vibrio alginolyticus DEN30	*C. virginica*	This study
Vibrio chagasii CH4	*C. virginica*	This study
Vibrio chagasii CLAM14	*M. mercenaria*	This study
Vibrio coralliilyticus RE22	*C. gigas*	Estes et al., 2004
Vibrio cyclitrophicus CLAM19	*M. mercenaria*	This study
Vibrio fortis CH6	*C. virginica*	This study
Vibrio neptunius DEN11	*C. virginica*	This study
Vibrio rotiferianus CH3	*C. virginica*	This study
Vibrio tasmaniensis CLAM18	*M. mercenaria*	This study
Vibrio toranzoniae CH7	*C. virginica*	This study
Vibrio tubiashii DEN41	*C. virginica*	This study
Vibrio tubiashii DEN12	*C. virginica*	This study

498   Table 2. Antibiotic concentration to prevent or reduce bacterial growth.

Chloramphenicol (ug mL^{-1})	*V. coralliilyticus* RE22	Hemocyte viability
5	+	+
10	+	+
20	+	+
40	+	+
60	+	+
80	+	+
100	+	+
150	+/-	+
200	-	+
300	-	+
400	-	+
500	-	+

+ = resazurin dye turned into pinkish

- = resazurin dye remained bluish

Table 3. Effect of bacterial isolates on adult oyster hemocyte viability and larval mortality. Effect

on hemocyte viability is quantified by the concentration of bacteria, as measured by multiplicity

of infection (MOI), required to reduce hemocyte viability by 50% (LC_{50}). Effect on larvae is

measured as the percent mortality experienced in a larval culture after a 20 h incubation with a

concentration of 10^5 CFU ml

ISOLATE TESTED	LC_{50} (MOI) (Average ± SEM)	Mortality (%) (Average ± SEM)
Vibrio fortis CH6	84	0.0 ± 0.0
Vibrio neptunius DEN11	129	0.0 ± 0.0
**Vibrio coralliilyticus* RE22	121 ± 2	0.9 ± 0.9
Vibrio chagasii CH4	190	18.1 ± 0.1
Cellulophaga lytica CH30	195	23.5 ± 6.5
Vibrio rotiferianus CH3	81	23.9 ± 0.7
Vibrio toranzoniae CH7	53	30.1 ± 0.9
Vibrio tubiashii DEN41	848	45.9 ± 1.7
Vibrio tubiashii DEN12	4,160	46.3 ± 8.1
Vibrio alginolyticus DEN30	12,204	79.7 ± 5.0
Vibrio cyclitrophicus CLAM19	927	93.2 ± 2.2
Marinomonas gallaica CLAM9	704	96.4 ± 1.8
Pseudooceanicola nitratireducens NEH7	690	97.6 ± 0.8
Vibrio chagasii CLAM14	25,389	98.6 ± 1.3
Tenacibaculum ascidiaceicola CLAM15	20,315	99.3 ± 0.7
Glutamicibacter soli CLAM16	1,913	100.0 ± 0.0
**Phaeobacter inhibens* S4	2,157 ± 56	100.0 ± 0.0
Vibrio tasmaniensis CLAM18	877	100.0 ± 0.0
Algoriphagus yeomjeoni DEN5	935	100.0 ± 0.0

* Known pathogen and probiotics. SEM: Standard error of the mean.

Figure 1. Overview of the hemocyte viability assay including the (A) isolation, preparation, and

quantification of cell concentration; (B) plate layout, with half of the plate for the incubation of

live hemocytes with bacterial dilutions, and half of the plate for heat killed hemocytes plus

bacterial dilution controls; and (C) the procedure of the hemocyte viability assay using the

resazurin dye. Figure created with BioRender.com.

Figure 2. Relationship between multiplicity of infection (MOI) and hemocyte viability measured

by resazurin reduction. Linear regression analysis of MOI and hemocyte viability for the pathogen

V. coralliilyticus RE22 and the probiont *P. inhibens* S4 demonstrates the negative correlation

between MOI and hemocyte metabolic activity, indicating reduced hemocyte viability at higher

bacterial loads. Data points represent mean values from replicate wells, with fitted regression lines,

corresponding equations, and R² values.

Figure 3. Relationship between hemocyte and larval viability assays. Linear regression of larval

survival after treatment with pathogenic isolates (as defined as those causing >20% mortality) and

their corresponding LC_{50} values on adult oyster hemocytes based on multiplicity of infection

(MOI). The survival percentage is plotted against the LC_{50} values on a logarithmic scale. Larval

survival data points represent mean values of 3 wells of larvae treated with 10^5 CFU mL^{-1} of

bacteria for 16-20 hours. Pearson correlation and linear regression with 95% confidence intervals

are shown.