Models for the architecture of the human inner kinetochore CCAN complex
on centromeric α-satellite CENP-A nucleosome arrays

Cong Yu[1], Kyle W. Muir[1,3], Jing Yang[1], Ziguo Zhang[1], Stephen H. McLaughlin[1] and David
Barford[1,2]

[1]MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge, CB2 0QH, UK

[2]Corresponding author: dbarford@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk

[3]Present address: Institute of Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

Abstract

Human kinetochores assemble onto centromeric DNA defined by tandem copies of
thousands of the 171 bp α-satellite repeat sequence. The centromere-specific CENP-A
nucleosome (CENP-A^{Nuc}) recruits the inner kinetochore constitutive centromere associated
network (CCAN) complex. A previous cryo-EM structure of CCAN bound to a CENP-A^{Nuc}
reconstituted with a 171 bp α-satellite repeat sequence showed how extranucleosomal
linker DNA threads through an internal tunnel in the CCAN complex. To understand the
higher-order architecture of the inner kinetochore assembled onto α-satellite repeat arrays,
we have determined cryo-EM structures of CCAN with longer DNA sequences. These include
free DNA and single and dimeric α-satellite repeats with CENP-A nucleosomes. We show
from the structures of CCAN bound to both free DNA and monomeric CENP-A^{Nuc} that CCAN
engages 65-70 bp of DNA comprising 30-35 bp of an upstream α-satellite repeat. This
upstream DNA interacts with the histone-fold domain subunits of the CENP-TWSX module in
a manner resembling how DNA is wrapped in nucleosomes. A complex of CCAN assembled
onto a dimeric α-satellite repeat with two CENP-A nucleosomes showed that CCAN can only
be accommodated on the linker DNA by unwrapping DNA from the CENP-TWSX module
together with 25 bp of DNA from the upstream nucleosome. We discuss the implications of
these results for models of CCAN assembly on arrays of α-satellite chromatin containing
CENP-A^{Nuc}.

Introduction

The faithful inheritance of genetic information is crucial for life. Central to this process in
eukaryotes are the roles fulfilled by kinetochores – large protein complexes that assemble

at centromeric chromatin. Kinetochores function to attach sister chromatids to the mitotic

spindle, and couple the work of microtubule depolymerization to chromatid segregation [1-3].

Kinetochores also act as signalling hubs for the error correction and spindle assembly

checkpoints that together coordinate the correct attachment of sister chromatids to the

mitotic spindle with the initiation of sister chromatid segregation [4,5].

Human regional centromeres are megabase-sized structures, comprising thousands of

contiguous 171 bp α-satellite repeat sequences. Almost identical α-satellite repeats are

organized into higher order repeat (HOR) arrays of typically four, seven and eleven repeats

[6]. Only a small fraction of α-satellite repeats is associated with the approximately two-

hundred CENP-A nucleosomes per centromere [7]. CENP-A nucleosomes are restricted to the

hypo-methylated centromere dip region (CDR), the most recently evolved segment of

centromeres [6,8,9], and are interspersed with canonical H3 nucleosomes [10]. Assuming at most

147 bp of DNA wraps a histone octamer [11], at least 24 bp of α-satellite repeat sequence

forms extranucleosomal linker DNA. Both *in vivo* ChIP-seq mapping and in *vitro*

reconstitution of CENP-A nucleosomes on α-satellite sequences indicate that the CENP-A

nucleosome is installed precisely towards the 3' end of the repeat, with the centre of the α-

satellite repeat palindrome coinciding with the nucleosome dyad axis [12,13]. Human

centromeres, except for the Y chromosome centromere, contain the 17 bp B-box element.

The majority of B-boxes are arranged on alternating α-satellite repeats [14] positioned such

that the B-box on linker DNA partially overlaps a nucleosome entry site [15]. CENP-B

engagement by the B-box facilitates unwrapping of the DNA gyre from the CENP-A histone

octamer [16], whereas the engagement of the dimeric CENP-B to two separate B-boxes

induces looping of centromeric DNA [17].

The centromere-kinetochore assembly of vertebrates comprises large macromolecular

complexes at the constriction point of chromosomes visible by electron microscopy in

prometaphase cells as plate-like structures ~200 nm in diameter, and attached to 20-30

microtubule filaments [18]. Kinetochores are composed of two main components: the inner

kinetochore centromere-binding CCAN complex, and the microtubule-binding KMN complex

of the outer kinetochore [19]. The 16-subunit CCAN complex is organized into five modules:

CENP-C and CENP-OPQUR, and the DNA-binding modules, CENP-LN, CENP-HIKM and CENP-

TWSX. The latter module comprises four histone-fold domain proteins [20]. Cryo-EM

structures of human and budding yeast CCAN have elucidated mechanisms of centromere

and CENP-A nucleosome recognition [12,21-25]. CCAN encloses extranucleosomal linker DNA

within a topologically-enclosed chamber [12,22], a mechanism recently shown to be conserved

in the CENP-A-independent holocentric kinetochores of *Lepidoptera* [26]. In vertebrates,

interactions with the CENP-A nucleosome, that confer CCAN specificity for CENP-A rather

than H3 nucleosomes, are mediated primarily by the CENP-C subunit through its short linear

interaction motifs that bridge the CENP-A histones of CENP-A^{Nuc} with the CCAN modules

CENP-LN and CENP-HIKM [12,21,23,27-30]. Human CENP-C incorporates two CENP-A-binding

motifs, generating four potential CENP-A-binding sites (two CENP-A nucleosomes) in the

CENP-C dimer [31].

In our previous cryo-EM structures of CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} and CCAN:DNA complexes, a DNA

duplex was observed threading through a 50 Å-long central tunnel running the length of the

CCAN molecule [12]. This DNA-binding tunnel is formed by the CENP-LN channel, and CENP-I

and CENP-TW subunits. As the DNA exits the tunnel it curves around the CENP-TWSX

module, interacting with the histone-fold domains of CENP-TW. The DNA molecules used in

the earlier study (the 171 bp α-satellite DNA used to reconstitute the CENP-A^{Nuc} for the

CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex, and the 54 bp DNA used in the CCAN:DNA complex) were of

insufficient length to extend beyond CENP-TW, and so in these complexes DNA did not

contact CENP-SX of the histone-fold CENP-TWSX module. Previous cell biology and

biochemical studies however, had identified CENP-SX as DNA-binding proteins at the

centromere [32]. We were therefore missing important details regarding how CCAN interacts

with DNA at the centromere, and this information is required for understanding the higher-

order architecture of kinetochores arranged on α-satellite repeat arrays. Furthermore, the

repeating CCAN:CENP-A nucleosome unit at centromeres is not defined. Thus, the aims of

this study are two-fold. First, we aim to obtain a more complete understanding of how

CCAN interacts with DNA, and second we seek to provide models for how multiple copies of

CCAN and CENP-A nucleosomes assemble onto contiguous α-satellite repeat sequences at

human centromeres.

Results

<u>CCAN binds 70 bp of DNA in a loop configuration that wraps the CENP-TWSX module</u>

To understand how centromeric DNA interacts with CCAN, including all of the histone-fold

domains (HFDs) of the CENP-TWSX module, we reconstituted CCAN with a longer DNA

duplex (native human α-satellite DNA: 171 bp) and used mild cross-linking to stabilize the

complex. The cryo-EM structure, resolved to 3.5 Å resolution, showed clearly defined cryo-

EM density for ~70 bp of DNA that wraps around CCAN in a loop-like structure (**Fig. 1 and**

Supplementary Figs 1 and 2a and Supplementary Table 1). As observed in our previous

CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} and CCAN:DNA complexes [12], DNA threads through the enclosed CCAN

chamber, contacting CENP-TW as it exits the tunnel (**Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2a, b**).

However, in the new structure, we observed an additional 20 bp of DNA exiting the DNA-
binding tunnel, so that in total 40 bp of DNA interacts with the entire CENP-TWSX module.
Additionally, a short segment of DNA (~5 bp) extends beyond the CENP-TWSX module and
approaches CENP-QU.

117
Fig. 1. CCAN binds 70 bp of DNA in a loop configuration. **a** and **b**, Orthogonal views of the
CCAN:DNA complex. 41 bp of DNA interacts with the CENP-TWSX module. Insert: Schematic of the
CCAN:DNA complex. In panel (**a**), DNA is numbered '1' and '71' at the ordered 5' and 3' ends, and
'A140' and 'B40' to indicate the position of the DNA on a dimeric α-satellite sequence (Fig. 3c). **c**,
Cryo-EM map of the CCAN:DNA complex with DNA coloured in gold and CCAN in grey. An

unsharpened version of this map is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1c. **d**, Electrostatic surface of CCAN

as viewed in panel B, with DNA shown as a ribbons representation (Supplementary Fig. 2).

The HFDs of the CENP-TWSX module assemble into a heterotetramer that structurally

resembles the H3:H4 tetramer of canonical nucleosomes (**Fig. 2a, b**) [11,32]. In the CCAN:DNA

complex, DNA wraps around CENP-TWSX comparably to how H3:H4 tetramers wrap DNA in

the context of an octameric nucleosome [11]. In both structures DNA has a similar curvature

and adopts a left-handed helical geometry. The CENP-TWSX module creates a positively-

charged ridge that binds the DNA phosphate backbone (**Fig. 1d**). Strikingly, DNA wraps the

H3:H4 and CENP-TWSX tetramers with identical registers such that the DNA major groove

projects towards both the dyad axis of the H3:H4 tetramer, and the related pseudo-dyad

axis of CENP-TWSX (**Fig. 2a, b**). Consequently, in both structures, at superhelical locations

(SHLs) ±0.5, ±1.5, ±2.5, the DNA minor groove projects towards and contacts equivalent

residues in the histone-fold domains of the H3:H4 tetramer and CENP-TWSX module. Our

structure shows that DNA interacts with conserved basic residues of all four HFD domains of

CENP-TWSX (**Fig. 2c, d**), consistent with prior mutagenesis data demonstrating the role of

basic CENP-TWSX amino acids in DNA binding *in vitro* and centromere localization *in vivo* [32].

Fig. 2. The CENP-TWSX module binds DNA analogous to the H3:H4 histone tetramer of canonical nucleosomes. a, CENP-TWSX and CCAN-associated 70 bp DNA, 40 bp interacts with the CENP-TWSX module. **b**, H3:H4 tetramer of a nucleosome with almost with 67 bp of DNA. Super helical locations (SHLs) are indicated (PDB:1AOI [11]). The pseudo dyad axes of CENP-TWSX and the H3:H4 tetramer are shown in panels (a) and (b), respectively. **c**, Zoomed-view of panel (a) showing details of basic residues from the HFDs of CENP-S and CENP-X and CENP-S C-terminal α-helix interacting with the DNA phosphate backbone. **d**, Zoomed-view of panel (a) showing details of basic residues from the HFDs of CENP-T and CENP-W, and CENP-T N-terminal extension interacting with the DNA phosphate backbone. In panels (c) and (d) residues previously shown to contribute to CENP-TWSX – DNA binding are underlined [32]. **e**, Multiple sequence alignment of the CENP-S C-terminal α-helix. Alignment created using Jalview [33].

Additional protein-DNA contacts stabilize CENP-TWSX – DNA interactions. These involve an

extended basic segment immediately N-terminal of the CENP-T HFD that inserts into the

DNA minor groove, and a C-terminus α-helix of CENP-S that engages the DNA major groove

(**Fig. 2a, c and d**). This CENP-S α-helix becomes ordered on binding DNA, such that a

conserved stretch of basic residues stabilize its interactions with the DNA backbone (**Fig. 2c,**

e and Supplementary Fig. 2c, d), as similarly observed in the crystal structure of a CENP-

SX:DNA complex [34]. Our structural data are consistent with previous studies showing that

deletion of the C-terminus of CENP-S, including this basic region, abolished CENP-S binding

to DNA *in vitro* [32]. Similar observations regarding the interaction of linker DNA with the

CENP-TWSX module were obtained by Musacchio and colleagues from a cryo-EM structure

of a CCAN:DNA complex (M. Pesenti, I. Vetter and A. Musacchio, unpublished data).

<u>DNA of CENP-A^{Nuc} reconstituted with longer α-satellite repeat DNA wraps CENP-TWSX</u>

We next assessed whether CENP-TWSX wraps linker DNA in the context of a CENP-A

nucleosome. We assembled CCAN onto a CENP-A^{Nuc} reconstituted with 211 bp DNA that

comprised the 171 bp α-satellite repeat and 40 bp from the 5' upstream α-satellite repeat,

and determined its structure using cryo-EM to an overall resolution of 4.5 Å (**Supplementary**

Fig. 3). Both individual CCAN:DNA and CENP-A^{Nuc} modules were resolved in cryo-EM density,

showing relative flexibility (**Supplementary Fig 3d**). A total of 200 bp DNA was visible in the

cryo-EM map. Similar to our previous CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} structure with 171 bp DNA [12], CENP-

A^{Nuc} is positioned at the 3' end of the α-satellite repeat (**Fig. 3a, c and Supplementary Fig.**

4a). The 5' terminus of the CENP-A^{Nuc} DNA gyre is unwrapped by 10 bp (**Fig. 3a and**

Supplementary Fig. 4b). This unwrapped DNA then leads into extranucleosomal linker DNA

that threads through the CCAN DNA-binding tunnel. On exiting the tunnel, DNA wraps

around the entire CENP-TWSX module, as seen in the CCAN:DNA complex described above.

This DNA comprises linker DNA of the 3' downstream α-satellite repeat together with 30 bp

of the 5' upstream α-satellite repeat. Thus, a total of ~65 bp of free DNA 5' of CENP-A^{Nuc}

interacts with CCAN (**Fig. 3c**). An unexpected finding was that compared with our previous

CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} cryo-EM structure [12], CENP-A^{Nuc} adopts a different orientation relative to

CCAN. In our new structure, CENP-A^{Nuc} is rotated by 216° and shifted by six bp away from

CCAN. A shift of register while maintaining the same DNA phosphate backbone interactions

with the CCAN DNA-binding tunnel causes the DNA duplex (and hence CENP-A^{Nuc}) to rotate

36° per bp, rotating 216° overall (**Fig. 3b and Supplementary Video 1**). The reasons for the

differences in CENP-A^{Nuc} position between the two CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complexes are not

entirely clear. A possible explanation is that the longer extranucleosomal DNA linker used in

this study optimizes interactions with DNA-binding sites on CCAN, resulting in displacement

of CENP-A^{Nuc}. Cryo-EM density for CENP-C is observed at the CENP-C-binding sites on CENP-

A^{Nuc}, CENP-LN and CENP-HIKM, however, due to the displaced CENP-A^{Nuc}, there are no
direct contacts between CENP-A^{Nuc} and the back-side of CCAN.

193
Fig. 3. Cryo-EM structure of CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} showing CENP-TWSX binding DNA. a, Ribbons
representation of the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex. DNA coloured in red indicates DNA of the 5'
upstream α-satellite repeat. **b**, Superimposition of our new CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex (with 211 bp
DNA) (coloured as in panel A) onto the previous model of CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} with a single 171 bp α-
satellite repeat DNA (coloured grey) [12]. This shows the difference in position and orientation of the
downstream 3' CENP-A^{Nuc}. The two nucleosomes differ in orientation by 216° due to a 6 bp
translation of the repeat sequence through the tunnel (**Supplementary Video 1**). **c**, Schematic of the
CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} on an α-satellite repeat dimer. A total of ~200 bp of DNA is embedded by the
complex including 27 bp from the 5' upstream α-satellite repeat. A B-box accessible for CENP-B
binding in the upstream repeat is shown in blue. The nucleotide numbering (A144 and B170 in
panels a and c) refers to the position of the visible DNA 5' and 3' ends of the complex within the α-
satellite sequence. Positions in the α-satellite repeat are numbered 1-171 (5' to 3'), and the
upstream and downstream α-satellite repeats are labelled A and B, respectively (Supplementary Fig.
4).

208
Although the cryo-EM density for the DNA phosphate backbone is well resolved for both the
CCAN:DNA and CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complexes, density for individual DNA bases is less well
defined such that purine and pyrimidine bases are not distinguished (**Supplementary Figs
1e, 2a and 3d**). We also do not observe sequence-specific protein-DNA interactions, which
might be expected to contribute to a defined position of α-satellite DNA bound to CCAN.
This poorly-resolved base-pair density is likely due to the limited resolutions of the
CCAN:DNA and CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complexes (3.5 and 4.5 Å, respectively), but would also be
consistent with sequence variability at each position of the DNA duplex. However, the well-
resolved cryo-EM density for the DNA phosphate backbone indicates that there is a specific

mode of DNA recognition by CCAN, clearly illustrated by how the DNA duplex wraps around

the CENP-TWSX module with phasing similar to how DNA wraps histone octamers (**Fig. 2a,**

b).

In the CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc complex structure using the longer DNA described here, we do not

observe contacts between CCAN and CENP-A^Nuc, in contrast to our previous CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc

complex [12]. However, the position of CCAN on DNA appears fixed as judged by its defined

position on the DNA duplex relative to CENP-A^Nuc. This spacing of CCAN and CENP-A^Nuc is

unlikely caused by the CENP-C subunit. First, the linker connecting the CENP-C binding sites

on CENP-A^Nuc and CCAN (200 residues) is intrinsically disordered and not visible in the cryo-

EM density. Second, CENP-C was also present in the previous structure with shorter DNA [12].

Third, in the CCAN:di-CENP-A^Nuc complex without CENP-C (discussed below), the position of

CCAN relative to the downstream nucleosome is the same as in this new CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc

complex. In our previous structure [12], the 171 bp of a single α-satellite repeat DNA was too

short to both wrap CENP-A^Nuc and extend beyond CENP-TW of the CENP-TWSX module. For

that complex, to optimise CCAN-DNA interactions, CCAN would be required to shift towards

CENP-A^Nuc. In the new complex reported here, with 40 bp additional DNA (27 bp of which

are ordered), there is sufficient DNA to wrap CENP-A^Nuc, pass through the CCAN tunnel and

wrap around CENP-TWSX. A fixed spacing between CENP-A^Nuc and CCAN positioned on DNA

potentially indicates the presence of a CCAN-positioning sequence within α-satellite DNA

that might contribute to how the DNA duplex wraps around the histone-fold CENP-TWSX

module. This is reminiscent of how CENP-A nucleosomes are positioned precisely on α-

satellite DNA, as revealed by *in vitro* reconstitution [12], and the phasing of CENP-A

nucleosomes on centromeric chromatin [13], and of DNA nucleosome positioning sequences

in general. The DNA-binding subunits of CCAN modules: CENP-LN, CENP-I, CENP-TWSX could

all contribute to a defined mode of DNA phosphate backbone recognition.

<u>Implications for CCAN binding to α-satellite repeat dimers</u>

Our new CCAN:DNA and CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc structures showing that CCAN itself interacts with

65 bp of duplex DNA has implications for understanding the higher-order organization of

CCAN on repetitive α-satellite repeat CENP-A nucleosome arrays. The 65 bp DNA interacting

with CCAN comprises 30 bp from the 5' upstream α-satellite repeat and 35 bp of the 3'

downstream α-satellite repeat: 24 bp of extranucleosomal linker DNA and 10 bp of

unwrapped CENP-A^Nuc DNA (**Fig. 3c**). The 30 bp DNA interacting with CCAN from the 5'

upstream α-satellite repeat is DNA of the CENP-A nucleosome gyre when CENP-A

nucleosomes are positioned at the 3' end of the α-satellite repeat [12,13]. This suggests that in

255 the context of a CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex, the upstream α-satellite repeat might be devoid

256 of a nucleosome (either CENP-A or canonical H3). In alternative non-exclusive scenarios, to

257 accommodate CCAN bound between two CENP-A nucleosomes on adjacent α-satellite

258 repeats, a CENP-A nucleosome positioned on the upstream 5' α-satellite repeat might

259 unwrap by 30 bp, or the upstream CENP-A^{Nuc} may force DNA to unwrap from the CENP-

260 TWSX module. A third possibility is that CCAN repositions CENP-A^{Nuc} by causing DNA to slide

261 around the histone octamer. However, the defined position of CENP-A^{Nuc} at the 3' of α-

262 satellite repeats *in vivo* [13] does not support this scenario.

264 Substantial evidence indicates that the DNA termini of CENP-A nucleosomes are loosely

265 wrapped and flexible. First, nuclease digestion sensitivity assays showed that CENP-A

266 nucleosomes protect only 100-120 bp DNA, compared to 140-150 bp for canonical H3

267 nucleosomes [13,24,35,36]. Second, FRET data indicated increased flexibility of terminal DNA

268 segments in CENP-A^{Nuc} relative to canonical nucleosomes [16]. Third, both crystal and cryo-EM

269 structures of CENP-A^{Nuc} revealed unwrapping of terminal DNA segments [12,16,24,37-41], in some

270 instances as much as 24-36 bp of flexible DNA at one end [42]. Finally, CENP-B and CENP-C

271 have been implicated in promoting DNA unwrapping from CENP-A^{Nuc} (Refs [16,43,44]).

273 <u>CCAN binds a dimeric α-satellite repeat with two CENP-A nucleosomes</u>

275 To investigate the feasibility of CCAN binding to linker DNA connecting two adjacent CENP-A

276 nucleosomes, we reconstituted two CENP-A nucleosomes on an α-satellite repeat dimer.

277 The α-satellite repeat dimer was designed to include only linker DNA connecting the two

278 CENP-A nucleosomes: upstream and downstream α-satellite repeats were 147 bp and 171

279 bp, respectively (**Supplementary Fig. 5a**). To stabilize the two nucleosome positions on this

280 324 bp DNA, we replaced 92 bp of native α-satellite repeat DNA at the centre of each CENP-

281 A^{Nuc} site with Widom601 DNA. We attempted to reconstitute CENP-A nucleosomes on a

282 dimeric α-satellite repeat sequence (342 bp) using completely native sequence. Whereas

283 we could generate well-positioned CENP-A nucleosomes on a single α-satellite repeat (as

284 defined by native agarose gels), we found that native sequences exceeding 180-190 bp did

285 not wrap well. Typically, the shifts were incomplete and heterogeneous with substantial

286 amounts of free DNA compared to monomeric α-satellite DNA and Widom-based

287 sequences.

289 We observed that CCAN associated with this di-CENP-A^{Nuc} array, as assessed by size

290 exclusion chromatography (SEC) (**Supplementary Fig. 5b, c**). Analysis of the peak SEC

291 fractions using interferometric scattering microscopy (iSCAT) revealed two species: the

major 1,054 kDa complex corresponded to a single CCAN protomer bound to the di-CENP-

A^{Nuc} array (CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}), although with a lower mass than the expected 1,282 kDa.

The underestimate of molecular mass using ISCAT may be due to the DNA component which

scatters less than the globular proteins used for calibration. A 445 kDa component

corresponded to a di-CENP-A^{Nuc} array without CCAN (expected molecular mass of 431 kDa)

(**Supplementary Fig. 5d**). The partial dissociation of CCAN from di-CENP-A^{Nuc} is likely due to

the low sample concentrations required for single molecule counting in iSCAT.

CCAN and CENP-B binding to the same linker DNA segment is mutually exclusive

Our α-satellite dimer incorporated a B-box within the linker DNA of the downstream α-

satellite repeat connecting the two CENP-A nucleosomes (**Supplementary Fig. 6a**). SEC data

showed that the CENP-B protein recognized the B-box specifically because CENP-B bound to

the di-CENP-A^{Nuc} array with an intact B-box, but not to a di-CENP-A^{Nuc} array with a mutant B-

box (**Supplementary Fig. 6b, c**). We next tested whether CCAN and CENP-B bind the di-

CENP-A^{Nuc} array simultaneously. We observed that CCAN displaced CENP-B from the array,

showing that CCAN and CENP-B engagement of linker DNA is mutually exclusive

(**Supplementary Fig. 6d, e**). This is consistent with our model of CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc}, that with

CCAN bound to linker DNA, the B-box is enclosed by the CCAN DNA-binding tunnel and

therefore inaccessible to CENP-B.

<u>CCAN binds to linker DNA in the dimeric α-satellite repeat with two CENP-A nucleosomes</u>

To understand the structural basis of how CCAN interacts with linker DNA connecting

adjacent CENP-A nucleosomes, we prepared cryo-EM grids with the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}

complex. Despite cross-linking and the use of additives to reduce sample denaturation at

the air-water interface, CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} particles were not visible on either cryo-electron

micrographs or subsequent 2D classes of the cryo-EM dataset. We instead observed a

heterogeneous mixture of free DNA, CENP-A^{Nuc} and protein complexes (data not shown),

indicative of CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} denaturation on cryo-EM grids. To stabilize the CCAN:di-

CENP-A^{Nuc} complex for cryo-EM analysis, we combined it with the CENP-A nucleosome

stabilizing-single chain antibody fragment (ScFv) [40], omitting CENP-C due to its binding site

overlapping that of the ScFv (**Supplementary Fig. 7**), and collected a large cryo-EM dataset

on this ScFv-stabilized CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex (**Supplementary Figs 8 and 9 and**

Supplementary Table 1).

2D classification of the selected particles and subsequent 3D reconstruction and

classification revealed a highly heterogenous mixture of molecular states (**Supplementary**

Figs 8b-d and 9). The majority of 2D classes from nearly nine million picked particles

comprised either free mono-CENP-A nucleosome or CCAN:DNA with no obvious associated

CENP-A nucleosome. *Ab initio* reconstruction and 3D refinement resulted in high resolution

maps of CCAN:DNA and a CENP-A mono-nucleosome with bound ScFv (2.9 Å and 2.7 Å,

respectively; **Supplementary Fig. 9**). The CCAN reconstruction showed DNA enclosed within

the DNA-binding tunnel. We re-extracted CCAN particles in RELION [45] using a larger box and

performed further 3D classification (**Supplementary Fig. 9**). This procedure revealed 3D

classes with diffuse, poorly-defined EM densities at both 3' and 5' entrances to the CCAN-

DNA tunnel that likely represented flexible CENP-A nucleosomes. To determine whether the

density at the 3' entrance corresponded to a 3' CENP-A nucleosome, we generated a wide

mask covering this density and performed signal-subtraction of density outside the mask.

Subsequent 3D reconstruction and classification of the mask-generated recentred particles

recovered a CENP-A nucleosome, confirming that the diffuse density observed in 2D classes

as CENP-A^{Nuc}. Using the corresponding unmasked particle set, we then calculated a new 3D

reconstruction at 9.5 Å resolution that revealed both CCAN and 3' CENP-A^{Nuc}. Consistent

with this reconstruction, 2D classes calculated from this particle set showed density features

for CCAN and 3' CENP-A^{Nuc} (**Supplementary Fig. 8c**). A similar approach was used to analyse

EM density at the 5' entrance to the CCAN-DNA tunnel, resulting in a 3D reconstruction with

CCAN and associated 5' CENP-A^{Nuc} at 15 Å resolution (**Supplementary Figs 8d and 9**). In the

2D class galleries corresponding to both the 3' CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} and 5' CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc}

complexes, we observed diffuse densities at the opposite end of the CCAN DNA-tunnel (i.e.

5' and 3', respectively), for example **Supplementary Fig. 9a(i)**. These particles are consistent

with CCAN bound to the linker DNA of the di-CENP-A^{Nuc} array. However, the small number

of the particles precluded a 3D reconstruction. We therefore generated a composite cryo-

EM map of a CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex from the individual 3' CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} and 5'

CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} maps (**Supplementary Fig. 9a(i)** and **Fig. 4b**). One of its projected views

matches an experimental 2D class of a CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} , validating our composite

approach (**Supplementary Fig. 9a(i)**).

361
Fig. 4. Structure of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex. **a**, Ribbons representation of CCAN:di-CENP-
A^{Nuc} complex. Insert: Schematic of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} on a dimer of α-satellite repeats. 20-25 bp
of the upstream 5´ CENP-A nucleosome is unwrapped. A total of 300-310 bp of DNA is embedded by
the complex. A B-box accessible for CENP-B binding in the upstream repeat is shown in blue. **b**, Cryo-
EM map of CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex. **C**. Superimposition of the new CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} and
CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complexes showing the identical position of the 3´ CENP-A^{Nuc}. The nucleotide
numbering (A25 and B170 in panel a) is defined in Fig. 3c (Supplementary Fig. 10).

CCAN bound to linker DNA forces DNA unwrapping from CENP-TWSX and 5´ CENP-A^{Nuc}

5´ CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex: Despite the limited resolution of this map, reliable alignment

of CENP-A^{Nuc} into cryo-EM density was facilitated by the ScFv associated with both sides of

the nucleosome (**Fig. 4b**). Analysis of this structure indicated that a CENP-A nucleosome

positioned 5′ to CCAN is incompatible with DNA wrapping around the CENP-TWSX module

(**Fig. 4a, b and Supplementary Fig. 10a)**. Analogous to our previous CCAN:CENP-A[Nuc] and

CCAN:DNA complexes [12], we observed that as DNA exits the CCAN-DNA tunnel, it runs along

the CENP-I-CENP-TW channel, although unlike our new CCAN:DNA and CCAN:CENP-A[Nuc]

complexes (this study), does not continue to bend around CENP-SX, but instead projects in a

straighter trajectory to join the DNA of the 5′ CENP-A nucleosome. The DNA gyre of the 5′

CENP-A nucleosome connected to linker DNA is itself unwrapped by 20-25 bp

(**Supplementary Fig. 10c**). Thus, even without DNA wrapping around CENP-SX, the 5' CENP-

A nucleosome is forced to unwrap to allow its positioning onto an upstream α-satellite

repeat. Our fitting of the 5′ CENP-A[Nuc] to the cryo-EM density map is consistent with the α-

satellite repeat length of 171 bp such that the DNA length from the end of the downstream

(3′) α-satellite repeat sequence to the dyad axis of the 5′ CENP-A[Nuc] is 74 bp (**Fig. 4a**).

In the 3′ CCAN:CENP-A[Nuc] complex, the position and orientation of the 3′ CENP-A[Nuc] in the

CCAN:di-CENP-A[Nuc] complex is the same as our new CCAN:mono-CENP-A[Nuc] complex with

211 bp DNA (this study), differing from that in the previously published CCAN:CENP-A[Nuc]

complex [12] (**Fig. 4a, c and Supplementary Fig. 10a, b**). Thus, the ScFv used for the CCAN:di-

CENP-A[Nuc] structure determination does not affect the orientation of the 3′ CENP-A[Nuc],

consistent with modelling showing that ScFv binding to CENP-A[Nuc], when in the mono-CENP-

A[Nuc] position, is possible without a steric clash with CCAN.

Discussion

In this study we investigated the molecular basis for how human CCAN interacts with CENP-

A nucleosomes and DNA of α-satellite repeat arrays, revealing that CCAN engages as much

as 70 bp of DNA. As described previously [12], DNA threads through the enclosed tunnel

generated by the CENP-LN module, CENP-I and CENP-TW subunits. The longer DNA

sequences used in this study showed additional DNA interactions with the CENP-SX subunits

of the CENP-TWSX HFD module. The CENP-TWSX subunits interact with DNA analogously to

how the H3:H4 heterotetramer, in the context of octameric nucleosomes, wraps its DNA

gyre. The specific details of the interactions of DNA with CENP-SX, together with CENP-TW,

observed in our structure, are in agreement with biochemical and cell biology data,

confirming the proposal that human CCAN incorporates a nucleosome-like particle at the

centromere [20,32]. Consistent with this, CENP-TWSX augments the affinity of CCAN for a

CENP-A nucleosome three-fold [12]. Thus, this study indicates that CCAN creates a tight

attachment to centromeric DNA by both topological entrapment of DNA through the CCAN

DNA-binding tunnel (CENP-LN, CENP-I), and by wrapping DNA around a HFD tetramer

(CENP-TWSX), mimicking the mechanism by which nucleosomes package DNA.

The finding that CCAN alone engages 65-70 bp of DNA has implications for the higher-order

architecture of CCAN and CENP-A nucleosomes on repetitive α-satellite sequences. The 65

bp of extranucleosomal DNA bound by CCAN greatly exceeds the 24 bp linker DNA

connecting two adjacent and fully wrapped nucleosomes (147 bp) on tandem α-satellite

repeats. In our CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} structures, 10 bp of DNA are unwrapped from the 3' CENP-

A nucleosome gyre as it enters the CCAN DNA-binding tunnel (Ref. [12] and this study,

Supplementary Fig. 4b). Thus, to accommodate 65 bp of DNA interacting with CCAN

requires ~30 bp DNA of the upstream α-satellite repeat, in addition to the 24 bp of α-

satellite extranucleosomal linker DNA and 10 bp of unwrapped DNA from the downstream

CENP-A nucleosome (**Fig. 3c**). Since CENP-A nucleosomes are positioned exactly on α-

satellite repeats such that the 3' end of the repeat defines the start of the CENP-A

nucleosome DNA gyre [12,13], the 30 bp of upstream α-satellite repeat DNA would require the

upstream CENP-A nucleosome to unwrap 30 bp of DNA. Such unwrapping is potentially

feasible as demonstrated by the extensive levels of DNA unwrapping from CENP-A

nucleosomes documented previously [12,16,24,37-42]. To test whether CCAN associates with DNA

connecting two adjacent CENP-A nucleosomes, we reconstituted a dimeric α-satellite repeat

with CENP-A nucleosomes. SEC and iSCAT data confirmed interactions of CCAN with a

dimeric α-satellite repeat with two CENP-A nucleosomes (**Supplementary Fig. 5**). That this

interaction required the free linker DNA connecting the two nucleosomes was confirmed by

the ability of CCAN to displace CENP-B from its B-box binding site located within the linker

DNA (**Supplementary Fig. 6d, e**). Our cryo-EM structure of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex

revealed CCAN bound to DNA linking two CENP-A nucleosomes (**Fig. 4**). However,

accommodating CCAN at this site involved not only 20-25 bp of DNA to be unwrapped from

the 5' upstream CENP-A nucleosome, but also dissociation of DNA from CENP-SX. Thus,

although the upstream CENP-A nucleosome is unwrapped, the available free DNA duplex is

insufficient to bind CENP-SX, presumably because of steric hindrance between the upstream

CENP-A nucleosome and CCAN.

Whether such an arrangement of tandem CENP-A nucleosomes and central CCAN that we

observe in our CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex occurs at native human centromeres is unclear.

The dissociation of DNA from CENP-SX is inconsistent with the role of conserved basic

residues of CENP-SX in binding DNA revealed by our CCAN:DNA and CCAN:mono-CENP-A^{Nuc}

structures and from prior *in vitro* binding assays, and the role of CENP-SX in mediating

kinetochore assembly in cells [32]. Furthermore, the extensive unwrapping of DNA from a

CENP-A nucleosome, although observed for a small population of particles in a cryo-EM

study [42], is not consistent with CENP-A nucleosomes defining a 120 bp footprint *in vivo* [13,46],

and the size of the nuclease-resistant core observed *in vitro*. Although our cryo-EM

structure of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex embeds a total of 300-310 bp (**Fig. 4a**), in

agreement with the 250-300 bp centromere core-selective footprint identified by single

molecule chromatin fibre sequencing (Fiber-seq) [46], we propose two alternative scenarios

for the arrangement of CCAN and CENP-A nucleosomes on α-satellite arrays. In one

scenario, the kinetochore unit would constitute a monomeric CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex in

which DNA from the upstream α-satellite repeat engages CENP-TWSX such that a total of

200-210 bp of DNA is bound by the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex (**Fig. 3**). In a second related

scenario, a central CENP-A nucleosome would interact with two flanking CCAN protomers in

a pseudo-symmetric arrangement of the mono-CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex (di-CCAN:CENP-

A^{Nuc}) (**Fig. 5**). This proposed assembly, with the central CENP-A nucleosome and associated

CCAN protomers spanning three α-satellite repeats, embeds 260-270 bp. The 210 bp bound

by mono-CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} is shorter than the 250-300 bp centromere core-selective

footprint [46], however the 260-270 bp bound to the proposed di-CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complexes

matches the reported 250-300 bp centromere footprint. We note that Dubocanin et al.,

(2025) also identified centromere-associated footprints of 210 bp in size [46]. Although these

were assigned as di-nucleosomes, it is also plausible that these methylation-free footprints

correspond to mono-CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} assemblies. Consistent with this, a recent cryo-ET

study of human centromeres found that the majority of putative kinetochore particles were

consistent in size with a mono-CCAN complex closely associated with a single CENP-A

nucleosome [47]. Additionally, larger globular densities containing multiple nucleosomes and

CCAN complexes were also observed, although whether these constitute tandem CCAN and

CENP-A^{Nuc} complexes was not defined.

475
Fig. 5. Model of a di-CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc complex. The model was generated from the CCAN:mono-
CENP-A^Nuc structure by superimposing on CENP-A^Nuc. The two CCANs together with a single CENP-
A^Nuc overlap three α-satellite repeats. In the model, DNA from the central α-satellite sequence is
coloured gold. DNA bound to the two CCANs from the 5' and 3' α-satellite repeats (repeats A and C,
respectively) is coloured red. Insert: Schematic of the model with DNA coloured red for the 5' and 3'
repeats as in the model. A B-box accessible for CENP-B binding in the upstream repeat is shown in
blue. B-boxes in the two upstream repeats are occluded by CCAN. 260-270 bp of DNA are bound by
the di-CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc complex. The nucleotide numbering (A144 and C49) is defined in Fig. 3.

Our models are consistent with the published numbers of CENP-A, CENP-C and CENP-T

proteins at centromeres, and the region of the centromere occupied by CENP-A

nucleosomes. Within canonical centromeres, the two-hundred CENP-A nucleosomes [7] are

restricted to a region of ~100-500 kilobases [6,13] that defines kinetochore assembly. Since

100-500 kilobase comprises 600-3,000 α-satellite repeats, this indicates one CENP-A

nucleosome per 3-15 α-satellite repeats. The question of how many CENP-A nucleosomes a

CENP-C dimer binds at the centromere is unclear. Since each human CENP-C subunit

contains two-CENP-A binding motifs, in principle a CENP-C dimer could bind two CENP-A

nucleosomes and two CCAN protomers, consistent with *in vitro* data [31]. However, for human

CENP-C, only one CENP-A binding motif is essential [13,48-50], and chicken, *Xenopus* and *S.*

cerevisiae CENP-C all contain one CENP-A binding motif. For the human kinetochore,

possible models are for one CENP-C dimer for either one or two CENP-A nucleosomes, and

one or two CCAN protomers. Suzuki et al reported 215 copies of CENP-C (108 CENP-C

dimers) and 72 copies of CENP-T [51] per centromere, data roughly consistent with the

number of CENP-A nucleosomes. The three models of CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} assembly discussed

here: (i) 1xCCAN:1xCENP-A^{Nuc}:1xCENP-C dimer (**Fig. 3**), (ii) 1xCCAN:2xCENP-A^{Nuc}:1xCENP-C

dimer (**Fig. 4**), and (iii) 2xCCAN:1xCENP-A^{Nuc}:1xCENP-C dimer (**Fig. 5**) are all feasible

candidates given the published copies of CENP-A, CENP-C and CENP-T proteins per

centromere. Models (i) and (ii) would occupy two α-satellite repeats, whereas model (iii)

would occupy three repeats. Assuming 200 CENP-A nucleosomes per centromere and

contiguous tandem repeats of the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} assembly, the number of α-satellite

repeats required is 400 (models (i) and (ii) or 800 (model (iii)), consistent with the 600-3,000

α-satellite repeats of the ~100-500 kilobase CENP-A region of the centromere [6,13]. Our

models are thus consistent with the published numbers of CENP-A, CENP-C and CENP-T

proteins, and the region of the centromere occupied by CENP-A nucleosomes.

In a model of a CENP-C dimer binding to a CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex, a possible scenario is

for the pair of C-terminal CENP-A binding sites (CENP-C motif) to interact with either the up-

stream or down-stream CENP-A nucleosome and the pair of N-terminal CENP-A binding sites

(central region) to interact with the remaining CENP-A nucleosome. As there is one CCAN

protomer in this complex, only one CENP-C subunit of the dimer would bind CCAN. Chicken

CENP-C dimers are observed to form higher-order oligomers based on the crystal packing of

chicken CENP-C cupin dimers, supported by biochemical and cell biology data [52]. The same

study also presented evidence for oligomerization of human CENP-C cupin domains [52], as

had been reported previously [53], although *in vitro* oligomers of cupin dimers were not

observed [31]. Formation of higher-order oligomers of CENP-C might be expected to

oligomerize the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} assemblies. However, we are unable to reliably predict the

exact architecture of this higher-order assembly. Hara et al., (2023) [52] proposed that CENP-C

oligomerization would lead to increased CENP-C concentration that might stabilize CCAN

assembly.

Our findings that CCAN displaces CENP-B from B-box linker DNA indicated that the binding

of CCAN and CENP-B to a single α-satellite repeat-CENP-A^{Nuc} module is mutually exclusive

(**Supplementary Fig. 6**). B-boxes are generally present on alternating α-satellite repeats [6].

For all three models of CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complexes discussed above (**Figs 3-5**), a B-box in the

upstream α-satellite repeat is accessible for CENP-B binding, although only in models (i) and

(ii) would CENP-B bind to B-box elements on alternat α-satellite repeats.

In summary this work, combined with recent 2D and 3D mapping of CCAN, CENP-A

nucleosomes and DNA-binding proteins at native centromeres [46,47], suggest potentially

heterogenous structures of CCAN and CENP-A nucleosomes assembled on α-satellite repeat

arrays including mono-CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc}, di-CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} and CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}

complexes.

Supplementary Video 1. Video illustrates the difference in position of the 3' CENP-A

nucleosome between our previous CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex with 171 bp DNA (grey

cartoon) [12] and the new structure of CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} with 211 bp DNA (ASW6) (colour-

coded according to subunits as in Fig. 1). Relative to the previous CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} structure,

in the new model, the DNA register of the α-satellite repeat is shifted 6 bp (translation of 20

Å) away from CCAN (towards the 3' end). Because the DNA phosphate backbone contacts

with CCAN are preserved, this results in a 216° rotation of CENP-A^{Nuc}.

Methods

<u>DNA cloning</u>

Protein complexes for CCAN and CENP-A histone octamer were prepared as previously

described [12], except CENP-Q was modified to CENP-Q$^{55-268}$, CENP-U was modified to CENP-

U$^{235-418}$, CENP-I was changed to isoform 1 from previously isoform 2, and CENP-B full length

was cloned into pOPINS plasmid, with a double strep II-sumo-TEV tag at their N-termini. The

DNA fragment ASW14 was designed as two repeats from α-satellite DNA of chromosome 2

with 91 base pairs centred on their dyad positions replaced with Widom601 sequence

aligned at the dyad base. A B-box sequence is in the linker region. Six ASW14 fragments

flanked by GATATC (EcoRV recognition site) were assembled into the pMK-RQ plasmid. The

plasmid containing ASW14 fragments was produced by using Plasmid Plus Giga Kit

(QIAGEN). The DNA fragment was released by EcoRV-HF (NEB) at 37 °C for 16 h. The digested

sample was diluted five-fold with PBS and loaded onto a pre-equilibrated (PBS) 1 mL

Resource Q Column (GE 17-1177-01). The column was washed with 10 mL PBS (buffer A),

followed by a wash with 20 mL of 50% of buffer B (PBS with 850 mM NaCl). The DNA

fragment was eluted using a gradient to 70% of buffer B at 1 mL/min over 120 min. The

target fragment eluted around 60% buffer B. The fractions containing DNA were checked on

an agarose gel, and DNA was precipitated using 1:1 of isopropanol at 20 °C for 30 min at

15,000g. The DNA pellet was dissolved in the buffer needed for its following application.

The sequence of the generated ASW14 fragment is:

570
571   1   ATCAGGAAAT ATCTTCACAT AAAAACTAGA <u>CAATTGGTCG TAGACAGCTC TAGCACCGCT</u>
572   61   <u>TAAACGCACG TACGCG</u>CTGT CCCCCGCGTT <u>TTAACCGCCA AGGGGATTAC TCCCTAGTCT</u>
573   121   <u>C</u>CTTGTAGTA TCTGCAAGTG GACATTCGGA GCACTTTGTG GC**CTTCGTTG GAAACGGGA**A

```
574   181   TATGTTCACA TAAAAACTAG ACAATTGGTC GTAGACAGCT CTAGCACCGC TTAAACGCAC
575   241   GTACGCGCTG TCCCCCGCGT TTTAACCGCC AAGGGGATTA CTCCCTAGTC TCCTTGTAGT
576   301   ATCTGGAATT GGACATTTGG AGAT
577
```

The Widom601 sequence underlined. Dyad base of the two CENP-A[Nuc] indicated in italics.

ASW14 with mutant B-box: The B-box of CTTCGTTGGAAACGGGA in bold of ASW14 was

mutated to CAAAATTGGTAATTTTA in the B-box mutant α-satellite repeat dimer.

The 212 bp DNA fragment for the CCAN:CENP-A[Nuc] complex (ASW6) has an additional 38 bp

5' of an α-satellite repeat sequence (AS2) with a central 92 bp Widom601 sequence. ASW6

sequence is (B-box element in bold):

585
```
586   1     CATACTCTTT TTGTAGTATC TGCAAGTGGA CATTCGGAGC ACTTTGTGGC **CTTCGTTGGA**
587   61    **AACGGGA**ATA TGTTCACATA AAAACTAGAC AATTGGTCGT AGACAGCTCT AGCACCGCTT
588   121   AAACGCACGT ACGCGCTGTC CCCCGCGTTT TAACCGCCAA GGGGATTACT CCCTAGTCTC
589   181   CTTGTAGTAT CTGGAATTGG ACATTTGGAG AT
590
```

591   <u>AS2 (α-satellite repeat sequence from chromosome 2), also defined in Yatskevich et al., [12]</u>:
592
```
593   1     CCGCTTTGAG GC**CTTCGTTG GAAACGGGA**A TATGTTCACA TAAAAACTAG ACAGAAGCAT
594   61    TCTCAGAAAC TTCTATGTGA TGTTTGCATT CAACTCA**T**AG AGTTGAACAT TCCTTTTCAT
595   121   AGAGCAGTTT TGAAACACTC TTTTTGTAGT ATCTGGAATT GGACATTTGG A
596
```

597   <u>α-satellite repeat sequence</u> as defined in Hasson et al., (Supplementary Figure 6a) [13].
598
```
599   1                                                             ACAGAAGCAT
600   11    TCTCAGAAAC TTCTATGTGA TGTTTGCATT CAACTCA**T**AG AGTTGAACAT TCCTTTTCAT
601   81    AGAGCAGTTT TGAAACACTC TTTTTGTAGT ATCTGGAATT GGACATTTGG ACCGCTTTGA
602   141   GGC**CTTCGTT GGAAACGGGA** ATATGTTCAC ATAAAAACTA G
603
```

We define the start and end of the α-satellite repeat based on the positioning of the CENP-A

nucleosome on the α-satellite repeat sequence from our 2.4 Å resolution cryo-EM structure

[12]. Specifically, we numbered the 3' end of the repeat (nucleotide position #171) as the entry

site of the CENP-A[Nuc] DNA gyre. This then positions the CENP-A[Nuc] dyad axis as nucleotide

#98, and the 5' entry into the DNA gyre as #25. Interestingly, the dyad axis of CENP-A[Nuc] at

nucleotide #98 maps to the centre of the 19 bp palindrome of human α-satellite repeats

(TTCAACTCA**T**AGAGTTGAA), described in the 1978 papers first reporting primate α-satellite

sequences [54,55]. Our definition of the α-satellite repeat is 50 bp 5' to that defined by Hasson

et al., (2013) [13].

<u>CCAN:DNA complex assembly</u>

CCAN:DNA complexes were assembled in CCAN buffer (300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Hepes pH 7.8,

0.5 mM TCEP) as follows. 6 µM CCAN was incubated at 22 °C for 30 mins before addition of

an equal volume of 6 µM 171 bp (AS2 α-satellite repeat [12]) DNA (AS2 described in [12]), and

incubated for a further 30 mins at at 22 °C, resulting in a final CCAN:DNA concentration of 3

µM and a final volume of 100 µL. The CCAN:DNA complex was then centrifuged at 10 °C in a

table-top centrifuge for 10 min. To stabilize complexes for cryo-EM analysis, on-column

crosslinking was performed.

<u>On-column crosslinking of CCAN:DNA</u>

An Agilent 500 column coupled to a MicroAkta was pre-equilibrated in CCAN buffer. 100 μL

2.5 mM BS(PEG)$_5$ (ThermoFisherScientific) was injected into the system for 1 mL at a flow

rate of 0.07 μL/min. The CCAN:DNA complex was then injected into the column and eluted

at the same flow rate into a 96-well plate in 50 μL fractions. Each plate well was

supplemented with 5 μL 1 M Tris.HCl buffer pH 7.4 to quench the crosslinker during elution.

Fractions containing CCAN:DNA were pooled, buffer exchanged into 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM

Hepes pH 7.8, 0.5 mM TCEP and concentrated to 1.5 mg/mL (Amicon 100 kDa, 0.5 mL).

Following concentration, CCAN:DNA complexes were again centrifuged at 10 °C in a table-

top centrifuge for 10 min.

<u>CENP-A mono-nucleosome (ASW6) and CENP-A di-nucleosome (ASW14) reconstitution</u>

The CENP-A histone octamer was prepared as described previously [12]. Either ASW6 or

ASW14 DNA were desalted into buffer B (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 2 M KCl). DNA at 8 μM was

mixed with the CENP-A histone octamer at molar ratios of 1.1:1 protein:DNA for mono-

CENP-A^{Nuc} and 2.1:1 protein:DNA for di-CENP-A^{Nuc}. The histone octamers were then wrapped

with DNA using the gradient dialysis method starting from 2 M KCl (in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5,

1 mM EDTA) to 300 mM KCl over 16 h at 22 °C. The mixture was then dialysed against 20

mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA for a further 5 h. The wrapped mono-CENP-A

and di-CENP-A nucleosomes were assessed by agarose gel and stored at 4 °C.

<u>Assembly of the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} (ASW6 DNA) complex</u>

CENP-A^{Nuc} and CCAN components (CENP-C^N, CENP-LN, CENP-HIKM, CENP-TWSX, and CENP-

OPQUR$^{\Delta N}$) were mixed at a 1:1 molar ratio at 5 μM in PBS buffer and TCEP. The sample was

injected into an Agilent Bio SEC-5 1000A 4.6x300 column in CCAN gel filtration buffer (20

mM HEPES pH 7.8, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP). The peak fractions were analysed using a 4-

12% SDS-PAGE gel, stained with Instant Blue Coomassie Stain. To prepare the complex for

structural analysis, on-column cross-linking using BS(PEG)$_5$ (ThermoFisherScientific) was

performed. 100 μl of 2.5 mM BS(PEG)$_5$ was injected into an Agilent Bio SEC-5 1000A 4.6x300

column (equilibrated in PBS buffer) at a flow rate set of 0.07 μL/min, then 0.2 mL buffer,

after which the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} sample in (100 μl) was applied and eluted in PBS buffer. The

sample was eluted into a 96-well plate in 50 μL fractions. Each plate well was supplemented

with 5 μL 1 M Tris.HCl buffer pH 7.4 to quench the crosslinker during elution. The peak

fractions of the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} samples were pooled and concentrated to 1.5 mg/mL for

cryo-EM grid preparation.

<u>Assembly of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} (ASW14 DNA) complex</u>

For assembly of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} (ASW14 DNA) complex, we used the same

procedure as for the CCAN:mono-CENP-A^{Nuc} (ASW6 DNA) complex except we used 20 mM

HEPES pH 7.8, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP buffer in the cross-linking final gel filtration step.

<u>Assembly of the CCAN$^{\Delta CENP-C}$:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} (ScFv) (ASW14 DNA) complex</u>

1. Cross-linking di-CENP-A^{Nuc} and ScFv (di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv)

Di-CENP-A^{Nuc} and ScFv were combined at a 1:2.3 molar ratio and dialysed into a buffer of 20

mM HEPES pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl. Cross-linking of the di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv complex was

performed using a 24 mL Superose 6 column by injecting 500 μL of 2.5 mM BS(PEG)$_5$ at a

flow rate of 0.25 mL.min^{-1} for 7 mL before loading the CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv complex onto the

column at the same flow rate. The cross-linking was assessed using SDS-PAGE gel. The cross-

linked fractions were pooled and concentrated to 10 μM.

2. Assembly of cross-linked di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv with CCAN

All CCAN components (omitting CENP-C^N) were without DTT. The components were at a

1:1:1:1:1 molar ratio (CENP-OPQUR:CENP-LN:CENP-HIKM:CENP-TWSX:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv)

with CCAN components at a concentration of 5 μM. The resultant complex was cross-linked

on an Agilent Bio SEC-5 1000A 4.6x300 column. Collected fractions were concentrated to

1.5-2 mg/mL for cryo-EM grid preparation.

<u>CCAN displaces CENP-B from di-CENP-A^{Nuc}-B-box</u>

Di-CENP-A^{Nuc}-CENP-B binding test. Di-CENP-A^{Nuc} and CENP-B were mixed at a 1:2 ratio at 5

μM final concentration and injected into a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 column (Cytiva).

Appropriate fractions were collected and concentrated.

Di-CENP-A^{Nuc}-CENP-B-CCAN binding test. An equal molar ratio of CCAN$^{\Delta CENP-C}$ components

(CENP-LN, CENP-HIKM, CENP-TWSX, and CENP-OPQUR$^{\Delta N}$) was added to the di-CENP-

A^{Nuc}:CENP-B complex at a final concentration of 5 μM of di-CENP-A^{Nuc} and 10 μM CENP-B

and then loaded onto an Agilent Bio SEC-5 1000A 4.6x300 column. The eluted fractions

were visualized using a 4-12% SDS-PAGE gel, stained with InstantBlue Coomassie Stain. In

control experiments to assess the elution volume of CENP-B alone, and the di-CENP-

A^{Nuc}:CENP-B complex, the individual samples were run on the same Agilent Bio SEC-5 1000A

4.6x300 column as the di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:CENP-B:CCAN sample (**Supplementary Fig. 6d-i**).

Mass photometry

To assess the oligomeric state of CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} and CCAN$^{\Delta CENP-C}$:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}, 18 µL of

sample at approximately 100 nM was applied to wells in silicone gaskets on clean coverslips

(Refeyn, UK) on a OneMP instrument (Refeyn). Movies were imaged for 60 s using the

AcquireMP software (Refeyn) and the results were processed using the DiscoverMP

software. The data were analysed by using automatic Gaussian fitting. The contrast

intensities of landing events due to interference scattering were converted to masses using a

calibration performed with a standard set of protein oligomers (P1, Refeyn) under similar

buffer conditions. Data events were exported and plotted in Prism (GraphPad).

Cryo-EM grid preparation and data collection

CCAN:DNA sample vitrification

Immediately prior to plunge-freezing, CCAN:DNA samples were supplemented with n-octyl-

β-D-glucopyranoside (Glycon-biochem) to a final concentration of 0.05%. Quantifoil 300

mesh copper R1.2/1.3 grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools) were rendered hydrophilic by glow

discharge in a Edwards S150B glow discharger for 1 min 15 s, setting 6, 30-35 mA, 1.2 kV, 0.2

mBar (0.15 Torr). Subsequently, 3 µL sample was applied to the grids, which were then

mounted in a Vitrobot IV (ThermoFisherScientific), blotted with Whatman Filter paper 1 (0-

0.5 s waiting time, 2 s blotting time, -7 blotting force), and plunge frozen in liquid ethane

maintained at a temperature of -170 °C.

CCAN:DNA data acquisition

10,628 micrograph movies were collected on a Titan Krios (ThermoFisherScientific) electron

microscope, equipped with a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan) and energy filter (Gatan)

with a slit width of 20 eV, operating at 300 keV with a flux of 25 e$^-$/pixel/s, at a nominal

magnification of 105k, yielding a pixel size of 0.725 Å/pixel and a total dose of 40e/Å^2.

Automated data acquisition was performed using EPU (ThermoFisherScientific) with

aberration-free image shift enabled, and a defocus range of -0.8 to -2.2 µm in 0.2 µm

increments.

CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex sample vitrification and cryo-EM data acquisition

To prepare the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex for cryo-EM, 3.5 µL of sample at a concentration of

2 mg/mL was applied to glow-discharged Quantifoil R2/1 300 mesh copper grids (Quantifoil

Micro Tools). Grids were blotted for 2 s at 4°C , 100% humidity, and a blotting force of -10,

then plunge-frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisherScientific). Data

were collected on a Titan Krios microscope (ThermoFisherScientific) operated at 300 kV,

equipped with a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan) and an energy filter (Gatan) with an

energy slit of 20 eV. Images were acquired at a nominal magnification of 81,000×,

corresponding to a pixel size of 1.072 Å. Movies were recorded at a dose rate of 15 e⁻/pixel/s

with an exposure time of 3 s over 40 frames. Automated data collection was performed

using EPU (ThermoFisherScientific) with aberration-free image shift (AFIS) enabled. The

defocus range was set from -1.0 to -2.0 μm in 0.2 μm increments. A total of 50,781 movie

frames were collected.

CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex sample vitrification and cryo-EM data acquisition

To prepare the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex for cryo-EM, 3.5 μL of sample at a concentration

of 2 mg.mL⁻¹ was applied to glow-discharged Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 300 mesh copper grids

(Quantifoil Micro Tools). Grids were blotted for 2 s at 4°C , 100% humidity, and a blotting

force of -7, then plunge-frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV

(ThermoFisherScientific). Data were collected on a Titan Krios microscope

(ThermoFisherScientific) operated at 300 kV, equipped with a K3 direct electron detector

(Gatan) and an energy filter (Gatan) with an energy slit of 20 eV. Images were acquired at a

nominal magnification of 81,000×, corresponding to a pixel size of 0.928 Å. Movies were

recorded at a dose rate of 25 e⁻/pixel/s with an exposure time of 1.3 s over 82 frames.

Automated data collection was performed using EPU (ThermoFisherScientific) with

aberration-free image shift (AFIS) enabled. The defocus range was set from -1.0 to -2.0 μm in

0.2 μm increments. A total of 28,703 movie frames were collected.

<u>Cryo-EM data processing</u>

CCAN:DNA complex

Micrograph movies were imported into RELION [45] and motion-corrected using RELION's own

implementation of MotionCor2 [56], followed by contrast transfer function estimation using

the RELION wrapper for CTFFIND4 [57]. Particle picking was performed using the crYOLO

general model and particles were extracted in RELION with a box size of 150 pixels. Particles

were subsequently exported to cryoSPARC and subjected to 2D classification. Particles

exhibiting clear secondary structure features were used to generate an *ab initio*

reconstruction. The initial particle set was then subjected to three rounds of heterogeneous

refinement against one true volume (the CCAN:DNA *ab initio* reconstruction) and three

noise decoy volumes arising from *ab initio* reconstruction of particles with no obvious

proteo-typic features. Particles accumulating in the CCAN:DNA class were selected for each

subsequent round of refinement until few or no particles reclassified into the noise decoy

classes. The cleaned particle set was then subjected to homogeneous refinement, followed

by 3D variability analysis. Particles exhibiting the most continuous DNA and CCAN subunit

density were re-extracted without binning in RELION and subjected to particle polishing and

CTF refinement. Finally, polished and CTF-corrected particles were re-imported into

cryoSPARC where a final round of homogeneous refinement was performed, yielding a 3D

reconstruction of CCAN:DNA to an overall resolution of 3.54 Å. The resulting map was

filtered according to local resolution, as estimated in cryoSPARC, and then used for structural

model building (in COOT) [58] and real-space refinement in PHENIX [59].

CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex

For the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex, movie frames were motion-corrected, followed by

estimation of Contrast transfer function (CTF) parameters using the patch-based method in

cryoSPARC [60]. Particle picking was performed with crYOLO [61] using the general model, and

particles were extracted with a box size of 400 pixels. Reference-free 2D classification was

then conducted to clean the particle dataset. Classes exhibiting clear secondary structure

features were selected for *ab-initio* reconstruction and heterogeneous refinement in

cryoSPARC. One class with 1,525,520 particles showing nucleosome features was selected

for non-uniform refinement, yielding a reconstruction of the CENP-A^{Nuc}:CENP-C^N at 2.4 Å

resolution. Another dominant class containing 3,815,026 particles was import into RELION

for further 3D classification. Three classes displaying CCAN feature were selected for particle

subtraction using a mask covering 3' CENP-A^{Nuc} region. After an additional round of 2D and

3D classification on the recentered particle dataset, 325,201 well-aligned nucleosome

particles were reverted to their original, un-subtracted particles for further 3D classification.

This yielded a subset of 39,036 particles corresponding to the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc} complex,

which was refined to 4.5 Å resolution using Refine3D followed by post-processing.

CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex

For the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex, all movie frames were motion-corrected, followed by

estimation of Contrast transfer function (CTF) parameters using the patch-based method in

cryoSPARC. Particle picking was performed with crYOLO using the general model, and the

particles were extracted with a box size of 400 pixels. Reference-free 2D classification was

then conducted to clean the particle dataset, and classes exhibiting clear secondary

structure features were selected for *ab-initio* reconstruction and heterogeneous refinement

in cryoSPARC. Three well-populated classes with clear structural features were further

selected for non-uniform refinement, CTF refinement and local refinement, resulting three

high-resolution maps: CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv (1:1), CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv (1:2) and CCAN:DNA, resolved at

2.7 Å, 2.7 Å and 2.9 Å, respectively.

To dissect the CENP-A^{Nuc} association with CCAN, the CCAN:DNA data was exported to

RELION [45] for 3D classification, which revealed diffuse density at both the 3' and 5' end of

the DNA. To recover CENP-A^{Nuc} at each side, two masks were generated and to subtract the

density outside either the 3' or 5' CENP-A^{Nuc} regions. After re-centering the particle on the 3'

or 5' CENP-A^{Nuc}, nucleosome density was resolved on both sides, as confirmed by 2D

classification and 3D classification showing dominant classes with clear nucleosome views.

These dominant classes were reverted to their original un-subtracted particles for further 3D

classification, yielding two subsets: 9,661 particles of CCAN:3' CENP-A^{Nuc} and 5,396 particles

of CCAN:5' CENP-A^{Nuc}. These were refined to 9.5 Å and 15.5 Å respectively. Subsequent

multibody refinement and post-process modestly improved the map quality of both the

CCAN:DNA and CENP-A^{Nuc} modules. Finally, the maps of CCAN with 3' and 5' CENP-A^{Nuc},

were combined to generate a composite map of the CCAN di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex.

<u>Cryo-EM model building and refinement</u>

For the CCAN:DNA structure, the PDB entry 7R5S was used as the initial model. The model

was fitted into the cryo-EM map of CCAN:DNA, and the DNA wrapping around CENP-

TWSX was guided by a predicted CCAN:DNA model generated using AlphaFold3, followed

by manual correction in COOT and real-space refinement in PHENIX. For the CCAN:CENP-A^{Nuc}

structure, the newly refined CCAN:DNA complex model was docked into the corresponding

map, followed by docking of a 3' CENP-A^{Nuc} using PDB entry 7YWX. The models were then

combined in COOT and real-space refined in PHENIX. For the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} model,

a composite map combining CCAN:3' CENP-A^{Nuc} and CCAN:5' CENP-A^{Nuc} was used. The

CCAN:DNA model was first fitted into the map, followed by docking of CENP-A^{Nuc} models

(PDB: 6E0P) to the 3' and 5' nucleosomes, respectively. All models were then combined

in COOT, and the linker DNA region was manually built and refined. Additional manual

corrections were also performed in COOT. Figures were generated using ChimeraX [62].

PDB and cryo-EM maps have been deposited with RCSB and EMDB, respectively. Accession

numbers are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Source data are provided with this paper.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to David Barford.

Data availability

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to the LMB EM Facility and the UK's national Electron Bioimaging Centre
(eBIC) (under proposal BI37660 funded by the Wellcome Trust, MRC and BBSRC) for help
with the EM data collection; J. Grimmett, T. Darling and I. Clayson for scientific computing; K.
Turton for help with insect cell expression. We thank Andrea Musacchio, Marion Pesenti and
Ingrid Vetter for sharing unpublished experimental observations. For the purpose of open
access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted
Manuscript version arising.

Funding UKRI/Medical Research Council MC_UP_1201/6 (D.B.)
Cancer Research UK C576/A25675 (D.B.).

Author Contributions The project was conceived by D.B. Experiments were performed by
C.Y, K.W.M., J.Y., Z.Z., D.B. The paper was written by D.B. with input from all other authors.

Competing Interests Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1.   Ariyoshi, M. & Fukagawa, T. An updated view of the kinetochore architecture. *Trends*
Genet **39**, 941–953 (2023).
2.   McAinsh, A.D. & Marston, A.L. The Four Causes: The Functional Architecture of
Centromeres and Kinetochores. *Annu Rev Genet* **56**, 279–314 (2022).
3.   Musacchio, A. & Desai, A. A Molecular View of Kinetochore Assembly and Function.
Biology (Basel) **6**(2017).

4. McAinsh, A.D. & Kops, G. Principles and dynamics of spindle assembly checkpoint
signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **24**, 543–559 (2023).

5. Fischer, E.S. Kinetochore-catalyzed MCC formation: A structural perspective. *IUBMB*
Life **75**, 289–310 (2023).

6. Altemose, N. et al. Complete genomic and epigenetic maps of human centromeres.
Science **376**, eabl4178 (2022).

7. Bodor, D.L. et al. The quantitative architecture of centromeric chromatin. *Elife* **3**,
e02137 (2014).

8. Logsdon, G.A. et al. The structure, function and evolution of a complete human
chromosome 8. *Nature* **593**, 101–107 (2021).

9. Gershman, A. et al. Epigenetic patterns in a complete human genome. *Science* **376**,
eabj5089 (2022).

10. Blower, M.D., Sullivan, B.A. & Karpen, G.H. Conserved organization of centromeric
chromatin in flies and humans. *Dev Cell* **2**, 319–30 (2002).

11. Luger, K., Mader, A.W., Richmond, R.K., Sargent, D.F. & Richmond, T.J. Crystal
structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 A resolution. *Nature* **389**, 251–60
(1997).

12. Yatskevich, S. et al. Structure of the human inner kinetochore bound to a centromeric
CENP-A nucleosome. *Science* **376**, 844–852 (2022).

13. Hasson, D. et al. The octamer is the major form of CENP-A nucleosomes at human
centromeres. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **20**, 687–95 (2013).

14. Corda, L. & Giunta, S. Chromosome-specific centromeric patterns define the centeny
map of the human genome. *Science* **389**, eads3484 (2025).

15. Fujita, R. et al. Stable complex formation of CENP-B with the CENP-A nucleosome.
Nucleic Acids Res **43**, 4909–22 (2015).

16. Nagpal, H. et al. CENP-A and CENP-B collaborate to create an open centromeric
chromatin state. *Nat Commun* **14**, 8227 (2023).

17. Chardon, F. et al. CENP-B-mediated DNA loops regulate activity and stability of
human centromeres. *Mol Cell* **82**, 1751–1767 e8 (2022).

18. Magidson, V. et al. Adaptive changes in the kinetochore architecture facilitate proper
spindle assembly. *Nat Cell Biol* **17**, 1134–44 (2015).

19. Yatskevich, S., Barford, D. & Muir, K.W. Conserved and divergent mechanisms of inner
kinetochore assembly onto centromeric chromatin. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **81**, 102638
(2023).

20. Hori, T. et al. CCAN makes multiple contacts with centromeric DNA to provide distinct
pathways to the outer kinetochore. *Cell* **135**, 1039–52 (2008).

21. Pesenti, M.E. et al. Structure of the human inner kinetochore CCAN complex and its
significance for human centromere organization. *Mol Cell* **82**, 2113–2131 e8 (2022).

22. Dendooven, T. et al. Cryo-EM structure of the complete inner kinetochore of the
budding yeast point centromere. *Sci Adv* **9**, eadg7480 (2023).

23. Tian, T. et al. Structural insights into human CCAN complex assembled onto DNA. *Cell*
Discov **8**, 90 (2022).

24. Yan, K. et al. Structure of the inner kinetochore CCAN complex assembled onto a
centromeric nucleosome. *Nature* **574**, 278–282 (2019).

25. Hinshaw, S.M. & Harrison, S.C. The structure of the Ctf19c/CCAN from budding yeast.
Elife **8**(2019).

26.   Yu, C.W.H. et al. Architecture and Function of Holocentric CENP-A-Independent
934   Kinetochores. *BioRxiv* (2025).

935   27.   Kato, H. et al. A conserved mechanism for centromeric nucleosome recognition by
936   centromere protein CENP-C. *Science* **340**, 1110–3 (2013).

937   28.   Klare, K. et al. CENP-C is a blueprint for constitutive centromere-associated network
938   assembly within human kinetochores. *J Cell Biol* **210**, 11–22 (2015).

939   29.   McKinley, K.L. et al. The CENP-L-N Complex Forms a Critical Node in an Integrated
940   Meshwork of Interactions at the Centromere-Kinetochore Interface. *Mol Cell* **60**,
941   886–98 (2015).

942   30.   Pentakota, S. et al. Decoding the centromeric nucleosome through CENP-N. *Elife*
943   **6**(2017).

944   31.   Walstein, K. et al. Assembly principles and stoichiometry of a complete human
945   kinetochore module. *Sci Adv* **7**(2021).

946   32.   Nishino, T. et al. CENP-T-W-S-X forms a unique centromeric chromatin structure with
947   a histone-like fold. *Cell* **148**, 487–501 (2012).

948   33.   Waterhouse, A.M., Procter, J.B., Martin, D.M., Clamp, M. & Barton, G.J. Jalview
949   Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench.
950   *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1189–91 (2009).

951   34.   Zhao, Q. et al. The MHF complex senses branched DNA by binding a pair of crossover
952   DNA duplexes. *Nat Commun* **5**, 2987 (2014).

953   35.   Dechassa, M.L. et al. Structure and Scm3-mediated assembly of budding yeast
954   centromeric nucleosomes. *Nat Commun* **2**, 313 (2011).

955   36.   Kingston, I.J., Yung, J.S. & Singleton, M.R. Biophysical characterization of the
956   centromere-specific nucleosome from budding yeast. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 4021–6
957   (2011).

958   37.   Migl, D. et al. Cryoelectron Microscopy Structure of a Yeast Centromeric Nucleosome
959   at 2.7 A Resolution. *Structure* **28**, 363–370 e3 (2020).

960   38.   Tachiwana, H. et al. Crystal structure of the human centromeric nucleosome
961   containing CENP-A. *Nature* **476**, 232–5 (2011).

962   39.   Ariyoshi, M. et al. Cryo-EM structure of the CENP-A nucleosome in complex with
963   phosphorylated CENP-C. *EMBO J* **40**, e105671 (2021).

964   40.   Zhou, B.R. et al. Atomic resolution cryo-EM structure of a native-like CENP-A
965   nucleosome aided by an antibody fragment. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2301 (2019).

966   41.   Roulland, Y. et al. The Flexible Ends of CENP-A Nucleosome Are Required for Mitotic
967   Fidelity. *Mol Cell* **63**, 674–685 (2016).

968   42.   Boopathi, R. et al. Phase-plate cryo-EM structure of the Widom 601 CENP-A
969   nucleosome core particle reveals differential flexibility of the DNA ends. *Nucleic Acids*
970   *Res* **48**, 5735–5748 (2020).

971   43.   Falk, S.J. et al. Chromosomes. CENP-C reshapes and stabilizes CENP-A nucleosomes at
972   the centromere. *Science* **348**, 699–703 (2015).

973   44.   Ali-Ahmad, A., Bilokapic, S., Schafer, I.B., Halic, M. & Sekulic, N. CENP-C unwraps the
974   human CENP-A nucleosome through the H2A C-terminal tail. *EMBO Rep* **20**, e48913
975   (2019).

976   45.   Kimanius, D., Dong, L., Sharov, G., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S.H.W. New tools for
977   automated cryo-EM single-particle analysis in RELION-4.0. *Biochem J* **478**, 4169–4185
978   (2021).

46. Dubocanin, D. et al. Conservation of dichromatin organization along regional
centromeres. *Cell Genom* **5**, 100819 (2025).

47. Kixmoeller, K., Tarasovetc, E.V., Mer, E., Chang, Y.W. & Black, B.E. Centromeric
chromatin clearings demarcate the site of kinetochore formation. *Cell* **188**, 1280–
1296 e19 (2025).

48. Watanabe, R. et al. CDK1-mediated CENP-C phosphorylation modulates CENP-A
binding and mitotic kinetochore localization. *J Cell Biol* **218**, 4042–4062 (2019).

49. Guo, L.Y. et al. Centromeres are maintained by fastening CENP-A to DNA and directing
an arginine anchor-dependent nucleosome transition. *Nat Commun* **8**, 15775 (2017).

50. Pesenti, M.E. et al. Reconstitution of a 26-Subunit Human Kinetochore Reveals
Cooperative Microtubule Binding by CENP-OPQUR and NDC80. *Mol Cell* **71**, 923–939
e10 (2018).

51. Suzuki, A., Badger, B.L. & Salmon, E.D. A quantitative description of Ndc80 complex
linkage to human kinetochores. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8161 (2015).

52. Hara, M. et al. Centromere/kinetochore is assembled through CENP-C
oligomerization. *Mol Cell* **83**, 2188–2205 e13 (2023).

53. Trazzi, S. et al. The C-terminal domain of CENP-C displays multiple and critical
functions for mammalian centromere formation. *PLoS One* **4**, e5832 (2009).

54. Rosenberg, H., Singer, M. & Rosenberg, M. Highly reiterated sequences of
SIMIANSIMIANSIMIANSIMIANSIMIAN. *Science* **200**, 394–402 (1978).

55. Manuelidis, L. & Wu, J.C. Homology between human and simian repeated DNA.
Nature **276**, 92–4 (1978).

56. Zheng, S.Q. et al. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for
improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **14**, 331–332 (2017).

57. Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from
electron micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 216–21 (2015).

58. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot.
Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **66**, 486–501 (2010).

59. Afonine, P.V. et al. Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with
phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **68**, 352–67 (2012).

60. Punjani, A., Zhang, H. & Fleet, D.J. Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization
improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *Nat Methods* **17**, 1214–1221
(2020).

61. Wagner, T. et al. SPHIRE-crYOLO is a fast and accurate fully automated particle picker
for cryo-EM. *Commun Biol* **2**, 218 (2019).

62. Goddard, T.D. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and
analysis. *Protein Sci* **27**, 14–25 (2018).

1016

Supplementary Fig. 1. Cryo-EM data and processing workflow for the CCAN:DNA complex. **a**, Representative cryo-electron micrograph of 10,628 collected and 2D class averages for CCAN:DNA. **b**, Cryo-EM processing workflow. **c**, 3D reconstruction. A sharpened map is shown in Fig. 1c. **d**, FSC curves. **e**, Cryo-EM reconstruction colour-coded according to local resolution.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Cryo-EM density fits for DNA and CENP-S α-helix of the CCAN:DNA complex.
a, Cryo-EM map of CCAN:DNA complex with CCAN density in grey and DNA density in orange. 70 bp of DNA are resolved in density. A140 and B40 refer to the positions on a dimeric α-satellite repeat sequence shown below and as defined in Methods and Fig. 3. **b**, Cryo-EM map of previous CCAN:DNA complex using 54 bp DNA which has 40 bp ordered [12]. Base pair numbering matches (**a**). **c** and **d**, Orthogonal stereo views of cryo-EM density map for the ordered C-terminal α-helix of CENP-S. Residues shown in Fig. 2c are indicated. View in (**c**) as in Fig. 2c.

Supplementary Fig. 3. Cryo-EM images and processing workflow for CCAN:mono-CENP-A^Nuc complex. **a**, Cryo-EM processing workflow. **b**, Representative cryo-electron micrograph of 50,781 collected. **c**, 2D class average gallery. **d**, Cryo-EM reconstruction colour-coded according to local resolution. **e**, FSC curves. The CCAN:DNA coordinates and map were deposited with codes PDB ID 28OP and EMD-56683. The CENP-A^Nuc:CENP-C coordinates and map were not deposited in PDB/RCSB with this study.

Supplementary Fig. 4. Cryo-EM density fits for DNA of the CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc complex. a, Cryo-EM map of CCAN:CENP-A^Nuc complex with CCAN density in grey and DNA density in red (5' upstream repeat (A)) and orange (3' downstream repeat (B)). A144 and B144 refer to the positions on a dimeric α-satellite repeat sequence shown in the schematic below and as defined in Methods. B98 indicates the dyad axis of CENP-ANuc. **b**, Superimposition of a canonical H3 nucleosome (NCP) (DNA in magenta, histones in red) onto the CENP-A nucleosome (DNA in orange, histones in grey). This illustrates that ~20 bp of CENP-A^Nuc is unwrapped as the DNA gyre enters the CCAN DNA-binding tunnel.

Supplementary Fig. 5. Reconstitution of CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex. a, Schematic of CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex showing α-satellite repeat dimer used in this study. The 3' (downstream) repeat is 171 bp whereas the 5' upstream repeat is 147 bp, i.e. without linker DNA. This design ensures only one CCAN-binding linker DNA per α-satellite repeat dimer. **b** and **c**, Size exclusion chromatogram (b) and associated SDS PAGE gel (c) of purified CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex. **d**, iSCAT data for CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex showing a mixture of di-CENP-A^{Nuc} alone (445 kDa) and the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex (1,054 kDa).

Supplementary Fig. 6. CCAN association with linker DNA displaces CENP-B bound to linker DNA B-box. a, Schematic of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex showing α-satellite repeat dimer used in this study with B-box in blue. SDS PAGE gels of: **b**, di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:CENP-B complex (with wild type B-box) and **c**, showing CENP-B does not bind to di-CENP-A^{Nuc} with a mutated B-box. **d**, and **e**, Size exclusion chromatogram (d) and associated SDS PAGE gel (e) showing that CCAN binding to di-CENP-A^{Nuc} displaces CENP-B in a load sample of di-CENP-A^{Nuc}, CCAN and CENP-B (run on Agilent SEC-5 1000A 4.6x300 column). **f**, and **g**, Control SEC (f) and associated SDS PAGE gel (g) of CENP-B protein run on the same Agilent column. **h**, and **i**, Size exclusion chromatogram (h) and associated SDS PAGE gel (i) of di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:CENP-B complex on the same Agilent column. CENP-B in lanes 28-34 in panel (e) is from di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:CENP-B complex (compare with panel (i)).

Expected molecular masses:
CCAN$^{\Delta CENP-C}$:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv 1004 kDa
Di-CENP-A^{Nuc}: 427 kDa

Supplementary Fig. 7. Reconstitution of CCAN$^{DCENP-C}$:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex for cryo-EM. a and **b**, Size exclusion chromatogram (A) and associated SDS PAGE gel (B) of purified CCAN$^{\Delta CENP-C}$:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv complex. **c**, iSCAT data for CCAN$^{\Delta CENP-C}$:di-CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv complex.

Supplementary Fig. 8. Cryo-EM data for the CCAN:di-CENP-A[Nuc] complex.
a, Representative cryo-electron micrograph of 28,703 collected. **b**, 2D class averages of CENP-A[Nuc].
c, 2D class averages of CCAN with 3' CENP-A[Nuc]. **d**, 2D class averages of CCAN with 5' CENP-A[Nuc].

Supplementary Fig. 9. Cryo-EM workflow for CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex reconstruction. a, Cryo-EM processing workflow with insert **(i)** showing the composite map and 2D class average. **b**, FSC curves. Cryo-EM maps and coordinates for the CCAN:DNA, CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv (1:2) and CENP-A^{Nuc}:ScFv (1:1) complexes were not deposited with this study.

Supplementary Fig. 10. Cryo-EM density fits for DNA of the CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex. a, Cryo-EM map of CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} complex with CCAN density in grey and DNA density in red (5' upstream repeat (A)) and orange (3' downstream repeat (B)). A144 and B144 refer to the positions on a dimeric α-satellite repeat sequence shown in the schematic below and as defined in Methods. B98 indicates the dyad axis of CENP-ANuc. **b**, Superimposition of a canonical H3 nucleosome (NCP) (DNA in magenta, histones in red) onto the CENP-A nucleosome (DNA in orange, histones in grey). This illustrates that ~20 bp of CENP-A^{Nuc} is unwrapped as the DNA gyre enters the CCAN DNA-binding tunnel.

Extended Data Table 1. Cryo-EM data collection, refinement, and validation statistics

Complex	CCAN:DNA PDB 9TAW EMD-55757 (Supplementary 1c and sharpened map Fig. 1c)	CCAN:mono-CENP-A^{Nuc} PDB 9TAX EMD-55758	CCAN:di-CENP-A^{Nuc} PDB 9TAY EMD-55755, EMD55756, EMD-55759 (composite map), EMD-56612 (consensus map) (Supplementary Fig. 9)	
Data collection and Processing				
Microscope	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios	Titan Krios
Voltage (keV)	300	300	300	300
Camera	K3	K3	K3	K3
Magnification	105,000	81,000	81,000	81,000
Pixel size at detector (Å/pixel)	0.725	1.072	0.928	0.928
Total electron exposure (e$^-$/Å^2)	40	40	40	40
Exposure rate (e-/pixel/sec)	25	15	18	18
Number of frames	40	40	82	82
Defocus range (µm)	0.8-2.2	1-2	1.0-2.0	1.0-2.0
Automation software	EPU	EPU	EPU	EPU
Energy filter slit width (eV)	20	20	20	20
Micrographs collected (no.)	10,628	50,781	28,703	28,703
Total extracted particles (no.)	1,963,587	21,223,394	8,973,622	8,973,622
For each reconstruction:			CCAN:3'-CENP-A^{Nuc}	CCAN:5'-CENP-A^{Nuc}
Final particles (no.)	80,236	39,306	9,661	5,396
Point-group	C1	C1	C1	C1
Resolution (global, Å)	3.54	4.5	9.5	15.5
Resolution range (local, Å)	3.54-3.20	4.5-4.18	9.5-9.20	15.5-15.20
Map sharpening B factor (Å^2)	-73	-20	-50	-50
Map sharpening methods	cryoSPARC	RELION5	RELION5	RELION5
Model composition				
Protein (residues)	3165	3962	5565	
RNA/DNA (nucleotides)	162	398	636	
Refinement				
Refinement package	PHENIX 1.20.1	PHENIX 1.20.1	PHENIX 1.21	
real or reciprocal space	real	real	real	
Initial model used (Alphafold2)	7R5S/AlphaFold3	7YWX	7R5S/6E0P	
Model-Map scores				
CC Volume/Mask	0.74/0.77	0.80/0.80	0.46/0.55	
B factors (Å^2)				
Protein residues	113.26	358.74	488.77	
RNA/DNA	278.79	854.25	832.12	
R.m.s. deviations from ideal values				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.004	0.004	0.008	
Bond angles (°)	0.740	0.652	0.674	
Validation				
MolProbity score	1.52	1.73	1.82	
CaBLAM outliers	1.22	1.19	1.87	
Clashscore	6.70	14.00	13.12	
Poor rotamers (%)	0	0	0	
C-beta deviations	0	0	0	
EMRinger score	1.26	0.47	*n.d.	
Ramachandran plot				
Favored (%)	97.16	97.63	96.85	
Allowed (%)	2.78	2.3	3.12	
Outliers (%)	0.06	0.08	0.04	

*Not determined (n.d.)