Tn*3*-derived inverted-repeat miniature elements (TIMEs) that mobilize antibiotic resistance genes

Ryota Gomi[a,b,*] and Hirokazu Yano[c]

[a]Research Center for Water Environment Technology, School of Engineering, The

University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

[b]Department of Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto

University, Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan

[c]Antimicrobial Resistance Research Center, National Institute of Infectious Diseases,

Japan Institute for Health Security, Higashimurayama, Tokyo, Japan

[*]Corresponding author: gomi@env.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp, gomi.ryota.34v@kyoto-u.jp

Running title: TIME-COMPs associated with ARGs

ABSTRACT

Miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) are nonautonomous mobile

genetic elements (MGEs) that can be mobilized by transposases provided by the relevant

autonomous MGEs. MITEs originating from Tn*3*-family transposons were previously

termed Tn*3*-derived inverted-repeat miniature elements (TIMEs). Composite transposon-

like structures bounded by two copies of TIME, called TIME-COMPs, were shown to

mobilize the intervening sequences. However, their association with antibiotic resistance

genes (ARGs) has not yet been systematically studied. This study thus aimed to identify

new TIME-COMP-like structures containing ARGs in the genomic sequences of the

clinically important bacterial family Enterobacteriaceae in public databases. TIME-

COMP-like structures were first searched for in the plasmid database PLSDB, focusing

on small plasmids, using a self-against-self blastn approach to identify repeated elements.

Then, newly and previously identified MITEs (including TIMEs) were searched for in

the NCBI core nucleotide database to identify TIME-COMP-like structures located on

other replicons. Bioinformatic analysis identified multiple previously unreported TIME-

COMPs containing ARGs, which are bounded by directly or inversely oriented TIMEs,

namely, IS*101*, MITESen1, and a novel 244-bp TIME termed TIME244. TIME244

contains a putative resolution site related to that of Tn*21*. These TIMEs were

predominantly detected in plasmids and very rarely in chromosomes. The ARGs

embedded in newly identified TIME-COMPs were bla_{KPC-2}, *floR*, *qnrS1*, and *tet*(A).

Notably, the bla_{KPC-2} carbapenemase gene was found in TIME-COMPs bounded by

TIME244 and a TIME-COMP bounded by IS*101*. These findings highlight a potential

role for TIMEs in the spread of diverse ARGs.

IMPACT STATEMENT

Bacterial miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) are a group of short

(50 bp–500 bp) nonautonomous transposable elements that are thought to have originated

from insertion sequences or transposons. Although MITEs can theoretically mobilize

antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in the presence of transposases, only a few studies

have reported their association with ARGs, probably due to difficulties in identifying

MITEs in genomic sequences. This study provides evidence, based on bioinformatic

analysis of public Enterobacteriaceae genomes, that a subset of MITEs, called Tn*3*-

derived inverted-repeat miniature elements (TIMEs), mobilizes ARGs by forming

composite transposon-like structures. A novel 244-bp TIME, designated TIME244, was

present in more than 100 Enterobacteriaceae plasmids in the current RefSeq database,

suggesting its further transmission in bacterial populations through horizontal gene

transfer. This study reveals that TIMEs were often overlooked when analyzing the genetic

contexts of ARGs in previous studies. These findings highlight the importance of TIMEs

in bacterial gene acquisition and underscore the need for new tools that can detect TIMEs

in bacterial genomes for ARG surveillance.

KEYWORDS

antibiotic resistance, mobile genetic element, miniature inverted-repeat transposable

element, Enterobacteriaceae

DATA SUMMARY

Accession numbers of sequence data analyzed in this study are provided within the article

or in supplementary data files.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic-resistant bacteria, particularly those with multidrug resistance (MDR), are a

global health concern. Bacteria can accumulate antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) with

the help of mobile genetic elements (MGEs), which can often lead to MDR. MGEs can

be categorized into two types: those mobilizing ARGs from one cell to another cell (i.e.,

intercellular mobilization) and those mobilizing ARGs from one replicon to another

replicon or to different locations within the same replicon (i.e., intracellular

mobilization).[1] Intercellular mobilization mechanisms such as conjugation can directly

contribute to horizontal transfer of ARGs and thus the emergence and spread of MDR.

Intracellular mobilization can also contribute to the increase of MDR in multiple ways;

for example, by mobilizing ARGs on a plasmid to different plasmids, thereby increasing

the potential of the ARGs to be transmitted to additional bacterial recipients via

conjugation.[2]

MGEs mediating intracellular mobilization of ARGs include well-studied elements such

as insertion sequences (ISs) and transposons. These are autonomous (i.e., capable of self-

transposition), in contrast to nonautonomous elements, which can also mediate

intracellular mobilization but need the transposase activity provided by autonomous

elements in the same cell.[1] Among the known nonautonomous elements are structures

called miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs).[3] MITEs are thought to

have originated from ISs or transposons. They retain the terminal inverted repeats (IRs)

but lack the internal regions, including the transposase gene(s). They are typically 50 bp–

500 bp and create direct repeats (DRs) upon insertion.[4]

A subset of MITEs originating from Tn*3*-family transposons and carrying Tn*3*-related

IRs are called Tn*3*-derived inverted-repeat miniature elements (TIMEs), and they include

elements such as IS*101*, integron mobilization unit (IMU), MITESen1, and TIME1–

TIME4.[5-8] Composite transposon-like structures bounded by two copies of TIME, known

as TIME-COMPs, were shown to mobilize the intervening sequences when the

transposase activity of autonomous Tn*3*-family elements was provided in *trans*.[5, 7]

However, to date, known TIME-COMPs carrying an ARG(s) are limited to IMU-bounded

TIME-COMPs carrying a carbapenemase gene bla_{GES-5} and an IS*101*-bounded TIME-

COMP carrying a quinolone resistance gene *qnrD1*, even in the clinically important

bacterial family Enterobacteriaceae (**Figure S1**).[7, 9, 10] MITEs other than TIMEs should

also be capable of mobilizing an ARG when the ARG is embedded within a TIME-

COMP-like structure; however, to our knowledge, no such non-TIME MITE-bounded

structures have been reported in Enterobacteriaceae.

Tn*3*-family transposons have been identified in nearly all bacterial phyla and are

classified into several subgroups (including Tn*3* and Tn*21*) based on the phylogeny of

their transposases.[11] These elements mobilize through a "paste-and-copy" mechanism

involving two distinct steps: cointegrate formation and cointegrate resolution. The first

step is mediated by the transposase (the *tnpA* gene product), IRs, and the host replication

machinery, generating a fusion replicon in which the donor and target replicons are

bridged by directly repeated copies of the transposon. In the second step, the cointegrate

is resolved into two separate molecules, each carrying a transposon insertion. This

resolution is typically mediated by the transposon-encoded resolvase (the *tnpR* gene

product) and its cognate target site (*res*), or alternatively through homologous

recombination between the duplicated transposon sequences. TIME and TIME-COMP

elements are hypothesized to employ a similar "paste-and-copy" mechanism; however,

whether these elements contain functional resolution sites remains unclear in most cases,

with the exception of IS*101*, which possesses a functional *res* site.[12]

Transposition of an IMU-bounded TIME-COMP was previously demonstrated by

providing a transposase of IS*Sod9* belonging to the Tn*21* subgroup.[7] IS*101* cointegrate

formation and resolution were demonstrated in the presence of Tn*1000* (also called

gamma-delta) belonging to the Tn*3* subgroup.[12] Known TIMEs and TIME-COMPs create

5-bp (or sometimes 6-bp) DRs upon transposition like Tn*3*-family transposons.[5,7,11] Thus,

past transposition of a TIME-COMP can be inferred from the presence of 5-bp or 6-bp

DRs flanking the outermost IRs of the TIME-COMP.

MITEs (including TIMEs) tend to be overlooked in standard annotation pipelines due to

their lack of transposase gene(s). Furthermore, as of January 2026, the number of MITE

sequences registered in the ISFinder database remained at 65, with only three originating

from Enterobacteriaceae.[13] Thus, the contribution of MITEs to the intracellular mobility

of ARGs is likely underestimated. Hence, this study aimed to identify previously

overlooked TIME-COMP-like structures carrying ARGs in Enterobacteriaceae, by data-

mining.

METHODS

Plasmid sequences

Plasmid sequences (n = 72,556) were downloaded from PLSDB (v. 2024_05_31_v2),

which is a curated and non-redundant plasmid database sourced from the NCBI

database.[14] Plasmid sequences meeting the following criteria were extracted: (i) at least

one ARG was detected by Abricate (v1.0.1, https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) with

the ncbi database;[15] (ii) at least one plasmid replicon was detected by Abricate (v1.0.1)

with the "--db plasmidfinder" option[16] (this was to exclude sequences that are not likely

to be true plasmids and to be conservative; for example, NZ_CP107371 is composed

almost entirely of ARGs and ISs/transposons, suggesting a non-plasmid circular element

or a misassembled circular contig); (iii) the plasmid host is Enterobacteriaceae; and (iv)

the length is 20,000 bp or shorter. Here, we focused on small plasmids because their small

size allows manual curation of the results of bioinformatic analysis (described in detail

below), and previously reported TIME-COMPs with ARGs in Enterobacteriaceae were

located on small plasmids (<10 kbp).[7, 9, 10] Plasmid sequences meeting the above criteria

(n = 1,007) were subjected to self-against-self blastn analysis as described below to detect

repeated sequences that could be MITEs.

Detection of TIME-COMP-like structures carrying ARGs

The BLAST+ (v2.16.0) program was used for similarity searches. The makeblastdb and

blastn functions were used with defaults parameters. Plasmid sequences with self-against-

self blastn hits meeting the following criteria were retained: (i) the alignment length is

between 50 bp and 500 bp; (ii) the percentage of identical matches is 98% or higher; (iii)

a sequence longer than 500 bp is present between the aligned part of the query sequence

and that of the subject sequence. These criteria were applied to detect repeat sequences

(50 bp–500 bp) surrounding a stretch of sequence (> 500 bp) in a plasmid (see **Figure S2**

for the rationale for these criteria). To confirm that the 50-bp to 500-bp repeat sequences

are actually MITEs, a self-against-self blastn search was performed for each repeat

sequence to identify IRs, which are the signature of MITEs. The blastn search was

performed by using a word size of six to increase the sensitivity, and the result was

visualized using CLC Main Workbench 24 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) (**Figure S3**). The

putative MITE sequences were checked for identity to any known elements in the ISfinder

database.[13] Only TIME-COMP-like structures flanked by DRs, which are typically

created upon insertion of the entire structure, were considered for further analysis. This

was to exclude cases where two MITEs were independently inserted and coincidentally

formed a TIME-COMP-like structure. The lengths of DRs looked for were determined

with reference to those of the related ISs or transposons. Plasmids with TIME-COMP-

like structures carrying ARGs were annotated using ISfinder and the blastn web tool

(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

The MITE(s) found in the above approach, previously described TIMEs associated with

ARGs in Enterobacteriaceae (i.e., IMU and IS*101*),[7, 9, 10] and Enterobacteriaceae MITEs

listed in the ISfinder database (i.e., MITEKpn1 and MITESen1, excluding MITEEc1,

which is an enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus [ERIC] sequence and present

in multiple copies on the chromosome of *Escherichia coli*),[13, 17, 18] were searched for in the

NCBI core nucleotide database (core_nt) using the blastn web tool (last accessed July

2025). Replicons with two or more blastn hits with ≥95% identity and ≥95% coverage

were manually screened to detect additional TIME-COMP-like structures carrying an

ARG in Enterobacteriaceae. This enabled identification of TIME-COMP-like structures

in small plasmids not indexed in PLSDB, in longer plasmids, and also in chromosomes.

Putative ancestral plasmids without insertion of TIME-COMP-like structures were

identified by performing the online blastn analysis against the NCBI core_nt database,

using a sequence, which was prepared by joining the sequences flanking the TIME-

COMP-like structure minus one copy of the DR, as a query sequence (last accessed

January 2026).

Detection of MITEs in RefSeq Enterobacteriaceae genomes

To investigate the prevalence of MITEs that were found to be associated with ARGs in

the above analysis, we downloaded RefSeq Enterobacteriaceae genomes with an

assembly level of "complete genome" using the NCBI Datasets command-line tools

(v18.9.0) in October 2025 (n = 11,572).[19] MITE sequences were searched for in the

retrieved genomes using makeblastdb and blastn in BLAST+ (v2.16.0) with default

parameters. We used the same criteria as the online blastn search to define the presence

of MITE sequences (i.e., ≥95% identity and ≥95% coverage).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of TIME-COMP-like structures carrying ARGs

First, we conducted self-against-self blastn analysis to identify TIME-COMP-like

structures in a subset of small Enterobacteriaceae plasmids registered in the curated

plasmid database, PLSDB. This relatively small dataset (n = 1,007) made manual curation

of the blastn results feasible, which includes confirmation of the IRs in putative MITEs

and DRs flanking the entire TIME-COMP-like structures. These procedures revealed

TIME-COMP-like structures carrying ARGs in six plasmids (**Table S1**). All these

structures are bounded by TIMEs and thus are TIME-COMPs. One of these plasmids is

pKFu015_4, which was previously reported to carry the IS*101*-bounded TIME-COMP

containing *qnrD1* (**Figure S1**).[10] The remaining five plasmids contain the same TIME-

COMP structure with bla_{KPC-2} and a truncated bla_{TEM} gene, which is bounded by two

copies of a 244-bp TIME (hereafter named TIME244). pCHE-A and pCHE-A1, which

were previously reported to contain IMU-bounded TIME-COMPs (**Figure S1**), were

detected by the self-against-self blastn searches but were filtered out in manual curation,

because the TIME-COMP structures in these plasmids are not flanked by DRs.[7,9] We also

detected six other plasmids carrying TIME-COMP structures with ARGs but not flanked

by DRs, all bounded by MITESen1. These TIME-COMP structures may have the

potential to transpose as a unit; however, these cases were filtered out to restrict the

dataset to plasmids showing direct evidence of transposition.

To identify additional TIME-COMP-like structures in replicons other than small plasmids

indexed in PLSDB, we performed online blastn searches using TIME244 and previously

reported MITEs, including those classified as TIMEs (IS*101*, IMU, and MITESen1) and

one non-TIME MITE (MITEKpn1), as query sequences (see **Table S2** for the sequence

of each MITE). This online blastn analysis identified 141 additional replicons carrying

TIME-COMPs with ARGs. These included 136 plasmids and one chromosome carrying

TIME244-bounded TIME-COMPs (most of which contain *tet*(A)), one plasmid carrying

an IS*101*-bounded TIME-COMP, and three plasmids carrying MITESen1-bounded

TIME-COMPs (**Table S1**).

The genetic contexts of TIME-COMPs identified using the above approaches were

thoroughly inspected as described below.

TIME244-bounded TIME-COMPs

As mentioned above, we detected TIME244-bounded TIME-COMPs in a total of 142

replicons (i.e., five identified by the self-against-self blastn analysis, and 137 identified

by the online blastn analysis). Three main types of TIME244-bounded TIME-COMPs

were identified.

One of them is a TIME244-bounded TIME-COMP carrying *tet*(A) detected in 133

replicons (**Table S1**). This TIME-COMP is bounded by inversely oriented TIME244

copies and contains part of Tn*1721*, an 11-kb Tn*3*-family element carrying tetracycline

resistance determinant genes originally identified on an *E. coli* plasmid (**Figure 1a**).[20, 21]

This TIME-COMP was inserted in three unique locations with DRs of AGCAA (n = 114),

TATAA (n = 18), and TACTT (n = 1) (**Figure 1a** and **Table S1**). This indicates that three

independent transposition events of this TIME-COMP had occurred in the past. In 114

replicons with DRs of AGCAA, two types of the TIME-COMP were identified: one with

an inverted internal structure (n = 52) and the other without (n = 62) (see below for

detailed discussion). Furthermore, there were several indels within the TIME-COMP

structure, two of which involved alterations of ARG contents (**Figure S4**, see **Table S1**

for detailed description). Briefly, in a plasmid, a resistance region containing bla_{TEM-1B} and

$bla_{CTX-M-206}$ is inserted within the left TIME244 copy. In the other plasmid, the Tn*1721*-

derived region is partially deleted and replaced by a truncated Tn*3*-like transposon and a

truncated Tn*4401*-like transposon containing bla_{KPC-2} (i.e., a variant of non-Tn*4401*

elements [NTE$_{KPC}$], which contains only a portion of Tn*4401*, the most common bla_{KPC-}

containing mobile element belonging to the Tn*3* family[22]). In these cases, the DR

sequences flanking the TIME-COMPs are the common ones (AGCAA); thus the indels

were likely introduced after the insertion of the original TIME-COMP carrying *tet*(A).

The outermost IRs within the altered TIME-COMP structures are intact, implying the

feasibility of further transposition if the relevant transposase activity is provided in *trans*

(**Figure S4**).

Another TIME-COMP carries bla_{KPC-2} and a truncated bla_{TEM} gene, which is bounded by

directly oriented TIME244 copies (**Figure 1b**). This genetic context was previously

described as a variant of NTE_{KPC}, designated NTE_{KPC}-IId.[23] The authors noted 243-bp

repeats at its boundaries, but did not identify them as MITEs or TIMEs. This TIME-

COMP was inserted in the same site in six highly related IncQ1 plasmids, with the same

DRs (TGTTTA), and in a single Col-like plasmid with DRs of TATAT (**Figure 1b** and

Table S1). In one of the IncQ1 plasmids (pKPN535a), three copies of TIME244 are

present, which could be explained by the fusion of two plasmids.

We also detected another TIME-COMP, which contains *qnrS1* and is bounded by

inversely oriented TIME244 copies, in two untypeable plasmids (**Figure 1c**).[24, 25] In these

plasmids, the TIME-COMP structure is inserted in the same position with DRs of

ATCCA; therefore, a total of one unique transposition event was detected. These two

plasmids and their putative ancestral plasmid were classified as phages by geNomad

(v1.11.2).[26] Thus, these are putative phage-plasmids, which transfer horizontally between

cells as viruses and vertically within cellular lineages as plasmids.[27]

An IS*101*-bounded TIME-COMP

IS*101*, a 209-bp element, is among the earliest identified TIMEs and was originally

discovered on a recombinant *E. coli* plasmid, pSC101.[6] We identified a previously

unreported IS*101*-bounded TIME-COMP in one *Enterobacter kobei* IncX3 plasmid,

pEkFL23_IncX3 (**Figure 2**). This TIME-COMP is bounded by inversely oriented copies

of IS*101* and carries $bla_{\text{KPC-2}}$ embedded within a truncated Tn*4401b* structure.[28] This

genetic context was previously reported as Tn*4401k*, but the presence of IS*101* was not

addressed in the previous study.[29] The sequence between the two IS*101* copies in this

TIME-COMP corresponds to the partial sequence of plasmids such as pKPC_FCF/3SP,

and the whole TIME-COMP structure was flanked by 5-bp DRs (ATTCT). These indicate

that IS*101*-mediated transposition of part of a pKPC_FCF/3SP-like plasmid into another

plasmid generated pEkFL23_IncX3.

MITESen1-bounded TIME-COMPs

MITESen1 is a 256-bp TIME first identified on an IncX1 plasmid from *Salmonella*

enterica.[8] We identified two different MITESen1-bounded TIME-COMPs in the same

location with the same flanking 5-bp DRs (TAATA) in two IncX1-IncX2 hybrid plasmids

(**Figure 3a**).[30, 31] One TIME-COMP contains *qnrS1*, and the other contains *floR*.

MITESen1 copies are directly oriented in these TIME-COMPs. Interestingly, there are

IncX1-X2 hybrid plasmids carrying a single MITESen1 copy inserted in the same

location. We speculate that the two plasmids with TIME-COMPs were generated by

integration of a hypothetical circular molecule containing MITESen1 and an ARG into a

plasmid already carrying a single copy of MITESen1. The hypothetical circular molecules

might be generated by recombination between MITESen1 in a TIME-COMP or by

intramolecular replicative transposition of MITESen1 (also see **Figure S5** for another

possible pathway for generation of the *floR*-containing circular element). Integration of

the circular molecule might occur by homologous recombination between MITESen1

copies.

A plasmid with the repE(pEh60-7) replicon (pECC-003-1) was found to carry a remnant

of a MITESen1-bounded TIME-COMP carrying *qnrD1* (**Figure 3b**).[32] One copy of

MITESen1 is interrupted by IS*26*, while the truncated remnant of MITESen1 is present

next to another copy of IS*26* that is oriented in the opposite direction. This arrangement

is consistent with intramolecular replicative transposition (in *trans*) of an IS*26* element

into MITESen1 in the intact TIME-COMP structure.[33] The presence of 8-bp DRs

(ATATCAAC or its reverse complement) next to each IS*26* element on the MITESen1-

sides supports this, though the intact version of this TIME-COMP structure was not

present in the NCBI core_nt database.

Putative resolution sites in TIMEs

All TIMEs forming the TIME-COMP structures identified in the present study (i.e.,

TIME244, IS*101*, and MITESen1) carry IRs related to Tn*3*-family transposons (**Figure**

4a). IRs of TIME244 and the previously reported IMU show more similarities to IRs of

Tn*21* than to those of Tn*3*, IS*101*, MITESen1, and *Pseudomonas*-derived TIME2 that is

not associated with ARGs.[5] Thus, TIME244 and IMU seem to have originated from the

Tn*21* subgroup of the Tn*3* family.[11] To deduce whether TIME244 contains a *res* site, we

conducted all-against-all SSEARCH using sequences of TIMEs, Tn*21 res*, Tn*1721 res*,

and Tn*3 res*.[34] A significant match (Smith-Waterman score [S-W] >100) was detected for

pairs of TIME244-Tn*21 res* (S-W = 148), TIME244-Tn*1721 res* (S-W = 152), Tn*21 res*-

Tn*1721 res* (S-W = 188), and IS*101*-Tn*3 res* (S-W = 139). **Figure 4b** shows alignment of

the TIME244 internal sequence and the experimentally determined *res* sites of Tn*21* and

Tn*1721*.[35] The *res* sites of the Tn*3* family consist of three subsites (site I, site II, and site

III) where TnpR dimers bind. Strand exchange takes place at the center of site I (C/O in

Figure 4b).[11] The TIME244 internal sequence shows similarity to both Tn*21 res* and

Tn*1721 res* at all three subsites. Therefore, we speculate that TIME244 contains a

functional *res* site of the Tn*21* subgroup. On the other hand, the internal sequences of

IMU and MITESen1 did not show similarity to well-characterized *res* sites from either

autonomous Tn*3*-family elements or other TIMEs (S-W <70), although this does not

exclude the possibility that these TIMEs carry resolution sites that serve as targets of site-

specific recombinase(s).

In principle, the functionality of *res* affects the stability of the TIME-COMP structures.

When *res* sites are directly oriented, the segment between two *res* sites can be deleted in

the presence of TnpR. According to studies on autonomous Tn*3*-family elements, when

res sites are inversely oriented, the segment between the two *res* sites can be inverted by

TnpR *in vivo*,[36, 37] likely because knotted substrates carrying inversely oriented *res* sites,

which are efficient substrates for TnpR,[38] are produced *in vivo*. Among 142 replicons

containing TIME244-bounded TIME-COMPs, only seven replicons contain directly

oriented TIME244 copies, while 135 replicons contain inversely oriented TIME244

copies (**Table S1**). This orientation bias is consistent with the idea that TIME-COMPs

carrying directly repeated TIME244 copies are structurally unstable due to the intrinsic

resolution activity in the presence of Tn*21*-related TnpR.

The most prevalent TIME244-bounded TIME-COMP was that carrying *tet*(A). This

TIME-COMP carries TIME244 copies in inverse orientation. The TIME-COMPs

carrying *tet*(A) in the 114 replicons with DRs of AGCAA are classified into those with an

inverted internal structure (n = 52) and those without (n = 62) (**Figure 1a** and **4c**). This

inversion could be explained by TnpR-mediated site-specific recombination at the *res*

sites. Because TIME244 contains a putative *res* site, the transposition of TIME244-

bounded TIME-COMPs with inversely oriented TIME244 copies should follow a two-

step process: cointegrate formation and cointegrate resolution in the presence of Tn*21*-

related transposons (**Figure 4d**). Cointegrate resolution can occur by RecA-dependent

homologous recombination or site-specific recombination involving Tn*21*-related TnpR

and *res* sites.

Prevalence of TIMEs in RefSeq Enterobacteriaceae genomes

The NCBI core_nt database, which we used in the online blastn analysis, contains a

mixture of complete genomes and sequences that are not derived from complete genomes

(e.g., plasmid sequences without the host chromosomal sequences). This prevents the

accurate estimation of the prevalence of MITEs associated with ARGs (i.e., TIME244,

IS*101*, MITESen1, and IMU, all of which are TIMEs) in Enterobacteriaceae genomes.

Thus, to circumvent this problem, we downloaded RefSeq complete Enterobacteriaceae

genomes (n = 11,572) and detected these TIMEs in the retrieved genomes. The frequency

of genomes carrying each TIME was as follows: TIME244 (n = 109, 0.94%), IS*101* (n =

49, 0.42%), MITESen1 (n = 264, 2.28%), and IMU (n = 7, 0.06%) (**Figure 5** and **Table**

S3). The frequency of genomes with a replicon(s) carrying multiple copies of each TIME

was as follows: TIME244 (n = 71, 0.61%), IS*101* (n = 4, 0.03%), MITESen1 (n = 54,

0.47%), and IMU (n = 2, 0.02%). These TIMEs were mainly detected in clinically

important genera, namely *Klebsiella*, *Escherichia*, *Enterobacter*, and *Citrobacter*.

However, these taxa are more likely to be sequenced due to their clinical importance, and

care should be taken to interpret the results. In total, 408 genomes (3.53%) harbored at

least one of these TIMEs, indicating that these elements, while rare, are present at a

detectable frequency. Interestingly, these TIMEs were predominantly detected in

plasmids (n = 444, 97.16%) and very rarely in chromosomes (n = 13, 2.84%).

Study limitations

This study has limitations. First, the self-against-self blastn approach, which allows *de*

novo identification of MITEs that form TIME-COMP-like structures, was performed only

on small plasmids (<20 kbp). Thus, novel MITEs potentially present in other types of

replicons might have been missed. Performing the self-against-self blastn analysis also

on large plasmids and chromosomes may enable the identification of more MITE

elements; however, this approach is unwieldly because it will return exponentially more

blastn hits, which makes manual curation of the results (e.g., confirmation of DRs at the

ends) almost impossible. A direction for future work would be to develop software for

automated detection of TIME-COMP-like structures. A second limitation of this study is

that we analyzed only the genomes of Enterobacteriaceae. Although rare, there seem to

be TIME-COMP-like structures containing ARGs in other bacteria as well, such as

Acinetobacter spp.[39] Extending the approach employed in the present study to other

bacteria may uncover additional TIME-COMP-like elements.

Conclusions

The present study identified multiple previously unreported TIME-COMPs containing

ARGs. These novel TIME-COMPs are bounded by three types of TIMEs (i.e., TIME244,

IS*101*, and MITESen1). The sequences of these three TIMEs and also IMU are divergent

and seem to have independently emerged from distinct transposons of the Tn*3* family.

Interestingly, the same TIMEs were associated with different ARGs, and the same ARGs

were associated with different TIMEs. This study revealed that TIMEs contribute to the

intracellular mobilization of ARGs and highlights the importance of taking these elements

into account when analyzing the genetic contexts of ARGs.

Funding information

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (grant number JP22K18038 to RG,

JP25K01942 to HY) and the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund

(JPMEERF20235R01 to RG) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency,

provided by the Ministry of the Environment of Japan.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Naofumi Handa at University of California, Davis for helpful discussions.

Computations were partially performed on the NIG supercomputer at ROIS National

Institute of Genetics.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: R.G. Methodology: R.G. Formal analysis: R.G. and H.Y. Data

Curation: R.G. Visualization: R.G. and H.Y. Project administration: R.G. Funding

acquisition: R.G. and H.Y. Writing - Original Draft: R.G. and H.Y. Writing - Review &

Editing: R.G. and H.Y.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1.   Partridge SR, Kwong SM, Firth N, Jensen SO. Mobile genetic elements associated with

antimicrobial resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2018; **31**: e00088-17.

2.   Lerminiaux NA, Cameron ADS. Horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in

clinical environments. *Can J Microbiol* 2019; **65**: 34-44.

3.   Delihas N. Impact of small repeat sequences on bacterial genome evolution. *Genome*

Biol Evol 2011; **3**: 959-73.

4.   Minnick MF. Functional roles and genomic impact of miniature inverted-repeat

transposable elements (MITEs) in prokaryotes. *Genes (Basel)* 2024; **15**: 328.

5.   Szuplewska M, Ludwiczak M, Lyzwa K et al. Mobility and generation of mosaic non-

autonomous transposons by Tn*3*-derived inverted-repeat miniature elements (TIMEs). *PLoS*

One 2014; **9**: e105010.

6.   Fischhoff DA, Vovis GF, Zinder ND. Organization of chimeras between filamentous

bacteriophage f1 and plasmid pSC101. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 1980; **144**: 247-65.

7.   Poirel L, Carrer A, Pitout JD, Nordmann P. Integron mobilization unit as a source of

mobility of antibiotic resistance genes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**: 2492-8.

8.   Pong CH, Hall RM. An X1alpha plasmid from a *Salmonella enterica* serovar Ohio

isolate carrying a novel IS*26*-bounded *tet*(C) pseudo-compound transposon. *Plasmid* 2021; **114**:

102561.

9.   Pedersen T, Sekyere JO, Govinden U et al. Spread of plasmid-encoded NDM-1 and

GES-5 carbapenemases among extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant clinical

Enterobacteriaceae in Durban, South Africa. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018; **62**: e02178-

17.

10.   Gomi R, Adachi F. Quinolone resistance genes *qnr*, *aac(6')-Ib-cr*, *oqxAB*, and *qepA* in

environmental *Escherichia coli*: insights into their genetic contexts from comparative genomics.

Microb Ecol 2025; **88**: 6.

11.   Nicolas E, Lambin M, Dandoy D et al. The Tn*3*-family of replicative transposons.

Microbiol Spectr 2015; **3**: MDNA3-0060-2014.

12.   Ishizaki K, Ohtsubo E. Cointegration and resolution mediated by IS*101* present in

plasmid pSC101. *Mol Gen Genet* 1985; **199**: 388-95.

13.   Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L et al. ISfinder: the reference centre for bacterial

insertion sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006; **34**: D32-6.

14.   Molano LG, Hirsch P, Hannig M et al. The PLSDB 2025 update: enhanced annotations

and improved functionality for comprehensive plasmid research. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2025; **53**:

D189-D96.

15.   Feldgarden M, Brover V, Gonzalez-Escalona N et al. AMRFinderPlus and the

Reference Gene Catalog facilitate examination of the genomic links among antimicrobial

resistance, stress response, and virulence. *Sci Rep* 2021; **11**: 12728.

16.   Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A et al. *In silico* detection and typing of

plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob Agents*

Chemother 2014; **58**: 3895-903.

17.   Hulton CS, Higgins CF, Sharp PM. ERIC sequences: a novel family of repetitive

elements in the genomes of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and other enterobacteria.

Mol Microbiol 1991; **5**: 825-34.

18.   Wilson LA, Sharp PM. Enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC)

sequences in *Escherichia coli*: Evolution and implications for ERIC-PCR. *Mol Biol Evol* 2006;

23: 1156-68.

19.   O'Leary NA, Cox E, Holmes JB et al. Exploring and retrieving sequence and metadata

for species across the tree of life with NCBI Datasets. *Sci Data* 2024; **11**: 732.

20.   Mattes R, Burkardt HJ, Schmitt R. Repetition of tetracycline resistance determinant

genes on R plasmid pRSD1 in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Gen Genet* 1979; **168**: 173-84.

21.   Allmeier H, Cresnar B, Greck M, Schmitt R. Complete nucleotide sequence of Tn*1721*:

gene organization and a novel gene product with features of a chemotaxis protein. *Gene* 1992;

111: 11-20.

22.   Chen L, Mathema B, Chavda KD et al. Carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella*

pneumoniae: molecular and genetic decoding. *Trends Microbiol* 2014; **22**: 686-96.

23.   Cerdeira LT, Lam MMC, Wyres KL et al. Small IncQ1 and Col-like plasmids harboring

bla$_{KPC-2}$ and non-Tn*4401* elements (NTE$_{KPC}$-IId) in high-risk lineages of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

CG258. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2019; **63**: e02140-18.

24.   Luo X. *Enterobacter hormaechei* strain K814 plasmid pK814-QNRS, complete

sequence. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP183858.

25.   Luo X. *Enterobacter hormaechei* strain K1326 plasmid pK1326-qnrS, complete

sequence. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP183864.

26.   Camargo AP, Roux S, Schulz F et al. Identification of mobile genetic elements with

geNomad. *Nat Biotechnol* 2024; **42**: 1303-12.

27.   Pfeifer E, Rocha EPC. Phage-plasmids promote recombination and emergence of

phages and plasmids. *Nat Commun* 2024; **15**: 1545.

28.   Naas T, Cuzon G, Villegas MV et al. Genetic structures at the origin of acquisition of

the beta-lactamase *bla*$_{KPC}$ gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 1257-63.

29.   Guardatti RGM, Kraychete GB, Picao RC. Analysis of distinct *bla*$_{KPC}$-encoding

plasmids in an *Enterobacter kobei* strain recovered from recreational coastal water. *Sci Total*

Environ 2024; **915**: 169945.

30.   Snaith AE, Dunn SJ, Moran RA et al. The highly diverse plasmid population found in
Escherichia coli colonizing travellers to Laos and its role in antimicrobial resistance gene
carriage. *Microb Genom* 2023; **9**: 001000.

31.   Kao CY, Kuo PY, Lin CC et al. Molecular characterization of colistin-resistant
Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates and their conjugative *mcr*-carrying plasmids. *J Infect Public*
Health 2024; **17**: 102588.

32.   Chen F-J, Liao Y-C, Liou C-H. *Enterobacter cloacae* strain ECC-003 plasmid pECC-
003-1, complete sequence. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP143772.

33.   He S, Hickman AB, Varani AM et al. Insertion sequence IS*26* reorganizes plasmids in
clinically isolated multidrug-resistant bacteria by replicative transposition. *mBio* 2015; **6**:
e00762.

34.   Pearson WR. Searching protein sequence libraries: comparison of the sensitivity and
selectivity of the Smith-Waterman and FASTA algorithms. *Genomics* 1991; **11**: 635-50.

35.   Rogowsky P, Halford SE, Schmitt R. Definition of three resolvase binding sites at the
res loci of Tn*21* and Tn*1721*. *EMBO J* 1985; **4**: 2135-41.

36.   Altenbuchner J, Schmitt R. Transposon Tn*1721*: site-specific recombination generates
deletions and inversions. *Mol Gen Genet* 1983; **190**: 300-8.

37.   Droge P, Cozzarelli NR. Recombination of knotted substrates by Tn*3* resolvase. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A 1989; **86**: 6062-6.

38.   Yano H, Garruto CE, Sota M et al. Complete sequence determination combined with
analysis of transposition/site-specific recombination events to explain genetic organization of
IncP-7 TOL plasmid pWW53 and related mobile genetic elements. *J Mol Biol* 2007; **369**: 11-26.

39.   Zong Z. The complex genetic context of *bla*PER-1 flanked by miniature inverted-repeat
transposable elements in *Acinetobacter johnsonii*. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e90046.

40.   Ikegaya K, Aoki K, Komori K et al. Analysis of the stepwise acquisition of *bla*CTX-M-2 and
subsequent acquisition of either *bla*IMP-1 or *bla*IMP-6 in highly conserved IncN-pST5 plasmids. *JAC*
Antimicrob Resist 2023; **5**: dlad106.

Figures

505
Figure 1. (a) TIME244-bounded TIME-COMP carrying *tet*(A) and DRs flanking the
TIME-COMP. The expanded diagram of the TIME-COMP is shown on the top. Major
replicon types and the numbers of replicons are shown on the right side. *There are
variants in TIME-COMPs flanked by AGCAA (see **Table S1** for details). (b) TIME244-
bounded TIME-COMP carrying bla_{KPC-2} and a truncated bla_{TEM} gene, and plasmids
carrying the TIME-COMP. The expanded diagram of the TIME-COMP is shown above
the plasmids. For the TIME-COMP structure in each plasmid, only TIMEs and ARGs
are depicted for clarity. The TIME-COMP was inserted into a pRJKP36-5-like plasmid
and a p4-N2251932-like plasmid, leading to pKPC45a and pKQPS142b (and four other
IncQ plasmids showing >99% identity and >99% coverage against pKQPS142b, as in

Table S1), respectively. In pKPN535a, three copies of TIME244 are present, and a

sequence identical to pSYCC1_6 is present between two of the TIME244 copies. This

indicates a cointegrate formation by "paste-and-copy" transposition of TIME244,

leading to fusion of a pKQPS142b-like plasmid and pSYCC1_6. The online blastn

analysis identified eight putative ancestral plasmids (including pRJKP36-5) for pKPC45

and seven putative ancestral plasmids (including p4-N2251932) for pKQPS142b,

showing >90% identity and >98% coverage against the uninterrupted version of each

plasmid. (c) TIME244-bounded TIME-COMP carrying *qnrS1*, and plasmids carrying

the TIME-COMP. The TIME-COMP was likely inserted in a pCP102-like plasmid,

leading to pK814-QNRS. pK814-QNRS then acquired a ~35-kb region with high

similarity to the partial sequence of *Klebsiella* phage KP12 clone KP12_2 (OM835952)

(96% identity and 93% coverage), leading to pK1326-qnrS. The online blastn analysis

identified three putative ancestral plasmids (including pCP102) for pK814-QNRS,

showing >90% identity and >80% coverage against the uninterrupted version of pK814-

QNRS. Red arrows indicate ARGs, gray pointed boxes indicate ISs, blue boxes indicate

TIMEs, and black arrows indicate other genes. The IRs within each TIME are shown by

a black (left, IRL) or white (right, IRR) triangle (see **TableS2** for the basis of the

orientations of TIMEs). DRs are shown as differently shaped flags, and their sequences

are shown in boxes. The accession numbers of the plasmids are as follows: pRJKP36-5,

CP100081; pKPC45a, MH595534; p4-N2251932, CP165866; pKQPS142b, CP023480;

pKPN535a, MH595533; pSYCC1_6, CP113183; pCP102, CP104015; pK814-QNRS,

CP183858; and pK1326-qnrS, CP183864.

Figure 2. IS*101*-bounded TIME-COMP carrying *bla*_{KPC-2} and a plasmid carrying the

TIME-COMP. The TIME-COMP was inserted into a p3-N4292977-like plasmid,

leading to pEkFL23_IncX3. The online blastn analysis identified 19 putative ancestral

plasmids (including p3-N4292977) for pEkFL23_IncX3, showing >99% identity and

>90% coverage against the uninterrupted version of pEkFL23_IncX3. Genes and

elements are shown as in **Figure 1**. The accession numbers of the plasmids are as

follows: pKPC_FCF/3SP, CP004367; p3-N4292977, CP165819; and pEkFL23_IncX3,

OQ434669.

Figure 3. (a) MITESen1-bounded TIME-COMPs carrying *qnrS1* and *floR*, and
plasmids carrying these TIME-COMPs. pLAO96 and pSC-KP585-2 might have been
generated by integration of a hypothetical circular molecule into a pCUWW06A-like
plasmid. pLAO96 (45,300 bp) and pSC-KP585-2 (44,092 bp) share ~35.6 kb of highly
similar sequence (>99% identity), excluding the TIME-COMP regions (1,894 bp and
4,800 bp) and regions bounded by IS*26* (7,824 bp and 3,609 bp). (b) MITESen1-
bounded TIME-COMP carrying *qnrD1* and a plasmid carrying the interrupted TIME-
COMP. A putative intermediate plasmid carrying the intact TIME-COMP is also shown.
"in *trans*" indicates intramolecular replicative transposition (in *trans*) of IS*26*. The
online blastn analysis identified one putative ancestral plasmid (p2025CK-00294_2) for
pECC-003-1, showing >99% identity and 86% coverage against the uninterrupted
version of the putative intermediate. Genes and elements are shown as in the previous
figures. The accession numbers of the plasmids are as follows: pCUWW06A,

CP182140; pLAO96, OP242301; pSC-KP585-2, CP123874; p2025CK-00294_2,

CP194151; and pECC-003-1, CP143772.

Figure 4. (a) Multiple alignment of IRs of TIMEs, Tn*3*, and Tn*21*. (b) Multiple

alignment of *res* sites of Tn*21*, TIME244, and Tn*1721*. Definition of subsites follows a

previous study.[35] See **Table S2** for the sequences of TIME244, IMU, IS*101*, and

MITESen1. The remaining sequences are derived from the following accession

numbers: Tn*21*, AF071413; Tn*3*, HM749966; TIME2, KJ920398; and Tn*1721*, X61367.

(c) Two frequent forms of TIME244-bounded TIME-COMPs carrying *tet*(A) inserted in

the same location. The genetic contexts in two IncN plasmids (LC771585 and

AP026485) are shown as examples.[40] (d) Deduced transposition pathways of TIME-

COMPs bounded by inversely oriented TIME244 copies. Cointegrate formation (left) is

mediated by TnpA and IRs, followed by replication. Cointegrate resolution (right) is

mediated by RecA-dependent homologous recombination (HR) between duplicated

TIME-COMPs or by TnpR/*res*-dependent site-specific recombination (SSR). SSR can

occur between either pair of the directly oriented TIME244 copies. Nicking sites in the

donor molecule are indicated by red arrows.

Figure 5. Numbers of RefSeq Enterobacteriaceae genomes carrying TIMEs (TIME244,
IS*101*, MITESen1, and IMU) among the downloaded genomes (n = 11,572). "Total"
indicates the total number of genomes carrying each TIME. "Multiple copies" indicates
the number of genomes with a replicon(s) carrying multiple copies of each TIME.
Genera detected in >10 genomes are shown in different colors, while genera detected in
≤ 10 genomes are grouped as "Others" and shown in gray. See also **Table S3** for
information on individual genomes.