Miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements mobilize diverse antibiotic resistance genes in *Enterobacteriaceae*

Ryota Gomi[a,b,*] and Hirokazu Yano[c]

[a]Research Center for Water Environment Technology, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

[b]Department of Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8540, Japan

[c]Antimicrobial Resistance Research Center, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan Institute for Health Security, Higashimurayama, Tokyo, Japan

[*]Corresponding author: gomi@env.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp, gomi.ryota.34v@kyoto-u.jp

Running title: Composite transposon-like structures bounded by MITEs

ABSTRACT

Miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) are nonautonomous mobile

genetic elements (MGEs) that can be mobilized by transposases provided by the relevant

autonomous MGEs. Composite transposon-like structures bounded by two MITE copies,

designated MITE-COMPs, were previously shown to mobilize the intervening sequences.

However, their association with antibiotic-resistance genes (ARGs) has not yet been

systematically studied, and only a few MITE-COMP structures with ARGs have been

reported to date. This study thus aimed to identify new MITE-COMP members containing

ARGs in the genomic sequences of the clinically important bacterial family

Enterobacteriaceae in public databases. MITE-COMPs were first searched for in the

PLSDB database, focusing on small plasmids, using a self-against-self blastn approach.

Then, newly and previously identified MITEs were searched for in the NCBI core

nucleotide database to identify MITE-COMPs located on other replicons. Bioinformatics

analyses identified multiple previously unreported MITE-COMP structures containing

ARGs, which are bounded by directly or inversely oriented MITEs, including IS*101*,

MITESen1, and a novel 244-bp MITE termed MITE244. All these MITEs seem to have

originated from transposons of the Tn*3* family. MITE244 contains a putative cointegrate

resolution site related to Tn*21*, and MITE244 copies in MITE-COMPs were

predominantly found in inverse orientation. The ARGs embedded in newly identified

MITE-COMPs include bla_{KPC-2}, *qnrS1*, *tet*(A), *qnrD1*, and *floR*. Notably, the bla_{KPC-2}

carbapenemase gene was found in MITE-COMPs bounded by MITE244 and another

MITE-COMP bounded by IS*101*. These findings highlight a potential role for MITEs in

the spread of diverse ARGs in *Enterobacteriaceae*.

IMPORTANCE

Miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) are genetic elements that are

mobilized by transposases provided in *trans*. Pairs of MITEs can form composite

transposon-like structures (named MITE-COMPs) and can transpose the intervening

region in the presence of relevant transposases. Thus, MITE-COMPs can theoretically

mediate the spread of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs). However, only a few MITE-

COMP structures with ARGs have been reported to date, probably due to difficulty in

identifying MITEs in genome sequences. Here, we identified multiple previously

unreported MITE-COMP structures with ARGs, including the clinically important

carbapenemase gene bla_{KPC-2}, by screening *Enterobacteriaceae* replicon sequences

deposited in public databases. This study revealed that MITEs can mobilize diverse ARGs

in *Enterobacteriaceae* and highlights the importance of considering MITEs when

analyzing the genetic contexts of ARGs.

KEYWORDS

antibiotic resistance, mobile genetic element, miniature inverted-repeat transposable

element, *Enterobacteriaceae*

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic-resistant bacteria, particularly those with multidrug resistance (MDR), are a global health concern. Bacteria can accumulate antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) with the help of mobile genetic elements (MGEs), which can often lead to MDR. MGEs are categorized into two types: those mobilizing ARGs from one cell to another cell (i.e., intercellular mobilization) and those mobilizing ARGs from one replicon to another replicon or to different locations within the same replicon (i.e., intracellular mobilization).[1] Intercellular mobilization mechanisms such as conjugation can directly contribute to horizontal transfer of ARGs and thus the emergence and spread of MDR. Intracellular mobilization can also contribute to the increase of MDR in multiple ways; for example, by mobilizing ARGs on a plasmid to different plasmids, thereby increasing the potential of the ARGs to be transmitted to additional bacterial recipients via conjugation.[2]

MGEs mediating intracellular mobilization of ARGs include well-studied elements such as insertion sequences (ISs) and transposons. These are called autonomous (i.e., capable of self-transposition) elements. On the other hand, there are nonautonomous elements, which can also mediate intracellular mobilization but need the transposase activity provided by autonomous elements in the same cell.[1] Among the known nonautonomous

elements are structures called miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs).[3]

MITEs are thought to have originated from ISs or transposons. They retain the terminal

inverted repeats (IRs) but lack the internal regions, including the transposase gene(s).

They are typically 50 bp–500 bp in size and create direct repeats (DRs) upon insertion.[4]

Composite transposon-like structures bounded by two MITEs, which are defined here as

MITE-COMPs, were previously shown to transpose the intervening sequences when

transposase activity was provided in *trans*.[5, 6] Therefore, MITEs can act as an ARG

mobilization factor when the ARG is embedded within a MITE-COMP structure.

However, only a few studies have reported MITE-COMP structures carrying ARGs, even

in the clinically important bacterial family *Enterobacteriaceae* (**Figure S1**). These

include two MITE-COMP structures bounded by integron mobilization units (IMUs)[5, 7]

and a MITE-COMP structure bounded by IS*101*.[8] IMU and IS*101* are MITEs carrying

IRs related to Tn*3*-family transposons and thus are classified as Tn*3*-derived inverted-

repeat miniature elements (TIMEs).[6] In these cases, the MITE-COMPs were located on

small plasmids (<10 kbp).

MITEs tend to be overlooked in standard annotation pipelines due to their lack of

transposase gene(s). Thus, the contribution of MITEs to the intracellular mobility of

ARGs is likely underestimated. Hence, this study aimed to identify previously overlooked

MITE-COMPs carrying ARGs in *Enterobacteriaceae* by data-mining. MITE-COMPs

were first searched for in the genomes of small plasmids in a public plasmid database.

Then, newly identified and previously known MITEs were searched for in the NCBI

database to discover MITE-COMP structures in other types of replicons.

METHODS

Plasmid sequences

Plasmid sequences were downloaded from PLSDB (v. 2024_05_31_v2) (n = 72,556).[9]

Plasmid sequences meeting the following criteria were extracted: (i) at least one ARG

was detected by Abricate (v1.0.1, https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) with the ncbi

database;[10] (ii) at least one plasmid replicon was detected by Abricate (v1.0.1) with the

plasmidfinder database (this was to exclude sequences that are not likely to be true

plasmids);[11] (iii) the length is 20,000 bp or shorter; and (iv) the plasmid host is

Enterobacteriaceae. Plasmid sequences meeting the above criteria (n = 1,007) were

subjected to self-against-self blastn analysis to detect MITE-COMP structures carrying

ARGs as described below.

Detection of MITE-COMP structures carrying ARGs

A self-against-self blastn search was performed for each plasmid sequence using

makeblastdb (v2.16.0+) and blastn (v2.16.0+) with default parameters. Plasmid

sequences with blastn hits meeting the following criteria were retained: (i) the alignment

length is between 50 bp and 500 bp; (ii) the percentage of identical matches is 98 % or

higher; (iii) a sequence longer than 500 bp is present between the aligned part of the query

sequence and that of the subject sequence. These criteria were applied to detect repeat

sequences (50 bp–500 bp) surrounding a stretch of sequence (> 500 bp) in a plasmid (see

Figure S2 for rationales for these criteria). To confirm that the 50-bp to 500-bp repeat

sequences are actually MITEs, a self-against-self blastn search was performed for each

repeat sequence to identify IRs, which are the signature of MITEs. The blastn search was

performed by using a word size of six to increase the sensitivity, and the result was

visualized using CLC Main Workbench 24 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) (**Figure S3**). The

putative MITE sequences were checked for identity to any known elements in the ISfinder

database.[12] To avoid false positives, only MITE-COMP structures flanked by DRs, which

are typically created upon insertion of the structure, were considered for further analysis.

Plasmids with MITE-COMP structures carrying ARGs were annotated using ISfinder and

the blastn web tool (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

The MITE(s) found in the above approach, previously described MITEs associated with

ARGs in *Enterobacteriaceae* (i.e., IMU and IS*101*),[5, 7, 8] and *Enterobacteriaceae* MITEs

listed in the ISfinder database (i.e., MITEKpn1 and MITESen1, excluding MITEEc1,

which is an enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus [ERIC] sequence), were

searched for in the NCBI core nucleotide database (core_nt) using the blastn web tool to

identify additional ARG-carrying MITE-COMP structures in *Enterobacteriaceae* (last

accessed in July 2025). Replicons with two or more blastn hits with ≥95 % identity and

≥95 % coverage were manually screened to detect the MITE-COMP structures.

Detection of MITEs in RefSeq *Enterobacteriaceae* genomes

RefSeq *Enterobacteriaceae* genomes with an assembly level of "complete genome" were

downloaded using the NCBI Datasets command-line tools (v18.9.0) in October 2025 (n

= 11,572).[13] MITEs identified as being associated with ARGs were searched for in the

retrieved genomes using makeblastdb (v2.16.0+) and blastn (v2.16.0+) with default

parameters. We used the same criteria as the online blastn search to define the presence

of MITE sequences (i.e., ≥95 % identity and ≥95 % coverage).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of MITE-COMP structures carrying ARGs

First, we conducted self-against-self blastn analysis to identify putative MITE-COMP

structures in small *Enterobacteriaceae* plasmids registered in PLSDB. PLSDB is a

curated and non-redundant plasmid database sourced from the NCBI database.[9] This

relatively small dataset made manual curation of the blastn results feasible, which

includes confirmation of the IRs in putative MITEs and DRs flanking the entire MITE-

COMP structures. These procedures revealed MITE-COMP structures carrying ARGs in

six plasmids (**Table S1**). One of these plasmids was pKFu015_4 (NZ_CP147134), which

was previously reported to carry the IS*101*-based MITE-COMP containing *qnrD1*.[8] The

remaining five plasmids contain the same MITE-COMP structure with bla_{KPC-2} and a

truncated bla_{TEM} gene, which is bounded by two copies of a 244-bp MITE (hereafter

named MITE244). Plasmids pCHE-A (NC_012006) and pCHE-A1 (NZ_KX244760),

which were previously reported to contain IMU-based MITE-COMPs, were detected by

the self-against-self blastn searches but were filtered out in manual curation, because the

MITE-COMP structures in these plasmids are not flanked by DRs.[5,7]

To identify MITE-COMP structures in small plasmids not indexed in PLSDB, in longer

plasmids, and also in chromosomes, we performed online blastn searches using MITE244

and previously reported MITEs (IS*101*, IMU, MITEKpn1, and MITESen1) as query

sequences (see **Table S2** for the sequence of each MITE). This online blastn analysis

identified 141 additional replicons carrying MITE-COMPs with ARGs. These included

136 plasmids and one chromosome carrying MITE244-based MITE-COMPs (most of

which contain *tet*(A)), one plasmid carrying an IS*101*-based MITE-COMP, and three

plasmids carrying MITESen1-based MITE-COMPs (**Table S1**).

The genetic contexts of MITE-COMPs identified using the above approaches were

thoroughly analyzed as described below.

MITE-COMPs bounded by two copies of MITE244

As mentioned above, we detected MITE244-based MITE-COMPs in a total of 142

replicons (i.e., five identified by the self-against-self blastn analysis and 137 identified by

the online blastn analysis). Roughly, three types of MITE244-based MITE-COMPs were

identified. One of them is a MITE-COMP structure carrying bla_{KPC-2} and a truncated

bla_{TEM} gene, which is bounded by directly oriented MITE244 copies (**Figure 1A**). This

genetic context was previously described as a variant of non-Tn*4401* elements (NTE$_{KPC}$),

NTE$_{KPC}$-IId; however, the authors did not mention the presence of MITEs at its boundaries

(they addressed the presence of 243-bp, not 244-bp, repeats at its boundaries though).[14]

This MITE-COMP was detected in one Col-like plasmid and six highly related IncQ1

plasmids (**Table S1**). Analysis of the sequences flanking the MITE-COMPs revealed that

there is one insertion location with unique DRs per replicon type (**Figure 1B** and **Table**

S1), totaling two unique transposition events. In the Col-like plasmid pKPC45a, NTE_{KPC}-

IId is inserted in a gene encoding an endonuclease-family protein found in pRJKP36-5-

like plasmids, creating 5-bp DRs of TATAT. In the IncQ1 plasmids (e.g., pKQPS142b),

NTE_{KPC}-IId is inserted in an intergenic region found in p4-N2251932-like plasmids,

creating 6-bp DRs of TGTTTA. In one of the IncQ1 plasmids, pKPN535a, three copies

of MITE244 are present, and a sequence identical to pSYCC1_6 is present between two

of the MITE244 copies. This indicates a cointegrate formation by "paste-and-copy"

transposition of MITE244 or homologous recombination between two MITE244 copies,

leading to the fusion of a pKQPS142b-like plasmid and pSYCC1_6.

Another MITE244-based MITE-COMP, which contains *qnrS1* and is bounded by

inversely oriented MITE244 copies, was detected in two untypeable plasmids, pK814-

QNRS and pK1326-qnrS (**Figure 1A and 1B**). In these plasmids, the MITE-COMP

structure is inserted in the same position in the intergenic region found in a pCP102-like

plasmid with 5-bp DRs of ATCCA; therefore, a total of one unique transposition event

was detected. Sequence comparison indicates that acquisition of a 35-kbp phage-like

region by a pK814-QNRS-like plasmid led to the generation of pK1326-qnrS.

We detected a *tet*(A)-carrying MITE244-based MITE-COMP (or its variants) in 133

replicons (**Table S1**). This MITE-COMP structure contains a partial sequence of Tn*1721*,

which is bounded by inversely oriented MITE244 copies (**Figure 1A**).[15] This MITE-

COMP structure was inserted in a total of three unique locations: a gene encoding a

DUF6710-family protein (DRs of AGCAA, n = 114), a gene encoding a hypothetical

protein (DRs of TATAA, n = 18), and a gene encoding an IS*1*-family transposase (DRs of

TACTT, n = 1) (**Figure 1C** and **Table S1**). This indicates that three independent

transposition events of this MITE-COMP structure had occurred in the past. In 114

replicons with DRs of AGCAA, two types of the MITE-COMP were identified: one with

an inverted internal structure (n = 52) and the other without (n = 62) (see below for more

detailed discussion). Furthermore, there were several In/Del variations within the MITE-

COMP structure, two of which involved alterations of ARG contents (**Table S1** and

Figure S4). In p1_A24352 (CP181749), a resistance region containing $bla_{\text{TEM-1B}}$ and

$bla_{\text{CTX-M-206}}$ is inserted within the left MITE244 copy. In pEC224_KPC (CP018945), the

Tn*1721* fragment is partially deleted and replaced with a truncated Tn*3*-like transposon

and a truncated Tn*4401*-like transposon containing $bla_{\text{KPC-2}}$.[16] In these cases, the DR

sequences flanking the MITE-COMPs are the common ones (AGCAA); thus the In/Del

variations were likely introduced after the insertion of the original MITE-COMP carrying

tet(A). The outermost IRs within the altered MITE-COMP structures are intact, implying

the feasibility of further transposition if the relevant transposase activity is provided in

trans (**Figure S4**).

A MITE-COMP bounded by two copies of IS*101*

We identified a previously unreported IS*101*-based MITE-COMP structure in one

Enterobacter kobei IncX3 plasmid, pEkFL23_IncX3 (**Figure 2A**). This MITE-COMP is

bounded by inversely oriented IS*101* copies and carries bla_{KPC-2} embedded within a

truncated Tn*4401b* structure.[16] This genetic context was previously reported as Tn*4401k*,

but the presence of IS*101* elements was not addressed in the previous study.[17] The

sequence between the two IS*101* elements in this MITE-COMP corresponds to the partial

sequence of plasmids such as pKPC_FCF/3SP. The sequence of pEkFL23_IncX3, except

for the MITE-COMP, is highly similar to multiple *Enterobacteriaceae* plasmids (e.g., p3-

N4292977). Thus, pEkFL23_IncX3 may have been generated by IS*101*-mediated

transposition of the partial pKPC_FCF/3SP sequence into a p3-N4292977-like plasmid

(**Figure 2B**). The presence of 5-bp DRs (ATTCT) flanking the MITE-COMP supports

this idea.

MITE-COMPs bounded by two copies of MITESen1

We identified two different MITESen1-based MITE-COMPs in the same location with

the same flanking 5-bp DRs (TAATA) in two IncX1-IncX2 hybrid plasmids, pLAO96 and

pSC-KP585-2 (**Figure 3A** and **3B**). One MITE-COMP contains *qnrS1*, and the other

contains *floR*. MITESen1 copies are directly oriented in these MITE-COMPs.

Interestingly, there are IncX1-X2 hybrid plasmids carrying a single MITESen1 copy

inserted in the same location (e.g., pCUWW06A). We speculate that pLAO96 and pSC-

KP585-2 were generated by integration of a hypothetical circular molecule containing

MITESen1 and an ARG into a pCUWW06A-like plasmid already carrying a single copy

of MITESen1 (**Figure 3B**). The hypothetical circular molecules might be generated by

(i) homologous recombination between directly oriented MITESen1, (ii) a transposase-

dependent mechanism similar to IS*26* translocatable unit (TU) formation,[18] or (iii) TnpR-

dependent site-specific recombination if MITESen1 possesses a cointegrate resolution

site *res* (also see **Figure S5** for another possible pathway for generation of the *floR*-

containing circular element). Integration of the circular molecule might occur by

homologous recombination between MITESen1 copies or a transposase-dependent TU

incorporation-like mechanism.[19]

A plasmid with the repE(pEh60-7) replicon, pECC-003-1, was found to carry a remnant

of a MITESen1-based MITE-COMP containing *qnrD1* (**Figure 3A** and **3B**). In pECC-

003-1, the MITE-COMP structure is interrupted by an IS*26* element at the left MITESen1.

The remaining MITESen1 is present in the plasmid next to another inversely oriented

IS*26* element. This arrangement is consistent with intramolecular replicative transposition

(in *trans*) of an IS*26* element into MITESen1 in the intact MITE-COMP structure.[20] The

presence of an 8-bp DR (ATATCAAC) next to each IS*26* element on the MITESen1-sides

supports this, though the intact version of this MITE-COMP structure was not present in

the NCBI core_nt database.

Characteristic features of MITE244

All MITEs forming the MITE-COMP structures identified in the present study (i.e.,

MITE244, IS*101*, and MITESen1) carry IRs related to Tn*3*-family transposons (**Figure**

4A). Thus, these MITEs are classified as TIMEs.[6] IRs of MITE244 and the previously

reported IMU show more similarities to IRs of Tn*21* than to those of Tn*3*, IS*101*, TIME2,[6]

and MITESen1. Thus, MITE244 and IMU seem to have originated from the Tn*21*

subgroup of the Tn*3* family.[21] IS*101* possesses the functional internal cointegrate

resolution site *res*.[22] To determine whether MITE244 contains a *res* site, we aligned the

MITE244 internal sequence and the experimentally determined *res* sites of Tn*21* and

Tn*1721* (**Figure 4B**).[23] The *res* sites of the Tn*3* family consist of three subsites (site I, site

II, and site III) where TnpR dimers bind. Strand exchange takes place at the center of site

I when *res* sites are directly oriented in the same molecule.[21] The MITE244 internal

sequence shows similarity to both Tn*21 res* and Tn*1721 res* at all three subsites. Therefore,

we speculate that MITE244 contains a functional *res* site of the Tn*21* subgroup. On the

other hand, the internal sequence of IMU is extensively diverged from the *res* sequence

of the Tn*21* subgroup, which hindered the determination of whether IMU contains a *res*

site or not.

In principle, the functionality of *res* affects the stability of the MITE-COMP structure.

When MITE244 copies are directly oriented within MITE-COMPs, the segment between

two *res* sites can be deleted in the presence of TnpR. On the other hand, when MITE244

copies are inversely oriented, the segment between two *res* sites can be inverted by TnpR

in vivo.[24,25] Among 142 replicons containing MITE244-based MITE-COMPs, only seven

replicons contain directly oriented MITE244 copies, while 135 replicons contain

inversely oriented MITE244 copies (**Table S1**). This orientation bias is consistent with

the idea that MITE-COMPs carrying directly repeated MITE244 copies are structurally

unstable due to the intrinsic resolution activity in the presence of Tn*21*-related TnpR. On

the other hand, the most prevalent MITE-COMPs in the present study, namely MITE-

COMPs with *tet*(A), carry MITE244 copies in inverse orientation. As noted above, the

MITE-COMP structures of the 114 replicons with DRs of AGCAA are classified into

those with an inverted internal structure (n = 52) and those without (n = 62) (**Figure 1C**

and 4C). This inversion could be explained by TnpR-mediated site-specific

recombination at the *res* sites rather than by homologous recombination between

inversely oriented MITE244 copies, given the short length of MITE244. Because

MITE244 contains a putative *res* site, the transposition of MITE244-based MITE-COMPs

with inversely oriented MITE244 copies should follow a two-step process: cointegrate

formation and cointegrate resolution in the presence of Tn*21*-related transposons (**Figure**

4D). Cointegrate resolution can occur by RecA-dependent homologous recombination or

site-specific recombination involving Tn*21*-related TnpR and *res* sites.

Prevalence of MITEs in RefSeq *Enterobacteriaceae* genomes

The NCBI core_nt database, which we used in the online blastn analysis, contains a

mixture of complete genomes and sequences that are not derived from complete genomes

(e.g., plasmid sequences without the host chromosomal sequences), preventing the

accurate estimation of the prevalence of MITEs in *Enterobacteriaceae* genomes. Thus,

we retrieved RefSeq complete *Enterobacteriaceae* genomes (n = 11,572) and detected

MITEs in these genomes to avoid this problem. The frequency of genomes carrying each

MITE was as follows: MITE244 (n = 109, 0.94%), IS*101* (n = 49, 0.42%), MITESen1 (n

= 264, 2.28%), and IMU (n = 7, 0.06%) (**Table S3**). The frequency of genomes with a

replicon(s) carrying multiple copies of each MITE was as follows: MITE244 (n = 71,

0.61%), IS*101* (n = 4, 0.03%), MITESen1 (n = 54, 0.47%), and IMU (n = 2, 0.02%). In

total, 408 genomes (3.53%) carried at least one of these MITEs, indicating these MITEs

are rare but present at a detectable frequency. Interestingly, these MITEs were

predominantly detected in plasmids (n = 444, 97.16%) and very rarely in chromosomes

(n = 13, 2.84%).

Study limitations

This study has limitations. First, the self-against-self blastn approach, which allows *de*

novo identification of MITEs that form MITE-COMP structures, was performed only on

small plasmids (<20 kbp). Thus, novel MITEs potentially present in other types of

replicons might have been missed. Performing the self-against-self blastn analysis also

on large plasmids and chromosomes may enable the identification of more MITE

elements; however, this approach is unwieldly because it will return exponentially more

blastn hits, which makes manual curation of the results (e.g., confirmation of DRs at the

ends) almost impossible. A direction for future work would be to develop software for

automated detection of MITE-COMP structures. A second limitation of this study is that

MITE-COMPs were detected only in *Enterobacteriaceae*. Although rare, there seem to

be MITE-COMP structures containing ARGs in other bacteria as well, such as

Acinetobacter spp.[26] Extending the approach employed in the present study to other

bacteria may uncover additional MITE-COMP elements.

Conclusions

The present study identified multiple previously unreported MITE-COMPs containing ARGs, which are bounded by three types of MITEs (i.e., MITE244, IS*101*, and MITESen1). These three MITEs and also IMU are all categorized as TIMEs, but they are divergent and seem to have independently emerged from distinct transposons of the Tn*3* family. Interestingly, the same MITEs were associated with different ARGs, and the same ARGs were associated with different MITEs. This study revealed that MITEs contribute to the intracellular mobilization of diverse ARGs in *Enterobacteriaceae* and highlights the importance of taking these elements into account when analyzing the genetic contexts of ARGs.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (grant number JP22K18038) and the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (JPMEERF20235R01) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency, provided by the Ministry of the Environment of Japan. Computations were partially performed on the NIG supercomputer at ROIS National Institute of Genetics.

343 **Author contributions**

344 Conceptualization: R.G. Methodology: R.G. Formal analysis: R.G. and H.Y. Data

345 Curation: R.G. Visualization: R.G. and H.Y. Project administration: R.G. Funding

346 acquisition: R.G. Writing - Original Draft: R.G. and H.Y. Writing - Review & Editing:

347 R.G. and H.Y.

REFERENCES

1. Partridge SR, Kwong SM, Firth N, Jensen SO. Mobile Genetic Elements Associated with Antimicrobial Resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2018; **31**.

2. Lerminiaux NA, Cameron ADS. Horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in clinical environments. *Can J Microbiol* 2019; **65**: 34-44.

3. Delihas N. Impact of small repeat sequences on bacterial genome evolution. *Genome Biol Evol* 2011; **3**: 959-73.

4. Minnick MF. Functional Roles and Genomic Impact of Miniature Inverted-Repeat Transposable Elements (MITEs) in Prokaryotes. *Genes (Basel)* 2024; **15**.

5. Poirel L, Carrer A, Pitout JD, Nordmann P. Integron mobilization unit as a source of mobility of antibiotic resistance genes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**: 2492-8.

6. Szuplewska M, Ludwiczak M, Lyzwa K et al. Mobility and generation of mosaic non-autonomous transposons by Tn*3*-derived inverted-repeat miniature elements (TIMEs). *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e105010.

7. Pedersen T, Sekyere JO, Govinden U et al. Spread of Plasmid-Encoded NDM-1 and GES-5 Carbapenemases among Extensively Drug-Resistant and Pandrug-Resistant Clinical *Enterobacteriaceae* in Durban, South Africa. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018; **62**.

8. Gomi R, Adachi F. Quinolone Resistance Genes *qnr*, *aac(6')-Ib-cr*, *oqxAB*, and *qepA* in Environmental *Escherichia coli*: Insights into Their Genetic Contexts from Comparative Genomics. *Microb Ecol* 2025; **88**: 6.

9. Molano LG, Hirsch P, Hannig M et al. The PLSDB 2025 update: enhanced annotations and improved functionality for comprehensive plasmid research. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2025; **53**: D189-D96.

10. Feldgarden M, Brover V, Gonzalez-Escalona N et al. AMRFinderPlus and the Reference Gene Catalog facilitate examination of the genomic links among antimicrobial resistance, stress response, and virulence. *Sci Rep* 2021; **11**: 12728.

11. Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A et al. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; **58**: 3895-903.

12. Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L et al. ISfinder: the reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006; **34**: D32-6.

13. O'Leary NA, Cox E, Holmes JB et al. Exploring and retrieving sequence and metadata for species across the tree of life with NCBI Datasets. *Sci Data* 2024; **11**: 732.

14. Cerdeira LT, Lam MMC, Wyres KL et al. Small IncQ1 and Col-Like Plasmids Harboring *bla*$_{KPC-2}$ and Non-Tn*4401* Elements (NTE$_{KPC}$-IId) in High-Risk Lineages of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CG258. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2019; **63**.

15.   Allmeier H, Cresnar B, Greck M, Schmitt R. Complete nucleotide sequence of Tn*1721*:
gene organization and a novel gene product with features of a chemotaxis protein. *Gene* 1992;
111: 11-20.
16.   Naas T, Cuzon G, Villegas MV et al. Genetic structures at the origin of acquisition of
the beta-lactamase *bla*$_{KPC}$ gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 1257-63.
17.   Guardatti RGM, Kraychete GB, Picao RC. Analysis of distinct *bla*$_{KPC}$-encoding
plasmids in an *Enterobacter kobei* strain recovered from recreational coastal water. *Sci Total*
Environ 2024; **915**: 169945.
18.   Harmer CJ, Hall RM. IS*26*-Mediated Precise Excision of the IS*26*-*aphA1a*
Translocatable Unit. *mBio* 2015; **6**: e01866-15.
19.   Harmer CJ, Moran RA, Hall RM. Movement of IS*26*-associated antibiotic resistance
genes occurs via a translocatable unit that includes a single IS*26* and preferentially inserts
adjacent to another IS*26*. *mBio* 2014; **5**: e01801-14.
20.   He S, Hickman AB, Varani AM et al. Insertion Sequence IS*26* Reorganizes Plasmids in
Clinically Isolated Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria by Replicative Transposition. *mBio* 2015; **6**:
e00762.
21.   Nicolas E, Lambin M, Dandoy D et al. The Tn*3*-family of Replicative Transposons.
Microbiol Spectr 2015; **3**.
22.   Ishizaki K, Ohtsubo E. Cointegration and resolution mediated by IS*101* present in
plasmid pSC101. *Mol Gen Genet* 1985; **199**: 388-95.
23.   Rogowsky P, Halford SE, Schmitt R. Definition of three resolvase binding sites at the
res loci of Tn*21* and Tn*1721*. *EMBO J* 1985; **4**: 2135-41.
24.   Droge P, Cozzarelli NR. Recombination of knotted substrates by Tn*3* resolvase. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A 1989; **86**: 6062-6.
25.   Yano H, Garruto CE, Sota M et al. Complete sequence determination combined with
analysis of transposition/site-specific recombination events to explain genetic organization of
IncP-7 TOL plasmid pWW53 and related mobile genetic elements. *J Mol Biol* 2007; **369**: 11-26.
26.   Zong Z. The complex genetic context of *bla*$_{PER-1}$ flanked by miniature inverted-repeat
transposable elements in *Acinetobacter johnsonii*. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e90046.
414
415

Figures

Figure 1. (A) MITE-COMP structures bounded by MITE244. Red arrows indicate
ARGs, gray pointed boxes indicate ISs, blue boxes indicate MITEs, and black arrows
indicate other genes. The left IR (IRL) is indicated by a black triangle, and the right IR
(IRR) is indicated by a white triangle within each MITE. (B) Plasmids carrying
MITE244-based MITE-COMP structures. For each MITE-COMP structure, only MITEs
and ARGs are depicted for brevity. DRs are shown as differently shaped flags, and their
sequences are shown in boxes. The accession numbers of the plasmids are as follows:
pRJKP36-5, CP100081; pKPC45a, MH595534; p4-N2251932, CP165866;
pKQPS142b, CP023480; pKPN535a, MH595533; pSYCC1_6, CP113183; pCP102,
CP104015; pK814-QNRS, CP183858; and pK1326-qnrS, CP183864. (C) DRs of
MITE-COMPs carrying *tet*(A). Major replicon types and the numbers of replicons are
shown on the right side.

(A)

(B)

432 **Figure 2**. (A) IS*101*-based MITE-COMP structure carrying *bla*KPC-2. (B) Structure of the

433 plasmid containing the IS*101*-based MITE-COMP. Genes and elements are shown as in

434 **Figure 1**. The accession numbers of the plasmids are as follows: pKPC_FCF/3SP,

435 CP004367; p3-N4292977, CP165819; and pEkFL23_IncX3, OQ434669.

(A)

(B)

Figure 3. (A) MITE-COMP structures bounded by MITESen1. (B) Plasmids carrying

MITESen1-based MITE-COMPs. Genes and elements are shown as in the previous

figures. "*trans*" indicates intramolecular replicative transposition (in *trans*) of IS*26*. The

accession numbers of the plasmids are as follows: pCUWW06A, CP182140; pLAO96,

OP242301; pSC-KP585-2, CP123874; p2025CK-00294_2, CP194151; and pECC-003-

1, CP143772.

445
Figure 4. (A) Multiple alignment of IRs of MITEs, Tn*3*, and Tn*21*. (B) Multiple
alignment of *res* sites of Tn*21*, MITE244, and Tn*1721*. Definition of subsites follows a
previous study.[23] See **Table S2** for the sequences of MITE244, IMU, IS*101*, and
MITESen1. The remaining sequences were derived from the following accession

numbers: Tn*21*, AF071413; Tn*3*, HM749966; TIME2, KJ920398; and Tn*1721*, X61367.

(C) Two frequent forms of MITE244-based MITE-COMPs carrying *tet*(A) inserted in

the same location. (D) Deduced transposition pathways of MITE-COMPs bounded by

inversely oriented MITE244 copies. Cointegrate formation (left) is mediated by TnpA

and IRs, followed by replication. Cointegrate resolution (right) is mediated by RecA-

dependent homologous recombination (HR) between duplicated MITE-COMPs or by

TnpR/*res*-dependent site-specific recombination (SSR). SSR can occur between either

pair of the directly oriented MITE244 copies. Nicking sites in the donor molecule are

indicated by red arrows.

459