Title: High-throughput imaging of GABA fluorescence as a functional assay for variants
in the neurodevelopmental gene, *SLC6A1*

Authors: Christopher Michael McGraw[1,2,3†], Guoqi Zhang[2], Gillian Prinzing[4], Kimberly
Wiltrout[4], Annapurna Poduri[2,4†,5]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston,
MA 02115, USA

[2]Department of Neurology, The F.M. Kirby Neurobiology Center, Boston Children's Hospital,
Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[3]Department of Neurology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL
60611, USA

[4]Department of Neurology, Boston Children's Hospital; Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
02115, USA

[5]National Institutes of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA

[†]Author's current affiliation.
16
17 Correspondence to:
18 Christopher Michael McGraw, MD, PhD
19 Department of Neurology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL
20 60611, USA
21 E-mail: christopher.mcgraw@northwestern.edu
22

Abstract (200 words)

Pathogenic variants in *SLC6A1*, which encodes GABA Transporter 1 (GAT-1), are
associated with developmental delay, autism, epilepsy (e.g., epilepsy with myoclonic
astatic seizures [EMAS]), and possibly schizophrenia. Functional assays to establish
pathogenicity of human variants is a key limiting factor in the clinical interpretation of
genetic findings. Methods based on radioactive [3H]-GABA uptake come with significant
regulatory concerns, cost, and workflow complexity, which could be resolved by an

alternate assay. To address this issue, we developed a high-content fluorescence

imaging assay of GAT-1-mediated GABA uptake using a genetically encoded GABA

sensor, iGABA-Snfr. We demonstrated that pathogenic variants strongly reduced

uptake (mean, -89.4% [95% CI, -71.5% to -107.3%]). Some variants of uncertain

significance (VUS) were associated with reduced GABA uptake (G111R, S459R,

V511M; mean, -101.2% [95% CI -81.1% to -121.3%]), whereas others showed only mild

reduction (R211C, R566H, F242V, R419C; mean, -33.6% [95% CI -17.2% to -50.1%]),

supporting variant reclassification. Variant-specific effects on iGABA were highly

correlated with the results of the radioactive [3H]-GABA assay (R^2=0.8095, p<0.0001).

The molecular chaperone 4-phenylbutyric acid (4PBA) was associated with ~35%

increase in iGABA. This non-radioactive assay is suitable for functional validation and

high-throughput screening to identify positive modulators of GAT-1.

1. Introduction

The gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) transporter 1 (GAT-1), encoded by *SLC6A1*,

plays a critical role in regulating extracellular GABA levels in the central nervous system

(Richerson & Wu, 2004; Wu et al., 2007), thereby modulating inhibitory

neurotransmission. Monoallelic variants in *SLC6A1* are associated with a spectrum of

neurological disorders, including epilepsy, intellectual disability, autism spectrum

disorder, and possibly schizophrenia (Cai et al., 2019; Carvill et al., 2015; Johannesen

et al., 2018; Mattison et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2024). Most pathogenic variants have

been shown to result in loss of function as evidenced by functional assays (Silva et al.,

2024). The mechanism of GAT-1 haploinsufficiency involves reduced GABA transport

due to variant-specific effects on GAT-1 activity (Mattison et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2024)

as well as reduced GAT-1 surface expression (Cai et al., 2019; Nwosu et al., 2022;

Silva et al., 2024) via protein destabilization and ER retention (Cai et al., 2019).

Agonists of GAT-1 have not yet been identified. However, the molecular chaperone 4-

phenylbutyric acid (4PBA) has shown therapeutic potential in S*lc6a1* mouse models

(reduced spike-wave discharges) concomitant with increased GAT-1 surface expression

and GABA uptake(Nwosu et al., 2022).

Functional assays are essential for evaluating the impact of variants on GAT-1 function

and for reclassifying VUS to guide clinical management. Traditional methods for

assessing GAT-1 function rely on radioactive [³H]-GABA uptake assays(Cai et al., 2019;

Nwosu et al., 2022; Silva et al., 2024). While effective, radioactivity-based assays are

burdened by regulatory restrictions and high waste disposal costs (Eglen & Reisine,

2009), making them less suitable for modern high-throughput applications such as high-

content imaging.

To address these challenges, we developed a novel, non-radioactive, cell-based assay

for GAT-1 function utilizing the genetically encoded GABA fluorescence sensor (iGABA-

Snfr(Marvin et al., 2019) aka "iGABA") coupled with high-throughput automated

microscopy. The objectives of this study were two-fold: first, to validate the iGABA

assay for assessing the functional impact of *SLC6A1* variants, including a novel

missense variant M55T; and second, to demonstrate the assay's suitability for high-

throughput drug screening to identify positive modulators of GAT-1 activity. The GAT-1

iGABA assay provides a versatile platform for both variant classification and therapeutic

development for SLC6A1-related disorders.

2. Materials and methods.

2.1. Construction of hGAT1 and iGABA-Snfr expression vectors. To construct the

hGAT1-P2A-tdTomato vector, the following sequences were amplified from the

indicated sources by PCR: 1) full-length hGAT1 cDNA sequence without the final stop

codon (1835 nts) from human clone (R&D Systems, hGAT-1/SLC6A1 VersaClone

cDNA, RDC1033; Accession: NP_003033); 2) a 79-nt length P2A sequence from

SID4x-dCas9-KRAB (AddGene 106399), and 3) tdTomato (1468 nts) from ptwB

(AddGene 48735). Fragments were combined with the pCDNA3.1 vector (NeoR/KanR,

AmpR) targeting the MCS by InFusion cloning (Takara) to generate an 8.6kB vector (→

"V006-2").

To construct an iGABA-Snfr-P2A-mBFP vector suitable for a cell-based assay, the

following sequences were amplified from the indicated sources by PCR: 1) the CDS of

iGABA-Snfr-F102Y-Y137L without the final stop codon (1976 nts) was amplified from

pAAV-GFAP-iGABASnFR_F102Y_Y137L (Addgene #112174); 2) a 796 nt fragment

containing P2A-mTagBFP2 was amplified from lentiGuide-Hygro-mTagBFP2

(AddGene# 99374). Fragments were combined with the pCDNA3.1 vector targeting the

MCS by InFusion cloning to generate a 7.9kB vector ($\rightarrow$ "V007-2"). Subsequently, to

abrogate surface expression, the 5' IgG K-leader site was removed (InFusion) while

retaining the start codon, and the 3' terminal PDGFR site was removed, to yield a 7.8kB

vector pCDNA3.1_iGABA-Snfr-F102Y-Y137L del IgGK del PDGFR T2A mBFP ($\rightarrow$

"V007-2.2"). Final vectors were Sanger sequenced through the coding sequences.

2.2. Generation of hGAT1 deletion constructs and human variant hGAT1

constructs. For deletion constructs, three sets of primers were designed to remove the

extracellular domain (ECD; amino acids 145-211), cytoplasmic domain (CPD; amino

acids 1-52), and transmembrane domains 6-7 (TM6-7, amino acids 292-342) based on

Uniprot P30531. Representative human variants affecting the GAT-1 coding sequence

were identified from ClinVar, gnomad, selected cohort studies(Carvill et al., 2015;

Johannesen et al., 2018; Mattison et al., 2018), and the SLC6A1-Portal (https://slc6a1-

portal.broadinstitute.org/, downloaded September 2022). Variants were selected based

on recurrence across individuals and distribution across predicted pathogenicity,

including variants classified as pathogenic/likely pathogenic (N= 7), benign/likely benign

(N=2), and uncertain significance (N= 7). Clinical details were downloaded on

September 8, 2022.

All variants are presented in **Table 1**. All primer sequences used for mutagenesis are

provided in **Supplementary Table 1**. Deletions and variants were introduced into GAT-

1 vector V006-2 by site-directed mutagenesis (InFusion Snap Assembly, Takara) and

KOD polymerase (Sigma, 71085) using the associated primers (IDT). Constructs were

verified by Sanger sequencing.

2.3. Transient transfection assays of hGAT1 deletion and human variant

constructs.

HeLa cells (ATCC, CCL-2) were maintained in T75 flasks with EMEM (Cat#112-212-

101, VWR Company) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Cat#16-000-04,

Gibco) and 1X penicillin-streptomycin (PS) and 2% L-Glutamine (Cat# 16777-162,

VWR) and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2. For transient transfection, 2 million HeLa

cells were seeded into each 60 mm petri dish on day 1. On day 2, for each dish, 2.5ug

V007-2.2 and 2.5ug hGAT vector (WT or variant) (5ug total) were combined in 0.5mL

OptiMEM and mixed gently. Lipofectamine 2000 (Cat#52758, Invitrogen) 10uL was

diluted in 0.5mL OptiMEM. Diluted DNA and Lipofectamine were combined with gentle

mixing and incubated 20 minutes at room temperature. Finally, the 1mL was added to

each dish containing cells and 4mL of media, mixed gently by rocking back and forth,

then incubated for 4-6 hours before replacing media with EMEM complete media. On

day 3, transfected cells were dislodged by trypsin digest (Cat# 25300-054 from Gibco)

and seeded at 500k cells per well into 96 well plate (Greiner, 655090). After 6 hrs of

incubation, cells were washed twice with PBS and treated with 260 uM tiagabine (TGB;

Cat # T3165, Lot PQ2JD-NP from TCI) diluted in EMEM + 10%FBS + 1X PS overnight

(~16 hrs). On day 4, media was removed and cells washed twice with PBS, then treated

with gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (Cat# A2129-100G, Sigma) diluted in EMEM at

various concentrations at various incubations (1-8hrs) at 37degC. Each plate was

subsequently imaged using the ImageXpress Micro (Molecular Devices).

2.4. Generation of hGAT1; iGABA-Snfr stable cell line. A stable HeLa cell line

(ATCC, CCL-2) harboring both constructs (V006 and V007) was generated serially by

transfection of linearized constructs (PvuI digest) followed by extended antibiotic

selection, FACS to select single fluorescent cells for monoclonal culture, followed by

FACS reassessment. A stable HeLa cell line was first established with V006-2 with

G418 selection. This cell line was then used to generate a double stable cell line. The

vector V007-2.2 was converted to exchange PuroR resistance cassette with the existing

NeoR/Kan cassette by InFusion (→ V007-2.3), but we failed to recover puromycin-

resistant clones after several attempts. The vector V007-2.2 was then converted to have

blasticidin resistance (→ V007-2.4), from which 4 double-positive clones were

successfully isolated by FACS, two of which grew well and retained double-

fluorescence by FACS after extended incubation with double selection using blastocidin

(1ug/mL) and G418 (200ug/mL). Clone #2 was used for all subsequent studies.

2.5. 4PBA assay. For testing 4-phenylbutyric acid (4PBA; Sigma P21005), the

compound was dissolved to 1205.8mM in DMSO (198mg/mL) to generate a parent

stock. GABA was prepared to 500mM (515.6mg in 2mL PBS) and further diluted to

generate 50X GABA stocks for each GABA condition. 4PBA-GABA stocks for each

condition were generated by diluting the parent 4PBA stock in EMEM (16.5uL into

6600uL EMEM) then combined with 50X GABA (161.7uL 4PBA + 3.3uL 50X GABA).

For 4PBA 3mM, the parent 4PBA stock (1205.8mM) was used, but for 4PBA 1mM, the

parent stock was first diluted to 400mM (DDW), then diluted in EMEM and combined

with 50X GABA, as before. Drug plates were assembled in 96-well format using Integra

Viaflow automatic multi-channel pipettors. On the day of testing, medium from prepared

cells was aspirated and 1X 4PBA-GABA stocks were transferred directly to cells and

incubated at 37degC for 6 hours prior to imaging.

2.6. Assays with hGAT1; iGABA-Snfr stable cell line. For assays using the hGAT1;

iGABA-Snfr double stable cell line, cells were seeded into 384-well plates ($5X10^4$ cells

per well) in EMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2% L-Glutamine, 1X PS, G418

(200ug/mL), and blastocidin (1ug/mL) and allowed to grow to 80% confluence. On day

1, cells were preincubated overnight with 260uM TGB in EMEM. On day 2, GABA stock

was diluted to 3X desired concentrations (10nm-5uM) in EMEM with 3X 0.1% DMSO on

a "drug plate", while EMEM was dispensed to an "EMEM only" plate using the Multidrop

Combi dispenser (ThermoScientific). For GABA application, under semi-sterile

conditions, the Bravo liquid handler (Agilent) was used to wash cells 3X in 1X PBS and

then remove remaining PBS and apply 20uL from "DMEM only" and 10uL from "drug

plate". Plates were incubated at 37degC for 1-6hrs, before imaging using the IXM.

2.7. High-content imaging and automated analysis. Widefield fluorescence imaging

was performed using the ImageXpress Micro (IXM) with 20X objective with the following

filters and exposure durations: DAPI (mBFP; 10msec), FITC (iGABA-Snfr; 20msec), and

TexasRed (tdTomato; 5msec). Image analysis was performed using Molecular Devices

software to select cells with mBFP intensity above local background 150, with

approximate width 5-50um. Per-cell average fluorescence values for mBFP, iGABA,

and tdTomato are subsequently reported for all sites and wells.

2.8. In vitro assay of iGABA-Snfr fluorescence. In vitro fluorescence measurements

were performed on a Tecan Spark plate reading fluorimeter at ~28°C with excitation at

485 nm (20 nm bandpass) and emission collected at 532 nm (20 nm bandpass).

Concentrated purified and dialyzed iGABASnFR.F102Y.Y137L protein was diluted to

0.2 µM in PBS. GABA and decoy compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and

prepared as 100 mM stocks in PBS. Titrations were performed by making serial

dilutions (1:2) of the stock compound into PBS and adding 10 µl of that to 100 µl of 0.2

µM protein solution. Fluorescence was measured before addition of compound, and

ΔF/F was calculated as (F(treatment) − F(initial))/F(initial). Controls were performed with

circularly permuted super-folder GFP (cpSFGFP; PMID: 16369541).

2.9. Data analysis and statistics. Data from IXM was extracted either as per-cell

average fluorescence values or per-well averages across variable numbers of sites (4-

10 sites) and processed further in R, Excel, and/or GraphPad Prism.

For variant experiments (with k variants), fractional change in iGABA fluorescence, M,

due to GABA application is calculated in relation to each variant's vehicle-treated

control, as: $M_i = (F_{i, GABA} − F_{i, vehicle}) / F_{i, vehicle}$, where F is the group-wise average iGABA

fluorescence (across wells), and i is an element of the 1,…, k variants. Standard

deviations, s_i, were adjusted by: $s_{i\_adj} = s_i / F_{i, vehicle}$. Normalization to WT was performed

as: $M_{i\_adj} = M_i / M_{WT}$, where M_{WT} is the fractional change in iGABA fluorescence for wild-

type GAT-1 control. For %WT, $M_{i\_adj\%} = M_{i\_adj} \times 100$.

For stable cell line experiments, fractional change in iGABA fluorescence, M, due to

GABA application is calculated (similar to above) in relation to vehicle-treated control,

but with i as an element of 1, …, p levels of GABA concentration.

Statistical inference was performed in GraphPad Prism as described in the main text.

For plate normalization using linear models, per-well average data was analyzed in R

using a custom script. Linear models were fit using function *lm* (R package, *stats*) while

linear mixed models were fit using function *lmer* (R package, *lme4*). Bootstrap

simulation was performed in R using the mean and standard deviations for each control.

The robust strictly standardized mean difference (RSSMD) was calculated by the

uniformly minimum-variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) method(Zhang, 2011).

3. Results

3.1. Development of a cell-based assay for hGAT1 function using iGABA-Snfr fluorescence.

To detect changes in intracellular GABA mediated by hGAT1 after exogenous application of GABA (**Fig. 1B**), we generated two vectors, one expressing human GAT-1 (hGAT1) cDNA (Accession: NP_003033) stoichiometrically linked with the red fluorescent fluorophore tdTomato (**Fig. 1A**) and another expressing modified iGABA-Snfr stoichiometrically linked to the blue fluorescent fluorophore mTagBFP2 (abbreviated mBFP). We selected the HeLa cell line (ATCC, CC2) based on publicly available RNA-seq data (2021 CCLE release; DepMap, ACH-001086) showing undetectable expression of *SLC6A1* (GAT-1; 0 TPM) and low or undetectable expression of other GABA transporters including *SLC6A13* (GAT-2; 0 TPM), *SLC6A11* (GAT-3; 0 TPM); *SLC6A12* (BGT1; 0 TPM), and *SLC6A6* (TauT; 1-2 TPM). Using automated high content fluorescence microscopy (ImageXpress Micro) and automated image analysis (**Fig. 1C**), we demonstrated the ability to assay levels of iGABA-Snfr fluorescence from thousands of cells per condition in 96-well format with a significant increase in average per-cell iGABA fluorescence in response to exogenous GABA application (**Figure 1D-E).**

3.2. Validation of relative change in per-cell GABA fluorescence as molecular read-out of GABA transporter activity

To benchmark the effects of null variants, we utilized deletion constructs targeting domains previously demonstrated to be critical for GAT1-mediated GABA uptake (CPD, ECD, TM6-7) (**Fig 2A**). We confirmed that deletion variants were associated with significantly reduced iGABA fluorescence relative to wild-type across a 5-log range (1μM to 10mM; **Fig 2B**). Expressed as normalized fractional change in iGABA (relative to vehicle controls; **Fig 2C**) or normalized relative to wild-type (**Fig 2D**), the reduced uptake in GABA appeared most specific at lower concentrations of extracellular GABA (1-10uM, similar to GAT-1 Km ~3uM(Wu et al., 2007)). At higher concentrations (100 μM GABA), we observed ~30-40% of WT GABA uptake, which we hypothesized may be due either to residual GAT-1 protein function or nonspecific lower affinity mechanisms of uptake. To assess this question, we examined iGABA fluorescence in

cells without GAT-1 transfection ("no GAT1" controls). Surprisingly, we observed

significantly greater nonspecific GABA uptake in the absence of GAT-1 at both low

(1uM) and higher (100uM) GABA concentrations compared to deletion variants

(**Supplementary Fig 1**), suggesting active interference between GAT-1 deletion

constructs and unknown mechanisms mediating low-affinity GABA uptake in HeLa cells

(perhaps TauT, a low-affinity GABA transporter), despite undetectable GABA uptake by

these variants. To further optimize the assay, we tested the effect of overnight pre-

treatment with the GAT-1 selective inhibitor, tiagabine (TGB) followed by wash-out, and

showed increased fractional change in iGABA across all GABA concentrations

(**Supplementary Fig 2A**). We also tested a range of incubation durations and

demonstrated increasing uptake up to 6 hours post-treatment (**Supplementary Fig 2B**).

Based on these observations, we determined that TGB pretreatment followed by low

GABA concentration (1 μM) incubation x 6 hrs would be most suitable for further

experiments. We demonstrated that increased iGABA fluorescence under these

conditions could be detected robustly across replicates (robust SSMD >2, robust Z

prime >0.1; **Fig 2E**).

3.3. GABA fluorescence provides a functional assessment of missense variants in GABA transporters

We next asked whether iGABA fluorescence could detect changes in GABA uptake

related to human missense variants in *SLC6A1*. For this purpose, we selected *SLC6A1*

variants associated with neurodevelopmental disorder and/or epilepsy (**Fig 3A**),

including 7 pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants (G75R, G232V, A288V, S295L,

V342M, A357V, G362R), two benign or likely benign variants (R195H, I322M), and 7

variants of uncertain significance (VUS;, G111R, R211C, F242V, R419C, S459R,

V511M, R566H, S574R). One of these variants (R211C) has also been associated with

schizophrenia(Rees et al., 2020). Together, these variants comprise 38.7% (n=12 of 31)

of all recurrent missense variants to date (Silva et al., 2024).

We introduced missense variants into hGAT1 by site-directed mutagenesis and

performed the iGABA assay following transient transfection. We observed consistent

reductions in normalized GABA uptake among pathogenic variants (**Fig 3B-C**) with -

89.4% mean reduction in iGABA (SD 17.1, 95% CI -71.5% to -107.3%; N=6). Using the

lower limit (95th CI) of pathogenic GABA uptake as a threshold, some VUS were

associated with reduced GABA uptake (G111R, S459R, V511M; mean reduction, -

101.2%, SD 8.10, 95% CI -81.1% to -121.3%, N=3), whereas others showed only mild

reduction (R211C, R566H, F242V, R419C; mean reduction, -33.6%, SD 10.4, 95% CI -

17.2% to -50.1%, N=4) (**Fig 3C**).

Test-retest analysis demonstrated that variant-specific iGABA was highly correlated

(R^2=0.8498, p<0.0001) between independent replicates (**Fig 3D**). Variant-specific

effects on iGABA are also highly correlated (R^2=0.65-0.81, p<0.0001) with previously

published measurements estimated by radioactive [^{3}H]-GABA transport assay(Silva et

al., 2024)(**Fig 3E**), suggesting that the iGABA method performs similarly to this gold-

standard method. Last, variant-specific reductions in iGABA correlate with several

pathogenicity prediction scores, including PolyPhen2 (R^2=0.49, p<0.0001; **Fig 3F.i**),

SIFT (R^2=0.42, p<0.0001; not shown), and AlphaMissense (R^2=0.7181, p<0.0001; **Fig**

3F.ii).

Radioactive assays estimate an instantaneous rate of GABA uptake (pmol/ug/min)

whereas the iGABA method estimates intracellular GABA as it approaches equilibrium,

reflecting the balance between rates of GABA uptake vs GABA removal due to

unspecified processes (metabolism, sequestration, efflux). To understand these

differences, we performed time-series analysis to assess whether a pathogenic variant

possessing some residual transporter activity (V342M) alters the rate of GABA uptake,

the steady state intracellular concentration of GABA, or both (**Fig 4A**). Based on a non-

linear fit of pseudo-first order kinetics, the pathogenic V342M variant reduced steady-

state GABA (Plateau: WT: 0.28, 95% CI 0.26-0.31, vs V342M: 0.11, 95% CI 0.087-

0.204; **Fig 4B**) but had no effect on the rate at which iGABA approached its variant-

specific steady-state (rate constant, K (min^{-1}): WT: 0.0126, 95% CI 0.008-0.020; V342M:

0.009, 95% CI 0.002-0.018; **Fig 4C**). This may explain the high correlation that we

observed between iGABA and the rates of GABA uptake estimated by radioactive

methods.

3.4. GABA fluorescence may model haploinsufficiency of GAT-1 loss-of-function variants in the context of wild-type GAT-1 co-expression

As most variants reported in association with disease are monoallelic(Silva et al., 2024), we next sought to assess the effects of combining WT with mutant hGAT1 variants in the iGABA assay, mimicking the heterozygous state. We observed that the 1:1 combination of WT GAT-1 with pathogenic GAT-1 variants routinely increased measured GABA uptake to a level ~50% 2xWT (**Fig 5A**). Meanwhile the benign I322M variant with partially reduced iGABA increased to ~100% 2XWT (**Fig 5A**). Both findings are expected for a simple additive effect and consistent with the putative disease mechanism of haploinsufficiency. This also suggests that the transfection quantities used for the assay were not saturating and that the read-out is in a linear range.

For two VUS associated with reduced GABA uptake (G111R, V511M) by the iGABA method, we also observed an additive effect when combined with WT GAT-1 (**Fig 5A**). However, for several VUS associated with only mild reductions in iGABA (L54F, R211C, F242V, R419C, R566H, S574R), the addition of WT GAT-1 did not have the same additive effect (6 of 6 VUS with reduced iGABA). This unexpected finding may suggest an artifact of the heterologous system, but that is difficult to reconcile with the results observed for pathogenic variants, the majority of which yielded evidence of an additive effect (5 of 6 variants tested). Alternatively, these findings may suggest a disease mechanism acting against the compensatory effects of WT GAT-1.

3.5. GABA fluorescence provides insights into novel missense variant in GAT-1

We used the iGABA assay to assess the effect of a novel missense variant in *SLC6A1* in a patient with non-acquired focal epilepsy (NAFE).

Briefly, the proband was born at term with perinatal history complicated by intrauterine drug exposure and planned repeat Cesarean section under general anesthesia. At delivery, Apgar scores were 4 and 0 at one and five minutes, respectively, with intubation in the delivery room and extubation to room air on day of life 1. He walked independently by 15 months of age. However, language and social development were delayed. He was diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder at 3 years old. At age 3 years, he spoke in word approximations and echolalia. He was quick to anger and

displayed head-banging and aggressive behavior. He made great progress in language and social development between the ages of 3.5-4 years in a preschool program for children with autism spectrum disorder. At 6 years old, he could participate in simple games, write and name some letters and numbers, cut with scissors, and use a brush to make paint strokes. He toilet-independently by 5 years old.

His seizure onset was at age 4 years, initially with semiology of staring with behavioral arrest, and he later developed seizures with left hemiclonic semiology. He has had 3 lifetime generalized tonic-clonic seizures. His seizure frequency increased over time and he experienced a developmental regression at 6 years old when seizures were poorly controlled. He lost the previously acquired language skills, interest in socializing, his behavior had worsened, and his gait became clumsy and somewhat crouched. At current age of 12 years, he has not regained the skills he lost despite improved seizure control. At last exam at 12 years old, he was able to speak in short sentences with quiet speech intermixed with jargoning and echolalia. His initial EEG showed right posterior temporal occipital epileptiform spikes. Subsequent EEGs at ages 6-9 years showed frequent sleep-potentiated multifocal spikes. At 11 years of age, an EEG showed runs of temporal-parietal focal spikes in sleep. He currently takes lamotrigine, which has been effective at seizure control. In the past, Prior regimens have included levetiracetam (stopped due to behavioral side effects), oxcarbazepine (ineffective), and valproic acid (the most effective but limited use due to side effect of thrombocytopenia). He also has a significant tremor in bilateral upper extremities that worsened with valproic acid but did not resolve after valproic acid was discontinued. He also continues to have tics, moderate intellectual disability, cortical visual impairment, behavioral problems attributed to ADHD and mood dysregulation, , as well as severe constipation. For his behavioral problems, which include impulsive behavior and aggression toward self and others, he has trialed multiple formulations of stimulant medications and antipsychotic medications. Behaviors have improved the most with guanfacine and valproic acid.

Radiological testing including MRI brain was unremarkable including no evidence of hypomyelination. He had unremarkable chromosomal microarray (CMA) and Fragile X testing. Whole-exome sequencing, updated in 2024, identified a variant in *SLC6A1*,

c.164 T>C (chr3-11059061; dbSNP, rs2124905468; GRCh38.p14 NC_000003.12, exon

3 of 16) resulting in p.M55T (Refseq, NM_003042.4). This variant is located in the 1st

transmembrane domain (**Fig 4A**), which is a recognized mutation hotspot(Silva et al.,

2024). It is predicted to be pathogenic by several pathogenicity prediction scores

(Revel, AlphaMissense, MUTAssessor, SIFT) though others classify it as benign

(PolyPhen2; MetaLR) (**Fig. 4B**). The variant is not present in the general population

(gnomAD) and is reported once in ClinVar as uncertain significance with limited clinical

description. Parental DNA was not available for segregation analysis as the patient was

adopted. Taken together, the patient's clinical presentation with seizures, tremor, and

intellectual disability was believed to be reasonably well-explained by the *SLC6A1*

p.M55T variant but with an ACMG classification of uncertain significance (criteria, PM2,

PP3).

The patient also harbored a heterozygous missense variant in GRIK2 c.1744G>A,

p.A582T (chr6-102337734; dbSNP, rs2484646253; GRCh38.p14, NM_021956.5, exon

12 of 17), which was not reported in ClinVar or other literature and was interpreted as

having uncertain significance. The VUS in GRIK2 was believed less likely to be a

primary cause of patient's epilepsy (criteria PM2, PP3) but cannot be fully excluded.

GRIK2 has recently been associated with neurodevelopmental disorders with DD and

prominent gait abnormalities(Stolz et al., 2021). The most severely affected patients (3

children with de novo variants at p.T660K and 2 children with p.T660R variants)

presented with global developmental delay, non-ambulatory status, onset of epilepsy

before age 3 years (4 out of 5 within 1st 12 mos), and brain MRI abnormalities in the first

years of life suggesting hypomelination, while the least severely affected patients (4

children and 1 adult with variants at p.A657T) presented with ID, DD, and

incoordination, but did not develop epilepsy and had normal MRIs(Stolz et al., 2021).

The reported variants cluster in two critical functional domains, the pore-forming M3

transmembrane helix and the adjacent M3-S2-gating linker(Stolz et al., 2021). In

contrast, our patient's GRIK2 variant (p.A582T) is not located in the implicated domains,

he developed seizures at age 4 yrs, ambulates, and has normal brain MRI, suggesting

poor overlap with known cases of GRIK2-associated NDD.

To understand the functional effects of the *SLC6A1* p.M55T variant, we assessed its
effects on GAT1-mediated GABA uptake using the iGABA assay (**Fig 4C.i**).
Surprisingly, the M55T variant is not associated with detectable reduction in GABA
uptake compared to WT controls (WT: 1 (mean) +/- 0.083 (sem), M55T: 1.11 +/- 0.102;
p=0.428, Welch's unpaired t-test; **Fig 4C.ii**), consistent with the PolyPhen2 prediction
(**Fig 4C.iii**). These findings may suggest limitations of GABA uptake assays in
heterologous cell lines, or they may suggest that the *SLC6A1* p.M55T variant does not
account for this patient's epilepsy.

3.6. Assessment of iGABA reporter for drug responses and high-throughput
screening.

We hypothesized that the iGABA-Snfr fluorescence assay of GAT-1 activity could be
suitable for screening compounds to identify new modulators of GABA transporter
activity.

To test this hypothesis, we developed a double stable cell line expressing both iGABA-
Snfr and hGAT1 constructs (Methods), and used the iGABA assay to assess the
response to the candidate compound, 4-phenylbutyric acid (4PBA) (**Fig 7A**). 4PBA was
previously described to have positive effects on GAT-1 through increased surface
expression of mutant and WT GAT-1(Nwosu et al., 2022). Given our previous findings
showing increased steady state iGABA in response to elevated hGAT1 dosage, the
correlation between rate of GABA uptake and steady state iGABA and the effect of
pathogenic variants on these parameters, we predicted that if 4PBA is therapeutically
effective, we should be able to detect its effect in our assay. Indeed, we observed a
significant ~35% increase in iGABA after treatment with 4PBA (1-3mM) in the setting of
GABA 1uM (vehicle: 0.434 (mean) +/- 0.006 (sem) fractional change in iGABA, vs
4PBA_1mM: 0.58 +/- 0.015, *p*= 0.0057; vs 4PBA_3mM: 0.595 +/- 0.020, *p*= 0.0023,
Dunnett's multiple comparison test) after 6 hour co-incubation (**Fig 7B**). These effects
were not significant at lower GABA (100nm). At higher GABA (10uM), the effect of
4PBA was equivocal, with lower concentration (4PBA_1mM; *p*= 0.0112) and a DMSO
control (*p*=0.0288) both significantly different from Vehicle1, but not higher
concentration (4PBA_3mM; *p*=0.195). This effect is not likely to be mediated by direct

interactions between 4PBA and the iGABA-Snfr sensor, as no increase in iGABA was

detected by direct *in vitro* testing (**Supplementary Fig 3**). These findings suggest that

the effect of 4PBA is detectable under specific assay conditions, despite differences

with previously reported assays(Nwosu et al., 2022), further corroborating its utility in

drug discovery.

We next evaluated the performance of the iGABA assay using the stable cell line in 384

well format using automated liquid handling devices and the high content fluorescence

imager (**Fig 8A**). To understand the sources of assay variance, we performed

preliminary testing with a 4-log range of GABA (10nM, 100nM, 1uM, 10uM) across

2x384 well plates, and fit covariates using a linear model (**Fig 8B.i**). We observed that

~88.2% variance in iGABA was explained by this model (residual R^2, 0.1178), with the

GABA concentration accounting for the majority (71.4%, p=2.20E-16) followed by

intermediate contributions from the Column position (13.3%, p=2.20E-16), and minimal

contributions by Column*Order (1.3%, p=1.64E-15), Plate (1.1%, p=3.33E-13), Row

(0.7%, p=6.13E-09) and Row*Column (0.4%, p=8.52E-06). These findings suggested

that while GABA dose drives fluorescence largely independently of plate layout or minor

differences in incubation duration introduced by serial imaging, controlling these factors

would further improve assay performance.

We next compared the performance of adjusting iGABA using the background data

extracted from the linear model (LM) or an alternative linear mixed model (LMM)

incorporating random effects of well and plate (**Supplementary Fig 4A**). We observed

that the LMM adjustment better accounted for well-specific noise (**Supplementary Fig**

4B).

Next we assessed assay quality for the detection of positive modulators of GAT-1.

Given the weak effect of 4PBA and no confirmed positive agonist of GAT-1, we chose to

model the effects of strong positive modulation by utilizing 5X extracellular GABA (5 µM)

as positive control, which should significantly increase iGABA over the test condition

(GABA 1uM) based on preliminary data. Initial testing demonstrated that the assay

quality index β (derived from robust strictly standardized mean difference (RSSMD)

positive vs negative control) was in the *moderate* range (median, 2.91) using raw

iGABA and in the *strong* range (median, 4.3) using adjusted iGABA following plate

normalization with fixed and random effects from LMM (**Fig 8C**).

To identify the optimal number of control replicates for desired assay performance, we

performed bootstrap stimulations for a single concentration primary screen without

replicates versus a variable number of on-plate control replicates (4 to 24) for negative

(GABA1uM) and positive (GABA 5uM) controls. We observed that a primary screen

without replicates could detect significant increases in iGABA above background with a

minimum RSSMD threshold = 2.2, assuming 10 negative control replicates. With raw

iGABA, the positive control RSSMD threshold was 2.43, assuming 10 positive control

replicates, suggesting a narrow window above background to detect compounds whose

effect size is less than positive control or to prioritize compounds by their relative effect

sizes. However with adjusted iGABA, the positive control RSSMD threshold was 4.94,

yielding a larger window for detection and prioritization. This performance window

continues to improve with additional replicates using either approach such that with 24

replicates each (positive and negative) the raw iGABA approach has a minimum

RSSMD threshold = 1.86 and positive control RSSMD = 2.69, while adjusted iGABA

has thresholds 1.90 and 5.85, respectively. These findings suggest the double stable

iGABA-Snfr hGAT1 cell line may be suitable for high-throughput compound screening,

and that the use of a linear mixed model for plate normalization may improve the

prioritization of active compounds.

4. Discussion

For patients with epilepsy undergoing genetic testing, identification of a variant in a

gene related to epilepsy is generally not sufficient to make a diagnosis, and relies in part

on functional assays of gene function. Although mature assays exist for proteins like

GAT-1 encoded by *SLC6A1*, the use of radioactivity limits ease of adoption or its

application to compound screening. Although recent reports provide extensive

functional predictions for over 200 human variants in *SLC6A1*(Silva et al., 2024), there

continue to be new human variants needing functional interrogation. Towards those

ends, we developed a non-radioactive cell-based assay for functional validation of

human GAT-1 coding sequence variants and compound screening using a previously

described genetically-encoded GABA fluorescence sensor (iGABA-Snfr) and high-

throughput automated microscopy.

4.1. Validation of the iGABA assay of GAT-1 function

We established that variant-specific iGABA is highly correlated with GABA uptake

estimates from radioactive assays(Silva et al., 2024), and validated the assay's ability to

detect reduced GABA uptake in pathogenic variants and re-classify VUS as pathologic

based on reduced iGABA. As predicted, the results of specific GAT-1 variants on the

iGABA assay are largely similar to those of [3H]-GABA uptake. Using a conservative

pathogenic threshold based on the lower limit (95th CI) of pathogenic variants tested, ,

we demonstrated pathogenic loss-of-function (LoF) in 3 VUS (G111R, S459R, and

V511M), corroborating a recent report that showed severe LoF in two (G111R, S495R)

and intermediate LoF in another (V511M)(Silva et al., 2024). Meanwhile, 6 additional

VUS showed reductions that did not meet our pathogenic threshold (L54F, S574R,

F242V, R211C, R419C, and R566H). Based on [3H]-GABA assays, half of these have

been previously associated with "typical" GABA uptake (S574R, F242V, R419C),

whereas the remaining half have been associated with intermediate LoF (L54F, R211C,

and R566H)(Silva et al., 2024). We believe the differences in this case are due to the

use of multiple thresholds by other authors, but not due to major discrepancies in the

estimated GABA uptake. Together, these observations suggest excellent agreement

with prior reports.

We also assessed iGABA as a function of time in a pathogenic variant with residual

GABA uptake (V342M) and showed how lower rates of GABA transport are correlated

with lower variant-specific steady-state iGABA. Specifically, the change in rate (at least

in the case of the V342M variant) can be accounted for mathematically merely by the

shift in steady state. This relationship did not have to hold true, as GAT-1 variant-

mediated reductions in rate of GABA uptake could in theory reach the same steady

state on longer time-scales. However, this does not appear to be the case under these

assay conditions (6 hrs), which are likely an overly generous incubation interval

compared to the dynamic *in vivo* extracellular environment. Future investigations may

explore the extent that this relationship holds true for other patient-derived GAT-1

variants.

4.2. Unexpected interactions between WT and mutant GAT-1 variants with residual GABA uptake

We also showed how iGABA responds to the combination of WT with mutant GAT-1,

mimicking the heterozygous state that is typical for *SLC6A1*-related disorders. The

studies showed the expected additive boost in GAT-1 activity when WT GAT-1 was

combined with known pathogenic variants or with VUS with significantly reduced GABA

uptake activity (assessed by iGABA), but unexpectedly did not show an additive effect

when combined with variants possessing substantial residual GABA uptake activity. It

does not appear to be explained by the dynamic range of the assay, given the results

from the benign I322M variant. This may suggest a dominant negative effect acting

against the compensatory effects of WT GAT-1 supplied *in trans.*

A potential dominant negative (DN) mechanism of SLC6A1-related disease has been

raised several times (Carvill et al., 2015; Johannesen et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2024), but

to our knowledge most reports to date have been largely consistent with

haploinsufficiency. Few reports have convincingly demonstrated a negative interaction

between hypomorphic GAT-1 variants and WT GAT-1 function, though relevant data

has been reported for only a limited number of variants. Most similar to our own assay,

Silva et al. examined combined WT GAT-1 with equimolar variant DNA, including two

variants with typical GABA uptake (Asp43Glu, Ile434Met) and five severe LoF variants

(Gly63Ser, Tyr140Cys, Ser295L, Leu547Arg, Gly550R), and reported no evidence of

DN(Silva et al., 2024). Similar to our data, the authors showed additive increases for

variants with severe LoF. However, the authors' control data suggests that their assay

read-out was not in a linear range between 1XWT to 2XWT, making precise

interpretation of the results of the variants with typical GABA uptake less clear. Nwosu

et al examined a series of GAT-1 variants by [3H]-GABA uptake assay in HEK293T

cells with or without 1:1 combinations with WT GAT-1 in the setting of 4PBA

treatment(Nwosu et al., 2022), but their method of normalization prevents easy

comparison with the results of our study.

Based on *in vivo* studies, the Kang group has stated that the epileptic phenotype of

S295L and A288V may be more severe than *SLC6A1* knock-out heterozygotes (Shen et

al., 2024), suggesting dominant negativity, but the basis for this interpretation is not

clear. By contrast, Lindquist et al(Lindquist et al., 2023) showed similar rates of spike-

wave discharges (SWDs) and behavioral arrest in *Slc6a1*-S295L/+ mice compared to a

Slc6a1 +/- knock-out, though the mouse strains were different (C57BL/6 vs hybrid

C57BL/6.129S1, respectively) which may confound a quantitative comparison.

Separately, Shen et al(Shen et al., 2024) reported differences in residual GABA uptake

between heterozygous and homozygous mice of the S295L and A288V lines, with

similar reductions noted between hets (~42-51% vs WT), but with greater residual

activity (~30% WT) in A288V/A288V vs S295L/S295L (~0% WT). This effect is similar to

the non-additive effect we observe *in vitro* for other variants, though notably not for the

A288V which had virtually no GABA uptake activity in our assay.

Ultimately, we anticipate that it will be important to investigate the potential dominant

negative functions of mutant GAT-1 further, as it may have a significant impact on

methods of variant interpretation. Although the clinical evidence available suggests a

strong correlation between variants with severe LoF in GABA uptake and severe

epilepsy phenotypes (e.g. EMAS, EOAE, DEE) (Silva et al., 2024), it has not yet been

rigorously established how to interpret variants whose GABA uptake is assessed as

falling within a conservative "typical" range (e.g. -49.2% to +47.5% in Silva et al.), which

appears to comprise ~40% of all reported variants. Indeed, although none of the

variants in this experiment exceeded our pathogenic threshold (-71.5% reduction vs

WT) under "homozygous" conditions, after being combined with WT GAT-1, all of these

variants demonstrate GABA uptake similar to that of pathogenic variants combined with

WT. This observation raises questions regarding the most appropriate conditions for

defining the pathogenicity of mild GAT-1 variants.

Future work should examine the basis for this apparent negative interaction with WT

GAT-1 in the "heterozygous" setting, and whether it better correlates with *in vivo* assays

of GABA uptake and clinical severity especially for variants with mild loss-of-function.

4.3. Case report highlights limitations of GABA uptake assays

We also report the case summary of a boy with NAFE whose M55T variant in *SLC6A1*
is associated with normal GABA uptake by the iGABA assay. This variant has been
reported once (ClinVar 1307517) but with limited information regarding associated
clinical findings or inheritance, and its effects on GABA uptake have not been previously
reported. We are confident in our assessment of GABA uptake, given the high
correlation between prior radioactive studies and the iGABA assay, as well as the fact
that the level of GABA transport activity associated with M55T is indistinguishable from
WT, while being higher than any of the pathogenic or VUS variants we studied (15 total,
including M55T). This suggests that the M55T variant does not alter GABA transport
under these conditions, but we cannot exclude the possibility for different effects under
different assay conditions (as discussed in **Section 4.2**), or *in vivo*, nor can we exclude
its involvement in other less well-characterized mechanisms that may contribute to
SLC6A1 haploinsufficiency. At the same time, we do not believe that the co-occurring
VUS in *GRIK2* is a better explanation, as the patient's case is not clinically consistent
with what is currently known regarding *GRIK2*-associated NDD or epilepsy (see
Section 3.5). Together, his case highlights the challenge of establishing genetic
diagnoses when limited functional evidence is available.

For additional context, we wondered how often clinically ascertained missense variants
in *SLC6A1* are not associated with changes in GABA uptake. Based on the most
comprehensive analysis of clinically ascertained GAT-1 variants published to date(Silva
et al., 2024)(which did not evaluate M55T), there are 28 variants (out of 192 analyzed,
~14.6%) whose [3H]-GABA transporter activity was unchanged or hyperfunctioning,
suggesting it is not a rare phenomenon. Half (14 out of 28) were reported in association
with epilepsy. Many of these variants are observed in the general population (gnomAD)
and their inheritance patterns not reported, reducing confidence in a causal association.
However, at least one nearby variant also located in TM1a, p.F51Y, is not present in
population databases, has conflicting interpretations in ClinVar (1 benign, and 1
uncertain), and has been reported to have normal GABA uptake and surface expression
(Silva et al., 2024).

Similarly, Silva et al. reported that across epilepsy types, variants reported in
association with NAFE (as in our patient) showed GABA uptake that was exclusively in

the "typical" or unaffected range. In contrast, variants associated with EMAS were

predominantly severe LoF. Although Silva et al. concluded that *SLC6A1* may therefore

not be associated with NAFE, this phenomenon could also suggest limitations of

heterologous cell lines or assay conditions to recapitulate disease-relevant functions of

GAT-1, particularly for variants with mild or no effects on GABA uptake. Ultimately, the

pathogenicity of this class of variants warrants further investigation, ideally in animal

models where comprehensive evaluations in an intact biological system are possible.

4.4 Drug screening.

Last we demonstrated the suitability of iGABA for compound screening to identify novel

positive modulators of GAT-1. We showed how 4PBA -- a molecular chaperone which

appears to increase GAT-1-mediated GABA transport *in vitro* and in preclinical mouse

models(Nwosu et al., 2022) – has a mild effect in our assay at specific concentrations of

extracellular GABA (1uM). We believe this is largely consistent with the magnitude of

effect reported in the literature (~1.2-1.4x increase), accounting for differences in

reported assay conditions(Nwosu et al., 2022). Although 4PBA may show clinical

promise, the availability of a high-throughput image-based assay of GAT-1 function may

facilitate the identification of more specific positive modulators of GAT-1.

4.5 Advantages and limitations of iGABA

Overall, the iGABA assay has the advantages of lower cost and regulatory restrictions

compared to radioactive assays, it is portable to any lab with fluorescence microscopy

and can be adapted to any high-throughput workflow using automated imaging. We

anticipate iGABA will be sensitive to a broad domain of therapeutic agents, including

agents that affect uptake rate, surface expression, and intracellular GABA retention, all

of which may be biologically relevant for GABA regulation.

We also acknowledge limitations in the iGABA assay. First, the assay measures steady-

state intracellular GABA at a single timepoint, not the rate of GABA uptake, making it

less sensitive at short incubation durations compared to radioactive uptake, and

possibly confounded by other processes affecting the intracellular accumulation of

GABA (such as GABA metabolism, sequestration or release). Nevertheless, PAMs

enhancing steady-state levels would likely translate to increased GABA clearance *in*

vivo, a therapeutic goal for SLC6A1-related disorders like epilepsy. Second, as

previously reported(Marvin et al., 2019), compounds with structural similarity to GABA

may be a source of false positives in the context of drug screening with iGABA-Snfr,

necessitating confirmation of active compounds by orthogonal assays. Third, as

discussed, heterologous cell lines may not recapitulate neural-specific modes of GAT-1

regulation and function. Fourth, the described method is limited to coding variants but

could be easily extended to assess effects of non-coding or splicing related variants in

patient-derived cells, as reported for [3H]-GABA assays(Nwosu et al., 2022).

5. Conclusion

In summary, the non-radioactive iGABA assay appears suitable for functional validation of GAT-1 variants as well as high-throughput compound screening to identify positive modulators of GAT-1.

6. Data and reagent availability statement. All of the data generated in the present study are available upon request. Plasmids from this study (V006-2, V007-2.2) will be deposited in AddGene. The hGAT1-iGABA cell line is available upon request.

7. Acknowledgements.

We thank Lee Barrett for technical assistance. This work made use of resources through the F.M. Kirby Assay Development and Screening Facility (ADSF) at Boston Children's Hospital. We thank Amber Freed (SLC6A1 Connect), Michael Kavanaugh (University of Montana) and Henry Lee (BCH) for helpful discussions, and Jonathan Marvin (Janelia) for providing the data regarding the direct effects of 4PBA on iGABA-Snfr fluorescence.

8. Author contributions using the CRediT taxonomy.

CM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. GZ: Investigation. GP: Investigation. KW: Writing – review and editing. AP: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review and editing.

9. Funding information. CMM was supported by NIH / NINDS K08NS118107. AP was supported by the Diamond Blackfan Chair in Neuroscience Research and the Robinson Fund for Transformative Research in Epilepsy.

10. Competing interests. The authors have no competing interests to declare. Dr. Poduri was an employee of the National Institutes of Health during the initial drafting of this manuscript. This report does not represent the official view of NIH or any part of the U.S. Federal Government. No official support or endorsement of this article by the NINDS or NIH is intended or should be inferred.

11. Figure captions

Figure 1. Development of a cell-based assay for hGAT-1 function using iGABA-Snfr fluorescence. (**A**) Schematic of constructs (pCDNA3.1) used to express human GAT-1 (*upper*) and a genetically encoded GABA sensor, iGABA-Snfr (*lower*) with stoichiometrically linked fluorophores. Not to scale. (**B**) Approach to quantifying GAT-1-mediated GABA uptake in HeLa cells. In the presence of exogenous GABA and GAT-1 protein, elevated intracellular concentration of GABA ($[GABA]_i$) is reflected by increased fluorescence by iGABA-Snfr. (**C**) Workflow for assays to validate the function of GAT-1 variants and the detection of agonist compounds. (**D**) Representative images of iGABA assay and mock-up of automated analysis to measure per-cell average iGABA-Snfr fluorescence. Scale bar = 50 um. (**E**) Relative frequency histograms of iGABA-Snfr fluorescence after incubation with GABA (100uM) versus vehicle (DMSO), as measured by automated analysis at the per-cell level within a single site (*top*) and the per-well averages (*lower*) across a representative 96-well plate (N=48 wells per condition, 4 sites per well).

Figure 2. Validation of iGABA-Snfr assay for assessing GAT-1 loss-of-function variants.
(**A**) Schematic of full-length human GAT-1 protein (Uniprot P30531) and the location/range of deletions implicated in ΔCPD (cytoplasmic domain), ΔECD (extracellular domain), and ΔTM6-7 (transmembrane domains 6 and 7). (**B**) GABA concentration series (5-log range) demonstrates enhanced intracellular GABA accumulation in wildtype GAT-1 compared to GAT-1 constructs harboring deletions of critical domains (ECD, CPD, and TM6-7). (Two-way ANOVA, with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test; 6 families, 3 comparisons per family, relative to WT). (**C-D**) Normalized change in iGABA fluorescence (C) and as %WT (D) is significantly greater

in wildtype GAT-1 versus GAT-1 deletion constructs at GABA concentrations 1uM-

100uM. For each construct, data are the difference in iGABA fluorescence between

GABA conditions and vehicle control, divided by vehicle control. (Two-way ANOVA, with

Dunnett's multiple comparisons test; 3 families, 3 comparisons per family, relative to

WT). (**E**) The robust strictly standardized mean difference (RSSMD) and robust z-prime

are calculated between positive (GABA 1uM) and negative (vehicle) controls (*right*)

across replicate plates. For B-D, assay format is 96-well plate, transient transfection,

incubation time 6-7 hrs. Data are the mean +/- SEM of independent per-well averages

of iGABA (4 wells per condition (construct x GABA concentration), 4 sites per well)

Figure 3. Validation of iGABA-Snfr assay for assessing human GAT-1 variants.

(**A**) Schematic of human GAT-1 protein (Uniprot P30531) and human variants tested in

this study. Boxes indicate the amino acid substitution associated with each missense

variant. Color coding indicates clinical classification based on ClinVar. (**B**)

Representative results of iGABA assay as a function of variant. Data are fractional

changes in GABA fluorescence expressed as mean +/- sem in the presence of GABA

1uM relative to untreated control for each construct (4 wells per condition). (**C**)

Significant impairments in fractional iGABA change is noted in all pathogenic variants

tested and a subset of VUS. Data are mean +/- sem for grouped variants shown in B.

(n=4 wells per condition). Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunnett's test; *, $p<0.05$. (**D**) iGABA

test-retest correlation for GAT-1 variants is high (R^2=0.8498). Data are fractional GABA

uptake relative to WT control from two independent experiments (n=4 wells per

condition). (**E**) Variant-specific GABA fluorescence is closely correlated (R^2=0.8095)

with published 3H-GABA uptake assays. Data is adapted from the "UCSF dataset"

published by Silva et al AJHG 2024 (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2024.04.02). (**F**)

Significant correlations between fractional iGABA and PolyPhen2 (i) and AlphaMissense

(ii) scores

Figure 4. Pathogenic variants in GAT-1 are associated with reduced steady-state

iGABA. (**A**) Time-course experiments following 1-6 hours incubation demonstrate

marked reduction in plateau of $[GABA]_{in}$ mediated by mutant GAT-1 V342M versus GAT-

1 WT control. Data are average +/- s.e.m. (n=15 wells per timepoint x condition). Non-

linear fits were computed based on pseudo-first order association kinetics, according to

the equation `Y=Y0 + (Plateau-Y0)*(1-exp(-K*x))`. Statistics are unpaired t-test

with Welch correction with FDR correction. ****, adjusted *p*<0.0001. (**B-C**) Parameters

from non-linear fit of (A) shows significantly reduced steady-state levels (parameter

Plateau; B) but no significant difference in the rate constant (parameter K; C). Data are

best-fit parameter values +/- 95% C.I.

Figure 5. Complex variant-dependent interactions between WT and mutant GAT-1

affect GABA uptake. (**A-B**) Effects of combining GAT-1 variants with equimolar WT

GAT-1 by transient transfection show additive (A) and non-additive (B) effects. Data are

fractional change in iGABA relative to control (4 wells per condition), presented as mean

+/- sem. The MUT series (*open boxes*) are normalized by WT, while MUT+WT data

(*checkerboard*) are normalized by 2xWT. Statistics are Dunnett's test for differences

between MUT vs MUT+WT for each variant followed by false-discovery rate (FDR)

correction (n.s., not significant. *, adj p*<0.05. **, adj p*<0.01. ***, adj p*<0.001).

Figure 6. iGABA assay can evaluate functional effects of novel coding sequence

variants on GABA transport. (A) The p.M55T variant is located within the 1st

transmembrane (TM) domain of GAT-1 (Uniprot P30531). Sanger sequencing of the

GAT-1 p.M55T construct used in iGABA assay is shown. (**B**) Pathogenicity prediction

scores for p.M55T are equivocal, with several suggesting deleterious effect but others

not (PolyPhen2, MetaLR). (**C**) Approach to assay the effects of novel human GAT-1

variants on iGABA (i) and its negligible effects on GABA uptake (ii), in good

correspondence with PolyPhen2 score (iii). Data are mean +/- sem, expressed relative

to WT controls (n=15 wells per condition; 6 sites per well). Statistics are unpaired T-test

with Welch's correction. n.s., not significant.

Figure 7. Mild effect of 4-phenylbutyric acid (4PBA) on GAT-1 activity in iGABA

assay.

(**A**) Structure of 4-phenylbutyric acid, 4PBA (i) and schematic for experiment (ii). (**B**)

Mild enhancement of iGABA by 4PBA following application of GABA (0.1-10uM). Data

are mean +/- sem. (n=4 wells per condition; 96-well format; 6 hour incubation). Controls

include two duplicate vehicle controls (H2O; Vehicle1-2), and a DMSO (0.05%) control.

Statistics are one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison relative to

control ("Vehicle 1"). n.s., not significant. *, $p<0.05$, **, $p<0.01$, ***, $p<0.001$.

Figure 8. Validation of high-throughput screening strategy to identify GAT-1

agonists using iGABA fluorescence in live cells. (**A**) Proposed work-flow for high-

throughput screening includes: 1) stable HeLa cell line expressing iGABA-Snfr and

hGAT-1 in 384-well format; 2) application of GABA + test compounds using automated

liquid handler (Bravo). The GABA concentration for screening is 1uM, given highly

specific GAT-1-dependent uptake at this range. As there are no known GAT-1 agonists,

a higher concentration of GABA (5uM) is used as a positive control. Incubation duration

= 6-8 hrs; 3) measurement of iGABA fluorescence using automated high-content

imaging (IXM); 4) plate normalization and quality control; 5) hit detection and

prioritization based on robust strictly standardized mean difference (RSSMD). In a

primary screen, compounds whose RSSMD versus on-plate negative controls (GABA

1uM) exceed the $RSSMD_{FPR \leq 5\%}$ threshold are considered hits; compounds whose

RSSMD exceed the $RSSMD_{TPR \geq 95\%}$ threshold have effect size similar to positive

control. (**B**) A linear model (i) estimates the variance in iGABA explained by covariates

(ii). Data are barplots of model R^2 or residual R^2 values calculated from ANOVA, and

written beside each bar . Statistics are F-tests from ANOVA. ***, $p<0.001$. (**C**) Assay

quality based on RSSMD between positive control (GABA 5uM) and negative control

(GABA 1uM) for raw iGABA (*left*) and adjusted iGABA (*right*) following plate

normalization. Data are RSSMD of iGABA fluorescence (n=28 wells per replicate x

condition) from 2 plates, each with 2 replicas (=4 replicates). (**D-E**) Bootstrap

simulations from raw iGABA (D) and adjusted iGABA (E) to identify RSSMD screening

thesholds for a primary screen without replicates. Thresholds are shown as functions of

the number of on-plate replicate controls versus a single test well. The threshold for hit detection (*blue*) maintains FPR≤5%, while the threshold for detecting compounds with effects as large as positive control (GABA 5uM; *red*) maintains TPR≥95%. Vertical dotted lines indicate the RSSMD thresholds @ N=10 replicates . See Method section for further details.

12. Table 1. Clinical and molecular characterization of GAT-1 variants investigated in this study.

13. Supplementary material

Supplementary Figure 1-4 and captions.

Supplementary Table 1.

14. References.

Cai, K., Wang, J., Eissman, J., Wang, J., Nwosu, G., Shen, W., Liang, H.-C., Li, X.-J., Zhu, H.-X., Yi, Y.-H., Song, J., Xu, D., Delpire, E., Liao, W.-P., Shi, Y.-W., & Kang, J.-Q. (2019). A missense mutation in SLC6A1 associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome impairs GABA transporter 1 protein trafficking and function. *Experimental Neurology*, *320*, 112973. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2019.112973

Carvill, G. L., McMahon, J. M., Schneider, A., Zemel, M., Myers, C. T., Saykally, J., Nguyen, J., Robbiano, A., Zara, F., Specchio, N., Mecarelli, O., Smith, R. L., Leventer, R. J., Møller, R. S., Nikanorova, M., Dimova, P., Jordanova, A., Petrou, S., Helbig, I., … Mefford, H. C. (2015). Mutations in the GABA Transporter SLC6A1 Cause Epilepsy with Myoclonic-Atonic Seizures. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, *96*(5), 808–815. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.02.016

Eglen, R. M., & Reisine, T. (2009). New Insights into GPCR Function: Implications for HTS. In

W. R. Leifert (Ed.), *G Protein-Coupled Receptors in Drug Discovery* (pp. 1–13). Humana

Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-317-6_1

Johannesen, K. M., Gardella, E., Linnankivi, T., Courage, C., Saint Martin, A., Lehesjoki, A.-E.,

Mignot, C., Afenjar, A., Lesca, G., Abi-Warde, M.-T., Chelly, J., Piton, A., Merritt, J. L.,

Rodan, L. H., Tan, W.-H., Bird, L. M., Nespeca, M., Gleeson, J. G., Yoo, Y., … Møller, R.

S. (2018). Defining the phenotypic spectrum of SLC6A1 mutations. *Epilepsia*, *59*(2),

389–402. https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13986

Lindquist, B. E., Voskobiynyk, Y., Goodspeed, K., & Paz, J. T. (2023). Patient-derived SLC6A1

variant S295L results in an epileptic phenotype similar to haploinsufficient mice.

Epilepsia, *64*(10), e214–e221. https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.17731

Marvin, J. S., Shimoda, Y., Magloire, V., Leite, M., Kawashima, T., Jensen, T. P., Kolb, I., Knott,

E. L., Novak, O., Podgorski, K., Leidenheimer, N. J., Rusakov, D. A., Ahrens, M. B.,

Kullmann, D. M., & Looger, L. L. (2019). A genetically encoded fluorescent sensor for in

vivo imaging of GABA. *Nature Methods*, *16*(8), 763–770. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-

019-0471-2

Mattison, K. A., Butler, K. M., Inglis, G. A. S., Dayan, O., Boussidan, H., Bhambhani, V.,

Philbrook, B., Silva, C., Alexander, J. J., Kanner, B. I., & Escayg, A. (2018). SLC6A1

variants identified in epilepsy patients reduce γ-aminobutyric acid transport. *Epilepsia*,

59(9), e135–e141. https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.14531

Nwosu, G., Mermer, F., Flamm, C., Poliquin, S., Shen, W., Rigsby, K., & Kang, J. Q. (2022). 4-

Phenylbutyrate restored γ-aminobutyric acid uptake and reduced seizures in SLC6A1

patient variant-bearing cell and mouse models. *Brain Communications*, *4*(3), fcac144.

https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcac144

Rees, E., Han, J., Morgan, J., Carrera, N., Escott-Price, V., Pocklington, A. J., Duffield, M., Hall,

L. S., Legge, S. E., Pardiñas, A. F., Richards, A. L., Roth, J., Lezheiko, T., Kondratyev,

N., Kaleda, V., Golimbet, V., Parellada, M., González-Peñas, J., … Owen, M. J. (2020).

De novo mutations identified by exome sequencing implicate rare missense variants in

SLC6A1 in schizophrenia. *Nature Neuroscience*, *23*(2), 179–184.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0565-2

Richerson, G. B., & Wu, Y. (2004). Role of the GABA Transporter in Epilepsy. In D. K. Binder &

H. E. Scharfman (Eds.), *Recent Advances in Epilepsy Research* (Vol. 548, pp. 76–91).

Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-6376-8_6

Shen, W., Nwosu, G., Honer, M., Clasadonte, J., Schmalzbauer, S., Biven, M., Langer, K.,

Flamm, C., Poliquin, S., Mermer, F., Dedeurwaerdere, S., Hernandez, M.-C., & Kang, J.-

Q. (2024). γ-Aminobutyric acid transporter and GABAA receptor mechanisms in

Slc6a1+/A288V and Slc6a1+/S295L mice associated with developmental and epileptic

encephalopathies. *Brain Communications*, *6*(2), fcae110.

https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcae110

Silva, D. B., Trinidad, M., Ljungdahl, A., Revalde, J. L., Berguig, G. Y., Wallace, W., Patrick, C.

S., Bomba, L., Arkin, M., Dong, S., Estrada, K., Hutchinson, K., LeBowitz, J. H.,

Schlessinger, A., Johannesen, K. M., Møller, R. S., Giacomini, K. M., Froelich, S.,

Sanders, S. J., & Wuster, A. (2024). Haploinsufficiency underlies the

neurodevelopmental consequences of SLC6A1 variants. *The American Journal of*

Human Genetics, *111*(6), 1222–1238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2024.04.021

Stolz, J. R., Foote, K. M., Veenstra-Knol, H. E., Pfundt, R., ten Broeke, S. W., de Leeuw, N.,

Roht, L., Pajusalu, S., Part, R., Rebane, I., Õunap, K., Stark, Z., Kirk, E. P., Lawson, J.

A., Lunke, S., Christodoulou, J., Louie, R. J., Rogers, R. C., Davis, J. M., … Swanson,

G. T. (2021). Clustered mutations in the GRIK2 kainate receptor subunit gene underlie

diverse neurodevelopmental disorders. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *108*(9),

1692–1709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.07.007

Wu, Y., Wang, W., Díez-Sampedro, A., & Richerson, G. B. (2007). Nonvesicular inhibitory

neurotransmission via reversal of the GABA transporter GAT-1. *Neuron, 56*(5), 851–865.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.021

Zhang, X. D. (2011). Illustration of SSMD, z score, SSMD*, z* score, and t statistic for hit

selection in RNAi high-throughput screens. *Journal of Biomolecular Screening, 16*(7),

775–785. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057111405851

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

GAT-1

Classification
- Benign, Likely benign
- Pathogenic, Likely pathogenic
- Uncertain significance

B

normalized iGABA (a.u.), relative to on-plate WT control

Wildtype | Benign variants | Untrans-fected | GAT1 deletions | Pathogenic variants | VUS

Variants: WT #1, WT #2, WT #3, WT #4, WT #5, WT #6, WT #7, R195H, I322M, no hGAT1, no GAT1, delECD, delCPD, delTM6-7, V342M, G362R, A357V, G232V, A288V, G75R, S295L, R419C, F242V, R211C, R566H, G111R, S459R, V511M

C

GABA uptake (% reduction vs WT)

- Pathogenic variants
- VUS reduced
- VUS not reduced
- Benign

D

Experiment2 iGABA (relative to WT) vs Experiment1 iGABA (relative to WT)

- WT
- Benign
- VUS
- Pathogenic

R² = 0.8498, p < 0.0001

E

3H-GABA (relative to WT) vs iGABA (relative to WT)

R² = 0.8095, p < 0.0001

F i

fractional change in iGABA (relative to WT) vs PolyPhen2 score (0-1)

R² = 0.4874, p < 0.0001

ii

fractional change in iGABA (relative to WT) vs AlphaMissense score (0-1)

R² = 0.7181, p < 0.0001

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

M55T

CPD TM TM TM ECD TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM

45   50   55
R D T W K G R F D F L T S

CPD   TM1

CGGGACACGTGGAAGGGCCGCTTCGACTTCCTCACGTCC

B

Functional prediction of GAT-1 p.M55T variant	
Revel	Deleterious (0.72)
AlphaMissense	Moderate (0.934)
MUT Assessor	Medium (2.32)
SIFT	Deleterious (0)
PolyPhen2	Benign (0.222)
MetaLR	Benign (low, 0.39)

C i

1 *Patient variant (site-directed mutagenesis)* **2** *Transient transfection* **3** *GABA Treatment* **4** *Assessment of GABA uptake*

GAT-1 → GAT-1 p.M55T

iGABA-Snfr

+GABA (1uM)

ii

WT   M55T

Fractional GABA uptake (relative to WT)

n.s.

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

iii

WT   M55T

fractional change in iGABA (relative to WT)

1.0

0.0

-0.5

0.15   0.5   0.85 1.0

Benign   Possibly damaging   Probably damaging

PolyPhen2 score (0-1)

Figure 7

Ai 4PBA

ii
1 *Stable cell line*
2 *Drug Treatment*
3 *Assessment of GABA uptake*

+GABA (0.1-10uM)
+4PBA 6 hrs

hGAT-1 iGABA-Snfr

B

Fractional change in iGABA fluorescence

- Vehicle1
- Vehicle2
- DMSO 0.05
- 4PBA 1mM
- 4PBA 3mM

GABA: 100nM, 1uM, 10uM

Figure 8

A
1 *Stable cell line*
2 *Drug treatment*
3 *Measurement of iGABA fluorescence*
4 *Normalization*
5 *Hit detection*

hGAT-1 iGABA-Snfr

+GABA (1uM) + test compounds

raw iGABA

adjusted iGABA

RSSMD$_{Pos\ Ctrl,\ TPR \geq 95\%}$

RSSMD$_{Neg\ Ctrl,\ FPR \leq 5\%}$

B i

iGABA fluorescence data

Fit linear model to predict iGABA

iGABA ~ Row * Column*Order + Dose + Plate

Row: 1 ... 16
Column: 1 ... 24
Ordered Well ID: 1 ... 384
Plate#

Dose [GABA, M]

A   B

0 ··· 1e-5

ii

Model terms:
- Dose_num — 0.714 ***
- Column. — 0.133 ***
- Residuals — 0.118
- Column.:Order — 0.013 ***
- Plate — 0.011 ***
- Row_num — 0.007 ***
- Row_num:Column. — 0.004 ***
- Row_num:Order — 0.001
- Order — 1.027e-004
- Row_num:Column.:Order — 2.669e-005

R^2 (0-1)

C
RSSMD (GABA 5uM (P) vs GABA 1uM (N))

- Replicate 1A
- Replicate 1B
- Replicate 2A
- Replicate 2B

raw iGABA   adj iGABA

D *Raw iGABA*

- GABA 1uM (vs 1uM) FPR≤5%
- GABA 5uM (vs 1uM) TPR≥95%

$\widehat{RSSMD}$ threshold

RS$\widehat{S}$MD=2.69
RS$\widehat{S}$MD=1.86

Resample size

E *Adjusted iGABA (LMM)*

- GABA 1uM (vs 1uM) FPR≤5%
- GABA 5uM (vs 1uM) TPR≥95%

$\widehat{RSSMD}$ threshold

$\widehat{RSSMD}$=5.85
$\widehat{RSSMD}$=1.9

Resample size

Table 1. Clinical and molecular characterization of GAT-1 variants investigated in this study.

Human variant	Variant name	Variant name (dbSNP)	Consequence specific allele	Protein allele	Variant start (aa)	PolyPhen2 prediction	PolyPhen2	SIFT prediction	SIFT score	Variant supporting evidence	ClinVar or ACMG classification	Unique cases	% variant a/w epilepsy	iGABA fluorescence (%WT)
p.M55T	Met55Thr	rs2124905468	T/C	M/T	55	benign	0.22	deleterious	0	Phenotype_or_Disease, gnomAD	Uncertain significance	2	50% (1/2)	+10%
p.G75R	Gly75Arg	rs1064795852	G/A	G/R	75	possibly damaging	0.931	tolerated	0.05	Cited, Phenotype_or_Disease	Likely pathogenic / pathogenic	3	100% (3/3)	-103.9%
p.G111R	Gly111Arg	rs1559622516	G/A	G/R	111	probably damaging	1	deleterious	0	Phenotype_or_Disease	Conflicting interpretations (uncertain significance, likely pathogenic)	2	50% (1/2)	-92.5%
p.R195H	Arg195His	rs773445048	G/A	R/H	195	possibly damaging	0.682	tolerated	0.07	ExAC,Frequency, gnomAD, Phenotype_or_Disease, TOPMed	Benign	1	100% (1/1)	+1.3%
p.R211C	Arg211Cys	rs756927822	C/T	R/C	211	probably damaging	1	deleterious	0	ExAC,Frequency, gnomAD	Uncertain significance	1	0% (0/1)	-36.8%
p.G232V	Gly232Val	rs1697444014	G/T	G/V	232	probably damaging	1	deleterious	0	Phenotype_or_Disease	Likely pathogenic	4	75% (3/4)	-108%
p.F242V	Phe242Val	rs1399297934	T/G	F/V	242	benign	0.002	tolerated	0.1	Frequency,gnomAD, TOPMed	Uncertain significance	2	100% (2/2)	-30.6%
p.A288V	Ala288Val	rs794726860	C/T	A/V	288	probably damaging	1	deleterious	0	Cited, Phenotype_or_Disease	Likely pathogenic / pathogenic	7	71.4% (5/7)	-93.6%
p.S295L	Ser295Leu	rs1574907241	C/T	S/L	295	probably damaging	1	deleterious	0	Phenotype_or_Disease	Likely pathogenic*	1	0% (0/1)	-103.3%
p.I322M	Ile322Met	rs757627416	C/G	I/M	322	probably damaging	1	tolerated	0.33	ExAC,Frequency, gnomAD, TOPMed, Phenotype_or_Disease	Likely benign**, uncertain significance	1	100% (1/1)	-31.6%
p.V342M	Val342M	rs760836450	G/A	V/M	342	probably damaging	0.999	deleterious	0	Cited,ExAC,Frequency, gnomAD, Phenotype_or_Disease, TOPMed	Pathogenic	11	90.9% (10/11)	-61.3%
p.A357V	Ala357Val	rs1553689859	C/T	A/V	357	probably damaging	0.997	deleterious	0.02	Cited, Phenotype_or_Disease	Pathogenic	4	100% (4/4)	-89.8%
p.G362R	Gly362Arg	rs1131691302	G/A	G/R	362	probably damaging	0.987	deleterious	0	Cited, Phenotype_or_Disease	Likely pathogenic / pathogenic	3	100% (3/3)	-79.7%
p.R419C	Arg419Cys	rs910130675	C/T	R/C	419	possibly damaging	0.682	deleterious	0.01	Frequency,gnomAD, Phenotype_or_Disease, TOPMed	Uncertain significance	1	100% (1/1)	-21.3%
p.S459R	Ser459Arg	rs1064795099	C/A	S/R	459	probably damaging	0.994	deleterious	0.02	Cited, Phenotype_or_Disease	Conflicting interpretations (likely pathogenic, uncertain significance)	2	100% (2/2)	-102.6%
p.V511M	Val511Met	rs1064794981	G/A	V/M	511	probably damaging	0.965	tolerated	0.06	Cited, Phenotype_or_Disease	Conflicting interpretations (uncertain significance, likely pathogenic, pathogenic)	3	100% (3/3)	-108.5
p.R566H	Arg566His	rs767142926	G/A	R/H	566	probably damaging	0.998	deleterious	0	ExAC,Frequency, gnomAD, Phenotype_or_Disease	Uncertain significance	1	100% (1/1)	-45.9%

Data related to number of unique cases and prevalence of epilepsy were retrieved from SLC6A1 Portal (Sept 8, 2022). iGABA fluorescence values are the average of two independent experiments.

*, reported as "Uncertain Significance" in ClinVar, but "Likely pathogenic" supported by ACMG criteria due to PM1 (missense variant in mutational hot spot or well-defined domain), PP2 (missense variant in gene with low rate of benign missense variants), PM2 (extremely low frequency in gnomAD).

**, the variant is not present in ClinVar, but predicted "Likely benign" in Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. By ACMG criteria, it is consistent with VUS due to PM2 (low frequency in gnomAD), PP2 (missense variant in gene with low rate of benign missense variants)

Supplementary Figure 1. Deletion constructs interfere with non-specific GABA uptake. Transfection of GAT-1 deletion mutants reduces GABA uptake relative to untransfected ("no GAT-1") control, particularly at higher extracellular GABA concentrations (100uM). Assay format is 96-well plate, transient transfection, incubation time 6-7 hrs. Data are the mean +/- SEM of independent per-well averages of iGABA (4 wells per condition (construct x GABA concentration), 4 sites per well). (Two-way ANOVA, with Tukey's comparison test. ****, $p < 0.0001$)

Supplementary Figure 2. Assay optimization. A) Overnight pretreatment with GAT-1 inhibitor (tiagabine) followed by wash-out increases fractional change in iGABA across all GABA concentrations tested. Statistics are ANOVA followed by Sidak's test (1 family, 5 comparisons, 1 per row (GABA); ****, $p<0.0001$. **B**) GABA fluorescence increases rapidly following incubation with GABA 100uM, but continues to increase at later timepoints (4 sites per well, 45 wells per condition). Statistics are ANOVA followed by Sidak's test (7 families, 1 comparison per row (GABA), 10 comparisons per column (Time); ****, $p<0.0001$.

Supplementary Figure 3. No effect of 4-phenylbutyrate on iGABA-Snfr fluorescence. Data are average normalized fluorescence +/- S.D. (n= 3 replicates per condition) from iGABA-Snfr.F102Y.Y137L incubated with GABA (*black*) or 4-phenylbutyrate (4-PB; *grey*) across a 6-log range of ligand concentrations. Circularly permuted super-folder GFP with 4-PB (*green*) is shown as a control.

Supplementary Figure 4. Methods of plate normalization. (**A**) Approach to plate normalization based on linear mixed model (LMM). (**B**) Graphical representation of methods based on linear model vs LMM to extract background (ii) and adjust iGABA (iii).

Supplementary Table 1. Primers for mutagenesis

V006 M55T F   ttcctcaCgtcctgtgtgtgggctatgccatc

V006 M55T R   cacaggacGtgaggaagtcgaagcggc

V006 A357V F   atgtggTggcctcaggcccc

V006 A357V R   tgaggccAccacatcagcaatggacc

V006 G111R F   ctccatcCgggggctaggggtatggaagc

V006 G111R R   gcccccGgatggaggtgtactggcc

V006 G362R F   aggccccAggctggcgttcct

V006 G362R R   cgccagccTggggcctgag

V006 G75 R   tttttccTgcagagatagggggaacctccagacg

V006 G75R F   ctctgcAggaaaaatggtgggggagcctt

V006 I322M F   ccatcatGgtctgctgcatcaattcgtgc

V006 I322M R   cagacCatgatggagtccctgtagac

V006 L54F F   gacttcTtcatgtcctgtgtgggctatgcca

V006 L54F R   acatgaAgaagtcgaagcggcccttccac

V006 R195H F   gggagcAcaacatgcatcagatgacggacg

V006 R195H R   atgttgTgctcccagaactccaccacag

V006 R211C F   tcagatcTgctggccactggcca

V006 R211C R   ggccagcAgatctgacctggcttatccagc

V006 R419C F   cgcaacTgcagagagctcttcattgct

V006 R419C R   ctctgcAgttgcggaggagcctgg

V006 S295L F   tcttctTatacgggctgggcctggg

V006 S295L R   cccgtatAagaagaagatctgggttgccgc

V006 S459R F   gcatgagGctgctgttcctcgtgttct

V006 S459R R   acagcagCctcatgccactggcagagtagt

V006 S574R F	gcccagGgaagacatcgttcgccca
V006 S574R R	gtcttcCctgggctggaccatgacttggat
V006 del TM6-7 F	gatgcggcaacccagggcttcatgg
V006 del TM6-7 R	ctgggttgccgcatccagccacac
V006 del-CPD F2	ATAGGgGCCaCCatgttcctcatgtcc
V006 del-CPD R2	catGGtGGCcCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTAATTTCGA
V006 del-ECD F2	ctacatcgtcatcatctggccactggcc
V006 del-ECD R	gatgatgacgatgtagtagatgttcagccagaatgatagcac
V006 A288V F	ctggatgTggcaacccagatcttcttctcat
V006 A288V R	ggttgccAcatccagccacacctc
V006 F242V F	tggtctacGtttcagccacatacccctacatcat
V006 F242V R	ctgaaaCgtagaccacctttccagtccagccaa
V006 G232V F	ctggaaggTtgttggctggactggaaag
V006 G232V R	ccaacaAccttccagatacagaaatacacaaggatcca
V006 K448R F	tcttcaGactctttgactactactctgccagtggc
V006 K448R R	caaagagtCtgaagacataaataccccctgagtgatgt
V006 R566H F	aagcagcAcatccaagtcatggtcca
V006 R566H R	ttggatgTgctgcttcagggagc
V006 V342M F	tccatcAtgggcttcatggcccatgt
V006 V342M R	tgaagcccaTgatggagaagatgacgaatc
V006 V511M F	ggcgggcAtgttcattttcagtgctgtgc
V006 V511M R	atgaacaTgcccgccacaatgattggtgt