Next-generation enhancer AAVs for selective interspecies targeting of midbrain dopaminergic neurons

Kian A. Caplan*[1], Ke Chen†[1], Martin Wienisch†[1], Yongqi Wang†[2], Ruoyang Chai[1], In-Hye Kang[1], Cindy Szyin Chen[1], Ricardo del Rosario[3], Fenna Krienen*[2], Fan Wang*[1], Guoping Feng*[1]

1 Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

2 Princeton Neuroscience Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

3 Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA USA

†Authors contributed equally

*Correspondence: corresponding.author@institute.edu

Keywords: dopamine; enhancer-AAV, marmoset, mouse

Abstract

To enable genetic access without genetically engineered animals, we develop and benchmark enhancer–AAVs for selectively targeting dopamine (DA) neurons across mice and marmosets. 12 DA enhancer candidates were nominated through integrated single-nucleus RNA-seq/ATAC-seq analysis of marmoset ventral midbrain tissue. We identified two leads (cjDAE8, cjDAE4) by systemic AAV delivery and dual-color fluorescence screening in mice. Local co-injection of both AAVs in marmoset confirmed DA-restricted expression, with cjDAE8 exhibiting higher specificity. However, antibody amplification of reporter fluorescence exposed weakly labeled off-target cells (leaky expression). We therefore engineered next-generation AAV backbones for cjDAE8 to strengthen expression while limiting leakiness. Quantitative histology comparing natural versus antibody-amplified fluorescence defined AAV doses for achieving both high efficiency and greater than 90–95% specificity of DA neuron labeling neurons across injection routes. We demonstrate applications for (i) retrograde targeting of projection-defined DA populations in marmoset, (ii) fiber-photometric recording of divergent DA-axonal dynamics in mouse striatal subregions, and (iii) driving optogenetic VTA-DA self-stimulation. Our results provide a resource for interspecies DA targeting and two practical guidelines: backbone context critically shapes enhancer performance, and antibody-amplified readouts rigorously assesses specificity.

Introduction

Dopamine (DA) plays a critical role in motor control, motivation, reward processing, and learning. Unsurprisingly, DA neuron dysfunction is featured across the clinical landscape, with

disorders ranging from neurological (e.g., Parkinson's disease)[1–3] to psychiatric (e.g., ADHD,

addiction, schizophrenia)[4–6]. The projections of DA neurons are widespread and far-reaching,

with distinct projection targets and functional specialization between terminals arising from the

substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and ventral tegmental area (VTA). Canonically, SNc DA

neurons regulate voluntary movements and motor learning through dense innervations of the

basal ganglia, forming the nigrostriatal pathway[1,2,7]. In contrast, VTA DA neurons are crucial for

motivated behavior and reward learning through their dense innervations of cortex, nucleus

accumbens, and limbic regions, forming the mesocorticolimbic pathway[8–10]. To selectively

access DA neurons in rodents, DA transporter (DAT)-IRES-Cre mice are widely used. Among

pan tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-Cre and DAT-Cre lines, only DAT-IRES-Cre mice achieved

>90% specificity of transgene expression in the literature, with others range between ~40–70%,

when crossed with Cre-dependent reporter lines[11,12] or injected with Cre-dependent AAV

expression cassettes[13–18]. While an invaluable research tool, there are also caveats to using

DAT-Cre mice. DAT protein levels are reduced by nearly 17% and 50% in heterozygotes and

homozygotes, respectively[19]. Furthermore, off-target Cre expression in nondopaminergic

populations of limbic brain regions (amygdala, septum, hypothalamus, habenula)[20] and abnormal

behaviors (baseline hyperactivity; reduced locomotion/stereotypy after amphetamine)[21] are

reported.

These features raise concerns that the DAT-Cre knock-in may perturb cellular activity and circuit

dynamics. Thus, even in rodents, there is a need for tools to selectively target DA neurons

without disturbing native transcriptional programs, circuit function, or behavior. In non-human

primates (NHPs), selective DA-neuron targeting has previously been pursued using transgenic

and viral strategies, with varying success. Yoshimatsu et al. (2023) characterized the first

founder TH-Cre marmoset but reports on the selectivity and efficiency of Cre-dependent

targeting await offspring[22], and the ~2-year maturation of marmosets limits widespread

availability[23]. Non-transgenic approaches include intersectional viral strategies based on

connectivity (e.g., AAVretro-Cre in a downstream target combined with Cre-dependent AAV in

midbrain) and cell-type specific promoters (e.g., AAV driving Cre expression under control of

the TH promoter or lentivirus directly driving transgene under control of the TH promoter)[24–27];

each faces challenges with specificity/efficiency, reproducibility, or payload size. Collectively,

the field still lacks fast-acting and physiologically faithful tools to access NHP DA neurons with

high specificity and efficiency.

In recent years, enhancer AAVs emerged as a promising tool for selective genetic access to

distinct brain cell types with varying granularity (i.e., region and subtype selectivity). Nominated

candidate sequences are cloned upstream of a minimal promoter in modified AAV constructs

and screened (*in vitro* or *in vivo*) to identify enhancers driving robust, efficient, and specific

expression in the targeted cell type[18,28–35]. Given that enhancer activity can have remarkable

conservation across species, enhancer AAVs are uniquely positioned for cross-species cell-type

selective targeting. Importantly, the technology circumvents transgenic animal lines altogether

and can be used in combination for multiplexed labeling and intersectional strategies. Enhancer

AAVs have been reported for cross-species (e.g., rodent, NHP, avian) targeting of cortical and

subcortical interneuron populations[28–32,34–37], layer-specific cortical excitatory projection

neurons[29,34,36], striatal interneurons and projection neurons[28,38,39], as well as granule cells of the

dentate gyrus[31]. Many of these cited enhancer tools are featured in the Genetic Tools Atlas

(RRID:SCR_025643), a publicly available resource by the Allen Institute that screened 1,720

enhancer AAV candidates for 44 different neuron and glial cell types. The Genetic Tools Atlas

screened 95 enhancer candidates for midbrain dopamine (DA) neurons in mouse, reporting one

candidate (AiE0888m) with high efficiency and >90% specificity (unpublished AiP13863,

addgene # 199773). Yet, to our knowledge, no enhancer AAVs have been reported that

specifically target midbrain DA neurons in NHPs.

Here, we developed an enhancer-AAV toolbox to label, record, and manipulate DA neurons, or

projection-defined DA neurons across species. Regardless of local or systemic delivery, we

achieved unprecedented specificity and efficiency in mice and marmosets by using modified,

next-generation enhancer-AAV backbone vectors to augment strength and minimize leakiness of

transgene expression. Through a combination of immunofluorescent staining, imaging in serially

sectioned or cleared brain samples (epifluorescent, confocal, or light-sheet), and semi-automated

histology analysis pipelines for robust large-scale cell quantification, we characterized AAV

doses for local and systemic administration that achieve high efficiency labeling with >90%

specificity in mouse and >95% specificity in marmoset. To demonstrate the utility of cjDAE

AAVs as a powerful *in vivo* research tool for DA neurons, we i) labeled projection-target defined

DA neurons in the marmoset using a retro-AAV approach, ii) expressed GCaMP6f and recorded

highly divergent calcium transients between DA terminals in the central striatum (CS) and

dorsolateral striatum (DLS) across behavioral contexts in mice using fiber photometry, and iii)

drove channelrhodopsin expression and elicited robust nose-poke triggered self-stimulation of

VTA-DA neurons in mice. Taken together, our validated cjDAE AAVs provide a more

accessible alternative approach for selectively targeting DA neurons in rodents and NHPs with

comparable specificity and efficiency to gold-standard approaches.

Results

Nomination of cjDAE candidates and preliminary *in vivo* mouse screen

Dual 10X snRNA- and snATAC-sequencing (2x reactions) of unsorted nuclei isolated from

marmoset ventral midbrain tissue generated 32,070 high-quality nuclei for integrated multiomic

analyses (Fig. 1a, see methods for details). A total of 258 DA nuclei across combined reaction

datasets were used to identify differentially accessible chromatin peaks. We restricted the list of

putative DA-neuron enhancer peaks to 401 candidates by thresholding based on the specificity of

peak penetrance (>30% in target cluster, <5% in off-target) and normalized magnitude of peak

expression (read counts ≥1.5 log2 fold-change) in DA neurons compared to non-DA clusters

(Fig. 1b). Among candidate peaks with the highest penetrance and expression levels in DA

neurons, we further considered genes proximal to the peak in linear sequence distance and their

mRNA enrichment in DA neurons (Fig. 1c-d), together with cross-species sequence conservation

(Extended Data Fig. 1) and genome context, to select 12 candidates for *in vivo* testing. For

example, the cjDAE8 peak was highly specific (41.9% DA vs 3.8% non-DA penetrance) and

nearly 800 bp, located in the intron of LOC100413948, an uncharacterized protein-coding locus.

Although LOC100413948 is expressed by all DA neurons at high levels, it is largely nonspecific

to this population (Fig. 1c). In addition, the cjDAE4 peak was also highly specific (31.8% DA vs

1.4% non-DA penetrance), nearly 900 bp, and in the intron of another uncharacterized locus,

LOC118155346 (Fig. 1d). However, unlike LOC100413948 for cjDAE8, the expression of this

locus is highly downregulated in all DA neurons.

To screen for putative DA enhancers driving DA-specific *in vivo* expression, cjDAE1-cjDAE12

peaks were cloned into conventional enhancer pAAV vectors described previously[34,39]

expressing either EGFP or dTomato (dTom) to screen candidates in pairs. Between AAV ITR

sequences, the expression cassette contained an inverted Woodchuck hepatitis virus Post-

transcriptional Regulatory Element (iWPRE) as an insulator, the amplified enhancer sequence, a

cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter minimal promoter (CMVmini2), EGFP (cjDAE1-E6) or

dTomato (cjDAE7-E12) reporter, WPRE, and a modified bovine growth hormone poly A

(mBGHpA). We used a non-titered, minimal purification approach[40] after packaging the

constructs in AAV-PHP.eB for the initial screening to maximize efficiency. Mice (n=6) were

systemically injected (via retro-orbital route) with EGFP- and dTomato-expressing candidate

pairs to qualitatively assess the relative specificity and efficiency of reporter expression in TH+

DA neurons (Fig. 1e). Out of all 12 cjDAE candidates, only 6 drove expression in DA neurons.

Candidates with no discernible DA neuron labeling either failed to express the reporter, with

some sparse labeling throughout the brain, if at all (n=2), or showed robust off-target expression

of the reporter (n=4). The six candidates with discernible reporter expression in DA neurons

varied widely in specificity and efficiency of labeling. For two candidates, cjDAE8-dTomato and

cjDAE4-EGFP, we observed strikingly robust and highly specific reporter expression in

midbrain DA neurons (Fig. 1f) with minimal off-target labeling throughout the brain. We

therefore selected these two candidates for *in vivo* screening in marmoset

In vivo screen of cjDAE4 and cjDAE8 in marmoset

cjDAE4-EGFP and cjDAE8-dTomato constructs, in their original form, were packaged in

AAV1, mixed 1:1, and co-injected into the SNc and VTA (1E+12 vg/ml each, 1 μl/site) of one

male marmoset (20-160, aged ~3 years) through MRI-targeted stereotactic surgery. After 59 days

of incubation, both cjDAE4 and cjDAE8 AAV vectors gave rise to relatively dim, but efficient

reporter labeling of DA neurons (Fig. 2a). We first assessed visible reporter expression

(endogenous fluorescence without antibody amplification) across serial coronal sections. Out of

a total of 6368 TH+ cells, we found 4419 EGFP+TH+ (on target) cells, and 871 EGFP+TH- (off

target) cells for cjDAE4, and 486 dTom+TH+ (on target) cells and 872 dTom+TH- (off target)

cells for cjDAE8. After using immunofluorescent staining to amplify reporter expression, we

quantified a total of 9279 TH+ cells, and found 5711 aGFP+TH+ cells vs 6259 aGFP+TH- cells

for cjDAE4, 3564 aRFP+TH+ cells vs 1232 aRFP+TH- cells for cjDAE8. Using these counts for

calculations, visible cjDAE4 reporter expression was 83.53% specific to DA neurons, but the

specificity reduced to 47.71% after antibody amplification (Fig 2c-f).

Although we are confident in the accuracy of cell counts for antibody-amplified cjDAE4 reporter

expression, the naturally high autofluorescence of the 488-nm laser channel combined with dim

transgene expression in the unamplified dataset proved challenging for accurate automated

detection of dim off-target EGFP+ cells while also sparing false-positive detections of

background fluorescence. We therefore used more conservative intensity thresholding for the

unamplified cjDAE4 channel, which artificially increased specificity by 10-20% compared to

manual counts. On the other hand, cjDAE8-driven endogenous reporter fluorescence was 84.80%

DA-specific and remained 74.31% specific after antibody amplification (Fig. 2e-f). We therefore

selected cjDAE8 for further validation in mice and marmosets. To achieve optimal and tight

transgene expression across administration routes for both species, we inserted cjDAE8 into

modified enhancer AAV backbones featuring a tandem chicken hypersensitive site 4

(2xCHS4)[41–44] insulating sequence to mitigate ITR-enhancer interactions, as well as a truncated

human growth hormone polyA (mHGHpA) to improve expression strength in the marmoset.

Pan and projection-defined targeting of DA neurons in the marmoset by local delivery of

optimized cjDAE8-AAVs

Using the same AAV1 serotype, dosage, incubation time, and fluorescent reporter (dTom), one

male marmoset (23-116, aged 2.32 years) received stereotactic injections (1E+12 vg/ml, 1

µl/site) at two midbrain sites with the modified 2xCHS4-cjDAE8-dTom AAV vector to directly

assess whether modifications to AAV backbone altered the specificity and efficiency of reporter

expression for the same enhancer. The multiplicity of transcriptionally distinct DA-neuron

subtypes engenders diverging patterns of axon terminal projections with complex functional

specialization[45,46]. Therefore, we packaged the same construct, but driving EGFP expression, in

AAV2retro serotype and unilaterally injected the posterior caudate and putamen (5E+12 vg/ml,

1.5 µl/site) to further stratify DA neurons labeling by projection targets (Figs. 2b, 3a-b).

Remarkably, local injection of the modified 2xCHS4-cjDAE8-dTom AAV dramatically

improved the strength and specificity of transgene expression (Figs. 2e, 3). For the endogenous-

fluorescence dataset, among 7093 TH+ cells, there were 4233 dTom+TH+, and 49 dTom+TH-

cells from all serial sagittal sections (Figs. 2f, 3c). For the antibody-amplified dataset, among

12387 TH+ cells, there were 10087 aRFP+TH+ cells, and 242 aRFP+TH- cells (Figs. 2f, 3d).

Thus, compared with local injection of the conventional iWPRE-cjDAE8 AAV, the specificity of

2xCHS4-cjDAE8-dTom AAV rose to 98.86% based on endogenous fluorescence and remained

unchanged after antibody amplification (Fig. 2e-f). Taken together, these data suggest i) that

local injection of cjDAE8 AAV is a high-performance method for targeting midbrain DA

neurons in the marmoset, and also that ii) elements of the enhancer-AAV construct backbone

sequence (e.g., insulator, polyA) significantly contribute to the strength and specificity of

transgene expression.

Despite conflicting reports regarding the transduction efficiency of AAV2retro in NHP DA

neurons with conventional promoter-based expression cassettes[47,48], we observed robust

AAV2retro-2xCHS4-cjDAE8-EGFP labeling in spatially localized midbrain DA-neuron

populations for both visible and antibody amplified expressions (Figs. 2g, 3b-d). Unamplified

fluorescence showing specific retrograde EGFP labeling of midbrain DA neurons across brain

sections, with no labeling in other canonical striatal-projecting neuron populations (e.g., cortex,

thalamus), with one caveat. Due to the spread of putamen AAV injection into the globus pallidus

(Figs. 2b, 3a), there was robust EGFP labeling of neurons in the subthalamic nucleus (STN)

across tissue sections for both the visible and antibody-amplified reporter (Figs. 2g, 3b-d).

Except for the STN, endogenous EGFP fluorescence was largely undetectable outside of the

midbrain. Antibody amplified EGFP expression revealed the same pattern of midbrain DA and

STN neuron labeling, but with additional sparse cell labeling in the striatum encompassing the

injection site. To avoid misrepresenting the specificity of retrograde labeling in midbrain DA

neurons, we quantified cells using ROIs that both included and excluded the STN to compare

specificity (Fig. 2g). In the original confocal image ROIs containing the STN, we quantified a

total of 6840 TH+ cells, 376 EGFP+TH+ cells, and 363 EGFP+TH- cells for the fluorescence

dataset and 12387 TH+ cells, 1812 aGFP+TH+ cells, 1084 aGFP+TH- cells for the antibody-

amplified dataset. After excluding cell counts in the STN from each ROI, there remained a total

of 6829 TH+ cells, 374 EGFP+TH+ cells, and 15 EGFP+TH- cells for the fluorescence dataset,

and 12321 TH+ cells, 1807 aGFP+TH+ cells, and 147 aGFP+TH- cells for the antibody-

amplified dataset. Although the overall specificity of both endogenous and amplified aGFP

labeling was low (50.88% and 62.57%, respectively) due to off-target STN transduction, labeling

within the midbrain retained high specificity (96.14% and 92.48%) for DA neurons regardless of

signal amplification (Fig. 2f). Notably, a local injection of cjDAE8-dTom directly in the anterior

midbrain, most proximal to the STN, did not cause off-target labeling in this region (Figs. 2b,

3d), likely due to the strong insulating effect of high-density fiber tracts in NHPs. Because of the

dose-dependent manner of enhancer-AAV labeling, we believe that off-target STN labeling will

only be an issue for mistargeted high-titer local injections or high-titer systemic injections of

cjDAE8 AAVs. Nevertheless, this proof-of-concept experiment demonstrates that AAV2retro-

cjDAE8 vectors enable robust targeting of projection-defined DA neuron subpopulations in

marmoset. Furthermore, intersectional viral strategies can be used to avoid STN labeling

altogether, such as AAV2-retro-cjDAE8 driving the expression of Cre or tTA combined with

midbrain local injection of AAVs driving Cre- or tTA-dependent transgenes.

Intravenous cjDAE8-AAV delivery enables selective systemic targeting of marmoset DA
neurons

Due to the expense, time cost, and technical difficulty of MRI-targeted stereotactic AAV

injections, the versatility of targeting DA neurons by either local or systemic delivery in NHPs is

highly valuable. Considering this and the remarkable specificity of labeling after high-titer local

injection, we eagerly tested whether systemic targeting of DA neurons in the marmoset by

intravenous AAV injection could achieve robust transgene expression with brain-wide

specificity. However, due to the exceedingly high AAV doses required for sufficient CNS

expression, dorsal root ganglia toxicity and hepatotoxicity are widely reported complications in

primates[49,50]. We therefore modified the 2xCHS4-cjDAE8-dTom construct, replacing the WPRE

with 6x total miR binding sites for de-targeting the dorsal root ganglia (DRG, 3x) and liver

hepatocytes (3x)[51,52], followed by the WPRE3 sequence. To enable delivery across the NHP

blood-brain-barrier (BBB), we packaged the modified construct in AAV-BI103, a capsid variant

described previously[39,53] with efficient BBB penetration in marmosets (Fig. 4a).

High-dose systemic delivery of AAV-BI103-cjDAE8-dTom by teil-vein injection (8E+13 vg/kg)

resulted in highly efficient and specific visible and amplified reporter labeling in the midbrain

(Fig. 4a-c) with no detectable expression in the DRG and liver (Extended Data Fig. 2). To

comprehensively assess the specificity and efficiency of both endogenous fluorescent and

antibody-amplified reporter labeling in midbrain DA neurons, we quantified a total of 16344

TH+, and observed 9353 dTom+TH+, 120 dTom+TH-, 13627 aRFP+TH+, and 623 aRFP+TH-

cells across 14 midbrain ROIs using serial saggital sections that sampled the midbrain from

medial to lateral (Fig. 4d). At this dose, dTom fluorescence gave a remarkable 98.73%

specificity and 57.23% efficiency. After antibody amplification, dim off-target aRFP labeling in

the STN and relatively strong but sparse labeling in medial regions superior to the VTA were

observed in relevant ROIs. We also observed a dense innervation of terminals in the ventral

medulla that was positive for both dTom and aRFP, but negative for TH. Nevertheless, antibody

amplification revealed labeling efficiency of 83.38% in TH+ neurons (Fig. 4e). Finally, we

performed 3D whole-tissue clearing combined with immunofluorescent staining of the reporter

and TH expression to provide the community with an atlas of cjDAE8-driven expression

throughout the brain (LifeCanvas). These data are publicly available via NeuroGlancer.

Systemic and local delivery of cjDAE-AAVs in mice

Next, we characterized the effective dose ranges of cjDAE8-AAV systemic delivery for targeting

of DA neurons in mice. Male and female mice received retro-orbital AAV-PHP.eB-2xCHS4-

cjDAE8-dTom injections (80 µl) at a dose of either 5E+10 vg (n=4) or 5E+11 vg (n=4) (Fig.

5a). Both AAV doses resulted in robust transduction of midbrain DA neurons that varied in

strength and specificity of expression, with the high dose exhibiting weak off-target expression

after antibody amplification (Fig. 5b). A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with factors Dose

(5E+10 vg, 5E+11 vg) and Marker (TH+, dTom+TH+, dTom+TH−, aRFP+TH+, aRFP+TH−

cells) revealed a significant Dose×Marker interaction ($F_{(4,24)}$=8.760, P=0.0002), with main

effects of Dose ($F_{(1,6)}$=10.30, P=0.0184) and Marker ($F_{(4,24)}$=101.3, P<0.0001). Bonferroni

post-hoc testing confirmed that, compared to 5E+10 vg, the 5E+11 vg dose increased mean

counts for dTom+TH+ (+475 cells, adjusted P<0.001) and aRFP+TH− numbers (+600 cells,

adjusted P<0.0001), whereas TH+ (Δ=+37 cells), dTom+TH− (Δ=+46), and aRFP+TH+ (Δ=+6)

cells did not change (all adjusted P>0.3; Fig. 5c). The Dose×Marker interaction was strong

($F_{(1,6)}$=94.34, P<0.0001) for the calculated specificity of labeling (Fig. 5d), with main effects of

Dose ($F_{(1,6)}$=91.41, P<0.0001) and Marker ($F_{(1,6)}$=210.7, P<0.0001). At 5E+10 vg, there was

no significant difference in specificity between endogenous fluorescence and amplified reporter

expression (dTom 98.1% vs aRFP 91.6%; adjusted P=0.0289). In contrast, at 5E+11 vg, dTom

specificity remained high (94.8%), whereas aRFP specificity dropped to 62.0% (adjusted

P<0.0001). Across doses, specificity remained unchanged for dTom (Δ=−3.29%, adjusted

P=0.293) but markedly decreased for aRFP (Δ=−29.6%, adjusted P<0.0001). Regarding labeling

efficiency (Fig. 5d), there was no Dose×Marker interaction ($F_{(1,6)}$=5.142, P=0.064), but main

effects of Dose ($F_{(1,6)}$=6.394, P=0.0448) and Marker ($F_{(1,6)}$=9.744, P=0.0205) were significant.

At 5E+10 vg, aRFP labeled a greater fraction of TH+ neurons than dTom (92.5% vs 49.0%;

adjusted P=0.0176). However, efficiencies converged between dTom and aRFP at the 5E+11 vg

dose (95.8% vs 88.9%; adjusted P=0.813). Furthermore, as dose increased, dTom efficiency

increased (+39.9%, adjusted P=0.0106), whereas aRFP remained unchanged ($\Delta=-3.25\%$,

adjusted P=0.955). Together, these results suggest that cjDAE8-AAV is a robust tool for

systemic targeting of DA neurons in mice. At low doses, both visible and amplified reporter

expression attained high specificity, but with lower labeling efficiency without antibody staining.

In contrast, higher doses resulted in both specific and efficient visible labeling, but significantly

reduced specificity after antibody amplification. This finding highlights the dose-dependence of

enhancer-AAV performance, with higher doses increasing both expression level in DA neurons

and low-level leaky expression.

Next, we examined the efficacy and specificity of local injection of cjDAE8-AAV in labeling

midbrain DA neurons. Male and female mice (n=3) received a unilateral injection of AAV1-

2xCHS4-cjDAE-dTom (300 nl, 5E+11 vg/ml per site) in the SNc and VTA (Fig. 5e). At this

dose, robust and specific reporter labeling was observed throughout the anterior-posterior axis

for both endogenous and amplified signals (Fig. 5f). For total cell counts, a two-way repeated-

measures ANOVA with factors Region (Combined, SNc, VTA) and Marker (TH+, dTom+TH+,

dTom+TH−, aRFP+TH+, aRFP+TH−) revealed a significant Region×Marker interaction

($F_{(8,24)}=14.94$, P<0.0001) and main effects of Region ($F_{(2,6)}=20.19$, P=0.0022) and Marker

($F_{(4,24)}=223.2$, P<0.0001). As expected, Šídák post-hoc tests showed higher counts in the

combined ROI than in SNc and VTA alone for TH+ ($\Delta=+2457$ and +2257 cells; both adjusted

P<0.0001), for dTom+TH+ ($\Delta=+928$ and +808 cells; adjusted P=0.0121 and 0.0436), and for

aRFP+TH+ cells ($\Delta=+1348$ and +1302 cells; adjusted P=0.0001 and 0.0002). dTom+TH− and

aRFP+TH− did not differ across regions (all adjusted P>0.9; Fig. 5g). With factors Region

(Combined, SNc, VTA) and Reporter (dTom vs aRFP, both TH+), there was no interaction

($F_{(2,6)}=0.1375$, P=0.874) and no main effect of Region ($F_{(2,6)}=4.533$, P=0.0632), but

specificity was higher for dTom overall (main effect of Reporter: $F_{(1,6)}=17.69$, P=0.0056).

Across regions, dTom specificities were 94.3% (Combined), 91.2% (SNc), and 96.8% (VTA),

compared to 88.7%, 85.7%, and 92.6%, respectively, for aRFP (Fig. 5h); the average dTom–

aRFP difference was +5.14% (SE 1.22%; 95% CI 2.15–8.14). These results indicate that local

delivery of cjDAE-AAV at this dose enables efficient and specific targeting of mouse SNc and

VTA DA neurons, even after antibody-amplificationacross regions.

cjDAE8-AAV-GCaMP6f enables imaging of SNc DA terminals in the CS and DLS

To demonstrate the utility cjDAE8-AAV for *in vivo* calcium recordings of DA neurons, we

bilaterally injected AAV9-cjDAE8-GCaMP6f in the SNc of mice (n=4) (8E+12 vg/ml). Optic

fiber cannulas were implanted into either the central striatum (CS) or dorsolateral striatum (DLS)

of each hemisphere to compare CS and DLS DA activity simultaneously with fiber photometry

(Fig. 6a). Post-hoc histology confirmed specific expression of GCaMP6f in DA neurons (Fig.

6a). CS and DLS DA axons were targeted due to the distinct functional specialization of DA

terminal activity in these subregions reported previously: the DLS (movement) and the CS

(reward, punishment, salience)[45,54,55]. While mice were head-fixed to a self-driven treadmill,

photometry recordings were acquired i) over two sessions with synchronized measurements of

instantaneous velocity to capture spontaneous locomotion bouts and ii) over two sessions of 9x

trials delivering an unconditioned and salient air-puff stimulus.

During periods of spontaneous movement, calcium transients of DA CS and DLS terminals

showed distinct activity patterns (Fig. 6b). Pearson cross-correlations of Z-score fluorescence

with velocity and acceleration during movement periods (>5 cm/s, >50 cm/s^2) revealed that CS

and DLS DA-terminal activity are inversely correlated with each other during spontaneous

locomotion. At zero-time lags (τ=0) for cross-correlations with velocity, mean Pearson r values

(mean $\pm$ s.e.m.) were positive for DLS DA fluorescence (0.0412 $\pm$ 0.0600), but negative for CS

DA fluorescence (-0.1663 $\pm$ 0.0404). In other words, higher and lower speeds are associated with

greater activity in DLS and CS DA terminals, respectively (Fig. 6c). Similarly, Pearson cross-

correlations with acceleration across time lags for the two subregions mirrored each other. With

symmetry across zero-time lags, Pearson r values at negative time lags (mean $\pm$ s.e.m.)

contained a deep trough for the DLS (-0.1614 $\pm$ 0.0450; lag -0.110 $\pm$ 0.019 s) and a peak for the

CS (0.0635 $\pm$ 0.0194; lag -0.500 $\pm$ 0.144 s). In contrast, there was a trough for the CS (-0.1144 $\pm$

0.0090; lag 0.440 $\pm$ 0.077 s) and peak for the DLS (0.0662 $\pm$ 0.0365; lag 0.470 $\pm$ 0.178 s) at

positive lags (Fig. 6c). The trough at τ<0 and peak at τ>0 for DA terminals in the DLS suggests

that activity increases before deceleration and after acceleration start, respectively. In contrast,

the trough at $\tau>0$ and peak at $\tau<0$ for DA terminals in the CS suggest that activity increases

before acceleration and after deceleration.

We further quantified mean cross-correlations between DA-terminal signals in the CS and DLS

and kinematics (velocity, acceleration) across mice (Fig. 6d). A two-way repeated-measures

ANOVA with factors Region (CS, DLS) and Time-Lag Period (Velocity $\tau=0$; Acceleration

$-1<\tau<0$ or Acceleration $0<\tau<+1$) revealed a significant Region×Period interaction ($F_{(2,6)}=23.73$,

P=0.0014) and a main effect of Region ($F_{(1,3)}=14.41$, P=0.0321), with no main effect of Period

(P=0.7477). Šídák-corrected post-hoc analysis showed that: at velocity $\tau=0$ s, CS correlations

were more negative than DLS (mean CS -0.166, DLS $+0.041$; $\Delta=-0.208$, 95% CI -0.329 to

-0.086, adjusted P=0.0059). For cross-correlations with acceleration at negative time lags

($-1<\tau<0$ s), CS mean Pearson r was more positive than DLS (CS $+0.064$, DLS -0.161;

$\Delta=+0.225$, 95% CI $+0.103$ to $+0.347$, adjusted P=0.0040). In contrast at negative time legs

($0<\tau<+1$ s), CS mean Pearson r was more negative than DLS (CS -0.114, DLS $+0.066$;

$\Delta=-0.181$, 95% CI -0.302 to -0.059, adjusted P=0.0109). Within-region comparisons mirrored

this sign flip: for CS, Accel($-$) > Accel($+$) ($\Delta=+0.178$, P=0.0346), and for DLS, Accel($+$) >

Accel($-$) ($\Delta=+0.228$, P=0.0113). In summary, at zero lag, CS DA activity negatively correlated

while DLS positively correlated with velocity. Furthermore, CS DA activity positively correlated

with acceleration at negative time lags ($-1<\tau<0$) and became negatively correlated at positive

time lags ($0<\tau<+1$), whereas DLS showed the opposite polarity. Together with the significant

interaction, these data further corroborate the known region-specific, time-locked coupling of

DA-terminal activity to movement kinematics[45,54].

DA terminals in the CS and DLS differentially responded to salient air puff stimuli as well.

Triggered mean Z-score fluorescence plots aligned to air-puff onset (t=0) across trials robustly

confirmed opposing roles of CS and DLS DA terminals in the encoding of salient stimuli (Fig.

6e). To quantify activity changes after stimulus onset, area under the curve (AUC) analysis was

performed on the mean Z-score trace for each mouse using a 0.75-s window before and after

stimulus onset (Fig. 6f). Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with factors Region (CS, DLS)

and Time (Pre, Post) revealed a Region×Time interaction ($F_{(1,6)}=17.82$, P=0.0056), with

significant main effects of Region ($F_{(1,6)}=11.64$, P=0.0143) and Time ($F_{(1,6)}=28.53$,

P=0.0018). CS DA terminals showed robust air-puff-evoked activity (mean AUC ± s.e.m.) from

pre (0.109 ± 0.091) to post (1.312 ± 0.324) air-puff delivery (Bonferroni t(6)=6.762, adjusted P=0.0010), whereas DLS terminals did not (–0.122 ± 0.094 vs 0.019 ± 0.096; t(6)=0.792, adjusted P=0.9174), yielding a significant Region×Time interaction (F(1,6)=17.82, P=0.0056) and a marked CS>DLS activity difference (mean diff = 1.293, 95% CI [0.637, 1.949], t(12)=5.044, adjusted P=0.0006) in the post-air puff period. Together, these data further corroborate prior evidence that DA terminals in the CS (Vglut2+ and Calb1+), but not DLS (ANXA1+), are rapidly activated in response to salient stimuli[45].

AAV-cjDAE8-channelrhodopsin enables optogenetic self-stimulation of VTA DA neurons in an operant behavioral paradigm

Finally, to demonstrate the utility of cjDAE8-AAV for in vivo functional manipulation of DA neurons, we bilaterally injected in the VTA (300 nl per site) of male (n = 4) and female (n = 6) mice with AAV1-cjDAE8-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP (n = 6) or AAV1-cjDAE8-dTom (n = 4; 1E+12 vg/ml) (Fig. 7a–b). To span an effective dose range for ChR2, we tested 1E+13 (n = 1), 5E+12 (n = 1), 2E+12 (n = 2), and 1E+12 vg/ml (n = 2). After ≥14 days of expression, naïve mice were trained for three sessions in a self-paced VTA-DA optogenetic self-stimulation task (active vs inactive nose-poke; 2 s, 20 Hz, 4.5–5 mW opto-stim per active poke; max 180 stimulations per 30 min; Fig. 7c).

Across training (Fig. 7d), a three-way ANOVA (Session × Transgene × Port) on nose-poke counts revealed significant main effects of Session (F(2,16)=13.66, P=0.0003), Transgene (ChR2 vs dTom; F(1,8)=20.63, P=0.0019) and Port (Active vs Inactive; F(1,8)=20.23, P=0.0020), as well as Session×Transgene (F(2,16)=17.22, P=0.0001), Session×Port (F(2,16)=15.99, P=0.0002), Transgene×Port (F(1,8)=19.42, P=0.0023), and a three-way interaction (F(2,16)=16.88, P=0.0001). Simple-effects tests showed that in ChR2-expressing mice, nose-poking of the active port vigorously increased across sessions (Sídák-adjusted: Day1 vs Day2 P=0.0003; Day1 vs Day3 P<0.0001; Day2 vs Day3 P=0.0020), whereas nose-poking of the inactive port remained low. In striking contrast, dTom controls responded minimally to either port across sessions (all adjusted P≥0.05).

We further compared group differences in active nose-poke responses and laser stimulations across training (Fig. 7e) with separate two-way RM ANOVAs (Session × Group), using Geisser–

Greenhouse correction. For active nose-pokes (Fig. 7e, top), we observed a Session×Group

interaction ($F_{(1.472,11.78)}=17.15$, $P=0.0006$), main effects of Session ($F_{(1.472,11.78)}=14.90$,

$P=0.0011$) and Group ($F_{(1,8)}=20.06$, $P=0.0021$). Tukey-adjusted post-hoc tests confirmed

dramatically higher active-port responses in ChR2- than dTom-expressing mice across all

sessions (Day1 $P=0.0150$; Day2 $P=0.0025$; Day3 $P=0.0024$) and escalating responses across

days within ChR2 (Day1→Day2 $P=0.0058$, Day1→Day3 $P=0.0052$; Day2→Day3 borderline,

$P=0.0532$), with no significant changes across days in controls (all adjusted $P≥0.053$). For

delivered laser stimulations (Fig. 7e, bottom; note same data from Fig. 7d), we again found a

strong Session×Group interaction ($F_{(1.628,13.02)}=24.61$, $P=0.0003$) with main effects of

Session ($F_{(1.628,13.02)}=18.51$, $P=0.0004$) and Group ($F_{(1,8)}=34.89$, $P=0.0021$).

Although assessing cellular specificity is more challenging with ChR2, we note that 300 nl

injections at titers >2E+12 vg/ml produced visible TH– reporter labeling near the injection site in

either cell bodies or neurites (see 5E+12 vg/mL for example, Extended Data Fig. 3).

Nevertheless, the behavioral phenotype was robust and consistent across animals and titers.

Together, these data indicate that local delivery of cjDAE8-AAV—especially at lower titers—

enables selective and robust manipulation of DA circuits *in vivo*.

Discussion

Through single-nucleus multiomic analysis of marmoset midbrain DA neurons combined with

rapid *in vivo* candidate screening, we identified a novel gene regulatory element (cjDAE8) that

can be used in AAV vectors to potently and specifically drive transgene expression in DA

neurons in marmoset and mice. A common obstacle with enhancer-AAV technology is the low-

level leaky transgene expression in off-target cell types or brain regions, thereby restricting

delivery methods or requiring intersectional viral strategies[28,29,31,32,34,55–58]. We further modified

the enhancer-AAV backbone to contain tandem copies of CHS4, a known suppressor of

enhancer activity[42], for insulating the enhancer and minimal promoter from being activated by

the upstream ITR sequence[59–61]. To improve the strength of expression, particularly in NHPs, we

replaced mBGHpA with mHGHpA. Using these core elements in the construct backbone, with

additional alterations depending on experiment, we systematically validated the performance of

cjDAE8-AAVs in mice and marmosets, achieving robust transgene expression with

unprecedented specificity, regardless of administration method in both species. To further

demonstrate the utility of cjDAE8-AAVs in mice, we used the AAVs to express GCaMP6f or

ChR2 through local injections, and recorded divergent calcium transients in DLS and CS DA

terminals during movement and exposure to salient stimuli, as well as ii) elicited robust opto-DA

self-stimulation behavior in an operant task . All histology data for mouse and marmoset

validation experiments are publically available and hosted with Neuroglancer for a limited time.

Within the Neuroglancer viewer, users can interact with all high-resolution histology datasets

described here for specificity and efficiency analysis after local and systemic delivery. As

mentioned previously, we are also hosting the entire dataset for the 3D-cleared hemisphere with

immunofluorescent staining, providing a comprehensive overview of expression after systemic

delivery.

To the best of our knowledge, cjDAE8-AAVs represent the first robust, versatile toolkit to

selectively label, trace, record, and manipulate DA neurons in NHPs with unprecedented

specificity and efficiency. In rodents, widely available transgenic lines are the gold standard for

selective targeting of DA neurons. Most notably, the DAT-IRES-Cre mouse line achieves greater

than 90% specificity of transgene expression by delivering Cre-dependent expression cassettes[13–

18,20]. However, the costs of maintaining transgenic rodent colonies (purchasing/breeding,

housing, genotyping, etc.) restrict the accessibility of this powerful tool. In addition, the genetic

engineering of these lines to insert Cre (which confers toxicity itself) into the genome can disrupt

endogenous transcriptional regulation, having unanticipated consequences. Disrupted gene

expression may alter local cellular physiology and circuit-level activity. Indeed, the expression of

DAT protein is reduced in DAT-Cre mice (~17% in heterozygotes; ~50% in homozygotes)[19].

Off-target Cre expression in nondopaminergic populations of limbic brain regions (amygdala,

septum, hypothalamus, habenula)[20] and abnormal behaviors (baseline hyperactivity; reduced

locomotion/stereotypy after amphetamine)[21] are observed in DAT-Cre mice as well. These

features raise concerns about whether experiments using DAT-Cre (and recombinase-driving

transgenics generally) are studying naturalistic cellular and circuit function. Thus, even in

rodents, we believe cjDAE8-AAVs offer a cost-effective alternative approach for selectively

targeting midbrain DA neurons.

We urge caution when selecting enhancer-AAV doses across experimental purposes and

administration routes. After systemic administration in mice, lower doses offer high brain-wide

specificity for both visible and amplified reporter expression, but unamplified expression may

suffer from dim labeling and low efficiency. At the other end, higher doses offer robust, high-
efficiency, and specific visible transgene expression, but results in low-level leaky expression
that is apparent after antibody amplification. Notably, however, the efficiency of antibody-
amplified labeling was comparable between doses. For experiments requiring high brain-wide
specificity of transgene expression and not high endogenous expression levels (e.g., reporter,
recombinase, tTA, TVA), we recommend very low to low systemic doses in mice. Considering
that off-target leaky expression is almost always weaker than on-target, for experiments utilizing
functional transgenes (e.g., fluorescent calcium sensors, opsins, DREADDs, we recommend
medium to high doses to achieve sufficient endogenous expression levels for optimal
performance, with some caveats.

In our hands, genetically encoded calcium sensors require high AAV doses for sufficient signal-
to-noise ratios, and we often observe artificially higher specificity when expressing calcium
sensors with enhancers AAVs Furthermore, due to the comparatively low expression levels in
off-target cells, contaminating GCaMP signals are not an issue for calcium recording techniques
with bulk-signal measurements (e.g., fiber photometry). For experiments using optogenetics and
DREADDs, spatially targeted methods involving implantation of optic fiber or infusion cannulas
directly over midbrain DA neurons or terminal projection targets largely overcome the
potentially confounding effects of low-level off-target expression in distant brain regions.
Circumventing AAV transduction in regions susceptible to off-target expression, we
demonstrated that direct delivery of cjDAE8-AAVs by local injection enables selective and
robust transgene expression across species. Nevertheless, local injection still requires careful
AAV dosing; we observed increasingly lower specificity in mice at titers greater than 2E+12
vg/ml (300-nl injection volume) to express ChR2(H134R)-EYFP (see Extended Data Fig. 3).
Considering that enhancer-AAV technology acts through enhancer-minimal promoter
interactions that are gated at the DNA level, we also caution against using low-quality AAV
preparations with impaired capsid integrity or significant genome truncations. In our experience,
this resulted in failed, weak, or even off-target transgene expression in some cases. To ensure
accurate dosing and reproducible performance, we therefore stress the importance of using
validated, high-quality AAV preparations with low levels of truncated variants, as confirmed by
AAV whole-genome sequencing.

Methods

Animals

Marmosets

Male and female common marmosets (n=4, 2-3 years old) were used for NHP experiments.
Marmosets were pair or group-housed in spacious holding rooms maintained on a regular 12-h
day/night cycle with controlled temperature (23-28°C) and humidity (40-72%). Perches and
enrichment devices were provided in all enclosures. Veterinary staff from the MIT Division of
Comparative Medicine (DCM) and researchers provided regular health and behavioral
assessments. All animal procedures were approved by the MIT Committee for Animal Care
(CAC) and followed veterinary guidelines.

Mice

Male and female C57BL/6 mice of specified ages were purchased (Jackson Labs, stock #
000664) for rodent experiments. Mice were group-housed with enrichment in a temperature-
controlled colony room maintained on a regular 12-h day/night cycle. Food and water were
provided *ad libitum*. All animal procedures were approved by the MIT CAC.

Multiomic analysis and enhancer nomination

Marmoset tissue specimen preparation for snRNA and snATAC sequencing

In accordance with MIT CAC protocol, one female marmoset (19-184, aged 2.7 years) was
initially sedated and induced with alfaxalone (12 mg/kg) and midazolam (0.3 mg/kg), followed
by additional sedation with alfaxalone (4 mg/kg) before euthanasia with a fatal overdose of
sodium pentobarbital. The marmoset was then perfused transcardially with ice-cold HEPES
buffer containing (in mM): NaCl (110), HEPES (10), glucose (25), sucrose (75), $MgCl_2$ (7.5),
KCl (2.5) for rapid whole-brain extraction. Regions of the midbrain containing DA neurons
were bilaterally micro-dissected from 2-mm tissue slabs. While fresh, the dissected tissue was
immediately processed for nuclei isolation and sequencing following standard 10X protocols.

10X snRNA and snATAC-sequencing and analysis

The multome data was generated from 2 reactions using Single Cell Multiome ATAC + Gene
Expression v1 chemistry and processed with Cell Ranger ARC 2.0. Unsorted cell nuclei
underwent Gel-Bead-in-emulsion (GEM) barcoding and library preparation following the 10X
protocol (Fig. 1A). A nucleus was included for analysis if it had more than 1,000 genes, between
1,000 and 100,000 chromatin peaks, high transcriptional-start site enrichment (>1), and low

nucleosome signal detection (< 2). Out of the 39,767 total cells sequenced, a total of 32,070

passed the quality check. For reaction 1, the median number of ATAC high-quality fragments

per cell was 18,482, and the median number of genes expressed per cell was 1,660. Similarly, for

reaction 2, the median number of high-quality fragments per cell was 17,853, and the median

number of genes per cell was 1,767. The multiome data from both reactions were combined and

annotated with Callithrix_jacchus_cj1700_1.1 (calJac4 in UCSC browser). For joint RNA–

ATAC clustering (Fig. 1A), we performed SCTransform normalization on RNA counts and TF–

IDF normalization with SVD on ATAC peaks, followed by weighted nearest neighbor (WNN)

analysis integrating PCA and LSI reductions using Seurat[62]. Cell-type annotations of the clusters

were performed by using canonical marker genes for DA and non-DA populations used in

previous studies[63,64]. Reactions 1 and 2 each yielded 141 and 117 DA cells, respectively

(n=258). We subclustered additional relevant populations to serve as control populations for

enhancer nomination. Specifically, cortical excitatory neurons and inhibitory striatal projection

neurons (SPNs) from contaminating dissected tissue were clustered by ADARB2+/KCNA1+ and

Meis+ marker expression, respectively. Lymphocytes (B-cells) were clustered by the

characteristic PAX5+/TMEM132C- marker. Finally, nigral and VTA inhibitory projection

neurons were further subclustered from inhibitory neurons based on the expression of

GAD2+/RIT2+/TH- markers.

Enhancer candidate nomination

To nominate enhancers, we first generated a consensus of peaks by disjoining overlapped ATAC

peaks between the two reactions, then identified differentially accessible chromatin regions

(enhancer candidates) enriched in dopamine neurons compared with all other cell types, using

logistic regression with sequencing depth as a covariate. Thresholding was set such that only

peaks accessible in at least 30% of DA cells and with read counts ≥1.5 log2 fold-change

compared to other cell types were considered. The candidate list was further refined by

considering genes that are closest to the peaks in linear sequence distance and their mRNA

enrichment in DA neurons, together with cross-species sequence conservation and genome

context, we selected the top 12 candidates for *in vivo* testing.

Cloning of enhancer plasmid AAV constructs

For our candidate screening with minimally purified AAV, 12 candidates (DAE1-DAE12) were

amplified from purified marmoset genomic DNA (Table 1) and flanked with homologous-

overlap sequences for Gibson assembly using enhancer-specific primers and KOD Xtreme DNA

Polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. # 71975-M). The amplified enhancer candidates were cloned

into enhancer-AAV plasmid vectors (eAAV) described previously[34,39] using restriction enzymes

(New England Biolabs) and the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit (NEB Cat. #

E5520S). Between AAV ITR sequences, the expression cassette contained an inverted

Woodchuck hepatitis virus Post-transcriptional Regulatory Element (WPRE) as an insulator, the

amplified enhancer sequence, a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter minimal promoter

(CMVmini2)[34], EGFP or dTomato reporter, WPRE, and a modified bovine growth hormone poly

A (mBGHpA). Following the standard transformation protocol, homemade Stbl3 cells (50 μL)

were transformed with 2 μL of Gibson assembly product for each cloned enhancer pAAV

construct. Mini-preparations of the clones were carried out using the ZR Plasmid Miniprep -

Classic Kit (Cat. # D4016). Positive clones were identified by restriction enzyme digestion,

followed by whole-plasmid sequencing to confirm 100% alignment to the designed sequence.

Confirmed clones were grown in 300-ml LB cultures, and the plasmids were extracted and

purified using the NucleoBond Xtra Midi EF Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Cat. # 740420.50). The

purified plasmids were sequenced again before AAV production.

For the best enhancer candidate, cjDAE8, eAAV constructs were further modified to contain a

tandem CHS4 insulator[41,42], different transgenes, downstream WPRE or modified WPRE

(WPRE3), and a modified human growth hormone poly A (mHGHpA). For marmoset systemic

injection with AAV-BI103, the WPRE was replaced with WPRE3 and 6x miR binding sites to

de-target the liver (3x mmu-miR122-5p sites) and dorsal root ganglion (3x hsa-miR-183-5p

sites)[51,52]. All eAAV-construct modifications were generated following standard molecular

cloning protocols described previously.

AAV production

Enhancer AAVs for the *in vivo* candidate screen in mice were packaged in-house with

AAV2/PHP.eB using a non-titered, minimal purification approach described previously[40].

Preparations of AAV used for local and systemic injection validation, fiber photometry, and

optogenetics experiments were packaged either in-house (AAV2/9, AAV2-retro, or AAV-BI103)

or at the Boston Children's Hospital Viral Core (AAV2/1, AAV2/PHP.eB).

AAV production with minimal purification

As mentioned previously, we used a shortened purification method[40] to rapidly screen enhancer

candidates. In short, HEK 293 T cells grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (D-MEM;

11995-040, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum

(vendor) were triple-transfected with our AAV enhancer plasmid, pAAV-PHP.eB (Addgene #

103005) and pHelper (Agilent, Cat. # 240071) using Polyethylenimine (Polysciences, Cat. #

23966-1)[65]. Medium was replaced with serum-free D-MEM the following day and collected 4

days later (5 days post-transfection). For purification, the medium was cleared of debris using a

0.45-µm filter (Corning, Part # 431220), loaded in two rounds onto a 100 kDa MW cutoff spin

column (Amicon-Ultra-15, Merck Millipore, UFC910096) pre-treated with 1% BSA, and

centrifuged at 3000g for 20 minutes. Concentrate was washed twice with Dulbecco's PBS

(DPBS, Gibco, cat. no. 14190-250) including 0.001% (vol/vol) Pluronic F-68 nonionic surfactant

(Gibco, cat. no. 24040-032) at 3000g for 20 minutes yielding 200-300 µL of viral concentrate.

This minimally purified solution was used for non-titered systemic delivery in mice through

retro-orbital injections.

High-titer AAV production with purification

Recombinant adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) were produced following a previously described

protocol[65]. HEK293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM;

Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (P/S)

at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO_2. When cells reached approximately 80–

90% confluency, the triple-plasmid transfection method was performed using PEI MAX®

transfection reagent (Kyfora Bio). The gene of interest (GOI), pCAP (encoding Rep and Cap

proteins), and pHelper (encoding adenoviral E4, E2A, and VA genes) plasmids (Addgene,

#112865, #81070) were co-transfected at a ratio of 1:4:2. 24 hrs post transfection, the medium

was replaced with DMEM containing 5% FBS and 1% P/S, and after 72 h trasnfection, both cells

and medium were harvested. AAVs were purified by iodixanol gradient ultracentrifugation using

a Type 70 Ti fixed-angle titanium rotor (Beckman-Coulter, Cat. No. 337922), followed by

concentration and buffer exchange into Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) containing

0.001% Pluronic F-68 (Gibco) using Ultracel centrifugal filters (Millipore) with a 100 kDa

molecular-weight cutoff. Physical vector genome titers (vg/mL) were determined by droplet

digital PCR (ddPCR) following the "ddPCR Titration of AAV Vectors" protocol (2) using a

QX200 AutoDG Droplet Digital PCR System (Bio-Rad, Cat. No. 1864100). To ensure

consistency, titration was performed using two primer–probe sets targeting ITR (Forward 5′-

CGGCCTCAGTGAGCGA-3′, Reverse 5′-GGAACCCCTAGTGATGGAGTT-3′, Probe 5′-

FAM-CACTCCCTCTCTGCGCGCTCG-3′) and WPRE (Forward 5′-

GCTATGTGGATACGCTGCTTTA-3′, Reverse 5′-CCAGGATTTATACAAGGAGGAGAAA-

3′, Probe 5′-HEX-TCATGCTATTGCTTCCCGTATGGCT-3′) regions.

Stereotactic intraparenchymal AAV injection for local validation in mice and marmosets

Marmoset

For the final candidate screen and further validation of ubiquitous and projection-defined

targeting with cjDAE8 in modified eAAV vector backbones, male marmosets (n=2, ~3 years

old) were implanted with a coordinate grid fixed to the skull and underwent T2-weighted

structural MRI imaging for precisely targeted AAV injections to the ventral midbrain. To test the

specificity of cjDAE4 and cjDAE8 in the original eAAV vector, one marmoset (20-160, aged

2.98 years) received injections of AAV1-iWPRE-cjDAE8-cm2-dTomato-WPRE-mBGHpA and

AAV1-iWPRE-cjDAE4-cm2-EGFP-WPRE-mBGHpA (1:1 mix, 1E+12 vg/ml each, 1 µl/site at

100 nl/min) in the SNc and VTA. To test the specificity of cjDAE8 in the improved pAAV

backbone and the ability to target projection-defined subpopulations of DA neurons through

retrograde labeling, one male marmoset (23-116, aged 2.32 years) received stereotactic injections

using the same targeting method. To assess the specificity of the improved backbone, the SNc

was unilaterally injected at a lateral and medial site with AAV1-2xCHS4-cjDAE8-cm2-

dTomato-WPRE-mHGHpA (1E+12 vg/ml, 1 µl/site at 100 nl/min). To assess selective labeling

in projection-defined DA neurons, the caudate and putamen were injected with AAV2-retro-

2xCHS4-cjDAE8-cm2-EGFP-WPRE-mHGHpA (5E+12 vg/ml, 2 µl/site at 200 nl/min). After 57

and 59 weeks of viral incubation, respectively, marmosets were euthanized and underwent

transcardial perfusion in accordance with MIT CAC protocol as described previously. Brains

were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA 4°C, then serially sectioned by vibratome (100-µm

thickness) in either the coronal or sagittal plane for immunofluorescence staining.

Briefly, midbrain serial sections underwent permeabilization with 2% PBST and then incubation

for one hour at room temperature in blocking buffer solution containing 10% Blocking One

buffer (Nacalai, Cat. # 03953-95) in 0.3% PBST. Blocked tissue sections were then incubated

with mouse anti-TH IgG1 (1:2000, Immunostar, Cat. # 22941), chicken anti-GFP (1:2000,

abcam, Cat. # ab13970), rabbit anti-RFP (1:1000, Rockland, Cat. # 600-401-379) primary

antibodies in blocking buffer solution for 18-24 hours at 4°C. Next, tissue sections were

incubated with goat anti-mouse Alexa-647 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Cat. # A21236), goat anti-

rabbit Alexa-555 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Cat. # A21429), donkey anti-chicken Alexa-488

(1:1000, Thermo Fisher, Cat. # A78948), and DAPI (1:1000) to counterstain cell nuclei. To

assess non-amplified endogenous reporter expression, adjacent serial sections were stained using

the same protocol, but without anti-RFP and anti-GFP antibodies. To quench lipofuscin

autofluorescence, the stained sections were treated for 20 minutes with TrueBlackPlus (1:40 in

1X PBS, Biotium, Cat. # 23014).

The specificity of endogenous versus antibody-amplified labeling were assessed using all stained

coronal or sagittal sections (sampled every 100-μm for amplified, every 300-μm for endogenous)

acquired with a FLUOVIEW FV3000 confocal microscope (10X objective, Olympus). A multi-

tile Z-stack (40-μm, 5-μm step size) was acquired for each midbrain ROI. Maximum-intensity

projections of these ROIs were used for subsequent automated analysis in custom pipelines using

StrataQuest software. Whole-slide images were acquired by epi-fluorescent imaging using a

VS200 microscope (10X objective, Olympus).

Mouse

To validate the specificity of cjDAE8 after direct local injection, 4-month-old male (n=2) and

female (n=1) mice received stereotactic AAV injections under isoflurane anesthesia. AAV

expressing 2xCHS4-cjDAE8-cm2-dTomato-WPRE-mHGHpA (AAV2/1, Boston Children's

Hospital Viral Core) was injected bilaterally (300 nL/site at 50nL/min, 5E+11 vg/mL titer) in

either the VTA or SNc of each hemisphere at the following coordinates: SNc (AP: -3.40 mm,

ML: +1.30 mm, DV: -4.20 mm from bregma) and VTA (AP: -3.50 mm, ML: -0.50 mm, DV: -

4.30 mm). Following 28 days of incubation, mice were euthanized with a fatal overdose of

isoflurane anesthesia and underwent transcardial perfusion with ice-cold 1X PBS, followed by

4% PFA in 1X PBS. Brains were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA, then serially sectioned

coronally by vibratome (80-μm thickness) for immunofluorescence staining.

Briefly, midbrain serial sections underwent permeabilization with 2% PBST and then incubation

for one hour at room temperature in blocking buffer solution containing 10% Blocking One

buffer (Nacalai, Cat. # 03953-95) in 0.3% PBST. Blocked tissue sections were then incubated with mouse anti-TH IgG1 (1:2000, Immunostar, Cat. # 22941) and rabbit anti-RFP (1:1000, Rockland, Cat. # 600-401-379) primary antibodies in blocking buffer solution for 18-24 hours at 4°C. Next, tissue sections were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG1 Alexa-488 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Cat. # A21121), goat anti-rabbit Alexa-647 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Cat. # A32733), and DAPI (1:1000) to counterstain cell nuclei.

The specificity of endogenous versus antibody-amplified labeling were assessed using three representative coronal sections per mouse (lateral, middle, and medial injection spread) acquired with a FLUOVIEW FV3000 confocal microscope (10X objective, Olympus). A multi-tile Z-stack (30-µm, 5-µm step size) was acquired for each midbrain ROI. Maximum-intensity projections of these ROIs were used for subsequent automated analysis in custom pipelines using StrataQuest software. Whole-slide images were acquired by epi-fluorescent imaging using a VS200 microscope (10X objective, Olympus).

Specificity and efficiency of systemic DAE8 AAV administration in the mouse and marmoset

Retro-orbital AAV injection for mouse systemic validation

To validate the specificity and efficiency of cjDAE8 after systemic injection, 2-month-old male (n=2/dose) and female (n=2/dose) mice received retro-orbital AAV injections under isoflurane anesthesia at either a 5E+10 vg or 5E+11 vg dose in 1X PBS. After 28 days of incubation, mice were euthanized and perfused transcardially with ice-cold 1X PBS, followed by ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) fixative in 1X PBS. Brains were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA at 4°C, then serially sectioned by vibratome (sagittal, 80-µm thickness) for immunofluorescence staining.

Briefly, serial sections underwent permeabilization with 2% PBST and then incubation for one hour at room temperature in blocking buffer solution containing 10% Blocking One buffer (Nacalai, Cat. # 03953-95) in 0.3% PBST. Blocked tissue sections were then incubated with mouse anti-TH IgG1 (1:2000, Immunostar, Cat. # 22941) and rabbit anti-RFP (1:1000, Rockland, Cat. # 600-401-379) primary antibodies in blocking buffer solution for 18-24 hours at 4°C. Next, tissue sections were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG1 Alexa-488 (1:500, Thermo

Fisher Cat. # A21121), goat anti-rabbit Alexa-647 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Cat. # A32733), and

DAPI (1:1000) to counterstain cell nuclei.

The specificity and efficiency of endogenous versus antibody-amplified labeling were assessed

with representative sagittal sections (lateral, middle, and medial) and acquired with a

FLUOVIEW FV3000 confocal microscope (10X objective, Olympus). A multi-tile Z-stack (30-

µm, 5-µm step size) was acquired for a generous midbrain ROI in each section. Maximum-

intensity projections of these ROIs were used for subsequent analysis in custom pipelines with

StrataQuest software. Identical fluorescence thresholds were used for positive nuclei detection

across channels regardless of dose. Whole-slide images were acquired by epi-fluorescent

imaging using a VS200 microscope (10X objective, Olympus).

Tail-vein AAV injection for marmoset systemic validation

To validate the specificity and efficiency of cjDAE8 after systemic delivery, we packaged the

modified construct in AAV-BI103, an optimized AAV variant featuring efficient blood-brain-

barrier transport and widespread CNS transduction. One female marmoset (22-132, aged 2.6

years) weighing 300 g was anesthetized and injected in the tail vein with AAV-BI103-2xCHS4-

cjDAE8-cm2-dTomato-6xmiR-WPRE3-mHGHpA (8E+13 vg/kg dose). After 7.6 weeks of viral

incubation, the marmoset was euthanized as described previously and underwent transcardial

perfusion with ice-cold 1X PBS followed by ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1X PBS.

The brain was post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA, then one hemisphere was serially sectioned

sagittally by vibratome (100-µm thickness) for immunofluorescence staining. The other

hemisphere was further post-fixed with SHIELD buffer (LifeCanvas, Cat. # GE38) for whole-

tissue clearing with immunofluorescent staining.

For traditional immunofluorescence in serial sections, slices were permeabilized with 2% PBST

and then incubated for one hour at room temperature in blocking buffer solution containing 10%

Blocking One buffer (Nacalai, Cat. # 03953-95) in 0.3% PBST. Blocked tissue sections were

then incubated with mouse anti-TH IgG1 (1:2000, Immunostar, Cat. # 22941) and rabbit anti-

RFP (1:1000, Rockland, Cat. # 600-401-379) primary antibodies in blocking buffer solution for

18-24 hours at 4°C. Next, tissue sections were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG1 Alexa-488

(1:500, Thermo Fisher Cat. # A21121), goat anti-rabbit Alexa-647 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Cat. #

A32733), and DAPI (1:1000) to counterstain cell nuclei. To quench lipofuscin autofluorescence,

the stained sections were treated for 20 minutes with TrueBlackPlus (1:40 in 1X PBS, Biotium,

Cat. # 23014).

We characterized the specificity of endogenous versus antibody-amplified labeling using images

of all stained sagittal sections (sampled every 100-μm) acquired with a FLUOVIEW FV3000

confocal microscope (10X objective, Olympus). A multi-tile Z-stack (40-μm, 5-μm step size)

was acquired for each midbrain ROI. Maximum-intensity projections of these ROIs were used

for subsequent automated analysis in custom pipelines using StrataQuest software. Whole-slide

images were acquired by epi-fluorescent imaging using a BX61WI microscope (4X objective,

Olympus).

All clearing, immunofluorescent staining, and light-sheet imaging protocols were performed by

LifeCanvas Technologies, CRO. After SHIELD post-fixation, the whole marmoset hemisphere

was cleared for 6 weeks with SmartBatch+. All staining protocols were performed following the

SmartBatch+ Radiant Protocol. Cleared tissue was incubated with 60 µg rabbit anti-RFP

(Rockland, Cat. # 600-401-379), 72 µg mouse anti-TH (BioLegend, Cat. # 818001). Next, the

tissue was incubated sequentially with donkey anti-rabbit Alexa-555 (Invitrogen, Cat. # A32794)

and donkey anti-mouse SeTau Alexa-647 (LifeCanvas Technologies, Cat. # DkxMs-ST). The

sample was index-matched with EasyIndex (RI=1.52). After first-pass imaging, tissue was re-

labeled with 72 µg mouse anti-TH using simultaneous Fabs (Jackson) due to the low penetrance

of TH labeling in lateral tissue regions. 3D images were acquired by light-sheet microscopy with

SmartSPIM at 3.6X magnification (4 µm z-step, 1.8 µm xy pixel size). Raw TIF images were

processed using Imaris software for 3D reconstruction, volumetric re-slicing, and video

generation.

Semi-automated cell quantification and colocalization analysis with StrataQuest

Acquired ROI confocal images of serial sections across histology datasets were analyzed using

custom pipelines in StrataQuest software with manual validation of fluorescent intensity

thresholds for a subset of images in each pipeline. Briefly, gray virtual channel composites

(overlays of 488, 555, and 647 channels) were dilated and eroded to enrich fluorescence from

cell bodies over neurites. Next, we trained a random forest model on a representative subset of

images per dataset to classify cell bodies. The model was trained on the eroded and median-

filtered gray virtual channel composites using a pixel size of 3 (mouse) or 5 (marmoset) and

smoothing (size=1). Upon sufficient training, the model classified cell bodies across all images.

We then masked the original composites with this output for nuclei segmentation of cell bodies

selectively over neurites. Positive detections were set by thresholding fluorescence intensity of

each channel across nuclei to achieve consensus with manual cell counts.

Fiber photometry recordings of CS and DLS DA terminals during head-fixed locomotion

and air puff stimuli

Female mice (n=4, 2 months old) received bilateral injections in the SNc (AP: -3.40 mm, ML:

+/-1.30 mm, DV: -4.10 mm) with AAV9-iWPRE-cjDAE8-cm2-jGCaMP6f-WPRE-BC-bGHpA

(300 nL/site, 8E+12 vg/mL) and then implanted optic fiber (400-µm core, 0.5NA, RWD)

cannulas in the CS (AP: +0.60 mm, ML: -/+1.80 mm, DV: -3.40 mm) and DLS (AP: +0.60 mm.

ML: +/-2.10 mm, DV: -2.90 mm) in opposite hemispheres. Left versus right DLS and CS

implantation was counterbalanced across mice to mitigate the possibility of confounding

hemisphere-specific movement signals. After 3-4 weeks of viral incubation, mice were

habituated to the head-fixed treadmill apparatus (LabeoTech, Cat. # 38202) and patch cables

over two sessions, with the first session lasting 10 minutes and the second session lasting 30

minutes. To assess whether DA terminal activity in the CS and DLS differentially correlate with

movement, synchronized fiber photometry and treadmill (distance, velocity) recordings were

acquired at 25 Hz and 100 Hz, respectively, over two 30-minute sessions separated by 5 days.

Fiber photometry data were acquired with equal power LEDs (410 nm, 470 nm; 30-40 µW

power) using the R820 photometry system and acquisition software (RWD). For sessions

recording movement velocity, the R820 sent triggered TTL pulses to the treadmill apparatus for

synchronized locomotion data with the fluorescent signals. To assess whether DA terminal

activity in the CS and DLS differentially responds to air puff stimuli, mice underwent two

sessions receiving 9 or more trials of unanticipated air puffs delivered manually (variable ITI,

20-90 sec) to the rear fur while head-fixed on the treadmill.

In the RWD photometry analysis software, the raw fluorescence signals were smoothed (W = 5),

baseline-corrected ($\beta = 8$), and motion-corrected using the isosbestic (410 nm) signal. Next, the

DF/F and Z-score data were computed using a 60-second time window. The average Pearson

cross-correlation between velocity/acceleration and Z-scored CS/DLS DA activity was

calculated and plotted using custom Python scripts. First, the loaded velocity (cm/s) and

calculated acceleration (cm/s^2) data were resampled and aligned to match the fluorescence

timestamps and smoothed with a Gaussian filter (σ=5). Z-scored CS and DLS fluorescence traces

were masked during periods of movement in which speed and acceleration exceeded 5 cm/s and

50 cm/s^2, respectively. For each mouse and region, we computed Pearson cross-correlations

between fluorescence and (i) speed and (ii) acceleration across lags $\pm$5 s during these movement

periods. Per-mouse summary values were generated for velocity at τ=0 s and acceleration

averaged over $-1<\tau<0$ s versus $0<\tau<+1$ s. These individual mean Pearson r values underwent a

two-way repeated-measures ANOVA (Region × Period) with Šídák multiple-comparisons

(Prism), yielding the interaction and pairwise contrasts reported. Mean correlations were

averaged across sessions per mouse, which were averaged across all mice to generate the final

group-level data and plots.

For the analysis of air-puff triggered DA terminal responses, Z-scored CS and DLS fluorescence

were aligned to air puff onset (t=0) across trials and averaged across sessions for each mouse.

The mean triggered-responses for CS and DLS were then averaged across mice for the final

group-level data (mean ± SEM). Area under the curve (AUC) analysis was performed on the

mean Z-score trace for each animal over fixed time windows before (pre, $-$0.75–0 s) and after

(post, 0–0.75 s) air puff onset. Per-mouse AUCs were averaged across trials to give one value

per Region×Time cell. Group statistics used a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA (Region ×

Time) with Bonferroni-corrected post-hoc comparisons.

VTA-DA self-stimulation with optogenetics

For optogenetic self-stimulation experiments, male (n=4) and female (n=6) mice aged 2-4

months old received bilateral AAV injections in the VTA at the following coordinates (relative

to bregma): AP -2.90 to -3.10 mm, ML +/-0.55 to 0.60 mm, DV -4.55 mm. A 200-µm core optic

fiber (0.39 NA, RWD) was slowly implanted unilaterally 150-µm above the injection site and

cemented to the skull. Mice were injected with 300 nL (at 50 nl/min) of either AAV1-2xCHS4-

cjDAE8-cm2-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP-WPRE-mHGHpA (n=6) or the control AAV1-2xCHS4-

cjDAE8-cm2-dTomato-WPRE-mHGHpA (1E+12 vg/mL, n=4). Mice injected with the

ChR2(H134R) construct received one of the following titers: 1E+13 vg/mL (n=1), 5E+12 vg/mL

(n=1), 2E+12 vg/mL (n=2), or 1E+12 vg/mL (n=2).

After at least 14 days of viral incubation, mice underwent three days optogenetic self-stimulation

training (30-min duration, 180 maximum stimulations). Each session, mice were placed in an

operant chamber (Part # ENV-307A-CT, Med Associates) equipped with two nose-poke ports

(Part # ENV-313M, Med Associates) and LED lights (Part # ENV-321DM, Med Associates).

Each trial began with illumination of the LED cue light. A single nose poke at the active port

during cue light illumination triggered a 2-s photostimulation (10-ms pulse width, 20 Hz, 4.5-5

mW, 20% duty cycle) of VTA-DA neurons along with a 2-s illumination of the port. At the end

of stimulation, cue and nose-poke LED lights turned off for an 8-s inter-trial interval (ITI) during

which active pokes were not reinforced by photostimulation.

After training, mice were euthanized with a fatal overdose of isoflurane anesthesia and

underwent transcardial perfusion with ice-cold 1X PBS, followed by 4% PFA in 1X PBS. Brains

were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA, then serially sectioned coronally by vibratome (80-μm

thickness) for immunofluorescence staining. Briefly, midbrain serial sections underwent

permeabilization with 2% PBST and then incubation for one hour at room temperature in

blocking buffer solution containing 10% Blocking One buffer (Nacalai, Cat. # 03953-95) in

0.3% PBST. Blocked tissue sections were then incubated with mouse anti-TH IgG1 (1:2000,

Immunostar, Cat. # 22941) in blocking buffer solution for 18-24 hours at 4°C. Next, tissue

sections were incubated with goat anti-mouse 647 (1:500, Thermo Fisher, Cat. # A21236) and

DAPI (1:1000) to counterstain cell nuclei. Representative coronal sections per mouse (lateral,

middle, and medial to injection/implantation site) were acquired by confocal microscopy with

the FLUOVIEW FV3000 (Olympus) or LSM700 (Zeiss).

Data availability

To promote transparency and support the research community, all histology datasets will be

hosted for a limited time via the Neuroglancer viewer and will be permanently available to

download through [repository link].   These resources include: (i) high-resolution confocal

images of midbrain regions used to quantify cjDAE8-AAV performance, and (ii) corresponding

whole-slide epifluorescent images. Additionally, 3D light-sheet imaging data from a cleared

marmoset hemisphere—following intravenous cjDAE8-AAV delivery and immunofluorescent

staining for RFP and TH—are included to enable comprehensive, whole-brain evaluation of tool

performance. Along with histology data, all raw and processed data for fiber photometry and

optogenetics experiments will be available for long-term access through [repository link].

Code availability

Custom pipelines for automated cell quantification in StrataQuest and Jupyter notebooks used for

fiber photometry analyses are available to download on GitHub

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the NIH BRAIN Initiative Grant UG3 MH126869

(OSP#6947909). K.A.C. was supported by the BCS Alder (1972) Graduate Student Fellowship

and the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship.

We thank Kirsten Levandowski, Qiangge Zhang, and Yefei Chen for performing various

marmoset procedures (intravenous injections, euthanasia, tissue collection), as well as Will

Menegas for feedback on figure formatting. We also thank Brian Nguyen and his colleagues at

LifeCanvas Technologies for performing the marmoset tissue clearing experiment.

Finally, we express our deepest gratitude and respect for all the animals euthanized for this work.

We also want to recognize the invaluable contributions of marmosets Ivy (19-184), Florian (20-

160), Swan (23-116), and Dove (22-132) to the development of this technology.

Author contributions

K.A.C. designed and oversaw research methodology for the candidate screening, enhancer-

backbone development, and *in vivo* characterization of cjDAE-AAVs under the supervision of

G.F., F.W., M.W., and F.K.; F.K., Y.W. sequenced and analyzed marmoset multiomics data;

R.R. analyzed conservation of analogous enhancer sequences and regulatory elements across

species; K.A.C. designed and cloned all pAAV constructs; C.S.Z and M.W. packaged all AAVs

with minimal purification approach; I.K. packaged all high-titer purified AAVs; K.A.C.

performed initial cjDAE candidate screening in mouse; K.A.C., C.S.Z., and M.W. designed and

executed enhancer-backbone optimization experiments (data not shown); K.A.C performed all

systemic and local injections in mice, R.C. and M.W. performed all marmoset local injection

procedures; K.A.C. designed and executed all histology analyses. K.A.C. designed, performed,

and analyzed data for fiber photometry experiments; K.C. and K.A.C. designed, performed, and
analyzed data for optogenetics experiments; K.A.C. wrote the manuscript, and K.C., M.W.,
Y.W., and I.K. wrote methods subsections; all authors edited and approved.

Competing interests

K.A.C., M.W., Y.W., C.S.C., R.R., F.K., and G.F. are inventors on patent application no.
[63/766,316] related to enhancer-AAV technology. K.A.C. and M.W. are also co-founders of
StriaPlex Therapeutics, Inc., which aims to commercialize related applications. The company has
not financially supported this research.

Ethics declarations
All animal procedures were performed in accordance with MIT CAC protocols. No subjects
were excluded from any of the analyses. For SNc fiber photometry experiments, researchers
were blinded to CS versus DLS recording sites during data acquisition and processing. For VTA
optogenetics experiments, researchers were not blinded.

Reporting summary
A reporting summary will be provided as a separate file upon submission.

Referencess
1. Barbeau, A. The Pathogenesis of Parkinson's Disease: A New Hypothesis. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* **87**,
802–807 (1962).
2. German, D. C., Manaye, K., Smith, W. K., Woodward, D. J. & Saper, C. B. Midbrain dopaminergic
cell loss in parkinson's disease: Computer visualization. *Ann. Neurol.* **26**, 507–514 (1989).
3. Howe, M. W., Tierney, P. L., Sandberg, S. G., Phillips, P. E. M. & Graybiel, A. M. Prolonged
dopamine signalling in striatum signals proximity and value of distant rewards. *Nature* **500**, 575–579
(2013).
4. Grace, A. A. Dysregulation of the dopamine system in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and
depression. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **17**, 524 (2016).

5. Engert, V. & Pruessner, J. C. Dopaminergic and Noradrenergic Contributions to Functionality in

ADHD: The Role of Methylphenidate. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* **6**, 322 (2008).

6. Robinson, T. E. & Berridge, K. C. The neural basis of drug craving: An incentive-sensitization theory

of addiction. *Brain Res. Rev.* **18**, 247–291 (1993).

7. Graybiel, A. M. & Ragsdale, C. W. Fiber connections of the basal ganglia. *Prog. Brain Res.* **51**, 237–

283 (1979).

8. Hou, G., Hao, M., Duan, J. & Han, M.-H. The Formation and Function of the VTA Dopamine

System. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **25**, 3875 (2024).

9. Luo, S. X. & Huang, E. J. Dopaminergic Neurons and Brain Reward Pathways: From Neurogenesis

to Circuit Assembly. *Am. J. Pathol.* **186**, 478–488 (2016).

10. Keiflin, R., Pribut, H. J., Shah, N. B. & Janak, P. H. Ventral Tegmental Dopamine Neurons

Participate in Reward Identity Predictions. *Curr. Biol. CB* **29**, 93-103.e3 (2019).

11. Madisen, L. *et al.* A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the

whole mouse brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **13**, 133–140 (2010).

12. Lammel, S. *et al.* Diversity of Transgenic Mouse Models for Selective Targeting of Midbrain

Dopamine Neurons. *Neuron* **85**, 429–438 (2015).

13. Schnütgen, F. *et al.* A directional strategy for monitoring Cre-mediated recombination at the cellular

level in the mouse. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **21**, (2003).

14. Taniguchi, H. *et al.* A Resource of Cre Driver Lines for Genetic Targeting of GABAergic Neurons in

Cerebral Cortex. *Neuron* **71**, 995–1013 (2011).

15. Jz, T. *et al.* Subregion- and cell type-restricted gene knockout in mouse brain. *Cell* **87**, (1996).

16. Fenno, L. E. *et al.* INTRSECT: single-component targeting of cells using multiple-feature Boolean

logic. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 763–772 (2014).

17. Dymecki, S. M. & Tomasiewicz, H. Using Flp-Recombinase to Characterize Expansion of*Wnt1*-

Expressing Neural Progenitors in the Mouse. *Dev. Biol.* **201**, 57–65 (1998).

18. Gong *et al.* Targeting Cre recombinase to specific neuron populations with bacterial artificial

chromosome constructs. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* **27**, (2007).

19. Cm, B. *et al.* Characterization of a mouse strain expressing Cre recombinase from the 3' untranslated

region of the dopamine transporter locus. *Genes. N. Y. N 2000* **44**, (2006).

20. Papathanou, M., Dumas, S., Pettersson, H., Olson, L. & Wallén-Mackenzie, Å. Off-Target Effects in

Transgenic Mice: Characterization of Dopamine Transporter (DAT)-Cre Transgenic Mouse Lines

Exposes Multiple Non-Dopaminergic Neuronal Clusters Available for Selective Targeting within

Limbic Neurocircuitry. *eNeuro* **6**, ENEURO.0198-19.2019 (2019).

21. Chohan, M. O., Esses, S., Haft, J., Ahmari, S. E. & Veenstra-VanderWeele, J. Altered baseline and

amphetamine-mediated behavioral profiles in dopamine transporter Cre (DAT-Ires-Cre) mice

compared to tyrosine hydroxylase Cre (TH-Cre) mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* **237**, 3553–3568

(2020).

22. Yoshimatsu, S. *et al.* Generation of a tyrosine hydroxylase-2A-Cre knockin non-human primate

model by homology-directed-repair-biased CRISPR genome editing. *Cell Rep. Methods* **3**, 100590

(2023).

23. Tardif, S. D. & Ross, C. N. Chapter 8 - Reproduction, Growth, and Development. in *The Common*

Marmoset in Captivity and Biomedical Research (eds. Marini, R., Wachtman, L., Tardif, S.,

Mansfield, K. & Fox, J.) 119–132 (Academic Press, 2019). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-811829-0.00008-

X.

24. El-Shamayleh, Y., Ni, A. M. & Horwitz, G. D. Strategies for targeting primate neural circuits with

viral vectors. *J. Neurophysiol.* **116**, 122–134 (2016).

25. Stauffer, W. R. *et al.* Dopamine Neuron-Specific Optogenetic Stimulation in Rhesus Macaques. *Cell*

166, 1564-1571.e6 (2016).

26. Oh, M. S., Hong, S. J., Huh, Y. & Kim, K.-S. Expression of transgenes in midbrain dopamine

neurons using the tyrosine hydroxylase promoter. *Gene Ther.* **16**, 437–440 (2009).

27. Lerchner, W., Corgiat, B., Der Minassian, V., Saunders, R. C. & Richmond, B. J. Injection

parameters and virus dependent choice of promoters to improve neuron targeting in the nonhuman

primate brain. *Gene Ther.* **21**, 233–241 (2014).

28. Furlanis, E. *et al.* An enhancer-AAV toolbox to target and manipulate distinct interneuron subtypes.

bioRxiv 2024.07.17.603924 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.07.17.603924.

29. Graybuck, L. T. *et al.* Enhancer viruses for combinatorial cell-subclass-specific labeling. *Neuron* **109**,

1449-1464.e13 (2021).

30. Mich, J. K. *et al.* Functional enhancer elements drive subclass-selective expression from mouse to

primate neocortex. *Cell Rep.* **34**, 108754 (2021).

31. Potenza, M. L. *et al.* Generation of an enhancer-driven gene expression viral tool specific to dentate

granule cell-types through direct hippocampal injection. *Front. Neurosci.* **18**, (2024).

32. Dimidschstein, J. *et al.* A viral strategy for targeting and manipulating interneurons across vertebrate

species. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**, 1743–1749 (2016).

33. Hrvatin, S. *et al.* A scalable platform for the development of cell-type-specific viral drivers. *eLife* **8**,

e48089 (2019).

34. Nair, R. R., Blankvoort, S., Lagartos, M. J. & Kentros, C. Enhancer-Driven Gene Expression

(EDGE) Enables the Generation of Viral Vectors Specific to Neuronal Subtypes. *iScience* **23**, 100888

(2020).

35. Vormstein-Schneider D *et al.* Viral manipulation of functionally distinct interneurons in mice, non-

human primates and humans. *Nat. Neurosci.* **23**, (2020).

36. Ben-Simon, Y. *et al.* A suite of enhancer AAVs and transgenic mouse lines for genetic access to

cortical cell types. *bioRxiv* 2024.06.10.597244 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.06.10.597244.

37. Hunker, A. C. *et al.* Enhancer AAV toolbox for accessing and perturbing striatal cell types and

circuits. *Neuron* **113**, 1507-1524.e17 (2025).

38. Hunker, A. C. *et al.* Enhancer AAV toolbox for accessing and perturbing striatal cell types and

circuits. *bioRxiv* 2024.09.27.615553 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.09.27.615553.

39.   Krienen, F. M. *et al.* A marmoset brain cell census reveals regional specialization of cellular

identities. *Sci. Adv.* **9**, eadk3986 (2023).

40.   Konno, A. & Hirai, H. Efficient whole brain transduction by systemic infusion of minimally purified

AAV-PHP.eB. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **346**, 108914 (2020).

41.   Chung, J. H., Bell, A. C. & Felsenfeld, G. Characterization of the chicken β-globin insulator. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **94**, 575–580 (1997).

42.   Rivella, S., Callegari, J. A., May, C., Tan, C. W. & Sadelain, M. The cHS4 Insulator Increases the

Probability of Retroviral Expression at Random Chromosomal Integration Sites. *J. Virol.* **74**, 4679–

4687 (2000).

43.   Yao, S. *et al.* Retrovirus silencer blocking by the cHS4 insulator is CTCF independent. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. **31**, 5317–5323 (2003).

44.   Uchida, N., Hanawa, H., Yamamoto, M. & Shimada, T. The Chicken Hypersensitivity Site 4 Core

Insulator Blocks Promoter Interference in Lentiviral Vectors. *Hum. Gene Ther. Methods* **24**, 117–124

(2013).

45.   Azcorra, M. *et al.* Unique functional responses differentially map onto genetic subtypes of dopamine

neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* **26**, 1762–1774 (2023).

46.   Carmichael, K., Sullivan, B., Lopez, E., Sun, L. & Cai, H. Diverse midbrain dopaminergic neuron

subtypes and implications for complex clinical symptoms of Parkinson's disease. *Ageing*

Neurodegener. Dis. **1**, 10.20517/and.2021.07 (2021).

47.   Weiss, A. R., Liguore, W. A., Domire, J. S., Button, D. & McBride, J. L. Intra-striatal AAV2.retro

administration leads to extensive retrograde transport in the rhesus macaque brain: implications for

disease modeling and therapeutic development. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 6970 (2020).

48.   Albaugh, D. L., Smith, Y. & Galvan, A. Comparative analyses of transgene expression patterns after

intra-striatal injections of rAAV2-retro in rats and rhesus monkeys: a light and electron microscopic

study. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **52**, 4824–4839 (2020).

49. Hinderer, C. *et al.* Severe Toxicity in Nonhuman Primates and Piglets Following High-Dose

Intravenous Administration of an Adeno-Associated Virus Vector Expressing Human SMN. *Hum.*

Gene Ther. **29**, 285–298 (2018).

50. Duan, D. Lethal immunotoxicity in high-dose systemic AAV therapy. *Mol. Ther.* **31**, 3123–3126

(2023).

51. Parrett, B. J., Yamaoka, S. & Barry, M. A. Reducing off-target expression of mRNA therapeutics and

vaccines in the liver with microRNA binding sites. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* **33**, 101402 (2024).

52. Hordeaux, J. *et al.* MicroRNA-mediated inhibition of transgene expression reduces dorsal root

ganglion toxicity by AAV vectors in primates. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **12**, eaba9188 (2020).

53. Schroeder, M. E. *et al.* Astrocyte regional specialization is shaped by postnatal development. *BioRxiv*

Prepr. Serv. Biol. 2024.10.11.617802 (2025) doi:10.1101/2024.10.11.617802.

54. Howe, M. *et al.* Coordination of rapid cholinergic and dopaminergic signaling in striatum during

spontaneous movement. *eLife* **8**, e44903 (2019).

55. Hammond, S. L., Leek, A. N., Richman, E. H. & Tjalkens, R. B. Cellular selectivity of AAV

serotypes for gene delivery in neurons and astrocytes by neonatal intracerebroventricular injection.

PLoS ONE **12**, e0188830 (2017).

56. Chakrabarty, P. *et al.* Capsid Serotype and Timing of Injection Determines AAV Transduction in the

Neonatal Mice Brain. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e67680 (2013).

57. Daya, S. & Berns, K. I. Gene Therapy Using Adeno-Associated Virus Vectors. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*

21, 583 (2008).

58. Chan, K. Y. *et al.* Engineered AAVs for efficient noninvasive gene delivery to the central and

peripheral nervous systems. *Nat. Neurosci.* **20**, 1172 (2017).

59. Haberman, R. P., McCown, T. J. & Samulski, R. J. Novel transcriptional regulatory signals in the

adeno-associated virus terminal repeat A/D junction element. *J. Virol.* **74**, 8732–8739 (2000).

60. Zhang, L. *et al.* Efficient expression of CFTR function with adeno-associated virus vectors that carry

shortened CFTR genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **95**, 10158–10163 (1998).

61. Flotte, T. R. *et al.* Expression of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator from a

novel adeno-associated virus promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 3781–3790 (1993).

62. Hao, Y. *et al.* Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. **42**, 293–304 (2024).

63. Agarwal, D. *et al.* A single-cell atlas of the human substantia nigra reveals cell-specific pathways

associated with neurological disorders. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4183 (2020).

64. Kamath, T. *et al.* Single-cell genomic profiling of human dopamine neurons identifies a population

that selectively degenerates in Parkinson's disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* **25**, 588–595 (2022).

65. Challis, R. C. *et al.* Systemic AAV vectors for widespread and targeted gene delivery in rodents. *Nat.*

Protoc. **14**, 379–414 (2019).

66. Blanchette, M., Green, E. D., Miller, W. & Haussler, D. Reconstructing large regions of an ancestral

mammalian genome in silico. *Genome Res.* **14**, 2412–2423 (2004).

67. del Rosario, R. C. H., Rayan, N. A. & Prabhakar, S. Noncoding origins of anthropoid traits and a new

null model of transposon functionalization. *Genome Res.* **24**, 1469–1484 (2014).

68. Siepel, A. *et al.* Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes.

Genome Res. **15**, 1034–1050 (2005).

69. Moore, J. E. *et al.* Expanded encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes.

Nature **583**, 699–710 (2020).

1043

1044

Figures

1046

Figure 1 | Nomination and mouse *in vivo* screen of marmoset DA-neuron enhancer candidates

a, Dual snRNA- and snATAC-sequencing of unsorted nuclei was employed on freshly dissected
marmoset midbrain tissue for integrated multiomic analysis of differentially accessible chromatin
peaks across transcriptionally defined cell populations (2x reactions, 32,070 total high-quality
nuclei). Candidate enhancer peaks were nominated by identifying chromatin regions with
penetrant accessibility and specificity to the DA-neuron cluster (258 total nuclei, circled in
black) compared to all others. **b,** Peaks were further filtered to 401 candidates using thresholds
for penetrance of accessibility (>30% in DA cluster, <5% in non-DA) and normalized magnitude
of peak expression ($\geq$1.5 log2-fold change). The final 12 candidates, such as cjDAE4 (**c**) and
cjDAE8 (**d**), were selected from the ranked filtered list after further considering the genome
context (enrichment of DA-related genes most proximal to the enhancer in linear-sequence
distance). **e,** Enhancer candidate peaks, amplified from marmoset gDNA, were cloned into
pAAV constructs expressing either EGFP or dTomato and screened in mice (n=6, 2-3 months
old) by systemic injection with minimally purified AAV-PHP.eB preparations of EGFP-
dTomato candidate pairs. Only two candidates, cjDAE4 and cjDAE8, exhibited robust and
specific reporter expression and were selected for screening in a marmoset. Scale bar, 500 μm.
Schematics made in BioRender.

1065

Figure 2 | Enhancer-AAV backbone modifications dramatically improve the specificity of transgene expression after stereotactic delivery in marmosets

a, Screening strategy for cjDAE4 and cjDAE8 in marmoset by local injection with the original enhancer-AAV backbone (iWPRE-insulator, mBGHpA). Stereotactic intraparenchymal AAV injections (1:1 mix, 1E+12 vg/ml each) were delivered to the marmoset SNc and VTA by combined implantation of coordinate-grid and structural MRI imaging. Representative images of

the injection sites show high-efficiency transgene expression in both regions. Scale bar, 500 μm.
b, Direct and retrograde targeting strategies to comprehensively validate the specificity of pan
and projection-defined DA neuron targeting in marmoset with cjDAE8 using an optimized
enhancer-AAV backbone. Representative images with injection tract show robust reporter
expression with high specificity after antibody amplification. Scale bar, 500 μm. **c,**
Representative confocal images of endogenous versus amplified reporter expression at the
injection site for cjDAE4. Scale bar, 100 μm. Schematics made in BioRender. **d,** Quantification
of aggregated cell counts and specificity for endogenous versus amplified EGFP labeling by
cjDAE4 showed a dramatic reduction in specificity (from 83.53% to only 47.71%) after antibody
amplification, leading to the selection of cjDAE8 for further characterization. **e,** Representative
confocal images of antibody-amplified reporter expression at the injection site for cjDAE8 with
the original (iWPRE-insulator) versus modified (2xCHS4-insulator) enhancer AAV backbones.
Scale bar, 100 μm. **f,** Quantification of aggregated cell counts and specificity for endogenous
versus amplified dTomato expression between backbones. Despite equivalent AAV capsids,
dosing, reporter, and incubation time, the modified cjDAE8 construct dramatically improved the
specificity of both endogenous and antibody-amplified reporter labeling. **g,** Representative
confocal images of antibody-amplified retrograde transduction of midbrain DA neurons
projecting to subregions of the caudate and putamen. Scale bar, 100 μm. **h,** Posterior spread of
the AAV injection into the globus pallidus caused robust transduction of the subthalamic nucleus
(STN). However, within the midbrain, both endogenous and antibody-amplified reporter
expression surpassed 90% specificity in DA neurons. ***Definitions*.** Specificity = (reporter$^+$TH$^+$ /
reporter$^+$ total)×100; Efficiency = (reporter$^+$TH$^+$ / TH$^+$ total)×100. Marmoset and AAV
schematics made in BioRender.

1095

Figure 3 | Strategies of locally delivering backbone-modified cjDAE8-AAVs in marmoset for ubiquitous or projection-defined targeting of DA neurons with unprecedented specificity.

a, Revisited local injection strategy for ubiquitous and projection-defined targeting of DA neurons in the marmoset. Schematics made in BioRender. **b,** Serial sagittal epifluorescence images spanning the full dataset for the endogenous (non-amplified) reporter condition, showing robust midbrain-focused cjDAE8-dTom and cjDAE8-EGFP labeling patterns across sections.

Scale bar, 2 mm. **c,** Serial sagittal epifluorescence images sampling the entire hemisphere the
antibody-amplified reporter condition, revealing increased signal and fine terminal fields while
preserving DA-neuron specificity achieved by the backbone-optimized cjDAE8 construct. Scale
bar, 2 mm. **d** Higher-magnification confocal images (endogenous and anti-GFP/anti-RFP–
amplified) show robust co-localization with TH and minimal off-target signal in non-DA
midbrain populations; channels shown as EGFP/dTom/anti-TH (endogenous) and anti-GFP/anti-
RFP/anti-TH (amplified). Scale bar, 500 μm.

Figure 4 | Intravenous cjDAE8-AAV delivery enables selective systemic targeting of marmoset DA neurons

a, Timeline and schematic of the systemic injection strategy with AAV-BI103 (teil-vein injeciton, 8×10^{13} vg/kg dose) using a cjDAE8-dTom cassette engineered to de-target peripheral tissues. Schematics made in BioRender. **b,** Representative sagittal sections of the whole-midbrain quantification across serial ROIs. Scale bar, 2 mm. **c,** Higher magnification representative confocal image demonstrating high degrees of colocalization between channels. Scale bar, 500 μm. **d,** Bar summary of quantification for 14 serial midbrain ROIs showing TH$^+$ neurons and reporter-positive subsets for endogenous (dTom) and antibody-amplified (aRFP) conditions: 16,344 TH$^+$; 9,353 dTom$^+$TH$^+$; 120 dTom$^+$TH$^-$; 13,627 aRFP$^+$TH$^+$; 623 aRFP$^+$TH$^-$

1121 cells. Summary plots show 98.73% specificity and 57.23% efficiency for endogenous dTom.
1122 **_Definitions_**. Specificity = (reporter+TH+ / total reporter+)×100; Efficiency = (reporter+TH+ /
1123 total TH+)×100.

1124

Figure 5 | Dose-dependent systemic and local cjDAE8-AAV delivery enables selective targeting of mouse DA neurons

a, Male and female C57 WT mice received retro-orbital AAV-PHP.eB–cjDAE8-dTom at 5E+10 or 5E+11 vg (n=4/group) and perfused after four weeks of viral incubation. **b,** Both doses robustly label midbrain DA neurons. At 5E+10 vg, endogenous and amplified signals are highly specific; at 5E+11 vg, endogenous remains specific while amplification reveals sparse or dim off-target labeling. Scale bar, 2 mm. **c,** Two-way RM-ANOVA (Dose×Marker) was significant $(F_{(4,24)}=8.760, P=0.0002)$. High dose increased dTom$^+$TH$^+$ (+475, adj P<0.001) and aRFP$^+$TH$^-$ (+600, adj P<0.0001); TH$^+$, dTom$^+$TH$^-$, aRFP$^+$TH$^+$ were unchanged (all adj P>0.3). **d,** Specificity showed a strong Dose×Marker interaction $(F_{(1,6)}=94.34, P<0.0001)$. At 5E+10 vg: dTom 98.1% vs aRFP 91.6% (adj P=0.0289). At 5E+11 vg: dTom 94.8% vs aRFP 62.0% (adj P<0.0001). Across doses, dTom specificity was stable (Δ=−3.29%, P=0.293) while aRFP dropped (Δ=−29.6%, P<0.0001). Efficiency showed no interaction $(F_{(1,6)}=5.142, P=0.064)$ but increased with dose $(F_{(1,6)}=6.394, P=0.0448)$ and differed by reporter $(F_{(1,6)}=9.744,$

P=0.0205): at 5E+10 vg, aRFP>dTom (92.5% vs 49.0%, adj P=0.0176); at 5E+11 vg, they

converged (95.8% vs 88.9%, adj P=0.813). Dose raised dTom efficiency (+39.9%, P=0.0106)

but not aRFP ($\Delta=-3.25\%$, P=0.955). **e,** Male and female C57 WT mice (n=3) were locally

injected with AAV1-cjDAE8-dTom (300 nL/site; 5E+11 vg/ml) in the SNc and VTA (n=3), then

perfused four weeks later.**f,** Representative confocal images showing robust, specific VTA and

SNc labeling along the A–P axis for both endogenous and amplified reporter expression. Scale

bar, 500 μm. **g,** As expected, there was a significant Region×Marker interaction (F(8,24)=14.94,

P<0.0001): Combined ROI > SNc/VTA for TH^+, $dTom^+TH^+$, $aRFP^+TH^+$. Off-target

labeling($dTom^+TH^-$, $aRFP^+TH^-$) did not differ across regions (all adj-P>0.9). **h,** No

Region×Reporter interaction, but dTom showed higher overall specificity than aRFP

(F(1,6)=17.69, P=0.0056), ~+5.1% on average across Combined/SNc/VTA. Data are mean ±

s.e.m.; points are individual mice. ***Definitions.*** Specificity = ($reporter^+TH^+$ / $reporter^+$ total)×100;

Efficiency = ($reporter^+TH^+$ / TH^+ total)×100. Asterisks denote significance: * p<0.05, ** p<0.01,

*** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001; not significant comparisons were not plotted (two-sided tests;

multiple-comparisons corrections as indicated). Mouse and AAV schematics made in BioRender.

1154

Figure 6 | SNc DA terminals in CS and DLS differentially encode movement kinematics and salient stimuli

a, C57 WT mice (n=4) received bilateral SNc injections with AAV9–cjDAE8–GCaMP6f (8×10^{12} vg mL^{-1}) and implanted with fibers in the CS and DLS. Scale bar, 500 µm. **b,** Z-scored CS/DLS signals were examined during spontaneous movement bouts (speed >5 cm s^{-1}; |accel|>50 cm s^{-2}), showing distinct activity patterns. **c,** CS and DLS DA terminals oppositely correlate with velocity and acceleration. Velocity at τ=0 (top): DLS positive (r=+0.0412 ± 0.0600), CS negative (r=−0.1663 ± 0.0404). Acceleration mirrors at negative versus positive time lags (bottom): CS peak at −0.50 ± 0.14 s (r=+0.0635 ± 0.0194) and trough at +0.44 ± 0.08 s (r=−0.1144 ± 0.0090); DLS trough at −0.11 ± 0.02 s (r=−0.1614 ± 0.0450) and peak at +0.47 ± 0.18 s (r=+0.0662 ± 0.0365). **d,** Summary of mean Pearson r across time lags for velocity and acceleration. RM-ANOVA Region×Period interaction (F(2,6)=23.73, P=0.0014). Šídák: τ=0, CS<DLS (Δ=−0.208, adj P=0.0059); Accel −1<τ<0, CS>DLS (Δ=+0.225, adj P=0.0040); Accel 0<τ<+1, CS<DLS

(Δ=−0.181, adj P=0.0109). Within-region: CS Accel(−)>Accel(+) (Δ=+0.178, P=0.0346); DLS
Accel(+)>Accel(−) (Δ=+0.228, P=0.0113). **e,** Onset-aligned mean Z-score fluorescence changes
show rapid CS activation across all air puff trials, with minimal response in the DLS. **f,** AUC
analysis of mean Z-score fluorescence using pre- and post-onset windows (±0.75 s). RM-
ANOVA Region×Time (F(1,6)=17.82, P=0.0056). CS increases pre→post (0.109 ± 0.091 →
1.312 ± 0.324; adj P=0.0010); DLS no change (−0.122 ± 0.094 → 0.019 ± 0.096; adj P=0.9174).
Post period: CS>DLS (mean diff = 1.293; adj P=0.0006). Data are mean ± s.e.m.; points are
individual mice. Asterisks denote significance: * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, ****
p<0.0001; not significant comparisons were not plotted (two-sided tests; multiple-comparisons
corrections as indicated). Mouse-related schematics made in BioRender.

1178

1179

Figure 7 | Optogenetic stimulation of VTA DA neurons elicits robust self-stimulation behavior in mice

a, Bilateral VTA injections (300 nl/site) of AAV1-cjDAE8 driving ChR2(H134R)-EYFP (n=6, 1E+12 to 1E+13 vg/ml) or dTomato (n=4, 1E+12 vg/ml). **b,** Representative expression of either construct at the injection site. **c,** Optogenetic self-stimulation task: cue-light, active vs inactive ports; active poke triggers 2-s, 20 Hz laser (4.5–5 mW) and 8-s ITI (max 180 stim/session); mouse-related schematic made in BioRender. **d,** Learning curves across three sessions: active/inactive nose-pokes (top) and delivered stimulations (bottom; same measure summarized in **e**). Three-way RM-ANOVA (Session × Transgene × Port) showed significant main effects and interactions (all P≤0.0023); compared to inactive, active nose pokes escalated across days in ChR2 mice (all Šídák-adjusted P ≤0.002) but not in controls (all Šídák-adjusted P≥0.05) **e,** Summary of active nose-pokes (top) and stimulations (bottom) across training sessions. Two separate two-way RM-ANOVAs (Session × Group) showed Session×Group interactions for

1193 active pokes and stimulations (P=0.0006 and 0.0003, respectively) with ChR2 > dTom at each
1194 session (Tukey, all P≤0.015). Data are mean ± s.e.m.; points are individual mice. Asterisks
1195 denote significance: * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001; not significant
1196 comparisons were not plotted (two-sided tests; multiple-comparisons corrections as indicated).

Tables

Table 1 | Amplification of candidate DA-enhancer peaks from marmoset gDNA for Gibson assembly of pAAV vectors.

Primer Name	Sequence (5' to 3')
cjDAE1-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTTGGAAAACTGGACTAGGAATTGGCACA
cjDAE1-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGACAGTGGGGACAATGAGACCTGGG
cjDAE2-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTAGGAGGCTGAGATGGGAGGACTAGT
cjDAE2-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGGGAATCATTCTCACCAGTTCCCAGA
cjDAE3-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTAGCCAGGAAGGGGTAAAGCTGGATT
cjDAE3-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGCGACTCAGCACCAGCATCTCAACA
cjDAE4-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTATGTACCGCTGCCCTCTTTTGGAG
cjDAE4-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGCAGGAAGTGGAGGTTGCAGTGGATGG
cjDAE5-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTACAGCCACAACAAACGACCAGCAA
cjDAE5-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGAGCGCTTTGCAGATTGGCTCTGTC
cjDAE6-Gibson-For	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGGTGGCTCGGTAGCACCATGTTCAC
cjDAE6-Gibson-Rev	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTTGACGGAGAGCTCACTCTGGAACC
cjDAE7-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTAAAGTTCCAGAGAAGAAGCCAGGG

cjDAE7-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGATTCCCTGTTTTGCATAGTCGTGT
cjDAE8-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTCCTGGGTGGGCGCTGAGAAAG
cjDAE8-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGCTCTGACTCTGCTCCTGGGCTTAG
cjDAE9-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTCTATTTACACAAGCCGACAGGAG
cjDAE9-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGGTTACAAGGGTCTTCCAAATGTA
cjDAE10-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTCCTGGTAGAACCAGAGCACATCTCCAG
cjDAE10-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGTCTGGCTTTTTGGCTGTGGCTGTC
cjDAE11-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTCACGGAGGGTTCTGAGGGGAGGAG
cjDAE11-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGCCAGCCCTCATTACACCAGCCTGC
cjDAE12-Gibson-For	ATCCAGAGGTTGATTATCGAGAATTGAGGACCTAGAGGGGTCCTGTGAG
cjDAE12-Gibson-Rev	TACACGCCTAAAGCTTATACACTAGCCACCGGGTTCCCGGAACTCC

1200

Extended Figures

Extended Data Figure 1 | Evolutionary conservation of cjDAE8 and cjDAE4, as well as the

cis-regulatory element signals of their human orthologs.

a, Human orthologous region of cjDAE8 (track labeled "cjDA orthologous region in hg38"; red

region). Multiple sequence alignment shows overall conservation in primates with strong

conservation in great apes and old-world monkeys, and very weak conservation in non-primate

mammals ("Multiz Alignments of 30 mammals (27 primates)"; darker shades indicate high

sequence similarity with human)[66]. The cjDAE8 human ortholog contains a subregion highly

conserved in human, orangutan, rhesus macaque and marmoset ("Anthropoid Primate

Conservation"; green region)[67], which further contains two short regions that are highly

conserved across primates and non-primate mammals ("UCSC 30 Primates – 30 primate

genomes aligned with MultiZ by the UCSC Browser Group"; maroon region)[68]. A candidate cis-

regulatory element identified by Encode to have a distal enhancer-like signature lies on the left

half of the cjDAE8 human ortholog ("ENCODE Candidate Cis-Reglatory Elements (cCREs)

combined from all cell types"; yellow region)[69]. **b**, Human orthologous region of cjDAE4

("cjDA orthologous region in hg38"; red). Multiple sequence alignment shows strong

conservation in great apes and weaker conservation in Old World and New World monkeys

("Multiz Alignments of 30 mammals (27 primates)"). The human ortholog of cjDAE4 contains a

subregion highly conserved in anthropoid primates ("Anthropoid Primate Conservation"; green),

which contains a shorter region that is highly conserved across mammals ("UCSC 30 Primates –

30 primate genomes aligned with MultiZ by the UCSC Browser Group"; maroon). The highly

conserved regions in anthropoid primates (green) and mammals (maroon) lie in a candidate cis-

regulatory element identified by Encode to have high DNAse and CTCF and low H3K4me3 and

H3K27ac ("ENCODE Candidate Cis-Reglatory Elements (cCREs) combined from all cell

types"; light blue region).

1227

Extended Data Figure 2 | Systemic delivery of cjDAE8-AAV utilizing miR-based
detargeting does not express in the DRG or liver. a, Revisited experimental timeline and
strategy for systemic targeting of DA neurons in marmoset using AAV-BI103, with an additional
schematic of peripheral tissues processed. **b,** Endogenous and amplified cjDAE8-dTomato
expression was not apparent in sections of the DRG and spinal cord. Scale bar, 500 µm. **c,**
Similarly, labeling with either was undetected in sections of the liver. Scale bar, 500 µm.

1234

Extended Data Figure 3 | High-titer local injection of cjDAE8-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP
increases off-target expression.
a, Revisited experimental timeline and strategy for the VTA-DA self-stimulation experiment,
using a 300-nl injection volume to test a range of titers. Mice receiving high titers (5E+12 or
1E+13 vg/ml) showed notably reduced labeling specificity. In this example, the mouse was
injected with a 5E+12 vg/mL titer. **b,** Notable off-target EYFP labeling surrounding the injection
site, with reduced TH-staining levels in sections with strong labeling. Scale bar, 500 µm.

Supplementary information

[Supplementary videos of 3D clearing + IHC]