Dual human lung models reveal compartment-specific activity of anti-tuberculosis drugs and

host-directed therapies

Caio César Barbosa Bomfim[1]*[†], Natacha Faivre[1,2]*, Thomas Benoist[1], Manon Popis[1], Bastien Suire[1],

David Pericat[1], José Manuel Sánchez-López[1,3,4], Beatriz Melissa Aponte-Castillo[3], Emmanuelle

Näser[1], Pénélope Viana[1], Nicolas Guibert[5], Romain Vergé[1,6], Julien Mazières[5], Arnaud Métais[1],

Renaud Poincloux[1], Brigitte Raynaud-Messina[1], Fabrice Dumas[1], Olivier Neyrolles[1,2], Christel

Vérollet[1,2], Etienne Meunier[1], Geanncarlo Lugo-Villarino[1,2#] and Céline Cougoule[1#†]

[1] Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale (IPBS), Université de Toulouse, CNRS,

Toulouse, France

[2] International Research Project CNRS "MAC-TB/HIV", Toulouse, France, and Buenos Aires,

Argentina

[3] Unidad de Investigación Médica en Genética Humana (UIMGH), Hospital de Pediatría Centro

Médico Nacional Siglo XXI, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS), México

[4] Children's Hospital of Tlaxcala, Tlaxcala, 90610, México

[5] Service de Pneumologie, Hôpital Larrey, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

[6] Service de Chirurgie Thoracique, Hôpital Larrey, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

*These authors contributed equally to this work

[#] These senior authors contributed equally to this work

[†]Correspondence: Caio Bomfim (Caio.Bomfim@ipbs.fr); Céline Cougoule (Celine.Cougoule@ipbs.fr)

Keywords: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, Alveolar macrophages, Air–liquid interface cultures, Host-

directed therapy, Antibiotics, Antimicrobial efficacy

Running title: Drug Efficacy and Host Response in Human Lung Models

SUMMARY

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a major global health challenge that requires new therapeutic strategies to improve drug efficacy, shorten treatment duration, prevent drug resistance, and limit *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) persistence. Here, we established complementary *in vitro* human lung models integrating alveolar macrophage-like (AML) cells and airway air-liquid interface (ALI) cultures to evaluate standard-of-care antibiotics, host-directed therapies, and virulence-targeting agents. AMLs recapitulated key morphological, transcriptional, and functional features of primary alveolar macrophages, including a CD16$^+$ immunoregulatory phenotype highly permissive to Mtb infection. In parallel, ALI cultures maintained epithelial barrier integrity and secretory functions, allowing apical Mtb infection, drug penetration analysis, and inflammatory profiling. Benchmarking of standard-of-care antibiotics revealed compartment-specific activity: isoniazid, rifampicin, and moxifloxacin were effective in both systems, while pyrazinamide was active only in AMLs. Anti-inflammatory host-directed therapies such as ibuprofen and doramapimod selectively reduced cytokine production without affecting bacterial load. Together, this dual-platform system offers a physiologically relevant and scalable model to assess antimicrobial efficacy and host modulation across distinct pulmonary niches, bridging the gap between conventional macrophage assays and the complex human lung.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a major global public health challenge, affecting millions of people every year. Caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb), it accounts for over 10 million new infections annually and continues to be the leading cause of death from a single agent, claiming approximately 1.25 million lives lost in 2023 alone (1). Although several effective antibiotic regimens exist, TB still shows a high relapse rate, with treatment success achieved in about 88% of patients with drug-sensitive TB (1, 2). Standard treatment for drug-sensitive TB lasts approximately six months. However, the prolonged duration of therapy often leads to poor patient adherence, contributing to relapse and the emergence of drug resistance. In cases of rifampicin-resistant or multidrug-resistant TB, treatment regimens are even longer, lasting up to 24 months, and are associated with lower treatment success rates (approximately 68%), further exacerbating the global disease burden. Together, these challenges underscore the urgent need for new and more effective treatment options.

Mtb has adapted to evade the host immune response, especially by interfering with macrophage microbicidal functions. These mononuclear phagocytes play a unique role in TB, serving as both the primary reservoir for Mtb replication and the key effector cells that control the infection. This dual function is central to the outcome of TB disease. Importantly, although many individuals encounter the bacteria, only about 5% to 10% develop active TB (3), which highlights the effectiveness of innate and adaptive immune responses in conferring host resistance (4). This has sparked interest in therapeutic strategies that target the interaction between immune cells and Mtb. By boosting macrophage ability to fight bacteria or countering the immune suppression caused by Mtb, for example, these so-called host-directed therapies (HDTs) may enhance the effectiveness of antibiotics. Consequently, this may lead to shorter treatment times, lower relapse rates, and help prevent the emergence of MDR Mtb strains.

Recent research reveals that a macrophage's origin and its specific tissular niche shape its phenotypic and functional characteristics (5). The lung niche comprises unique local signals, such as growth factors and metabolic cues, as well as interactions with surrounding tissue components. Understanding these dynamics is particularly important in the TB context, as ontogeny and the specific lung niche influence how macrophages respond to and control Mtb (6, 7). Therefore, for therapeutic approaches targeting the interaction between macrophages and Mtb to be effective, it is essential to consider both their origin and the specific lung niche in which they reside.

Mtb primarily infects alveolar macrophages (AMs) upon initial lung invasion, exploiting their supportive metabolic environment and immune tolerance (6, 8). These macrophages are long-lived

and self-renewing, mainly originating from the yolk sac and fetal liver during development. They are replenished by blood circulating monocytes derived from the bone marrow, particularly in older individuals or following an inflammatory insult such as an infection (5, 9). Within the alveolar niche, AMs reside in elevated oxygen levels and lipid-rich surfactant, as well as in signals from airway epithelial-derived cytokines, such as granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) (10). These environmental signals promote a tolerogenic phenotype in AMs by inhibiting the activation of pro-inflammatory pathways, which is essential for maintaining pulmonary homeostasis and preventing unnecessary inflammation against inert or non-pathogenic particles. However, this also creates an intracellular environment that is permissive to bacterial replication (6, 7, 11). Understanding the interplay between AMs and Mtb is crucial for proposing novel therapies that direct the host response.

As of today, most *in vitro* studies evaluating anti-TB drugs rely on macrophage cell lines, such as the human THP-1–based cellular models, or on M-CSF-driven human blood monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) (12–14). Yet, these models do not fully recapitulate the characteristics and functions of AMs, nor do they adequately represent the alveolar niche. Recent developments have introduced novel human AM models generated *in vitro* from pluripotent stem cells (15, 16) or from peripheral blood monocytes (17, 18), which offer greater experimental tractability. In particular, the AM-like (AML) model adapted by Pahari and colleagues, using human monocytes differentiated with a specific combination of GM-CSF, TGF-β, interleukin 10 (IL-10), and surfactant, closely resembles primary AMs in terms of phenotype, metabolic activity, and functions. This model shows increased expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ) and greater susceptibility to intracellular Mtb proliferation compared to traditional MDMs (18). Likewise, recent advances in air–liquid interface (ALI) cultures derived from human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) provide key structural and functional features of the airway epithelium, delivering better reproducibility and suitability for medium- and high-throughput applications (19–21). Notably, these ALI cultures enable infection via apical inoculation of Mtb, mimicking the natural infection route, which leads to a fully developed infection and relevant physiological responses (22, 23). Nonetheless, the extent to which these *in vitro* approaches can reliably predict *in vivo* outcomes in human TB, particularly concerning host-targeted therapies, remains largely unexplored.

To address this, the present study established a dual *in vitro* system comprising the AML model and airway ALI cultures. This system recapitulates the two main lung compartments engaged by Mtb: the intracellular AM niche, considered to be permissive for bacterial growth, and the airway barrier, which both restricts pathogen invasion and drives inflammation. This combined approach

enables the systematic evaluation of anti-TB compound testing, benchmarking their performance against molecules representing distinct pharmacological classes, including standard-of-care antibiotics, host-directed therapies, and virulence-targeting therapies. In this manner, this dual *in vitro* system aligns with the growing priority of co-targeting Mtb and the host to overcome therapeutic limitations.

2. METHODS

2.1 Bacterial Culture

The genetically modified H37Rv-GFP and H37Rv-dsRED strains were grown in Middlebrook 7H9 medium supplemented with 0.05% Tween 80 (Euromedex) and 10% ADC (albumin, dextrose, and catalase, BD). The strain H37Rv::lux was constructed after transformation of the Mtb wild type strain H37Rv with the integrative plasmid pMV30-hsp-Lux13 (Addgene #26161) and cultivated with the same medium described before, plus kanamycin (50 µg/mL). Both bacterial strains were maintained in culture at 37°C until the mid-log phase (OD 0.6–0.9) on day 7.

2.2 Drugs

The benchmarking compounds used in this study were kindly provided by GSK Global Health Medicines R&D, including standard-of-care antibiotics, host-directed therapies, and virulence-targeting therapies. The identity, mechanism of action, and therapeutic class of each drug are summarized in Table S1, which provides annotated information relevant to TB control.

2.3 AML and MDM Generation, Infection, and Treatment

Human primary monocytes were isolated from the healthy subject's buffy coat (provided by Etablissement Français du Sang, Toulouse, France, under contract 21RB2025-028-R and 21RB2025-031-R). According to articles L12434 and R124361 of the French Public Health Code, the contract was approved by the French Ministry of Science and Technology (agreement number AC 2009921). Written informed consents were obtained from the donors before sample collection. Monocytes (CD14$^+$) were isolated from peripheral blood cells using magnetic positive selection (Miltenyi Biotec). For differentiation into MDM, the CD14$^+$ monocytes were cultivated in a 24-well plate (0.5×10^6 cells/well) for seven days in RPMI-1640 Glutamax (Gibco), 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich), and human M-CSF (20 ng/mL, Miltenyi), as previously described (24).

For differentiation into AML, the CD14$^+$ monocytes were cultivated in a 24-well plate ultra-low attachment (2 × 10^6 cells/well) for 6–7 days with RPMI-1640 Glutamax medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco), and IL-10 (5 ng/mL, Miltenyi Biotec), TGF-β (5 ng/mL, Miltenyi Biotec), and GM-CSF (10 ng/mL, Miltenyi Biotec), as well as Curosurf (100 µg/mL, Chiesi) (17, 18). The medium was refreshed every 2–3 days. After differentiation, the AMLs were infected with the H37Rv-GFP, H37Rv-DsRED or H37Rv::lux Mtb strain (MOI indicated in the related figures) for 3 hours or overnight (TEM experiment), washed three times with PBS and

then they were plated ($2x10^5$ cells/well) in a 96-well plate ultra-low-attachment, treated with

different compounds (concentrations indicated in the related figures) and incubated at 37°C, 5%

CO_2 during six days.

2.4 Broncho-Alveolar Lavage from Patients

Broncho-alveolar lavage was performed using a flexible bronchoscope inserted through the nose

with local anesthesia. The lavage samples were processed as outlined by (25), and the cells were

stained for flow cytometry analysis. Patient samples were obtained through a contract between

IPBS/CNRS and Toulouse hospital (reference 257619, April 19th, 2022), after informed consent,

in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Clinical and biological annotations of the samples

have been declared to the CNIL ("Comité National Informatique et Libertés").

2.5 Airway Organoids

The organoids were derived from tumor-adjacent normal lung tissue obtained from patients with

lung cancer undergoing surgical resection, as previously described (26, 27). Briefly, the tissue was

dissociated into single cells and cultured in an extracellular matrix (Cultrex Basement Membrane

Extract, Type 2, R&D Systems) with culture medium supplemented with various growth factors

and inhibitors for four weeks, as originally described (27). The medium was refreshed every 3–4

days.

2.6 Air-Liquid Interface (ALI) Culture, Infection, and Treatment

Airway organoids were dissociated and seeded onto transwell plate inserts as previously

described (28). The cultures were incubated submerged in PneumaCult Ex-Plus medium

(StemCell Technologies) until reaching confluency. Once confluent, PneumaCult ALI medium

(StemCell Technologies) was added exclusively to the basal side, leaving the apical side exposed

to air (ALI). The cultures were then maintained at ALI conditions for an additional three weeks,

with the medium refreshed every 2–3 days. For infection, Mtb ($4x10^5$ bacilli in 20 µL per well)

was added to the apical side of the ALI culture, with treatment administered in the basal medium.

Cultures were incubated at 37°C for six days, with medium and treatment refreshed on day 3.

2.7 Cytokine Quantification

After six days of infection, the AMLs were centrifuged, and the supernatants were collected for

analysis of cytokine production using Lumit immunoassay kits (Promega). For the ALI cultures,

the medium from the basal side was harvested on days 3 and 6 post-infection, and cytokine levels

were quantified by ELISA (Invitrogen).

2.8 Bacterial Load Measurement

To quantify bacterial load by colony-forming unit (CFU) assay, the samples were lysed with 0.1%

Triton X-100 (Euromedex) in water. Serial dilutions were prepared and plated on 7H11 agar

medium supplemented with OADC. Colony counts were performed after 23 days of incubation

at 37 °C. In experiments where AMLs were infected with the H37Rv-GFP strain, the samples were

fixed with PFA 4%, and bacterial load was assessed by measuring GFP fluorescence intensity using

spectral flow cytometry (Northern Lights, Cytek). In experiments with AMLs infected with the

H37Rv::lux strain, bacterial load was directly measured as relative light units (RLU) using the

GlowMax luminometer (Promega). For ALI cultures, the tissue was lysed by adding 200 μL of lysis

buffer (0.1% Triton X-100) to the inserts. The lysate was then collected, and the luminescence

was measured using the GlowMax luminometer.

2.9 Measurement of Gene Expression by RT-PCR

AML and MDM cell pellets were homogenized with 350 μl of RNA extraction buffer (RLT lysis

buffer, Qiagen) by pipetting ten times, then briefly centrifuged at 2000g for 5 seconds.

Homogenized cell lysates were then conserved at -80°C. RNA was extracted from cell lysates

using a Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality was

assessed with the two measuring absorbance ratios $Abs\lambda = 260$ nm/$Abs\lambda = 280$ nm and

$Abs\lambda = 260$ nm/$Abs\lambda = 230$ nm using a Thermofisher Nanodrop. The RNA was then stored at -

80°C. For each sample, a fixed quantity (150-250ng) of extracted mRNA was reverse-transcribed

into cDNA by mixing 1 μl of it with Reverse Transcriptase, deoxynucleotide triphosphates

(dNTPs), oligo dTs, reverse transcription (RT) buffer solutions, and RNase-free water (for a 20 μl

reaction), as part of the Verso cDNA Synthesis Kit. cDNA was stored at -20°C. A no reverse

transcriptase control was also performed to test for remaining contaminating genomic DNA in

the extracted RNA. The mixes were then heated in a Nexus GX2 Mastercycler thermocycler for

the reaction to occur (30 min at 42°C and 2 min at 90°C). Finally, cDNA was mixed with Forward

and Reverse primers for the genes of interest, along with SYBR Green Master Mix, in technical

triplicate in a 96-well plate, and then subjected to RT-qPCR. Plates were loaded into a 7500 Real-

Time PCR System thermocycler, which proceeded as follows: 50°C for 2 min, then 95°C for 10

min, then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. The primer sequences used for this

analysis are listed in the Supplementary Material (Table 2).

2.10 Western Blot

Cell lysates were homogenized by adding 1X final Laemmli Sample Buffer (BIO-RAD) (95 °C) and

pipetting up and down ten times. Next, the samples were heated at 95°C for five minutes and

stored at -20°C. 20 µl of sample and PageRuler Prestrained Protein Ladder (ThermoFisher) were

loaded onto 10% acrylamide gels, migrated at 120V for one hour, and then transferred onto

polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. After transfer, the membrane was saturated for one

hour at room temperature in TBS-T (Tris 10 mM, pH 8, NaCl 150 mM, Tween 20 at 0.05%)

containing 5% Bovine Serum Albumine (BSA, Euromedex). Then, the membrane was incubated

overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody, under agitation. After three 10-minute washes with

TBS-T, the membrane was incubated with the secondary antibody conjugated to the horseradish

peroxidase enzyme (HRP) for one hour at room temperature under agitation. Then, the

membranes were washed three times for 20 min with TBS-T. The membranes were revealed

using the WesternBright Quantum Western blotting revelation kit (ThermoFisher), and a

WesternSure Pen (Licorbio) was used for the scale ladder. Images were acquired using the C-

DiGit Blot Scanner (Licorbio). The primary and secondary antibodies are listed in the

Supplementary Material (Table 3). Membranes could then be incubated in Antibody Stripping

Buffer (1x) (Euromedex) for 5 min and washed 3-5 times in T-BST, then distilled to be reused for

staining.

2.11 Spectral Flow Cytometry

After infection at the indicated times, the culture medium was discarded, and Mtb-infected cells

were collected as previously described (24). Cells were then incubated with Human TruStain FcX

(Biolegend) in a staining buffer (PBS, 1% FBS) for 10 min and labeled using ViaDye Red (Cytek,

1:2000) in PBS (Gibco) at room temperature for 20 min. Then, cell surface proteins were stained

for 20 min in a staining buffer containing True-Stain Monocyte Blocker (Biolegend) at 4°C. The

fluorescent antibodies are listed in the Supplementary Material (Table 4). Cells were then fixed

with 3.7% paraformaldehyde (PFA; EMS, DeltaMicroscopies) for two hours and then resuspended

in staining buffer. The samples were acquired using spectral flow cytometry with a Northern

Lights (Cytek) instrument and analyzed with SpectroFlo V3.3.0 Software to subtract

autofluorescence, followed by analysis with FlowJo V10 Software. The samples were cleaned and standardized using PeacoQC and the Mutual Nearest Neighbour method, respectively. For unbiased analysis, we used t-SNE on the concatenated sample (including all control and infected samples). Within the t-SNE map, a gate was created for the control group and another gate for the infected group.

2.12 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

ALI culture was infected with H37Rv::lux (MOI 2:1) as described above. After six days, the culture medium was discarded, and cells were fixed with 3.7% PFA in PBS for two hours. ALI were further fixed using 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with 2.5% (vol/vol) glutaraldehyde (Euromedex). Then, they were washed three times for 5 min in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), post-fixed for 1 hour in 1% (wt/vol) osmium tetroxide in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), and washed with distilled water. Samples were dehydrated through a graded ethanol series (25–100%), transferred to acetone, and critical-point dried with CO_2 in a Leica EM CPD300. Dried specimens were sputter-coated with 3 nm of platinum using a Leica EM MED020 evaporator and were examined with a FEI Quanta FEG250.

2.13 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

AMLs were infected with Mtb-dsRED (overnight, MOI 2), as described above. After 24 hours, the culture medium was discarded, and the cells were fixed using 3.7% PFA and 30 mM sucrose in PBS for 2 hours. The cells were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% PFA dissolved in 0.1 M Sorensen phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) (DeltaMicroscopies) for 2 hours at room temperature, and then preserved in 1% PFA dissolved in 0.1M Sorensen's phosphate buffer. Adherent cells were treated for one hour with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate, then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series and embedded in Epon. Sections were cut on a Leica Ultracut microtome, and ultrathin sections were mounted on 200-mesh Formvar-coated carbon-coated copper grids. Finally, thin sections were stained with 1% uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined using a transmission electron microscope (Jeol JEM-1400) at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. Images were acquired using a digital camera (Gatan Orius) and colorized with the Photoshop software (Adobe).

2.14 Histological staining

ALI cultures were fixed for 48 hours in 10 % neutral-buffered formalin (Sigma), rinsed thoroughly,

and resuspended in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Gibco), and then stored at 4 °C until

further processing. After being embedded in paraffin, the sections (5 μm) were stained with

hematoxylin (BioGnost HEMML-OT-100) and eosin Y (Sigma). The cells were stained with Alcian

blue 8GX (Fluka 66011-500ml-F) for 30 min, washed in tap water for 2 min, and counterstained

with nuclear fast red (Sigma) for 5 min. Images were acquired using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2 using

either a 10x/0.3 EC Plan-Neofluar or 20x/0.8 Plan Apochromat Zeiss objective, and using a Zeiss

Axiocam 503 color camera. Images were processed using the Zeiss Zen software.

2.15 Bioinformatic Analysis

For the bioinformatic analysis, two different transcriptome datasets were used. Counts and TPM

(transcripts per million) matrices were obtained from the NCBI GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus)

platform using the accession numbers GSE188945 and GSE189996. The transcriptome profiles

were then compared in two scenarios: MDM versus AML and Mtb-infected AM at 72 hours versus

uninfected AM. The counts matrix was used to perform the differential expression analysis (DEG)

with the DESeq2 algorithm. The false discovery rate (FDR) was managed using the Benjamini-

Hochberg method. Genes with an FDR-adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 and an LFC greater than

1.5 or less than -1.5 were deemed differentially expressed (29). Gene ontology (GO) terms and

biological processes (BP) that were overrepresented were identified using the ClusterProfiler

package, relying on a fold discovery rank below 0.05 and an enrichment value of 1 (30). The TPM

matrix was utilized for data visualization. Box plots, volcano plots, and heatmaps were generated

for the most representative genes of interest. All analyses and visualizations were performed

using the R programming language (version 4.5.1) and RStudio, with the help of the ggplot2,

DESeq2, and ComplexHeatmap packages.

2.16 Statistical Analysis

Graphs and statistical analyses were performed using Prism software (version 10.5 GraphPad).

Non-parametric tests were applied, with paired Wilcoxon or unpaired Mann–Whitney tests used

as indicated in each figure legend. Statistical significance was defined as *a P value of* ≤ 0.05. Data

are presented as mean ± SD.

3. RESULTS

Molecular Profiling and Phenotypic Characterization of the Human Alveolar Macrophage-Like Cell Model.

To dissect the unique interactions between Mtb and AMs in the pulmonary microenvironment (Fig. 1A, left), we used the recently described human monocyte-derived AML model, which recapitulates key features of primary human AMs (hAM) (11, 17, 18, 31). Briefly, human monocytes were differentiated for 6 days with a combination of GM-CSF, TGF-β, IL-10, and surfactant to obtain AMLs (Fig. 1A, right). First, we confirmed the phenotype of these AMLs by comparing them with MDMs. Consistent with previous studies (17, 18), AMLs exhibited higher gene expression typical of primary hAMs like *MRC1* (CD206), *MARCO*, *CXCL3*, *DUSP1*, *PPARG* (PPAR-γ) and *SPI1* (PU.1), relative to MDMs from the same donor (Supplementary Fig. 1A). In contrast, MDMs expressed significantly higher levels of *MMP7* and *MMP9* compared to AMLs (Supplementary Fig. 1A). At the protein level, AMLs showed higher PPAR-γ expression compared to MDMs (Supplementary Fig. 1B), supporting the notion that this AML cell model relies on this transcription factor for its development and functions, as primary hAMs do (17, 18).

To determine whether AMLs resemble primary hAMs, we compared surface marker expression in hAMs isolated from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) samples of patients with various lung pathologies with AMLs. The majority of hAMs recovered from BAL consisted of a CD206⁺CD64⁺ cell population, within which most cells also expressed CD14 and CD16 (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Fig. 1C). These results are consistent with the literature, which reports high expression of these markers in hAM (32–34). Interestingly, AMLs exhibited a similar phenotype, characterized by a high frequency of CD206⁺CD64⁺ macrophages, predominantly CD14⁺CD16⁺ within this gated population (Fig. 1B). Further analysis with a broader panel of cell-surface markers revealed that AMLs closely resemble the phenotype of hAMs. Various key markers, including PD-L1, CD86, CD163, MERTK, MARCO, CXCR4, and CD169, showed similar expression patterns between the two cell types, reinforcing AMLs as a physiologically relevant *in vitro* model for primary hAMs (Fig. 1C).

To complement this phenotypic characterization of AMLs, we leveraged a publicly available transcriptomic dataset comparing AML cell model with hAMs and MDMs (17, 18). For this dataset, we extracted a curated panel of genes, including those identified through our phenotypic analysis and reflecting macrophage polarization, lipid metabolism, scavenger receptor activity, matrix remodeling, cytokine production, and immune checkpoint regulation. Consistent with our *in vitro* phenotyping, AMLs displayed a transcriptional profile more closely aligned with anti-inflammatory and tissue-resident markers, including high expression of cell-surface marker genes, such as *FCGR1A*

(CD64), *FCGR3A* (CD16), *CD163*, *MRC1* (CD206) and *MARCO*, and the transcription factors *PPARG* (PPAR-γ), similar to hAMs from healthy donors (Supplementary Fig. 2A). These results are reinforced by a tendency toward a higher expression of *SIGLEC1* (CD169) and *CXCR4* in AMLs. In contrast, MDMs showed a marked increase in the matrix-remodeling–related gene *MMP9*, along with a trend toward higher expression of pro-inflammatory markers, including *TNF*, *CD86*, *CD38*, *CCR5*, *CD4*, and *FCAR*. Taken together, these results are consistent with MDM activation toward a more inflammatory, monocyte-derived state (Supplementary Fig. 2A).

Beyond the predefined macrophage gene signature, we identified the top genes significantly enriched in AMLs compared with MDMs. The resulting volcano plot revealed a clear separation between these cell populations, as previously reported (17, 18). Among the most highly upregulated genes in AMLs, several have documented roles in immune regulation, tissue remodeling, and metabolism (Supplementary Fig. 2B). Notably, *ABHD5*, a lipase co-activator, has been shown to suppress NF-κB-dependent MMP expression (35), suggesting a potential link to the lower *MMP7*/*MMP9* levels observed in AMLs. *AOC3*, an adhesion molecule and amine oxidase, transiently contributes to adaptive immune responses in the airways (36), aligning with AMLs' lung-shaped phenotype. The complement pathway component *C8B* was also elevated, suggesting enhanced opsonization potential in these cells. *ITIH5*, a member of the inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor family with reported pro-fibrotic functions (37), and *CHDH*, a mitochondrial choline dehydrogenase regulating mitophagy via *SQSTM1*/*LC3* recruitment (38), were among the other highly expressed transcripts. Interestingly, *CES1*, a carboxylesterase whose inactivation augments lung inflammation in mice (39), and *RBP4*, a retinol-binding protein that primes the NLRP3 inflammasome through TLR2/TLR4 signaling (40), point toward potential links between AML lipid metabolism and inflammasome activation. Finally, transcription factor *KLF4*, known to integrate STAT6-dependent alternative activation pathways (41), was prominently upregulated, further supporting the tissue-resident, M2-skewed features of AMLs. Conversely, several genes were markedly downregulated in AMLs compared to MDMs. Among them, *GALM*, a galactose mutarotase involved in glucose metabolism, and *TRAF1*, a TNF receptor–associated factor with established roles in NF-κB signaling and inflammasome activation (42), may reflect metabolic and inflammatory programming differences between the two macrophage populations (Supplementary Fig. 2B). Finally, Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of AML-upregulated genes highlighted biological processes consistent with their lung-adapted phenotype, including G protein–coupled receptor signaling, response to bacterium, blood vessel development, epithelial cell differentiation, regulation of inflammatory response, lipid homeostasis, and humoral immune response (Supplementary Fig. 2C). These

pathways further emphasize the specialized, tissue-resident programming of AMLs, which integrates metabolic adaptation, immune regulation, and barrier-associated functions.

Collectively, the AML model closely resembles the morphology, surface markers, and transcriptional profiles of primary hAMs, and differs from those of MDMs. AMLs exhibit tissue-resident, anti-inflammatory, and lipid metabolic signatures, with reduced expression of matrix remodeling and pro-inflammatory genes. These characteristics align with the functions of the alveolar niche, establishing AMLs as a relevant and manageable surrogate for hAMs.

Mtb Infection Reveals Phenotypic Heterogeneity and Distinct Activation States in the human Alveolar Macrophage-Like Cell model.

To assess whether phagocytic function is preserved after differentiation into AML, we exposed AMLs to Mtb H37Rv expressing GFP. Cells were examined by epifluorescence and light microscopy, as well as transmission electron microscopy (TEM), confirming the presence of Mtb bacilli within intracellular compartments (Supplementary Fig. 3A and Fig. 2A, pink). Of note, TEM analysis revealed AMLs as rounded to oval in shape, featuring irregular plasma membranes that extend into short pseudopodia, a cytoplasm containing many vacuoles and lipid bodies (possible signs of surfactant clearance), numerous electron-dense lysosomes and phagolysosomes (indicative of a possible robust phagocytic activity), mitochondria and smooth endoplasmic reticulum, and a large nucleus, slightly off-center, with a distinct nucleolus (Fig. 2A). While flow cytometry analysis six days post-infection revealed a dramatic increase in cell death at an MOI of 5:1; the MOI of 1:1 yielded an intact cell viability with approximately 50% of infected cells (Supplementary Fig. 3B-C). As expected, quantification of bacterial burden over time using different strains and methods (H37Rv::lux for luminescence and H37Rv-GFP for FACS, and both for CFU) showed that Mtb replicates within AMLs (Supplementary Fig. 3D).

We next examined the gene expression of AML following infection with Mtb H37Rv-GFP. Notably, we observed a significant reduction of *PPARG* and an increase of *MMP7* and *MMP9*, as well as *TNF*, indicating a transcriptional reprogramming toward an inflammatory response and matrix-degrading phenotype in AML (Fig. 2B). To further characterize the impact of infection, we examined the phenotypic profile of AMLs following infection with Mtb H37Rv-GFP. This analysis showed a marked expansion of the CD14$^+$CD16$^-$ subset (Fig. 2C). Analysis of GFP expression revealed that the CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ AML subset was significantly more permissive to Mtb infection than its CD14$^+$CD16$^-$ counterpart (Fig. 2D). We reasoned that these differences in susceptibility could reflect distinct phenotypic programs. To explore this, we next performed a high-dimensional t-SNE analysis on

Northern Light spectral flow cytometry data incorporating CD45$^+$ AMLs from infected and uninfected conditions (Fig. 2E). To this end, samples were concatenated and embedded based on the expression of markers associated with proinflammatory activation (*i.e.,* CD86, CD38, CD64) and inflammatory checkpoints (*i.e.,* PD-L1, which, although immunoinhibitory, is strongly induced by inflammatory stimuli). We also included key regulatory and anti-inflammatory molecules (*i.e.,* CD163, CD206, MERTK, MARCO), lipid metabolism, and scavenger receptors (*i.e.,* ABCA1, CD36). This strategy enabled us to resolve infection-driven shifts in activation states and to provide a framework for linking infection status with functional phenotypes. Using this approach, AMLs were separated into control and infected populations, and within each group, we further stratified subsets based on CD16 expression (Fig. 2E). Comparative analysis of surface marker expression among these groups revealed various notable differences. As expected, PD-L1 was substantially upregulated in both CD16$^+$- and CD16$^-$-infected cells compared to uninfected controls. MERTK, CD169, CCR5, CD163, and CD38 expression was increased explicitly in infected cells independently of CD16 expression. Notably, we observed reduced levels of ABCA1 in CD16$^-$ uninfected and Mtb-infected cells. Moreover, we showed that the CD16$^+$ subset, independently of the infection, is characterized by a reduction of the pro-inflammatory marker CD86 and an increase of CD209 compared to the CD16$^-$ counterpart (Fig. 2E). Together, these findings indicate that CD16$^+$ and CD16$^-$ AMLs adopt distinct activation states in response to Mtb, which may underlie their differential susceptibility to infection.

To further characterize these subsets, supervised flow cytometry analysis revealed that under uninfected conditions CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ cells expressed higher levels of CD163, CD169, CD209, and MARCO, consistent with an M2-like anti-inflammatory phenotype that may enhance their ability to interact with and internalize microorganisms (Fig. 2F and Supplementary Fig. 3E). In contrast, the CD14$^+$CD16$^-$ subset displayed higher expression of CD86, a marker associated with an M1-like pro-inflammatory state. Following Mtb infection, these phenotypic profiles were largely preserved: CD16$^+$ cells continued to express higher levels of CD163, CD209, and MARCO, whereas CD16$^-$ cells maintained a more pro-inflammatory profile. The main exception was CD169, a type-I interferon (IFN-I)-inducible activation marker, which was upregulated in both subsets after infection, most notably in CD16$^-$ cells, leading to comparable expression levels between CD14$^+$CD16$^-$ and CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ subpopulations (Fig. 2F and Supplementary Fig. 3E). Consistent with an activated IFN-I response, bulk mRNA analysis of Mtb-infected AMLs revealed increased expression of several IFN-I–inducible genes upon Mtb infection, including *MX1*, *MX2*, interferon-stimulated gene 15 (*ISG15),* and *SIGLEC1* (CD169) (Fig. 2G). Collectively, this difference in expression profiles highlights a

functional dichotomy between these AML subsets, which likely shapes their contributions to Mtb infection.

To place these findings in a more physiological context, we performed a complementary analysis using publicly available transcriptomic data from freshly isolated hAMs from healthy donors, which were then infected *ex vivo* with Mtb (43). This dataset tracked transcriptional dynamics over 72h. In line with our observations, infected hAMs upregulated activation genes (e.g *CD274* (PD-L1), *CD38*, *TNF*, *IL6*) and progressively downregulated the anti-inflammatory regulator *FCGR3A* (CD16) (Fig. 3A). Beyond this predefined macrophage gene signature, the broader transcriptomic landscape of infected hAMs revealed strong induction of chemokines, ISGs and inflammatory mediators (Fig. 3A-B). The IFN-I axis was characterized by the induction of several ISGs, including *CXCL10* (Fig. 3B), a pro-inflammatory chemokine linked to tissue pathology (44), further reinforcing the inflammatory potential of infected AMs. Additional ISGs comprised *IFIT1*, which is required for IFN-β–mediated control of intracellular bacterial infection in macrophages (45), as well as the IFITM family, *MX1,* and *MX2*, classically described as viral restriction factors but also relevant to bacterial infection dynamics. Further antiviral mediators included *ISG15*, which not only exerts antiviral effects but also regulates cellular metabolism and mitochondrial activity (46), as well as *ISG20* and *OAS3*, the latter of which is expressed selectively in response to virulent Mtb infection (47). Induction of *RSAD2* (viperin), a key effector of IFN-I signaling known as a restriction factor of viral infection (48), recently shown to support persistent viral infection (49), points toward an IFN-I–dominated response. Importantly, transcriptional regulators such as *IRF7*, a master controller of IFN-I signaling implicated in M1-to-M2 macrophage transitions (50), and *NEURL3*, which promotes innate antiviral defense by catalyzing K63-linked ubiquitination of IRF7 (51), suggest a layered regulation of this IFN-I-driven program (Fig. 3A-B). Complementary reactome analysis revealed significant enrichment of IFN-I signaling, interleukin signaling, IL-10 and IL-4/IL-13 pathways, and chemokine receptor signaling in hAMs after Mtb-infection (Fig. 3C).

Together, these data establish that Mtb-infected hAMs adopt a strong pro-inflammatory, IFN-I–dominated transcriptional profile, characterized by chemokine-driven leukocyte recruitment, antiviral-like defense programs, and selective downregulation of complement and autophagy regulators. In line with our AML findings, these transcriptomic profiles underscore that Mtb infection drives dynamic reprogramming of AMs, balancing antimicrobial and inflammatory pressures with compensatory regulatory pathways that may ultimately contribute to bacterial persistence.

Benchmarking of Anti-TB Drugs in the Human Alveolar Macrophage-Like Cell Model.

To assess whether AMLs represent a relevant human *in vitro* platform for testing novel anti-TB compounds, we benchmarked their response to three drug categories: standard-of-care antibiotics, host-directed therapies, and virulence-targeting therapies. We first measured bacterial burden using luminescence readouts from AMLs infected with H37Rv::lux (Fig. 4A). Importantly, none of the compounds tested displayed detectable cytotoxicity at the concentrations used (Supplementary Fig. 4), ensuring that the observed effects reflected genuine modulation of bacterial replication rather than host cell toxicity. As expected, most standard-of-care antibiotics markedly reduced luminescence, except pyrazinamide (PZA). Both virulence factors–targeting compounds achieved modest reductions in luminescence intensity (Fig. 4A). Among host-directed therapy candidates, only doramapimod (DORA, (52)) demonstrated a strong inhibitory effect on luminescence. To validate these findings, we quantified viable bacteria by CFU counts, the gold standard method for assessing Mtb replication (Fig. 4B). All standard-of-care antibiotics, including PZA, significantly suppressed bacterial replication. In addition, most host-directed therapies and virulence factor-targeting compounds reduced CFU counts. By contrast, ibuprofen (IBU), a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID, (53)), and unexpectedly DORA failed to yield statistically significant effects on CFU counts (Fig. 4B).

Beyond antibacterial activity, we evaluated the immunomodulatory potential of these compounds in AMLs following Mtb infection (Fig. 4C). To this end, we measured the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-6, as they are recognized correlates of disease severity in TB patients (54). All standard-of-care antibiotics significantly reduced TNF-α production, but only linezolid (LZD), and rifampicin (RIF), also markedly decreased IL-6 levels (Fig. 4C). Among the virulence factors targeting compounds, ethoxzolamide (ETZ), uniquely suppressed TNF-α without affecting IL-6 (Fig. 4C). Within the host-directed therapy group, metformin (MET) — a biguanide anti-diabetic agent repurposed for TB research through activation of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), thereby promoting autophagy and enhancing mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mROS) production (55) — and DORA both significantly reduced TNF-α and IL-6 levels (Fig. 4C). Taken together, these findings reveal that the AML model can identify distinct immunomodulatory profiles across various classes of anti-TB compounds, reinforcing its significance as a physiologically relevant hAM platform and as a practical alternative to MDM- or THP-1-based approaches.

Human Airway Air–Liquid Interface (ALI) System Recapitulates the Human Pulmonary Environment and Supports Mtb Infection.

Mtb is widely recognized as an intracellular pathogen that primarily resides within macrophages. However, during active TB, a substantial proportion of the bacilli are present in the extracellular environment of the airways. In this milieu, extracellular Mtb directly engages the airway epithelium, triggering, in turn, pattern recognition receptor-mediated signaling cascades that culminate in the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (22, 56). This epithelial response not only amplifies local inflammation and modulates resident macrophages but also recruits and activates additional leukocytes, ultimately influencing the course of infection and incidence of tissue pathology.

To model the epithelial response to Mtb and its contribution to airway inflammation, we employed an airway organoid/ALI culture system, an *in vitro* approach that mimics the structure and function of bronchiolar epithelium (Fig. 5A) ((26–28, 57). To confirm the model's relevance, we first examined whether it recapitulates key features of the airway epithelium. Epifluorescence and light microscopy analysis of the ALI cultures revealed a densely packed epithelial layer with a healthy tissue architecture. The presence of wave-like structures and surface texture variations may reflect the formation of cilia or microvilli, hallmark features of differentiated airway epithelial cells (Fig. 5B). Indeed, analysis of H&E staining demonstrated a thin, regular, and well-organized cell layer, with a morphology typical of healthy airway tissue (Fig. 5C). The presence of nuclei at varying heights and structures suggestive of an apical ciliated border are consistent with a pseudostratified, ciliated epithelium (Fig. 5C). Additionally, Alcian Blue staining demonstrated secretory cells distributed throughout the epithelial layer, together with apical acidic mucins and apical mucus accumulation, indicative of mucosecretory differentiation (Fig. 5D). To complement these findings, we performed SEM to visualize the apical architecture of the ALI cultures directly. The surface ultrastructure confirmed the presence of ciliated epithelial cells with numerous surface cilia, scattered microvilli, and goblet cells, supporting the differentiated states of the ALI cultures (Fig. 5E). Assessment of epithelial integrity and paracellular permeability demonstrated that ALI cultures form a functional barrier, as indicated by low dextran flux and high transepithelial electrical resistance values (Fig. 5F-G). Finally, live imaging using epifluorescence and light microscopy revealed continuous, synchronized ciliary beating, a key physiological mechanism of mucociliary clearance, as shown in Supplementary Movie 1. These observations indicate that the ALI cultures not only exhibit structural features of airway epithelium but also preserve essential functional properties.

Next, we examined how ALI cultures respond to Mtb infection. To mimic the physiological route of airborne exposure, Mtb was applied exclusively to the apical surface of differentiated ALI cultures (22, 23), and mycobacterial replication was monitored over six days by measuring bioluminescence and live bacterial load (CFU). Strikingly, rather than replicating, Mtb showed a progressive decline over time in both luminescence and viable counts, indicating not only a lack of replication but a potential loss of bacterial viability in this model (Fig. 5H), as previously described in Mtb-infected airway organoids (26). No bacteria were detected in the basal compartment at any time point, indicating that Mtb did not alter the epithelial barrier and remained confined to the apical side (Fig. 5I). Epifluorescence and light microscopy, as well as SEM, confirmed this observation and further revealed intimate interactions between Mtb and the cilia of ciliated epithelial cells at the apical surface (Fig. 5J). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that while ALI cultures allow Mtb to colonize the apical airway, they still function as a barrier against bacterial translocation and deeper invasion, recapitulating a key aspect of the airway's innate defense.

Benchmarking of Anti-TB Drugs in a Human Airway Air–Liquid Interface (ALI) System.

To assess whether anti-TB compounds could inhibit extracellular Mtb replication or modulate epithelial cell responses to infection, we benchmarked their responses across the three drug categories. As such, Mtb-infected ALI cultures were exposed to anti-TB compounds, and bacterial load at the apical surface was measured six days later by both bioluminescence and CFU analyses. All standard-of-care drugs, except PZA, significantly reduced luminescence intensity, indicating they effectively crossed the epithelial barrier to inhibit bacterial replication (Fig. 6A). In contrast, no effect was observed following treatment with host-directed therapies or virulence factors-targeting compounds. CFU analysis further supported these observations, revealing that only INH, RIF, and Moxifloxacin (MXF), significantly suppressed bacterial growth (Fig. 6B). In parallel, LZD reduced luminescence but failed to lower viable counts (Fig. 6A-B). Together, these results demonstrate that the ALI model can effectively distinguish compounds with direct antibacterial activity from those that primarily target host responses or bacterial virulence mechanisms.

Finally, we investigated whether these compounds modulate the inflammatory response in ALI cultures, focusing on IL-6 and IL-8 secretion, which are linked to lung inflammation and TB pathology. As expected, Mtb infection led to a significant increase in IL-6 and IL-8 production by epithelial cells (Fig. 6C). Notably, despite their vigorous bactericidal activity, standard-of-care drugs did not reduce IL-6 secretion, whereas MXF and PZA modestly decreased IL-8 levels. Among all tested compounds, ETZ was unique in enhancing inflammation, reflected in a significant level of IL-

6 production without influencing that of IL-8 (Fig. 6C). Although none of the host-directed therapies

compounds significantly reduced the bacterial load, most of them modulated the cytokine profile in

this system: IBU, and DORA significantly reduced IL-6 secretion, while aspirin (ASA), IBU, and MET

decreased IL-8 release (Fig. 6C). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the ALI model can

capture drug-induced modulation of epithelial inflammatory responses independently of

antibacterial efficacy.

4. DISCUSSION

In our study, we developed and tested a dual *in vitro* platform that includes human AML and airway ALI cultures. This setup is designed to evaluate various anti-TB compounds, including standard antibiotics, host-directed therapies, and strategies targeting virulent pathogenic factors. By mimicking different niches of the human lung that Mtb encounters during infection, particularly the airway spaces where this pathogen interacts with resident macrophages and epithelial cells, we can comprehensively study the effects of these compounds in an unprecedented manner.

The AML model closely mirrors the biology of primary hAMs. Adapted from previous report (17, 18), this study recapitulates the key characteristics of primary hAMs, including strong expression of the transcription factor PPAR-γ and high levels of signature markers such as CD206, CD64, CD14, CD16, CD163, CD169, CXCR4, and PD-L1. These cellular findings are reinforced by an integrative transcriptomic comparison of AMLs and MDMs from the same differentiation framework (17, 18). AMLs primarily express tissue-resident and immunoregulatory modules, such as *MRC1* (CD206), *MARCO*, *FCGR3A* (CD16), and *CD274* (PD-L1), whereas MDMs tend to express pro-inflammatory and matrix-remodeling genes, including *TNF*, *FCAR*, *CD86,* and *MMP9*. Unbiased differential expression reveals that AML-upregulated genes, including *ABHD5* (which suppresses NF-κB–dependent MMPs), *AOC3* (involved in airway immune regulation), and *KLF4* (involved in STAT6-coupled activation), form a lung-adapted lipid-centric program (58, 59). In contrast, lower levels of *GALM* and *TRAF1* suggest distinct glucose metabolism and reduced NF-κB/inflammasome activity compared to MDMs. Gene-ontology enrichment suggests that macrophages are shaped by surfactant and ongoing epithelial interactions in the alveolar niche, aligning with expectations of GPCR signaling, inflammatory response regulation, and lipid homeostasis. These characteristics align with transcriptomic and phenotypic analyses of hAM *in vivo*, in which PPAR-γ functions as the master regulator of lipid metabolism and anti-inflammatory programming (6).

Importantly, AML recapitulated the functional heterogeneity of AMs observed across species during Mtb infection. In the mouse model, CD38 stratified AMs into distinct subsets: CD38^{high} cells exhibited enhanced microbicidal programs and reduced permissiveness, whereas CD38^{low} AMs were comparatively permissive (60). In human MDMs differentiated with conditioned medium from Mtb-infected macrophages, we previously identified a CD16$^+$CD163$^+$MerTK$^+$ subset with an anti-inflammatory profile that was more permissive to Mtb infection (24). Strikingly, this population was also detected in the lungs of non-human primates, reinforcing its physiological relevance (24). Consistent with these findings, the AML model revealed a similar functional dichotomy defined by the partitioning of CD14 and CD16. Although CD38 did not distinguish resistant and permissive

subsets as observed in mice, both CD16 subsets upregulated CD38 equally upon infection. The CD14+CD16+ subset displayed an M2-like cell-surface marker signature (e.g., CD163, MARCO, and CD209), along with higher baseline CD169 expression, which correlated with a higher burden of intracellular bacteria. In contrast, the CD14+CD16- subset showed an M1-like profile (e.g., CD86) and reduced susceptibility to infection. Indeed, resident AMs exhibit a more permissive phenotype compared to monocyte-derived AMs, partly due to metabolic constraints that limit pro-inflammatory activation (7, 60). Moreover, by high-dimensional flow cytometry analysis, we revealed distinct activation states in macrophages driven by infection, underscoring the AML model's ability to recapitulate the complex phenotypic diversity observed in macrophages across lung niches during Mtb infection *in vivo* (7, 61). To further contextualize our results within a physiological framework, we integrated data from freshly isolated hAMs infected *ex vivo* with Mtb (43). Infected hAMs upregulated activation markers (e.g, *CD274* (PD-L1), *TNF*, *IL6*) while progressively losing anti-inflammatory regulator *FCGR3A* (CD16). This shift was accompanied by the induction of inflammatory chemokines, such as *CCL20*, *CCL4*, and *CXCL10*, which coordinate immune cell recruitment but can also drive tissue pathology. In addition, a strong induction of ISGs (e.g., *IFIT1*, *ISG15*, *MX1*, *OAS3*, *RSAD2*) underscored a dominant IFN-I axis, while regulators such as *IRF7* and *NEURL3* suggested layered control of this program. Thus, our data establish AMLs as a model that not only mirrors the functional dichotomy found in AMs in the lung but also reveals the layered activation states that govern host–pathogen interactions during Mtb infection. This complexity provides a physiologically relevant platform, particularly valuable for identifying new compounds that modulate host–pathogen interactions in TB.

To explore this potential, we benchmarked various anti-TB drugs using the AML model. We observed significant differences in their antibacterial and immunomodulatory properties. While most standard-of-care antibiotics effectively reduced intracellular bacterial load in AMLs, PZA's activity was initially underestimated by luminescence and later confirmed by CFU, highlighting the need for multiple, complementary assays. Interestingly, however, Heifets and colleagues reported that PZA exerts neither bacteriostatic nor bactericidal effects against Mtb in MDMs, independent of the macrophage activation status (62). This finding aligns with other pioneering studies that have established PZA as primarily effective *in vivo* but poorly potent *in vitro* (63–66). Yet, the significant effect of PZA we now report in AMLs is probably reflective of their hAM biology, which is known for its weaker microbicidal activity in early Mtb containment (6, 7, 60, 61, 67). While direct comparisons of PZA efficacy in AML versus MDM models (derived from the same donor) remain to be explored,

the hAM-like phenotype of AMLs suggests they better recapitulate lung-specific drug responses

targeting the alveolar compartment.

In addition, host-directed therapies and treatments targeting virulence factors in infected

AMLs showed variable antibacterial effects, often modulating inflammatory signals, such as TNF-α

and IL-6. Studies using MDMs or THP-1 cells similarly report host-directed therapies targeting

inflammatory pathways (e.g., autophagy inducers, metabolic shifting agents), but often require

exaggerated doses to modulate the cytokine response (68). Moreover, the reported impact of

virulence-targeting factors on immune signaling appears to be highly target-specific, with some

factors attenuating IFN-I production (via cGAS) by blocking the secretion of EsxA/EsxB. In contrast,

others modulate virulence-associated transcriptional programs without apparent suppression of

cytokine release (69). Yet, systematic evaluations of immune modulation mediated by virulence-

targeting factors in human lung-resident macrophages remain scarce. Taken together, the observed

uncoupling between bacterial control and cytokine modulation in AMLs indicates that this cell

model is sensitive to host-directed therapies and interventions targeting virulence factors, and

underscores its value as a physiologically relevant platform for targeting host-pathogen interactions

aligned with hAM biology. Notably, recent findings validating iPSC-derived macrophages as a

platform for Mtb infection and drug testing (70) have highlighted their scalability and physiological

relevance for high-throughput screening (HTS). Our data further emphasize that AMLs exhibit a

phenotype more closely resembling *in vivo* alveolar macrophages and offer additional practical

advantages, including faster and easier generation and the ability to capture interindividual

variability in treatment responses, while also holding potential for HTS applications.

Another key aspect of this study is our focus on the airway epithelium, which is increasingly

recognized as vital in modulating immune responses during active TB. In line with recent reports

(22, 56), we found that although Mtb can colonize the apical surface of airway epithelial cells, it fails

to traverse the epithelial barrier or replicate robustly, highlighting the airway epithelium's intrinsic

defensive capacity. This observation aligns with clinical and experimental evidence suggesting that

airway epithelial cells act as gatekeepers through mucociliary clearance and innate defense

pathways, thereby limiting bacterial dissemination into deeper tissues (56, 71, 72). Interestingly,

bacterial loads in the ALI system naturally decline over 6 days of infection, likely due to epithelial

innate immune mechanisms, including the production of antimicrobial peptides such as β-Defensin-

1 and RNAse7, as we previously reported in Mtb–infected airway organoids (26). Our results

demonstrate a clear discriminating capacity between treatments: first-line drugs such as INH, RIF,

and MXF significantly suppressed bacterial growth, whereas LZD, a bacteriostatic antibiotic,

efficiently impairs protein synthesis, as shown with a substantial reduction of luminescence but failed to impact CFUs, reflecting discrepancies between metabolic readouts and bacterial viability assays also noted in macrophage-based assays. This apparent lack of effect likely reflects the intrinsic limitation of bacterial growth in the ALI system, where bacterial loads naturally decline over time, thereby masking any additional contributions from bacteriostatic drug activity. PZA was ineffective, consistent with previous studies reporting its limited or delayed activity *in vitro* due to pH-dependent activation. This underscores the translational potential of the ALI system in predicting which drugs can access and remain active at the airway interface.

The ALI model also facilitated the assessment of epithelial-driven inflammatory responses and their modulation through therapeutic interventions. As expected, Mtb infection led to significant secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-8, aligning with their established roles in driving inflammation and leukocyte recruitment in TB pathology. Although standard-of-care antibiotics exhibited the predicted bactericidal properties, they did not significantly reduce cytokine secretion, indicating that merely clearing the pathogen is insufficient to mitigate epithelial-driven inflammation. This pattern is consistent with features of the airway ALI context, where Mtb largely remains at the apical surface and epithelial signaling is dominated by apical and endosomal PRRs (e.g., TLRs and C-type lectins) (22, 56). In contrast, macrophages additionally integrate cytosolic cues delivered by virulent Mtb via ESX-1 secretion system, which exports virulence factors such as ESAT-6 that permeabilize the phagosomal membrane, allowing Mtb antigens to access the host cytosol and activate cytosolic sensors (e.g., cGAS/STING, AIM2, NLRs, RIG) (72–76). Accordingly, most antibiotic therapies kill Mtb but leave behind PAMP-rich debris (lipoproteins, cell wall lipids, nucleic acids) that continues to engage surface and endosomal PRRs (77, 78). By contrast, robust activation of cytosolic sensors typically requires live, ESX-1-competent bacilli, an event unlikely to occur once bacteria are non-viable under antibiotic treatment (73, 79). Together, these observations suggest the need for adjunct host-directed strategies that modulate epithelial sensing pathways in addition to pathogen killing.

Conversely, ETZ uniquely increased IL-6 secretion in the ALI system, suggesting possible off-target pro-inflammatory effects. By comparison, host-directed therapies such as IBU, ASA, MET, and DORA effectively attenuated IL-6 and/or IL-8 levels without altering bacterial load, demonstrating the ALI model's ability to capture immunomodulatory effects independent of antimicrobial activity. Collectively, these findings reinforce the relevance of the ALI model as a platform for exploring the antimicrobial efficacy and immunomodulatory potential of anti-TB compounds in the airway context. By emphasizing the extracellular niche of Mtb during active TB, rather than the purely

intracellular dynamics captured by macrophage-based models, the ALI system highlights the airway epithelium's dual role as a barrier and as an active regulator of inflammation. Importantly, excessive inflammation is a hallmark of severe TB and is strongly associated with tissue destruction and disease progression (80). Thus, therapeutic strategies that combine pathogen clearance with modulation of host inflammatory pathways may be particularly valuable, especially in advanced or disseminated TB, where hyperinflammation exacerbates pathology and worsens clinical outcomes. Our complementary macrophage and ALI models provide a unique framework to dissect these processes. While AMLs capture intracellular niches where antibiotics and host-directed agents can reduce both bacterial burden and proinflammatory signaling, ALIs reflect epithelial-driven responses that remain largely unaffected by bacterial killing alone. Together, these models demonstrate that compounds can differentially affect intracellular versus extracellular bacteria and modulate immune responses in cell types that sense and respond to Mtb in fundamentally distinct ways. This underscores the potential of combining antimicrobial activity with host-directed modulation to more effectively treat severe TB.

In summary, our study validates and highlights the relevance of using a dual *in vitro* system that integrates AML and airway ALI responses as a biologically relevant framework for dissecting the intracellular and extracellular niches of Mtb within the lung. By recapitulating key features of primary human airway mucosa (hAMs) and the epithelial barrier, this approach enables a side-by-side assessment of the antimicrobial potency and immunomodulatory capacity of anti-TB compounds. The AML model revealed that macrophage activation states, particularly those associated with CD16 expression, critically influence bacterial burden and immune activation. In contrast, the ALI system highlighted the impact on epithelial-driven inflammation. Collectively, these findings position AML and ALI cultures as complementary, tractable models that may bridge the gap between reductionist *in vitro* assays and the complex *in vivo* lung environment, offering a valuable system for advancing both drug discovery and host-directed therapeutic strategies in TB.

5.   AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: CCBB, NF, FD, CV, GL-V, and CC. *Methodology:* CCBB, NF, TB, JMS-L, EN,

PV, AM, RP, CV, GL-V, and CC. *Investigation*: CCBB, NF, TB, MP, BS, DP, JMS-L, BMA-C, EN, PV, AM,

and CC. *Resources:* EN, NG, JM, RP, BR-M, FD, ON, EM, CV, GL-V, RV and CC. *Formal Analysis:* CCBB,

NF, TB, MP, JMS-L, BMA-C, EN, PV, GL-V, and CC. Writing: CCBB, NF, GLV, and CC. *Visualization:*

CCBB, NF, TB, MP, JMS-L, BMA-C, EN, PV, AM, RP, CV, and CC. *Supervision:* FD, CV, EM, GL-V, and

CC. *Project Administration:* CC. *Funding acquisition:* RP, ON, EM, CV, GL-V, and CC. Corresponding

authors: CCBB and CC are responsible for the ownership and responsibility that are inherent to

aspects of this study.

6. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Myriam Ben-Neji and Genotoul Anexplo-IPBS for access to the BSL-3 facilities; the Genotoul TRI-IPBS facilities for imaging; and histology platform at IPBS. We thank Vanessa Soldan and Stephanie Balor (CBI) for TEM, Isabelle Fourquaux (CMEAB) for SEM work, and Yoann Rombouts and Claude Gutierrez for obtaining the integrative plasmid pMV30-hsp-Lux13 (Addgene #26161) and constructing the strain H37Rv::lux, respectively. We thank Jean-Philippe Girard for giving access to the Zeiss Axio imager M2 microscope (IPBS). We thank Drs. Aurelien Brindel, Gavin Plat, and Valentin Heluain from Toulouse hospital for BAL patient samples. Additionally, we thank all members of ITHEMYC Consortium (https://tbvi.eu/our-projects/ithemyc/) for their scientific input, and in particular the Global Health Medicines R&D and Sample Management departments at GLAXOSMITHKLINE INVESTIGACION Y DESARROLLO SL (GSK) for providing the benchmarking compounds. The ITHEMYC project, "Novel immunotherapies for tuberculosis and other mycobacterial diseases", is funded by the European Union's Health and Digital Executive Agency (HaDEA) under Grant Agreement number 101080462 and by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI). This work was also supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Université Paul Sabatier, the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, the Agence Nationale de Recherche sur le Sida et les hépatites virales (ANRS MIE), Sidaction, and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale. Postdoctoral fellows C.B., T.B., and J.M.S-L. are recipients of the ITHEMYC project (Grant Agreement number 101080462), Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation INV-046428, and SECTEI/071/2024 (Mexican postdoctoral scholarship in academic or research institutions abroad with international recognition) scholarships, respectively. A doctoral fellowship from ANRS MIE supported N.F.

8. REFERENCES

1. WHO. 2024. Global Tuberculosis Report 2024. https://www.who.int/teams/global-programme-on-tuberculosis-and-lung-health/tb-reports/global-tuberculosis-report-2024. Retrieved 28 July 2025.

2. Shao Y, Song H, Li G, Li Y, Li Y, Zhu L, Lu W, Chen C. 2021. Relapse or Re-Infection, the Situation of Recurrent Tuberculosis in Eastern China. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 11:638990.

3. CDC. 2025. Clinical Overview of Latent Tuberculosis Infection. Tuberc TB. https://www.cdc.gov/tb/hcp/clinical-overview/latent-tuberculosis-infection.html. Retrieved 22 July 2025.

4. Koeken V a. CM, Verrall AJ, Netea MG, Hill PC, van Crevel R. 2019. Trained innate immunity and resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Clin Microbiol Infect Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 25:1468–1472.

5. Aegerter H, Lambrecht BN, Jakubzick CV. 2022. Biology of lung macrophages in health and disease. Immunity 55:1564–1580.

6. Huang L, Nazarova EV, Tan S, Liu Y, Russell DG. 2018. Growth of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in vivo segregates with host macrophage metabolism and ontogeny. J Exp Med 215:1135–1152.

7. Russell DG, Simwela NV, Mattila JT, Flynn J, Mwandumba HC, Pisu D. 2025. How macrophage heterogeneity affects tuberculosis disease and therapy. Nat Rev Immunol 25:370–384.

8. Cohen SB, Gern BH, Delahaye JL, Adams KN, Plumlee CR, Winkler JK, Sherman DR, Gerner MY, Urdahl KB. 2018. Alveolar Macrophages Provide an Early Mycobacterium tuberculosis Niche and Initiate Dissemination. Cell Host Microbe 24:439-446.e4.

9. Ginhoux F, Schultze JL, Murray PJ, Ochando J, Biswas SK. 2016. New insights into the multidimensional concept of macrophage ontogeny, activation and function. Nat Immunol 17:34–40.

789   10.   Neupane AS, Willson M, Chojnacki AK, Vargas E Silva Castanheira F, Morehouse C, Carestia A, Keller

790   AE, Peiseler M, DiGiandomenico A, Kelly MM, Amrein M, Jenne C, Thanabalasuriar A, Kubes P. 2020.

791   Patrolling Alveolar Macrophages Conceal Bacteria from the Immune System to Maintain Homeostasis.

792   Cell 183:110-125.e11.

793   11.   Mould KJ, Moore CM, McManus SA, McCubbrey AL, McClendon JD, Griesmer CL, Henson PM, Janssen

794   WJ. 2021. Airspace Macrophages and Monocytes Exist in Transcriptionally Distinct Subsets in Healthy

795   Adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 203:946–956.

796   12.   Bussi C, Gutierrez MG. 2019. Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection of host cells in space and time.

797   FEMS Microbiol Rev 43:341–361.

798   13.   Lerner TR, Borel S, Gutierrez MG. 2015. The innate immune response in human tuberculosis. Cell

799   Microbiol 17:1277–1285.

800   14.   Shah PT, Tufail M, Wu C, Xing L. 2022. THP-1 cell line model for tuberculosis: A platform for in vitro

801   macrophage manipulation. Tuberc Edinb Scotl 136:102243.

802   15.   Choi S, Choi J, Cheon S, Song J, Kim S-Y, Kim J-E, Nam D-H, Manzar G, Kim S-M, Kang H-S, Kim KK,

803   Jeong SH, Lee J-H, Park E-K, Lee M, Lee H-A, Kim K-S, Park H-J, Oh WK, Park C, Lee CH, Kim E-M. 2022.

804   Pulmonary fibrosis model using micro-CT analyzable human PSC-derived alveolar organoids

805   containing alveolar macrophage-like cells. Cell Biol Toxicol 38:557–575.

806   16.   Kang JS, Lee Y, Lee Y, Gil D, Kim MJ, Wood C, Delorme V, Lee JM, Ko K-C, Kim J-H, Lee M-O. 2025.

807   Generation of induced alveolar assembloids with functional alveolar-like macrophages. Nat Commun

808   16:3346.

809   17.   Pahari S, Neehus A-L, Trapnell BC, Bustamante J, Casanova J-L, Schlesinger LS. 2024. Protocol to

810   develop human alveolar macrophage-like cells from mononuclear cells or purified monocytes for use

811   in respiratory biology research. STAR Protoc 5:103061.

812   18.   Pahari S, Arnett E, Simper J, Azad A, Guerrero-Arguero I, Ye C, Zhang H, Cai H, Wang Y, Lai Z, Jarvis N,

813   Lumbreras M, Maselli DJ, Peters J, Torrelles JB, Martinez-Sobrido L, Schlesinger LS. 2023. A new

814   tractable method for generating human alveolar macrophage-like cells in vitro to study lung

815   inflammatory processes and diseases. mBio 0:e00834-23.

816   19.   Fulcher ML, Gabriel S, Burns KA, Yankaskas JR, Randell SH. 2005. Well-differentiated human airway

817   epithelial cell cultures. Methods Mol Med 107:183–206.

818   20.   Gard AL, Luu RJ, Miller CR, Maloney R, Cain BP, Marr EE, Burns DM, Gaibler R, Mulhern TJ, Wong CA,

819   Alladina J, Coppeta JR, Liu P, Wang JP, Azizgolshani H, Fezzie RF, Balestrini JL, Isenberg BC, Medoff BD,

820   Finberg RW, Borenstein JT. 2021. High-throughput human primary cell-based airway model for

821   evaluating influenza, coronavirus, or other respiratory viruses in vitro. Sci Rep 11:14961.

822   21.   Jirikowski GF, Caldwell JD, Stumpf WE, Pedersen CA. 1990. Topography of oxytocinergic estradiol

823   target neurons in the mouse hypothalamus. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 28:3–9.

824   22.   Barclay AM, Ninaber DK, van Veen S, Hiemstra PS, Ottenhoff THM, van der Does AM, Joosten SA.

825   2023. Airway epithelial cells mount an early response to mycobacterial infection. Front Cell Infect

826   Microbiol 13.

827   23.   Joy N, Deshpande A, Lingamallu SM, Prabantu VM, Naveenkumar CN, Bharathkumar K, Bhat S,

828   Alvarado-Martinez Z, Livraghi-Butrico A, Hagood JS, Boucher RC, Lafkas D, Byrd KM, Narayanan S,

829   Shandil RK, Guha A. 2024. Notch signaling stabilizes lengths of motile cilia in multiciliated cells in the

830   lung. BioRxiv Prepr Serv Biol 2024.12.12.628112.

831   24.   Lastrucci C, Bénard A, Balboa L, Pingris K, Souriant S, Poincloux R, Al Saati T, Rasolofo V, González-

832   Montaner P, Inwentarz S, Moraña EJ, Kondova I, Verreck FAW, Sasiain M del C, Neyrolles O,

833   Maridonneau-Parini I, Lugo-Villarino G, Cougoule C. 2015. Tuberculosis is associated with expansion

834   of a motile, permissive and immunomodulatory CD16(+) monocyte population via the IL-10/STAT3

835   axis. Cell Res 25:1333–1351.

836   25.   Mascarau R, Woottum M, Fromont L, Gence R, Cantaloube-Ferrieu V, Vahlas Z, Lévêque K, Bertrand F,

837   Beunon T, Métais A, El Costa H, Jabrane-Ferrat N, Gallois Y, Guibert N, Davignon J-L, Favre G,

838   Maridonneau-Parini I, Poincloux R, Lagane B, Bénichou S, Raynaud-Messina B, Vérollet C. 2023.

839   Productive HIV-1 infection of tissue macrophages by fusion with infected CD4+ T cells. J Cell Biol

840   222:e202205103.

841   26.   Iakobachvili N, Leon-Icaza SA, Knoops K, Sachs N, Mazères S, Simeone R, Peixoto A, Bernard C, Murris-

842   Espin M, Mazières J, Cam K, Chalut C, Guilhot C, López-Iglesias C, Ravelli RBG, Neyrolles O, Meunier E,

843   Lugo-Villarino G, Clevers H, Cougoule C, Peters PJ. 2022. Mycobacteria–host interactions in human

844   bronchiolar airway organoids. Mol Microbiol 117:682–692.

845   27.   Sachs N, Papaspyropoulos A, Zomer-van Ommen DD, Heo I, Böttinger L, Klay D, Weeber F, Huelsz-

846   Prince G, Iakobachvili N, Amatngalim GD, de Ligt J, van Hoeck A, Proost N, Viveen MC, Lyubimova A,

847   Teeven L, Derakhshan S, Korving J, Begthel H, Dekkers JF, Kumawat K, Ramos E, van Oosterhout MF,

848   Offerhaus GJ, Wiener DJ, Olimpio EP, Dijkstra KK, Smit EF, van der Linden M, Jaksani S, van de Ven M,

849   Jonkers J, Rios AC, Voest EE, van Moorsel CH, van der Ent CK, Cuppen E, van Oudenaarden A,

850   Coenjaerts FE, Meyaard L, Bont LJ, Peters PJ, Tans SJ, van Zon JS, Boj SF, Vries RG, Beekman JM,

851   Clevers H. 2019. Long-term expanding human airway organoids for disease modeling. EMBO J

852   38:e100300.

853   28.   Péricat D, Leon-Icaza SA, Sanchez Rico M, Mühle C, Zoicas I, Schumacher F, Planès R, Mazars R, Gros

854   G, Carpinteiro A, Becker KA, Izopet J, Strub-Wourgaft N, Sjö P, Neyrolles O, Kleuser B, Limosin F,

855   Gulbins E, Kornhuber J, Meunier E, Hoertel N, Cougoule C. 2022. Antiviral and Anti-Inflammatory

856   Activities of Fluoxetine in a SARS-CoV-2 Infection Mouse Model. Int J Mol Sci 23:13623.

857   29.   Sanchez-Lopez JM, Juarez-Mancera MA, Bustamante B, Ruiz-Silvestre A, Espinosa M, Mendoza-

858   Almanza G, Ceballos-Cancino G, Melendez-Zajgla J, Maldonado V, Lizarraga F. 2024. Decoding

859   LINC00052 role in breast cancer by bioinformatic and experimental analyses. RNA Biol 21:1–11.

30.   Calzada F, García-Hernández N, Bautista E, Sánchez-López JM, Valdes M, Velázquez C, Barbosa E.

2024. Understanding the Molecular Mechanisms of Incomptine A in Treating Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

Associated with U-937 Cells: Bioinformatics Approaches, Part I. Pharm Basel Switz 18:5.

31.   Schneider C, Nobs SP, Kurrer M, Rehrauer H, Thiele C, Kopf M. 2014. Induction of the nuclear receptor

PPAR-γ by the cytokine GM-CSF is critical for the differentiation of fetal monocytes into alveolar

macrophages. Nat Immunol 15:1026–1037.

32.   Bharat A, Bhorade SM, Morales-Nebreda L, McQuattie-Pimentel AC, Soberanes S, Ridge K, DeCamp

MM, Mestan KK, Perlman H, Budinger GRS, Misharin AV. 2016. Flow Cytometry Reveals Similarities

Between Lung Macrophages in Humans and Mice. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 54:147–149.

33.   Yu Y-RA, Hotten DF, Malakhau Y, Volker E, Ghio AJ, Noble PW, Kraft M, Hollingsworth JW, Gunn MD,

Tighe RM. 2016. Flow Cytometric Analysis of Myeloid Cells in Human Blood, Bronchoalveolar Lavage,

and Lung Tissues. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 54:13–24.

34.   Desch AN, Gibbings SL, Goyal R, Kolde R, Bednarek J, Bruno T, Slansky JE, Jacobelli J, Mason R, Ito Y,

Messier E, Randolph GJ, Prabagar M, Atif SM, Segura E, Xavier RJ, Bratton DL, Janssen WJ, Henson PM,

Jakubzick CV. 2016. Flow Cytometric Analysis of Mononuclear Phagocytes in Nondiseased Human

Lung and Lung-Draining Lymph Nodes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 193:614–626.

35.   Shang S, Ji X, Zhang L, Chen J, Li C, Shi R, Xiang W, Kang X, Zhang D, Yang F, Dai R, Chen P, Chen S,

Chen Y, Li Y, Miao H. 2019. Macrophage ABHD5 Suppresses NFκB-Dependent Matrix

Metalloproteinase Expression and Cancer Metastasis. Cancer Res 79:5513–5526.

36.   Dunkel J, Aguilar-Pimentel JA, Ollert M, Fuchs H, Gailus-Durner V, de Angelis MH, Jalkanen S, Salmi M,

Veres TZ. 2014. Endothelial amine oxidase AOC3 transiently contributes to adaptive immune

responses in the airways. Eur J Immunol 44:3232–3239.

37.   Wu Y, Meng L, Zhan S, Li M, Huang J, Chen X, Chen L, Gao X, Chen H, Chen H, Zhong Y, Xu L, Xu Y.

883   2025. ITIH5-mediated fibroblast/macrophage crosstalk exacerbates cardiac remodelling after

884   myocardial infarction. J Transl Med 23:224.

885   38.   Park S, Choi S-G, Yoo S-M, Son JH, Jung Y-K. 2014. Choline dehydrogenase interacts with SQSTM1/p62

886   to recruit LC3 and stimulate mitophagy. Autophagy 10:1906–1920.

887   39.   Szafran BN, Borazjani A, Scheaffer HL, Crow JA, McBride AM, Adekanye O, Wonnacott CB, Lehner R,

888   Kaplan BLF, Ross MK. 2022. Carboxylesterase 1d Inactivation Augments Lung Inflammation in Mice.

889   ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci 5:919–931.

890   40.   Moraes-Vieira PM, Yore MM, Sontheimer-Phelps A, Castoldi A, Norseen J, Aryal P, Simonyté Sjödin K,

891   Kahn BB. 2020. Retinol binding protein 4 primes the NLRP3 inflammasome by signaling through Toll-

892   like receptors 2 and 4. Proc Natl Acad Sci 117:31309–31318.

893   41.   Liao X, Sharma N, Kapadia F, Zhou G, Lu Y, Hong H, Paruchuri K, Mahabeleshwar GH, Dalmas E,

894   Venteclef N, Flask CA, Kim J, Doreian BW, Lu KQ, Kaestner KH, Hamik A, Clément K, Jain MK. 2011.

895   Krüppel-like factor 4 regulates macrophage polarization. J Clin Invest 121:2736–2749.

896   42.   Mirzaesmaeili A, Abdul-Sater AA. 2024. TRAF1 Deficiency in Macrophages Drives Exacerbated Joint

897   Inflammation in Rheumatoid Arthritis. Biomolecules 14:864.

898   43.   Sadee W, Cheeseman IH, Papp A, Pietrzak M, Seweryn M, Zhou X, Lin S, Williams AM, Wewers MD,

899   Curry HM, Zhang H, Cai H, Kunsevi-Kilola C, Tshivhula H, Walzl G, Restrepo BI, Kleynhans L, Ronacher

900   K, Wang Y, Arnett E, Azad AK, Schlesinger LS. 2025. Human alveolar macrophage response to

901   Mycobacterium tuberculosis: immune characteristics underlying large inter-individual variability.

902   Commun Biol 8:950.

903   44.   Tomita K, Freeman BL, Bronk SF, LeBrasseur NK, White TA, Hirsova P, Ibrahim SH. 2016. CXCL10-

904   Mediates Macrophage, but not Other Innate Immune Cells-Associated Inflammation in Murine

905   Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. Sci Rep 6:28786.

45.   John SP, Sun J, Carlson RJ, Cao B, Bradfield CJ, Song J, Smelkinson M, Fraser IDC. 2018. IFIT1 Exerts

Opposing Regulatory Effects on the Inflammatory and Interferon Gene Programs in LPS-Activated

Human Macrophages. Cell Rep 25:95-106.e6.

46.   Baldanta S, Fernández-Escobar M, Acín-Perez R, Albert M, Camafeita E, Jorge I, Vázquez J, Enríquez JA,

Guerra S. 2017. ISG15 governs mitochondrial function in macrophages following vaccinia virus

infection. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006651.

47.   Leisching G, Cole V, Ali AT, Baker B. 2019. OAS1, OAS2 and OAS3 restrict intracellular M. tb replication

and enhance cytokine secretion. Int J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis 80S:S77–S84.

48.   Neil SJD, Sandrin V, Sundquist WI, Bieniasz PD. 2007. An interferon-alpha-induced tethering

mechanism inhibits HIV-1 and Ebola virus particle release but is counteracted by the HIV-1 Vpu

protein. Cell Host Microbe 2:193–203.

49.   Zankharia U, Yi Y, Lu F, Vladimirova O, Karisetty BC, Wikramasinghe J, Kossenkov A, Collman RG,

Lieberman PM. 2024. HIV-induced RSAD2/Viperin supports sustained infection of monocyte-derived

macrophages. J Virol 98:e0086324.

50.   Long Q, Rabi K, Cai Y, Li L, Huang S, Qian B, Zhong Y, Qi Z, Zhang Y, Huang K, Wang X, Chang L, Xie W,

Jiang H, Zhang H, Zhang J, Ren T, Wang Z, Teesalu T, Wu C, Lu L, Zhu Z, Chu Y, Santos HA, Liu Z, Zhao Q,

Ye X. 2025. Identification of splenic IRF7 as a nanotherapy target for tele-conditioning myocardial

reperfusion injury. Nat Commun 16:1909.

51.   Qi F, Zhang X, Wang L, Ren C, Zhao X, Luo J, Lu D. 2022. E3 ubiquitin ligase NEURL3 promotes innate

antiviral response through catalyzing K63-linked ubiquitination of IRF7. FASEB J Off Publ Fed Am Soc

Exp Biol 36:e22409.

52.   Gräb J, Suárez I, van Gumpel E, Winter S, Schreiber F, Esser A, Hölscher C, Fritsch M, Herb M,

Schramm M, Wachsmuth L, Pallasch C, Pasparakis M, Kashkar H, Rybniker J. 2019. Corticosteroids

929  inhibit Mycobacterium tuberculosis-induced necrotic host cell death by abrogating mitochondrial

930  membrane permeability transition. Nat Commun 10:688.

931 53. Tian N, Chu H, Li Q, Sun H, Zhang J, Chu N, Sun Z. 2025. Host-directed therapy for tuberculosis. Eur J

932  Med Res 30:267.

933 54. Buha I, Škodrić-Trifunović V, Adžić-Vukičević T, Ilić A, Blanka-Protić A, Stjepanovic M, Anđelković M,

934  Vreća M, Milin-Lazović J, Spasovski V, Pavlović S. 2019. Relevance of TNF-α, IL-6 and IRAK1 gene

935  expression for assessing disease severity and therapy effects in tuberculosis patients. J Infect Dev

936  Ctries 13:419–425.

937 55. Naicker N, Sigal A, Naidoo K. 2020. Metformin as Host-Directed Therapy for TB Treatment: Scoping

938  Review. Front Microbiol 11:435.

939 56. de Waal AM, Hiemstra PS, Ottenhoff TH, Joosten SA, van der Does AM. 2022. Lung epithelial cells

940  interact with immune cells and bacteria to shape the microenvironment in tuberculosis. Thorax

941  77:408–416.

942 57. Leon-Icaza SA, Bagayoko S, Vergé R, Iakobachvili N, Ferrand C, Aydogan T, Bernard C, Sanchez Dafun

943  A, Murris-Espin M, Mazières J, Bordignon PJ, Mazères S, Bernes-Lasserre P, Ramé V, Lagarde J-M,

944  Marcoux J, Bousquet M-P, Chalut C, Guilhot C, Clevers H, Peters PJ, Molle V, Lugo-Villarino G, Cam K,

945  Berry L, Meunier E, Cougoule C. 2023. Druggable redox pathways against Mycobacterium abscessus in

946  cystic fibrosis patient-derived airway organoids. PLoS Pathog 19:e1011559.

947 58. Agudelo CW, Samaha G, Garcia-Arcos I. 2020. Alveolar lipids in pulmonary disease. A review. Lipids

948  Health Dis 19:122.

949 59. Little I, Bersie S, Redente EF, McCubbrey AL, Tarling EJ. 2025. Alveolar macrophages: guardians of the

950  alveolar lipid galaxy. Curr Opin Lipidol 36:153–162.

951 60. Pisu D, Johnston L, Mattila JT, Russell DG. 2024. The frequency of CD38+ alveolar macrophages

952  correlates with early control of M. tuberculosis in the murine lung. Nat Commun 15:8522.

61. Pisu D, Huang L, Grenier JK, Russell DG. 2020. Dual RNA-Seq of Mtb-Infected Macrophages In Vivo

Reveals Ontologically Distinct Host-Pathogen Interactions. Cell Rep 30:335-350.e4.

62. Heifets L, Higgins M, Simon B. 2000. Pyrazinamide is not active against Mycobacterium tuberculosis

residing in cultured human monocyte-derived macrophages. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis Off J Int Union

Tuberc Lung Dis 4:491–495.

63. Malone L, Schurr A, Lindh H, McKENZIE D, Kiser JS, Williams JH. 1952. The effect of pyrazinamide

(aldinamide) on experimental tuberculosis in mice. Am Rev Tuberc 65:511–518.

64. McDermott W, Tompsett R. 1954. Activation of pyrazinamide and nicotinamide in acidic

environments in vitro. Am Rev Tuberc 70:748–754.

65. Solotorovsky M, Gregory FJ, Ironson EJ, Bugie EJ, O'neill RC, Pfister R. 1952. Pyrazinoic acid amide; an

agent active against experimental murine tuberculosis. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med Soc Exp Biol Med N Y N

79:563–565.

66. Tarshis MS, Weed WA. 1953. Lack of significant in vitro sensitivity of Mycobacterium tuberculosis to

pyrazinamide on three different solid media. Am Rev Tuberc 67:391–395.

67. Pisu D, Huang L, Narang V, Theriault M, Lê-Bury G, Lee B, Lakudzala AE, Mzinza DT, Mhango DV,

Mitini-Nkhoma SC, Jambo KC, Singhal A, Mwandumba HC, Russell DG. 2021. Single cell analysis of M.

tuberculosis phenotype and macrophage lineages in the infected lung. J Exp Med 218:e20210615.

68. Krug S, Parveen S, Bishai WR. 2021. Host-Directed Therapies: Modulating Inflammation to Treat

Tuberculosis. Front Immunol 12:660916.

69. Gries R, Sala C, Rybniker J. 2020. Host-Directed Therapies and Anti-Virulence Compounds to Address

Anti-Microbial Resistant Tuberculosis Infection. Appl Sci 10:2688.

70. Armesilla-Diaz A, Pilar Arenaz M, Ashby C, Blanco D, D'Oria E, Garuti H, Gómez V, González-Del-Río R,

Martínez-Hoyos M, Meiler E, Mendoza-Losana A, Mohamet L, Padrón-Barthe L, Pérez E, Pérez L,

Remuiñán MJ, Rodríguez-Miquel B, Segura-Carro D, Viera-Morilla S. 2025. High-throughput screening

of small molecules targeting Mycobacterium tuberculosis in human iPSC macrophages. Antimicrob

Agents Chemother 69:e0161324.

71.   Roth D, Şahin AT, Ling F, Tepho N, Senger CN, Quiroz EJ, Calvert BA, van der Does AM, Güney TG, Glasl

S, van Schadewijk A, von Schledorn L, Olmer R, Kanso E, Nawroth JC, Ryan AL. 2025. Structure and

function relationships of mucociliary clearance in human and rat airways. Nat Commun 16:2446.

72.   Beckwith KS, Beckwith MS, Ullmann S, Sætra RS, Kim H, Marstad A, Åsberg SE, Strand TA, Haug M,

Niederweis M, Stenmark HA, Flo TH. 2020. Plasma membrane damage causes NLRP3 activation and

pyroptosis during Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Nat Commun 11:2270.

73.   Bomfim CCB, Fisher L, Amaral EP, Mittereder L, McCann K, Correa AAS, Namasivayam S, Swamydas M,

Moayeri M, Weiss JM, Chari R, McVicar DW, Costa DL, D'Império Lima MR, Sher A. 2022.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis Induces Irg1 in Murine Macrophages by a Pathway Involving Both TLR-2

and STING/IFNAR Signaling and Requiring Bacterial Phagocytosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol

12:862582.

74.   Bullen CK, Singh AK, Krug S, Lun S, Thakur P, Srikrishna G, Bishai WR. 2023. MDA5 RNA-sensing

pathway activation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis promotes innate immune subversion and

pathogen survival. JCI Insight 8:e166242.

75.   Saiga H, Kitada S, Shimada Y, Kamiyama N, Okuyama M, Makino M, Yamamoto M, Takeda K. 2012.

Critical role of AIM2 in Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Int Immunol 24:637–644.

76.   Wassermann R, Gulen MF, Sala C, Perin SG, Lou Y, Rybniker J, Schmid-Burgk JL, Schmidt T, Hornung V,

Cole ST, Ablasser A. 2015. Mycobacterium tuberculosis Differentially Activates cGAS- and

Inflammasome-Dependent Intracellular Immune Responses through ESX-1. Cell Host Microbe 17:799–

810.

77.   Bafica A, Scanga CA, Feng CG, Leifer C, Cheever A, Sher A. 2005. TLR9 regulates Th1 responses and

cooperates with TLR2 in mediating optimal resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Exp Med

202:1715–1724.

78.   Shukla S, Richardson ET, Drage MG, Boom WH, Harding CV. 2018. Mycobacterium tuberculosis

Lipoprotein and Lipoglycan Binding to Toll-Like Receptor 2 Correlates with Agonist Activity and

Functional Outcomes. Infect Immun 86:e00450-18.

79.   Olson GS, Murray TA, Jahn AN, Mai D, Diercks AH, Gold ES, Aderem A. 2021. Type I interferon

decreases macrophage energy metabolism during mycobacterial infection. Cell Rep 35:109195.

80.   Scriba TJ, Maseeme M, Young C, Taylor L, Leslie AJ. 2024. Immunopathology in human tuberculosis.

Sci Immunol 9:eado5951.

81.   Winder FG, Collins P, Rooney SA. 1970. Effects of isoniazid on mycolic acid synthesis in

Mycobacterium tuberculosis and on its cell envelope. Biochem J 117:27P.

82.   Timmins GS, Deretic V. 2006. Mechanisms of action of isoniazid. Mol Microbiol 62:1220–1227.

83.   Naidoo A, Naidoo K, McIlleron H, Essack S, Padayatchi N. 2017. A review of moxifloxacin for the

treatment of drug-susceptible tuberculosis. J Clin Pharmacol 57:1369–1386.

84.   Guan Y, Liu Y. 2020. Meta-analysis on Effectiveness and Safety of Moxifloxacin in Treatment of

Multidrug Resistant Tuberculosis in Adults. Medicine (Baltimore) 99:e20648.

85.   Zhang Y, Shi W, Zhang W, Mitchison D. 2014. Mechanisms of Pyrazinamide Action and Resistance.

Microbiol Spectr 2:MGM2-0023–2013.

86.   Santucci P, Aylan B, Botella L, Bernard EM, Bussi C, Pellegrino E, Athanasiadi N, Gutierrez MG. 2022.

Visualizing Pyrazinamide Action by Live Single-Cell Imaging of Phagosome Acidification and

Mycobacterium tuberculosis pH Homeostasis. mBio 13:e0011722.

87.   Laudouze J, Rokitskaya TI, Abolet A, Point V, Firsov AM, Khailova LS, Cavalier J-F, Canaan S, Baulard

1022   AR, Antonenko YN, Gouzy A, Santucci P. 2025. Pyrazinamide kills Mycobacterium tuberculosis via pH-

1023   driven weak-acid permeation and cytosolic acidification. bioRxiv 2025.09.26.678883.

1024   88.   Wehrli W. 1983. Rifampin: mechanisms of action and resistance. Rev Infect Dis 5 Suppl 3:S407-411.

1025   89.   Telenti A, Imboden P, Marchesi F, Lowrie D, Cole S, Colston MJ, Matter L, Schopfer K, Bodmer T. 1993.

1026   Detection of rifampicin-resistance mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Lancet Lond Engl

1027   341:647–650.

1028   90.   Gan WC, Ng HF, Ngeow YF. 2023. Mechanisms of Linezolid Resistance in Mycobacteria.

1029   Pharmaceuticals 16:784.

1030   91.   Dietze R, Hadad DJ, McGee B, Molino LPD, Maciel ELN, Peloquin CA, Johnson DF, Debanne SM,

1031   Eisenach K, Boom WH, Palaci M, Johnson JL. 2008. Early and extended early bactericidal activity of

1032   linezolid in pulmonary tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 178:1180–1185.

1033   92.   Ivanyi J, Zumla A. 2013. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs for adjunctive tuberculosis treatment. J

1034   Infect Dis 208:185–188.

1035   93.   Cubillos-Angulo JM, Nogueira BMF, Arriaga MB, Barreto-Duarte B, Araújo-Pereira M, Fernandes CD,

1036   Vinhaes CL, Villalva-Serra K, Nunes VM, Miguez-Pinto JP, Amaral EP, Andrade BB. 2022. Host-directed

1037   therapies in pulmonary tuberculosis: Updates on anti-inflammatory drugs. Front Med 9:970408.

1038   94.   Hölscher C, Gräb J, Hölscher A, Müller AL, Schäfer SC, Rybniker J. 2020. Chemical p38 MAP kinase

1039   inhibition constrains tissue inflammation and improves antibiotic activity in Mycobacterium

1040   tuberculosis-infected mice. Sci Rep 10:13629.

1041   95.   Guerra-De-Blas PDC, Torres-González P, Bobadilla-Del-Valle M, Sada-Ovalle I, Ponce-De-León-Garduño

1042   A, Sifuentes-Osornio J. 2018. Potential Effect of Statins on Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. J

1043   Immunol Res 2018:7617023.

96.   Guerra-De-Blas PDC, Bobadilla-Del-Valle M, Sada-Ovalle I, Estrada-García I, Torres-González P, López-

Saavedra A, Guzmán-Beltrán S, Ponce-de-León A, Sifuentes-Osornio J. 2019. Simvastatin Enhances the

Immune Response Against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Front Microbiol 10:2097.

97.   Parihar SP, Guler R, Khutlang R, Lang DM, Hurdayal R, Mhlanga MM, Suzuki H, Marais AD, Brombacher

F. 2014. Statin therapy reduces the mycobacterium tuberculosis burden in human macrophages and

in mice by enhancing autophagy and phagosome maturation. J Infect Dis 209:754–763.

98.   Singhal A, Jie L, Kumar P, Hong GS, Leow MK-S, Paleja B, Tsenova L, Kurepina N, Chen J, Zolezzi F,

Kreiswirth B, Poidinger M, Chee C, Kaplan G, Wang YT, De Libero G. 2014. Metformin as adjunct

antituberculosis therapy. Sci Transl Med 6:263ra159.

99.   Johnson BK, Colvin CJ, Needle DB, Mba Medie F, Champion PAD, Abramovitch RB. 2015. The Carbonic

Anhydrase Inhibitor Ethoxzolamide Inhibits the Mycobacterium tuberculosis PhoPR Regulon and Esx-1

Secretion and Attenuates Virulence. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:4436–4445.

100.   Rybniker J, Chen JM, Sala C, Hartkoorn RC, Vocat A, Benjak A, Boy-Röttger S, Zhang M, Székely R, Greff

Z, Orfi L, Szabadkai I, Pató J, Kéri G, Cole ST. 2014. Anticytolytic screen identifies inhibitors of

mycobacterial virulence protein secretion. Cell Host Microbe 16:538–548.

1059

1060

9. FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Phenotypic Characterization of the human AML cell model reproduces the anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory phenotype of hAMs. A) Schematic illustration of alveolar macrophage-like cells (AML) generation from peripheral CD14$^+$ monocytes (created with BioRender.com). **B)** Flow cytometry dot plots showing the expression of CD206 vs. CD64 and CD16 vs. CD14 in human alveolar macrophages (hAMs) recovered from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and AMLs, and corresponding quantification of the indicated cell subsets. **C)** Graphs showing the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of different markers in the CD206$^+$CD64$^+$CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ subpopulation of hAMs (left) and the corresponding subpopulation of AMLs (right). In the graphs, each dot represents an individual donor. Significant differences were determined using an unpaired, non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test. *p* < 0.05 (), *p* < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***).

Figure 2. Mtb infection induces a phenotypic heterogeneity within the AML compartment. AML cells were infected *in vitro* with H37Rv-GFP (MOI 1:1) for 4h, washed, and then incubated for 6 days. **A)** AML uninfected and Mtb-infected were visualized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Bacteria are shown in magenta. Scale bars: 2 μm. LB (lipid body)   ; PhL (phagolysosome)   ; M (mitochondria)   ; sRE (smooth endoplasmic reticulum). **B)** Relative gene expression of *PPARG*, *SPI1* (PU.1), *MMP7*, *MMP9*, *TNF,* and *IL10* in control (uninfected) and Mtb-infected AML cells assessed by RT-qPCR. **C)** Flow cytometry dot plots and graphs showing the frequency of CD14$^+$CD16$^-$, and CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ subpopulations in control (uninfected) and Mtb-infected AML cells. **D)** Flow cytometry histogram and graph showing the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of Mtb in CD14$^+$CD16$^-$, and CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ subpopulations among Mtb-infected AML cells. **E)** Unsupervised t-SNE analysis of flow cytometry data from control (uninfected) and Mtb-infected AMLs, stratified by CD16 expression. Histograms show the MFI of different markers analyzed in CD16$^+$ and CD16$^-$ subpopulations. **F)** Histograms and graphs showing the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD86, CD163, CD169, CD209, and MARCO in CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ and CD14$^+$CD16$^-$ AML subpopulations in control (uninfected) and Mtb-infected AML cells. **G)** Relative gene expression of *IFNA*, *IFNB*, *MX1*, *MX2*, *ISG15,* and *SIGLEC1* in control (uninfected) and Mtb-infected AML cells assessed by RT-qPCR. In the graphs, each dot represents an individual donor. Significant differences were determined using a paired, non-parametric Wilcoxon test. p < 0.05 (*), *p* < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***).

Figure 3. Transcriptomic response of primary hAM to Mtb infection. Gene expression levels of primary hAM derived from different healthy donors and infected *ex vivo* with H37Rv for 72h were analyzed using publicly available RNA-seq datasets. **A)** Heatmap of selected differentially expressed genes between AML, hAM, and MDM cellular models. Red indicates upregulated genes and blue indicates downregulated genes. Genes showing significant differences between AML and MDM (adjusted *p* < 0.05) are marked with an asterisk (*). **B)** Volcano plot highlighting the top 40 DEGs (fold change ≥ ±1.5; adjusted *p* < 0.05). Red dots indicate upregulated genes, blue dots indicate downregulated genes, and grey dots represent genes outside the threshold. **C)** Reactome pathway enrichment analysis of upregulated genes in 72 h Mtb-infected hAMs compared with uninfected controls. Dot size reflects the number of genes per pathway, and color corresponds to the adjusted *p*-value.

Figure 4. Evaluation of Bacterial Control and Host Response Modulation by Anti-TB Agents in AML cells. AMLs were infected with H37Rv::lux at an MOI of 1:1 for 4h, washed, and then treated with different compounds (SoC= standard-of-care antibiotics; VTT= virulence-targeting therapies; HDT=host-directed therapies), and incubated for 6 days. **A)** Heatmap showing luminescence inhibition. **B)** Colony-forming unit (CFU) of Mtb-infected AML cells. **C)** TNF-α and IL-6 production by Mtb-infected AML cells. In the graphs, each dot represents an individual donor. Significant differences were determined using an unpaired, non-parametric Mann–Whitney test. p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***).

Figure 5. Airway ALI cultures recapitulate the human pulmonary environment and support Mtb infection. Human airway organoid/ALI cultures were derived from tumor-adjacent normal lung tissue obtained from different patients. For infection experiments (panels H–J), differentiated airway ALI cultures were infected with H37Rv::lux (4 × 10^5 bacilli/insert) on the apical side and incubated for 6 days. **A)** Schematic illustration of airway ALI culture generation from lung biopsy (created with BioRender.com). **B)** Airway ALI cultures visualized using light microscopy. Scale bars: 650μm or 75 μm. **C)** Hematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) staining on an airway ALI cross-section. Scale bars: 50 μm. **D)** Alcian blue staining of airway ALI cross-section. Scale bars: 50 μm. **E)** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of airway ALI cultures showing a differentiated bronchial epithelium. Red arrows indicate cilia, asterisks indicate goblet cells, and yellow arrows indicate microvilli. Scale bars: 20μm or 5μm. **F)** Dextran flux assay showing permeability defined by apical-to-basolateral flux (Papp) of TRITC-dextran 4 kDa or FITC-dextran 70 kDa. **G)** Transepithelial electrical resistance measurement of airway ALI cultures. **H)** Luminescence and CFUs measured in the apical compartment at day 0 and day 6 post-infection (p.i.). **I)** Measurement of luminescence and CFUs at apical and basal compartments at days 6 p.i. **J)** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of Mtb-infected airway ALI cultures. Scale bar: 100μm or 5μM. Significant differences were determined using an unpaired, non-parametric Mann–Whitney test. p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***).

Figure 6. Evaluation of Bacterial Control and Host Response Modulation by Anti-TB Agents in Human Airway Air–Liquid Interface (ALI) System. Airway ALI cultures were infected with H37Rv::lux (400.000 bac/insert) at the apical side, treated with different compounds (SoC= standard-of-care antibiotics; VTT= virulence-targeting therapies; HDT=host-directed therapies) in the basal compartment, and incubated for 6 days. **A)** Heatmap showing luminescence inhibition. **B)** Colony-forming unit (CFU) of Mtb-infected airway ALI model. **C)** IL-6 and IL-8 production by the Mtb-infected airway ALI model. Significant differences were determined using an unpaired, non-parametric Mann–Whitney test. p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***).

Figure 1

A)

Pulmonary Microenvironments in TB: Intracellular Niche

AT1
AT2
AM
Mtb
Alveoli

Mimicking the Intracellular Pulmonary Niche to Assess New Anti-TB Compounds

Blood bag → PBMC → Monocyte CD14+ → IL10 GM-CSF TGF-β surfactant 6 d → AML → Mtb

Intracellular TB Model: AML - associated Mtb

B) hAM / AML plots

C) hAM / AML MFI plots

Figure 2

Figure 3

A)
Heatmap Interest Differential Expressed Genes

con72hr   inf72hr   condition

CFP *
MERTK *
FCGR3A *
CD86
MARCO
PPARG
CD163
FUCA1 *
IL10
MMP9
CD274 *
CD38
SIGLEC1
IFIT1 *
MX2 *
CXCL10 *
IFITM2 *
IRF7 *
MX1 *
ISG15 *
IFITM3 *
IFITM1 *
TNF *
NEURL3 *
IL6 *
CCL4L2 *
CCL4 *
TNFAIP6 *
RSAD2 *
INHBA *
CCL20 *

B)
TPM data - inf 72h vs Ctrl 72h

• Downregulated in 72h   • Not Significant   • Upregulated in 72h

C)
Reactome - Upregulated

Figure 4

Figure 5

A)

Pulmonary Microenvironments in TB:
Extracellular Niche

Lung

Ciliated Cell | PNEC | Club Cell | Goblet Cell | Basal Cell

bronchiolar epithelium

Mimicking the Extracellular Pulmonary Niche to Assess New Anti-TB Compounds

Lung biopsy → Lung cell suspension → Airway organoids → Airway ALI

Extracellular TB Model: Mtb-bronchiolar epithelium interaction

B) Airway ALI

Light microscopy

650 µm | 75 µm

C) 50 µm

D) 50 µm

E) SEM

20 µm | 5 µm

F)

P_{app} cell-layer (cm/s)

TRITC - dextran 4kDa
FITC - dextran 70kDa

4 | 70
kDa

G)

Transepithelial electrical resistance ($\Omega \times cm^2$)

***

Blank | ALI

H)

Luminescence (RLU)

0 | 6
Days p.i.
0 = inoculum

CFU/well (Log_{10})

0 | 6
Days p.i.

I)

Luminescence (RLU)

ctrl | apical | basal

CFU/well

apical | basal

J)

Epifluorescence and light microscopy

100 µm

SEM

5 µm

Figure 6