EMT activates ER-to-Golgi trafficking through upregulation of REEP2 to promote lung

cancer progression

Kevin Fulp[1, 7], Oluwafunminiyi Obaleye[1, 7], Shike Wang[2], Xin Liu[3], Jiang Yu[3], Jonathan M. Kurie[3],

Jun Xu[5], William K. Russell[6], Xiaochao Tan[2, *], Guan-Yu Xiao[1, *]

[1] Department of Toxicology and Cancer Biology, The University of Kentucky College of Medicine,

Lexington, Kentucky; [2]Department of Medicine, Tulane University School of Medicine, Louisiana

Cancer Research Center, New Orleans, Louisiana; [3]Department of Thoracic/Head and Neck

Medical Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas;

[4]Department of Molecular and Cellular Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer

Center, Houston, Texas; [5]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Baylor College of

Medicine, Houston, Texas; [6]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of

Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas. [7]These authors contributed equally: Kevin Fulp,

Oluwafunminiyi Obaleye.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Xiaochao Tan, Department of Medicine, Tulane

University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA; email: xtan4@tulane.edu; Guan-

Yu Xiao, Department of Toxicology and Cancer Biology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

40508, USA. Email: guan-yu.xiao@uky.edu.

Abstract

Membrane trafficking governs the transport of molecules to both intracellular and extracellular

locations, thereby maintaining cell homeostasis. During cancer progression, alterations in

membrane trafficking are frequently observed. However, the mechanisms underlying the

dysregulation of membrane trafficking in cancer progression remain largely unresolved. Recent

evidence has demonstrated that epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in lung

adenocarcinoma (LUAD) employs a membrane trafficking program to coordinate cancer cell

invasion and immunosuppression in the tumor microenvironment (TME). To further dissect the

pro-tumorigenic membrane trafficking program, here we conducted a CRISPR interference

(CRISPRi) *in vivo* screen for membrane trafficking regulators in a syngeneic mouse model with a

complete immune system. This screen identified REEP2, an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) shaping

protein, as a novel regulator of the EMT-dependent membrane trafficking program, which is

associated with a poor prognosis in LUAD patients and is required for LUAD metastasis in a

syngeneic orthotopic LUAD mouse model. Mechanistically, the EMT activator ZEB1 upregulates

REEP2 expression through miR-183- and miR-193a-mediated regulation that promotes the

transportation of secretory cargoes from the ER exit site (ERES) to the Golgi, thereby augmenting

the secretion of pro-tumorigenic factors. The REEP2-driven secretion promotes cancer cell

proliferation, migration, and the infiltration of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) in the

TME. These findings identify REEP2 as a critical mediator of the EMT-driven pro-metastatic

membrane trafficking program, revealing a specific vulnerability in mesenchymal LUAD.

Introduction

Membrane trafficking, a highly regulated process that is essential for cellular homeostasis, is

disrupted on multiple levels in cancer, framing a new frontier in targeted therapeutics for cancer

treatments [1-7]. It involves the complex coordination of a host of vesicles shuttling an array of cargo

to and from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), Golgi apparatus, the plasma membrane, and

extracellular space [5-8]. Numerous cancer-associated mutations have been identified in the

components of the membrane trafficking machinery [9,10]. Furthermore, cancer cells coordinate

membrane trafficking components post-transcriptionally (i.e. microRNA regulation) [11-14] and post-

translationally (i.e. phosphorylation) [15-20] to dynamically adapt membrane trafficking pathways and

acquire metastatic capabilities. Although numerous genome-wide analyses have been performed

to interrogate the roles of membrane trafficking components in cancer cells [21,22], many critical

knowledge gaps remain regarding the mechanisms of their dysregulation in cancer progression,

and this area continues to be fertile ground for the discovery of novel cancer treatments.

Recent studies reveal that epithelial-to-mesenchymal (EMT)-activating transcription factors

coordinate membrane trafficking networks to establish a front-rear polarity axis that facilitates

cancer cell motility [14,23]. Importantly, the EMT-driven membrane trafficking program underlies a

vulnerability of EMT-driven metastatic cancers [11,12], and the inhibition of EMT-activated

membrane trafficking regulators reactivates anti-tumor immunity in the tumor microenvironment

(TME) and reverses acquired resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy in LUAD [11].

However, no comprehensive studies have systematically examined how membrane trafficking

machinery influences TME that is essential for cancer progression.

To identify the membrane trafficking regulators critical for tumor growth with immune selective

pressure, here we conducted a CRISPR repression (CRISPRi) *in vivo* screen in a syngeneic

mouse model. Using LUAD cell lines derived from humans and genetically engineered mice that

develop metastatic LUAD, which are classified as epithelial or mesenchymal [11,24-26] (Table S1),

we further investigated regulatory mechanisms of the identified candidate, REEP2, an

endoplasmic reticulum (ER) shaping protein, in membrane trafficking and LUAD progression. The

findings presented herein uncover a molecular underpinning by which EMT promotes the

secretory cargo transportation from the ER exit site (ERES) to the Golgi and, in turn, enhances

the secretion of pro-tumorigenic factors that foster cancer cell motility and immunosuppressive

TME.

Results

Pro-tumorigenic membrane trafficking program for LUAD growth

To systematically characterize the EMT-driven membrane trafficking in LUAD progression and

immunosuppression, we transduced a CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) library targeting 2,099

trafficking-related genes [27] into murine mesenchymal LUAD 344SQ cells, which develop into

metastatic LUADs owing to high expression of the EMT activator, ZEB1 [26] (Fig. 1A). We performed

an *in vivo* screen by transplanting the cells into syngeneic wild-type (WT) immunocompetent

mouse flanks and measured the effects on tumorigenesis (Fig. 1A). This screen revealed a panel

of membrane trafficking regulators required for tumor growth under immune surveillance (fold-

change ($\log_2$) < -1, FDR ($-\log_{10}$) > 20) (Fig. 1B, blue box, Table S2). We prioritized a set of top

hits (fold-change ($\log_2$) < -2 and FDR ($-\log_{10}$) > 20, Fig. 1B, red dots and Fig. 1C) and assessed

their correlation with EMT (Fig. 1D) and prognostic values (Fig. 1E and Fig. S1) using The Cancer

Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. We identified several membrane trafficking regulators (*KRT16,*

REEP2, TNNT1, ARL14) that significantly correlate with the EMT signature and shorter survival

durations of LUAD patients. These findings reveal a membrane trafficking program that

contributes to LUAD tumorigenesis. Among these hits, REEP2 represents the strongest EMT

correlation and demonstrates high prognostic value, and correlates with LUAD metastasis (Fig.

1F).

REEP2 is required for mesenchymal LUAD metastasis

To further investigate the function of REEP2 in LUAD progression, we subjected murine

mesenchymal 344SQ cells to CRISPR/Cas9-mediated REEP2 knockout (REEP2 KO) (Fig. S2A),

and inoculated the cells orthotopically in the lungs of the syngeneic WT mice. We found that

REEP2 KO cells generated orthotopic LUADs that were smaller (Fig. 2A, left dot plot) and less

metastatic to the mediastinum (Fig. 2A, middle dot plot) and the contralateral lung (Fig. 2A, right

dot plot). We then assessed cancer progression properties *in vitro* and found that REEP2

depletion (Fig. S2A-D) reduced murine (344SQ) and human (H1299) mesenchymal LUAD cell

proliferation (Fig. 2B), but negligibly affected the viability of human epithelial LUAD (HCC827) (Fig.

S2E) or non-cancerous human bronchial epithelial cell (HBEC30KT) (Fig. S2F). Furthermore, we

found that REEP2 deficiency in mesenchymal LUAD cells resulted in cell cycle arrest (Fig. 2C),

with a negligible change in apoptosis (Fig. 2D), and sharply reduced migratory and invasive

activities in Boyden chambers (Fig. 2E and F). These data indicate a significant, previously

unknown association of REEP2 with LUAD progression.

ZEB1 relieves REEP2 from microRNA-dependent silencing

We identified a positive correlation between ZEB1 and REEP2 expressions in human LUAD

(Fig. 3A) and validated that their mRNA and protein levels changed in a corresponding fashion

following ZEB1 gain- or loss-of-function (Fig. 3B, S3A). ZEB1 has been shown to silence

microRNAs (miRs) to relieve the negative transcriptional regulation from genes involved in LUAD

progression [11,14,24,25]. Using the TargetScan prediction tool (www.targetscan.org), we identified

predicted miR-183 and miR-193a binding sites on the REEP2 3'-untranslated regions (3'-UTRs)

(Fig. S3B). In the epithelial cells with inducible ZEB1 expression (H322_iZEB1), ZEB1 induction

repressed the expressions of both miR-183 and miR-193a, while upregulating REEP2

simultaneously (Fig. S3C). We found that miR-183 and miR-193a mimics reduce REEP2

expression in murine and human mesenchymal LUAD cells (Fig. 3C, S3D). To validate the miR-

183- and miR-193a-mediated REEP2 silencing, we mutated the predicted binding sites (Fig. S3B)

and found that both miR-183 and miR-193a mimics suppressed the activity of human REEP2

reporters containing wild-type but not mutant 3'-UTRs lacking predicted binding sites (Fig. 3D and

E). These findings define a REEP2 regulatory axis in which ZEB1 alleviates miRNA-mediated

repression, leading to REEP2 upregulation in mesenchymal LUAD.

ZEB1 coordinates REEP2 to promote ERES and Golgi colocalization in mesenchymal

LUAD

REEP proteins are known to generate membrane curvature of the ER to regulate its structure

and function [28] and have been suggested to function in secretory trafficking [29]. Proteins destined

for secretion are synthesized in the ER, where cargoes are packaged into ERES and transferred

to the Golgi apparatus [30], after which they are directed to the plasma membrane through post-

Golgi traffic [31]. SEC proteins, including SEC16 and SEC24, are recruited to the site of ERES and

are essential for the formation of ERES and cargo packaging [32-34]. GM130 at the cis-Golgi tethers

ERES cargo delivery to the Golgi [35]. Given the significant curvature at the ERES, we hypothesized

that ZEB1 coordinates REEP2 to direct ERES toward the Golgi in mesenchymal LUAD (Fig. 4A).

To test the possibility, we visualized the distribution of SEC16A and GM130 using

immunofluorescence. Strikingly, compared to empty vector control (Vec), which showed the

dispersed SEC16A signals outside of the Golgi area marked by GM130 (Fig. S4A, arrows),

ectopic ZEB1 expression remarkably increased SEC16A colocalization with GM130 (Fig. S4A,

arrowheads) in the epithelial murine (393P) LUAD cells. The depletion of ZEB1 in the

mesenchymal murine (344SQ) and human (H1299) LUAD cells reduced the SEC16A/GM130

colocalization (Fig. S4B and C). The deficiency of REEP2 similarly decreased their colocalization

in the mesenchymal human (H1299) LUAD cells (Fig. S4C). Furthermore, the ectopic expression

of ZEB1 in the epithelial human (HCC827) LUAD cells increased the SEC16A/GM130

colocalization (Fig. 4B, Vec vs. siCTL), while REEP2 depletion (Fig. S4D) abolished the

phenotype (Fig. 4B, siCTL vs. siREEP2s). We then observed the consistent findings of higher

colocalization between SEC24D-labelled ERES and the Golgi in the epithelial human (HCC827)

LUAD cells with ectopic ZEB1 expression compared to Vec (Fig. 4C), while REEP2 depletion

disrupted the phenotype (Fig. 4D), suggesting ZEB1 coordinates REEP2 to promote ERES

locating on the Golgi in mesenchymal LUAD.

Thus far, REEP2 was observed to form vesicular structures that lack colocalization with

markers of canonical cellular compartments [36]. To further investigate the distribution and function

of REEP2, we transfected the HCC827 cells with fluorescent-tagged REEP2 (Fig. S4E) and found

that the REEP2 vesicles highly colocalized with SEC24D-labelled ERES (~80%) and there was

no difference between ectopic Vec and ZEB1 expression (Fig. S4F). However, the ectopic

expression of ZEB1 significantly enhanced the ERES colocalization with the Golgi (Fig. 4E).

Strikingly, we observed that the SEC24D structures with REEP2 coating dramatically increased

their colocalization with the Golgi, compared to those lacking REEP2 coating, and ectopic ZEB1

expression further raised the colocalization (Fig. 4F). These findings suggest that REEP2

recruitment to the ERES is necessary for their transportation to the Golgi.

REEP2-driven ERES/Golgi colocalization promotes secretory trafficking

To test how REEP2-driven ERES/Golgi colocalization influences protein trafficking, we used

the retention using selective hooks (RUSH) system [37] to quantitatively monitor trafficking kinetics

at different time points. Human mesenchymal LUAD cells (H1299) were transfected with a GFP-

tagged CD-MPR, a canonical cargo that localizes to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) [38], which binds

to streptavidin fusing with an ER retention signal (Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu; KDEL) via the streptavidin-

binding peptide (SBP) (Fig. S5A). Upon biotin treatment, the CD-MPR-RUSH is released from the

ER and trafficked to the Golgi (Fig. 5A, siCTL, S5A). REEP2 depletion drastically reduced the

trafficking of CD-MPR-RUSH to the Golgi at both 10 minutes and 30 minutes post-Biotin treatment

(Fig. 5A).

Next, to assess whether ERES/Golgi colocalization facilitates secretory trafficking, the ER

stress inducer, thapsigargin, was used to disperse SEC16A [34], and the temperature-sensitive

mutant vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV-G) assay was used to measure secretory trafficking (Fig.

S5B). Consistent with the previous study [34], thapsigargin disrupted ERES colocalization with the

Golgi (Fig. S5C). We observed that the reduced ERES/Golgi colocalization impacted secretory

trafficking in the mesenchymal human (H1299) LUAD cells (Fig. S5D). We found that ZEB1

promoted secretory trafficking in the epithelial human (HCC827) LUAD cells and that the depletion

of REEP2 abated the phenotype (Fig. 5B). These findings suggest that REEP2 coordinates

ERES/Golgi colocalization to promote secretory trafficking.

REEP2 regulates the secretion of pro-tumorigenic factors

Given that REEP2 promotes secretory trafficking, we hypothesized that REEP2 facilitates the

secretion of pro-metastatic factors in mesenchymal LUAD cells. To test this, we evaluated

whether REEP2-dependent secretions could modulate epithelial LUAD cell behaviors in a

paracrine manner. Conditioned medium (CM) from REEP2-replete HCC827_ZEB1 cells induced

a decrease in the G_0/G_1 population and a corresponding increase in S-phase of HCC827_Vec

cells (Fig. 6A), and enhanced the cell mobility (Fig. 6B), whereas CM from REEP2-deficient

HCC827_ZEB1 cells demonstrated no effects (Fig. 6A and B). These findings suggest that the

REEP2-driven secretome contributes to the metastatic properties of LUAD cells.

To explore REEP2-dependent secreted factors, we performed liquid chromatography-mass

spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis on CM collected from REEP2-replete HCC827_ZEB1 cells, and

their REEP2-depleted counterparts. We found that REEP2 depletion reduced secretion of factors

involved in the immunomodulatory and cell migratory pathways (Fig. S6A, blue and red dots)

(Dataset S1), defined by enrichment analysis (Fisher's exact test using Gene Ontology terms) of

the down-regulated secreted proteins (Fig. S6B), including autotaxin (ATX). We have recently

identified ATX as a predominant secretory factor in the EMT-driven secretion that promotes LUAD

cell motility in autocrine and paracrine mechanisms and mediates the immunosuppressive TME

[11]. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) on CM samples confirmed that REEP2

depletion reduces the secretion of ATX (Fig. S6C), whereas the intracellular ATX remains

unchanged (Fig. S6D).

Given that we identified numerous immunomodulatory proteins that were diminished by

REEP2 depletion (Fig. S6A, red dots), we speculate that REEP2-regulated secretome remodels

TME. We used TIMER2.0 [39-41] to analyze the immune infiltrates in human LUAD cataloged in

TCGA. We found that high REEP2 expression positively correlates with higher infiltration levels

of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and regulatory T cells (Tregs) in human LUAD (Fig.

6C and D). Therefore, we reasoned that REEP2 may create an immunosuppressive TME and

addressed this possibility by carrying out flow cytometry on flank tumors generated by REEP2-

replete or -deficient murine LUAD cells in syngeneic, immunocompetent mice. We found that

REEP2 depletion enhanced total CD8$^+$ T cells but reduced naïve CD8$^+$ T cells and MDSCs (Fig.

6E) in the TME, and suppressed tumor growth (Fig. 6F). These findings suggest that REEP2

promotes the secretion of pro-tumorigenic factors required for LUAD progression.

Discussion

Growing evidence shows that alterations in membrane trafficking frequently occur during

cancer progression and, in turn, promote metastasis [42], indicating significant therapeutic potential

for these pathways. Here, we show that EMT initiates a membrane trafficking program essential

for LUAD tumorigenesis under immunosurveillance and identify that ZEB1 coordinates REEP2

via miR-183/miR-193a to enhance ERES colocalization with the Golgi, thereby facilitating the

secretion of pro-metastatic factors that promote LUAD metastasis (Fig. 6G). This regulatory axis

discloses a potential therapeutic vulnerability in EMT-driven metastatic cancers.

The REEP family comprises six ER-localized membrane-shaping proteins that facilitate high

membrane curvature. REEP1-4 share structural motifs and are less abundant than REEP5 and

6, which are more broadly expressed [28]. Germline mutations in REEP1 and REEP2 cause

hereditary spastic paraplegia (HSP) and related motor neuropathies [43,44], underscoring their

physiological importance in ER shaping and neuronal maintenance. Additionally, REEP family

members have been implicated in tumor biology. REEP4 is upregulated in renal carcinoma, where

its expression aligns with tumor aggressiveness [45], and REEP6 expression correlates with poor

prognosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma [46]. Moreover, REEP5 and REEP6 have been shown

to potentiate CXCR1/IL-8 signaling and promote proliferation and metastasis in lung cancer cells

[47]. These findings suggest that REEP family proteins broadly contribute to tumor progression,

though their mechanisms and context-specific roles are still elusive. Here, we show for the first

time that REEP2 is a downstream effector of ZEB1-dependent transcription program that

activates ER-to-Golgi trafficking of secretory cargoes required for EMT-driven metastasis in LUAD.

A recent study demonstrated that REEP1-4 form a distinct ER-derived vesicular compartment

and regulate ER tubule dynamics [43,44], but their roles in membrane trafficking remain largely

unexplored. Here, we demonstrate that REEP2 is recruited to ERES, where it locates ERES on

the Golgi and, in turn, promotes secretory trafficking. Interestingly, although ectopic REEP2

expression is sufficient to drive ERES/Golgi colocalization in epithelial cells (Fig. 4E vs. Fig. 4C,

HCC827_Vec), REEP2 enhances the colocalization in a ZEB1-dependent manner (Fig. 4E).

Whether this occurs via direct interactions between REEP2 and its interactors, which are co-opted

by ZEB1, or indirectly through other altered membrane trafficking regulators in mesenchymal cells

warrants to be determined.

Here, we identified a set of REEP2-dependent secreted proteins. ATX is aberrantly secreted

by many human cancers to enhance cancer cell motility through lysophosphatidic acid receptors

(LPARs) [48-51]. Our recent study has shown that ATX is a key secretory effector within the EMT-

driven secretome, contributing to cell motility [11]. Furthermore, the ATX-CXCL1 axis recruits

MDSCs while inhibiting cytotoxic CD8^{+} T-cell infiltration [52] and interleukin (IL)-6, a crucial regulator

of MDSC accumulation and activation [53]. REEP2 depletion suppresses the secretion of these

factors. These findings are consistent with clinical data and our mouse model, however, it remains

to be determined whether the REEP2-driven secretory program relates to LUAD progression and

metastasis and how it correlates to EMT and the immune landscape of the TME in LUAD patients.

In summary, our findings reveal REEP2 as a previously unrecognized effector of EMT-driven

membrane trafficking program in LUAD, highlighting that REEP2-mediated ERES-Golgi coupling

underlies the EMT-driven hypersecretory state.

Methods

Animal husbandry

For CRISPRi *in vivo* screen, all mouse studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (Houston, Texas). Mice underwent standard care and were killed at predetermined time points or at first signs of morbidity according to the standards set forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. To generate subcutaneous tumors, we injected 344SQ cells (1.1×10^6 cells in 100 µL PBS) into the right flanks of syngeneic, immunocompetent 129-Elite (SOPF) mice (#476, Charles River Laboratories) (n = 6 per cohort). Tumor volumes were monitored weekly until tumors reached maximum size (~2 cm^3 total volume per mouse), and then the mice were sacrificed and the tumors were harvested, as previously described [54].

All other mouse studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Kentucky (Lexington, Kentucky). Mice underwent standard care and were killed at predetermined time points or at first signs of morbidity according to the standards set forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. To generate orthotopic lung tumors, we intrathoracically injected 344SQ cells (0.5×10^6 cells in 50 µL PBS) phosphate-buffered saline into the left lungs of syngeneic, immunocompetent 129-Elite (SOPF) mice (n = 10 per cohort) and carried out necropsies 14 d later to quantify primary tumor size and metastases to mediastinal lymph nodes and contralateral lung as we previously described [11]. To generate subcutaneous tumors, we injected 344SQ cells (0.5×10^6 cells in 100 µL PBS) into the right flanks of syngeneic, immunocompetent 129-Elite (SOPF) mice (n = 10 per cohort). Tumor volumes were measured weekly, and mice were sacrificed at 5 weeks post-injection, and necropsy was performed to quantify primary tumor weights and assess the presence of pleural surface lung metastases, as previously described [11].

CRISPRi screens

The CRISPRi library virus preparation and screen were performed as previously described [54]. In

brief, a library of gRNAs targeting 2,099 known or putatively membrane trafficking genes with 5

gRNAs per gene for a total of 11,040 gRNAs (#83992, Addgene) [27] in the pCRISPRia-v2 vector

(#84832, Addgene), and KRAB-dCas9-mCherry (#60954, Addgene), along with the psPax2

(Addgene cat# 12260) and pMD2.G (Addgene cat# 12259) lentiviral packaging vectors, were

transfected into 293T cells for virus production by The Functional Genomics Core (The University

of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas). CRISPR library virus was used to titer

on the murine mesenchymal LUAD 344SQ cells. The amount of virus that resulted in ~30% cell

positivity following cell sorting selection based on the BFP signal in the pCRISPRia-v2 vector was

scaled up for library infection to ensure low multiplicity of infection (0.3) and appropriate library

representation (500X for each sgRNA) after selection. Cells were infected in triplicate to generate

biological replicates by The Functional Genomics Core.

On the day of animal injection, an initial time point (T0) of infected cells was harvested to

determine a baseline of sgRNA abundance. For *in vivo* CRISPRi screens, three independent

infections with the CRISPR library composed of biological replicates in the screening experiments.

Each replicate was inoculated into the right flanks of 6 different 6-8-week-old 129-Elite (SOPF)

mice with 1.1 million cells per injection. The tumors were harvested when they reached ~2 cm^3

total volume. The T0 infected cells and tumors were digested and the genomic DNA was extracted

by The Biospecimen Extraction Facility (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center,

Houston, Texas). The next-generation sequencing of sgRNA and analysis of sgRNA abundance

were performed by VectorBuilder Inc. (Chicago, Illinois).

Reagents

We purchased fetal bovine serum (FBS), live-cell imaging solution, phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS), RPMI-1640, Trypsin-EDTA (0.25%), Alexa Fluor-tagged secondary antibodies,

paraformaldehyde, bovine serum albumin (BSA), DAPI and Triton X-100, High-Capacity cDNA

Reverse Transcription Kit, PowerUp™ SYBR™ Green Master Mix, and protease/phosphatase

inhibitor cocktail from Thermo Fisher Scientific; Doxycycline (#D9891) from MilliporeSigma;

Bronchial Epithelial Cell Growth Medium BulletKit from Lonza; jetPRIME transfection reagent from

Polyplus Transfection; Transwell and Matrigel-coated Boyden chambers from BD Biosciences;

Glass-bottom dishes and multiwell plates from MatTek; 10X Cell lysis buffer from Cell Signaling

Technologies; 2X cell lysis buffer (#1610737) from Bio-Rad; CCK-8 (#K1018) from APExBIO;

RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (#74136), and AllStars Negative Control siRNA (#1027281) from QIAGEN;

Pre-miR™ miRNA Precursor Negative Control (miR-NC) (#AM17110); human miR-183a mimics

(#PM12303) and human miR-193 mimics (#PM11786) from Thermo Fisher Scientific; human

REEP2 siRNA #2 (#J-021201-18), and #4 (J-021201-20) from Horizon; primary antibodies against

β-actin (#4967), ZEB1 (#3396) from Cell Signaling Technologies, REEP2 (#Ab191410) from

Abcam, SEC16A (#20025-1-AP) from Proteintech, VSV-G (#EB0012) from Kerafast; Alexa Fluor

555 Mouse anti-GM130 (#560066) from BD Biosciences; REEP2-GFP (#RC202507L4) from

Origene; SEC24D-mCherry (#32677), Golgi-pmTurquoise2 (#36205), mCherry-Golgi (#55052)

and CD-MPR-GFP-RUSH (#202797) from Addgene; 3'-UTR luciferase reporters of REEP2

(#38786081) from Applied Biological Materials; pCI-Neo Renilla luciferase reporter (Basic)

(#E1841) and pGL3 Basic Firefly luciferase control reporter (#E1751) from Promega; All-in-One

miRNA qRT-PCR Detection Kit 2.0 (#QP115) from GeneCopoeia.

Cell lines and culture

Murine LUAD cell lines were derived previously [26]. Human LUAD cell lines were from Jonathan

Kurie (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas). Human

HBEC30KT cell line was from John Minna (The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center,

Dallas, Texas). Murine and human LUAD cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS.

Human HBEC30KT cell line was cultured in Bronchial Epithelial Cell Growth Medium BulletKit.

Cells were maintained at 37°C in an incubator with a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO_2.

Cells were transfected with vectors using jetPRIME transfection reagent.

CRISPR/Cas9

CRISPR-Cas9-mediated murine REEP2 Knockout 344SQ cells were generated in the Cell-Based

Assay Screening Service Core Facility (Baylor College of Medicine) using the following guide

RNA sequences: 5′-aaagaugagccuagggaagc-3' and 5′-aaacagaugggaguagagug-3'.

Live and fixed cell imaging

Cells were seeded on type I collagen-coated cover glass (#1.5). Live cells were imaged in live-

cell imaging media within incubation chambers. For fixed-cell imaging, cells were fixed using 4%

paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min, and blocked with

5% BSA for 1h. Primary antibody incubation was performed in blocking buffer for 1 h overnight at

4°C, followed by Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies in blocking buffer for 1 h at room

temperature. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (#R37606, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and a

cover glass was mounted using ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant (#P36970, Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Each step was followed by washing 3 times with PBS, which was also used as the

solvent in all steps. For VSV-G assay, the cells were not permeabilized.

VSV-G assay

The VSV-G transport assay was performed as described previously [11]. In brief, cells were

transiently transfected with EGFP-VSV-G (ts045), transferred to the restrictive temperature of

40°C for 20 h, and then transferred to the permissive temperature of 32°C for 1 h in the presence

of 100 mg/ml cycloheximide, at which point the cells were fixed. In nonpermeabilized cells,

exofacial and total VSV-G were detected by staining with an anti–VSV-G antibody and by

measurement of EGFP signal intensity, respectively. VSV-G trafficking to the plasma membrane

was measured based on the ratio of exofacial (surface) VSV-G fluorescence signal to the EGFP

(total) signal intensity.

RUSH assay

The RUSH assay was performed as described previously [37,55]. In brief, cells were transiently

transfected with a CD-MPR reporter, which is fused to the streptavidin-binding peptide (SBP),

GFP-tag, and a second protein with a C-terminal ER retention signal (Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu; KDEL)

fusing with streptavidin as a hook (#202797, Addgene). mCherry-Golgi (#55052, Addgene) was

transiently co-transfected to label the Golgi compartment. BioLock (#2-0205-050, IBA Life

Sciences) was used to quench excessive biotin in the culture medium according to manufacturer's

instructions. Twenty hours after transfection, the release of the RUSH cargos was induced by

addition of 40 µM of D-biotin (#B4501, MilliporeSigma). Time-lapse acquisitions were done at 37

°C in a thermostat-controlled Nikon stage top incubator (Nikon Instruments).

Microscopy

Fixed and live-cell imaging were performed on Nikon CSU-W1 SoRa Confocal Microscope (Nikon

Instruments) equipped with 60X/1.4 NA Oil, 100X/1.45 NA Oil objectives; 405/488/561/647 nm

laser lines; GaAsP detectors, and Nikon stage top incubator. Images were acquired using NIS-

Elements software (Nikon instruments).

Image processing and quantitative analysis

For fixed cell imaging, the raw images were processed, and fluorescent intensity was analyzed in

Fiji/ImageJ (www.imagej.nih.gov). For live cell imaging, the raw images were processed/analyzed

in Imaris 10.0.1 (Bitplane software, Oxford instruments) with Spot features and MATLAB

XTensions.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells using RNeasy Plus Mini Kit and subjected to reverse

transcription and qPCR analysis as described [56]. mRNA levels were normalized based on

ribosomal protein L32 (RPL32) mRNA. MicroRNA levels were detected using the All-in-One

miRNA qRT-PCR Detection Kit 2.0 with a universal reverse primer (#QP115, GeneCopoeia)

according to the manufacturer's instructions and normalized to U6. Primer sequences are listed

in Table S3.

Luciferase reporter assays

For 3′-UTR activity assays, H1299 cells were co-transfected with luciferase reporters driven by

REEP2-3'-UTR sequences (100 ng) or pCI-Neo Renilla luciferase reporter (Basic) (100 ng), pGL3

Basic Firefly luciferase control reporter (100 ng), and microRNA mimics or control mimics (20 μM).

After 48 hours, firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured with the Dual-Luciferase

Reporter Assay System (Promega).

Mutagenesis

Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (#E0554S, NEB) was used to generate mutants according to

the manufacturer's instructions. The primer sequences utilized for mutagenesis are: 5'-

TCATTGGGTGGGGCTGAAAAAAACATGTTCCCACATTAAAA-3' and 5'-

CAGCCCCACCCAATGACCAGGAAAAGAG-3' for human REEP2 3'UTR MT1 (miR-183 binding

stie); 5′-AAAGAGGCCCCTCCTGAGGCTTCA-3′ and 5′-TTTAGCCCCTGCTCCACACTGTGC-3′

for human REEP2 3'UTR MT2 (miR-193a binding stie 1); 5′-

AAATAGGGCCCAGTCTGCTTGGTACAG-3′ and 5′-TTTTCTGGCAGAACCCAGCCTCTG-3′ for

human REEP2 3'UTR MT3 (miR-193a binding stie 2). All primers were purchased from Integrated

DNA Technologies.

Western blotting

Cells cultured in each well of a 6-well plate at 80% confluency were washed three times with PBS

and harvested/resuspended in 150–200 µl of 2× Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad). The cell lysate was

boiled for 10 min and loaded onto an SDS gel. After transferring to a nitrocellulose membrane

(Bio-Rad), membranes were blocked with 5% milk in TBST buffer and were probed with primary

antibodies diluted in 5% BSA in TBST buffer. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated

secondary antibodies were used according to the manufacturers' instructions. Quantitative

analysis was performed by using Fiji/ImageJ (NIH).

Cell proliferation assay

Cells were seeded on 96-well plates at a density of $2*10^3$ cells per well on day 0. Relative cell

density was measured by using the CCK-8 Counting Kit according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated with culture medium containing the CCK-8 solution for

2 h at 37 °C, and the absorbance was read at 450 nm.

Cell cycle assay

Cells were synchronized using culture medium containing 0.1% FBS for 24 h, then allowed to

grow in culture medium containing 10% FBS for 24 h. The cells were fixed with 70% ethanol at

4°C overnight, and were incubated with Propidium Iodide (PI)/RNase Staining Solution (#4087S,

Cell Signaling Technologies) for 30 min. After incubation, cell cycle was analyzed using a flow

cytometer (BD Symphony).

Cell apoptosis assay

Cells were harvested and then incubated with 7-AAD (#420404, BioLegend) and Annexin-V

(#640926, BioLegend) at room temperature for 10-20 min. After incubation, cell apoptosis was

analyzed using a flow cytometer (BD Symphony).

Cell migration and invasion assays in Boyden chambers

As described previously [11], $1*10^5$ cells were seeded in the upper wells of Transwell for migration

and Matrigel-coated Boyden chambers for invasion assays, respectively (BD Biosciences) and

allowed to migrate toward 10% FBS in the bottom wells. After 16 h of incubation, migrating or

invading cells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet, photographed, and counted. For conditioned

medium (CM) transfer experiments, CM samples were isolated, filtered through a 0.45-μm filter,

and applied to cells seeded in the upper wells.

Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC-MS)

The immunoprecipitation (IP) from agarose beads was then washed with ice-cold lysis buffer 5

times. The bound proteins on beads were eluted in 5% SDS. For mass spectrometry analysis,

samples were reduced, alkylated, and digested using trypsin on an S-trap as described [57]. The

resultant peptides were quantified and normalized within sets by the Quantitative Fluorometric

Peptide Assay. Samples were analyzed using a 90-minute gradient on our Orbitrap Eclipse. The

resulting Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) data was processed in Proteome

Discoverer 2.5 and SEQUEST using a human database. The minora node was used to perform

Label-Free Quantitation (LFQ) using the MS peak areas for each of the peptide-spectral matches.

The IP-MS was performed in triplicate.

Immune cell profiling

Mouse flank tumors generated by subcutaneous injection of $0.5*10^6$ parental 344SQ or

344SQ_REEP2_KO #25 or #44 cells into the flank of wild-type mice for 5 weeks were harvested.

The tumor tissues and mouse spleens were processed for isolating tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes

by The Flow Cytometry and Immune Monitoring Core Facility (University of Kentucky, Lexington,

Kentucky). Single-cell suspension was stained as described previously [11] with the following

antibodies: CD3-PE-594 (#100246, BioLegend), CD45-Pacific Blue (#103126, BioLegend), CD4-

APCCy7 (#100526, BioLegend), CD8-PE-Cy7 (#100721, BioLegend), CD278-PE (#117406,

BioLegend), CD25-BUV395 (#564022, BD Biosciences), PD1-BV-505 (#135220, BioLegend),

CD62L-FITC (#35-0621-U500, Thermo Fisher Scientific), CD44-BV-711 (#103057, BioLegend),

TIM3-APC (#134007, BioLegend), FoxP3-PerCP-Cy5.5 (#45-5773-82, Invitrogen), GR1-BV-711

(#106443, BioLegend), CD11b-BV-650 (#101239, BioLegend), CD11c-BV-785 (#117335,

BioLegend), F4/80-APC (#204801-U100, Tonbo), PDL1-PE-Dazzle-594 (#124323, BioLegend),

CD86-APC-Cy7 (#105030, BioLegend), MCHII-PE-Cy7 (#107629, BioLegend), CD80-BV-605

(#104729, BioLegend), CD68-PerCP-Cy5.5 (#137009, BioLegend), iNOS-PE (#125920-80,

Invitrogen), Arg1-FITC (IC5868F, R&D Systems), CD31-BV-786 (#740870, BD Biosciences), and

the live/dead cell marker Ghost Violet-BV-510 (#10-0870-T100, VWR). For intracellular staining,

cells were fixed and permeabilized using the intracellular staining perm wash buffer (BioLegend)

according to manufacturer instructions. Data were acquired using a flow cytometer (BD

Symphony) and analyzed using FlowJo software (version 10.10.0; BD Biosciences).

Analysis of Kaplan-Meier Survival Data

LUAD patient survival data were downloaded from the Kaplan-Meier plotter database [58]. Analysis

of LUAD patients was performed in REEP2 high- and low-expression cohorts. The *P* value was

calculated using the log-rank test [58].

TNMplot analysis

The REEP2 mRNA expression analysis in normal lung and different stages of lung tumor tissues

was performed as previously described [59].

Statistical analysis

Unless mentioned otherwise, the results shown are representative of replicated experiments and

are the means ± standard error of the means (SEM) from triplicate samples or randomly chosen

cells within a field. The comparison of mRNA levels with EMT scores and the correlation analysis

in human lung cancers were performed as previously described [60], and the EMT score was

calculated as previously described [60,61]. Statistical evaluations were carried out with Prism 9

(GraphPad Software, Inc.). Unpaired 2-tailed Student t-tests or ANOVA were used to compare

means for two or more groups, respectively, and P values < 0.05 were considered statistically

significant.

Data, materials, and software availability

All data are available in the main text or the supporting information.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) through R00 CA249048 (to G.X.), R03 CA280382 (to X.T.), R01 CA181184 (to J.M.K.), R01 CA2111125 (to J.M.K.), NIH Lung Cancer SPORE grant P50 CA70907 (to J.M.K.). We thank The Functional Genomics Core (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center) for CRISPRi library virus preparation and cell infection, and The Biospecimen Extraction Facility (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center) for genomic DNA extraction from CRISPRi in vivo screen. This research was supported by the Flow Cytometry and Immune Monitoring (FCIM) Shared Resource of the University of Kentucky Markey Cancer Center (P30CA177558). We thank the FCIM for tumor tissue processing for immune cell profiling.

Author contributions

K.F., O.O., and G.X. conceived, designed, executed, and interpreted all experiments. S.W. and X.T. assisted with mutagenesis for 3'-UTR reporter assays. X.L. and J.Y. assisted with mouse experiments for CRISPRi *in vivo* screen. J.M.K. supported and supervised the CRISPRi *in vivo* screen. J.X. assisted with CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome-editing cell line establishment. W.K.R. directed and interpreted mass spectrometry experiments. X.T. and G.X. supervised the project and contributed to the design and interpretation of all experiments.

Competing Interest Statement: J.M.K. has received consulting fees from Halozyme. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1   Tan, X., Xiao, G. Y., Banerjee, P., Wang, S. & Kurie, J. M. The cancer-associated secretory phenotype: a new frontier in targeted therapeutics. *J Clin Invest* **134**, doi:10.1172/JCI182652 (2024).

Ferreira, A., Castanheira, P., Escrevente, C., Barral, D. C. & Barona, T. Membrane trafficking alterations in breast cancer progression. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **12**, 1350097, doi:10.3389/fcell.2024.1350097 (2024).

Tejeda-Munoz, N., Mei, K. C., Sheladiya, P. & Monka, J. Targeting Membrane Trafficking as a Strategy for Cancer Treatment. *Vaccines (Basel)* **10**, doi:10.3390/vaccines10050790 (2022).

Huang, D. *et al.* Up-regulated ADP-Ribosylation factor 3 promotes breast cancer cell proliferation through the participation of FOXO1. *Exp Cell Res* **384**, 111624, doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2019.111624 (2019).

Makaraci, P. & Kim, K. trans-Golgi network-bound cargo traffic. *Eur J Cell Biol* **97**, 137-149, doi:10.1016/j.ejcb.2018.01.003 (2018).

Elkin, S. R., Lakoduk, A. M. & Schmid, S. L. Endocytic pathways and endosomal trafficking: a primer. *Wien Med Wochenschr* **166**, 196-204, doi:10.1007/s10354-016-0432-7 (2016).

Maxfield, F. R. & McGraw, T. E. Endocytic recycling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **5**, 121-132, doi:10.1038/nrm1315 (2004).

Di Martino, R., Sticco, L. & Luini, A. Regulation of cargo export and sorting at the trans-Golgi network. *FEBS Lett* **593**, 2306-2318, doi:10.1002/1873-3468.13572 (2019).

Mosesson, Y., Mills, G. B. & Yarden, Y. Derailed endocytosis: an emerging feature of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **8**, 835-850, doi:10.1038/nrc2521 (2008).

Lanzetti, L. & Di Fiore, P. P. Endocytosis and cancer: an 'insider' network with dangerous liaisons. *Traffic* **9**, 2011-2021, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00816.x (2008).

Xiao, G. Y. *et al.* EMT activates exocytotic Rabs to coordinate invasion and immunosuppression in lung cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2220276120, doi:10.1073/pnas.2220276120 (2023).

Tan, X. *et al.* EMT-activated secretory and endocytic vesicular trafficking programs underlie a vulnerability to PI4K2A antagonism in lung cancer. *J Clin Invest* **133**, doi:10.1172/JCI165863 (2023).

Tan, X. *et al.* p53 loss activates prometastatic secretory vesicle biogenesis in the Golgi. *Sci Adv* **7**, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abf4885 (2021).

Banerjee, P. *et al.* The EMT activator ZEB1 accelerates endosomal trafficking to establish a polarity axis in lung adenocarcinoma cells. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6354, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26677-y (2021).

Xiao, G. Y. & Schmid, S. L. FCHSD2 controls oncogenic ERK1/2 signaling outcome by regulating endocytic trafficking. *PLoS Biol* **18**, e3000778, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000778 (2020).

Lakoduk, A. M. *et al.* Mutant p53 amplifies a dynamin-1/APPL1 endosome feedback loop that regulates recycling and migration. *J Cell Biol* **218**, 1928-1942, doi:10.1083/jcb.201810183 (2019).

Xiao, G. Y., Mohanakrishnan, A. & Schmid, S. L. Role for ERK1/2-dependent activation of FCHSD2 in cancer cell-selective regulation of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, E9570-E9579, doi:10.1073/pnas.1810209115 (2018).

Schmid, S. L. Reciprocal regulation of signaling and endocytosis: Implications for the evolving cancer cell. *J Cell Biol* **216**, 2623-2632, doi:10.1083/jcb.201705017 (2017).

19   Chen, P. H. *et al.* Crosstalk between CLCb/Dyn1-Mediated Adaptive Clathrin-Mediated
Endocytosis and Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Signaling Increases Metastasis. *Dev*
Cell **40**, 278-288 e275, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2017.01.007 (2017).
20   Reis, C. R. *et al.* Crosstalk between Akt/GSK3beta signaling and dynamin-1 regulates
clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *EMBO J* **34**, 2132-2146, doi:10.15252/embj.201591518
(2015).
21   Liberali, P., Snijder, B. & Pelkmans, L. A hierarchical map of regulatory genetic
interactions in membrane trafficking. *Cell* **157**, 1473-1487, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.029
(2014).
22   Pelkmans, L. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of human kinases in clathrin- and caveolae/raft-
mediated endocytosis. *Nature* **436**, 78-86, doi:10.1038/nature03571 (2005).
23   Corallino, S., Malabarba, M. G., Zobel, M., Di Fiore, P. P. & Scita, G. Epithelial-to-
Mesenchymal Plasticity Harnesses Endocytic Circuitries. *Front Oncol* **5**, 45,
doi:10.3389/fonc.2015.00045 (2015).
24   Tan, X. *et al.* Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition drives a pro-metastatic Golgi
compaction process through scaffolding protein PAQR11. *J Clin Invest* **127**, 117-131,
doi:10.1172/JCI88736 (2017).
25   Ahn, Y. H. *et al.* ZEB1 drives prometastatic actin cytoskeletal remodeling by
downregulating miR-34a expression. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 3170-3183, doi:10.1172/JCI63608
(2012).
26   Gibbons, D. L. *et al.* Contextual extracellular cues promote tumor cell EMT and metastasis
by regulating miR-200 family expression. *Genes Dev* **23**, 2140-2151,
doi:10.1101/gad.1820209 (2009).
27   Horlbeck, M. A. *et al.* Compact and highly active next-generation libraries for CRISPR-
mediated gene repression and activation. *Elife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.19760 (2016).
28   Fan, S., Liu, H. & Li, L. The REEP family of proteins: Molecular targets and role in
pathophysiology. *Pharmacol Res* **185**, 106477, doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2022.106477 (2022).
29   Hurt, C. M. *et al.* REEP1 and REEP2 proteins are preferentially expressed in neuronal
and neuronal-like exocytotic tissues. *Brain Res* **1545**, 12-22,
doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2013.12.008 (2014).
30   Weigel, A. V. *et al.* ER-to-Golgi protein delivery through an interwoven, tubular network
extending from ER. *Cell* **184**, 2412-2429 e2416, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.035 (2021).
31   Szul, T. & Sztul, E. COPII and COPI traffic at the ER-Golgi interface. *Physiology*
(Bethesda) **26**, 348-364, doi:10.1152/physiol.00017.2011 (2011).
32   Robinson, C. M., Duggan, A. & Forrester, A. ER exit in physiology and disease. *Front Mol*
Biosci **11**, 1352970, doi:10.3389/fmolb.2024.1352970 (2024).
33   Sprangers, J. & Rabouille, C. SEC16 in COPII coat dynamics at ER exit sites. *Biochem*
Soc Trans **43**, 97-103, doi:10.1042/BST20140283 (2015).
34   Hughes, H. *et al.* Organisation of human ER-exit sites: requirements for the localisation of
Sec16 to transitional ER. *J Cell Sci* **122**, 2924-2934, doi:10.1242/jcs.044032 (2009).
35   Linstedt, A. D. *et al.* Binding relationships of membrane tethering components. The giantin
N terminus and the GM130 N terminus compete for binding to the p115 C terminus. *J Biol*
Chem **275**, 10196-10201, doi:10.1074/jbc.275.14.10196 (2000).
36   Shibata, Y. *et al.* The membrane curvature-inducing REEP1-4 proteins generate an ER-
derived vesicular compartment. *Nat Commun* **15**, 8655, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-52901-
6 (2024).
37   Boncompain, G. *et al.* Synchronization of secretory protein traffic in populations of cells.
Nat Methods **9**, 493-498, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1928 (2012).
38   Waguri, S. *et al.* Visualization of TGN to endosome trafficking through fluorescently
labeled MPR and AP-1 in living cells. *Mol Biol Cell* **14**, 142-155, doi:10.1091/mbc.e02-06-
0338 (2003).

39 Li, T. *et al.* TIMER2.0 for analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**,
W509-W514, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa407 (2020).
40 Li, T. *et al.* TIMER: A Web Server for Comprehensive Analysis of Tumor-Infiltrating
Immune Cells. *Cancer Res* **77**, e108-e110, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0307 (2017).
41 Li, B. *et al.* Comprehensive analyses of tumor immunity: implications for cancer
immunotherapy. *Genome Biol* **17**, 174, doi:10.1186/s13059-016-1028-7 (2016).
42 Evergren, E., Mills, I. G. & Kennedy, G. Adaptations of membrane trafficking in cancer and
tumorigenesis. *J Cell Sci* **137**, doi:10.1242/jcs.260943 (2024).
43 Zuchner, S. *et al.* Mutations in the novel mitochondrial protein REEP1 cause hereditary
spastic paraplegia type 31. *Am J Hum Genet* **79**, 365-369, doi:10.1086/505361 (2006).
44 Esteves, T. *et al.* Loss of association of REEP2 with membranes leads to hereditary
spastic paraplegia. *Am J Hum Genet* **94**, 268-277, doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.12.005 (2014).
45 Chen, Z., Jia, X. & Liu, M. REEP4 as Potential Biomarker Associated with Predictive
Prognosis and Immune Response in Kidney Clear Cell Carcinoma. *J Cancer* **15**, 4143-
4155, doi:10.7150/jca.96135 (2024).
46 Tseng, C. C. *et al.* The Clinical and Biological Effects of Receptor Expression-Enhancing
Protein 6 in Tongue Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Biomedicines* **11**,
doi:10.3390/biomedicines11051270 (2023).
47 Park, C. R. *et al.* The accessory proteins REEP5 and REEP6 refine CXCR1-mediated
cellular responses and lung cancer progression. *Sci Rep* **6**, 39041,
doi:10.1038/srep39041 (2016).
48 Liu, S., Murph, M., Panupinthu, N. & Mills, G. B. ATX-LPA receptor axis in inflammation
and cancer. *Cell Cycle* **8**, 3695-3701, doi:10.4161/cc.8.22.9937 (2009).
49 Aiello, S. & Casiraghi, F. Lysophosphatidic Acid: Promoter of Cancer Progression and of
Tumor Microenvironment Development. A Promising Target for Anticancer Therapies?
Cells **10**, doi:10.3390/cells10061390 (2021).
50 Kato, K. *et al.* Opposite roles of LPA1 and LPA3 on cell motile and invasive activities of
pancreatic cancer cells. *Tumour Biol* **33**, 1739-1744, doi:10.1007/s13277-012-0433-0
(2012).
51 Yu, S. *et al.* Lysophosphatidic acid receptors determine tumorigenicity and
aggressiveness of ovarian cancer cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **100**, 1630-1642,
doi:10.1093/jnci/djn378 (2008).
52 Pietrobono, S. *et al.* Autotaxin Secretion Is a Stromal Mechanism of Adaptive Resistance
to TGFbeta Inhibition in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res* **84**, 118-132,
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-23-0104 (2024).
53 Weber, R. *et al.* IL-6 as a major regulator of MDSC activity and possible target for cancer
immunotherapy. *Cell Immunol* **359**, 104254, doi:10.1016/j.cellimm.2020.104254 (2021).
54 Martin, T. D. *et al.* The adaptive immune system is a major driver of selection for tumor
suppressor gene inactivation. *Science* **373**, 1327-1335, doi:10.1126/science.abg5784
(2021).
55 Boncompain, G. & Perez, F. Synchronizing protein transport in the secretory pathway.
Curr Protoc Cell Biol **Chapter 15**, 15 19 11-15 19 16, doi:10.1002/0471143030.cb1519s57
(2012).
56 Tan, X. *et al.* The epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition activator ZEB1 initiates a
prometastatic competing endogenous RNA network. *J Clin Invest* **128**, 1267-1282,
doi:10.1172/JCI97225 (2018).
57 Mascibroda, L. G. *et al.* INTS13 variants causing a recessive developmental ciliopathy
disrupt assembly of the Integrator complex. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6054, doi:10.1038/s41467-
022-33547-8 (2022).

58   Gyorffy, B., Surowiak, P., Budczies, J. & Lanczky, A. Online survival analysis software to
assess the prognostic value of biomarkers using transcriptomic data in non-small-cell lung
cancer. *PLoS One* **8**, e82241, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082241 (2013).
59   Bartha, A. & Gyorffy, B. TNMplot.com: A Web Tool for the Comparison of Gene Expression
in Normal, Tumor and Metastatic Tissues. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**, doi:10.3390/ijms22052622
(2021).
60   Gibbons, D. L. & Creighton, C. J. Pan-cancer survey of epithelial-mesenchymal transition
markers across the Cancer Genome Atlas. *Dev Dyn* **247**, 555-564,
doi:10.1002/dvdy.24485 (2018).
61   Byers, L. A. *et al.* An epithelial-mesenchymal transition gene signature predicts resistance
to EGFR and PI3K inhibitors and identifies Axl as a therapeutic target for overcoming
EGFR inhibitor resistance. *Clin Cancer Res* **19**, 279-290, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-
12-1558 (2013).
690

692 **Figures**

Figure 1. Pro-tumorigenic membrane trafficking program for LUAD growth. (A) A CRISPRi

library targeting membrane trafficking genes was transduced into 344SQ murine LUAD cells.

Cells were transplanted subcutaneously on the flanks of WT syngeneic mice. Following tumor

formation, the tumors were harvested and sgRNAs were sequenced. (B) Volcano plots of the

analyses of the screening results in immunocompetent WT mice. Grey dots represent membrane

trafficking genes, and vertical and horizontal dotted lines indicate fold-change (log_2) = ±1 and FDR

($-log_{10}$) = 20, respectively. The red dots represent the top hits that fold-change (log_2) < -2 and

FDR ($-log_{10}$) > 20. (C) The bar graph represents the top hits in (B) (Red dots). (D) Heat map

illustration of correlation between mRNA levels of indicated genes and EMT scores (Byers or

Creighton) in the TCGA LUAD cohort. r values: Pearson correlation. (E) Kaplan-Meier survival

analysis of LUAD patients based on REEP2 mRNA levels above (high) or below (low) the median

value. (F) Expression levels of REEP2 mRNA in normal lung tissues (n = 391), stage I (n = 905),

stage II (n = 331), stage III (n = 146), and stage IV lung tumors (n = 17) using data from TNMplot.

(https://tnmplot.com/).

718
719

Fig. S1. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of LUAD patients based on the mRNA levels of

indicated genes above (high) or below (low) the median value.

Figure 2. REEP2 is critical for EMT-driven LUAD progression. (A) Syngeneic, immunocompetent mice were injected with parental or REEP2 KO 344SQ cells and necropsies were performed 2 weeks later to assess tumor formation and metastasis (n = 10 mice per cohort). Orthotopic lung tumor size (left dot plot) and numbers of metastases to mediastinum (middle dot plot) and contralateral lung (right dot plot). (B) *In vitro* cell proliferation assay in murine 344SQ parental and REEP2 knockout (KO) cells, and human H1299 cells transfected with control siRNA (siCTL) or REEP2 siRNA (siREEP2). (C, D) Analyses of cell cycle (C) and apoptosis (D) in murine 344SQ parental and REEP2 knockout (KO) cells, and human H1299 cells with siRNA-mediated REEP2 knockdown (siREEP2). (E, F) Bright-field micrographs of crystal violet-stained murine (344SQ) (E) and human (H1299) (F) cells that migrated through noncoated (migration) or Matrigel-coated (invasion) filters in Boyden chambers. The scatter plots represent the

768   quantification of replicates 16 h after seeding and normalized to parental. Results represent

769   means ± SEM. *P* values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure S2. Depletion of REEP2 in murine and human LUAD cells. (A) qPCR analysis of REEP2 mRNA expression levels in parental or REEP2 knockout (KO) 344SQ cell lines (n=6 replicates per condition). (B-D) WB analysis of REEP2 levels in human H1299 (B), HCC827 (C), and HBEC30KT (D) cells transfected with control siRNA (siCTL) or REEP2 siRNA (siREEP2). β-Actin loading control. M: mesenchymal. E: epithelial. (E, F) *In vitro* cell proliferation assay in human HCC827 (E) and HBEC30KT (F) cells transfected with control siRNA (siCTL) or REEP2 siRNA (siREEP2). Results represent means ± SEM. *P* values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure 3. ZEB1 activates REEP2 via miRNAs. (A) The correlation between REEP2 and ZEB1

mRNA expression (TPM (transcripts per million)) in TCGA LUAD tissues. R, Spearman correlation.

(B) qPCR analysis of REEP2 mRNA expression levels in murine (393P, 344SQ) and human

(HCC827, H1299) LUAD cells with ectopic ZEB1-expression or ZEB1-depletion (n=6 replicates

per condition). Vec: empty vector. E: epithelial. M: mesenchymal. (C) qPCR analysis of REEP2

mRNA expression levels in miR mimic-transfected human LUAD cells. NC: non-coding control.

M: mesenchymal. (D, E) Human REEP2 3'-UTR reporter assays. Luciferase activities in H1299

cells transiently co-transfected with pre-miRs of miR-183 (D) or miR-193a (E) and human REEP2

3'UTR reporters containing wild-type (WT) or mutant 3'-UTRs lacking predicted miR-binding sites

(MT1-3). Vec: empty vector. Results represent means ± SEM. *P* values were determined using

two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure S3. ZEB1 upregulates REEP2 through the regulation of miRNAs. (A) WB analysis of ZEB1 and REEP2 protein expression levels in murine (393P, 344SQ) and human (HCC827, H1299) LUAD cells with ectopic ZEB1-expression or ZEB1-depletion. β-actin loading control. Relative densitometric values are indicated. E: epithelial. M: mesenchymal. (B) Putative miRNA binding sites on REEP2 3'UTR predicted by TargetScan and used for mutagenesis (MT1-3) (C) qPCR analysis of ZEB1, miR-183, miR-193a, and REEP2 expression levels in human H322_iZEB1 cells with doxycycline (DOX) (1 μg/ml) treatment (n=6 replicates per condition). Results represent means ± SEM. *P* values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test. (D) WB analysis of REEP2 protein expression levels in the indicated cells transfected with miR-183 or miR-193a mimics or non-coding control (NC). M: mesenchymal.

Figure 4. ZEB1 coordinates REEP2 to promote ERES colocalization with the Golgi. (A)

Schematic illustration of a secretory pathway model. ZEB1 upregulates REEP2 to promote ERES

(SEC16, SEC24) transportation to the Golgi (GM130). (B) Confocal micrographs of cells co-

stained with anti-SEC16A (green) and anti-GM130 (red) antibodies. DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 5 µm.

The scatter plots quantify Golgi-localized SEC16A per cell (dot) based on % of total SEC16A that

co-localizes with Golgi (GM130 channel) (n = 15 cells per group). (C-E) Confocal micrographs of

cells co-transfected with SEC24D-mCherry (green) and Golgi- pmTurquoise2 (red). DAPI (blue).

Scale bar: 5 µm. The scatter plots quantify Golgi-localized SEC24D per cell (dot) based on % of

total SEC24D that co-localizes with Golgi (n = 15 cells per group) in HCC827 cells with ectopic

ZEB1-expression (C), and with REEP2 depletion (D) or REEP2-GFP transfection (E). (F)

Confocal micrographs of cells co-transfected with REEP2-GFP (green), SEC24D-mCherry (red)

and Golgi- pmTurquoise2 (blue). Scale bar: 5 µm, 1 µm (inset). The scatter plots quantify Golgi-

localized SEC24D per cell (dot) based on % of total SEC24D that co-localizes with Golgi (n = 15

cells per group) in HCC827 cells with REEP2-GFP transfection. Results represent means ± SEM.

P values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure S4. ZEB1 drives ERES colocalization with the Golgi via REEP2. (A-C) Confocal

micrographs of cells co-stained with anti-SEC16A (green) and anti-GM130 (red) antibodies. DAPI

(blue). Scale bar: 5 µm. The scatter plots quantify Golgi-localized SEC16A per cell (dot) based

on % of total SEC16A that co-localizes with Golgi (GM130 channel) (n = 15 cells per group) in

murine epithelial cells (393P) with ectopic ZEB1-expression (A), in murine mesenchymal cells

(344SQ) with ZEB1 depletion (B), or in human mesenchymal cells (H1299) with ZEB1 or REEP2

depletions (C). (D) WB analysis of REEP2 protein expression levels in HCC827_ZEB1 cells

transfected with control siRNA (siCTL) or REEP2 siRNA (siREEP2). β-actin loading control. (E)

WB analysis of REEP2 protein expression levels in HCC827 cells co-transfected with empty

vector (Vec) or ZEB1, with REEP2-GFP. β-actin loading control. (F) Confocal micrographs of cells

co-transfected with REEP2-GFP (green) and SEC24D-mCherry (red). Scale bar: 5 µm. The

scatter plots quantify SEC24D-localized REEP2 per cell (dot) based on % of total REEP2 that co-

localizes with SEC24D (n = 15 cells per group) in HCC827 cells with ectopic ZEB1-expression.

Results represent means ± SEM. *P* values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure 5. REEP2 promotes ER-to-Golgi trafficking. (A) Confocal micrographs of cells co-

transfected with CD-MPR-RUSH-GFP (green) and Golgi-pmTurquoise2 (red). Scale bar: 5 µm.

The scatter plots quantify Golgi-localized CD-MPR per cell (dot) based on % of total CD-MPR that

co-localizes with Golgi at different time points after biotin treatment (40 µM) (n = 15 cells per group)

in H1299 cells transfected with control siRNA (siCTL) or REEP2 siRNA (siREEP2). (B) Confocal

micrographs of EGFP-VSV-G-transfected cells taken 1 h after transfer to permissive temperature.

Scale bar, 5 µm. The scatter plot represents the ratio of surface VSV-G to EGFP-VSV-G in each

cell (dot) (n = 15 cells per group) in HCC827 cells co-transfected with empty vector (Vec) or ZEB1

with control siRNA (siCTL) or REEP2 siRNA (siREEP2). Results represent means ± SEM. *P*

values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure S5. ERES/Golgi colocalization promotes secretory trafficking. (A) Schematic

illustration of the retention using selective hooks (RUSH) system. The cells were co-transfected

with the reporter protein (CD-MPR), which is fused to the streptavidin-binding peptide (SBP) and

GFP, and a second protein with a C-terminal ER retention signal (Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu; KDEL) fusing

with streptavidin as a hook. The interaction of CD-MPR with the hook protein retains CD-MPR in

the ER compartment and biotin administration releases the CD-MPR-GFP reporter from the ER

and transfers it to the Golgi. (B) Schematic of VSV-G assay. The cells were transfected with

vectors that express an EGFP-tagged temperature-sensitive mutant VSV-G (EGFP-VSV-G

[ts045]) that is transported from the ER to the plasma membrane via the Golgi. At designated time

points after switching cells to temperatures that cause VSV-G accumulation (40°C) and release

(32°C) from the ER, cells were fixed and exofacial VSV-G was detected in non-permeabilized

cells by staining with anti-VSV-G monoclonal antibody. The VSV-G trafficking to the plasma

membrane is determined by the ratio of exofacial (surface) VSV-G fluorescence signal to the

EGFP signal intensity. (C) Confocal micrographs of cells co-stained with anti-SEC16A (green)

and anti-GM130 (red) antibodies. DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 5 µm. The scatter plots quantify Golgi-

localized SEC16A per cell (dot) based on % of total SEC16A that co-localizes with Golgi (GM130

channel) (n = 15 cells per group) in H1299 cells treated with vehicle control (Veh) or 5 µM

thapsigargin (Tg) for 1 h. (D) Confocal micrographs of EGFP-VSV-G-transfected cells taken 1 h

after transfer to permissive temperature. Scale bar, 5 µm. The scatter plot represents the ratio of

surface VSV-G to EGFP-VSV-G in each cell (dot) (n = 15 cells per group) in H1299 cells treated

with vehicle control (Veh) or 5 µM thapsigargin (Tg) for 1 h. Results represent means ± SEM. *P*

values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure 6. REEP2-driven secretome promotes LUAD progression. (A) Analyses of cell cycle

in HCC827 cells treated with CM from indicated cells. (B) Bright-field micrographs of crystal violet-

stained HCC827 cells that migrated through noncoated filters in Boyden chambers. Upper

chambers loaded with indicated CM samples. The scatter plots represent the quantification of

replicates 16 h after seeding and normalized to Vec. (C, D) Correlation of REEP2 mRNA levels

with infiltration levels of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (C) and regulatory T (Tregs)

cells (D) quantified by quanTIseq analysis in a human LUAD cohort (Data generated from

TIMER2.0). R, Spearman correlation. (E) Flow cytometric analysis of parental or REEP2-depleted

(REEP2 KO #25 or #44) 344SQ-generated flank tumors isolated from syngeneic,

immunocompetent mice (n = 10 tumors per cohort). Percentages of T cells (CD8$^+$ T cells,

CD44^{low}/CD62L^{high} naïve CD8$^+$ T cells, PD1$^+$/TIM3$^+$ exhausted CD8$^+$ T cells and CD25$^+$/FOXP3$^+$

CD4$^+$ Treg cells), MDSCs, and antigen-presenting cells (total macrophages, M1 macrophages,

and M2 macrophages) were quantified. (F) Flank tumor volume (left) and weight (right) in

syngeneic, immunocompetent mice (dots) injected with parental or REEP2-depleted (REEP2 KO

#25 or #44) 344SQ cells. (G) Schematic illustration of a working model. ZEB1 relieves REEP2

from miR-183- and miR-193a-mediated silencing, thereby locating ERES on the Golgi and

facilitating pro-metastatic secretion that recruits MDSC in the TME and promotes cancer

progression.

1000

1001

Figure S6. REEP2 activates a pro-metastatic secretion. (A) Volcano plot of proteins identified by LC/MS analysis of CM samples. *P* values (Y axis) and fold-change (X axis). Blue box indicates proteins with significantly reduced secretion (blue box, *P* < 0.05) in REEP2-depleted HCC827_ZEB1 cells (siREEP2). The blue and red dots label the factors that are associated with immune regulation. (B) Enrichment analysis (Fisher's exact test using Gene Ontology terms) of the down-regulated secreted proteins. (C) ELISA assay shows the ATX levels in CM samples (n = 4 replicates per group). *P* values were determined using two-tailed Student's t-test. (D) WB analysis of ATX and REEP2 levels in human HCC827_ZEB1 cells transfected with control siRNA (siCTL) or REEP2 siRNA (siREEP2#4). β-actin loading control.