1 Validation of deep learning enabled web based and

2 smartphone optimized application RadAnalyzer to

3 measure vertebral heart size and vertebral left atrial

4 size in dogs

Sonya Gordon[1*], Tomas Reyes[2], Tabitha Baibos-Reyes[2], K. Tess Sykes[1], Alice

Watson[3]

[1]College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Department of Small Animal

Clinical Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

[2]RadAnalyzer, USA

[3]Independent Academic, UK

*Corresponding Author

Email: sgordon@cvm.tamu.edu

17 Abstract

Background: Objective radiographic measures of heart size including vertebral heart

size (VHS) and vertebral left atrial size (VLAS) are associated with inter and intra-

observer variability when measured by humans. Artificial intelligence (AI) tools including

RadAnalyzer are available to measure VHS and VLAS.

Objectives: Compare VHS and VLAS measurements made by web based and

smartphone optimized deep learning enabled program, RadAnalyzer, to a trained

observer.

Animals: 1058 client-owned dogs, across 80 breeds with a variety of heart sizes and

thoracic confirmations.

Methods: Retrospective, single center, method comparison study. Pearson's correlation,

Bland-Altman plots and Passing-Bablok regression were used to assess agreement.

Results: RadAnalyzer measurements of VHS and VLAS correlated well with the human

observer's modified measurements (r=0.917 and r=0.873 respectively) and had small

mean biases (0.002 and 0.007 vertebrae respectively).

Conclusions and clinical importance: RadAnalyzer had clinically insignificant magnitude

differences in measurement of VHS and VLAS when compared to a human observer

and can therefore be used to assist veterinarians with measuring VHS and VLAS on

right lateral radiographs in dogs of all sizes. Future studies comparing AI derived

radiographic measures with echocardiographic measures of cardiac size are required.

Introduction

Although echocardiography is the gold standard for assessing heart size in dogs [1],

echocardiography is not always available. Where echocardiography is not available

objective radiographic heart size measurements may be used for staging and

monitoring canine cardiac disease [2, 3]. Vertebral heart size (VHS) and vertebral left

atrial size (VLAS) have been developed to objectively quantify heart size [4, 5]. Inter

and intra-observer variation has been demonstrated for VHS, with documented

differences of up to one vertebrae [6, 7] which may have significant effects on

sequential measurements on the same dog. Similarly, inter and intra observer variability

exists for VLAS [8], and may be associated with clinician experience [9]. Despite these

limitations, both VHS and VLAS can be helpful in the clinical setting, especially where

echocardiography is not feasible.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is being integrated into various aspects of veterinary medicine,

including radiographic and cytological interpretation, as well as predictive models of

disease [10, 11]. Within veterinary cardiology AI has been used to detect cardiomegaly

in a binary manner [15, 16], as well as to measure VHS [18, 19] and VLAS. A deep

learning enabled web based and smartphone optimized application, RadAnalyzer

(RadAnalyzer LLC, Austin, USA), was developed to measure VHS and VLAS on lateral

thoracic radiographs from dogs. RadAnalyzer is a geometrically informed ensemble of

algorithms which was trained on 801 and tested on 199 radiographs. The method used

to obtain VHS and VLAS measurements by RadAnalyzer uses an average vertebral

size, calculated by taking the mean length of vertebrae four to nine. This modified

method positively correlates with the traditional method described by Buchannon and

Büchler [5, 12, 13]. Measuring VHS and VLAS with AI has the potential to be a fast,

easy, and reliable tool for veterinarians but appropriate validation is essential.

This study aimed to compare the performance of a novel algorithm for measuring VHS

and VLAS in dogs, RadAnalyzer, to a trained observer for the measurement of VHS and

VLAS on a large dataset of canine right lateral radiographs.

69 Materials and Methods

This was a cross-sectional, retrospective, cohort study. Right lateral canine thoracic

radiographs obtained from a cohort of cases that were collated for another study were

measured by the RadAnalyzer application. The radiographs were originally reviewed

prior to inclusion and excluded if there was poor image quality, including over- and

under-exposure, or if the required VHS anatomical landmarks (carina, apex, heart base,

cranial margin of the heart, caudal vena cava, and the fourth and ninth vertebral body)

could not be visualized.

Manual measurements

A single trained observer measured modified VHS and VLAS (VHS-M and VLAS-M)

whereby a mean vertebral length is calculated by measuring from the cranial aspect of

T4 to the caudal aspect of T9 and dividing by 5. For VHS the height of the cardiac

silhouette was calculated by drawing a line from the center of the ventral aspect of the

carina to the ventral most aspect of the cardiac apex and the width of the cardiac

silhouette was measured from the ventral aspect of the caudal vena cava to the cranial

cardiac waist, perpendicular to the first line. For VLAS a line was drawn from the center

of the most ventral aspect of the carina to the most caudal aspect of the left atrium

where it intersects with the dorsal border of the caudal vena cava. The number of

vertebrae which contribute to the VHS and VLAS were calculated by dividing the sum of

the measurements by the mean vertebral length.

Artificial-Intelligence measurements

RadAnalyzer is a web based and smartphone application. Images of radiographs can

be uploaded to the application or photographs of a radiograph are taken within the

application which saves and measures the best image and displays the results within 15

seconds. The smartphone application is a unique aspect of this AI radiographic

measurement tool. RadAnalyzer assesses images in two stages, stage 1-rough

prediction where landmarks are identified and then stage two- a geometrically informed

method that fine tunes landmarks identification, images are rejected if prediction is poor.

In stage two RadAnalyzer identifies eleven landmarks (carina, cardiac apex, cranial

cardiac margin, ventral and dorsal borders of the caudal vena cava as it intersects with

the caudal aspect of the cardiac silhouette, the cranial aspect of vertebrae 3, 4 and 5

along and the caudal aspect of vertebrae 8, 9 and 10) for VHS and VLAS calculation

(VHS-AI and VLAS-AI).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using R version 4.5.1. Histograms and using Shapiro

Wilk tests were used to assess the distribution of continuous data. Correlation between

methods was assessed using Spearman correlation. Bland-Altman plots were

constructed using the *blandr* package and Passing-Bablok regression using the *mcr*

package used to assess agreement between methods. The 95th percentile of absolute

difference between VHS-M and VHS-AI were calculated and the 95% confidence

intervals computed using bootstrapping resampling with replacement using the *boot*

package, the number of bootstrap replicates was set to 10,000. The ground truth was

defined as the VHS-M and VLAS-M measurements made by a single, trained observer.

Results

Radiographs from 1058 dogs representing 106 breeds were included in this study with

the most common breeds including: Cavalier King Charles Spaniel (28.4%), Doberman

Pinscher (7.7%), Labrador Retriever (7.2%) and Boxer (6.3%) remaining breeds

contributed less than 5% each (S1 Table). There was an equal distribution of males

(51.0%) and females (49.0%) and the majority were sterilized (83.8%). Median age was

9.4 years (IQR, 6.7-11.2 years, range, 0.4-17.3 years), and median body weight was

11.6 kg (IQR, 7.5-30.4 kg, range, 1.6-90.0 kg). The range of VHS-M and VLAS-M

measured by a single trained observer were 8.7-15.5 and 1.0-5.0 vertebrae respectively

demonstrating that a wide range of cardiac sizes were included in the study.

VHS was measured on all images by the human and RadAnalyzer. It was not possible

for the human observer to measure VLAS in 4 cases and RadAnalyzer algorithm to

measure VLAS in 73 different cases, meaning a total of 981 cases had both manual and

AI measurements of VLAS.

Comparison of AI and human

1058 measurements of VHS-M and VHS-AI were available from right lateral

radiographic views. For VHS, Pearson's correlation was 0.917 (95% CI 0.907 to 0.926).

Passing-Bablok analysis (Fig 1A) yielded the equation VHS-AI=0.91(VHS-M)+0.97, with

95% CI of 0.89-0.93 for the slope and 0.73 to 1.21 for the intercept. Bland–Altman

analysis (Fig 1B) showed a bias of 0.002 (SE 0.013; 95% CI -0.024 to 0.029) between

VHS-M and VHS-AI. The 95th percentile absolute difference between VHS-M and VHS-

AI was 0.88 vertebrae (95% CI 0.83-0.97, Fig 2).

Fig 1: Comparison of VHS measurement by human and RadAnalyzer. Passing–

Bablok analysis (A) analysis yielded the equation VHS-AI=0.91(VHS-M)+0.98,

Pearson's linear correlation coefficient r=0.917, n=1058. Blue line: fitted regression line,

shading: 95% confidence interval, red dashed line: identity. Bland–Altman analysis (B)

demonstrated a mean bias of 0.002 vertebrae (95% CI -0.023 to 0.029) for the VHS-AI

method. Dashed lines give limits of agreement (±1.96 SD) and mean bias; shading

gives 95% confidence intervals with dotted lines at the limits. VHS indicates vertebral

heart size; VHS-AI, VHS measured by RadAnalyzer, VHS-M, VHS measured by a

human observer and SD, standard deviation.

Fig 2: Histogram of the difference between modified vertebral heart size (VHS)

determined by human observer (VHS.M) and Radanalyzer (VHS.AI). The red lines

indicate the 5th percentile and 95th percentile. The blue dashed lines represent the

2.5th percentile and the 97.5th percentile.

981 measurements of VLAS-M and VLAS-AI were available from right lateral

radiographic views and Pearson's correlation was 0.873 (95% CI 0.857 to 0.887).

Passing-Bablok analysis (Fig 3A) yielded the equation VLAS-AI=0.90(VLAS-M)+0.20,

with 95% CI of 0.88-0.93 for the slope and 0.13 to 0.25 for the intercept. Bland–Altman

analysis (Fig 3B) showed a bias of 0.007 (SE 0.007; 95% CI -0.004 to 0.025) between

VLAS-AI and VLAS-M. The 95th percentile absolute difference between VLAS-M and

VLAS-AI was 0.49 vertebrae (95% CI 0.44-0.51, Fig 4).

Fig 3: Comparison of VLAS measurement by human and RadAnalyzer. Passing–

Bablok analysis. (A) yielded the equation VLAS-AI=0.90(VLAS-M)+0.20, Pearson's

linear correlation coefficient r=0.873, n=981. Blue line: fitted regression line, shading:

95% confidence interval, red dashed line: identity. Bland–Altman analysis (B)

demonstrated a mean bias of 0.01 vertebrae (95% CI -0.003 to 0.03) for the VHS-AI

method. Dashed lines give limits of agreement (±1.96 SD) and mean bias; shading

gives 95% confidence intervals with dotted lines at the limits. VLAS indicates vertebral

left atrial size; VLAS-AI indicates VLAS measured by RadAnalyzer, VLAS-M, VLAS

measured by a human observer and SD, standard deviation.

Fig 4: Histogram of the difference between modified vertebral left atrial size

(VLAS) determined by human observer (VLAS.M) and Radanalyzer (VLAS.AI). The

red lines indicate the 5th percentile and 95th percentile. The blue dashed lines

represent the 2.5th percentile and the 97.5th percentile.

180 Discussion

Deep-learning enabled software, RadAnalyzer, correlated better with trained observer

for measuring VHS (r=0.917, 95% CI 0.907 to 0.926) than VLAS (r=0.873 (95% CI

0.857 to 0.887). Minimal bias was present for both VHS (0.002, 95% CI -0.024 to 0.029)

and VLAS (0.007, 95% CI -0.004 to 0.025). Differences between RadAnalyzer and a

single human observer for both VHS and VLAS were minimal and are unlikely to be of a

clinically relevant magnitude, suggesting that RadAnalyzer may be useful in clinical

practice. RadAnalyzer may reduce interobserver variability associated with VHS and

VLAS measurements.

The mean bias derived from Bland-Altman plots between AI and human for VHS and

VLAS in this study was 0.001 and 0.007 vertebrae respectively which are unlikely to

cause clinically significant differences in measurements. The mean bias for VHS is

lower than a previous study using different AI-algorithms to measure VHS where

measurements differed by 0.09 vertebrae to board-certified radiologists [18]. Both AI

algorithms give less variation than the 1.05 vertebrae that was observed between 16

human observers in another study [6]. RadAnalyzer can be deployed outside of a

picture archiving and communication system (PACS) system both on a computer and by

using the RadAnalyzer app on a smart phone to capture a picture of a radiograph from

any screen. VHS and VLAS are time-consuming methods for assessing cardiac and left

atrial sizes in dogs which are subject to interobserver variability, with more experienced

individuals typically performing better [6, 9, 14]. The goal of AI in veterinary medicine

should be to empower veterinarians, not replace them. AI can provide reliable

information to help veterinarians make informed decisions, but it should not be expected

to replace their expertise. However, AI can improve efficiency and precision and

therefore allow veterinarians' time to focus on more complex and high-value tasks, such

as diagnosing and treating patients.

One of the key challenges in AI is explainability. Explainable AI aims to provide

transparency into how the AI came up with an answer, but the truth is that many AI

systems, including deep learning frameworks, are still black boxes. Although this

algorithm can offer some understanding of its decisions for VHS and VLAS, deep

learning systems remain complex and difficult to interpret—a common challenge in AI

technology. In the RadAnalyzer system the landmarks required for a human to

measure VHS and VLAS using both the traditional and modified methods are shown on

the digital image, enabling veterinarians to check and confirm landmark selection and

the measurements generated by the AI algorithm and if judged to be incorrect the

annotated landmarks can be edited and the VHS/VLAS is immediately recalculated. A

benefit of RadAnalyzer is that it will not measure VHS or VLAS on radiographs

considered to be non-diagnostic, reducing overinterpretation of poor-quality radiographs

which may cause erroneous conclusions to be drawn and potentially affecting patient

care.

If echocardiography is unavailable, radiographic indices of heart size, including VHS

and VLAS, can be utilized for the diagnosis, staging and monitoring of canine cardiac

disease [2, 3, 15]. This study was conducted because echocardiography is not readily

available to many practicing veterinarians and there is variability between individuals

when measuring VHS and VLAS [6, 14]. Deep-learning technology eliminates inter-

observer and intra-observer variability associated with human observers [6, 14, 16].

Reliable measurements and monitoring cardiac size is important as the rate of increase

in VHS accelerates just before the onset of CHF in myxomatous mitral valve disease

and a rate of change above >0.08 vertebral units per month is associated with the onset

of CHF [17, 18]. Similarly, a rate of change of increase in VLAS above 0.02 per month

is associated with the onset of CHF in myxomatous mitral valve disease [19].

RadAnalyzer may therefore be particularly useful for repeated measurements and

longitudinal monitoring.

There are several limitations to this study. A single observer obtained the manual VHS

and VLAS measurements (VHS-M and VLAS-M), to reduce the impact of interobserver

variability [6], intraobserver variability was not evaluated. This observer was also

considered the ground truth, despite it being accepted that humans are inherently

variable [6, 12]. Future studies could compare agreement between radiographic

measurements from RadAnalyzer with echocardiographic measurements of cardiac size

as echocardiography is considered the most highly sensitive and specific method for the

diagnosis and staging of canine cardiac disease and for measurements of cardiac size.

This study also did not include patients with active heart failure, or other pulmonary

infiltrate patterns as pulmonary opacities may affect performance. The effect of

radiographic pulmonary infiltrates on the performance of the RadAnalyzer algorithm and

use of RadAnalyzer in different clinical settings and populations could be investigated in

future studies. Radanalyzer cannot distinguish between right and left lateral radiographs

and has not been validated on left lateral radiographs.

Conclusion

The commercially available web based and smartphone application, RadAnalyzer,

generates comparable measurements of VHS and VLAS to a trained veterinarian and

will reduce the impact of interobserver variability. Following AI annotation and

measurement, it is possible to view landmarks, enabling clinicians to confirm the validity

or adjust as appropriate of the annotated landmarks associated with the measurement

to avoid mistakes in clinical decision making. Further studies are needed to compare

agreement between measurements from this tool with echocardiographic variables,

which may further support the use of this tool in making clinical decisions for patients

with cardiac disease.

Acknowledgments

264   The authors thank the cardiology faculty, residents and technicians at the Texas A&M

265   University, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences for their assistance

266   with data collection and acknowledge the support of the Aggies Invent innovative design

267   competition.

References

[1] Keene BW, Atkins CE, Bonagura JD, Fox PR, Häggström J, Fuentes VL, et al.

ACVIM consensus guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of myxomatous mitral

valve disease in dogs. Journal of veterinary internal medicine. 2019;33(3):1127-40.

[2] Poad MH, Manzi TJ, Oyama MA, Gelzer AR. Utility of radiographic measurements to

predict echocardiographic left heart enlargement in dogs with preclinical myxomatous

mitral valve disease. J Vet Intern Med. 2020;34(5):1728-33.

[3] Stepien RL, Rak MB, Blume LM. Use of radiographic measurements to diagnose

stage B2 preclinical myxomatous mitral valve disease in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc.

2020;256(10):1129-36.

[4] Malcolm EL, Visser LC, Phillips KL, Johnson LR. Diagnostic value of vertebral left

atrial size as determined from thoracic radiographs for assessment of left atrial size in

dogs with myxomatous mitral valve disease. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2018;253(8):1038-

45.

[5] Buchanan JW, Bucheler J. Vertebral scale system to measure canine heart size in

radiographs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1995;206(2):194-9.

[6] Hansson K, Haggstrom J, Kvart C, Lord P. Interobserver variability of vertebral heart

size measurements in dogs with normal and enlarged hearts. Vet Radiol Ultrasound.

2005;46(2):122-30.

[7] Lamb CR, Tyler M, Boswood A, Skelly BJ, Cain M. Assessment of the value of the

vertebral heart scale in the radiographic diagnosis of cardiac disease in dogs. Vet Rec.

2000;146(24):687-90.

[8] Levicar C, Granados-Soler JL, Freise F, Raue JF, Nolte I, Bach JP. Comparison of

different radiographic scores with associated echocardiographic measurements and

prediction of heart enlargement in dogs with and without myxomatous mitral valve

disease. J Vet Cardiol. 2022;44:1-12.

[9] Bagardi M, Manfredi M, Zani DD, Brambilla PG, Locatelli C. Interobserver variability

of radiographic methods for the evaluation of left atrial size in dogs. Vet Radiol

Ultrasound. 2021;62(2):161-74.

[10] Akinsulie OC, Idris I, Aliyu VA, Shahzad S, Banwo OG, Ogunleye SC, et al. The

potential application of artificial intelligence in veterinary clinical practice and biomedical

research. Front Vet Sci. 2024;11:1347550.

[11] Wilshaw J, Rosenthal SL, Wess G, Dickson D, Bevilacqua L, Dutton E, et al.

Accuracy of history, physical examination, cardiac biomarkers, and biochemical

variables in identifying dogs with stage B2 degenerative mitral valve disease. J Vet

Intern Med. 2021;35(2):755-70.

[12] Sanchez X, Prandi D, Badiella L, Vazquez A, Llabres-Diaz F, Bussadori C, et al. A

new method of computing the vertebral heart scale by means of direct standardisation.

J Small Anim Pract. 2012;53(11):641-5.

[13] Sykes KT, Gordon S, Craig J, Vitt J, Rishniw M, editors. Accuracy of Deep

Learning-Enabled Software to Measure Vertebral Heart Size in Dogs with Myxomatous

Mitral Valve Disease. ECVIM-CA 2020; Online Congress: Journal of Veterinary Internal

Medicine.

[14] Levicar C, Nolte I, Granados-Soler JL, Freise F, Raue JF, Bach JP. Methods of

Radiographic Measurements of Heart and Left Atrial Size in Dogs with and without

Myxomatous Mitral Valve Disease: Intra- and Interobserver Agreement and

Practicability of Different Methods. Animals (Basel). 2022;12(19).

[15] Lord P, Hansson K, Kvart C, Haggstrom J. Rate of change of heart size before

congestive heart failure in dogs with mitral regurgitation. J Small Anim Pract.

2010;51(4):210-8.

[16] Vezzosi T, Puccinelli C, Tognetti R, Pelligra T, Citi S. Radiographic vertebral left

atrial size: A reference interval study in healthy adult dogs. Vet Radiol Ultrasound.

2020;61(5):507-11.

[17] Lord PF, Hansson K, Carnabuci C, Kvart C, Haggstrom J. Radiographic heart size

and its rate of increase as tests for onset of congestive heart failure in Cavalier King

Charles Spaniels with mitral valve regurgitation. J Vet Intern Med. 2011;25(6):1312-9.

[18] Boswood A, Gordon SG, Haggstrom J, Vanselow M, Wess G, Stepien RL, et al.

Temporal changes in clinical and radiographic variables in dogs with preclinical

myxomatous mitral valve disease: The EPIC study. J Vet Intern Med. 2020;34(3):1108-

18.

[19] Lee D, Yun T, Koo Y, Chae Y, Ku D, Chang D, et al. Change of Vertebral Left Atrial

Size in Dogs With Preclinical Myxomatous Mitral Valve Disease Prior to the Onset of

Congestive Heart Failure. J Vet Cardiol. 2022;42:23-33.

Supporting information captions

335 **S1 Table. Dog breeds.** Number and percentage of dogs of each breed included within

336 the study.

Fig1

Fig2

Fig3