The repertoire of resistance mutations selected by a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IV pilus-targeting lytic bacteriophage

Veronica N. Tran, Hanjeong Harvey, Tanisha S. Lahane, and Lori L. Burrows[*]

Department of Biochemistry and Biomedical Sciences and the Michael G. DeGroote Institute for Infection Disease Research, McMaster University

*For correspondence: lori.burrows@mcmaster.ca

ABSTRACT

P. aeruginosa type IV pili (T4P) are complex surface-exposed nanomachines used for twitching motility and biofilm formation. Exposure to bacteriophages that use T4P as their primary receptor can select for resistance mutations that reduce or abolish pilus expression. Since T4P are important virulence factors, such loss can affect pathogenicity and fitness, desirable outcomes for phage therapy. Here, we evaluated the repertoire of mutations in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 exposed to the pilus-specific lytic phage PO4 and their ability to revert after removal of phage. Resistant isolates ranged from hyperpiliated to bald, but none retained twitching motility. We focused on isolates that still expressed surface pili, including one with an internal 4-amino acid duplication in the essential prepilin peptidase/methyltransferase, PilD. This duplication, distal to the predicted active sites, delayed prepilin processing. Accumulation of unprocessed subunits suppressed expression of new prepilins via inhibition of PilSR two-component system activity, restricting availability of functional subunits and conferring phage resistance. Introduction of a PilS point mutation that makes cells insensitive to accumulation of unprocessed pilins restored motility and phage susceptibility. Of the mutants tested, only those with a duplication in *pilD* recovered wild-type motility following removal of phage pressure, likely through slip-strand mispairing as a codon-modified variant did not revert. This work shows that resistance to pilus-specific phages does not require loss of pilus expression, and certain mutations can allow bacteria to regain pilus function. Characterizing spontaeous mutations selected by phages can help to define the function and vulnerabilities of the type IV pilus system.

SIGNIFICANCE

As use of phages to treat antibiotic-resistant pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* increases*,* it is important to understand potential outcomes of phage exposure. Most therapeutic *P. aeruginosa* phages use lipopolysaccharides (LPS) or T4P as primary receptors. Studying the properties of strains resistant to T4P-targeting phages can guide the design of phage cocktails to mitigate treatment resistance. We show that depending on the mutation, some phage-resistant strains can revert to wild-type sequences, emphasizing the importance of combining diverse phages to supress resurgence. By characterizing mutations that confer resistance, we can better understand whether pilus structural or regulatory components are more likely to be lost. Using phages to select for loss of pilus function represents an unbiased approach to identify new mutations in pilus-related proteins, shedding light on understudied components. Building a database of such mutations will help guide strategies to target and disarm this key *P. aeruginosa* virulence factor.

INTRODUCTION

Type IV pili (T4P) are the most common type of prokaryotic surface adhesin and are involved in motility, surface sensing, and DNA uptake (1–3). These hair-like filaments allow for non-specific attachment of bacterial pathogens to surfaces and contribute to establishment of infection and dissemination of pathogens in plant and animal hosts (4–6). They also serve as receptors for select bacteriophages (phages) that bind to the pili to initiate their infection cycle (7–9). Here we investigated the effects of exposing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to a T4P-targeting phage, focusing on mechanisms of resistance and potential for reversion to wild-type phenotypes following removal of the phage.

P. aeruginosa is an opportunistic antibiotic-resistant pathogen considered by the World Health Organization to be a top priority for development of new therapies, and a model species for the study of T4P biology (3, 10). There are 3 major subfamilies of T4P: T4aP, T4bP, and T4cP (Tad), and most *P. aeruginosa* strains express T4aP (2, 11). T4aP are repeatedly and rapidly extended and retracted by a complex nanomachine that spans the entire cell envelope (**Figure 1**). Major pilins (PilA) are lollipop-shaped subunits that make up the length of the pilus, while the minor pilin complex, consisting of minor pilins FimU, PilVWX and PilE, plus the non-pilus adhesin PilY1, is located at the tip (3, 12). Both major and minor pilins are initially expressed as inner membrane-embedded prepilins, with a short, positively charged leader sequence that is cleaved on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane by the prepilin peptidase PilD, followed by methylation of the new N-terminus, also by PilD (13, 14). Maturation of pilins by removal of the leader sequence is essential to make them competent for assembly, while methylation is not (15, 16).

After formation of a minor pilin tip complex in the cytoplasmic membrane, mature pilins are added sequentially from inner membrane pools to the base of the complex, and the pilus is thus extended through the periplasm and out of the cell (3). Retraction reverses this process, disassembling the filament and returning the major pilins to the membrane to be used for subsequent rounds of extension (3, 17). Extension and retraction are powered by the extension ATPase PilB and retraction ATPases PilT and PilU, respectively, and coordinated by the platform protein PilC (18–22). The inner-membrane alignment subcomplex (PilMNOP) and the outer membrane secretin channel (PilQ) form a gated conduit that guides the growing pilus through the cell envelope (23–26).

Figure 1. Structural models of the type IV pilus machinery. The type IV pilus is assembled by a complex protein nanomachine (EMD-43426) composed of four subcomplexes. The outer membrane secretin (PilQ) is shown in purple, the alignment subcomplex (PilM, PilN, PilO, PilP) in red, inner membrane motor subcomplex (PilC, PilB [AlphaFold3], PilT [PDB: 3JVU], PilU [grey, AlphaFold3], PilD [AlphaFold3]) in yellow and orange. PilB and PilT both interact with PilC, but not simultaneously, as indicated by the alternating arrows.The pilus subcomplex (major pilin subunits PilA and minor pilin subcomplex PilY1, PilE, PilW, PilV, PilX, FimU [AlphaFold3]) are shown in blue. The PilS-PilR two component system (green, AlphaFold3) regulates *pilA* expression. Coloured subcomplexes and labels indicate components in which we identified mutations conferring phage resistance. AlphaFold3 model confidence information is available in **Supplementary Figure 1.** Models are not to scale.

Pilus extension and retraction facilitates a form of movement on surfaces called twitching motility (27), and twitching-deficient cells have reduced virulence, impaired ability to infect, and form abnormal biofilm structures (3, 28). Phages that use the pilus as a primary receptor take advantage of its retraction to gain access to the cell surface, where they may interact with secondary receptors and inject their genetic material (18, 29, 30). Such phages may bind to the

major, or more rarely, the minor pilins (9, 31) although the specific molecular details of
recognition and binding are only beginning to emerge (32).

Phages and bacteria are in an ongoing arms race, with each adapting as the other evolves
new means of escape. Bacterial resistance mechanisms act at all stages of phage infection,
including initial phage adsorption, which is thought to occur stochastically (33). Phage receptors
can be mutated, post-translationally modified, masked by polysaccharides, or their surface
chemistry or length altered to avoid phage infection (9, 34, 35). These changes can have
implications for bacterial fitness, virulence, and recognition by the immune system, as well as
the design of interventions. With antibiotic resistance on the rise, phage therapy is being revisited
for treatment of persistent infections (36). Therefore, it is important to understand how bacteria
might respond to and evade phage infection through surface modifications. In a clinical context,
T4P-targeting phages could be used to steer infecting strains towards reduced fitness and/or
increased susceptibility to treatment through loss of T4P function (37, 38). However, selection
for mutations that could easily revert (e.g. phase variants or sequence duplications) or that could
be bypassed by suppressors may allow for return to virulence once phage therapy is completed.

Here we examined the repertoire and potential reversibility of mutations conferring
resistance following exposure of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 to PO4, a T4P-targeting lytic phage (7).
Phage-resistant mutants (PRMs) were sequenced to identify relevant mutations, and the ability of
those mutations to confer cross-resistance to other phages tested. Unique resistance mutations in
multiple regulatory and structural genes were identified, including a novel duplication in the
essential prepilin peptidase PilD that led to protracted delays in cleavage of its prepilin
substrates. Since most of the mutations identified were point mutations, small
insertions/deletions (indels) or short sequence duplications, adaptation in the absence of phage
pressure was evaluated. This analysis provided a snapshot of the range and stability of phage-
selected T4aP mutations, important information for future design of phage cocktails.

RESULTS

T4aP-targeting phage PO4 selects for mutations in a variety of T4aP genes

Phage PO4 is a lytic phiKMV-like T4P-targeting phage that infects *P. aeruginosa* strain
PAO1 (7). We used PAO1 for these studies because it lacks a functional CRISPR system,
therefore it relies on resistance mechanisms that act at other steps of phage infection, such as
receptor recognition. Following co-culture of PAO1 with PO4, 128 PO4-resistant colonies of *P.*
aeruginosa were recovered. None of the phage-resistant mutants (PRMs) twitched in a standard
agar sub-surface assay (**Supplementary Figure S2**) suggesting that these strains had non-
functional T4aP (3, 27).

Whole genome sequencing followed by breseq analysis was used to identify relevant
mutations. Among the 128 PRMs, we identified 28 unique mutations spanning 16 different pilus-
related genes (**Figure 1 and Table 1**), suggesting that some mutants were clonal. Seventeen
mutations resulted in truncation of the gene product and predicted loss of the protein. The
remainder were small indels or single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) predicted to alter
protein function without disrupting expression. Most mutations affected only single genes, but
one PRM had a large 7559-base deletion that spanned *pilS-pilY1*, removing a region that includes
most of the minor pilin operon, plus the *pilSR* genes encoding a two-component system (TCS)
that regulates PilA expression (39). Mutations spanned all the T4P structural subcomplexes

(pilus, motor, alignment and secretin) plus PilS-PilR. Multiple non-clonal mutations in some
genes were identified, including *pilM* (4 different PRMs), 3 in *pilB*, 3 in *pilR*, and 2 in each of
pilA, *pilC*, *pilD*, and *pilQ*. There was no correlation between the size of the gene and the number
of unique mutations identified. For example, only one mutation in *pilY1* (3486 bp) was recovered
even though it is nearly double the length of *pilB,* in which multiple mutations were identified.
Intriguingly, in four of the PRMs we could identify no mutations, despite repeated sequencing,
pooling of the sequence data, and careful analysis of read depth (below). These strains remained
phage insensitive after passage, suggesting they are stably resistant descendants of the PAO1
parent strain.

While complete loss of a gene product may result in an expected phenotype, SNPs and
indels in the PRMs could generate informative intermediate phenotypes. Therefore, the levels of
intracellular and extracellular pilins were assessed to test whether the mutations we identified
resulted in loss of pilin expression and/or pilus assembly. Most PO4 PRMs expressed the major
pilin subunit PilA at wild-type levels in whole cells, but failed to produce extracellular pili
(Supplementary Figure S2). These data indicated that phage resistance in these PRMs results
from impaired function of the pilus assembly machinery (**Table 1, Supplementary Figure S2**).

We identified three PRMs with recoverable extracellular pili, despite their lack of motility
(Figure 2). One of these had a 4-base deletion (residues 568-571) in *pilT* encoding the retraction
ATPase that caused a frameshift leading to a premature stop codon. *pilT* mutants produce
extracellular pili, but PilT-mediated pilus retraction is required for PO4 susceptibility. Thus, loss
of this protein is consistent with previously reported phage susceptibility patterns (18). The other
two mutations were in the extension ATPase PilB and the prepilin peptidase/methyltransferase
PilD. Since mutations in those proteins have not been reported to confer phage resistance while
maintaining pilus expression, we examined them more closely.

Figure 2. Phage-resistant mutants with lesions in PilB, PilD, or PilT still had recoverable surface
pili. Sheared surface protein samples separated on 15% Coomassie-stained SDS-PA gel (top) and Western
immunoblot using α-PilA antisera (bottom) of sheared surface protein samples show pilins can be
recovered in these phage resistant mutants. Blot is representative of three independent experiments.

PilB D388A confers phage resistance without loss of pilus extension

The assembly ATPase PilB is a member of the Additional Strand Catalytic 'E'
superfamily (40). Its Walker B motif (residues D390-E396) coordinates a Mg^{2+} required for ATP
hydrolysis (40–42), and this activity is essential for pilus assembly. We identified a PilB D388A

mutation adjacent to the Walker B motif. Western blotting of whole cell lysates with a polyclonal
PilB antibody showed that PilB D388A was expressed at levels similar to wild type (**Figure 3A**)
suggesting the mutation does not affect protein stability, even though the mutant had fewer
recoverable surface pili than wild type (**Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S1**). To test whether
PilB D388A confers resistance to other T4P-targeting phages, the mutant was challenged with
broad host range temperate phage DMS3 (43) and JBD68, which uses a minor pilin as its
receptor (44) (**Figure 3B**). The PRM was resistant to both those T4P phages.

Figure 3. PilB D388A is stable and confers resistance to multiple T4P-targeting phages. A) Western
immunoblot of whole cell lysates using α-PilB antisera showed that PilB D388A levels are comparable to
wild-type PilB. Blot is representative of three independent experiments. **B)** PilB D338A is resistant to
three different T4P-targeting phages. Serially diluted phages PO4, DMS3, and JBD68 were combined
with standardized bacteria liquid cultures were spotted on 0.6% LB agar. Phage titres (PFU) are indicated
to the left. **C)** Overexpression of *pilB in trans* in PilB D388A rescues phage susceptibility and twitching
motility. Complementation of PAO1 or a *pilB::Tn5* mutant with PilB D388A abolishes twitching motility
and phage susceptibility. Samples were induced using 0.2% L-arabinose. Scale bar indicates 1 cm. EV=
empy vector, pB= pBADGr. Images are representative of three independent experiments.

Since PilB functions as a homohexamer (40), we tested for possible dominant-negative
effects of expressing the mutant allele *in trans*. When wild-type PilB was expressed from a
plasmid in PilB D388A, phage susceptibility and twitching motility were recovered, although
motility was approximately 75% of wild-type (**Figure 3C**). In the reciprocal experiment,
expression of PilB D388A from a multicopy plasmid in the wild type abolished twitching
motility and phage susceptibility (**Figure 3C**). Similarly, complementing PilB-deficient strains
with PilB D388A failed to restore twitching motility or phage susceptibility (**Figure 3C**),
providing further evidence that this mutation impairs pilus function.

A partial PilD duplication delays pilin maturation to confer phage resistance

The third PRM with recoverable surface pili had a 12-base duplication in *pilD* (PilD[12];
Table 1, Figure 2). PilD is a membrane-embedded bifunctional prepilin peptidase that cleaves
the type III signal sequence of both T4P pilins and type II secretion system (T2SS) endopilins,
and methylates the first residue of the mature (endo)pilins (14, 45–47). The duplication added
four residues (duplication of F184-V187) to a helix that is distal to the predicted peptidase and
methyltransferase active sites (**Figure 4A**). PRMs with this mutation had few recoverable
extracellular pili that were not visible on SDS-PAGE, although they could be detected on
Western blots (**Figure 2**), and did not twitch (**Supplementary Figure S2**).

To more easily monitor the impact of this mutation on PilD peptidase function, we
replaced the native 6-residue signal sequence of PilA with the 18-residue signal sequence of
HxcT, the major endopilin of the Hxc T2SS in *P. aeruginosa* and also a substrate of PilD (48),
creating a chimeric pilin, PilA[chimera] (**Figure 4B**). Replacement with a longer signal sequence
allowed for better resolution of the change in mass of the chimera following cleavage compared
to wild-type PilA. Whole cell Western blot of chimeric pilins in PilD[12] at 6- and 8- hours post
subculture revealed a mixture of processed and unprocessed subunits, while the wild-type control
had only processed subunits (**Figure 4C**). By 16-hours post subculture, all pilins were processed
(**Figure 4C**). These data suggested that PilD[12] cleaves prepilins less efficiently than its wild-type
counterpart. Since PilD also processes the endopilins of the T2SS, we assessed protease secretion
of PilD[12]. The mutants had no visible zone of clearing on skim milk plates even after 24 hours of
incubation, suggesting impaired T2SS activity and supporting the hypothesis that PilD function
was impaired (**Supplementary Figure S5**).

Figure 4. PilD[12] mutants have reduced T4P expression and function. A) AlphaFold3 PilD[12] structural model (confidence data available in **Supplementary Figure S3**), with the 4-amino acid insertion highlighted in yellow. Key peptidase active site residues (D149, D217) are shown in blue, predicted methyltransferase residues (C72, C75, C97, C100) in purple (UCSF Chimera X). **B)** Models of PilA and the endopilin HxcT, with the leader sequences highlighted in red. Structures were predicted using AlphaFold3 **(**confidence data in **Supplementary Figure S2)** and modeled using UCSF Chimera X. The lengths and molecular weight of the leader sequences are indicated. The leader sequence of PilA was replaced with the HxcT leader sequence to create PilA[chimera]. **C)** In *pilD[12]*, intracellular pilins are a mixed population of cleaved and uncleaved at 6- and 8- hr post subculture, while only cleaved pilins were detected in wild type. Pilins at 16 hr were mostly cleaved. Samples were induced using 0.2% L-arabinose and separated on 16% Tris-Tricine gels followed by Western immunoblot analysis using α-PilA antisera.

Blot is representative of three independent experiments. **D)** *pilD*[12] has fewer recoverable surface pili than wild type, but introduction of the feedback-insensitive PilS N323A allele increases recoverable surface pili. Sheared surface protein samples were separated on 15% Coomassie-stained SDS-PA gel (top). Western immunoblot using α-PilA antisera of cell lysates (bottom) shows the levels of intracellular pilins relative to controls. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Twitching motility of PilD[12] and mutants correlate with the amount of recoverable pili. Scale bar indicates 1cm. IN=intracellular, EX= extracellular, EV= empty vector, pB= pBADGr.

Unprocessed pilins cannot be assembled, so they accumulate in the cytoplasmic membrane where they act as regulatory ligands to suppress the further expression of PilA via their interaction with the sensor kinase, PilS (39). Thus, the PilD[12] mutation has the potential to reduce pilin availability in two ways: slowing pilin maturation and inhibiting expression of new prepilins due to the resulting backlog of unprocessed subunits. To test if this potential bottleneck could be alleviated by increasing the amount of prepilins produced, we introduced a PilS N323A point mutation into the *pilD*[12] background. PilS N323A lacks the ability to dephosphorylate the response regulator PilR when PilA accumulates, allowing *pilA* expression to continue (39). PilS N323A/*pilD*[12] had fewer recoverable extracellular pilins and less twitching motility than WT **(Figure 4D)**, but more surface pili than the control strain, retraction-deficient PAO1 *pilT::Tn5/pilD*[12] **(Figure 4D)**. In *pilT* backgrounds, surface piliation is maximal since any pili that can be assembled are trapped on the surface due to loss of the retraction ATPase. Low surface pili levels in PAO1 *pilT::Tn5/pilD* suggests that there is a pilus assembly defect in PilD[12] strains that can be partially rescued through PilR hyperactivity.

Because introduction of PilS N323A restored twitching motility, we next evaluated if phage susceptibility was also rescued. PilS N323A is predicted to increase pilin production, so as an additional control we tried expressing *pilA* from an arabinose-inducible promoter in the *pilD*[12] background. PO4 susceptibility was assessed in a co-culture assay, where phages and bacteria were mixed and spotted onto agar. PilS N323A/*pilD*[12] was susceptible to PO4 killing, but unexpectedly, *pilA* overexpression *in trans* in *pilD*[12] did not restore PO4 susceptibility **(Figure 5A)**. Similarly, in a standard plaque assay, PilS N323A/*pilD*[12] was susceptible to PO4 and two other T4P-targeting phages while PilD[12] + pBADGR-*pilA* was resistant **(Figure 5B)**. Interestingly, a faint plaque was observed for both PilD[12] and PilD[12] + pBADGR-*pilA* strains at the highest DMS3 titre tested, showing that both strains may be modestly susceptible to that phage **(Figure 5B)**. Introduction of PilS N323A or overexpression of *pilA* from an arabinose-inducible promoter increased twitching motility in the *pilD*[12] background, although not to wild type levels **(Figure 5C)**. These data suggest that the lack of twitching motility and decreased surface piliation in PilD[12] is due to a combination of decreased pilin processing and negative feedback from accumulation of unprocessed pilins in the inner membrane. Both overexpression of *pilA* and PilR hyperactivity increased prepilin production and restored twitching motility in PilD[12] but for reasons that are not yet clear, these strategies had different effects on phage susceptibility.

Figure 5. PilS N323A restores both twitching motility and phage susceptibility in PilD^{12} strains
while overexpression of *pilA in trans* restores only motility. A) PilD^{12}/PilS N323A is susceptible to
PO4 while PilD^{12} + pBADGR- *pilA* is resistant in a liquid co-culture phage killing assay **B)** PilD^{12}/PilS
N323A is susceptible to 2 other T4P targeting phages while PilD^{12}+ pBADGR- *pilA* is partly
susceptible only to DMS3. D3 is a LPS-specific phage control. Phage titres (PFU) are indicated below
each set of plaque assays. **C)** Twitching motility, but not PO4 susceptibility, was recovered by
overexpression of *pilA in trans* in PAO1 *pilD^{12}*. Samples were induced using 0.2% L-arabinose. Scale bar
indicates 1 cm. Images are representative of three independent experiments. pB= pBADGr.

Figure 6. A two- or three-residue insertion at PilD V187 disrupts pilin processing. A) Schematic of PilD mutants. Lighter coloured residues are additions relative to wild-type residues. **B)** PilD³ twitches while other strains do not. Twitching zone measurements are an average of three independent experiments containing three replicates each. Twitching images are representative of three independent experiments. Scalebar represents 1cm. ** p=0.008, ****p<0.001. **C)** PilD⁶ and PilD⁹ peptidase activity is impaired as intracellular pilins were uncleaved at all time points, while PilD³ is functional. Samples were loaded on 17% Tris-Tricine gels followed by Western immunoblot analysis using α-PilA antisera. Flagellin levels

were used as loading control. Blot is representative of three independent experiments. Samples were
induced using 0.2% L-arabinose. **D)** PilD[3] is susceptible to T4P-targeting phages while PilD[6] and PilD[9]
are resistant. Bacteriophage plaque assays were performed using serially diluted stocks of phages PO4,
DMS3, and JBD68. Titres (PFU) are indicated to the left. Image is representative of three independent
experiments. **E)** *pilD[3]* spotted on a skim-milk agar plate had a visible zone of clearance, indicating
protease secretion. No zone is visible in *pilD[6]* and *pilD[9]* suggesting impaired T2SS function. Scale bar
represents 1cm. Samples are representative of three independent experiments.

To determine if changing the size of the PilD insertion impacted function, we created three
additional mutants with one, two, or three amino acids inserted (PilD[3], PilD[6], and PilD[9]) (**Figure**
6A). PilD[3] twitched ~85% (p=0.008) of WT, while PilD[6] and PilD[9] lacked twitching motility
(**Figure 6B**). Intracellular pilins from PilD[3] were processed, while those from PilD[6] and PilD[9]
were unprocessed at all timepoints (**Figure 6C**), consistent with their non-twitching phenotypes.
PilD[3] phage susceptibility was comparable to that of wild-type PAO1, while PilD[6] and PilD[9] were
resistant to all three phages tested **(Figure 6D).** T2SS activity was maintained in PilD[3] but not
PilD[6] and PilD[9] (**Figure 6E**). Therefore, only one or four residues can be inserted at V187 in
PilD while maintaining at least partial function.

Only mutants with sequence duplications readily regain pilus function

For clinical applications, it is important that phage-resistant strains do not regain
pathogenicity after treatment has ended, as this could contribute to recurrence of infection.
Therefore, we evaluated if the PRMs recovered here could regain twitching motility, a key
virulence trait, in the absence of phage pressure. Four representatives of the types of mutations
that were commonly recovered in our screen were randomly selected for analysis. These
included *pilT* Δ568-571 (deletion), *pilQ* C1282T (nonsense mutation), *pilB* A832C (point
mutation), and PilD[12] (duplication). Each of the mutants were first tagged with a gentamicin
resistance gene as described in the Methods to ensure that any twitching colonies recovered were
descendants of the relevant strains. Ten colonies of each mutant were stab-inoculated into
standard 1% LB twitching agar plates. After a week of incubation at room temperature, only
PilD[12] colonies yielded twitching revertants (**Figure 7A**). When repeated with larger numbers,
almost half of PilD[12] colonies (45%, n=100) regained twitching motility. Since second-site
suppressor mutations are more likely to occur in bacteria versus reversion to wild-type sequences
(49), the *pilD* gene was sequenced. All sequenced twitching colonies had wild-type *pilD*
sequences. As a control, we generated a PilD[12] variant (PilD[12Var]) with the same 4 amino acids
inserted but using different codons (**Figure 7B**). None of the PilD[12Var] colonies tested regained
twitching motility following a similar week-long incubation (**Figure 7C).** These data suggest that
reversion in *pilD[12]* was likely facilitated by slipped strand mispairing that resolved the original
duplication event (50).

Figure 7. Only strains with sequence duplications readily regain motility. A) Randomly selected
PRMs representative of each form of mutation isolated in our initial screen underwent a seven-day
twitching assay, and only PilD[12] regained motility. **B)** Schematic of PilD [12VAR] mutant. Lighter residues
are additions relative to wild-type residues (in dark). Bases are indicated above and below amino acids
with altered bases underlined in orange. **C)** PilD[12] and PilD[12VAR] underwent a seven-day twitching assay.
Images are representative of three independent experiments. Scale bar represents 1 cm.

A subset of resistant non-twitching strains had no identifiable mutations

Of the 128 individual PRM colonies selected, eight initially had no detectable genomic
variants supported by our defined cutoff of >50 reads, which is considered sufficient for
mutation identification (51, 52). Since they were not 'mutants' in the traditional sense, we called
these isolates 'resistant and non-twitching strains' (RANTS). In phenotypic analyses, three
RANTS expressed no pilins, three had no recoverable surface pili but produced pilins
intracellularly, and two expressed surface pili (**Supplementary Figure S2)**. These data suggested
that the RANTS were not clonal. All RANTS were resistant to the other T4aP-targeting phages,
DMS3 and JBD68 (**Figure 8A).**

To rule out technical errors, three randomly selected RANTS were re-sequenced and
analyzed, plus those second-round sequences were concatenated with the first-round sequences
to increase the read depth. Despite this increased sequence coverage, we still could identify no
mutations above our cutoffs. While breseq uses a conservative, binary approach to identify
mutations such as SNPs and indels, it completes a secondary analysis that considers intermediate
mutations, those that do not pass the binary cutoff but where the sequence varies from the
reference, to account for mixed genomic populations or potential sequencing issues (53–55).
Mutations identified using this secondary form of analysis are classified as "marginal" mutations.
Using this secondary analysis, relevant mutations could be mapped to four of the eight RANTS
(Table 2).

Figure 8. RANTS are resistant to other T4P-targeting phages and phenotypes are stable following passage in phage-free media. A) All RANTS are also resistant to T4P-target phages DMS3 and JBD68. Bacteriophage plaque assays using serially diluted stocks of phages PO4, DMS3, and JBD68. Titres (PFU) are indicated to the left. **B)** Following 14-day passaging in phage free nutrient-rich media, passaged RANTs (denoted with "pass") maintained PO4 resistance and **C)** lack of twitching motility. **D)** Overexpression of *pilA in trans* in RANT 1 failed to restore twitching motility, suggesting that it has additional defects besides lack of pilin expression. Scale bar represents 1cm. **E)** Western immunoblot analysis of *pilA* expression using α-PilA antisera was used to confirm *in trans* expression**.** Samples were induced using 0.2% L-arabinose. Samples are representative of three independent experiments.

      To assess the stability of resistance in the remaining RANTs, they were passaged daily in phage-free LB media. After 14 days of passage, all remained PO4-resistant and non-twitching (**Figure 8B, 8C)**, suggesting that the phenotypes are stable in the absence of phage pressure. As a final confirmation of sequencing quality, *pilA, pilS,* and *pilR* were sequenced in the RANTs that did not produce pilins, as these genes contribute to PilA production. All had wild-type sequences, confirming that mutations in those genes were not responsible for phage resistance. Finally, we

363 tested whether the resistant phenotype of RANT1, which did not produce detectable pilins, could
364 be rescued by *pilA* expressed *in trans*. Neither pilus function nor phage susceptibility was
365 restored in the RANT1 background (**Figure 8D**) even though pilins were successfully expressed
366 from the plasmid (**Figure 8E**), suggesting that both pilin expression and pilus assembly were
367 perturbed in that strain.

DISCUSSION

LPS and T4P are the most common phage receptors for *P. aeruginosa* (56–58), and most
therapeutic cocktails include phages that recognize those surface structures. Here, we evaluated
the repertoire and stability of mutations conferring resistance to a lytic T4P-targeting phage,
PO4. This phiKZ-like phage has been used as a reporter of *P. aeruginosa* pilus function for
decades and was originally used to identify putative genes involved in T4P biogenesis (18, 25,
59). Although initially reported to be specific for the PAK strain (7), our stock can infect PAO1
as well as PAK. We found mutations conferring PO4 resistance in genes encoding most structural
components of the T4P, plus the PilSR TCS, and all resulted in loss of twitching motility. No
hypothetical or unannotated genes associated with phage resistance and/or loss of twitching
motility were identified in our analysis of this well-characterized strain. With the exception of
PilD[12], the subset of mutants we tested remained stably resistant over one week of growth in the
absence of phages. A limitation of this study is use of non-selective growth conditions, where
loss of T4P does not impose a fitness cost. In the more complex environment of the host,
selection of suppressors that maintain fitness may be more likely.

In addition to revealing how bacteria can become resistant to phages, the serendipitous
mutations that are selected can provide new insights on the roles of pilus machinery components
and the residues that are key for their function. It seems unlikely that there are genetic "hot
spots" for mutations that confer resistance to T4P-targeting phages given the distribution of
mutations we found in a variety of genes. Genomic factors such as operon order, role of the
protein, size and sequence of the gene, and additional factors such as effects on fitness may
contribute to likelihood of mutation selection. Although we looked for them, we found no phage-
resistant mutants that retained the ability to twitch, suggesting that loss of pilus function or
expression is by far the most likely route to resistance.

At least 40 genes are directly or indirectly involved in *P. aeruginosa* T4P function and
regulation (3, 11). We identified mutations in 16 unique genes, encoding members of the T4P
alignment subcomplex, PilD, the PilSR TCS, some minor pilins, and two out of three ATPases.
Most of the genes identified encode structural or enzymatic components, and of those, *pilD* is the
only one shared with another system, the T2SS (47). When considering which pilus genes were
not found in our screen, it is important to note that mutation of only a subset of known pilus
genes is expected to result in phage resistance and/or loss of twitching motility. For example, the
auxiliary retraction ATPase PilU is required for twitching motility but not phage infection,
showing that the phenotypes can be separated (18). Components of the regulatory Pil-Chp
chemotaxis system that increases intracellular cAMP levels in response to pilus surface sensing
were not identified in our screen (60). However, a mutation in the Pil-Chp chemoreceptor PilJ
was reported in PRMs resistant to another T4P-targeting phage (61). *pilJ* mutants have low levels
of cAMP and thus reduced expression of surface pili, which could impact their susceptibility to
phages that are sensitive to receptor abundance (62, 63). Most mutations we identified were

SNPs or small indels, though some large genomic deletions were also identified. These patterns
are comparable to those in other studies that reported mutations in *P. aeruginosa* PRMs (37, 61,
64, 65).

Three PRMs identified here had mutations in *pilB,* a frequently reported hit in other
studies of phage-resistant mutants (37, 61, 64, 65). Interestingly, one of the PilB mutations
identified here, PilB T278P, was also reported in PRMs isolated by another group, using a
different phage (65). The other PilB mutation we identified, PilB D388A, is adjacent to the
Walker B motif and the mutant produced reduced levels of surface pili that did not support
motility (**Figure 2)**. While D388 is not directly involved in Mg^{2+} coordination, this mutation may
lead to structural changes in PilB that indirectly affects its ATPase activity, reducing pilus
assembly. A previously described point mutation (E354Q) in a conserved residue of the Asp Box
involved in Mg^{2+} coordination, also important for ATPase activity, resulted in reduced twitching
motility (66). Phage susceptibility of this mutant was not assessed. These examples suggest that
mutations that reduce but do not abolish PilB function are sufficient to decrease pilus assembly,
potentially leading to phage resistance. It is also notable that PilB and its regulatory partners such
as PilZ are favoured targets of various prophage-encoded inhibitory proteins that impair pilus
function to provide super-infection exclusion (67, 68). PilB may be a common prophage target
because pilus extension is energetically costly, with each pilin incorporation event requiring two
molecules of ATP (40). Therefore, inhibition of PilB activity may improve growth and fitness of
the lysogen. Mutations in *pilB* have been identified in clinical isolates, both independent of and
following phage therapy (69, 70). Although the specific mutations are not always defined, these
data suggests that such strains can survive *in vivo.*

We also recovered a PRM with a 12-base duplication in *pilD*. We showed that PilD[12] has
impaired pilin processing, with mixed populations of mature and uncleaved pilins at 6- and 8-
hours post induction. The PilD[12] uninduced overnight samples (0 hr) **(Figure 4D)** had more
pilins than the WT *pilD* and *pilD::Tn5* uninduced overnight samples. This reproducible
phenotype **(Supplementary Figure S4)** suggests that PilD[12] may increase pilin inner-membrane
levels. We hypothesize that the reduced processing capacity of PilD[12] limits the number of
mature pilins available for incorporation into the growing pilus and thus fewer surface-exposed
pili. Simultaneously, the prepilins that accumulate repress further PilA expression via their
interactions with the PilSR system (**Figure 9A**). Together, these events lead to a pronounced
decrease in availability of mature pilins for pilus incorporation. Combining a PilS N323A
mutation with PilD[12] addressed these issues by increasing the overall amount of prepilins
(**Figure 9B)**, restoring motility and phage susceptibility (**Figure 4D)**. However, expressing PilA
from a plasmid, which also increases overall amount of prepillins, restored twitching motility but
not phage susceptibility **(Figure 5)**. In PilS N323A, PilR is locked in its phosphorylated, active
state; in contrast, overexpression of PilA from a plasmid leads to PilR dephosphorylation by PilS.
Our data suggest that increasing pilin abundance in PilD[12] is sufficient to restore twitching
motility, but successful phage replication may also require PilR activation. In addition to *pilA*,
PilR regulates a large set of genes including those encoding the minor pilin subcomplex and
PilBCD (71). Increasing pilin production through the PilSR TCS coordinately upregulates other
pilus genes to produce more pili that phages can recognize. Phage replication is also affected by
cell metabolism and growth (72, 73). PilR also regulates a subset of host metabolic enzymes that
may similarly contribute to phage replication (71).

Figure 9. Model of PilS-dependent alleviation of the PilD^{12} decrease in pilus assembly. A) In PilD^{12} background, accumulation of prepilins in the inner membrane is sensed by PilS which dephosphorylates PilR, decreasing *pilA* expression. This results in production of fewer pre-pilins, further reducing the pool of mature pilins that can be assembled into pili. **B)** In PilS N323A, feedback inhibition by prepilins is lost and phospho-PilR continues to promote *pilA* expression, increasing the total pool of prepilins. This allows for more pilins to be processed by PilD^{12}, increasing pilus assembly.

Based on structural predictions, the PilD^{12} duplication is located in the fifth transmembrane helix of PilD, distal from the cytoplasm-facing peptidase and methyltransferase active sites **(Figure 4A).** One complete turn of an α-helix requires ~4 amino acids to maintain correct hydrogen bonding (74). Inserting two or three residues in PilD^6 and PilD^9, respectively,

likely disrupts hydrogen bonding, affecting protein stability or its interaction with pilins. Those two mutants resembled a strain lacking PilD in terms of phage susceptibility, motility, and secretion. In contrast, PilD[12] is predicted to lengthen the helix by one complete turn, allowing the enzyme to retain partial function. Despite its essential role in function of the T4P and T2SS systems and contributions to *P. aeruginosa* virulence, PilD has been poorly characterized compared to other components (75). This interesting mutation provides new insight into allosteric control of PilD's peptidase function.

We were surprised to isolate some strains that were stably phage-resistant and non-twitching (RANTs) where we could identify no mutations. Some were ultimately resolved by further scrutiny of mutations that did not pass the initial sequence quality and read depth cutoffs, but there were still 4 RANTS with genomes that appeared identical to their WT parent strain. The ability of *P. aeruginosa* to become phage resistant in the absence of obvious mutations has been reported previously (76, 77). It is possible that epigenetic changes following phage exposure could result in silencing of key genes (i.e. pilus structural components, genes that directly or indirectly regulate T4P function, or combinations thereof) . In *Ralstonia pseudosolanacaerum*, methylation patterns that arose during growth in the plant host persisted for up to 22 passages outside of the host, demonstrating the longevity of prokaryotic epigenetic modifications (78). Such changes might be detected using newer forms of whole genome sequencing such as PacBio or Oxford Nanopore sequencing (79, 80). Thus, future work using these more advanced sequencing technologies may shed light on how phage resistance can be achieved in the absence of detectable mutations.

The inexorable rise of antibiotic resistance has led clinicians to reconsider phage therapy as an option (81). Most published case studies show that it is successful and safe, supporting this approach (82, 83). Our findings can inform development of phage therapy and phage steering strategies. One caveat of this work is that PRMs were selected in rich media, and more host-like conditions (eg. nutrient limitation, immune system components) might affect the types of mutations that are selected. However, phage resistance patterns identified *in vitro* appear to accurately reflect those arising *in vivo* (70).

Our data suggest that T4P-targeting phages mostly select for mutations causing the irreversible loss of a key virulence factor. Strains lacking T4P have decreased pathogenicity in different *in vivo* models (58, 84–86), therefore this phenotype may be clinically beneficial. Ideally, T4P-targeting phages should be combined with phages using other receptors, such as LPS, to address resistance and emergence of potential revertants. This strategy has been applied in phage cocktails BFC1 and 2, created by the Queen Astrid Military Hospital in Belgium. Both contain T4P-targeting phage PNM, along with a LPS-targeting phage and other phage(s) with unknown targets (87–89). These cocktails as well as PNM alone have been successful in clearance of infection (83).

T4P are common surface structures that are expressed by other important human pathogens, including *Acinetobacter baumannii, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Neisseria meningitidis, Streptococcus pneumoniae,* and *Clostridioides difficile* (2, 90–92). Pilus-targeting phages for many of these pathogens have been identified (8, 93). Similarly, plant pathogens *Xylella fastidiosa, Xanthomonas axonopodis, Xa. euvesicatoria,* and *P. syringae* express T4P, and pilus-targeting phages have been identified for those species as well (94, 95). The use of phages in agriculture for biocontrol is a growing field (96, 97). It will be interesting to learn whether

T4P-targeting phages for other host species will select for similar repertoires of escape
mutations.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm) or
on 1.5% agar plates supplemented with antibiotics with the following concentrations, when
required: gentamicin (Gm) 30 μg/mL, carbenicillin (Carb) 200 μg/mL, or gentamicin (Gm) 15
μg/mL for *E. coli*. L- arabinose was added to media at a concentration of 0.2% (w/v), when
required, to induce expression from the pBADGr Ara promoter. All bacterial strains and plasmids
used for this study are listed in **Table S1.**

Phage genome sequencing and analysis

Bacteriophage genomes were extracted and assembled as previously described (62).
Phage PO4 was amplified using *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 as the host strain. Phage genomic DNA was
extracted (Norgen Biotek Phage DNA Isolation Kit) and sequenced using the Illumina NextSeq
2000 platform (Microbial Genome Sequencing Center/SeqCenter, Pittsburgh, PA, USA).
Sequencing results were assembled using SPAdes (version 3.15.5) (98) and annotated using
Pharokka (version 1.7.3) (99). The annotated genome of PO4 is available at the following
GenBank accession number: PX259653.

Molecular biology

All primers used are listed in **Table S2.** Chromosomal mutants were generated as
previously described (100) with the exception of pEX18Gm constructs with *pilD³*, *pilD⁶*, *pilD⁹*,
and *pilD¹²ᵛᴬᴿ*. These *pilD* variants were synthesized (gBlock, IDT). The oligos were digested
with the indicated restriction enzymes and ligated into pEX18Gm digested using the same
restriction enzymes. Plasmid and chromosomal sequences were confirmed using Sanger
sequencing (McMaster Genomics Facility) or Oxford Nanopore whole-plasmid sequencing
(Plasmidsauras).

The PilA chimera construct was created by PCR amplification of PAO1 *pilA* using an
upstream primer that contained the leader sequence of *hxcT* and first 15 bases of mature PAO1
pilA and downstream primer corresponding to the end of PAO1 *pilA* (Table S1). Amplified
genomic DNA was digested with appropriate restriction enzymes and ligated to pBADGr
digested with the same enzymes. The plasmid was introduced into PAO1 *pilA::Tn5* by
electroporation as described previously (100) and transformants selected on plates containing
Gm as above.

Selection of phage-resistant mutants

P. aeruginosa PAO1 was inoculated from overnight subculture into 5 mL LB, and
incubated at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm) to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.6. When
target OD_{600} was reached, 100 μL of the liquid bacterial culture was combined with 12 mL of
0.6% LB agar and 10 μL of PO4 at concentration of 10^8 PFU in culture tubes and poured into
petri dishes. Plates were incubated at 21°C for an additional 24 h or until the appearance of

bacteriophage resistant colonies. Colonies were selected and grown on 1.5% LB agar, incubated
at 37°C. To confirm bacteriophage resistance, phage streaking assays were completed using the
phage resistant colonies. On 1.5% LB agar plates, 5 µL of PO4 at concentration of 10^8 PFU was
applied onto the surface in four evenly spread out spots. An inoculation loop was dipped into
liquid culture of resistant colonies and dragged across the plate, crossing each phage sample.
Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C and checked the following day for growth.

Twitching motility assays

Twitching motility assays were completed as previously described (39). In brief,
bacterial strains were stab-inoculated through cell-culture treated single well plates containing
1% LB agar. Standard twitching assay plates incubated at 37°C, overnight. LB was
supplemented with L-arabinose and Gm when required. To visualize the twitching zone, agar
was removed, and plates were stained with 1% crystal violet for 5 min before washing with
water to remove excess dye. Plates were imaged using a flatbed scanner.

Samples for extended-length twitching assays used to assess phenotypic reversion were
also stab inoculated through cell-culture treated single well plates containing 1% LB agar. These
plates were sealed with Parafilm and placed in a sealed zipper storage bag. After seven days of
room temperature incubation, in the dark, for any twitching zones present, approximately 1cm of
cell growth were collected using a sterile cotton swab and transferred to Gm supplemented media
to assess growth. Remaining intact twitching zones were visualized as above.

Twitching zones were measured using Image J, when indicated. Average areas were
graphed using GraphPad Prism (version 10.2.0). One-way ANOVA statistical analysis was
performed on the areas using GraphPad Prism ($p < 0.05$ is considered statistically significant).

Whole genome sequencing and mutation analysis of PRMs

Genomic DNA of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 WT and phage resistant strains were extracted
using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit. PRMs and PAO1 WT were sequenced using
the Illumina NextSeq 2000 platform (Microbial Genome Sequencing Center/SeqCenter,
Pittsburgh, PA, USA). All samples had average sequencing coverage of at least 50 reads. breseq
(versions 0.35.4, 0.36.1, or 0.37.1) was used to identify mutations by comparing mutants
sequences to the reference WT PAO1 Burrows lab strain (53). To ensure variant calling results
were reliable, only those with more than 50 reads were included in the analysis unless specified
(101). When required, mutations were confirmed by PCR and DNA sequencing (Plasmidsaurus,
Oxford Nanopore).

Multi-strain phage susceptibility assays

Overnight cultures of bacteria were grown (1:1000) in liquid LB media and incubated at
37°C with shaking (200 rpm). Subcultures were standardized to OD_{600} of 0.5 in LB media. Phage
stocks were standardized to 10^8 PFU/mL and 10-fold serially diluted in phage buffer (68mM
NaCl, 10mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 10 mM $CaCl_2$, and 10mM $MgSO_4$). Five µL each of culture and
phage were combined and spotted onto 0.6% LB agar plates and dried for 5 min before
incubation for 18-24 hours at 21°C. Phage susceptibility is indicated by absence of cell growth,
relative to the control.

Single strain phage plaquing assays

Overnight culture of bacteria were grown (1:100) in liquid LB media and incubated at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm). Subcultures were standardized to OD_{600} of 0.3 in LB media and 100 µL of diluted culture was mixed with 12 mL of 0.6% LB agar and poured into standard petri dishes. LB agar was air dried in a biosafety cabinet. Phage stocks were standardized to 10^8 PFU/mL and serially diluted with phage buffer. Five µL of each dilution was spotted onto the plates and air dried. Plates were incubated for 18 hours at 30°C. Phage susceptibility is indicated by phage plaque clearance.

Liquid phage susceptibility assay

Strains of interest were combined with PO4 at MOI=1 in 100 µL LB. Samples were grown shaking (orbital) at 37°C for 18 hours in the Biotek Epoch Plate readers. OD_{600} measurements were taken every 30 minutes. OD_{600} measurements were graphed using Graphpad Prism version 10.2.0. Phage susceptibility is indicated by lack of growth.

Sheared surface protein analysis

Sheared surface protein preparations were completed as previously described.[15] Each strain/mutant was streaked on two 1.5% LB agar plates, containing L-arabinose and Gm when required, in a grid and incubated overnight at 37°C. Cells were gently scraped using sterile coverslips and resuspended into 3 mL of 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to be vortexed for 15 s, twice. Samples were aliquoted into 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 30 min. Supernatant was transferred into new 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes, 5M NaCl and 30% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (8000) were each added to concentrations of 10% final volume. Samples were incubated on ice for 60 min before centrifugation at 21,000 x g for 30 min to precipitate proteins. Precipitated proteins were resuspended in 50 µL of sample loading buffer (62.5mM TRIS-HCl pH 6.8, 2.5% SDS, 0.002% bromophenol blue, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol) and boiled for 10 min. Samples were cooled to room temperature before separation on 15% SDS-PAGE and visualized using Coomasie brilliant blue. Where pilin proteins could not be detected on gels, their presence was confirmed using Western blot analysis, as described below.

Whole cell protein sample analysis

Bacterial strains were streaked on 1.5% LB agar plates and incubated overnight at 37°C. Using an inoculating loop, bacteria were resuspended into 2 mL of 1X PBS and mixed. Samples were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.6 and 3mL transferred into 2 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes before centrifugation for 1 min at 21,000 x g. The supernatant was discarded and precipitated cells were resuspended in 50 µL of 1X loading buffer. Samples were boiled for 10 min and cooled to room temperature before separation with 15% SDS-PAGE, in 1X Tris-glycine running buffer (diluted from 10X tris-glycine buffer containing 30.3 g tris, 144 g glycine, and 20 mL 10% SDS). Following separation, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane for 1 h at 225mA in 1X transfer buffer (20% methanol, 100mL of 10X tris-glycine buffer without SDS, in 1L of H_2O) Membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk resuspended in 1X phosphate-buffer saline (PBS) for minimum of 2 h, room temperature shaking. Primary antibodies were diluted in 1x PBS and used in appropriate dilutions (α-PilA- 1:5000, α-PilB- 1:2000,), and incubated with the blot at room temperature overnight. Membranes were washed 4x for 5 min with PBS followed by incubation with 1:3000 dilution (1xPBS) of goat α-rabbit alkaline phosphatase-conjugated

630   secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperate with shaking at 60 rpm. Membranes were washed
631   4 x for 5 min with 1x PBS before developing using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl phosphate
632   (BCIP) and nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) resuspended in alkaline phosphatase buffer
633   (1mM Tris, 100mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl$_2$, pH 9.5), shaking in the dark for 10 minutes. Western
634   blots were imaged using a flatbed scanner.

Time-course intracellular pilin processing analysis

Overnight liquid bacterial cultures were grown in LB supplemented with 0.2% L-
arabinose and 30 mg/mL Gm and diluted to OD$_{600}$ of 0.6 for timepoint 0 h and prepared as
described above. At each timepoint, cultures were diluted to OD$_{600}$ of 0.6, if required, and
prepared as described above. Samples were separated using 16% Tris-Tricine gels in 1x running
buffer (diluted from 10X running buffer containing 24.2g Tris base, 17.9g tricine, 1g SDS)
instead of 15% SDS-PAGE gels (102). Following separation, proteins were transferred to a
nitrocellulose membrane for 1 h at 225 mA in 1X transfer buffer (20% methanol, 100mL of 10X
tris-glycine buffer without SDS, in 1L of H$_2$O) Membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk
resuspended in 1X phosphate-buffer saline (PBS) for minimum of 2 h, room temperature
shaking. Primary antibodies were diluted in 1x PBS and used in appropriate dilutions (α-PilA-
1:5000), and incubated with the blot at room temperature overnight. Membranes were washed 4x
for 5 min each with PBS followed by incubation with 1:3000 dilution (1xPBS) of goat α-rabbit
alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperate with shaking at
60 rpm. Membranes were washed 4 x for 5 min each with 1x PBS before developing using 5-
bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl phosphate (BCIP) and nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT)
resuspended in alkaline phosphatase buffer (1mM Tris, 100mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl$_2$, pH 9.5),
shaking in the dark for 10 minutes. Western blots were imaged using a flatbed scanner.

Protease secretion assay

Skim milk media was created by combining 100 mL of 1.5% (w/v) skim milk powder in
deionized water and 100 mL LB supplemented with 1.5% tryptic soy agar (TSA), at room
temperature. (103) Media was poured onto rectangular single well plates and solidified. Bacteria
were inoculated in 5mL LB, and incubated with shaking (200 rpm) to an optical density at 600
nm (OD$_{600}$) of 0.5. Five μL of standardized bacterial culture was applied onto the skim milk
media and incubated at 21°C for 24 hours or until the appearance of clearance zones for control
samples. Plates were imaged using a flatbed scanner and quantified using ImageJ.

Passaging and phage susceptibility of Resistant and Non-Twitching Strains (RANTS)

662   Overnight cultures of RANTS were subcultured (1:000) in LB and incubated at 37°C
663   with shaking (200 rpm) for 5-8 h. Subcultures were diluted to assess phage susceptibility, as
664   described above. From the same overnight culture, 10 μL was diluted into 5 mL of LB and
665   incubated with shaking (200 rpm) overnight. The overnight culture was diluted to OD$_{600}$ = 0.6
666   and phage spot assay was repeated, daily for 14 days.

Insertion of the Gm resistance gene

Prior to assessing the capacity of mutants to regain twitching motility following extended
incubations of up to 7 days, the strains were marked with a Gm resistance gene. Genomic
insertion using a mini-Tn7 vector was completed as previously described (104, 105). In short,
pUC18t-mini-Tn7T-Gm and pTNS1 were introduced by electroporation, and transformants were

recovered for 3.5 hours in LB. Cells were plated on 1.5% LB agar containing Gm overnight and
successful insertion was confirmed by growth on supplemented media.

Data availability

The genome sequence of phage PO4 is available at NCBI GenBank accession number:
PX259653.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ikram Qaderi for assistance in phage genome annotation, Dominique Tertigas
for assistance in genome analysis, and Yaser Al Moayad for technical assistance with some
experiments. This work was supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health
Research to LLB (PJT-169053). LLB holds the Canada Research Chair in Microbe-Surface
Interactions (CRC-2021-00103) and VNT holds a PGS-D award from the Natural Sciences and
Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

1. V. Pelicic, Type IV pili: e pluribus unum? *Mol. Microbiol.* **68**, 827–837 (2008).
2. R. Denise, S. S. Abby, E. P. C. Rocha, Diversification of the type IV filament superfamily into machines for adhesion, protein secretion, DNA uptake, and motility. *PLOS Biol.* **17**, e3000390 (2019).
3. L. L. Burrows, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Twitching Motility: Type IV Pili in Action. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **66**, 493–520 (2012).
4. S. L. Gellatly, R. E. W. Hancock, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* : new insights into pathogenesis and host defenses. *Pathog. Dis.* **67**, 159–173 (2013).
5. S. Burdman, O. Bahar, J. K. Parker, L. De La Fuente, Involvement of Type IV Pili in Pathogenicity of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. *Genes* **2**, 706–735 (2011).
6. R. Kulkarni, *et al.*, Roles of Putative Type II Secretion and Type IV Pilus Systems in the Virulence of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *PLOS ONE* **4**, e4752 (2009).
7. D. E. Bradley, Basic Characterization of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Pilus-Dependent Bacteriophage with a Long Noncontractile Tail. *J. Virol.* **12**, 1139–1148 (1973).
8. J. McCutcheon, D. Peters, J. Dennis, Identification and Characterization of Type IV Pili as the Cellular Receptor of Broad Host Range *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* Bacteriophages DLP1 and DLP2. *Viruses* **10**, 338 (2018).
9. H. Harvey, *et al.*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* defends against phages through type IV pilus glycosylation. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3**, 47–52 (2018).
10. World Health Organization, *WHO Bacterial Priority Pathogens List 2024: Bacterial Pathogens of Public Health Importance, to Guide Research, Development, and Strategies to Prevent and Control Antimicrobial Resistance*, 1st ed (World Health Organization, 2024).
11. G. L. Winsor, *et al.*, Enhanced annotations and features for comparing thousands of *Pseudomonas genomes* in the *Pseudomonas* genome database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, D646-653 (2016).
12. C. L. Giltner, M. Habash, L. L. Burrows, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* minor pilins are incorporated into type IV pili. *J. Mol. Biol.* **398**, 444–461 (2010).

13.   M. S. Strom, D. Nunn, S. Lory, Multiple roles of the pilus biogenesis protein *pilD*:
involvement of *pilD* in excretion of enzymes from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.*
173, 1175–1180 (1991).

14.   M. S. Strom, D. N. Nunn, S. Lory, A single bifunctional enzyme, PilD, catalyzes cleavage
and N-methylation of proteins belonging to the type IV pilin family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
U. S. A. **90**, 2404–2408 (1993).

15.   J. C. Pepe, S. Lory, Amino acid substitutions in PilD, a bifunctional enzyme of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Effect on leader peptidase and N-methyltransferase activities *in*
vitro and *in vivo*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 19120–19129 (1998).

16.   D. Nunn, S. Bergman, S. Lory, Products of three accessory genes, *pilB*, *pilC*, and *pilD*, are
required for biogenesis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pili. *J. Bacteriol.* **172**, 2911–2919
(1990).

17.   D. E. Bradley, Evidence for the retraction of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RNA phage pili.
Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **47**, 142–149 (1972).

18.   C. B. Whitchurch, J. S. Mattick, Characterization of a gene, *pilU*, required for twitching
motility but not phage sensitivity in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **13**, 1079–
1091 (1994).

19.   P. Chiang, M. Habash, L. L. Burrows, Disparate subcellular localization patterns of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type IV pilus ATPases involved in twitching motility. *J.*
Bacteriol. **187**, 829–839 (2005).

20.   H. K. Takhar, K. Kemp, M. Kim, P. L. Howell, L. L. Burrows, The Platform Protein Is
Essential for Type IV Pilus Biogenesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 9721–9728 (2013).

21.   C. B. Whitchurch, M. Hobbs, S. P. Livingston, V. Krishnapillai, J. S. Mattick,
Characterisation of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* twitching motility gene and evidence for a
specialised protein export system widespread in eubacteria. *Gene* **101**, 33–44 (1991).

22.   T. L. Leighton, R. N. C. Buensuceso, P. L. Howell, L. L. Burrows, Biogenesis of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa type IV pili and regulation of their function. *Environ. Microbiol.*
17, 4148–4163 (2015).

23.   M. Ayers, *et al.*, PilM/N/O/P proteins form an inner membrane complex that affects the
stability of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IV pilus secretin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **394**, 128–142
(2009).

24.   S. Tammam, *et al.*, PilMNOPQ from the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Type IV Pilus System
Form a Transenvelope Protein Interaction Network That Interacts with PilA. *J. Bacteriol.*
195, 2126–2135 (2013).

25.   P. R. Martin, M. Hobbs, P. D. Free, Y. Jeske, J. S. Mattick, Characterization of *pilQ*, a new
gene required for the biogenesis of type 4 fimbriae in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol.*
Microbiol. **9**, 857–868 (1993).

26.   J. Koo, *et al.*, Functional Mapping of PilF and PilQ in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Type
IV Pilus System. *Biochemistry* **52**, 2914–2923 (2013).

27.   L. Talà, A. Fineberg, P. Kukura, A. Persat, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* orchestrates twitching
motility by sequential control of type IV pili movements. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 774–780
(2019).

28.   I. Zolfaghar, D. J. Evans, S. M. J. Fleiszig, Twitching Motility Contributes to the Role of
Pili in Corneal Infection Caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Infect. Immun.* **71**, 5389–
5393 (2003).

29.   D. E. Bradley, Shortening of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Pili after RNA-Phage Adsorption. *J.*
Gen. Microbiol. **72**, 303–319 (1972).
30.   D. E. Bradley, The adsorption of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pilus-dependent bacteriophages
to a host mutant with nonretractile pili. *Virology* **58**, 149–163 (1974).
31.   M. Gutierrez-Rodarte, S. Kolappan, B. A. Burrell, L. Craig, The *Vibrio cholerae* minor
pilin TcpB mediates uptake of the cholera toxin phage CTXϕ. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294**, 15698–
15710 (2019).
32.   H.-W. Bae, H.-J. Ki, S.-Y. Choi, Y.-H. Cho, Pilin regions that select for the small RNA
phages in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IV pilus. *J. Virol.* **99**, e01949-24 (2025).
33.   S. T. Abedon, Bacteriophage Adsorption: Likelihood of Virion Encounter with Bacteria and
Other Factors Affecting Rates. *Antibiotics* **12**, 723 (2023).
34.   S. J. Labrie, J. E. Samson, S. Moineau, Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nat. Rev.*
Microbiol. **8**, 317–327 (2010).
35.   T. Reyes-Robles, *et al.*, *Vibrio cholerae* Outer Membrane Vesicles Inhibit Bacteriophage
Infection. *J. Bacteriol.* **200** (2018).
36.   T. Sawa, K. Moriyama, M. Kinoshita, Current status of bacteriophage therapy for severe
bacterial infections. *J. Intensive Care* **12**, 44 (2024).
37.   R. C. T. Wright, V.-P. Friman, M. C. M. Smith, M. A. Brockhurst, Resistance Evolution
against Phage Combinations Depends on the Timing and Order of Exposure. *mBio* **10**
(2019).
38.   M. León, R. Bastías, Virulence reduction in bacteriophage resistant bacteria. *Front.*
Microbiol. **06** (2015).
39.   S. L. N. Kilmury, L. L. Burrows, Type IV pilins regulate their own expression via direct
intramembrane interactions with the sensor kinase PilS. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, 6017–
6022 (2016).
40.   M. McCallum, S. Tammam, A. Khan, L. L. Burrows, P. L. Howell, The molecular
mechanism of the type IVa pilus motors. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15091 (2017).
41.   J. E. Walker, M. Saraste, M. J. Runswick, N. J. Gay, Distantly related sequences in the
alpha- and beta-subunits of ATP synthase, myosin, kinases and other ATP-requiring
enzymes and a common nucleotide binding fold. *EMBO J.* **1**, 945–951 (1982).
42.   V. Jakovljevic, S. Leonardy, M. Hoppert, L. Søgaard-Andersen, PilB and PilT Are ATPases
Acting Antagonistically in Type IV Pilus Function in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Bacteriol.*
190, 2411–2421 (2008).
43.   K. C. Cady, J. Bondy-Denomy, G. E. Heussler, A. R. Davidson, G. A. O'Toole, The
CRISPR/Cas adaptive immune system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mediates resistance to
naturally occurring and engineered phages. *J. Bacteriol.* **194**, 5728–5738 (2012).
44.   K. M. Sztanko, *et al.*, Prophages express a type IV pilus component to provide anti-phage
defence. [Preprint] (2024). Available at:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.03.29.587342v1 [Accessed 24 March
2025].
45.   M. S. Strom, P. Bergman, S. Lory, Identification of active-site cysteines in the conserved
domain of PilD, the bifunctional type IV pilin leader peptidase/N-methyltransferase of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 15788–15794 (1993).
46.   S. Lory, M. S. Strom, Structure-function relationship of type-IV prepilin peptidase of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa--a review. *Gene* **192**, 117–121 (1997).

47. D. N. Nunn, S. Lory, Cleavage, methylation, and localization of the *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa export proteins XcpT, -U, -V, and -W. *J. Bacteriol.* **175**, 4375–4382 (1993).

48. G. Ball, É. Durand, A. Lazdunski, A. Filloux, A novel type II secretion system in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Mol. Microbiol.* **43**, 475–485 (2002).

49. P. S. Pennings, C. B. Ogbunugafor, R. Hershberg, Reversion is most likely under high
mutation supply when compensatory mutations do not fully restore fitness costs. *G3*
GenesGenomesGenetics **12**, jkac190 (2022).

50. G. Levinson, G. A. Gutman, Slipped-strand mispairing: a major mechanism for DNA
sequence evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **4**, 203–221 (1987).

51. S. Bruzek, G. Vestal, A. Lasher, A. Lima, S. Silbert, Bacterial Whole Genome Sequencing
on the Illumina iSeq 100 for Clinical and Public Health Laboratories. *J. Mol. Diagn.* **22**,
1419–1429 (2020).

52. A. W. Pightling, N. Petronella, F. Pagotto, Choice of Reference Sequence and Assembler for
Alignment of *Listeria monocytogenes* Short-Read Sequence Data Greatly Influences Rates
of Error in SNP Analyses. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e104579 (2014).

53. D. E. Deatherage, J. E. Barrick, Identification of mutations in laboratory evolved microbes
from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ* **1151**,
165–188 (2014).

54. J. E. Barrick, *et al.*, Identifying structural variation in haploid microbial genomes from
short-read resequencing data using breseq. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 1039 (2014).

55. Barrick Lab, Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) — breseq 0.35.0 documentation.

56. J. Bondy-Denomy, *et al.*, Prophages mediate defense against phage infection through
diverse mechanisms. *ISME J.* **10**, 2854–2866 (2016).

57. J. Bertozzi Silva, Z. Storms, D. Sauvageau, Host receptors for bacteriophage adsorption.
FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **363**, fnw002 (2016).

58. F. Forti, *et al.*, Identification and impact on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence of
mutations conferring resistance to a phage cocktail for phage therapy. *Microbiol. Spectr.*
11, e01477-23 (2023).

59. R. A. Alm, A. J. Bodero, P. D. Free, J. S. Mattick, Identification of a novel gene, *pilZ*,
essential for type 4 fimbrial biogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* (1996).
https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.178.1.46-53.1996.

60. N. A. Roberge, L. L. Burrows, Building permits—control of type IV pilus assembly by PilB
and its cofactors. *J. Bacteriol.* **206**, e00359-24 (2024).

61. R. C. T. Wright, V.-P. Friman, M. C. M. Smith, M. A. Brockhurst, Cross-resistance is
modular in bacteria-phage interactions. *PLoS Biol.* **16**, e2006057 (2018).

62. I. Qaderi, I. Chan, H. Harvey, L. L. Burrows, Structural conservation and functional role of
TfpY-like proteins in type IV pilus assembly. *J. Bacteriol.* **0**, e00343-24 (2025).

63. P. A. DeLange, T. L. Collins, G. E. Pierce, J. B. Robinson, PilJ localizes to cell poles and is
required for type IV pilus extension in. *Curr. Microbiol.* **55**, 389–395 (2007).

64. K. E. Kortright, B. K. Chan, B. R. Evans, P. E. Turner, Arms race and fluctuating selection
dynamics in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria coevolving with phage OMKO1. *J. Evol.*
Biol. **35**, 1475–1487 (2022).

65. H. R. Nordstrom, *et al.*, Genomic characterization of lytic bacteriophages targeting
genetically diverse *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates. *iScience* **25**, 104372 (2022).

66. P. Chiang, *et al.*, Functional role of conserved residues in the characteristic secretion
NTPase motifs of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IV pilus motor proteins PilB, PilT
and PilU. *Microbiology* **154**, 114–126.

67. M. Shah, *et al.*, A phage-encoded anti-activator inhibits quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. *Mol. Cell* **81**, 571-583.e6 (2021).

68. V. L. Taylor, *et al.*, "Prophages block cell surface receptors to ensure survival of their viral
progeny" (Microbiology, 2024).

69. P. Markwitz, *et al.*, Genome-driven elucidation of phage-host interplay and impact of phage
resistance evolution on bacterial fitness. *ISME J.* **16**, 533–542 (2022).

70. M. Castledine, *et al.*, Parallel evolution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phage resistance and
virulence loss in response to phage treatment *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *eLife* **11**, e73679 (2022).

71. S. L. N. Kilmury, L. L. Burrows, The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PilSR Two-Component
System Regulates Both Twitching and Swimming Motilities. *mBio* **9**, e01310-18 (2018).

72. D. Nabergoj, P. Modic, A. Podgornik, Effect of bacterial growth rate on bacteriophage
population growth rate. *MicrobiologyOpen* **7**, e00558 (2018).

73. X. Qin, *et al.*, Quorum sensing influences phage infection efficiency via affecting cell
population and physiological state. *J. Basic Microbiol.* **57**, 162–170 (2017).

74. B. Alberts, *et al.*, "The Shape and Structure of Proteins" in *Molecular Biology of the Cell.*
4th Edition, (Garland Science, 2002).

75. Jessca M. Cabading, Christopher M. Dade, Katrina T. Forest, Catalytic activity of the
prepilin peptidase PilD is required for full *P. aeruginosa* virulence in a nematode infection
model. (2015). https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.07.10.664072.

76. S. Hesse, *et al.*, Phage Resistance in Multidrug-Resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST258
Evolves via Diverse Mutations That Culminate in Impaired Adsorption. *mBio* **11**,
10.1128/mbio.02530-19 (2020).

77. F. Kunisch, *et al.*, Targeting *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm with an evolutionary trained
bacteriophage cocktail exploiting phage resistance trade-offs. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 8572
(2024).

78. R. Gopalan-Nair, *et al.*, Changes in DNA methylation contribute to rapid adaptation in
bacterial plant pathogen evolution. *PLOS Biol.* **22**, e3002792 (2024).

79. P. Ni, *et al.*, DNA 5-methylcytosine detection and methylation phasing using PacBio
circular consensus sequencing. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 4054 (2023).

80. M. U. Ahsan, A. Gouru, J. Chan, W. Zhou, K. Wang, A signal processing and deep learning
framework for methylation detection using Oxford Nanopore sequencing. *Nat. Commun.*
15, 1448 (2024).

81. A. Górski, *et al.*, The fall and rise of phage therapy in modern medicine. *Expert Opin. Biol.*
Ther. **19**, 1115–1117 (2019).

82. S. Uyttebroek, *et al.*, Safety and efficacy of phage therapy in difficult-to-treat infections: a
systematic review. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **22**, e208–e220 (2022).

83. J.-P. Pirnay, *et al.*, Personalized bacteriophage therapy outcomes for 100 consecutive cases:
a multicentre, multinational, retrospective observational study. *Nat. Microbiol.* **9**, 1434–
1453 (2024).

84. P. Markwitz, *et al.*, Emerging Phage Resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 Is
Accompanied by an Enhanced Heterogeneity and Reduced Virulence. *Viruses* **13**, 1332
(2021).

85. S. L. N. Kilmury, K. J. Graham, R. P. Lamers, L. T. MacNeil, L. L. Burrows,
Hyperpiliation, not loss of pilus retraction, reduces *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiol.*
Spectr. **13**, e02558-24.
86. H. Sato, K. Okinaga, H. Saito, Role of Pili in the Pathogenesis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
Burn Infection. *Microbiol. Immunol.* **32**, 131–139 (1988).
87. L. Blasco, *et al.*, Case report: Analysis of phage therapy failure in a patient with a
Pseudomonas aeruginosa prosthetic vascular graft infection. *Front. Med.* **10** (2023).
88. T. Rose, *et al.*, Experimental phage therapy of burn wound infection: difficult first steps.
Int. J. Burns Trauma **4**, 66–73 (2014).
89. H. Duyvejonck, *et al.*, Development of a qPCR platform for quantification of the five
bacteriophages within bacteriophage cocktail 2 (BFC2). *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 13893 (2019).
90. K. V., V. K. Neela, Twitching motility of <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia<i> under iron
limitation: *In-silico*, phenotypic and proteomic approaches. *Virulence* **11**, 104–112 (2020).
91. T. Lam, *et al.*, Competence pili in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* are highly dynamic structures
that retract to promote DNA uptake. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14718.
92. E. B. Purcell, R. W. McKee, E. Bordeleau, V. Burrus, R. Tamayo, Regulation of Type IV
Pili Contributes to Surface Behaviors of Historical and Epidemic Strains of *Clostridium*
difficile. *J. Bacteriol.* (2015). https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00816-15.
93. R. Meng, *et al.*, Structural basis of *Acinetobacter* type IV pili targeting by an RNA virus.
Nat. Commun. **15**, 2746 (2024).
94. S. J. Ahern, M. Das, T. S. Bhowmick, R. Young, C. F. Gonzalez, Characterization of Novel
Virulent Broad-Host-Range Phages of *Xylella fastidiosa* and *Xanthomonas*. *J. Bacteriol.*
196, 459–471 (2014).
95. M. Sistrom, *et al.*, Genomic and Gene-Expression Comparisons among Phage-Resistant
Type-IV Pilus Mutants of *Pseudomonas syringae pathovar phaseolicola*. *PLOS ONE* **10**,
e0144514 (2015).
96. J. L. Villalpando-Aguilar, G. Matos-Pech, I. López-Rosas, H. G. Castelán-Sánchez, F.
Alatorre-Cobos, Phage Therapy for Crops: Concepts, Experimental and Bioinformatics
Approaches to Direct Its Application. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **24**, 325 (2022).
97. S. A. Ranveer, *et al.*, Positive and negative aspects of bacteriophages and their immense
role in the food chain. *Npj Sci. Food* **8**, 1 (2024).
98. A. Prjibelski, D. Antipov, D. Meleshko, A. Lapidus, A. Korobeynikov, Using SPAdes De
Novo Assembler. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.102.
99. G. Bouras, *et al.*, Pharokka: a fast scalable bacteriophage annotation tool. *Bioinformatics*
39, btac776 (2023).
100. D. C. K. Chan, L. L. Burrows, Pseudomonas aeruginosa FpvB Is a High-Affinity
Transporter for Xenosiderophores Ferrichrome and Ferrioxamine B. *mBio* **14**, e03149-22
(2022).
101. A. Petrackova, *et al.*, Standardization of Sequencing Coverage Depth in NGS:
Recommendation for Detection of Clonal and Subclonal Mutations in Cancer Diagnostics.
Front. Oncol. **9** (2019).
102. H. Schägger, Tricine–SDS-PAGE. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 16–22 (2006).
103. É. Durand, *et al.*, Type II Protein Secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* the Pseudopilus Is
a Multifibrillar and Adhesive Structure. *J. Bacteriol.* (2003).
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.9.2749-2758.2003.

104. K.-H. Choi, *et al.*, A Tn7-based broad-range bacterial cloning and expression system. *Nat.*
Methods **2**, 443–448 (2005).
105. K.-H. Choi, H. P. Schweizer, mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites:
example *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 153–161 (2006).
942

Table 1. Mutations identified in Phage Resistant Mutants (PRMs)

Gene	Function	Protein size (kDa)	Location of mutation (Total AA length)	Effect on protein	Mutation	Effect (gene)
pilB	assembly ATPase	62.3	R258FS (566)	frameshift - truncation	Δ4bp frameshift; 765-768 (1701)	premature stop codon
pilB	assembly ATPase	62.3	T278P (566)	point mutation	A832C (1701)	point mutant
pilB	assembly ATPase	62.3	D388A (566)	point mutation	A1163C (1701)	point mutant
pilC	platform protein	40.9	N66FS (374)	truncation (70aa)	Δ11bp; 198- 208 (1125)	FS→ premature stop codon
pilC	platform protein	40.9	F470FS	frameshift - truncation	ΔT817 (1125)	FS→ premature stop codon
pilD	pre-pilin peptidase	31.9	L110FS (290)	truncation (137aa); non functional	ΔC326 (C) 5-->4	Premature stop codon
pilD	pre-pilin peptidase	31.9	F184-V187 (290)	in frame insertion	(GCGGTGTTCGGC)1 →2; 548-559; 12bp duplication	Longer amino acid, in-frame insertion
pilT	retraction ATPase	38	S191FS (348)	in frame deletion- shorteneing	Δ12; 563-571 (1037)	shortening
pilM	alignment subcomplex protein	38	ΔL175-A180 (354)	in frame deletion- shorteneing	ΔC523-C540 (1065)	in frame deletion
pilM	alignment subcomplex protein	38	V168FS (354)	frameshift - truncation	ΔG501 (1065)	frameshift, premature stop codon
pilM	alignment subcomplex protein	38	G224FS (354)	frameshift - truncation	ΔG671(1065)	frameshift, premature stop codon
pilM	alignment subcomplex protein	38	Y256*(354)	premature termination	C768G (1065)	premature stop codon

pilN	alignment subcomplex protein	22.2	N5FS (198)	frameshift	Δ11bp; 12-22; frameshift (597)	FS → elongation
pilO	alignment subcomplex protein	22.8	E108* (207)	truncation	G322T (624)	nonsense mutation
pilP ʼ	alignment subcomplex protein	19.1	I42FS (174)	frameshift - truncation	Δ5 bp (124-128) (525)	frameshift, premature stop codon
pilQ	secretin	77.4	Q428* (714)	truncation (427aa)	C1282T	Premature stop codon
pilQ	secretin	77.4	T605P (714)	point mutation	A605C (2145)	point mutant
pilR	TCS	49.7	S139P (445)	point mutation	T415C (1338)	point mutant
pilR	TCS	49.7	T275P (445)	point mutation	A823C (1338)	point mutant
pilR	TCS	49.7	ΔL301-V305 (445)	in frame deletion-shorteneing	Δ15 bp (900-014) (1338)	shortening
pilS	sensor of TCS that regulates pilA levels	59	G490FS (530)	frameshift	Δ50 bp; 1461-1510 (1593)	FS → elongation
pilZ	pilus assembly/twitching regulator	12.9	I39FS (118)	frameshift - elongation	+T114 (357)	FS → elongation
pilF	OM lipoprotein	28.5	Y116-R120 (257)	in frame insertion	15 bp duplication; (CAGAAGCGCTACGAG)1→2; 345-359	elongation
pilA	major pilin subunit	15.5	E11* (149)	truncation (10aa)	G31T	nonsense mutation
pilA	major pilin subunit	15.5	Y117-E120 (149)	in frame insertion	+ 12bp (349-360) (450)	insertion
pilW	minor pilin	30.1	E129*	truncation	G385T (624)	nonsense mutation

| pilY1 | minor pilin, adhesion | 126.6 | F470FS (1161) | frameshift - truncation | Δ10 bp; 1409-1410 (3486) | FS→ premature stop codon |
| pilS- pilY1 | | | | | Δ7559 bp | |

Table 2. Secondary RANT BreSeq analysis results

RANT	Phenotype (EX/IN)[a]	Gene mutated[b]	Effect (gene)	Location of mutation (Total AA length)	Effect on protein
RANT 1	X/X	N/A			
RANT 2	X/X	pilR	(+1018A)	Q40FS	longer transcript
RANT 3	X/ YES	pilB	ΔT646	F216FS	Frameshift-shortening
RANT 4	X/ YES	N/A			
RANT 5	X/X	pilR	T415C	PS139P	point mutation
RANT 6	YES/YES	N/A			
RANT 7	X/YES	N/A			
RANT 8	YES/YES	pilR	A823C	T275P	point mutation

[a] EX=extracellular pili, IN=intracellular pilins, X=none detected, YES=detected on gel/blot
[b] N/A=no change in genomic sequence compared to the wild-type reference