Extracellular ssDNA from *P. tobira* Exerts Strong Insecticidal Activity on *C. hesperidum*

Vol Oberemok [1,2], Kate Laikova [1], Nikita Gal'chinsky [1]*, Jamin Ali [3], Natalia Petrishina [1], Yatskova Yekaterina [2], Ilyas Chachoua [4]

[1]V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University, Simferopol, 295007, Republic of Crimea, Russia

[2]Nikita Botanical Gardens – National Scientific Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Yalta, 298648, Republic of Crimea, Russia

[3]College of Plant Protection, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun, 130118, China

[4]Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Bilkent University, Ankara, 06800, Turkey

* Corresponding author: Nikita V. Gal'chinsky

E-mail: pcr.product@gmail.com

Abstract

Beyond its function as a carrier of hereditary information, recent research has uncovered novel properties for extracellular DNA, including its role in the adaptation to the environment when released from plants. The secreted DNA has been shown to exert insecticidal effect against insect pests, which plays an adaptive role in plant-insect interactions, particularly in regulating populations of economically important sap-feeding insects. The molecular mechanisms behind this insecticidal effect are underinvestigated and remain largely unknown. Therefore, there is a need for more efforts in uncovering these mechanisms to better understand the plant-pest interactions, which would provide new insights into natural pest control strategies and inspire biotechnological applications. In the current work, we show that *Pittosporum tobira* (*P. tobira*) secretes single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) that exerts an insecticidal effect on *Coccus hesperidum* (*C. hesperidum*). We collected extracellular DNA from *P. tobira* leaves and tested its potential insecticidal effect by applying it to *C. hesperidum*, which is a well-known pest that causes damage to *P. tobira*. Our results reveal that the outermost layer of leaf cuticle of *P. tobira* predominantly contains single-stranded DNA of approximately 100 bases in length, originating from both chloroplast and nuclear genomes. This DNA exhibits pronounced insecticidal activity against *C. hesperidum*, with chloroplast-derived sequences significantly enriched compared to total DNA in intact plant cells. These findings suggest that the microevolution of the *P. tobira* nucleome and plastome contributed to the formation of extracellular DNA with insecticidal properties (eci-DNA) as part of its defence strategy against insect pests. Notably, the laboratory-developed 'genetic zipper' technology, designed for sustainable pest management, possesses characteristics similar to eci-DNA found in nature, highlighting a potential natural parallel to this biotechnological approach.

Keywords: ssDNA, eci-DNA, *P. tobira*, *C. hesperidum*, pest control, 'genetic zipper' technology.

Introduction

The recent advancements in molecular biology techniques shed more light on the structure and functions of biomolecules such as DNA, RNA and proteins. DNA in particular has been shown recently to be an active tool for communication and interaction between cells and different organisms, including plants and insects. We are gradually beginning to understand the importance of DNA's role as a programmable molecule for the interactions of organisms with each other, for the

functioning of distinct ecosystems, and for maintaining the balance of the biosphere as a whole.
Plants, as the main primary producers of the planet, use their extracellular DNA (ecDNA) as a
versatile protective macromolecule to counteract environmental factors. Although it is not entirely
clear how exactly ecDNA is formed in cells, its secretion mainly results from either cell lysis or
active release. Secreted ecDNA exists in more or less fragmented forms and can be found as both
single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) froms. Current knowledge
suggests that the formation of a nanolayer of ecDNA by plants on the surface of their leaves, stems,
and roots could be a vital adaptation developed during evolution to increase the general fitness of a
particular species (Oberemok et al., 2022).

Naked ecDNA, most of which is released as a result of cell death, is nearly ubiquitous in the
environment. Its concentration can reach up to 2 μg/L in the soil, and up to 88 μg/L in natural
aquatic environments (Tani and Nasu, 2010), where it plays multiple intracellular and extracellular
roles. At the intracellular level, it has been shown to be involved in functions including, horizontal
gene transfer (Vlassov et al., 2007), providing nutrients (Finkel and Kolter, 2001); or act as a buffer
to recruit or titrate ions or antibiotics (Mulcahy et al., 2008). At the extracellular level ecDNA has
been shown to be a component in the biofilms of several bacterial species, where it plays different
roles such as regulating the attachment and dispersal of specific cell types in the biofilm (Berne et
al., 2010), contributing to biofilm formation and providing it with physical strength and resistance to
biological stress (Whitchurch et al., 2002, Hu et al., 2012). More recently, ecDNA has been
documented to be associated with DAMP (damage-associated molecular pattern) that can cause
species-specific plant damaged self-recognition (Barbero et al., 2016; Duran-Flores et al., 2018).

In 2008, our laboratory discovered the insecticidal potential of contact unmodified antisense DNA
(CUAD), opening a novel dimension for DNA-based plant protection. CUAD biotechnology (briefly
CUADb or 'genetic zipper' technology) has since emerged as an efficient and environmentally
friendly platform targeting hemipterans, thrips and mites. This approach leverages pest rRNA as a
molecular target, significantly enhancing both efficiency and selectivity. Ribosomal RNA
constitutes approximately 80-85% of total cellular RNA, whereas mRNAs account for only 5%
(Palazzo and Lee 2015). Targeting mature rRNA and/or pre-rRNA increases the signal-to-noise ratio
($\sim 10^5$:1; rRNA vs. random mRNA), improving specificity while reducing the likelihood of off-target
effects. CUADb-based oligonucleotide insecticides, designed with species-specific sequences,
exhibit both selective efficacy and resistance avoidance by targeting conserved rRNA regions. On
average, pest mortality rates range from 80–90% within 3-14 days following a single treatment with
a 100 ng/μL solution, resulting in application of 1 mg of DNA per m^2 of plant leaves (Kumar et al.,
2025). This research underscores the potential of CUADb as an innovative, sustainable pest control
strategy and highlights its parallels with naturally occurring extracellular DNA with insecticideal
properties (eci-DNA), further supporting the role of ecDNA in plant defence mechanisms.

Oligonucleotide insecticides function through a conserved DNA containment (DNAc) mechanism,
which can be triggered by exogenously applied antisense DNAs in insects. The DNAc mechanism
operates in two steps: (1) the arrest of target rRNA, followed by its hypercompensation via rDNA
transcription and (2) target rRNA degradation. The interaction between target rRNA and an
oligonucleotide insecticide, in the presence of DNA-guided rRNase, such as RNase H1, mimics a
zipper-like mechanism facilitated by a DNA-RNA duplex ('genetic zipper' technology) (Oberemok
et al., 2025). This 'genetic zipper' mechanism, induces metabolic shifts toward lipid-based energy
synthesis, enhancing biogenesis of ribosomes and ATP production. Ultimately, widespread kinase
downregulation through mTOR, which regulates ribosome biogenesis via mTORC1 causes 'kinase
disaster' due to ATP insufficiency, while significant RNase H1 upregulation occurs during DNAc
(Oberemok et al., 2025).

The primary objective of oligonucleotide insecticides in agriculture is to produce crops free of
organic xenobiotics. While these insecticides may not serve as a universal solution at the moment,

they could still be highly effective in controlling several dozen economically important insect pest
species, representing a major advancement in sustainable plant protection. Current estimates suggest
that the CUADb-based 'genetic zipper' technology has the potential to effectively manage up to 50%
of the most serious insect pests on the planet using a simple and adaptable algorithm.
Recently, our group documented a naturally occurring insecticidal mechanism relying on
unmodified DNA fragments (Oberemok et al., 2022), whether RNA could have a similar effect is
not known yet. Notably, RNA interference has a limited success in insect pest control, largely due to
the poor understanding of its role in natural pest regulation mechanisms (Cedden and Bucher, 2024).
In our previous work (Oberemok et al., 2022), we investigated the effects of eci-DNA fragments
from the evergreen shrub *Pittosporum tobira* on the pest *Coccus hesperidum* revealing a pronounced
insecticidal effect. In natural conditions, ecDNA concentrations on *P. tobira* leaves were measured
at 24.37 ± 0.97 ng per cm^2 (Oberemok et al., 2022). Unexpectedly, we found that eci-DNA is not
exclusively composed of double-stranded fragments but also contains single-stranded DNA in the
outermost layer of leaf cuticle and is substantially enriched with chloroplast DNA. This study aims
to address this critical knowledge gap highlighting a natural parallel to CUADb-based
oligonucleotide insecticides.
111
112   **Materials and Methods**
113
114   *Origin of P. tobira and C. hesperidum*
115   We identified *P. tobira* Thunb. (Apiales: Pittosporaceae) with *C. hesperidum* L. larvae (Hemiptera:
116   Coccidae) on its leaves at the Nikita Botanical Garden (Yalta, Republic of Crimea, Russia).
117
118   *Collection of eci-DNA from P. tobira leaves*
119   Eci-DNA was collected during summer from the adaxial (AD) and abaxial (AB) surfaces of around
120   2400 *P. tobira* leaves gently using wet cotton swabs and filtered through a 0.2-micron syringe filter
121   (Whatman, Maidstone, UK) (Figure 1). The total 10 mL filtrate was concentrated to 400 μL under
122   vacuum (Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany) and used for subsequent experiments.
123
124   *Gel electrophoresis*
125   To assess the quality and consistency of extracted eci-DNA, 25 μl of filtrate was loaded per well
126   onto a 1.8 % agarose gel, stained with 1% ethidium bromide, and subjected to electrophoresis in
127   Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer at 10 V/cm for 45 min. The primary eci-DNA fraction was
128   approximately 100 bp in length, as determined using a 100 bp+ DNA ladder (marker) (Figure 1).
129
Purification of eci-DNA from agarose gel
Eci-DNA was extracted from agarose gel using the ColGen kit (Synthol, Moscow, Russia). Gel
fragments containing DNA were excised using a sterile scalpel and transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf
tubes. The gel mass was determined, and a 3:1 volume of binding buffer was added (e.g., 600 μL
for 200 mg of gel). The mixture was incubated at 55 °C for 10 minutes, until complete dissolution.
Purification was performed using the Isolation DNA kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim,
Germany) and MagNA Pure Compact Instrument (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim,
Germany). The purified DNA was concentrated to 30 μL under vacuum (Heidolph, Schwabach,
Germany) (Figure 1).
139
Nuclease Treatment
The RNase A (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China), DNase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and
exonuclease I (ExoI) (GenTerra, Moscow, Russia) were used according to the manufacturer's
instructions. For ExoI treatment, 10 μL of eci-DNA was mixed with 1 μL of ExoI and 2 μL of 1X

ExoI buffer. For DNase treatemnt, 10 μL of eci-DNA was combined with 3 μL of DNase in the
buffer. Both reactions were incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes followed by enzyme inactivation at
80°C for 15 minutes. RNase A, DNase and ExoI were topically applied to *P. tobira* leaves by
placing a 20 µL droplet on the adaxial side of the leaf and gently distributed by pipette tip. ExoI
nuclease treatment was used to calculate percentage of single-stranded DNA in eci-DNA.
149
PCR analysis of P. tobira eci-DNA
P. tobira eci-DNA was analysed using real time PCR with the fluorescent dye SYBR Green I. The
reaction mixture contained 3 µL of DNA, 5X qPCRmix-HS SYBR master mix (Evrogen, Moscow,
Russia) and specific primers (Table 1). PCR amplification was performed on a LightCycler® 96
instrument (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using the following thermal cycling conditions: an initial
denaturation at 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 seconds,
annealing at 54°C for 15 seconds, and elongation at 72°C for 10 seconds. Each reaction was
performed in triplicate. Melt curve analysis was conducted to confirm amplification specificity and
detect potential nonspecific products.
159
160 **Table 1.** Primer sequences for real time PCR using SYBR Green I system.

Gene	Primer	Primer sequence (5′-3′)	Tm	PCR product	GenBank Sequence ID
23S rRNA, plastome	Pittoplast-F Pittoplast-R	CAGGAATATTCACCTGTTG TAAGATCAGGCCGAAAG	54 °C	50 bp	MN968282.1
5.8S rRNA, nucleome	Pittonucleus-F Pittonucleus-R	ACTTGGTGTGAATTGCAG CGTTCAAAGACTCGATGG	54 °C	47 bp	LC545472.1

161
Application of eci-DNA as a contact insecticide
Eci-DNA (1.2 ng/µL in nuclease-free water) was topically applied to *C. hesperidum* larvae by
placing a 1 µL droplet on the dorsal surface of each larva (average body size: 2–2.2 mm²) using a
pipette. As a scrambled control ssDNA fragment, we used 56 base nucleotide long random primer,
containing 14 consecutive ACTG sequences (ACTG)$_{14}$, in the same concentration. The control
group received nuclease-free water. Experiments were conducted in triplicate, with around 100
larvae per treatment group, which were included in the statistical sample to assess the survival rate.
Mortality was calculated as the percentage of dead larvae relative to the total number of individuals
treated. Insects were counted using a Nikon SMZ745 microscope (Nikon Inst., Gyoda, Japan) and
images were captured with a Toupcam-UCMOS-05100KPA camera (Asmetec, Kirchheimbolanden,
Germany).
173
Microscopy of leaf surfaces for nucleic acid detection
The studies were performed on temporary anatomical preparations of *P. tobira* (Thunb.) Aiton
leaves. For staining nucleic acids, the method of R. Riegler was modified (Rigler, 1966) using
acridine orange fluorochrome.
Microscopy was performed using a MIKMED-2var.26 fluorescence microscope (LOMO-
Microsystems, Saint Petersburg, Russia) and objectives: PLAN F 10x/0.30 and PLAN F 20x/0.50. A
block of filters "B" (BP460-490/DM500/BA520) was used, which corresponded to an excitation
illumination spectrum of 460-490 nm. A 500 nm barrier filter was used; the spectrum of the
recorded fluorescence was 520-700 nm. Photographic recording was performed using a digital

camera "MC-6.3" (LOMO-Microsystems, Saint Petersburg, Russia), integrated with the software
"ToupView" (ToupTek Photonics, Zhejiang, China).
185
Statistical Analyses
The non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test was used to evaluate the significance of the difference in
gene expression. The non-parametric Pearson's chi-squared test (χ^2) with Yates's correction was
performed to evaluate the significance of the difference in mortality between control and
experimental groups; $p < 0.01$ was considered significant. All calculations were performed using
Prism 9 software (GraphPad Software Inc., Boston, USA). All experiments were conducted in
triplicate.
193
194 **Results**
195
Eci-DNA released from P. tobira consists of short DNA fragments
Before testing the insecticidal activity of the released DNA, we first isolated eci-DNA from the leaf
surfaces of *P. tobira* and purified it. Next, we loaded it onto 1.8% agrose gel to check size
distribution. Contrary to what expected, the size of eci-DNA was short and homogenous, with the
majority of fragmenys it being under 100 bp. This suggests that eci-DNA is a result of an active
fragmentation rather than being derived from dead and damaged cells, which would result in a DNA
smear with different lengths, ranging from a few base pairs to mega base pairs.
203

204
205 **Figure 1.** General scheme of collection and extraction of eci-DNA from the leaf surfaces of *P. tobira* (**A**) Collected eci-
206 DNA from the leaf surfaces with wet cotton balls; (**B**) MagNA Pure Compact Instrument was used for the extraction of
207 DNA; (**C**) Electropherogram (1.8 % agarose gel): 1 – DNA ladder 100 bp+; 2 – eci-DNA of *P. tobira* leaves.
208
Eci-DNA shows pronounced insecticidal effect after topical application
Next, to check whether this uniformly secreted DNA could exhibit an insecticidal effect as an eci-
DNA, we topically applied it on *C. hesperidum* larvae (1.2 ng of eci-DNA per larva of 2–2.2 mm^2
body size). Four days post treatment, we assessed the mortality rate and found that it killed about
80% of the larvae (eci-DNA vs. control; $\chi^2 = 312,225$; $p < 0.001$; N = 633; df = 1), while the
scrambled control containing a random single-stranded oligonucleotide (ACTG)$_{14}$ did not show any
toxicity when compared with the water-treated control group, which both showed 10% mortality
(ACTG)$_{14}$ vs. control; $\chi^2 = 0,006$; $p > 0.05$; N = 645; df = 1) (Figure 2).
217

Figure 2. The isecticidal effect of the eci-DNA on *C. hesperidum* larvae. Mortality of *C. hesperidum* larvae after topical treatment with eci-DNA, (ACTG)$_{14}$ and water-treated control. The significance of difference in the eci-DNA group compared to the (ACTG)$_{14}$ and water-treated control is indicated by * at $p < 0.01$

Eci-DNA mainly contains nuclear DNA

To understand the origin of the eci-DNA and whether it is derived from nuclear or chloroplast DNA, we amplified either 5.8S rDNA (nuclear) or 23S rDNA (chloroplast) using specific primers (Table 1). As a negative control, we measured the concentration of nuclear rDNA and chloroplast rDNA in intact *P. tobira* leaves. The quantitative PCR results showed that the concentration ratio between nuclear rDNA and chloroplast rDNA is 7.7 to 1 in the intact *P. tobira* leaves ($p < 0.01$). Surprisingly, in the eci-DNA samples, the ratio between nucleus rDNA and chloroplast rDNA was 1.6 to 1, which represents about five fold (4.8 fold; $p < 0.01$) increase in chrloroplast rDNA (Figure 3). This suggests that the eci-DNA originates mainly from chloroplasts of the affected leaves.

Figure 3. Relative concentration of nuclear rDNA and chloroplast rDNA in total DNA from intact *P. tobira* leaves (A) and eci-DNA (B). Nuclear rDNA was taken as 1 (100 %)

Eci-DNA is predominantly single-stranded

Next, we decided to investigate the nature of the DNA fragments in eci-DNA, whether they were predominantly ssDNA or dsDNA. To this end, we first treated DNA in intact *P. tobira* leaves with ExoI nuclease (degrades ssDNA), which specifically cleaves ssDNA, and followed with qPCR

quantification. We found that 99% of the DNA was double-stranded from both nuclear and chloroplast fractions ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Relative concentration of nuclear rDNA (A) and chloroplast rDNA (B) in intact *P. tobira* leaves after using ExoI nuclease. Total DNA from intact plant leaves (non-treated with ExoI nuclease) is taken as 1 (100 %)

Surprisingly, eci-DNA treatment with ExoI nuclease completely reversed the previous profile and showed that it mainly consisted of single-stranded DNA: 24.8:1 (ssDNA:dsDNA; $p < 0.01$) for nuclear DNA and 103.1:1 (ssDNA:dsDNA; $p < 0.01$) for chloroplast DNA (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Relative concentration of nuclear rDNA (A) and chloroplast rDNA (B) from eci-DNA after treatment with ExoI nuclease. Eci-DNA (non-treated with ExoI nuclease) is taken as 1 (100 %).

P. tobira leaves show a positive staining for eci-DNA
To confirm the presence of eci-DNA on *P. tobira* leaves, we performed histological analyses where we stained both ssDNA and dsDNA at different parts of the leaves. The stainings show that in the control section (without staining), a number of structures of the anatomical preparation of the leaf had autofluorescence (Figure 6, A). However, after staining with fluorochrome acridine orange, we found that the cuticle on the adaxial part of the leaf fluoresced predominantly in green, indicating

the presence of double-stranded nucleic acid. A thin layer of the peripheral part of the cuticle
fluoresced clearly in red-orange, confirming the presence of single-stranded nucleic acid in this
layer (Figure 6, B). Interestingly, the *C. hesperidum* pest predominantly settled on the abaxial part of
P. tobira leaves, where the nucleic acid layer was less pronounced. Further studies were conducted
to understand which nucleic acid (DNA or RNA) was present and fluoresced on the leaf surface in
the layers fluorescing green and red-orange (Figure 6, C). For this purpose, before staining with
fluorochrome, *P. tobira* leaves were pre-treated for 20 minutes with RNase A (Figure 6, D), DNase
(Figure 6, E), and ExoI (Figure 6, F) nucleases and then washed out with water.
The results reveal that treatment of the adaxial side of leaves with RNase A (degrades ssRNA and
dsRNA) did not induce significant differences from the control group, indicating the absence of both
single-stranded and double-stranded RNA on the surface of *P. tobira* leaves. The green cuticle layer
and the red-orange cuticle layer remained unchanged (Figure 6, D). On the contrary, under DNase
treatment (degrades ssDNA and dsDNA), the green fluorescence of the cuticle on the adaxial side of
the leaf changed to yellow, confirming that the double-stranded nucleic acid found on the leaves was
dsDNA. The clearly structured red-orange layer of single-stranded nucleic acid also disappeared,
indicating that it was formed by ssDNA. These effects led to the formation of a loose layer
characteristic of unstructured and partially degraded ssDNA (Figure 6, E). Upon ExoI treatement
(degrades ssDNA), the red-orange layer of single-stranded acid became noticeably thinner, which
also confirms the presence of single-stranded DNA on the leaf surface (Figure 6, F). However, the
green layer of double-stranded nucleic acid remained unchanged. The results obtained are consistent
with those obtained by PCR and confirm the presence of single-stranded DNA in the outermost
layer of the cuticle of *P. tobira* leaves.
284

285
Figure 6. Anatomical preparations of *P. tobira* leaf cross-sections stained with acridine orange fluorochrome: A –
temporary preparation in native state, not treated with anything; B – preparation stained with fluorochrome (adaxial
surface, AD); C – preparation stained with fluorochrome (abaxial surface, AB); D – treated with RNase A before
staining with fluorochrome; E – treated with DNase before staining with fluorochrome; F – treated with ExoI before
staining with fluorochrome; ssNA – layer of single-stranded nucleic acid, dsNA – layer of double-stranded nucleic acid
(explanation is in the text).

Discussion

The results obtained in this study suggest that *P. tobira* cells possess a mechanism for fragmenting genomic DNA into smaller fragments and converting them into single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). This phenomenon is particularly intriguing in the context of eci-DNA, which appears to modulate gene expression in *C. hesperidum* larvae through sequence complementarity to their mRNAs and rRNAs causing death of the pest. Similar to CUADb-based oligonucleotide insecticides, eci-DNA likely functions via targeted gene silencing, acting on both protein-coding and ribosomal RNA sequences. This is supported by the discovery of two 11 nucleotides (nt) sequences in the plastome of *P. tobira* that exhibit perfect complementarity to *C. hesperidum* rRNA (28S, MF594311.1; 18S, MF594274.1), suggesting a potential mechanism for DNA containment-mediated insecticidal effects (Oberemok et al., 2025). Given that the rDNA of *C. hesperidum* is only partially sequenced, additional complementary sequences may exist, further reinforcing this hypothesis. Thus, eci-DNA can also act through the targeting of pest rRNAs in a way similar to CUADb-based oligonucleotide pesticides (Kumar et al., 2025).

In our opinion, single-stranded methylated (5mC) eci-DNA can also serve as a complex of primers for chain extension on complementary mRNA and rRNA templates inside insect cells. For this reason, the most critical role will be played by the 3'-end of the DNA sequence, which should be complementary to the RNA target, based on literature data, by at least 6 nt (Ryu et al., 2000). We assume that, during DNA containment mechanism, DNA elongation (signal amplification) based on eci-DNA can be complemented in some insects by host reverse transcriptases, with characteristics similar to retroelements found in some insect genomes (Gong and Han, 2018). This suggested response of the cells can be explained by their pursuit to strengthen (amplify) the signal and get a longer DNA strand that can find more parts of the target RNA for their degradation by RNase H (obviously existing also as a defence against overreplication of retroelements). Probably, that is why we found importance of complementarity of 3′-end of olinscides for insecticidal effect in scale insects, since 3′-end nt is important for further DNA synthesis in 5′-3′ direction (Gal'chinsky et al., 2024). This hypothesis requires further investigation (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Hypothetical mechanism of action of eci-DNA in insect cells: reverse transcriptase elongates plant eci-DNA (signal amplification) and enhances degradation of insect RNA by RNase H.

A striking observation in our study was the shift in the ratio of nuclear to chloroplast rDNA in eci-
DNA compared to intact leaf DNA. While intact *P. tobira* leaves exhibited a nuclear-to-chloroplast
rDNA ratio of 7.7:1, this ratio shifted to 1.6:1 in eci-DNA, indicating a substantial enrichment of
chloroplast-derived DNA. This finding suggests that *P. tobira* may selectively incorporate
chloroplast DNA into eci-DNA. One plausible explanation is that chloroplasts, which are essential
for photosynthesis, may become less vital in cells covered by sedentary insect pests due to the
accumulation of honeydew (Douglas, 2009; Ali et al., 2024). Deprived of direct sunlight, these cells
may repurpose chloroplast components to produce eci-DNA as a defensive adaptation. This would
be a novel adaptation, allowing plants to utilise existing cellular components for pest defence
without significant metabolic trade-offs. This hypothesis aligns with previous reports on chloroplast
degradation in senescing or stressed plant cells (Ono et al., 1995), suggesting a broader role for
plastid dynamics in plant-insect interactions. Additionally, our results indicate that outermost layer
of ecDNA (eci-DNA) predominantly consists of ssDNA, as confirmed by nuclease treatment and
PCR analysis. The conversion of plant-derived ecDNA into ssDNA may enhance its stability or
facilitate its interaction with pest nucleic acids, thus increasing its effectiveness as an insecticidal
agent (Kumar et al., 2020). It remains unclear whether this ssDNA formation is an active regulatory
process by the plant or a consequence of enzymatic degradation by microbial nucleases. Further
research is needed to determine whether different environmental or biotic factors influence this
ssDNA predominance.
The ecological implications of eci-DNA extend beyond *P. tobira* and *C. hesperidum*. Given the
widespread occurrence of sternorrhynchans (including scale insects, mealybugs, and psyllids) in
tropical and subtropical regions, it is conceivable that eci-DNA-mediated pest control is a common
strategy in evergreen plants. This study provides new insights into a naturally occurring defence
mechanism that parallels synthetic CUAD biotechnology, reinforcing their potential safety and
efficacy (Kumar et al., 2025). Future investigations should explore whether eci-DNA production
varies among plant species, whether different pests elicit distinct DNA fragment compositions, and
whether environmental conditions influence eci-DNA efficacy. Overall, our findings suggest that
eci-DNA represents a previously unrecognized form of plant defence, where selective DNA
fragmentation and chloroplast DNA enrichment play a role in mitigating herbivory by sedentary
insect pests. Understanding the molecular underpinnings of this process could pave the way for
novel bioinsecticidal applications, leveraging natural plant defence mechanisms for sustainable pest
management similar to 'genetic zipper' technology.
It is important to note that this study examined the outermost layer of ecDNA (eci-DNA) of the
plant itself on the leaf cuticle. We found that plant eci-DNA is single-stranded and enriched in
chloroplast DNA compared to genomic DNA in intact *P. tobira* cells. However, we understand that
microbial and insect DNA may also contribute to the formation of eci-DNA. The form in which
their DNA may present in eci DNA is still unknown and will be the subject of further research.
361
Conclusion
This study demonstrates that the outermost layer of ecDNA (eci-DNA) of *P. tobira* leaves is
predominantly single-stranded and exhibits a significant insecticidal effect on the pest *C.*
hesperidum. Under natural conditions, plant DNA is unwound, partially degraded, and forms a
previously unrecognised nanolayer of ssDNA on leaf. This adaptation may serve as a natural
defence mechanism against sap-feeding insects. Notably, chloroplast DNA disproportionately
enriched in eci-DNA compared to total cellular DNA, suggesting an active release mechanism for
chloroplast-derived sequence in eci-DNA formation. The insecticidal activity of natural DNA
appears to function through a DNA containment mechanism, wherein eci-DNA interferes with the
expression of plant mRNAs and non-coding RNAs (including rRNAs) that share full or partial
sequence complementarity. Intriguingly, the 'genetic zipper' technology, developed in the

laboratory for pest control, has a strikingly similar analogue in nature, underscoring the evolutionary convergence of DNA-based defence strategies. The findings of this study support that CUAD biotechnology is a nature-based pest control solution, reinforcing its safety and potential for agricultural applications. CUADb-based oligonucleotide pesticides hold promise as standalone treatments or as components of integrated bioformulations for the selective management of a wide range of insect pests, ushering in a new era of DNA-programmable plant protection.

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments
We thank our many colleagues, too numerous to name, for the technical advances and lively discussions that have prompted us to write this article. We are greateful to Dr. A. Sharmagiy and Dr. Yu. Plugatar from Nikita Botanical Gardens – National Scientific Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Yalta, Russia) for they technical help with the experiments. We apologise to the many colleagues whose work has not been cited. We are very much indebted to all anonymous reviewers and our colleagues from the Lab on DNA technologies, PCR analysis and creation of DNA insecticides (V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University, Institute of Biochemical Technologies, Ecology and Pharmacy, Department of General Biology and Genetics), and OLINSCIDE BIOTECH LLC. for valuable comments on our manuscript.

Funding
The research was funded by a grant from the RSF "Improvement and expansion of the arsenal of oligonucleotide pesticides based on rRNA genes of pests and the search for their analogues in nature" (project no. 25-16-20070).

References:
Ali, J., Abbas, A., Abbas, S., Ji, Y., Khan, K.A., Ghramh, H.A., Mahamood, M., Chen, R., 2024. Honeydew: A keystone in insect–plant interactions, current insights and future perspectives. Journal of Applied Entomology. 148, 727-733. https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.13269.

Barbero, F., Guglielmotto, M., Capuzzo, A., Maffei, M.E., 2016. Extracellular self-DNA (esDNA), but not heterologous plant or insect DNA (etDNA), induces plasma membrane depolarization and calcium signaling in lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*) and maize (*Zea mays*). Int J Mol Sci. 17(10), 1659. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17101659.

Berne, C., Kysela, D.T., Brun, Y.V., 2010. A bacterial extracellular DNA inhibits settling of motile progeny cells within a biofilm. Molecular Microbiology. 77(4), 815–29. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07267.x.

Cedden, D., Bucher, G., 2024. The quest for the best target genes for RNAi-mediated pest control. Insect Mol Biol. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12966.

Douglas, A.E., 2009. Honeydew, in: Resh, V.H., Cardé, R.T. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Insects (Second Edition). Academic Press, pp. 461-463.

Duran-Flores, D., Heil, M., 2018. Extracellular self-DNA as a damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) that triggers self-specific immunity induction in plants. Brain Behav Immun. 72, 78–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2017.10.010.

Finkel, S.E., Kolter, R., 2001. DNA as a nutrient: novel role for bacterial competence gene
homologs. Journal of Bacteriology. 183(21), 6288–93. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.21.6288-
6293.2001.

Gal'chinsky, N.V., Yatskova, E.V., Novikov, I.A., Sharmagiy, A.K., Plugatar, Y.V., Oberemok,
V.V., 2024. Mixed insect pest populations of Diaspididae species under control of oligonucleotide
insecticides: 3'-end nucleotide matters. Pestic Biochem Physiol. 200, 105838.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2024.105838.

Gong, Z., Han, G.Z., 2018. Insect Retroelements Provide Novel Insights into the Origin of Hepatitis
B Viruses. Mol Biol Evol. 35(9), 2254-2259. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy129.

Hu, W., Li, L., Sharma, S., Wang, J., McHardy, I., Lux, R., Yang, Z., He, X., Gimzewski, J.K., Li,
Y., Shi, W., 2012. DNA Builds and Strengthens the Extracellular Matrix in Myxococcus xanthus
Biofilms by Interacting with Exopolysaccharides. PLOS ONE. 7(12), e51905.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051905.

Kumar H, Gal'chinsky N, Sweta V, Negi N, Filatov R, Chandel A, Ali J, Oberemok V, Laikova K.,
2025. Perspectives of RNAi, CUADb and CRISPR/Cas as Innovative Antisense Technologies for
Insect Pest Control: From Discovery to Practice. Insects. 16(7), 746.
https://doi.org/10.3390/insects16070746

Kumar, S., Nehra, M., Dilbaghi, N., Marrazza, G., Tuteja, S.K., Kim, K.H., 2020. Nanovehicles for
Plant Modifications towards Pest- and Disease-Resistance Traits. Trends Plant Sci. 25(2), 198-212.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2019.10.007.

Mulcahy, H., Charron-Mazenod, L., Lewenza, S., 2008. "Extracellular DNA chelates cations and
induces antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms". PLOS Pathogens. 4(11),
e1000213. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000213.

Oberemok V, Gal'chinsky N, Novikov I, Sharmagiy A, Yatskova E, Laikova E, Plugatar Y., 2025.
Ribosomal RNA-Specific Antisense DNA and Double-Stranded DNA Trigger rRNA Biogenesis
and Insecticidal Effects on the Insect Pest *Coccus hesperidum*. International Journal of Molecular
Sciences. 26(15), 7530. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26157530

Oberemok, V.V., Useinov, R.Z., Skorokhod, O.A., Gal'chinsky, N.V., Novikov, I.A., Makalish,
T.P., Yatskova, E.V., Sharmagiy, A.K., Golovkin, I.O., Gninenko, Y.I., Puzanova, Y.V., Andreeva,
O.A., Alieva, E.E., Eken, E., Laikova, K.V., Plugatar, Y.V., 2022. Oligonucleotide Insecticides for
Green Agriculture: Regulatory Role of Contact DNA in Plant–Insect Interactions. Int J Mol Sci.
23(24), 15681. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232415681.

Ono, K., Hashimoto, H., Katoh, S., 1995. Changes in the Number and Size of Chloroplasts during
Senescence of Primary Leaves of Wheat Grown under Different Conditions. Plant and Cell
Physiology. 36(1), 9–17. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a078749.

Palazzo, A.F., Lee, E.S., 2015. Non-coding RNA: what is functional and what is junk? Front Genet.
6, 2. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00002.

Rigler, R., 1996. Microfluorimetric characterization of intracellular nucleic acids and nucleoproteins
by acridine orange. Acta Physiol Scand Suppl. 67(267).

Ryu, K.H., Choi, S.H., Lee, J.S., 2000. Restriction primers as short as 6-mers for PCR amplification
of bacterial and plant genomic DNA and plant viral RNA. Mol Biotechnol. 14(1), 1-3.
https://doi.org/10.1385/MB:14:1:01.

Tani, K., Nasu, M., 2010. "Roles of Extracellular DNA in Bacterial Ecosystems", in Kikuchi, Y.,

Rykova, E.Y. (Eds.), Extracellular Nucleic Acids. Springer. pp. 25–38.

Vlassov, V.V., Laktionov, P.P., Rykova, E.Y., 2007. "Extracellular nucleic acids". BioEssays. 29(7),

654–67. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20604.

Whitchurch, C.B., Tolker-Nielsen, T., Ragas, P.C., Mattick, J.S., 2002. Extracellular DNA required

for bacterial biofilm formation. Science. 295(5559), 1487.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.295.5559.1487.