Genotype-phenotype correlations in Wilms tumor initiation

N.S. Pop[1], D. Koot[1], C.M. Brouwers[2], M.M. Linssen[2], J.W.C. Claassens[2], C.W.J. Cartlidge[3], D.D. Özdemir[3], K.S. Dolt[1,3], P. Hohenstein[1,2,3,4,*]

[1]Department of Human Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands

[2]Transgenic Facility Leiden, Central Animal Facility, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands

[3]Division of Developmental Biology, The Roslin Institute, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

[4]Lead contact

* Correspondence: p.hohenstein@lumc.nl

Summary

Wilms tumor, the most common pediatric kidney cancer, arises from abnormal embryonic kidney development. Therapy resistance and tumor recurrence remain major challenges, likely driven by the presence of Cancer Stem Cells (CSCs). Here, we elucidate the earliest pathogenic events in genomically engineered mouse models exhibiting loss of *Wt1* or *LIN28B* overexpression, two Wilms tumor driver genes. Loss of *Wt1* causes a spatially and temporarily lineage-specific phenotype, with the first blastemal-like cells appearing in combined nephrogenic and stromal conditional loss of *Wt1*. In contrast, *LIN28B* overexpression leads to postnatal blastemal overgrowth with presence of cells co-expressing the Ncam1, Aldh1a2, Six2, and Cited1 CSC markers, suggesting a mixed and unstable uninduced/induced blastemal population, and revealing cellular heterogeneity within early tumors. Our findings demonstrate a detailed framework of the earliest pathogenic events, and corresponding cancer stem cell marker expression patterns, driven by biologically distinct mutated genes.

Highlights and eTOC blurb

1. Lineage-specific *Wt1* loss shows spatially and temporally distinct phenotypes.

2. Early loss of Wt1 delays nephrogenesis and triggers early blastemal expansion.

3. LIN28B overexpression expands NPCs which induce postnatal blastemal overgrowth.

4. LIN28B overexpression yields blastema co-expressing four CSC markers.

eTOC Blurb (<60 words)

In this study, Pop and colleagues dissect the lineage-specific functions of Wt1 and LIN28B during kidney development. Using conditional mouse models, they show that Wt1 loss depending on the spatial and temporal context blocks nephrogenesis, while LIN28B overexpression expands progenitor-like populations, marked as blastemal-like cells, co-express the four established CSC markers. These findings highlight initial steps of Wilms tumorigenesis.

Introduction

Wilms tumor is the most common pediatric renal malignancy, occurring in approximately 1 in 10,000 children, typically before the age of five (Spreafico et al., 2021). They arise from aberrant embryonic kidney development, potentially during the critical window of definitive kidney development between the fifth and eight week of gestation (Zheng et al., 2023). Standardized diagnostic and therapeutic procedures have made it possible to cure nearly 90% of the affected children. However, therapy resistance, postoperative recurrence, and high-risk Wilms tumors remain significant clinical challenges. Histological analyses consistently reveal persistence of embryonic renal structures within tumors (Beckwith et al., 1990; Hohenstein et al., 2015), including a subset of tumor cells resembling progenitor-like cells. These observations are consistent with the cancer stem cell (CSC) model, in which a small population of stem-like cells drives tumor initiation, recurrence, and therapy resistance, potentially explaining the poor outcomes observed in high-risk Wilms tumor (Bonnet and Dick, 1997; Ginestier et al., 2007; Pode-Shakked et al., 2013). Understanding the origin of these Wilms tumor CSCs would provide valuable insights in the biology of WT and the earliest pathogenic events driving Wilms tumor formation, thus providing new leads to improve patient prognosis and develop targeted therapies.

To date, 46 Wilms tumor predisposition and driver genes have been identified, many of which are essential for embryonic kidney development (Spreafico *et al.*, 2021). Among them, Wilms Tumor 1 (*WT1*) is the most extensively studied. Although best known as a transcription factor, WT1 can bind both RNA and DNA, functioning as either an activator or repressor depending on the cellular and chromatin context (Essafi et al., 2011; Hohenstein and Hastie, 2006). During nephrogenesis, WT1 is critical for driving mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) in nephron progenitor cells (NPCs). Approximately 15% of patients carry loss-of-function *WT1* mutations, which are frequently associated with ectopic tissue differentiation into skeletal

muscle, fat, and less commonly bone or cartilage (Miyagawa et al., 1998; Schumacher et al.,

2003).

Another Wilms tumor driver gene, *LIN28B*, is primarily expressed in progenitor cells,

where it promotes proliferation and blocks differentiation by inhibiting maturation of the let-7

microRNAs, thereby upregulating oncogenic targets including *RAS*, *MYC*, and *HMGA2*

(Viswanathan and Daley, 2010). LIN28B has been implicated in tumor progression, epithelial-to-

mesenchymal transition, CSC formation, and therapy resistance (Cotino-Najera et al., 2024; Li

et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2013). Its precise role in kidney development is unclear, its declining

expression by embryonic day (E)16.5 suggests a temporally restricted function during

nephrogenesis (Viswanathan and Daley, 2010; Yermalovich et al., 2019). The earliest phenotypic

events following *WT1* loss or *LIN28B* overexpression in Wilms tumor patients remain largely

unknown, limiting our understanding of how these mutations initiate tumorigenesis.

Several studies defined the Wilms tumor CSC in patient-derived material (Petrosyan et

al., 2023; Pode-Shakked *et al.*, 2013). NCAM1^{+} cells with high ALDH activity were found capable

of initiating xenograft tumors, fulfilling key CSC criteria, although these cells lost tumorigenic

potential when cultured *ex vivo* (Pode-Shakked et al., 2009; Pode-Shakked *et al.*, 2013). More

recently a SIX2^{+}CITED1^{+} population was described as a different kind of CSC, maintaining tumor-

initiating capacity in culture and meeting the CSC criteria (Petrosyan *et al.*, 2023).

These identified CSC markers have distinct functions during normal embryonic kidney

development. Polysialylated NCAM1 (PSA-NCAM1), the embryonic version of the neural cell

adhesion molecule 1 (NCAM1), is an important protein that mediates cell-cell adhesion, is

expressed on NPCs and endothelial cells and facilitates cell movement during kidney

development (Niculovic et al., 2025). The protein is expressed in undifferentiated metanephric

mesenchyme at E11.5-E12.5 and in early nephron structures (comma- and S-shaped bodies)

during kidney development, but is absent postnatally (Markovic-Lipkovski et al., 2007). *Ncam1-*

deficient mice exhibit impaired glomerular vascularization, with reduced endothelial cell

numbers and smaller glomeruli, indicating an essential role for NCAM1 in kidney vascularization in the mouse embryonic kidney (Niculovic *et al.*, 2025). ALDH activity, measurable using the ALDEFLUOR assay, is a widely used CSC marker (Ginestier *et al.*, 2007). Multiple ALDH isoforms contribute to this signal, including ALDH1A1, ALDH1A2, ALDH1A3 and ALDH2 (Zhou et al., 2019). ALDH enzymes synthesize retinoic acid from retinaldehyde, a critical signaling molecule that regulates cell differentiation, proliferation, and tissue patterning (Niederreither et al., 1999). Expression is lineage- and stage-specific: *Aldh1a1* and *Aldh1a3* are dynamically expressed in differentiating tubular structures derived from the nephrogenic lineage or ureteric bud, whereas *Aldh1a2* is mainly localized in the cortical stroma and essential for ureteric bud outgrowth and nephron formation, and is weakly expressed in the early nephron (comma- and S-shaped bodies, and in the glomerulus) (Li et al., 2020; Li et al., 2017).

Transcription factors *Six2* and *Cited1* mark uninduced NCPs (Kobayashi et al., 2008; Mugford et al., 2009). Upon NPCs induction, *Cited1* expression is lost first, followed by *Six2* (Mugford *et al.*, 2009). The persistence of SIX2^{+}CITED1^{+} populations in Wilms tumor xenografts suggests that these tumors may originate from nephron progenitors that failed to undergo proper differentiation, although this developmental process has yet to be conclusively demonstrated. The normal expression pattern of these CSC markers suggest that NCAM1^{+}ALDH-activity is a natural occurrence in early nephron structures (comma- and S-shaped bodies), whereas SIX2^{+}CITED1^{+} reflects a transcriptional signature of uninduced NPCs.

Genetically engineered mouse models provide a powerful model to study the earliest phenotypic effects of tumor-initiating mutations in a controlled developmental context. These models allow us to track emergence, expansion, and behavior of progenitor-like cell populations that could act as CSCs. Therefore, we use lineage specific loss of *Wt1* and *LIN28B* overexpression mouse models to study the genotype-phenotype correlations during kidney development, and their effects on CSC marker expression.

Results

Conditional Wt1 knockout models

We previously knocked out *Wt1* at different stages of nephron development using *Nes*-Cre, *Pax8*-Cre a *Wnt4*-Cre drivers, showing that the earliest, pre-MET loss of *Wt1* using *Nes*-Cre most closely resembled *WT1*-mutant tumors. It lead to an expansion of mesenchyme and a block of MET (Berry et al., 2015). The metanephric mesenchyme gives rise to the condensed mesenchyme containing the nephron progenitor cells (NPCs), expressing *Six2* (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2008), and the remaining uncondensed mesenchyme containing stromal progenitor cells with a distinct expression pattern of *Foxd1* (Kobayashi et al., 2014). Both populations transiently express *Wt1* (Armstrong et al., 1993; Berry *et al.*, 2015; Weiss et al., 2020). The *Nes*-Cre model affects both lineages, and therefore cannot distinguish between the roles of *Wt1* in them. To determine the nephrogenic- and stromal-specific effects when *Wt1* is lost, we used three Cre-drivers: $Six2^{\text{GFPCre-IRES-PuroR}}$ ($Six2^{\text{GCiP}}$) to target the nephrogenic lineage, $Foxd1^{\text{GFPCre}}$ ($Foxd1^{\text{GC}}$) to target the stromal lineage, and $Wt1^{\text{CreERT2}}$ ($Wt1^{\text{CE}}$) for targeting both lineages in a tamoxifen-dependent controlled manner (Dolt et al., 2013; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2014; Zhou et al., 2008). We crossed the three Cre-drivers with the *Wt1* conditional allele (Martinez-Estrada et al., 2010) and in some case included the lox-stop-lox tdTomato reporter (Madisen et al., 2010) to follow the lineage of mutant and control cells. This conditional *Wt1* knockout model is a phenocopy of the conventional model(Kreidberg et al., 1993) when driven by a germline Cre deleter strain, and does not have a phenotype when driven by the *Hoxb7*-Cre ureteric bud-specific driver (Berry *et al.*, 2015).

Six2-driven Wt1 loss blocks nephrogenesis

To selectively delete *Wt1* in the nephrogenic lineage, we used the *Six2*[GCiP] driver to knockout *Wt1*

in the developing kidney (Fig. 1A). *Six2* is expressed in NPCs from E10.5 in the metanephric

mesenchyme and later in the cap mesenchyme, and is predicted to be a direct transcriptional

target of *Wt1*(Kobayashi *et al.*, 2008; O'Brien et al., 2018). As NPCs form pretubular aggregates

(PTA), which epithelializes into a renal vesicles (RV), comma-shaped and S-shaped bodies to

form a mature nephron (McMahon, 2016), *Six2* expression is lost.

Ex vivo kidney organ culture time lapse imaging of the *Six2*[GCiP] allele (Dolt *et al.*, 2013) in

control E12.5 embryonic kidneys confirmed *Six2*-linked GFP expression in the cap mesenchyme,

and the tdTomato lineage reporter confirmed contribution to the nephrogenic lineage, with

exclusion from the stroma and ureteric bud (Fig. 1B, left panel; supplementary movie 1A). In

contrast, e*x vivo* kidney organ cultures of E12.5 *Six2*[GCiP] driven *Wt1*-mutant kidneys showed

severely disrupted nephron differentiation and ureteric bud branching morphogenesis (Fig. 1B,

right panel; supplementary movie 1B). At 0hrs, the *Wt1*-mutant eGFP expressing cells

surrounded the ureteric bud tip, but subsequently the eGFP signal decreased, indicative of cells

losing SIX2-expression, consistent with *Six2* as potential *Wt1* target (O'Brien *et al.*, 2018). The

tdTomato-expressing mutant cells accumulated around the ureteric bud tip, or migrated rapidly

outwards. Whole mount immunohistochemical staining confirmed loss of SIX2 in the tdTomato-

expressing cells (Fig. 1C).

Consistent with the time lapse imaging, histological analysis of E12.5 embryos showed

ureteric bud tips surrounded by mesenchymal-like cells (Fig. 1D). Immunohistochemistry

confirmed loss of SIX2 expression in tdTomato-expressing cells (Fig. 1E, top panels), which had

lost WT1 expression and surrounded a CDH1-positive tubular structure (Fig. 1E, bottom panels).

Surrounding stroma, negative for tdTomato, continued to express WT1. Proliferative marker KI67

is reduced in the kidney region (Sup. Fig. 5A-B).

At E18.5, mutants exhibited structures resembling early budding stage. Sectioning the

isolated urogenital system complicated the identification of the kidney remnants in 2D, due to

their lower localization in the embryo (Fig. 1F, arrows). The derived structures were negative for

SIX2, WT1, and CDH1 expression, making it difficult to define their developmental stage, cellular

identity, or structural nature. Nevertheless, these structures were located in the anatomical

region where kidneys are expected to form.

Foxd1-driven Wt1 loss disrupts ureteric bud branching and cortical organization

We used the *Foxd1*^{GC} driver (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2014) to delete *Wt1* specifically in FOXD1$^+$ stromal

progenitors (Fig. 2A). The FOXD1$^+$ stromal progenitors originate from the uncondensed

mesenchyme and are first present around E11.0, when they begin populating the cortical and

medullary interstitium, the fibrocytes of the capsular tissue and the vascular-supporting

pericytes (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2014).

Lineage tracing of *Foxd1*^{GC} through tdTomato expression in *ex vivo* control kidney organ

cultures confirmed expression in the stromal lineage surrounding the nephrogenic caps and

branched ureteric bud (Fig. 2B, left panel; supplementary movie 2A). In contrast, *ex vivo* organ

cultures of *Foxd1*^{GC} driven *Wt1*-mutant kidneys showed altered ureteric bud morphogenesis,

characterized by reduced branching complexity, elongated and sparser branches, increased

spacing between them, and fewer terminal tips (Fig. 2B-C; supplementary movie 2B) - a

phenotype similar to that described previously (Weiss *et al.*, 2020). Mutant kidneys are smaller,

consistent with reduced branching complexity and fewer nephrogenic caps (Fig. 2D).

Histological analysis of E12.5 sections confirmed decreased ureteric bud branching in

the *Wt1*-mutants (Fig. 2E). Ureteric bud tips were surrounded by loosely organized NPCs. The

Foxd1-lineage confirmed strong contribution to the stromal compartment (Fig. 2F, upper panels).

We observed some SIX2$^+$tdTomato$^+$ cells in the cap mesenchyme of control kidneys (Fig. 2F,

white arrows), though these cells expressed lower SIX2 levels compared to surrounding cap

mesenchymal. Such double-positive cells were absent in *Foxd1*-driven *Wt1*-mutant kidneys.

Staining verified loss of WT1 in the stroma surrounding the cap mesenchyme, while the cap

mesenchyme itself remained WT1$^+$ (Fig 2F lower panels).

At E18.5, mutant kidneys appeared smaller, with expanded cortical stroma between the

developing nephrons – consistent with the phenotype described by Weiss et al (Fig. 2G). We

observed disrupted nephrogenic zone organization, including SIX2$^+$ caps that lost their apical

orientation and started moving inwards (Fig. 2H upper panels, white filled arrow; Sup. Fig. S1).

This may be the consequence of expansion and migration of cortical stromal cells into the

nephrogenic zone. We detected tdTomato$^+$ cells in or around proximal tubules (LTL$^+$) (Fig. 2H,

white open arrows). It is not clear if these cells are part of the proximal tubules. Staining for WT1

in control kidneys at E18.5 showed low expression in the stroma, which was absent in mutants

(Fig. 2H lower panels). Proliferative marker KI67 is highly expressed in the nephrogenic zone,

comparable to the control kidneys (Sup. Fig. 5A-B).

Combined nephrogenic and stromal Wt1 loss delays kidney development and initiates

blastemal features

Targeting the nephrogenic lineage alone caused a severe developmental block, whereas

targeting the stromal lineage led to stromal overgrowth, nephrogenic delay, and altered ureteric

bud morphogenesis, but each was different form the *Nes*-Cre driven *Wt1* knockout (Berry *et al.*,

2015). Since *Wt1* is expressed in both lineages we combined the conditional *Wt1* knockout and

tdTomato reporter alleles with the *Wt1*^{CE} knock-in driver (Zhou *et al.*, 2008). The latter is itself a

Wt1-inactivating allele, so in the tamoxifen induced embryos the Cre-induced loss of the

conditional *Wt1* copy results in complete loss of the protein (Fig. 3A). To determine the best

window and dosage for targeting the SIX2$^+$ nephrogenic lineage and surrounding stroma, we

tested single dose Tamoxifen (Tx) injections at E8.5, E9.5, E10.5 or E11.5, on embryonic reporter kidneys isolated at E12.5 and *ex vivo* cultured the kidneys from the treated litters for 48-72hrs. The tdTomato signal indicated the lineage of cells where recombination happened (Fig. 3B). Single Tx injections targeted most of the cells of both lineages when injected at E9.5 or E10.5. Double injections at E9.5 and E10.5 increased this number of targeted cells in the nephrogenic zone. Immunostaining for SIX2 expression showed some cells that lacked tdTomato expression, indicating incomplete Cre activity (Fig. 3B, white arrows). This observation of "escaping"-cells is similar to what has been described for the *Nes*-Cre driven *Wt1* conditional knockout model (Berry *et al.*, 2015) although in this case it is likely due to incomplete activation of the recombinase by tamoxifen.

We used the double E9.5+E10.5 Tx treatment to knockout *Wt1*. We compared mutant organs to littermate controls which lacked the CreERT2 allele, but were equally exposed to Tx. In this case the control samples lack Cre activity and will therefore not activate the tdTomato Cre reporter. Lineage tracing of *Wt1*-mutant kidneys revealed smaller kidneys with altered ureteric bud branching and fewer nephrogenic caps (Fig. 3C; supplementary movie 3A-B), similar to the *Foxd1*[GC] driven mutants. The morphology of the cells suggested that mutant cap mesenchymes lacked epithelialization (Fig. 3C, white arrows), a sign of delayed or blocked MET, a process in which we showed WT1 plays a key role before (Berry *et al.*, 2015; Davies et al., 2004; Essafi *et al.*, 2011).

Histological assessment at E12.5 showed a thinner NPC layer in mutants compared to controls (Fig. 3D). The NPC population is dispersed, lacking the tight cap-like structure around the ureteric bud tips. The ureteric bud epithelium appears less branched compared to the control. The stroma in the control is relatively thin and confined around the nephrogenic zone, where in the mutant it appears to be expanded – more stroma surrounding the ureteric bud tips and nephron progenitors. The stroma is less organized and seems loosely packed.

Immunohistochemistry for SIX2 and tdTomato confirmed incomplete Cre activation in mutants,

evidenced by SIX2$^+$tdTomato$^-$ cells (Fig. 3E, white arrows), while controls lacked CreERT2 and

were tdTomato$^-$ (data not shown). Co-staining for SIX2 and WT1 revealed double-positive cells,

the "escaping" SIX2$^+$tdTomato$^-$ cells (Fig. 3E lower panels). CDH1 staining further supported the

presence of widened, misshaped epithelium (Fig. 3E lower panels).

Mutant kidneys at E18.5 were markedly smaller, lacked clear corticomedullary

segmentation, and displayed severely altered nephrogenesis, with only a few glomeruli present

(Fig. 3F). Embryonic-like epithelium was observed in the nephrogenic zone, with emerging

blastemal-like regions (Fig. 3F). Staining for proliferative mark KI67 reveals disorganized

expression of the protein, with high expression observed in the cortical-region (Sup. Fig. 5B white

arrows). Stromal overgrowth was also evident. WT1 immunohistochemistry revealed "escaping"

nephrons (Fig. 3G), consistent with observations at E12.5. Mutant kidneys displayed reduced

LTL$^+$ proximal tubules, consistent with impairment of MET and blocked or delayed nephron

differentiation (Fig. 3G).

ALDH1A2 is the primary contributor to ALDEFLUOR activity in Wilms tumour CSCs

Wilms tumor blastema is associated with the Wilms tumor Cancer Stem Cells (CSCs). As

mentioned above, the two sets of Wilms tumor CSC markers (NCAM1$^+$ with ALDEFLUOR-

activity(Pode-Shakked *et al.*, 2013), and SIX2$^+$CITED1$^+$(Murphy et al., 2012; Petrosyan *et al.*,

2023)) are both linked to tumor maintenance and progression. In the first marker set, ALDH

activity was measured using the ALDEFLUOR assay, which requires living cells, analyzed through

flow cytometry. To investigate whether similar NCAM1/ALDH patterns occur during kidney

development, we repeated the NCAM1/ALDERED assay (analogous to ALDEFLUOR) on

embryonic mouse kidneys. No significant differences were observed between mutant and

control kidneys for all lineage-specific *Wt1* mutant kidneys (Sup. Fig. 2).

To visualize the protein expression levels of the CSC markers over the course of

embryonic kidney development, it was first necessary to determine which ALDH-paralogue was

the primary contributor to the ALDEFLUOR assay in WT patient samples. ALDH1A1, ALDH1A2,

ALDH1A3 and ALDH2 can all contribute to the detected signal (Zhou *et al.*, 2019). In the context

of Wilms tumor, ALDH1A2 has been suggested to be the paralogue associated with CSCs

(Petrosyan *et al.*, 2023; Wegert et al., 2020), but its specificity for Wilms tumors has not yet been

proven. We reanalyzed microarray data (Shukrun et al., 2014) from Wilms tumor xenograft

fractions unsorted and sorted for NCAM1$^+$ALDEFLUOR$^+$ and NCAM1$^+$ALDEFLUOR$^-$ (Sup. Fig. 3A).

The NCAM1+/ALDEFLUOR+ fraction shows high expression for both *ALDH1A2* and *ALDH1A3*.

Nonetheless, *ALDH1A3* expression is not enriched in the CSC fraction given its higher expression

in the unsorted fraction. *ALDH1A1* is low in all fractions and *ALDH2* is high in unsorted and

decreased in both sorted fractions. *ALDH1A2* is the only paralogue specifically upregulated in

the NCAM1+/ALDEFLUOR+ faction and therefore these results indicate that ALDH1A2 is the

principal contributor to the ALDEFLUOR activity in these Wilms tumor CSCs.

To examine ALDH1A-paralogue expression in the developing mouse kidney, E12.5

embryonic kidneys were cultured *ex vivo* for 24, 48 and 72 hours and stained for ALDH1A1,

ALDH1A2, and ALDH1A3. ALDH1A1and ALDH1A3 were weakly detected in the ureteric bud

epithelium, whereas ALDH1A2 showed strong expression in the cortical region of the kidney, in

close proximity of the nephrogenic caps, presumably marking stromal cells (Sup. Fig. 3B). Only

in the E12.5 kidneys cultured for 48hrs weak ALDH1A2 expression was observed in renal vesicle-

shaped structures. Co-staining with FOXD1 antibodies confirmed the stromal identity of the

ALDH1A2 positive cells. These findings support ALDH1A2 is mainly localized to the cortical

stroma, with weaker protein expression patterns in the epithelializing nephron (Sup. Fig. 3C).

CSC Marker sets for committed and uncommitted NPCs

The CSC marker sets were identified on xenograft derived tumor tissues (Petrosyan *et al.*, 2023; Pode-Shakked *et al.*, 2013), which might not reflect the developmental stage of origin anymore. To map these CSC markers onto normal developing kidneys and examine their dynamics in the context of Wilms tumor mutations, we performed immunohistochemistry for NCAM1, ALDH1A2, SIX2, and CITED1 in embryonic kidneys from control and *Wt1*-mutants at E12.5 and E18.5. Due to overlapping antibody host species, ALDH1A2 and CITED1 were analyzed on consecutive sections, resulting in two staining combinations: (NCAM1, ALDH1A2, SIX2; and NCAM1, CITED1, SIX2.

In normal embryonic kidneys, NCAM1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$SIX2$^+$ triple-positive cells localized to the SIX2$^+$CITED1$^-$ committed NPC/PTA population (Fig. 4A, upper panels, white arrows). These committed NPC/PTA cells exhibited low ALDH1A2 expression compared to surrounding stromal cells. In contrast, the uninduced SIX2$^+$CITED1$^+$ cells co-express NCAM1, while they lack ALDH1A2 expression, defining a more uninduced NCAM1$^+$CITED1$^+$SIX2$^+$ population (Fig. 4 A, lower panels). Therefore, in normal developing kidneys ALDH1A2 and CITED1 display a mutually exclusive expression pattern. Early nephron structures such as comma- and S-shaped bodies strongly expressed NCAM1 and ALDH1A2 but lacked SIX2 and CITED1, consistent with expression patterns in other studies(Li *et al.*, 2020; Li *et al.*, 2017; Markovic-Lipkovski *et al.*, 2007).

Lineage-specific *Wt1* loss revealed distinct effects on these populations. *Six2*^{GCiP}-driven *Wt1* mutants at E12.5 showed a reduction in NCAM1, CITED1, and SIX2 expression, while ALDH1A2 expression was observed in the same region where *Wt1* was lost (Fig. 4B upper panels, Fig. 2E). This suggests that *Wt1*-deficient cells obtained an alternative identity, possibly differentiating towards stroma which is characterized by ALDH1A2 expression but not the other markers. At E18.5, the kidney remnants showed a distinct expression pattern as observed at

E12.5. The kidney remnant represents a homogenous tissue structure where NCAM1 is

expressed, ALDH1A2 is high in the nucleus, SIX2 is low and CITED1 is lowly expressed in the

nucleus (Fig. 4B, lower panels). $Foxd1^{GC}$ driven $Wt1$ mutants resembled controls, without

changed CSC marker expression at E12.5 (Fig. 4C). However, we do observe a decline in

ALDH1A2 expression at E18.5 (Fig. 4C). In the $Wt1^{CE}$ model, at E12.5, NCAM1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$SIX2$^+$

triple-positive cells localized to the committed NPCs/PTA similar to controls (Fig. 4D upper

panel). By E18.5, ALDH1A2$^+$ regions expanded substantially, likely reflecting increased stromal

content, whereas NCAM1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$SIX2$^+$ triple-positive committed NPCs/PTA were scarce,

consistent with impaired MET (Fig. 4D). The NCAM1$^+$CITED1$^+$SIX2$^+$ uninduced NPC population

was present at E12.5, with CITED1 also observed in the committed NPCs/PTA (Fig. 4D lower

panels, white arrow), demonstrating CITED1 retention beyond the uncommitted NPC stage. We

cannot test directly if these triple-positive committed NPCs/PTA co-express ALDH1A2 due to the

overlapping antibody species, but ALDH1A2 expression in similar structures was observed (Fig.

4D upper panels). By E18.5, the disorganized nephrogenic zone co-expressed

NCAM1$^+$CITED1$^+$SIX2$^+$ in the caps, in line with observations in the control kidneys (Fig. 4.D lower

panel) representing ALDH1A2$^-$ uninduced NPCs.

LIN28B-overexpression induces developmental disorganization and early Wilms tumor

blastema

To compare the lineage-specific $Wt1$ loss phenotype and WT CSC marker behavior to other

Wilms tumor mutations, we examined the effects of LIN28B overexpression on kidney

development using the doxycycline (dox)-inducible model (Urbach et al., 2014). We used the

same $Wt1^{GC}$ driver used by Urbach et al. to control lineage-specificity of the model, but added an

additional $lgs7^{TRE-LSL-tdTomato}$ allele (Madisen et al., 2015) to express a tdTomato reporter to identify

lineage-specific dox-induced cells (Fig. 5A). Doxycycline was provided from beginning of

gestation (E0). Ex $vivo$ kidney organ cultures of control littermates lacked the $Wt1^{GC}$ allele but

were exposed to doxycycline throughout gestation and *ex vivo* culturing, and showed a normally

developing embryonic kidney without the reporter because of the lack of the Cre allele (Fig. 5B,

upper panel; supplementary movie 4A). Lineage tracing of the mutant kidneys in organ cultures

confirmed dox induced tdTomato expression, thus LIN28B overexpression, in the three lineages

of the developing kidney (Fig. 5B, lower panels). The tdTomato signal was particularly strong in

the ureteric bud, while to lesser extend in the nephrogenic and stromal cells. Mutant kidneys in

organ cultures exhibited enlarged nephrogenic caps and delayed epithelialization based on the

morphology of the cells, identified at E12.5 + 72 hours of kidney culture (Fig. 5B; supplementary

movie 4B).

Histological analysis of LIN28B mutants at E12.5 showed the first signs of delayed

nephrogenesis with fewer renal vesicle-like structures and disorganized epithelium, and

increased stroma between the caps (Fig. 5C, left panel). By E18.5, mutant kidneys displayed

disorganized caps and surface indentations (Fig. 5C, right panel, orange arrows). The mutant

kidney exhibited regions where normal nephrogenesis occurred, alternated with blastemal-like

regions (Fig. 5C, red arrows and circles). These blastemal-like regions surrounding a tubular

CDH1$^+$ structure are possibly NPCs surrounding a ureteric bud tip that turned inwards, possibly

explaining the observed indentations. Staining for SIX2 expression revealed that surface

indentations are lined by SIX2$^+$ caps turning inwards (Fig. 5D, lower panel). Morphologically this

is suggestive for lobe formation, a phenomenon observed in human kidney development but not

in mice. At E12.5, WT1 expression is low in the mutant compared to control. While at E18.5 the

expression pattern is similar to control, but the caps are enlarged (Fig. 5D).

The lobes observed at E18.5 were lost by postnatal day 19 (P19). At P19, the cortico-

medullary segmentation disappeared, and the abnormalities in mutant kidneys developed into

regions where immature embryonal-appearing epithelium was accompanied by small areas of

blastema and stroma, potentially representing beginning Wilms tumors (Fig. 5E). These

blastemal-like regions co-expressed SIX2 and WT1, surrounding CDH1$^+$ embryonal-appearing

epithelium, possibly resembling embryonic cap mesenchymes (Fig. 5F). The SIX2$^+$ blastemal-like

regions exhibited expression patterns similar to committed NPCs, with gradual decline of SIX2

expression while WT1 remained robustly expressed (Fig. 5F, white arrows). The regions that lost

SIX2 appeared to be capable of progressing into RV-like shapes (Fig. 5F, orange arrows).

LIN28B-overexpression preserves embryonic marker profiles postnatally

We repeated the NCAM1/ALDERED assay on embryonic kidneys from the LIN28 model, but again

no significant differences were observed between mutant and control kidneys (Sup. Fig. 4).

Visualization of the four CSC protein markers revealed that the NCAM1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$SIX2$^+$

committed NPCs/PTA were absent in the mutant kidneys at E12.5, consistent with delayed

nephrogenesis (Fig 6A upper panels). NCAM1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$ epithelialized structures, similar to

RV/comma- and S-shaped bodies, lacked SIX2 expression (Fig. 6A, white arrows). We observed

NCAM1$^+$CITED1$^+$SIX2$^+$ committed NPCs/RVs (Fig. 6A lower panels, white arrows), similar as

described for the *Wt1*^{CE} *Wt1* mutant kidneys. By E18.5, NCAM1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$SIX2$^+$ triple-positive

cells were detected within the nephrogenic zone in close proximity to the indentations (Fig. 6B,

upper panel, white arrow). Their expression pattern resembles committed NPCs/PTA, but their

spatial localization differed from that of canonical NPCs/PTAs within the nephrogenic niche. The

observed positioning implies that these cells may have been captured in a state, potentially

representing the earliest stages of blastema formation, rather than undergo nephron

differentiation. This same blastemal-like population of cells co-expressed NCAM1$^+$CITED1$^+$SIX2$^+$

in consecutive sections, suggesting all four CSC makers are co-expressed in the same cells in

this early blastemal-like population (Fig. 6B lower panels, white arrows).

By P19, blastemal regions which we previously showed were positive for SIX2 and WT1

(Fig. 5F) exhibited robust expression of NCAM1, ALDH1A2, CITED1, and SIX2, shown on

consecutive sections (Fig. 6C). Co-staining of consecutive sections demonstrated specific

expression patterns for ALDH1A2 and CITED1, with differential expression patterns for each in

distinct parts of the blastema (Fig. 6C, green arrow: high ALDH1A2, orange arrow: high CITED1,

asterisk: both proteins expressed). This suggests that the blastemal-like population is a

heterogenous population of cells, possibly reflecting uninduced NPC state when

CITED1^{high}ALDH1A2^{low}, and induced NPC state when CITED1^{low}ALDH1A2^{high}. We found a third

population which is quadruple-positive for all markers (Fig. 6C asterisks), and this could

represent a transitional state between uninduced and induced blastema, which is only present

in the mutant. Blastemal populations surrounded embryonic-like tubular structures, potentially

remnants of UB tips. This is similar to the phenotype described by Urbach et al. (2014), who claim

that these blastema structures are remains of cap mesenchymes, and that these structures are

capable of differentiating into nephrogenic structures in the presence of *Wnt4* (Urbach *et al.*,

2014). These blastemal cells remained proliferative, as evidenced by KI67 expression (Sup. Fig.

5).

Methods

Animals

The animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Welfare Body Leiden and are in accordance with the Dutch Experiments on Animals Act and EU Directive 2010/63/EU. For timed pregnancies, males Wt1$^{tm2(cre/ERT2)Wtp}$/J, Wt1$^{tm1.2Ndha}$Six2$^{tm1(EGFP/cre)Phoh}$ and Wt1$^{tm1.2Ndha}$B6;129S4-Foxd1$^{tm1(GFP/Cre)Amc}$/J were crossed with female Wt1$^{tm1.1Ndha}$ to conditionally knockout Wt1. To activate the CreERT2 in the WT2 mouse, tamoxifen (Sigma: T5648) (2mg/40g body weight) dissolved in corn oil (Sigma: C8267) was administered intraperitoneally. Pregnant females received injections at E8.5, E9.5, E10.5, E11.5, or combined at E9.5 and E10.5. To conditionally activate LIN28B, male Wt1$^{tm1(EGFP/cre)Wtp}$/J and Wt1$^{tm1(EGFP/cre)Wtp}$/J*B6.Cg-Igs7$^{tm62.1(tetO-tdTomato)Hze}$/J were crossed with female B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm1(rtTA*M2)Jae}$B6.Cg-Col1a1$^{tm2(tetO-LIN28B)Gqda}$. Doxycycline (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 24390-14-5) (1g/L) was provided via the drinking water to the pregnant female mouse at E0. Pregnant females were euthanized by cervical dislocation for collection of (embryonic) kidneys. Pups at P19 were euthanized by cervical dislocation for kidney collection.

Ex vivo kidney organ culture

Embryonic kidneys were isolated from E12.5 embryos derived from the mouse model crosses described above. Kidneys were dissected and placed on a Transwell polyester membrane insert (0.4 µm pore size, Corning, 3450) in six-well plates. The lower chamber was filled with 1ml kidney culture medium to establish an air-liquid interface. The culture medium consisted of DMEM [Gibco 41966029], MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids 1x [Gibco 11140035], Penicillin-Streptomycin 5U/ml [Gibco 15070063], βMeOH 50µM [Gibco 31350010] and 10% FCS, with or without doxycycline (2µg/mL). Cultures were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO_2. After 1hr, when

kidneys had attached to the membrane, imaging was started in an on-stage incubator chamber.

Images were acquired every hour for 72-96 h. When finished, kidneys were fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1xPBS for 20', washed 3x10' in 1xPBS, followed by whole kidney

immunofluorescent staining as described below.

Histological and immunofluorescent staining

Freshly isolated (embryonic) kidneys or whole embryos were fixed in 4% PFA and embedded in

paraffin. Smaller tissues were first embedded in 2% low-melting agarose prior to paraffin

embedding. 5 µm sections were made using a microtome. Slides with sections were dewaxed

with xylene and rehydrated through a series of washes with 100%, 95% and 80% ethanol.

Hematoxylin (Agilent, S330930-2) staining was performed through the following protocol: slides

were rinsed in deionized water, followed by 3' in hematoxylin, rinsed in deionized water, 5' under

running tap water, 12x dipped in acid ethanol, 2x1' in tap water, 1x2' in deionized water. For the

eosin (Sigma-aldrich, HT110232) stain, slides were stained for 30" in eosin solution, followed by

1' in 80% ethanol, 1' in 90% ethanol, 1' in 100% ethanol and 2x5' in xylene before being mounted

with Pertex (Histolab, 00811-EX). For immunofluorescent staining, antigen retrieval was

performed in 10mM sodium citrate (J.T.Baker, 6132043) buffer with 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma

Aldrich, 274348) (pH 6.0) in a pressure cooker for 20'. Slides were blocked in 1x PBS with 2%

serum for 1hr at RT. Primary antibodies were incubated o/n at 4°C, followed by washing 3x10' in

1xPBS the next day. Secondary antibodies were incubated o/n at 4°C, followed by co-staining for

DAPI (Polysciences, 09224-10) and mounting with FluorSave reagent (Merck Millipore, 345789).

Primary antibodies: Wt1 (1:50; Abcam, ab89901), Six2 (1:200; Abnova, H00010736-M01), E-

cadherin/Cdh1 (1:200; BD Biosciences, 610182), Ncam1 (1:40; R&D systems (Bio Techne),

AF2408), Aldh1a2 (1:100; Abcam, ab96060), Cited1 (1:200; ThermoFisher, 26999-1-AP), LTL

unconjugated-biotinylated (1:400; Vector laboratories, L-1320-5), tdTomato (1:100;

ThermoFisher, TA150128), Ki-67 (1:200; ThermoFisher, MA5-14520). Secondary antibodies: Goat

anti-Rabbit IgG Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (1:1000; Thermofisher,

A32733), Goat anti-Mouse IgG1 Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (1:1000;

Thermofisher, A21121), Goat anti-Mouse IgG2a Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa

Fluor 568 (1:1000; Thermofisher, A21134), Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 546 conjugate (1:1000;

Thermofisher, S11225), Donkey Anti-Goat IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor® 405) preadsorbed (1:1000;

Abcam, ab175665), Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-adsorbed, Alexa Fluor 647 (1:1000;

Thermofisher, A31573), Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed, Alexa Fluor 488

(1:1000; Thermofisher, A21202).

Additional methods can be found in the supplementary files.

Discussion

Due to the early embryonic origin of Wilms tumors, little is known about the earliest phenotypic changes following tumor-initiating mutations. Genetically engineered mouse models provide a unique and powerful model to study these effects in a controlled and well-understood developmental context. Here we present an extensive characterization of the early events after Wilms tumor mutation induction in mouse models.

Previous studies in human Wilms tumor xenografts identified two marker sets for CSCs: NCAM1$^+$ with ALDEFLUOR-activity (Pode-Shakked *et al.*, 2013) and SIX2$^+$CITED1$^+$(Murphy *et al.*, 2012; Petrosyan *et al.*, 2023). We identified that NCAM1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$ cells (ALDH1A2 as the paralogue representative for the ALDH-activity in the xenograft derived CSCs) are present in normal embryonic kidneys, specifically in nephron progenitor cells/pretubular aggregates (NPC/PTA) and early nephron structures (comma- and S-shaped bodies) stages (Fig. 7A). Their presence in *Wt1* mutants reflects disrupted nephrogenesis rather than tumor-specific expression, supporting the idea that CSC markers are retained or co-opted from developmental hierarchies. The mutual exclusivity of CITED1 and ALDH1A2 expression in normal embryonic kidneys defines cells with CITED1$^+$ marking uninduced NPCs and ALDH1A2$^+$ cells marking committed NPCs.

Lineage specific Wt1-loss results in lineage confusion

Loss of *Wt1* driven by *Six2*^{GCiP} keeps mutant cells in mesenchymal cell state, or shifts the cells to an even more mesenchymal character, as supported by the migration of mutant cells in our timelapse data. WT1 controls the mesenchymal to epithelial transition in kidney cells (Essafi *et al.*, 2011), therefore loss of *Wt1* at this stage could lead to a block in MET or even reversal to earlier stages. Mutant cells lost SIX2 and NCAM1, while ALDH1A2 was present. This is consistent with *in vitro* evidence that WT1 represses ALDH1A2 (Li *et al.*, 2017). An NCAM1$^-$ALDH1A2$^+$SIX2$^-$

state is not a canonical feature of normal early nephron development, although ALDH1A2

expression on its own is a hallmark for cortical stromal cells. This could suggest that loss of *Wt1*

in NPCs causes a lineage-shift towards a stromal fate (Fig. 7B). A precedent for this is the NPC-

specific knockout of *Pax2* (Naiman et al., 2017). However, the loss of many markers that are

informative for kidney development by the E18.5 timepoint prevents us from drawing firm

conclusions here, and an even greater developmental diversion to pre-renal or non-renal cell

types cannot be excluded. We identified altered CSC marker expression. NCAM1 is expressed,

and ALDH1A2 and CITED1 localize to the nucleus. This latter one is observed in primitive

blastema, which might play a role in Wilms tumor pathogenesis (Lovvorn et al., 2007). Predicted

postnatal lethality prevents analysis of postnatal stages of this mutant model.

The *Foxd1*[GC]-driven *Wt1* loss closely resembles the previously published phenotype

(Weiss *et al.*, 2020) Mutant kidneys are smaller with disrupted nephrogenic zones, expanded

stroma, and delayed UB branching (Fig. 7C). The UB branching defects have previously been

linked to reduced retinoic acid signaling and therefore reduced ALDH1A2 expression in the

stroma in the *Foxd1*[GC] driven *Wt1*-mutant kidneys (Weiss *et al.*, 2020). We observed a stromal

reduction of ALDH1A2 expression in the *Foxd1*[GC] mutant at E18.5, but not at E12.5. This could

indicate only partial dependence of ALDH1A2 expression on *Wt1* in the stroma, which may

explain why Weiss and colleagues only partly rescued the phenotype through retinoic acid

supplementation (Weiss *et al.*, 2020). We found SIX2^{+} caps were occasionally displaced inward,

raising the possibility of aberrant lobulation (see below). Through lineage tracing of the stromal

population we identified SIX2^{+} tdTomato^{+} cells in the cap mesenchyme of control kidneys (Fig.

2F, white arrows), though these cells expressed lower SIX2 levels compared to surrounding cap

mesenchyme. This could confirm a previously suggested small contribution of the *Foxd1*-lineage

to the *Six2*-expressing cells (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2014). The nephrogenic and stromal lineages are

believed to share a common *Osr1*^{+} mesenchymal progenitor prior to lineage segregation

(Mugford et al., 2008). Transcriptomic data from *WT1*-mutant Wilms tumor cell lines supports

this developmental connection (Royer-Pokora et al., 2023). In these tumor cells, co-expression of FOXD1 and SIX2 was observed, a pattern also found in human NPCs (Lindstrom et al., 2018a). The existence of such a co-expressing population in normal development could imply that spatial or signaling cues determine whether cells adopt SIX2$^+$ nephron progenitor or FOXD1$^+$ stromal fates. The minor *Foxd1*-lineage contribution to the SIX2$^+$ cap mesenchyme could then reflect residual plasticity of this early progenitor pool. Following *Wt1* loss, the observed reduction of *Foxd1*-lineage contribution to SIX2$^+$ population may indicate premature lineage restriction or altered mesenchymal identity. Lack of blastemal development in the *Foxd1^{GC}* driven Wt1 mutants could imply that in contrast to *Six2* (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2014), *Foxd1* is not a direct target of *Wt1*.

Wt1^{CE}-induced *Wt1* loss in most nephrogenic and stromal cells and revealed blastemal-like populations by E18.5 and showed "escaping" nephrons adjacent to mutant zones. The escaping nephrons are likely the result of the incomplete activation of Cre through tamoxifen induction, leaving a mosaic pattern of activated and incompletely activated cells next to each other. This mosaic model provides an unique opportunity to study cell–cell interactions, since wildtype and mutant cells coexist. This milder phenotype, compared to the severely altered *Six2^{GC} Wt1* mutants, suggests that the timing and mosaic nature of recombination modulate disease severity. Mutants NPCs could be partially by remaining wildtype NPCs. Disruption of NPCs may alter signaling to the stromal cells (which have also lost *Wt1* themselves), with both disturbed lineages contributing to Wilms tumor development. Alternative, in this model we target the OSR1$^+$ progenitor cells, suggesting that the timing of mutation plays an important role rather than the specific lineage. In this model we observed committed NPCs/PTA co-expressing the four CSC makers, while at E18.5 most of these induced structures were lost due to proposed blocked MET. Mutant cells either possess the plasticity to shift between committed and uncommitted stages, or alternatively there is a lineage shift towards stroma, leading to a stromal phenotype and increased ALDH1A2 (Fig. 7D).

This *Wt1*[CE]-driven model further shows the complexities of temporal specificity

(developmental stage) and spatial specificity (lineage) during kidney development. Our lineage

tracing data show that our tamoxifen regiment targets both nephrogenic and stromal lineage, but

at present we cannot distinguish between targeting both lineages at the same time, or targeting

a common precursor state of these two lineages. This is an important distinction for the definitive

interpretation of the data, and additional Cre drivers would be required to answer this question.

However better understanding of the developmental steps leading to the formation of the early

metanephros is essential to identify candidates for this.

LIN28B Overexpression blocks cells in an embryonic state

LIN28B overexpression produced a distinct but complementary phenotype compared to *Wt1*

loss. Kidneys displayed enlarged nephrogenic caps, embryonic lobulation-like features, and

disrupted corticomedullary organization (Fig. 7E).

The persistence of embryonic-like ureteric bud tips surrounded by NPCs in adult kidneys

raises the possibility that the presence of NPCs maintains ureteric bud tips in an

undifferentiated, "early" state, preventing their maturation into collecting duct epithelium.

Although speculative, there are strong reciprocal cell-cell interactions between the ureteric bud

and metanephric mesenchyme. In normal kidney development, metanephric mesenchyme

produces GDNF, which binds the Ret receptor on the ureteric bud cells. Besides GDNF, other

factors like WNT11 and FGF signaling molecules sustain NPC proliferation and self-renewal

(Costantini and Kopan, 2010; Grieshammer et al., 2005; Majumdar et al., 2003). Thus, the

prolonged presence of NPCs may create a niche environment that stabilizes or delays the

ureteric bud tip differentiation into mature collecting duct structures.

At P19, the blastemal regions display a gradual decline of SIX2 expression, while WT1

remains stable. This patterns is suggestive for MET, similar to NPCs in normal nephron

development where the committed NPCs lose SIX2 expression to go through MET, while WT1

maintains expressed (Davies *et al.*, 2004; Hastie, 2017; Mugford *et al.*, 2009). While overall SIX2

and NCAM1 remain broadly expressed, CITED1 and ALDH1A2 exhibit distinct but partially

overlapping domains. We identify cells expressing either CITED1 or ALDH1A2, as well as a small

population co-expressing both markers, suggesting the presence of a transitional state between

uncommitted and committed state. The differences could be further explained by the fact that

LIN28B represses let-7 maturation, supporting maintenance of stem cell properties of the

blastemal population. Therefore, CITED1 remains expressed and ALDH1A2 repressed, keeping

the potential for differentiation in the progenitors.

Together, these observations suggest that LIN28B disrupts the normal temporal coordination

between NPCs and ureteric bud tip differentiation, maintaining both compartments in an

embryonic-like state. The persistence of lobulation, the presence of transitional

CITED1$^+$ALDH1A2$^+$ cells, and the maintenance of undifferentiated ureteric bud tips suggests the

importance of precise temporal regulation of progenitor exhaustion leading to ureteric bud

maturation.

Formation of kidney lobules

Two of our models show signs of kidney lobulation. At E18.5, we observed lobulation in the

mutant LIN28 kidneys. This observation was specific to E18.5 mutant kidneys and absent in the

mutants by P19, when there is no cortical-medullary segmentation anymore and regions with

blastema are alternated with normal appearing tubules and glomeruli throughout the whole

kidney. In addition, in the *Foxd1*^{GC} *Wt1* model we observed some SIX2$^+$ cap mesenchymes

displaced inward, which could be a milder version or earlier stage of the phenotype observed in

the LIN28 model.

The mechanism driving kidney lobulation and the physiological purpose are unknown, but

lobulation is normally not a feature of murine kidneys. It is suggested that human lobulation

occurs through striated cells, presumably of interstitial origin, that align along the radial axis of

the kidney (Lindstrom et al., 2018b). This is consistent with our observation of stromal cells

infiltrating the nephrogenic zone, possibly "dragging" these caps to the inner parts of the cortex.

Further study on human lobulation is necessary to draw any further conclusions on this

observation.

Relevance for human Wilms tumors

The data presented here provide an extensive characterization of the early phenotypic events

after Wilms tumor initiation at the level of separate cell lineages, at several time points, for two

different Wilms tumor genes. *Wt1* loss disrupts lineage commitment and drives mesenchymal

persistence, LIN28B overexpression disrupts developmental timing and prevents progenitor

differentiation. While both mutations in patients result in Wilms tumor development, the

underlying molecular mechanism appears different. Further investigation on the transcriptional

and epigenetic mechanisms driving these phenotypes could lead to clarification of the

underlying mechanisms. As at present 46 Wilms tumor genes are known in different functional

classes (Spreafico *et al.*, 2021), the complexity of Wilms tumor biology might be even greater than

presented here for *Wt1* and LIN28 mutations. Care should be taken when generalizing

conclusions from studying Wilms tumor cases with different initiating mutations, or cases where

these are unknown. Differences in underlying biology for different Wilms tumors could implicate

differences in therapeutic potential and impossibilities, and should therefore also be taken into

account when designing pre-clinical studies and clinical trials.

Figure legends

Figure 1: *Six2*^{GCiP} driven *Wt1*-mutant model characterization.

(A) Schematic representation of the *Six2*^{GCiP} lineage specific knockout of *Wt1* in the mouse

model.

(B) *Ex vivo* kidney organ culture of E12.5 control (left) and *Wt1* mutant kidney (right), with

eGFP linked to SIX2 expression and TdTomato representing the lineage. Scale 200µm.

(C) Staining for SIX2, TdTomato and CDH1 on *ex vivo* kidney organ cultures of a control and

Wt1 mutant kidney. Scale 100µm.

(D) H&E staining of control and *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E12.5. Scale 50µm.

(E) Staining for TdTomato to validate lineage and WT1 to validate knockout in E12.5 control

and mutant kidneys. Scale 100µm.

(F) H&E staining of control and *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E18.5. Scale 50µm.

(G) Staining for SIX2, CDH1 and WT1 to elucidate identity of E18.5 mutant kidneys. Scale

100µm.

Figure 2: *Foxd1*^{GC} driven *Wt1*-mutant model characterization

(A) Schematic representation of the *Foxd1*^{GC} lineage specific knockout of *Wt1* in the mouse

model.

(B) *Ex vivo* kidney organ culture of E12.5 control (left) and *Wt1* mutant kidney (right), with

eGFP linked to FOXD1 expression and TdTomato representing the lineage. Scale 200µm.

(C) Absolute quantification of the number of cap mesenchymes in the control versus mutant

kidney, quantified from the *ex vivo* kidney organ culture.

(D) Staining of DAPI and CDH1 on the *ex vivo* kidney culture. Scale 100µm.

(E) H&E staining of control and *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E12.5. Scale 50µm.

(F) Staining for TdTomato to validate lineage and WT1 to validate knockout in E12.5 control

and mutant kidneys. Scale 100µm. White arrowheads indicate Foxd1 lineage

contribution to SIX2+ cells.

(G) H&E staining of control and *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E18.5. Scale 50µm.

(H) Staining for TdTomato to validate lineage and WT1 to validate knockout in E18.5 control

and mutant kidneys. Scale 100µm. White open arrows indicate Foxd1-lineage in or

around proximal tubules.

Figure 3: *Wt1^{CE}* driven *Wt1*-mutant model characterization

(A) Schematic representation of the *Wt1^{CE}* spatial and temporal specific knockout of *Wt1* in

the mouse model.

(B) Optimization of Tx injection at E8.5, E9.5, E10.5 or E11.5, and double injections at E9.5 +

E10.5, analyzed in *ex vivo* kidney cultures at E12. Cells labeled with white arrows are a

representation of the tamoxifen treatment escaping cells. White arrowheads indicate

cap mesenchyme cells that escaped tamoxifen-induced Cre activation. Scale 60µm.

(C) *Ex vivo* kidney organ culture of E12.5 control (upper) and *Wt1* mutant kidney (lower), with

TdTomato representing the lineage. White arrows highlight epithelializing structures.

White arrowheads indicate epithelialized structures based on morphology of the cells.

Scale 200µm.

(D) H&E staining of control and *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E12.5. Scale 50µm.

(E) Staining for TdTomato to validate lineage and WT1 to validate knockout in E12.5 control

and mutant kidneys. White arrowheads indicate cap mesenchyme cells that escaped

tamoxifen-induced Cre activation. Scale 100µm.

(F) H&E staining of control and *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E18.5. Scale 50µm.

(G) Staining for TdTomato to validate lineage and WT1 to validate knockout in E18.5 control

and mutant kidneys. LTL marks proximal tubules. White arrows indicate nephrogenic(-

derived) WT1 expression indicating the escaped regions. Scale 100µm.

Figure 4: Cancer Stem Cell marker expression in lineage-specific *Wt1* mutant kidneys

(A) Staining for CSC markers NCAM1, ALDH1A2, SIX2 and CITED1 in the normal developing

embryonic kidney at E12.5 and E18.5. White arrows indicate triple-marker expressing

cells. Scale 100µm.

(B) CSC marker expression in *Six2^GCiP* driven *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E12.5 and E18.5. Scale

100µm.

(C) CSC marker expression in *Foxd1^GC* driven *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E12.5 and E18.5. White

arrows indicate triple-marker expressing cells. Scale 100µm.

(D) CSC marker expression in *Wt1^CE* driven *Wt1* mutant kidneys at E12.5 and E18.5. White

arrows indicate triple-marker expressing cells. Scale 100µm.

Figure 5: Characterization of the LIN28B overexpression model

(A) Schematic representation of the doxycycline inducible LIN28B overexpression model,

with (representing mutants) and without (representing doxycycline exposed control

littermates) tdTomato reporter.

(B) *Ex vivo* kidney organ culture of E12.5 control (upper) and LIN28B mutant kidney (lower).

Scale 200µm.

(C) H&E staining of control and LIN28B mutant kidneys at E12.5 (left panel) and E18.5 (right

panel). Scale 50µm.

(D) Staining for WT1, SIX2 and CDH1 to identify phenotype at E12.5 and E18.5. Scale 100µm.

(E) H&E staining of control and LIN28B mutant kidneys at P19. Scale 50µm.

(F) Staining for WT1, SIX2 and CDH1 to identify blastemal phenotype at P19. White arrows indicate SIX2+WT1+ cells, orange arrows indicate SIX2-WT1+ cells, hinting towards commitment to the epithelial lineage. Scale 100µm.

Figure 6: Cancer Stem Cell marker expression in LIN28B overexpression mutant kidneys

(A) CSC marker expression in E12.5 LIN28B overexpression kidneys. White arrows indicate triple-marker expressing cells. Scale 100µm.

(B) CSC marker expression in E18.5 LIN28B overexpression kidneys, showing early blastemal-like cells co-expressing all four markers in the same cells indicated by the white arrows on consecutive sections. Scale 100µm.

(C) CSC marker expression in P19 LIN28B overexpression kidneys, showing blastemal-like cells with distinct patterns for ALDH1A2 and CITED1 expression, and a transitional cell co-expressing all four markers in the same cell. High protein expression is indicated with an orange arrow, low protein expression with a green arrow and the transitional cells are indicated with asterisks. Scale 100µm.

Figure 7: Schematic representation of genotype-phenotype speculations of Wilms tumor driver mutations.

(A) CSC marker expression over the course of nephrogenesis, in the nephrogenic and stromal lineage.

(B) *Six2^{GCiP}* driven loss of Wt1 results in severe block of nephrogenesis, and possibly results in lineage confusion based on CSC marker expression.

(C) *Foxd1^{GC}* driven Wt1 loss results in expanded stroma and a ureteric bud branching

phenotype.

(D) Conditional loss of Wt1 through the *Wt1^{CE}* tamoxifen dependent administration results in

stromal and ureteric bud phenotype and a mild block of nephrogenesis. Here the first

suggestive quadruple-positive CSC markers come together in the same cells possibly

giving rise to blastema.

(E) LIN28B overexpression results in delayed nephron epithelialization, embryonic lobe

formation and blastemal-like cells co-expressing the four CSC markers.

Supplementary

Supplementary methods

Ncam1/ALDERED assay for flow cytometry

Embryonic kidneys from E12.5 embryos were directly dissociated with Trypsin/EDTA (0.05%) (Gibco, REF25300) for 3' at 37°C. (Embryonic) kidneys from E18.5 and P19 were first minced into small pieces using a scalpel, prior to dissociation. After incubation, tissues were vigorously pipetted up and down to dissociate into single cells. DMEM + 10% FCS was used to inactivate the trypsin. Cells were centrifuged 5' at 300g and resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS + 0.5% BSA + 0.04M EDTA). Clumps were removed by using a 35μm cell strainer. A small fraction was taken at this step to calibrate the flow cytometer. The rest of the single cells were stained for Ncam1 (1:125; NCAM-1/CD56 Antibody, R&D systems, AF2408) with a Donkey-anti-Goat Alexa Fluor 405 (1:1500; Abcam, ab175665), followed by the ALDERED assay kit (EMD Millipore, SCR150) according to the manufacturer's protocol. LSRFortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) was used to measure expression.

Statistical analysis

Due to variable cytometer settings over time, raw data was normalized to paired littermate controls. The DEAB sample was used as the threshold for ALDERED expression. Normalized expression values were compared between controls and mutants from the same time point and Cre driver. Wt1-mutants: Six2-Cre driven E12.5 ctrl (n=9) vs mutant (n=7), Six2-Cre driven E18.5 ctrl (n=10) vs mutant (n=6), Foxd1-Cre driven E12.5 ctrl (n=13) vs mutant (n=4), Foxd1-Cre driven E18.5 ctrl (n=14) vs mutant (n=5), Wt1-CE driven E12.5 ctrl (n=9) vs mutant (n=8), Wt1-CE driven E18.5 ctrl (n=6) vs mutant (n=6)). LIN28B mutants: E12.5 ctrl (n=6) vs mutant (n=6) and E18.5 ctrl

(n=6) vs mutant (n=6). Groups were compared using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test

between groups.

Sup. Fig. 1: *Foxd1^{GC}* driven *Wt1* mutant. SIX2+ caps lost their apical orientation and started

moving inwards. Scale 100µm.

Sup. Fig. 2: NCAM1+/ALDERED flowcytometry assay on Wt1 mutant embryonic kidneys.

(A) Fraction of NCAM1+/ALDERED double positive cells in developing embryonic kidneys

comparing control versus Wt1 mutants from the three lineage-specific Cre-drivers at

E12.5 and E18.5. No significant differences observed between groups, using a non-

parametric Mann-Whitney U test between groups. P-values indicated.

(B) Raw data files from the *Six2^{GCiP}* driven control versus mutant kidneys, using DEAB for

setting the cutoff for the ALDH activity measured through ALDERED expression.

(C) Raw data files from the *Foxd1^{GC}* driven control versus mutant kidneys, using DEAB for

setting the cutoff for the ALDH activity measured through ALDERED expression.

(D) Raw data files from the *Wt1^{CE}* driven control versus mutant kidneys, using DEAB for

setting the cutoff for the ALDH activity measured through ALDERED expression.

Sup. Fig. 3: ALDH paralogue expression in Wilms tumor Xenograft microarray data and in

developing kidneys

(A) ALDH paralogue enrichment in Wilms tumor Xenograft derived CSCs. A comparison

between unsorted and sorted fractions, for NCAM1+/ALDEFLUOR- and

NCAM1+/ALDEFLUOR+.

(B) ALDH paralogue protein staining in *ex vivo* kidney cultures, cultured for 24, 48 and 72

hours. Scale bar: 50µm.

(C) FOXD1 and ALDH1A2 protein expression in ex vivo kidney cultures cultured for 96 hours.

Scale bar: 50µm.

Sup. Fig. 4: NCAM1+/ALDERED flowcytometry assay on LIN28B mutant embryonic kidneys.

(A) Fraction of NCAM1+/ALDERED double positive cells in developing embryonic kidneys

comparing control versus LIN28B mutants at E12.5 and E18.5. No significant differences

observed between groups, using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test between

groups.

(B) Raw data files from the control versus mutant kidneys at E12.5, using DEAB for setting

the cutoff for the ALDH activity measured through ALDERED expression.

(C) Raw data files from the control versus mutant kidneys at E18.5, using DEAB for setting

the cutoff for the ALDH activity measured through ALDERED expression.

Sup. Fig. 5: Proliferative marker expression KI67 in *Wt1* and LIN28B mutant (developing)

kidneys.

(A) KI67 staining for Wt1 mutant kidneys at E12.5 for lineage-specific Cre drivers. Scale

100µm.

(B) KI67 staining for Wt1 mutant kidneys at E18.5 for lineage-specific Cre drivers. Scale

100µm.

(C) KI67 staining for LIN28B mutant kidneys at P19. Scale 100µm.

Author contributions

791 Author contributions

792 N.S.P. performed and analyzed all experiments. D.K., C.M.B, M.M.L., J.W.C.C., C.W.J.C and

793 D.D.Ö supported in the performed experiments and contributed to the supplementary figures.

794 N.S.P and P.H. designed the experiments. N.S.P. prepared figures. N.S.P., K.S.D. and P.H.

795 wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

796 Acknowledgements

797 We thank all members of the Hohenstein lab for valuable discussions. We thank Jorrit Hos and

798 Orhan Demirbacak for help with animals. The research was supported by KiKa (Children Cancer

799 Free Foundation).

Conflict of interest

800 Conflict of interest

801 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Armstrong, J.F., Pritchard-Jones, K., Bickmore, W.A., Hastie, N.D., and Bard, J.B. (1993). The expression of the Wilms' tumour gene, WT1, in the developing mammalian embryo. Mech Dev *40*, 85-97.

Beckwith, J.B., Kiviat, N.B., and Bonadio, J.F. (1990). Nephrogenic rests, nephroblastomatosis, and the pathogenesis of Wilms' tumor. Pediatr Pathol *10*, 1-36.

Berry, R.L., Ozdemir, D.D., Aronow, B., Lindstrom, N.O., Dudnakova, T., Thornburn, A., Perry, P., Baldock, R., Armit, C., Joshi, A., et al. (2015). Deducing the stage of origin of Wilms' tumours from a developmental series of Wt1-mutant mice. Dis Model Mech *8*, 903-917. 10.1242/dmm.018523.

Bonnet, D., and Dick, J.E. (1997). Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. Nat Med *3*, 730-737. 10.1038/nm0797-730.

Costantini, F., and Kopan, R. (2010). Patterning a complex organ: branching morphogenesis and nephron segmentation in kidney development. Dev Cell *18*, 698-712. S1534-5807(10)00207-8 [pii] 10.1016/j.devcel.2010.04.008.

Cotino-Najera, S., Garcia-Villa, E., Cruz-Rosales, S., Gariglio, P., and Diaz-Chavez, J. (2024). The role of Lin28A and Lin28B in cancer beyond Let-7. FEBS letters *598*, 2963-2979. 10.1002/1873-3468.15004.

Davies, J.A., Ladomery, M., Hohenstein, P., Michael, L., Shafe, A., Spraggon, L., and Hastie, N. (2004). Development of an siRNA-based method for repressing specific genes in renal organ culture and its use to show that the Wt1 tumour suppressor is required for nephron differentiation. Hum Mol Genet *13*, 235-246. 10.1093/hmg/ddh015ddh015 [pii].

Dolt, K.S., Lawrence, M.L., Miller-Hodges, E., Slight, J., Thornburn, A., Devenney, P.S., and Hohenstein, P. (2013). A universal vector for high-efficiency multi-fragment recombineering of BACs and knock-in constructs. PLoS One *8*, e62054. 10.1371/journal.pone.0062054.

Essafi, A., Webb, A., Berry, R.L., Slight, J., Burn, S.F., Spraggon, L., Velecela, V., Martinez-Estrada, O.M., Wiltshire, J.H., Roberts, S.G., et al. (2011). A Wt1-controlled chromatin switching mechanism underpins tissue-specific Wnt4 activation and repression. Dev Cell *21*, 559-574. S1534-5807(11)00306-6 [pii]10.1016/j.devcel.2011.07.014.

Ginestier, C., Hur, M.H., Charafe-Jauffret, E., Monville, F., Dutcher, J., Brown, M., Jacquemier, J., Viens, P., Kleer, C.G., Liu, S., et al. (2007). ALDH1 is a marker of normal and malignant human mammary stem cells and a predictor of poor clinical outcome. Cell Stem Cell *1*, 555-567. 10.1016/j.stem.2007.08.014.

Grieshammer, U., Cebrian, C., Ilagan, R., Meyers, E., Herzlinger, D., and Martin, G.R. (2005). FGF8 is required for cell survival at distinct stages of nephrogenesis and for regulation of gene expression in nascent nephrons. Development *132*, 3847-3857. dev.01944 [pii]10.1242/dev.01944.

Hastie, N.D. (2017). Wilms' tumour 1 (WT1) in development, homeostasis and disease. Development *144*, 2862-2872. 10.1242/dev.153163.

847 Hohenstein, P., and Hastie, N.D. (2006). The many facets of the Wilms' tumour gene, WT1. Hum Mol
848 Genet *15 Spec No 2*, R196-201. 15/suppl_2/R196 [pii]10.1093/hmg/ddl196.

849 Hohenstein, P., Pritchard-Jones, K., and Charlton, J. (2015). The yin and yang of kidney development
850 and Wilms' tumors. Genes Dev *29*, 467-482. 10.1101/gad.256396.114.
851
852 Kobayashi, A., Mugford, J.W., Krautzberger, A.M., Naiman, N., Liao, J., and McMahon, A.P. (2014).
853 Identification of a Multipotent Self-Renewing Stromal Progenitor Population during Mammalian
854 Kidney Organogenesis. Stem Cell Reports *3*, 650-662. 10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.08.008.
855

Kobayashi, A., Valerius, M.T., Mugford, J.W., Carroll, T.J., Self, M., Oliver, G., and McMahon, A.P.
(2008). Six2 defines and regulates a multipotent self-renewing nephron progenitor population
throughout mammalian kidney development. Cell Stem Cell *3*, 169-181. S1934-5909(08)00347-0
[pii]10.1016/j.stem.2008.05.020.

Kreidberg, J.A., Sariola, H., Loring, J.M., Maeda, M., Pelletier, J., Housman, D., and Jaenisch, R.
(1993). WT-1 is required for early kidney development. Cell *74*, 679-691.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90515-R.
863
864 Li, M., Chen, H., and Wu, T. (2019). LIN28: A cancer stem cell promoter for immunotherapy in head
865 and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol *98*, 92-95. 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2019.09.024.
866
867 Li, Y., Gong, H., Ding, J., Zhao, F., Du, J., Wan, J., Zhang, J., Liu, S., Li, J., Wang, L., and Zhou, B. (2020).
868 Inhibition of GSK3 Represses the Expression of Retinoic Acid Synthetic Enzyme ALDH1A2 via Wnt/β-
869 Catenin Signaling in WiT49 Cells. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology *8*.
870 10.3389/fcell.2020.00094.
871
872 Li, Y., Wang, L., Ai, W., He, N., Zhang, L., Du, J., Wang, Y., Mao, X., Ren, J., Xu, D., et al. (2017).
873 Regulation of retinoic acid synthetic enzymes by WT1 and HDAC inhibitors in 293 cells. Int J Mol Med
874 *40*, 661-672. 10.3892/ijmm.2017.3051.
875
876 Lindstrom, N.O., Guo, J., Kim, A.D., Tran, T., Guo, Q., De Sena Brandine, G., Ransick, A., Parvez, R.K.,
877 Thornton, M.E., Baskin, L., et al. (2018a). Conserved and Divergent Features of Mesenchymal
878 Progenitor Cell Types within the Cortical Nephrogenic Niche of the Human and Mouse Kidney. J Am
879 Soc Nephrol *29*, 806-824. 10.1681/ASN.2017080890.
880
881 Lindstrom, N.O., McMahon, J.A., Guo, J., Tran, T., Guo, Q., Rutledge, E., Parvez, R.K., Saribekyan, G.,
882 Schuler, R.E., Liao, C., et al. (2018b). Conserved and Divergent Features of Human and Mouse Kidney
883 Organogenesis. J Am Soc Nephrol *29*, 785-805. 10.1681/ASN.2017080887.
884
885 Lovvorn, H.N., Westrup, J., Opperman, S., Boyle, S., Shi, G., Anderson, J., Perlman, E.J., Perantoni,
886 A.O., Wills, M., and de Caestecker, M. (2007). CITED1 expression in Wilms' tumor and embryonic
887 kidney. Neoplasia *9*, 589-600. 10.1593/neo.07358.
888
889 Madisen, L., Garner, A.R., Shimaoka, D., Chuong, A.S., Klapoetke, N.C., Li, L., van der Bourg, A., Niino,
890 Y., Egolf, L., Monetti, C., et al. (2015). Transgenic mice for intersectional targeting of neural sensors
891 and effectors with high specificity and performance. Neuron *85*, 942-958.
892 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.02.022.
893
894 Madisen, L., Zwingman, T.A., Sunkin, S.M., Oh, S.W., Zariwala, H.A., Gu, H., Ng, L.L., Palmiter, R.D.,
895 Hawrylycz, M.J., Jones, A.R., et al. (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and

896 characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nature neuroscience *13*, 133-140.
897 10.1038/nn.2467.
898

899 Majumdar, A., Vainio, S., Kispert, A., McMahon, J., and McMahon, A.P. (2003). Wnt11 and Ret/Gdnf
900 pathways cooperate in regulating ureteric branching during metanephric kidney development.
901 Development *130*, 3175-3185.
902

903 Markovic-Lipkovski, J., Muller, C.A., Klein, G., Flad, T., Klatt, T., Blaschke, S., Wessels, J.T., and Muller,
904 G.A. (2007). Neural cell adhesion molecule expression on renal interstitial cells. Nephrol Dial
905 Transplant *22*, 1558-1566. 10.1093/ndt/gfm006.
906

Martinez-Estrada, O.M., Lettice, L.A., Essafi, A., Guadix, J.A., Slight, J., Velecela, V., Hall, E.,
Reichmann, J., Devenney, P.S., Hohenstein, P., et al. (2010). Wt1 is required for cardiovascular
progenitor cell formation through transcriptional control of Snail and E-cadherin. Nat Genet *42*, 89-
93. ng.494 [pii]10.1038/ng.494.

McMahon, A.P. (2016). Development of the Mammalian Kidney. Curr Top Dev Biol *117*, 31-64.
10.1016/bs.ctdb.2015.10.010.
914

915 Miyagawa, K., Kent, J., Moore, A., Charlieu, J.P., Little, M.H., Williamson, K.A., Kelsey, A., Brown,
916 K.W., Hassam, S., Briner, J., et al. (1998). Loss of WT1 function leads to ectopic myogenesis in Wilms'
917 tumour. Nat Genet *18*, 15-17. 10.1038/ng0198-15.
918

919 Mugford, J.W., Sipila, P., Kobayashi, A., Behringer, R.R., and McMahon, A.P. (2008). Hoxd11 specifies
920 a program of metanephric kidney development within the intermediate mesoderm of the mouse
921 embryo. Dev Biol *319*, 396-405. 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.03.044.
922

923 Mugford, J.W., Yu, J., Kobayashi, A., and McMahon, A.P. (2009). High-resolution gene expression
924 analysis of the developing mouse kidney defines novel cellular compartments within the nephron
925 progenitor population. Dev Biol *333*, 312-323. 10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.06.043.
926

927 Murphy, A.J., Pierce, J., de Caestecker, C., Taylor, C., Anderson, J.R., Perantoni, A.O., de Caestecker,
928 M.P., and Lovvorn, H.N., 3rd (2012). SIX2 and CITED1, markers of nephronic progenitor self-renewal,
929 remain active in primitive elements of Wilms' tumor. Journal of pediatric surgery *47*, 1239-1249.
930 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2012.03.034.
931

932 Naiman, N., Fujioka, K., Fujino, M., Valerius, M.T., Potter, S.S., McMahon, A.P., and Kobayashi, A.
933 (2017). Repression of Interstitial Identity in Nephron Progenitor Cells by Pax2 Establishes the
934 Nephron-Interstitium Boundary during Kidney Development. Dev Cell *41*, 349-365 e343.
935 10.1016/j.devcel.2017.04.022.
936

937 Niculovic, K.M., Vicente, M.M., Wittek, V., Kats, E., Albers, I., Flachsig-Schulz, K., Peters-Bernard, U.,
938 Weiss, A.C., Thiesler, H., Drager, L.S., et al. (2025). Polysialic acid regulates glomerular
939 microvasculature formation by interaction with VEGF-A188 in mice. Angiogenesis *28*, 31.
940 10.1007/s10456-025-09984-6.
941

942 Niederreither, K., Subbarayan, V., Dollé, P., and Chambon, P. (1999). Embryonic retinoic acid
943 synthesis is essential for early mouse post-implantation development. Nature Genetics *21*, 444-448.
944 10.1038/7788.
945

O'Brien, L.L., Guo, Q., Bahrami-Samani, E., Park, J.S., Hasso, S.M., Lee, Y.J., Fang, A., Kim, A.D., Guo,
J., Hong, T.M., et al. (2018). Transcriptional regulatory control of mammalian nephron progenitors
revealed by multi-factor cistromic analysis and genetic studies. PLoS Genet *14*, e1007181.
10.1371/journal.pgen.1007181.

Petrosyan, A., Villani, V., Aguiari, P., Thornton, M.E., Wang, Y., Rajewski, A., Zhou, S., Cravedi, P.,
Grubbs, B.H., De Filippo, R.E., et al. (2023). Identification and Characterization of the Wilms Tumor
Cancer Stem Cell. Adv Sci (Weinh), e2206787. 10.1002/advs.202206787.

Pode-Shakked, N., Metsuyanim, S., Rom-Gross, E., Mor, Y., Fridman, E., Goldstein, I., Amariglio, N.,
Rechavi, G., Keshet, G., and Dekel, B. (2009). Developmental tumourigenesis: NCAM as a putative
marker for the malignant renal stem/progenitor cell population. J Cell Mol Med *13*, 1792-1808.
10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00607.x.

Pode-Shakked, N., Shukrun, R., Mark-Danieli, M., Tsvetkov, P., Bahar, S., Pri-Chen, S., Goldstein, R.S.,
Rom-Gross, E., Mor, Y., Fridman, E., et al. (2013). The isolation and characterization of renal cancer
initiating cells from human Wilms' tumour xenografts unveils new therapeutic targets. EMBO Mol
Med *5*, 18-37. 10.1002/emmm.201201516.

Royer-Pokora, B., Wruck, W., Adjaye, J., and Beier, M. (2023). Gene expression studies of WT1
mutant Wilms tumor cell lines in the frame work of published kidney development data reveals their
early kidney stem cell origin. PLoS One *18*, e0270380. 10.1371/journal.pone.0270380.

Schumacher, V., Schuhen, S., Sonner, S., Weirich, A., Leuschner, I., Harms, D., Licht, J., Roberts, S.,
and Royer-Pokora, B. (2003). Two molecular subgroups of Wilms' tumors with or without WT1
mutations. Clin Cancer Res *9*, 2005-2014.

Shukrun, R., Pode-Shakked, N., Pleniceanu, O., Omer, D., Vax, E., Peer, E., Pri-Chen, S., Jacob, J., Hu,
Q., Harari-Steinberg, O., et al. (2014). Wilms' tumor blastemal stem cells dedifferentiate to
propagate the tumor bulk. Stem Cell Reports *3*, 24-33. 10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.05.013S2213-
6711(14)00154-4 [pii].

Spreafico, F., Fernandez, C.V., Brok, J., Nakata, K., Vujanic, G., Geller, J.I., Gessler, M., Maschietto, M.,
Behjati, S., Polanco, A., et al. (2021). Wilms tumour. Nat Rev Dis Primers *7*, 75. 10.1038/s41572-021-
00308-8.

Urbach, A., Yermalovich, A., Zhang, J., Spina, C.S., Zhu, H., Perez-Atayde, A.R., Shukrun, R., Charlton,
J., Sebire, N., Mifsud, W., et al. (2014). Lin28 sustains early renal progenitors and induces Wilms
tumor. Genes Dev *28*, 971-982. 10.1101/gad.237149.113.

Viswanathan, S.R., and Daley, G.Q. (2010). Lin28: A microRNA regulator with a macro role. Cell *140*,
445-449. 10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.007.

Wegert, J., Zauter, L., Appenzeller, S., Otto, C., Bausenwein, S., Vokuhl, C., Ernestus, K., Furtwangler,
R., Graf, N., and Gessler, M. (2020). High-risk blastemal Wilms tumor can be modeled by 3D spheroid
cultures in vitro. Oncogene *39*, 849-861. 10.1038/s41388-019-1027-8.

Weiss, A.C., Rivera-Reyes, R., Englert, C., and Kispert, A. (2020). Expansion of the renal capsular
stroma, ureteric bud branching defects and cryptorchidism in mice with Wilms tumor 1 gene
deletion in the stromal compartment of the developing kidney. J Pathol *252*, 290-303.
10.1002/path.5518.

Yermalovich, A.V., Osborne, J.K., Sousa, P., Han, A., Kinney, M.A., Chen, M.J., Robinton, D.A., Montie,
H., Pearson, D.S., Wilson, S.B., et al. (2019). Lin28 and let-7 regulate the timing of cessation of
murine nephrogenesis. Nat Commun *10*, 168. 10.1038/s41467-018-08127-4.

1000

1001 Zheng, H., Liu, J., Pan, X., and Cui, X. (2023). Biomarkers for patients with Wilms tumor: a review.
1002 Front Oncol *13*, 1137346. 10.3389/fonc.2023.1137346.

1003

Zhou, B., Ma, Q., Rajagopal, S., Wu, S.M., Domian, I., Rivera-Feliciano, J., Jiang, D., von Gise, A., Ikeda,
S., Chien, K.R., and Pu, W.T. (2008). Epicardial progenitors contribute to the cardiomyocyte lineage in
the developing heart. Nature *454*, 109-113. 10.1038/nature07060nature07060 [pii].

Zhou, J., Ng, S.B., and Chng, W.J. (2013). LIN28/LIN28B: an emerging oncogenic driver in cancer stem
cells. The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology *45*, 973-978.
10.1016/j.biocel.2013.02.006.

1010

1011 Zhou, L., Sheng, D., Wang, D., Ma, W., Deng, Q., Deng, L., and Liu, S. (2019). Identification of cancer-
1012 type specific expression patterns for active aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) isoforms in ALDEFLUOR
1013 assay. Cell Biol Toxicol *35*, 161-177. 10.1007/s10565-018-9444-y.

1014

1015

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Supplementary Fig. 1

E18.5 Wt1 mutant Foxd1^{GC}

A.

ALDH paralogue enrichment in Wilms tumor CSCs

A.

Ncam1+/ALDERED double positive cells in LIN28B-mutant

B.

E12.5 control

no AB → DEAB

Ncam1 → ALDERED

E12.5 LIN28B mutant

no AB → DEAB

Ncam1 → ALDERED

C.

E18.5 control

no AB → DEAB

Ncam1 → ALDERED

E18.5 LIN28B mutant

no AB → DEAB

Ncam1 → ALDERED

Supplementary Fig. 5