Diverse infection models demonstrate robust resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to innate immunity

Marian R. Fairgrieve[1], Ella C. Brydon[1,2], Roberto A. Chavez[1,2], Dmitri I. Kotov[1,5], Russell E. Vance[1,2,3,4*]

Abstract

Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) is a robust activator of innate immunity. However, there is little evidence that innate immune mechanisms control Mtb before the onset of adaptive immunity. Prior work has generally used specific pathogen-free (SPF) mouse models and relatively large infectious doses, which may obscure the capacity of innate immunity to control Mtb. Here, we performed ultra-low dose Mtb infections and found that the initial innate immune response was unable to curb even minimal Mtb infectious doses. Additionally, we primed the immune systems of C57BL/6 mice by co-housing with "pet shop" mice prior to Mtb exposure. Co-housed mice were as susceptible to Mtb infection as SPF mice. To more specifically pre-activate innate immunity at the site of Mtb infection, we also infected the lungs of mice with *Legionella pneumophila* (Lp) prior to Mtb. Innate immunity alone can clear large doses (>100,000 CFU) of Lp from the lung within a few days. However, pre-infection with Lp only modestly reduced Mtb CFU compared to mice infected with only Mtb, indicating that Mtb can robustly replicate even in the presence of a strong innate inflammatory response. We performed single-cell RNA-sequencing on myeloid cells from mice either infected with Mtb alone or mice primed with Lp. We found that Lp priming before Mtb infection induced measurable changes in myeloid cells responding to Mtb, but these changes had little effect on innate control of Mtb. Together, these data demonstrate the robust resistance of Mtb to innate immune clearance under diverse experimental conditions.

Introduction

Mtb robustly engages and activates innate immune cells. Diverse pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) including Toll-like receptors, inflammasomes, NOD1/2, cGAS and dectin receptors all detect and respond to Mtb infection [1]. Engagement of PRRs by Mtb results in a robust inflammatory response. *In vivo*, Mtb initially infects and replicates within alveolar macrophages (AMs), which are thought to provide a permissive niche for Mtb replication in the initial days post-infection, while simultaneously inducing pro-inflammatory responses [2-4]. Contained Mtb (co-Mtb) or BCG vaccination infection models can further enhance pro-inflammatory responses [5-7]. However, in naïve, co-Mtb, or BCG-vaccinated mice, Mtb will replicate many thousand-fold prior to the onset of adaptive immune control, which occurs starting after day 14. Genetic evidence also supports the conclusion that Mtb is largely resistant to early innate immune responses. Mice with genetic deficiencies in the innate immune response have nearly identical CFU burdens to wild type mice before the onset of adaptive immunity [8-17]. The existing data therefore suggest that although Mtb elicits a robust innate inflammatory response, Mtb replication is unrestricted during the early innate immune response.

The failure of innate immunity to control Mtb infection in the lung is not due to an intrinsic inability of the lung to control bacterial infections. Indeed, even large doses (>10^5 CFU) of other pathogenic bacteria, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* are readily and rapidly cleared from the lungs of mice [18, 19]. *Legionella pneumophila* (Lp) provides a particularly interesting example of a bacterial pathogen that is readily cleared by innate immune responses in the lung. Like Mtb, Lp initially infects alveolar macrophages and engages a very similar set of host pattern recognition receptors (the LPS of Lp does not activate TLR4) [20-22]. However, in contrast to Mtb, which establishes chronic infections even after an initial inoculum of 1-3 CFU, doses as high as 10^6 CFU of Lp are cleared from the lungs of SPF C57BL/6 mice within 3-5 days post-infection [23, 24]. Interestingly, many of the key innate pathways known to be critical for Lp clearance, such as TNFα, IL-1, and IFNγ, are also elicited by Mtb and help control infection, but during the adaptive phase of the response (post-day 14) instead of during the innate phase [25-27].

[1]Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Division of Immunology and Molecular Medicine, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

[2]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

[3]Cancer Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

[4]Center for Emerging and Neglected Diseases, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

[5]Present address: Division of Infectious Diseases, John T. Milliken Department of Medicine, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA.

*Correspondence: rvance@berkeley.edu

Figure 1. Innate immune knockout mice are not more susceptible to Mtb than wildtype. (A-E) Colony forming units (CFUs) of Mtb in the lungs of wildtype C57BL/6 and **(A)** *Ifngr1*⁻/⁻ **(B)** *Tnfr*⁻/⁻ **(C)** *Sp140*⁻/⁻ **(D)** *MyD88*⁻/⁻*Trif*⁻/⁻ or **(E)** *Atg5*ᶠˡ/ᶠˡ and *Atg5*ᶠˡ/ᶠˡ LysM-Cre⁺. Lungs were analyzed 14 days post infection. The bars represent the median where CFU was detected. Pooled data from one to three independent experiments are shown. A Mann-Whitney test was used to calculate significance, *p ≤ 0.05.

Most studies of Mtb infection in mice have been performed with specific pathogen-free (SPF) C57BL/6 mice, using doses 20-100 times the infectious dose 50 (ID50), which is as low as 1 CFU in mice [24, 28]. Ultra-low dose (ULD) Mtb infections have been used as a promising model for evaluating BCG vaccine efficacy in mice, suggesting that the ULD infection model could be used as a sensitive method to uncover *in vivo* mechanisms of Mtb control [29]. In addition, laboratory mice kept in clean SPF facilities have underdeveloped immune systems compared to wild, or pet shop raised mice [30]. When C57BL/6 mice are co-housed with pet shop mice, their immune systems mature to more closely resemble the activation state of the immune system of adult humans, with increased numbers of circulating monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, and memory T cells [30, 31]. Co-housing SPF mice with pet shop mice can strongly enhance protection against certain intracellular bacterial infections, though this effect is variable [32].

In this study, we systematically investigated whether the use of more physiologically relevant animal models could reveal a role for innate immunity in control of Mtb. First, we used an ULD infection model to test whether high infectious doses used in previous studies had obscured a potential contribution of innate immunity to Mtb control [24]. However, we found no evidence that innate immunity controls Mtb even at ultra-low infectious doses. Additionally, as an alternative approach to enhance innate responses, we primed the innate immune system through either pet shop mouse co-housing or Lp co-infection. Although scRNA-seq revealed that Lp-priming substantially altered the innate response to Mtb, we found no evidence that a primed innate immune system impaired Mtb replication during the innate phase of the infection. Together, our results indicate that Mtb robustly resists innate immune clearance mechanisms in the lung, even under experimental conditions where the innate response should be favored, such as during ultra-low dose infections or conditions in which lung immune responses are already primed.

Results

Even at ultra-low doses, innate immunity does not control Mtb

We hypothesized that non-physiological infectious doses of Mtb used in conventional mouse infections may have overwhelmed the mouse innate immune system, thereby obscuring the contribution of innate immunity to Mtb control. To sensitively test of the ability of innate immunity to control Mtb, we used a previously described method to infect wild-type C57BL/6J mice with ultra-low doses (1-3 CFU) of Mtb (Erdman strain) [29]. To assess whether innate immune responses restrict Mtb at these ultra-low doses, in parallel we also infected *Ifngr1*⁻/⁻, *Tnfr*⁻/⁻, *Sp140*⁻/⁻, *Myd88*⁻/⁻*Trif*⁻/⁻, and *Atg5*ᶠˡ/ᶠˡ LysM-Cre⁺ mice. These mice lack key factors involved in innate immunity, but prior work with conventional doses had only previously documented their importance in Mtb infection during the adaptive phase [33-37]. We reasoned that use of ultra-low doses may reveal a phenotype for these knockout mice during the innate phase (i.e., by day 14). In all cases, however, the immune-deficient mice had comparable CFU to control wild-type mice at 14 days post-ultra-low dose infection (Figure 1A-E). In addition, the fraction of mice that were successfully infected (i.e., harboring detectable CFU on day 14) were not significantly different when comparing wild type to immune-deficient animals (Table 1). In these experiments, 32%-68% of the mice remained uninfected across experiments (Table 1), consistent with an ultra-low or near ultra-low infectious dose (1-3 CFU) [24, 38]. Thus, the innate immune response to Mtb appeared to be remarkably ineffective *in vivo* and failed to control infections seeded by 1-3 bacteria.

Priming C57BL/6 mice with pet shop mouse co-housing does not alter control of Mtb

We sought to test the hypothesis that innate immunity does not contribute significantly to initial Mtb control (up to day 14

Table 1. Calculation of the fraction of infected mice after ULD aerosol infection. Percent of infected wild-type or knockout mice and the results of chi-square tests with Yate's correction of CFU positive and CFU negative wild-type or innate immune deficient mice infected with an ultra-low dose of Mtb. A 2x2 contingency table was used to calculate the results of the chi-square test.

	Wild-type mice			Knockout mice					
	Infected mice	Uninfected mice	Percent infected	Infected mice	Uninfected mice	Percent infected	Chi-square	*df*	p
Ifngr1$^{-/-}$ vs wild-type	30	8	78.9	22	16	57.9	2.984	1	0.0841
Tnfr$^{-/-}$ vs wild-type	15	6	71.4	14	15	48.2	1.1814	1	0.178
Sp140$^{-/-}$ vs wild-type	14	23	37.8	15	19	44.1	0.088	1	0.7971
Myd88–/–*Trif*$^{-/-}$ vs wild-type	5	5	50.0	6	4	60.0	0.202	1	0.6531
Atg5$^{fl/fl}$ LysM-Cre vs wild-type	22	14	61.1	16	17	48.5	0.657	1	0.4174

post-infection) in SPF mice because the innate immune compartment is insufficiently matured or primed prior to Mtb infection. To mature the immune system of SPF mice, we employed a previously described pet shop mouse co-housing model [30]. We purchased female mice from a local pet shop in Berkeley, CA and co-housed them with female SPF B6 mice for 60 days. Serological surveys for common mouse pathogens were performed, and pet shop mice indicated the presence of numerous pathogens including helicobacter, mouse parvovirus, murine norovirus, and rotavirus. The presence of pathogens was heterogenous across individual mice (Supplemental Table 1). Co-housed mice displayed symptoms of pathogen exposure, including weight loss, particularly in the first 10 days of co-housing (Supplemental Figure 1).

We measured the impact of co-housing by examining the number of innate and adaptive cells in the lung by flow cytometry after 60 days of co-housing (Figure 2A). Co-housing did not have profound effects on the innate immune cellular compartment in the lung at day 60 (Figure 2B). However, at this timepoint, there were significant differences in the number of CD4+ and CD8+ effector memory cells (Figure 2C), similar to the changes observed in a previously published study of pet shop mice [30, 32]. These changes varied by cage, with formerly SPF mice more closely resembling the pet shop mouse with which they were co-housed than they resembled other pet shop or SPF mice (Supplemental Figure 2A-D).

To confirm that our pet shop mouse co-housing procedure could enhance innate immune control of bacterial infections [31, 32], we infected age-matched SPF and co-housed mice with *Listeria monocytogenes* (Lm). In both the liver (Figure 3A) and spleen (Figure 3B), co-housed mice exhibited significantly lower CFU at 48 hours post-infection compared to SPF mice. We also infected mice intranasally with Lp. Interestingly, co-housed mice generally did not have significantly lower Lp CFUs at 48 hours post-infection, but this varied by cage (Figure 3C, Supplemental Figure 2E).

We then infected a cohort of pet shop co-housed mice and matched SPF controls with Mtb. Because the number of surviv-

ing mice co-housed with each pet shop mouse was limited, we employed a standard low dose of 20-50 CFU to ensure all mice in each group were infected, and measured lung CFU at 7-, 14-, and 21-days post-infection. We found that co-housed and SPF mice contained similar numbers of CFU in the lungs (Figure 3D). Strikingly, the Mtb infections were very consistent, and CFUs did not vary substantially by cage, unlike mice infected with Lm or Lp.

We assessed the numbers of innate and adaptive immune cells in the lungs at 14 days post-Mtb infection (Figure 3E-F). The results of the co-housed mice were highly variable compared to SPF mice, reflecting the variation seen in uninfected co-housed mice (Figure 2B-C). The numbers of innate (Figure 3E) and adaptive (Figure 3F) cells also varied between co-housing experiments. Overall, the flow cytometry data suggests that co-housing induced small changes to the composition of immune cells in the lung by day 14 post-infection. Together, our findings imply that co-housing with pet shop mice induces an altered immune state in SPF mice that can variably promote innate immune control of infections, but that Mtb is resistant to this shift.

Mtb exhibits robust replication despite priming the innate immune system with *L. pneumophila*

Pet shop mice carry an array of viruses, bacteria, and parasites. The priming effects of these diverse pathogens may vary substantially or even counteract each other. Some may promote anti-bacterial immunity in the lung, whereas others may hinder it. In addition, each pet shop mouse may carry or transmit a distinct combination of pathogens, and it is therefore difficult to conduct controlled experiments. Moreover, although pet shop co-housing reduced systemic Lm colonization and increased the number of activated lymphocytes in the lung, there were inconsistent effects on Lp clearance in the lung. Therefore, it was unclear whether pet shop co-housing consistently induced an anti-bacterial innate immune response in the lung.

We therefore turned to co-infections with Lp, a pathogen that is established to elicit a robust and highly effective anti-bacterial

Figure 2. C57BL/6 mouse immune system can be primed by cohousing with pet shop mice. (A) Schematic of cohousing set up. Female C57BL/6 mice were cohoused with adult female pet shop mice for 60 days, during which co-housed mice are exposed to pathogenic, commensal, and opportunistic microbes carried by pet shop mice. **(B-C)** Lung innate (B) and adaptive (C) immune cells were profiled from age-matched SPF C57BL/6 mice, cohoused C57BL/6 mice, or pet shop mice in two independent experiments. A One-way ANOVA on log10-transformed data, followed by pairwise comparisons using Tukey's test was performed to determine significance. EM= Effector memory, CM = Central memory, *p ≤ 0.05

innate immune response in the lung (Supplemental Figure 3A-C). Co-infections with Lp avoided the complexities of co-housing and allowed us to activate innate immune responses without eliciting confounding antigen-specific anti-Mtb adaptive immune responses. Lp also infects and is cleared from the same cell type (alveolar and interstitial macrophages) that Mtb infects during the initial innate phase of infection (Supplemental Figure3C). We infected mice with JR32, a clinically-derived isolate of Lp [39]. Lp secretes flagellin into the cytosol of infected host cells, resulting in activation of the NAIP−NLRC4 inflammasome and induction of rapid pyroptotic cell death [40, 41]. To avoid killing alveolar macrophages, we infected mice with Lp lacking *flaA* (Lp*ΔflaA*, hereafter

referred to as Lp), which does not activate NAIP−NLRC4-mediated pyroptotic cell death [40, 41]. To confirm that priming with flagellin-deficient Lp enhances clearance of subsequent bacterial infections, we infected mice with Lp, followed by a second Lp infection 2 days later (Figure 4A). Each infection was performed with a strain bearing a unique antibiotic resistance marker so the strains could be distinguished. While previous infection with Lp does not reduce the initial CFU that reach the lung during a secondary infection, we found that priming with Lp results in approximately 3-log lower CFU in the lungs 2 days post-secondary Lp infection compared with unprimed mice (Figure 4B). This implies that priming with Lp strongly activates an anti-bacterial

Figure 3. Pet shop cohoused mice exhibit enhanced control of Lm but not Mtb. (A-B) *Listeria monocytogenes* (Lm) CFUs from the liver (A) and spleen (B) from either co-housed (CoH) C57BL/6 mice or age-matched SPF controls. **(C)** Lp CFUs from the lung of either co-housed C57BL/6 mice or age-matched SPF controls. **(D)** Mtb CFUs from the lung of either co-housed C57BL/6 mice or age-matched SPF controls. **(E)** Quantification of innate lung cell populations from co-housed and SPF age matched mice from two independent cohousing experiments. **(F)** Quantification of adaptive lung cell populations from co-housed and SPF age matched mice from two independent co-housing experiments. The bars represent the median values. Multiple Mann-Whitney tests were used to calculate significance. *p ≤ 0.05

Figure 4. Priming with Lp does not curb Mtb replication. (A) Schematic of Lp co-infection. Mice were infected with Lp containing a kanamycin resistance gene (LpKan) or were left uninfected. Two days later, all mice were infected with Lp containing a streptomycin resistance gene (LpStrep). Two days later, LpStrep CFUs were measured. **(B)** Lp lung CFU from unprimed mice or mice primed with a previous infection of Lp. Results shown are from 2 combined experiments. **(C)** Schematic of Lp/Mtb co-infection. Mice were infected with Lp or were left uninfected. Three days later, all mice were infected with Mtb. 14 days later, Mtb CFU was measured in the lung and spleen and samples taken for flow cytometry analysis. **(D)** Lung Mtb CFU from unprimed or mice primed with a previous infection of Lp. Results shown are from 3 combined experiments. **(E)** Spleen Mtb CFU from unprimed or mice primed with a previous infection of Lp. **(F)** Lung innate immune cells from unprimed mice or Lp-primed mice. **(G)** Schematic of Mtb/Lp co-infection. Mice were infected with ~400 CFU of Mtb or were left uninfected. 14 days later, all mice were infected with Lp. **(H)** Lp lung CFU from unprimed mice or mice primed with a previous infection of Mtb. The bars represent the median where CFU was detected. Mann-Whitney tests were used to calculate significance. *p ≤ 0.05

innate immune response.

We then tested whether priming the lung with Lp could enhance control of Mtb compared to unprimed mice (Figure 4C). We first infected mice intranasally with 10^5 CFU of Lp. At 3 days post-Lp infection, when Lp had largely been cleared by the innate immune system (Supplemental Figure 3A), we then infected mice with approximately 10 CFU of Mtb via the aerosol route. At both 1 and 14 days post-Mtb infection, we compared Mtb CFU between unprimed mice, which were infected only with Mtb, and

Lp-primed mice. Lp priming did not affect the initial number of Mtb bacilli that reach the lung. Strikingly, priming did not influence Mtb burdens in the lung at 14 days post-infection (Figure 4D). Notably, Lp priming did reduce Mtb dissemination to the spleen at 14 days post-infection, suggesting it induced an immune response that partially restricted extra-pulmonary dissemination or replication (Figure 4E). These results indicate that Mtb was able to replicate even in the potently anti-bacterial lung environment induced by Lp priming. To determine whether Lp priming

persistently affected the lung immune compartment after Mtb infection, we measured innate immune cells in the lungs by flow cytometry (Figure 4F). Similarly to the results in co-housed mice (Figure 3E-F), after 14 days of Mtb infection, there were similar numbers of neutrophils, interstitial macrophages (IMs), monocytes, and alveolar macrophages (AMs), in Lp-primed mice compared to unprimed mice. Together, our data suggest that Mtb is able to replicate even in mice where anti-bacterial innate immune responses are strongly activated.

Prior Mtb infection does not impact clearance of *Legionella pneumophila*

Mtb may impair effective innate immunity by suppressing innate immune responses [3, 4]. We sought to address this possibility by infecting mice with a ~400 CFU dose of Mtb, allowing the infection to progress for 14 days, and then infecting these mice (or control uninfected mice) with Lp (Figure 4G). At 2 days post-Lp infection, there was no difference in Lp lung CFU comparing Mtb-infected and Mtb-uninfected hosts (Figure 4H). This experiment does not address local or cell-intrinsic immunosuppressive effects as Lp and Mtb are unlikely to infect the same cells but does suggest that Mtb does not induce a globally immunosuppressive environment in the lung.

Single cell RNA-sequencing reveals changes to myeloid cell compartment after Lp-priming

To further characterize the effect of bacterial priming on innate immune responses to Mtb, we performed scRNA-seq on myeloid cells isolated from the lungs of unprimed and Lp-primed mice infected for 14 days with Mtb at an initial dose of ~50 CFU (Figure 5A). CD64-positive cells were magnetically enriched, sort purified, and processed for library generation with the 10X Genomics platform. Mtb-infected and uninfected bystander cells were sorted. However, at this low initial dose and early time point, there were insufficient numbers of Mtb-infected cells to perform scRNA-seq. Therefore, we solely analyzed bystander cells. Protein expression was measured concurrently with mRNA by employing CITE-seq, allowing for weighted nearest neighbor (WNN) analysis to cluster cells on mRNA and protein expression and WNN uniform manifold approximation and projection (wnn.UMAP) reductions for data visualization (Supplemental Figure 4A, B) [42]. Confirming the efficiency of our enrichment procedure, most cells isolated were myeloid cells, with only ~10% of cells expressing other cell markers. The five AM clusters (Supplemental Figure 4A) were re-clustered into one cluster for downstream analysis (Figure 5B).

All clusters were represented in both datasets. Unprimed mice exhibited significantly elevated Interferon-stimulate gene (ISG)-expressing macrophages and Lp-primed mouse lungs contained significantly higher numbers of CD86+ interstitial macrophages (FDR < 0.05 and mean Log2 Fold Enrichment > 0.58) (Figure 5C) [43]. When we examined the differential gene expression of all the cells in the dataset, we found that unprimed mice significantly upregulated genes associated with type I and type II interferon (IFN) signaling, including *Isg15*, *Cxcl10*, and IFIT family members (Figure 5D, Supplemental Figure 4C). By contrast, Lp-primed mice induced genes associated with inflammation, but also control of inflammation such as *Apoe* and *Trem2* (Figure 5D,

E) [44].

Overall, our data reveals that Lp infection results in persistent effects on the myeloid cell compartment that are evident even after 14 days of Mtb infection, well after Lp itself has been cleared. However, these differences in innate immune signaling do not dramatically alter the growth of Mtb bacteria, suggesting that Mtb replication is largely unaffected by a variety of anti-bacterial innate immune responses.

Discussion

In mice, the initial *in vivo* innate immune response to Mtb fails to prevent the expansion of Mtb from a few bacilli to more than 10^5 bacilli within 14 days (Figure 1) [24]. This rate of expansion corresponds to an approximate doubling time of 24h, similar to the doubling rate in rich media. In nonhuman primate infections, individual Mtb bacilli can also replicate largely unrestrained for several weeks [28, 45]. However, after the onset of adaptive immunity, innate immune clearance mechanisms—such as the microbicidal activity of macrophages—are critical restriction of Mtb, as mice with genetic deficiencies in innate immunity eventually exhibit rapid decline as well as loss of bacterial control [9, 10, 36, 46]. Mtb control during the adaptive phase has largely been attributed to enhanced macrophage activation following the increase of IFN-γ production by CD4+ T cells, though control of Mtb after the onset of adaptive immune activation is only partially dependent on IFN-γ, implying other pathways are also at play [47-50]. Patients with Mendelian Susceptibility to Mycobacterial Disease (MSMD) typically carry genetic mutations in IFN-γ-related pathways [51-53], further emphasizing the role of innate immunity in mediating successful adaptive immune responses to Mtb.

Human Mtb infections are also presumably poorly controlled by the innate immune system alone, though this is difficult to demonstrate experimentally. So-called "resisters", who remain persistently negative by interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) and tuberculin skin test (TST) despite exposure to Mtb, had been thought possibly to clear Mtb with innate immunity alone [54]. However, recent publications have demonstrated that these individuals likely control Mtb infections with alternative adaptive immune responses that were not detected by these diagnostic tests [55-57]. Studying the characteristics of the human innate immune response to Mtb poses challenges due to the difficulty in identifying recently infected individuals and assessing the localized responses within their lungs.

In this study, we sought to employ alternative Mtb infection models to see whether they might reveal innate immune mechanisms of Mtb control. We first employed a previously described ULD infection model [24]. ULD infections clearly demonstrated that the innate immune system alone is unable to clear or even control infections seeded by even 1-3 bacilli, indicating that the size of the initial infectious dose is not the limiting factor for innate immune control of Mtb *in vivo*. Wild-type mice and mice harboring mutations in key genes critical for Mtb control (e.g., *Ifngr1*, *Myd88*, *Atg5*, *Tnfr1*, and *Sp140*) had comparable CFU in the lungs at 14 days post ULD-infection, and the fraction of infected mice were not significantly different when comparing wild-type to im-

Figure 5. Lp priming alters gene expression and cell types in the lung after Mtb infection. (A) Model of the processing steps involved in generating the scRNA-seq dataset. **(B)** UMAP of unbiased clusters of myeloid cells in either unprimed or Lp-primed mice infected with Mtb. Only bystander cells are displayed. Lungs were analyzed 14 days post Mtb-mCherry infection. **(C)** Relative differences in cell proportions for each cluster between unprimed and Lp-primed samples. Clusters colored red had an FDR < 0.05 and mean | Log2 fold enrichment | > 0.58 compared to the normal colon (permutation test; n=10,000). **(D)** Differential gene expression (DEG) of bystander myeloid cells from Lp-primed or unprimed mice infected with Mtb. **(E)** DEGs were analyzed for gene functional annotations of GO terms.

mune-deficient animals. These results suggest that genes known to play important roles in Mtb control do not exert substantial effects during the innate phase of the infection, even at highly limited infectious doses.

We attempted to enhance innate immune responses to Mtb by pet shop mouse co-housing and by Lp co-infections. Pet-shop co-housed animals have previously been shown to exhibit enhanced control of Listeria [31]. In addition, we reasoned that since high doses of Lp are rapidly cleared from the lung within a few days, Lp must elicit a highly effective anti-bacterial innate immune response, and we wondered whether this response would have any effect on the control of Mtb. Use of Lp also negated the possibility of inducing Mtb-specific adaptive immune responses that might confound the results. However, these approaches also failed to substantially enhance innate immune control of Mtb replication. We conclude that innate immunity exhibits a limited capacity to control Mtb, even if primed before Mtb exposure.

Importantly, Mtb alone can also induce inflammatory innate immune responses. Therefore, it was possible that Lp priming did not greatly affect Mtb clearance because Lp priming did not enhance or change innate immune responses over and above those elicited by Mtb alone. Indeed, by both flow cytometry and scRNA-seq, we demonstrated the cellular innate immune response to Mtb in naïve and Lp-primed mice was generally similar, characterized by the presence of neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages to the lung. However, our scRNA-seq experiment revealed some notable differences in cell populations and interferon responses between Lp-primed and unprimed mice. Lp-primed mice exhibited an increase in macrophages expressing CD86, a cell-surface protein that provides co-stimulation to T cells [58, 59]. Interestingly, CD86 is reduced in TB patients compared to healthy controls [60], though given its role in T cell co-stimulation, it is likely that CD86 acts primarily via adaptive rather than innate immunity. In addition to CD86+ macrophages, Lp-primed mice expressed genes associated with later stages of inflammation and with regulation of inflammation (Figure 5D, E). Because of the 3-day pre-exposure to Lp prior to 14 days of Mtb infection, Lp primed mice have been infected for a total of 17 days, versus only 14 days for the Mtb-only infected mice. The differences we see in Lp-primed mice may therefore reflect a more advanced progression of the immune response in these mice versus unprimed mice.

scRNA-seq also revealed that unprimed, Mtb-infected mice possessed a population of ISG-expressing macrophages and showed upregulated ISG expression compared to Lp-primed mice. In both mice and humans, type I IFN can be associated with immunosuppression and progression of TB [61]. In humans, type I IFN precedes active infection, and deficiencies in the type I IFN receptor are associated with protection from TB [62, 63]. C57BL/6 mice do not robustly recapitulate the IFN-driven TB susceptibility, due to the activity of the nuclear body protein Sp140 [35, 64]. However, despite the reduced expression of type I IFN, even in wild-type C57BL/6 mice type I IFN signaling impairs responsiveness to IFN-γ and IL-1, both of which are critical to the control of Mtb [63, 65, 66]. However, $Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice show only mild resistance to Mtb, and no difference of control in the lung during the innate immune phase [67]. The role of type I IFN in wild-

type mice is not well established, but we hypothesize that during the innate phase of the immune response, induction of type I IFN signaling may aid Mtb in establishing an infection in the lung. The mechanism of dampened ISG induction following Lp priming compared to unprimed, Mtb-infected mice, despite the known ability of Lp to induce ISG expression, remains unclear, but may reflect an immunoregulatory feedback loop [68, 69].

Flow cytometry and scRNA-seq analyses revealed that Mtb infection triggered a potent innate immune response. Our data demonstrate the robust resistance of Mtb to innate immunity under diverse experimental conditions. Although Mtb may evade or impair the induction of specific innate immune pathways, the innate response to Mtb is overall robust and is characterized by the recruitment of cells and induction of an inflammatory response that is highly effective against other lung pathogens, such Lp. We therefore propose that a major reason for the failure of innate immunity to control Mtb is the robust resistance of Mtb to cellular stress and damage. Mtb exhibits resilience against antimicrobial effector such as reactive oxygen, nitrogen species, and aldehydes, likely through intrinsic mechanisms such as its thick and waxy mycomembrane, a slow replication rate, and effective repair systems [70-72]. These characteristics have earned Mtb the epithet "the honey badger of pathogens" [73]. Like the honey badger that famously resists cobra venom or porcupine quills, Mtb appears impervious to the anti-bacterial arsenal that can rapidly clear other infectious agents [74]. This exceptional resistance to innate immune effectors may be an important factor contributing to the extraordinary success of Mtb as a human pathogen.

Materials and Methods

Animals
Mice were maintained under specific pathogen free conditions and housed at 23°C with a 12-hour light-dark cycle in accordance with the regulatory standards of the University of California Berkeley Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All mice were 6-12 weeks old at the start of procedures. C57BL/6, B6.129S7-$Ifngr1^{tm1}$Agt/J ($Ifngr1^{-/-}$), and B6.129S-$Tnfrsf1b^{tm1Imx}$ $Tnfrsf1a^{tm1Imx}$/J ($Tnfr^{-/-}$) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. $Atg5^{fl/fl}$-LysMCre and $Atg5^{fl/fl}$ and mice were provided by Jeffery Cox at the University of California, Berkeley. $Myd88^{-/-}$ $Trif^{-/-}$ mice were provided by Gregory Barton at the University of California, Berkeley. $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice were previously generated by the Vance Lab [35].

Pet shop co-housed mice
6-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories and housed in Innovivo IVC Rat Cages. 8 C57BL/6 mice were housed per cage. Each group was co-housed with one female pet shop mouse purchased from the East Bay Vivarium (Berkeley, CA) for 60 days. Upon importation of the pet shop mice, each mouse was tested for serological presence of pathogens by Vrl Animal Health Diagnostics. Mice were weighed every 3-7 days and monitored for weight loss.

Bacterial strains
Mtb strain Erdman was a gift from Sarah Stanley at University of California, Berkeley. Mtb expressing Wasabi or mCherry was

generated as previously described [75]. *Legionella pneumophila* strains were JR32 and isogenic mutants that were produced as previously described [41]. Lp expressing mCherry was produced and cultured as previously described [23]. The mCherry expressing plasmid was a gift from Sunny Shin [76]. All infections were performed with strains lacking *flaA*.

Mtb infections

For standard low-dose infections, a previously frozen aliquot of Mtb was diluted in 20 mL of distilled water. 9 mL of the diluted culture was loaded into the nebulizer of an inhalation exposure system (Glas-Col, Terre-Haute, IN) to deliver ~10-50 CFU of bacteria per mouse as determined by measuring CFU in the lungs 1 day post-infection. For ultra-low dose infections, the infectious dose was first estimated by calculating the volume needed to deliver 1 CFU based on the volume needed to achieve an infectious dose of 20 CFUs in previous experiments. The frozen stock was serially diluted, and the appropriate volume was added to 20 mL of distilled water. The ultra-low infectious dose was confirmed by calculating the percent of infected mice per experiment instead of measuring CFU in the lungs at 1 day post-infection [38]. To enumerate CFU at 1 day post-infection, lungs were homogenized in 1 mL of PBS containing 0.05% Tween-80 (PBS-T) and the entirety of the lung was across 4 plates. For other time points, 100 µL were taken from suspensions from lungs either collected in 1 mL of PBS-T or from the 5 mL RMPI solution used for flow cytometry sample collection. Samples were then serially diluted in PBS containing 0.05% Tween-80. Serial dilutions were plated on 7H11 plates supplemented with 0.5% glycerol and BBL Middlebrook OADC Enrichment media (BD Biosciences). Colonies were counted after 3 weeks incubation at 37°C.

Lp infections

For infections, frozen cultures were streaked out onto BCYE plates and incubated at 37°C to obtain single colonies, which were subsequently streaked onto a new BCYE plate and incubated at 37°C to obtain a 1 cm by 1 cm bacterial patch. The patch was then collected and grown for ~20 hours in CYE media with streptomycin (100 µg/mL). The optical density was measured at 600 nm. The culture was diluted to 2.5×10^6 CFU/mL in sterile PBS. The mice were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine by intraperitoneal injection the infected intranasally with 40 µL with PBS to deliver 1×10^5 CFU per mouse. The infectious dose was confirmed by measuring CFU in the lungs 4 hours post-infection. Lungs were harvested in either 5 mL of sterile water at the 4 hour time point or 1 mL of sterile water at all other time points. Samples were then serially diluted in water and plated on BCYE plates.

Flow cytometry

For flow cytometry, mouse lungs were harvested at 14 days post-Mtb-infection. The entire lung was harvested into a gentleMACS C tube (Miltenyi Biotec) containing 3 mL of RPMI media with 70 µg/mL of Liberase TM (Roche) and 30 µg/mL of Dnase I (Roche). Lungs were processed with the lung_01 setting on the gentleMACS (Miltenyi Biotec) and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. To obtain a single cell suspension, the samples were

then homogenized on lung_02 setting on the gentleMACS. 2 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 20% Newborn Calf Serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was then added to quench the digestion. Samples were then filtered through 70 µM SmartStrainers (Miltenyi Biotec). The single cell suspension was then spun down and resuspended in 500 µL of PBS containing 5% Newborn Calf Serum and 0.05% sodium azide. 100 uL were then stained with antibodies for 30 minutes at room temperature with the following antibodies: BV421-labeled PD-L1 (HIH5, BD Biosciences), BV480-labeled B220 (RA3-6B2, BD Biosciences), CD90.2-labeled (53-2.1, BD Biosciences), SB645-labeled MHC Class II (I-A/I-E) (M5/114.15.2, Thermo Fisher Scientific), BV786-labeled Ly-6C (HK1.4, BioLegend), PE-labeled MerTK (DS5MMER, Thermo Fisher Scientific), APC-labeled CD64 (X54-5/7.1, BioLegend), APC-R700-labeled Siglec-F (E50-2440, BD Biosciences), APC/Fire 750-labeled Ly-6G (1A8, BioLegend), BUV396-labeled CD11b (M1/70, BD Biosciences), BUV496-labeled CD45 (30-F11, BD Biosciences), BUV737-labeled CD11c (HL3, BD Biosciences), and BV-785-labeld CD86 (GL-1, BioLegend). All staining cocktails contained True-Stain monocyte blocker (BioLegend), Super Bright Complete Staining Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and GhostDye 510 (Tonbo). Samples were then fixed for 30 minutes with Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Biosciences) before removal from the BSL3. Cell numbers were calculated by adding CountBright Plus Absolute Counting Beads (Invitrogen) to each sample. Samples were analyzed on a LSRFortessa X-20 (BD Biosciences) or an Aurora (Cytek) cytometer. Data were analyzed using Flowjo Version 10 (BD Biosciences). Gating was performed as previously described [75].

Sorting Immune Cells for scRNA-seq

3 C57BL/6 mice were infected with 105 CFU of Lp-ΔflaA expressing an mCherry plasmid. After 3 days, these mice, along with 3 other naïve C57BL/6 mice, were subsequently infected with ~50 CFU of Mtb-mCherry. At 14 days post-infection, the lungs were harvested and processed as for flow cytometry, as described above. Samples were then stained on ice for 30 minutes with APC-labeled CD64 (X54-5/7.1, BioLegend). Myeloid cells were magnetically enriched using an EasySep APC Positive Selection Kit II (StemCell Technologies) and MojoSort Magnets (BioLegend). Samples were then stained on ice for 45 minutes with the following anti-mouse antibodies in the presence of True-Stain Monocyte Blocker (BioLegend): TruStain FcX PLUS (S17011E, BioLegend), BV786-labeled CD45.2 (104, BioLegend), and Pacific Blue-labeled B220 (RA3-6B2, BioLegend), CD90.2 (53-2.1, BioLegend), and Ly6G (1A8, BioLegend). The Pacific Blue-labeled antibodies were used to exclude neutrophils, T cells, and B cells. Additionally, the following TotalSeq-A-labeled anti-mouse antibodies were added to detect protein expression in the scRNA-seq dataset: Ly6C (HK1.4, BioLegend), CD274 (MIH6, BioLegend), Siglec F (S17007L, BioLegend), CSF1R (AFS98, BioLegend), CD11b (M1/70, BioLegend), CD86 (GL-1, BioLegend), and MHC II (M5/114.15.2, BioLegend). A unique anti-mouse TotalSeq-A Hashtag antibody (1-6; BioLegend) was added to each sample to allow up to 6 samples to be mixed per lane on the 10X Chromium Next GEM Chip (10X Genomics). After staining, cells were resuspended in PBS with 5% Newborn

Calf Serum and Sytox Blue Dead Cell Stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were sorted using a 120 μm microfluidic sorting chip in a 4 laser SH-800 cell sorter (Sony) on the purity setting. Cells were collected in PBS containing 50% fetal calf serum.

scRNA-seq library generation and sequencing

Single-cell RNA-sequencing was preformed following previously published protocols [75]. Briefly, the v3.1 chemistry Chromium Single Cell 3' Reagent Kit (10X Genomics) was used to generate scRNA-seq libraries. The manufacturer protocol was followed, with the following minor modifications. Lanes of the 10X Chromium Next GEM Chip were super-loaded with 29,000 cells. During the loading step, 0.5 U/μL RNaseOUT Recombinant Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Invitrogen) was added to single cell RT master mix. During the cDNA amplification, 1 μL of ADT and HTO additive primers (0.2 μM stock) were added as recommended by the CITE-seq and Cell Hashing Protocol [42]. After the purification step, samples were decontaminated by 2 rounds of centrifugation through a 0.2 μM filter microcentrifuge tubes and removed from the BSL3. cDNA libraries were prepared following the 10X Genomics protocol. The ADT and HTO libraries were prepared following the CITE-Seq and Cell Hashing Protocols. DNA quality was measured using a TapeStation (Agilent). The cDNA, ADT and HTO libraries were pooled at the following proportion: 80% cDNA, 10% ADT, and 10% HTO. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina platform (Azenta).

ScRNA-seq data processing

Raw reads were processed into count matrices with CellRanger v8.0.0 (10x Genomics). The matrices were analyzed in R (RStudio) using Seurat v5.1.0. Cells were filtered to retain singlets expressing 200–4,500 genes with <5% mitochondrial reads. RNA data were normalized with NormalizeData and subsequently transformed with SCTransform while regressing out mitochondrial content. Principal component analysis (PCA) and UMAP were performed using the top 30 dimensions, and nearest-neighbor graphs were built on the same dimensions. ADT features were set as variable, normalized using centered log-ratio transformation with a margin of 2, scaled, and reduced by PCA using three principal components. Multi-modal integration was performed using FindMultiModalNeighbors, combining five RNA dimensions and three ADT dimensions. UMAP was then computed using weighted nearest-neighbor graphs, and clustering was performed with FindClusters (algorithm = 3; resolution = 0.3). Data visualization was performed with tidyverse, EnhancedVolcano, and Seurat plotting functions.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Vance, Barton, Stanley, and Cox labs for advice and discussion. We also thank Jesse Rodriguez, Joceline Morales, the East Bay Vivarium, and the Office of Laboratory Animal Care for assistance with mice. MRF was supported by a Sidney Mac Donald Russell Family fellowship from the UC Berkeley Center for Emerging and Neglected Diseases. Research in the laboratory of REV is funded by an Investigator Award from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and by NIH grants AI066302, AI075039, and AI155634.

Disclosures

REV consults for X-biotix Therapeutics, Ditto Biosciences, and Remedy Plan, Inc.

References

1. Ravesloot-Chávez MM, Van Dis E, Stanley SA. The Innate Immune Response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol.* 2021;39:611-37. Epub 20210226. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-093019-010426. PubMed PMID: 33637017.

2. Cohen SB, Gern BH, Delahaye JL, Adams KN, Plumlee CR, Winkler JK, et al. Alveolar Macrophages Provide an Early *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Niche and Initiate Dissemination. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2018;24(3):439-46.e4. Epub 20180823. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.08.001. PubMed PMID: 30146391; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6152889.

3. Rothchild AC, Olson GS, Nemeth J, Amon LM, Mai D, Gold ES, et al. Alveolar macrophages generate a noncanonical NRF2-driven transcriptional response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis in vivo. Sci Immunol.* 2019;4(37). doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aaw6693. PubMed PMID: 31350281; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6910245.

4. Pisu D, Johnston L, Mattila JT, Russell DG. The frequency of CD38+ alveolar macrophages correlates with early control of *M. tuberculosis* in the murine lung. *Nat Commun.* 2024;15(1):8522. Epub 20241002. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-52846-w. PubMed PMID: 39358361; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC11447019.

5. Pisu D, Huang L, Narang V, Theriault M, Lê-Bury G, Lee B, et al. Single cell analysis of *M. tuberculosis* phenotype and macrophage lineages in the infected lung. *J Exp Med.* 2021;218(9). Epub 20210722. doi: 10.1084/jem.20210615. PubMed PMID: 34292313; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8302446.

6. Mai D, Jahn A, Murray T, Morikubo M, Lim PN, Cervantes MM, et al. Exposure to *Mycobacterium* remodels alveolar macrophages and the early innate response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. PLoS Pathog. 2024;20(1):e1011871. Epub 20240118. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1011871. PubMed PMID: 38236787; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10796046.

7. Mata E, Tarancon R, Guerrero C, Moreo E, Moreau F, Uranga S, et al. Pulmonary BCG induces lung-resident macrophage activation and confers long-term protection against tuberculosis. *Sci Immunol.* 2021;6(63):eabc2934. Epub 20210924. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abc2934. PubMed PMID: 34559551.

8. Wolf AJ, Desvignes L, Linas B, Banaiee N, Tamura T, Takatsu K, et al. Initiation of the adaptive immune response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* depends on antigen production in the local lymph node, not the lungs. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine.* 2008;205:105–15. doi: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20071367.

9. Scanga CA, Bafica A, Feng CG, Cheever AW, Hieny S, Sher A. MyD88-deficient mice display a profound loss in resistance to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* associated with partially impaired Th1 cytokine and nitric oxide synthase 2 expression. *Infect Immun*. 2004;72(4):2400-4. doi: 10.1128/IAI.72.4.2400-2404.2004. PubMed PMID: 15039368; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC375220.

10. Bean AG, Roach DR, Briscoe H, France MP, Korner H, Sedgwick JD, et al. Structural deficiencies in granuloma formation in TNF gene-targeted mice underlie the heightened susceptibility to aerosol *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection, which is not compensated for by lymphotoxin. *J Immunol*. 1999;162(6):3504-11. PubMed PMID: 10092807.

11. Sugawara I, Yamada H, Li C, Mizuno S, Takeuchi O, Akira S. Mycobacterial infection in TLR2 and TLR6 knockout mice. *Microbiol Immunol*. 2003;47(5):327-36. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2003.tb03404.x. PubMed PMID: 12825894.

12. Bafica A, Scanga CA, Feng CG, Leifer C, Cheever A, Sher A. TLR9 regulates Th1 responses and cooperates with TLR2 in mediating optimal resistance to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Exp Med. 2005;202(12):1715-24. doi: 10.1084/jem.20051782. PubMed PMID: 16365150; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2212963.

13. Di Paolo NC, Shafiani S, Day T, Papayannopoulou T, Russell DW, Iwakura Y, et al. Interdependence between Interleukin-1 and Tumor Necrosis Factor Regulates TNF-Dependent Control of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Infection. *Immunity*. 2015;43(6):1125-36. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.11.016. PubMed PMID: 26682985; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4685953.

14. Kimmey JM, Huynh JP, Weiss LA, Park S, Kambal A, Debnath J, et al. Unique role for ATG5 in neutrophil-mediated immunopathology during *M. tuberculosis* infection. *Nature*. 2015;528(7583):565-9. Epub 20151209. doi: 10.1038/nature16451. PubMed PMID: 26649827; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4842313.

15. Nair S, Huynh JP, Lampropoulou V, Loginicheva E, Esaulova E, Gounder AP, et al. Irg1 expression in myeloid cells prevents immunopathology during *M. tuberculosis* infection. *J Exp Med*. 2018;215(4):1035-45. Epub 20180306. doi: 10.1084/jem.20180118. PubMed PMID: 29511063; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5881474.

16. Dorhoi A, Desel C, Yeremeev V, Pradl L, Brinkmann V, Mollenkopf HJ, et al. The adaptor molecule CARD9 is essential for tuberculosis control. *J Exp Med*. 2010;207(4):777-92. Epub 20100329. doi: 10.1084/jem.20090067. PubMed PMID: 20351059; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2856020.

17. MacMicking JD, North RJ, LaCourse R, Mudgett JS, Shah SK, Nathan CF. Identification of nitric oxide synthase as a protective locus against tuberculosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1997;94(10):5243-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.10.5243. PubMed PMID: 9144222; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC24663.

18. Peignier A, Kim J, Lemenze A, Parker D. Monocyte-regulated interleukin 12 production drives clearance of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS Pathog*. 2024;20(10):e1012648. Epub 20241017. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1012648. PubMed PMID: 39418302; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC11521269.

19. Tsai WC, Strieter RM, Mehrad B, Newstead MW, Zeng X, Standiford TJ. CXC chemokine receptor CXCR2 is essential for protective innate host response in murine *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia. *Infect Immun*. 2000;68(7):4289-96. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.7.4289-4296.2000. PubMed PMID: 10858247; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC101748.

20. Copenhaver AM, Casson CN, Nguyen HT, Fung TC, Duda MM, Roy CR, et al. Alveolar macrophages and neutrophils are the primary reservoirs for *Legionella pneumophila* and mediate cytosolic surveillance of type IV secretion. *Infect Immun*. 2014;82(10):4325-36. Epub 20140804. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01891-14. PubMed PMID: 25092908; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4187856.

21. Lettinga KD, Florquin S, Speelman P, van Ketel R, van der Poll T, Verbon A. Toll-like receptor 4 is not involved in host defense against pulmonary *Legionella pneumophila* infection in a mouse model. J Infect Dis. 2002;186(4):570-3. Epub 20020724. doi: 10.1086/341780. PubMed PMID: 12195388.

22. Mascarenhas DP, Zamboni DS. Innate immune responses and monocyte-derived phagocyte recruitment in protective immunity to pathogenic bacteria: insights from *Legionella pneumophila*. *Curr Opin Microbiol*. 2024;80:102495. Epub 20240621. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2024.102495. PubMed PMID: 38908045.

23. Gonçalves AV, Margolis SR, Quirino GFS, Mascarenhas DPA, Rauch I, Nichols RD, et al. Gasdermin-D and Caspase-7 are the key Caspase-1/8 substrates downstream of the NAIP5/NLRC4 inflammasome required for restriction of *Legionella pneumophila*. *PLoS Pathog*. 2019;15(6):e1007886. Epub 20190628. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007886. PubMed PMID: 31251782; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6622555.

24. Plumlee CR, Duffy, F. J., Gern, B. H., Delahaye, J. L., Cohen, S. B., Stoltzfus, C. R., Rustad, T. R., Hansen, S. G., Axthelm, M. K., Picker, L. J., Aitchison, J. D., Sherman, D. R., Ganusov, V. V., Gerner, M. Y., Zak, D. E., & Urdahl, K. B. Ultra-low Dose Aerosol Infection of Mice with Mycobacterium tuberculosis More Closely Models Human Tuberculosis. *Cell Host & Microbe*. 2021;29(1):68–82. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.10.003. PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7854984.

25. Skerrett SJ, Bagby GJ, Schmidt RA, Nelson S. Antibody-mediated depletion of tumor necrosis factor-alpha impairs pulmonary host defenses to *Legionella pneumophila*. J Infect Dis. 1997;176(4):1019-28. doi: 10.1086/516530. PubMed PMID: 9333161.

26. Barry KC, Fontana MF, Portman JL, Dugan AS, Vance RE. IL-1α signaling initiates the inflammatory response to virulent *Legionella pneumophila in vivo*. *J Immunol*. 2013;190(12):6329-39. Epub 20130517. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1300100. PubMed PMID: 23686480; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3682686.

27. Blanchard DK, Klein TW, Friedman H, Stewart WE. Kinetics and characterization of interferon production by murine spleen cells stimulated with *Legionella pneumophila* antigens. *Infect Immun.* 1985;49(3):719-23. doi: 10.1128/iai.49.3.719-723.1985. PubMed PMID: 2411662; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC261255.

28. Martin CJ, Cadena AM, Leung VW, Lin PL, Maiello P, Hicks N, et al. Digitally Barcoding Mycobacterium tuberculosis Reveals In Vivo Infection Dynamics in the Macaque Model of Tuberculosis. *mBio.* 2017;8(3). Epub 20170509. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00312-17. PubMed PMID: 28487426; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5424202.

29. Plumlee CR, Barrett HW, Shao DE, Lien KA, Cross LM, Cohen SB, et al. Assessing vaccine-mediated protection in an ultra-low dose *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* murine model. *PLoS Pathog.* 2023;19(11):e1011825. Epub 20231127. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1011825. PubMed PMID: 38011264; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10703413.

30. Beura LK, Hamilton SE, Bi K, Schenkel JM, Odumade OA, Casey KA, et al. Normalizing the environment recapitulates adult human immune traits in laboratory mice. *Nature.* 2016;532(7600):512-6. Epub 20160420. doi: 10.1038/nature17655. PubMed PMID: 27096360; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4871315.

31. Huggins MA, Sjaastad FV, Pierson M, Kucaba TA, Swanson W, Staley C, et al. Microbial Exposure Enhances Immunity to Pathogens Recognized by TLR2 but Increases Susceptibility to Cytokine Storm through TLR4 Sensitization. *Cell Rep.* 2019;28(7):1729-43.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.028. PubMed PMID: 31412243; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6703181.

32. Labuda JC, Fong KD, McSorley SJ. Cohousing with Dirty Mice Increases the Frequency of Memory T Cells and Has Variable Effects on Intracellular Bacterial Infection. *Immunohorizons.* 2022;6(2):184-90. Epub 20220224. doi: 10.4049/immunohorizons.2100069. PubMed PMID: 35210292; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC9624231.

33. Flynn JL, Chan J, Triebold KJ, Dalton DK, Stewart TA, Bloom BR. An essential role for interferon gamma in resistance to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *J Exp Med.* 1993;178(6):2249-54. doi: 10.1084/jem.178.6.2249. PubMed PMID: 7504064; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2191274.

34. Flynn JL, Goldstein MM, Chan J, Triebold KJ, Pfeffer K, Lowenstein CJ, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha is required in the protective immune response against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in mice. *Immunity.* 1995;2(6):561-72. doi: 10.1016/1074-7613(95)90001-2. PubMed PMID: 7540941.

35. Ji DX, Witt KC, Kotov DI, Margolis SR, Louie A, Chevée V, et al. Role of the transcriptional regulator SP140 in resistance to bacterial infections via repression of type I interferons. Elife. 2021;10. Epub 20210621. doi: 10.7554/eLife.67290. PubMed PMID: 34151776; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8248984.

36. Fremond CM, Yeremeev V, Nicolle DM, Jacobs M, Quesniaux VF, Ryffel B. Fatal *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection despite adaptive immune response in the absence of MyD88. *J Clin Invest.* 2004;114(12):1790-9. doi: 10.1172/JCI21027. PubMed PMID: 15599404; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC535064.

37. Watson RO, Bell SL, MacDuff DA, Kimmey JM, Diner EJ, Olivas J, et al. The Cytosolic Sensor cGAS Detects *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA to Induce Type I Interferons and Activate Autophagy. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2015;17(6):811-9. Epub 20150602. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.05.004. PubMed PMID: 26048136; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4466081.

38. Saini D, Hopkins GW, Seay SA, Chen CJ, Perley CC, Click EM, et al. Ultra-low dose of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* aerosol creates partial infection in mice. *Tuberculosis* (Edinb). 2012;92(2):160-5. Epub 20111221. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2011.11.007. PubMed PMID: 22197183; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3288716.

39. Rao C, Benhabib H, Ensminger AW. Phylogenetic reconstruction of the *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia-1 laboratory strains through comparative genomics. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(5):e64129. Epub 20130522. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064129. PubMed PMID: 23717549; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3661481.

40. Molofsky AB, Byrne BG, Whitfield NN, Madigan CA, Fuse ET, Tateda K, et al. Cytosolic recognition of flagellin by mouse macrophages restricts *Legionella pneumophila* infection. *J Exp Med.* 2006;203(4):1093-104. Epub 20060410. doi: 10.1084/jem.20051659. PubMed PMID: 16606669; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1584282.

41. Ren T, Zamboni DS, Roy CR, Dietrich WF, Vance RE. Flagellin-deficient *Legionella* mutants evade caspase-1- and *Naip5*-mediated macrophage immunity. *PLoS Pathog.* 2006;2(3):e18. Epub 20060317. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0020018. PubMed PMID: 16552444; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1401497.

42. Stoeckius M, Hafemeister C, Stephenson W, Houck-Loomis B, Chattopadhyay PK, Swerdlow H, et al. Simultaneous epitope and transcriptome measurement in single cells. *Nat Methods.* 2017;14(9):865-8. Epub 20170731. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4380. PubMed PMID: 28759029; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5669064.

43. Miller SA, Policastro RA, Sriramkumar S, Lai T, Huntington TD, Ladaika CA, et al. LSD1 and Aberrant DNA Methylation Mediate Persistence of Enteroendocrine Progenitors That Support. *Cancer Res.* 2021;81(14):3791-805. Epub 20210525. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-3562. PubMed PMID: 34035083; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8513805.

44. Liu D, Mai D, Jahn AN, Murray TA, Aitchison JD, Gern BH, et al. APOE protects against severe infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by restraining production of neutrophil extracellular traps. *PLoS Pathog.* 2025;21(6):e1013267. Epub

20250616. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1013267. PubMed PMID: 40523023; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC12201663.

45. Lin PL, Ford CB, Coleman MT, Myers AJ, Gawande R, Ioerger T, et al. Sterilization of granulomas is common in active and latent tuberculosis despite within-host variability in bacterial killing. *Nat Med*. 2014;20(1):75-9. Epub 20131215. doi: 10.1038/nm.3412. PubMed PMID: 24336248; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3947310.

46. MacMicking JD, Taylor GA, McKinney JD. Immune control of tuberculosis by IFN-gamma-inducible LRG-47. *Science*. 2003;302(5645):654-9. doi: 10.1126/science.1088063. PubMed PMID: 14576437.

47. Van Dis E, Fox DM, Morrison HM, Fines DM, Babirye JP, McCann LH, et al. IFN-γ-independent control of *M. tuberculosis* requires CD4 T cell-derived GM-CSF and activation of HIF-1α. *PLoS Pathog*. 2022;18(7):e1010721. Epub 20220725. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010721. PubMed PMID: 35877763; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC9352196.

48. Leal IS, Smedegård B, Andersen P, Appelberg R. Failure to induce enhanced protection against tuberculosis by increasing T-cell-dependent interferon-gamma generation. *Immunology*. 2001;104(2):157-61. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2567.2001.01305.x. PubMed PMID: 11683955; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1783293.

49. Sakai S, Kauffman KD, Sallin MA, Sharpe AH, Young HA, Ganusov VV, et al. CD4 T Cell-Derived IFN-γ Plays a Minimal Role in Control of Pulmonary *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Infection and Must Be Actively Repressed by PD-1 to Prevent Lethal Disease. *PLoS Pathog*. 2016;12(5):e1005667. Epub 20160531. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005667. PubMed PMID: 27244558; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4887085.

50. Srivastava S, Ernst JD. Cutting edge: Direct recognition of infected cells by CD4 T cells is required for control of intracellular *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in vivo. *J Immunol*. 2013;191(3):1016-20. Epub 20130701. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1301236. PubMed PMID: 23817429; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3725655.

51. Abel L, El-Baghdadi J, Bousfiha AA, Casanova JL, Schurr E. Human genetics of tuberculosis: a long and winding road. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2014;369(1645):20130428. Epub 20140512. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0428. PubMed PMID: 24821915; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4024222.

52. Boisson-Dupuis S, Bustamante J. Mycobacterial diseases in patients with inborn errors of immunity. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2021;72:262-71. Epub 20210724. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2021.07.001. PubMed PMID: 34315005; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC9172628.

53. Azad AK, Sadee W, Schlesinger LS. Innate immune gene polymorphisms in tuberculosis. *Infect Immun*. 2012;80(10):3343-59. Epub 20120723. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00443-12. PubMed PMID: 22825450; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3457569.

54. Setiabudiawan TP, Apriani L, Verrall AJ, Utami F, Schnei-

der M, Indrati AR, et al. Immune correlates of early clearance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* among tuberculosis household contacts in Indonesia. *Nat Commun*. 2025;16(1):309. Epub 20250102. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-55501-6. PubMed PMID: 39747050; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC11695729.

55. Lu LL, Smith MT, Yu KKQ, Luedemann C, Suscovich TJ, Grace PS, et al. IFN-γ-independent immune markers of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* exposure. *Nat Med*. 2019;25(6):977-87. Epub 20190520. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0441-3. PubMed PMID: 31110348; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6559862.

56. Dallmann-Sauer M, Fava VM, Malherbe ST, MacDonald CE, Orlova M, Kroon EE, et al. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* resisters despite HIV exhibit activated T cells and macrophages in their pulmonary alveoli. *J Clin Invest*. 2025;135(7). Epub 20250121. doi: 10.1172/JCI188016. PubMed PMID: 39836471; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC11957701.

57. Li H, Wang XX, Wang B, Fu L, Liu G, Lu Y, et al. Latently and uninfected healthcare workers exposed to TB make protective antibodies against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2017;114(19):5023-8. Epub 20170424. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1611776114. PubMed PMID: 28438994; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5441709.

58. Azuma M, Ito D, Yagita H, Okumura K, Phillips JH, Lanier LL, et al. B70 antigen is a second ligand for CTLA-4 and CD28. *Nature*. 1993;366(6450):76-9. doi: 10.1038/366076a0. PubMed PMID: 7694153.

59. Freeman GJ, Gribben JG, Boussiotis VA, Ng JW, Restivo VA, Lombard LA, et al. Cloning of B7-2: a CTLA-4 counter-receptor that costimulates human T cell proliferation. *Science*. 1993;262(5135):909-11. doi: 10.1126/science.7694363. PubMed PMID: 7694363.

60. Flores-Batista VC, Boechat N, Lago PM, Lazzarini LC, Pessanha LR, Almeida AS, et al. Low expression of antigen-presenting and costimulatory molecules by lung cells from tuberculosis patients. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2007;40(12):1671-9. Epub 20071029. doi: 10.1590/s0100-879x2006005000141. PubMed PMID: 17713660.

61. Vance RE. Tuberculosis as an unconventional interferonopathy. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2025;92:102508. Epub 20241204. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2024.102508. PubMed PMID: 39637776.

62. Zhang G, deWeerd NA, Stifter SA, Liu L, Zhou B, Wang W, et al. A proline deletion in IFNAR1 impairs IFN-signaling and underlies increased resistance to tuberculosis in humans. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):85. Epub 20180108. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02611-z. PubMed PMID: 29311663; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5758831.

63. Moreira-Teixeira L, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, O'Garra A. Type I interferons in tuberculosis: Foe and occasionally friend. *J Exp Med*. 2018;215(5):1273-85. Epub 20180417. doi: 10.1084/jem.20180325. PubMed PMID: 29666166; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5940272.

64. Witt KC, Dziulko A, An J, Pekovic F, Cheng AX, Liu GY, et

al. SP140-RESIST pathway regulates interferon mRNA stability and antiviral immunity. *Nature*. 2025;643(8074):1372-80. Epub 20250611. doi: 10.1038/s41586-025-09152-2. PubMed PMID: 40500448; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC12310523.

65. Guarda G, Braun M, Staehli F, Tardivel A, Mattmann C, Förster I, et al. Type I interferon inhibits interleukin-1 production and inflammasome activation. *Immunity*. 2011;34(2):213-23. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.02.006. PubMed PMID: 21349431.

66. Rayamajhi M, Humann J, Penheiter K, Andreasen K, Lenz LL. Induction of IFN-alphabeta enables *Listeria monocytogenes* to suppress macrophage activation by IFN-gamma. *J Exp Med*. 2010;207(2):327-37. Epub 20100201. doi: 10.1084/jem.20091746. PubMed PMID: 20123961; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2822610.

67. Stanley SA, Johndrow JE, Manzanillo P, Cox JS. The Type I IFN response to infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* requires ESX-1-mediated secretion and contributes to pathogenesis. *J Immunol*. 2007;178(5):3143-52. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.5.3143. PubMed PMID: 17312162.

68. Lippmann J, Müller HC, Naujoks J, Tabeling C, Shin S, Witzenrath M, et al. Dissection of a type I interferon pathway in controlling bacterial intracellular infection in mice. *Cell Microbiol*. 2011;13(11):1668-82. Epub 20110824. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2011.01646.x. PubMed PMID: 21790939; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3196383.

69. Stetson DB, Medzhitov R. Recognition of cytosolic DNA activates an IRF3-dependent innate immune response. *Immunity*. 2006;24(1):93-103. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2005.12.003. PubMed PMID: 16413926.

70. Voskuil MI, Bartek IL, Visconti K, Schoolnik GK. The response of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. *Front Microbiol*. 2011;2:105. Epub 20110513. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00105. PubMed PMID: 21734908; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3119406.

71. Espich S, Berry S, Balakhmet A, Chang X, Thuong NTT, Dorajoo R, et al. Impaired aldehyde detoxification caused by a common human polymorphism promotes anti-bacterial immunity. *bioRxiv*. 2025. Epub Oct 18, 2025. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.24.554661.

72. Thomas SM, Olive AJ. High Lethality of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Infection in Mice Lacking the Phagocyte Oxidase and Caspase1/11. *Infect Immun*. 2023;91(7):e0006023. Epub 20230614. doi: 10.1128/iai.00060-23. PubMed PMID: 37314361; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10353354.

73. Darwin KH. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: the honey badger of pathogens. *EMBO Rep*. 2021;22(9):e53619. Epub 20210728. doi: 10.15252/embr.202153619. PubMed PMID: 34322986; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8419694.

74. Gordon C. The crazy nastyass honeybadger. Youtube.com2011. p. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4r-7wHMg5Yjg.

75. Kotov DI, Lee OV, Fattinger SA, Langner CA, Guillen JV, Peters JM, et al. Early cellular mechanisms of type I interferon-driven susceptibility to tuberculosis. *Cell*. 2023;186(25):5536-53.e22. Epub 20231128. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2023.11.002. PubMed PMID: 38029747; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10757650.

76. Mampel J, Spirig T, Weber SS, Haagensen JA, Molin S, Hilbi H. Planktonic replication is essential for biofilm formation by *Legionella pneumophila* in a complex medium under static and dynamic flow conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2006;72(4):2885-95. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.4.2885-2895.2006. PubMed PMID: 16597995; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1448985.

Supplemental Figure 1. Weight changes in co-housed mice

(A-B) Average percent weight change of C57BL/6 mice cohoused with pet shop mice in

two individual experiments. Each line represents the average weight change of up to 8

mice in one cage. Mice were weighed every 3-7 days over 60 days.

Supplemental Figure 2. The founder pet shop mouse influences cell counts and infection outcomes in cohoused C57BL/6 mice

(A-B) Percent of CD4$^+$ (A) or CD8$^+$ (B) T effector memory cells in the lungs of either SPF C57BL/6 mice, pet shop cohoused, or pet shop mice (red).

606   (C-D) % of CD4$^+$ (C) or CD8$^+$ (D) T effector memory cells in the spleens of either SPF

607   C57BL/6 mice, pet shop cohoused, or pet shop mice (red).

608   (E) Lp lung CFU from two separate experiments at 2 days post infection. The bars

609   represent the median where CFU was detected. Mann-Whitney tests were used to

610   calculate significance. *$p < 0.05$

Supplemental Figure 3. Characterizing Lp infection in C57BL/6 mice

(A) Lp Lung CFU measured 4 hours post infection (D0) and 3 days post-infection (D3).

(B) Lung innate immune cells 1 day post-Lp infection.

(C) Localization of Lp as measured by the detection of fluorescent bacteria as a percent

   of each cell type.

Supplemental Figure 4. Identification of lung myeloid cells from scRNA-seq.

(A) UMAP plots showing cell clustering of combined mRNA and protein expression, mRNA expression, or protein expression. Only bystander cells are shown.

(B) UMAP plots showing cell clustering of biological replicates of unprimed or Lp-primed mice. Only bystander cells are shown.

624 (C) Protein and mRNA expression of lineage-defining myeloid cell markers used for

625 cluster classification. Both unprimed and Lp-primed mice were analyzed to identify

626 clusters.

		Experiment 1			Experiment 2		
Agents Tested	Methodology	Tested	Positive	Negative	Tested	Positive	Negative
Mouse parvovirus	SEROLOGY	7	3	4	7	2	5
Minute virus of mice	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	4	3
Parvo NS-1	SEROLOGY	7	4	3	7	3	4
Mouse hepatitis virus	SEROLOGY	7	6	1	7	7	0
Murine norovirus	SEROLOGY	7	2	5	7	3	4
Theilovirus (GD7TMEV)	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Rotavirus	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	3	4
Sendai virus	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Pneumonia virus	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	2	5
Reovirus 1,2,3	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Mouse adenovirus 1	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Mouse adenovirus 2	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Ectromelia virus	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Polyoma virus	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Murine cytomegalovirus	IFA	7	0	7	7	0	7
CAR Bacillus	SEROLOGY	7	0	7	7	0	7
Mouse thymic virus	IFA	7	0	7	7	0	7

Supplemental Table 1. Pathogen diagnostic panel of pet shop mice

Pathogen diagnostic panel performed on blood samples of pet shop mice by serology or

immunofluorescence assay (IFA) over two independent experiments. 7 mice were

tested for each experiment.