# A stress-dependent TRIM28–ALKBH2 feedback loop modulates chemotherapy response

Zhiming Sun[1], Yunfang Deng[1], Yue Liu[1], Zhaohui Liu[1], Jiabing Li[1], Lihui Wu[1], Liyuan Zeng[1], Xiaorong Feng[1], Lin Miao[1], Ying Sheng[1], Bei Chen[1], Yuming He[1], Ye Liu[1] & Yu Zhao[1,2]*

[1]The National & Local Joint Engineering Laboratory of Animal Peptide Drug Development, College of Life Sciences, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan, 410081, China

[2]Peptide and Small Molecule Drug R&D Platform, Furong Laboratory, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan, 410081, China

* Corresponding author: Yu Zhao (smile_zhao@hotmail.com)

Running title: TRIM28–ALKBH2 modulates chemoresistance

*Keywords*: TRIM28, ALKBH2, MAGEA6, Ubiquitination, Chemoresistance

**ABSTRACT**

Chemoresistance to DNA-damaging agents, including platinum and alkylating compounds, limits treatment efficacy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and is frequently associated with elevated DNA repair activity. Here, we identify a stress-responsive feedback loop between the E3 ligase TRIM28 and the demethylase ALKBH2. TRIM28 directly binds ALKBH2 and mediates its K48-linked polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation, whereas ALKBH2 enhances TRIM28 transcription, forming a reciprocal loop that regulates their steady-state levels. Alkylation stress triggers a biphasic response: acute MMS exposure increases TRIM28–ALKBH2 complex formation, further augmented by MAGEA6, and accelerates ALKBH2 degradation, whereas prolonged MMS treatment induces K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of TRIM28, leading to ALKBH2 stabilization and increased ALKBH2 transcription. Clinically, ALKBH2 is elevated in lung adenocarcinoma and correlates with poorer survival, whereas TRIM28 shows prognostic association only in chemotherapy-treated patients. Functionally, combining MMS with cisplatin increases DNA damage, reduces clonogenic survival, and attenuates ALKBH2-dependent tolerance to alkylation damage, linking the TRIM28–ALKBH2 axis to chemotherapy response in NSCLC. Together, these results identify a stress-responsive biphasic TRIM28–ALKBH2 regulatory loop that coordinates ubiquitin-dependent and transcription-linked mechanisms to shape alkylation responses and modulate chemotherapy sensitivity in NSCLC.

**Introduction**

Cells are continuously exposed to endogenous metabolites and environmental or therapeutic alkylating agents that generate cytotoxic and mutagenic DNA adducts, thereby threatening genome integrity[1,2]. These lesions are repaired through three principal mechanisms: base excision repair (BER), direct reversal by $O^6$-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), and oxidative demethylation catalyzed by AlkB family of Fe(II)/α-ketoglutarate–dependent dioxygenases[3-5]. AlkB enzymes remove N-linked methyl lesions such as 1-meA and 3-meC through a coupled oxidative reaction that restores the native base[6-8]. In mammalian cells, these dioxygenases operate alongside BER and MGMT to resolve lesions that evade other pathways, supporting genome maintenance across diverse cellular conditions. Accordingly, changes in AlkB enzyme expression or stability correlate with distinct cellular responses to alkylation damage, indicating the importance of precise control over this pathway.

In addition to transcriptional and epigenetic regulation[9-13], the protein levels of AlkB family dioxygenases are controlled by ubiquitin-dependent proteostasis mechanisms. Extensive studies have characterized ubiquitin-regulatory networks for several homologues, most notably FTO and ALKBH5. FTO turnover is controlled by multiple context-dependent E3 ligases—including TRIM17, DTX2, MIB1, and RNF144A—and is further modulated by TRIM21 following O-GlcNAc–primed modification[14-18]. ALKBH5 stability is similarly regulated by RNF180 or by PRMT5-dependent methylation that promotes its recognition by TRIM28[19,20]. These degradative pathways are counterbalanced by substrate-specific deubiquitinases (DUBs), including USP7 and USP18 for FTO[21,22], and USP14 and USP36 for ALKBH5[23,24]. OTUD4 has also been reported to stabilize the related demethylase ALKBH3 through a scaffolding DUB mechanism[2], consistent with the broader reliance of AlkB-family enzymes on ubiquitin-dependent regulation.

Among the nine mammalian AlkB homologues, ALKBH2 is the principal demethylase that repairs methylated bases in duplex DNA and plays a central role in suppressing alkylation-induced mutagenesis[25-28]. ALKBH2 is frequently upregulated in cancer, particularly in non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), where elevated expression is associated with increased tolerance to DNA

damage and reduced responsiveness to alkylating or platinum-based chemotherapy[11,27,29-31]. Because alkylating chemotherapeutics such as temozolomide and environmental exposures such as cigarette smoke generate similar methylated lesions[1,32,33], tight control of ALKBH2 protein levels is important for tumor survival under sustained alkylation stress[11,34]. However, the ubiquitin-dependent mechanisms regulating ALKBH2 stability—including whether it undergoes ubiquitination and the identity of any responsible E3 ligases or DUBs—remain poorly defined. Notably, the ALKBH2-associated ASCC complex recruits TRIM28 upon MMS-induced alkylation stress[35], placing TRIM28 within the alkylation repair environment and raising the possibility of a regulatory connection between TRIM28 and ALKBH2.

The tripartite motif (TRIM) family of RING-type E3 ligases—including TRIM28 and TRIM24—coordinates ubiquitin signaling that integrates chromatin regulation with cellular stress responses[36-38]. TRIM28 uses its N-terminal RING domain and C-terminal PHD–BRD module to mediate KRAB-ZNF–dependent transcriptional silencing and maintain genomic stability[39,40]. Although TRIM28 functions as a key corepressor and E3 ligase, its own activity and availability are tightly regulated at multiple levels. In response to DNA double-strand breaks, TRIM28 undergoes ATM/ATR-dependent phosphorylation at Ser824 to relax heterochromatin and enable repair factor recruitment[41-43]. Its expression is further shaped by transcriptional programs (e.g., NR3C2), post-transcriptional control via NSUN2-mediated $m^5C$ modification or stabilization by the lncRNA PITAR[44-46], and ubiquitin-dependent turnover mediated by RNF4 during genotoxic stress or by March5 under metabolic stress[47,48]. Moreover, MAGE family proteins—including MAGEA6—serve as cofactors that facilitate TRIM28 recruitment to its substrates by promoting assembly of TRIM28–substrate E3 complexes[49-51]. This scaffolding function positions MAGE proteins as modulators of TRIM28-dependent ubiquitin signaling. Despite this multi-layered regulation, how TRIM28 interfaces with alkylation repair pathways, particularly in response to alkylating stress, remains poorly defined.

In this study, we identify an unrecognized regulatory axis involving TRIM28, MAGEA6, and ALKBH2 that becomes functionally engaged under alkylation stress. This feedback loop links

TRIM28-dependent ubiquitination of ALKBH2 with ALKBH2-mediated transcriptional regulation of TRIM28, forming a basal loop that is subsequently reprogrammed by alkylation damage. Remarkably, alkylation stress triggers a biphasic regulatory shift—an early phase in which TRIM28–ALKBH2 association increases and ALKBH2 degradation accelerates, followed by a later phase marked by TRIM28 degradation, ALKBH2 stabilization, and transcriptional upregulation. This regulatory architecture integrates ubiquitin-dependent regulation with demethylation repair in a time-dependent manner, providing a mechanistic basis for how the duration of alkylation stress shapes repair capacity and chemotherapy sensitivity in NSCLC.

## Results

**TRIM28 directly binds ALKBH2**

To identify proteins associated with TRIM28, we performed tandem affinity purification in H1299 non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells, followed by silver staining and LC–MS/MS. This analysis identified ALKBH2 as a candidate interactor (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table 1). Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) in HEK293T cells co-expressing HA-tagged ALKBH2 and Flag-tagged TRIM28 confirmed this association, whereas the related paralog TRIM24 showed no detectable interaction (Fig. 1B). Reciprocal co-IP using HA-ALKBH2 further validated the interaction (Fig. 1C). Domain mapping using TRIM28 truncation mutants indicated that the BCC region (B-box + coiled-coil; residues 141–400) was required for ALKBH2 binding (Fig. 1D, E). Endogenous co-IP in H1299 cells detected ALKBH2 in TRIM28 immunoprecipitates (Fig. 1F), and immunofluorescence analysis revealed their nuclear co-localization (Fig. 1G).

*In vitro* MBP pull-down assays using purified recombinant proteins showed that TRIM28 directly binds ALKBH2 (Fig. 1H). Specificity assays revealed stronger binding to ALKBH2 than to ALKBH3 (Fig. 1I) or the direct-reversal repair enzyme MGMT (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Protein–protein docking predicted a TRIM28–ALKBH2 interface that overlaps the nucleic-acid-binding region of ALKBH2, centered on the Finger (hairpin) motif and the flexible Long-loop[52], which are present in ALKBH2 but absent in ALKBH3 (Fig. 1J). Consistent with this model, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) competitively reduced TRIM28–ALKBH2 binding in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1K), a commonly used approach to assess the DNA-occupied states of repair enzymes [53,54]. Collectively, these data indicate that TRIM28 directly binds ALKBH2 through its BCC domain and support a model in which DNA binding limits TRIM28 access to the ALKBH2 interaction interface.

**A reciprocal TRIM28–ALKBH2 regulatory loop coordinates ALKBH2 ubiquitination and stability, with ALKBH2 enhancing TRIM28 transcription**

To determine whether ALKBH2 undergoes ubiquitin-dependent modification, we performed denaturing immunoprecipitation (IP) of Flag-ALKBH2 from HEK293T cells co-transfected with HA-ubiquitin (Ub). A high-molecular-weight smear corresponding to polyubiquitinated ALKBH2 was detected, which increased markedly after proteasome inhibition with MG132 (Fig. 2A). Expression of a K48-only ubiquitin mutant further enhanced ALKBH2 ubiquitination, whereas the K48R mutant almost completely abolished it (Fig. 2B), indicating that ALKBH2 is predominantly modified by K48-linked polyubiquitin chains. Halo-TUBE pull-down assays revealed that ALKBH2 undergoes endogenous K48-linked polyubiquitination (Fig. 2C).

We next examined whether TRIM28 mediates this modification. Overexpression of wild-type (WT) TRIM28 increased K48-linked ubiquitination of ALKBH2 (Fig. 2D), whereas the RING-inactive C65/68A mutant (previously shown to abolish TRIM28 E3 ligase activity[50]) did not increase this modification (Fig. 2F). TRIM28 depletion using two independent shRNAs markedly reduced ALKBH2 ubiquitination (Supplementary Fig. 2A), and re-expression of shRNA-resistant WT TRIM28 restored this activity (Fig. 2E). These data indicate that TRIM28 E3 ligase activity is required for efficient K48-linked ubiquitination of ALKBH2.

We then assessed how this modification affects ALKBH2 protein stability. TRIM28 knockdown in H1299 and A549 cells increased ALKBH2 protein levels without altering its mRNA expression (Fig. 2G, H), and similar results were obtained after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated TRIM28 depletion (Supplementary Fig. 2B). Cycloheximide (CHX) chase assays revealed that TRIM28 knockout extended ALKBH2 half-life, whereas TRIM28 overexpression shortened it in a RING-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 2C-F). Increasing TRIM28 expression produced a dose-dependent decrease in ALKBH2 protein level in both H1299 and HEK293T cells (Fig. 2I). These data indicate that TRIM28 limits ALKBH2 protein levels by promoting proteasome-mediated degradation (Supplementary Fig. 2G).

To determine whether ALKBH2 in turn regulates its E3 ligase, we depleted ALKBH2 in H1299 cells using two independent shRNAs. TRIM28 protein levels were reduced following ALKBH2 knockdown (Fig. 2J), and re-expression of Flag-ALKBH2 restored TRIM28 protein

levels (Fig. 2K). ALKBH2 overexpression increased TRIM28 mRNA as measured by qRT-PCR

(Fig. 2L). Consistent with this regulatory relationship, TCGA lung adenocarcinoma data indicate

that ALKBH2 and TRIM28 transcripts are positively correlated (Supplementary Fig. 2H).

Collectively, these data indicate a reciprocal regulatory loop in which TRIM28 promotes K48-

linked ubiquitination and proteasome-dependent degradation of ALKBH2, whereas ALKBH2

increases TRIM28 expression at the transcriptional level.

**MAGEA6 enhances TRIM28 E3 ligase assembly and activity toward ALKBH2, a function**

**impaired by cancer-associated variants**

MAGE family proteins interact with TRIM-type E3 ligases to modulate their activity[50,55]. We

therefore examined how MAGEA6 contributes to the organization of the TRIM28–ALKBH2

complex. Co-IP in HEK293T cells showed that MAGEA6 binds ALKBH2 (Fig. 3A), and pull-

down assays with purified proteins confirmed that this interaction is direct (Fig. 3B). TRIM28

depletion did not disrupt MAGEA6–ALKBH2 binding (Fig. 3C), indicating that MAGEA6

engages ALKBH2 independently of TRIM28. Rather than serving solely as a TRIM28 scaffold,

MAGEA6 directly interacts with ALKBH2 and enhances assembly of the TRIM28–ALKBH2

complex. In line with this, Myc-MAGEA6 overexpression increased TRIM28–ALKBH2

association in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3D, Supplementary Fig. 3A), whereas knockdown

of endogenous MAGEA3/6—detected together owing to 96% sequence identity[56]—reduced this

interaction (Fig. 3E). The related protein MAGEC2 similarly enhanced TRIM28–ALKBH2

assembly (Supplementary Fig. 3B), supporting a conserved function within the MAGEA/C

subfamily.

To determine the functional consequence of this stabilized complex, we examined its impact

on ALKBH2 ubiquitination and turnover. MAGEA6 knockdown reduced endogenous ALKBH2

ubiquitination, and re-expression of MAGEA6 restored it (Supplementary Fig. 3C). Conversely,

MAGEA6 overexpression promoted K48-linked ubiquitination of ALKBH2, whereas this effect

was abolished by TRIM28 knockdown, indicating that MAGEA6 requires TRIM28 to enhance

ALKBH2 ubiquitination (Fig. 3F). Accordingly, MAGEA6 accelerated ALKBH2 degradation in

control cells, but not in TRIM28-knockout H1299 cells (Fig. 3G, H).

MAGEA6 mutations have been reported across cancer types, including lung cancer[57]. To test

whether such variants disrupt this regulatory module, we sequenced endogenous MAGEA6 cDNA

from H1299 cells and identified two variants, MutA and MutB, carrying 12 and 20 amino-acid

substitutions, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 3D). Both mutants were cloned and sequence-

verified. Co-IP assays showed that MutA and MutB exhibited reduced binding to ALKBH2, and

MutB also showed decreased interaction with TRIM28 (Fig. 3I). Consistent with these defects,

both variants displayed impaired promotion of ALKBH2 ubiquitination and degradation relative

to wild-type MAGEA6 (Fig. 3J, Supplementary Fig. 3E).

To further define the structural basis of this interaction, AlphaFold3 modeling predicted a

TRIM28-contacting interface within the MAGE homology domain encompassing residues D156

and S157 (Supplementary Fig. 3F). Notably, S157 is altered in the MutB variant and corresponds

to tumor-associated mutations reported in COSMIC for MAGEA6 and the homologous MAGEA3.

Functional analysis showed that the D156E/S157Y double substitution weakened TRIM28 binding

and reduced MAGEA6-dependent ALKBH2 degradation (Fig. 3K, Supplementary Fig. 3H),

whereas the K211R substitution—located within the predicted ALKBH2-binding surface—had

only a modest effect on MAGEA6–ALKBH2 binding (Fig. 3J). Collectively, these results indicate

that D156 and S157 contribute to the MAGEA6–TRIM28 interface and suggest that this region

modulates MAGEA6-dependent regulation of ALKBH2, consistent with tumor-associated

variants disrupting the same site.

**MMS drives a biphasic ALKBH2 response, from acute degradation to prolonged**

**accumulation**

**MMS acutely promotes ALKBH2 degradation**

To investigate how MMS regulates the TRIM28–ALKBH2 axis, we analyzed their interaction

dynamics in H1299 cells. Short-term MMS exposure (3–6 h) increased the TRIM28–ALKBH2

association in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4A, B). Both TRIM28 and ALKBH2 were

predominantly nuclear regardless of MMS treatment (Supplementary Fig. 4A). In contrast, MAGEA3/6 accumulated in the nucleus upon MMS exposure (Fig. 4C), supporting the possibility that MAGEA3/6 contributes to enhanced TRIM28–ALKBH2 assembly during genotoxic stress. Consistent with this, MMS increased ALKBH2 K48-linked ubiquitination in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 4D).

To exclude transcriptional effects, we used H1299 cells stably expressing TAP-tagged ALKBH2 (Flag–HA tandem affinity tag). MMS accelerated ALKBH2 degradation, and this effect was further enhanced by TRIM28 overexpression (Fig. 4E). Endogenous ALKBH2 similarly showed reduced stability when cells were co-treated with MMS and cycloheximide (CHX), compared with CHX alone (Supplementary Fig. 4B, C). These data support an early response phase in which MMS promotes TRIM28-dependent ubiquitination and degradation of ALKBH2.

Because alkylating agents such as MMS and temozolomide (TMZ) elevate intracellular ROS[58-60], we next examined whether redox conditions influence TRIM28–ALKBH2 binding. Co-IP analysis showed that $H_2O_2$ increased complex formation, whereas ssDNA, ascorbate (Vc), or EDTA reduced the interaction (Fig. 4F). Reduced glutathione (GSH) diminished TRIM28–ALKBH2 binding, whereas oxidized glutathione (GSSG) had minimal effect; GSH also attenuated the $H_2O_2$-induced increase (Supplementary Fig. 4D). EDTA similarly lowered basal interaction and blocked $H_2O_2$-driven binding (Fig. 4G). In line with a redox-dependent mechanism, oxidative stress alone promoted K48-linked polyubiquitination of ALKBH2 (Supplementary Fig. 4E).

The catalytically inactive ALKBH2(H171A/D173A) mutant[52,61] was refractory to both the ssDNA-dependent reduction and the $H_2O_2$-induced enhancement of TRIM28 binding, indicating that these redox-associated effects depend on ALKBH2 catalytic activity (Supplementary Fig. 4F). The reducing agent Vc further suppressed MMS-induced K48-linked ubiquitination of ALKBH2 (Fig. 4H). Together, these results support an acute, redox-responsive phase in which TRIM28-mediated ubiquitination drives ALKBH2 degradation.

**Prolonged MMS exposure stabilizes ALKBH2**

In contrast to the early degradative phase, prolonged MMS exposure (≥8 h) progressively increased ALKBH2 protein levels in H1299 and H460 cells (Fig. 4I, J). By contrast, the related demethylase ALKBH3 and MGMT showed no detectable change in protein levels. TRIM28 overexpression attenuated MMS-induced increase in ALKBH2 (Fig. 4K). These data indicate that prolonged MMS exposure shifts ALKBH2 regulation from degradation toward stabilization.

**Prolonged MMS exposure induces transcriptional upregulation of ALKBH2**

To determine whether ALKBH2 accumulation involves transcriptional activation, we measured ALKBH2 transcript levels in H1299 cells after MMS exposure for the indicated durations. ALKBH2 mRNA increased at 12 h and remained elevated at 24 h (Fig. 4L), paralleling the delayed protein induction.

Collectively, these data support a stress-dependent biphasic regulatory program, consisting of an early TRIM28-dependent ubiquitination-and-degradation phase followed by a later phase characterized by ALKBH2 stabilization together with transcriptional induction.

**MMS promotes K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of TRIM28, coordinated by TRIM24 and MAGEA6**

To investigate how TRIM28 is regulated during alkylation stress, we examined its stability and post-translational modification. MMS treatment caused a time- and dose-dependent reduction in TRIM28 protein without altering its mRNA (Fig. 5A–C), and this loss was blocked by MG132, indicating proteasome-mediated degradation. Prolonged low-dose MMS exposure (100 µM, 24–72 h) led to a progressive increase in ALKBH2 protein levels, whereas ALKBH3, MGMT, and MAGEA3/6 remained stable (Supplementary Fig. 5A). A 12-h MMS pre-exposure caused TRIM28 depletion, increased basal ALKBH2, and a slower ALKBH2 degradation (Supplementary Fig. 5B, C), consistent with reduced TRIM28 permitting ALKBH2 accumulation during sustained alkylation stress.

To examine the mechanism underlying this regulation, we analyzed TRIM28 ubiquitination. Denaturing IP of Flag-TRIM28 revealed that MMS strongly induced TRIM28 polyubiquitination

(Fig. 5D) and that this modification increased over time in H1299 cells (Fig. 5E). A K48-only ubiquitin mutant confirmed that these conjugates are predominantly K48-linked and proteasome-directed (Fig. 5F). K48-specific Halo-TUBE pulldown of endogenous TRIM28 further confirmed MMS-induced ubiquitination under endogenous conditions (Fig. 5G). In contrast, other genotoxic agents—including TMZ, CDDP, and $H_2O_2$—did not induce detectable K48-linked ubiquitination or TRIM28 degradation (Fig. 5H, Supplementary Fig. 5D–F), indicating that TRIM28 loss is selective for MMS-induced alkylation stress. The SUMO-acceptor-site TRIM28 mutant (K554/779/804R)[62] showed markedly reduced K48-linked ubiquitination (Fig. 5I), indicating that this lysine cluster is required for efficient MMS-induced modification.

To identify upstream factors influencing TRIM28 turnover, we analyzed the TRIM28 interactome (Supplementary Table 2) and validated selected interacting proteins. MMS increased TRIM28 association with the E3 ligase TRIM24 and the proteasome subunits PSMD4 and PSMB5 in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 5J), and these interactions were weakened in the SUMO-acceptor mutant (Supplementary Fig. 5G). MAGEA6 further enhanced assembly of the TRIM28–TRIM24 complex. Upon MMS exposure, MAGEA6 co-expression increased TRIM28 binding to TRIM24 and to PSMD4/PSMB5, accompanied by higher levels of K48-linked TRIM28 ubiquitination (Fig. 5K). Reciprocal co-IP using Flag-TRIM24 confirmed the MMS-inducible TRIM28–TRIM24 interaction and revealed that TRIM24 itself undergoes K48-linked ubiquitination (Fig. 5L). Overexpression of TRIM24 amplified MMS-induced ubiquitination and promoted the reduction of endogenous TRIM28 (Fig. 5M, Supplementary Fig. 5H, I). Collectively, these results indicate that MMS induces K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of TRIM28 through a pathway coordinated by MAGEA6, TRIM24, and SUMO-associated mechanisms, positioning TRIM28 within an MMS-selective alkylation-responsive ubiquitin network.

**TRIM28–ALKBH2 axis connects patient outcome with alkylation-stress responses**

To assess the clinical relevance of this pathway in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), we examined expression patterns of key alkylation repair enzymes. Analysis of TCGA transcriptomic and

CPTAC proteomic datasets showed increased ALKBH2 and decreased ALKBH3 in tumors relative to normal lung tissue, whereas MGMT exhibited no significant change (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Fig. 6A). Immunohistochemistry further confirmed stronger ALKBH2 staining in LUAD than in matched normal samples (Fig. 6B). A similar expression pattern was observed in NSCLC cell lines, which showed higher ALKBH2 and lower ALKBH3 compared with BEAS-2B bronchial epithelial cells (Fig. 6C). In survival analyses, elevated ALKBH2 correlated with poorer overall survival, whereas higher ALKBH3 associated with improved prognosis (Fig. 6D, E).

Because cigarette smoking generates alkylating and methylating DNA lesions[63], we next analyzed ALKBH2 expression according to smoking status. ALKBH2 transcripts were higher in LUAD patients with a smoking history than in non-smokers (Supplementary Fig. 6B). Somatic mutations in MAGEA6, a component of the TRIM28–ALKBH2 pathway, were also more frequent in smokers, whereas MAGEA3 mutations showed no enrichment (Supplementary Fig. 6C). These observations suggest an association between ALKBH2 and smoking-linked alkylation stress and implicate MAGEA6 in regulatory control of this pathway.

To relate these clinical patterns to cellular stress responses, we employed an acute MMS-exposure model. Because prolonged MMS treatment reduces TRIM28 and induces delayed ALKBH2 accumulation, we applied a brief high-dose MMS pulse (500 μM, 1 h) that induced γ-H2AX with minimal effects on TRIM28 and ALKBH2 protein levels (Supplementary Fig. 6D). Under these conditions, ALKBH2 knockdown using two independent shRNAs reduced cell viability and markedly increased MMS sensitivity (Fig. 6F, G). TRIM28 overexpression did not alter basal proliferation (Supplementary Fig. 6E) but enhanced MMS sensitivity in a RING-dependent manner, and this phenotype was restored by re-expression of wild-type TRIM28 in knockdown cells (Fig. 6H, I). Co-expression of ALKBH2 reversed the sensitization, supporting a model in which ALKBH2 acts downstream of TRIM28 during acute alkylation stress (Fig. 6J). These data indicate that ALKBH2 acts downstream of TRIM28 in the acute MMS response.

We next examined whether MAGEA6 modulates this regulatory arm. MAGEA6 overexpression increased MMS sensitivity, whereas its knockdown conferred resistance; re-

expression restored sensitivity (Supplementary Fig. 6F). MAGEA6 failed to enhance MMS cytotoxicity when TRIM28 was depleted, and a TRIM28-binding-deficient mutant (D156E/S157Y) showed markedly reduced activity (Fig. 6K, L). In contrast, MAGEA6 did not affect responses to cisplatin (CDDP) or the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (Supplementary Fig. 6G, H), indicating that its regulatory effect is specific to alkylation stress rather than general cytotoxic stress. Collectively, these data suggest that the TRIM28–ALKBH2 pathway, reinforced by MAGEA6, contributes to cellular tolerance to alkylation damage and is consistent with differences observed in chemotherapy-associated patient outcomes.

**TRIM28–ALKBH2 axis couples MMS sensitization with cisplatin response in NSCLC**

Prior studies indicate that the TRIM28/*miR*-125b-5p/CREB1 axis enhances CDDP resistance in NSCLC[64]. To evaluate the clinical relevance of TRIM28, we analyzed 771 NSCLC cases. Among patients who received systemic therapy, platinum-based regimens—mainly CDDP-containing combinations—were the predominant treatment modality (Supplementary Fig. 7A). High TRIM28 expression was associated with poorer overall survival in chemotherapy-treated patients, whereas no prognostic association was observed in patients who did not receive chemotherapy (Supplementary Fig. 7B, C). These data indicate that TRIM28 expression is linked to adverse prognosis specifically in the setting of cytotoxic chemotherapy, consistent with a putative role in promoting platinum resistance.

To investigate how TRIM28 influences cellular responses beyond cisplatin treatment, we established a chronic MMS exposure model. MMS $IC_{50}$ values were determined in NSCLC cells. The MMS $IC_{50}$ values were approximately 141.5 μM in H1299 cells and 236.8 μM in H460 cells (Supplementary Fig. 7D). For dose–response assays, we used concentrations around the $IC_{50}$ range; H1299 cells were exposed to 40–120 μM MMS for 48 h. TRIM28 depletion increased MMS resistance in these cells (Fig. 7A). For long-term clonogenic assays, H460 cells, which form robust colonies, were treated with 100 μM MMS for 48 h and then allowed to recover for 7 days in drug-free medium. TRIM28 overexpression in H460 cells increased MMS sensitivity and reduced

colony formation (Fig. 7B, C). TRIM28 increased cellular sensitivity to sustained MMS-induced alkylation stress, a pattern distinct from its association with poor prognosis under platinum-based chemotherapy.

Given the opposite effects of TRIM28 on MMS sensitization and cisplatin response, we first examined how TRIM28 influences cellular responses under MMS–CDDP co-treatment. Because 2 µM CDDP induces only limited cytotoxicity, we used this dose as a low-background condition to assess combinatorial effects. TRIM28 overexpression increased MMS sensitivity, and co-treatment with MMS and CDDP further reduced cell viability, indicating that CDDP exposure amplifies TRIM28-mediated MMS sensitization (Fig. 7D). To determine whether ALKBH2 accounts for this effect, we evaluated MMS and CDDP responses in ALKBH2-depleted cells. Since MMS induces ALKBH2 expression, we used H1299 cells with stable ALKBH2 knockdown to avoid confounding from induction. Re-expression of ALKBH2 substantially restored MMS tolerance, consistent with its role in repairing alkylation damage. However, when combined with MMS, 2 µM CDDP markedly weakened ALKBH2-mediated protection, leading to a pronounced increase in MMS-induced cell death (Fig. 7E). These observations suggest that TRIM28-driven MMS sensitization is attributable to its suppression of ALKBH2, and that the protective effect of ALKBH2 is diminished under MMS–CDDP co-treatment.

To investigate why CDDP diminishes ALKBH2-mediated protection, we examined whether oxidative stress–associated DNA damage contributes to this sensitization. Because CDDP can induce reactive oxygen species (ROS), including $H_2O_2$[65], we tested whether oxidative stress potentiates MMS-induced cytotoxicity. Co-treatment of MMS with increasing concentrations of $H_2O_2$ reduced cell viability in a dose-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 7E), consistent with the enhanced cytotoxicity observed under MMS–CDDP co-treatment. To corroborate these effects on DNA integrity, alkaline comet assays showed that low doses of CDDP (2 µM) or MMS (50 µM) alone induced limited DNA strand breaks, whereas co-treatment substantially increased tail moments (Fig. 7E) and γ-H2AX accumulation (Supplementary Fig. 7F). These observations

indicate that oxidative and alkylating stresses cooperate to enhance DNA damage during MMS–

CDDP co-treatment.

To determine the functional consequences of this interaction, we next evaluated whether low-

level alkylation stress modulates cisplatin sensitivity. Sub-$IC_{50}$ MMS decreased the $IC_{50}$ of CDDP

in both H1299 and H460 cells (Fig. 7F, G), consistent with enhanced cisplatin sensitivity under

mild alkylation stress. To assess long-term cellular responses, we performed colony formation

assays, in which MMS–CDDP co-treatment further reduced clonogenic survival (Fig. 7H, I). In

three-dimensional tumor spheroids, the combination similarly reduced spheroid size and viability

(Fig. 7J, K). Collectively, these results indicate that the TRIM28–ALKBH2 axis contributes to

MMS sensitization, and that CDDP further amplifies this effect under combined MMS–CDDP

exposure by weakening ALKBH2-mediated protection during co-treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Building on our previous work identifying the deubiquitinase OTUD4 as a regulator of an AlkB-family demethylase[2], this study identifies the TRIM28–MAGEA6 ubiquitin pathway that regulates ALKBH2 stability. Proteomic profiling of the alkylation-responsive ASCC complex—functionally linked to ALKBH3 and detected together with ALKBH2[35]—identified TRIM28 as an ASCC2-associated component, suggesting that TRIM28 links transcription-associated assemblies to ubiquitin-dependent regulation during alkylation repair. In this study, we identify TRIM28, together with the cancer-testis antigen MAGEA6, as the E3 ligase complex that controls ALKBH2 stability through K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (Fig. 1–3). Under basal conditions, the TRIM28–MAGEA6 complex limits ALKBH2 protein level, whereas ALKBH2 increases TRIM28 transcription, forming a reciprocal feedback loop that maintains steady-state repair capacity. Alkylation stress reorganizes this loop in a time-dependent manner: during acute MMS exposure, increased TRIM28–ALKBH2 association promotes ALKBH2 ubiquitination and degradation, whereas prolonged exposure shifts ubiquitin flux toward TRIM28, which coincides with its degradation and the subsequent stabilization and transcriptional induction of ALKBH2 (Fig. 8).

This study identifies TRIM28, together with the cancer-testis antigen MAGEA6, as the E3 ligase complex that controls ALKBH2 stability through K48-linked ubiquitination, providing the first ubiquitin-dependent mechanism for regulating ALKBH2 protein level. This regulatory module reveals how substrate binding, DNA accessibility, and transcription-linked feedback converge to regulate ALKBH2. Although the AlkB family participates in multiple steps of nucleic acid repair[66], the post-translational mechanisms governing individual family members remain incompletely defined. Distinct E3 ligases have been linked to other AlkB homologues, including TRIM28 for ALKBH5[19] and TRIM21 for FTO[18]. In contrast to these RNA-modifying enzymes, ALKBH2 repairs methylated bases within duplex DNA, and the ubiquitin-dependent mechanisms regulating its stability—including both E3- and DUB-linked control—remained uncharacterized. Unlike canonical MAGE–TRIM architectures[67,68], MAGEA6 binds both TRIM28 and ALKBH2

(Fig. 3B), indicating a dual-binding mode that contributes to their association under alkylation stress. Although ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 share conserved catalytic cores, TRIM28 preferentially interacts with ALKBH2 (Fig. 1I), a selectivity attributable to the ALKBH2-specific finger motif and long-loop region required for DNA binding[52]. Docking analysis predicts that the TRIM28-contacting surface lies adjacent to the DNA-binding region of ALKBH2 (Fig. 1J), and ssDNA competitively reduces their association (Fig. 1K). These observations are consistent with a model in which DNA occupancy transiently limits TRIM28 binding to ALKBH2, allowing catalytic turnover to proceed and enabling ubiquitin-dependent regulation once ALKBH2 dissociates from damaged DNA. Under basal conditions, ALKBH2 increased TRIM28 mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 2J–L), forming the transcriptional arm of this reciprocal loop, consistent with evidence that ALKBH2 modulates promoter methylation and the transcription of targets such as MGMT and BMI1[69,70]. Together with evidence that the deubiquitinase OTUD4 associates with ALKBH2 and ALKBH3[2], these results suggest that AlkB-family enzymes operate within a broader ubiquitin-regulated framework, in which the TRIM28–MAGEA6 module contributes to maintaining demethylase homeostasis under basal and stress conditions.

TRIM28 is itself regulated under alkylation stress, adding a second layer of control within the TRIM28–ALKBH2 pathway. MMS uniquely induced K48-linked polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of TRIM28 (Fig. 5A–G), whereas other genotoxic agents—including temozolomide (TMZ), cisplatin (CDDP), and $H_2O_2$—did not cause detectable TRIM28 modification or loss (Fig. 5H, Supplementary Fig. 5D–F). These agents instead produced TRIM28-independent changes in ALKBH2: TMZ increased ALKBH2 protein levels without reducing TRIM28, $H_2O_2$ decreased ALKBH2, and CDDP produced minimal change. The TMZ-driven increase in ALKBH2 is consistent with previous studies showing transcriptional induction in glioblastoma cells[11], indicating that TMZ predominantly affects transcriptional rather than post-translational regulation. MMS-induced ubiquitination of TRIM28 was markedly reduced in the SUMO-site mutant K554/779/804R (Fig. 5I), implying that this lysine cluster either contributes to ubiquitin acceptance or modulates ubiquitination efficiency. In parallel, TRIM24 association

further increased TRIM28 ubiquitination under MMS exposure (Fig. 5K–L), indicating that

TRIM24 cooperates with MMS-responsive ubiquitin signaling to promote TRIM28 degradation.

This stress-linked regulation is mechanistically distinct from the RNF4-dependent degradation of

phosphorylated and SUMOylated TRIM28 described during double-strand break repair[48],

suggesting that distinct DNA lesions are likely coupled to separate ubiquitin pathways. Additional

MMS-dependent regulatory features reinforce the specificity of this pathway. MMS promotes

HLTF degradation without affecting UV-induced PCNA monoubiquitination[71] and activates

RNF113A to recruit the ASCC–ALKBH3 complex[72]. These observations place TRIM28 within

an alkylation-selective ubiquitin framework, in which MMS engages a ubiquitin-mediated

turnover route linked to repair adaptation. Notably, TRIM24 and TRIM28 are known to cooperate

in transcriptional programs across multiple cancer settings, where TRIM28 stabilizes TRIM24 and

enhances its transcriptional output[73,74]. Under MMS exposure, however, TRIM24 promoted

TRIM28 ubiquitination and accelerated its degradation (Fig. 5J, M, Supplementary Fig. 5H–I),

indicating a stress-dependent reversal of this regulatory relationship. This MMS-specific

mechanism decreases TRIM28 stability and may support remodeling of chromatin-associated

repair assemblies during persistent alkylation stress.

A key mechanistic insight from this study is the identification of a time-dependent TRIM28–

ALKBH2 feedback loop that modulates DNA repair capacity during alkylation stress. This loop

functions as a biphasic regulatory switch, sequentially balancing repression and activation phases.

During acute MMS exposure, TRIM28 binds to ALKBH2 and promotes its K48-linked

ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation, thereby transiently limiting repair activity (Fig. 4).

As MMS exposure persists, TRIM28 becomes ubiquitinated and degraded, whereas ALKBH2 is

stabilized and transcriptionally induced (Fig. 4–5), marking a temporal transition from suppression

toward activation of repair function. This temporal shift parallels the behavior of demethylation

repair, in which ALKBH2 catalyzes oxidative removal of methylated bases after binding to DNA

lesions. The biphasic pattern is consistent with broader principles of stress adaptation, paralleling

the time-delayed feedback observed in the ATM–KAP1 (TRIM28) and p53–MDM2 circuits that

coordinate DNA repair signaling with checkpoint recovery[75,76]. Unlike these canonical pathways,

the TRIM28–ALKBH2 module integrates both ubiquitin- and transcription-linked feedback,

enabling the E3 ligase and its substrate to function as reciprocal regulators. Under basal conditions,

ALKBH2 increases TRIM28 expression at both mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 2J–L), maintaining

repair homeostasis. Under prolonged alkylation stress, TRIM28 depletion, together with ALKBH2

stabilization and transcriptional induction, shifts the system toward higher ALKBH2 levels and

more efficient handling of sustained damage. This time-dependent response likely enables cells to

adjust repair activity in response to both the duration and intensity of exposure, thereby modulating

the repair environment during chemotherapy.

Clinically, ALKBH2 is frequently elevated in lung adenocarcinoma and is associated with

poorer overall survival (Fig. 6A–D), consistent with its capacity to counteract alkylation

damage[12,25]. In contrast, TRIM28 is associated with overall survival only in chemotherapy-treated

patients, where higher expression is associated with shorter survival (Supplementary Fig. 7A–C),

indicating that its clinical impact emerges under treatment-induced stress rather than at baseline.

Somatic MAGEA6 alterations, which are enriched among smokers (Supplementary Fig. 6C), may

further modulate this circuit by regulating the activity of the TRIM28–MAGEA6 ubiquitin module.

Given that tobacco exposure increases endogenous alkylation load[77], functional variation in

MAGEA6 is likely to shape pathway behavior under sustained damage, thereby contributing to

interpatient differences in repair capacity and chemotherapy sensitivity.

Functionally, TRIM28 enhances MMS cytotoxicity by promoting ALKBH2 loss, whereas

ALKBH2 re-expression reverses this effect (Fig. 6F–I), indicating that ALKBH2 is the dominant

mediator of TRIM28-linked sensitivity. Combining MMS with CDDP increased DNA damage,

reduced clonogenic survival, and counteracted ALKBH2-dependent alkylation tolerance (Fig. 7B–

K). In ALKBH2-high cells, CDDP almost completely abolished the protection conferred by

ALKBH2, reducing MMS tolerance to the level observed in ALKBH2-knockdown cells (Fig. 7E).

Although TRIM28 has been linked to NSCLC chemoresistance through pathways involving *miR-

125b-5p*/CREB1 signaling, E2F1 regulation, or DNA-damage control[64,78-81], TRIM28 acts

upstream to promote ALKBH2 degradation in this MMS-based system. This context dependence

is consistent with the distinct DNA lesions and stress signals generated by alkylating agents versus

platinum crosslinkers, and suggests that the nature and duration of genotoxic stress modulate

TRIM28 activity. Together, these observations support a model (Fig. 8) in which the time-

dependent TRIM28–ALKBH2 loop integrates alkylation stress, ubiquitin signaling, and ALKBH2

availability to influence treatment response, suggesting that platinum-containing regimens may be

more effective when TRIM28 is destabilized or ALKBH2 supply becomes limiting.

In summary, this study identifies a stress-dependent TRIM28–ALKBH2 feedback loop that

modulates alkylation repair capacity in NSCLC cells. Under basal conditions, the TRIM28–

MAGEA6 complex mediates K48-linked polyubiquitination and proteasomal turnover of

ALKBH2. Acute MMS exposure enhances assembly of the TRIM28–ALKBH2 complex and

promotes ALKBH2 degradation, whereas prolonged MMS exposure induces K48-linked

ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of TRIM28, leading to ALKBH2 stabilization and

transcriptional upregulation. This stress-responsive loop links post-translational regulation with

transcription-associated control of ALKBH2, collectively shaping cellular responses to alkylating

agents. The upstream cues that trigger TRIM28 degradation and how these signals interface with

transcriptional programs remain to be defined. Future studies in patient-derived and *in vivo* models

will help clarify these regulatory steps and assess their relevance to chemotherapy response in

NSCLC.

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

HEK293T cells (ATCC CRL-11268, USA) and the non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines H1299 (SCSP-589, Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, China), A549 (SCSP-503, Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, China), and NCI-H460 (SCSP-584, Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, China) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; C11995500BT, Gibco, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; 10099-141C, Gibco, USA) and penicillin–streptomycin. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified 5% $CO_2$ incubator, and all experiments were performed using low-passage cells (passages 5–15). All cell lines were routinely confirmed to be mycoplasma-free using a luminescence-based detection assay (C0297, Beyotime, China).

**Plasmid constructs, mutagenesis, and viral infection**

Full-length human TRIM28 and ALKBH2 cDNAs were used as templates for PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis. TRIM28 deletion and point mutants, including the BCC fragment (residues 141–400) and the RING-inactive C65/68A mutant, were generated by PCR, cloned into pENTR-4, and transferred into pHAGE-CMV-Flag/HA/His through Gateway™ LR recombination (11791020, Invitrogen, USA). ALKBH2 variants were cloned into pCMV-Flag for mammalian expression and into pET28a-His-2×Flag for bacterial expression. All constructs were verified by Sanger sequencing. Wild-type MAGEA6 cDNA was generated by reverse transcription of A549 RNA, and H1299-derived MAGEA6 variants were generated analogously. Transient transfections were performed using Lipo8000 (C0533, Beyotime, China).

Lentiviruses were produced in HEK293T cells by co-transfecting pLKO.1 or pHAGE vectors with the packaging plasmids psPAX2 and pMD2.G. Viral supernatants were collected 48–72 h post-transfection, clarified, and passed through 0.45-µm filters. Target cells were infected in the presence of polybrene (6 µg/mL) for 12 h. Stable cell lines were selected with puromycin (1 µg/mL)

or blasticidin (5 µg/mL) for 3 days and maintained under selection-free conditions unless

otherwise indicated.

**Immunoprecipitation and western blotting**

For overexpression immunoprecipitation (IP), HEK293T cells were transfected with the indicated

plasmids using Lipo8000 and cultured for 48–72 h before lysis. Cells were lysed in a buffer

containing 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM

DTT, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Clarified lysates were incubated

overnight at 4 °C with anti-Flag M2-agarose beads (A2220, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) or anti-HA-

agarose beads (B26201, Selleck, China). For denaturing IP, lysates were boiled in TBS containing

1% SDS and then diluted with lysis buffer to a final SDS concentration of 0.1% before IP. Each

co-IP experiment was performed at least twice independently, and IP samples were analyzed by

WB two to three times with consistent results.

For endogenous IP in H1299 cells, lysates were prepared using the same buffer and cleared

by centrifugation (15,000 × $g$, 10 min, 4 °C). Supernatants were pre-cleared with Protein A/G

magnetic beads (B23202, Selleck, China) for 1 h and incubated overnight at 4 °C with anti-

TRIM28 (1.5 µg). Immune complexes were captured with Protein A/G magnetic beads, washed

with lysis buffer, and eluted in Laemmli sample buffer. Input and flow-through fractions were

analyzed in parallel by western blotting (WB) to verify expression and loading.

For ssDNA competition assays, purified Flag-ALKBH2 was added to HEK293T whole-cell

lysates supplemented with synthesized single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) oligonucleotides and

incubated overnight at 4 °C. Disruption of the TRIM28–ALKBH2 interaction was assessed by

Flag IP followed by WB.

For WB, proteins were separated by SDS–PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes.

Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in TBST for 1 h at room temperature, incubated

with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C, and then with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies

for 1–1.5 h at room temperature. Signals were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL).

Information on antibodies used in this study is provided in Supplementary Table 5.

**shRNA and CRISPR/Cas9 knockdown/knockout**

For shRNA-mediated knockdown, annealed oligonucleotides encoding target-specific sequences

were cloned into the pLKO.1 vector (8453, Addgene, USA) and packaged into lentiviral particles

using HEK293T cells. Target cells were transduced and selected with puromycin for 3 days to

establish stable knockdown lines. Knockdown efficiency was confirmed by WB. A non-targeting

shRNA was used as a control.

For CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of TRIM28, single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were

designed according to established criteria and cloned into the lentiCRISPRv2 vector (52961,

Addgene, USA). Lentiviral particles were produced in HEK293T cells and used to transduce target

cells, followed by puromycin selection. Single-cell clones were isolated by limiting dilution and

expanded in 96-well plates, and successful knockout was verified by WB. All oligonucleotide

sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

*In vitro* **MBP pull-down assay**

Recombinant MBP-tagged TRIM28 (MBP–TRIM28), MBP–MAGEA6, His-Flag-tagged

ALKBH2 (His-Flag–ALKBH2), and MBP alone were expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3). Protein

expression was induced with IPTG, and bacterial pellets were collected, lysed, and purified on

amylose resin.

For pull-down assays, purified MBP-TRIM28, MBP-MAGEA6, or MBP alone was

immobilized on amylose resin (E8021S, NEB, USA) and washed with binding buffer. The resin

was incubated with purified His-Flag–ALKBH2 at 4 °C with gentle mixing. After thorough

washing, bound proteins were eluted in Laemmli sample buffer at 95 °C and analyzed by WB

using anti-His or anti-Flag antibodies.

**Immunofluorescence (IF)**

Cells grown on glass coverslips were treated as indicated, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (P0099, Beyotime, China) for 30 min, and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. After washing in PBST, samples were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The next day, coverslips were washed and incubated with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies together with Hoechst 33342 or DAPI for 1.5 h at room temperature. Coverslips were mounted and imaged using an Olympus fluorescence microscope. Colocalization analysis was performed in ImageJ, and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated when applicable.

**Cycloheximide chase assay**

For cycloheximide (CHX) (2112, CST, USA) chase assays, cells were treated with 150 µg/mL CHX for the indicated durations. Cells were harvested at each time point and analyzed by WB to evaluate protein stability.

**RNA isolation and qRT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated using the RNAeasy™ RNA Isolation Kit (R0026, Beyotime, China). RNA concentration and purity were assessed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by measuring absorbance at 260 nm and determining the A260/A280 and A260/A230 ratios. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (RR047A, Takara, Japan), and qPCR was performed using the SYBR Green qPCR Mix (TSE501, Tsingke, China). Gene expression was normalized to β-actin. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

**Protein–protein docking and structural modeling**

The tertiary structures of human ALKBH2 (PDB ID: 3BTZ), ALKBH3 (PDB ID: 2NCU), and the RBCC domain of TRIM28 (PDB ID: 6QAJ) were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org). Protein–protein docking simulations were performed using the GRAMM-

611 X web server (http://gramm.compbio.ku.edu). Predicted interfaces between TRIM28 and

612 MAGEA6 were generated using AlphaFold3 (https://alphafoldserver.com/). Docking models were

613 visualized and inspected using PyMOL (Version 2.6.0a0).

**Mass spectrometry**

TRIM28 complexes from H1299 cells stably expressing TAP-TRIM28 were immunoaffinity-

purified using anti-Flag M2-agarose beads and eluted with Flag peptide (F3290, Sigma, USA).

Eluates were separated by SDS–PAGE, visualized by silver staining, and subjected to LC–MS/MS.

Mass spectrometry analysis was performed on an Easy nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) coupled to a Dr. Maisch GmbH C18 column (75 μm × 150 mm, 3 μm). Data-dependent

acquisition (DDA) was carried out on a Q-Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Peptide spectra were searched against the UniProt Homo sapiens Reference Proteome

(UP000005640; 81791-20230317.fasta).

**Affinity purification of K48-linked polyubiquitinated proteins (Halo-TUBE)**

K48-linked polyubiquitin chains and polyubiquitinated proteins were affinity-purified under

denaturing conditions using Halo-tagged tandem ubiquitin-binding entity (Halo-TUBE) resin.

Briefly, cells were harvested, lysed, and denatured by boiling in TBS containing 1% SDS, then

diluted with lysis buffer to reduce SDS to 0.1% before affinity purification. Extracts were

incubated with HaloLink resin (G1915, Promega, USA) pre-bound to purified Halo-TUBE protein

(10 μg per sample) overnight at 4 °C with rotation. The resin was washed five times with washing

buffer, and bound proteins were eluted by boiling in Laemmli sample buffer and analyzed by WB.

**Cell proliferation, CDDP sensitivity, and synergy assays**

634 For the methyl methanesulfonate (MMS; 129925, Sigma, USA) sensitivity assay, cells (2,000–

635 5,000 per well, in 96-well plates) were exposed to high-dose MMS (200–800 μM) for 1 h at 37 °C,

636 followed by replacement with fresh medium, or were continuously treated with low-dose MMS

(0–200 μM) for 48 h. Cell viability was measured at 48 h using the CCK-8 assay (K1018, ApexBio, USA).

For the cisplatin (CDDP) sensitivity assay, cells were treated with CDDP (0–40 μM) (HY-17394, MCE, USA) for 48 h, and viability was assessed using the CCK-8 assay. $IC_{50}$ values were calculated using GraphPad Prism.

For the synergy assay, cells were treated with CDDP alone or together with low-dose MMS for 48 h, and viability was quantified using CCK-8.

**Colony formation assay**

For clonogenic assays, H460 cells were seeded in 24-well plates (400 cells per well) and allowed to adhere overnight. The following day, cells were treated with DMSO (vehicle) or with MMS at the indicated concentrations. For combination treatment, the medium was replaced after 48 h of MMS alone, CDDP alone, or MMS–CDDP co-treatment. Cells were then cultured for 5–10 days to allow colony formation, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, stained with 0.1% crystal violet (C0121, Beyotime, China), washed, air-dried, and counted.

**Alkaline comet assay**

Alkaline single-cell gel electrophoresis (comet) assays were performed using a Comet Assay Kit (KGA1302, Keygentec, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Comet images were captured using an Olympus fluorescence microscope. Tail DNA% and Tail Moment were quantified in ImageJ (Version 1.54K) using the Comet Assay plugin. Tail DNA% was calculated as: Tail DNA% = (Tail DNA Intensity / (Tail DNA Intensity + Head DNA Intensity)) × 100%; Tail Moment was calculated as: Tail Moment = Tail Length × Tail DNA%.

**3D tumorsphere culture**

H1299 cells (~2,000 cells per drop) were cultured as hanging drops for 72 h to allow spheroid formation, then transferred to ultra-low-attachment 96-well plates (LV-ULA002-96UW, Liver Biotech, China). Tumor spheroids were treated with MMS and/or CDDP at the indicated

concentrations for 48 h. After treatment, the medium was replaced with fresh culture medium, and spheroids were maintained for 3–4 weeks. Viability and cytotoxicity were assessed using a Calcein-AM/Propidium Iodide (PI) Live/Dead assay kit (C2015S, Beyotime, China). Live (green) and dead (red) fluorescence was imaged using an Olympus fluorescence microscope.

**Bioinformatics and clinical data analysis**

Clinical information for TCGA LUAD and LUSC patients was obtained from the TCGA data portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). Gene-expression profiles from TCGA and protein-expression data from CPTAC were analyzed using UALCAN (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/). Survival analyses were performed using the Kaplan–Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis) under default settings, and hazard ratios and log-rank p-values were reported. ALKBH2 immunohistochemistry (IHC) images were obtained from the Human Protein Atlas (http://www.proteinatlas.org). MAGEA6 and MAGEA3 mutation data were examined using COSMIC (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic) and cBioPortal (http://www.cbioportal.org). Cutoffs and covariates used in each analysis are provided in the corresponding figure legends.

**Statistics and reproducibility**

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 9.5.0). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (s.d.). Key functional assays (viability, $IC_{50}$ measurements, and ubiquitination assays) were repeated in at least three independent experiments, as specified in the figure legends. Assays with intrinsically high within-experiment sampling—including co-IP, Halo-TUBE, WB, IF, and qRT–PCR—were repeated in at least two independent experiments, each incorporating multiple biological or technical replicates. Colony formation, comet assays, and 3D tumorsphere assays were performed in two independent experiments, each with large per-experiment sample sizes (colonies, cells, or spheroids), as specified in the figure legends. Two-group comparisons were performed using two-tailed unpaired Student's t-tests unless otherwise specified, and Mann–Whitney tests were applied to non-parametric datasets. Log-rank tests were used for survival analyses, and Pearson correlation coefficients (PCCs) were calculated for

colocalization. $IC_{50}$ values were obtained from four-parameter logistic fitting. P-values for MAGEA3/6 mutation frequencies were calculated using Fisher's exact test. All statistical tests and sample sizes are reported in the figure legends.

**Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability**

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31971232) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2019JJ40185) to YZ.

**Author contributions**

**Zhiming Sun**: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Resources, Investigation, Data curation. **Yunfang Deng**: Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation. **Yue Liu**: Investigation. **Zhaohui Liu**: Visualization, Formal analysis. **Jiabing Li**: Visualization, Formal analysis. **Lihui Wu**: Investigation. **Liyuan Zeng**, **Xiaorong Feng**, **Lin Miao**: Investigation, Data curation. **Ying Sheng**, **Bei Chen**, **Yuming He**, **Ye Liu**: Investigation. **Yu Zhao**: Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

Fu, D., Calvo, J. A. & Samson, L. D. Balancing repair and tolerance of DNA damage caused by alkylating agents. *Nat Rev Cancer* **12**, 104-120, doi:10.1038/nrc3185 (2012).

Zhao, Y. *et al.* Noncanonical regulation of alkylation damage resistance by the OTUD4 deubiquitinase. *The EMBO journal* **34**, 1687-1703, doi:10.15252/embj.201490497 (2015).

Soll, J. M., Sobol, R. W. & Mosammaparast, N. Regulation of DNA Alkylation Damage Repair: Lessons and Therapeutic Opportunities. *Trends in biochemical sciences* **42**, 206-218, doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2016.10.001 (2017).

Li, J. *et al.* USP7 promotes temozolomide resistance by stabilizing MGMT in glioblastoma. *Cell death & disease* **16**, 631, doi:10.1038/s41419-025-07969-3 (2025).

Chen, X. *et al.* A novel enhancer regulates MGMT expression and promotes temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma. *Nature communications* **9**, 2949, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05373-4 (2018).

Trewick, S. C., Henshaw, T. F., Hausinger, R. P., Lindahl, T. & Sedgwick, B. Oxidative demethylation by Escherichia coli AlkB directly reverts DNA base damage. *Nature* **419**, 174-178, doi:10.1038/nature00908 (2002).

Leiros, I. *et al.* Structural basis for enzymatic excision of N1-methyladenine and N3-methylcytosine from DNA. *The EMBO journal* **26**, 2206-2217, doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601662 (2007).

Yi, C. & He, C. DNA repair by reversal of DNA damage. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* **5**, a012575, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a012575 (2013).

Song, H. *et al.* METTL3 and ALKBH5 oppositely regulate m(6)A modification of TFEB mRNA, which dictates the fate of hypoxia/reoxygenation-treated cardiomyocytes. *Autophagy* **15**, 1419-1437, doi:10.1080/15548627.2019.1586246 (2019).

Chen, Z. *et al.* Psychological stress-induced ALKBH5 deficiency promotes tumour innervation and pancreatic cancer via extracellular vesicle transfer of RNA. *Nat Cell Biol* **27**, 1035-1047, doi:10.1038/s41556-025-01667-0 (2025).

Johannessen, T. C. *et al.* The DNA repair protein ALKBH2 mediates temozolomide resistance in human glioblastoma cells. *Neuro Oncol* **15**, 269-278, doi:10.1093/neuonc/nos301 (2013).

Feng, S., Xu, Z., Peng, J. & Zhang, M. The AlkB Family: Potential Prognostic Biomarkers and Therapeutic Targets in Glioblastoma. *Frontiers in oncology* **12**, 847821, doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.847821 (2022).

Wada, Y., Naito, T., Fukushima, T. & Saito, M. Evaluation of ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 gene regulation in patients with adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma. *Virology journal* **21**, 316, doi:10.1186/s12985-024-02590-w (2024).

Dai, W. *et al.* Overcoming therapeutic resistance in oncolytic herpes virotherapy by targeting IGF2BP3-induced NETosis in malignant glioma. *Nature communications* **15**, 131, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-44576-2 (2024).

Cui, Y. H. *et al.* Targeting DTX2/UFD1-mediated FTO degradation to regulate antitumor immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **121**, e2407910121, doi:10.1073/pnas.2407910121 (2024).

Liu, W. *et al.* TRIM17 promotes the progression of osteosarcoma by regulating PDK1 m6A modification-mediated AKT/mTOR pathway activation through ubiquitination of FTO. *Cell death & disease* **16**, 767, doi:10.1038/s41419-025-08070-5 (2025).

17 Han, T., Tong, W., Xie, J., Guo, X. & Zhang, L. FOXF2 suppressed esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by
reducing M2 TAMs via modulating RNF144A-FTO axis. *International immunopharmacology* **143**, 113422,
doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2024.113422 (2024).

18 Zhang, L. *et al.* FTO O-GlcNAcylation promotes TRIM21-mediated FTO ubiquitination degradation to
sustain the negative feedback control of macrophage inflammation. *Front Immunol* **16**, 1593243,
doi:10.3389/fimmu.2025.1593243 (2025).

19 Meng, S. *et al.* PRMT5-Mediated ALKBH5 Methylation Promotes Colorectal Cancer Immune Evasion via
Increasing CD276 Expression. *Research (Washington, D.C.)* **8**, 0549, doi:10.34133/research.0549 (2025).

20 Wang, K. *et al.* E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF180 mediates the ALKBH5/SMARCA5 axis to promote colon
inflammation and Th17/Treg imbalance in ulcerative colitis mice. *Archives of pharmacal research* **47**, 645-
658, doi:10.1007/s12272-024-01507-z (2024).

21 Lin, K. *et al.* m6A eraser FTO impairs gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer through influencing
NEDD4 mRNA stability by regulating the PTEN/PI3K/AKT pathway. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* **42**, 217,
doi:10.1186/s13046-023-02792-0 (2023).

22 Song, M. *et al.* USP18 Stabilized FTO Protein to Activate Mitophagy in Ischemic Stroke Through Repressing
m6A Modification of SIRT6. *Molecular neurobiology* **61**, 6658-6674, doi:10.1007/s12035-024-04001-1
(2024).

23 Zhou, X. *et al.* USP14 modulates stem-like properties, tumorigenicity, and radiotherapy resistance in
glioblastoma stem cells through stabilization of MST4-phosphorylated ALKBH5. *Theranostics* **15**, 2293-
2314, doi:10.7150/thno.103629 (2025).

24 Chang, G. *et al.* USP36 promotes tumorigenesis and drug sensitivity of glioblastoma by deubiquitinating and
stabilizing ALKBH5. *Neuro Oncol* **25**, 841-853, doi:10.1093/neuonc/noac238 (2023).

25 Duncan, T. *et al.* Reversal of DNA alkylation damage by two human dioxygenases. *Proceedings of the*
*National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **99**, 16660-16665,
doi:10.1073/pnas.262589799 (2002).

26 Ringvoll, J. *et al.* Repair deficient mice reveal mABH2 as the primary oxidative demethylase for repairing
1meA and 3meC lesions in DNA. *The EMBO journal* **25**, 2189-2198, doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601109 (2006).

27 Wang, P. *et al.* Oncometabolite D-2-Hydroxyglutarate Inhibits ALKBH DNA Repair Enzymes and Sensitizes
IDH Mutant Cells to Alkylating Agents. *Cell reports* **13**, 2353-2361, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.11.029 (2015).

28 Li, Q. *et al.* Rhein Inhibits AlkB Repair Enzymes and Sensitizes Cells to Methylated DNA Damage. *J Biol*
*Chem* **291**, 11083-11093, doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.711895 (2016).

29 Wu, G. *et al.* ALKBH1-8 and FTO: Potential Therapeutic Targets and Prognostic Biomarkers in Lung
Adenocarcinoma Pathogenesis. *Frontiers in cell and developmental biology* **9**, 633927,
doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.633927 (2021).

30 Wu, S. S. *et al.* Down-regulation of ALKBH2 increases cisplatin sensitivity in H1299 lung cancer cells. *Acta*
*pharmacologica Sinica* **32**, 393-398, doi:10.1038/aps.2010.216 (2011).

31 Xu, L. *et al.* Boosting cisplatin chemotherapy by nanomotor-enhanced tumor penetration and DNA adducts
formation. *Journal of nanobiotechnology* **20**, 429, doi:10.1186/s12951-022-01622-3 (2022).

32 Li, X. *et al.* An integrated LC-MS/MS platform for noninvasive urinary nucleus acid adductomics: A pilot
study for tobacco exposure. *Journal of hazardous materials* **474**, 134780,
doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134780 (2024).

33  Hegi, M. E. *et al.* MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. *The New England*
*journal of medicine* **352**, 997-1003, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043331 (2005).

34  Wilson, D. L., Beharry, A. A., Srivastava, A., O'Connor, T. R. & Kool, E. T. Fluorescence Probes for
ALKBH2 Allow the Measurement of DNA Alkylation Repair and Drug Resistance Responses. *Angewandte*
*Chemie (International ed. in English)* **57**, 12896-12900, doi:10.1002/anie.201807593 (2018).

35  Brickner, J. R. *et al.* A ubiquitin-dependent signalling axis specific for ALKBH-mediated DNA dealkylation
repair. *Nature* **551**, 389-393, doi:10.1038/nature24484 (2017).

36  Hatakeyama, S. TRIM proteins and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **11**, 792-804, doi:10.1038/nrc3139 (2011).

37  Gu, J., Chen, J., Xiang, S., Zhou, X. & Li, J. Intricate confrontation: Research progress and application
potential of TRIM family proteins in tumor immune escape. *Journal of advanced research* **54**, 147-179,
doi:10.1016/j.jare.2023.01.011 (2023).

38  Dudley-Fraser, J. *et al.* Identification of RING E3 pseudoligases in the TRIM protein family. *Nature*
*communications* **16**, 3456, doi:10.1038/s41467-025-58807-1 (2025).

39  Randolph, K., Hyder, U. & D'Orso, I. KAP1/TRIM28: Transcriptional Activator and/or Repressor of Viral
and Cellular Programs? *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* **12**, 834636,
doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.834636 (2022).

40  Czerwińska, P., Mazurek, S. & Wiznerowicz, M. The complexity of TRIM28 contribution to cancer. *Journal*
*of biomedical science* **24**, 63, doi:10.1186/s12929-017-0374-4 (2017).

41  Yalçin, Z. *et al.* UBE2D3 facilitates NHEJ by orchestrating ATM signalling through multi-level control of
RNF168. *Nature communications* **15**, 5032, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-49431-6 (2024).

42  Goodarzi, A. A., Kurka, T. & Jeggo, P. A. KAP-1 phosphorylation regulates CHD3 nucleosome remodeling
during the DNA double-strand break response. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **18**, 831-839,
doi:10.1038/nsmb.2077 (2011).

43  Yang, Y. *et al.* HIF-1 Interacts with TRIM28 and DNA-PK to release paused RNA polymerase II and activate
target gene transcription in response to hypoxia. *Nature communications* **13**, 316, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-
27944-8 (2022).

44  Li, Y., Xie, H., Liu, S., Ruan, Z. & Wang, B. Resveratrol Alleviates Ischemia-Reperfusion-Induced Neuronal
Damage by Inhibiting NR3C2-Mediated TRIM28 Expression. *Journal of integrative neuroscience* **24**, 31375,
doi:10.31083/jin31375 (2025).

45  Wang, Z. *et al.* FOXA1-dependent NSUN2 facilitates the advancement of prostate cancer by preserving
TRIM28 mRNA stability in a m5C-dependent manner. *NPJ precision oncology* **9**, 127, doi:10.1038/s41698-
025-00904-x (2025).

46  Jana, S. *et al.* PITAR, a DNA damage-inducible cancer/testis long noncoding RNA, inactivates p53 by
binding and stabilizing TRIM28 mRNA. *eLife* **12**, doi:10.7554/eLife.88256 (2024).

47  Chen, Y. *et al.* March5-mediated Trim28 degradation preserves islet β-cell function in mice. *Nature*
*communications* **16**, 7073, doi:10.1038/s41467-025-62587-z (2025).

48  Kuo, C. Y. *et al.* An arginine-rich motif of ring finger protein 4 (RNF4) oversees the recruitment and
degradation of the phosphorylated and SUMOylated Krüppel-associated box domain-associated protein 1
(KAP1)/TRIM28 protein during genotoxic stress. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 20757-20772,
doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.555672 (2014).

49  Xiao, T. Z., Suh, Y. & Longley, B. J. MAGE proteins regulate KRAB zinc finger transcription factors and

KAP1 E3 ligase activity. *Archives of biochemistry and biophysics* **563**, 136-144, doi:10.1016/j.abb.2014.07.026 (2014).

Doyle, J. M., Gao, J., Wang, J., Yang, M. & Potts, P. R. MAGE-RING protein complexes comprise a family of E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Molecular cell* **39**, 963-974, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.08.029 (2010).

Li, K. *et al.* Development of Ligands and Degraders Targeting MAGE-A3. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **146**, 24884-24891, doi:10.1021/jacs.4c05393 (2024).

Yang, C. G. *et al.* Crystal structures of DNA/RNA repair enzymes AlkB and ABH2 bound to dsDNA. *Nature* **452**, 961-965, doi:10.1038/nature06889 (2008).

Le, H. P. *et al.* DSS1 and ssDNA regulate oligomerization of BRCA2. *Nucleic acids research* **48**, 7818-7833, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa555 (2020).

Gu, P., Deng, W., Lei, M. & Chang, S. Single strand DNA binding proteins 1 and 2 protect newly replicated telomeres. *Cell research* **23**, 705-719, doi:10.1038/cr.2013.31 (2013).

Feng, Y., Gao, J. & Yang, M. When MAGE meets RING: insights into biological functions of MAGE proteins. *Protein Cell* **2**, 7-12, doi:10.1007/s13238-011-1002-9 (2011).

Pineda, C. T. *et al.* Degradation of AMPK by a cancer-specific ubiquitin ligase. *Cell* **160**, 715-728, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.034 (2015).

Tsang, Y. H. *et al.* Differential expression of MAGEA6 toggles autophagy to promote pancreatic cancer progression. *eLife* **9**, doi:10.7554/eLife.48963 (2020).

Zhao, W. *et al.* CTT1 overexpression increases the replicative lifespan of MMS-sensitive Saccharomyces cerevisiae deficient in KSP1. *Mechanisms of ageing and development* **164**, 27-36, doi:10.1016/j.mad.2017.03.008 (2017).

Bu, X. *et al.* CD147 confers temozolomide resistance of glioma cells via the regulation of β-TrCP/Nrf2 pathway. *International journal of biological sciences* **17**, 3013-3023, doi:10.7150/ijbs.60894 (2021).

Lombard, D. B. *et al.* High-throughput small molecule screening reveals Nrf2-dependent and -independent pathways of cellular stress resistance. *Science advances* **6**, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz7628 (2020).

Lee, D. H. *et al.* Repair of methylation damage in DNA and RNA by mammalian AlkB homologues. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 39448-39459, doi:10.1074/jbc.M509881200 (2005).

Ivanov, A. V. *et al.* PHD domain-mediated E3 ligase activity directs intramolecular sumoylation of an adjacent bromodomain required for gene silencing. *Molecular cell* **28**, 823-837, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2007.11.012 (2007).

Prince, C. *et al.* Investigating the impact of cigarette smoking behaviours on DNA methylation patterns in adolescence. *Hum Mol Genet* **28**, 155-165, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy316 (2019).

Tan, Q. *et al.* miR-125b-5p upregulation by TRIM28 induces cisplatin resistance in non-small cell lung cancer through CREB1 inhibition. *BMC pulmonary medicine* **22**, 469, doi:10.1186/s12890-022-02272-9 (2022).

Kim, H. J. *et al.* Roles of NADPH oxidases in cisplatin-induced reactive oxygen species generation and ototoxicity. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **30**, 3933-3946, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.6054-09.2010 (2010).

Xu, G. L. & Bochtler, M. Reversal of nucleobase methylation by dioxygenases. *Nature chemical biology* **16**, 1160-1169, doi:10.1038/s41589-020-00675-5 (2020).

Pineda, C. T. & Potts, P. R. Oncogenic MAGEA-TRIM28 ubiquitin ligase downregulates autophagy by

ubiquitinating    and    degrading    AMPK    in    cancer.    *Autophagy*    **11**,    844-846,
doi:10.1080/15548627.2015.1034420 (2015).

68    Jin, X. *et al.* MAGE-TRIM28 complex promotes the Warburg effect and hepatocellular carcinoma
progression by targeting FBP1 for degradation. *Oncogenesis* **6**, e312, doi:10.1038/oncsis.2017.21 (2017).

69    Malacrida, A. *et al.* MV1035 Overcomes Temozolomide Resistance in Patient-Derived Glioblastoma Stem
Cell Lines. *Biology* **11**, doi:10.3390/biology11010070 (2022).

70    Ke, B., Ye, K. & Cheng, S. ALKBH2 inhibition alleviates malignancy in colorectal cancer by regulating
BMI1-mediated activation of NF-κB pathway. *World journal of surgical oncology* **18**, 328,
doi:10.1186/s12957-020-02106-0 (2020).

71    Lin, J. R., Zeman, M. K., Chen, J. Y., Yee, M. C. & Cimprich, K. A. SHPRH and HLTF act in a damage-
specific manner to coordinate different forms of postreplication repair and prevent mutagenesis. *Molecular
cell* **42**, 237-249, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.02.026 (2011).

72    Tsao, N. *et al.* Aberrant RNA methylation triggers recruitment of an alkylation repair complex. *Molecular
cell* **81**, 4228-4242.e4228, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2021.09.024 (2021).

73    Fong, K. W., Zhao, J. C., Song, B., Zheng, B. & Yu, J. TRIM28 protects TRIM24 from SPOP-mediated
degradation and promotes prostate cancer progression. *Nature communications* **9**, 5007, doi:10.1038/s41467-
018-07475-5 (2018).

74    Herquel, B. *et al.* Transcription cofactors TRIM24, TRIM28, and TRIM33 associate to form regulatory
complexes that suppress murine hepatocellular carcinoma. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
of the United States of America* **108**, 8212-8217, doi:10.1073/pnas.1101544108 (2011).

75    Jaiswal, H. *et al.* ATM/Wip1 activities at chromatin control Plk1 re-activation to determine G2 checkpoint
duration. *The EMBO journal* **36**, 2161-2176, doi:10.15252/embj.201696082 (2017).

76    Wang, C. *et al.* MDM2 interaction with nuclear corepressor KAP1 contributes to p53 inactivation. *The EMBO
journal* **24**, 3279-3290, doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600791 (2005).

77    Hoang, T. T. *et al.* Comprehensive evaluation of smoking exposures and their interactions on DNA
methylation. *EBioMedicine* **100**, 104956, doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104956 (2024).

78    Liu, L. *et al.* TRIM28 knockdown increases sensitivity to etoposide by upregulating E2F1 in non-small cell
lung cancer. *Oncology reports* **37**, 3597-3605, doi:10.3892/or.2017.5638 (2017).

79    Ginn, L. *et al.* A TIAM1-TRIM28 complex mediates epigenetic silencing of protocadherins to promote
migration of lung cancer cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America* **120**, e2300489120, doi:10.1073/pnas.2300489120 (2023).

80    Saxena, T. *et al.* EGFR-induced lncRNA TRIDENT promotes drug resistance in non-small cell lung cancer
via phospho-TRIM28-mediated DNA damage repair. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
the United States of America* **122**, e2415389122, doi:10.1073/pnas.2415389122 (2025).

81    Lundholm, L. *et al.* Resistance to DNA-damaging treatment in non-small cell lung cancer tumor-initiating
cells involves reduced DNA-PK/ATM activation and diminished cell cycle arrest. *Cell death & disease* **4**,
e478, doi:10.1038/cddis.2012.211 (2013).

   **Figure legends**

**Figure 1. TRIM28 directly binds ALKBH2. (A)** Silver staining and LC–MS/MS identification

of ALKBH2 as a TRIM28-associated protein following affinity purification from H1299 NSCLC

cells; the TRIM28 band is indicated. **(B)** Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) analysis of HEK293T

cells co-expressing HA-ALKBH2 and Flag-TRIM28 or Flag-TRIM24; Flag IP was followed by

western blotting (WB). Representative of two independent co-IP experiments with similar results.

WB was repeated on the same IP samples to ensure signal consistency. **(C)** Reciprocal co-IP using

HA-ALKBH2 and Flag-TRIM28 (or HA-GFP control), analyzed by HA IP. Representative of two

independent experiments with similar results. **(D)** Schematic of full-length TRIM28 and truncation

mutants. **(E)** Domain mapping using Flag IP in HEK293T cells co-transfected with HA-ALKBH2

and individual TRIM28 truncation constructs. Results were reproduced in independent

experiments. **(F)** Endogenous ALKBH2–TRIM28 interaction detected by co-IP using an anti-

TRIM28 antibody in H1299 cell lysates. Representative of two independent experiments. **(G)**

Immunofluorescence (IF) showing nuclear co-localization of endogenous ALKBH2 (green) and

TRIM28 (red); nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 20 µm. Pearson correlation

coefficient (PCC) = 0.896 ± 0.016 (mean ± SD, N = 42 cells). **(H)** *In vitro* MBP pull-down assay

using recombinant His-Flag-ALKBH2 and purified MBP-TRIM28. Representative of two

independent experiments. **(I)** Specificity profiling of endogenous TRIM28 binding in HEK293T

cells transfected with Flag-ALKBH2 or Flag-ALKBH3. Representative of two independent

experiments with similar results. **(J)** GRAMM-X docking of TRIM28 RBCC (cyan) with

ALKBH2 (light red) or ALKBH3 (green). Middle panel: magnified interface highlighting the

Finger and Long-loop motifs (red). Right panel: superposition with the ALKBH2–DNA structure

(orange), showing partial overlap between TRIM28- and DNA-binding surfaces. **(K)** Flag IP of

lysates from HEK293T cells expressing Flag-ALKBH2 in the presence of increasing ssDNA

concentrations. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. Source data

are provided as a Source Data file.

**Figure 2. TRIM28 promotes K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of**

**ALKBH2. (A)** In vivo ubiquitination assay in HEK293T cells co-transfected with Flag-ALKBH2 and HA-ubiquitin (Ub), treated with MG132 (10 μM, 6 h). Lysates were subjected to denaturing IP (1% SDS) followed by WB. N = 3 independent experiments. **(B)** Denaturing Flag IP in HEK293T cells co-transfected with Flag-ALKBH2 and HA-tagged WT, K48-only, or K48R ubiquitin. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(C)** K48-linked polyubiquitin enrichment using Halo-TUBE beads in HEK293T cells expressing Flag-ALKBH2 treated with MG132. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(D)** Denaturing Flag IP in HEK293T cells co-transfected with Flag-ALKBH2, His-TRIM28, and HA-K48-only ubiquitin. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(E)** Analysis of ALKBH2 ubiquitination in HEK293T cells co-transfected with Flag-ALKBH2 and WT or RING-inactive (C65/68A) His-TRIM28. Representative of two independent experiments. **(F)** Denaturing Flag IP assessing K48-linked ALKBH2 ubiquitination in TRIM28-knockdown HEK293T cells reconstituted with shRNA-resistant TRIM28. Representative of two independent experiments. **(G)** WB analysis of H1299 and A549 cells transduced with control (shCon) or TRIM28 shRNAs. N = 3 independent experiments. **(H)** qRT-PCR quantification of ALKBH2 mRNA in H1299 cells after TRIM28 depletion. Data points are shown as technical replicates (N = 4); experiments were independently repeated twice with similar results. **(I)** WB analysis of ALKBH2 levels in H1299 and HEK293T cells overexpressing graded doses of TRIM28. N = 3 independent repeats. **(J)** WB analysis of H1299 cells transduced with control or ALKBH2 shRNAs. N = 3 independent experiments. **(K)** WB analysis of ALKBH2-depleted H1299 cells reconstituted with Flag-ALKBH2. N = 3 independent repeats. **(L)** qRT-PCR of TRIM28 transcript levels in H1299 cells expressing empty vector or Flag-ALKBH2 (N = 4). Data were obtained using the same replication scheme as in (H). Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests; NS, not significant. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Figure 3. MAGEA6 enhances the TRIM28–ALKBH2 interaction and promotes ALKBH2**

**degradation. (A)** Flag IP analysis of the interaction between Myc-MAGEA6 and Flag-ALKBH2 (or GFP control) in HEK293T cells. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(B)** *In vitro* pull-down assay using recombinant His-Flag-ALKBH2 and purified MBP-MAGEA6. Representative of two independent experiments. **(C)** Flag IP in HEK293T cells (± TRIM28 knockdown) co-transfected with HA-ALKBH2 and Flag-MAGEA6. Representative of two independent experiments. **(D)** Flag IP analysis of the ALKBH2–TRIM28 interaction in HEK293T cells transfected with Myc-MAGEA6. Representative of two independent experiments. **(E)** HA IP in H1299 cells stably expressing HA-ALKBH2 following MAGEA3/6 knockdown with shMAGEA3/6#1 or shMAGEA3/6#2. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(F)** Halo-TUBE pull-down in TRIM28-knockdown HEK293T cells overexpressing Myc-MAGEA6. Representative of two independent experiments. **(G)** WB analysis of ALKBH2 stability in sgControl or TRIM28-knockout (sgTRIM28) H1299 cells transfected with MAGEA6 and treated with cycloheximide (CHX, 150 µg/mL). **(H)** Quantification of ALKBH2 protein levels from (G). Data were quantified from 3 biological replicates (N = 3). **(I)** Flag IP mapping interactions between Flag-MAGEA6 (WT or mutants), endogenous TRIM28, and co-expressed HA-ALKBH2 in HEK293T cells. Representative of two independent experiments. **(J)** Halo-TUBE pull-down of ubiquitinated proteins in HEK293T cells co-expressing HA-ALKBH2 and Flag-MAGEA6 (WT or mutants). Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(K)** Flag IP of HEK293T cells transfected with HA-ALKBH2 and cancer-associated Flag-MAGEA6 mutants. Representative of two independent experiments. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. NS, not significant. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Figure 4. MMS dynamically regulates the TRIM28–ALKBH2 pathway. (A)** Flag IP from H1299 cells expressing HA-ALKBH2 and Flag-TRIM28 treated with MMS (100 µM) and MG132 (10 µM) for the indicated times. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(B)** Flag IP from H1299 cells expressing Flag-TRIM28 treated with the indicated MMS

concentrations (3 h, +MG132). Representative of two independent experiments. **(C)** WB analysis of MAGEA3/6 in nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions of H1299 cells treated with MMS (100 μM, 4 h). Representative of two independent experiments. **(D)** Flag IP of H1299 cells expressing Flag-ALKBH2 treated with MMS (0–200 μM, 3 h) plus MG132. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(E)** Degradation assay in H1299 cells expressing TAP-ALKBH2 transduced with Flag-TRIM28 or vector and treated with MMS (100 μM). N = 3 independent repeats. **(F)** Flag IP of cell lysates incubated with ssDNA (1 μM), $H_2O_2$ (200 μM), ascorbate (Vc, 500 μM), or EDTA (5 mM). Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(G)** Flag IP assessing the effect of EDTA (5 mM) on $H_2O_2$-induced interactions in ssDNA-containing lysates. Representative of two independent experiments. **(H)** Halo-TUBE pull-down in H1299 cells expressing TAP-ALKBH2 treated with MG132 (10 μM), MMS (100 μM), and Vc (500 μM) for 8 h. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(I)** WB analysis of ALKBH2 in H1299 and H460 cells treated with MMS (100 μM). **(J)** Quantification of ALKBH2 protein levels from (I). Data were quantified from 4 biological replicates (N = 4). **(K)** WB analysis of H1299 cells expressing HA-TRIM28 treated with MMS (100 μM). N = 3 independent experiments. **(L)** qRT-PCR of ALKBH2 in H1299 cells treated with MMS (100 μM). Data points are shown as technical replicates (N = 4); experiments were independently repeated twice with similar results. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Figure 5. MMS induces K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of TRIM28.** **(A, B)** WB analysis of TRIM28 levels in H1299 cells treated with MMS in a time-dependent (A) or dose-dependent (B) manner. N = 3 independent experiments. **(C)** qRT-PCR of TRIM28 mRNA in cells treated with MMS (100 μM). Data points are shown as technical replicates (N = 4); experiments were independently repeated twice with similar results. **(D)** Denaturing Flag IP of HEK293T cells expressing Flag-TRIM28 and HA-Ub treated with MMS and MG132 (10 μM). Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(E)** Flag IP and K48-specific

ubiquitin WB in H1299 cells expressing Flag-TRIM28 treated with MMS (100 µM) + MG132. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(F)** Denaturing Flag IP in HEK293T cells transfected with Flag-TRIM28 and HA-Ub (K48-only) treated with MMS (100 µM). Representative of two independent experiments. **(G)** Halo-TUBE pull-down of endogenous TRIM28 in H1299 cells treated with MG132 and increasing MMS. Representative of two independent experiments. **(H)** Halo-TUBE pull-down comparing the effects of MMS (200 µM), temozolomide (TMZ, 200 µM), cisplatin (CDDP, 20 µM), or $H_2O_2$ (300 µM) on TRIM28 ubiquitination (+MG132, 6 h). Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. **(I)** Halo-TUBE pull-down in HEK293T cells expressing Flag-TRIM28 WT or the SUMO-deficient mutant (K554/779/804R) treated with MMS. Representative of two independent experiments. **(J)** Flag IP in H1299 cells expressing Flag-TRIM28 treated with MMS (100 µM) + MG132. Representative of two independent experiments. **(K)** Flag IP in HEK293T cells expressing Flag-TRIM28 and Myc-MAGEA6 treated with MMS. Representative of two independent experiments. **(L)** Flag IP and WB in HEK293T cells expressing Flag-TRIM24 treated with MMS + MG132. Results were reproduced in independent experiments. **(M)** Halo-TUBE enrichment of K48-linked proteins in HEK293T cells overexpressing Flag-TRIM24 treated with MMS. Representative of two independent experiments with similar results. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. NS, not significant. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Figure 6. The TRIM28–ALKBH2 axis is clinically relevant and regulates sensitivity to alkylation damage. (A)** Relative mRNA expression of ALKBH2, ALKBH3, and MGMT in LUAD versus normal lung (TCGA dataset; Mann–Whitney test). **(B)** Representative ALKBH2 IHC staining in LUAD and matched normal lung (Human Protein Atlas). Scale bar = 100 µm. **(C)** WB analysis of ALKBH2, TRIM28, ALKBH3, and MGMT in NSCLC cell lines and BEAS-2B cells. N = 3 independent experiments. **(D, E)** Kaplan–Meier overall survival of LUAD patients stratified by ALKBH2 (D) or ALKBH3 (E) mRNA expression. **(F)** CCK-8 proliferation assay in

H1299 cells following ALKBH2 knockdown. **(G)** CCK-8 viability assay in H1299 cells with

ALKBH2 knockdown, measured 48 h after a 1 h MMS pulse. **(H)** CCK-8 viability assay in H1299

cells overexpressing Flag-TRIM28 WT or the RING-inactive mutant (C65/68A) after MMS pulse.

**(I)** MMS sensitivity assessment in H1299 cells with TRIM28 knockdown and rescue. **(J)** Rescue

of MMS hypersensitivity in H1299 cells by ALKBH2 co-expression. **(K)** Effect of MAGEA6

overexpression on MMS cytotoxicity. **(L)** Effect of the TRIM28-binding–deficient MAGEA6

mutant (D156E/S157Y) on MMS sensitivity. Data points are shown for panels F–L. For panels F,

G, H, I, J, and L, data points represent four technical replicates from one representative experiment;

the experiment was repeated twice with similar results. Panel K contains three technical replicates

and was also repeated twice with similar results. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistical

comparisons were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's $t$-tests or Mann–Whitney tests

where appropriate. NS, not significant. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

1066

**Figure 7. Combining MMS with CDDP synergistically enhances cancer cell killing. (A)** CCK-

8 viability assay of H1299 cells with TRIM28 knockdown treated with continuous low-dose MMS

(48 h). **(B, C)** Colony formation assay of H460 cells overexpressing TRIM28 treated with MMS

(100 μM) for 48 h, followed by drug removal and a 7-day growth period; representative images

(B) and quantification (C). Colony numbers were averaged from 3 plates per experiment (N = 3),

and the assay was repeated twice with similar results. **(D)** CCK-8 assay of H1299 cells

overexpressing TRIM28 treated with MMS ± 2 μM CDDP. **(E)** CCK-8 assay of H1299 cells with

ALKBH2 knockdown rescued by Flag-ALKBH2 and treated with MMS ± 2 μM CDDP. **(F)**

Alkaline comet assay of H1299 cells treated with MMS (50 μM) and/or CDDP (2 μM); tail

moments were quantified from 70 cells. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results.

**(G, H)** $IC_{50}$ analysis of CDDP in H1299 (F) and H460 (G) cells with MMS co-treatment. **(I)**

Representative images of clonogenic survival of H460 cells treated with MMS (75 μM) and CDDP

(2 μM), and **(J)** colony quantification. Colony numbers were averaged from 3 plates per

experiment (N = 3), and the assay was repeated twice with similar results. **(K, L)** 3D tumor

spheroid growth of H1299 cells treated with MMS (100 µM) and CDDP (2 µM); Calcein-AM (live cells)/PI (dead cells) staining (K) and spheroid volume quantification (L). Data points represent individual spheroids from one representative experiment (n = 4 per condition); spheroid assays were independently repeated twice with similar results. Scale bar = 200 µm. For CCK-8 and $IC_{50}$ assays (A, D, E, G, H), data points represent technical replicates from one representative experiment (three wells per condition, four wells in panel E); each experiment was independently repeated twice with similar results. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests; comet assay data in (E) were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney test. NS, not significant. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Figure 8. Model of the biphasic TRIM28–ALKBH2 regulatory switch induced by alkylation stress. (I) Basal state.** MAGEA6-assisted, TRIM28-dependent K48-linked ubiquitination limits ALKBH2 at low basal levels, while ALKBH2 contributes to TRIM28 transcription. **(II) Acute MMS:** MMS increases TRIM28–ALKBH2 association, further enhanced by MAGEA6, and accelerates ALKBH2 degradation. **(III) Prolonged MMS:** Ubiquitination increasingly targets TRIM28, promoting its degradation and reducing ubiquitin-dependent suppression of ALKBH2. Combined with MMS-induced transcriptional activation of ALKBH2, this shift leads to a time-dependent increase in ALKBH2 levels.