Design and analysis of synthetic carbon fixation pathways based on novel enzymatic
reactions

Vittorio Rainaldi[1,2], Sarah, D'Adamo[2], Nico J. Claassens[1]

[1]Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE, Wageningen,
the Netherlands
[2]Bioprocess Engineering, Wageningen University, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB,
Wageningen, the Netherlands
8
Corresponding authors: NJC nico.claassens@wur.nl and VR vittorio.rainaldi@wur.nl

Abstract

Biological carbon fixation is currently limited to seven naturally occurring pathways, each
with its own limitations and constraints. In recent years, computational analyses of known
biochemical reaction networks have identified dozens of theoretical carbon fixation
pathways, some of which may have the potential to outperform their natural counterparts.
This mix-and-match approach, however, cannot account for those reactions that have not
been reported to occur in nature, which heavily limits the possible solution space. Here, we
use a bioretrosynthetic approach coupled with expert biochemical knowledge to identify
several novel pathways that leverage enzyme promiscuity and the latent biochemical
reaction space. We analyze the thermodynamic, stoichiometric, and kinetic parameters of
these pathways and compare them to the ubiquitous Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle and
previously proposed synthetic CO_2 fixation cycles, highlighting advantages and
disadvantages. We identify several promising pathways that could potentially outcompete
the Calvin cycle and other previously proposed synthetic CO_2 fixation pathways in predicted
biomass yield and/or overall pathway activity. In addition, unlike most of the previously
proposed efficient mix-and-match pathways, the pathways proposed in this work do not
require vitamin B_{12}, which is an advantage for future implementation in plants or microalgae
that typically lack B_{12} biosynthesis. This work highlights the need for enzyme engineering and
design in the quest for efficient biological carbon fixation.

Introduction

The Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle is responsible for over 99% of net primary
productivity on earth[1]. Despite its dominance, it is a relatively inefficient pathway, requiring
a high energy investment in terms of ATP to fix carbon. Furthermore, its key enzyme, rubisco,
is rather slow when compared to other naturally occurring carboxylases and it presents a

detrimental side activity with oxygen[2,3], which results in additional energy costs and partial
carbon loss during the cellular process known as photorespiration[4].

Many synthetic carbon fixation pathways have been designed so far by using a mix-and-
match approach that combines enzymes from disparate sources with fast and efficient
carboxylases to generate theoretical cycles that have not been described in nature[5–7].
Nevertheless, a recent quantitative analysis of natural and synthetic carbon fixation
pathways by Löwe and Kremling showed that most pathways are in fact inferior to the CBB
cycle or only marginally more efficient in terms of biomass yield and pathway activity[7], likely
making the massive engineering efforts required to implement them unjustified.

Almost all of the current synthetic pathway designs, however, are limited by the fact that
they only use known enzymatic activities from databases such as KEGG, which vastly limits
the possible solution space. Erb, Jones, and Bar-Even have previously put forward a
proposal for categorizing pathways based on five levels, with naturally occurring pathways
in level one, and synthetic pathways based on new-to-nature enzyme mechanisms in level
five[8]. Despite recent progress, designing enzymes with novel mechanisms remains highly
challenging[9,10]. For this reason, we focused on level four pathways, namely those that are
based on unreported promiscuous enzyme activities and reactions from the latent
biochemical space. In this study, we use a combination of bioretrosynthesis and expert
biochemical knowledge to generate novel pathway designs and analyze their
thermodynamic[11], stoichiometric[12], and kinetic[13] parameters, comparing them to the CBB
cycle and highlighting advantages and disadvantages. All these pathways require
engineering of at least one enzyme to change its substrate or cofactor specificity or other
relevant parameters such as oxygen tolerance. Oxygen tolerance is particularly important in
the context of engineering CO_2 fixation in plants and algae, since these organisms produce
oxygen as part of photosynthesis, which would lead to the rapid inactivation of oxygen-
sensitive enzymes. However, oxygen tolerance is also important in hydrogen-based
microbial bioproduction from CO_2, as CO_2 fixation and bioproduction pathways require ATP,
which is usually generated from O_2-dependent respiration.

Overall, this paper provides a novel approach to pathway design and highlights the need for
enzyme engineering to achieve efficient carbon fixation, while offering suggestions regarding
possible starting points to engineer the proposed pathways.

Results

The β-glutamate cycle

Figure 1: The β-glutamate cycle. In this figure and following ones, colored spheres represent different carbon groups and colored shapes represent cofactors or other molecules as represented in the legend. Both input and output molecules are framed by boxes. 1, succinyl-CoA synthetase; 2, succinyl-CoA reductase; 3, succinate semialdehyde dehydrogenase; 4, 4-hydroxybutyrate CoA transferase; 5, 4-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydratase; 6, crotonyl-CoA carboxylase; 7, glutaconate CoA transferase; 8, glutaconate ammonia lyase; 9, glutamate 2,3-aminomutase; 10, glutamate dehydrogenase; 11, 2-oxoglutarate carboxylase; 12, isocitrate dehydrogenase; 13, isocitrate lyase. In this figure and following ones the enzymes marked in red have never been reported in the literature. Bioprospecting or engineering efforts will likely be required to establish their activities.

We recently proposed and showed the partial in vivo implementation of a new family of carbon fixation pathways called the reductive methylaspartate (rMASP) cycles using the standard mix-and-match approach[14]. While these cycles are promising, they suffer from two main issues. First, the enzyme crotonyl-CoA carboxylase/reductase (CCR) is relatively inefficient because the NADPH invested for its reductive carboxylation activity is later only partially recovered in the form of a reduced quinone, leading to a loss of energy (3 protons

or roughly 1 ATP). Second, these cycles require vitamin B_{12} as a cofactor for two enzymatic
reactions. Vitamin B_{12} is a highly complex cofactor, which cannot be synthesized by most
organisms, importantly including all higher plants and many algae[15], thus limiting the
applicability of pathways containing B_{12}-dependent enzymes mostly to bacteria.

To overcome both issues, we designed a variant of the rMASP cycles that we name the β-
glutamate cycle based on its characteristic metabolite (Fig. 1). According to our
computational analysis, the β-glutamate cycle shows favorable parameters when
compared to the CBB cycle, including higher max-min (thermodynamic) driving force (MDF),
higher theoretical biomass yield, and comparable pathway activity. These advantages
increase in ambient CO_2 environments, with a ~40% increase in yield (assuming 20%
Rubisco oxygenation activity for the CBB cycle at ambient CO_2) (Table S1).

The β-glutamate cycle does not use CCR but instead depends on the promiscuous activity
of methylcrotonyl-CoA gamma carboxylase (MCC)[16,17], which we will refer to as crotonyl-
CoA gamma carboxylase (CCC). This biotin-dependent carboxylase is involved in leucine
degradation across diverse phyla including bacteria[18,19] and humans[20]. Its promiscuous side
activity on the slightly smaller crotonyl-CoA has been reported in the literature with about
90% of the reaction rate when compared to the native substrate. Since the carboxylation
happens in gamma, as opposed to alpha, it produces glutaconyl-CoA instead of
ethylmalonyl-CoA, thus obviating the need for a B_{12} dependent mutase to "straighten" the
carbon skeleton.

The cycle proceeds with the removal of the CoA from glutaconyl-CoA via a CoA transferase
or CoA ligase to yield glutaconate, which is further aminated to 3-aminoglutarate, also
known as β-glutamate, which gives its name to the cycle. This amination reaction could be
promiscuously performed by aspartate/methylaspartate ammonia lyase[21], though most
likely it would require engineering to expand the substrate binding pocket to accommodate
one extra carbon. An alternative to this route could be the direct amination of glutaconyl-
CoA, for example by a promiscuous β-alanyl-CoA ammonia lyase, an enzyme found in
anaerobic bacteria and used for β-alanine fermentation[22]. The resulting β-glutamyl-CoA
would once again require a CoA transferase or CoA ligase to remove the CoA and yield β-
glutamate.

At this point, β-glutamate must undergo isomerization to produce glutamate. This reaction
is catalyzed by the naturally occurring enzyme glutamate 2,3-aminomutase[23], which is
present in bacteria and archaea, respectively for glutamate fermentation and for the
production of β-glutamate as an osmolyte[24]. This reaction, however, is highly challenging,
requiring a radical mechanism based on S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM), making the enzyme
oxygen-sensitive. Nevertheless, there are oxygen-tolerant variants reported to catalyze the

same reaction aerobically, though using lysine as a substrate as opposed to glutamate[25–27].
Not much is known about this enzyme class, so it is difficult to know whether it would be
easier to engineer oxygen-tolerance in a glutamate aminomutase or change substrate
specificity in a lysine aminomutase. It must be noted, however, that while there are many
examples of oxygen-tolerant radical SAM enzymes[28,29], e.g. *bioB* and *lipA* from *E. coli*, there
seems to be a tradeoff between activity and oxygen tolerance, with oxygen-sensitive variants
showing higher activity. For this reason, it may not be possible to obtain an oxygen-tolerant
aminomutase with comparable kinetic parameters to oxygen-sensitive isoforms.

Figure 2: The 3-hydroxyglutaryl-CoA lyase-methylcitrate cycle. 1, methylmalonyl-CoA
mutase; 2-6 are as in figure 1; 7, glutaconyl-CoA hydratase; 8, 3-hydroxyglutaryl-CoA lyase;
9, malonate semialdehyde reductase; 10, propionyl-CoA synthase; 11, methylcitrate

synthase; 12, methylcitrate dehydratase; 13, aconitase; 14, methylisocitrate lyase; 15,

pyruvate carboxylase.

The 3-hydroxyglutaryl-CoA lyase-methylcitrate (3HGL-MC) cycle

We reasoned that the product of CCC, glutaconyl-CoA, could also undergo hydration rather

than amination, yielding 3-hydroxyglutaryl-CoA. This promiscuous reaction is indeed

reported to occur efficiently[30]. This metabolite could then be split by 3HMG-CoA lyase to

acetyl-CoA and malonate semialdehyde, with the latter being reduced to propionyl-CoA and

subsequently going through the methylcitrate cycle for propionyl-CoA assimilation[31,32]. The

resulting succinate can then be activated to succinyl-CoA and reduced to crotonyl-CoA to

restart the cycle (Fig. 2). More pathways based on CCC and glutaconyl-CoA hydration have

previously been reported[5,33], however the authors describe a hydration in C2 rather than C3,

which produces 2-hydroxyglutaryl-CoA. Unfortunately, the enzyme that catalyzes this

reaction is based on a ketyl radical mechanism and hence extremely oxygen- sensitive[34,35].

To the best of our knowledge there has never been a report of ketyl radical enzymes

operating in aerobic conditions, making these proposed routes infeasible for aerobic

implementation, while their anaerobic implementation would require an external electron

acceptor other than oxygen for respiration, making the pathways biotechnologically not

relevant. The 3HGL-MC cycle, on the other hand, does not use any oxygen-sensitive

enzymes, since hydration in C3 occurs easily due to the differences in the pKa between the

C2 protons and the C3 protons[36].

This pathway is predicted to have about 25% higher biomass yield at ambient CO_2, with

roughly double the activity (Table S1, Fig. 6). Moreover, the addition of acetyl-CoA

carboxylase and malonyl-CoA reductase would make the cycle autocatalytic, much like the

CBB cycle and reverse tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle. Autocatalytic cycles are expected to

be more stable and resilient to metabolic perturbations[37], potentially simplifying engineering

efforts. Two other variants of this pathway, the 3HGL-PCC cycle (Fig. S1) and the 3HGM

cycle (Fig. S2) can be envisioned, however their strict B_{12} requirement limits their potential

application to some bacteria and algae[15].

The α-ketobutyrate carboxylase (ABC) cycles

While the pathways based on crotonyl-CoA carboxylation discussed above use either the

condensation of two acetyl-CoA molecules or the reduction of succinyl-CoA to form a C4

metabolite for carboxylation, a different strategy can be envisioned based on the threonine

biosynthesis pathway. The in vivo implementation of the Serine Threonine Cycle (STC) for

full formatotrophic growth was recently reported in *E. coli*[38].

The STC relies on the condensation of glycine derived from threonine cleavage with
externally supplied formate and an additional carboxylation reaction based on pyruvate
carboxylase to generate the C4 molecule aspartate, which is the precursor for threonine. To
convert this formate assimilation pathway into a CO_2 fixation pathway design, we reasoned
that threonine could instead undergo deamination to produce α-ketobutyrate. The latter C4
metabolite is an α-keto acid highly similar to pyruvate and thus amenable to carboxylation
via an engineered pyruvate carboxylase. While we could find no reports of a biotin-
dependent α-ketobutyrate carboxylase in the literature, there is at least one study showing
partial promiscuous activity of pyruvate carboxylase on α-ketobutyrate[39]. This limited
activity could be used as the basis for mutagenesis or rational engineering in an approach
similar to the one used to change the substrate specificity of propionyl-CoA carboxylase to
glycolyl-CoA[40]. An α-ketobutyrate carboxylase (ABC) would form the basis of the ABC cycles
we discuss in this work.

Figure 3: The *α*-ketobutyrate carboxylase-citramalyl-CoA lyase cycle. 1-9 are as in figure 4. 10, methylaspartate ammonia lyase; 11, mesaconase; 12, citramalate CoA transferase; 13, citramalyl-CoA lyase; 14, pyruvate carboxylase.

While pyruvate carboxylation produces oxaloacetate, α-ketobutyrate carboxylation would produce 3-methyloxaloacetate (also known as 2-methyl-3-oxosuccinate or oxalopropionate). This compound can undergo reductive amination or transamination to methylaspartate[41], reduction to 3-methylmalate (erythro or threo), or condensation with acetyl-CoA to methylcitrate, though we did not investigate the latter option further, since the resulting cycle is rather complex and inefficient (Fig. S3).

In the first case, methylaspartate can be isomerized to glutamate via a B_{12}-dependent
glutamate mutase (GM) and then proceed via the same steps as the previously described
rMASP cycles[14]. The ABC-GM cycle (Fig. S4) compares favorably to the CBB at ambient CO_2
in terms of biomass yield, though its strict requirement for B_{12} limits its applicability to
microorganisms.

To bypass the B_{12} requirement, we propose a cycle where methylaspartate is deaminated to
mesaconate and hydrated to citramalate, which is then activated to citramalyl-CoA and
split to pyruvate and acetyl-CoA via a citramalyl-CoA lyase (CCL). The resulting ABC-CCL
cycle (Fig. 3) compares favorably to the CBB cycle in terms of theoretical biomass yield and
predicted pathway activity at ambient CO_2, is insensitive to oxygen and does not require B_{12}
as a cofactor (Table S1, Fig. 6). Conversion of methyloxaloacetate to mesaconate could
proceed via different routes with slightly different energetic demands (Fig. S5).

A third option could use the promiscuous activity of malate dehydrogenase (MDH) for the
reduction of methyloxaloacetate to erythro-methylmalate, which can further be activated to
a CoA ester and split to propionyl-CoA and glyoxylate. This variant, known as the ABC-PCC
cycle (Fig. S6) also requires B_{12} for the conversion of methylmalonyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA
following the carboxylation of propionyl-CoA.

Notably, all three proposed ABC cycles only require one enzyme to be engineered, and their
overlap with the STC shows that they could be engineered relatively easily by applying some
of the lessons learned during the STC engineering and evolution in *E. coli*[38]. Nevertheless,
our theoretical analysis shows that threonine biosynthesis may limit the overall activity of
these pathways, especially through the bottleneck enzyme homoserine dehydrogenase (Fig.
S7). It is unclear whether faster variants of this enzyme can be found or engineered, and if
the thermodynamic constraints of this biosynthetic route can be overcome, e.g. by
optimizing enzymes and/or metabolite concentration. More research will be needed to
ascertain whether this theoretical prediction will be verified experimentally.

Figure 4: The Ribulose-5-phosphate carboxylase-Entner-Doudoroff (RED) cycle. 1, ribulose-5-phosphate carboxylase; 2, 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; 3, Entner-Doudoroff dehydratase; 4, Entner-Doudoroff aldolase; 5, PEP synthetase; 6, enolase; 7, phosphoglycerate mutase, 8, phosphoglycerate kinase; 9, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; 10, triose phosphate isomerase; 11, fructose bisphosphate aldolase; 12, fructose bisphosphatase; 13, transketolase; 14, sedoheptulose bisphosphate aldolase; 15, sedoheptulose bisphosphatase; 16, ribulose-5-phosphate epimerase; 17, ribulose-5-phosphate isomerase. 2PG, 2-phosphoglycerate; 3K6PG, 3-keto-6-phosphogluconate; 3PG, 3-phosphoglycerate; 6PG, 6-phosphogluconate; BPG, 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate; DHAP, dihydroxyacetone phosphate; E4P, erythrose-4-phosphate; F6P, fructose-6-phosphate;

232 FBP, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate; GAP, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; KDPG, 2-keto-3-
233 deoxy-phosphogluconate, PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; R5P, ribose-5-phosphate; Ru5P,
234 ribulose-5-phosphate; S7P, sedoheptulose-7-phosphate; SBP, sedoheptulose-1,7-
235 bisphosphate; Xu5P, xylulose-5-phosphate.

The Ribulose-5-phosphate carboxylase-Entner-Doudoroff (RED) cycle

While all the cycles presented so far have some advantages over the CBB, it should be noted
that that they are all based on completely different architectures, thus requiring extensive
engineering efforts to establish in organisms that already use the CBB cycle, including algae
and plants. For this reason, we set out to establish a carbon fixation cycle that is similar in
architecture to the CBB cycle but presents more favorable characteristics in terms of
biomass yield and pathway kinetics. The GND-Entner-Doudoroff (GED) cycle has been
previously proposed as a promising alternative to the CBB based on natural enzymes[42],
however it is severely limited by the low activity and affinity for CO_2 of its signature enzyme,
6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (GND). This enzyme normally catalyzes the oxidative
decarboxylation of 6-phosphogluconate, coupling the thermodynamically highly favorable
decarboxylation reaction to the unfavorable reduction of NADP. This same mechanism can
be found in at least two other central metabolic enzymes, namely the malic enzyme, which
produces pyruvate and NADPH from malate and NADP, and isocitrate dehydrogenase,
which produces α-ketoglutarate and NADPH from isocitrate and NADP.

Notably, in both of these cases there is a biotin-dependent carboxylase to overcome the
unfavorable thermodynamics and kinetics of these reactions in the direction of
carboxylation, which is then coupled to a dehydrogenase/reductase. Pyruvate is
carboxylated to oxaloacetate, which is then reduced to malate, while α-ketoglutarate is
carboxylated to oxalosuccinate and then reduced to isocitrate[43–45]. A similar idea could be
applied to the product of GND, ribulose-5-phosphate. Here, we propose the RED cycle (Fig.
4), which is based on a hypothetical biotin-dependent ribulose-5-phosphate carboxylase.
This enzyme would generate 3-keto-6-phosphogluconate, which could then be reduced to
6-phosphogluconate. For this latter reaction GND itself could be used, provided the
residues involved in the decarboxylation half-reaction are inactivated.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no reported enzyme taking a similar substrate for the
carboxylation reaction, meaning that an extensive engineering campaign will be necessary
to change the substrate specificity. This is probably beyond what is achievable with current
strategies based on mutagenesis and selection, however it may become possible in the
future to apply novel computational enzyme design approaches to redesign the substrate
pocket. The RED cycle is insensitive to the presence of oxygen, does not require CoA or
exotic cofactors, it is favorable in terms of efficiency and kinetics at ambient CO_2 (Table S1,

Fig. 6), and it has a high degree of overlap with the CBB cycle, making it a good candidate for implementation in algae and plants. However, it should be noted that these organisms do not express lower glycolysis enzymes in their chloroplasts, which could increase the engineering complexity.

Figure 5: The Dehydratase-less-Ribulose-5-phosphate carboxylase-Entner-Doudoroff (DRED) cycle. 2, 3-keto-6-phosphogluconate isomerase; 3, 2-keto-6-phosphogluconate aldolase; 4, hydroxypyruvate reductase; 5, glycerate kinase; 6, phosphoglycerate mutase, 7, phosphoglycerate kinase; 8, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; 9, triose phosphate isomerase; 10, fructose bisphosphate aldolase; 11, fructose bisphosphatase; 12, transketolase; 13, sedoheptulose bisphosphate aldolase; 14, sedoheptulose

279 bisphosphatase; 15, ribulose-5-phosphate epimerase; 16, ribulose-5-phosphate
280 isomerase. 2K6PG, 2-keto-6-phosphogluconate; All other abbreviations are as in figure 5.

The Dehydratase-less Ribulose-5-phosphate carboxylase-Entner-Doudoroff (DRED) Cycle

While the RED cycle is a promising alternative to the CBB cycle in terms of pathway activity,
its ATP consumption is higher, and consequently its overall predicted biomass yield is lower
under high CO_2 conditions (Table S1, Fig. 6). This is due to the costly pyruvate kinase reaction,
which consumes two ATP equivalents per pyruvate. To solve this issue, we propose a variant
of the RED cycle that we name the DRED cycle (Fig. 5). This variant does not rely on the
Entner-Doudoroff dehydratase (Edd) but instead uses an isomerase to convert 3-keto-6-
phosphogluconate to 2-keto-6-phosphogluconate. This metabolite could subsequently
serve as the substrate for the Entner-Doudoroff aldolase (Eda), producing glyceraldehyde-
3-phosphate (GAP) and hydroxypyruvate. The latter can be reduced to glycerate, which is
then activated to 2-phosphoglycerate and enters gluconeogenesis to generate another GAP.
The advantage of this sequence of reactions is that glycerate kinase only consumes one ATP
equivalent. As a result, this cycle is predicted to be slightly more efficient than the CBB cycle
even at high CO_2 concentrations. Additionally, our ECM analysis predicts a slightly higher
pathway activity (Table S1, Fig. 6), all while eschewing the oxygenation side reaction of
Rubisco.

Despite these advantages, the cycle requires the engineering of three enzymes, as opposed
to only one for the RED cycle. Additionally, hydroxypyruvate is a moderately toxic metabolite
which can inhibit bacterial growth at mM concentrations. While its effect on plants is not
known, careful balancing of pathway enzymes is likely sufficient to keep the levels of
hydroxypyruvate low and avoid its toxicity.

A similar way to bypass pyruvate kinase was proposed in the context of the GED cycle by
Arren Bar-Even[46], albeit using a 6-phosphogluconate-2-dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.43)
instead of an isomerase, which is also a possibility here (Fig. S8).

Coupling the CO_2 reduction (CORE) cycle to formatotrophic pathways

An interesting alternative to CO_2 fixation cycles was recently proposed by Satanowski,
Marchal et al., who theorized a number of oxygen tolerant CO_2 reduction (CORE) cycles and
implemented one of them in *E. coli*[47]. These CORE cycles are proposed to be able to reduce
CO_2 (also at ambient concentrations) to formate, which would allow for further coupling for
formate assimilation routes, effectively generating CO_2 fixation routes via formate as

intermediate. The experimentally validated CORE variant relies on the promiscuous activity
of a β-keto-acid cleavage enzyme (BKACE) and yields one molecule of formate from one
molecule of bicarbonate with the input of one NADPH and 1-3 ATP. While the CORE cycle
was originally proposed as a photorespiration bypass, the resulting formate could be fully
coupled to growth via a formatotrophic pathway such as the serine cycle, which can also
operate at ambient CO_2, unlike the reductive glycine pathway[48]. We have modeled the
resulting pathway to compare it with our proposed CO_2 fixation cycles (Fig. 6). Based on our
results, the CORE+Serine cycle (Fig. S9) shows a comparable yield to the CBB in high CO_2
and a roughly 20% higher yield in ambient CO_2. Assuming the limiting BKACE activity can be
improved, the CORE+Serine cycle could be a highly relevant alternative to conventional CO_2
fixation cycles, since it is oxygen-tolerant, does not require B_{12}, and mostly relies on
reactions that are natively present in plants, or that have been shown to be functional after
heterologous expression.

Figure 6: Plot of theoretical biomass yield vs predicted pathway activity for each pathway
presented in this paper and previously proposed pathways. All pathways except the CBB
cycle were modeled using ambient CO_2 concentrations, see methods. This figure includes
only pathways that require enzyme engineering for their implementation (other than the CBB
cycle). We also include comparisons to the previously proposed malyl-CoA-tartronyl-CoA
cycle, also known as LATCH[49] (Fig. S10) and the beta alanine variant of the malonyl-CoA-

oxaloacetate-glyoxylate (MOG) cycle[50] (Fig. S11). For additional comparisons to B12-
dependent pathways proposed in this paper and previously proposed pathways, including
the lactyl-CoA mutase variant of the MOG cycle[50,51] (Fig. S12) and several mix-and-match
CO_2 fixation pathways[6,42,52–54], see figure S13.

Discussion

In this paper, we proposed several novel carbon fixation pathways based on non-natural
enzymatic reactions. We analyzed the pathways based on thermodynamic driving force,
predicted biomass yield, and predicted pathway activity. This last parameter is computed
based on the measured or estimated k_{cat} and K_M values for the enzymes. Unfortunately, the
available enzymatic data in databases such as BRENDA is both limited and noisy, since
different authors perform enzymatic assays in wildly different conditions in terms of pH,
buffers, temperatures, etc. We carefully curated the chosen values, also based on the
previous work by Lowe and Kremling[55], however a relatively large fraction of the enzymes
was only measured in single studies, reducing their reliability. Where multiple data points
are available, it is not unusual to see a variation of one order of magnitude or more in
reported k_{cat} and K_M.

Furthermore, in vitro kinetics measurements, even when performed properly, are based on
a purified enzyme in a dilute buffer, which is a completely different scenario compared to
the densely packed cytosol of living organisms, where thousands of enzymes and
metabolites are present. Recent work has shown k_{cat} measurements in vivo based on
different growth conditions and proteomics data[56]. These results show that while many in
vitro measurements are also accurate in vivo, there are several outliers even for well
characterized enzymes in central metabolism, with a relatively weak correlation R^2 of 0.62.
All these problems are compounded when it comes to relatively understudied enzymes, and
especially their promiscuous activities. Finally, the kinetic parameters of not yet existing
enzymes are obviously impossible to obtain, and we have simply taken representative
values for similar enzymes on related substrates.

In this work, we used the ECM (enzyme cost minimization) method to predict pathway
kinetics. This method assumes an optimal distribution of metabolites and enzymes, and
does not take into account enzyme degradation, allosteric inhibition, competitive inhibition,
and regulation. They also do not consider all the enzymes that are necessary for cell
maintenance and growth, assuming all flux is going via the pathway of interest to generate
the desired product. In practice, all these factors mean that the predicted pathway activity
is at best an upper bound for the real pathway activity in a real-life scenario. Taking the CBB

into account, measured values for CO_2 fixation rates in exponentially growing bacteria are in the order of 3-9 nmol/min/mg (total) protein[57]. This is a two order of magnitude difference below our computed value of around 0.5 µmol/min/mg (pathway) protein. This discrepancy is partially explained when considering the fact that CBB enzymes account for about 10% of total protein[58]. The predicted pathway activity for the CBB, then, is still one order of magnitude above measured values, despite the fact that all of its enzymes are well-characterized in dozens of studies.

For the other pathways, greater uncertainty is expected due to the limited reliability of the available data. It is unclear whether this uncertainty leads to an overestimate or an underestimate of the total pathway activity, however, it is likely that for most of the candidate enzymes, kinetically superior isoforms could be found through a screening process. This points to the fact that pathways other than the CBB may be underestimated in our analysis. Further research will be needed to determine real life (i.e. in vivo) kinetic parameters for several enzymes, leading to better estimates.

Considering all of this, our proposed pathways are especially promising for potential future applications in terms of yield, as they can outperform the CBB cycle. All the 6 proposed pathways can potentially outperform the yield of the CBB cycle at ambient CO_2, whereas only the DRED cycle is predicted to outperform the CBB in terms of pathway activity at high CO_2. Also, the beta-glutamate, 3HGL-MC and DRED cycles have relatively high yield gains versus the CBB cycle at ambient CO_2.

Overall, this makes the β-glutamate, DRED and 3HGL-MC cycles promising to explore, given a potentially attractive yield, and reasonable predicted pathway activity. However, given the required three new-to-nature activities, the β-glutamate cycle and especially the DRED cycle would be a tremendous engineering effort already in bacteria, and even more so in microalgae or plants. The ABC-CCL and 3HGL-MC cycles strike a balance between yield, activity, and relative ease of implementation, requiring only one engineered enzyme.

The RED cycle has a more moderate yield advantage at ambient CO_2 (15% vs. CBB cycle) but could also be relevant to explore based on its overlap with the CBB cycle and high predicted pathway activity, however it requires the highly challenging ribulose-5-phosphate carboxylase activity, which is shared with the DRED cycle.

The best pathways in this work are predicted to have comparable performance to the best previously proposed mix-and-match pathways, notably the CETCH and THETA cycles[6,52]. However, both these cycles require B_{12}-dependent mutases, unlike the cycles proposed in this work. Hence, our proposed cycles have a higher potential for implementation in microalgae and plants.

The fact that none of the proposed pathways massively outperform the CBB cycle (at high CO_2) also highlights the fact that carbon fixation cycles are not exclusively limited by carboxylation activity and that Rubisco, despite its relatively slow catalysis and oxygenation side activity, still leads to relatively high pathway activity when considered in its metabolic context[59].

Still, an open question remains for the field: why is the CBB ubiquitous, if stoichiometrically and/or kinetically superior pathways are potentially possible? One possible explanation for this is the high degree of overlap between the CBB cycle and the pentose phosphate pathway, which exists in all living organisms. In fact, only Rubisco and PRK are necessary on top of the standard pentose phosphate pathway genes, as demonstrated by the engineering of *E. coli* to grow autotrophically via the CBB cycle, and it is common in nature for these two genes to be expressed from a plasmid, which can easily be horizontally transferred, thus spreading the CBB cycle across phyla. Furthermore, several essential biomass precursors are formed as part of the cycle, which would make a loss of activity detrimental. At least in the short term, an organism that acquired all the necessary genes to support an alternative carbon fixation cycle pathway would have to support both cycles at the same time, likely with competing if not outright incompatible fluxes and metabolic profiles.

Even though the proposed pathways likely did not emerge naturally, the well-demonstrated metabolic engineering approach to rewire metabolism using auxotrophies and bypasses to force metabolic rearrangements may allow their realization[60]. This strategy has proven highly reliable in engineering a diverse array of pathways and will likely be just as useful in the future[38,61–64].

Overall, our study expands the solution space for carbon fixation by providing realistic and biochemically feasible avenues for enzymatic transformations based on known enzyme mechanisms and substrate promiscuity. Notably, we identified two potential novel carboxylases that could lead to entirely new pathway architectures once implemented. New carbon fixation pathways with better yield and insensitivity to oxygen could lead to improved carbon fixation in algae or plants, potentially leading to more efficient renewable production of chemicals from CO_2 and increases in crop yields.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Beau Dronsella for helpful comments on the manuscript. VR is funded by the VLAG Graduate School Wageningen University, NJC acknowledges

funding from the ERC StG FASTFIX by the European Research Council and a Vidi grant
(VI.Vidi.233.193) from the Dutch Science Organisation (NWO).

Author Contributions: VR conceptualized the research, performed all computational
analyses, and wrote the manuscript. SDA and NJC acquired funding, supervised the
research, and edited the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

All scripts and input files are available on gitlab (https://git.wur.nl/vittorio.rainaldi/lvl4),
along with all MDF and ECM results. All pathways were computationally analyzed using flux
balance analysis[65] (FBA) with cobrapy[66] (version 0.29.1) using the *E. coli* iML1515[12] genome
scale-metabolic model. The base model was modified to change membrane-bound
transhydrogenase (TDH2pp) to translocate one proton rather than two[67]. Anaerobic
reactions such as PFL, OBTFL, and POR5 were set to zero. Non-growth associated
maintenance (ATPM) was set to zero. Additional modifications were added for each
individual pathway. FBA returns a flux value in gCDW/mol substrate. In this case, since CO_2
is freely available in the atmosphere, it was left unconstrained, however an energy source is
required to run CO_2 fixation cycles, and this was used as a constraint for all pathways. For
this purpose, we added a dummy reaction which produces NADPH, which is the main
source of reducing power in photosynthetic organisms such as algae and plants. This results
in slightly higher values compared to using NADH as an energy source, since NADH to
NADPH conversion requires the translocation of one proton, which amounts to roughly one-
third of an ATP[67].

Max-min driving force[11] and enzyme cost[13] were computed with a custom script modified
from previous work[42,68] using equilibrator (version 0.6.0). Metabolite concentrations were
constrained to the physiologically relevant range between 10 µM and 10 mM. Ambient CO_2
is constrained between 10 and 20 µM. To model the CBB cycle, we assumed a 20% rate of
Rubisco oxygenation at ambient CO_2, and no oxygenation at high CO_2 (3.4 mM,
corresponding to a partial pressure of 10%, where photorespiration is virtually absent). ECM
was computed using the following parameters: algorithm ECM, version 3, kcat_source fwd,
denominator CM, regularization volume, p_h 7.5, ionic_strength 0.3 molar, p_mg 3,
dg_confidence 0.95. Kinetics values were taken from Brenda[69] or previous work[7]. In the case
of new-to-nature enzymatic activities, the kinetics were estimated by taking values from
similar enzymes. For example, kinetic values for α-ketobutyrate carboxylase are not
available, so values for pyruvate carboxylase were used instead. Since this enzyme
catalyzes the same reaction on a slightly smaller substrate, it is reasonable to assume that

an engineered enzyme could reach similar kinetic properties. The ECM algorithm returns a
list of enzyme costs in moles of enzyme per liter based on a total flux through the pathway
for 1 mM/s of product formed. These values were multiplied by the molecular weight of the
respective enzyme to obtain the enzyme cost in g/l. The sum of all the values is the enzyme
cost (EC) for the pathway. To obtain the pathway activity we performed the following
calculations:

Pathway activity $= \frac{\mu mol}{min*mg}$

flux $= x\,\frac{mM}{s} = 1000x\,\frac{\mu M}{s} = 60000x\,\frac{\mu M}{min} = 60000x\,\frac{\mu mol}{min*L}$

Enzyme Cost (EC) $= y\,\frac{g}{L} = 1000y\,\frac{mg}{L}$

Pathway activity $= 60000x\,\frac{\mu mol}{min*L}\left(\frac{1}{1000y}\right)\frac{L}{mg} = 60\frac{x}{y}\frac{\mu mol}{min*mg} = 60\frac{flux}{EC}$

References

1. *The Prokaryotes*. (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013).

doi:10.1007/978-3-642-30123-0.

2. Prywes, N., Phillips, N. R., Tuck, O. T., Valentin-Alvarado, L. E. & Savage, D. F. Rubisco

Function, Evolution, and Engineering. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **92**, 385–410 (2023).

3. Tcherkez, G. G. B., Farquhar, G. D. & Andrews, T. J. Despite slow catalysis and

confused substrate specificity, all ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases may be nearly

perfectly optimized. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**, 7246–7251

(2006).

4. Claassens, N. J. *et al.* Phosphoglycolate salvage in a chemolithoautotroph using the

Calvin cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 22452–22461 (2020).

5. Bar-Even, A., Noor, E., Lewis, N. E. & Milo, R. Design and analysis of synthetic carbon

fixation pathways. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 8889–8894

(2010).

6. Schwander, T., Von Borzyskowski, L. S., Burgener, S., Cortina, N. S. & Erb, T. J. A

synthetic pathway for the fixation of carbon dioxide in vitro. *Science* **354**, 900–904

(2016).

7. Löwe, H. & Kremling, A. In-Depth Computational Analysis of Natural and Artificial

Carbon Fixation Pathways. *BioDesign Research* **2021**, 1–23 (2021).

8. Erb, T. J., Jones, P. R. & Bar-Even, A. Synthetic metabolism: metabolic engineering

meets enzyme design. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* **37**, 56–62 (2017).

9. Vornholt, T. *et al.* Artificial metalloenzymes. *Nat Rev Methods Primers* **4**, 78 (2024).

10. Zou, Z. *et al.* De novo design and evolution of an artificial metathase for cytoplasmic

olefin metathesis. *Nat Catal* 1–12 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41929-025-01436-0.

11. Noor, E. *et al.* Pathway Thermodynamics Highlights Kinetic Obstacles in Central

Metabolism. *PLoS Computational Biology* **10**, (2014).

12. Monk, J. M. *et al.* iML1515, a knowledgebase that computes Escherichia coli traits. *Nat

Biotechnol* **35**, 904–908 (2017).

13. Noor, E. *et al.* The Protein Cost of Metabolic Fluxes: Prediction from Enzymatic Rate

Laws and Cost Minimization. *PLoS Computational Biology* **12**, 1–29 (2016).

14. Rainaldi, V. *et al.* Modular in vivo engineering of the reductive methylaspartate cycles

for synthetic CO2 fixation. 2025.11.12.688011 Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.11.12.688011 (2025).

15. Croft, M. T., Lawrence, A. D., Raux-Deery, E., Warren, M. J. & Smith, A. G. Algae acquire

vitamin B12 through a symbiotic relationship with bacteria. *Nature* **438**, 90–93 (2005).

16. Alban, C., Baldet, P., Axiotis, S. & Douce, R. Purification and Characterization of 3-

Methylcrotonyl-Coenzyme A Carboxylase from Higher Plant Mitochondria. *Plant*

Physiol. **102**, 957–965 (1993).

17. Huang, C. S., Ge, P., Zhou, Z. H. & Tong, L. An unanticipated architecture of the 750-

kDa α6β6 holoenzyme of 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase. *Nature* **481**, 219–223

(2012).

18. Chavez-Avilas, M., Díaz-Pérez, A. L., Reyes-de la Cruz, H. & Campos-García, J. The

Pseudomonas aeruginosa liuE gene encodes the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme

A lyase, involved in leucine and acyclic terpene catabolism. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*

296, 117–123 (2009).

19. Wang, H. *et al.* An essential bifunctional enzyme in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* for

itaconate dissimilation and leucine catabolism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**,

15907–15913 (2019).

20. Chu, C.-H. & Cheng, D. Expression, purification, characterization of human 3-

methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase (MCCC). *Protein Expression and Purification* **53**, 421–

427 (2007).

21. Raj, H. *et al.* Engineering methylaspartate ammonia lyase for the asymmetric synthesis

of unnatural amino acids. *Nature Chem* **4**, 478–484 (2012).

22. Herrmann, G. *et al.* Two beta-alanyl-CoA:ammonia lyases in Clostridium propionicum.

The FEBS Journal **272**, 813–821 (2005).

23. Ruzicka, F. J. & Frey, P. A. Glutamate 2,3-aminomutase: A new member of the radical

SAM superfamily of enzymes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and*

Proteomics **1774**, 286–296 (2007).

24. Tunçkanat, T. *et al.* Lysine 2,3-Aminomutase and a Newly Discovered Glutamate 2,3-

Aminomutase Produce β-Amino Acids Involved in Salt Tolerance in Methanogenic

Archaea. *Biochemistry* **61**, 1077–1090 (2022).

25. Chen, D., Ruzicka, F. J. & Frey, P. A. A novel lysine 2,3-aminomutase encoded by the

yodO gene of Bacillus subtilis : characterization and the observation of organic radical

intermediates. 11 (2000).

26. Chen, D., Frey, P. A., Lepore, B. W., Ringe, D. & Ruzicka, F. J. Identification of Structural

and Catalytic Classes of Highly Conserved Amino Acid Residues in Lysine 2,3-

Aminomutase. *Biochemistry* **45**, 12647–12653 (2006).

27. Behshad, E. *et al.* Enantiomeric Free Radicals and Enzymatic Control of

Stereochemistry in a Radical Mechanism: The Case of Lysine 2,3-Aminomutases.

Biochemistry **45**, 12639–12646 (2006).

28. Sofia, H. J., Chen, G., Hetzler, B. G., Reyes-Spindola, J. F. & Miller, N. E. Radical SAM, a

novel protein superfamily linking unresolved steps in familiar biosynthetic pathways

with radical mechanisms: functional characterization using new analysis and

information visualization methods. *Nucleic Acids Res* **29**, 1097–1106 (2001).

29. Booker, S. J. & Lloyd, C. T. Twenty Years of Radical SAM! The Genesis of the

Superfamily. *ACS Bio Med Chem Au* **2**, 538–547 (2022).

30. Mack, M. *et al.* 3-Methylglutaconyl-CoA hydratase from Acinetobacter sp. *Arch*

Microbiol **185**, 297–306 (2006).

31. Textor, S. *et al.* Propionate oxidation in Escherichia coli: Evidence for operation of a

methylcitrate cycle in bacteria. *Archives of Microbiology* **168**, 428–436 (1997).

32. London, R. E., Allen, D. L., Gabel, S. A. & DeRose, E. F. Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic

Resonance Study of Metabolism of Propionate by Escherichia coli. *Journal of*

Bacteriology https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.181.11.3562-3570.1999 (1999)

doi:10.1128/jb.181.11.3562-3570.1999.

33. Liu, Y. *et al.* Systematic design and evaluation of artificial CO2 assimilation pathways.

Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology **10**, 1107–1118 (2025).

34. Kim, J., Hetzel, M., Boiangiu, C. D. & Buckel, W. Dehydration of (*R*)-2-hydroxyacyl-CoA

to enoyl-CoA in the fermentation of α-amino acids by anaerobic bacteria. *FEMS*

Microbiol Rev **28**, 455–468 (2004).

35. Kim, J., Darley, D. J., Buckel, W. & Pierik, A. J. An allylic ketyl radical intermediate in

clostridial amino-acid fermentation. *Nature* **452**, 239–242 (2008).

36. Bahnson, B. J., Anderson, V. E. & Petsko, G. A. Structural Mechanism of Enoyl-CoA

Hydratase: Three Atoms from a Single Water Are Added in either an E1cb Stepwise or

Concerted Fashion. *Biochemistry* **41**, 2621–2629 (2002).

37. Hordijk, W. Autocatalytic confusion clarified. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **435**, 22–28

(2017).

38. Wenk, S. *et al.* Evolution-assisted engineering of *E. coli* enables growth on formic acid

at ambient CO2 via the Serine Threonine Cycle. *Metabolic Engineering* **88**, 14–24

(2025).

39. Scrutton, M. C., Griminger, P. & Wallace, J. C. Pyruvate Carboxylase. *Journal of*

Biological Chemistry **247**, 3305–3313 (1972).

40. Scheffen, M. *et al.* A new-to-nature carboxylation module to improve natural and

synthetic CO2 fixation. *Nature Catalysis* **4**, 105–115 (2021).

41. Rainaldi, V., Donati, S., D'Adamo, S. & Claassens, N. J. A recursive pathway for

isoleucine biosynthesis arises from enzyme promiscuity. 2025.08.26.672309 Preprint

at https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.26.672309 (2025).

42. Satanowski, A. *et al.* Awakening a latent carbon fixation cycle in Escherichia coli.

Nature Communications **11**, 1–14 (2020).

43. Aoshima, M. & Igarashi, Y. A novel oxalosuccinate-forming enzyme involved in the

reductive carboxylation of 2-oxoglutarate in Hydrogenobacter thermophilus TK-6.

Molecular Microbiology **62**, 748–759 (2006).

44. Aoshima, M. & Igarashi, Y. Nondecarboxylating and Decarboxylating Isocitrate

Dehydrogenases: Oxalosuccinate Reductase as an Ancestral Form of Isocitrate

Dehydrogenase. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 2050–2055 (2008).

45. Buhrman, G. *et al.* Structure, Function, and Thermal Adaptation of the Biotin

Carboxylase Domain Dimer from Hydrogenobacter thermophilus 2-Oxoglutarate

Carboxylase. *Biochemistry* **60**, 324–345 (2021).

46. Bar-Even, A. Daring metabolic designs for enhanced plant carbon fixation. *Plant*

Science **273**, 71–83 (2018).

47. Satanowski, A. *et al*. Design and implementation of aerobic and ambient CO2-

reduction as an entry-point for enhanced carbon fixation. *Nat Commun* **16**, 3134

(2025).

48. Cowan, A. E. *et al*. Fast growth and high-titer bioproduction from renewable formate via

metal-dependent formate dehydrogenase in Escherichia coli. *Nat Commun* **16**, 5908

(2025).

49. Yang, X., Ma, Y., Yan, J., Zhao, G. & Zhang, Y. LATCH: a Linear Autocatalytic cycle

Tailored for Carbon Harvesting. *Green Carbon*

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.greenca.2025.10.003 (2025)

doi:10.1016/j.greenca.2025.10.003.

50. Bar-Even, A., Noor, E., Lewis, N. E. & Milo, R. Design and analysis of synthetic carbon

fixation pathways. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*

States of America **107**, 8889–8894 (2010).

51. Schulz-Mirbach, H. *et al*. New-to-nature CO2-dependent acetyl-CoA assimilation

enabled by an engineered B12-dependent acyl-CoA mutase. *Nat Commun* **15**, 10235

(2024).

52. Luo, S. *et al*. Construction and modular implementation of the THETA cycle for

synthetic CO2 fixation. *Nat Catal* **6**, 1228–1240 (2023).

53. McLean, R. *et al*. Exploring alternative pathways for the in vitro establishment of the

HOPAC cycle for synthetic CO_2 fixation. *Sci. Adv*. **9**, eadh4299 (2023).

54. Luo, S. *et al.* A cell-free self-replenishing CO2-fixing system. *Nat Catal* **5**, (2022).

55. Löwe, H. & Kremling, A. In-Depth Computational Analysis of Natural and Artificial

Carbon Fixation Pathways. *BioDesign Research* **2021**, 9898316 (2021).

56. Davidi, D. *et al.* Global characterization of in vivo enzyme catalytic rates and their

correspondence to in vitro kcat measurements. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences of the United States of America **113**, 3401–3406 (2016).

57. Gale, N. & Beck, J. Evidence for the Calvin Cycle and Hexose Monophosphate Pathway

in Thiobacillus ferrooxidans. https://journals.asm.org/doi/epdf/10.1128/jb.94.4.1052-

1059.1967 (1967) doi:10.1128/jb.94.4.1052-1059.1967.

58. Dronsella, B. *et al. Engineered Synthetic One-Carbon Fixation Exceeds Yield of the*

Calvin Cycle. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.10.19.512895 (2022)

doi:10.1101/2022.10.19.512895.

59. Bathellier, C., Tcherkez, G., Lorimer, G. H. & Farquhar, G. D. Rubisco is not really so

bad. *Plant Cell Environ* **41**, 705–716 (2018).

60. Schulz-Mirbach, H., Dronsella, B. & Erb, T. J. Escherichia coli selection strains for

growth-coupled metabolic engineering. *Trends in Biotechnology* **0**, (2025).

61. Gleizer, S. *et al.* Conversion of Escherichia coli to Generate All Biomass Carbon from

CO2. *Cell* **179**, 1255-1263.e12 (2019).

62. Kim, S. *et al.* Growth of E. coli on formate and methanol via the reductive glycine

pathway. *Nature Chemical Biology* **16**, 538–545 (2020).

63. Reiter, M. A. *et al.* A synthetic methylotrophic Escherichia coli as a chassis for

bioproduction from methanol. *Nat Catal* **7**, 560–573 (2024).

64. Chen, F. Y. H., Jung, H. W., Tsuei, C. Y. & Liao, J. C. Converting Escherichia coli to a

Synthetic Methylotroph Growing Solely on Methanol. *Cell* **182**, 933-946.e14 (2020).

65. Edwards, J. S., Ibarra, R. U. & Palsson, B. O. In silico predictions of Escherichia coli

metabolic capabilities are consistent with experimental data. *Nat Biotechnol* **19**, 125–

130 (2001).

66. Ebrahim, A., Lerman, J. A., Palsson, B. O. & Hyduke, D. R. COBRApy: COnstraints-

Based Reconstruction and Analysis for Python. *BMC Systems Biology* **7**, 74 (2013).

67. Bizouarn, T., Van Boxel, G. I., Bhakta, T. & Jackson, J. B. Nucleotide binding affinities of

the intact proton-translocating transhydrogenase from Escherichia coli. *Biochimica et*

Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics **1708**, 404–410 (2005).

68. Elad Noor / ged-cycle · GitLab. *GitLab* https://gitlab.com/elad.noor/ged-cycle (2024).

69. Chang, A. *et al*. BRENDA, the ELIXIR core data resource in 2021: new developments

and updates. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D498–D508 (2021).

657