1

Negative-Valence Neurons in the Larval Zebrafish Pallium

Colton D. Smith[1,2], Zhuowei Du[1,3], William P. Dempsey[1], Scott E. Fraser[1,3,4,5], Thai V.

Truong[1,3,4] and Don B. Arnold[1,4,5]

1. Department of Biological Sciences, Division of Molecular and Computational Biology,

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089

2. Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

90089

3. Translational Imaging Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

90089

4. Viterbi School of Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

90089

5. Department of Quantitative and Computational Biology, University of Southern

California, Los Angeles, CA 90089

Abstract:

An organism's survival depends on the rapid classification of sensory events as either

harmful or beneficial. In mammals, this computation is partially performed by neurons in

the amygdala that respond to signals with negative or positive valence. The larval

zebrafish pallium is thought to contain homologs of the mammalian amygdala,

isocortex, and hippocampus; however, the signals encoded by pallial neurons remain

largely uncharacterized. Using two-photon light-sheet microscopy to image 7–9-day-old

zebrafish that express pan-neuronal GCaMP6s, we recorded calcium dynamics

throughout the brain while presenting a panel of strongly aversive stimuli including

infrared heat, electric shock, and a whole-field looming shadow, together with milder

threats including vibration, loud sound, light transitions, and a partial looming stimulus.

A compact cluster of neurons in the rostrolateral dorsal pallium (Rl) responded

vigorously to strongly noxious and fully looming stimuli, but not to the milder cues. In

contrast, neurons in the ventromedial pallium and habenula responded to all stimuli

tested. Rl neurons are characterized by high Tiam2a expression, suggesting they can

be genetically accessed. Thus, our results identify a locus of negative valence neurons

in the teleost pallium.

Introduction

To evaluate potential threats, organisms must predict future events based on sensory

cues (Herberholz and Marquart, 2012). For example, when a predator approaches a

fish, sudden changes in water pressure activate its lateral line system, which, combined

with visual cues, allows it to predict that danger is imminent and swiftly evade the threat

(Olszewski et al., 2012). How fish decide to flee, or, conversely, to mate or feed,

remains poorly understood. In mammals, several lines of evidence suggest that this

process involves the basolateral amygdala, where distinct ensembles encode negative

and positive value (Beyeler et al., 2018; Grewe et al., 2017; Namburi et al., 2015; Tye,

2018; Xiu et al., 2014). Lesions or chemogenetic silencing of the amygdala blunt

defensive behaviors and block fear conditioning (Bechara et al., 2003; Corder et al.,

2019; Downer, 1961; Feinstein et al., 2011; LeDoux et al., 1988; Phillips and LeDoux,

1992). The amygdala is also a locus of synaptic change following fear learning (Clem

and Huganir, 2010; Goosens and Maren, 2002; Nabavi et al., 2014; Phillips and

LeDoux, 1992; Quirk et al., 1995; Rogan et al., 1997). Finally, neurons in the basolateral

amygdala project differentially depending on their valence, with negative-valence

neurons projecting to the medial central amygdala and neighboring positive-valence

neurons projecting to the nucleus accumbens, consistent with activation of the two sets

of neurons causing distinct downstream effects (Beyeler et al., 2018; Fadok et al., 2017;

Penzo et al., 2014; Tye et al., 2011).

The larval zebrafish (Danio rerio) is an excellent model system for studying sensory

processing because its transparent brain is amenable to whole-brain imaging paradigms

that require low scattering, such as selective plane illumination microscopy (SPIM;

Ahrens et al., 2013). The high speed of SPIM and its low toxicity enable 4-D imaging

across large areas of the larval zebrafish brain (Vladimirov et al., 2018). Furthermore, a

modified SPIM that uses two-photon excitation (Truong et al., 2011) significantly

reduces toxicity and increases penetration relative to 1-P SPIM. Although its brain is

smaller and less complex than those of many other vertebrates, the zebrafish can, even

in its larval stage, learn to modify responses to environmental stimuli (Gerlai, 2011). In

particular, zebrafish are amenable to classical conditioning, which in some cases

depends on distinct regions in the telencephalon (Lal et al., 2018; M Portavella et al.,

2004; Portavella et al., 2002; Ruhl et al., 2015). Previously, we showed that a well-

defined area in the rostrolateral pallium (Rl) contains neurons that respond to aversive

heating (Dempsey et al., 2022), suggesting that Rl, like the mammalian amygdala, may

contain negative-valence neurons.

To characterize how neurons in the forebrain of a larval zebrafish respond to sensory

stimuli of different valence, we mapped GCaMP (a genetically encoded Ca^{2+} indicator)

fluorescence following exposure of the fish to stimuli ranging from highly threatening to

mildly aversive. We found a tightly clustered group of neurons in Rl that responded

vigorously to negative-valence stimuli that are highly predictive of tissue damage, but

not to less intense negative-valence stimuli. Two additional areas, the ventromedial

pallium (Vm) and the habenula (Hb), contained neurons that responded to both types of

stimuli. Negative-valence neurons in Rl specifically expressed *tiam2a*, a homolog of a

gene expressed in the mouse hippocampus. Thus, we have identified a set of negative-

valence neurons within the zebrafish pallium that express a unique molecular marker.

Results

Noxious stimuli activate pallial neurons

To investigate how neurons in the forebrain respond to negative-valence stimuli, we

created a transgenic zebrafish line, Tg[CAG_{NRSE}:GCaMP6s], expressing GCaMP6s

(Chen et al., 2013)driven by the chicken β-Actin CAG promoter (Quitschke et al., 1989)

with an NRSE repressor element (Bergeron et al., 2012) added to diminish expression

in non-neuronal cells. Wholemount immunostaining for GCaMP6s and the neuronal

marker HuC (elav3) in the forebrain of a 7 dpf Tg[CAG_{NRSE}:GCaMP6s] zebrafish shows

almost complete overlap of the two labels, suggesting that virtually all neurons in the

zebrafish pallium express GCaMP6s (**Fig. S1**). Note that some skin cells expressed

GCaMP6s and were thus excluded from all analyses.

To explore the pallial responses to negative-valence stimuli in real time, we imaged the

Tg[CAG$_{NRSE}$:GCaMP6s] zebrafish using a custom-built two-photon (2P) SPIM

(Keomanee-Dizon et al., 2020) (**Fig. S2**). The 2P-SPIM microscope generates a 2P-

excitation light sheet using ultrafast near-infrared laser pulses. This microscope

combines non-linear excitation for high penetration depth with orthogonal light-sheet

illumination for high acquisition speed and low photodamage (Truong et al., 2011). We

immobilized 7–9 dpf fish by embedding them in agarose and placing them in a holder

immediately adjacent to the SPIM's two objectives (**Fig. S2**).

In our initial studies, we exposed the fish to noxious infrared (IR) heating from a laser

positioned over the zebrafish's right eye (**Figs. S2, 1A**), which we previously found

elicited a strong escape response consisting of a tail flick (Dempsey et al., 2022). The

IR stimulus consisted of a series of five 2-second pulses with 2-minute intervals

between. Before, during, and after the stimulus, the SPIM imaged a series of optical

sections at 11 depths spanning 60 mm, acquired sequentially every 0.12 seconds,

completing a stack every 1.33 seconds. We found that three discrete areas in each

hemisphere of the zebrafish forebrain showed an overall increase in fluorescence

(ΔF/F, see methods) in response to the IR stimulus (**Fig. 1B**). These areas correspond

to the left and right Hb (lHb and rHb), left and right Rl (lRl and rRl), and left and right Vm

(lVm and rVm) (**Fig. S3**). Time-lapse images of fluorescent signals (with background

measured before the stimulus subtracted) show an abrupt increase shortly after the

stimulus, with average $\Delta F/F$ increasing significantly in each of the six areas (**Fig. 1C-E**,

n = 5 fish).

To characterize the response of pallial and habenular neurons more fully, we exposed

the fish to electric shock, another noxious stimulus. We used wires placed

approximately 1 mm from the otic vesicles (the ears) to deliver 500 mA of current for

400 ms (**Fig. 1F**). All six brain regions that increased activity with IR stimulation also

showed a qualitative increase in activity following the electric shock (**Fig. 1G, H**). In

addition, the average $\Delta F/F$, measured after electric shock, was significantly higher than

measurements before the shock (n = 5 fish**, Fig. 1I, J**). These results show that the Hb,

Rl, and Vm areas of the pallium bilaterally respond to two different noxious stimuli.

To better understand activity at the level of individual neurons, we used the Ca^{2+} signal

detection program CaImAn (Giovannucci et al., 2019) to extract neuronal responses

from the raw fluorescence data (see methods). Because the CaImAn program cannot

reliably distinguish signals from axons, dendrites, and somata, the signals may not

represent distinct cells; thus, we refer to the traces produced by CaImAn as "neuronal

components". We counted the number of neuronal components with averaged $\Delta F/F$

responses that increased by 50% or more compared with before the stimulus, which we

refer to as "activated", and those that decreased by 50% or more, which we refer to as

"inhibited" (**Fig. 2A, B**). In response to IR heating, the ratio of activated to inhibited

neuronal components (A/I) was 5.0 for Hb, 2.0 for Rl, and 4.8 for Vm (**Fig. 2C**).

Similarly, responses to electric shock had A/I ratios of 2.8 for Hb, 1.8 for RI, and 4.0 for

Vm (**Fig. 2D**).

Although it was not possible to expose the fish to multiple stimuli within a single imaging

session due to their fragility, we plotted the cumulative distribution of activated vs.

inhibited neuronal components onto a canonical fish template for both IR heating and

electric shock so that we could compare responses to the two stimuli (**Fig. 2C, D**). In RI,

components activated by both IR heating and electric shock were generally located in

the same region, caudal and medial to the region containing inhibited components.

Distinct responses to full and partial looming stimuli in RI

Given that two different noxious stimuli evoked activity in Hb, RI, and Vm (**Figs. 1, 2**),

we asked how the same regions would respond to a non-noxious stimulus that still

indicated an imminent threat of bodily harm. For many visual species, including

zebrafish, a looming stimulus consisting of a black disc that increases in size, mimicking

the shadow of an approaching predator, signals a potential threat and triggers

evolutionarily hard-wired defensive behaviors like freezing or fleeing (Neo et al., 2015).

To generate a looming stimulus, we projected a black disc onto a small projector screen

located to the right of the 7–9 dpf zebrafish, which started as a 1° point and grew over

500 ms to cover the fish's entire visual field. After remaining at full size for 5 s, the disc

contracted back to 1°. During this sequence, we monitored GCaMP fluorescence.

Similar to exposure to IR heating and electric shock, the full looming stimulus

immediately elicited increased activation in all six regions activated by noxious stimuli

(**Fig. 3A**-**C**). There was residual activity in several areas, including rVm, rRl, and lRl 8 s

after the stimulus ceased. Quantitation of the average ΔF/F before and after

presentations showed significant increases in all six areas (n = 5, **Fig. 3D, E**).

Given the robust response to the full looming stimulus, we asked whether a similar, but

less intense stimulus, might elicit a different neuronal response. Thus, we developed a

partial looming stimulus, which is similar to the full looming stimulus, except the disc

stops growing after 300 ms when it covers approximately 48 degrees of the visual field,

and then, after 5 s, reduces in size over 300 ms to a 1° point, which could mimic a

predator that comes close to the fish but then stops (**Fig. 3F**). Strikingly, the partial-

looming stimulus did not elicit a significant overall ΔF/F increase in Rl, although

increases in activity in Hb and Vm were significant (n = 7, **Fig. 3G**-**J**).

A/I ratios of individual activated and inhibited neuronal components responding to the

full looming stimulus were 7.2 in Hb, 1.4 in Rl, and 5.5 in Vm, indicating that activation

predominated overall (**Fig. 4A**, **C**). However, in response to the partial looming stimulus,

neuronal components showed an A/I of 1.2 in Hb and 3.0 in Vm, whereas inhibited

components predominated in Rl (A/I = 0.41; **Fig. 4B**, **D**). Thus, multiple measures of

neuronal activity are consistent with Rl being activated by noxious stimuli and a full

looming stimulus, but not by a partial looming stimulus. In contrast, Vm and Hb are

activated by all stimuli tested.

Mild negative-valence stimuli do not activate Rl neurons.

To further test whether Rl neurons respond only to highly threatening stimuli, such as

noxious stimuli, or to a full looming stimulus, we exposed larval zebrafish to stimuli that

could be perceived as threatening but do not indicate imminent bodily harm and

measured the response in Hb, Rl, and Vm. Specifically, we exposed the zebrafish to

sudden vibration, loud noises, and a transition from light to darkness. Each of these

stimuli has been shown to elicit startle responses, including C-bends, in larval zebrafish

(Beppi et al., 2021; Neo et al., 2015), consistent with their being inherently threatening

(Bartoszek et al., 2021). To expose the fish to vibration while recording GCaMP activity

with the SPIM, we tapped the right side of the imaging chamber with a plastic stick for 5

s. We also presented an auditory stimulus by sounding an airhorn that produced a 120-

130 dB sound lasting 0.5 s. Finally, fish that had been equilibrated to darkness, then

exposed to light for 4 s, followed by an abrupt return to darkness, were analyzed for

response to the light-to-dark transition. Each stimulus was repeated 5 times with a 2-

min interval between trials. During and after each stimulus, increased activity was

apparent in Hb and Vm, whereas no overall activity change was observed in Rl (**Fig**.

5A-I).

Statistical analysis showed that overall $\Delta F/F$ increased significantly in the rHb, lVm, and

rVm in response to tapping, but activity in Rl did not increase significantly (n = 6 fish,

Fig. 6A, B). Similar results were found for exposure to the air horn blast, where activity

significantly increased in Hb and Vm, but not in Rl (n = 4, **Fig. 6C, D**). Finally, overall

$\Delta F/F$ increased significantly in rHb and lVm, but not in Rl, following a dark-to-light

transition (n = 5, **Fig. 6E, F**). Consistent with these results, A/I ratios for the neuronal

components found in Hb and Vm ranged from 1.6-4.3 but were substantially less than 1

(0.29-0.66) in Rl for tapping, airhorn, and light-to-dark transitions (**Fig. 7A-F**). Thus,

overall activity in Hb and Vm increases significantly in response to moderately

threatening stimuli, at least unilaterally, and activated neuronal components outnumber

those that are inhibited. In contrast, in Rl, overall $\Delta F/F$ does not increase significantly,

and inhibited neuronal components outnumber activated ones.

In conclusion, our results suggest that Rl responds exclusively to the most threatening

stimuli, but not to less threatening stimuli both in terms of overall activity and A/I ratio of

neuronal components (**Fig. 8**). In contrast, Hb and Vm respond at least unilaterally to all

negative-valence stimuli and neuronal components have A/I ratios > 1 (**Fig. 8**),

regardless of whether they are strongly or weakly threatening. Thus, in principle, signals

present in Rl could be used to identify highly threatening stimuli.

Tiam2a-positive neurons in the rostrolateral pallium.

To better understand the properties of neurons in the Rl area that respond specifically to

imminent threats, we used the MapZebrain cellular-resolution atlas of the larval

zebrafish brain (Kunst et al., 2019). We found that in 6 dpf transgenic fish (y264Et, see

methods), the *tiam2a* promoter drives GFP specifically in a region of the pallium that

matches the location of Rl neurons. *tiam2a* is the zebrafish homolog of the mammalian

gene *Tiam2*, which encodes a Rac1-associated GEF (Chiu et al., 1999)

To test whether *tiam2a* expression is a marker for neurons that respond to threatening

stimuli, we exposed 7–9 dpf Tg[tiam2a:GFP] larval zebrafish to heating with an IR laser.

Then we performed wholemount immunocytochemistry to detect pERK, a marker of

neuronal activity. A projection image of GFP and pERK shows striking colocalization

between the two markers, with virtually every GFP+ neuron expressing pERK (**Fig. 9A,**

B)**.** In contrast, under control conditions with no noxious stimuli present, we observed

little pERK expression in GFP+ neurons (**Fig. 9C, D**). To quantify the amount of co-

expression between the two, we measured the total intensity of pERK labeling in cells

that expressed GFP and subtracted the background intensity of pERK labeling

measured in cells in a nearby region of the fish brain that did not express *tiam2a*. pERK

labeling in GFP+ cells was ~20-fold higher in the IR Laser exposed group (n = 6) than in

the control group (n = 8), a statistically significant difference (one-tailed t-test; **Fig 9E**).

These data are consistent with *tiam2a* being a marker for pallial neurons that are more

active when zebrafish are exposed to noxious stimuli.

To investigate the morphology of Rl neurons, we examined Tg[tiam2a:GFP] fish (**Fig.**

9F). From the dorsal view, GFP-labeled neurons are highly clustered in the rostrolateral

pallium, and from the coronal view, they appear superficial and dorsal. Furthermore,

they have short axons, suggesting they are involved in local connectivity. Thus, *tiam2a*

promoter-mediated expression defines a tightly packed group of neurons that respond

to a noxious stimulus in the 7–9 dpf larval zebrafish. These neurons are likely negative-

valence neurons that respond specifically to a variety of stimuli indicating a high

likelihood of bodily injury, but not to less threatening aversive stimuli.

Discussion

In this study, we defined responses of neurons in the larval zebrafish forebrain to noxious stimuli (IR heating and electric shock), non-noxious threatening stimuli (full looming), and less threatening stimuli (partial looming, vibration, loud noise, and dark-to-light transitions). Prior research has shown that in the adult zebrafish, neurons in the pallial area Dl, which partially overlaps with Rl, drive ongoing habenular activity (Bartoszek et al., 2021). However, dynamic responses of forebrain neurons to negative-valence stimuli have not been reported previously. Here, we have found that noxious and non-noxious threatening stimuli activate a distinct population of tightly clustered neurons within the rostrolateral dorsal pallium (Rl). However, neurons within this same region do not respond to less threatening stimuli. In contrast, neurons in the ventromedial pallium and the habenula respond to all the stimuli tested, encompassing both highly threatening and mildly aversive stimuli.

In addition to neuronal components activated by negative-valence stimuli, we found components in Rl that exhibited reduced activity upon exposure to noxious and threatening stimuli. Previously, it was found that neurons inhibited by negative-valence stimuli may also be activated by positive valence stimuli, suggesting that these neurons may respond to positive valence (Belova et al., 2008; Smith and Torregrossa, 2021). The ratio of activated to inhibited neuronal components was highly correlated with whether the overall signal from a given region increased in response to a specific stimulus. A/I ratio (number of activated vs. inhibited neuronal components) > 1 was correlated with regional activation, whereas A/I < 1 was associated with a lack of

activation. In the future, it will be important to test positive-valence stimuli to determine

whether they elicit responses in neurons inhibited by negative-valence stimuli. Attempts

in this study to elicit a response from forebrain neurons to the smell of food, a positive-

valence stimulus, were unsuccessful (data not shown). Additionally, it will be important

to determine whether individual neurons in Vm and Hb are broadly tuned to negative-

valence stimuli or respond to stimuli of all valences.

It is important to emphasize that Rl neurons respond to both looming and noxious

stimuli. For cells to be classified as negative-valence, they must respond not only to

inherently painful stimuli but also to stimuli that are potentially threatening (Pignatelli &

Beyeler, 2018). We found that a full-coverage looming shadow activated Rl neurons,

along with ventromedial and habenular regions, in a manner similar to physically

noxious stimuli. However, a partial looming shadow failed to elicit a response in Rl,

although it activated Hb and Vm. Interestingly, it has been shown that when a zebrafish

is exposed to a full looming stimulus that covers all of the visual field, it is likely to

freeze, whereas a fish exposed to a looming disc that stops growing when the visual

field is only partially covered (**Fig. 3F**), is more likely to try to escape (Temizer et al.,

2015). Thus, results from this paper suggest that signals from Rl, which responds

differentially to full and partial looming stimuli, could contribute to the initiation of

appropriate defensive behaviors in response to threats.

In this study, we exploited the unique properties of the larval zebrafish to obtain parallel

recordings of neurons throughout the forebrain in response to negative-valence stimuli.

One drawback of using larval zebrafish is that they are relatively delicate, making it

difficult to present multiple stimuli to the same fish. Consequently, although it is possible

to conclude that neurons in Rl are likely to respond when the fish is exposed to one of

several different stimuli, we cannot conclude that individual neurons respond to more

than one stimulus. In addition, it was difficult to relate the stimuli to behavioral

responses. Although tail flicks and heart rate were monitored with every response (not

shown), there were no uniform responses to specific stimuli. However, electric shock

(Kenney et al., 2017; Manuel et al., 2014), looming stimulus (Temizer et al., 2015),

vibration (Eaton et al., 2001), loud noise (Eaton et al., 2001; Neo et al., 2015), dark-to-

light and light-to-dark transitions (Beppi et al., 2021) all provoked escape behavior when

zebrafish were exposed to them in other contexts. It is possible that being encased in

agarose, a requirement for SPIM, limited the fish's behavioral responses. In our

experiments, and perhaps for agarose-encased zebrafish in other contexts, neural

signatures of negative-valence stimuli are stronger and more consistent than behavioral

ones. These findings suggest that forebrain activity, particularly in Rl, might be a better

indicator of the internal state of the zebrafish's brain than behavior. Together, our results

suggest that a transgenic zebrafish expressing GCaMP under the *tiam2a* promoter

could provide real-time insight into the animal's internal state.

Several studies have suggested that the dorsomedial pallium in teleost fish, including

zebrafish, is the homolog of the amygdala and the dorsolateral pallium is homologous to

the hippocampus based on developmental, topological, and molecular arguments

(Baker and Wong, 2021; Mueller et al., 2011; von Trotha et al., 2014). In addition, the

medial pallium in general and a specific type of pallial neuron were found to be

necessary for fear conditioning in zebrafish (Broglio et al., 2005; Lal et al., 2018; Manuel

Portavella et al., 2004; Portavella et al., 2002). In contrast, the results from this study

and our previous study suggest that RI neurons are similar in some respects to neurons

in the mammalian amygdala. For instance, both of our studies show that RI neurons

respond to negative-valence stimuli, a response also seen in many neurons in the

mammalian amygdala (Beyeler et al., 2018). RI neurons also become responsive to

neutral stimuli following a novel form of classical conditioning (Dempsey et al, 2022).

Similarly, following fear conditioning, neurons in the mammalian amygdala become

responsive to conditioned stimuli that were paired with unconditioned stimuli (Grewe et

al., 2017; Zhang and Li, 2018). Finally, neurons within RI exhibit an increase in

excitatory synapses following classical conditioning, and amygdalar neurons undergo

long term potentiation, consistent with synaptic change, following fear

conditioning(Rogan et al., 1997). However, unlike the amygdala, where negative- and

positive-valence neurons are distributed in a salt and pepper fashion (Beyeler et al.,

2018), the negative-valence cells that we found in RI tend to be tightly clustered (**Fig. 9**).

Furthermore, *tiam2a* is a homolog of mammalian *Tiam2*, which is highly expressed in

the hippocampus, and is less abundant in the amygdala in the mouse (Chiu et al.,

1999). Thus, our data suggest that RI shares attributes of both the amygdala and the

hippocampus, and that teleost fish may have unique brain structures with no exact

homologs in mammals.

Author contributions: C.D.S. and D.B.A. designed the experiments and wrote the

paper; C.D.S. performed the live-imaging research, contributed new analytic tools, and

analyzed data; Z.D. performed the pERK experiments; T.V.T. and S.E.F. designed and

built the SPIM used for live imaging. W.P.D. performed preliminary experiments that

were critical for the development of the experimental protocols used in this paper.

D.B.A. secured funding and supervised all aspects of the project.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish husbandry and embryo/larval care

Many of the methods presented in this paper were developed previously (Dempsey et

al., 2022). Larval and adult Casper mutant zebrafish were maintained in an in-house

veterinary facility in accordance with protocols approved by the University of Southern

California (USC) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). All experiments

described in this work were approved by the USC IACUC. Embryos were kept in egg

water (1.19 g NaCl, 0.377 g CaSO4·2H2O, 265 mL methylene blue, filled up to 5 liters

with ddH$_2$O) at 28 °C in an incubator with a 13 hr:11 hr light:dark cycle until 5-6 dpf,

when they were transferred to tanks within the husbandry facility. On the husbandry

system, zebrafish were also maintained on a 13 hr:11 hr light:dark cycle. The air and

water temperature in the zebrafish facility are maintained at 26-28°C. Zebrafish larvae

used in experiments (7–9 dpf) were transferred between the facility and the

experimental apparatus in zebrafish husbandry system water (H.S.W.) and kept at 26-

28°C between experiments.

Tg[CAG_{NRSE}:GCaMP6s]

To monitor cell Ca^{++} activity in these experiments, we used the transgenic zebrafish

Tg[CAG$_{NRSE}$:GCaMP6s] with GCaMP6s (Chen et al., 2013) expression driven by a β-

Actin promoter (Quitschke et al., 1989) to drive widespread expression and 3X NRSE

(neuron-restrictive silencer element) to restrict expression to neurons (Bergeron et al.,

2012).

Tg[tiam2a:GFP]

The transgenic fish y264Et (originally created by the lab of Harold Burgess), with the

construct Et(SCP1:GAL4FF), uniquely labels Tiam2a-positive cells with GFP within the

rostrolateral pallium (Sprague et al., 2003).

This transgenic fish was deposited in the ZFIN database (Ruzicka et al., 2015) with the

following citation: Marquart, G.D., Tabor, K.M., Brown, M., Strykowski, J.L., Varshney,

G.K., LaFave, M.C., Mueller, T., Burgess, S.H., Higashijima, S., and Burgess, H.A.

(2015) Enhancer Trap Transgenics. ZFIN Direct Data Submission. (http://zfin.org).

Mounting Zebrafish in Caddie for Imaging on FlexSPIM

To prepare for behavioral experiments, zebrafish subjects were first embedded in a

custom behavioral training caddie generated by Protolabs (Stereolithography, ABS-like

Translucent Clear [WaterShed]). Specs: ABS-Like Translucent Clear (WaterShed),

Normal Res, Natural, Stereolithography, X: 0.980in Y: 0.206in Z: 0.311in. The caddie is

filled with 200 mL of 1% (w/v) agarose, melting point ≤ 65°C (Millipore Sigma;

SeaPlaque, Cat:50101) dissolved in egg water containing methylene blue, which

suppresses fungal growth. During agarose embedding and fluorescence imaging

experiments, zebrafish were anesthetized in a final concentration of 70 ppm MS-222

(Western Chemical, Fluka analytical, Cat: A5040-100G) + 70 ppm Isoflurane (Phoenix

SKU 012ABB-250CC), an IACUC-approved combination that has been shown to enable

fast recovery from anesthesia as compared to using MS-222 alone (Huang WC, *et al.,*

2010). The anesthetics are dissolved in filter sterilized HSW. For all experiments

involving agarose- embedding of the zebrafish, a 1.5% (w/v) solution of low melting

point agarose (Sea Plaque Agarose, Lonza) dissolved in filter sterilized husbandry

system water consisting of instant ocean (ASIN: B000255NKA) and baking soda

dissolved in reverse osmosis water to achieve pH 7.2 and a conductance of ~700 µS)

with anesthetic is used. There was no bias in zebrafish sex for larval experiments, as

sex determination does not occur in zebrafish before the juvenile stage, ~24 dpf (Uchida

et al., 2002).

As the agarose solidifies (before it completely solidifies at 5 min), a hair stem (human

hair attached to a plastic rod) is used to position the zebrafish so that the body axis is

parallel to the surface of the chamber (normal swimming orientation). Once the agarose

solidifies, the caddie is placed into a 60 mm culture dish (Corning, Cat: 430166) and 10

ml of fresh filter-sterilized H.S.W. is added. The agarose is then shaved down with a

razor such that only the head and body are encased. Before the anesthetic wears off

after the introduction of the fresh H.S.W. solution (~30 seconds), the tail of the zebrafish

(just posterior to the swim bladder) is carefully freed from the agarose using fine forceps

(biology tip profile, Fine Science Tools, Cat: 11251-10). The rostral aspect of the

zebrafish (anterior to the swim bladder) is held firmly in place by the agarose. The

caddie is then transferred to another 60 mm culture dish in preparation for

transportation to the imaging room.

FlexSPIM Light Sheet Microscope

After the zebrafish has been mounted into the custom Protolabs caddie, it is ready to be

placed onto the flexSPIM. The flexSPIM is a versatile, multi-laser twin-microscope

system for light-sheet imaging designed and built for multiphoton and visible wavelength

fluorescence light sheet excitation (Keomanee-Dizon et al., 2020). The caddie is

securely fastened on the dive bar and placed into the chamber filled with 80 ml of fresh,

filter-sterilized H.S.W. During imaging, the objective position is programmed to follow a

saw waveform such that the objective takes a unidirectional path starting at the top of

the fish pallium (0 mm) and transitioning through to the most ventral aspect of pallium

(60 mm) at a frequency of 0.75 Hz. The brain camera follows a square waveform that

instructs it to acquire 11 images per objective cycle.

Arduino

For the behavioral experiments, an Arduino unit (Arduino UNO Rev3, Arduino.cc) and

the software (Arduino software [IDE]) from the same company are used to control the

stimuli. For the IR experiments, a simple program was designed to control the infrared

laser (NIR RLCO-980-1000-F laser, Roithner Lasertechnik) by turning it on and off for

two seconds, every two minutes. For the electricity experiments, a simple program was

designed to allow the native Arduino 5V output to be turned on and off for 400 ms every

two minutes.

Volumetric Visualization of Neural Activation

To visualize stimulus-evoked neural activation, volumetric calcium-imaging data were

reconstructed into three-dimensional heat maps. Eleven consecutive image planes

acquired with the flexSPIM immediately before stimulus onset were combined to

generate a baseline 3D fluorescence volume. The same procedure was repeated for

frames acquired after the stimulus (the specific time selected was within a 3 s post-

stimulus window to capture the peak GCaMP response). The pre- and post-stimulus

volumes were visualized side-by-side to illustrate regional activation patterns. Each 3D

volume was rendered in coronal and dorsal orientations and manually curated to

exclude superficial skin layers and non-neuronal regions, providing volumetric heat

maps of GCaMP fluorescence before and after stimulation

Time-Lapse Analysis of Whole Regions

To quantify the temporal dynamics of stimulus-evoked activity within a region as a

whole, time-lapse calcium-imaging data were analyzed from 90 consecutive frames

acquired with the flexSPIM, with frame 45 corresponding to stimulus onset. Data from

multiple trials were averaged to generate representative activation profiles for the

left/right habenula, left/right rostrolateral regions, and left/right ventromedial regions of

the brain. Using ImageJ, regions of interest were manually defined, and the mean

fluorescence intensity was measured across all frames, producing time-series traces of

average neuronal activity before, during, and after each stimulus.

Calcium Imaging Data Processing and Spatial Registration

Time-series calcium-imaging data were processed using the open-source CaImAn

package (Giovannucci *et al.*, 2019) to perform motion correction, signal extraction, and

neuronal component identification. Rigid and non-rigid motion correction were applied to

minimize motion artifacts and ensure accurate spatial alignment across frames.

Following registration, a constrained non-negative matrix factorization (CNMF) model

was applied, with key input parameters (e.g., expected neuron diameter, local-

correlation threshold, and signal-to-noise cut-offs) empirically optimized to match the

imaging resolution and fluorescence dynamics of the dataset. This optimization yielded

spatial footprints and corresponding $\Delta F/F$ fluorescence traces for individual neuronal

components. Model performance was iteratively evaluated through inspection of

residual maps and assessment of spatial–temporal consistency.

Neuronal components were selected from anatomically defined regions and depths

encompassing the habenula (~10 μm), rostrolateral region (~30 μm), and ventromedial

region (~50 μm). Each region consisted of two bilaterally symmetric areas measuring

approximately 50 μm × 50 μm. The habenula regions were located at the most caudal

aspect of the brain, the rostrolateral regions were positioned rostrally and laterally, and

the ventromedial regions were situated ventrally and medially, near the midline of the

brain. Within each region, $\Delta F/F$ fluorescence traces were extracted for all identified

neuronal components.

To correct for gradual fluorescence-intensity drift resulting from photobleaching, a rolling

mean filter with a window size of 10 frames was applied to stabilize the $\Delta F/F$ time

series. For each stimulus type, five trials were performed, except for the partial-looming

stimulus, which was presented three times. For every trial, 44 frames preceding (~1min)

and 45 frames following (~1min) the stimulus onset were extracted and averaged

across trials, with frame 45 corresponding to the time of stimulus delivery. This

procedure produced six averaged 90-frame time series per fish, corresponding to left

and right hemispheric regions of the habenula, rostrolateral, and ventromedial areas.

To determine which neuronal components exhibited activation or inhibition in response

to the stimulus, the mean ΔF/F values from frames 45–50 (post-stimulus window) were

compared with the mean of the preceding 40 frames (baseline). Components showing

ΔF/F ≥ +50% relative to baseline were classified as activated, while those with ΔF/F ≤

−50% were classified as inhibited.

Binary activation maps, showing activated and inhibited components for all fish and

stimulus conditions were then registered onto a canonical template fish (three

templates: habenula, rostrolateral, and vetromedial), with activated components

represented in red and inhibited components in blue. The canonical template was

constructed to represent an "ideal" reference fish and to standardize minor

morphological variations across individuals. Registration parameters were manually

ascertained using ITK-SNAP, using the midline and the skin-brain boundaries as

anatomical landmarks. Using these parameters, each image analyzed was

geometrically transformed (cropped, scaled, rotated, and translated) to align with the

appropriate canonical template using custom Python scripts incorporating the libraries

OpenCV, Matplotlib, and NumPy. The XY coordinates of activated and inhibited

components were then extracted from the registered images and plotted onto the

canonical template to generate composite activation/inhibition maps showing bilateral

regional responses to each stimulus.

Heating Tg[tiam2a:GFP] Larval Zebrafish using an IR Laser (Figure 9)

Experimental procedures in this section were partially adapted from (Dempsey et al.,

2022). To begin, Tg[tiam2a:GFP] zebrafish larvae were embedded in custom behavioral

training molds: a 60 mm culture dish filled with 1% agarose dissolved in egg water, with

a 10 mm diameter circle cut out from the center. The zebrafish was anesthetized and

then embedded in the 10 mm diameter circle with 500 ml agarose + anesthetic (70 ppm

MS-222 + 70 ppm Isoflurane (SKU 012ABB-250CC). While the agarose solidified, the

zebrafish's position was parallel to the surface of the chamber, at the top of the agarose

surface, and was maintained using a single bristle brush. After 5 min, 10 mL of sterilized

husbandry system water was added to the chamber. Using fine forceps, the tail of the

zebrafish was carefully freed from the agarose. All free-floating agarose was removed

from the dish. The dish was then positioned under the objective of a custom-built

behavioral microscope such that the right eye of the zebrafish was directly under where

the infrared laser spot would be when turned on (NIR RLCO-980-1000-F laser, Roithner

Lasertechnik). An Arduino was programmed to deliver the infrared heating laser for two

seconds, a total of ten times, with an intertrial separation of 2 minutes. After the fish

underwent ten trials, it was then fixed in PFA prior to pERK immunohistochemistry.

pERK Immunohistochemistry

After exposing 7–9 dpf Tg[tiam2a;GFP] larval zebrafish to the infrared laser ten times,

zebrafish were fixed in 4% PFA diluted in 1x PBS (pH 7.4), 70 ppm MS-222, 70 ppm

Isoflurane, covered in aluminum foil, and kept at 4°C overnight. Then, fish were washed

3 times in 1x PBS (pH 7.4) and 0.25% PBST (0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS). After 3x

PBST washes, the zebrafish were placed in 150 mM Tris HCl (pH 9) for 5 min at room

temperature, followed by 20 minutes at 65°C. Once this was complete, the samples

were cooled to room temperature and given 3x PBST washes. Zebrafish were then

permeabilized on ice for 45 minutes with 1x PBS, 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Sigma, T4049-

100ML) diluted in 1x PBS (pH 7.4). After another 3x PBST wash cycle, the zebrafish

were placed for 1 hour at room temperature in blocking buffer solution; (1x PBS, 1%

bovine serum albumin, 2% DMSO, 2% NGS, 0.25% Triton X-100. Zebrafish were

stained with primary rabbit anti-phosphorylated Erk1/2 antibodies at a 1:300 dilution

(Cell Signaling, Catalog #4696) in blocking buffer on a rotating platform at 4°C

overnight. After 5X PBST washes, the zebrafish were transferred to a blocking buffer

solution containing a 1:1000 dilution of Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit

secondary antibody (Invitrogen, A-11011) and incubated overnight at 4°C on an orbital

shaker in the dark. After 5 PBST washes, samples were moved to PBS solution and

imaged on a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal (Translational Imaging Center, USC).

Statistical Analysis

Calcium signal curves and heatmaps were calculated using Python 3.12 scripts.

Statistical differences for pre- vs post-stimulus AUC values were assessed using one-

tailed paired t-tests implemented in the SciPy library, and $p < 0.05$ was considered

statistically significant. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size.

Experimental Setup and Stimulation Protocols for Neuronal Activity Imaging in Fish

All fish used in this study were given 90 min to acclimate on the flexSPIM system.

Following acclimation, each fish was imaged for 5 min to establish a baseline for

neuronal activity before being exposed to stimuli. The total duration of the experiments

was ~15-20 min. After the experiment was finished, the fish was released from the

agarose and allowed to rest in a dish for one hour. Only fish that were still alive and

healthy after this waiting time were included in the analysis.

Near-Infrared laser

Once the fish was prepared for imaging, it was positioned such that its right eye was hit

by the IR laser (NIR RLCO-980-1000-F laser, Roithner Lasertechnik). While imaging,

the IR laser was turned on for 2 seconds before being turned off. This was repeated 2

minutes later for a total of 5 trials.

Electric shock

Once the fish was prepared for imaging, two 1-pin dual-male jumper wires were

secured onto the dive bar such that the pin connectors were positioned on or near both

sides of the fish, where the body meets the tail. They were positioned such that when

current is applied, it travels between the wires, creating a circuit with the fish in

between, causing a small electric shock. While imaging, a 500 mA electric shock was

administered to the zebrafish through electrodes connected to a 5 V Arduino pin for 400

ms. This was repeated every 2 minutes, for a total of 5 trials.

Full-looming Stimulus

Once the fish was prepped for imaging, a red-backlit projector screen was positioned on

the right side of the fish. The window on the chamber covered 66° of the fish's visual

field. A small black circle (1° of the fish's visual field) was maintained throughout the

duration of the experiment. At the beginning of each trial, the spot was expanded until it

fully covered the entire projection screen (1° to 66 degrees of the visual field) over 500

ms, hence the name "full-looming stimulus". Following 5 s, the stimulus returned to its

original size. This was repeated 2 min later for a total of 5 trials.

Partial-looming stimulus

Once the fish was prepped for imaging, it was exposed to a stimulus similar to the full-

looming stimulus, except that the black circle expanded to 48° over 300 ms, partially

covering the visual field. The black circle remained at 48° for 5 seconds before

contracting back to its original size of 1° over 300 ms. This process was repeated 2 min

later, for a total of 3 trials.

Tapping

During imaging, the experimenter tapped the side of the chamber with a plastic stick for

5 s. This process was repeated 2 min later, for a total of 5 trials.

Airhorn

While imaging, an air horn (1.4 oz, Betterboat) sounded a 120-130 dB blast

approximately 1 inch above the chamber. The experimenter wore ear protection to

prevent hearing damage. This procedure was repeated 2 minutes later, for a total of 5

trials.

Light

Once the fish was prepared for imaging, a red-backlit projector screen was positioned

on the right side of the fish, making the light visible to the fish. A small, removable

cardboard card was then placed between the fish and the projector, keeping the fish in

complete darkness. During imaging, the card was removed for 5 s to expose the fish to

light. After 5 seconds, the card was placed back between the fish and the projector to

block the light. This procedure was repeated 2 minutes later, for a total of 5 trials.

Data Availability

Raw and processed data on Jupyter notebooks containing analysis pipelines are

available on https://gin.g-node.org/coltonsm/Zebrafish_Valence_Project. The DOI for

this repository will be made public upon being accepted for publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank M. Jones for microscopy technical support in the Translational Imaging

Center, and L. Trinh and E. Carranza Lopez for zebrafish husbandry support. All

microscopy was done at the Translational Imaging Center. This work was supported by

the National Institute of Mental Health Grant 1UF1NS122082.

References

Ahrens MB, Orger MB, Robson DN, Li JM, Keller PJ. 2013. Whole-brain functional
imaging at cellular resolution using light-sheet microscopy. Nat Methods
10:413–420. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2434, PMID: 23524393
Baker MR, Wong RY. 2021. Npas4a expression in the teleost forebrain is
associated with stress coping style differences in fear learning. Scientific
Reports 11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91495-7, PMID:
34103598
Bartoszek EM, Ostenrath AM, Jetti SK, Serneels B, Mutlu AK, Chau KTP, Yaksi E.
2021. Ongoing habenular activity is driven by forebrain networks and
modulated by olfactory stimuli. Curr Biol 31:3861-3874 e3. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.08.021, PMID: 34416179
Bechara A, Damasio H, Damasio AR. 2003. Role of the amygdala in decision-
making. Ann N Y Acad Sci 985:356–369. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-
6632.2003.tb07094.x, PMID: 12724171
Belova MA, Paton JJ, Salzman CD. 2008. Moment-to-moment tracking of state
value in the amygdala. Journal of Neuroscience 28:10023–10030. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1400-08.2008, PMID: 18829960
Beppi C, Beringer G, Straumann D, Bogli SY. 2021. Light-stimulus intensity
modulates startle reflex habituation in larval zebrafish. Sci Rep 11:22410. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-00535-9, PMID: 34789729
Bergeron SA, Hannan MC, Codore H, Fero K, Li GH, Moak Z, Yokogawa T,
Burgess HA. 2012. Brain selective transgene expression in zebrafish using an
NRSE derived motif. Front Neural Circuits 6:110. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2012.00110, PMID: 23293587
Beyeler A, Chang CJ, Silvestre M, Leveque C, Namburi P, Wildes CP, Tye KM.
2018. Organization of Valence-Encoding and Projection-Defined Neurons in
the Basolateral Amygdala. Cell Rep 22:905–918. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.097, PMID: 29386133

Broglio C, Gomez A, Duran E, Ocana FM, Jimenez-Moya F, Rodriguez F, Salas C. 2005. Hallmarks of a common forebrain vertebrate plan: specialized pallial areas for spatial, temporal and emotional memory in actinopterygian fish. Brain Res Bull 66:277–281. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2005.03.021, PMID: 16144602

Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, Looger LL, Svoboda K, Kim DS. 2013. Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature 499:295–300. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12354, PMID: 23868258

Chiu C-Y, Leng S, Martin KA, Kim E, Gorman S, Duhl DMJ. 1999. Cloning and Characterization of T-Cell Lymphoma Invasion and Metastasis 2 (TIAM2), a Novel Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor Related to TIAM1.

Clem RL, Huganir RL. 2010. Calcium-permeable AMPA receptor dynamics mediate fear memory erasure. Science 330:1108–1112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1195298, PMID: 21030604

Corder G, Ahanonu B, Grewe BF, Wang D, Schnitzer MJ, Scherrer G. 2019. An amygdalar neural ensemble that encodes the unpleasantness of pain. Science 363:276–281. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap8586

Dempsey WP, Du Z, Nadtochiy A, Smith CD, Czajkowski K, Andreev A, Robson DN, Li JM, Applebaum S, Truong T V, Kesselman C, Fraser SE, Arnold DB. 2022. Regional synapse gain and loss accompany memory formation in larval zebrafish. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 119. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107661119, PMID: 35031564

Downer DJLD. 1961. CHANGES IN VISUAL GNOSTIC FUNCTIONS AND EMOTIONAL BEHAVIOUR FOLLOWING UNILATERAL TEMPORAL POLE DAMAGE IN THE "SPLIT-BRAIN" MONKEY. *NATURE*.

Eaton RC, Lee RK, Foreman MB. 2001. The Mauthner cell and other identified neurons of the brainstem escape network of fish. Prog Neurobiol 63:467–485. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0082(00)00047-2, PMID: 11163687

Fadok JP, Krabbe S, Markovic M, Courtin J, Xu C, Massi L, Botta P, Bylund K, Müller C, Kovacevic A, Tovote P, Lüthi A. 2017. A competitive inhibitory circuit for selection of active and passive fear responses. Nature 542:96–99. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21047, PMID: 28117439

Feinstein JS, Adolphs R, Damasio A, Tranel D. 2011. The human amygdala and the induction and experience of fear. Current Biology 21:34–38. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.11.042, PMID: 21167712

Gerlai R. 2011. Associative Learning in Zebrafish (Danio rerio). *Methods in Cell Biology*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-387036-0.00012-8, PMID: 21550448

Giovannucci A, Friedrich J, Gunn P, Kalfon J, Brown BL, Koay SA, Taxidis J, Najafi
F, Gauthier JL, Zhou PC, Khakh BS, Tank DW, Chklovskii DB, Pnevmatikakis
EA. 2019. CalmAn an open source tool for scalable calcium imaging data
analysis. Elife 8. PMID: WOS:000455875300001
Goosens KA, Maren S. 2002. Long-term potentiation as a substrate for memory:
evidence from studies of amygdaloid plasticity and Pavlovian fear conditioning.
Hippocampus 12:592–599. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.10099, PMID:
12440575
Grewe BF, Grundemann J, Kitch LJ, Lecoq JA, Parker JG, Marshall JD, Larkin MC,
Jercog PE, Grenier F, Li JZ, Luthi A, Schnitzer MJ. 2017. Neural ensemble
dynamics underlying a long-term associative memory. Nature 543:670–675.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21682, PMID: 28329757
Herberholz J, Marquart GD. 2012. Decision Making and Behavioral Choice during
Predator Avoidance. Front Neurosci 6:125. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2012.00125, PMID: 22973187
Kenney JW, Scott IC, Josselyn SA, Frankland PW. 2017. Contextual fear
conditioning in zebrafish. Learn Mem 24:516–523. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.045690.117, PMID: 28916626
Keomanee-Dizon K, Fraser SE, Truong T V. 2020. A versatile, multi-laser twin-
microscope system for light-sheet imaging. Review of Scientific Instruments
91. PMID: WOS:000537881400001
Kunst M, Laurell E, Mokayes N, Kramer A, Kubo F, Fernandes AM, Forster D, Dal
Maschio M, Baier H. 2019. A Cellular-Resolution Atlas of the Larval Zebrafish
Brain. Neuron 103:21-38 e5. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.04.034, PMID: 31147152
Lal P, Tanabe H, Suster ML, Ailani D, Kotani Y, Muto A, Itoh M, Iwasaki M, Wada
H, Yaksi E, Kawakami K. 2018. Identification of a neuronal population in the
telencephalon essential for fear conditioning in zebrafish. BMC Biol 16:45.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-018-0502-y, PMID: 29690872
LeDoux JE, Iwata J, Cicchetti P, Reis DJ. 1988. Different projections of the central
amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral correlates of
conditioned fear. J Neurosci 8:2517–2529. PMID: 2854842
Manuel R, Gorissen M, Piza Roca C, Zethof J, Van De Vis H, Flik G, Van Den Bos
R. 2014. Inhibitory avoidance learning in zebrafish (Danio Rerio): Effects of
shock intensity and unraveling differences in task performance. Zebrafish
11:341–352. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2013.0970, PMID: 25004302
Mueller T, Dong Z, Berberoglu MA, Guo S. 2011. The dorsal pallium in zebrafish,
Danio rerio (Cyprinidae, Teleostei). Brain Res 1381:95–105. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2010.12.089, PMID: 21219890

Nabavi S, Fox R, Proulx CD, Lin JY, Tsien RY, Malinow R. 2014. Engineering a
    memory with LTD and LTP. Nature 511:348–352. DOI:
    https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13294, PMID: 24896183
Namburi P, Beyeler A, Yorozu S, Calhoon GG, Halbert SA, Wichmann R, Holden
    SS, Mertens KL, Anahtar M, Felix-Ortiz AC, Wickersham IR, Gray JM, Tye KM.
    2015. A circuit mechanism for differentiating positive and negative
    associations. Nature 520:675–678. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14366,
    PMID: 25925480
Neo YY, Parie L, Bakker F, Snelderwaard P, Tudorache C, Schaaf M, Slabbekoorn
    H. 2015. Behavioral changes in response to sound exposure and no spatial
    avoidance of noisy conditions in captive zebrafish. Front Behav Neurosci 9:28.
    DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00028, PMID: 25741256
Olszewski J, Haehnel M, Taguchi M, Liao JC. 2012. Zebrafish larvae exhibit
    rheotaxis and can escape a continuous suction source using their lateral line.
    PLoS ONE 7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036661, PMID:
    22570735
Penzo MA, Robert V, Li B. 2014. Fear conditioning potentiates synaptic
    transmission onto long-range projection neurons in the lateral subdivision of
    central amygdala. Journal of Neuroscience 34:2432–2437. DOI:
    https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4166-13.2014, PMID: 24523533
Phillips RG, LeDoux JE. 1992. Differential contribution of amygdala and
    hippocampus to cued and contextual fear conditioning. Behav Neurosci
    106:274–285. PMID: 1590953
Portavella M, Torres B, Salas C. 2004. Avoidance response in goldfish: emotional
    and temporal involvement of medial and lateral telencephalic pallium. J
    Neurosci 24:2335–2342. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4930-
    03.2004, PMID: 14999085
Portavella Manuel, Torres B, Salas C. 2004. Avoidance Response in Goldfish:
    Emotional and Temporal Involvement of Medial and Lateral Telencephalic
    Pallium. Journal of Neuroscience 24:2335–2342. DOI:
    https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4930-03.2004, PMID: 14999085
Portavella M, Vargas JP, Torres B, Salas C. 2002. The effects of telencephalic
    pallial lesions on spatial, temporal, and emotional learning in goldfish. Brain
    Res Bull 57:397–399. PMID: 11922997
Quirk GJ, Repa C, LeDoux JE. 1995. Fear conditioning enhances short-latency
    auditory responses of lateral amygdala neurons: parallel recordings in the
    freely behaving rat. Neuron 15:1029–1039. PMID: 7576647
Quitschke WW, Lin ZY, DePonti-Zilli L, Paterson BM. 1989. The β actin promoter.
    Journal of Biological Chemistry 264:9539–9546. DOI:
    https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(18)60565-4

Rogan MT, Staubli U V, LeDoux JE. 1997. Fear conditioning induces associative long-term potentiation in the amygdala. Nature 390:604–607. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/37601, PMID: 9403688

Ruhl T, Moesbauer K, Oellers N, von der Emde G. 2015. The endocannabinoid system and associative learning and memory in zebrafish. Behavioural Brain Research 290:61–69. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2015.04.046, PMID: 25956869

Ruzicka L, Bradford YM, Frazer K, Howe DG, Paddock H, Ramachandran S, Singer A, Toro S, Van Slyke CE, Eagle AE, Fashena D, Kalita P, Knight J, Mani P, Martin R, Moxon SAT, Pich C, Schaper K, Shao X, Westerfield M. 2015. ZFIN, The zebrafish model organism database: Updates and new directions. Genesis 53:498–509. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.22868, PMID: 26097180

Smith DM, Torregrossa MM. 2021. Valence encoding in the amygdala influences motivated behavior. *Behavioural Brain Research*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2021.113370, PMID: 34051230

Sprague J, Clements D, Conlin T, Edwards P, Frazer K, Schaper K, Segerdell E, Song P, Sprunger B, Westerfield M. 2003. The Zebrafish Information Network (ZFIN): The zebrafish model organism database. *Nucleic Acids Research*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg027, PMID: 12519991

Temizer I, Donovan JC, Baier H, Semmelhack JL. 2015. A Visual Pathway for Looming-Evoked Escape in Larval Zebrafish. Curr Biol 25:1823–1834. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.06.002, PMID: 26119746

Truong T V, Supatto W, Koos DS, Choi JM, Fraser SE. 2011. Deep and fast live imaging with two-photon scanned light-sheet microscopy. Nat Methods 8:757–760. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1652, PMID: 21765409

Tye KM. 2018. Neural Circuit Motifs in Valence Processing. *Neuron*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.001, PMID: 30359607

Tye KM, Prakash R, Kim SY, Fenno LE, Grosenick L, Zarabi H, Thompson KR, Gradinaru V, Ramakrishnan C, Deisseroth K. 2011. Amygdala circuitry mediating reversible and bidirectional control of anxiety. Nature 471:358–362. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09820, PMID: 21389985

Uchida D, Yamashita M, Kitano T, Iguchi T. 2002. Oocyte apoptosis during the transition from ovary-like tissue to testes during sex differentiation of juvenile zebrafish. J Exp Biol 205:711–718. PMID: 11914381

Vladimirov N, Wang C, Höckendorf B, Pujala A, Tanimoto M, Mu Y, Yang CT, Wittenbach JD, Freeman J, Preibisch S, Koyama M, Keller PJ, Ahrens MB. 2018. Brain-wide circuit interrogation at the cellular level guided by online analysis of neuronal function. Nature Methods 15:1117–1125. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0221-x, PMID: 30504888

798   von Trotha JW, Vernier P, Bally-Cuif L. 2014. Emotions and motivated behavior
799   converge on an amygdala-like structure in the zebrafish. European Journal of
800   Neuroscience 40:3302–3315. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12692, PMID:
801   25145867
802   Xiu J, Zhang Q, Zhou T, Zhou TT, Chen Y, Hu H. 2014. Visualizing an emotional
803   valence map in the limbic forebrain by TAI-FISH. Nature Neuroscience
804   17:1552–1559. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3813, PMID: 25242305
805   Zhang X, Li B. 2018. Population coding of valence in the basolateral amygdala.
806   Nature Communications 9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07679-9,
807   PMID: 30518754
808

Figure 1 Neuronal activation in response to IR heating and electric shock

(**A**) Experimental setup with an infrared (IR) laser aimed at a 7 dpf larval zebrafish to deliver a heat stimulus.

(**B**) Heat maps of GCaMP fluorescence from a representative fish averaged over 5 presentations of IR heating. Enhanced activity is displayed in rostrolateral (lRL, rRL) and ventromedial (lVm, rVm) areas of the pallium, and habenula (lHb, rHb) in coronal and dorsal views post-laser exposure.

(**C**) Timelapse of average neuronal activation in response to exposure to the IR laser in the forebrain of a representative zebrafish. Each square corresponds to an area outlined in the dorsal view in (B). Brain regions where activity measurements were taken are outlined with dotted lines. Background activity was subtracted from all images.

(**D**) Region-specific ΔF/F activity traces from a representative fish, averaged across 5 trials, display pronounced increases in all measured areas following IR laser exposure.

(**E**) Analysis of the area under the curve (AUC; 5 frames, 6.65 seconds) ΔF/F for each fish for each brain region outlined in (**B**, **C**). Mean ± SEM for n=5 fish.

(**F**) Illustration of electric shock delivery to a 7 dpf larval zebrafish.

(**G**) Heat maps from a representative fish averaged over 5 presentations of electric shock. Enhanced activity is displayed in rostrolateral (lRL, rRL) and ventromedial (lVm, rVm) areas of the pallium, and habenula (lHb, rHb) in coronal and dorsal views post-laser exposure.

(**H**) Timelapse of average neuronal activation in response to exposure to electric shock in the forebrain of a representative zebrafish. Each square corresponds to an area outlined in the dorsal view in (G). Brain regions where activity measurements were taken are outlined with dotted lines. Background activity was subtracted from all images.

(**I**) Region-specific ΔF/F activity traces from a representative fish, averaged across 5 trials, display pronounced increases in all measured areas following electric shock.

(**J**) Analysis of the area under the curve (AUC; 4 frames, 5.32 seconds) ΔF/F for each fish for each brain region outlined in (G, H). Mean ± SEM for n=5 fish.

One-way t-test was used for all statistical comparisons.

Figure 2. Response of neuronal components to noxious heat stimuli and electric shock.

(A) Neuronal component ΔF/F traces from an example fish as determined by CaImAn reveal a diverse response upon IR stimulation at the vertical dotted line. Red denotes activation (increase in activity ≥ 50% of background DF/F); blue, inhibition (decrease in activity ≤ -50% of background DF/F); Black, neither. Order is determined by z-score (μ/σ), which is a measure of the magnitude and consistency of the response.

(**B**) Neuronal component ΔF/F traces as in (A) in response to electric shock at the vertical dotted line.

(**C**) Locations of activated (small red dots) and inhibited (small blue dots) neuronal components in response to heating with an IR laser for all fish tested. Large dots are centers of mass of corresponding neuronal components. Pie graphs (inset) show the number of activated (red) inhibited (blue), and unresponsive (grey) neuronal components.

(**D**) Same as (C), but for neurons that respond to electric shock

Figure 3. Neuronal activation in response to full and partial looming stimuli.

(**A**) Schematic of a 7 dpf zebrafish subjected to a full-looming disc stimulus.

(**B**) Neuronal activation in a representative zebrafish immediately before and after a full looming stimulus, averaged over 5 presentations, shows an increase in average activity across all brain areas previously found to be activated by noxious stimuli (rHb, lHb, rRl, lRl, rVm, lVm).

(**C**) Timelapse of heatmaps for the six areas outlined in (B) shows an abrupt increase in activity that commences with the full-looming stimulus.

(**D**) Region-specific ΔF/F activity traces from a representative fish, averaged across 5 trials, display pronounced increases in all measured areas following IR laser exposure.

(**E**) Mean increase above background ± SEM for ΔF/F A.U.C. for 5 frames, 6.65 seconds for each fish for each brain region outlined in (B)

(**F**) Schematic of a 7 dpf zebrafish subjected to a partial-looming disc stimulus.

(**G**) Neuronal activation in a representative zebrafish immediately before and after a partial looming stimulus, averaged over 3 presentations.

(**H**) Time-lapse of heatmaps for the six areas outlined in (B) shows an increase in activity in the Hb and Vm regions, but no significant change in activity in the Rl region. Activity in Hb and Vm was sustained for over 10 seconds.

(**I**) Region-specific ΔF/F and activity traces from a representative fish, averaged across 3 trials, display significant activity increases in Hb and Vm, but not in Rl, in response to the partial looming stimulus.

(**J**) Mean increase above background ± SEM for ΔF/F after the partial-looming stimulus (12 frames, ~16 seconds).

All statistical comparisons made with one-way t-test.

Figure 4. Response of neuronal components to full and partial looming stimuli.

(**A**) Neuronal component ΔF/F traces for an exemplary fish in response to a full looming stimulus at the vertical dotted line, averaged over 5 presentations. Red denotes activation (increase in activity ≥ 50% of background ΔF/F); blue, inhibition (decrease in activity ≤ -50% of background ΔF/F); black, neither. Order is determined by z-score (μ/σ), which is a measure of the magnitude and consistency of the response.

(**B**) Neuronal activity as in (A) in response to a partial looming stimulus averaged over 3 presentations in an exemplary fish. Activated and inhibited traces are seen in Hb and Vm, but not in Rl.

(**C**) Locations of neurons that are activated (small red dots) and inhibited (small blue dots) in response to a full looming stimulus for all fish tested. Large dots are centers of mass of corresponding neuronal components. Pie graphs (inset) show the number of activated (red), inhibited (blue), and unresponsive (grey) neuronal components.

(**D**) Same as (C) in response to a partial looming stimulus for all fish tested.

Figure 5. Neuronal activation in response to vibration, a loud noise, and light.

(**A**) Schematic of a 7 dpf zebrafish subjected to vibration.

(**B**) Neuronal activation in a representative zebrafish immediately before and after a vibration stimulus, averaged over 5 presentations, shows an increase in average activity across Hb and Vm, but not Rl.

(**C**) Timelapse of heatmaps for areas outlined in (B) show an increase in activity that commences with the initiation of tapping and grows until 14 s after cessation of tapping in Hb. In Vm the activity increases during the tapping stimulus and decreases abruptly after cessation. There is no increase in activity in Rl.

(**D**) Schematic of a 7 dpf zebrafish subjected to the blast of an air horn.

(**E**) Neuronal activation in a representative zebrafish immediately before and after an air horn blast, averaged over 5 presentations, shows an increase in average activity across Hb and Vm, but not Rl.

(**F**) Timelapse of heatmaps for areas outlined in (E) show an increase in activity that commences with the onset of the loud noise in Hb and Vm and lasts for over 10 s. There is no increase in activity in Rl.

(**G**) Schematic of a 7 dpf zebrafish that is first equilibrated to darkness, then exposed to light for 4 s and then returned to darkness.

(**H**) Neuronal activation in a representative zebrafish immediately before and after transitioning from light to darkness, averaged over 5 presentations shows an increase in average activity across Hb and Vm, but not Rl.

(**I**) Timelapse of heatmaps for areas outlined in (H) shows an increase in activity in Hb and Vm that commences ~ 2 s after the onset of darkness and lasts for over 5 s. There is no increase in activity in Rl.

Figure 6 Response of total ΔF/F within different regions to vibration, a loud noise, and light

(**A**) Average ΔF/F activity traces within specific regions from a representative fish, averaged across 5 trials in response to a tapping stimulus.

(**B**) Activity rates for 6 fish before and after the tapping stimulus. Significant increases in activity were seen in rHb, lVm, and rVm, but not in lHb and Rl.

(**C**) Average ΔF/F activity traces within specific regions (AUC; 7 frames, 9.31 seconds) from a representative fish, averaged across 5 trials in response to a blast from an airhorn.

(**D**) Responses to a blast from an airhorn from 4 fish. Significant increases in activity were seen in HB and Vm, but not in Rl.

(**E**) Average ΔF/F activity traces within specific regions from a representative fish, averaged across 5 trials in response to a light-to-dark transition.

(**F**) Responses to a light-to-dark transition from 6 fish. Significant increases in activity were seen in rHB and lVm, but not in lHb, rVm, and Rl.

Figure 7 Response of neuronal components to vibration, a loud noise, and light

(**A**) Neuronal component ΔF/F traces of a representative fish in response to tapping at the vertical dotted line. Red denotes activation (increase in activity ≥ 50% of background ΔF/F); blue, inhibition (decrease in activity ≤ -50% of background ΔF/F); black, neither. Order is determined by z-score (μ/σ), which measures the magnitude and consistency of the response. In Hb and Vm, the responses of neuronal components to all three stimuli tend to be better defined than those in Rl.

(**B, C**) Neuronal component ΔF/F traces as in (A), but in response to the blast of an airhorn (B) or a transition from light to dark (C) at the vertical dotted line.

(**D-F**) Locations of neuronal components in Hb, Rl, and Vm. Small puncta mark locations where neuronal components respond by increasing (red) or decreasing (blue) activity in response to tapping (D), a blast from an airhorn (E) or a transition from light to dark (F). Large dots represent centers of mass of corresponding neuronal components. Pie graphs (inset) show the number of activated (red), inhibited (blue), and unresponsive (grey) neuronal components. Note that in Rl, inhibited neurons dramatically outnumber activated neurons, whereas in Hb and Vm, the proportion of activated components are roughly equal to or greater than that of inhibited components.

Figure 8 RI is activated by highly threatening stimuli but not by mildly threatening stimuli.

The ratio of activated to inhibited neuronal components (A/I) in RI is greater than 1 for highly threatening stimuli but less than 1 for mildly aversive stimuli. In contrast, in Hb and Vm, the A/I ration is greater than one for all aversive stimuli.

Figure 9 Cells expressing tiam2a become active when exposed to IR heating.

(**A**) 7 dpf Tg[Tiam2a::GFP] larval zebrafish exposed 10 times to heating with an IR laser and then immunostained for pERK to label active neurons. Virtually all GFP-positive neurons were co-labeled with pERK, suggesting that Tiam2a-positive cells are activated by a painful stimulus.

(**B**) Close-up of the area bordered by the blue rectangle in (A).

(**C**) 7 dpf Tg[Tiam2a::GFP] larval zebrafish control that was not exposed to heating showed very little overlap between GFP labeling and labeling for pERK.

(**D**) Closeup of the area bordered by the blue rectangle in (C).

(**E**) Average intensity of pERK immunostaining in Tiam2a+ cells, with background (measured in the white rectangle in (A)) subtracted, for fish exposed to IR heating (n = 6) and control fish (n = 8). Significantly more labeling was found in fish exposed to heating (P = 0.0009, t-test) vs. in control fish.

(**F**) Tg[Tiam2a::GFP] fish showing cell bodies concentrated in the rostrolateral area and local axonal connections.