Engineered symbiont biosensor maps micron-scale sugar gradients in the

honeybee gut

Audam Chhun[1,*], Andrew Quinn[1], Théodora Steiner[1], Florian Zoppi[1], Thi Huong Giang

Nguyen[1], Philipp Engel[1,*], Yolanda Schaerli[1,*]

[1] Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

* Corresponding authors: audam.chhun@unil.ch, philipp.engel@unil.ch,

yolanda.schaerli@unil.ch

Keywords: biosensor, carbohydrate, gut microbiota, *Snodgrassella alvi*, *Apis mellifera*

Abstract

The honeybee gut microbiota plays a key role in shaping host health and

susceptibility to disease. Yet, the nutrient environment it experiences within the gut

remains poorly characterized. In particular, little is known about the spatial distribution of

nutrients across this community, as resolving such fine gradients *in vivo* has been

technically challenging. Here, we engineer the native honeybee symbiont *Snodgrassella*

alvi as a living biosensor to quantify the bioavailability of the dietary sugar arabinose

within the gut. By expanding the genetic toolkit for *S. alvi* through chromosomal

integration of high-burden genes and a suite of low-strength promoters, we achieve

stable multi-gene expression without compromising host colonization. The resulting

biosensor generates a specific, dose-dependent response to arabinose *in situ*, enabling

visualization of sugar gradients across gut-associated bacterial biofilms at micron-scale

resolution. Using this system, we show that diet-derived arabinose distribution is highly

heterogeneous and can be influenced by the metabolic activity of co-colonizing

Gilliamella species. These findings highlight how diet composition and microbial

specialization generate fine-scale microenvironments within the gut. More broadly, this

work further establishes *S. alvi* as a genetically tractable platform for *in situ* probing of

microbial metabolism and nutrient distribution.

Introduction

The honeybee serves as a tractable model to study host-microbe interactions, as

their gut harbors a simple, yet specialized bacterial community[1–3]. This microbiota exerts

remarkable effects on its host, influencing nutrition[4,5], pathogen resistance[6], immunity[7],

detoxification[8], behavior[9,10] and cognitive development[11]. Chemical cues from both host

and bacteria structure the community and govern these host-microbe and microbe-

microbe interactions[12–14]. More specifically, it is the micron-scale distribution of those

metabolites, rather than average levels, that dictates niche occupancy, cross-feeding and

host phenotypes[15–17]. However, the gut chemical landscape remains challenging to

spatially resolve *in situ*: the gastrointestinal tract is a highly dynamic, poorly accessible

system with strong spatial heterogeneity.

Microsensors have profiled steep radial oxygen gradients and longitudinal pH

variations at millimeter resolution in the bee gut[14]. In contrast, dietary carbohydrates,

abundant from pollen and nectar, remain largely unmapped at comparable spatial scales,

despite their central role in host health and disease[18,19], and in the metabolic interplay of

gut symbionts[4,20]. Current insight into localized sugar bioavailability is limited to

demonstrations of carbohydrate breakdown in the stomach[21], absorption in the midgut[22]

and to bulk chromatography- and mass spectrometry-based measurements that average

signals across gut compartments[12–14]. Such approaches lose spatial context and ignore the

important micro-scale gradients that steer microbial colonization. Tools capable of

resolving carbohydrates *in vivo* with high spatial precision are therefore critical to

advance our understanding of gut microbiota function within its native context.

Some progress toward spatial gut chemistry has been made in mice[23] and some

select invertebrates[24,25] but have not been applied to insect models to the best of our

knowledge. For instance, spatial host-microbe sequencing and correlative mass

spectrometry imaging with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization have recently allowed

unprecedented characterization of the mouse gut microenvironments[23,26,27]. Yet, these

approaches often have limited sensitivity and resolution for small sugars, while requiring

costly instrumentation and low throughput workflows. Another strategy is to deploy

engineered symbionts as live biosensors to provide fine-scale, sensitive mapping of

relevant metabolites directly in the gut[28,29]. In honeybees, we previously engineered the

core symbiont *Snodgrassella alvi* to respond to the synthetic inducer IPTG, demonstrating

the feasibility of cell-based sensing in this system and laying the groundwork for

developing biosensors for ecologically relevant carbohydrates in the bee gut

environment[30].

Previous studies identified *Gilliamella* and *Bifidobacterium* as the principal

degraders of complex carbohydrates in the bee gut[5]. *Gilliamella apicola* co-localizes with

S. alvi in layered biofilms, suggesting metabolic crosstalk between these species[31].

Comparative genomic and metabolomic analysis indicate that they possess

complementary metabolic abilities, whereby *G. apicola* deconstructs pollen glycans and

ferments released sugars to organic acids that *S. alvi* consumes, in turn potentially

allowing it to deplete oxygen and establish an anaerobic environment for the broader

community[12,13,32]. Within this carbohydrate pool, arabinose is particularly interesting as

high levels can be toxic to bees and only a subset of *Gilliamella* strains can catabolize

it[5,33]. This sugar is commonly present in the bee diet, occurring in both nectar and

pollen[34,35]. As such, arabinose represents a compelling target molecule for probing

nutrient availability and interspecies metabolic coupling.

Here, we expand genetic engineering capacity in *S. alvi* by introducing synthetic

promoters for fine control of complex circuits and a streamlined method for chromosomal

integration of high-burden genes, enabling *S. alvi* to function as a living biosensor for the

dietary sugar arabinose. The engineered strain shows a robust dose-dependent response

in vitro and *in vivo*, and resolves micron-scale variation in arabinose within the gut. In co-

colonization with *Gilliamella* strains that differ in carbohydrate metabolism, it reports

arabinose consumption in a controlled diet. Leveraging its sensitivity, we uncover strong

radial heterogeneity in the spatial distribution of arabinose derived from pollen

degradation, resulting in nutrient gradients poised to structure the bee gut community.

Together, these results establish engineered symbionts as powerful *in situ* reporters of

metabolite bioavailability in the honeybee gut.

Results

Engineering the honeybee symbiont *S. alvi* as a biosensor for arabinose. Genetic

analyses previously demonstrated that *S. alvi* lacks genes for import or utilization of

carbohydrates as a carbon source[32]. To enable *S. alvi* to act as a biosensor for arabinose,

we set out to genetically reprogram it to both import and respond to this sugar *via* the

expression of a fluorescent protein (**Fig. 1a**).

To confer *S. alvi* arabinose import capability, we engineered cells to express AraE,

a membrane protein responsible for arabinose transport in *Escherichia coli*[36]. Membrane

proteins are difficult to express heterologously and prone to acquire mutations due to

potential toxicity, requiring tight regulation of gene expression to maintain production at

low levels[37,38]. In *S. alvi*, the few promoters that were available only result in high gene

expression, while functional plasmid replication origins replicate in medium-to-high copy

number, which together often cause instability in genetic circuits[30,39]. To address this

limitation, we began by characterizing several synthetic promoters that, although

previously published[40,41], had not been evaluated in *S. alvi*. We identified the CP6

promoter as promising, with respectively 70% and 50% less activity than the CP25 and

PA3 promoters typically used for engineering of this species[30,42] (**Supplementary Fig. 1**).

We then aimed to integrate the *araE* gene, driven

Figure 1. An engineered *S. alvi* strain detects and reports arabinose *in vitro* in a dose-dependent manner. (a) Overview of the genetic engineering carried out in the bee gut symbiont *S. alvi* to acquire arabinose import and sensing capabilities. The *araE* arabinose importer gene was integrated into the genome at the *tetC* locus, enabling arabinose import. Arrows filled with a dotted pattern represent neighboring genes flanking the insertion site and their gene names (SALWKB2_0694 and SALWKB2_0696) are shown above. The dual plasmid system pAC51, based on AraC-mediated regulation of the new synthetic arabinose-inducible promoter pBAD25, enabling arabinose sensing is also depicted. **(b)** Engineered *S. alvi* respond to arabinose by expressing GFP. Top-view images of bacterial lawns inoculated from 10 μl of cells (OD $\sim$ 0.5) and grown on solid media for 3 days, with (+) or without (-) 13 mM arabinose. Dotted-line outlines the shape of a non-fluorescent bacterial lawn. Photos were captured with a Fusion FX device (F-740 filter) using identical exposure times. (c) Variant *S. alvi* strains engineered for arabinose sensing display distinct response profiles. The graph shows mean GFP fluorescence values from 5 biological replicates. Each replicate value was obtained from the average fluorescence of at least 9,000 bacteria measured by flow cytometry. The data lines are color-coded by strain, with the corresponding strain names (ac02-ac06) shown above the plot. Mean fluorescence fold-change relative to the uninduced condition is indicated in the same color. Overview of genetic modifications for each strain can be found in Supplementary Fig. 3C.

by the CP6 promoter, into the *S. alvi* genome to minimize its copy number per cell. The

previously reported example of targeted genome modification in *S. alvi* used a

homologous recombination-based method to insert simple low-burden fluorescent

reporters[43]. To achieve stable genomic integration of the membrane-protein encoding

araE gene, we built upon this approach by developing a suite of standardized primers

and plasmids containing different antibiotic resistance cassettes, allowing

straightforward and versatile gene integration in *S. alvi* (**Supplementary Fig. 2a**). The

constructs, referred to as suicide plasmids, are based on the conditional R6K origin of

replication, which functions exclusively in strains expressing the *pir* gene. As a result,

antibiotic-resistant *S. alvi* colonies can only arise through integration of the insert

fragment, rather than replication of residual plasmids originating from the initial PCR

reaction. We used these plasmids to pair the homology arms with an antibiotic cassette

(kanR) and combined them in an overlap PCR with *araE* to generate a linear fragment for

the *araE* knock-in. This strategy circumvented DNA replication in *E. coli*, thereby avoiding

potential *araE*-associated toxicity and minimizing mutation risk (see Materials and

Methods and **Supplementary Fig. 2b**). Ultimately, using this optimized genome

engineering pipeline, we successfully integrated a genetically stable copy of the *araE*

gene into the genome of *S. alvi* (**Fig. 1a**).

Next, to enable *S. alvi* to detect and respond to imported arabinose, we

engineered a dual plasmid system in which AraC-mediated regulation drives GFP

expression in response to the sugar (**Fig. 1a**). In this design, *araC* and *gfp* are encoded on

separate plasmids, as we previously found that multi-gene constructs are genetically

unstable and prone to mutations in *S. alvi*[30]. As the commonly used pBAD arabinose-

inducible promoter is nonfunctional in this species, we designed pBAD25, a synthetic

promoter variant functional in *S. alvi*, to control GFP expression (**Fig. 1a**; **Supplementary**

Fig. 3a). *S. alvi* was able to respond to the presence of arabinose when engineered with

both heterologous expression of the chromosomal *araE* gene and the synthetic pBAD25

promoter (**Fig. 1b; Supplementary Fig. 3b**). We then asked how key parameters, such as

AraC and AraE expression levels, as well as plasmid copy number, could modulate the

cells' response to arabinose. For this, we constructed four *S. alvi* strains carrying

variations of our genetic circuits and quantified their responses to a range of arabinose

concentrations (**Supplementary Fig. 3c**). Each strain displayed a distinct sugar-response

profile characterized by differences in dynamic range, sensitivity and basal leakiness

(**Fig. 1c**). Among these, the strain *S. alvi* ac02 exhibited the highest dynamic range and

sensitivity, with fluorescence increasing up to 10.4-fold upon exposure to 6.7 mM

arabinose relative to uninduced conditions, while maintaining low levels of basal

leakiness. Briefly, the strain carries a chromosomally integrated copy of the *araE* importer

driven by the low-strength promoter CP6, while the dual plasmid system consists of a

pTF-FC2-based plasmid bearing *araC* under constitutive expression from CP25 and a

second RSF1010-based plasmid expressing GFP under the control of the inducible

promoter pBAD25 (**Fig. 1a**). Based on these results, we concluded that *S. alvi* ac02 was a

promising candidate to sense and respond in a dose-dependent manner to arabinose and

pursued our work with this strain.

***In vitro* characterization of the biosensor strain *S. alvi* ac02.** Having engineered *S. alvi*

to detect and respond to arabinose, we next sought to characterize *in vitro* the strain's

properties relevant to its function as a gut biosensor. We examined (*i*) the specificity of

the response to arabinose; (*ii*) the cell sensitivity to ecologically relevant sugar

concentrations, and (*iii*) the stability of the genetic modifications in the absence of

selection pressure.

The engineered strain needed to distinguish arabinose from structurally related

sugars. The honeybee diet is indeed remarkably rich in carbohydrates, including the

pentose monosaccharides xylose, ribose and arabinose[33]. These sugars are stereoisomers

that differ only in the spatial arrangement of a hydroxyl group. To test if our biosensor

responds specifically to arabinose, we grew cells in media supplemented with 0.7 mM of

either ribose, xylose or arabinose, and measured their fluorescence levels (**Fig. 2a**). The

strain responded selectively to arabinose, with a 6.9-fold increase in GFP signal, while

exposure to ribose or xylose resulted in fluorescence levels comparable to the uninduced

condition. These results indicate that the engineered strain *S. alvi* ac02 provides a

specific and reliable readout of arabinose in the presence of structurally related

carbohydrates that are found in the bee diet.

Figure 2. *In vitro* **characterization of the biosensor *S. alvi* ac02 properties. (a)** *S. alvi* ac02 responds specifically to arabinose. Cells were grown for 3 days in TSB alone (-) or in TSB supplemented with 0.7 mM of ribose (rib), xylose (xyl) or arabinose (ara). Bar plots show mean of GFP fluorescence ± standard deviation of 5 biological replicates. Each replicate value was obtained from the average fluorescence of at least 9,000 bacteria measured by flow cytometry. **(b)** Pollen-derived arabinose concentrations in bee guts. Bees were fed with sugar water and pollen. They were either microbiota-depleted (MD) or colonized (CB) for 7 days prior to dissection and arabinose quantification. Box plots show median arabinose concentrations measured by GC-MS and normalized with the average volume of a bee gut (n = 8). **(c)** Maintenance of arabinose-inducible plasmids pAC51b and pAC51c in *S. alvi* ac02 in the absence of antibiotic selection. Each replicate represents a 3-day liquid culture grown in TSB with ampicillin and spectinomycin (+ab), TSB alone (-ab) or TSB with arabinose (-ab+ara). Plasmid maintenance was calculated as the fraction of CFUs growing on TSA with both antibiotics (*i.e.* cells bearing the two plasmids pAC51b and pAC51c) relative to total CFUs on non-selective TSA. Box plots show median plasmid maintenance of 10 biological replicates.

We then asked whether the biosensor could respond to sugar concentrations

representative of those present in the honeybee gut after pollen digestion. To determine

these levels, we dissected guts from pollen-fed, microbiota-deprived (MD) or colonized

bees (CB), homogenized them in 500 μL sterile PBS, and analyzed them by mass

spectrometry (**Supplementary Fig. 4a**). To convert mass spectrometry values into

realistic *in vivo* concentrations, we estimated the average honeybee gut volume, by

measuring the mass loss of water after desiccation, to be 19.1 $\pm$ 4.7 μl (see Materials and

Methods and **Supplementary Fig. 4b**). Using this normalization for the gut volume,

arabinose concentrations averaged 2.2 $\pm$ 1.1 mM in MD bee guts, and 0.0722 $\pm$ 0.0164

mM in CB bee guts (n = 8; **Fig. 2b**). This range falls within the detection window of the

engineered *S. alvi* strain ac02 (**Fig. 1c**), suggesting it can report variations in arabinose

concentrations of both extremes: high concentrations in the absence of microbial

metabolism (*i.e.* MD bees) and reduced, ecologically relevant levels when the native gut

community consumes arabinose (*i.e.* CB bees).

Next, we examined the stability of the genetic circuits in the absence of

antibiotics. While part of the biosensor design was chromosomally integrated, we

hypothesized that plasmid-borne elements might be lost under non-selective conditions,

as expected in the natural gut environment[30]. To test this, we grew *S. alvi* ac02 in liquid

tryptic soy broth (TSB) medium with antibiotics (+ab), without antibiotics (-ab), or

without antibiotics and arabinose supplementation (-ab+ara; *i.e.* with the circuit

activated) and then isolated them onto selective and non-selective solid media. We

quantified plasmid maintenance as the fraction of bacteria retaining both plasmids (**Fig.**

2c). Under antibiotic pressure, plasmids were stably maintained whereas in its absence

maintenance declined to 41.7 $\pm$ 5.8 % (-ab) and 34.4 $\pm$ 5.3 % (-ab+ara). We found that loss

was specific to the *araC*-bearing plasmid pAC51b while the *gfp*-bearing plasmid pAC51c

remained stable (**Supplementary Fig. 5a**). Despite this reduction, the absolute number of

plasmid-bearing cells remained consequential even when they were exposed to

arabinose, with an average of 4.3 10^7 $\pm$ 5.3 10^6 CFU ml^{-1} (n = 10; **Supplementary Fig. 5b**),

suggesting that enough bacterial biosensors should remain functional in the gut

environment.

Biosensor-based mapping of arabinose distribution in the honeybee gut. Building on the *in vitro* demonstration of the biosensor's potential, we next evaluated its performance *in vivo*. Specifically, we focused on whether the engineered strain could establish in the honeybee gut, sense arabinose *in situ* and provide spatial information on sugar availability.

First, we assessed the biosensor ability to colonize the honeybee gut. For this, microbiota-deprived bees were either mono-colonized with the engineered strain *S. alvi* ac02 and fed sugar water with (+ab) or without antibiotics (-ab), or co-colonized with the biosensor and a native undefined community derived from gut homogenate that includes wild-type *S. alvi* (-ab+gc; **Fig. 3a**). We monitored colonization dynamics by quantifying bacterial loads in fecal samples over time. Results showed that even in the absence of antibiotic selection, colonization was highly successful: 100% of mono-colonized bees (25 of 25 bees) and 95.6% of co-colonized bees (22 of 23 bees) harbored the biosensor after 7 days, with mean bacterial loads of $4.3 \ 10^5 \pm 5.7 \ 10^5$ CFU μl^{-1} and $6.0 \ 10^3 \pm 9.2 \ 10^3$ CFU μl^{-1}, respectively. However, biosensor abundance declined by day 14, most notably in co-colonized bees, whereas mono-colonized individuals remained colonized albeit to lower bacterial loads ($5.6 \ 10^2 \pm 2.3 \ 10^3$ CFU μl^{-1}, 68.2% of bee colonized).

Having confirmed successful colonization of the honeybee gut, we next investigated the biosensor capacity to sense arabinose *in vivo*. To quantify the *in vivo* response of our biosensor, we mono-colonized bees with *S. alvi* ac02 and fed them sugar water containing varying concentrations of arabinose. We dissected guts and imaged them by confocal microscopy. A low basal level of GFP expression in the absence of arabinose enabled straightforward identification of the biosensor bacteria, even without induction. We confirmed that the engineered strain successfully colonized the honeybee gut and formed biofilms that could be readily visualized (**Fig. 3b**). We then quantified

227   fluorescence intensity with a custom FIJI pipeline that automatically defined the biofilm

228   region. The biosensor strain displayed a

Figure 3. Engineered biosensor enables high-resolution mapping of arabinose in the honeybee gut. (a) Bacterial load of engineered *S. alvi* ac02 cells isolated from bee feces collected 7 (orange) and 14 (red) days after colonization. Bees were either mono-colonized with the biosensor strain and fed sugar water supplemented with (+ab) or without (−ab) antibiotics; or co-colonized with the biosensor strain and the native gut community (-ab+gc). Bees for which engineered bacteria were not detected in the feces were considered non-colonized. The rate of colonized bees (r) and the total number of individuals sampled (n) are indicated. For colonized bees, colored horizontal bars show median values with interquartile ranges. **(b)** A confocal microscopy image of a honeybee gut colonized by engineered *S. alvi* ac02 in the ileum region. A

bacterial biofilm within a gut crypt is shown. The blue channel depicts DAPI staining of host and bacterial DNA and the green channel shows GFP fluorescence from the engineered strain. **(c)** *S. alvi* ac02 responds in a dose dependent manner to arabinose *in vivo*. Box plot shows median value of GFP fluorescence of bacterial biofilms imaged from the gut of bees fed sugar water supplemented with indicated concentrations of arabinose (n = 10). Each fluorescence value corresponds to the average intensity measured from 3 gut sections. Different letters indicate significant differences between arabinose concentrations with adjusted *p*-value < 0.1 (Tukey HSD test) **(d)** Stereo microscopy image of a honeybee gut. The different gut regions are indicated and separated by white dotted lines. Scale bar represents 5 mm. **(e)** Sugar derived from a simple diet is homogeneously distributed along the longitudinal axis of the honeybee gut. Box plots show median value of GFP fluorescence of *S. alvi* ac02 biofilms found in different gut regions sourced from bees fed sugar water supplemented with varying concentrations of arabinose (n = 10). The regions considered were the anterior (ant) region adjoining the midgut, the mid-ileum (mid) and the posterior (post) region adjoining the rectum. **(f)** Schematic of the microscopy rationale for sugar distribution analysis across bacterial biofilm. **(g)** Sugar derived from a simple diet distributes along a gradient across the depth of *S. alvi* ac02 biofilm. Graph shows mean GFP fluorescence ± SEM of the biosensor according to the biofilm depth. For each bee (n = 10), GFP signal was first averaged over the three ileum regions. The graph displays the average of these per-bee means. Only fluorescence values for which at least two replicates could be measured for a given depth are reported.

231 clear dose-dependent response to arabinose, with fluorescence increasing significantly

232 with higher arabinose concentrations (**Fig. 3c**).

233   With colonization and dose-dependent sensing demonstrated, we next examined

234 whether the biosensor could resolve spatial variations in sugar availability both

235 longitudinally (*i.e.* along the length of the gut) and transversally (*i.e.* across the gut). To

236 assess longitudinal variation, fluorescence was measured in three distinct regions of the

237 ileum: the anterior region adjoining the midgut, the mid-ileum and the posterior region

238 adjoining the rectum (**Fig. 3d**). The biosensor reported fluorescence intensities consistent

239 with the arabinose concentrations fed to the host but exhibited no longitudinal variation,

240 with similar fluorescence levels across all three regions for a given sugar condition (**Fig.**

241 **3e**). These results indicate that arabinose is homogeneously distributed along the ileum's

242 length.

Having established longitudinal uniformity, we next asked whether arabinose

availability varied transversally (*i.e.* across the bacterial biofilm on the host epithelium).

We hypothesized that micro-scale nutrient gradients exist in these biofilms, because cells

located closer to the lumen typically experience higher nutrient access, while those

deeper in the biofilm encounter reduced concentration[44,45]. To test whether our biosensor

could resolve such fine-scale gradients, we performed confocal imaging with 1 μm depth

increments, tracking biofilms of the engineered cells from their epithelial attachment sites

to the gut lumen (**Fig. 3f, Supplementary Fig. 6**). In bees fed no arabinose, fluorescence

remained uniform across the biofilm depth, whereas a progressive increase in

fluorescence toward the lumen was observed in bees fed 10 and 100 μM arabinose,

revealing a sugar gradient within the biofilm (**Fig. 3g**). Notably, in bees fed 1,000 μM

arabinose, the fluorescence signal was evenly distributed throughout the biofilm,

consistent with sugar saturation within the biofilm matrix. Together, these results

validate the *S. alvi* biosensor as a *in vivo* reporter capable of resolving transversal sugar

gradients within gut biofilms, while revealing longitudinal uniformity along the ileum.

***In vivo* mapping of pollen-derived arabinose gradients in bees colonized with**

Gilliamella. After establishing that engineered *S. alvi* resolves *in vivo* arabinose gradients

under a simplified diet, we used it to probe the spatial distribution of pollen-derived

sugar during co-colonization with members of the genus *Gilliamella*.

Genomic analysis suggests divergent arabinose metabolism between the strains

G. apis ESL169 and *G. apicola* ESL309. The former lacks genes involved in arabinose

import and catabolism, whereas the latter has the gene to use this sugar as a carbon

source. To visualize strains *in situ*, both *Gilliamella* strains were tagged with a plasmid

encoding for the fluorescent reporter E2-crimson, allowing to discriminate the cells from

GFP-expressing *S. alvi*. We co-colonized honeybees with the *S. alvi* biosensor and either

G. apis ESL169 or *G. apicola* ESL309 (**Fig. 4a**), housed the bees in cup-cages and fed them

 one of three diets: (*i*) simple sucrose sugar water (SW), (*ii*) SW supplemented with

271 arabinose or (*iii*) SW and pollen *ad libitum*. After 7 days, we dissected the guts and

272 imaged them by confocal microscopy. Image analysis confirmed that both the *S. alvi*

273 engineered strain and *Gilliamella* could successfully colonize the honeybee gut and co-

274 localized in the ileum as layered biofilms (**Fig. 4b**).

Figure 4. Biosensor mapping reveals heterogenous arabinose gradients from pollen degradation. (a) Schematic outline of the *in vivo* experiment workflow. **(b)** Confocal microscopy images of a honeybee ileum co-colonized by *S. alvi* ac02 and *G. apis* ESL169 (left) or *G. apicola* ESL309 (right). The blue channel depicts DAPI staining of host and bacterial DNA. The green channel shows GFP fluorescence from the engineered *S. alvi* strain. Red channel shows E2-crimson fluorescence from the *Gilliamella* strains transformed with the pAC09 plasmid. Scale bar represents 100 *μ*m. **(c)** *G. apicola* ESL309 catabolizes arabinose whereas *G. apis* ESL169

does not. Box plot shows median value of GFP fluorescence of *S. alvi* biofilms imaged from the gut of bees fed sugar water (-) or sugar water supplemented with 210 μM arabinose (ara). Each fluorescence value corresponds to the overall mean intensity averaged from 3 sections (anterior, mid-ileum, posterior) of one gut and across the depth of the bacterial biofilm for each section. Different letters indicate significant differences in fluorescence intensities across colonization and diet conditions, with adjusted *p*-value < 0.05 (Tukey HSD test). **(d)** Distribution of pollen-derived arabinose is longitudinally uniform along the ileum. Truncated violin plot shows median value of GFP fluorescence quantified from *S. alvi* biofilms imaged in the gut of bees fed sugar water (-) or sugar water and pollen *ad libitum* (pollen). Each fluorescence value corresponds to the mean intensity averaged across the depth of the bacterial biofilm for each gut section. **(e)** Arabinose derived from pollen breakdown distributes with high heterogeneity along a radial gradient across the depth of *S. alvi* ac02 biofilm when co-colonized with *G. apis* ESL169 (left) or *G. apicola* ESL309 (right). Graphs show the mean GFP fluorescence of the biosensor according to the biofilm depth of individual bees. Data corresponding to the anterior ileum region are displayed only for clarity. Complete data are provided in Supplementary Fig. 8.

We next aimed to confirm the metabolic abilities of the *Gilliamella* strains with

regards to arabinose *in vivo*. For this, we quantified *S. alvi* fluorescence across

colonization states and diets. The biosensor was induced only in arabinose-fed bees co-

colonized with *G. apis* ESL169 (**Fig. 4c**), consistent with arabinose accumulation in the

gut. In contrast, no induction was observed with *G. apicola* ESL309, indicating depletion

of arabinose by the strain. These results show that the biosensor can report on *in vivo*

arabinose consumption and thus validate the divergent sugar metabolisms of the two

Gilliamella strains tested. Additionally, our observations provide evidence that species-

level differences in *Gilliamella* can influence sugar availability in the gut. Having

demonstrated the efficacy of the biosensor in this regard, we then leveraged it to map

locally the spatial distribution of pollen-derived arabinose.

The pollen-based diet resulted in significant induction of the biosensor, indicating

the accumulation of detectable levels of arabinose in the ileum, which were notably

independent of the *Gilliamella* species present (**Fig. 4d**). Also, fluorescence

measurements of *S. alvi* along the ileum's length revealed highly heterogenous arabinose

concentrations between bees, although homogeneously distributed longitudinally and

overall consistent within each individual (**Fig. 4d; Supplementary Fig. 7**). By contrast, depth-resolved quantification across *S. alvi* biofilms showed pronounced radial heterogeneity, with arabinose availability varying sharply over microns within a same biofilm and across individuals (**Fig. 4e; Supplementary Fig. 8**). Thus, while arabinose is longitudinally uniform along the ileum, pollen degradation generates patchy, micron-scale nutrients niches within biofilms that differ between bees. These results establish engineered symbionts as sensitive, spatially precise reporters of sugar bioavailability *in vivo*, enabling mapping of complex gut chemical microenvironments.

Discussion

Here, we engineered the native honeybee gut symbiont *S. alvi* as a living biosensor that reports the bioavailability of the dietary sugar arabinose within the gut. By expanding the genetic toolkit for *S. alvi*, we reprogrammed the bacterium to produce a specific, dose-dependent response to arabinose both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, resolving micron-scale nutrient variation across the gut environment. Using this system, we reveal pronounced spatial heterogeneity in arabinose distribution derived from pollen degradation, uncovering nutrient gradients that likely influence the spatial organization and dynamics of the bee gut microbiota. When co-colonized with distinct *Gilliamella* species, the biosensor reported species-specific arabinose depletion under defined dietary conditions, exposing differences in their carbohydrate metabolism.

Our work confirms *S. alvi* as a genetically accessible model system for functional engineering of the bee gut microbiota. Although this species has been engineered before, existing genetic tools could not accommodate complex circuit designs, as only a few high-expression promoters were available[39]. The limited diversity of promoters forced the use of repeated identical sequences across multi-gene constructs and their strong activity imposed substantial metabolic burden, both factors leading to recombinations and mutations[30]. By combining chromosomal integration with a suite of low-strength

promoters enabling fine control of gene expression, we overcome these limitations,

achieving stable and predictable multi-gene expression in *S. alvi* without compromising

its capacity to colonize the gut. This expanded genetic control enhances the tractability of

S. alvi and broadens its potential as a chassis for *in vivo* studies and microbiome

engineering. Extending beyond our previous work with synthetic inducers, it now allows

the implementation of more complex functionalities for nutrient biosensing within the gut

environment.

The ability of an engineered honeybee symbiont to sense and report sugar *in situ*

provides a compelling demonstration of how synthetic approaches can provide new

insights into previously inaccessible features of gut chemical landscapes. Past efforts to

characterize nutrient distribution in animal microbiomes have largely relied on bulk

chemical measurements (*i.e.* feces or homogenized gut tissues) or indirect inference using

techniques like metagenomics[46] or isotope tracing[47]. These methods fail to capture fine-

scale spatial and temporal heterogeneity. In contrast, our biosensor strain enables

metabolite detection within the native gut environment, preserving both host and

microbial context. Recent advances in spatial metabolomics, especially mass

spectrometry imaging, have also begun to probe metabolite distribution *in situ*, typically

achieving resolutions in the 3-10 μm range with commercial setups[17,24]. Notably, we could

here resolve sugar variation within bacterial biofilms at the 1 μm scale. By engineering

biosensing capabilities into a native symbiont with this spatial precision, our approach

achieves finely resolved nutrient detection that remains ecologically relevant within its

natural niche.

This resolution proved particularly powerful under a simplified diet of arabinose-

supplemented sugar water, where it revealed a transversal arabinose gradient across

bacterial biofilms, with sugar being more accessible closer to the gut lumen. It also

enabled the discrimination of species-specific arabinose metabolism among co-colonizing

Gilliamella species. However, these metabolic differences were no longer detectable

under a more complex, pollen-based diet. Instead, the biosensor exposed pronounced

radial heterogeneity in arabinose distribution, likely arising from the continued

degradation of partially digested pollen grains that can be observed embedded within

bacterial biofilms. These residual pollen fragments may act as localized nutrient-release

sites, generating patchy, micron-scale variations in sugar availability. The strong

heterogeneity in pollen-derived arabinose concentrations observed between bees might

also reflect temporal and behavioral effects. For instance, differences in how recently

individual bees consumed pollen prior to gut dissection or in how strongly they favored

sugar water *versus* pollen feeding. Regardless of its exact origin, this heterogeneity was

independent of the *Gilliamella* species present. This is likely due to the high overall

arabinose content of pollen, where small-scale depletion by individual species was

insufficient to alter the biosensor's response. Alternatively, the presence of pollen-derived

metabolites or the limited competition for substrates inherent to this two-member co-

colonization may modulate *Gilliamella* metabolism towards reduced arabinose utilization.

Nonetheless, these observations demonstrate how diet complexity and pollen breakdown

can generate heterogeneous nutrient micro niches within the gut, which can shape

microbial interactions at fine spatial scales.

Our work establishes a framework for probing the spatial distribution of nutrients

in the honeybee gut at an unprecedented resolution, using arabinose as a model

substrate. However, pollen degradation releases a much broader spectrum of molecules

that includes other carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, amino acids and vitamins[35]. They likely

form overlapping chemical gradients shaping community structures and interactions.

Indeed, distinct members of the honeybee gut microbiota occupy different metabolic and

spatial niches. *Lactobacillus* species, for instance, can utilize sugar alcohols and

flavonoids, whereas *Bifidobacteria* dedicate a large fraction of their catabolism to the

breakdown of hemicellulose and other complex polysaccharides[5,12,20]. Extending

biosensing to these compounds, or simply to other sugars, would provide a more

comprehensive view of how diet composition and colonization state structures the gut's

metabolic environment. While arabinose has been reported to exert toxicity to honeybees

at concentrations more than two orders of magnitude higher than those measured in our

study[48], other sugars like mannose are known to adversely affect bees due to inefficient

metabolism and accumulation of mannose-6-phosphate[49]. Mapping the distribution and

bioavailability of such sugars *in situ* could therefore yield valuable insights into how

specific dietary components impact gut function and host physiology. In addition, the

sugar composition of bee pollen varies greatly depending on plant origin[50], suggesting

that the nutrient landscape experienced by bees feeding on distinct wildflower mixes or

single-crop diets may differ substantially. Leveraging our biosensor to monitor how these

dietary differences shape metabolite availability would help clarify how food sources

could influence the gut environment. Overall, achieving this will require further expansion

of the *S. alvi* genetic toolkit, with sensors responsive to a broader range of metabolites

and genetic modules supporting more complex circuit designs. In parallel, implementing

these biosensors in multi-species or fully reconstituted communities will be essential to

capture the metabolic interplay that occurs under natural colonization conditions.

Together, these advances would enable high-resolution, community-level mapping of

nutrient fluxes and functional specialization within the honeybee gut ecosystem.

Finally, our findings highlight the potential of synthetic biology to bridge microbial

ecology and functional genomics in natural host systems. By combining genetic

engineering with *in situ* readouts, this work demonstrates how non-model symbionts can

be transformed into quantitative tools to study metabolism for microbiome research.

Expanding such approaches across hosts and microbial taxa will open new avenues to

uncover the complex chemical dialogues that underpin host-microbe symbiosis.

Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions. The honeybee gut symbionts *Snodgrassella alvi*

wkB2^T (ATTC No. BAA-2449), the engineered biosensor strain *S. alvi* ac02, *Gilliamella*

apicola ESL309 and *Gilliamella apis* ESL169 were routinely cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth

(TSB, Bacto BD). Bacteria were grown at 34 ℃ under microaerophilic conditions within a 5

% CO_2 incubator, with orbital shaking (170 rpm) when required. *Escherichia coli* NEB 5-α

(New England Biolabs) and *E. coli* pir+ were employed for plasmid assembly and

propagation. *E. coli* strains were grown aerobically in Lysogeny Broth (LB) at 37 ℃ with

orbital shaking (220 rpm). Plasmids were introduced into *S. alvi* by electroporation, and

into *Gilliamella* by conjugation, following previously described procedures[30]. When

appropriate, antibiotics were added to culture media for selection and plasmid

maintenance at the following concentrations: spectinomycin, 30 - 60 µg ml^{-1}; ampicillin, 30

- 100 µg ml^{-1}; and kanamycin, 25 - 50 µg ml^{-1}, depending on whether the construct was

maintained in *S. alvi/Gilliamella* or *E. coli*.

Plasmid cloning. To expand the genetic toolbox for *S. alvi* and enable fine-tuned gene

expression, we constructed a panel of plasmids carrying synthetic promoters of varying

strengths sourced from a previously published study[40]. Promoters CP25, CP6, CP7, CP32,

CP20, J23100, J23106, and J23116 were cloned into the pBTK570 backbone to generate

plasmids pAC95, pAC82, pAC83, pAC84, pAC85, pAC86, pAC87 and pAC88, respectively.

In each case, the original PA3 promoter driving *E2-crimson* in pBTK570 was replaced with

the corresponding promoters using 5' overhangs introduced by PCR primers. The

pBTK570 backbone was amplified and linearized into two fragments using the primer

pairs AC436/38 and AC435/39 for CP25; AC384/38 and 386/39 for CP6; AC388/38 and

387/39 for CP7; AC390/38 and 389/39 for CP32; AC392/38 and 391/39 for CP20; AC394/38

and 393/39 for J23100; AC396/38 and 395/39 for J23106 and AC398/38 and 397/39 for

J23116.

To generate the arabinose-responsive strains, we built the plasmids pAC51b,

pAC51c, pAC51g, pAC51i and pAC51j. Plasmid pAC51b was constructed by amplifying

the *araC* gene from pBAD33 using primers AC271 and AC272, and inserting it into the

pAC17v5b backbone, which was linearized into two fragments by PCR with primer pairs

AC273/49 and AC274/50. Plasmid pAC51c was assembled by cloning a chemically

synthesized pBAD25 promoter sequence, amplified with primers AC275 and AC276, into

the pAC17v5a backbone, also linearized into two fragments using primer pairs

AC019/059 and AC022/060. Plasmid pAC51g was generated by amplifying *araC* from

pAC51b using primers AC507 and AC09, and inserting it into the intermediate plasmid

pAC51e, which was linearized with primer pairs AC59/69 and AC504/60. Plasmid

pAC51e itself was built by cloning the *E2-crimson* gene, amplified from pBTK570 with

primers AC499 and AC501, into the pAC51c backbone, which was linearized with primer

pairs AC503/059 and AC504/060. Plasmid pAC51i was assembled by rejoining the

pAC51b backbone, amplified as three fragments with primer pairs AC516/010, AC18/050

and AC049/517. During amplification, the promoter CP25 was replaced by CP6 using the

5' overhang of primer AC517. Plasmid pAC51j was constructed by inserting the *gfp* gene,

amplified from pAC51c with primers AC518 and AC009, into the pAC51b backbone

linearized with primer pairs AC049/069 and AC274/050.

To generate the standardized suicide plasmids for homologous recombination in *S.*

alvi, we constructed plasmids pAC62, pAC98, pAC114 and pAC115. Plasmid pAC62 was

built by amplifying the R6K origin of replication from pKD3 using primers AC351 and

AC352 and inserting it into pBTK570, which was linearized with primers AC010 and

AC350. Plasmid pAC98 was assembled by amplifying the *kanR* cassette from pBTK519

with primers AC010 and AC447 and cloning it into pAC62 linearized with primers AC018

and AC448. Plasmid pAC114 was generated by amplifying the *ampR* cassette from

pAC08 using primers AC010 and AC541 and inserting it into pAC98 linearized with

primers AC018 and AC540. Plasmid pAC115 was constructed by cloning the *tetR* gene,

amplified from the genome of *S. alvi* using primers AC531 and AC532, into the pAC98

backbone linearized with primers AC533 and AC534, together with a short double-

stranded DNA fragment formed by annealing complementary oligonucleotides AC538 and

AC539.

Fragments were assembled using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly kit

according to the manufacturer guidelines. Sequences of cloning primers and synthesized

DNA can be found in **Supplementary Table 1** and **Supplementary Table 2**, respectively.

Full plasmid maps of suicide backbones and arabinose constructs are depicted in

Supplementary Fig. 2a and **Supplementary Fig. 3c**, respectively. The pBAD33 vector

was kindly provided by Prof. David Tirrell (California Institute of Technology, United

States)[51]. The pAC17v5a (Addgene plasmid No. 197413), pAC17v5b (No. 197414) and

pAC08 (No. #197402) plasmids were previously built by our group[30]. The pBTK570 (No.

110615) and pBTK519 (No. 110603) plasmids were a gift from Prof. Jeffrey Barrick[39]. The

pKD3 (No. 45604) plasmid was a gift from Barry L. Wanner[52].

Engineering of *S. alvi* for arabinose biosensing. To enable *S. alvi* to import arabinose, we

integrated the *araE* transporter into its genome *via* homologous recombination[43]. To

generate the *araE* insertion construct, we first retargeted the pAC98 backbone, carrying

the *kanR* cassette, to the *tetC* locus. Approximately 1 kb-homology arms flanking *tetC*

were amplified from the genome of *S. alvi* using primer pairs AC437/438 and AC439/440,

and inserted into the backbone, which was linearized into two fragments using the

primers AC010/216 and AC408/409 (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). These standardized primers

can be reused for retargeting any of the suicide plasmids, provided that the following

constant tail sequences are appended to the primers used to amplify the homology arms:

5'-cacttaacggctgacatggg-3' and 5'-catgaccaaaatcccttaacgtg-3' for one arm, and 5'-

cggatttacaattcgtcgtgc-3' and 5'-catctgaatcatgcgcggat-3' for the other.

Using the *tetC*-retargeted plasmid, we generated the final *araE* insertion fragment

by overlap PCR. The *araE* coding sequence was amplified from the genome of *E. coli*

using primers AC449/450, while the homology arms and *kanR* fragments were amplified

from the retargeted plasmid with primer pairs AC446/477 and AC445/462. PCR products

were combined at equimolar ratios (0.1 pmol each) in 20 μl of MiliQ water and assembled

using 25 μl of high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Phanta master mix, Vazyme). The first

overlap-extension stage was performed with the following conditions: 5 min at 95 °C,

followed by 15 cycles of 20 sec at 95 °C, 1 min at 58 °C and 2.5 min at 72 °C; and a final

extension for 5 min at 72 °C. The resulting assembly was resolved on an agarose gel, and

the band of the expected size was gel purified. A second amplification stage was then

performed by mixing 2 μL of the purified overlap product with 2 μl of each of the 10 μM

primers AC445 and AC446, 20 μl of MiliQ water and 25 μl of high-fidelity polymerase.

Amplification was carried out with the following conditions: 5 min at 95 °C, 30 cycles of

20 sec at 95 °C, 30 sec at 58 °C and 2.5 min at 72 °C; followed by a final extension for 5 min

at 72 °C.

The final overlap product was purified and 1 μg of linear DNA was electroporated

into *S. alvi*. Successful genomic integration of the *araE* gene was confirmed by Sanger

sequencing of the *tetC* locus. The resulting strain was then transformed sequentially by

electroporation with plasmid pAC51b followed by pAC51c, yielding the biosensor strain

S. alvi ac02.

Flow cytometry. Cell fluorescence was quantified using a NovoCyte flow cytometer

(Agilent) and the NovoExpress software (version 1.4.1). To assess arabinose response of

S. alvi ac02 and its derivative strains, as well as measuring gene expression levels of *S.*

alvi carrying the synthetic low-strength promoters, single colonies were streaked as

bacterial lawns on TSA plates supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics and

incubated for 3-4 days as described above. Cells from each strain were then scraped from

the agar surface, resuspended in liquid TSB to an optical density of 1.0 (OD_{600} = 1), and

used to inoculate five biological replicates by diluting 1:300 in fresh TSB supplemented

with varying arabinose concentrations when required. To assess the specificity of the

response to arabinose, TSB was supplemented with 0.7 mM of either xylose, ribose, or

arabinose. After 3 days of growth, cultures were diluted 1:10 in sterile PBS for flow

cytometry analysis.

Fluorescent cells were identified using a two-step gating strategy: first, bacterial

cells were selected on a FSC-H/SSC-H plot; single cells were then isolated on a FSC-

A/FSC-H plot. Fluorescence of singlets was subsequently recorded using the FITC-H

channel for GFP (ex. 488 nm – em. 530/30 nm) and the PE-Texas Red-H channel (ex. 561

nm – em. 615/20 nm) for E2-crimson. Mean fluorescence values were calculated from a

minimum of 10,000 bacterial events per sample. An example of the gating strategy is

provided in **Supplementary Fig. 9**.

Determination of the honeybee gut volume. To estimate honeybee gut volume, we

quantified water loss following desiccation of dissected guts from 20 bees co-colonized

with *S. alvi* ac02 and either *G. apicola* ESL309 or *G. apis* ESL169, collected across multiple

cages from the experiment described below. At day 7 post-colonization, 10 bees were

sampled from the treatment in which bees were fed sugar water (SW) supplemented with

210 μM arabinose, and 10 additional bees were sampled from the treatment in which

bees were fed SW and pollen, to assess whether pollen consumption influenced gut

volume.

Each dissected gut was transferred into a pre-weighted 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes,

and tubes were weighed again to determine fresh gut mass. Tubes were then left open

and placed in an oven at 65 °C (fan 100%). After 22h, tubes were closed, cooled at room

temperature for ~30 min, reopened, and weighed to obtain the dry mass. Tubes were

returned to the oven for an additional 4 h and weighed once more to ensure that the dry

mass had stabilized. Gut volume in μl was estimated assuming a density of 1 mg μl^{-1} and

calculated as the mass difference between fresh and dry gut weigh. As no significant

difference in gut volume was observed between bees fed pollen and those not fed pollen,

we used the overall mean volume of 19.1 μl for subsequent calculation.

GC-MS quantification of arabinose. Arabinose levels in the gut were compared between

MD bees and those colonized with a synthetic community of 25 native bee gut bacterial

strains comprising the *Bartonella*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Bombilactobacillus*,

Commensalibacter, *Frischella*, *Gilliamella*, *Lactobacillus* and *Snodgrassella* genera. Bees

were given sugar water and sterilized pollen *ad libitum* for ten days. The experiment was

duplicated using bees from different hives with 4 bees sampled per replicate per

condition. Full hindguts were collected from anesthetized bees and homogenized with

glass beads in 500 □l of deionized water. An aliquot of 125 □l was then centrifuged for 20

min at 4 °C and 20,000 g and the supernatant was stored at -80 °C.

Gut homogenates and calibration curves of individual sugars were prepared

identically. A 5 □l mixture of D-norleucine and vanillic acid internal standards was spiked

into 20 □l of each sample immediately before extraction with 100 □l of cold

acetonitrile:methanol (1:1, v/v). Samples were stored at -20 °C for 2 h, then centrifuged at

18,000 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred to a new plate and dried in a

speed vacuum concentrator. Samples were derivatized with 50 □l of 20 mg ml^{-1}

methoxyamine hydrochloride in pyridine for 90 min at 34 °C, followed by 50 □l of N-

Methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) for 120 min at 45 °C.

Samples were then randomized and 1 □l was injected *via* autosampler into an

Agilent 8890/5977B GC-MSD with a 2:1 split ratio and inlet temperature of 280 °C.

Chromatography was performed using a VF-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 □m)

with 1 ml min^{-1} helium flow rate. The oven was held for 2 min at 125 °C, increased at 3

°C/min to 150 °C, 5 °C/min to 225 °C, 15 °C/min to 300 °C, 25 °C/min to 310 °C and held for

3.3 min. The mass spectrometer was run in scan mode over a mass range of 50-550 Da at

a scan rate of 3.2 scans/s. Sugar peak areas were quantified using Agilent MassHunter

Quantitative Analysis software (version 10.0). Metabolite peak areas were normalized

across samples using the median internal standard areas, and a linear regression curve of

metabolite concentration *vs* peak area was calculated for each sugar.

Plasmids maintenance measurement. For the assessment of pAC51b and pAC51c

stability, single colonies of *S. alvi* ac02 were inoculated into liquid TSB and grown under

three conditions, each with 10 biological replicates: (*i*) 30 µg ml^{-1} spectinomycin, 30 µg ml^{-1}

ampicillin and 25 µg ml^{-1} kanamycin (+ ab); (*ii*) 25 µg ml^{-1} kanamycin only (- ab); or (*iii*) 25

µg ml^{-1} kanamycin and 0.07 mM arabinose (- ab + ara). After 3 days of incubation,

cultures were serially diluted and plated onto both selective and non-selective TSA.

Plasmid maintenance was quantified as the proportion of colonies that grew on selective

plates relative to the total number of colonies on non-selective plates, corresponding to

cells maintaining both plasmids.

Honeybee rearing and gut colonization. Microbiota-deprived (MD) *Apis mellifera carnica*

bees were obtained from outdoor colonies maintained at the University of Lausanne (VD,

Switzerland), following previously described procedures[12]. Briefly, mature pupae were

sampled from brood frames and transferred to sterilized plastic emergence boxes. Pupae

were incubated at 35 °C with 75 % humidity for 3 days. Emerging adults were supplied

with sterile 1:1 (w/v) sucrose solution.

To confirm the absence of microbial contaminants, hindguts of two newly

emerged bees per box were dissected and homogenized in 1 ml sterile PBS. Homogenates

were plated onto nutrient agar (NA), columbia blood agar (CBA), brain-heart infusion

agar (BHI), LB and MRSA plates and incubated under aerobic (NA, LB), microaerophilic

(CBA) and anaerobic (BHI, MRSA) conditions. Emergence boxes were excluded if any

microbial growth was detected on the corresponding plates.

For mono-colonization, MD bees were individually fed 5 µl of *S. alvi* ac02

resuspended at OD_{600} of 0.1 in 1:1 (v/v) PBS:sucrose solution. For co-colonization with the

native gut community, gut homogenate stocks were prepared by pooling equal volumes

of homogenized hindguts from five hive bees. The colonization inoculum was generated

by mixing *S. alvi* ac02 at OD_{600} of 0.1 with a 1:10 (v/v) dilution of the gut homogenate stock

in PBS:sucrose solution. For co-colonization with *G. apicola* ESL309 and *G. apis* ESL169,

bees were fed a mixture containing *S. alvi* ac02 and either of the *Gilliamella* species to a

final OD_{600} of 0.1 and 1, respectively, in PBS:sucrose solution. *Gilliamella* strains carried

the plasmid pAC09 (Addgene plasmid No. 197403)[30] encoding the E2-crimson fluorescent

protein to enable *in vivo* visualization.

Following colonization, bees were maintained in sterile cup cages at 32 ℃ and 75

% humidity. For fecal bacterial load assays, mono-colonized bees were supplied with

sugar water containing 85 μM arabinose, and either 30 µg ml^{-1} ampicillin plus 30 µg ml^{-1}

spectinomycin ('+ ab') or no antibiotic ('- ab', '- ab + gc'). To assess the *in vivo* biosensor

response to arabinose, mono-colonized bees received sugar water supplemented with 30

µg ml^{-1} of each ampicillin and spectinomycin, together with 0, 10, 100 or 1,000 μM

arabinose. Bees co-colonized with *S. alvi* ac02 and *Gilliamella* were given one of three

diets: (*i*) sugar water with 60 µg ml^{-1} ampicillin, (*ii*) sugar water with 60 µg ml^{-1} ampicillin

and 210 μM arabinose, or (*iii*) sugar water with 60 µg ml^{-1} ampicillin and pollen provided

ad libitum.

As pollen autofluorescence interferes with imaging, pollen was removed from

cages two days prior to gut dissection. All supplemented feeding solutions (*i.e.*

antibiotics and arabinose) were replaced with freshly prepared solutions every 3 days to

maintain consistent molecule concentrations.

Bacterial load quantification *via* fecal sampling. To quantify the fecal abundance of *S.*

alvi ac02, fecal material was collected from bees at 7 and 14-day post colonization with

the engineered cells. Bees housed in cup cages were stunned with CO_2 and subsequently

placed on ice to immobilize them. While anesthetized, gentle pressure was applied

manually along the abdomen from the anterior end toward the stinger to induce

defecation directly into the cap of sterile 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. Samples were

maintained on ice throughout the collection period.

Fecal matter that was sufficiently liquid was immediately serially diluted 1:10

(v/v) in sterile PBS. For more viscous samples, feces were first resuspended in 4 □l of

sterile PBS before performing the 1:10 serial dilution. Diluted samples were plated on TSA

agar supplemented with 30 µg ml^{-1} spectinomycin, 30 µg ml^{-1} ampicillin and 25 µg ml^{-1}

kanamycin to selectively recover *S. alvi* ac02. Colony forming unit (CFUs) were counted

after 3-4 days of incubation.

Gut microscopy analysis. To prepare samples for microscopy, honeybee hindguts were

collected seven days after inoculation with engineered *S. alvi* and *Gilliamella* and

immediately immersed in 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS. Tissues were fixed overnight at 4

°C with gentle rotation, followed by three 30-min washes at room temperature in PBS.

Samples were then permeabilized and stained overnight at 4 °C in the dark with 5 µg ml^{-1}

4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) prepared in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100. After

staining, excess dye was removed by washing in PBS, and the ileum was dissected,

mounted in PBS and overlaid with a coverslip (number #1.5).

Image acquisition was performed using a Nikon AX R laser scanning confocal

microscope (Nikon, Japan) and the software Nikon NIS-Elements C (version 5.42.06).

Images were acquired with a 60X oil objective at 2048x2048 pixel format size (field of

view: 294.6278x294.6278 µm) and an averaging of 4 images. Pinhole size was set to 0.3

airy units, yielding an optical sectioning of 0.32 μm. Fluorescence acquisition was

performed sequentially in two passes to minimize crosstalk: E2-crimson (ex. 561 nm–em.

623-750 nm) and GFP (ex. 488 nm–em. 499-551 nm), followed by DAPI (ex. 405 nm–em.

420-551 nm).

For quantitative fluorescence analysis, all ileums were imaged using identical

laser intensity and detector gain settings. For each gut, three regions were imaged:

anterior, mid-ileum, and posterior. For each region, Z-stacks were also collected over a

maximum depth of 40 µm with 1 μm step increment. Fluorescence quantification was

automated using a custom Fiji macro developed for this study (see **Supplementary Text**

1). The macro was executed in Fiji (ImageJ2, version 2.16.0). Briefly, bacterial areas were

segmented by applying a GFP fluorescence threshold identical across images. The

resulting selected areas were copied to the corresponding DAPI images. For each region,

mean fluorescence intensity per unit area was extracted for both GFP and DAPI channels.

GFP intensities were normalized to DAPI intensities from the same segmented area to

account for variation in cell density. When appropriate, normalized values from the three

ileum regions were averaged to obtain a single fluorescence measurement per bee gut.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Lucy Genier for her support in the laboratory with molecular

biology work. We thank Ly Pheak Chhun and Gonçalo Matos for helpful scientific

discussions throughout the project. We also thank Georgia Petsiou for assistance with the

bee experiments and Estelle Pignon for providing the *Gilliamella* strains bearing the

pAC09 plasmid. We are grateful to Silvia Moriano Gutierrez for proofreading the

manuscript, and to Méline Garcia for identifying the *Gilliamella* species of interest.

This work was supported by the University of Lausanne, the NCCR Microbiomes

(National Centre of Competence in Research), funded by the Swiss National Science

Foundation (grant no. 180575) to P.E and Y.S. The funders had no role in study design,

data collection and analysis, decision.

Author contributions

A.C., P.E. and Y.S. conceived the study. A.C. designed the experiments and performed
molecular biology work, confocal microscopy, image analysis for fluorescence
quantification and bee experiments with support from F.Z. Suicide plasmids were
designed and built by A.C. and T.S. GC-MS arabinose measurements and related bee
experiments were carried out by A.Q. and T.H.G.N. Y.S. and P.E. supervised the project.
A.C. wrote the manuscript with input from co-authors.

Data availability

676 The plasmids developed in this study have been deposited in Addgene and are available
677 *via* the following accession numbers: pAC62 (#249659), pAC98 (#249660), pAC114
678 (#249661), pAC115 (#249662), pAC51b (#249663) and pAC51c (#249664). Confocal
679 microscopy images have been deposited in the BioImage Archive under the accession
680 number S-BIAD2461. Source data are provided with this manuscript.

Competing interests

683 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Martinson, V. G. *et al.* A simple and distinctive microbiota associated with honey
bees and bumble bees. *Mol. Ecol.* **20**, 619–628 (2011).
2. Engel, P., Martinson, V. G. & Moran, N. A. Functional diversity within the simple
gut microbiota of the honey bee. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 11002–11007 (2012).
3. Kwong, W. K. & Moran, N. A. Gut microbial communities of social bees. *Nat. Rev.*
Microbiol. **14**, 374–384 (2016).
4. Tang, J. *et al.* Synergistic pectin deconstruction is a prerequisite for mutualistic

interactions between honeybee gut bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, (2024).

5.   Zheng, H. *et al.* Division of labor in honey bee gut microbiota for plant

polysaccharide digestion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 25909–25916 (2019).

6.   Steele, M. I., Motta, E. V. S., Gattu, T., Martinez, D. & Moran, N. A. The Gut

Microbiota Protects Bees from Invasion by a Bacterial Pathogen. *Microbiol. Spectr.*

9, (2021).

7.   Horak, R. D., Leonard, S. P. & Moran, N. A. Symbionts shape host innate immunity

in honeybees: Symbionts shape honey bee immunity. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **287**,

(2020).

8.   Sato, Y. *et al.* Insecticide resistance by a host-symbiont reciprocal detoxification.

Nat. Commun. **12**, 1–8 (2021).

9.   Liberti, J. *et al.* The gut microbiota affects the social network of honeybees. *Nat.*

Ecol. Evol. **6**, 1471–1479 (2022).

10.   Vernier, C. L. *et al.* Gut microbiota contribute to variations in honey bee foraging

intensity. *ISME J.* **18**, (2024).

11.   Cabirol, A., Schafer, J., Neuschwander, N., Kesner, L. & Liberti, J. A defined

community of core gut microbiota members promotes cognitive performance in

honey bees. *BioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.03.522593 (2023).

12.   Kešnerová, L. *et al.* Disentangling metabolic functions of bacteria in the honey bee

gut. *PLOS Biol.* **15**, 1–28 (2017).

13.   Quinn, A. *et al.* Host-derived organic acids enable gut colonization of the honey bee

symbiont Snodgrassella alvi. *Nat. Microbiol.* **9**, 477–489 (2024).

14.   Callegari, M. *et al.* Compartmentalization of bacterial and fungal microbiomes in

the gut of adult honeybees. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* **7**, (2021).

15.   Richardson, M. *et al.* SAMPL-seq reveals micron-scale spatial hubs in the human

gut microbiome. *Nat. Microbiol.* **10**, 527–540 (2025).

16.   Albenberg, L. *et al.* Correlation between intraluminal oxygen gradient and radial

partitioning of intestinal microbiota. *Gastroenterology* **147**, 1055-1063.e8 (2014).

17.   Stüwe, M. & Liebeke, M. From microbes to molecules: unveilinghost-microbe

interactions withspatial metabolomics. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **21**, 947–951 (2025).

18.   Zhou, G. *et al.* Honeybees fed D-galactose exhibit aging signs with changes in gut

microbiota and metabolism. *mSystems* **10**, (2025).

19.   Tang, M. *et al.* Honeybee-Gilliamella synergy in carbohydrate metabolism

enhances host thermogenesis in cold acclimation. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* **11**,

(2025).

20.   Brochet, S. *et al.* Niche partitioning facilitates coexistence of closely related gut

bacteria. *Elife* **10**, 1–23 (2021).

21.   Oertel, E., Fieger, E. A., Williams, V. R. & Andrews, E. A. Inversion of Cane Sugar in

the Honey Stomach of the Bee. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **44**, 487–492 (1951).

22.   Nicolson, S. W. The importance of osmosis in nectar secretion and its consumption

by insects. *Am. Zool.* **38**, 418–425 (1998).

23.   Min, X. *et al.* Spatially resolved metabolomics: From metabolite mapping to

function visualising. *Clin. Transl. Med.* **14**, (2024).

24.   Geier, B. *et al.* Spatial metabolomics of in situ host–microbe interactions at the

micrometre scale. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, (2020).

25.   Geier, B., Oetjen, J., Ruthensteiner, B., Polikarpov, M. & Gruber-vodicka, H. R.

Connecting structure and function from organisms to molecules in small-animal

symbioses through chemo-histo-tomography. *Pnas* **118**, 1–9 (2021).

26.   Bourceau, P. *et al.* Visualization of metabolites and microbes at high spatial

resolution using MALDI mass spectrometry imaging and in situ fluorescence

labeling. *Nat. Protoc.* **18**, 3050–3079 (2023).

27.   Lötstedt, B., Stražar, M., Xavier, R., Regev, A. & Vickovic, S. Spatial host–

microbiome sequencing reveals niches in the mouse gut. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **42**, 1394–

1403 (2024).

28.   Carreno, D. *et al.* Imaging of live bacterial whole-cell biosensors illuminates spatial

sialic acid availability within the inflamed mammalian gut. 1–45 (2024).

29.   Rutter, J. W. *et al.* Detecting changes in the Caenorhabditis elegans intestinal

environment using an engineered bacterial biosensor. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **8**, 2620–

2628 (2019).

30.   Chhun, A. *et al.* An engineered bacterial symbiont allows noninvasive biosensing

of the honey bee gut environment. *PLoS Biol.* **22**, 1–23 (2024).

31.   Martinson, V. G., Moy, J. & Moran, N. A. Establishment of characteristic gut

bacteria during development of the honeybee worker. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78**,

2830–2840 (2012).

32.   Kwong, W. K., Engel, P., Koch, H. & Moran, N. A. Genomics and host specialization

of honey bee and bumble bee gut symbionts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**,

11509–11514 (2014).

33.   Ricigliano, V. A. *et al.* The impact of pollen consumption on honey bee (Apis

mellifera) digestive physiology and carbohydrate metabolism. *Arch. Insect*

Biochem. Physiol. **96**, 1–14 (2017).

34.   Nicolson, S. W. & Thornburg, R. W. *Nectar chemistry*. (Springer, 2007).

doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-5937-7.

35.   Campos, M. G. R. *et al.* Pollen composition and standardisation of analytical

methods . *J. Apic. Res. Bee World* **47**, 156–163 (2008).

36.   Kolodrubetz, D. & Schleif, R. L-Arabinose transport systems in Escherichia coli K-

12. *J. Bacteriol.* **148**, 472–479 (1981).

37.   Wagner, S. *et al.* Consequences of membrane protein overexpression in Escherichia

coli. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **6**, 1527–1550 (2007).

38.   Zweers, J. C., Wiegert, T. & Van Dijl, J. M. Stress-responsive systems set specific

limits to the overproduction of membrane proteins in Bacillus subtilis. *Appl.*

Environ. Microbiol. **75**, 7356–7364 (2009).

39. Leonard, S. P. *et al.* Genetic Engineering of Bee Gut Microbiome Bacteria with a

Toolkit for Modular Assembly of Broad-Host-Range Plasmids. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **7**,

1279–1290 (2018).

40. Jensen, P. R. & Hammer, K. The sequence of spacers between the consensus

sequences modulates the strength of prokaryotic promoters. *Appl. Environ.*

Microbiol. **64**, 82–87 (1998).

41. Davis, J. H., Rubin, A. J. & Sauer, R. T. Design , construction and characterization of

a set of insulated bacterial promoters. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, 1131–1141 (2011).

42. Leonard, S. P. *et al.* Engineered symbionts activate honey bee immunity and limit

Pathogens. *Science (80-.).* **576**, 573–576 (2020).

43. Lariviere, P. J. *et al.* One-step genome engineering in bee gut bacterial symbionts.

MBio **15**, (2024).

44. Stewart, P. S. & Franklin, M. J. Physiological heterogeneity in biofilms. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. **6**, 199–210 (2008).

45. Stewart, P. S. *et al.* Reaction-diffusion theory explains hypoxia and heterogeneous

growth within microbial biofilms associated with chronic infections. *npj Biofilms*

Microbiomes **2**, 1–8 (2016).

46. Tropini, C., Earle, K. A., Huang, K. C. & Sonnenburg, J. L. The Gut Microbiome:

Connecting Spatial Organization to Function. *Cell Host Microbe* **21**, 433–442 (2017).

47. Zeng, X. *et al.* Gut bacterial nutrient preferences quantified in vivo. *Cell* **185**, 3441–

3456 (2022).

48. Barker, R. J. & Lehner, Y. Influence of Diet on Sugars Found by Thin-layer

Chromatography in Thoraces of Honey Bees, Apis mellifera L. *J. Exp. Zool.* **188**,

157–164 (1974).

49. de la Fuente, M., Peñas, P. F. & Sols, A. Mechanism of mannose toxicity. *Biochem.*

Biophys. Res. Commun. **140**, (1986).

50. Liolios, V., Tananaki, C. & Dimou, M. Exploring the sugar profile of unifloral bee

pollen using high performance liquid chromatography. **57**, 341–350 (2018).

51.   Kozlowski, M. T., Silverman, B. R., Johnstone, C. P. & Tirrell, D. A. Genetically

Programmable Microbial Assembly. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **10**, 1351–1359 (2021).

52.   Datsenko, K. A. & Wanner, B. L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in

Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97**, 6640–

6645 (2000).