Contact inhibitory Eph signaling suppresses EGF-promoted cell

migration by decoupling EGFR activity from vesicular recycling

Wayne Stallaert[1], Ola Sabet[1], Yannick Brüggemann[1,2], Lisa Baak[1] and Philippe I.H.

Bastiaens[1,2*]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Systemic Cell Biology, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology, Otto-

Hahn-Str.11, 44227 Dortmund, Germany

[2]Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, TU Dortmund, Otto-Hahn-Str. 6, 44227

Dortmund, Germany

*Correspondence to: philippe.bastiaens@mpi-dortmund.mpg.de

Summary:

Eph receptor activation generates context-dependent cellular responses to EGFR

activation by altering its vesicular trafficking dynamics.

Abstract

The ability of cells to adapt their behavior to growth factors in relation to their

environment is an essential aspect of tissue development and homeostasis. Here we

show that Eph receptor signaling from cell-cell contacts changes the cellular response to

EGFR activation by altering its vesicular trafficking. Eph receptor activation traps EGFR

in Rab5-positive early endosomes through an inhibition of Akt-dependent vesicular

recycling. By altering the spatial distribution of EGFR activity during EGF stimulation,

Eph receptor activation selectively suppresses migratory Akt signaling from the plasma

membrane, while preserving proliferative ERK signaling from endosomes. We also show

that soluble extracellular signals engaging the G-protein coupled receptor Kiss1

similarly suppress vesicular recycling to alter EGFR signaling. The cellular environment

can thus modulate EGFR vesicular trafficking dynamics to generate context-dependent

responses to EGF stimulation.

Introduction

Activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) promotes a variety of cellular responses including cell growth, proliferation, survival, apoptosis, differentiation and migration (*1*), some of which are functionally opposed. To select among these diverse outcomes, the cell requires additional contextual information. This context can be intrinsic (e.g. cell type or cell cycle stage), or extrinsic, in the form of extracellular signals that provide information about the current (or past) environmental context. Adaptability to a changing environment requires that extrinsic information be integrated through mechanisms that can transform the response to subsequent growth factor stimulation.

Local cell density is one such example of extrinsic context that can influence cellular activity to generate distinct functional states (*2-4*). The Eph family of receptor tyrosine kinases act as sensors of cell density, becoming activated at points of cell-cell contact through interactions with membrane bound ephrin ligands presented on the surfaces of adjacent cells (*5*). Eph receptors in many ways operate in functional opposition to EGFR, acting as tumour suppressors (*5-11*) and mediating contact inhibition of locomotion to suppress cellular migration and metastasis (*12-15*). Moreover, a functional coupling of EGFR and Eph receptor activity has been shown to control cell migration (*15*). Although the precise mechanism through which Eph receptors regulate EGF-promoted migration remains elusive, a convergence of receptor activity on phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt signaling was implicated.

Akt has been shown to regulate EGFR vesicular trafficking through the endosomal system (*16*). By stimulating the activity of the early endosomal effector PIKfyve (FYVE-

containing phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 5-kinase), Akt activity controls the

transition of EGFR through early endosomes, regulating both its recycling back to the

PM and its degradation in the lysosome. Thus, while endocytosis of cell surface

receptors has traditionally been viewed as a mechanism to attenuate downstream

signaling following ligand stimulation, the notion that signaling molecules downstream

of cell surface receptors can, in turn, influence vesicular trafficking (*16-21*) generates a

reciprocal relationship between receptor activation and vesicular dynamics whose role

in shaping the cellular response to stimuli has only recently begun to garner attention

(*22*). Furthermore, this bidirectional relationship could also allow the signaling activity

of one receptor to influence the response properties of another through changes in its

vesicular trafficking dynamics, generating context-dependent receptor activity.

In the current work, we show that Eph receptor activation at cell-cell contacts regulates

the vesicular dynamics of EGFR through an inhibition of Akt-dependent trafficking. By

modulating the spatial distribution of EGFR activity, Eph receptor activation alters the

cellular response to EGF stimulation, selectively suppressing EGF-promoted migratory

signaling while preserving its effect on proliferation.

RESULTS

Eph receptor activation affects EGFR vesicular trafficking

Stimulation of endogenous Eph receptors in Cos-7 cells with a soluble, clustered

ephrinA1-Fc (A1) ligand (*23, 24*) induced a reduction in EGFR abundance at the PM (**Fig.**

1A-B). This decrease in PM EGFR abundance following Eph receptor activation was

observed in various cell lines, including HEK293, NIH 3T3, MCF10A and MDA-MB-231

cells (**Fig. S1A-D**), exhibiting a wide range of endogenous EGFR expression (**Fig. S1E**).

While EGFR internalization is a well-established consequence of growth factor-induced

receptor activation, we observed that the loss of PM EGFR did not result from an Eph

receptor-induced transactivation of EGFR (**Fig S1F**). Since EGFR also continuously

recycles through the endosomal system in the absence of growth factor stimulation (*25*),

we hypothesized that Eph receptor activation may reduce PM EGFR abundance by

trapping constitutively recycling receptors in endosomes.

Eph receptor activation decreases the activity of Akt (**Fig. 1C**)(*14*) , a signaling effector

previously demonstrated to promote EGFR vesicular recycling (*16*). Direct inhibition of

Akt or its downstream early endosomal effector PIKfyve (*16*) indeed reduced PM EGFR

abundance in Cos-7 cells (**Fig. 1D-E**). A1 stimulation or PIKfyve inhibition promoted

similar decreases in PM EGFR abundance, as measured by a reduction in PM EGF-

Alexa647 binding (**Fig. 1E**). Furthermore, the combination of Eph receptor activation

with PIKfyve inhibition did not further reduce PM EGFR abundance (**Fig. 1E**), indicative

of a shared molecular mechanism. Consistent with a suppression of constitutive EGFR

recycling, we observed an endosomal accumulation of ectopically expressed EGFR-

mCherry in live cells after Akt or PIKfyve inhibition (**Fig. 1F, Movie S1, Fig. S2A-B**).

Time lapse confocal imaging of Cos-7 cells expressing EGFR-mCherry and EphA2-

mCitrine also revealed an endosomal accumulation of EGFR with time following soluble

A1 stimulation (**Fig. 1G-I, Movie S2**) or upon presentation of ephrinA1 ligand on the

membrane of adjacent cells at sites of cell-cell contact (**Movie S3**). This shift in the

spatial distribution of EGFR from the PM to endosomes following A1 stimulation

occurred primarily by trapping receptors in Rab5-positive early endosomes (**Fig. 1J**),

consistent with an inhibition of Akt-dependent trafficking (*16*) (**Fig. 1J**). Thus, Eph

receptor activation alters the subcellular distribution of EGFR prior to growth factor

stimulation by trapping constitutively recycling receptors in Rab5-positive early

endosomes through an inhibition of Akt/PIKfyve-dependent vesicular recycling.

We next investigated how Eph receptor activation influences EGFR trafficking during

EGF stimulation. The trafficking fate of EGFR through the endosomal system is

determined by post-translational modifications, with receptor ubiquitination acting as a

molecular switch that diverts EGFR to the lysosome for degradation (*25*). Since EGFR

ubiquitination increases with EGF binding (*26*), saturating EGF concentrations (> 50

ng/ml (*27*)) generate a finite temporal signaling response by progressively depleting PM

EGFR through ubiquitin-dependent lysosomal degradation. Stimulation of endogenous

receptors in Cos-7 cells with a saturating concentration of EGF (100 ng/ml) induced a

~40% reduction in total EGFR expression after 60 min of stimulation (**Fig. S2C**) and

residual EGFR resided primarily in Rab7-positive late endosomes (**Fig. 1J**). A1

pretreatment or direct Akt inhibition, in contrast, impaired Rab5-to-Rab7 endosomal

maturation (*28*) (**Fig. 1J**), leading to a reduction in receptor degradation at saturating

EGF concentrations (≥50 ng/ml; **Fig. S2C**).

At lower, subsaturating EGF concentrations typically found in human tissue secretions

(0.4-20 ng/ml)(*29*), only a fraction of receptors are ligand bound, receptor

ubiquitination is reduced (*26*), and internalized receptors are preferentially recycled

back to the PM (*30*). To assess whether Eph receptors inhibit EGFR recycling following

subsaturating EGF stimulation, we pulsed endogenous receptors in Cos-7 cells with 10

ng/ml EGF to induce EGFR endocytosis and measured its subsequent return to the PM

following EGF washout (**Fig. 1K**). While we observed a complete recovery of PM EGFR

abundance in control cells following EGF washout, A1 pretreatment completely

suppressed EGFR recycling.

Thus, Eph receptor activation suppresses EGFR trafficking through the early endosome

during EGF stimulation; impairing the recycling of non-ubiquitinated receptors back to

the PM as well as inhibiting the transition of ubiquitinated receptors to late endosomes.

Eph receptor activation changes the spatial distribution of EGFR activity

Many functional outcomes to EGFR activation, such as cellular migration, require that

cells remain responsive to persistent growth factor stimulation. To ensure sensitivity to

stimuli during long periods of exposure, the cell must maintain sufficient receptor

abundance at the PM despite the continuous internalization of activated receptors. We

therefore posed the following questions: Does Akt-dependent recycling help maintain

cellular responsiveness to EGF during persistent, subsaturating stimulation? Can Eph

receptor activation at cell-cell contacts change the response properties of EGFR by

modulating its vesicular trafficking?

To address the impact of Akt-dependent recycling on EGFR activation, measurements of

endogenous EGFR phosphorylation and trafficking in Cos-7 cells were obtained by

immunofluorescence following subsaturating EGF stimulation in control cells and

following Eph receptor activation or Akt/PIKfyve inhibition. Individual cells were

radially segmented to quantify changes in the average spatial distribution of EGFR

activity with time and visualized using 3-D spatial-temporal maps (**Fig. 2A**). Through an

accumulation of EGFR in endosomal compartments during sustained EGF stimulation,

cells pretreated with either A1 or an Akt inhibitor generated less EGFR phosphorylation

after 60 min of EGF stimulation relative to control cells (**Fig. 2A-B**). Decoupling Akt

activation from its effect on trafficking by PIKfyve inhibition had indistinguishable

effects from direct Akt inhibition or A1 pretreatment on EGFR phosphorylation and

trafficking (**Fig. 2A-B**), indicating that Akt activity maintains EGFR activation at the PM

during sustained, subsaturating EGF stimulation through its effects on vesicular

recycling.

To specifically quantify EGFR phosphorylation at the PM and on endosomes during

sustained, subsaturating EGF stimulation, we employed fluorescence lifetime imaging

microscopy (FLIM) to detect Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) between EGFR-

mCitrine and a phospho-tyrosine binding domain fused to mCherry (PTB-mCherry) (*31*)

in Cos-7 cells (**Fig. 2C-F**). In control cells, EGFR-mCitrine remained highly

phosphorylated at both the PM and in endosomes following 60 min of sustained EGF-

Alexa647 stimulation. In cells pretreated with A1 or following Akt or PIKfyve inhibition,

we observed reduced PM EGFR-mCitrine density (**Fig. 2D**) and EGF-Alexa647 binding

(**Fig. 2E**), resulting in diminished EGFR-mCitrine phosphorylation specifically at the PM

(**Fig. 2F**). In these conditions in which Akt-dependent recycling was suppressed, ligand-

bound, active EGFR-mCitrine accumulated in endosomes, maintaining its

phosphorylation in this compartment to the same extent as control cells (**Fig. 2C-F**).

Eph receptor activation, therefore, by inhibiting Akt-dependent recycling, changes the

spatial distribution of EGFR activity during sustained, subsaturating EGF stimulation,

selectively reducing EGFR activation at the PM while preserving receptor activity in

endosomes.

Eph receptor activation at cell-cell contact alters the EGFR signaling response

Although EGFR continues to activate signaling effectors from endosomal membranes

(*32-40*), Akt is preferentially activated at the PM (*41, 42*) (**Fig. S3**). We therefore

investigated how Eph receptor activation, by changing the spatial distribution of EGFR

activity, regulates its signaling response during EGF stimulation. By suppressing

vesicular recycling and reducing EGFR activity at the PM, A1 pretreatment selectively

inhibited Akt activation following subsaturating EGF stimulation of endogenous

receptors in Cos-7 (**Fig. 3A top, Fig. S4A**) and HEK293 cells (**Fig. S4B**), while ERK

activation, which can continue from endosomal membranes (*37, 39, 43*) (**Fig. S3**),

remained intact (**Fig 3A bottom, Fig. S4**). To confirm that EphA2 inhibits EGF-promoted

Akt activation by suppressing EGFR recycling and does not simply reflect the opposed

regulation of Akt by EGFR and EphA2 (activation vs inhibition, respectively), we

assessed whether EGFR trafficking was dispensable for the A1-induced suppression of

EGF-promoted Akt activation. Cells were prestimulated with A1, followed by treatment

with the dynamin inhibitor dynole 34-2 to block subsequent endocytosis, and then

stimulated with EGF. When EGFR endocytosis was blocked (**Fig. S3C**), A1 pretreatment

had no effect on EGF-promoted Akt activation (**Fig. 3B top**). Pretreatment with the

negative control analogue dynole 31-2, to control for off-target effects, did not inhibit

EGFR endocytosis (**Fig. S3C**), and had no effect on A1-induced suppression of EGF-

promoted Akt activation (**Fig. 3B bottom**), corroborating that intact EGFR vesicular

trafficking is required for the inhibitory effect of Eph receptors on EGFR signaling.

Increasing concentrations of A1 progressively inhibited EGF-mediated Akt activation

(**Fig. 3C**), suggesting that the degree of cell-cell contact might determine the magnitude

of Akt activation in response to a given concentration of EGF. To directly investigate the

influence of cell-cell contact on EGFR signaling, we obtained single cell measurements of

Akt and ERK activation in Cos-7 with varying degrees of cell-cell contact. Homotypic cell-

cell contact promotes Eph receptor activation through interactions with ephrins

presented on neighboring cells (*24*) (**Fig. 3D**). Akt activation decreased with cell-cell

contact both prior to and following EGF stimulation (**Fig. 3E**), demonstrating that

increasing cell-cell contact reduces the magnitude of EGF-promoted Akt activation. ERK

activation, on the other hand, was unaffected by cell-cell contact, with cells generating

similar EGF-promoted increases in ERK activation irrespective of their degree of cell-cell

contact (**Fig. 3F**).

Coupling EGFR activity to vesicular recycling generates a positive feedback

While the inhibition of Akt-dependent recycling results in a reduction in PM EGFR

abundance in Cos-7 cells (**Fig. 1A-K, Fig. S1A-D, Fig. S2A-B**), we also observed that an

increase in cellular Akt activity through the inhibition of its negative regulator PP2A by

okadaic acid resulted in a concomitant increase in PM EGFR (**Fig. 4A**). Since EGFR

activation itself increases Akt activity in cells (**Fig. 3A-C, Fig. 3E, Fig. S3**), we next asked

whether PM EGFR abundance is actively maintained during growth factor stimulation

through an EGF-induced increase in Akt-dependent vesicular recycling. Using a

fluorescence localization after photoactivation (FLAP) approach to quantify the

vesicular trafficking of EGFR to the PM following photoactivation of EGFR-paGFP in

endosomes (**Fig. 4B top**), we observed an increase in EGFR-paGFP recycling during EGF

stimulation (**Fig. 4B bottom**). Akt inhibition completely suppressed this EGF-promoted

increase in vesicular recycling (**Fig. 4B bottom**), further demonstrating the contribution

of Akt-dependent recycling in sustaining PM EGFR activity (**Fig. 3A**). Thus, by

stimulating Akt-dependent recycling, EGFR activation generates a positive feedback that

actively maintain its PM abundance during EGF stimulation.

Positive feedback in combination with inhibitory network motifs can convert graded

inputs into switch-like, ultrasensitive signaling responses (*44*). Since Akt is

preferentially activated at the PM (**Fig. S4**), the EGF-induced increase in EGFR vesicular

recycling (**Fig. 4B**) could generate a positive feedback for Akt activation (**Fig. 4C**). To

investigate if this positive feedback can generate a switch-like activation of Akt, we

measured Akt phosphorylation in thousands of individual Cos-7 cells by flow cytometry

following sustained stimulation with a range of EGF concentrations (**Fig. 4D-E**). Cells

were stimulated in suspension to negate in situ cell-cell contact as an extrinsic source of

variability in Akt activation (**Fig. 3E**). At concentrations ≥ 1 ng/ml, EGF stimulation

produced a switch-like activation to a high Akt phosphorylation state in a subpopulation

of cells, whose proportion increased with EGF concentration (**Fig. 4D-E top**). Decoupling

EGFR activation from its effect on vesicular recycling by PIKfyve inhibition (**Fig. 4D-E**

middle) or A1 pretreatment (**Fig. 4D-E bottom**) did not result in a global decrease in

cellular Akt activation but rather reduced the proportion of cells generating a high Akt

phosphorylation state, consistent with the inhibition of a positive feedback that

produces this switch-like response. Intrinsic cell-to-cell variability in the EGF threshold

required to stimulate Akt-dependent vesicular recycling, therefore, determines the

proportion of cells that transition to a high Akt activity state at a given EGF

concentration. Eph receptor activation, by decoupling EGFR activation from its effect on

vesicular trafficking, reduces Akt activation within the population by decreasing the

proportion of cells transitioning to a high Akt activity state during EGF stimulation.

Eph activation at cell-cell contact suppresses the EGF-promoted transition to a migratory

state

EGFR signaling to effectors at the PM generates exploratory cellular behaviors (*45-52*)

that must be maintained to induce a persistent migratory response. Given that contact

inhibitory Eph receptor activation selectively suppresses PM signaling during sustained,

subsaturating EGF stimulation (**Fig. 3A, 4D-E**), we investigated if cell-cell contact

regulates EGF-promoted migration by inhibiting Akt-dependent recycling. Since Cos-7

cells exhibit limited migratory behavior, we examined NIH 3T3 mouse embryonic

fibroblast (MEF) cells, which generate a haptotactic migratory response to fibronectin

that is enhanced by EGF through an increase in exploratory behavior (*53*). Similar to

Cos-7 cells (**Fig. 1A-B**), these cells also exhibit a Eph-activity dependent depletion of PM

EGFR abundance (**Fig. 5A-B**). Following stimulation with a subsaturating EGF

concentration (20 ng/ml), we observed a significant increase in the proportion of

migratory cells (**Fig. 5C top, Movie S4, Fig. S5**), but no change in the average distance

travelled per cell (**Fig. 5C bottom**). This indicates that EGF promotes the transition of

individual cells to a migratory state rather than increasing overall cellular motility. Since

EGF binding promotes receptor ubiquitination and degradation, sustained stimulation

with supraphysiological saturating EGF concentrations (100 ng/ml) induces a rapid loss

in EGF sensitivity with time and thus did not significantly increase the proportion of

migratory cells (**Fig. 5C top**). Decoupling EGFR activation from its effect on Akt-

dependent recycling through the inhibition of PIKfyve or following Eph receptor

activation decreased the proportion of migratory cells (**Fig. 5C top**). We observed

further that increasing concentrations of A1 progressively decreased EGF-induced

migration (**Fig. 5C top**), consistent with its concentration-dependent effect on EGF-

promoted Akt activation (**Fig. 3C**) and suggesting that the amount of ephrinA1-Eph

receptor interactions at points of cell-cell contact may determine whether a cell initiates

a migratory response to EGF. Indeed, we found that the number of migratory cells

following EGF stimulation was inversely proportional to cell density (**Fig. 5D**) and that

the increase in migration observed at low densities could be countered by treatment

with soluble A1 to mimic Eph receptor contact inhibitory signaling (**Fig. 5D**). Thus,

physiological Eph receptor activation at points of homotypic cell-cell contact suppresses

EGF-promoted migration by inhibiting Akt-dependent vesicular recycling. However, by

preserving endosomal ERK activation following EGF stimulation (**Fig. 3A bottom**), we

found that neither PIKfyve inhibition nor A1 pretreatment led to a reduction in EGF-

promoted cell proliferation (**Fig. 5E**). Thus, by altering the spatiotemporal distribution

of EGFR activity, contact inhibitory signaling by Eph receptors influences the cellular

outcome to EGF stimulation, preserving a proliferative response while suppressing cell

migration.

Modulation of vesicular dynamics as a general mechanism to produce context-dependent

EGFR signaling

To determine whether environmental signals other than cell-cell contact can influence

EGFR signaling through changes in its vesicular trafficking, we investigated the effect of

activation of the G protein-coupled receptor Kiss1 (Kiss1R), which, similar to Eph

receptors, inhibits Akt (*54*) and suppresses cell migration and metastatic invasion (*55*).

Stimulation with the soluble Kiss1R ligand kisspeptin-10 (Kp-10) reduced Akt activity in

HEK293 cells and decreased PM EGFR abundance (**Fig. 6A**). Similar to the effect of cell-

cell contact, pretreatment with Kp-10 selectively inhibited EGF-promoted Akt activation

(**Fig. 6B**), while preserving ERK activation (**Fig. 6C**). The modulation of EGFR vesicular

trafficking dynamics could therefore provide a general mechanism to generate plasticity

in the signaling response to EGFR activation, through which diverse environmental

signals such as cell-cell contact or soluble stimuli like Kp-10 can influence the cellular

response to EGF.

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we demonstrate that Eph receptor activation at cell-cell contacts can

generate context-dependent cellular responses to EGF stimulation by modulating EGFR

vesicular trafficking dynamics.

Chemotaxis requires that cells remain responsive to stimuli for prolonged periods of

time as they migrate toward the chemotactic source. Through an increase in Akt-

dependent recycling (**Fig. 4B**), EGF stimulation maintains EGFR density at the PM during

persistent, subsaturating stimulation. Since Akt itself is preferentially activated at the

PM (**Fig. S3**), the EGF-promoted increase in vesicular recycling generates a positive

feedback that switches cells to a high Akt activation state (**Fig. 4D-E**). Although Akt has

been previously observed on endosomal membranes through interactions with the early

endocytic adaptor protein APPL1 (*56, 57*), de novo activation of Akt by EGFR requires

the production of $PI(3,4,5)P_3$, which is impeded by the low abundance of $PI(4,5)P_2$ in

endosomal membranes (*41, 58*). Although Akt activation may occur to some extent on

endosomal membranes (*59*), since the coupling of active EGFR to Akt activation will be

more efficient at the PM, any perturbations that influence the spatial distribution of

EGFR, such as Eph receptor activation, will influence the capacity of EGFR to activate Akt

(**Fig. 3A, Fig. 6A-B, Fig. S3**).

We observed that the switch to a high Akt activity state only occurs in a proportion of

cells, even in the absence of in situ cell-cell contacts, and increases with EGF

concentration (**Fig. 4D-E**). Population heterogeneity in Akt activation has been

previously attributed to cell-to-cell variation in PI3K expression (*60*). Our data suggest

that intrinsic variability in the expression of signaling and/or trafficking effectors may

determine, for a given cell, the EGF concentration required to stimulate Akt-dependent

trafficking and engage the positive feedback that produces a high Akt activity state.

Small differences in EGF concentration substantially influence the proportion of cells

generating a high Akt response (e.g. a shift from 5 to 10 ng/ml increases the proportion

of cells from 43 to 85%, respectively, **Fig. 4D-E**). Perhaps it is not coincidental that the

concentration range over which this switch occurs corresponds to the physiological

range of EGF concentrations (*29*). By generating a sharp boundary for Akt activation

within the physiological EGF concentration regime, even slight changes in the threshold

of this switch could have profound implications for tissue dynamics (e.g. initiation of

migration).

Eph receptor activation, for example, by suppressing EGFR recycling, decreased the

proportion of cells generating a high Akt response from 85 to 41% in response to 10

ng/ml EGF (**Fig. 4D-E**). The dependence of Akt activation on EGFR recycling allows the

degree of cell-cell contact to regulate the proportion of cells generating a migratory

response to EGF stimulation. PI3K/Akt signaling has previously been suggested as the

point of convergence for EGFR/Eph control of cell migration (*15*); however, the

molecular mechanism underlying this oppositional relationship remained unclear. Our

results indicate that Eph receptor activation inhibits EGF-promoted cell migration by

suppressing Akt-dependent recycling, thus impeding the spatially-maintained positive

feedback that generates a high Akt response and decreasing the sensitivity of cells to

persistent EGF stimulation necessary to maintain exploratory behavior. However, by

changing the spatial distribution of EGFR activity (**Fig. 2C-D**), Eph receptor activation

selectively suppresses migratory signaling from the PM while leaving proliferative ERK

signaling intact (**Fig. 3A, Fig. 3E-F, Fig. 5C-D**). This contextual plasticity generates two

distinct cellular outcomes to EGF stimulation that may be important in physiological

settings such as wound healing. At the tissue boundary, cells with reduced cell-cell

contact would increase their exploratory behavior in response to EGF released at the

site of the wound. Cells located deeper in the tissue, despite extensive cell-cell contact,

would retain their proliferative response to extracellular EGF, and undergo mitosis to fill

the vacant space created as exploratory cells migrate to occupy the wound area.

Our observations demonstrate that communication between receptors with opposed

functionality can emerge through changes in vesicular trafficking dynamics rather than

relying on direct interactions between the receptors or their respective effectors. Such a

mechanism also allows different receptors with similar functional roles (e.g. EphA2 and

Kiss1R) to alter the cellular response to stimuli without having to evolve distinct protein

interaction domains to do so. The dependency of EGFR signaling on its vesicular

dynamics could confer a general mechanism through which the cell can generate

functional plasticity to growth factor stimulation while preserving specificity in cell-cell

communication.

Materials and methods

Primary antibodies

Mouse anti-Akt (2920, Cell Signaling Technology (CST), Danvers, MA, USA), mouse anti-Akt-Alexa488 (2917, CST), rabbit anti-phospho-Akt(Ser^{473}) (4060, CST), rabbit anti-phospho-Akt (Ser^{473})-Alexa647 (4075, CST), mouse anti-HA (9658, Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, MO, USA), rabbit anti-EGFR (4267, CST), goat anti-EGFR (AF231, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), mouse anti-phospho-EGFR(Tyr^{845}) (558381, BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany), rabbit anti-phospho-EGFR(Tyr^{1045}) (2237, CST), mouse anti-phospho-EGFR(Tyr^{1068}) (2236, CST), goat anti-EphA2 (R&D Systems), rabbit anti-phospho-Eph(Tyr$^{588/596}$) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), mouse anti-ERK1/2 (4696, CST), rabbit anti-phospho-ERK(Thr$^{202/Tyr204}$) (4370, CST), mouse anti-Rab5 (610724, BD Biosciences), rabbit anti-Rab7 (9367, CST), rabbit anti-phospho-Rb(Ser807/811, CST) mouse anti-tubulin (6074, Sigma-Aldrich)

Secondary antibodies

IRDye 680RD Donkey anti-Mouse (LI-COR Biosciences), IRDye 680RD Donkey anti-Rabbit (LI-COR Biosciences), IRDye 680RD Donkey anti-Goat (LI-COR Biosciences), IRDye 800CW, Donkey anti-Mouse (LI-COR Biosciences), IRDye 800CW Donkey anti-Rabbit (LI-COR Biosciences), IRDye 800CW Donkey anti-Rabbit (LI-COR Biosciences), AlexaFluor 405 goat anti-Mouse (Life Technologies), AlexaFluor 488 donkey anti-Goat (Life Technologies), AlexaFluor 546 donkey anti-rabbit (Life Technologies), AlexaFluor 647 donkey anti-Rabbit (Life Technologies)

Plasmids

Generation of EGFR-mCitrine, EGFR-mCherry, EGFR-paGFP, PTB-mCherry, c-Cbl-BFP

and HA-ubiquitin (*25*), as well as EphA2-mCitrine, SH2-mCherry and LIFEA2 (*24*) were

previously described. pcDNA3.1-EphA2 was a gift from Tony Pawson.

Reagents

AktVIII (sc-3513, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), EGF (AF-100-15,

Peprotech, Hamburg, Germany), okadaic acid (sc-3513, Santa Cruz Biotechnology),

YM201636 (13576, Biomol GmbH, Hamburg, Germany), dynole 31-2 (ab120464,

Abcam), dynole 34-2 (ab120463, Abcam), Kisspeptin-10 (445888, Merck Millipore).

EGF-Alexa647 was prepared as previously described (*25*). EphrinA1-Fc (602-A1-200)

was preclustered by incubating with chicken Anti-Fc (GW200083F, Sigma-Aldrich) at a

ratio of 5:1 at room temperature for at least 30 min.

Cell culture

Cos-7, HEK293 and NIH 3T3 cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium

(DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine and 1%

non-essential amino acids (NEAA) and maintained at 37°C in 5% CO_2. MCF10A cells

were grown in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 5% horse serum, 20 ng/ml EGF, 500

ng/ml hydrocortisone, 100 ng/ml cholera toxin and 10 μg/ml insulin and maintained at

37°C in 5% CO_2. MDA-MB-231 cells were grown in Leibowitz medium supplemented

with 10% FBS and 2mM L-glutamine maintained at 37°C in 0% CO_2. When required,

transfection of cells was performed using FUGENE6 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim,

Germany) or Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) according to

manufacturer's protocol. Approximately 16-18 hours prior to an experiment, cells were

starved in DMEM containing 0.5% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine and 1% NEAA. One hour

before stimulation, starvation media was changed to serum-free DMEM or DMEM

without phenol red for live cell imaging. Unless explicitly stated in the figure legends, all

experiments were performed with Cos-7 cells with endogenous expression of EGFR and

Eph receptors.

In-cell westerns (ICW) and on-cell westerns (OCW)

Cells were seeded on black, transparent bottomed 96-well plates (3340, Corning, Hagen,

Germany) coated with poly-L-lysine (P6282, Sigma Aldrich). Cell were fixed with Roti-

Histofix 4% (Carl Roth, Karsruhe, Germany) for 5 min at 37°C. For ICW, cells were

permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (v/v) for 5 min at room temperature. For OCW,

cells were not permeabilized. Samples were incubated in Odyssey TBS blocking buffer

(LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) for 30 min at room temperature. Primary

antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C and secondary antibodies (IRDyes, LI-COR

Biosciences) were incubated in the dark for 1 h at room temperature. All wash steps

were performed with TBS (pH 7.4). Intensity measurements were made using the

Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences). ICW/OCW were calibrated by

Western blots to ensure accurate quantification. Quantification of the integrated

intensity in each well was performed using the MicroArray Profile plugin (OptiNav Inc.,

Bellevue, WA, USA) for ImageJ v1.47 (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). In each ICW or OCW,

2-4 replicates per conditions were obtained per experiment, and all data presented

represents means ± s.e.m. from at least three independent experiments.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were cultured on 4- or 8-well chambered glass slides (Lab-tek, Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS (w/v) for 10 min at

4°C. To measure PM EGFR, fixed, non-permeabilized samples were first incubated with

primary antibody directed at an extracellular epitope of EGFR (AF231, R&D Systems,

1:200) overnight at 4°C followed by secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature.

For all other immunofluorescence experiments, samples were permeabilized with 0.1%

Triton X-100 (v/v) for 5 min at room temperature prior to incubation with primary

antibodies. All wash steps were performed with TBS (pH 7.4). Fixed samples were

imaged in PBS at 37°C. For all analysis, an initial background subtraction was performed

on immunofluorescence images. To quantify the proportion of EGFR in Rab5 and Rab7

compartments, binary masks were generated from intensity thresholded images of Rab5

and Rab7 staining. To generate a mask of Rab5/Rab7 double positive endosomes, the

product of their individual masks was used. The integrated fluorescence intensity of

EGFR-mCherry was determined in each of the endosomal masks and divided by the total

integrated EGFR fluorescence intensity of the cell. All analysis was performed using

ImageJ. A cell segmentor tool was developed in-house in Anaconda Python (Python

Software Foundation, version 2.7, https://www.python.org/) to quantify the spatial

distribution of EGFR and pTyr^{845}-EGFR in fixed cells. Cells were divided into 6 equally

spaced radial bins emanating from the center of cell mass.

Confocal imaging

Routinely, cells were cultured for live cell confocal imaging on 4- or 8-well chambered

glass slides (Lab-tek) and transiently transfected as described above. Confocal images

were recorded using an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 confocal microscope (Olympus Life

Science Europa, Hamburg, Germany) or a Leica SP8 confocal microscope (Leica

Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Olympus Fluoview™ FV1000

The Olympus Fluoview™ FV1000 confocal microscope was equipped with a temperature

controlled CO_2 incubation chamber at 37°C (EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany) and a

60x/1.35 NA Oil UPLSApo objective (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany). EphA2-mCitrine

and EGFR-mCherry were excited using a 488 nm Argon-laser (GLG 3135, Showa

Optronics, Tokyo, Japan) and a 561 nm DPSS laser (85-YCA-020-230, Melles Griot,

Bensheim, Germany), respectively. Detection of fluorescence emission was restricted

with an Acousto-Optical Beam Splitter (AOBS) for mCitrine @ 498-551 nm and mCherry

@ 575-675 nm. In all cases, scanning was performed in frame-by-frame sequential mode

with 2x frame averaging. The pinhole was set to 250 μm.

Leica SP8

The Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope was equipped with an environment-controlled

chamber (LIFE IMAGING SERVICES, Switzerland) maintained at 37°C and a HC PL APO

CS2 1.4 NA oil objective (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Alexa488-conjugated

secondary antibodies, fluorescent fusion proteins containing mCitrine and mCherry, and

EGF-Alexa647 were excited using a 470–670 nm white light laser (white light laser Kit

WLL2, NKT Photonics, Denmark) at 488, 514 and 561 and 647 nm, respectively. PH-Akt-

Cerulean was excited using an Argon Laser at 458 nm. Detection of fluorescence

emission was restricted with an AOBS as follows: Cerulean @ 468-505 nm, Alexa488 @

498-551 nm, mCitrine @ 525-570 nm, mCherry @ 570-650 nm and Alexa647 @ 654-

754 nm. The pinhole was set to 250 μm and 12-bit images of 512x512 pixels were

acquired in a frame-by-frame sequential mode.

Analysis of time-lapse confocal imaging

All analysis of live cell imaging data required an initial background subtraction for all

images obtained.

To quantify the proportion of endosomal EGFR-mCherry or EphA2-mCitrine, binary

masks of endosomes were generated from intensity thresholded images. The integrated

fluorescence intensity of EGFR-mCherry and EphA2-mCitrine was determined in their

corresponding endosomal masks and divided by the total integrated fluorescence

intensity of the cell.

Fluorescence localization after photoactivation (FLAP) experiments were carried out at

37°C on a Leica SP8. EGFR-mCherry was co-expressed to identify and select regions of

endosomal EGFR for photoactivation. Background intensity of EGFR-paGFP prior to

photoactivation was measured and subtracted from post-activation images.

Photoactivation of EGFR-paGFP was performed with the 405nm laser at 90% power.

Following photoactivation, fluorescence images of EGFR-paGFP were acquired every

minute for a total of 15 minutes. PM EGFR-paGFP fluorescence was quantified as the

integrated intensity in a 5-pixel ring of the cell periphery and, after subtracting pre-

activation background intensity, was calculated as a proportion of total EGFR-paGFP

intensity.

Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM)

EGFR-mCitrine, PTB-mCherry and HA-c-Cbl-BFP were ectopically expressed in Cos-7

cells. Fluorescence lifetime measurements of EGFR-mCitrine were performed at 37°C on

a Leica SP8 equipped with a time-correlated single-photon counting module (LSM

Upgrade Kit, Picoquant, Berlin, Germany) using a 63x/1.4 NA oil objective. EGFR-

mCitrine was excited using a pulsed WLL at a frequency of 20 MHz and fluorescence

emission was restricted with an AOBS to 525-570 nm. Photons were integrated for a

total of ~ 2 min per image using the SymPhoTime software V5.13 (Picoquant, Berlin,

Germany). Data analysis was performed using custom software in Anaconda Python

based on global analysis as described in (*61*).

Fluorescence lifetime measurements of LIFEA2 were performed and analyzed as

previously described (*24*).

Flow cytometry

Cells were detached using accutase, centrifuged at 200g for 5 min and resuspended in

serum-free DMEM. Cells were fixed with 5% sucrose/Roti-Histofix (w/v) for 15 min at

37°C. Ice-cold methanol was added to 90% (v/v) for 30 min on ice. Cells were rinsed

once with 0.5% BSA/TBS (w/v) and incubated with Odyssey TBS blocking buffer (LI-

COR Biosciences) for 30 min at room temperature. Anti-phospho-Akt(Ser[473])-Alexa647

(4075, Cell Signaling Technology) was added directly to blocking buffer and incubated

overnight at 4°C. Anti-Akt-Alexa488 (2917, Cell Signaling Technology) was added for 2 h

prior to measurement. Samples were analyzed using the LSRII flow cytometer (BD

Biosciences). Alexa488 was excited with a 488nm laser and fluorescence emission was

collected using a 505 nm LP dichroic and a 530/30 nm filter. Alexa647 was excited with

633 nm lasers and fluorescence emission was collected using a 670/40 nm filter.

Samples were analyzed using FlowJo v10 (FlowJo, LLC, Ashland, OR, USA) to obtain

single cell intensity measurements of phospho- and total Akt. Population distributions of

log(phospho/total Akt) were fitted with a single Gaussian or a sum of two Gaussian

distributions using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Cell migration

NIH 3T3 cells were seeded onto fibronectin-coated (F0895, Sigma, 1.25 ug/cm^2) 12 well

culture dishes (83.3921, Sarstedt, Nuembrecht, Germany) containing 2-well Culture-

Inserts (80209, ibidi) to create a cell-free area. Immediately before stimulation, inserts

were removed and cells were incubated with Hoechst to label nuclei. Wide field images

were acquired using an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope (Olympus, Hamburg,

Germany) equipped with a MT20 illumination system, a 4x/0.16 NA air objective and an

Orca CCD camera (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu City, Japan). Transmission and

fluorescence images were acquired every 10 min for 16 h. The cell-free area created by

the Culture-Insert was cropped using ImageJ and defined as the migration region.

Individual cells were detected and tracked by their nuclear Hoechst staining as they

travelled within the migration region using the TrackMate ImageJ plugin (*62*), and the

total distance of each track was quantified.

Immunoprecipitation (IP) and western blotting

Cells were lysed in TGH (150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA/EDTA, 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 1%

Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 mM N-

ethylmaleimide(NEM)) or RIPA (for immunoprecipitation; 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5),

150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% Sodium deoxycholate,

0.2% SDS, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate and 10 mM NEM), supplemented with

Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche Applied Science, Heidelberg,

Germany) and 100 µl phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 2 and 3 (P5726 and P0044, Sigma

Aldrich). Lysates were sonicated prior to centrifugation at 14 000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C

to separate non-soluble material. For immunoprecipitation, cell lysates were incubated

with 50 µl washed Protein G magnetic beads (10003D, Life Technologies) for 1 h at 4°C

to pre-clear the samples from unspecific binding proteins. Supernatants were incubated

with primary antibody alone for 2 h followed by the addition and overnight incubation

with Protein G magnetic beads at 4°C with agitation. SDS–PAGE was performed using the

X-cell II mini electrophoresis apparatus (Life Technologies) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Samples were transferred to preactivated PVDF

membranes (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA) and incubated with the respective primary

antibodies at 4°C overnight. Detection was performed using species-specific secondary

IR-Dye secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences) and the Odyssey Infrared Imaging

System (LI-COR Biosciences). The integrated intensity of protein bands of interest was

measured using the ImageJ software and signals were normalised by dividing the

intensities of phosphorylated protein by total protein intensities or by dividing

intensities of co-immunoprecipitated proteins by the corresponding

immunoprecipitated protein.

References:

1. Y. Yarden, M. X. Sliwkowski, Untangling the ErbB signalling network. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **2**, 127-137 (2001).

2. B. Snijder *et al.*, Population context determines cell-to-cell variability in endocytosis and virus infection. *Nature* **461**, 520-523 (2009).

3. R. Kumar, H. Kuniyasu, C. D. Bucana, M. R. Wilson, I. J. Fidler, Spatial and temporal expression of angiogenic molecules during tumor growth and progression. *Oncology research* **10**, 301-311 (1998).

4. M. D. Ungrin, C. Joshi, A. Nica, C. Bauwens, P. W. Zandstra, Reproducible, Ultra High-Throughput Formation of Multicellular Organization from Single Cell Suspension-Derived Human Embryonic Stem Cell Aggregates. *PLoS ONE* **3**, (2008).

5. E. B. Pasquale, Eph receptors and ephrins in cancer: bidirectional signalling and beyond. *Nature Reviews Cancer* **10**, 165-180 (2010).

6. H. Miao *et al.*, Activation of EphA receptor tyrosine kinase inhibits the Ras/MAPK pathway. *Nature cell biology* **3**, (2001).

7. E. Batlle *et al.*, EphB receptor activity suppresses colorectal cancer progression. *Nature* **435**, 1126-1130 (2005).

8. A. Merlos-Suárez, E. Batlle, Eph–ephrin signalling in adult tissues and cancer. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **20**, 194-200 (2008).

9. C. Cortina *et al.*, EphB–ephrin-B interactions suppress colorectal cancer progression by compartmentalizing tumor cells. *Nature Genetics* **39**, 1376-1383 (2007).

10. J. J. Li, D. P. Liu, G. T. Liu, D. Xie, EphrinA5 acts as a tumor suppressor in glioma by negative regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor. *Oncogene* **28**, (2009).

11. A. W. Boyd, P. F. Bartlett, M. Lackmann, Therapeutic targeting of EPH receptors and their ligands. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* **13**, 39-62 (2013).

12. H. Miao *et al.*, EphA2 mediates ligand-dependent inhibition and ligand-independent promotion of cell migration and invasion via a reciprocal regulatory loop with Akt. *Cancer cell* **16**, (2009).

13. J. W. Astin *et al.*, Competition amongst Eph receptors regulates contact inhibition of locomotion and invasiveness in prostate cancer cells. *Nature Cell Biology* **12**, 1194-1204 (2010).

14. G. Li *et al.*, EphB3 suppresses non-small-cell lung cancer metastasis via a PP2A/RACK1/Akt signalling complex. *Nature communications* **3**, (2012).

15. B. Lin, T. Yin, Y. I. Wu, T. Inoue, A. Levchenko, Interplay between chemotaxis and contact inhibition of locomotion determines exploratory cell migration. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6619 (2015).

16. E. Er, M. C. Mendoza, A. M. Mackey, L. E. Rameh, J. Blenis, AKT facilitates EGFR
trafficking and degradation by phosphorylating and activating PIKfyve. *Science*
signaling **6**, (2013).

17. V. Laketa *et al.*, PIP₃ induces the recycling of receptor tyrosine kinases. *Science*
signaling **7**, (2014).

18. G. Salazar, A. González, Novel mechanism for regulation of epidermal growth factor
receptor endocytosis revealed by protein kinase A inhibition. *Molecular biology of the*
cell **13**, 1677-1693 (2002).

19. S. S. Dykes, J. J. Steffan, J. A. Cardelli, Lysosome trafficking is necessary for EGF-
driven invasion and is regulated by p38 MAPK and Na+/H+ exchangers. *BMC Cancer*
17, 672 (2017).

20. H. Hanafusa *et al.*, Leucine-rich repeat kinase LRRK1 regulates endosomal trafficking
of the EGF receptor. *Nature Communications* **2**, (2011).

21. J. Wang *et al.*, Activation of Rab8 guanine nucleotide exchange factor Rabin8 by
ERK1/2 in response to EGF signaling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences **112**, 148-153 (2015).

22. S. L. Schmid, Reciprocal regulation of signaling and endocytosis: Implications for the
evolving cancer cell. *J Cell Biol* **216**, (2017).

23. S. Davis *et al.*, Ligands for EPH-related receptor tyrosine kinases that require
membrane attachment or clustering for activity. *Science* **266**, 816-819 (1994).

24. O. Sabet *et al.*, Ubiquitination switches EphA2 vesicular traffic from a continuous
safeguard to a finite signalling mode. *Nature Communications* **6**, 8047 (2015).

25. M. Baumdick *et al.*, EGF-dependent re-routing of vesicular recycling switches
spontaneous phosphorylation suppression to EGFR signaling. *eLife* **4**, (2015).

26. S. Sigismund *et al.*, Threshold-controlled ubiquitination of the EGFR directs receptor
fate. *The EMBO Journal* **32**, 2140-2157 (2013).

27. J. L. Macdonald, L. J. Pike, Heterogeneity in EGF-binding affinities arises from
negative cooperativity in an aggregating system. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **105**, 112-117 (2008).

28. D. Poteryaev, S. Datta, K. Ackema, M. Zerial, A. Spang, Identification of the Switch
in Early-to-Late Endosome Transition. *Cell* **141**, 497-508 (2009).

29. J. W. Konturek, W. Bielanski, S. J. Konturek, J. Bogdal, J. Oleksy, Distribution and
release of epidermal growth factor in man. *Gut* **30**, 1194-1200 (1989).

30. S. Sigismund *et al.*, Clathrin-Mediated Internalization Is Essential for Sustained EGFR
Signaling but Dispensable for Degradation. *Developmental Cell* **15**, 209-219 (2008).

31. M. Offterdinger, V. Georget, A. Girod, P. I. H. Bastiaens, Imaging Phosphorylation
Dynamics of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*
279, 36972-36981 (2004).

32.   R. Villaseñor, Y. Kalaidzidis, M. Zerial, Signal processing by the endosomal system.
679   *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **39**, 53-60 (2016).

680   33.   A. Sorkin, M. von Zastrow, Endocytosis and signalling: intertwining molecular
681   networks. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **10**, 609-622 (2009).

682   34.   N. Taub, D. Teis, H. L. Ebner, M. W. Hess, L. A. Huber, Late Endosomal Traffic of
683   the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Ensures Spatial and Temporal Fidelity of
684   Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase Signaling. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **18**, 4698-
685   4710 (2007).

686   35.   F. S. Wouters, P. Bastiaens, Fluorescence lifetime imaging of receptor tyrosine kinase
687   activity in cells. *Current Biology* **9**, 1127 (1999).

688   36.   N. Verma *et al.*, PYK2 sustains endosomal-derived receptor signalling and enhances
689   epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6064 (2015).

690   37.   D. G. M. Guglielmo, P. C. Baass, W. J. Ou, B. I. Posner, J. J. Bergeron,
691   Compartmentalization of SHC, GRB2 and mSOS, and hyperphosphorylation of Raf-1
692   by EGF but not insulin in liver parenchyma. *The EMBO journal* **13**, 4269-4277
693   (1994).

694   38.   D. Teis *et al.*, p14-MP1-MEK1 signaling regulates endosomal traffic and cellular
695   proliferation during tissue homeostasis. *The Journal of cell biology* **175**, 861-868
696   (2006).

697   39.   S. Nada *et al.*, The novel lipid raft adaptor p18 controls endosome dynamics by
698   anchoring the MEK–ERK pathway to late endosomes. *The EMBO Journal* **28**, 477-
699   489 (2009).

700   40.   A. Fortian, A. Sorkin, Live-cell fluorescence imaging reveals high stoichiometry of
701   Grb2 binding to the EGF receptor sustained during endocytosis. *Journal of Cell
702   Science* **127**, 432-444 (2014).

703   41.   J. M. Haugh, T. Meyer, Active EGF receptors have limited access to PtdIns(4,5)P2 in
704   endosomes: implications for phospholipase C and PI 3-kinase signaling. *J. Cell Sci.*
705   **115**, (2002).

706   42.   Y. Nishimura, S. Takiguchi, S. Ito, K. Itoh, EGF‑stimulated AKT activation is
707   mediated by EGFR recycling via an early endocytic pathway in a gefitinib‑resistant
708   human lung cancer cell line. *International journal of oncology* **46**, (2015).

709   43.   R. Villaseñor, H. Nonaka, P. Conte-Zerial, Y. Kalaidzidis, M. Zerial, Regulation of
710   EGFR signal transduction by analogue-to-digital conversion in endosomes. *eLife* **4**,
711   (2015).

712   44.   J. E. Ferrell, Jr, S. Ha, Ultrasensitivity part II: multisite phosphorylation,
713   stoichiometric inhibitors, and positive feedback. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **39**,
714   556-569 (2014).

715   45.   M. Chinkers, J. A. McKanna, S. Cohen, Rapid induction of morphological changes in
716   human carcinoma cells A-431 by epidermal growth factors. *The Journal of Cell
717   Biology* **83**, 260-265 (1979).

718   46.   A. J. Ridley, A. Hall, The small GTP-binding protein rho regulates the assembly of
719   focal adhesions and actin stress fibers in response to growth factors. *Cell* **70**, 389-399
720   (1992).

721   47.   A. Y. Chan *et al.*, EGF stimulates an increase in actin nucleation and filament number
722   at the leading edge of the lamellipod in mammary adenocarcinoma cells. *Journal of*
723   *cell science* **111 (Pt 2)**, 199-211 (1998).

724   48.   J. E. Segall *et al.*, EGF stimulates lamellipod extension in metastatic mammary
725   adenocarcinoma cells by an actin-dependent mechanism. *Clinical & Experimental*
726   *Metastasis* **14**, 61-72 (1996).

727   49.   M. Bailly *et al.*, Relationship between Arp2/3 Complex and the Barbed Ends of Actin
728   Filaments at the Leading Edge of Carcinoma Cells after Epidermal Growth Factor
729   Stimulation. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **145**, 331-345 (1999).

730   50.   B. D. Harms, G. M. Bassi, A. Horwitz, D. A. Lauffenburger, Directional Persistence
731   of EGF-Induced Cell Migration Is Associated with Stabilization of Lamellipodial
732   Protrusions. *Biophysical Journal* **88**, 1479-1488 (2005).

733   51.   S.-C. Yip *et al.*, The distinct roles of Ras and Rac in PI 3-kinase-dependent protrusion
734   during EGF-stimulated cell migration. *Journal of Cell Science* **120**, 3138-3146 (2007).

735   52.   M. El-Sibai *et al.*, Cdc42 is required for EGF-stimulated protrusion and motility in
736   MTLn3 carcinoma cells. *Journal of Cell Science* **120**, 3465-3474 (2007).

737   53.   J. Li, M.-L. Lin, G. J. Wiepz, A. G. Guadarrama, P. J. Bertics, Integrin-mediated
738   Migration of Murine B82L Fibroblasts Is Dependent on the Expression of an Intact
739   Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **274**, 11209-
740   11219 (1999).

741   54.   J.-M. Navenot, N. Fujii, S. C. Peiper, KiSS1 metastasis suppressor gene product
742   induces suppression of tyrosine kinase receptor signaling to Akt, tumor necrosis factor
743   family ligand expression, and apoptosis. *Molecular pharmacology* **75**, (2009).

744   55.   J.-M. Navenot, N. Fujii, S. C. Peiper, Activation of Rho and Rho-Associated Kinase
745   by GPR54 and KiSS1 Metastasis Suppressor Gene Product Induces Changes of Cell
746   Morphology and Contributes to Apoptosis. *Molecular Pharmacology* **75**, 1300-1306
747   (2009).

748   56.   A. Schenck *et al.*, The Endosomal Protein Appl1 Mediates Akt Substrate Specificity
749   and Cell Survival in Vertebrate Development. *Cell* **133**, 486-497 (2008).

750   57.   M. Ebner, I. Lučić, T. A. Leonard, I. Yudushkin, PI(3,4,5)P3 Engagement Restricts
751   Akt Activity to Cellular Membranes. *Molecular Cell* **65**, 416-431000000 (2017).

752   58.   M. Matsuda *et al.*, Real Time Fluorescence Imaging of Plcγ Translocation and Its
753   Interaction with the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor. *The Journal of Cell Biology*
754   **153**, 599-612 (2001).

755   59.   N. Jethwa *et al.*, Endomembrane PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 activates the PI3K–Akt pathway. *J*
756   *Cell Sci* **128**, 3456-3465 (2015).

60.	T. L. Yuan, G. Wulf, L. Burga, L. C. Cantley, Cell-to-Cell Variability in PI3K Protein
Level Regulates PI3K-AKT Pathway Activity in Cell Populations. *Current Biology*
21, 173-183 (2011).

61.	H. E. Grecco, P. Roda-Navarro, P. J. Verveer, Global analysis of time correlated
single photon counting FRET-FLIM data. *Optics Express* **17**, 6493-6508 (2009).

62.	J.-Y. Tinevez *et al.*, TrackMate: an open and extensible platform for single-particle
tracking. *Methods*, (2016).
764
765
766

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Dr. Astrid Krämer for critically reading this

manuscript, Dr. Aneta Koseska for assistance in data analysis and Dr. Klaus Schuermann

for generating the 3-D spatial-temporal maps. **Funding:** The project was partially

funded by the European Research Council (ERC AdG 322637) to P.I.H.B. **Author**

contributions: P.I.H.B. and W.S. conceived the project. W.S. performed and analyzed

most experiments. O.S. performed experiments with LIFEA2 and contributed to the

migration experiments, Y.B. contributed to the immunofluorescence experiments, L.B.

contributed to the ICW and immunoprecipitation experiments. W.S. wrote the

manuscript with assistance from P.I.H.B. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that

no competing interests exist. **Data and material availability:** Data, scripts and

reagents are available upon request.

 Figure Legends:

 Figure 1: Eph receptor activation affects Akt-dependent EGFR trafficking. (A,B)

Representative immunofluorescence images (A) and quantification (B) of endogenous

PM EGFR in Cos-7 cells (N = 16-29 cells/condition) following stimulation with ephrinA1-

Fc (A1, 2 μg/ml) for the indicated times (means ± sd). **(C)** Quantification of Akt

activation by In-cell Western (ICW) in Cos-7 cells following A1 stimulation (left: 2

μg/ml; right: 15 min) (means ± s.e.m.). **(D)** Quantification of endogenous PM EGFR

abundance by On-cell Western (OCW) and Akt activation in Cos-7 cells following

treatment with the Akt inhibitor AktVIII (10 μM) for the times indicated (means ±

s.e.m.). **(E)** EGF-Alexa647 binding (200 ng/ml, 2 min) to endogenous EGFR in Cos-7 cells

as a measure of PM EGFR abundance following 1 h pretreatment with A1 (2 μg/ml), the

PIKfyve inhibitor YM201636 (YM, 200 nM) or both (N=33-59 cells/condition) (means ±

sd). **(F)** Representative confocal images of Cos-7 cells expressing EGFR-mCherry before

(top left) and after (top right) treatment with AktVIII (10 μM, 1 h) and quantification of

the increase in endosomal EGFR-mCherry during AktVIII treatment (bottom, N = 6 cells,

means ± sd). **(G)** Representative time-lapse confocal images of Cos-7 cells expressing

EGFR-mCherry and EphA2-mCitrine following A1 stimulation (2 μg/ml). **(H)**

Quantification of endosomal EGFR-mCherry and EphA2-mCitrine from time-lapse

confocal imaging (G) during A1 stimulation (N = 7 cells, means ± sd). **(I)** Quantification

of PM EGFR-mCherry and EphA2-mCitrine abundance by OCW during A1 stimulation (2

μg/ml) (means ± s.e.m.). **(J)** Immunofluorescence measurements of EGFR intensity in

Rab5-, Rab5/Rab7- and Rab7-positive endosomal compartments in control, A1-(2

μg/ml, 1 h) and AktVIII-(10 μM, 1 h) pretreated Cos-7 cells prior to (left) and following

EGF stimulation (right, 100 ng/ml, 1 h). (N=6-11 cells/condition). Data are represented

by Tukey boxplots with the mean denoted as a cross and the median as a line. **(K)**

804   Measurements of EGFR recycling in control and A1-pretreated cells (2 μg/ml, 1 h) by

805   immunofluorescence prior to (pre), after EGF stimulation (10 ng/ml, 15 min) and 15

806   min following EGF washout (N = 34-40 cells/condition, means ± sd). Statistical

807   significance was determined in B, E, J and K using a one-way ANOVA with Sidak's *post-*

808   *hoc* test (***, $p < 0.001$). Scale bars = 20 μm.

810
Figure 2: Eph receptor activation changes the spatial distribution of EGFR activity.

(A-B) Average spatial-temporal maps (A) of endogenous EGFR abundance (top) and

Tyr^{845} phosphorylation (bottom) in radially segmented Cos-7 cells (plasma membrane,

PM → nuclear membrane, NM) prior to and during EGF stimulation (20 ng/ml for 5, 30

and 60 min) in control, ephrinA1-Fc- (A1, 2 μg/ml, 1 h), AktVIII- (10 μM, 1 h) and

YM201636- (YM, 200 nM, 1h) pretreated cells (N = 50-90 cells per condition). Single cell

measurements of EGFR pTyr^{845} phosphorylation in the PM segment (B) during EGF

stimulation (means ± sd) **(C)** Phosphorylated fraction of EGFR-mCitrine as detected by

FLIM-FRET (α) and representative images of EGFR-mCitrine and EGF-Alexa647

fluorescence in control and A1- (2 μg/ml, 1 h), AktVIII- (10 μM, 1 h) and YM- (200 nM,

1h) pretreated Cos-7 cells following 60 min of EGF-Alexa647 stimulation (20 ng/ml) (N

= 10-14 cells/condition). **(D-F)** Quantification of the PM:endosome ratio of (D) EGFR-

mCitrine and (E) EGF-Alexa647 fluorescence intensity and **(F)** phosphorylated fraction

of EGFR-mCitrine (α) at PM and endosomes (means ± sd). Statistical significance was

determined in B and D-F using a one-way ANOVA with Sidak's *post-hoc* test (***, $p <$

0.001; **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$). Scale bars = 20 μm.

Figure 3: Eph receptor activation at cell-cell contact alters the EGFR signaling

response. (A) Quantification of Akt (top) and ERK (bottom) activation by ICW in control

and ephrinA1-Fc-pretreated (A1, 2 μg/ml, 1 h) Cos-7 cells endogenously expressing the

receptors following EGF stimulation (1 ng/ml) (means ± s.e.m.) **(B)** Quantification of Akt

activation in control or A1-pretreated (2 μg/ml, 1 h) HEK293 cells, followed by 30 min

treatment with the dynamin inhibitor dynole 34-2 (100 μM, top) or its negative control

analog dynole 31-2 (100 μM, bottom), then stimulated with EGF (1 ng/ml) for the times

indicated (means ± s.e.m.) **(C)** Quantification of EGF-promoted Akt activation in Cos-7

cells following pretreatment with increasing concentrations of A1 (0.02, 0.2 and 2

μg/ml, 1 h) (means ± s.e.m.) **(D)** Representative images of EphA2 activity using a FRET-

based sensor (LIFEA2(*24*)), whereby a decrease in fluorescence lifetime (τ, ns)

represents an increase in activity, and fluorescence intensity measurements of LIFEA2-

mCitrine and SH2-mCherry in Cos-7 cells. Scale bar = 20 μm. **(E,F)** Single cell

measurements of Akt (E) and ERK (F) activation versus cell-cell contact in 2-D cultures

(% cell circumference) in unstimulated and EGF stimulated (20 ng/ml, 1 h) Cos-7 cells. A

sum-of-squares F test was used to determine significance: Akt, unstimulated: $F = 16.0$, p

$= 0.001$, $r^2 = 0.432$; Akt, EGF: $F = 21.4$, $p < 0.001$, $r^2 = 0.322$; ERK, unstimulated: $F =$

0.180, $p = 0.673$, $r^2 = 0.003$; ERK, EGF: $F = 0.321$, $p = 0.575$, $r^2 = 0.009$.

Figure 4: Coupling EGFR activity to vesicular recycling generates a positive

feedback. (A) Quantification of endogenous PM EGFR abundance and Akt activation in

Cos-7 cells by OCW and ICW, respectively, following treatment with the PP2A inhibitor

okadaic acid (OA, 1 μM, 2 h) (means ± s.e.m.) **(B)** Representative images and

quantification of EGFR-paGFP recycling to the PM following endosomal photoactivation

in Cos-7 cells (top) in control, EGF (20 ng/ml, 15 min), AktVIII (10 μM, 1 h) and AktVIII-

pretreated, EGF-stimulated (bottom, N = 6-10 cells/condition) (means ± s.e.m.) Scale bar

= 20 μm **(C)** Spatial network topology showing positive feedback generated by coupling

PM EGFR activity and Akt-dependent vesicular recycling. PIKfyve inhibition by

YM201636 (YM) decouples Akt activation from its effect on EGFR recycling. **(D-E)** Single

cell measurements of Akt phosphorylation by flow cytometry in control (top), YM- (200

nM, 1h, middle) and ephrinA1-Fc- (A1, 2 μg/ml, 1h, bottom) pretreated Cos-7 cells

endogenously expressing the receptors following stimulation with EGF concentrations

indicated (ng/ml, 1 h). (D) Solid lines represent the sum of two Gaussian fits for data

accumulated from at least 10 000 cells per condition in 3-4 independent experiments.

(E) Quantification of Akt activation for increasing concentrations of EGF. Circle sizes

represent the relative proportions of the low (gray) and high (red) Akt activity

populations estimated from the Gaussian distributions derived for each EGF

concentration shown in D (means ± s.e.m.).

867
868

Figure 5: Eph activation at cell-cell contact suppresses the EGF-promoted

transition to a migratory state. (A) Representative immunofluorescence images and

(B) quantification of endogenous PM EGFR abundance in NIH 3T3 cells following

ephrinA1-Fc (A1, 2 μg/ml) stimulation (means ± sd). Statistical significance was

determined using a one-way ANOVA with Sidak's *post-hoc* test (***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p <$

0.01). **(C)** Percent of NIH 3T3 cells initiating a migratory response (top, means ± s.e.m)

and the distance travelled by migratory cells (bottom, means ± s.d.) following EGF

stimulation. Cells were pretreated with vehicle (control), YM201636 (YM, 200 nM, 1 h),

or ephrinA1-Fc (A1, 2 μg/ml, 1h, green, or 0.02-2 μg/ml as indicated, red) followed by

EGF stimulation (0-100 ng/ml as indicated) for 16 h. **(D)** Percent of migrating NIH 3T3

cells when seeded at low or high density in a single well following pretreatment with

vehicle or A1 (2 μg/ml, 1 h) and stimulated with EGF (20 ng/ml) for 16 h (means ±

s.e.m). Data in C and D were obtained from least three independent experiments,

consisting of at least two replicates per experiment (N = 581–1483 cells/condition) and

statistical significance was determined using an ordinary one-way ANOVA with Holm-

Sidak's multiple corrections *post-hoc* test. **(E)** Quantification of retinoblastoma (Rb)

phosphorylation by ICW for vehicle- (control), A1- (2 μg/ml, 1 h) and YM- (200 nM, 1 h)

pretreated NIH 3T3 cells following 24 h EGF stimulation at the concentrations indicated

(means ± s.e.m).

888
889
Figure 6: Modulation of vesicular dynamics as a general mechanism to produce

context-dependent EGFR signaling. (A) Quantification of Akt activation and PM EGFR

abundance in HEK293 cells by ICW and OCW, respectively, following stimulation with

kisspeptin-10 (Kp-10, 100 nM) (means ± s.e.m). **(B,C)** Quantification of Akt and ERK

activation by ICW in HEK293 cells for control and Kp-10-pretreated (100 nM, 1 h) cells

following EGF stimulation (1 ng/ml) (means ± s.e.m).

Supplementary Materials

Figure S1: Eph receptor activation reduces PM EGFR abundance.

Figure S2: Akt/PIKfyve regulates EGFR vesicular trafficking.

Figure S3. Akt is preferentially activated at the PM following EGFR stimulation.

Figure S4. EphrinA1-Fc pretreatment inhibits EGFR-promoted Akt activation.

Figure S5: Distributions of cell migration distances.

Movie S1: Akt inhibition induces EGFR endosomal accumulation.

Movie S2: EphrinA1-Fc stimulation induces EGFR endosomal accumulation.

Movie S3: EphrinA1-Fc:EphA2 interactions at cell-cell contact induces EGFR endosomal

accumulation.

Movie S4: EGF-promoted migration in NIH 3T3 cells.

Supplementary Material Legends:

Figure S1: Eph receptor activation reduces PM EGFR abundance. (A-D)

Quantification of endogenous PM EGFR abundance by ICW following ephrinA1-Fc

stimulation (A1, 2 μg/ml) of (A) HEK293, (B) NIH 3T3, (C) MCF10A and (D) MDA-MB-

231 cells. (means ± s.e.m) (E) Single cell immunofluorescence measurements of EGFR

expression in the cell lines used in this study. (F-G) Cos-7 lysates immunoprecipitated

(IP) with anti-EGFR (left) or blotted for total proteins (right) following stimulation with

EGF (100 ng/ml) or A1 (2 μg/ml) for the indicated times. IP was probed with anti-HA (to

detect co-transfected HA-ubiquitin), anti-pTyr^{845}, anti-pTyr^{1068}, anti-pTyr^{1045} (to detect

phosphorylated EGFR) and anti-EGFR. Total lysates were probed with anti phospho-Eph

(pEph), anti-EphA2, anti-pTyr^{1068}, anti-EGFR and anti-tubulin. Shown are (F)

representative blots and (G) quantification of EGFR phosphorylation (pTyr^{845}) from four

independent experiments (means ± s.e.m). Statistical significance was determined using a one-way ANOVA with Sidak's *post-hoc* test (**, *p* < 0.01).

Figure S2: Akt/PIKfyve regulates EGFR vesicular trafficking. (A) Representative images and (B) quantification of endosomal EGFR-mCherry following treatment with the PIKfyve inhibitor YM201636 (YM, 200 nM, 1h) (*N* = 9, mean ± s.e.m). Statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed Student's t test. Scale bar = 20 μm. (C) ICW measurements of total EGFR abundance following EGF stimulation (1-200 ng/ml, 30 min) in vehicle (control) and AktVIII (10 μM, 1 h) pretreated cells (means ± s.e.m). Statistical significance was determined using a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple corrections *post-hoc* test (***, *p* > 0.001; **, p > 0.01).

Figure S3. Akt is preferentially activated at the PM following EGFR stimulation. (A) Akt rapidly translocates to regions of EGF-bound receptors at the PM, but is not recruited to endosomes following internalization of ligand-bound receptor. Shown are representative images of Cos-7 cells expressing EGFR-mCitrine and PH-Akt-Cerulean following stimulation with EGF-Alexa647 (100 ng/ml) for the indicated times. (B) Colocalization between EGF-Alexa647 and PH-Akt-Cerulean is highest immediately after stimulation (2 min) while most EGFR-mCitrine is localized at the plasma membrane. As EGF-Alexa647 accumulates in endosomes (20 and 40 min), colocalization with PH-Akt-Cerulean decreased. Quantification of colocalization by Mander's coefficient from EGF-Alexa647 intensity-dependent thresholded images (means ± sd, *N* = 4). (C) Inhibition of endocytosis increases EGF-promoted Akt activation, while ERK activation is decreased. PM EGFR abundance was quantified by OCW and Akt/ERK activation by ICW in HEK293 cells stimulated with EGF (1 ng/ml) for the indicated times following pretreatment with

the dynamin inhibitor dynole 34-2 (100 μM, 30 min) or its negative control analog

dynole 31-2 (100 μM, 30 min) (means ± s.e.m). (D) Akt activation is rapidly terminated

following EGF washout in Cos-7 cells, indicating the necessity of PM EGF binding for

persistent Akt activation. ERK activation decays much slower following EGF removal

through due to persistent activity of endosomal EGFR. Akt and ERK activation were

measured by ICW following sustained EGF (1 ng/ml) stimulation or a 5 min EGF pulse

and subsequent washout (means ± s.e.m). Statistical significance was determined in B

using a repeated measures one-way ANOVA with a Dunnett's *post-hoc* test, and in C

using a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's *post-hoc* test. (***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p <$

0.05). Scale bars = 20 μm

956
957

Figure S4. EphrinA1-Fc pretreatment inhibits EGFR-promoted Akt activation. Akt

and ERK activation were quantified by ICW in (A) Cos-7 cells ectopically expressing

EGFR and EphA2, and in (B) HEK293 cells endogenously expressing the receptors. Cells

were pretreated with vehicle (control) or ephrinA1-Fc (A1, 2 μg/ml) for 1 h, followed by

1 ng/ml EGF stimulation for the indicated times. Data represent means ± s.e.m.

Figure S5: Distributions of cell migration distances. Migration distance was

quantified for individual cells as described in *Methods*. Shown are the distributions of

migration distance for (A) all tracked cells (N = 32 203 cells) and (B) 20 ng/ml EGF

treated cells (N = 2343 cells). To distinguish migrating cells from those that are pushed

into the cell-free area by population expansion due to cell division during the 16 h

acquisition time, a minimal displacement distance of 0.01 cm was used as a threshold.

Movie S1: Akt inhibition induces EGFR endosomal accumulation. Treatment of Cos-

7 cells ectotopically expressing EGFR-mCherry with the Akt inhibitor AktVIII (10 μM)

promotes an increase in endosomal EGFR. Scale bar = 20 μm

Movie S2: EphrinA1-Fc stimulation induces EGFR endosomal accumulation.

Stimulation of Cos-7 cells ectotopically expressing EGFR-mCherry and EphA2-mCitrine

with EphrinA1-Fc (2 μg/ml) promotes an increase in endosomal EGFR. Scale bar = 20

μm

Movie S3: EphrinA1-Fc:EphA2 interactions at cell-cell contact induces EGFR

endosomal accumulation. HEK293 cells ectopically expressing EBFP-EphrinA1 in

suspension were added to adherent Cos-7 cells ectopically expressing EGFR-mCherry

and EphA2-mCitrine 30 min prior to imaging. Time lapse imaging begins as EBFP-

EphrinA1-HEK293T cells make initial cell-cell contact with Cos-7 cells. Scale bar = 20 μm

Movie S4: EGF-promoted migration in NIH 3T3 cells. Unstimulated (unstim), EGF (20

ng/ml), YM201636 (200 nM) followed by EGF (20 ng/ml) stimulation (YM) and

EphrinA1-Fc (2 μg/ml) followed by EGF (20 ng/ml) stimulation (A1). Scale bar = 100

μm

Figure 1

Figure 2

Control
A1
AktVIII
YM

EGF-Alexa647 (60 min)

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S1

A

PM EGFR Intensity (% baseline) — HEK293

B

PM EGFR Intensity (% baseline) — NIH 3T3

A1 stimulation (min)

C

PM EGFR Intensity (% baseline) — MCF10A

D

PM EGFR Intensity (% baseline) — MDA-MB-231

A1 stimulation (min)

E

total EGFR per cell (mean fluorescence)

HEK293T   NIH 3T3   Cos-7   MCF10A   MDA-MB-231

F

Treatment :   EGF   A1   A1   A1
Time (min):   0   15   15   30   60

HA-Ub
pTyr^{845}
pTyr^{1068}
pTyr^{1045}
EGFR

IP: EGFR

EGF   A1   A1   A1
0   15   15   30   60

pEph
EphA2
pTyr^{1068}
EGFR
tubulin

WB

G

pTyr^{845}/ EGFR (fold change)

**

ns   ns   ns

pre   15   15   30   60   (min)
EGF   A1

Figure S2

A

EGFR-mCherry

Pre   YM

B

Endosomal EGFR
(fold change)

p = 0.047

Pre   +YM

C

total EGFR
(% baseline)

AktVIII

Control

EGF (ng/ml)

Figure S3

A

	unstimulated	EGF 2'	EGF 20'	EGF 40'
EGF-Alexa647				
EGFR-mCitrine				
PH-Akt-Cerulean				

B

EGF colocalization with PH–Akt

Mander's Coefficient vs EGF stimulation (min)

p = 0.0124 (at 20)
p = 0.0132 (at 40)

C

PM EGFR (% basal) vs EGF stimulation (min)
+ dynole 34-2
+ dynole 31-2

Akt activation (% maximum)
+ dynole 34-2
+ dynole 31-2

ERK activation (% maximum)
+ dynole 31-2
+ dynole 34-2

D

Akt | ● EGF sustained ● EGF pulse
ERK | ■ EGF sustained ■ EGF pulse

Percent activation vs 5 minutes vs Time (min)

Figure S4

A

Cos-7 (+EGFR/EphA2)

B

HEK293

Figure S5

A

B