1

Riparian reserves in oil palm plantations may provide movement corridors

for invertebrates

Ross E. J. Gray[1,2], Eleanor M. Slade[1,3*], Arthur Y. C. Chung[4] and Owen T. Lewis[1]

[1] Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK.

[2] Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst

Road, Ascot, SL5 7PY, UK.

[3] Lancaster Environment Centre, University of Lancaster, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ UK.

[4] Forest Research Centre, Forestry Department, P.O. Box 1407, 90715 Sandakan, Sabah,

Malaysia.

[*] Corresponding author: eleanor.slade@zoo.ox.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Tropical forests are becoming increasingly fragmented through conversion to agriculture, with

negative consequences for biodiversity. Movement of individuals among the remaining

fragments may be critical to allow populations of forest-dependent taxa to persist. In SE Asia,

conversion of forests to oil palm plantations is a particular threat. Many oil palm dominated

landscapes retain forested riparian reserves along streams and rivers, but the extent to which

these riparian reserves are used for movement, relative to the oil palm matrix, is poorly

understood. We used mark-release-recapture methods to investigate the movement of moths and

dung beetles in riparian reserves connected to a continuous forest area within oil palm in Sabah,

Malaysian Borneo. Both moths and dung beetles moved more frequently through riparian

reserves, orienting towards riparian forest rather than towards continuous forest or into oil palm.

Only two dung beetle species were found to move regularly from forest and riparian reserves

into oil palm. Neither moths nor dung beetles moved further per day within riparian reserves than

within oil palm, and distances moved did not differ among species. Movement rates differed

significantly among dung beetle species, but did not differ significantly between habitats.

Movement behaviours were not clearly associated with life history traits of individual species,

with the exception of body area, with smaller-bodied species moving at higher rates. Overall, our

results suggest that riparian reserves may provide valuable movement corridors through oil palm

plantations, strengthening the case for their retention and re-establishment.

TROPICAL FORESTS ARE INCREASINGLY BEING DEGRADED, FRAGMENTED AND CONVERTED TO

AGRICULTURE, WITH DETRIMENTAL EFFECTS ON BIODIVERSITY (GARDNER *ET AL.* 2009, BARLOW *ET*

AL. 2010, HANSEN *ET AL.* 2013, HADDAD *ET AL.* 2015). South East Asian forests have been

particularly affected, with the Indo-Malayan biodiversity hotspot experiencing pronounced

biodiversity loss (Myers *et al.* 2000, Sodhi *et al.* 2004, Sodhi *et al.* 2010, Gibson *et al.* 2011,

Ewers *et al.* 2015, Lewis *et al.* 2015). A major threat is the rapid expansion of areas planted with

oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) (Fitzherbert *et al.* 2008, Gaveau *et al.* 2014), which is now the

world's primary source of vegetable oil and fat (Turner *et al.* 2008). Despite marked reductions

in biodiversity in oil palm plantations (Fitzherbert *et al.* 2008, Edwards *et al.* 2014), oil palm is

now a vital part of the economies of Southeast Asia, accounting for more than 5% of the gross

national income in Malaysia alone (Basiron 2007, Koh & Wilcove 2007, Lucey & Hill 2012). As

mosaic landscapes that incorporate both natural forest and oil palm agriculture become

increasingly common, it is important to understand how best to manage and design these

landscapes to support their remaining biodiversity.

Frequent features of mosaic oil palm landscapes are riparian reserves (also called buffer

zones or riparian strips) (Barlow *et al.* 2010, Gray *et al.* 2014). These are linear areas of riverine

forest, set aside by oil palm companies primarily to reduce run-off into streams (Sweeney *et al.*

2004). Riparian reserves improve water quality (Mayer *et al.* 2007) and benefit aquatic and

forest-dependent terrestrial fauna (Ricketts 2004, Marczak *et al.* 2010, Lucey & Hill 2012). They

may also serve as movement corridors linking fragments to continuous forest (Beier & Noss

1998, Tewksbury *et al.* 2002). Recently, riparian reserves have also been added as a requirement

for Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) certification, with a minimum forest buffer of

30 to 100 m depending on river width, reserve placement, and perceived use (Barclay *et al.* 2017,

Lucey *et al.* 2017).

As rainforest habitats become increasingly fragmented and isolated, connectivity between

forest fragments may become critical to allow populations to persist (Hanski 1999, Ewers &

Didham 2006, Lucey & Hill 2012). Understanding the movement ability of flora and fauna is

therefore a key consideration in conservation and management strategies in human-modified

landscapes. Movement ability is influenced by the behavioural responses of different species to

habitat boundaries (Lucey & Hill 2012, Kallioniemi *et al.* 2014), the physical costs of movement

(Bonte *et al.* 2012), and the permeability of the matrix (Ewers & Didham 2006, Scriven *et al.*

2017). Forest dependent-taxa (i.e. those that need forest to support viable populations) are the

most vulnerable to fragmentation, as their restricted ranges and reluctance to cross forest to non-

forest boundaries results in small, isolated populations which suffer local extinctions with little

prospect of recolonization (Sodhi *et al.* 2010, Scriven *et al.* 2015). However, only a few studies

have investigated the movement behaviour of tropical forest-associated taxa (Bouchard &

Brooks 2004, Brouwers & Newton 2009, Lucey & Hill 2012, Khazan 2014, Scriven *et al.* 2017).

Here, we examine the movement behaviour of forest-associated moths and dung beetles

in riparian reserves within oil palm landscapes in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. Moths are a species-

rich and functionally important taxon in tropical forests, acting as pollinators, herbivores, and

prey for a variety of taxa (Kitching *et al.* 2000, Summerville *et al.* 2004, Slade *et al.* 2013).

Tropical forest moths are relatively understudied in terms of dispersal and movement; studies in

temperate agricultural landscapes show that habitat connectivity is critical for their movement

(Merckx *et al.* 2010, Slade *et al.* 2013). Tropical dung beetles are good indicators of habitat

disturbance (Davis *et al.* 2001, Gardner *et al.* 2008, Nichols & Gardner 2011), and there have

been several studies on their movement in topical agricultural landscapes (Arellano *et al.* 2008,

Cultid-Medina *et al.* 2015, da Silva & Hernández 2015, Gray *et al.* 2016). Forest-associated

species in southern Mexico were found to move through highly fragmented deciduous forest

landscapes, provided there were corridors to connect the fragments (Arellano *et al.* 2008), while

dung beetles in Andean agricultural landscapes showed interspecific differences in movement

patterns and movement distances, associated with wing loading and habitat preferences (Cultid-

Medina *et al.* 2015). Within oil palm dominated landscapes, there has been only one study which

investigated 'spillover' of dung beetles across riparian reserve boundaries into oil palm, but did

not document or measure movement directly (Gray *et al.* 2016).

We used mark-release-recapture methods, a common technique for the study of

movement (Hanski 1999), to answer four questions. First, we asked whether forest-associated

moths and dung beetles were more likely to move through riparian reserves and towards

continuous forest than into or through oil palm. Second, we assessed whether individual moth

and dung beetle species moved longer distances within riparian reserves than within oil palm.

Third, we tested whether species moved at higher rates through riparian reserves than through

the oil palm matrix. Finally, for dung beetles, we asked whether movement distances, rates, or

orientation were associated with the life history traits of individual species.

METHOD

STUDY SITES – Sites were situated within the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystems (SAFE)

project landscape in South Eastern Sabah, Malaysia (4°38" N to 4°41" N, 117°31" E; Ewers *et*

al. 2011; Fig. 1A). We selected three focal sites where a riparian forest reserve, embedded within

an oil palm matrix, was connected to a large block of continuous virgin jungle reserve (VJR) of

lowland dipterocarp rainforest. Fieldwork took place between November 2016 and April 2017,

during the "wetter" part of the year (Walsh *et al.* 2013).

SPECIES SELECTION – Pilot studies identified five forest-associated moth taxa which could be

trapped in sufficient numbers for a focused study: *Erebus ephesperis* Hübner, *Erebus*

camprimulgus Fabricius, *Ischyja* spp., *Erebus gemmans* Guenée and *Hypoprya* spp. Guenée

(Holloway 2005). Multiple *Ischyja* and *Hypoprya* species were present in our samples; living

individuals could not always be identified reliably to species in the field, so individuals within

each of these genera were pooled for analyses. Seven dung beetle species were selected based on

previous work (Gray *et al.* 2016) to span a range of body sizes and include taxa representing the

two main dung burial modes (tunnellers and rollers), and both diurnal and nocturnal species

(Table S1). As only the males of *Catharsius renaudpauliani* and *Catharsius dayacus* could be

distinguished reliably to species level in the field, these two species were pooled for the analysis.

However, *Catharsius renaudpauliani* is the more abundant *Catharsius* species in riparian

reserves at this site (Gray *et al.* 2016), and we suspect that the majority of individuals were of

this species.

MOTH MOVEMENT − In each of the three study sites we established a network of baited traps,

spaced by a minimum of 50 m, with a single central release point (without a trap) (Fig. 1B;

individual site diagrams in Fig. S1). Six traps were placed within oil palm, six traps in the

riparian reserve, and four traps in the continuous forest (VJR). We used Bugdorm© Pop-up

Butterfly Bait Traps with 10 cm cone openings, baited with fermented banana and suspended so

that the base was at least 1 m above the ground. During each round of sampling, traps were set

on day 1 and allowed to accumulate moths for 24 hours. On day 2, individuals of the focal moths

were removed from the trap sequentially and marked on the wing with a unique number using a

black Sharpie™ permanent marker (Betzholtz 2002, Truxa & Fiedler 2012). Moths were

transported in a ventilated, sealed container to the release point, where they were released into

dense vegetation while inactive. Data on species, trap of capture, release date, and recapture data

were recorded for each individual. Baits were replaced each day and any moths from non-focal

species were removed from the traps without marking. On day 3, the abundance of focal moths

in the traps was recorded, any new moths were marked and released from the release point, and

any recaptures were recorded. The protocol for day 3 was repeated for 8 to 14 days until a

minimum of 60 recapture events (across all focal taxa) had been accumulated at each site.

DUNG BEETLE MOVEMENT − In each site 17-18 live-capture baited pitfall traps were set, spaced a

minimum of 50 m apart, following standard methods for dung beetle sampling (Fig. 1B; Larsen

& Forsyth 2005, da Silva & Hernández 2015, Gray *et al.* 2016; individual site diagrams in Fig.

S1). Six traps were placed in the oil palm matrix, seven or eight in the riparian reserve, and four

in the continuous forest (VJR). Each trap consisted of a 1.5 L plastic bottle with its top removed

and inverted to form a funnel (~92 mm diameter). Traps were baited with 25g of human faeces,

wrapped in muslin and suspended 5 cm above the funnel (Larsen *et al.* 2006, Slade *et al.* 2011,

Marsh *et al.* 2013, Gray *et al.* 2014). Small holes were made in the base of the trap to allow

rainwater to drain, and a handful of leaves was placed in the bottom to provide shelter for

trapped beetles. A Styrofoam plate protected the trap from rain. Two pen types were used to

mark beetles (Mitsubishi Uni Paint Marker PX-21 Fine Bullet Tip in Orange/Green/Pink, and

Artline 999XF Silver Metallic Bullet Tip Marker 0.8mm). Pilot studies showed that marks on the

beetles lasted for at least 7 days, and previous studies have shown no effect on beetle longevity

(Bates *et al.* 2006). Each individual of the focal species was identified to species and given a

unique code using a series of dots on the elytra (Larsen & Forsyth 2005, Arellano *et al.* 2008).

Individuals of some species were also sexed. Traps were checked and re-baited every second day

for a period of 14 days and the focal dung beetle individuals marked. After marking, the traps

were closed, and bait removed to allow the beetles 24 hours to disperse before the bait was

replaced the following day. Both newly-marked and recaptured beetles were recorded and

released at the point of capture.

DATA ANALYSIS – Using a generalised linear model (GLM), with a quasipoisson error

distribution to account for over-dispersed count data, we tested three aspects of moth movement:

(1) how the number of recaptures of individuals changed with distance from the release point; (2)

whether moths were recaptured more frequently in riparian forest than oil palm or continuous

forest; (3) whether moths were using the reserves as corridors and so orienting to move through

riparian forest towards continuous forest, as opposed to orienting towards riparian forest or into

oil palm. The response variable was frequency of recapture in each trap (referred to subsequently

as 'movement frequency') and the explanatory variables were the distance of the trap from the

release point, the habitat of recapture (riparian forest, continuous forest, oil palm), the orientation

of movement (towards continuous forest, towards riparian, or into oil palm), genus/species, and

all two-way interactions.

182   For dung beetles, we used a Chi-squared test of evenness to assess whether the

distribution of movement frequencies across the three habitats differed significantly from

random. We then used two GLMs with quasipoisson error distribution to test (1) whether within-

habitat movement frequency was higher than between-habitat movement frequency; (2) whether

dung beetles were using the reserves as corridors and so orienting to move through riparian

forest towards continuous forest, versus towards riparian forest or oil palm. The response

variable for these models was movement frequency and the explanatory variables were

'movement type' (within or between habitats) and genus/species for analysis (1), and movement

orientation (towards continuous forest, towards riparian forest, or into oil palm) and

genus/species for the analysis (2). All two-way interactions were also tested.

192   To assess whether individual moth and dung beetle species moved longer distances

193   within riparian reserves than within oil palm we used a set of linear models (LM) with Gaussian

194   error structure. Movement distance was standardised for each individual by dividing the absolute

195   distance travelled by the number of days taken to travel that distance, a value we refer to as

196   'movement distance' (m/day). The explanatory variables in each test were habitat (riparian or oil

197   palm), taxon, and their interaction.

198   Since movement distance is expected to increase with time, we calculated a measure of

what we refer to as 'movement rate', dividing the number of recaptures of each taxon at each

trap by the mean number of days between release and recapture for that taxon at that trap. To

examine whether moths and dung beetles moved at higher rates through riparian corridors than

the oil palm matrix we used linear models with Gaussian error structure. The explanatory

variables were habitat (riparian or oil palm), genus/species, and their interactions. However, for

dung beetles, a subset of the data containing only within-habitat movements was used in this

model to restrict the movements to each habitat type. To compare movement rates of male and

female moths across all habitats a Mann-Whitney U test was used.

Finally, linear models with either Gaussian or Poisson error distributions (as appropriate,

based on the nature of the response variable and model criticism) were used to examine the effect

of dung beetle life history traits (dung burial mode, temporal activity, body area, wing loading)

on orientation of movement, movement distance, and movement rate. Trait data were taken from

Raine (2013), and additional data for *O. mulleri* from work by Dr. Jonathan Parrett (unpublished

data). Body area (mm^2) was calculated by multiplying the body length of the beetle by the width

of the thorax. Wing loading was subsequently calculated by dividing the wing area (mm^2) by the

body area (mm^2). All statistical analyses were carried out in R (R Development Core R-

Development-Core-Team 2017).

RESULTS

We marked a total of 1074 moths of which 404 were recaptured, giving an overall recapture

frequency across all three sites and all species of 38% (Table S2). *Hypoprya* spp. had the highest

recapture frequency (90%) and *Ischyja* spp. the lowest (30%; Table S2). We marked a total of

8689 beetles, of which 425 were recaptured, giving an overall recapture frequency across all

three sites and all species of 4.9% (Table S3). *Onthophagus mulleri* had the highest recapture

frequency (7.8%) and *Catharsius* spp. the lowest (3.7%). 29% of recaptures involved individuals

caught in the trap they had been released from. Of recaptured individuals, 37% of moths and

10% of dung beetles were recaptured within 24 hours of release, and the remainder after multiple

days (2 to 12 days).

MOVEMENT ORIENTATION – Distance from release point showed no interaction with moth

movement orientation ($P = 0.338$). However, moths were recaptured less frequently at further

distances from the release point (Table 1A, Fig. 2A). Habitat had a significant effect on

movement frequency (Table 1A; Fig. 2B): moths were recaptured more frequently in riparian

forest (GLM coefficient for riparian = –0.555, SE = 0.242, $t_{63} = -2.30$, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 2B) and

continuous forest (GLM coefficient for continuous forest = –0.771, SE = 0.281, $t_{63} = -2.74$, $P <$

0.01; Fig. 2B) than in oil palm. Moreover, orientation significantly affected movement

frequency, but was species dependent (Table 1A; 2C). Overall, moths had a greater tendency to

orient towards riparian forests away from continuous forest than towards oil palm or towards

continuous forest (GLM coefficient for riparian = 0.784, SE = 0.254, $t_{63} = 3.01$, $P < 0.01$; Fig.

2C).

TABLE 1. Minimum adequate models testing multiple fixed effects on moth (A) and dung beetle

(B) movement frequency. All two-way interactions were tested, with non-significant interactions

and effects dropped based on AIC scores. * denotes $P < 0.05$ to 95% CI

Fixed Effects	numDF	denDF	F-value	$P > F$
(A) *Moths*				
Distance	1	78	156.5	< 0.001

Habitat	2	76	17.2	< 0.001
Orientation	1	75	26.1	< 0.001
Taxon	4	71	18.9	< 0.001
Taxon x Orientation	8	63	6.02	< 0.001
(B) *Dung Beetles* – Model 1				
Movement Type	1	87	28.9	< 0.001
Taxon	5	82	5.98	< 0.001
(B) *Dung Beetles* – Model 2				
Orientation	2	49	5.60	0.006*
Taxon	5	44	3.52	0.009*

Dung beetles showed non-random orientation of movement ($\chi^2 = 77.0$, df = 10, $N = 424$,

$P < 0.001$; Fig. 2C). Taxa did not differ significantly in movement type (within or between

habitats) or movement orientation, but did differ significantly in terms of movement frequency

(Table 1B; Fig. 3). Movement type had a significant effect on movement frequency (Table 1B):

within-habitat movements were more frequent than between-habitat movements (GLM

coefficient for within movement = 0.858, SE = 0.164, $t_{82} = 5.24$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2D). Movement

orientation also had a significant effect on movement frequency (Table 1B), with dung beetles

tending to orient towards riparian forest rather than towards continuous forest (GLM coefficient

for riparian orientation = 0.611, SE = 0.252, $t_{44} = 2.43$, $P < 0.001$) and showing a marginal

tendency to orient towards riparian forest than towards oil palm (GLM coefficient for riparian

orientation = 0.911, SE = 0.459, $t_{44} = 1.98$, $P = 0.054$; Fig. 2D & Fig. 3).

MOVEMENT DISTANCE AND RATE – Moths on average moved 95.1 m/day, with a maximum

movement distance of 200 m/day. Movement distances did not differ significantly for moths

recaptured in riparian areas and those recaptured in oil palm (LM coefficient for habitat = –2.62,

SE = 7.55, t_{364} = –0.346, P = 0.729; Fig. 4A). Moreover, movement rates did not differ

significantly for moths recaptured in riparian habitat (mean 1.95 recaptures per day) versus in oil

palm (mean 1.58 recaptures per day; LM coefficient for habitat = 0.350, SE = 0.366, t_{85} = 0.956,

P = 0.342). There were no significant differences among moth taxa in their movement distances

(Table 2A; Fig. 4A; Table S5), or movement rates (Table 2B; Fig. 4B). However, female *Erebus*

camprimulgus had significantly higher movement rates than males, with 2.19 and 0.84 recaptures

per day, respectively (W = 154, df = 27, P < 0.05).

TABLE 2. Minimum adequate models testing the effects of habitat and genus/species on

movement distance and movement rate of moths and dung beetles. All two-way interactions

were tested, with non-significant interactions dropped based on AIC scores. * denotes P < 0.05

to 95% CI.

Fixed Effects	numDF	denDF	F-value	$P > F$
(A) *Moths* - Distance				
Habitat	2	375	19.0	< 0.001*
Taxon	4	371	1.96	0.101
Habitat x Taxon	7	364	3.15	0.003*
(B) *Moths* - Rate				
Habitat	2	89	2.45	0.092

Taxon	4	85	1.52	0.203
(C) *Dung Beetles* - Distance				
Habitat	2	254	9.43	< 0.001*
Taxon	5	249	0.934	0.460
(D) *Dung Beetles* - Rate				
Habitat	2	33	0.123	0.894
Taxon	5	28	4.02	0.007*

Dung beetles moved 102.1 m/day on average, with a maximum movement distance of

220 m/day. Collectively, dung beetles did not move significantly further per day within riparian

reserves than within oil palm (LM coefficient for riparian = 0.073, SE = 5.25, t_{249} = 0.014, *P* =

0.989) and the movement rates of dung beetles within riparian forest were not significantly

different to those within oil palm or within continuous forest (Table 2D). Dung beetle species did

not differ significantly in their movement distance within habitats (Table 2C; Fig 3 & 4C; Table

S6). In contrast, movement rates differed significantly among species (Table 2D), with

Catharsius sp. and *S. thoracicus* displaying the highest mean movement rates, 4.16 and 3.73

recaptures per day, respectively (Fig. 4D).

LIFE HISTORY TRAITS AND MOVEMENT – Dung beetle orientation of movement, movement

distance, and movement rate were not significantly affected by life history traits (dung burial

mode, temporal activity, body area, wing loading; Table S7). However, body area had a

significant effect on movement rate, with smaller species moving at higher rates ($F_{1,47}$ = 4.33, *P*

< 0.05; Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

Previous studies on the dispersal and movement behaviour of insects have shown that habitat

boundaries are often barriers for forest-dependent species (Gray *et al.* 2016, Scriven *et al.* 2017),

suggesting that agricultural habitats bordering forest habitats may limit movement (Arellano *et*

al. 2008, Merckx *et al.* 2010, Slade *et al.* 2013). Our data provide new information on the

movement behaviour of insects in riparian forest corridors adjacent to an oil palm matrix for two

functionally-important invertebrate groups, dung beetles and moths.

Overall, our results indicate that movement by both moth and dung beetle species in this

study responded strongly to habitat type and boundaries. Both moths and dung beetles

preferentially used riparian reserves to move, showing directionality of movement towards

riparian forest rather than towards continuous forests or into oil palm. Only two dung beetle

species, *Catharsius* spp. and *O. obscurior,* moved regularly from forest and riparian reserves into

the oil palm.

MOVEMENT ORIENTATION – Moths movements were affected by habitat type and boundaries,

with more frequent movements through riparian forest than into oil palm and a preference to

move towards riparian forest rather than towards continuous forest or into oil palm. This

supports previous studies in temperate regions showing that moths are often restricted by habitat

boundaries (Betzholtz 2002, Slade *et al.* 2013). The moth species investigated are relatively

specialised in terms of larval host plants and feed primarily on forest vines, shrubs and trees

(Holloway 2005). The absence of these resources within plantations, along with a contrasting

vegetation structure characterised by a more open canopy, may have discouraged movement into

adjacent oil palm (Barlow *et al.* 2010). Since traps were placed relatively close to the riparian

reserve (between 50 – 150 m), movements of some individuals into the oil palm may represent a

'spillover effect', as has been documented for butterflies in forest-oil palm matrices (Lucey &

Hill 2012, Scriven *et al.* 2017). Furthermore, plantations likely provide feeding opportunities for

adult moths in the form of abundant oil palm fruits.

Dung beetle recaptures largely involved within-habitat movements within riparian

reserves and continuous forest. Our results suggest that dung beetles show strong habitat

specificity, consistent with a recent study showing weak spillover effects for forest-dependent

dung beetles (Gray *et al.* 2016). However, our data do not reveal whether the reserves act as

movement corridors between forest patches, or as habitat patches in their own right. Dung

beetles may preferentially use riparian reserves because higher mammal abundance increases

dung availability (Barlow *et al.* 2010). Alternatively, habitat boundaries may act as barriers to

dung beetle movement (Gray *et al.* 2016), for example if beetles perceive structural changes to

the vegetation that might increase exposure to predators (Barlow *et al.* 2010), or if beetles have

differences in thermal tolerance that act as a filter between habitat microclimates (Roslin *et al.*

2009, Slade & Roslin 2016, Birkett *et al.* 2017). Microclimate changes between oil palm and

riparian reserves are extreme, particularly during the day (Hardwick *et al.* 2015). As all species

studied, except *Catharsius* spp., are diurnal (Table S1), lower thermal tolerances will limit the

opportunity for dung beetles to penetrate the boundary during the day. As *Catharsius* spp. are

nocturnal this may also explain their more frequent movements into the oil palm matrix (Fig. 3).

MOVEMENT DISTANCE AND RATE – For the moth species we studied, movement distances and

rates differed little between riparian forest and oil palm, or between species. The absence of

interspecific differences in movement may reflect the fact that all species investigated had

similar body sizes and are forest-associated; similar affinities for habitat type have been shown to

correlate with similar movement distances (Franzén & Nilsson 2012, Slade *et al.* 2013), while

body size is a strong predictor of mobility across species in Lepidoptera (Stevens *et al.* 2010,

Kuussaari *et al.* 2014). For the one species where sexes were distinguished in the field, female

moths moved greater distances and at greater rates than males. This could reflect underlying

differences in flight capacities (but see Margaritopoulos *et al.* 2012), or might reflect differences

between sexes in foraging activity and mating behaviour.

343   Interestingly, we found that collectively dung beetles did not move further or more

quickly in riparian reserves than in oil palm. Relatively similar movement distances and rates by

the different species in their preferred habitats may explain this effect. Consistent with previous

studies (da Silva & Hernández 2015), we found species-specific differences in movement rates,

but these differences were not explained by the traits investigated (Table S7). For example, both

the smallest (*Sisyphus*) and largest (*Catharsius*) beetle species moved large distances, suggesting

that other traits, such as thermo-tolerance, may be important to this group of insect's movement

ability and choices. Experiments to connect thermo-tolerance traits to the movement behaviour

of dung beetles would be of interest.

LIFE HISTORY TRAITS AND SOURCE-SINK HABITAT – None of the movement metrics we

measured were influenced by life history traits, except for the effect of body area on movement

rate (Fig. 5). In contrast, da Silva & Hernández (2015), found that both movement distance and

rate correlated positively with dung beetle body size and wing loading, and Slade *et al*. (2013),

found that wing size correlated positively with movement distance in temperate moths. These

differences may be because our study focused on movement at a relatively small spatial scale

(~500 m), whereas da Silva & Hernández (2015) investigated movement distances up to 900 m.

Alternatively, the relatively small pool of species investigated, with few species per functional

group, may have limited our ability to detect correlations between life history traits and

movement metrics. The higher movement rates of smaller species may be because they require

less energy to move faster and are less thermally limited than larger species. The exception of

Catharsius may reflect their activity at night, when thermal limitations are likely to have less

impact on movement rates.

IMPLICATIONS FOR LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT – Our results suggest that the moth species we

studied appear to be restricted to fragmented riparian reserves within oil palm landscapes much

like the butterflies previously studied in similar forest patches (Scriven *et al.* 2017). Similarly,

several dung beetle species were unable to penetrate the oil palm matrix and preferentially used

the riparian reserves to move within the matrix landscape. Our findings highlight the significance

of set-aside forest areas within oil palm landscapes for habitat and support the growing evidence

base that contiguous riparian forest reserves are important for maintaining biodiversity for many

invertebrate taxa (Barlow *et al.* 2010, Gray *et al.* 2016, Luke *et al.* 2017, Scriven *et al.* 2017).

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Sabah Biodiversity Council and Sabah Forestry Department for providing

permission to carry out the research under access access licence JKM/MBS.1000-2/2

JLD.3(159). We would also like to thank South East Asian Rainforest Research Partnership

(SEARRP), the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystems (SAFE) project, and LOMBOK research

assistants: Lizzie, Loly, Anis, Zul, and Noy. In addition, we would like to thank Elizabeth Raine

and Jonathan Parrett for providing dung beetle trait data. This work was supported by the Natural

Environment Research Council Grant NE/K016261/1 as part of the Human Modified Tropical

Forests programme.

Data Availability: The data used in this study are archived at the Dryad Digital Repository (DOI

will be added following acceptance) and are available on Zenodo through the SAFE project

website (www.safeproject.net, Dataset ID: to be added following acceptance).

LITERATURE CITED

ARELLANO, L., J. L. LEÓN-CORTÉS, AND O. OVASKAINEN. 2008. Patterns of abundance and

movement in relation to landscape structure: a study of a common scarab (*Canthon

cyanellus cyanellus*) in Southern Mexico. Landscape Ecology 23: 69-78.

BARCLAY, H., C. L. GRAY, S. H. LUKE, A. NAINAR, J. L. SNADDON, AND E. C. TURNER. 2017.

RSPO Manual on Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the Management and

Rehabilitation of Riparian Reserves. Kuala Lumpur.

BARLOW, J., J. LOUZADA, L. PARRY, M. I. HERNÁNDEZ, J. HAWES, C. A. PERES, F. Z.

VAZ-DE-MELLO, AND T. A. GARDNER. 2010. Improving the design and management of

forest strips in human-dominated tropical landscapes: a field test on Amazonian dung

beetles. Journal of Applied Ecology 47: 779-788.

BASIRON, Y. 2007. Palm oil production through sustainable plantations. European Journal of

Lipid Science and Technology 109: 289-295.

BATES, A. J., J. P. SADLER, AND A. P. FOWLES. 2006. Condition-dependent dispersal of a patchily

distributed riparian ground beetle in response to disturbance. Oecologia 150: 50-60.

BEIER, P., AND R. F. NOSS. 1998. Do habitat corridors provide connectivity? Conservation

Biology 12: 1241-1252.

BETZHOLTZ, P.-E. 2002. Population structure and movement patterns within an isolated and

endangered population of the moth *Dysauxes ancilla* L.(Lepidoptera, Ctenuchidae):

implications for conservation. Journal of Insect Conservation 6: 57-66.

BIRKETT, A. J., G. A. BLACKBURN, AND R. MENÉNDEZ. 2017. Linking species thermal tolerance

to elevational range shifts in upland dung beetles. Ecography 41: 1-10.

BONTE, D., H. VAN DYCK, J. M. BULLOCK, A. COULON, M. DELGADO, M. GIBBS, V. LEHOUCK, E.

MATTHYSEN, K. MUSTIN, AND M. SAASTAMOINEN. 2012. Costs of dispersal. Biological

Reviews 87: 290-312.

BOUCHARD, P., AND D. BROOKS. 2004. Effect of vagility potential on dispersal and speciation in

rainforest insects. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 17: 994-1006.

BROUWERS, N. C., AND A. C. NEWTON. 2009. Movement rates of woodland invertebrates: a

systematic review of empirical evidence. Insect Conservation and Diversity 2: 10-22.

CULTID-MEDINA, C. A., B. G. MARTÍNEZ-QUINTERO, F. ESCOBAR, AND P. C. DE ULLOA. 2015.

Movement and population size of two dung beetle species in an Andean agricultural

landscape dominated by sun-grown coffee. Journal of Insect Conservation 19: 617-626.

DA SILVA, P. G., AND M. I. M. HERNÁNDEZ. 2015. Spatial patterns of movement of dung beetle

425   species in a tropical forest suggest a new trap spacing for dung beetle biodiversity studies.

426   PLoS ONE 10: e0126112.

427   DAVIS, A. J., J. D. HOLLOWAY, H. HUIJBREGTS, J. KRIKKEN, A. H. KIRK-SPRIGGS, AND S. L.

428   SUTTON. 2001. Dung beetles as indicators of change in the forests of northern Borneo.

429   Journal of Applied Ecology 38: 593-616.

430   EDWARDS, D. P., J. A. TOBIAS, D. SHEIL, E. MEIJAARD, AND W. F. LAURANCE. 2014. Maintaining

431   ecosystem function and services in logged tropical forests. Trends in Ecology &

432   Evolution 29: 511-520.

433   EWERS, R. M., M. J. BOYLE, R. A. GLEAVE, N. S. PLOWMAN, S. BENEDICK, H. BERNARD, T. R.

434   BISHOP, E. Y. BAKHTIAR, V. K. CHEY, AND A. Y. CHUNG. 2015. Logging cuts the

435   functional importance of invertebrates in tropical rainforest. Nature Communications 6:

436   6836.

437   EWERS, R. M., AND R. K. DIDHAM. 2006. Confounding factors in the detection of species

438   responses to habitat fragmentation. Biological Reviews 81: 117-142.

439   FITZHERBERT, E. B., M. J. STRUEBIG, A. MOREL, F. DANIELSEN, C. A. BRÜHL, P. F. DONALD, AND

440   B. PHALAN. 2008. How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? Trends in Ecology &

441   Evolution 23: 538-545.

442   FRANZÉN, M., AND S. G. NILSSON. 2012. Climate-dependent dispersal rates in metapopulations of

443   burnet moths. Journal of Insect Conservation 16: 941-947.

444   GARDNER, T. A., J. BARLOW, I. S. ARAUJO, T. C. ÁVILA-PIRES, A. B. BONALDO, J. E. COSTA, M.

445   C. ESPOSITO, L. V. FERREIRA, J. HAWES, AND M. I. HERNANDEZ. 2008. The

446   cost-effectiveness of biodiversity surveys in tropical forests. Ecology Letters 11: 139-150.

GARDNER, T. A., J. BARLOW, R. CHAZDON, R. M. EWERS, C. A. HARVEY, C. A. PERES, AND N. S.

SODHI. 2009. Prospects for tropical forest biodiversity in a human-modified world.

Ecology Letters 12: 561-582.

GAVEAU, D. L., S. SLOAN, E. MOLIDENA, H. YAEN, D. SHEIL, N. K. ABRAM, M. ANCRENAZ, R.

NASI, M. QUINONES, AND N. WIELAARD. 2014. Four decades of forest persistence,

clearance and logging on Borneo. PLoS ONE 9: e101654.

GIBSON, L., T. M. LEE, L. P. KOH, B. W. BROOK, T. A. GARDNER, J. BARLOW, C. A. PERES, C. J.

BRADSHAW, W. F. LAURANCE, AND T. E. LOVEJOY. 2011. Primary forests are

irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. Nature 478: 378-381.

GRAY, C., B. SIMMONS, T. FAYLE, D. J. MANN, AND E. M. SLADE. 2016. Are riparian forest

reserves sources of invertebrate biodiversity spillover and associated ecosystem functions

in oil palm landscapes? Biological Conservation 194: 176-183.

GRAY, C. L., E. M. SLADE, D. J. MANN, AND O. T. LEWIS. 2014. Do riparian reserves support

dung beetle biodiversity and ecosystem services in oil palm-dominated tropical

landscapes? Ecology and Evolution 4: 1049-1060.

HADDAD, N. M., L. A. BRUDVIG, J. CLOBERT, K. F. DAVIES, A. GONZALEZ, R. D. HOLT, T. E.

LOVEJOY, J. O. SEXTON, M. P. AUSTIN, AND C. D. COLLINS. 2015. Habitat fragmentation

and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Science Advances 1: e1500052.

HANSEN, M. C., P. V. POTAPOV, R. MOORE, M. HANCHER, S. TURUBANOVA, A. TYUKAVINA, D.

THAU, S. STEHMAN, S. GOETZ, AND T. LOVELAND. 2013. High-resolution global maps of

21st-century forest cover change. Science 342: 850-853.

HANSKI, I. 1999. Metapopulation ecology. Oxford University Press.

HARDWICK, S. R., R. TOUMI, M. PFEIFER, E. C. TURNER, R. NILUS, AND R. M. EWERS. 2015. The

relationship between leaf area index and microclimate in tropical forest and oil palm

plantation: Forest disturbance drives changes in microclimate. Agricultural and Forest

Meteorology 201: 187-195.

HOLLOWAY, J. D. 2005. The Moths of Borneo, parts 15 & 16. Southdepe Sdn Bhd, Kuala

Lumpur.

KALLIONIEMI, E., A. ZANNESE, J. E. TINKER, AND A. FRANCO. 2014. Inter-and intra-specific

differences in butterfly behaviour at boundaries. Insect Conservation and Diversity 7:

232-240.

KHAZAN, E. S. 2014. Tests of biological corridor efficacy for conservation of a Neotropical giant

damselfly. Biological Conservation 177: 117-125.

KITCHING, R., A. ORR, L. THALIB, H. MITCHELL, M. S. HOPKINS, AND A. W. GRAHAM. 2000.

Moth assemblages as indicators of environmental quality in remnants of upland

Australian rain forest. Journal of Applied Ecology 37: 284-297.

KOH, L. P., AND D. S. WILCOVE. 2007. Cashing in palm oil for conservation. Nature 448: 993-

994.

KUUSSAARI, M., M. SAARINEN, E. L. KORPELA, J. PÖYRY, AND T. HYVÖNEN. 2014. Higher

mobility of butterflies than moths connected to habitat suitability and body size in a

release experiment. Ecology and Evolution 4: 3800-3811.

LARSEN, T. H., AND A. FORSYTH. 2005. Trap spacing and transect design for dung beetle

biodiversity studies. Biotropica 37: 322-325.

LARSEN, T. H., A. LOPERA, AND A. FORSYTH. 2006. Extreme trophic and habitat specialization by

491   Peruvian dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Scarabaeinae). The Coleopterists

492   Bulletin 60: 315-324.

493   LEWIS, S. L., D. P. EDWARDS, AND D. GALBRAITH. 2015. Increasing human dominance of tropical

494   forests. Science 349: 827-832.

495   LUCEY, J. M., H. BARCLAY, C. L. GRAY, S. H. LUKE, A. NAINAR, E. TURNER, G. REYNOLDS, E. M.

496   SLADE, J. L. SNADDON, M. J. STRUEBIG, AND R. P. WALSH. 2017. RSPO Simplified

497   Riparian Guidelines. Kuala Lumpur.

498   LUCEY, J. M., AND J. K. HILL. 2012. Spillover of insects from rain forest into adjacent oil palm

499   plantations. Biotropica 44: 368-377.

500   LUKE, S. H., R. A. DOW, S. BUTLER, C. VUN KHEN, D. C. ALDRIDGE, W. A. FOSTER, AND E. C.

501   TURNER. 2017. The impacts of habitat disturbance on adult and larval dragonflies

502   (Odonata) in rainforest streams in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. Freshwater Biology 62:

503   491-506.

504   MARCZAK, L. B., T. SAKAMAKI, S. L. TURVEY, I. DEGUISE, S. L. WOOD, AND J. S. RICHARDSON.

505   2010. Are forested buffers an effective conservation strategy for riparian fauna? An

506   assessment using meta-analysis. Ecological Applications 20: 126-134.

507   MARGARITOPOULOS, J. T., C. C. VOUDOURIS, J. OLIVARES, B. SAUPHANOR, Z. MAMURIS, J. A.

508   TSITSIPIS, AND P. FRANCK. 2012. Dispersal ability in codling moth: Mark–release–

509   recapture experiments and kinship analysis. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 14: 399-

510   407.

511   MARSH, C. J., J. LOUZADA, W. BEIROZ, AND R. M. EWERS. 2013. Optimising bait for pitfall

512   trapping of Amazonian dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeinae). PLoS ONE 8: e73147.

MAYER, P. M., S. K. REYNOLDS, M. D. MCCUTCHEN, AND T. J. CANFIELD. 2007. Meta-analysis of

nitrogen removal in riparian buffers. Journal of Environmental Quality 36: 1172-1180.

MERCKX, T., R. E. FEBER, C. MCLAUGHLAN, N. A. BOURN, M. S. PARSONS, M. C. TOWNSEND, P.

RIORDAN, AND D. W. MACDONALD. 2010. Shelter benefits less mobile moth species: the

field-scale effect of hedgerow trees. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 138: 147-

151.

MYERS, N., R. A. MITTERMEIER, C. G. MITTERMEIER, G. A. DA FONSECA, AND J. KENT. 2000.

Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403: 853-858.

NICHOLS, E. S., AND T. A. GARDNER. 2011. Dung beetles as a candidate study taxon in applied

biodiversity conservation research. *In* L. W. Simmons and T. J. Ridsdill-Smith (Eds.).

Ecology and Evolution of Dung Beetles, pp. 267-291. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.,

Chichester, U.K.

R-DEVELOPMENT-CORE-TEAM. 2017. R Development Core Team. R: A language and

environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing., Vienna, Austria.

RAINE, E. 2013. Can morphological species traits be used to predict behavioural traits and the

response of species to forest disturbance? An example using Bornean dung beetles. PhD

Dissertation. University of Oxford, Oxford.

RICKETTS, T. H. 2004. Tropical forest fragments enhance pollinator activity in nearby coffee

crops. Conservation Biology 18: 1262-1271.

ROSLIN, T., T. AVOMAA, M. LEONARD, M. LUOTO, AND O. OVASKAINEN. 2009. Some like it hot:

microclimatic variation affects the abundance and movements of a critically endangered

dung beetle. Insect Conservation and Diversity 2: 232-241.

SCRIVEN, S. A., C. M. BEALE, S. BENEDICK, AND J. K. HILL. 2017. Barriers to dispersal of rain

forest butterflies in tropical agricultural landscapes. Biotropica 49: 206-216.

SCRIVEN, S. A., J. A. HODGSON, C. J. MCCLEAN, AND J. K. HILL. 2015. Protected areas in Borneo

may fail to conserve tropical forest biodiversity under climate change. Biological

Conservation 184: 414-423.

SLADE, E. M., D. J. MANN, AND O. T. LEWIS. 2011. Biodiversity and ecosystem function of

tropical forest dung beetles under contrasting logging regimes. Biological Conservation

144: 166-174.

SLADE, E. M., T. MERCKX, T. RIUTTA, D. P. BEBBER, D. REDHEAD, P. RIORDAN, AND D. W.

MACDONALD. 2013. Life-history traits and landscape characteristics predict macro-moth

responses to forest fragmentation. Ecology 94: 1519-1530.

SLADE, E. M., AND T. ROSLIN. 2016. Dung beetle species interactions and multifunctionality are

affected by an experimentally warmed climate. Oikos 125: 1607-1616.

SODHI, N. S., L. P. KOH, B. W. BROOK, AND P. K. NG. 2004. Southeast Asian biodiversity: an

impending disaster. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 19: 654-660.

SODHI, N. S., L. P. KOH, R. CLEMENTS, T. C. WANGER, J. K. HILL, K. C. HAMER, Y. CLOUGH, T.

TSCHARNTKE, M. R. C. POSA, AND T. M. LEE. 2010. Conserving Southeast Asian forest

biodiversity in human-modified landscapes. Biological Conservation 143: 2375-2384.

STEVENS, V. M., C. TURLURE, AND M. BAGUETTE. 2010. A meta-analysis of dispersal in

butterflies. Biological Reviews 85: 625-642.

SUMMERVILLE, K. S., L. M. RITTER, AND T. O. CRIST. 2004. Forest moth taxa as indicators of

lepidopteran richness and habitat disturbance: a preliminary assessment. Biological

Conservation 116: 9-18.

SWEENEY, B. W., T. L. BOTT, J. K. JACKSON, L. A. KAPLAN, J. D. NEWBOLD, L. J. STANDLEY, W.

C. HESSION, AND R. J. HORWITZ. 2004. Riparian deforestation, stream narrowing, and loss

of stream ecosystem services. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101:

14132-14137.

TEWKSBURY, J. J., D. J. LEVEY, N. M. HADDAD, S. SARGENT, J. L. ORROCK, A. WELDON, B. J.

DANIELSON, J. BRINKERHOFF, E. I. DAMSCHEN, AND P. TOWNSEND. 2002. Corridors affect

plants, animals, and their interactions in fragmented landscapes. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 99: 12923-12926.

TRUXA, C., AND K. FIEDLER. 2012. Attraction to light-from how far do moths (Lepidoptera)

return to weak artificial sources of light? European Journal of Entomology 109: 77.

TURNER, E. C., J. L. SNADDON, T. M. FAYLE, AND W. A. FOSTER. 2008. Oil palm research in

context: identifying the need for biodiversity assessment. PloS ONE 3: e1572.

WALSH, R. P., S. ELLISON, S. O. LOS, K. BIDIN, A. M. SAYER, AND A. M. TUSSIN. 2013. Changes

in large rainstorm magnitude–frequency over the last century in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo

and their geomorphological implications. The Holocene 23: 1824-1840.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. (A) The upper panel highlights the location of the study area in Sabah, Northern

Borneo. The lower panel displays a map of riparian reserve sites used in this study. The map is

adapted from Gray *et al.* (2014). Black clumps represent the riparian sampling sites labelled

RR18, RR3 and RR10 from top to bottom. The dotted black line shows the SAFE project

experimental boundary. The solid grey shaded area in the top panel is the remaining logged

forest, blue lines indicate rivers, and the white area indicates the matrix of oil palm plantations.

(B) Schematic representation of the general sampling design in each riparian reserve for the

mark-release-recapture study for moths (top) and dung beetles (bottom). Black crosses indicate

trapping points which were spaced by at least 50 m. The red star in the moth panel indicates the

release point of marked moths.

FIGURE 2. Movement orientations for moths and dung beetles. (A) Moth recapture frequency at

different distances from the release point. Symbols indicate species. The dotted line indicates the

release point of the moths following marking, with negative values of 'Distance from release

point' corresponding to movements towards the continuous forest and into oil palm, and positive

values indicating movements away from the forest and into oil palm. (B) Frequency of moth

movement orientations. (C) Frequency of dung beetle movement orientations. R = riparian

forest, F = continuous forest (VJR), OP = oil palm matrix. Bars are shaded based on species.

FIGURE 3. Dung beetle movement networks for the three rivers (A) RR3, (B) RR10 and (C)

RR18. Circles with numbers indicate pitfall traps and shading indicates habitat: dark grey =

riparian forest, medium grey = continuous forest (VJR), light grey = oil palm matrix. Distances

between traps are scaled to represent actual distances in the field, with a minimum of 50 m

between traps. The colour of each arrow indicates the dung beetle species and its direction

indicates direction of movement. Width of arrow indicates the frequency of movement with the

smallest width indicating 1 movement and the widest indicating 4 movements. Dung beetles

returning to the trap from which they were released are excluded.

FIGURE 4. Mean movement distance over 24 hours and mean movement rates for moths (A)

and (C), and dung beetles (B) and (D). Error bars show 95% CI. Dung beetle species are ordered

from left to right in increasing body area (mm^2).

FIGURE 5. Relationship between mean body area and mean movement rate for dung beetle

species. Symbols represent different species. The line represents a linear model with the shaded

area representing standard error either side of the line ($P < 0.05$).

FIGURES

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5