

1

Abstract

2	Mounting evidence indicates that early-life exposure to particulate air pollutants pose
3	threats to children's cognitive development, but studies about the neurotoxic effects associated
4	with exposures during adolescence remain unclear. We examined whether exposure to ambient
5	fine particles (PM _{2.5}) at residential locations affects intelligence quotient (IQ) during pre-/early-
6	adolescence (ages 9-11) and emerging adulthood (ages 18-20) in a demographically-diverse
7	population (N = 1,360) residing in Southern California. Increased ambient $PM_{2.5}$ levels were
8	associated with decreased IQ scores. This association was more evident for Performance IQ
9	(PIQ), but less for Verbal IQ, assessed by the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence. For
10	each inter-quartile (7.73 μ g/m ³) increase in one-year PM _{2.5} preceding each assessment, the
11	average PIQ score decreased by 3.08 points (95% confidence interval = [-6.04, -0.12])
12	accounting for within-family/within-individual correlations, demographic characteristics, family
13	socioeconomic status (SES), parents' cognitive abilities, neighborhood characteristics, and other
14	spatial confounders. The adverse effect was 150% greater in low SES families and 89% stronger
15	in males, compared to their counterparts. Better understanding of the social disparities and sexual
16	dimorphism in the adverse PM _{2.5} -IQ effects may help elucidate the underlying mechanisms and
17	shed light on prevention strategies.

18

Introduction

Intelligence is a broad collection of cognitive abilities including reasoning, problem
 solving, attention, memory, knowledge, planning, and creativity sub-served by different parts of
 the brain. Intelligence quotient (IQ), a global measure of intellectual development, is an

important determinant of national wealth and economic growth (1). It is estimated that a single
point change of IQ could bring a gain of \$55 billion to \$65 billion (in year 2000 dollars) for a
single birth cohort of US population (2). At the individual level, childhood IQ is a powerful
predictor of later-life socioeconomic success (3). Although the brain size has reached 90% of
adult size by age 5 (4), development of efficient brain structure and networks in early childhood
continues into adolescence. There is an increasing recognition that IQ can change significantly
during adolescence (5).

Adolescence, defined by the World Health Organization (6) as the period from ages 10 to 30 19 (after childhood and before adulthood), is a transition stage characterized by many significant 31 biological and social changes. Human growth during adolescence is greatly influenced by 32 changes in hormone production and neuroendocrine response (7) with the beginning of 33 reproductive lifespan, while the developing brain is undergoing further remolding of gray matter 34 (e.g., cortical thinning) (8) and white matter (e.g., continuing myelination of axons) (9). The 35 growing adolescents start to disengage from their parents and exert more autonomous control on 36 their own decisions and actions. These biological and social changes not only suggest that 37 plasticity in IQ development continues with interactions among brain, behavior, and social 38 context, but that adolescent brains are also vulnerable to environmental insults from various 39 neurotoxins. As the brain network matures by the end of adolescence (4, 10), IQ is expected to 40 remain relatively stable until the advent of aging during late adulthood. 41

Environment in general can explain up to 50% of individual difference in IQ, with its resulting influence depending on socioeconomic context (11) and age (12). Research on environment-mediated IQ effect is thus important as such knowledge may help identify potentially modifiable factors and develop timely intervention to reduce disparities in cognitive

3

⁴⁶ development. While there has been extensive research on IQ development and social adversities
⁴⁷ in the family and school environments (13-15), influences of physical environments are
⁴⁸ understudied.

Exposure to ambient particulate air pollutants, including $PM_{2.5}$ (particulate matter [PM] 49 with aerodynamic diameter $<2.5 \,\mu$ m), has emerged as a novel environmental neurotoxin 50 affecting brain development in children (16). The hypothesized link of child intellectual 51 development with early-life PM exposures has been examined in several birth cohorts (17-27), 52 including four based in the US and three from Poland, China, and Italy. Although most of the 53 reported findings generally showed a negative association between PM exposure and IQ in 54 children, each of these birth cohort studies included only one-time assessment on intellectual 55 development. One small longitudinal study (28) compared children living in highly-polluted 56 Mexico City (n=20) and the control group (n=10) from a clean-air area (matched on age and 57 socioeconomic status), and reported in their post-hoc analyses the difference in IQ at baseline 58 disappeared after one year of follow-up when the matched cohort became 8 years old. Therefore, 59 it remains unclear whether PM exposure could still exert adverse effect on intellectual 60 development during adolescence. The primary aim of our current study was to examine the 61 adverse effect of $PM_{2.5}$ on IQ, using longitudinal data spanning a 12-year period. Because 62 previous studies have been underpowered to assess the potential heterogeneity in the reported 63 associations, our secondary aim was to evaluate whether the putative neurotoxic adverse effect 64 on intellectual development during adolescence, if any, could vary by sex and family 65 socioeconomic status (SES) based on a relatively large sample (N=1360). 66

Materials and Methods

Barticipants

69	Participants were drawn from the University of Southern California (USC) Risk Factors
70	for Antisocial Behavior (RFAB) twin study. RFAB is a prospective longitudinal study of the
71	interplay of genetic, environmental, social, and biological factors on the development of
72	antisocial behavior from pre-adolescence to early adulthood. Participating families were
73	recruited from communities in Los Angeles and surrounding counties, with the resulting sample
74	representative of the socio-economically-diverse multi-ethnic population residing in the greater
75	Los Angeles area (29). To date, five waves of data have been collected from 780 twin pairs
76	(N=1,569 in total including triplets). Study protocols were approved by the USC Institutional
77	Review Board. Informed consents were obtained from all participants (after reaching adulthood)
78	or their parents/guardians (during pre-adolescence).
79	The current study utilized IQ data collected from the RFAB cohort during pre-/early-
80	adolescence (aged 9-11) and emerging adulthood (aged 18-20). Our analytic sample was limited
81	to participants with at least one valid IQ score and a corresponding estimate of air pollution
82	exposure, plus complete data on major sociodemographic characteristics (including age, gender,
83	race/ethnicity and family SES). A total of 1,360 subjects (from 687 families) were retained in the
84	main analyses, including 810 tested during pre-/early- adolescence only, 170 during emerging
85	adulthood only, and 380 at both age periods. These three groups did not differ in the distributions
86	by sex, race/ethnicity, or family SES (S1 Table). Subjects tested with higher IQ scores at
87	baseline were more likely to participate in the follow-up, but their IQ scores were no different
88	from those only tested during the emerging adulthood. The $PM_{2.5}$ exposure 1-year before the
89	baseline testing was slightly lower among subjects tested twice, as compared to those not
90	participating in the second testing (20.28 ± 2.82 vs. 20.59 ± 2.53 ; $p = .06$), but there was no

statistically significant difference in the $PM_{2.5}$ exposure estimate at the follow-up between the two groups assessed during emerging adulthood.

Assessment of IQ

IQ was measured using the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) (30). 94 The WASI provides a quick and reliable assessment of an individual's verbal, nonverbal, and 95 general cognitive functioning. The WASI yields two standardized scores: Verbal IQ and 96 Performance IQ. Verbal IQ (VIQ) is based on subtests Vocabulary and Similarities, whereas 97 Performance IQ (PIQ) is based on subtests Block Design and Matrices. Correlations between 98 PIQ and VIQ ranged from 0.48 (pre-/early- adolescence) to 0.56 (emerging adulthood) in the 99 current study. The six-month test-retest reliability (n = 60) was satisfactory for both PIQ (r =100 (0.79) and VIQ (r = 0.78). 101

Estimation of Particulate Matter Exposure

Residential Location Data and Geocoding. Residential addresses for RFAB families were
 prospectively collected through self-reports every 2 to 3 years. Addresses were geocoded using
 services of the USC Spatial Sciences Institute, which successfully matched residences by exact
 parcel locations or specific street segments for 98.6% of participating families. The remaining
 addresses were checked for correctness using Google Earth and thereafter geocoded.

¹⁰⁸ Spatiotemporal modeling for $PM_{2.5}$. Daily $PM_{2.5}$ (PM with aerodynamic diameters < ¹⁰⁹ 2.5µm) concentrations were obtained from U.S. EPA Technology Transfer Network for the years ¹¹⁰ 2000 to 2014. A spatiotemporal model based on the measured $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations was ¹¹¹ constructed (with 10-fold cross-validation R^2 =0.74-0.79) to estimate monthly average $PM_{2.5}$ ¹¹² concentrations for each subject's geocoded residential location (see the A2 in S1 File for more ¹¹³ details). A time series of monthly $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for the 2000-2014 period was constructed

and monthly estimates were aggregated to represent PM_{2.5} exposure 1-, 2-, and 3-years preceding each IQ assessment.

Relevant Covariates

To control for potential confounding, four groups of covariates were examined: (A) age, 117 gender, race/ethnicity, family SES, and parents' cognitive abilities; (B) parent-reported 118 neighborhood quality, neighborhood SES (nSES), traffic density and neighborhood greenspace; 119 (C) CALINE4-estimated total annual nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and temperature/humidity; (D) 120 parent-level risk factors (operationalized as maternal smoking during pregnancy and parental 121 perceived stress). Covariates (A) and (B) were considered as the most relevant confounders as 122 they were known to predict IQ and also likely influence where people chose to reside (and thus 123 their exposure to ambient $PM_{2.5}$). More details about the selection and measurement of covariates 124 are available in A3 of S1 File. 125

Statistical Analyses

Three-level mixed-effects models regressing IQ scores (Full-Scale IQ, VIQ and PIQ 127 separately) at each assessment on the corresponding PM_{2.5} exposures and accounting for both 128 within-family (random intercepts and slopes of PM2.5 effects by families) and within-individual 129 (random intercepts by individual) covariance were constructed as the base models. These models 130 were then adjusted for two sets of covariates incrementally: (1) individual and family 131 characteristics-age (as a continuous variable or dichotomized as pre-/early- adolescence vs. 132 emerging adulthood), sex, race/ethnicity, family SES, and parental cognitive abilities; and (2) 133 neighborhood characteristics—nSES, neighborhood greenspace (1000m radius buffer, 1-year 134 preceding test), traffic density (300m radius buffer), and parent-reported neighborhood quality. 135 We conducted further sensitivity analyses by adding the following covariates to the fully 136

adjusted models: ambient temperature and humidity (1-year preceding); total annual NO_x; and
 parental risk factors.

Three separate pre-planned moderation analyses were conducted to examine whether the putative PM_{2.5} effects on IQ varied by age (pre-/early- adolescence vs. emerging adulthood), sex, and SES levels (continuous), based on the interaction term between exposure and the putative moderator, each entering the fully adjusted model one by one. All the analyses were implemented using SAS 9.4.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Participants' IQ scores were on average 101.62 (VIQ, SD = 17.93) and 100.25 (PIQ, SD = 17.98) during pre-/early- adolescence $(9.59 \pm 0.58 \text{ years})$; 104.47 (VIQ, SD = 16.01) and 102.71 (PIQ, SD = 16.01) during emerging adulthood (19.44 ± 1.07 years). About 99% of participants during pre-/early- adolescence and 78% during emerging adulthood were exposed to PM_{2.5} (1-year preceding the IQ assessment) levels exceeding the EPA annual standard (12ug/m³).

Population characteristics by quartiles of $PM_{2.5}$ (Table 1) and Full-Scale IQ (Table 2) at 152 the study baseline (i.e., the first valid IQ assessment) were examined. The decrease of quartiles 153 of PM_{2.5} exposure across age reflected the higher ambient PM_{2.5} levels in earlier years of testing. 154 Compared to their counterparts, those with relatively higher PM_{2.5} exposures were mostly 155 Hispanics and Blacks, from lower quality neighborhoods (characterized by lower nSES, lower 156 greenness, more negative perception of neighborhood quality and higher annual NOx), residing 157 in locations with higher temperature and relative humidity, and children whose parents reported 158 maternal smoking during pregnancy, displayed poorer cognitive abilities, and perceived more 159

8

stress. On the other hand, children with lower IQ score at baseline were more likely to be
 Hispanics, Black, and mixed racial/ethnicities; grow up in lower SES households; have parents
 perceiving more stress, smoking during pregnancy and demonstrating lower cognitive abilities;
 and reside in locations with lower neighborhood qualities and higher relative humidity. For
 population characteristics by quartiles of VIQ and PIQ, please refer to S2 and S3 Tables.

165

Main-effect of PM_{2.5} on IQ Scores

In the base models, higher one-year average PM_{2.5} predicted lower scores in the full-scale 166 IQ, VIQ, and PIQ (Table 3). Although PM2.5 exposures were still negatively associated with full-167 scale IQ and VIQ in the adjusted analyses, none of these associations reached statistical 168 significance. However, the observed adverse PM_{2.5} effects on PIQ were evident in the adjusted 169 models. For each inter-quartile $(7.73 \,\mu \text{g/m}^3)$ increase in 1-year PM_{2.5}, the average PIQ score 170 decreased by 3.08 points (95% CI = [-6.04, -0.12]) in the mixed-effect model accounting for 171 within-family/within-individual correlations, demographic characteristics, family SES, parents' 172 cognitive abilities, perceived neighborhood quality, nSES, traffic density, and measure of 173 greenspace (Adjusted Model-II). The observed adverse PM_{2.5}-PIQ effect remained robust in 174 sensitivity analyses with further statistical adjustment for temperature and humidity (Sensitivity 175 Model-1), total annual NO_x (Sensitivity Model-II), and parental stress and maternal smoking 176 during pregnancy (Sensitivity Model-III). 177

Additional analyses on 2- and 3-year average PM_{2.5} exposure effects on IQ (full-scale; VIQ; PIQ) revealed a fairly similar pattern of associations across different temporal scales of exposure (S1 Fig). Post-hoc analyses were also conducted to explore the possibility of differential impact of PM_{2.5} on each component score of PIQ (Block Design; Matrix Reasoning)

¹⁸² **Table 1.** Population Characteristics in Relation to the Overall^a PM_{2.5} Exposure 1-Year Prior to IQ Assessment

	N ^c		Quartile	of PM _{2.5}		
Population Characteristics at		2.14-16.08	16.09-18.67	18.68-21.13	21.14-25.36	
Baseline ^b		Median=13.55	Median=17.56	Median=20.16	Median=22.76	
	1360	(N=339)	(N=341)	(N=340)	(N=340)	p-value ^d
Age	1360	16.18 ± 3.12	12.76 ± 2.56	10.11 ± 1.73	9.63 ± 0.62	< 0.0001
Gender						0.0970
Male	690	169 (24.49%)	192 (27.83%)	169 (24.49%)	160 (23.19%)	
Female	670	170 (25.37%)	149 (22.24%)	171 (25.52%)	180 (26.87%)	
Ethnicity						< 0.0001
Caucasian	378	147 (38.89%)	83 (21.96%)	80 (21.16%)	68 (17.99%)	
Hispanic	504	81 (16.07%)	128 (25.40%)	129 (25.6%)	166 (32.94%)	
Black	188	31 (16.49%)	46 (24.47%)	57 (30.32%)	54 (28.72%)	
Asian	58	12 (20.69%)	21 (36.21%)	17 (29.31%)	8 (13.79%)	
Other or Mixed	232	68 (29.31%)	63 (27.16%)	57 (24.57%)	44 (18.97%)	
Household socioeconomic status	1360	45.35 ± 11.21	42.22 ± 11.19	41.80 ± 12.03	39.70 ± 11.07	< 0.0001
Neighborhood socioeconomic status	1360	0.31 ± 0.93	$\textbf{-0.10} \pm 0.90$	-0.07 ± 1.07	-0.39 ± 0.85	< 0.0001
Neighborhood quality ^e	1344	26.18 ± 9.09	26.68 ± 9.41	28.97 ± 10.70	29.52 ± 11.85	< 0.0001
Maternal smoking during pregnancy						0.0037
No	1216	309 (25.41%)	312 (25.66%)	288 (23.68%)	307 (25.25%)	
Yes	84	16 (19.05%)	13 (15.48%)	34 (40.48%)	21 (25.00%)	
Parental WJ Score – Letter Word	1099	59.96 ± 9.88	54.23 ± 7.43	52.49 ± 5.81	54.07 ± 6.78	< 0.0001
Parental WJ Score – Word Attack	1099	25.52 ± 5.30	23.08 ± 5.14	23.11 ± 4.74	22.82 ± 5.16	< 0.0001
Parental Stress	1346	30.52 ± 8.14	31.88 ± 8.40	32.94 ± 8.54	32.99 ± 8.25	0.0002
NDVI 1-year prior in 1000m area	1360	0.33 ± 0.08	0.33 ± 0.07	0.32 ± 0.09	0.30 ± 0.07	< 0.0001
Traffic density in 300m area	1360	73.95 ± 146.78	90.6 ± 138.17	87.38 ± 139.30	84.37 ± 127.64	< 0.0001
Temperature 1-year prior (°C)	1360	17.25 ± 0.81	17.50 ± 0.68	17.42 ± 0.79	17.58 ± 0.56	< 0.0001
Relative humidity 1-year prior (%)	1360	58.85 ± 7.60	61.08 ± 6.08	63.49 ± 5.95	62.85 ± 4.22	< 0.0001
Total annual NOx (ppb)	1360	18.70 ± 19.02	31.30 ± 20.86	34.88 ± 22.69	33.94 ± 18.92	< 0.0001

a. Overall exposure defined as the individual-level average of 1-year exposure estimated prior to each IQ assessment

b. Baseline referred to the first valid assessment of IQ (either Wave 1 or Wave 5 in this study).

c. Total number of subjects decrease slightly due to missing values.

¹⁸⁶ d. P-value from the ANOVA test comparing means of continuous variables or Pearson χ^2 test comparing the distribution of VIQ across categorical variables across the ¹⁸⁷ quartile of outcome variable.

188 e. Higher score represented a more negative perception of neighborhood quality.

10

¹⁸⁹ **Table 2.** Population Characteristics at Baseline in Relation to Full-Scale IQ

	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{a}}$		Quartile of IQ			
Population Characteristics		45-92	93-103	104-114	115-149	
		Median=83	Median=99	Median=109	Median=121	
	1360	(N=351)	(N=327)	(N=345)	(N=337)	p-value ^b
Age	1360	10.73 ± 3.01	10.55 ± 2.85	10.83 ± 3.27	10.91 ± 3.40	0.4707
Gender						0.5498
Male	690	176 (25.51%)	164 (23.77%)	168 (24.35%)	182 (26.38%)	
Female	670	175 (26.12%)	163 (24.33%)	177 (26.42%)	155 (23.13%)	
Ethnicity						< 0.0001
Caucasian	378	28 (7.41%)	58 (15.34%)	106 (28.04%)	186 (49.21%)	
Hispanic	504	182 (36.11%)	156 (30.95%)	110 (21.83%)	56 (11.11%)	
Black	188	73 (38.83%)	49 (26.06%)	40 (21.28%)	26 (13.83%)	
Asian	58	11 (18.97%)	16 (27.59%)	20 (34.48%)	11 (18.97%)	
Other or Mixed	232	57 (24.57%)	48 (20.69%)	69 (29.74%)	58 (25.00 %)	
Household socioeconomic status	1360	36.65 ± 9.70	39.14 ± 11.31	44.43 ± 10.73	48.94 ± 10.36	< 0.0001
Neighborhood socioeconomic status	1360	-0.54 ± 0.59	$\textbf{-0.16} \pm 0.90$	0.07 ± 0.91	0.39 ± 1.17	< 0.0001
Neighborhood quality ^c	1344	30.01 ± 12.02	27.58 ± 10.67	27.4 ± 9.39	26.35 ± 8.96	< 0.0001
Maternal smoking during pregnancy						< 0.0001
No	1216	296 (24.34%)	295 (24.26%)	308 (25.33%)	317 (26.07%)	
Yes	84	34 (40.48%)	21 (25.00%)	21 (25.00%)	8 (9.52%)	
Parental WJ Score – Letter Word	1099	53.34 ± 8.23	54.21 ± 8.06	55.51 ± 7.68	57.33 ± 7.67	< 0.0001
Parental WJ Score – Word Attack	1099	22.31 ± 6.30	22.75 ± 5.09	24.02 ± 4.57	25.43 ± 3.81	< 0.0001
Parental Stress	1346	33.70 ± 8.57	32.60 ± 8.05	31.95 ± 8.72	30.07 ± 7.76	< 0.0001
NDVI 1-year prior in 1000m area	1360	0.29 ± 0.06	0.31 ± 0.08	0.33 ± 0.08	0.35 ± 0.09	< 0.0001
Traffic density in 300m area	1360	90.85 ± 146.9	88.42 ± 148.94	86.99 ± 142.76	69.87 ± 109.65	0.1801
Temperature 1-year prior (°C)	1360	17.41 ± 0.70	17.47 ± 0.72	17.46 ± 0.77	17.41 ± 0.72	0.6110
Relative humidity 1-year prior (%)	1360	62.62 ± 5.92	61.64 ± 6.27	61.23 ± 6.65	60.76 ± 6.37	0.0010
Total annual NOx (ppb)	1360	32.80 ± 21.83	31.25 ± 22.38	28.74 ± 21.58	26.01 ± 19.21	0.0002

a. Total number of subjects decrease slightly due to missing values.

b. P-value from the ANOVA test comparing means of continuous variables or Pearson χ^2 test comparing the distribution of VIQ across categorical variables across the quartile of outcome variable.

c. Higher score represented a more negative perception of neighborhood quality.

11

- ¹⁹⁴ or VIQ (Vocabulary; Similarities). We found the negative PM_{2.5}-PIQ association primarily
- reflected the adverse effect on Matrix Reasoning. Interestingly, although the negative PM2.5-
- ¹⁹⁶ VIQ associations were not statistically significant (S1 Fig), we found evidence for adverse
- effects on VIQ Similarities present for both 1-y (p = .04) and 2-year (p = .02) PM_{2.5} exposures
- ¹⁹⁸ (S1 Fig). Annual NO_x exposure also predicted lower IQ scores in the crude analyses (S4 Table),
- ¹⁹⁹ but their associations were largely abolished in the adjusted analyses (S4 Table).
- 200

Table 3. Associations between PM_{2.5} and IQ Measures

Models	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{a}}$	Full-Scale IQ β (95% CI) ^b	VIQ β (95% CI) ^b	PIQ β (95% CI) ^b
Crude Analysis	1360	-2.46 (-3.48, -1.44)*	-1.66 (-2.76, -0.56)*	-2.14 (-3.16, -1.12)*
Adjusted Model I ^c	1093	-1.93 (-4.75, 0.89)	-1.37 (-4.39, 1.65)	-2.91 (-5.83, 0.01)
Adjusted Model II ^d	1085	-2.00 (-4.84, 0.84)	-1.42 (-4.48, 1.64)	-3.08 (-6.04, -0.12)*
Sensitivity Analyses				
Sensitivity Model I ^e	1085	-1.84 (-4.86, 1.18)	-1.14 (-4.37, 2.09)	-3.50 (-6.62, -0.38)*
Sensitivity Model II ^f	1085	-2.08 (-4.96, 0.80)	-1.76 (-4.84, 1.32)	-3.01 (-5.99, -0.03)*
Sensitivity Model III ^g	1042	-2.05 (-4.87, 0.77)	-1.13 (-4.17, 1.91)	-3.66 (-6.62, -0.70)*

²⁰² * P < .05

a. Total number of participants differed because of missing values.

b. Estimate reflected the change in each IQ score and the resulting 95% confidence interval per each inter-quartile range (IQR)
 increase in PM_{2.5}.

c. Adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, family SES and parents' cognitive abilities.

d. Adjusted Model I + neighborhood SES, self-reported neighborhood quality, traffic density (300m) and neighborhood

208 greenness (1000m, 1-year preceding).

e. Adjusted Model II + temperature and relative humidity 1-year prior to test.

- f. Adjusted Model II + total annual NO_x.
- g. Adjusted Model II + parental stress and maternal smoking during pregnancy.
- 212

Moderation Roles of Socio-Demographic Characteristics

²¹⁴ Results of our moderation analyses showed that the adverse PM_{2.5} effects on PIQ were ²¹⁵ not uniform across socio-demographic characteristics (upper panel, Fig 1). Sex and family SES ²¹⁶ both significantly modified the association between PM_{2.5} and PIQ score (interaction p < .01 for ²¹⁷ both moderators), with exposure conferring 150% stronger influence in males ($\beta = -4.68, 95\%$ CI

218	= [-7.90, -1.47]) than in females (β = -1.87, 95% CI = [-4.89, 1.16]); and 89% stronger in low
219	SES families (β = -3.83, 95% CI = [-6.98, -0.69]) than in high SES families (β = -2.03, 95% CI =
220	[-6.12, 2.36]). Although the adverse PM _{2.5} -PIQ effect (β = -3.27; 95% CI = [-6.44, -0.10]) at age
221	9-11 was 74% greater than the corresponding estimate ($\beta = -1.88$; 95% CI = [-6.12, 2.36]) during
222	emerging adulthood, this observed difference by age did not reach statistical significance
223	(interaction $p = .49$),
224	The moderation analyses of VIQ did not reveal remarkable findings, except for a
225	statistically significant interaction ($p = .03$) between gender and PM _{2.5} (lower panel, Fig 1). Our
226	results suggested that the PM _{2.5} -VIQ effect might be qualitatively different between males (β = -
227	2.16; 95% CI = [-5.5, 1.18]) and females ($\beta = 0.78, 95\%$ CI = [-2.37, 3.93]), albeit an overlap
228	between these two CIs (please refer to Knezevik (31) for an explanation of why a significant
229	difference could have overlapping CIs).
230 231 232 233 234 235	Fig 1. Plot of regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for the association between $PM_{2.5}$ 1-year prior to test and the IQ scores, moderation by age, sex, and family socioeconomic status (RFAB Cohort 2000-2014). The gray reference band in each IQ subscale represented the 95% CI of the final-adjusted main effect of $PM_{2.5}$ on that IQ score. Significant moderation was highlighted in yellow.
235	Discussion
237	To our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study examining the effects of ambient air
238	pollutants on IQ spanning two different developmental stages: pre-/early-adolescence (aged 9-

11) and emerging adulthood (aged 18-20). We found strong evidence for a decreased PIQ score 239

- with higher exposure to ambient PM_{2.5} estimated at residential locations, even after adjusting for 240
- socio-demographic factors, spatial characteristics of residential neighborhoods, and parents' 241
- cognitive abilities. The corresponding associations with VIQ were less evident. The adverse 242

13

PM_{2.5}-PIQ effect was much greater in low SES families and in males, indicative of
 socioeconomic disparities and sexual dimorphism in the developmental neurotoxicity of PM_{2.5}
 exposure.

The observation of stronger adverse PM_{2.5} effects on IQ among RFAB participants 246 growing up in low SES families offers a useful view-scope to unify the findings reported in the 247 extant literature (11 studies from 7 birth cohorts with individual-level exposure data) on PM-IQ 248 associations (A4 in S1 File). For those 4 studies conducted outside the US (19, 20, 23, 25), 249 differences in PM characterization and primary exposure source may explain the discrepancies in 250 reported associations. Of the 7 US-based studies, 6 reported a statistically significant association 251 between early-life exposure to PM and low performance of IQ testing in children. These 252 included 4 studies based in the Columbia Center for Children's Environmental Health Birth 253 Cohort, which included children of minority (Black or Dominican-American) women primarily 254 with low SES (74% families with annual family income <\$20,000) and residing in a community 255 where traffic and residential heating were major exposure sources (18, 21, 24, 26). The other 3 256 studies, despite all having been based in the greater Boston area and employing the same 257 approaches to estimating residential exposure at birth locations, yielded very different results. In 258 the Project Viva (22), neither black carbon nor $PM_{2.5}$ exposure predicted lower IQ in children 259 (with an average age of 8) of relatively well-off (73% with annual family income >\$70,000) and 260 well-educated parents (68% maternal/ 63% paternal education \geq college). For the other two 261 studies including mothers primarily of minorities and/or with limited educational attainment (69-262 82% with maternal education \leq high school), PM_{2.5} was associated with low full-scale IQ in boys 263 of school age (6.5 ± 0.98 years) (27), whereas black carbon exposure predicted low Matrices 264 score on the Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test at 8-11 years of age (17). All these study findings 265

14

point to the importance of population social context (32) for designing epidemiological studies
 and interpreting data on developmental neurotoxicity of ambient air pollutants.

Our finding of socioeconomic disparities in the adverse PM₂ 5-PIQ effect has important 268 implications for future research on the environmental neurosciences in neurodevelopmental 269 toxicity of particulate air pollutants. First, $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and socioeconomic adversities may 270 have converged on common pathways with resulting exacerbated neurotoxicity, although the 271 exact models for their respective mechanistic actions remain unclear. Possible brain regions and 272 structures with shared vulnerability may include hippocampus (33, 34), prefrontal cortex (35, 36), 273 and cerebral white matter (24, 37). Second, high-SES families may provide their children with 274 more exposure to advantageous experiences (e.g., early-life educational resources), which could 275 partly off-set the brain damage from PM_{2.5} exposure. Third, although our analyses accounted for 276 parental cognitive abilities, low-SES families may not be able to engage in activities with 277 parental nurturance critical for cognitive development. Fourth, growing up in low SES families 278 indicates the possibility of concurrent exposures to other psychosocial and environmental 279 stressors (e.g., violence exposure, early onset of alcohol use) adversely affecting IQ development. 280 Better understanding of the causes of socioeconomic disparities in PM neurotoxicity will not 281 only shed light on the mechanistic pathways, but also help identify more susceptible populations 282 who can benefit the greatest from environmental regulation, social policies (e.g., reducing family 283 poverty; early education program), or family interventions (e.g., parental caring behaviors). 284 Although PIQ and VIQ were moderately correlated, the adverse PM_{2.5}-IQ effect was 285 statistically significant for PIQ only (primarily affecting the Matrix Reasoning component). This 286

²⁸⁸ intelligence (Gf) refers to the capabilities to reason and solve novel problems, in contrast to

287

divergence may reflect a more detrimental impact of PM on fluid cognitive abilities. Fluid

289	crystallized intelligence (Gc), another factor of intelligence concerning acquired knowledge,
290	skills and experiences (38, 39). This classical distinction laid the theoretical foundation for the
291	development of PIQ and VIQ. It is interesting to note that our ad hoc analyses (S1 Fig) also
292	showed that increased $PM_{2.5}$ (1- and 2-year average) exposure was associated with decreased
293	scores in the VIQ subtest Similarity, a measure intended for Gc but actually tapping into Gf
294	(likely more than the PIQ subtest Block Design, a spatial visualization task) as it relies upon the
295	ability to abstract common patterns beyond the knowledge of words and their meanings (40).
296	Because Gf is more reliant on and sensitive to lesions to frontal lobe than Gc (41-45), the
297	differential PM _{2.5} effect on fluid intelligence implies possible damage to frontal brain networks,
298	which was supported by the emerging data from neurotoxicological and neuroimaging studies.
299	For instance, persistent glial activation in frontal cortex was demonstrated in mouse models with
300	early-life exposure to concentrated ambient ultrafine particles (46). In utero exposure to a low
301	concentration of diesel exhaust also altered the neurochemical monoamine metabolism in
302	prefrontal cortex (47). In a birth cohort study based in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, early-life
303	exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ was associated with cortical thinning in the frontal lobe at age 9 (48).
304	Two recent studies have reported adverse PM effects on IQ (27) and working memory
305	(49) assessed in school age were stronger in boys than girls, although none of the exposure
306	interaction with sex was statistically significant. Our study showed that the adverse $PM_{2.5}$ effects
307	on both PIQ and VIQ scores assessed during early adolescence and emerging adulthood were
308	stronger in males than females (interaction p-value < .05; Fig 1), despite female RFAB
309	participants being more likely to reside in locations with higher $PM_{2.5}$ (3 rd and 4 th quartiles in
310	Table 1). Multiple biological differences may help explain the observed differences between
311	males and females in observed adverse PM _{2.5} -IQ effects in the current study. Neurotoxicologists

16

312	have documented sexually dimorphic neurobehavioral responses to various environmental
313	chemicals (e.g., dioxin, bisphenol-A), a phenomenon often inferred as an indicator for exposure-
314	induced endocrine-disrupting effects on the brain, largely through interference with the actions
315	of gonadal hormones (50). Animal studies support the neuroendocrine disruption with inhaled
316	exposure to particles (51, 52), but the mechanisms underlying sexual dimorphism in
317	neurotoxicity may also involve neurobiological pathways with exposure interacting with sex-
318	linked genes (53). Although earlier studies did not show clear evidence for sex differences in
319	general intelligence (54), new findings support the presence of cognitive sex differences
320	depending on task characteristics and contextual experience (55). However, studies relating
321	pubertal sex hormones to cognitive abilities in adolescents have yielded mixed results (56, 57).
322	Nonetheless, our findings give strong rationale for future studies to investigate whether sexual
323	dimorphism is also present in other neurodevelopmental and behavioral effects of ambient air
324	pollutants. Better understanding of the neurobiological processes underlying the sexual
325	dimorphism in the PM _{2.5} -IQ effect may inform better sex-sensitive intervention strategies to
326	reduce harmful environmental exposures to optimize the brain-behavioral health for both men
327	and women.
328	Our moderation analyses revealed no statistical interaction of exposure effect by age

³²⁸Our moderation analyses revealed no statistical interaction of exposure effect by age ³²⁹group, despite the fact that the adverse PM_{2.5}-PIQ effect was 74% stronger in pre-/early-³³⁰adolescence than in emerging adulthood. Behavior genetic research has reported that ³³¹environmental contribution to IQ variation decreases across age (12, 58). As neural structure and ³³²network approach maturation by the end of adolescence (4, 10), IQ of young adults may be less ³³³subject to environmental influences. Previous studies have shown that the use of neurotoxic ³³⁴agents, such as alcohol and other drugs, posed more threats to memory and memory-related brain

17

function in adolescents than adults (59). However, given a relatively small sample (n=510) assessed during emerging adulthood, our results must be viewed with caution, as they did not necessarily mean that the neurotoxic threats of ambient air pollutants disappeared once into adulthood. Hippocampal damage with cognitive impairments was previously documented in mice with long-term inhaled exposure to concentrated $PM_{2.5}$ starting in youth (33). Future studies with larger samples could help clarify this important uncertainty in the adverse $PM_{2.5}$ –IQ effect during the transition into young adults.

The strengths of our study included its base in Southern California with wide exposure 342 contrast, sampled from a population with rich diversity in race/ethnicity, sex and family SES, 343 and the inclusion of repeated IQ assessment for longitudinal analyses. This unique sample and 344 prospective longitudinal design provided adequate power to investigate heterogeneity in the PM-345 IQ associations across age, sex, and SES. Nonetheless, there are several limitations that should 346 be considered. First, we caution the interpretation of selective $PM_{2.5}$ -PIQ effect. Because our 347 assessment of IQ was based on the WASI (an abbreviated Wechsler intelligence scale, rather 348 than the full scale), some significant domains (e.g., working memory; processing speed) 349 presumably sensitive to PM_{2.5} neurotoxicity were not captured in our analyses. Second, although 350 we were able to conduct longitudinal analyses, the inference of our results was based on the 351 statistical assumption of data missing at random given the unbalanced data structure with 352 repeated measures. Third, we were not able to study prenatal exposure effects, because extensive 353 monitoring of PM_{2.5} data were not available until after 1999, while the birth years of the cohort 354 ranged from 1990-1995. The relative contribution to adverse PM_{2.5}-IQ effects by exposure in 355 early life versus adolescence needs to be investigated further. Fourth, our analyses only included 356 the estimate of PM_{2.5} mass, and we did not study the specific neurotoxicity of PM_{2.5} constituents 357

18

	Acknowledgements
367	interventions.
366	may help elucidate the underlying mechanisms and shed light for targeted and effective
365	disparities and sexual dimorphism in neurotoxic effects of $PM_{2.5}$ on intellectual development
364	effects observed in low SES families and in males. Better understanding of the socioeconomic
363	scores in youth living in locations with higher exposure to ambient $PM_{2.5}$, with stronger adverse
362	In this first longitudinal study with repeated cognitive assessment, we found lower PIQ
361	observed associations.
360	non-differential measurement errors in such estimates, which would likely have attenuated the
359	interpolation of monitored concentrations were statistically cross-validated, there are expected
358	(e.g., metals; organic chemicals). Fifth, while $PM_{2.5}$ estimates based on spatiotemporal

368 Acknowledgements

This study used data from the USC-RFAB twin study. We thank the USC research staff 369 for their assistance in collecting data, and subjects for their participation. 370

References

372 1. Lynn R, Vanhanen T. IQ and global inequality: Washington Summit Publishers; 2006. 373 Grosse SD, Matte TD, Schwartz J, Jackson RJ. Economic gains resulting from the reduction in 2. 374 children's exposure to lead in the United States. Environmental Health Perspectives. 375 2002;110(6):563. 376 3. Strenze T. Intelligence and socioeconomic success: A meta-analytic review of longitudinal 371 research. Intelligence. 2007;35(5):401-26. 378 Lenroot RK, Giedd JN. Brain development in children and adolescents: insights from anatomical 4. 379 magnetic resonance imaging. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2006;30(6):718-29. 380 5. Ramsden S, Richardson FM, Josse G, Thomas MS, Ellis C, Shakeshaft C, et al. Verbal and non-381 verbal intelligence changes in the teenage brain. Nature. 2011;479(7371):113-6. 382 WHO. Adolescent Development2011 2016 August. Available from: 6. 383 http://www.who.int/maternal child adolescent/topics/adolescence/dev/en/. 384 7. Dahl RE. Adolescent brain development: a period of vulnerabilities and opportunities. Keynote 385 address. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2004;1021(1):1-22. 386 8. Giorgio A, Watkins K, Chadwick M, James S, Winmill L, Douaud G, et al. Longitudinal changes 381 in grey and white matter during adolescence. Neuroimage. 2010;49(1):94-103. 388 9. Tamnes CK, Østby Y, Fjell AM, Westlye LT, Due-Tønnessen P, Walhovd KB. Brain maturation 389 in adolescence and young adulthood: regional age-related changes in cortical thickness and white 390 matter volume and microstructure. Cerebral cortex. 2010;20(3):534-48. 391 10. Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Vaituzis AC, et al. Dynamic mapping 392 of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. Proceedings of the 393 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2004;101(21):8174-9. 394 11. Turkheimer E, Haley A, Waldron M, D'Onofrio B, Gottesman II. Socioeconomic status modifies 395 heritability of IO in young children. Psychological science. 2003;14(6):623-8. 396 12. Bergen SE, Gardner CO, Kendler KS. Age-related changes in heritability of behavioral 397 phenotypes over adolescence and young adulthood: a meta-analysis. Twin Research and Human 398 Genetics. 2007;10(03):423-33. 399 13. McLoyd VC. Socioeconomic disadvantage and child development. American psychologist. 400 1998;53(2):185. 401 14. Van Ijzendoorn MH, Juffer F, Poelhuis CWK. Adoption and cognitive development: a meta-402 analytic comparison of adopted and nonadopted children's IQ and school performance. 403 Psychological bulletin. 2005;131(2):301. 404 15. Christian K, Bachnan H, Morrison F. Schooling and cognitive development. Environmental 405 effects on cognitive abilities. 2001:287-335. 16. Block ML, Elder A, Auten RL, Bilbo SD, Chen H, Chen J-C, et al. The outdoor air pollution and 407 brain health workshop. Neurotoxicology. 2012;33(5):972-84. 408 17. Suglia SF, Gryparis A, Wright R, Schwartz J, Wright R. Association of black carbon with 409 cognition among children in a prospective birth cohort study. American journal of epidemiology. 410 2008;167(3):280-6. 411 18. Perera FP, Li Z, Whyatt R, Hoepner L, Wang S, Camann D, et al. Prenatal airborne polycyclic 412 aromatic hydrocarbon exposure and child IQ at age 5 years. Pediatrics. 2009;124(2):e195-e202. 413 19. Edwards SC, Jedrychowski W, Butscher M, Camann D, Kieltyka A, Mroz E, et al. Prenatal 414 exposure to airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and children's intelligence at 5 years of 415 age in a prospective cohort study in Poland. Environmental health perspectives. 416 2010;118(9):1326. 417 20. Perera F, Li T, Lin C, Tang D. Effects of prenatal polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon exposure and 418 environmental tobacco smoke on child IQ in a Chinese cohort. Environmental research. 419 2012;114:40-6. 420

401	21	Lovasi GS, Eldred-Skemp N, Quinn JW, Chang H-w, Rauh VA, Rundle A, et al. Neighborhood
421 422	21.	social context and individual polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon exposures associated with child
423		cognitive test scores. Journal of child and family studies. 2014;23(5):785-99.
	22.	Harris MH, Gold DR, Rifas-Shiman SL, Melly SJ, Zanobetti A, Coull BA, et al. Prenatal and
425		childhood traffic-related pollution exposure and childhood cognition in the project viva cohort
426		(Massachusetts, USA). Environmental health perspectives. 2015;123(10):1072.
	23.	Jedrychowski WA, Perera FP, Camann D, Spengler J, Butscher M, Mroz E, et al. Prenatal
428		exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and cognitive dysfunction in children.
429		Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2015;22(5):3631-9.
430	24.	Peterson BS, Rauh VA, Bansal R, Hao X, Toth Z, Nati G, et al. Effects of prenatal exposure to air
431		pollutants (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) on the development of brain white matter,
432		cognition, and behavior in later childhood. JAMA psychiatry. 2015;72(6):531-40.
433	25.	Porta D, Narduzzi S, Badaloni C, Bucci S, Cesaroni G, Colelli V, et al. Air pollution and
434		cognitive development at age seven in a prospective Italian birth cohort. Epidemiology
435		(Cambridge, Mass). 2015.
436	26.	Vishnevetsky J, Tang D, Chang H-W, Roen EL, Wang Y, Rauh V, et al. Combined effects of
437		prenatal polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and material hardship on child IQ. Neurotoxicology
438		and teratology. 2015;49:74-80.
439	27.	Chiu Y-HM, Hsu H-HL, Coull BA, Bellinger DC, Kloog I, Schwartz J, et al. Prenatal particulate
440		air pollution and neurodevelopment in urban children: Examining sensitive windows and sex-
441		specific associations. Environment international. 2016;87:56-65.
442	28.	Calderón-Garcidueñas L, Engle R, Mora-Tiscareño A, Styner M, Gómez-Garza G, Zhu H, et al.
443		Exposure to severe urban air pollution influences cognitive outcomes, brain volume and systemic
444		inflammation in clinically healthy children. Brain and cognition. 2011;77(3):345-55.
445	29.	Baker LA, Tuvblad C, Wang P, Gomez K, Bezdjian S, Niv S, et al. The Southern California Twin
446		Register at the University of Southern California: III. Twin Research and Human Genetics.
447		2013;16(01):336-43.
448	30.	Wechsler D. Wechsler abbreviated scale of intelligence. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment;
449		1999.
450	31.	Knezevic A. Overlapping Confidence Intervals and Statistical Significance 2008 [Available from:
451		https://www.cscu.cornell.edu/news/statnews/stnews73.pdf.
452	32.	Bellinger DC, Matthews-Bellinger JA, Kordas K. A developmental perspective on early-life
453		exposure to neurotoxicants. Environment international. 2016;94:103-12.
454	33.	Fonken L, Xu X, Weil ZM, Chen G, Sun Q, Rajagopalan S, et al. Air pollution impairs cognition,
455		provokes depressive-like behaviors and alters hippocampal cytokine expression and morphology.
456	. .	Molecular psychiatry. 2011;16(10):987-95.
457	34.	Noble KG, Houston SM, Brito NH, Bartsch H, Kan E, Kuperman JM, et al. Family income,
458		parental education and brain structure in children and adolescents. Nature neuroscience.
459	~-	2015;18(5):773-8.
460	35.	Block ML, Calderón-Garcidueñas L. Air pollution: mechanisms of neuroinflammation and CNS
461	26	disease. Trends in neurosciences. 2009;32(9):506-16.
462	36.	Johnson SB, Riis JL, Noble KG. State of the art review: poverty and the developing brain.
463	27	Pediatrics. 2016;137(4):peds. 2015-3075.
464	51.	Noble KG, Korgaonkar MS, Grieve SM, Brickman AM. Higher education is an age independent
465		predictor of white matter integrity and cognitive control in late adolescence. Developmental
466	20	science. 2013;16(5):653-64.
467	38.	Cattell RB. Theory of fluid and crystallized intelligence: A critical experiment. Journal of
468	20	educational psychology. 1963;54(1):1.
	37.	Cattell RB. Abilities: Their structure, growth, and action. Oxford, England: Houghton Mifflin;
470		1971.

	40.	Kaufman AS, Lichtenberger EO. Assessing adolescent and adult intelligence: John Wiley & Song 2005
472	11	Sons; 2005. Nichett PE Arongon L Plair C. Dickong W. Elymp L Halpern DE et al. Intelligences new findings
473 474	41.	Nisbett RE, Aronson J, Blair C, Dickens W, Flynn J, Halpern DF, et al. Intelligence: new findings and theoretical developments. American psychologist. 2012;67(2):130.
	42.	Duncan J, Burgess P, Emslie H. Fluid intelligence after frontal lobe lesions. Neuropsychologia.
476		1995;33(3):261-8.
	43.	Roca M, Parr A, Thompson R, Woolgar A, Torralva T, Antoun N, et al. Executive function and
478		fluid intelligence after frontal lobe lesions. Brain. 2009;118(2):234-47.
479	44.	Woolgar A, Parr A, Cusack R, Thompson R, Nimmo-Smith I, Torralva T, et al. Fluid intelligence
480		loss linked to restricted regions of damage within frontal and parietal cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010;107(33):14899-902.
481	15	Barbey AK, Colom R, Paul EJ, Grafman J. Architecture of fluid intelligence and working
	45.	memory revealed by lesion mapping. Brain Structure and Function. 2014;219(2):485-94.
483	16	
	40.	Allen JL, Liu X, Weston D, Prince L, Oberdörster G, Finkelstein JN, et al. Developmental
485		exposure to concentrated ambient ultrafine particulate matter air pollution in mice results in
486		persistent and sex-dependent behavioral neurotoxicity and glial activation. Toxicological
487	47	Sciences. 2014;140(1):160-78.
488	47.	Suzuki T, Oshio S, Iwata M, Saburi H, Odagiri T, Udagawa T, et al. In utero exposure to a low
489		concentration of diesel exhaust affects spontaneous locomotor activity and monoaminergic
490	40	system in male mice. Particle and fibre toxicology. 2010;7(1):1.
491	48.	Guxens M, Lubczynska MJ, Muetzel R, Dalmau A, Jaddoe VW, Verhulst FC, et al. Air pollution
492		exposure during pregnancy and brain morphology in young children: a population-based
493		prospective birth cohort study. Abstracts of the 2016l Epidemiology (ISEE). Research Triangle
494		Park, NC: Environmental Health Perspectives; 2016.
495	49.	Sunyer J, Esnaola M, Alvarez-Pedrerol M, Forns J, Rivas I, López-Vicente M, et al. Association
496		between traffic-related air pollution in schools and cognitive development in primary school
497		children: a prospective cohort study. PLoS Med. 2015;12(3):e1001792.
498	50.	Weiss B. Sexually dimorphic nonreproductive behaviors as indicators of endocrine disruption.
499		Environmental health perspectives. 2002;110(Suppl 3):387.
500	51.	Tsukue N, Yoshida S, Sugawara I, Takeda K. Effect of diesel exhaust on development of fetal
501		reproductive function in ICR female mice. Journal of health science. 2004;50(2):174-80.
502	52.	Sirivelu MP, MohanKumar SM, Wagner JG, Harkema JR, MohanKumar PS. Activation of the
503		stress axis and neurochemical alterations in specific brain areas by concentrated ambient particle
504		exposure with concomitant allergic airway disease. Environmental health perspectives. 2006:870-
505		4.
506	53.	Davies W, Wilkinson LS. It is not all hormones: alternative explanations for sexual
507		differentiation of the brain. Brain research. 2006;1126(1):36-45.
508	54.	Halpern DF, LaMay ML. The Smarter Sex: A Critical Review of Sex Differences in Intelligence.
509		Educational Psychology Review. 2000;12(2):229-46.
510	55.	Miller DI, Halpern DF. The new science of cognitive sex differences. Trends in cognitive
511		sciences. 2014;18(1):37-45.
512	56.	Herlitz A, Reuterskiold L, Loven J, Thilers PP, Rehnman J. Cognitive sex differences are not
513		magnified as a function of age, sex hormones, or puberty development during early adolescence.
514		Dev Neuropsychol. 2013;38(3):167-79.
	57.	Vuoksimaa E, Kaprio J, Eriksson CJ, Rose RJ. Pubertal testosterone predicts mental rotation
516		performance of young adult males. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2012;37(11):1791-800.
517	58.	Hoekstra RA, Bartels M, Boomsma DI. Longitudinal genetic study of verbal and nonverbal IQ
518		from early childhood to young adulthood. Learning and Individual Differences. 2007;17(2):97-
519		114.

59. White AM, Swartzwelder HS. Age-related effects of alcohol on memory and memory-related 520 brain function in adolescents and adults. Recent developments in alcoholism: Springer; 2005. p. 521 161-76.

522

23

S24 Supporting Information

- ⁵²⁵ **S1 Table.** Descriptive statistics of major demographic characteristics, PM_{2.5} 1-year preceding
- ⁵²⁶ and IQ scores of three sub-cohorts
- ⁵²⁷ **S2 Table.** Population Characteristics at Baseline in Relation to Levels of Verbal IQ
- ⁵²⁸ **S3 Table.** Population Characteristics at Baseline in Relation to Levels of Performance IQ
- ⁵²⁹ **S4 Table.** Associations between total annual NOx and subscales of IQ
- ⁵³⁰ **S1 Fig.** Plot of regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for the associations
- between $PM_{2.5}$ (1-, 2- and 3-year preceding test) and subscales of IQ from the final-adjusted
- 532 model
- ⁵³³ **S1 File. Appendix.** A1. Map of Residential Locations during pre-/early- adolescence and
- emerging adulthood; A2. Temporal-spatial Modeling of PM_{2.5} Exposure; A3. Relevant
- ⁵³⁵ Covariates; A4. Summary Table of Air Pollution and IQ Studies