A longitudinal model of human neuronal differentiation for functional investigation of

schizophrenia polygenic risk

Authors:

Anil P. S. Ori[1], Merel H. M. Bot[1], Remco T. Molenhuis[1⊥], Loes M. Olde Loohuis[1], Roel A.

Ophoff[1,2,3]*

Affiliations:

[1]Center for Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior,

University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

[2]Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los

Angeles, California, USA

[3]Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Utrecht,

Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⊥Current affiliation: Department of Translational Neuroscience, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus,

University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

*Correspondence to Roel A. Ophoff, Ph.D.

695 Charles E. Young Drive South, CA 90095, Los Angeles, USA

Tel: (310) 794 9602

E-mail: Ophoff@ucla.edu

Abstract

There is a pressing need for *in vitro* experimental systems that allow for interrogation of

polygenic psychiatric disease risk to study the underlying biological mechanisms. We developed

an analytical framework that integrates genome-wide disease risk from GWAS with longitudinal

in vitro gene expression profiles of human neuronal differentiation. We demonstrate that the

cumulative impact of risk loci of specific psychiatric disorders is significantly associated with genes

that are differentially expressed across neuronal differentiation. We find significant evidence for

schizophrenia, which is driven by a longitudinal synaptic gene cluster that is upregulated during

differentiation. Our findings reveal that *in vitro* neuronal differentiation can be used to translate

the polygenic architecture of schizophrenia to biologically relevant pathways that can be modeled

in an experimental system. Overall, this work emphasizes the use of longitudinal *in vitro*

transcriptomic signatures as a cellular readout and the application to the genetics of complex

traits.

Introduction

Major psychiatric disorders feature a high heritability but have a largely unknown etiology[1, 2]. The increasing sample sizes of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) successfully result in identification of more susceptibility loci for these disorders[3]. A major challenge is to understand and interpret the cumulative impact of many loci that collectively contribute to psychiatric disease risk and how to translate this complex polygenic architecture to biological pathways that drive the underlying molecular and cellular disease processes. Lack of applicable *in vitro* model systems and a framework to study polygenic psychiatric risk hinders the translation of genetics findings to disease biology[4].

Early brain development has been implicated in psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia (SCZ)[5–8], autism spectrum disorder (ASD)[9, 10], and self-reported depression (SRD)[11]. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) into neuronal lineages has been demonstrated to hold great promise to model early brain development[12–14], and may thus offer a unique opportunity to study psychiatric disease biology *in vitro*. However, it has remained unclear whether the molecular dynamics underlying *in vitro* human neuronal differentiation are associated with polygenic psychiatric disease susceptibility.

We set out to investigate *in vitro* human neuronal differentiation in the context of polygenic psychiatric disease risk. To accomplish this, we performed a densely-sampled time series experiment and robustly detected transcriptome-wide changes across neuronal differentiation. To study the aggregate impact of risk loci, we integrated longitudinal *in vitro* gene expression signatures with GWAS summary statistics of major psychiatric disorders. We observe significant enrichment of genetic risk for multiple disorders in genes that are upregulated across differentiation. We further show that this effect is strongest for SCZ and primarily driven by a longitudinal gene cluster that is involved in synaptic functioning. These findings support to use of *in vitro* neuronal differentiation as a promising model system to study genetic psychiatric risk, particularly in the context of schizophrenia.

Material and Methods

Approval for stem cell research

This study and all described work was approved by the University of California, Los Angeles Embryonic Stem Cell Research Oversight (ESCRO) committee.

In vitro human neuronal differentiation

WA09(H9)-derived hNSCs were commercially obtained (Gibco) as neural progenitors and subsequently expanded as adherent culture according to the manufacturer's guidelines (Supplementary Methods). Low passage hNSCs (< 4 passage rounds) were plated in 12-well plates coated with poly-D-lysine (0.1 mg/mL, VWR) and laminin (4.52ug/cm^2, Corning^{TM}) at 1.5×10^5 cells, which were equally distributed and subsequently cultured in expansion medium as described above. After 24h of proliferation, media was changed to neuronal differentiation medium consisting of Neurobasal® Medium (Gibco), 2% B-27® Serum-Free Supplement (Gibco), 2mM GlutaMax™-I Supplement, 0.05 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Gibco), and 1x Pen Strep. Media was changed every 2-3 days.

Experimental design and assessment of gene expression

Human neural stem cells were differentiated over a course of 30 days and RNA harvested at seven time points (day 0, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30) in triplicates or quadruplicates (n = 24) (Supplementary Methods). Genome-wide array-based transcriptome data was collected at the UCLA Neuroscience Genomics Core using Illumina's HumanHT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip Kit.

Data preprocessing and quality control

Gene expression data was extracted using the Gene Expression Module in GenomeStudio Software 2011.1. Data was background corrected with subsequent variance-stabilizing transformation and robust spline normalization was applied[15, 16]. We excluded low

quality probes and subsequently performed sample outlier detection by Euclidean distance and

standardized connectivity (see Supplementary Methods). The FactoMineR package (v1.28) in R

was used to perform principal component analysis (PCA). For subsequent downstream analyses,

we used the normalized expression values of 19,012 high quality filtered probes for all 24

samples.

Transcriptome-based in vitro cellular identity

We identified cell-type specific genes of neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocyte precursor

cells (OPC), newly formed oligodendrocytes (NFO), myelinating oligodendrocytes (MO),

microglia, and endothelial cells from mouse cerebral cortex[17] (Supplementary Methods). Next, we

extracted normalized gene expression values of these genes for each cell type from our own *in*

vitro dataset. We then standardized expression values to time point zero and calculated mean

standardized expression levels of cell type-specific genes for these seven cell types across time

points. This allowed us to investigate *in vitro* cellular identity across differentiation using cell-type

specific gene expression information of many genes for these seven cell types.

Transition mapping to a spatiotemporal atlas of early human brain development

To investigate global transcriptomic matching between *in vitro* gene expression profiles

and *in vivo* gene expression profiles of neocortical brain regions, we applied transition mapping

(TMAP), which is implemented in the online CoNTExT bioinformatic pipeline

(https://context.semel.ucla.edu)[14]. Analyses were run for *in vitro* time points day-0 vs day-30, day-

0 vs day-5, day-5 vs day-15, and day-15 vs day-30 across both temporal and spatial dimensions

of human cortical development (see Supplementary Methods).

Time-series differential gene expression analysis

Two multivariate empirical Bayes models were used to identify differentially expressed

genes across *in vitro* neuronal differentiation. The first method was implemented in the

Timecourse package (v 1.42) in R. We used the mb.long() function to calculate the one-sample

T^2 statistic that ranks genes based on their log10 probability to have differential expression over

time[18]. Bayesian Estimation of Temporal Regulation (BETR), an extension of the first approach,

uses a flexible random-effect model that allows for correlations between the magnitude of

differential expression at different time points[19]. BETR is implemented in the betr package (v 1.26)

in R. Differentially expressed genes were classified as the union of the set of genes with a

probability of 1.0 using BETR and an equally-sized set of top ranked genes using the T^2-statistic.

Fuzzy c-means cluster analysis

To identify probes with similar expression patterns across differentiation, we applied fuzzy

c-means clustering to all differentially expressed probes. We calculated cluster membership

values using the fclusList() and membership() function in the Mfuzz package in R[20, 21]. See

Supplementary Methods for more details. Each probe receives a membership value for each

cluster. Probe membership values represent gene affiliation to a cluster and highlights the extent

of similarity in expression between genes. These values were used for subsequent downstream

analyses. We annotated clusters using Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated

Discovery (DAVID, v6.8) and probes with a membership > 0.5 (Supplementary Methods).

Integration of GWAS data with in vitro transcriptomic signatures

We first mapped Illumina probe IDs to Ensembl gene IDs using NCBI build 37.3, removed

duplicate Ensemble IDs, and extended gene boundaries symmetrically by 10kb to include

regulatory regions. Probe T^2-statistic and cluster membership values were collapsed per gene ID

using the mean value across probes. The mean gene-level T^2-statistic was then log-transformed

and the mean cluster membership values rank-transformed. These mean gene values were then

used to integrate *in vitro* signatures with GWAS data and to study the cumulative impact across

risk loci using Multi-marker Analysis of GenoMic Annotation (MAGMA) and stratified LD score

regression (sLDSR).

GWAS summary statistics and ancestry matched reference panels

GWAS summary statistics were obtained for SCZ[22], major depressive disorder (MDD)[23],

SRD[11], bipolar disorder (BPD)[24], ASD[25], attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)[26], cross

disorder[27], Alzheimer's disease (AD)[28], and adult human height[29] (Supplementary Methods and

Table S2). For each trait we used the most recent GWAS summary statistics that was publically

available at the time of the analysis. The 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 release (1KG) was used

as reference panel to model LD[30]. We used 503 individuals of European ancestry and 301

individuals of East Asian ancestry in analyses of GWAS data derived from target population of

Europeans and Han Chinese, respectively.

MAGMA gene-set analysis

Multi-marker Analysis of GenoMic Annotation (MAGMA v1.06)[31] was used to run "gene

property" analyses, which uses a multiple regression framework to associate a continuous gene

variable to GWAS gene level p-values. SNPs were mapped to genes using Ensembl gene IDs

and NCBI build 37.3 gene boundaries +/- 10kb extensions using the --annotate flag. For each

phenotype, we generated gene-level p-values by computing the mean SNP association using the

default gene model ('snp-wise=mean'). We only included SNP with minor allele frequency (MAF)

> 5% and dropped synonymous or duplicate SNPs after the first entry ('synonym-dup=drop-dup').

For each annotation, we then regressed gene-level GWAS test statistics on the corresponding

gene annotation variable using the '--gene-covar' function while adjusting for gene size, SNP

density, and LD-induced correlations ('--model correct=all'), which is estimated from an ancestry-

matched 1KG reference panel. In all analyses, we included only genes for which we had both the

gene variable and GWAS gene level test statistic available. Testing only for a positive association,

i.e. enrichment of GWAS signal, we report one-sided p-values along with the corresponding

regression coefficient.

Stratified LD Score Regression

We applied a recent extension to stratified LD score regression (sLDSR), a statistical

method that partitions SNP-based heritability (h^2) from GWAS summary statistics[8]. This extension

allows us to quantify the effects of continuous-valued annotations on the heritability[32]. For each

annotation, we first estimated partitioned LD scores using the ldsc.py --l2 function with MAF >

5%, a 1 centimorgan (cm) window, and an ancestry-match 1KG reference panel (Supplementary

Methods). We ran sLDSR (ldsc.py --h2) for each annotation of interest while accounting for the

full baseline model, as recommended by the developers[8, 32], and an extra annotation of all genes

detected in our *in vitro* model (n = 12,414). That is, for each annotation we ran the following model;

1. Full baseline model with 53 annotations.

2. Annotation of all genes detected during *in vitro* neuronal differentiation.

3. Annotation of interest (e.g. cluster membership).

If an annotation of interest (3) is associated with increased h^2, LD to SNPs with large

values of that annotation will increase the χ^2 statistic of a SNP more than LD to SNPs with smaller

values. To determine if this effect is significant and specific to this annotation, it estimates the

contribution of that annotation to the per-SNP h^2 while accounting for the baseline and the all

genes detected annotation (1 + 2). As we only test for a positive association, we report the

contribution to the per-SNP h^2 (τ) and the associated one-sided p-value, which is calculated using

standard errors that are obtained via a block jackknife procedure[8, 33].

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with R (https://www.r-project.org) or an otherwise specified

algorithm. Significance with MAGMA and sLDSR was determined at a one-sided α level of 0.05.

If applicable, Bonferroni correction for multiple comparison is applied and denoted in figures and

tables. For all analyses, further details on statistics are available in the supplementary results.

Results

Longitudinal in vitro gene expression profiling confirms neuron-specific differentiation

and matches in vivo human cortical development

To study the molecular dynamics underlying *in vitro* human neuronal differentiation, we

differentiated an hNSC line (WA09/H9) to a neuronal lineage across 30 days. Genome-wide gene

expression profiles were assayed densely at seven time points in at least triplicates (n=24

samples). Principal component analysis (PCA) on normalized gene expression values shows a

large proportion of the variance in expression to be explained by the differentiation process, with

minimal effects of technical variation (Figure 1A & S1). Investigation of transcriptome-based cell

type-specific gene expression signatures of major classes of cell types in the cerebral cortex

shows that relative neuronal gene expression increases as neuronal differentiation progresses

over time (Figure 1B). There is no evidence of glial- or endothelial-specific gene expression, which

confirms a broadly neuronal *in vitro* cellular identity.

Figure 1. *In vitro* gene expression profiles confirm a neuron-specific differentiation process and match *in vivo* human cortical development. (A) PCA of *in vitro* transcriptomic data with PC1 (x-axis) and PC2 (y-axis) visualized. Variance explained per component is shown in parentheses. Time points are color-coded and labeled by days across differentiation. (B) Transcriptome-based cellular identity is shown by average expression of cell type specific genes across days of

differentiation. Cell types are highlighted by their name and corresponding color. The first number in
the parentheses represents the number of genes for which the average expression is plotted. The
second number represents the corresponding number of probes assayed. OPC = oligodendrocyte
precursor cells, NFO = newly formed oligodendrocytes, MP = myelinating oligodendrocytes. (C+D)
TMAP output visualizes the amount of overlap between *in vitro* and *in vivo* DGE profiles colored by –
log10(p-value) (see figure S2 for more details on interpretation). Abbreviations and numbering above
maps correspond to schematic representations on the left (adopted from Stein et al., 2014) of different
developmental stages (C) and laminae (D). VZ = ventricular zone, SZ = subventricular zone, IZ =
intermediate zone, SP=subplate zone, CPi= inner cortical plate, CPo = outer cortical plate, MZ =
marginal zone, PCW = post conception weeks, M = months, Y = years, Period = developmental stage.
262

Having established that the *in vitro* differentiation process is predominantly neuronal, we

applied transition mapping (TMAP) to assess the correspondence of longitudinal *in vitro*

transcriptome data to *in vivo* signatures of human cortical development. TMAP uses a

spatiotemporal transcriptome atlas of the human neocortex and laminar expression data to

assess global overlap in differential gene expression (DGE) profiles between *in vitro* time points

and *in vivo* brain developmental stages or laminae of the human neocortex. We find significant

matching between the *in vitro* longitudinal DGE profiles (day-0 vs day-30) and *in vivo*

developmental stage from 4 weeks post-conception (PCW) to 24 PCW (Figure S2). This overlaps

with the primary period of neurogenesis in the neocortex, which starts around 6 PCW[34, 35]. To gain

more insight into this overlap, we partitioned the TMAP analyses in three comparisons and

examined how *in vitro* to *in vivo* matching progressed over time across *in vitro* neuronal

differentiation. We see a clear progression in matching from early developmental stages to later

stages (Figure 1C). For example, *in vitro* day-0 vs day-5 show strong overlap with *in vivo* period-

1 (4-8 PCW) vs period-4 (13-16 PCW), while *in vitro* day-15 vs day-30 shows stronger overlap

with *in vivo* period-2 (8-10 PCW) vs period-8 (birth-6M). Similarly, *in vitro* longitudinal DGE shows

progression from overlap of early time points with inner laminae, to overlap with more upper

cortical layers as *in vitro* neuronal differentiation advances (Figure 1D and S2).

In vitro neuronal differentiation reveals specific longitudinal gene clusters

To identify biological pathways associated with neuronal differentiation, we applied an

analysis framework specifically tailored to time-series gene expression data (see Methods and

Supplementary Methods). A total of 7,734 probes, mapping to 5,818 genes, were differentially

expressed over time (Figure S3). We find that these genes are, on average, more constrained to

genetic variation compared to non-differentially expressed genes (Supplementary Results). Using

only differentially expressed probes, we next applied fuzzy c-means clustering and identified eight

distinct longitudinal gene clusters (Figure 2 and S4). For each probe, we generated a

corresponding cluster membership value, representing the degree to which a gene belongs to a

cluster. To identify most informative biological interpretation of each cluster, we analyzed genes

with high cluster membership for enrichment of functional annotations using DAVID

(Supplementary Methods and Table S1).

	Genes (n)	Functional annotations	Enrichment	Cluster label
1	737	Mitotic cell cycle and division Chromosome and kinetochore DNA repair	23.90 17.41 15.67	Cell division
2	457	RNA binding and ribonucleoproteins RNA processing and ribosome biogenesis RNA splicing	15.77 10.05 6.18	RNA regulation
3	221	RNA processing and binding ncRNA processing *Regulation of cell cycle*	4.25 3.40 *2.13*	RNA processing
4	891	Neuronal outgrowth Synaptic formation Neuron differentiation	6.29 4.60 4.23	Neuron formation
5	779	Neuronal projections Synaptic signaling Synapse	16.85 13.56 10.16	Synaptic function
6	253	Membrane-bound vesicles Glycoprotein signaling Transmembrane region	4.17 3.95 2.72	Cell signaling
7	396	Regulation of transcription Transcription factor activity Zinc finger and ion binding	4.21 3.02 2.97	Transcription regulation
8	584	Extracellular region Cell junctions and adhesion Regulation of cell motility	8.20 7.78 7.19	Cell connectivity

0 10 20 30
Time (days)

Figure 2. Identified gene clusters highlight biological pathways important for neuronal differentiation. Significant functional annotations and corresponding enrichment score are shown for each gene cluster. Longitudinal gene expression is visualized for high member genes only (black line represents mean gene expression). Each cluster is color-coded with the number of genes at membership > 0.5 denoted. See table S1 for full annotation results.

We identified three clusters with decreasing gene expression over time that are

significantly enriched for cell division and RNA regulation and processing genes, reflective of stem

cell proliferation and cell fate determination that is tightly controlled and regulated by RNA

dependent processes[36]. Second, there are three clusters showing increased gene expression

levels over time that are primarily enriched for neuronal processes, such as neuron formation and

synaptic function. Another independent cluster shows an inverted U-shaped expression pattern

during development, enriched for genes involved in transcriptional regulation. The final cluster is

enriched for genes involved in extracellular region and cell adhesions. These processes are

important for cell connectivity and have also been implicated in cell proliferation and neuronal

migration[37, 38]. Together, these eight gene clusters reveal different biological mechanisms that are

associated with neuronal differentiation and consistent with known biology of neurodevelopment.

We hypothesize that the study of these longitudinal gene expression clusters can help decipher

disease mechanisms involved in psychiatric phenotypes.

Differentially expressed genes are enriched for polygenic psychiatric disease risk

To examine how aggregate psychiatric disease risk is distributed across genes that are

important for neuronal differentiation, we applied gene-set analysis and partitioning of h^2 with

MAGMA and sLDSR, respectively. We investigated the cumulative impact of risk loci associated

with major psychiatric disorders using GWAS results from large-scale studies. See

Supplementary Table S2 for details on all included phenotypes. For MDD, we included GWAS

results from the China Oxford and VCU Experimental Research on Genetic Epidemiology

(CONVERGE) consortium[23] and 23andMe Inc., a personal genetics company[11]. The latter uses

SRD as a proxy for major depression. Alzheimer's disease (AD) and adult human height served

as non-psychiatric control phenotypes that are heritable and polygenic. We used a two-step

approach where we first investigated disease susceptibility on overall differential expression level

and subsequently proceeded to deconstruct these associations across the longitudinal gene

clusters. Together, these analyses allow us to integrate *in vitro* longitudinal transcriptomic

signatures with GWAS summary statistics and assess if our approach can capture the polygenic

nature of psychiatric disorders.

We find that genes that are differentially expressed across *in vitro* neuronal differentiation

are enriched for genetic risk of multiple psychiatric disorders. We find significant effects with

MAGMA for SCZ (P=0.001), ADHD (P=0.002), and SRD (P=0.003) (Table 1 and Table S3). With

sLDSR, we find nominally significant effects for SCZ (P=0.01) and SRD (P=0.02) and a

suggestive association for ADHD (P=0.06) (Table 1 and Table S4). We observed a suggestive

enrichment for BPD, and no enrichment for the cross disorder, ASD, MDD CONVERGE or for

adult height and AD.

	MAGMA			sLDSC	
Phenotype	Beta (SE)	Beta_std	P-value	τ (SE)	P-value
Psychiatric					
Schizophrenia	0.022 (0.007)	0.094	**0.001**	1.70×10^{-9} (7.45×10^{-10})	0.01
ADHD	0.014 (0.005)	0.059	**0.002**	1.92×10^{-9} (1.25×10^{-9})	0.06
Self-reported depression	0.013 (0.005)	0.057	**0.003**	4.34×10^{-10} (2.10×10^{-10})	0.02
Bipolar disorder	0.007 (0.005)	0.032	0.06	6.16×10^{-9} (3.64×10^{-9})	0.05
Cross disorder	0.005 (0.005)	0.020	0.16	1.19×10^{-9} (1.00×10^{-9})	0.12
MDD CONVERGE	0.000 (0.004)	-0.001	0.51	6.07×10^{-9} (4.39×10^{-9})	0.08
ASD	0.000 (0.004)	-0.002	0.54	2.97×10^{-9} (3.48×10^{-9})	0.20
Neurodegenerative					
Alzheimer's disease	0.003 (0.004)	0.015	0.22	1.30×10^{-10} (1.02×10^{-9})	0.45
Non-brain					
Height	0.009 (0.011)	0.037	0.21	-1.62×10^{-9} (1.36×10^{-9})	0.88

336
337

Table 1. Differentially expressed genes are enriched for polygenic risk of multiple psychiatric disorders. Shown are results of MAGMA and sLDSR for differentially expressed genes. P-values highlighted in bold show phenotypes that survive multiple testing correction (n=9). See Table S3 and S4 for more details. Beta = regression coefficient, SE = standard error, Beta_std = change in Z-value given a change of one standard deviation in log T2 statistic, τ (tau) = the contribution to the per-SNP h^2.

We next investigated whether enrichment across differentially expressed genes was

driven by up- or downregulation of genes during differentiation. For SCZ, we find that the effect is

driven by genes that are upregulated (MAGMA P=5.0x10^{-7}, sLDSR P=6.1x10^{-5}) and not by genes

that are downregulated (MAGMA P=0.98, sLDSR P=0.61) (Figure 3 and Figure S5). For SRD, we

only find a stronger enrichment in upregulated genes with MAGMA (P=3.5x10^{-4}), while ADHD

shows no specific evidence for either up or downregulated genes.

Figure 3. Schizophrenia polygenic risk lies in genes up-regulated during neuronal

differentiation. A more detailed investigation of the effect of differentially expressed genes on the

heritability of SCZ, ADHD, and SRD. The y-axis denotes the –log10 P-value of the enrichment. No diff

= genes that are not differentially expressed; Diff = log (T^2-statistic) as shown in Table 1; Up = genes

up-regulated during differentiation; Down = genes down-regulated during differentiation. The dotted

line represents the threshold for P=0.0056 (n=9 traits).

Psychiatric disease risk aggregates to specific longitudinal gene clusters

Next, we explored the relationship between differentially expressed genes and disease

risk on cluster level. For this analysis, we only included traits that show significant disease

enrichment across differentially expressed genes using MAGMA after correcting for multiple

testing (SCZ, ADHD, SRD) and our control traits (AD, height). These disease traits showed at

least a nominally significant effect with sLDSR as well. Using both MAGMA and sLDSR, we

integrated cluster membership values with GWAS summary statistics (n=5) and assessed

whether genome-wide disease risk aggregates to any of the eight experimentally identified

longitudinal gene clusters. Overall, MAGMA and sLDSR show a strong concordance across

phenotypes and clusters (rho = 0.92, $p<2.2x10^{-16}$, n=40, see also Figure S6). After Bonferroni

correction (n=40), we find five significant phenotype-cluster associations with MAGMA and three

with sLDSR (Figure 4 and Table S5/S6).

We find that multiple upregulated clusters show enrichment for SCZ with the strongest

evidence for the *synaptic function* cluster (MAGMA $P=1.8x10^{-7}$, sLDSR $P=7.2x10^{-5}$) (see Figure

S7). For SRD, we find significant associations in the *transcription regulation* ($P=2.5x10^{-5}$) and the

neuron formation ($P=1.2x10^{-4}$) gene cluster with MAGMA only. While the analysis of adult height

using all differentially expressed genes did not yield any evidence for enrichment of genetic signal,

enrichment is observed at the cluster level. The *cell connectivity* cluster ($P=3.7x10^{-4}$) is enriched

for height, in addition to suggestive enrichments in the *cell division* and *RNA regulation* cluster,

which are not present for any of the psychiatric phenotypes. Remarkably, across all 8 clusters the

enrichments of SCZ and height are inversely correlated (rho=-0.85, P=0.011, n=8, see also Figure

S8).

Figure 4. Polygenic psychiatric risk is distributed across specific longitudinal gene clusters.

Results from sLDSC (diagonal pattern) and MAGMA (solid colors) are shown for each phenotype

(labels on the right) colored by gene cluster. Gene cluster annotation and cluster expression pattern

are shown on top. The y-axis states the –log10 (p-value). The dotted horizontal line represents the

threshold for Bonferroni correction (p=0.05/40).

Finally, in order to take into account the full spectrum of correlations and dependencies

between clusters (Figure S9), we performed a conditional analysis for SCZ, the trait for which the

strongest cluster enrichments are observed with both methods. Using the same MAGMA model,

for each cluster, we conditioned on the highest gene members (membership > 0.5) of the other

seven clusters (Table 2). We find that the SCZ enrichment is driven by the *synaptic function*

cluster (p=2.88x10^{-3}) only. The same conditional analysis for SRD, which only showed a

significant enrichment with MAGMA, shows that this effect is primarily driven by the *transcription*

regulation cluster (p=5.42x10^{-3}) (Table S7).

Schizophrenia - clusters	MAGMA Primary		MAGMA Conditional	
	Beta (SE)	P-value	Beta (SE)	P-value
Cell division	-0.045 (0.017)	1.00	-0.047 (0.027)	0.96
RNA regulation	-0.040 (0.017)	0.99	-0.044 (0.027)	0.95
RNA processing	-0.006 (0.017)	0.64	-0.011 (0.024)	0.68
Neuron formation	0.048 (0.017)	2.12x10^{-3}	0.018 (0.036)	0.30
Synaptic function	**0.077 (0.017)**	**1.82x10^{-6}**	**0.070 (0.026)**	**2.88x10^{-3}**
Cell signaling	0.052 (0.016)	6.88x10^{-4}	0.032 (0.023)	0.08
Transcription regulation	0.048 (0.016)	1.67x10^{-3}	0.019 (0.025)	0.22
Cell connectivity	-0.061 (0.017)	1.00	-0.076 (0.026)	1.00

409   **Table 2. The association with SCZ risk is driven by the synaptic function gene cluster.** Gene

410   level association signal is regressed on cluster membership while adjusting for high membership

411   genes of all other seven clusters. Shown are the results of the primary analysis (not adjusted for other

412   clusters) and the conditional analysis with MAGMA. Beta = regression coefficient, SE = standard error.

Discussion

We investigated a longitudinal *in vitro* stem cell model of human neuronal differentiation to

study psychiatric disease susceptibility based on evidence from GWAS. Among five major

psychiatric disorders, we observe that SCZ disease susceptibility is significantly enriched in a set

of genes relevant to *synaptic functioning* that are upregulated during differentiation. We therefore

propose *in vitro* human neuronal differentiation as an experimental system to further understand

and decipher the polygenic architecture of psychiatric disease biology, in particular that of SCZ.

We confirmed that our *in vitro* model recapitulates neuronal signatures of *in vivo* cortical

development across specific developmental time periods and laminae of the human neocortex.

This is in line with previous findings[14] and highlights that longitudinal gene expression dynamics

underlying our model of human neuronal differentiation can be informative to study genes and

pathways involved in *in vivo* human cortical development. Importantly, neuronal cell types[39, 40]

and early brain development[7, 22, 41] have been postulated as integral components of SCZ disease

susceptibility. Here, we observe that genes differentially expressed across neuronal differentiation

are significantly associated with genome-wide disease risk of SCZ and that this risk aggregates

in genes involved in synaptic functioning during development. Although not the only pathogenic

process contributing to SCZ, synaptic dysfunction is most strongly supported by genetic data,

postmortem expression studies, and animal models[40, 42–45]. We are the first to provide evidence

for this hypothesis using a longitudinal *in vitro* cell-based model and aggregate polygenic disease

risk. Two of the highest gene members of the *synaptic function* gene cluster enriched for SCZ

include Calcium Voltage-Gated Channel Subunit Alpha 1C (*CACNA1C*) and Solute Carrier Family

45 Member 1 (*SLC45A1*), both located at a genome-wide significant SCZ locus[22]. We find no

evidence for AD, a late-onset non-psychiatric brain disease, nor for adult human height in this

neuronal cluster. Together, our findings demonstrate that longitudinal transcriptomic signatures

important for neuronal differentiation recapitulate the *in vivo* context *and* align with the genetic

basis of the disease. SCZ disease biology, and in particular synaptic functioning, can thus be

studied through these molecular processes captured by this *in vitro* model.

We also observed a significant enrichment of genetic signal with MAGMA for SRD in

genes upregulated during differentiation, and show that this enrichment is predominantly driven

by genes in the *transcription regulation* gene cluster. Interestingly, the SRD GWAS reported that

the top SNPs were enriched for transcription regulation related to neurodevelopment[11], which is

in line with our *in vitro* findings. We observed no enrichment of the GWAS of recurrent and severe

MDD in Han-Chinese women[23]. The latter sample represents the most genetically and

phenotypically homogeneous GWAS of MDD. The fact that for these results no enrichment for

any of our gene sets was observed may suggest that neurodevelopmental processes play a lesser

role in MDD[46]. Alternatively, larger sample sizes are needed to better capture the genome-wide

genetic risk associated with MDD. Self-reported depression is a much broader phenotype that

may include other psychiatric traits, which could drive the observed neurodevelopment and

transcription findings. Although it remains unclear how these results and the application of the

model extrapolate to the MDD phenotype, our approach does highlight enrichment in distinct

clusters for SRD and SCZ and could help shed light on how these two complex traits differ in their

etiology.

We did not find any evidence of significant association in the neuronal clusters for ADHD.

This could be due to the smaller sample size in the GWAS studies and thereby lack of power to

find a significant association with our transcriptomic signatures (Figure S10). As GWAS sample

sizes are expected to increase, these gene cluster associations should be revisited.

For height, we found effects in opposite direction of psychiatric traits in the downregulated

gene clusters. Strikingly, we observe an inverse correlation between SCZ and height enrichment

stratified across gene clusters (Supplementary Results, Figure S8 and S11), despite the absence

of any evidence of a genetic correlation across the whole-genome[47]. These observations not only

illustrate the added value of individual longitudinal gene clusters, but also highlight a complex

genetic relationship between these two phenotypes.

A strength of our approach is the longitudinal analysis framework that we developed. We

implemented an experimental design across a dense and repeatedly sampled time-series and

integrated longitudinal transcriptomic signatures with genome-wide disease risk using available

GWAS summary statistics. This increases statistical power to directly investigate the cumulative

impact of risk loci on genes important to our model system. While we specifically chose to perform

our experiments across an isogenic background to minimize variation and maximize statistical

power to identify transcriptomic signatures, our framework can easily be extended to a multi-

sample design (e.g. cases vs controls)[19, 48], which makes it relevant for many disease-specific

experimental settings.

Our experimental procedure applied differentiation towards a broad neuronal phenotype.

Our work does not exclude disease associations with specific subtypes of neuronal cells nor with

other major brain cell types. We provide a proof-of-concept of an *in vitro* model of neuronal cells

for studying complex diseases, such as SCZ, and present an analytical framework that includes

longitudinal assessment of gene expression profiles. This approach can readily be extended to

study *in vitro* differentiation of other major brain cell types, such as astrocytes or oligodendrocytes.

Although we show strong evidence for SCZ risk in early prenatal neurodevelopment, our findings

do not preclude an additional contribution of postnatal neurodevelopment to the etiology of the

disease[49–51].

As GWAS risk loci have small effect sizes and are abundantly distributed across the

genome, new approaches are needed that allow for functional investigation of polygenic disease

architectures. Embracing the polygenic nature of psychiatric disorders is an important step

forward in translating findings from GWAS to disease biology[52]. Our approach uses the cumulative

impact of risk loci to identify gene clusters most strongly associated with SCZ while accounting

for all other pathways identified in our model. This strategy allowed us to narrow down on potential

core disease processes and opens up new avenues to study disease in the context of

polygenicity. Future work can for example incorporate model perturbations to study aggregate

disease risk in finer detail or use the model for functional fine-mapping of specific SCZ GWAS loci

across an isogenic background in a controlled environment. Alternatively, cluster-specific

polygenic risk scores (cPRS) can be used to study patients with a high genetic load versus those

with a low genetic load to study *in vivo* or *in vitro* molecular and cellular differences. This will not

only help elucidate the underlying disease mechanisms but can also provide insights into

phenotypic domains within the heterogeneous schizophrenia patient population.

In summary, the current study establishes WA09 neuronal differentiation as an *in vitro*

genomic tool to study psychiatric disease susceptibility. We demonstrate the value of an analytical

framework that integrates longitudinal *in vitro* transcriptomic signatures with GWAS summary

statistics to study the cumulative impact of risk loci on biological pathways. Overall, this work

contributes to understand the functional mechanisms that underlie psychiatric disease heritability

and polygenicity in the post GWAS era.

Data Availability

The Illumina HT-12 v4 gene expression data is available through the Gene Expression

Omnibus (GEO) archive (*Accession number is available for review*). This dataset has the raw and

normalized gene expression values on all samples. Supplementary table 8 furthermore has

specific probe annotations, such as probabilities of differential expression and probe membership

values for all identified clusters.

Author Contribution:

The project was led by R.O. Experiments were designed and conceived by A.O. and R.O.

Experiments were optimized, conducted and samples processed by A.O., M.B., and R.M.

Analysis of the data was performed by A.O and M.B and feedback provided by L.O. and R.O. The

main findings were interpreted by A.O., M.B., R.M., L.O., and R.O. Primary drafting of the

manuscript was performed by A.O. and main feedback provided by R.O and L.O. All authors

contributed to the production and approval of the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgement

We thank all research participants and researchers involved in making each GWAS

summary statistic available and this work possible, including the 23andMe Research Team.   We

thank C. de Leeuw for his helpful input and troubleshooting with MAGMA analyses and thank the

LD score regression team for their input and helpful troubleshooting with stratified LDSR. This

research was supported by NIH/NIMH R01 MH090553.

References

1. Geschwind DH, Flint J. Genetics and genomics of psychiatric disease. *Science* 2015; **349**:
1489–1494.

2. Polderman TJC, Benyamin B, de Leeuw CA, Sullivan PF, van Bochoven A, Visscher PM *et*
al. Meta-analysis of the heritability of human traits based on fifty years of twin studies. *Nat*
Genet 2015; **47**: 702–709.

3. Sullivan PF, Agrawal A, Bulik C, Andreassen OA, Borglum A, Breen G *et al.* Psychiatric
Genomics: An Update and an Agenda. Am J Psychiatry 2017 (ahead of print).

4. Falk A, Heine VM, Harwood AJ, Sullivan PF, Peitz M, Brüstle O *et al.* Modeling psychiatric
disorders: from genomic findings to cellular phenotypes. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016; 1167–1179.

5. Gulsuner S, Walsh T, Watts AC, Lee MK, Thornton AM, Casadei S *et al.* Spatial and
temporal mapping of de novo mutations in schizophrenia to a fetal prefrontal cortical
network. *Cell* 2013; **154**: 518–529.

6. Purcell SM, Moran JL, Fromer M, Ruderfer D, Solovieff N, Roussos P *et al.* A polygenic
burden of rare disruptive mutations in schizophrenia. *Nature* 2014; **506**: 185–190.

7. Olde Loohuis LMO, Vorstman JAS, Ori AP, Staats KA, Wang T, Richards AL *et al.*
Genome-wide burden of deleterious coding variants increased in schizophrenia. *Nat*
Commun 2015; **6**: 7501.

8. Finucane HK, Bulik-Sullivan B, Gusev A, Trynka G, Reshef Y, Loh P-R *et al.* Partitioning
heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nat*
Genet 2015; **47**: 1228–1235.

9. Geschwind DH. Genetics of autism spectrum disorders. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
2011; **15**: 409–416.

10. Rubeis SD, He X, Goldberg AP, Poultney CS, Samocha K. Synaptic, transcriptional, and
chromatin genes disrupted in autism A. *Nature* 2014; **515**: 209–215.

11. Hyde CL, Nagle MW, Tian C, Chen X, Paciga SA, Wendland JR *et al.* Identification of 15
genetic loci associated with risk of major depression in individuals of European descent.
Nature Publishing Group 2016; **48**: 1031–1036.

12. Shi Y, Kirwan P, Smith J, Robinson HPC, Livesey FJ. Human cerebral cortex development
from pluripotent stem cells to functional excitatory synapses. *Nat Neurosci* 2012; **15**: 477–
486.

13. van de Leemput J, Boles NC, Kiehl TR, Corneo B, Lederman P, Menon V *et al.*
CORTECON: A temporal transcriptome analysis of in vitro human cerebral cortex
development from human embryonic stem cells. *Neuron* 2014; **83**: 51–68.

14. Stein JL, de la Torre-Ubieta L, Tian Y, Parikshak NN, Hernández IA, Marchetto MC *et al.* A
quantitative framework to evaluate modeling of cortical development by neural stem cells.
Neuron 2014; **83**: 69–86.

15. Du P, Kibbe W a., Lin SM. lumi: a pipeline for processing Illumina microarray.
Bioinformatics 2008; **24**: 1547–1548.

16. Lin SM, Du P, Huber W, Kibbe W a. Model-based variance-stabilizing transformation for
Illumina microarray data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008; **36**: 1–9.

17. Zhang Y, Chen K, Sloan SA, Bennett ML, Scholze AR, O'Keeffe S *et al.* An RNA-
Sequencing Transcriptome and Splicing Database of Glia, Neurons, and Vascular Cells of
the Cerebral Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2014; **34**: 11929–11947.

18. Tai YC, Speed TP. A multivariate empirical Bayes statistic for replicated microarray time
course data. *Ann Stat* 2006; **34**: 2387–2412.

19. Aryee MJ, Gutiérrez-Pabello JA, Kramnik I, Maiti T, Quackenbush J. An improved empirical
bayes approach to estimating differential gene expression in microarray time-course data:
BETR (Bayesian Estimation of Temporal Regulation). *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009; **10**: 409.

20. Kumar L, E Futschik M. Mfuzz: a software package for soft clustering of microarray data.
Bioinformation 2007; **2**: 5–7.

21. Schwämmle V, Jensen ON. A simple and fast method to determine the parameters for
fuzzy c-means cluster analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2010; **26**: 2841–2848.

22. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights
from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature*. 2014; **511**: 421–427

23. CONVERGE Consortium. Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major
depressive disorder. *Nature* 2015; **523**: 588.

24. Psychiatric GWAS Consortium Bipolar Disorder Working Group. Large-scale genome-wide
association analysis of bipolar disorder identifies a new susceptibility locus near ODZ4. *Nat*
Genet 2011; **43**: 977–983.

25. The Autism Spectrum Disorders Working Group of The Psychiatric Genomics Consortium.
Meta-analysis of GWAS of over 16,000 individuals with autism spectrum disorder highlights
a novel locus at 10q24.32 and a significant overlap with schizophrenia. *Mol Autism* 2017; **8**:
21.

26. Demontis D, Walters RK, Martin J, Mattheisen M, Als TD, Agerbo E *et al.* Discovery of the
first genome-wide significant risk loci for ADHD. *bioRxiv* 2017;
https://doi.org/10.1101/145581

27. Consortium C-DG of TPG. Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major
psychiatric disorders: a genome-wide analysis. *Lancet* 2013; **381**: 1371–1379.

28. Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D, Naj AC, Sims R, Bellenguez C *et al.* Meta-
analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease.
Nat Genet 2013; **45**: 1452–1458.

29. Wood AR, Esko T, Yang J, Vedantam S, Pers TH, Gustafsson S et al. Defining the role of
common variation in the genomic and biological architecture of adult human height. *Nat*
Genet 2014; **46**: 1173–1186.

30. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang
HM *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 2015; **526**: 68–74.

31. de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T, Posthuma D. MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis
of GWAS Data. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2015; **11**.

32. Gazal S, Finucane HK, Furlotte NA, Loh P-R, Palamara PF, Liu X *et al.* Linkage
disequilibrium–dependent architecture of human complex traits shows action of negative
selection. *Nat Genet* 2017.

33. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, Consortium SWG of TPG *et al.*
LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide
association studies. *Nat Genet* 2015; **47**: 291–295.

34. Clancy B, Darlington RB, Finlay BL. Translating developmental time across mammalian
species. *Neuroscience* 2001; **105**: 7–17.

35. Stiles J, Jernigan TL. The basics of brain development. *Neuropsychology Review*. 2010;
20: 327–348.

36. Hattori A, Buac K, Ito T. Regulation of Stem Cell Self-Renewal and Oncogenesis by RNA-
Binding Proteins. In: RNA Processing Disease and Genome-wide probing. 2016. p. 153–
188.

37. Barros CS, Franco SJ, Muller U. Extracellular Matrix: Functions in the nervous system.
Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2011; **3**: 1–24.

38. Bikbaev A, Frischknecht R, Heine M. Brain extracellular matrix retains connectivity in
neuronal networks. *Sci Rep* 2015; **5**: 14527.

39. Skene NG, Bryois J, Bakken TE, Breen G, Crowley JJ, Gaspar H *et al.* Genetic
Identification Of Brain Cell Types Underlying Schizophrenia. bioRxiv 2017;
http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/145466

40. Genovese G, Fromer M, Stahl EA, Ruderfer DM, Chambert K, Landén M *et al.* Increased
burden of ultra-rare protein-altering variants among 4,877 individuals with schizophrenia.
Nat Neurosci 2016; **19**: 1433–1441.

41. Finucane H, Reshef Y, Anttila V, Slowikowski K, Gusev A, Byrnes A *et al.* Heritability
enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell
types. *bioRxiv* 2017;https://doi.org/10.1101/103069

42. Hall J, Trent S, Thomas KL, O'Donovan MC, Owen MJ. Genetic risk for schizophrenia:
Convergence on synaptic pathways involved in plasticity. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2015; **77**:
52–58.

43. Lips ES, Cornelisse LN, Toonen RF, Min JL, Hultman CM, Holmans P a. *et al.* Functional
gene group analysis identifies synaptic gene groups as risk factor for schizophrenia. *Mol*
Psychiatry 2011; **4**: 1–11.

44. Pocklington AJ, O'Donovan M, Owen MJ. The synapse in schizophrenia. *Eur J Neurosci*
2014; **39**: 1059–1067.

45. Schwarz E, Izmailov R, Lio P, Meyer-Lindenberg A. Protein Interaction Networks Link
Schizophrenia Risk Loci to Synaptic Function. *Schizophr Bull* 2016; **42**: 1334–1342.

46. Peterson RE, Cai N, Bigdeli TB, Li Y, Reimers M, Nikulova A *et al.* The Genetic
Architecture of Major Depressive Disorder in Han Chinese Women. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2017;
74: 162–168.

47. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Consortium R *et al.* An Atlas of
Genetic Correlations across Human Diseases and Traits. *Nat Genet* 2015; **47**: 1237–1241.

48. Tai YC, Speed TP. A multivariate empirical Bayes statistic for replicated microarray time
course data. *Ann Stat* 2006; **34**: 2387–2412.

49. Birnbaum R, Jaffe AE, Hyde TM, Kleinman JE, Weinberger DR. Prenatal expression
patterns of genes associated with neuropsychiatric disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2014; **171**:
758–767.

50. Pers TH, Timshel P, Ripke S, Lent S, Sullivan PF, O'Donovan MC *et al.* Comprehensive
analysis of schizophrenia-associated loci highlights ion channel pathways and biologically
plausible candidate causal genes. *Hum Mol Genet* 2015; **25**: 1247–1254.

51. Sekar A, Bialas AR, de Rivera H, Davis A, Hammond TR, Kamitaki N *et al.* Schizophrenia
risk from complex variation of complement component 4. *Nature* 2016; **530**: 177–183.

52. Boyle EA, Li YI, Pritchard JK. An Expanded View of Complex Traits: From Polygenic to
Omnigenic. Cell 2017; 169: 1177–1186.