The ancestral animal genetic toolkit revealed by diverse choanoflagellate transcriptomes

Authors: Daniel J. Richter[1,2], Parinaz Fozouni[1,3,4], Michael B. Eisen[1], Nicole King[1]

Author Affiliations:

1 Department of Molecular and Cell Biology and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3200, USA
2 Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS UMR 7144, Adaptation et Diversité en Milieu Marin, Équipe EPEP, Station Biologique de Roscoff, 29680 Roscoff, France
3 Medical Scientist Training Program, Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA
4 Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

Keywords: Urmetazoan, ancestral gene content reconstruction, evolution, choanoflagellates, transcriptome, Urchoanozoan, innate immunity, extracellular matrix, RNAi

Data Availability:

Raw sequencing reads: NCBI BioProject PRJNA419411 (19 choanoflagellate transcriptomes),
    PRJNA420352 (*S. rosetta* polyA selection test)
Transcriptome assemblies, annotations, and gene families:
    https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5686984
Protocols: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kwscxee

Abstract

The changes in gene content that preceded the origin of animals can be reconstructed by comparison

with their sister group, the choanoflagellates. However, only two choanoflagellate genomes are currently

available, providing poor coverage of their diversity. We sequenced transcriptomes of 19 additional

choanoflagellate species to produce a comprehensive reconstruction of the gains and losses that shaped

the ancestral animal gene repertoire. We find roughly 1,700 gene families with origins on the animal stem

lineage, of which only a core set of 36 are conserved across animals. We find more than 350 gene

families that were previously thought to be animal-specific actually evolved before the animal-

choanoflagellate divergence, including Notch and Delta, Toll-like receptors, and glycosaminoglycan

hydrolases that regulate animal extracellular matrix (ECM). In the choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca*

helianthica, we show that a glycosaminoglycan hydrolase modulates rosette colony size, suggesting a link

between ECM regulation and morphogenesis in choanoflagellates and animals.

Introduction

The biology of the first animal, the "Urmetazoan," has fascinated and confounded biologists for more than a century (Dujardin, 1841; James-Clark, 1867; Haeckel, 1869, 1873, 1874; Kent, 1880; Leadbeater and McCready, 2000). What features defined the biology of the Urmetazoan, and which of those features represent animal innovations? Despite the fact that the first animals originated over 600 million years ago (Douzery et al., 2004; Hedges et al., 2004; Peterson et al., 2004; Narbonne, 2005; Knoll, 2011) and left no fossil evidence, features of their genomes can be reconstructed through phylogenetically-informed comparisons among extant animals, their closest living relatives, the choanoflagellates, and other closely related lineages (King, 2004; Rokas, 2008; Richter and King, 2013; Grau-Bové et al., 2017; Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2017).

However, although over 1,000 genomes of animals have been sequenced (NCBI Resource Coordinators, 2017), only two choanoflagellate genomes have been previously published. These two choanoflagellates are the strictly unicellular *Monosiga brevicollis* and the emerging model choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca rosetta,* which differentiates into a number of cellular states, ranging from single cells to multicellular rosette colonies (Fairclough et al., 2010; Dayel et al., 2011). The *M. brevicollis* and *S. rosetta* genomes revealed that many genes critical for animal biology, including p53, Myc, cadherins, C-type lectins, and diverse tyrosine kinases, evolved before the divergence of animals and choanoflagellates (King et al., 2008; Fairclough et al., 2013), whereas many other genes essential for animal biology, including collagen, and components of the Wnt, Notch/Delta, Hedgehog, TGF-β, and innate immune pathways (e.g., Toll-like receptors) have not been detected in choanoflagellates, and therefore have been considered textbook examples of animal innovations.

Nonetheless, *M. brevicollis* and *S. rosetta* are relatively closely related (Carr et al., 2017), leaving the bulk of choanoflagellate diversity unexplored. Moreover, both species have demonstrably experienced gene loss, as some genes conserved between animals and non-choanoflagellates are apparently missing from *M. brevicollis* and *S. rosetta*. Examples include RNAi pathway components, which are present

across eukaryotes (Shabalina and Koonin, 2008), the cell adhesion protein β-integrin (Sebé-Pedrós and

Ruiz-Trillo, 2010; Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2010) and the T-box and Runx transcription factor families, which

have been detected in the filasterean *Capsaspora owczarzaki* (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2011, 2013a; Ferrer-

Bonet and Ruiz-Trillo, 2017). Gene loss can lead to false negatives during ancestral genome

reconstruction, and the phenomenon in choanoflagellates parallels that of animals, where two species

selected for early genome projects, *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Caenorhabditis elegans*, were later

found to have lost numerous genes (e.g., Hedgehog and NF-ϰB in *C. elegans* and fibrillar collagens in

both *C. elegans* and *D. melanogaster*) that are critical for animal development and otherwise conserved

across animal diversity (C. elegans Sequencing Consortium, 1998; Aspöck et al., 1999; Gilmore, 1999;

Rubin et al., 2000).

To counteract the impact of gene loss in *M. brevicollis* and *S. rosetta,* and gain a more complete

picture of the Urmetazoan gene catalog, we analyzed the protein coding potential of 19 previously

unsequenced species of choanoflagellates representing each major known lineage (Carr et al., 2017). By

comparing their gene catalogs with those of diverse animals and other phylogenetically relevant lineages,

we have greatly expanded and refined our understanding of the genomic heritage of animals. This more

comprehensive data set revealed that ~372 gene families that were previously thought to be animal-

specific actually evolved prior to the divergence of choanoflagellates and animals, including gene families

required for animal development (e.g., Notch/Delta), immunity (e.g., Toll-like receptors) and extracellular

matrix biology (glycosaminoglycan hydrolase). We find that an additional ~1,718 gene families evolved

along the animal stem lineage, many of which likely underpin unique aspects of animal biology.

Interestingly, most of these animal-specific genes were subsequently lost from one or more species,

leaving only 36 core animal-specific genes that were conserved in all animals within our data set. Finally,

we demonstrate that a glycosaminoglycan hydrolase involved in remodeling animal extracellular matrix is

conserved among choanoflagellates and animals and regulates rosette colony morphogenesis in the

choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca helianthica.*

Results

The phylogenetic distribution of animal and choanoflagellate gene families

To reconstruct the genomic landscape of animal evolution, we first cataloged the protein coding

potential of nineteen diverse choanoflagellate species by sequencing and assembling their transcriptomes

(Figure 1, Supp. Figure 1, Supp. Table 1). Because most of these species were previously little-studied in

the laboratory, two important stages of this project were the establishment of growth conditions optimized

for each species and the development of improved protocols for isolating choanoflagellate mRNA for

cDNA library construction and sequencing (Supp. Table 1, Methods).

Using multiple independent metrics, we found that the new choanoflagellate transcriptomes

approximate the completeness of choanoflagellate genomes for the purposes of cataloging protein-coding

genes (Supp. Figure 2a). For example, the protein catalogs predicted from the genomes of *M. brevicollis*

and *S. rosetta* contain 83% and 89%, respectively, of a benchmark set of conserved eukaryotic genes

[BUSCO; (Simão et al., 2015)], whereas each of the new choanoflagellate transcriptomes contains

between 88 - 96% (Supp. Table 2). In addition, our analyses of conserved proteins encoded by each of the

choanoflagellate gene catalogs revealed that the average phylogenetic distance between pairs of

choanoflagellates is similar to the phylogenetic distance between the mouse *Mus musculus* and the sponge

Amphimedon queenslandica, indicating that the phylogenetic diversity of choanoflagellates is comparable

to that of animals (Supp. Figure 3).

We next compared the choanoflagellate gene catalogs against those of diverse animals and

phylogenetically relevant outgroups (Supp. Table 3) to identify gene families and determine the ancestry

of genes present in animals. Two features that distinguish our analyses from prior reconstructions of

ancestral animal gene content are (1) the additional breadth and depth provided by 19 phylogenetically

diverse and newly-sequenced choanoflagellates and (2) a probabilistic and phylogenetically-informed

approach designed to avoid the artificial inflation of ancestral gene content resulting from methods that

rely on binary decisions for gene family presence or absence in a species (Supp. Figure 4, Methods).

By grouping gene families by their phylogenetic distribution on a heat map, we were able to visualize

and infer their evolutionary history, as well as their presence or absence in each species analyzed (Figure

2, Supp. Figure 5, Supp. Figure 6, Supp. Table 4, Supp. Table 5). Several notable observations emerge

from this visualization. First, the origins of animals, choanoflagellates, and choanozoans [the

monophyletic group composed of animals and choanoflagellates (Brunet and King, 2017)] were each

accompanied by the evolution of distinct sets of gene families (i.e., synapomorphies), some of which

likely underpin their unique biological features. Second, the amount of gene family evolution that

occurred on the animal and choanoflagellate stem lineages is roughly equivalent, indicating that the

specific functions of novel gene families, not their quantity, was critical to the divergence of animals from

their sister group. Finally, although different sets of gene families can reliably be inferred to have been

present in the last common ancestor of each group, gene family loss was rampant during animal and

choanoflagellate diversification. [After these analyses were complete, several additional genomes from

early-branching holozoans and animals became available. Incorporating them *post hoc* into the heat map

did not substantially change any of the above observations (Supp. Figure 16; Methods).]

Differential retention and loss of ancestral gene families in extant animals and choanoflagellates

While the phenomenon of gene loss has been well documented in the evolution of animals and other

eukaryotes (Wolf and Koonin, 2013; Albalat and Cañestro, 2016; O'Malley et al., 2016), it has been

unclear which extant animals come closest to representing the gene content of the Urmetazoan. Using the

Urchoanozoan and Urmetazoan gene family catalogs reconstructed in this study, we ranked extant species

based on their conservation of ancestral gene families (Figure 3, Supp. Figure 7). Compared with other

animals in our study, the cephalochordate *Branchiostoma floridae* retains the most gene families that

evolved along the animal stem lineage and also the most gene families with pre-choanozoan ancestry

[extending prior observations that *B. floridae* preserved a comparatively large portion of the gene content

of the last common ancestor of chordates (Louis et al., 2012)]. Among the non-bilaterian animal lineages,

the cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis* most completely retains the Urmetazoan genetic toolkit [consistent

with previous findings of conservation between *N. vectensis* and bilaterians (Putnam et al., 2007; Sullivan

and Finnerty, 2007)], followed by the sponge *Oscarella pearsei*. Importantly, *B. floridae*, *N. vectensis*,

and *O. pearsei* each retain different subsets of the Urmetazoan gene catalog, as only two thirds (67%) of

the genes retained in at least one of the species are found in all three species. In contrast, the more rapidly

evolving ecdysozoans *C. elegans*, *Pristionchus pacificus* and *Tetranychus urticae*, as well as the

ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*, retain the fewest ancestral gene families, suggesting widespread gene

family loss in these lineages, although the draft nature of some of their genome assemblies and high rates

of sequence evolution may artificially inflate counts of missing genes.

Of the 21 choanoflagellates in our analysis, *Salpingoeca dolichothecata* retains the most choanozoan-

specific gene families, and therefore may be relatively more informative for comparative genomic studies

of animal origins than are the two choanoflagellate species with previously-sequenced genomes, *M.*

brevicollis and *S. rosetta*, which retain among the fewest ancestral gene families of any choanoflagellate

(Figure 3, Supp. Figure 7). Several key gene families that were previously thought to be absent from

choanoflagellates are conserved in *S. dolichothecata* and other choanoflagellates: the ancient

ribonucleases Argonaute and Dicer, which are required for RNAi across eukaryotes (Jinek and Doudna,

2009), and holozoan gene families previously found in *C. owczarzaki* that are important for the regulation

of animal development, including the transcription factors Churchill and Runx (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2011)

and a diagnostic domain for integrin β (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2010) (Supp. Figure 8, Supp. Figure 9, Supp.

Table 6, Methods). These findings echo the more general observation that the criteria used to select

species for genome sequencing, often based on the ease with which they can be cultivated in the

laboratory, also frequently select for those with streamlined genomes [e.g., (Gu et al., 2005)].

Animal-specific gene families: innovation and loss

Gene families that originated on the stem lineage leading to animals are more likely to function in

pathways or processes that distinguish animals from other eukaryotic groups. We detected only 36 gene

families (out of a total of ~1,718 animal specific gene families; Supp. Table 5) that evolved along the

animal stem lineage and were subsequently retained in all 21 animal genomes we analyzed (Table 1). We

thus asked whether these 36 core animal-specific gene families might participate in pathways critical for

animal biology. Indeed, this set of genes includes seven from the Wnt pathway (including Frizzled,

Dishevelled, TCF/LEF and β-catenin), five involved in cell-cell adhesion (including integrin α, laminin,

and vinculin), and other well-known animal gene families such as JNK, caspases, and metabotropic

glutamate receptors. [Recent studies in myxozoans, a parasitic lineage of cnidarians, and in glass sponges,

which develop into syncytial larvae and adults, revealed the absence of some Wnt components, indicating

that even among the 36 core animal-specific genes, those involved in developmental patterning appear to

be dispensable in animals with dramatically derived body plans (Chang et al., 2015; Schenkelaars et al.,

2017).] The 36 core animal gene families also include several that are less well characterized or whose

specific contributions to animal origins and animal biology are not immediately obvious, such as two

subunits of the transcription-regulating Mediator complex (Malik and Roeder, 2010) and the

ubiquitination-associated BTB/POZ domain-containing protein 9 (DeAndrade et al., 2012). For

comparison, choanoflagellates have a similarly small set of 57 gene families (out of ~2,005

choanoflagellate-specific gene families) that are conserved in all 21 choanoflagellate species that we

sampled; 30% of these gene families encode Pfam domains related to kinase signaling (including protein

kinases, phosphatases and adapters; Supp. Table 7).

In addition to the 36 universally conserved animal-specific gene families, ~1,682 gene families

evolved along the animal stem lineage and, despite being specific to animals, were lost in one or more

animal lineages. This set of animal-specific gene families is enriched for functions in cell communication,

gene expression regulation, and cell adhesion (all three $p < 10^{-8}$; Methods, Supp. Figure 10, Supp. Table

8), three processes that have previously been hypothesized to have been required for the evolution of

animal multicellularity (King, 2004; Rokas, 2008; de Mendoza et al., 2013; Babonis and Martindale,

2017; Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2017). Animal-specific gene families include well-known developmental

receptors, signaling proteins and transcription factors such as TGF-β, Hedgehog, Pax and Sox [consistent

with previous reports (Srivastava et al., 2010; Riesgo et al., 2014)]. Nonetheless, we detected many

animal-specific gene families with no known function; 203 gene families (12% of total) lack any Pfam

domain, and a further 50 (3%) are annotated only with Pfam domains of unknown function. The

biochemical activities of these uncharacterized animal-specific gene families remain to be discovered,

along with their roles in animal development and evolution.

While novel features of animal biology might have evolved with the emergence of new gene families,

the loss of ancient genes also influenced animal origins. We detected ~1,645 gene families that evolved

prior to the choanoflagellate-animal divergence that were retained in choanoflagellates and lost entirely

from animals. These include gene families in pathways necessary for the biosynthesis of the essential

amino acids leucine, isoleucine, valine, methionine, histidine, lysine and threonine (Supp. Figure 11,

Supp. Table 9). The shikimic acid pathway, which is required for the synthesis of the aromatic amino

acids tryptophan and phenylalanine, and other aromatic compounds, was also lost along the animal stem

lineage [although subsequently regained in cnidarians through horizontal gene transfer from bacteria

(Fitzgerald and Szmant, 1997; Starcevic et al., 2008)]. We thus demonstrate that components of nine

essential amino acid biosynthesis pathways (Payne and Loomis, 2006; Guedes et al., 2011) were lost on

the animal stem lineage, and not prior to the divergence of choanoflagellates and animals. The SLN1 two-

component osmosensing system, which has been shown in fungi to regulate acclimation to changes in

environmental salinity (Posas et al., 1996), is also conserved in choanoflagellates but absent in animals.

Together, the ensemble of animal gene family losses reflects the substantial changes in metabolism and

ecology that likely occurred during early animal evolution.

Choanozoan-specific gene families: innovation and loss

In addition to the set of gene families that evolved on the animal stem lineage, those that originated on

the holozoan and choanozoan stem lineages also contributed to the genomic heritage of animals. Our

increased sampling of choanoflagellate diversity allowed us to ask whether gene families previously

thought to have been animal innovations, due to their absence from *M. brevicollis*, *S. rosetta* and other

outgroups, may in fact have evolved before the divergence of animals and choanoflagellates. We found

that ~372 gene families previously thought to be restricted to animals have homologs in one or more of

the 19 newly sequenced choanoflagellates (Supp. Table 5; see Supp. Table 10 for a list of pathways with

components gained or lost on the Choanozoan stem lineage).

Within this set of genes are the Notch receptor and its ligand Delta/Serrate/Jagged (hereafter Delta),

which interact to regulate proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis during animal developmental

patterning (Artavanis-Tsakonas, 1999) (Supp. Figure 9). Intact homologs of these important signaling

proteins have never previously been detected in non-animals, although some of their constituent protein

domains were previously found in *M. brevicollis*, *S. rosetta* and *C. owczarzaki* (King et al., 2008; Suga et

al., 2013). In our expanded choanoflagellate data set, we detected a clear Notch homolog in *Mylnosiga*

fluctuans with the prototypical EGF (epidermal growth factor), Notch, transmembrane and Ank (ankyrin)

domains in the canonical order, while five other choanoflagellates contain a subset of the typical protein

domains of Notch proteins (Methods). Similarly, the choanoflagellate species *S. dolichothecata* expresses

a protein containing both of the diagnostic domains of animal Delta (MNNL and DSL, both of which

were previously thought to be animal-specific) and four other choanoflagellate species contain one of the

two diagnostic domains of Delta, but not both. Moreover, the Notch/Delta downstream transcriptional

regulator Suppressor of Hairless is present in all choanoflagellate species analyzed, and therefore was

likely present in the Urchoanozoan. The distributions of Notch and Delta in choanoflagellates suggest that

they were present in the Urchoanozoan and subsequently lost from most (but not all) choanoflagellates,

although it is formally possible that they evolved convergently through shuffling of the same protein

domains in animals and in choanoflagellates. A similar portrait emerges for the cadherins Flamingo and

Protocadherin (Chae et al., 1999; Usui et al., 1999; Frank and Kemler, 2002) that were previously thought

to be animal-specific, but are found in a subset of choanoflagellates within our data set (Supp. Figure 9,

Methods).

We also found evidence that numerous gene families and pathways that originated in animals arose

through shuffling of more ancient protein domains and genes that were already present in the

Urchoanozoan (Ekman et al., 2007; King et al., 2008; Grau-Bové et al., 2017). For example, the new

choanoflagellate gene catalogs confirm that several signature animal signaling pathways, such as

Hedgehog, Wnt, JNK, JAK-STAT, and Hippo, are composed of a mixture of gene families that were

present in the Urchoanozoan and others that evolved later on the animal stem lineage or within animals

(Snell et al., 2006; Adamska et al., 2007; Hausmann et al., 2009; Richards and Degnan, 2009; Srivastava

et al., 2010; Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2012; Babonis and Martindale, 2017) (Supp. Table 9). For another animal

signaling pathway, TGF-β, the critical ligand, receptor and transcription factor gene families are

composed of animal-specific domain architectures (Heldin et al., 1997; Munger et al., 1997), although all

three contain constituent protein domains that evolved on the choanozoan stem lineage (Supp. Figure 12).

The pre-animal origins of the animal innate immunity pathway

One surprise from our analyses was the existence in choanoflagellates of genes required for innate

immunity in animals. Although innate immunity is a feature of both animal and plant biology, the

receptors and pathways used by these evolutionarily distant organisms are thought to have evolved

independently (Ausubel, 2005). The animal immune response is initiated, in part, when potential

pathogens stimulate (either directly or indirectly) the Toll-like receptors (TLRs), which have previously

been detected only in animals (Leulier and Lemaitre, 2008). Importantly, although TLRs are found in

nearly all bilaterians and cnidarians, they are absent from placozoans, ctenophores, and sponges [proteins

with similar, but incomplete domain architectures have been detected in sponges (Miller et al., 2007;

Riesgo et al., 2014)] and were therefore thought to have evolved after the origin of animals.

We found that 14 of 21 sequenced choanoflagellates encode clear homologs of animal TLRs (Figure

4a-c), implying that TLRs first evolved on the Urchoanozoan stem lineage (Methods). Animal and

choanoflagellate TLRs are composed of an N-terminal signal peptide, multiple leucine rich repeat (LRR)

domains, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular Toll/interleukin 1 receptor/resistance (TIR)

domain. In the canonical TLR signaling pathway, the interaction of the intracellular TIR domain of Toll-

like receptors with TIR domains on adapter proteins (e.g., MyD88) initiates one of a number of potential

downstream signaling cascades that ultimately lead to activation of the NF-ϰB transcription factor

(Janeway and Medzhitov, 2002).

To investigate whether the Urchoanozoan TLR might have activated a downstream signaling pathway

that resembled the canonical TLR pathway in animals, we searched for TLR adapters, downstream

kinases and NF-ϰB in choanoflagellates (Figure 4c, Supp. Table 6). While many choanoflagellates encode

NF-ϰB, we found no evidence for two critical Death domain-containing proteins involved in the TLR-

dependent activation of NF-ϰB: the adapter protein MyD88 (Wiens et al., 2005; Gauthier et al., 2010) and

the downstream kinase IRAK (Song et al., 2012). However, we did detect two new classes of

choanoflagellate-specific proteins that pair kinase domains directly with LRR and/or TIR domains,

seemingly bypassing the need to recruit kinases in multi-protein signaling complexes: TLR-like proteins

with an intracellular kinase domain positioned between the transmembrane domain and TIR domain

(which we provisionally term "Kinase TLRs") and proteins encoding TIR and kinase domains, but

lacking a transmembrane domain (which we provisionally term "Kinase TIRs"). In addition, we detected

homologs of the TIR-containing adapter SARM1, a multi-functional protein that can trigger both NF-ϰB-

dependent and NF-ϰB-independent responses (Couillault et al., 2004; Sethman and Hawiger, 2013; Liu et

al., 2014). Choanoflagellate SARM1 homologs contain a conserved glutamic acid residue that is

necessary for SARM1 NADase activity in animals (Essuman et al., 2017) (Supp. Figure 13). Finally,

although we did not detect most animal cytosolic innate immune sensors in choanoflagellates, including

the LRR-containing NLR family, ALRs, MAVS, MDA5 and RIG-I, we did find evidence for both cGAS

and STING in choanoflagellates [as previously reported in *M. brevicollis* (Wu et al., 2014)] (Methods).

Thus, critical components of the animal innate immune pathway predate animal origins.

***Chondroitin sulfate cleavage promotes rosette colony growth in* S. helianthica**

Glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) are specialized carbohydrate polymers that form an important part of the

animal extracellular matrix (ECM), the scaffold among neighboring cells that is involved in nearly every

aspect of animal biology (Hay, 1991; Adams and Watt, 1993; Sorokin, 2010). The ability to produce

GAGs was until recently thought to be an innovation of animals. The biosynthetic pathway for the GAG

chondroitin sulfate is found across animal diversity, including in early-branching lineages such as

sponges, and was recently detected in *S. rosetta*, extending the evolutionary history of GAGs to the stem-

choanozoan era (Woznica et al., 2017). However, relatively little is known about the evolutionary history

of enzymes that cleave GAGs and thereby contribute to the regulation of animal ECM.

There are two major classes of GAG-cleaving enzymes that, based on their phylogenetic distributions

and mechanisms of action, likely evolved independently (Stern and Jedrzejas, 2006). The GAG

hydrolases, which promote, for example, ECM cleavage during sperm/egg fusion and venom-induced

ECM digestion (Cherr et al., 2001; Girish and Kemparaju, 2007), were previously thought to be animal-

specific. GAG lyases, on the other hand, have previously been found almost exclusively in bacteria and a

small number of fungi (Hynes and Walton, 2000), where they likely allow these organisms to digest

animal (and potentially choanoflagellate) ECM, either as a food source or to facilitate pathogenesis and

other eukaryote-microbe interactions.

We detected homologs of animal GAG hydrolases in at least 12 of the 21 choanoflagellate species

included in this study (Figure 5a-c, Supp. Figure 14a). Therefore, GAG hydrolases are not animal-

specific, but instead evolved earlier, in a similar time frame to the chondroitin sulfate biosynthetic

pathway. Interestingly, at least 18 of the 21 choanoflagellates in this study also encode a GAG lyase, and

these choanoflagellate GAG lyases group phylogenetically with GAG lyases detected in the sponge *O*.

pearsei, diverse fungi and amoebozoans, suggesting that a bacterial GAG lyase was present early in

eukaryotic evolution, conserved in the Urchoanozoan and Urmetazoan, and subsequently lost from most

animals.

Both *S. rosetta* and another rosette colony-forming choanoflagellate, *S. helianthica*, produce an ECM

visible by electron microscopy [Supp. Figure 14b-c; (Dayel et al., 2011)] and express the genes necessary

to synthesize chondroitin sulfate, but lack the genes to synthesize other GAGs such as hyaluronan (Figure

5a, Supp. Table 11). *S. helianthica* expresses both a GAG hydrolase and a GAG lyase, whereas *S. rosetta*

encodes only GAG lyases, raising the possibility that they use different mechanisms for regulating

chondroitin sulfate-bearing proteoglycans in their ECM.

Because ECM is necessary for multicellularity in animals (Hay, 1991; Adams and Watt, 1993; Abedin

and King, 2010) and has been implicated in choanoflagellate multicellularity (Levin et al., 2014) we

tested how treatment of *S. helianthica* and *S. rosetta* with a well-characterized commercially available

GAG hydrolase would influence rosette morphology. Treatment of *S. helianthica* with a bovine GAG

hydrolase significantly increased the number of cells per rosette in a concentration-dependent manner

(Figure 5d, Supp. Figure 14d). This effect, which was not directly due to change in cell proliferation rates

(Supp. Figure 14f), was blocked upon addition of the GAG hydrolase inhibitor PSS (Isoyama et al.,

2006). In contrast, *S. rosetta* rosette size showed no response to either bovine GAG hydrolase or PSS

(Figure 5e, Supp. Figure 14e, g). We infer that animal GAG hydrolases influence *S. helianthica* colony

biology by cleaving chondroitin sulfate molecules in the ECM. Given that *S. rosetta* produces chondroitin

sulfate, but does not produce an endogenous GAG hydrolase, its insensitivity to a heterologous GAG

hydrolase hints at the potential specificity of chondroitin sulfate cleavage in rosette morphogenesis.

Discussion

Our increased sampling of choanoflagellates reveals how Urmetazoan gene content evolved as a

mosaic of old, new, rearranged, and repurposed protein domains, genes and pathways. We have identified

roughly 8,200 gene families that were present in the Urmetazoan [consistent with recent findings

(Simakov and Kawashima, 2017)], about 80% of which were also present in the Urchoanozoan and the

remainder of which evolved on the animal stem lineage (Supp. Table 5). The patchwork ancestry of the

Urmetazoan genome is illustrated by the fact that many gene families responsible for animal

development, immunity and multicellular organization evolved through shuffling of protein domains that

first originated in the choanozoan stem lineage together with ancient or animal-specific domains (e.g. the

TGF-β ligand and receptor; Supp. Figure 9, Supp. Figure 12). In addition, other gene families found in the

Urchoanozoan were subsequently combined into new pathways in the animal stem lineage along with

newly evolved genes (e.g., the TLR and Hedgehog pathways; Figure 4, Supp. Table 9). On the other

hand, the history of the Urmetazoan genome is not simply one of innovation and co-option, as roughly

1,650 Urchoanozoan genes were lost, including genes for the synthesis of nine essential amino acids

(Supp. Figure 11, Supp. Table 9) (Payne and Loomis, 2006; Guedes et al., 2011; Erives and Fassler,

2015).

One of the most critical events for animal origins was the transition to multicellularity. Multicellularity

evolved repeatedly across eukaryotic diversity, with animals, plants, and fungi each representing the

results of independent transitions to multicellularity (Buss, 1987; Bonner, 1998; King, 2004). While it has

long been thought that the special case of animal multicellularity evolved after the divergence of the

animal and choanoflagellate stem lineages, there are some hints that the Urchoanozoan might have been

capable of multicellular development (Carr et al., 2008a, 2017, Fairclough et al., 2010, 2013). Many

choanoflagellate species form multicellular colonies, which occurs in the choanoflagellate *S. rosetta*

through a developmental process akin to zygotic cleavage in animals (Fairclough et al., 2010; Dayel et al.,

2011). Multicellular integrity in animals is stabilized by a shared ECM that contains proteoglycans

decorated with the GAG chondroitin sulfate (Hay, 1991); similarly, choanoflagellates synthesize

chondroitin sulfate (Woznica et al., 2017) and their multicellular rosettes may be stabilized via the ECM

(Levin et al., 2014). In animals, ECM remodeling, including through the cleavage of GAG proteoglycans,

is required for proper development and regeneration following injury (Busch and Silver, 2007; Giger et

al., 2010; Alilain et al., 2011). We show here that GAG hydrolases used by animals to remodel their

proteoglycan-rich ECM are also produced by diverse choanoflagellates. Although the functions of

endogenous GAG hydrolases in choanoflagellates have yet to be investigated, treatment of the

choanoflagellate *S. helianthica* with an animal GAG hydrolase leads to a significant increase in rosette

colony size. It is possible that the *S. helianthica* GAG hydrolase regulates ECM availability, and thus

rosette size, by modulating ECM structure, the dysregulation of which has been implicated in

pathogenesis in animals (Bonnans et al., 2014). In contrast, in the choanoflagellate *S. rosetta*, which does

not encode an endogenous GAG hydrolase but does possess a GAG lyase, animal GAG hydrolases have

no effect on rosette size, although bacterially-produced GAG lyases have been shown to induce sexual

reproduction in *S. rosetta* (Woznica et al., 2017).

The origin of multicellularity in animals provided novel niches for bacteria to exploit, requiring the

evolution of new mechanisms for mediating interactions with pathogenic and commensal bacteria.

Nonetheless, the roots of animal innate immunity clearly predate animal origins. We have found that

choanoflagellates express TLRs, transmembrane receptors that trigger the animal innate immune

response, as well as its canonical downstream signaling target, NF-$\varkappa$B, suggesting that both existed in the

in the Urchoanozoan. Like modern choanoflagellates and sponges, the Urchoanozoan likely preyed upon

bacteria (McFall-Ngai et al., 2013; Richter and King, 2013), and bacterial cues can induce life history

transitions in choanoflagellates (Alegado et al., 2012; Woznica et al., 2016, 2017), although the

mechanisms by which choanoflagellates capture bacteria and sense bacterial cues are unknown. We

hypothesize that the core TLR/NF-$\varkappa$B pathway functioned in prey sensing, immunity, or more complex

processes in the Urchoanozoan that subsequently formed the basis of a self-defense system in animals.

Because critical pathway members linking TLR and NF-κB appear to be animal innovations (e.g.,

MyD88), the animal signaling pathway may have evolved to diversify downstream signaling processes to

tailor responses in a multicellular context. This pathway diversification may have included the evolution

of roles in development, as TLRs have been implicated in both NF-κB-dependent and NF-κB-

independent developmental signaling (in addition to their functions in immunity) in bilaterians and in the

cnidarian *N. vectensis* (Leulier and Lemaitre, 2008; Brennan et al., 2017). The uncharacterized

choanoflagellate-specific Kinase TLRs and Kinase TIRs may function as part of a streamlined signaling

pathway that mediates responses to extracellular cues, including bacteria, although further research will

be required to test this hypothesis.

Our study provides an exhaustive and detailed view of the changes in gene content that laid the

foundation for animal origins. Changes in how Urmetazoan gene families were regulated also likely

played a role in animal evolution, as aspects of animal phosphoproteome remodeling are shared with *C.*

owczarzaki (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2016b), and features of animal gene co-regulation and alternative splicing

(but not cis-regulation) have been found in *C. owczarzaki* (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2013b, 2016a) and in the

holozoan *Creolimax fragrantissima* (de Mendoza et al., 2015). In comparison, within other lineages that

independently evolved multicellularity based on distinct genomic foundations, other types of changes in

gene family content gave rise to different multicellular forms. In the social amoeba *Dictyostelium*

discoideum, many novel gene families are involved in extracellular sensing (Glöckner et al., 2016),

similar to the marked increase in signal transduction gene families found in the multicellular brown alga

Ectocarpus siliculosus (Cock et al., 2010), whereas gene innovations in multicellular ascomycete fungi

are enriched for functions related to endomembrane organelles (Nguyen et al., 2017). In contrast, the gene

complement of the multicellular green alga *Volvox carteri* is largely distinguished from its unicellular

relative *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* by expansions or contractions within gene families, rather than the

evolution of new families (Prochnik et al., 2010). Thus, although the origins of other multicellular

lineages were accompanied by their own sets of genetic or genomic changes, our results identify the

specific gene families whose invention or co-option contributed to the birth of unique mechanisms for

regulating development, homeostasis and immunity in animals.

Materials and Methods

The sections on Quality trimming, Error correction, *De novo* transcriptome assembly, Identification and removal of cross-contamination, Prediction of amino acid sequences from assembled transcripts and elimination of redundant transcripts, and Measurement of expression levels and elimination of noise transcripts were described in (Peña et al., 2016). They are repeated here for convenience and clarity (with modifications to the text but not to the underlying methods).

Origin of cultures

We acquired 18 of 20 cultures used for transcriptome sequencing from external sources (Supp. Table 1). We isolated the remaining two cultures, *Acanthoeca spectabilis* (Virginia) ATCC PRA-387 and *Codosiga hollandica* ATCC PRA-388. (*A. spectabilis* (Virginia) is a different isolate from *A. spectabilis* ATCC PRA-103, which was originally collected in Australia.) We collected the water sample from which *A. spectabilis* (Virginia) was isolated on December 19, 2007 near Hog Island, Virginia (GPS coordinates: 37.472502, -75.816018) and we isolated *C. hollandica* from a sample collected on June 25, 2008 from Madeira, Portugal (GPS coordinates: 32.762222, -17.125833). *C. hollandica* was formally described in Carr *et al.*, 2017 (Carr et al., 2017).

We isolated choanoflagellates with a micromanipulator and a manual microinjector (PatchMan NP 2 and CellTram vario 5176 (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) for *A. spectabilis*, and XenoWorks Micromanipulator and Analog Microinjector (Sutter Instrument, Novato, California, United States) for *C. hollandica*). We pulled glass needles used for isolation from 1 mm diameter borosilicate glass (GB100-10, Science Products GmbH, Hofheim, Germany) using a Flaming/Brown needle puller (P-87, Sutter Instrument) with the following program: heat = 820, pull = 50, velocity = 140, time = 44. We polished and sterilized needles by passing them briefly through a low flame. We used a separate needle for each attempted isolation, transferring single cells into separate culture flasks containing appropriate growth medium (see Supp. Table 1). In order to reduce the possibility of contamination during the isolation procedure, we generated sterile air flow across the microscope and micromanipulator apparatus using a HEPA-type air purifier (HAP412BN, Holmes, Boca Raton, Florida, United States).

One culture we obtained from ATCC, *Salpingoeca infusionum*, was contaminated by an unidentified biflagellated unicellular eukaryote. To remove the contaminant from the culture, we counted then diluted cells into separate wells of two 24-well plates. After 7 days of growth, we found 4 of 48 wells containing only *S. infusionum* and bacteria, 1 well containing only the contaminant and bacteria, and the remaining 43 wells containing only bacteria. We selected one of the four wells containing only *S. infusionum* for use in transcriptome sequencing.

Antibiotic treatment and optimization of culture conditions

Choanoflagellates are co-isolated with diverse bacterial prey, which serve as a food source. In order to limit bacterial diversity to the species that led to optimal choanoflagellate growth, we treated each culture with a panel of ten different antibiotics (Supp. Table 12). We obtained all antibiotics from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) with the exception of erythromycin, gentamicin, ofloxacin, and polymyxin B (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, United States). We sterilized antibiotic solutions before use by filtration through a 0.22 μm syringe filter (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a laminar

flow hood. We initially treated each culture with all ten antibiotics. We selected initial treatments that
decreased bacterial density and diversity, and then repeatedly diluted the cultures into fresh medium with
the same antibiotic until no further change in bacterial density or diversity was observed. We then re-
treated each of these cultures with an additional test of all ten antibiotics, as their modified bacterial
communities often responded differently from their initial communities. We repeated successive rounds
of treatment until no further reduction in bacterial density or diversity was observed (Supp. Table 1).

We tested a range of concentrations of different temperatures and growth media (Supp. Table 13) in
order to maximize choanoflagellate cell density, with three types of nutrient sources: quinoa grains,
proteose peptone/yeast extract, and cereal grass. We used filtered water (Milli-Q, Millipore, Burlington,
Massachusetts) when preparing all solutions. For marine species, we used 32.9 grams per liter of artificial
seawater (Tropic Marin, Montague, Massachusetts, United States). We used proteose peptone (Sigma-
Aldrich Chemical) at a final concentration of 0.002% (w/v) and yeast extract (Becton Dickinson
Biosciences, San Jose, California, United States) at a final concentration of 0.0004% (w/v). To prepare
cereal grass media (also known as chlorophyll alfalfa, Basic Science Supplies, St. Augustine, Florida,
United States), we added it to autoclaved water and allowed it to steep until cool. Once cool, we removed
large particles of cereal grass by repeated vacuum filtration through a ceramic Buchner funnel with a
double layer of Grade 1 cellulose filter paper (Whatman, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Marlborough,
Massachusetts, United States). We autoclaved organic quinoa grains and added them to the medium after
filtration, with roughly 2 grains added per 25 cm^2 of culture vessel surface area. We measured final
nutrient content of each type of medium by Flow Injection Analysis at the University of California, Santa
Barbara Marine Science Institute (Supp. Table 3). We tested buffered medium for two freshwater species
that experienced lowered pH during growth, *C. hollandica* (pH 5.5) and *Salpingoeca punica* (pH 5), using
50 mM HEPES (Thermo Fisher Scientific) adjusted to a pH of 7. We sterilized all media with a 0.22 μm
vacuum filter (Steritop, Millipore) in a laminar flow hood prior to use.

We selected final culture conditions that maximized choanoflagellate density and variety of cell types
present, as we hypothesized that different cell types, each potentially expressing different subsets of
genes, would yield the greatest diversity of transcripts for sequencing. We defined five generic
choanoflagellate cell types: 'attached': attached to the bottom of the culture vessel or to a piece of floating
debris, either directly, within a theca, within a lorica, or on a stalk; 'slow swimmer': a typical swimming
cell; 'fast swimmer': a cell with reduced collar length swimming at higher speed; 'free-swimming
colonial': in a colony swimming in the water column; 'attached colonial': in a colony attached to a stalk;
'passively suspended': suspended in the water column, either naked or within a lorica. See (Dayel et al.,
2011; Carr et al., 2017) for further information on choanoflagellate cell types and (Leadbeater, 2015;
Richter and Nitsche, 2016) for descriptions of extracellular structures (thecae, loricae, etc.).

474
475 ***Growth of cultures in large batches in preparation for RNA isolation***
476
We routinely grew choanoflagellates in 25 cm^2 angled neck cell culture flasks with 0.2 μm vented caps
(Corning Life Sciences, Corning, New York, United States) containing 25 ml of medium. We performed
all cell culture work in a laminar flow hood. To reduce the possibility of cross-contamination among
samples in the hood, we dispensed media into growth vessels prior to the introduction of cultures, we only
worked with a single culture at a time, and we cleaned thoroughly with 70% ethanol before and after
introducing cultures. To grow and collect large amounts of cells for RNA preparation, we used different
growth vessels, volumes, growth durations and centrifugation times as appropriate to each culture (Supp.

Table 1). Vessels included long neck Pyrex glass culture flasks (Corning), 150 mm plastic tissue culture
dishes (Becton Dickinson), and 75 cm^2 angled neck cell culture flasks with 0.2 μm vented caps (Corning).
We harvested cultures depending on the cell types present (Supp. Table 1). For cultures with 5 percent
or fewer attached cells, we collected liquid by pipetting without scraping (to reduce the number of
bacteria collected). For cultures containing between 5 and 90 percent attached cells, we harvested single
plates by pipetting after scraping cells from the bottom of the plate. For cultures with 90 percent or
greater attached cells, we combined multiple plates as follows: we removed and discarded the liquid from
the first plate by pipetting, added 50 ml of either artificial sea water or filtered water, as appropriate,
scraped cells from the plate, removed the liquid from the second plate, transferred the liquid from the first
to the second plate, and repeated the procedure on subsequent plates. For cultures containing quinoa
grains or large bacterial debris, we filtered with a 40 μm cell strainer (Fisher) after collection.
We pelleted cells in 50 ml conical tubes at 3220 x g in a refrigerated centrifuge at 4º C, removed the
first 47.5 ml of supernatant by pipetting, and the last 2.5 ml by aspiration. When we harvested more than
50 ml for a culture, we spun in separate tubes, removed all but 2.5 ml of supernatant, resuspended,
combined into a single 50 ml conical tube, and repeated the centrifugation as above. We flash froze
pellets in liquid nitrogen and stored them at -80º C. To reduce the possibility of cross-contamination, we
harvested and centrifuged each culture separately, we used disposable plastic pipette tubes, conical tubes,
and cell scrapers, and we cleaned all other material (bench tops, pipettes, etc.) with ELIMINase (Decon
Laboratories, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, United States) between cultures.
503
504   ***RNA isolation***
505
We isolated total RNA from cell pellets with the RNAqueous kit (Ambion, Thermo Fisher Scientific).
We modified the manufacturer's protocol to double the amount of lysis buffer, in order to increase RNA
yield and decrease degradation. We performed both optional steps after adding lysis buffer: we spun for 3
minutes at top speed at 1º C and passed the supernatant through a 25 gauge syringe needle several times.
We used the minimum suggested volumes in the two elution steps (40 μl and 10 μl). We measured RNA
concentration using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
For all species except *C. hollandica*, we immediately proceeded to digest genomic DNA using the
TURBO DNA-free kit (Ambion, Thermo Fisher Scientific), following the manufacturer's protocol with a
30 minute incubation. After digestion, we removed DNase with DNase Inactivation Reagent for all
species except *S. punica*, whose RNA extract was incompatible with the reagent. We instead removed
DNase from *S. punica* by extracting with two volumes of pH 8 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol,
removing residual phenol with two volumes of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol, and precipitating with 0.3 M
sodium acetate pH 5.2 (all three from Sigma-Aldrich), 25 μg of GlycoBlue (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as
a carrier and two volumes of 100% ethanol. We washed the pellet in 70% ethanol and resuspended in 50
μl of nuclease-free water. For *Didymoeca costata*, after DNase removal with the Inactivation Reagent, the
RNA still appeared to be slightly contaminated with protein, so we performed a pH 8 phenol:chloroform
extraction to remove it. For *C. hollandica*, we observed significant total RNA degradation in the presence
of DNase buffer. Instead, to remove genomic DNA we performed three successive rounds of extraction
with pH 4.5 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich), followed by the chloroform:isoamyl
and precipitation steps described above. To reduce the possibility of cross-contamination among samples,
we performed RNA isolation and DNase digestion for a single culture at a time, we used disposable

materials when possible, and we cleaned all other materials (bench tops, centrifuges, dry baths, pipettes,
etc.) thoroughly with ELIMINase before use.
We evaluated total RNA on Bioanalyzer 2100 RNA Pico chips (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara,
California, United States) with four criteria to be considered high-quality: (1) four distinct ribosomal
RNA peaks (16S and 23S for bacteria, 18S and 28S for choanoflagellates), (2) low signal in all other
regions, as a non-ribosomal signal is evidence of degradation, (3) at least a 1:1 ratio of 28S ribosomal area
to 18S ribosomal area, since 28S ribosomal RNA is likely to degrade more easily than is 18S ribosomal
RNA, and (4) an RNA Integrity Number (RIN) of 7 or greater (Schroeder et al., 2006). (We note that the
Bioanalyzer software could not calculate RIN for several cultures.) If we were not able to obtain high-
quality total RNA after the first attempt for any culture, we repeated cell growth, centrifugation and total
RNA isolation up to a maximum of 5 times, and selected the best available total RNA sample to use for
transcriptome sequencing. We produced a rough estimate of the amount of choanoflagellate total RNA
present in each sample by calculating the ratio of choanoflagellate to bacterial ribosomal RNA peaks (18S
vs. 16S and 28S vs. 23S) and multiplying the resulting fraction by the total amount of RNA present in the
sample (Supp. Table 1).
542
543   ***Test of polyA selection to separate choanoflagellate from bacterial RNA***
544
The standard library preparation protocol for Illumina mRNA sequencing used poly-dT beads to
separate polyadenylated mRNA from other types of non-polyadenylated RNA such as rRNA and tRNA.
For choanoflagellates, the bead selection step also serves to separate choanoflagellate mRNA from
bacterial RNA (which is not polyadenylated). Because the amount of bacterial RNA isolated from a
culture often exceeded the amount of choanoflagellate RNA by one to several orders of magnitude, we
reasoned that the standard bead selection might not be sufficient. We tested this hypothesis on *S. rosetta*
Px1, a culture grown with a single species of bacterium, *Algoriphagus machipongonensis*. Because both
species have sequenced genomes (Alegado et al., 2011; Fairclough et al., 2013), we could identify the
origin of sequenced RNA using a straightforward read mapping procedure. We cultivated *S. rosetta* Px1
cells as described previously (Fairclough et al., 2010). We scraped and harvested 50 ml of culture after
three days of growth in a 150 ml tissue culture dish. We performed centrifugation (with a 10 minute spin),
RNA isolation, DNase digestion and total RNA quality assessment as described above.
We compared the standard Illumina TruSeq v2 mRNA preparation protocol, which performs two
rounds of polyA selection with a single set of poly-dT-coated beads, against a modified protocol that
repeats the polyA selection steps, for a total of four rounds of polyA selection with two sets of beads. For
all other aspects of library preparation, we followed the manufacturer's protocol. We quantified libraries
by qPCR (Kapa Biosystems, Sigma-Aldrich) and sequenced them on a HiSeq 2000 machine (Illumina,
San Diego, California, United States) at the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory at the
California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences (Berkeley, California, United States).
We generated 16,970,914 single-end 50 bp reads for the library prepared with two rounds of polyA
selection, and 17,182,953 for the four-round library. We mapped reads to the *S. rosetta* and *A.*
machipongonensis genomes using BWA version 0.6.1 (Li and Durbin, 2009) and SAMtools version
0.1.18 (Li et al., 2009) with default parameter values. We counted reads mapping to *S. rosetta* ribosomal
loci on supercontig 1.8 (5S: positions 1900295-1900408, 18S: 1914756-1916850 and 28S: 1917502-
1923701). The number of reads mapping to the non-ribosomal portion of the *S. rosetta* genome did not
differ substantially between the two data sets: 12,737,031 reads mapped for the two-round data, and

12,585,647 for the four-round data. Similarly, 10,509,262 reads from the two-round data mapped to *S.*
rosetta transcripts and 10,181,522 for the four-round data. We also asked whether additional rounds of
polyA selection would cause increased RNA breakage due to pipetting or heating during the selection
process [e.g., (Kingston, 2001)], leading to lower coverage of the 5' ends of transcripts (because the poly-
dT sequence binds to the 3' end of RNA molecules). We estimated the loss of 5' transcript ends due to
shear to affect less than roughly 5% of transcripts (Supp. Figure 2a).
The four-round method removed roughly an order of magnitude more non-polyadenylated RNA than
the two-round method (Supp. Figure 2b). We observed that the four-round data set had a slightly lower
overall read quality. To address this, we tested whether a difference in read quality between the two data
sets could account for the difference in read mapping by randomly resampling the two-round data set to
contain the same number of either Phred-like quality 20 or Phred-like quality 30 bases as the four-round
data set, but neither resampling affected the results. We also tested whether transcript assembly quality
would suffer in the four-round data set by assembling both data sets *de novo* with Trinity release 2012-03-
17 (Grabherr et al., 2011) with default parameter values and mapping the resulting contigs to the *S.*
rosetta genome using BLAT version 34 (Kent, 2002) with default parameter values, but we observed no
substantial difference between the two data sets.
Given the superior ability of four rounds of polyA selection to remove contaminating bacterial RNA
with little to no loss of transcript coverage, we adopted this methodology for subsequent transcriptome
sequencing. The raw sequence reads for this experiment are available at the NCBI Short Read Archive
with the BioProject identifier PRJNA420352.
591
592 ***Library preparation and mRNA sequencing***
593
We began the Illumina TruSeq v2 mRNA library preparation protocol with approximately 2 μg of total
RNA per culture, if available (Supp. Table 1). We performed four rounds of polyA selection (instead of
the standard two) and introduced two further modifications to the standard protocol: first, we repeated the
Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, Indiana, United States) bead clean-up step to
enhance adapter removal, and second, we used 1.5 μl less volume in all bead elution steps, in order to
reduce loss during the protocol. We prepared libraries from 5 RNA samples at a time, and the libraries
were later multiplexed into groups of 6 or 7 per sequencing lane. To allow us to detect evidence of
potential cross-contamination during either process, we ensured that the groupings for sample preparation
and sequencing were distinct (Supp. Table 1).
We estimated library concentration using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and
determined quality and fragment size distribution with a Bioanalyzer 2100 High Sensitivity DNA chip
(Agilent). We quantified libraries by qPCR (Kapa Biosystems, Sigma-Aldrich) and sequenced them on an
Illumina HiSeq 2000 at the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory at the California Institute
for Quantitative Biosciences (Berkeley, California, United States). One group of libraries was sequenced
twice (consisting of *A. spectabilis*, *Diaphanoeca grandis*, *Helgoeca nana*, *S. helianthica*, *S. infusionum*
and *Salpingoeca urceolata*) due to a drop-off in quality after base 50 on the forward read of sequencing
pairs; quality scores up to base 50 on the forward read and on reverse reads were not affected. The
second, repeat sequencing run did not experience this issue. We incorporated both sequencing runs for
affected libraries into subsequent analyses (including Quality trimming and Error correction, see below).
We produced between 23 million and 61 million paired-end 100 bp sequencing reads per library (Supp.

Table 1). Raw sequence reads are available at the NCBI Short Read Archive with the BioProject identifier
PRJNA419411 (accession numbers for each species are listed in Supp. Table 1).
616
617   ***Quality trimming***
618
We trimmed sequence reads using Trimmomatic version 0.30 (Lohse et al., 2012) with two separate
filters: (1) removal of TruSeq adapter sequence and (2) trimming very low quality bases from the ends of
each read. To implement these filters, we ran Trimmomatic in three phases. In the first phase, we clipped
palindromic adapters using the directive ILLUMINACLIP:2:40:15 and discarded resulting reads shorter
than 25 bases with MINLEN:25. This resulted in two data sets: one containing reads whose mate pair
remained in the set, and the other composed of reads whose pair was removed due to adapter
contamination. In the second phrase, we clipped simple adapters from the remaining paired data set using
the directive ILLUMINACLIP:2:40:15, imposed a minimum Phred-like quality cutoff of 5 on the first ten
and last ten bases using LEADING:5 and TRAILING:5, subjected the read to a minimum sliding window
quality using SLIDINGWINDOW:8:5 and discarded resulting reads shorter than 25 bases with
MINLEN:25. The third phase operated on the remaining unpaired reads from the first phase, and
implemented the same directives as the second phase. We used a permissive minimum quality of 5 in
order to remove very low quality bases, as these might interfere with read error correction in the
subsequent processing step. We discarded reads less than 25 in length because they were shorter than the
k-mer size of the Trinity assembler (see *De novo* transcriptome assembly below). In all adapter clipping
operations, we used sequences appropriate to the index used for multiplexed sequencing. The number of
sequence reads and total bases remaining after trimming for each library are given in Supp. Table 1.
636
637   ***Error correction***
638
We performed error correction on trimmed reads using Reptile v1.1 (Yang et al., 2010) following the
authors' instructions, with the modifications described below. We began by using the 'fastq-converter.pl'
script to convert from FASTQ and to discard reads with more than 1 ambiguous character "N" in any
window of 13 bases. For reads with one "N", we chose the character "a" as the substitute for "N", as all of
the characters in our input reads were in upper case (A, C, G, or T); thus, we could later recognize "N"
bases converted in this step. Next, we tuned parameters using the 'seq-analy' utility following the
authors' instructions, in four steps: (1) Running 'seq-analy' with default settings. (2) Adjusting the input
settings to 'seq-analy' using the results from step 1. For all species, we set MaxBadQPerKmer to 8 and
KmerLen to 25 (to match the k-mer length used in Trinity). (3) Re-running 'seq-analy' using the adjusted
input settings. (4) Creating the input settings to 'Reptile' based on the output of step 3. We set KmerLen
to 13 and step to 12 for all species. The values of QThreshold, T_expGoodCnt, T_card and Qlb differed
by species (Supp. Table 1). All other parameters were left at their defaults to run Reptile.
We noticed that the locations within reads of errors identified by Reptile fell into two general classes:
sporadic errors not located adjacent to any other error, and clustered errors, in which several adjacent
bases within the same k-mer window were identified as errors. In some extreme cases, every single base
within a sequence read was identified as a target for error correction; we observed this phenomenon in the
set of read corrections for every species. We reasoned that this was an unintended consequence of the
iteration-to-exhaustion approach (step 2d) of the Reptile algorithm. Therefore, we designed a method to
correct sporadic errors, but not clustered errors. For each species, we began by grouping each read

according to the total number of errors identified. Within each group, we built a distribution of the
number of adjacent errors within the same k-mer window. For sporadic errors, this number should be
close to 0, but for clustered errors, the number could be up to the k-mer size minus one. There was a clear
pattern within each of these distributions, with some errors identified with no neighbors (sporadic errors),
a smaller number identified with 1 neighbor, and an increasing number beginning at 2 or more neighbors
(clustered errors). We used these empirical distributions to set the maximum allowable amount of
neighboring errors within a k-mer window as the count just prior to the beginning of the secondary
increase within each distribution. For example, for *D. grandis*, in the case of the group of reads containing
4 total identified errors, there were 316,103 errors with no neighbors within the same k-mer, 197,411 with
one neighbor, 156,043 with 2 neighbors, and 353,639 with 3 neighbors (that is, all 4 errors were within
the same k-mer window). Thus, for the group of reads containing 4 total identified errors in *D. grandis*,
we only corrected errors with up to 2 neighboring errors in the same k-mer window. After running Reptile
error correction of sequence reads and quality files subject to these cutoffs, we performed a final step of
restoring ambiguous bases converted by 'fastq-converter.pl' (from "N" to "a") that were not subsequently
corrected by Reptile back to their original value of "N".

De novo *transcriptome assembly*

We performed *de novo* transcriptome assembly on trimmed, corrected sequence reads and quality files
with Trinity release 2013-02-25 (Grabherr et al., 2011) with '--min_contig_length' set to 150 and all other
parameters at their default values. We chose a minimum contig length of 150 (rather than the default of
200) so as not to exclude assembly fragments that might encode predicted proteins with lengths between
50 and 66 amino acids, because some domains in the Pfam database are as short as 50 amino acids.
Because none of the species we sequenced had an available genome assembly, we did not know whether
transcripts might be encoded in overlapping positions within the genome. To test this possibility, we
repeated each Trinity assembly with the addition of the '--jaccard-clip' option and compared the
estimated number of fusion transcripts predicted by Transdecoder release 2012-08-15 (Haas et al., 2013).
We found essentially no difference in the number of predicted fusion transcripts between the original and
'--jaccard-clip' assemblies, and so we continued with the original assemblies. Assembly statistics are
reported in Supp. Table 1.

Identification and removal of cross-contamination

Cross-contamination within a multiplexed Illumina sequencing lane is estimated to cause incorrect
assignment of roughly 0.5% of index pairs (Kircher et al., 2012). We designed a procedure to eliminate
transcriptome assembly contigs resulting from incorrect index assignments. We ran blastn version 2.2.26
(Altschul, 1997) with a maximum E value of 1×10^{-10} to query contigs from each species against all other
species. Because of the evolutionary distances among the choanoflagellates we sequenced (Supp. Figure
3), most contigs from one species had no matches in any other species. Within the contigs that did have
cross-species matches (Supp. Figure 15a), we observed a large number that were identical or nearly-
identical, which were likely cross-contaminants, and another set of matches distributed around roughly
80% identity, likely representing highly conserved genes. The two cases were separated at roughly 96%
identity. After exploring the distribution of match lengths in a similar manner (Supp. Figure 15b), we
considered matches at 96% or greater identity of at least 90 bases in length to be cross-contaminated.

Next, we identified the sources of cross-contaminated contigs by comparing the number of reads
mapping from both species for each match. We first masked contigs with Tandem Repeats Finder version
4.04 (Benson, 1999), with the following parameter values: match = 2, mismatch = 7, indel penalty = 7,
match probability = 80, mismatch probability = 10, min score = 30, max period = 24. We next mapped
reads to masked contigs using the Burroughs-Wheeler Aligner, BWA, version 0.7.5a (Li and Durbin,
2009) and SAMtools version 0.1.18 (Li et al., 2009). We ran BWA 'aln' with the '-n 200' option to allow
up to 200 equally best hits to be reported, and all other parameter values left at their defaults. Based on
the distribution of read mapping ratios between the pair of species matching for each cross-contaminated
contig (Supp. Figure 15c), we retained only contigs for the species in a pair with 10 times or more reads
mapping, and discarded all other contigs, with one exception: if a contig had at least 10,000 reads
mapping, we did not discard it, regardless of read mapping ratio. We observed that many contigs
encoding conserved genes (for example, α-tubulin and elongation factor 1α) also tended to be the most
highly expressed, and thus the read mapping ratio was often close to 1 for these contigs. We identified as
cross-contaminated and removed between 1.7% and 8.8% of contigs for each species (Supp. Table 1). We
note that our procedure would also be expected to discard sequences from any bacterial species that were
present in two different choanoflagellate cultures. For a more detailed examination of the cross-
contamination removal process, see (Richter, 2013).
719
720 ***Prediction of amino acid sequences from assembled transcripts and elimination of redundant***
721 ***transcripts***
722
We predicted proteins from decontaminated contigs with Transdecoder release 2012-08-15 (Haas et
al., 2013) with a minimum protein sequence length of 50. We noticed that many of the proteins
originating from different contigs within a species were completely identical along their entire length.
Furthermore, we also observed many contigs whose predicted proteins were a strict subset of the
predicted proteins from another contig. For example, contig 1 might encode predicted proteins A and B,
and contig 2 might encode two predicted proteins exactly matching A and B, and a third predicted protein
C. We removed both types of redundancy (exact matches and subsets) from the set of predicted proteins,
and we also removed the contigs from which they were predicted (Supp. Table 1).
731
732 ***Measurement of expression levels and elimination of noise transcripts***
733
To estimate expression levels, we mapped sequence reads to decontaminated, non-redundant, Tandem
Repeats-masked contigs using the Burroughs-Wheeler Aligner, BWA, version 0.7.5a (Li and Durbin,
2009). We ran BWA 'mem' with the '-a' option to report all equally best hits, with all other parameter
values left at their defaults. We converted BWA output to BAM format using SAMtools version 0.1.18
(Li et al., 2009) and ran eXpress version 1.4.0 (Roberts and Pachter, 2012) with default parameter values
in order to produce estimated expression levels, in fragments per kilobase per million reads (FPKM). The
distribution of FPKM values (Supp. Figure 15d) had a peak near 1, with steep decreases in the number of
contigs at lower values. Therefore, we chose an extremely conservative noise threshold two orders of
magnitude below the peak, at FPKM 0.01, and discarded contigs (and their associated predicted proteins)
below this value (Supp. Table 1).
The final sets of contigs are available as Supp. File 1, and the proteins as Supp. File 2. FPKM values
for contigs are given in Supp. File 3.

746
747 *Completeness of predicted protein sets*
748
To estimate the completeness of the predicted protein set for each species, we searched our data for
conserved eukaryotic proteins with BUSCO version 3.0.2 (Simão et al., 2015). We used default parameter
values and the 303 BUSCOs from the 'eukaryota_odb9' set, and performed searches with HMMER
version 3.1b2 (Eddy, 2011). We note that each final transcriptome assembly contained between 18,816
and 61,053 proteins per species (Supp. Table 1), markedly more than the 9,196 and 11,629 genes
predicted, respectively, from the assembled genomes of *M. brevicollis* (King et al., 2008) and *S. rosetta*
(Fairclough et al., 2013). The relatively higher protein counts predicted from choanoflagellate
transcriptomes likely represent an overestimate resulting from the inherent complexities in assembling
unique contig sequences from short read mRNA sequencing data in the absence of a reference genome
(Grabherr et al., 2011), including the fact that sequence reads from different splice variants may have
assembled into separate contigs while being encoded by the same gene. Because our goal was to
reconstruct the full diversity of genes in the Urchoanozoan and Urmetazoan, the tendency of
transcriptomes to yield overestimates of gene numbers was not a significant concern.
762
763 *Construction of gene families and their probabilities of presence*
764
To identify gene families, we chose a set of representative animals and outgroup species (Supp. Table
3). We used the 19 choanoflagellates we sequenced and the complete predicted protein sets from the *M.*
brevicollis (King et al., 2008) and *S. rosetta* genomes (Fairclough et al., 2013). As an internal control, we
had sequenced two independent isolates of *Stephanoeca diplocostata*, whose predicted proteins we
compared using CD-HIT version 4.5.4 (Li and Godzik, 2006) with default parameter values. We found
that the two *S. diplocostata* isolates contained essentially equivalent predicted protein sets, so we used
only the Australian isolate for constructing orthologous groups. We compared the 21 choanoflagellate
species to 21 representative animals with genome-scale sequence data available, with an emphasis on
early-branching non-bilaterians: sponges, ctenophores, *T. adhaerens* and cnidarians. We included 17
outgroups with sequenced genomes in our analysis: *C. owczarzaki*, a holozoan representative of the
closest relatives of animals and choanoflagellates, five fungi chosen to represent fungal diversity, two
amoebozoa, and 9 species representing all other major eukaryotic lineages. The predicted proteins of the
chytrid *Homolaphlyctis polyrhiza* were released in two partially redundant sets, which we combined using
CD-HIT version 4.5.4 with default parameter values.
We applied OrthoMCL version 2.0.3 (Li, 2003) to construct gene families (orthologous groups of
proteins) using recommended parameter values. As recommended in the OrthoMCL documentation, we
performed an all versus all sequence homology search using blastp version 2.2.26 (Altschul, 1997) with
an expectation value of 1×10^{-5}, and we determined orthologous groups with MCL-edge version 12-068
(Enright, 2002; van Dongen and Abreu-Goodger, 2012) using an inflation parameter of 1.5.
Although most genes in most gene families were likely to be orthologous, no existing algorithm can
yet perfectly separate orthologs from paralogs and spurious BLAST hits (Altenhoff et al., 2016).
Approaches based on binary presence/absence calls and parsimony, developed for morphological
characters, may be vulnerable to yielding hyperinflated estimates of the gene content of the
Urchoanozoan, since any gene family containing at least one protein from animals and one from
choanoflagellates would be inferred to have been present in the Urchoanozoan. Indeed, we observed a

number of gene families containing many animals but only one or two choanoflagellates, and vice versa
(Supp. Figure 4a). If these gene families represented true orthologous groups and were therefore present
in the ancestral Choanozoan, they would require several independent loss events within one of the two
groups; we reasoned that it was more parsimonious that some proportion of these genes evolved in only
one of the two groups and that the isolated BLAST hits from the other group represented false positives.
To address this problem, we produced membership probabilities for each protein within each gene family,
based on its average BLAST E value to all other proteins within the cluster (the absence of a hit was
treated as the maximum possible (i.e., least probable) E value). A true ortholog should have low E value
hits to nearly all other members of its gene family, whereas a false ortholog should have higher E value
hits to only one or a few members of the gene family (and therefore a very high average E value). We
chose the lowest average gene family E value for each species within each gene family and rescaled them
using the empirical cumulative density function (van der Vaart, 1998, p. 265) of all E values from the
initial all versus all homology search, ordering them from highest to lowest, to produce a probability
between 0 and 1 (Supp. Figure 4b). A protein with low E value BLAST hits to all other members of its
gene family had a probability close to 1, and a protein with high E value BLAST hits to only a few
members of its gene family had a probability close to 0 (Supp. Figure 4c-d). We found that most proteins
fell close to one of these extremes, and that the probabilities clearly distinguished between hits between
proteins within the same gene family versus hits between proteins in two different gene families (Supp.
Figure 4e). In addition, proteins within gene families identified above containing many choanoflagellates
and many animals had probabilities closer to 1, whereas proteins within gene families with few
choanoflagellates and many animals contained both low probabilities, which are likely to be false
orthologs, and high probabilities likely representing true orthologs (Supp. Figure 4f).
Protein sequences for gene families are available as Supp. File 4, and presence probabilities by species
are listed as part of Supp. Table 5.
814
815 ***Inference of gene family origins and heat map***
816
For each gene family, we calculated the sum of membership probabilities for species from each of the
five major groups in this study (choanoflagellates, animals, *C. owczarzaki*, fungi, and other eukaryotes).
Based on the resulting distribution (Supp. Figure 5a), for a gene family to be considered present in a
group, we required this sum to equal or surpass 10% of the number of species in the group. For
choanoflagellates and animals, each of which have 21 species in our data set, this equates roughly to a
gene family being represented at high probability in more than two species. Next, we developed a set of
parsimony-based rules to determine the origin of each gene family (Supp. Table 4). If a gene family was
present within two groups, we considered it to have been present in their last common ancestor. For gene
families containing proteins from species in only one group, we considered it to have been present in the
last common ancestor of that group only if it was present within two or more separate sub-groups (Supp.
Figure 5b). For example, within animals, we defined three sub-groups: sponges (*O. pearsei*, *Ephydatia*
muelleri, *A. queenslandica*), ctenophores (*M. leidyi*), and later-branching animals (*T. adhaerens*,
cnidarians, bilaterians). An animal-specific gene family present in at least two of these three sub-groups
would be considered to have been present in the Urmetazoan (and thus to have evolved on the animal
stem lineage). Inferred group presences for each gene family are available in Supp. File 5.
In the text, we precede estimated gene family counts with a tilde. We selected a conservative
probability threshold of 10% in order to minimize the number of gene families that might erroneously be

assigned as specific to a given group (e.g., animals or choanozoans). This choice may have resulted in
some gene families that are truly specific to a group instead being incorrectly assigned as shared with
another group. As a consequence, counts of gene families originating in different groups should represent
relatively conservative estimates.
To produce a heat map for visual display of gene families, we ordered them by their patterns of
presence within the five major species groups. Within a given pattern (for example, absent in outgroups
and fungi but present in *C. owczarzaki*, animals and choanoflagellates) we clustered gene families by
Pearson correlation using Cluster 3.0 (de Hoon et al., 2004), with all other parameter values set to their
defaults. We visualized heat maps using Java TreeView version 1.1.6r4 (Saldanha, 2004) and color
palettes from ColorBrewer (Harrower and Brewer, 2003). For display purposes, we restricted Figure 2 to
gene families inferred to have been present in at least one of six nodes representing last common
ancestors of interest: Ureukaryote, Uropisthokont, Urholozoan, Urchoanozoan, Urchoanoflagellate, and
Urmetazoan. The full heat map for all gene families with representatives from at least two species is
shown in Supp. Figure 6. Gene families present, gained and loss at each ancestral node are listed in Supp.
File 6.
849
850 ***Test of gene family presence in recently released genomes***
851
Because new genome sequences are continuously becoming available, we tested whether the ancestral
gene content reconstruction we performed would be influenced by the addition of new genome-scale data
from two key sets of species: early-branching animals and early-branching holozoans. We chose species
with high-quality publicly available protein catalogs that would maximize the phylogenetic diversity
added to our data set. For early-branching animals, we selected two sponges, the demosponge *Tethya*
wilhelma (Francis et al., 2017) and the calcareous sponge *Sycon ciliatum* (Fortunato et al., 2014), and one
ctenophore, *Pleurobrachia bachei* (Moroz et al., 2014). For early-branching holozoans, we selected the
teretosporeans *C. fragrantissima*, *Ichthyophonus hoferi, Chromosphaera perkinsii* and *Corallochytrium*
limacisporum (Grau-Bové et al., 2017). Because we planned to implement a best reciprocal BLAST
approach, which might be confounded by paralogs present within any of the species, we began by
removing redundancy separately for each species using CD-HIT version 4.6 (Li and Godzik, 2006) with
default parameter values. We then performed best reciprocal blastp from the protein catalog of each
additional species versus all 59 species included in our original analysis (Supp. Table 3), with the same
maximum E value (1×10^{-5}). We retained only top reciprocal blastp hits. We next calculated gene family
presence probabilities for each additional species, using a slightly modified procedure. Because we
retained only the top hit for each additional species protein to each original species, an additional species
protein could only match to a single representative per species within each gene family, although the
family might contain multiple representatives per species. Thus, instead of calculating average E value
from each additional species protein to all members of each gene family (as we did for the original set),
we calculated the average of best hits to each species within the family. Next, because each protein from
an additional species might hit multiple different gene families, we chose the single gene family match
with the lowest average E value. Finally, for each gene family and each additional species, we selected
the lowest average E value of any protein in the species to the gene family and translated those to
probabilities using the same empirical cumulative density function as for the original analysis (Supp.
Figure 4b).

To visualize whether the additional species might substantially impact our inferences of ancestral gene
content, we inserted their presence probabilities into the original heat map of Figure 2 without reordering
gene families (Supp. Figure 16). The additional sponges display similar patterns of gene family presence
probability to the original sponge species in the analysis, as does the additional ctenophore in comparison
to the original ctenophore. Within the early-branching holozoans, *C. perkinsii* appears to show slight
evidence for the presence of animal-specific gene families, but generally with low probabilities, roughly
comparable to the choanoflagellate *S. dolichothecata*. Thus, we estimate that a very small proportion of
animal-specific gene families would instead be classified as originating in Holozoa with the addition of
early-branching holozoans. In addition, since we only included one representative early-branching
holozoan (*C. owczarzaki*) in our original analysis, a subset of gene families originally classified as
originating in Choanozoa would instead be assigned to Holozoa with the additional species; as such, we
did not strongly emphasize the distinction between choanozoan-origin and holozoan-origin gene families
in our results. Furthermore, as described below (Protein domains and evidence for gene presence based on
domain architecture), the additional species would have a negligable effect on our inferences of gene
family presence based on protein domain architecture.
892
893   ***Species tree and phylogenetic diversity***
894
Since the focus of this study was not phylogenetic tree construction, and because the topic has been
thoroughly addressed elsewhere, we selected species trees from prior publications rather than building
one based on our data. Furthermore, because our major findings were based on comparisons among
groups whose phylogenetic relationships are well established (animals, choanoflagellates, *C. owczarzaki*,
fungi and other eukaryotes), differences in tree topology within these groups should be of minor
importance. For choanoflagellates, we used the species tree from (Carr et al., 2017), for animals (Philippe
et al., 2009) and for other eukaryotes (Burki et al., 2016). Because the branching order of early animals is
still under active debate, we depicted the relationships among early-branching animals as a polytomy
(King and Rokas, 2017). We visualized the resulting tree with Archaeopteryx version 0.9813 (Han and
Zmasek, 2009).
To measure phylogenetic diversity, we selected a set of 49 gene families for which each species had
exactly one protein representative and no more than 5 species were missing (or roughly 10% of the 59
species in our data set). We aligned the protein sequences in each gene family separately using MAFFT
version 7.130b (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with the parameters '--maxiterate 1000 --localpair'. We
performed two rounds of alignment trimming with trimAl version 1.2rev59 (Capella-Gutierrez et al.,
2009): in the first round, we used the parameter '-automated1', and we supplied the output of the first
round to the second round, with the parameter '-gt 0.5'. We constructed phylogenetic trees from the two-
round trimmed alignments separately for each gene family with RAxML version 8.2.0 (Stamatakis,
2014), with the options '-m PROTGAMMALGF' and '-f a -N 100'. We measured cophenetic distances
between pairs of species on the resulting trees using the ape package version 4.1 (Paradis et al., 2004) and
plotted pairwise distances averaged across all 49 gene families using the ggplot2 package version 2.2.1
(Wickham, 2009), both with R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017) in the RStudio development platform
version 1.0.143 (RStudio Team, 2016).
918
919   ***Group-specific core gene families found in all extant members***
920

To identify animal-specific or choanoflagellate-specific gene families that were also present in all
species within either group, we required every species in the group to pass the 10% minimum probability
criterion. These core gene families are subject to several potential technical artifacts. First, an incomplete
genome or transcriptome assembly could result in a species appearing to lack a gene family. Second, gene
families containing repeated or repetitive protein domains (e.g. EGF or Ankyrin) might cause
inappropriate inferences of sequence homology in our BLAST-based approach. Third, a gene family
which duplicated on the lineage leading to the last common ancestor of a group could produce two gene
families, among which paralogs are incorrectly partitioned, resulting in one or more species appearing to
lack one of the two families. Thus, the lists of core gene families should not necessarily be considered as
exhaustive, especially for serially duplicated or repeat-containing gene families.
We annotated animal-specific pan-animal gene families (Table 1) by selecting consensus features and
functions in UniProt (Uniprot Consortium, 2017), FlyBase (Gramates et al., 2017) and WormBase (Lee et
al., 2017). Notably, BTB/POZ domain-containing protein 9, whose function is relatively poorly
characterized in comparison to other core animal-specific gene families, contains the BTB Pfam domain,
which was identified as part of an expanded repertoire of ubiquitin-related domain architectures in
animals (Grau-Bové et al., 2015).
937
938   *Gene family retention*
939
To determine retention of ancestral gene families within extant species, we began with the sets inferred
to have evolved on the stem lineages leading to the Ureukaryote, Uropisthokont, Urholozoan,
Urchoanozoan, Urchoanoflagellate, and Urmetazoan (Supp. Table 4). For each set of gene families
partitioned by ancestral origin, we summed the membership probabilities for each species. Because we
applied a 10% membership probability threshold to consider a gene family to be present within group of
species (see above, Inference of gene family origins and heat map), a species in one group might have a
small residual sum of membership probabilities for gene families assigned to another group. As an
example, some choanoflagellates may display a small amount of retention of animal-specific gene
families, which represents the sum of non-zero membership probabilities that did not reach the 10%
threshold.
To test whether *B. floridae*, *N. vectensis*, and *O. pearsei* retained the same gene families, we applied
the 10% presence probability threshold within each species. We found that there were 7,863 Urmetazoan
gene families retained in at least one of the three species and 5,282 retained in all three (67%).
Some animal species with draft genomes, for example *P. pacificus*, were among those that retained the
fewest ancestral gene families. However, the lack of gene predictions resulting from an incomplete
genome assembly is likely not as strong as the signal produced by gene loss. In the example case of *P.
pacificus*, its sister species *C. elegans*, which has a finished genome, retains the second fewest gene
families. We produced phylogenetic tree-based visualizations using the Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL)
web site (Letunic and Bork, 2016). We produced bar charts using the ggplot2 package version 2.2.1
(Wickham, 2009) with R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017) in the RStudio development platform
version 1.0.143 (RStudio Team, 2016).
961
962   *Gene ontology and pathway analysis*
963

We annotated gene family function using the PANTHER Classification System (Thomas, 2003). We
used the PANTHER HMM library version 7.2 (Mi et al., 2010) and the PANTHER HMM Scoring tool
version 1.03 (Thomas et al., 2006) with default parameter values and the recommended expectation value
cutoff of 10^{-23}. We used PANTHER-provided files to associate PANTHER HMM hits with Gene
Ontology (GO) terms (Ashburner et al., 2000). Annotations for both sets of terms, for all input proteins
(including those not placed into gene families) are available in Supp. File 7. Annotations by gene family
are listed in Supp. Table 8.
To measure GO term enrichment, we used Ontologizer version 2.1 (Robinson et al., 2004; Grossmann
et al., 2007) with the Parent-Child-Union method of comparison, a Bonferroni correction for multiple
testing, and an adjusted p-value cutoff of 0.05. We accepted gene family GO annotations present in at
least half of the proteins in the family. We assessed the enrichment of animal-specific gene families by
comparing against the set of gene families present in the Ureukaryote. We considered the Ureukaryote set
to be the most appropriate comparison since gene families that evolved in Holozoa, Choanozoa or
Opisthokonts are themselves enriched for GO terms associated with animal gene families (Supp. Fig. 6).
We compared pathways present in the Urholozoan, Urchoanozoan and Urmetazoan using MAPLE
version 2.3.0 (Takami et al., 2016). As input, we provided protein sequences only for species descending
from each ancestral node. We supplied the following parameters to MAPLE: NCBI BLAST; single-
directional best hit (bi-directional best hit would not have been appropriate, since our input database
consisted of gene families containing closely-related proteins from multiple species); KEGG version
20161101; and organism list "ea" (all eukaryotes in KEGG). We compared completeness based on the
WC (whole community) module completion ratio. We listed modules which differed by 25% or greater in
completeness between the Urchoanozoan and Urmetazoan in Supp. Table 9, and between the Urholozoan
and Urchoanozoan in Supp. Table 10. To focus on amino acid biosynthesis pathways (Supp. Figure 11),
we exported KEGG results from MAPLE for the Urchoanozoan and Urmetazoan gene sets and compared
them using the KEGG Mapper Reconstruct Pathway tool, pathway ID 01230 (Kanehisa et al., 2012).
989
990   ***Evidence for gene presence based on protein domain architecture***
991
We predicted protein domains with the Pfam version 27.0 database and pfam_scan.pl revision 2010-
06-08 (Punta et al., 2012), which used hmmscan from the HMMER 3.0 package (Eddy, 2011). We
performed all Pfam searches with default parameter values. We predicted signal peptides and
transmembrane domains using Phobius version 1.01 (Käll et al., 2004) with default parameter values.
Domains for all input proteins (including those not placed into gene families) are listed in Supp. File 7.
Domains by gene family are shown in Supp. Table 8.
To determine which animal-specific gene families lacked a Pfam domain of known function, we
calculated the proportion of proteins in each animal gene family that were annotated with a given Pfam
domain (Supp. Figure 17). We accepted Pfam domains present in at least 10% of proteins in a gene
family. Domains of unknown function in the Pfam database had names beginning with "DUF". To ensure
that these domain names were not assigned a function in a more recent version of the Pfam database
published after our initial annotation, we checked against Pfam version 31.0 and considered all domains
whose names no longer began with "DUF" as having an assigned function.
We established a set of criteria for the presence of genes of interest, based on domain content and
OrthoMCL gene families (Supp. Table 6). In all cases, 'strong' evidence for conservation consisted of the
canonical domain architecture (a diagnostic domain or set of domains in a specific order). Because Pfam

domains are constructed from a set of aligned protein sequences selected from sequenced species, they are
often strongly enriched for animals (and, in particular, for animal models such as *D. melanogaster* and *C.*
elegans) and therefore may be biased against detecting instances of protein domains with more remote
homology. To address this concern, for some gene families, we considered the presence of a protein in the
same gene family as another protein with 'strong' evidence to constitute potential 'moderate' or 'weak'
evidence. In addition, we considered previous reports to be 'strong' evidence in two cases: the presence of
a canonical TLR in *N. vectensis* (Miller et al., 2007; Sullivan et al., 2007), and the presence of NF-κB in
C. owczarzaki (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2011).
Genome or transcriptome data for 9 additional early-branching holozoans became available since our
initial analyses of protein domain architecture (Torruella et al., 2015; Grau-Bové et al., 2017). To test
whether the genes and protein domains of interest that we inferred to have originated in the Urchoanozoan
did not in fact originate in the Urholozoan, we interrogated the domain content of the 9 new species. In all
cases except one, there were no proteins in any of the nine species with domain architectures that would
qualify as 'strong' evidence to change our inference of ancestral origin (i.e., where there was not already
'strong' evidence in *C. owczarzaki*). The exception was the Glyco_hydro_56 domain in the filasterean
Ministeria vibrans, which would be considered strong evidence for the presence of GAG hydrolase.
1024
1025   ***Notch and Delta***
1026
For Notch, we defined 'strong' evidence for conserved domain architecture to be one or more EGF
repeats, a Notch domain, a transmembrane domain and an Ank domain, in that order. This domain
architecture was unique to animals and choanoflagellates in our data set. Many, but not all, Notch genes
in animals also contain NOD and NODP protein domains. However, we did not use these as evidence,
because numerous animal Notch genes do not encode these domains, including some bilaterians (Gazave
et al., 2009) (for example, the primate *Macaca mulatta* (UniProt ID F7HCR6), the tapeworm
Hymenolepis microstoma (A0A068XGW6), and the nematode *Ascaris suum* (U1NAR2)). In our data, the
NOD and NODP domains were not present in any sponge or choanoflagellate species, although two of the
three sponges (*E. muelleri* and *A. queenslandica*) encoded proteins with EGF, Notch, transmembrane and
Ank domains, and a previous study found that *A. queenslandica* Notch and Delta were both expressed in
in patterns consistent with other animals (Richards et al., 2008). We hypothesize that the NOD and NODP
domains were not detected in either choanoflagellates or sponges because the NOD and NODP Pfam
models were constructed from sequence alignments that included proteins mostly from bilaterians.
For Delta, we defined 'strong' evidence as the presence of both an MNNL (N terminus of Notch
ligand) and a DSL domain. Both of these domains were considered to be animal-specific prior to this
study (although we note that the DSL domain is found in two recently sequenced holozoans, the
ichthyosporeans *C. fragrantissima* and *Pirum gemmata* (Grau-Bové et al., 2017)). The choanoflagellate *S.*
dolichothecata expressed a protein encoding both the MNNL and DSL domains (m.249548), but lacking
the transmembrane domain typically found in Delta. However, the contig encoding this protein was only
partially assembled, and the presence of other partially assembled contigs in *S. dolichothecata* encoding
combinations of DSL, transmembrane and EGF domains increases our confidence that *S. dolichothecata*
encodes a *bona fide* Delta. (Predicted proteins encoding MNNL and DSL, but not a transmembrane
domain, were also present in some animals in our data set; for example, *Capitella teleta* and
Strongylocentrotus purpuratus.)
1051

TGF-β signaling pathway

The canonical TGF-β signaling ligand (e.g., Activins or BMPs) is characterized by the TGFb_propeptide (LAP) and TGF_beta domains (Munger et al., 1997), the type I TGF-β receptor by the combination of a TGF_beta_GS and a kinase domain, and the transcriptional activator SMAD by the MH1 and MH2 domains (Heldin et al., 1997). Although none of these combinations was present in choanoflagellates, we detected the individual TGFb_propeptide, TGF_beta_GS, MH1 and MH2 domains encoded in separate proteins (Supp. Figure 12). None of these four domains is found in eukaryotes outside Choanozoa (kinases are ancient domains, and the TGF_beta domain is found only in animals).

Toll-like receptor signaling and innate immunity

For our analysis of Toll-like receptors, we did not distinguish among members of the LRR and TIR families (whose names in Pfam take the form of LRR_X, where X is a number, or TIR/TIR_2), because we found that different animal TLRs could contain domain combinations of each type. For example, *M. musculus* TLR11 (UniProt Q6R5P0, NCBI GI 45429992) contains LRR_8 and TIR_2 domains, whereas *M. musculus* TLR6 (UniProt Q9EPW9, NCBI GI 157057101) contains LRR_4 and TIR domains. We also did not attempt to differentiate among single vs. multiple cysteine cluster LRR domains (Imler, 2003), because we found that TLRs containing a multiple cysteine cluster LRRNT Pfam domain as the final LRR prior to the transmembrane region were restricted to *D. melanogaster* within our data set.
Although we did not find strong evidence for canonical animal TLR domain architecture in sponges, *M. leidyi* and *T. adhaerens*, we did detect proteins in sponges and cnidarians matching the domain content of animal interleukin receptors, which can also signal via MyD88 (O'Neill, 2008): an extracellular immunoglobulin (Ig) domain, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular TIR domain (as found previously for the sponge *A. queenslandica* (Gauthier et al., 2010)). This architecture was not present in choanoflagellates nor any other non-animal in our data set. We note that, in our analyses, the proteins previously identified as TLR2 homologs in *A. queenslandica*, *O. carmela* and other sponges (Riesgo et al., 2014) appear to have domain architectures matching interleukin receptors or other proteins, but not TLRs.
For genes in the canonical *M. musculus* TLR/ NF-ϰB signaling pathway, we collected information on domain architectures in the Pfam 31.0 database (which did not include the choanoflagellates sequenced in this study) and on the species present in the same gene family as the *M. musculus* protein (Supp. Table 14). With the exception of adapters and kinases containing the Death domain, there were no architectures that were both specific to animals and present across animal diversity, two features required of diagnostic architectures for a gene family. Similarly, we did not identify any gene families restricted to holozoans (as would be expected for genes participating in the TLR/ NF-ϰB pathway) and whose choanoflagellate members we could unequivocally identify as orthologous to the *M. musculus* proteins in the family. Thus, we restricted our current analyses to TLRs, adapters containing TIR or Death domains, and NF-ϰB. Future analyses more focused on detecting individual signaling components [e.g., (Gilmore and Wolenski, 2012)] are likely to further elucidate their evolutionary histories.
We built an alignment for all proteins in the gene family containing SARM1 (gene family 6840), with the addition of the human sequence (UniProt Q6SZW1), since previous work has focused on the human SARM1 protein. We aligned proteins with MAFFT 7.130b (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with the parameters '--maxiterate 1000 --localpair' for high accuracy alignments. We visualized the alignment

with JalView 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). In addition to SARM1 and Kinase TIRs, choanoflagellates also
encoded a diversity of protein domains associated with intracellular TIR domain-containing proteins
(lacking a transmembrane domain). Domains found associated with TIR in multiple choanoflagellate
species included ankyrin repeat (Ank) and armadillo (Arm) protein-protein interaction domains and Src
homology 2 (SH2) kinase signal transduction domains.
In addition to TLRs, we also searched the choanoflagellates in our data set for cytosolic immune
sensing genes. Several classes of nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat (NLR) proteins have
previously been detected in the *A. queenslandica* genome, suggesting that NLRs were present in the
Urmetazoan (Yuen et al., 2014). The architecture of NLRs consists of a protein interaction domain (either
Death or CARD), a nucleotide binding domain (NACHT) and multiple LRRs. Although we detected
proteins encoding both NACHT and LRR domains in multiple choanoflagellates, neither the Death or
CARD domain was present in any choanoflagellate we studied. The CARD domain in animal NLRs
either directly or indirectly mediates activation of caspases (von Moltke et al., 2013), which are among
the 36 core animal-specific gene families that we detected, suggesting that both NLRs and associated
caspase downstream signaling activity may be animal innovations. Plants possess an analogous
intracellular sensing pathway, NBS-LRR, which, like the TLR pathway, is thought to have evolved
independently (Urbach and Ausubel, 2017). Diagnostic domains were absent in choanoflagellates for four
additional cytosolic sensors: three containing CARD domains [mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein
(MAVS), melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) and retinoic acid inducible gene 1
(RIG-I)] and one containing HIN and PYRIN domains [absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2)]. However, we did
find evidence for two other sensors previously reported in *M. brevicollis* (Wu et al., 2014): STING is
present in both *Salpingoeca macrocollata* and *M. brevicollis*, based on the presence of the diagnostic
Pfam domain TMEM173, and cGAS, identified by the Pfam domain Mab-21, is present in *S.*
macrocollata, *M. brevicollis* and *M. fluctuans*.
1120
1121   ***Glycosaminoglycan (GAG) synthesis genes***
1122
We identified GAG synthesis genes in *S. helianthica* using the list of human genes from Data S3 in
(Woznica et al., 2017). We first standardized the identifiers of the human sequences to those in NCBI
release GRCh38 of the human genome. Next, we ran blastp version 2.2.26 (Altschul, 1997) against *S.*
helianthica with a maximum E value of 10^{-5} and selected the matching *S. helianthica* protein with the
lowest E value. If a single *S. helianthica* protein hit two human proteins, we selected the lowest E value
hit (and used the next best hit, if any, to the other human protein). In three cases, there was a *S.*
helianthica BLAST hit for a human protein that had no homologs in *D. melanogaster*, *C. elegans*, *Hydra*
vulgaris (*magnipapillata*), or *A. queenslandica* in Woznica *et al*. We investigated these hits further by
running blastp of the *S. helianthica* sequence against the NCBI nr database. In each case, we found that
the NCBI nr BLAST hit did not match the function of the original human query protein. For the human
dermatan sulfate synthesis gene D4ST (NP_569735.1), the *S. helianthica* top hit m. 244703 matched the
chondroitin synthesis gene C4ST in the nr database; thus, we considered m.244703 to be a homolog of
C4ST instead of D4ST. For the human hyaluronan synthesis genes HAS1 and HAS2 (NP_001514.2 and
NP_005319.1), the *S. helianthica* top hits m.93480 and m.227356 both matched to chitin synthesis genes
in the nr database, and not to hyaluronan synthesis genes; we thus discarded both of these hits. We note
that neither *S. rosetta* nor *S. helianthica* had a match to the C6ST gene from the chondroitin sulfate
synthesis pathway. However, *S. rosetta* was shown experimentally to produce chondroitin sulfate in

Woznica *et al.*; it is possible that another gene present in both species, such as C4,6ST, may substitute for
the function of C6ST.
To add *M. musculus*, *M. leidyi* and *O. pearsei* to the table of GAG synthesis genes, we used the same
blastp-based process as for *S. helianthica*. *M. leidyi* and *O. pearsei* both had hits to the D4ST gene. In
both cases, the best blastp matches of these proteins to the NCBI nr database were D4ST genes in other
animals, indicating that both species are likely to encode this gene, and that it may have been present in
the Urmetazoan and lost in *H. vulgaris*, *D. melanogaster* and *C. elegans*.
Four of the GAG synthesis genes (XYLT1, B4GT7, B3GT6 and B3GA3) participate in the synthesis
of a linker region for both chondroitin sulfate and dermatan sulfate, but not hyaluronan (Kjellén and
Lindahl, 1991; Mizumoto et al., 2013). When calculating the completeness of the chondroitin sulfate
synthesis pathway, we included these four linker synthesis genes together with the seven chondroitin
sulfate synthesis genes.
1152
1153 *GAG cleavage genes: hydrolases and lyases*
1154
The hydrolase and lyase GAG cleavage enzymes are frequently referred to as hyaluronidase and
chondroitinase, respectively, although nearly all enzymes of both classes have some level of activity on
both substrates (Yamagata et al., 1968; Stern and Jedrzejas, 2006; Kaneiwa et al., 2008). We therefore use
the term GAG hydrolase in lieu of hyaluronidase, and GAG lyase in lieu of chondroitinase.
To build phylogenetic trees for GAG hydrolase and GAG lyase, we downloaded the sequences used to
build the 'Full' alignments for their diagnostic domains from the Pfam 31.0 database (Finn et al., 2016):
Glyco_hydro_56 for GAG hydrolase and Lyase_8 for GAG lyase. We appended sequences from gene
families in our data set with at least one member encoding a diagnostic domain. We next ran CD-HIT
version 4.6 (Li and Godzik, 2006), with default parameter values, for both genes in order to remove
redundancy introduced by the additional sequences (because the species in our data set overlapped with
those that were used to build the Pfam models). We aligned each gene separately using MAFFT version
7.130b (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with the parameters '--maxiterate 1000 --localpair' for high accuracy
alignments. After examining the alignments, we used trimAl version 1.2rev59 (Capella-Gutierrez et al.,
2009) to trim GAG lyase with the option '-gt 0.8' and GAG hydrolase with the option '-gt 0.6'. For GAG
lyase, the trimmed alignment contained 4 proteins with no sequence data, which we discarded. We built
phylogenetic trees using RAxML version 8.2.0 (Stamatakis, 2014), with the options '-m
PROTGAMMALGF -f a -N 100'. In the resulting tree for GAG lyase, there were three main groups of
bacterial sequences. These did not correspond with previously identified enzyme classes (generally
referred to as chondroitinase A, B and C), which is consistent with the observation that the groups are
difficult to distinguish by sequence homology alone (Jedrzejas, 2000).
1175
1176 *GAG hydrolase (hyaluronidase) treatment of choanoflagellates*
1177
We performed an initial screen for qualitative effects of exogenous GAG hydrolase treatment at
concentrations up to 500 μg/ml (hyaluronidase type IV-S from bovine testes, Sigma-Aldrich) on four
species of choanoflagellates with all four possible combinations of expressing/not expressing GAG
hydrolase and forming/not forming rosette colonies. We tested *C. hollandica* (lacks GAG hydrolase, does
not form rosettes), *M. fluctuans* (expresses GAG hydrolase, does not form rosettes), *S. rosetta* (lacks
GAG hydrolase, forms rosettes) and *S. helianthica* (expresses GAG hydrolase, forms rosettes). We

observed an effect of increased cells per colony in *S. helianthica* at GAG hydrolase concentrations ≥ 300
µg/ml, but no effects in the other three species. Therefore, we continued with quantitative experiments on
S. helianthica, with *S. rosetta* as a negative control.

We split actively growing cultures of *S. helianthica* and *S. rosetta* into new medium at a concentration
of 10^5 cells/ml. After 24 hours of growth at 25 ℃, we treated cultures with concentrations of GAG
hydrolase from 10 to 500 µg/ml, with or without 100 µg/ml poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS,
average molecular weight ~70,000, Sigma-Aldrich), a GAG hydrolase inhibitor (Isoyama et al., 2006),
and incubated for 48 additional hours at 25 ℃. We counted cells per rosette or cells per milliliter using
gluteraldehyde-fixed (*S. helianthica*) or formaldehyde-fixed (*S. rosetta*) aliquots on a Bright-Line
Hemacytometer (Hausser Scientific, Horsham, Pennsylvania, United States). We performed all counts
blind to the identity of each sample. We counted 30 colonies per treatment, with the exception of four
treatments in *S. rosetta* for which cell density was not high enough to count 30 colonies (10 µg GAG
hydrolase + PSS, 21 colonies; 50 µg + PSS, 4 colonies; 100 µg + PSS, 9 colonies; 300 µg + PSS, 6
colonies).

We also tested two additional commercially available GAG cleavage enzymes and two additional
formulations of PSS (all from Sigma-Aldrich). We found that bovine hyaluronidase type VIII, a GAG
hydrolase, had no effect on *S. helianthica*, but that it also formed visible precipitates at the concentrations
we used for type IV-S (≥ 300 µg/ml), which likely prevented its enzymatic activity. We also found no
effects in *S. helianthica* for *Streptomyces hyalurolyticus* hyaluronidase, a GAG lyase, which is thought to
have no enzymatic activity on chondroitin sulfate (Ohya and Kaneko, 1970; Park et al., 1997). We tested
two other average molecular weights of PSS, ~200,000 and ~1,000,000. Both showed similar effects to
~70,000 average molecular weight PSS in blocking the increase in number of cells per rosette in *S.*
helianthica treated with ≥ 300 µg/ml GAG hydrolase type IV-S.

We performed scanning electron microscopy on *S. helianthica* colonies spun down and fixed onto
silanized silica wafers as previously described (Dayel et al., 2011).

1209
1210 ***RNAi machinery in choanoflagellates***
1211

To define 'strong' evidence for the presence of Argonaute in our data set, we used the conserved Pfam
domain architecture DUF1785, PAZ and Piwi (Supp. Table 6). To detect 'strong' evidence for Dicer, we
searched for the architecture Dicer_dimer, PAZ and Ribonuclease_3 (we considered the Dicer_dimer
domain alone to be 'moderate' evidence). We did not detect Piwi in choanoflagellates, although it is
present in most other eukaryotic lineages. Piwi is thought to repress transposable elements (Aravin et al.,
2001, 2007), and *M. brevicollis*, the only choanoflagellate species that has been investigated for
transposable elements, appears to have very few (Carr et al., 2008b).

Argonaute and Dicer were each lost five times independently in choanoflagellates (Supp. Figure 8),
although these could both be overestimates if the genes were not expressed in our culture conditions. A
similar pattern of repeated parallel loss has also been previously observed in kinetoplastids, a group of
single-celled eukaryotes (Matveyev et al., 2017). Curiously, in contrast to the case for kinetoplastids,
where Argonaute and Dicer were generally lost together, we detect five choanoflagellate species in which
Argonaute is present and Dicer is absent or vice versa. These absences could reflect the presence of non-
canonical RNAi genes or Dicer-independent RNAi pathways in choanoflagellates, as previously reported
in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and in *M. musculus*, respectively (Drinnenberg et al., 2009; Cheloufi et al.,
2010).

1228

1229 *Cell adhesion: Flamingo, Protocadherins, and Integrins*

1230

Diverse cadherins have previously been identified in choanoflagellates (Abedin and King, 2008;
Nichols et al., 2012), but two classes of cadherins involved in animal planar cell polarity and development
were thought to be animal-specific: Flamingo and Protocadherins.
The first described Flamingo cadherin (also known as starry night) is involved in the Frizzled-
mediated establishment of planar polarity in the *D. melanogaster* wing (Chae et al., 1999; Usui et al.,
1999). In animals, Flamingo cadherins are distinguished by the combination of three diagnostic Pfam
domains, the presence of which constituted 'strong' evidence for Flamingo in our data set (Supp. Table
6): Cadherin, GPS and 7tm_2, a seven-pass transmembrane domain. The transcriptome of *C. hollandica*
is predicted to encode a protein with all three diagnostic domains (Supp. Figure 9). In addition to these
three domains, many animal Flamingo cadherins also contain HRM, EGF, and Laminin domains. Nine
further choanoflagellate species express proteins with GPS, 7tm_2, and one of these additional domains
('moderate' evidence), and all choanoflagellate species express proteins with GPS and 7tm_2 domains
('weak' evidence).
Protocadherins are a large and diverse family of genes involved in animal development and cell
adhesion (Frank and Kemler, 2002), and most, but not all, family members possess a diagnostic
transmembrane Protocadherin domain paired with one or more extracellular Cadherin domains. Five
species of choanoflagellates expressed proteins with a Protocadherin domain ('strong' evidence for
conservation, Supp. Figure 9), although none of these also contained a Cadherin domain. The *Choanoeca*
perplexa Protocadherin domain-containing protein also contains a transmembrane domain and an
intracellular SH2 phosphorylated tyrosine binding domain, a combination not found in any other
organism. Tyrosine kinase signaling networks greatly expanded in the Urchoanozoan (Manning et al.,
2008; Pincus et al., 2008), and the Protocadherin domain in *C. perplexa* may have been co-opted for
tyrosine kinase signaling function.
Integrins are thought to have been present in the Urchoanozoan, since homologs of both components
of the animal integrin plasma membrane α/β heterodimer (Hynes, 2002) have previously been identified
in *C. owczarzaki* (Sebé-Pedrós et al., 2010). We found 'strong' evidence for integrin β (in the form of the
diagnostic Integrin_beta Pfam domain) in only a single species of choanoflagellate, *D. costata* (Supp.
Figure 9). In contrast, we detected the integrin β binding protein ICAP-1 (Chang et al., 1997), which has
been proposed to act as a competitive inhibitor (Bouvard et al., 2003), in all choanoflagellates except *M.*
brevicollis and *S. rosetta*.

Acknowledgements
1262
We would like to thank Monika Abedin, Rosie Alegado, Hartmut Arndt, Roman Barbalat, Greg Barton,
Cédric Berney, David Booth, Lauren Booth, Bastien Boussau, Candace Britton, Thibaut Brunet, Pawel
Burkhardt, Minyong Chung, Matthew Davis, Mark Dayel, Stephen Fairclough, Xavier Grau-Bové,
Nicolas Henry, Ella Ireland, Tommy Kaplan, Barry Leadbeater, Tera Levin, Matthew MacManes, Kent
McDonald, Alan Marron, Scott Nichols, Frank Nitsche, Ryan Null, Mathilde Paris, Devin Scannell,
Monika Sigg, Jason Stajich, Alberto Stolfi, Russell Vance, Jacqueline Villalta, Holli Weld, Jody
Westbrook, Melanie Worley, Arielle Woznica, and Susan Young for assistance with laboratory
techniques, bioinformatic and statistical analysis methods, helpful discussions, and comments on the
manuscript. D.J.R. was supported by a National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate fellowship
from the United States Department of Defense, a National Science Foundation Central Europe Summer
Research Institute Fellowship, a Chang-Lin Tien Fellowship in Environmental Sciences and Biodiversity,
a postdoctoral fellowship from the Conseil Régional de Bretagne, and the French Government
"Investissements d'Avenir" program OCEANOMICS (ANR-11-BTBR-0008). P.F. was supported by
NSF/EDEN IOS Grant Number 0955517. This work was supported in part by National Institute of
General Medical Sciences grant R01 GM089977 to N.K. and by a grant of computer time from the DoD
High Performance Computing Modernization Program at the ERDC DoD Supercomputing Resource
Center. We thank the Analytical Lab at the Marine Science Institute of the University of California, Santa
Barbara for performing nutrient analysis on choanoflagellate growth media. We are grateful to the
Anheuser-Busch Coastal Research Center of the University of Virginia for hosting us during collection
trips for choanoflagellate isolation. This study used the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing
Laboratory at the University of California, Berkeley, supported by NIH S10 Instrumentation Grants
S10RR029668 and S10RR027303.

1285
1286   **Competing Interests**
1287
1288   The authors declare no competing interests.
1289
1290   **References**
1291

Abedin, M., and King, N. (2008). The premetazoan ancestry of cadherins. *Science*, *319*(5865), 946–948.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151084
Abedin, M., and King, N. (2010). Diverse evolutionary paths to cell adhesion. *Trends in Cell Biology*,
20(12), 734–742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2010.08.002
Adams, J. C., and Watt, F. M. (1993). Regulation of development and differentiation by the extracellular
matrix. *Development*, *117*(4), 1183–98. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8404525
Adamska, M., Matus, D. Q., Adamski, M., Green, K., Rokhsar, D. S., Martindale, M. Q., and Degnan, B.
M. (2007). The evolutionary origin of hedgehog proteins. *Current Biology*, *17*(19), R836–R837.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.08.010
Albalat, R., and Cañestro, C. (2016). Evolution by gene loss. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *17*(7), 379–391.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.39
Alegado, R. A., Brown, L. W., Cao, S., Dermenjian, R. K., Zuzow, R., Fairclough, S. R., … King, N.
(2012). A bacterial sulfonolipid triggers multicellular development in the closest living relatives of
animals. *eLife*, *1*, e00013. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00013
Alegado, R. A., Ferriera, S., Nusbaum, C., Young, S. K., Zeng, Q., Imamovic, A., … King, N. (2011).

Complete Genome Sequence of Algoriphagus sp. PR1, Bacterial Prey of a Colony-Forming Choanoflagellate. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *193*(6), 1485–1486. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01421-10

Alilain, W. J., Horn, K. P., Hu, H., Dick, T. E., and Silver, J. (2011). Functional regeneration of respiratory pathways after spinal cord injury. *Nature*, *475*(7355), 196–200. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10199

Altenhoff, A. M., Boeckmann, B., Capella-Gutierrez, S., Dalquen, D. A., DeLuca, T., Forslund, K., … Dessimoz, C. (2016). Standardized benchmarking in the quest for orthologs. *Nature Methods*, *13*(5), 425–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3830

Altschul, S. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *25*(17), 3389–3402. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389

Aravin, A. A., Hannon, G. J., and Brennecke, J. (2007). The Piwi-piRNA pathway provides an adaptive defense in the transposon arms race. *Science*, *318*(5851), 761–4. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1146484

Aravin, A. A., Naumova, N. M., Tulin, A. V, Vagin, V. V, Rozovsky, Y. M., and Gvozdev, V. A. (2001). Double-stranded RNA-mediated silencing of genomic tandem repeats and transposable elements in the D. melanogaster germline. *Current Biology*, *11*(13), 1017–1027. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00299-8

Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. (1999). Notch Signaling: Cell Fate Control and Signal Integration in Development. *Science*, *284*(5415), 770–776. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5415.770

Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., … Sherlock, G. (2000). Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nature Genetics*, *25*(1), 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/75556

Aspöck, G., Kagoshima, H., Niklaus, G., and Bürglin, T. R. (1999). Caenorhabditis elegans Has Scores of hedgehog Related Genes: Sequence and Expression Analysis. *Genome Research*, *9*(10), 909–923. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.10.909

Ausubel, F. M. (2005). Are innate immune signaling pathways in plants and animals conserved? *Nature Immunology*, *6*(10), 973–979. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1253

Babonis, L. S., and Martindale, M. Q. (2017). Phylogenetic evidence for the modular evolution of metazoan signalling pathways. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *372*(1713), 20150477. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0477

Benson, G. (1999). Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *27*(2), 573–580. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.2.573

Bonnans, C., Chou, J., and Werb, Z. (2014). Remodelling the extracellular matrix in development and disease. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, *15*(12), 786–801. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3904

Bonner, J. T. (1998). The origins of multicellularity. *Integrative Biology: Issues, News, and Reviews*, *1*(1), 27–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1520-6602(1998)1:1<27::AID-INBI4>3.0.CO;2-6

Bouvard, D., Vignoud, L., Dupé-Manet, S., Abed, N., Fournier, H.-N., Vincent-Monegat, C., … Block, M. R. (2003). Disruption of Focal Adhesions by Integrin Cytoplasmic Domain-associated Protein-1α. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *278*(8), 6567–6574. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M211258200

Brennan, J. J., Messerschmidt, J. L., Williams, L. M., Matthews, B. J., Reynoso, M., and Gilmore, T. D. (2017). Sea anemone model has a single Toll-like receptor that can function in pathogen detection, NF-ϰB signal transduction, and development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *114*(47), E10122–E10131. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711530114

Brunet, T., and King, N. (2017). The Origin of Animal Multicellularity and Cell Differentiation. *Developmental Cell*, *43*(2), 124–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.016

Burki, F., Kaplan, M., Tikhonenkov, D. V., Zlatogursky, V., Minh, B. Q., Radaykina, L. V., … Keeling, P. J. (2016). Untangling the early diversification of eukaryotes: a phylogenomic study of the evolutionary origins of Centrohelida, Haptophyta and Cryptista. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *283*(1823), 20152802. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.2802

Busch, S. A., and Silver, J. (2007). The role of extracellular matrix in CNS regeneration. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *17*(1), 120–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2006.09.004

Buss, L. W. (1987). *The evolution of individuality*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

C. elegans Sequencing Consortium, T. (1998). Genome Sequence of the Nematode C. elegans: A Platform for Investigating Biology. *Science*, *282*(5396), 2012–2018. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5396.2012

Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J. M., and Gabaldon, T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(15), 1972–1973. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348

Carr, M., Leadbeater, B. S. C., Hassan, R., Nelson, M., and Baldauf, S. L. (2008a). Molecular phylogeny of choanoflagellates, the sister group to Metazoa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(43), 16641–16646. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801667105

Carr, M., Nelson, M., Leadbeater, B. S. C., and Baldauf, S. L. (2008b). Three families of LTR retrotransposons are present in the genome of the choanoflagellate Monosiga brevicollis. *Protist*, *159*(4), 579–590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2008.05.001

Carr, M., Richter, D. J., Fozouni, P., Smith, T. J., Jeuck, A., Leadbeater, B. S. C., and Nitsche, F. (2017). A six-gene phylogeny provides new insights into choanoflagellate evolution. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *107*, 166–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.10.011

Chae, J., Kim, M. J., Goo, J. H., Collier, S., Gubb, D., Charlton, J., … Park, W. J. (1999). The Drosophila tissue polarity gene starry night encodes a member of the protocadherin family. *Development*, *126*(23), 5421–5429. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10556066

Chang, D. D., Wong, C., Smith, H., and Liu, J. (1997). ICAP-1, a Novel β 1 Integrin Cytoplasmic Domain–associated Protein, Binds to a Conserved and Functionally Important NPXY Sequence Motif of β 1 Integrin. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *138*(5), 1149–1157. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.138.5.1149

Chang, E. S., Neuhof, M., Rubinstein, N. D., Diamant, A., Philippe, H., Huchon, D., and Cartwright, P. (2015). Genomic insights into the evolutionary origin of Myxozoa within Cnidaria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *112*(48), 14912–14917. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1511468112

Cheloufi, S., Dos Santos, C. O., Chong, M. M. W., and Hannon, G. J. (2010). A dicer-independent miRNA biogenesis pathway that requires Ago catalysis. *Nature*, *465*(7298), 584–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09092

Cherr, G. N., Yudin, A. I., and Overstreet, J. W. (2001). The dual functions of GPI-anchored PH-20: hyaluronidase and intracellular signaling. *Matrix Biology*, *20*(8), 515–525. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0945-053X(01)00171-8

Cock, J. M., Sterck, L., Rouzé, P., Scornet, D., Allen, A. E., Amoutzias, G., … Wincker, P. (2010). The Ectocarpus genome and the independent evolution of multicellularity in brown algae. *Nature*, *465*(7298), 617–621. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09016

Couillault, C., Pujol, N., Reboul, J., Sabatier, L., Guichou, J.-F., Kohara, Y., and Ewbank, J. J. (2004). TLR-independent control of innate immunity in Caenorhabditis elegans by the TIR domain adaptor protein TIR-1, an ortholog of human SARM. *Nature Immunology*, *5*(5), 488–494. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1060

Dayel, M. J., Alegado, R. A., Fairclough, S. R., Levin, T. C., Nichols, S. A., McDonald, K., and King, N. (2011). Cell differentiation and morphogenesis in the colony-forming choanoflagellate Salpingoeca rosetta. *Developmental Biology*, *357*(1), 73–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.06.003

de Hoon, M. J. L., Imoto, S., Nolan, J., and Miyano, S. (2004). Open source clustering software. *Bioinformatics*, *20*(9), 1453–1454. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth078

de Mendoza, A., Sebé-Pedrós, A., Sestak, M. S., Matejcic, M., Torruella, G., Domazet-Loso, T., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2013). Transcription factor evolution in eukaryotes and the assembly of the regulatory toolkit in multicellular lineages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(50), E4858–E4866. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1311818110

de Mendoza, A., Suga, H., Permanyer, J., Irimia, M., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2015). Complex transcriptional regulation and independent evolution of fungal-like traits in a relative of animals. *eLife*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.08904

DeAndrade, M. P., Zhang, L., Doroodchi, A., Yokoi, F., Cheetham, C. C., Chen, H.-X., … Li, Y. (2012).
Enhanced Hippocampal Long-Term Potentiation and Fear Memory in Btbd9 Mutant Mice. *PLoS*
ONE, *7*(4), e35518. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035518
Douzery, E. J. P., Snell, E. A., Bapteste, E., Delsuc, F., and Philippe, H. (2004). The timing of eukaryotic
evolution: Does a relaxed molecular clock reconcile proteins and fossils? *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences, *101*(43), 15386–15391. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0403984101
Drinnenberg, I. A., Weinberg, D. E., Xie, K. T., Mower, J. P., Wolfe, K. H., Fink, G. R., and Bartel, D. P.
(2009). RNAi in budding yeast. *Science*, *326*(5952), 544–550.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1176945
Dujardin, F. (1841). *Histoire naturelle des zoophytes. Infusoires: comprenant la physiologie et la*
classification de ces animaux et la manière de les étudier à l'aide du microscope. Paris, France:
Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret.
Eddy, S. R. (2011). Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *7*(10), e1002195.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195
Ekman, D., Björklund, Å. K., and Elofsson, A. (2007). Quantification of the Elevated Rate of Domain
Rearrangements in Metazoa. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *372*(5), 1337–1348.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.06.022
Enright, A. J. (2002). An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection of protein families. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, *30*(7), 1575–1584. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.7.1575
Erives, A. J., and Fassler, J. S. (2015). Metabolic and Chaperone Gene Loss Marks the Origin of Animals:
Evidence for Hsp104 and Hsp78 Chaperones Sharing Mitochondrial Enzymes as Clients. *PLOS*
ONE, *10*(2), e0117192. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117192
Essuman, K., Summers, D. W., Sasaki, Y., Mao, X., DiAntonio, A., and Milbrandt, J. (2017). The
SARM1 Toll/Interleukin-1 Receptor Domain Possesses Intrinsic NAD + Cleavage Activity that
Promotes Pathological Axonal Degeneration. *Neuron*, *93*(6), 1334–1343.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.02.022
Fairclough, S. R., Chen, Z., Kramer, E., Zeng, Q., Young, S., Robertson, H. M., … King, N. (2013).
Premetazoan genome evolution and the regulation of cell differentiation in the choanoflagellate
Salpingoeca rosetta. *Genome Biology*, *14*(2), R15. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-2-r15
Fairclough, S. R., Dayel, M. J., and King, N. (2010). Multicellular development in a choanoflagellate.
Current Biology, *20*(20), R875-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.09.014
Ferrer-Bonet, M., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2017). Capsaspora owczarzaki. *Current Biology*, *27*(17), R829–
R830. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.074
Finn, R. D., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., Mistry, J., Mitchell, A. L., … Bateman, A. (2016).
The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
44(D1), D279–D285. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1344
Fitzgerald, L. M., and Szmant, A. M. (1997). Biosynthesis of "essential" amino acids by scleractinian
corals. *Biochemical Journal*, *322*(1), 213–221. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj3220213
Fortunato, S. A. V., Adamski, M., Ramos, O. M., Leininger, S., Liu, J., Ferrier, D. E. K., and Adamska,
M. (2014). Calcisponges have a ParaHox gene and dynamic expression of dispersed NK homeobox
genes. *Nature*, *514*(7524), 620–623. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13881
Francis, W. R., Eitel, M., Vargas R., S., Adamski, M., Haddock, S. H. D., Krebs, S., … Wörheide, G.
(2017). The Genome Of The Contractile Demosponge Tethya wilhelma And The
Evolution Of Metazoan Neural Signalling Pathways. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/120998
Frank, M., and Kemler, R. (2002). Protocadherins. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, *14*(5), 557–562.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-0674(02)00365-4
Gauthier, M. E. A., Du Pasquier, L., and Degnan, B. M. (2010). The genome of the sponge Amphimedon
queenslandica provides new perspectives into the origin of Toll-like and interleukin 1 receptor
pathways. *Evolution & Development*, *12*(5), 519–533. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-
142X.2010.00436.x
Gazave, E., Lapébie, P., Richards, G. S., Brunet, F., Ereskovsky, A. V, Degnan, B. M., … Renard, E.

(2009). Origin and evolution of the Notch signalling pathway: an overview from eukaryotic genomes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *9*(1), 249. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-249

Giger, R. J., Hollis, E. R., and Tuszynski, M. H. (2010). Guidance Molecules in Axon Regeneration. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, *2*(7), a001867–a001867. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001867

Gilmore, T. D. (1999). The Rel/NF-κB signal transduction pathway: introduction. *Oncogene*, *18*(49), 6842–6844. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1203237

Gilmore, T. D., and Wolenski, F. S. (2012). NF-κB: where did it come from and why? *Immunological Reviews*, *246*(1), 14–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01096.x

Girish, K. S., and Kemparaju, K. (2007). The magic glue hyaluronan and its eraser hyaluronidase: A biological overview. *Life Sciences*, *80*(21), 1921–1943. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2007.02.037

Glöckner, G., Lawal, H. M., Felder, M., Singh, R., Singer, G., Weijer, C. J., and Schaap, P. (2016). The multicellularity genes of dictyostelid social amoebas. *Nature Communications*, *7*, 12085. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12085

Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., … Regev, A. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, *29*(7), 644–652. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883

Gramates, L. S., Marygold, S. J., Santos, G. dos, Urbano, J.-M., Antonazzo, G., Matthews, B. B., … Zhou, P. (2017). FlyBase at 25: looking to the future. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(D1), D663–D671. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1016

Grau-Bové, X., Sebé-Pedrós, A., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2015). The Eukaryotic Ancestor Had a Complex Ubiquitin Signaling System of Archaeal Origin. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *32*(3), 726–739. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu334

Grau-Bové, X., Torruella, G., Donachie, S., Suga, H., Leonard, G., Richards, T. A., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2017). Dynamics of genomic innovation in the unicellular ancestry of animals. *eLife*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26036

Grossmann, S., Bauer, S., Robinson, P. N., and Vingron, M. (2007). Improved detection of overrepresentation of Gene-Ontology annotations with parent child analysis. *Bioinformatics*, *23*(22), 3024–3031. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm440

Gu, Z., David, L., Petrov, D., Jones, T., Davis, R. W., and Steinmetz, L. M. (2005). Elevated evolutionary rates in the laboratory strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *102*(4), 1092–1097. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409159102

Guedes, R., Prosdocimi, F., Fernandes, G., Moura, L., Ribeiro, H., and Ortega, J. (2011). Amino acids biosynthesis and nitrogen assimilation pathways: a great genomic deletion during eukaryotes evolution. *BMC Genomics*, *12*(Suppl 4), S2. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-S4-S2

Haas, B. J., Papanicolaou, A., Yassour, M., Grabherr, M., Blood, P. D., Bowden, J., … Regev, A. (2013). De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols*, *8*(8), 1494–1512. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.084

Haeckel, E. (1869). Uber den Organismus der Schwamme und ihre Verwandtschaft mit der Corallen. *Jenaische Zeitschrift*, *5*, 207–254.

Haeckel, E. (1873). On the Calciospongiae, their position in the animal kingdom and their relation to the theory of descendence. *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, *4*, 241-262-431.

Haeckel, E. (1874). The gastrea-theory, the phylogenetic classification of the animal kingdom and the homology of the germ-lamellae. *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science*, *14*, 142–165.

Han, M. V, and Zmasek, C. M. (2009). phyloXML: XML for evolutionary biology and comparative genomics. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *10*(1), 356. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-356

Harrower, M., and Brewer, C. A. (2003). ColorBrewer.org: An Online Tool for Selecting Colour Schemes for Maps. *The Cartographic Journal*, *40*(1), 27–37. https://doi.org/10.1179/000870403235002042

Hausmann, G., von Mering, C., and Basler, K. (2009). The Hedgehog Signaling Pathway: Where Did It Come From? *PLoS Biology*, *7*(6), e1000146. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000146

Hay, E. D. (Ed.). (1991). *Cell Biology of Extracellular Matrix*. Boston, MA: Springer US.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-3770-0

Hedges, S. B., Blair, J. E., Venturi, M. L., and Shoe, J. L. (2004). A molecular timescale of eukaryote
evolution and the rise of complex multicellular life. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *4*, 2.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-4-2

Heldin, C.-H., Miyazono, K., and ten Dijke, P. (1997). TGF-β signalling from cell membrane to nucleus
through SMAD proteins. *Nature*, *390*(6659), 465–471. https://doi.org/10.1038/37284

Hyman, L. H. (1940). *The Invertebrates: Protozoa through Ctenophora*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Hynes, R. O. (2002). Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. *Cell*, *110*(6), 673–687.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12297042

Hynes, W. L., and Walton, S. L. (2000). Hyaluronidases of Gram-positive bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology*
Letters, *183*(2), 201–207. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb08958.x

Imler, J.-L. (2003). Biology of Toll receptors: lessons from insects and mammals. *Journal of Leukocyte*
Biology, *75*(1), 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0403160

Isoyama, T., Thwaites, D., Selzer, M. G., Carey, R. I., Barbucci, R., and Lokeshwar, V. B. (2006).
Differential selectivity of hyaluronidase inhibitors toward acidic and basic hyaluronidases.
Glycobiology, *16*(1), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwj036

James-Clark, H. (1867). Conclusive proofs on the animality of the ciliate sponges, and their affinities with
the Infusoria Flagellata. *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, *19*(109), 13–18.
https://doi.org/10.1080/00222936708679703

Janeway, C. A., and Medzhitov, R. (2002). Innate Immune Recognition. *Annual Review of Immunology*,
20(1), 197–216. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.20.083001.084359

Jedrzejas, M. J. (2000). Structural and Functional Comparison of Polysaccharide-Degrading Enzymes.
Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, *35*(3), 221–251.
https://doi.org/10.1080/10409230091169195

Jinek, M., and Doudna, J. A. (2009). A three-dimensional view of the molecular machinery of RNA
interference. *Nature*, *457*(7228), 405–412. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07755

Käll, L., Krogh, A., and Sonnhammer, E. L. . (2004). A Combined Transmembrane Topology and Signal
Peptide Prediction Method. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *338*(5), 1027–1036.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.03.016

Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M., and Tanabe, M. (2012). KEGG for integration and
interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *40*(D1), D109–D114.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr988

Kaneiwa, T., Yamada, S., Mizumoto, S., Montaño, A. M., Mitani, S., and Sugahara, K. (2008).
Identification of a Novel Chondroitin Hydrolase in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry, *283*(22), 14971–14979. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M709236200

Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *30*(4), 772–780.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

Kent, W. J. (2002). BLAT---The BLAST-Like Alignment Tool. *Genome Research*, *12*(4), 656–664.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.229202

Kent, W. S. (1880). *A Manual of the Infusoria*. London: David Bogue.

King, N. (2004). The Unicellular Ancestry of Animal Development. *Developmental Cell*, *7*(3), 313–325.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2004.08.010

King, N., and Rokas, A. (2017). Embracing Uncertainty in Reconstructing Early Animal Evolution.
Current Biology, *27*(19), R1081–R1088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.08.054

King, N., Westbrook, M. J., Young, S. L., Kuo, A., Abedin, M., Chapman, J., … Rokhsar, D. (2008). The
genome of the choanoflagellate Monosiga brevicollis and the origin of metazoans. *Nature*,
451(7180), 783–788. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06617

Kingston, R. E. (2001). Preparation of Poly(A) + RNA. In *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* (Vol.
Chapter 4, p. Unit4.5). Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb0405s21

Kircher, M., Sawyer, S., and Meyer, M. (2012). Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *40*(1), e3–e3. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr771

Kjellén, L., and Lindahl, U. (1991). Proteoglycans: Structures and Interactions. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, *60*(1), 443–475. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.60.070191.002303

Knoll, A. H. (2011). The Multiple Origins of Complex Multicellularity. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *39*(1), 217–239. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.031208.100209

Leadbeater, B. S. C. (2015). *The choanoflagellates : evolution, biology, and ecology*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

Leadbeater, B. S. C., and McCready, S. M. M. (2000). The flagellates: Historical perspectives. In B. S. C. Leadbeater & J. C. Green (Eds.), *The Flagellates: Unity, Diversity and Evolution* (pp. 1–26). London: Taylor & Francis Limited.

Lee, R. Y. N., Howe, K. L., Harris, T. W., Arnaboldi, V., Cain, S., Chan, J., … Sternberg, P. W. (2017). WormBase 2017: molting into a new stage. *Nucleic Acids Research*. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx998

Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(W1), W242–W245. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw290

Leulier, F., and Lemaitre, B. (2008). Toll-like receptors — taking an evolutionary approach. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *9*(3), 165–178. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2303

Levin, T. C., Greaney, A. J., Wetzel, L., and King, N. (2014). The rosetteless gene controls development in the choanoflagellate S. rosetta. *eLife*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04070

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., … Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(16), 2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Li, L. (2003). OrthoMCL: Identification of Ortholog Groups for Eukaryotic Genomes. *Genome Research*, *13*(9), 2178–2189. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1224503

Li, W., and Godzik, A. (2006). Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*, *22*(13), 1658–1659. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158

Liu, H.-Y., Chen, C.-Y., and Hsueh, Y.-P. (2014). Innate immune responses regulate morphogenesis and degeneration: roles of Toll-like receptors and Sarm1 in neurons. *Neuroscience Bulletin*, *30*(4), 645–654. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-014-1445-5

Lohse, M., Bolger, A. M., Nagel, A., Fernie, A. R., Lunn, J. E., Stitt, M., and Usadel, B. (2012). RobiNA: a user-friendly, integrated software solution for RNA-Seq-based transcriptomics. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *40*(W1), W622–W627. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks540

Louis, A., Roest Crollius, H., and Robinson-Rechavi, M. (2012). How much does the amphioxus genome represent the ancestor of chordates? *Briefings in Functional Genomics*, *11*(2), 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1093/bfgp/els003

Malik, S., and Roeder, R. G. (2010). The metazoan Mediator co-activator complex as an integrative hub for transcriptional regulation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *11*(11), 761–772. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2901

Manning, G., Young, S. L., Miller, W. T., and Zhai, Y. (2008). The protist, Monosiga brevicollis, has a tyrosine kinase signaling network more elaborate and diverse than found in any known metazoan. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(28), 9674–9679. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801314105

Matveyev, A. V., Alves, J. M. P., Serrano, M. G., Lee, V., Lara, A. M., Barton, W. A., … Buck, G. A. (2017). The Evolutionary Loss of RNAi Key Determinants in Kinetoplastids as a Multiple Sporadic

Phenomenon. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, *84*(2–3), 104–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-017-9780-1

McFall-Ngai, M., Hadfield, M. G., Bosch, T. C. G., Carey, H. V, Domazet-Lošo, T., Douglas, A. E., … Wernegreen, J. J. (2013). Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(9), 3229–3236. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218525110

Mi, H., Dong, Q., Muruganujan, A., Gaudet, P., Lewis, S., and Thomas, P. D. (2010). PANTHER version 7: improved phylogenetic trees, orthologs and collaboration with the Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *38*(Database issue), D204-10. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp1019

Miller, D. J., Hemmrich, G., Ball, E. E., Hayward, D. C., Khalturin, K., Funayama, N., … Bosch, T. C. (2007). The innate immune repertoire in Cnidaria - ancestral complexity and stochastic gene loss. *Genome Biology*, *8*(4), R59. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-4-r59

Mizumoto, S., Ikegawa, S., and Sugahara, K. (2013). Human Genetic Disorders Caused by Mutations in Genes Encoding Biosynthetic Enzymes for Sulfated Glycosaminoglycans. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *288*(16), 10953–10961. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R112.437038

Moroz, L. L., Kocot, K. M., Citarella, M. R., Dosung, S., Norekian, T. P., Povolotskaya, I. S., … Kohn, A. B. (2014). The ctenophore genome and the evolutionary origins of neural systems. *Nature*, *510*(7503), 109–114. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13400

Munger, J. S., Harpel, J. G., Gleizes, P.-E., Mazzieri, R., Nunes, I., and Rifkin, D. B. (1997). Latent transforming growth factor-β: Structural features and mechanisms of activation. *Kidney International*, *51*(5), 1376–1382. https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.1997.188

Narbonne, G. M. (2005). The Ediacara Biota: Neoproterozoic Origin of Animals and Their Ecosystems. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *33*(1), 421–442. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.33.092203.122519

NCBI Resource Coordinators. (2017). Database Resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(D1), D12–D17. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1071

Nguyen, T. A., Cissé, O. H., Yun Wong, J., Zheng, P., Hewitt, D., Nowrousian, M., … Jedd, G. (2017). Innovation and constraint leading to complex multicellularity in the Ascomycota. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 14444. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14444

Nichols, S. A., Roberts, B. W., Richter, D. J., Fairclough, S. R., and King, N. (2012). Origin of metazoan cadherin diversity and the antiquity of the classical cadherin/ -catenin complex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(32), 13046–13051. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120685109

O'Malley, M. A., Wideman, J. G., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2016). Losing Complexity: The Role of Simplification in Macroevolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *31*(8), 608–621. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.04.004

O'Neill, L. A. J. (2008). The interleukin-1 receptor/Toll-like receptor superfamily: 10 years of progress. *Immunological Reviews*, *226*(1), 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2008.00701.x

Ohya, T., and Kaneko, Y. (1970). Novel hyaluronidase from streptomyces. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Enzymology*, *198*(3), 607–609. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2744(70)90139-7

Paradis, E., Claude, J., and Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics*, *20*(2), 289–290. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412

Park, Y., Cho, S., and Linhardt, R. J. (1997). Exploration of the action pattern of Streptomyces hyaluronate lyase using high-resolution capillary electrophoresis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology*, *1337*(2), 217–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4838(96)00167-7

Payne, S. H., and Loomis, W. F. (2006). Retention and Loss of Amino Acid Biosynthetic Pathways Based on Analysis of Whole-Genome Sequences. *Eukaryotic Cell*, *5*(2), 272–276. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.5.2.272-276.2006

Peña, J. F., Alié, A., Richter, D. J., Wang, L., Funayama, N., and Nichols, S. A. (2016). Conserved expression of vertebrate microvillar gene homologs in choanocytes of freshwater sponges. *EvoDevo*, *7*(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13227-016-0050-x

Peterson, K. J., Lyons, J. B., Nowak, K. S., Takacs, C. M., Wargo, M. J., and McPeek, M. A. (2004). Estimating metazoan divergence times with a molecular clock. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *101*(17), 6536–6541. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401670101

Philippe, H., Derelle, R., Lopez, P., Pick, K., Borchiellini, C., Boury-Esnault, N., … Manuel, M. (2009). Phylogenomics Revives Traditional Views on Deep Animal Relationships. *Current Biology*, *19*(8), 706–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.052

Pincus, D., Letunic, I., Bork, P., and Lim, W. A. (2008). Evolution of the phospho-tyrosine signaling machinery in premetazoan lineages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(28), 9680–9684. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803161105

Posas, F., Wurgler-Murphy, S. M., Maeda, T., Witten, E. A., Thai, T. C., and Saito, H. (1996). Yeast HOG1 MAP Kinase Cascade Is Regulated by a Multistep Phosphorelay Mechanism in the SLN1–YPD1–SSK1 "Two-Component" Osmosensor. *Cell*, *86*(6), 865–875. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80162-2

Prochnik, S. E., Umen, J., Nedelcu, A. M., Hallmann, A., Miller, S. M., Nishii, I., … Rokhsar, D. S. (2010). Genomic Analysis of Organismal Complexity in the Multicellular Green Alga Volvox carteri. *Science*, *329*(5988), 223–226. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188800

Punta, M., Coggill, P. C., Eberhardt, R. Y., Mistry, J., Tate, J., Boursnell, C., … Finn, R. D. (2012). The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *40*(D1), D290–D301. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1065

Putnam, N. H., Srivastava, M., Hellsten, U., Dirks, B., Chapman, J., Salamov, A., … Rokhsar, D. S. (2007). Sea Anemone Genome Reveals Ancestral Eumetazoan Gene Repertoire and Genomic Organization. *Science*, *317*(5834), 86–94. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139158

R Core Team, T. (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.r-project.org/

Richards, G. S., and Degnan, B. M. (2009). The Dawn of Developmental Signaling in the Metazoa. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology*, *74*, 81–90. https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2009.74.028

Richards, G. S., Simionato, E., Perron, M., Adamska, M., Vervoort, M., and Degnan, B. M. (2008). Sponge Genes Provide New Insight into the Evolutionary Origin of the Neurogenic Circuit. *Current Biology*, *18*(15), 1156–1161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.06.074

Richter, D. J. (2013). *The gene content of diverse choanoflagellates illuminates animal origins*. University of California, Berkeley. https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7xc2p94p

Richter, D. J., and King, N. (2013). The Genomic and Cellular Foundations of Animal Origins. *Annual Review of Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-111212-133456

Richter, D. J., and Nitsche, F. (2016). Choanoflagellatea. In *Handbook of the Protists* (pp. 1–19). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32669-6_5-1

Riesgo, A., Farrar, N., Windsor, P. J., Giribet, G., and Leys, S. P. (2014). The Analysis of Eight Transcriptomes from All Poriferan Classes Reveals Surprising Genetic Complexity in Sponges. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(5), 1102–1120. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu057

Roberts, A., and Pachter, L. (2012). Streaming fragment assignment for real-time analysis of sequencing experiments. *Nature Methods*, *10*(1), 71–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2251

Robinson, P. N., Wollstein, A., Böhme, U., and Beattie, B. (2004). Ontologizing gene-expression microarray data: characterizing clusters with Gene Ontology. *Bioinformatics*, *20*(6), 979–981. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth040

Rokas, A. (2008). The Origins of Multicellularity and the Early History of the Genetic Toolkit For Animal Development. *Annual Review of Genetics*, *42*(1), 235–251. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.42.110807.091513

RStudio Team, T. (2016). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc. http://www.rstudio.com/

Rubin, G. M., Yandell, M. D., Wortman, J. R., Gabor Miklos, G. L., Nelson, C. R., Hariharan, I. K., … Lewis, S. (2000). Comparative genomics of the eukaryotes. *Science*, *287*(5461), 2204–2215.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5461.2204

Saldanha, A. J. (2004). Java Treeview--extensible visualization of microarray data. *Bioinformatics*, *20*(17), 3246–3248. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth349

Schenkelaars, Q., Pratlong, M., Kodjabachian, L., Fierro-Constain, L., Vacelet, J., Le Bivic, A., … Borchiellini, C. (2017). Animal multicellularity and polarity without Wnt signaling. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 15383. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15557-5

Schroeder, A., Mueller, O., Stocker, S., Salowsky, R., Leiber, M., Gassmann, M., … Ragg, T. (2006). The RIN: an RNA integrity number for assigning integrity values to RNA measurements. *BMC Molecular Biology*, *7*(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-7-3

Sebé-Pedrós, A., Ariza-Cosano, A., Weirauch, M. T., Leininger, S., Yang, A., Torruella, G., … Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2013a). Early evolution of the T-box transcription factor family. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(40), 16050–16055. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1309748110

Sebé-Pedrós, A., Ballaré, C., Parra-Acero, H., Chiva, C., Tena, J. J., Sabidó, E., … Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2016a). The Dynamic Regulatory Genome of Capsaspora and the Origin of Animal Multicellularity. *Cell*, *165*(5), 1224–1237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.034

Sebé-Pedrós, A., de Mendoza, A., Lang, B. F., Degnan, B. M., Ruiz-Trillo, I., Sebe-Pedros, A., … Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2011). Unexpected Repertoire of Metazoan Transcription Factors in the Unicellular Holozoan Capsaspora owczarzaki. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *28*(3), 1241–1254. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msq309

Sebé-Pedrós, A., Degnan, B. M., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2017). The origin of Metazoa: a unicellular perspective. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *18*(8), 498–512. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.21

Sebé-Pedrós, A., Irimia, M., del Campo, J., Parra-Acero, H., Russ, C., Nusbaum, C., … Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2013b). Regulated aggregative multicellularity in a close unicellular relative of metazoa. *eLife*, *2*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.01287

Sebé-Pedrós, A., Peña, M. I., Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Antó, M., Gabaldón, T., Ruiz-Trillo, I., and Sabidó, E. (2016b). High-Throughput Proteomics Reveals the Unicellular Roots of Animal Phosphosignaling and Cell Differentiation. *Developmental Cell*, *39*(2), 186–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.09.019

Sebé-Pedrós, A., Roger, A. J., Lang, F. B., King, N., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2010). Ancient origin of the integrin-mediated adhesion and signaling machinery. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(22), 10142–10147. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002257107

Sebé-Pedrós, A., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2010). Integrin-mediated adhesion complex. *Communicative & Integrative Biology*, *3*(5), 475–477. https://doi.org/10.4161/cib.3.5.12603

Sebé-Pedrós, A., Zheng, Y., Ruiz-Trillo, I., and Pan, D. (2012). Premetazoan Origin of the Hippo Signaling Pathway. *Cell Reports*, *1*(1), 13–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2011.11.004

Sethman, C. R., and Hawiger, J. (2013). The Innate Immunity Adaptor SARM Translocates to the Nucleus to Stabilize Lamins and Prevent DNA Fragmentation in Response to Pro-Apoptotic Signaling. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(7), e70994. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070994

Shabalina, S., and Koonin, E. (2008). Origins and evolution of eukaryotic RNA interference. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *23*(10), 578–587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.005

Simakov, O., and Kawashima, T. (2017). Independent evolution of genomic characters during major metazoan transitions. *Developmental Biology*, *427*(2), 179–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.11.012

Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., and Zdobnov, E. M. (2015). BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(19), 3210–3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

Snell, E. A., Brooke, N. M., Taylor, W. R., Casane, D., Philippe, H., and Holland, P. W. . (2006). An unusual choanoflagellate protein released by Hedgehog autocatalytic processing. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *273*(1585), 401–407. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3263

Song, X., Jin, P., Qin, S., Chen, L., and Ma, F. (2012). The Evolution and Origin of Animal Toll-Like Receptor Signaling Pathway Revealed by Network-Level Molecular Evolutionary Analyses. *PLoS*

ONE, *7*(12), e51657. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051657

Sorokin, L. (2010). The impact of the extracellular matrix on inflammation. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, *10*(10), 712–723. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2852

Srivastava, M., Simakov, O., Chapman, J., Fahey, B., Gauthier, M. E. A., Mitros, T., … Rokhsar, D. S. (2010). The Amphimedon queenslandica genome and the evolution of animal complexity. *Nature*, *466*(7307), 720–726. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09201

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(9), 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Starcevic, A., Akthar, S., Dunlap, W. C., Shick, J. M., Hranueli, D., Cullum, J., and Long, P. F. (2008). Enzymes of the shikimic acid pathway encoded in the genome of a basal metazoan, Nematostella vectensis, have microbial origins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(7), 2533–2537. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707388105

Stern, R., and Jedrzejas, M. J. (2006). Hyaluronidases: Their Genomics, Structures, and Mechanisms of Action. *Chemical Reviews*, *106*(3), 818–839. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr050247k

Suga, H., Chen, Z., de Mendoza, A., Sebé-Pedrós, A., Brown, M. W., Kramer, E., … Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2013). The Capsaspora genome reveals a complex unicellular prehistory of animals. *Nature Communications*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3325

Sullivan, J. C., and Finnerty, J. R. (2007). A surprising abundance of human disease genes in a simple "basal" animal, the starlet sea anemone (Nematostella vectensis). *Genome*, *50*(7), 689–92. https://doi.org/10.1139/g07-045

Sullivan, J. C., Kalaitzidis, D., Gilmore, T. D., and Finnerty, J. R. (2007). Rel homology domain-containing transcription factors in the cnidarian Nematostella vectensis. *Development Genes and Evolution*, *217*(1), 63–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-006-0111-6

Takami, H., Taniguchi, T., Arai, W., Takemoto, K., Moriya, Y., and Goto, S. (2016). An automated system for evaluation of the potential functionome: MAPLE version 2.1.0. *DNA Research*, *23*(5), 467–475. https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsw030

Thomas, P. D. (2003). PANTHER: a browsable database of gene products organized by biological function, using curated protein family and subfamily classification. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *31*(1), 334–341. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg115

Thomas, P. D., Kejariwal, A., Guo, N., Mi, H., Campbell, M. J., Muruganujan, A., and Lazareva-Ulitsky, B. (2006). Applications for protein sequence-function evolution data: mRNA/protein expression analysis and coding SNP scoring tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *34*(Web Server), W645–W650. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl229

Torruella, G., de Mendoza, A., Grau-Bové, X., Antó, M., Chaplin, M. A., del Campo, J., … Ruiz-Trillo, I. (2015). Phylogenomics Reveals Convergent Evolution of Lifestyles in Close Relatives of Animals and Fungi. *Current Biology*, *25*(18), 2404–2410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.07.053

Uniprot Consortium, T. (2017). UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(D1), D158–D169. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1099

Urbach, J. M., and Ausubel, F. M. (2017). The NBS-LRR architectures of plant R-proteins and metazoan NLRs evolved in independent events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *114*(5), 1063–1068. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1619730114

Usui, T., Shima, Y., Shimada, Y., Hirano, S., Burgess, R. W., Schwarz, T. L., … Uemura, T. (1999). Flamingo, a Seven-Pass Transmembrane Cadherin, Regulates Planar Cell Polarity under the Control of Frizzled. *Cell*, *98*(5), 585–595. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80046-X

van der Vaart, A. W. (1998). *Asymtotic statistics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

van Dongen, S., and Abreu-Goodger, C. (2012). Using MCL to Extract Clusters from Networks. In *Methods Mol. Biol.* (Vol. 804, pp. 281–295). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-361-5_15

von Moltke, J., Ayres, J. S., Kofoed, E. M., Chavarría-Smith, J., and Vance, R. E. (2013). Recognition of Bacteria by Inflammasomes. *Annual Review of Immunology*, *31*(1), 73–106. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-095944

Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G. J. (2009). Jalview

Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(9),
1189–1191. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033
Wickham, H. (2009). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
http://ggplot2.org
Wiens, M., Korzhev, M., Krasko, A., Thakur, N. L., Perović-Ottstadt, S., Breter, H. J., … Müller, W. E.
G. (2005). Innate Immune Defense of the Sponge Suberites domuncula against Bacteria Involves a
MyD88-dependent Signaling Pathway. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *280*(30), 27949–27959.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M504049200
Wolf, Y. I., and Koonin, E. V. (2013). Genome reduction as the dominant mode of evolution. *BioEssays*,
35(9), 829–837. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201300037
Woznica, A., Cantley, A. M., Beemelmanns, C., Freinkman, E., Clardy, J., and King, N. (2016). Bacterial
lipids activate, synergize, and inhibit a developmental switch in choanoflagellates. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences, *113*(28), 7894–7899. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605015113
Woznica, A., Gerdt, J. P., Hulett, R. E., Clardy, J., and King, N. (2017). Mating in the Closest Living
Relatives of Animals Is Induced by a Bacterial Chondroitinase. *Cell*, *170*(6), 1175–1183.e11.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.005
Wu, X., Wu, F.-H., Wang, X., Wang, L., Siedow, J. N., Zhang, W., and Pei, Z.-M. (2014). Molecular
evolutionary and structural analysis of the cytosolic DNA sensor cGAS and STING. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, *42*(13), 8243–8257. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku569
Yamagata, T., Saito, H., Habuchi, O., and Suzuki, S. (1968). Purification and properties of bacterial
chondroitinases and chondrosulfatases. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *243*(7), 1523–35.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5647268
Yang, X., Dorman, K. S., and Aluru, S. (2010). Reptile: representative tiling for short read error
correction. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(20), 2526–2533. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq468
Yuen, B., Bayes, J. M., and Degnan, S. M. (2014). The Characterization of Sponge NLRs Provides
Insight into the Origin and Evolution of This Innate Immune Gene Family in Animals. *Molecular*
Biology and Evolution, *31*(1), 106–120. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst174
1844

Figures

Figure 1. **Representative choanoflagellates analyzed in this study.** Choanoflagellates have diverse morphologies, including single cells, multicellular colonies, and the production in some lineages of extracellular structures. (a) *Diaphanoeca grandis*, within a silica-based extracellular structure called a 'lorica'. (b) *Acanthoeca spectabilis*, within lorica. (c) *Codosiga hollandica*, with a basal organic stalk. (d) A rosette colony of *Salpingoeca rosetta*; image courtesy of Mark Dayel. (e) *Salpingoeca dolichothecata*, within an organic extracellular structure called a 'theca'. (f) *Mylnosiga fluctuans*, with no extracellular structure. (g) *Didymoeca costata*, within lorica. (h) *Salpingoeca helianthica*, within theca. (h') A rosette colony of *S. helianthica*. All scale bars represent 5 μm. Prey bacteria are visible in most panels as small black dots.

Figure 2. **The evolution of gene families in animals, choanoflagellates and their eukaryotic relatives.** Top, the phylogenetic relationships among the species whose gene contents were compared. Each colored node represents the last common ancestor of a group of species. Bottom, a heat map of the 13,358 orthologous gene families inferred to have been present in at least one of six nodes representing common ancestors of interest: Ureukaryote, Uropisthokont, Urholozoan, Urchoanozoan, Urchoanoflagellate, and Urmetazoan (the full heat map for all gene families is shown in Supp. Figure 6). Each row represents a gene family. Gene families are sorted by their presence in each group of species, indicated by colored bars and boxes (eukaryotes, opisthokonts, holozoans, choanozoans, choanoflagellates and animals) and subsequently clustered within groups by uncentered Pearson correlation.

Figure 3. Gene family retention in animals, choanoflagellates, and *Capsaspora owczarzaki*. (a) Phylogenetic tree with gene family retention. Gene families are divided by their origin in the last common ancestor in different groups: holozoan, choanozoan, choanoflagellate, or animal. Colors correspond to nodes indicated in the phylogenetic tree. Bars represent the sum of presence probabilities for gene families with each origin. [Note that a small sum of probability is assigned to choanoflagellates for animal-specific gene families, and vice versa. This represents the sum of probabilities for the gene families that did not meet the 0.1 average presence probability threshold, but still had some residual probability between 0 and 0.1 (see Methods)]. (b-c) Ranked order of gene family retention for (b) animals and (c) choanoflagellates, similar to (a), but with the addition of gene families originating in the last common ancestor of Opisthokonts and of eukaryotes. Gene families originating within choanoflagellates are not included, in order to focus only on those potentially shared with animals.

Figure 4. Evolution of the TLR signaling pathway. Components of the canonical TLR pathway (a) and potential choanoflagellate Kinase TLR signaling (b), with their canonical domain architectures and colored by their inferred ancestral origin. (blue = choanozoan ancestry, purple = metazoan ancestry, green = choanoflagellate ancestry, and red = holozoan ancestry). Question marks denote steps of the signaling pathway and/or interaction partners that are hypothesized, but untested. (c) Presence of receptors, adapters, kinases and the transcription factor NF-𝜘B in animals, choanoflagellates and *C. owczarzaki*.

Figure 5. Choanoflagellates encode the genes for glycosaminoglycan (GAG) synthesis and cleavage, and treatment with a GAG hydrolase promotes rosette colony growth in the choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca helianthica*. (a) Presence/absence of GAG biosynthesis genes [adapted from (Woznica et al., 2017)] and GAG-cleaving enzymes. *S. helianthica* expresses a homolog of metazoan GAG hydrolase, whereas *Salpingoeca rosetta*, another colony-forming choanoflagellate, does not. (b) Phylogeny of GAG lyases identified by the Pfam domain Lyase_8. (c) Phylogenetic tree of GAG hydrolases identified by the Pfam domain Glyco_hydro_56. In (b) and (c), groupings labeled with HGT (horizontal gene transfer) are predominated by one group of organisms (e.g. choanoflagellates or bacteria); the presences of isolated single genes from outside these groups may have occurred via HGT. (d) The number of cells per rosette in *S. helianthica* increases following treatment increasing concentrations of bovine GAG hydrolase (black), but not when cells are co-treated with bovine GAG hydrolase and its inhibitor, PSS (grey). (e) GAG hydrolase has no detectable effect on the number of cells per rosette in *S. rosetta*, either in the presence (black) or absence (grey) of the inhibitor PSS. ** p < 10^{-8} different from both untreated control and from matched PSS treatment, Wilcoxon rank sum test. N = 30 colonies per treatment, with the exception of four

GAG hydrolase + PSS treatments in *S. rosetta* (10, 50, 100, and 300 μg; see Methods). The white bar represents the median, the boxes represent the 25th to 75th percentiles, and the whiskers extend to the largest and smallest numbers of cells per colony (but no further than 1.5 times the distance between the 25th and 75th percentiles).

Table

Table 1. Core animal-specific gene families that are present in all animals in this study. Representative gene names and annotations are based on a consensus from each gene family (Methods). Gene families are ordered by pathway/function.		
Gene Family ID	**Representative Gene Name(s)**	**Pathway/Function**
3849	T-box transcription factor TBX 2/3	gene regulation
5290	mediator subunit	gene regulation
6241	mediator subunit	gene regulation
6675	nuclear factor 1 A/B	gene regulation
8693	interleukin enhancer-binding factor 2	gene regulation
5720	lethal(2) giant larvae	gene regulation
7805	MEX3 B/C	gene regulation
441	low-density lipoprotein receptor (LRP) 1/2/4/5/6	Wnt
3570	frizzled 1/2/5/7/8	Wnt
4891	catenin delta	Wnt
6000	transcription factor COE 1-4	Wnt
6254	dishevelled 1-3	Wnt
5637	transcription factor 7 (TCF/LEF)	Wnt
6532	catenin beta	Wnt
804	hemicentin/obscurin/titin	cell-cell adhesion
4442	integrin alpha 2/5/8	cell-cell adhesion
6831	fermitin 1-3	cell-cell adhesion
7164	laminin gamma 1-3	cell-cell adhesion
8024	vinculin	cell-cell adhesion
476	metabotropic glutamate receptor 1-8	synapse / vesicle
4993	calcium-dependent secretion activator	synapse / vesicle
7929	receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase-like N	synapse / vesicle
6010	MAPK 8-10	MAPK / JNK
8406	kinase suppressor of Ras 2	MAPK / JNK
2737	caspase 3/7/9	apoptosis
5675	calumenin	calcium ion
6842	cyclin T 1/2	cell cycle
621	dystonin/desmoplakin/plectin	cytoskeleton
7916	phosphorylase b kinase	glycan
6512	heparan-sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase 1-3	heparan sulfate
7146	inositol monophosphatase 3	inositol
4051	tetraspanin 5/14/17/33	metalloprotease
6163	protein kinase C iota/zeta	PI3K
6251	small G protein signaling modulator 1-2	Rab GTP
6366	MAP kinase-activating death domain protein 9-11	TNF
8587	BTB/POZ domain-containing protein 9	ubiquitin