1

Ligand-Mediated Biofilm Formation via Enhanced Physical Interaction Between

a Diguanylate Cyclase and Its Receptor

David Giacalone[1], T. Jarrod Smith[1], Alan J. Collins[1], Holger Sondermann[3], Lori J. Koziol[2], and

George A. O'Toole[1,*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth,

Hanover, NH 03755

[2]Department of Biology, New England College, Henniker, NH 03242

[3]Department of Molecular Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca,

NY 14853

*Corresponding author: George A. O'Toole; address: Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth,

Dept. of Microbiology and Immunology, Remson 202, 66 North College St., Hanover, NH 03755;

phone: 603-650-1248; email: georgeo@Dartmouth.edu

Abstract. The second messenger, cyclic dimeric GMP (c-di-GMP) regulates biofilm formation for

many bacteria. The binding of c-di-GMP by the inner-membrane protein LapD controls biofilm

formation, and the LapD receptor is central to a complex network of c-di-GMP-mediated biofilm

formation. In this study, we examine how c-di-GMP signaling specificity by a diguanylate

cyclase (DGC), GcbC, is achieved via interactions with the LapD receptor and by small ligand

sensing via GcbC's <u>ca</u>lcium channel <u>che</u>motaxis (CACHE) domain. We provide evidence that

biofilm formation is stimulated by the environmentally relevant organic acid citrate (and a

related compound, isocitrate) in a GcbC-dependent manner through enhanced GcbC-LapD

interaction, which results in increased LapA localization to the cell surface. Furthermore, GcbC

shows little ability to synthesize c-di-GMP in isolation. However, when LapD is present GcbC

activity is significantly enhanced ~8-fold, indicating that engaging the LapD receptor stimulates

the activity of this DGC; citrate-enhanced GcbC-LapD interaction further stimulates c-di-GMP

synthesis. We propose that the I-site of GcbC serves two roles beyond allosteric control of this

enzyme: promoting GcbC-LapD interaction and stabilizing the active conformation of GcbC in

the GcbC-LapD complex. Finally, given that LapD can interact with a dozen different DGCs of *P.*

fluorescens, many of which have ligand-binding domains, the ligand-mediated enhanced

signaling via LapD-GcbC interaction described here is likely a conserved mechanism of signaling

in this network. Consistent with this idea, we identify a second example of ligand-mediated

enhancement of DGC-LapD interaction that promotes biofilm formation.

Importance. In many bacteria, dozens of enzymes produce the dinucleotide signal c-di-GMP,

however it is unclear how undesired crosstalk is mitigated in the context of this soluble signal,

and how c-di-GMP signaling is regulated by environmental inputs. We demonstrate that GcbC,

a DGC, shows little ability to synthesize c-di-GMP in the absence of its cognate receptor LapD;

GcbC-LapD interaction enhances c-di-GMP synthesis by GcbC, likely mediated by the I-site of

GcbC. We further show evidence for a ligand-mediated mechanism of signaling specificity via

increased physical interaction of a DGC with its cognate receptor. We envision a scenario

wherein a "cloud" of weakly active DGCs can increase their activity by specific interaction with

their receptor in response to appropriate environmental signals, concomitantly boosting c-di-

GMP production, ligand-specific signaling and biofilm formation.

Introduction. For most bacteria, biofilm formation is a highly regulated event (1, 2). The

bacterial intracellular second messenger, cyclic dimeric GMP (c-di-GMP) controls biofilm

formation by regulating a diversity of biofilm-relevant outputs (3), including flagellar motility

(4), extracellular polysaccharide production (5, 6), adhesin localization (7), and transcriptional

control of pathways important for early biofilm formation (8). An important research theme in

the field has been understanding the mechanism(s) of c-di-GMP signaling-specificity in the

context of microbes that can have >50 proteins that make, degrade and bind this second

messenger.

Biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1 occurs when the adhesin LapA localizes to

the cell surface (9). LapA is maintained on the cell surface when the inner membrane protein

LapD binds c-di-GMP. The c-di-GMP-bound, inner membrane-localized LapD sequesters LapG,

thus this protease is unable to target the N-terminal cleavage site of LapA (10, 11). One

example of how c-di-GMP is specifically transferred to the LapD receptor is by physical

interaction with a diguanylate cyclase (DGC) (12). The DGC called GcbC has been shown to

physically interact with LapD utilizing a surface exposed α-helix of the GGDEF domain on GcbC

and a surface exposed α-helix of the EAL domain of LapD (12). We also demonstrated

previously that the I-site of GcbC contributes to the interaction of this enzyme with LapD (13).

This direct interaction model was proposed as one means to confer signaling specificity (12, 14).

GcbC is an inner membrane protein that contains a putative calcium channel chemotaxis

receptor (CACHE) domain N-terminal to its GGDEF domain; this CACHE domain is predicted to

reside in the periplasm. CACHE domains can be responsible for small ligand sensing (15). Many

signal transduction proteins, including DGCs and histidine kinases, contain CACHE domains,

which are involved in modulating these enzyme activities (16, 17, 18). GcbC, along with five

other DGCs encoded on the *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1 genome (Pfl01_1336, Pfl01_2295, Pfl01_2297,

Pfl01_3550, and Pfl01_3800), contain putative periplasmic CACHE domains located N-terminally

to their GGDEF domain, suggesting that these six DGCs are capable of sensing and responding

to small ligands. The predicted domain organization of three of these CACHE-containing

proteins, GcbC, Pfl01_2295, and Pfl01_2297, is shown in Figure 1A.

In this study, we analyze the putative CACHE domain of GcbC and identify two environmentally

relevant organic acids, including citrate, as a ligand for this DGC. We show citrate-enhanced

physical interaction of GcbC and LapD, and that this enhanced interaction promotes increased

c-di-GMP synthesis by GcbC, thereby promoting biofilm formation. We also describe another

example of such ligand-mediated enhancement of biofilm formation, suggesting the generality

of this mechanism. Furthermore, we show that GcbC has little propensity to synthesize c-di-

GMP in isolation; it is only in the presence of its receptor, LapD, that GcbC makes high levels of

c-di-GMP. We propose that the I-site of GcbC serves two roles beyond allosteric control of this

enzyme: promoting LapD-GcbC interaction and stabilizing the active conformation of GcbC in

this complex. Thus, we propose a mechanism whereby GcbC engagement with its receptor

LapD, which is enhanced by environmental cues, could serve as a general mechanism to confer

specificity to this complex signaling network.

Results

Citrate-Mediated Biofilm Enhancement is Dependent on GcbC Activity. Automated domain

annotation software predicts a CACHE domain in the periplasmic portion of GcbC (Figure 1A).

To identify small molecules that the CACHE domain of GcbC may bind, we performed a CLUSTAL

alignment of this amino acid sequence with CACHE domains of known structure (19). GcbC

showed the highest amino acid similarity to rpHK1S-Z16 (PDB ID: 3LIF) of *Rhodopseudomonas*

palustris with 31% identity (Fig. S1). When crystallized, the CACHE domain of rpHK1S-Z16 was

bound to citrate and methyl-2,4-pentanediol, two small ligands recruited from the

crystallization cocktail (19). Because ligand binding by CACHE domains has been shown to

activate the C-terminally fused histidine kinase (HK) and DGC domains (17, 18), we

hypothesized citrate may stimulate GcbC activity to enhance biofilm formation.

To test this idea, we compared the impact of citrate on biofilm formation in the wild type *P.*

fluorescens PfO-1 and a *gcbC* mutant. In a wild-type (WT) background, a 50% increase in biofilm

formation was observed in the presence of citrate (Fig. 1B). However, citrate-mediated

enhancement of biofilm formation observed for the wild-type (WT) strain was abolished in the

gcbC mutant (Fig. 1B), suggesting that citrate-mediated enhancement of biofilm formation is

dependent on the presence of GcbC. Also, we found that increasing concentrations of citrate

further enhanced biofilm formation of *P. fluorescens* by up to ~100%. (Fig. S2A)

Next, we selected the CACHE domain-containing Pfl01_2295 and Pfl01_2297 proteins (Fig. 1A),

both of which interact with LapD (20), to serve as controls to determine if other CACHE

domains also respond to citrate to promote biofilm formation. For these studies, we used a *P.*

fluorescens Pf0-1 strain lacking four DGCs, referred to as Δ4DGC, which does not form a biofilm

under our laboratory conditions; this low c-di-GMP producing strain was previously used to

investigate c-di-GMP production by other *P. fluorescens* DGCs (21). The four DGCs deleted in

the Δ4DGC strain, identified previously, are *gcbA, gcbB, gcbC,* and *wspR* (21). Of the three

CACHE domain-containing DGCs expressed in the Δ4DGC mutant background (GcbC,

Pfl01_2295, and Pfl01_2297), only the strain expressing GcbC responded to citrate with

increased biofilm formation (Fig. 1C). DGC-mediated c-di-GMP synthesis typically requires an

intact GGDEF active-site motif and mutation of this motif to GGAAF in GcbC eliminates catalytic

activity; this GcbC (GGAAF) mutant variant was shown previously to be stably expressed at a

level equivalent to the WT protein (21). When GcbC-GGAAF mutant protein was expressed in

the Δ4DGC mutant background, biofilm formation was abolished and notably, citrate-mediated

biofilm formation was also abolished (Fig. 1C).

We further assessed the functionality of GcbC, Pfl01_2295, and Pfl01_2297 as active DGCs via

assaying their ability to stimulate increased production of the Pel polysaccharide using congo

red (CR) binding assay. Increased CR binding indicates increased production of the Pel

polysaccharide, which is mediated by increased c-di-GMP production, thus dark red colonies

indicate robust Pel production and white colonies indicate a lack of Pel production. Each DGC

was cloned into an expression plasmid, then electroporated into *P. aeruginosa* PA14 and grown

under inducing conditions with 0.1% arabinose. When GcbC and Pfl01_2295 are expressed from

a plasmid, CR binding of Pel polysaccharide was robustly stimulated compared to the vector

only control (pMQ72, Fig. S3). When Pfl01_2297 was expressed from a plasmid, CR binding of

Pel polysaccharide was, at best, weakly stimulated compared to the vector only control. As a

control, a mutant defective in production of the Pel polysaccharide ($\Delta pelA$) showed little CR

binding (Fig. S3). These data indicate that Pfl01_2295 and Pfl01_2297 are likely functional DGCs,

a point addressed further below.

Importantly, citrate-enhanced biofilm formation is dependent on LapA (Fig. S2B), indicating that

citrate acts via the known LapD-LapG-LapA pathway. To further support a role for LapA in

citrate-stimulated biofilm formation, we used *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1 strains carrying a HA-tagged

LapA variant to detect the amount of LapA at the cell surface, as reported (7,10), as a function

of the presence of citrate. In a WT *P. fluorescens* strain, citrate caused a 159% increase in LapA

pixel density, which suggests a higher abundance of LapA at the cell surface in the presence of

citrate (Fig. 1D). Only when GcbC was present and catalytically active did citrate cause an

increase in cell surface-associated LapA (Fig. 1D). As a control, minimal levels of signal were

detected in a *P. fluorescens* strain lacking LapA, as expected. Also, there was no detectable

difference of the amount of LapA in whole cell lysate in the presence and absence of citrate in

WT *P. fluorescens* (Fig. S2C). Taken together, these data show that citrate-mediated stimulation

of biofilm formation by WT *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1 requires the active diguanylate cyclase GcbC

and is associated with enhanced cell-surface LapA.

Citrate also enhances growth of *P. fluorescens* (Fig. S2D), but as described below, this enhanced

growth is not the basis for the enhanced biofilm formation in the presence of citrate.

Interestingly, despite its ability to stimulate biofilm formation, citrate caused a ~100% increase

in swimming motility (Fig. S2E), perhaps via the ability of citrate to enhance growth of *P.*

fluorescens.

The Putative Ligand-Binding Site of the CACHE Domain is Important for GcbC-Mediated

Biofilm Formation. CACHE domains are ubiquitous, periplasmic, ligand-binding domains (15,

16). In a previous study, the RXYF motif was found to be the most conserved feature among the

characterized CACHE domains (19). A mutation of the RXYF motif of the CACHE domain of KinD,

a histidine kinase in *Bacillus subtilis*, caused this microbe to lose its ability to respond to root

exudates, and resulted in decreased biofilm formation on tomato roots compared to a WT

strain (17).

We first assessed whether citrate-enhanced biofilm formation was due to increased protein

expression level. We measured protein levels of a HA-tagged GcbC variant (expressed from a

plasmid from the studies shown in Figures 1C and 1D) in the presence and absence of citrate

and found that citrate did not affect the production and/or stability of GcbC (Fig. 2A). Analysis

of the pixel density of the bands +/- citrate revealed a ratio of 1.05 ± 0.08, indicating no change

in GcbC protein level when the cells are grown with citrate.

We next sought to identify the putative site where citrate might bind to GcbC using the known

structures of CACHE domains (Fig. 2B, left; template PDB ID 3LIB). Like in the other CACHE

domains, the tyrosine residue of the RXYF motif of GcbC was predicted to point towards the

ligand-binding site (Fig. 2B, Fig. S4). Furthermore, based on the CACHE domain model of GcbC,

the amino acids R139, R162, and R172 were identified as candidates to shape the predicted

ligand-binding site (Fig. 2B, right). Based on the model, we predict that three arginine residues

can coordinate the three carboxylic acid groups of citrate (Fig. 2B, right). R139 is also part of the

RXYF motif. To probe whether these residues were involved in the citrate-mediated

enhancement of biofilm formation, each of the arginine residues forming the putative ligand-

binding site was mutated in GcbC and the mutant protein expressed from a plasmid introduced

into the Δ4DGC mutant background. Mutating R172 (R172A and R172E) resulted in loss of

stability of GcbC (Table S1), however GcbC-R139A, GcbC-R139E, and GcbC-R162A variants were

detected by Western blot, with GcbC-R139E variant present at approximately WT levels (Fig.

2C).

We next assessed whether the CACHE domain was required for citrate-enhanced biofilm

formation. The strain carrying GcbC-R139E variant, as well as the less stable GcbC-R139A and

GcbC-R162A alleles, did not show a significant enhancement of biofilm formation in the

presence of citrate (Fig. 2D). We further expanded our search for important conserved residues

within the CACHE domain that were predicted based on alignments with other CACHE domain

proteins, however the other twenty-seven mutant proteins we constructed were unstable

(Table S1). Together, our data indicate that the RXYF motif, and specifically R139 of the putative

citrate-binding arginine triad, is critical for GcbC-dependent, citrate-mediated enhancement of

biofilm formation.

Selected Organic Acids Stimulate Biofilm Formation via GcbC. We next sought to identify other

ligands that may be sensed by the CACHE domain of GcbC, given that some characterized

CACHE domains bind multiple ligands (19, 22). Based on the ability of the putative arginine triad

to bind the three carboxyl groups of citrate, we tested whether other organic acids (Fig. S5A),

including acetate (C2), pyruvate (C3), succinate (C4), fumarate (C4), α-ketoglutarate (C5) or

isocitrate (C6) could enhance biofilm formation in a GcbC-dependent manner. In both a WT *P.*

fluorescens strain and when GcbC is expressed in a Δ4DGC mutant strain, only isocitrate

significantly enhanced biofilm formation, and did so by 40% (Fig. S5B). Like citrate, isocitrate is a

C6 compound which contains three carboxyl groups (Fig. S5A). Also, isocitrate did not stimulate

biofilm formation by the strain carrying the GcbC-R139E variant (Fig. S5C), indicating that the

R139E variant was not responsive to citrate or isocitrate. Taken together, these data indicate

that the CACHE domain of GcbC likely binds citrate and isocitrate.

Citrate-Mediated Interaction of GcbC with LapD Enhances Synthesis of c-di-GMP. In our

published model, GcbC mediates biofilm formation by transferring c-di-GMP to LapD through

physical interaction, as demonstrated by both pull-down and bacterial two-hybrid assay (12).

We further showed that the LapD-GcbC interaction is mediated via the α5^{GGDEF} helix of GcbC

with the α2^{EAL} helix of LapD (12). This LapD-GcbC interaction also requires the I-site of GcbC

(13). We asked whether citrate might exert its effect of stimulating biofilm formation via

stabilization of the LapD-GcbC signaling complex. To test if citrate bolsters GcbC-LapD

interaction, we exploited the bacterial two-hybrid system used to initially demonstrate

interaction between these proteins (12). We did observe a modest but significant enhancement

of LapD-GcbC interaction in the presence of citrate (Fig. 3A). No such enhancement was

observed for the control interactions: LapD-Pfl01_2295, or GcbC with a dual domain protein

(Pfl01_0192; this protein has cytoplasmic GGDEF and EAL domains similar to LapD) or a

phosphodiesterase (Pfl01_2920, Fig. 3A). Citrate also did not enhance GcbC-GcbC dimerization

(Fig. 3A). Further, the catalytically inactive variant of GcbC still showed citrate-stimulated

interaction similar to WT GcbC (Fig. 3A). Finally, the GcbC-R139E mutant variant did not show a

significant, citrate-mediated increased interaction (Fig. 3A), consistent with our data above that

this residue is required for citrate-mediated enhancement of biofilm formation (Fig. 2D).

We further explored whether citrate could also enhance the diguanylate cyclase activity of

GcbC. We used the bacterial two-hybrid (B2H) plasmids and strains to express GcbC and LapD

outside of their native context, and to better focus on how the interaction of these two

proteins might specifically impact GcbC's activity. The activity of GcbC was assessed by

measuring the level of c-di-GMP extracted from the *E. coli* strains that carry plasmids containing

the indicated genes (Fig. 3B). The level of c-di-GMP measured in the *E. coli* strain carrying the

vector control was <0.5 pmol c-di-GMP/mg dry weight of bacteria (Fig. 3B). This low

background of c-di-GMP provided a useful tool to measure differences in c-di-GMP levels

derived from GcbC in the presence and absence of citrate and the LapD receptor.

GcbC alone did not synthesize levels of c-di-GMP above background and citrate did not

promote c-di-GMP production using three different strains wherein only GcbC was expressed

(Fig. 3B; pMQ72-GcbC, pKNT25-GcbC + pUT18, pKNT25-GcbC + pUT18-GcbC), a finding

consistent with a previous study in which low levels of c-di-GMP synthesis by GcbC were

detected (21). Co-expression of GcbC with LapD resulted in ~4 pmol c-di-GMP/mg dry weight,

an ~8-fold increase above the control level, and the level of c-di-GMP was further, significantly

increased to ~7 pmol c-di-GMP/mg dry weight upon addition of citrate (Fig. 3B). This finding has

two important implications. First, GcbC activity is apparently stimulated when engaging its

cognate LapD receptor, suggesting a mechanism for specific signaling between GcbC and LapD.

Second, enhanced interaction of GcbC and LapD upon addition of citrate further enhances c-di-

GMP production by GcbC.

Modest amounts of c-di-GMP catalysis occurred when the catalytically inactive GcbC variant

was coexpressed with LapD, but the addition of citrate did not significantly enhance the level of

c-di-GMP (Fig. 3B). Additionally, Pfl01_0192, a dual GGDEF-EAL domain protein with a

cytoplasmic domain organization similar to LapD, which was shown to interact weakly with

GcbC (Fig. 3A), produced levels of c-di-GMP in the presence of GcbC that were above

background but did not increase with added citrate (Fig. 3B).

We investigated the impact of the GcbC-R139E CACHE-domain mutation on citrate-mediated

LapD-GcbC interaction and c-di-GMP synthesis. While the GcbC-R139E/LapD interaction was

equivalent to the interaction between LapD and the wild-type GcbC, citrate-enhanced

interaction of GcbC-R139E-LapD showed a small, but non-significant increase (Fig. 3A). This

finding was consistent with the data above (Fig. 2D), showing that the GcbC-R139E mutant was

still capable of promoting biofilm formation in the Δ4DGC background, but biofilm formation

was not enhanced by the addition of citrate. Furthermore, and consistent with the bacterial

two-hybrid data, basal c-di-GMP levels were not affected by the GcbC-R139E mutant variant

compared to the WT GcbC when coexpressed with LapD (Fig. 3B). Importantly, there was no

significant increase in c-di-GMP level when the GcbC-R139E mutant variant was coexpressed

with LapD in the presence of citrate (Fig. 3B). Thus, our data indicate that LapD-GcbC

interaction enhances c-di-GMP production, and the addition of citrate stimulates both

interaction of LapD-GcbC and c-di-GMP synthesis, likely via the CACHE domain of GcbC.

When GcbC is removed from its native context and expressed in a heterogenous system, this

enzyme did not produce levels of c-di-GMP above background (Fig. 3B). This finding suggested

that GcbC might be inactive until it engages its cognate receptor LapD. To test this idea, we

assessed c-di-GMP production by I-site mutant variants of this enzyme expressed in the B2H *E.*

coli strain. The canonical role of the I-site is to reduce GcbC catalytic activity when c-di-GMP is

bound, and we previously identified two different mutants of GcbC in our near the I-site, R363E

and R366E, which greatly enhanced biofilm formation and c-di-GMP levels when expressed in *P.*

fluorescens (13). These I-site-proximal mutations also reduced interaction with LapD (13). When

expressed on its own, the GcbC-R366E I-site mutant was not able to synthesize c-di-GMP levels

above background (Fig. 3B). We observe a similar phenotype for the R363E mutant; R363

stabilizes the GGDEF domains as they come together in a GGDEF dimer with c-di-GMP at the I-

site. Only when LapD was present were high levels of c-di-GMP produced, with GcbC-R363E-

expressing strain synthesizing ~60pmol c-di-GMP/mg dry weight and GcbC-R366E-expressing

strain synthesizing ~20pmol c-di-GMP/mg dry weight (Fig. 3B). These levels of c-di-GMP were

significantly higher than the level detected for wild-type GcbC, and citrate did not further

enhance c-di-GMP production for either I-site mutant (Fig. 3B). These data strongly support the

model that GcbC has little or no activity until this enzyme engages its cognate receptor.

A Conserved Mechanism of Signaling Specificity. We next explored if the mechanism we

defined for GcbC-LapD interactions might apply to any of the other 20 DGCs in *P. fluorescens*.

We found that LapD is a central hub of DGC interaction; LapD interacts with nine different DGCs

in a pairwise bacterial two-hybrid assay (Fig. 4A,C). Included among the nine DGCs that interact

with LapD are the CACHE domain-containing DGCs Pfl01_2295 and Pfl01_2297, and the

putative SadC homolog, Pfl01_4451 (Fig. 4A). SadC is a DGC identified for its role in the early

stages of biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa* (23, 24), and the $\Delta sadC$ mutant of *P. aeruginosa*

shows approximately a 50% reduction of global, cellular c-di-GMP levels compared to WT *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 (24).

Given that there are nine DGCs that interact with LapD, we hypothesized that each of the nine

DGCs may compete with one another to interact with LapD. To test this model, we

overexpressed WT GcbC and the catalytically inactive variant of GcbC in a WT *P. fluorescens*

strain. When the catalytically inactive GcbC variant was overexpressed, biofilm formation was

significantly reduced by ~20% (Fig. 4B), indicating that the catalytically inactive variant of GcbC

is displaying a dominant negative phenotype, a finding consistent with our competition

hypothesis. As a control, when WT GcbC was overexpressed, biofilm formation was significantly

enhanced, as expected (Fig. 4B).

We next explored if the ligand-mediated signaling specificity mechanism is conserved among

other CACHE-containing DGCs. We focused on Pfl01_2295 given that this DGC shows similar

levels of interaction with LapD as was observed for GcbC (Fig. 4C), and the likely robust c-di-

GMP synthesis activity as indicated by the robust CR binding observed when Pfl01_2295 was

expressed from a plasmid in *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. S3). Also, the CACHE domain of Pfl01_2295

contains the conserved RXYF motif (Fig. S6), and contains two of the three arginine residues

that comprise the putative ligand binding site of the CACHE domain of GcbC. Thus, we

predicted that Pfl01_2295 would sense ligands that are similar to, but different from, citrate. To

test this idea, we expressed Pfl01_2295 in the Δ4DGC mutant background and performed a

biofilm assay with medium supplemented with exogenous acetate, pyruvate, succinate,

fumarate, or isocitrate. Citrate was shown not to stimulate biofilm formation through

Pfl01_2295 nor enhance LapD – Pfl01_2295 interaction as measured using the bacterial two-

hybrid assay (Fig. 1C, Fig. 3A).

Succinate significantly enhanced biofilm formation in the presence of Pfl01_2295 and also

significantly enhanced interaction with LapD (Fig. 5A). Thus, this finding mirrored what we

observed for citrate and isocitrate with GcbC. Interestingly, acetate significantly stimulated

Pfl01_2295-dependent biofilm formation, but did not stimulate interaction with LapD (Fig. 5B),

perhaps indicating that acetate stimulates Pfl01_2295 activity but not the ability of this DGC to

interact with its receptor. Pyruvate, fumarate, and isocitrate had no effect in stimulating biofilm

formation in a Δ4DGC mutant background with Pfl01_2295 expressed from a plasmid (Fig. S7).

Discussion. A key open question relating to c-di-GMP signaling is how specificity of a particular

output can be mediated in the context of up to dozens of enzymes or receptors making,

breaking and binding this dinucleotide second messenger. Here, our data suggest two related

mechanisms for controlling output specificity. First, GcbC shows little activity unless it is co-

expressed with its receptor. Furthermore, we show that an extracellular ligand can modulate

the activity of a GcbC and does so via promoting interaction of the DGC with its cognate

receptor. Our data are consistent with the model that citrate or isocitrate binding to the CACHE

domain of GcbC enhances interaction with LapD. We propose the increased interaction

between GcbC and LapD to have two important consequences: stabilization of a complex that

allows direct transfer of the GcbC-generated c-di-GMP signal to the LapD receptor, and equally

importantly, activation of the DGC activity of GcbC. Our data suggest that for at least this DGC,

GcbC must be in complex with its receptor to be activated. An additional boost in cyclic

nucleotide production was measured when citrate or isocitrate was added to the medium in a

strain co-expressing GcbC and LapD, indicating that the enhanced GcbC-LapD interaction also

enhanced DGC activity. Furthermore, recent work from the Sondermann lab (25) identified a

LapD dimer-of-dimers as a c-di-GMP- and LapG-bound state, which could serve as an

interaction platform or "receptor basket" for GcbC, thereby forming a large LapD-LapG-GcbC-c-

di-GMP signaling complex (Fig. 5C). Our data adds to this model a ligand-based mechanism to

enhance the interaction between GcbC and LapD, and thus signaling specificity in the context of

a large signaling network.

We suggest a possible mechanism whereby c-di-GMP production by GcbC is promoted when

this DGC is in complex with LapD. Our data here suggest that as a baseline condition, GcbC

activity is low, a finding consistent with a previous study in which low levels of c-di-GMP

synthesis by GcbC were detected (21). Surprisingly, we detected little c-di-GMP production by

two different I-site-proximal variants of GcbC when this enzyme was expressed on its own,

consistent with the idea that this enzyme is likely inactive when not engaging its receptor. How

then does the GcbC-LapD interaction stimulate GcbC activity? We reported previously the

somewhat unexpected finding that the I-site of GcbC is required for full LapD-GcbC interaction

(13). Based in part on this finding, we propose that the I-site of this diguanylate cyclase (Fig. 5C,

orange star in GcbC) may engage LapD (rather than c-di-GMP) to help promote stabilization of

the active form of the GcbC. In this model, we propose that it is only when local c-di-GMP levels

become very high that GcbC is driven towards the inactive state via I-site binding by the

dinucleotide. The addition of citrate may further stabilize the active conformation of GcbC,

both by enhancing GcbC-LapD interaction via the GcbC I-site (and likely other interactions (13))

and promoting higher levels of c-di-GMP production.

We have identified two C6, three carboxyl group-containing organic acids, citrate and isocitrate,

as potential ligands that bind to GcbC via its CACHE domain. There are an abundance of CACHE

domains present as components of signal transduction proteins (16), including proteins

involved in c-di-GMP signaling, and yet, the role of CACHE domains in regulating DGC activity is

poorly understood. Our data show that citrate and isocitrate are likely ligands for the CACHE

domain associated with GcbC. *P. fluorescens*, a plant-growth promoting microbe, forms biofilms

on tomato roots; root exudates contain a high abundance of low molecular weight organic

acids, including citrate (26, 27, 28). We suggest that many compounds found in root exudates,

including additional organic acids, sugars, and metals, may be used as signals by *P. fluorescens*

to promote biofilm formation.

As we have shown here, GcbC appears to sense citrate and isocitrate, but not a variety of other

structurally related molecules. It is possible that the CACHE domain of GcbC responds to

additional signals. For example, in *P. syringe* pv. *actinidiae*, the CACHE domain PscD (PDB ID:

5G4Z) binds glycolate, acetate, propionate, and pyruvate (22), indicating that CACHE domains

allow for some promiscuity in their binding of ligands. GcbC has been shown to be part of a

large signaling network, interacting with a phosphodiesterase and multiple dual domain

containing proteins (20), thus also opening the possibility that different ligands sensed via the

CACHE domain of GcbC could dictate which proteins interact with this DGC.

We showed that while GcbC responds to exogenous citrate and isocitrate, other CACHE

domain-containing DGCs do not respond to these organic acids. We identified the RXYF motif

as important for signal sensing by GcbC. Furthermore, the residue R162, but not R172, is

conserved among the CACHE domains of GcbC, Pfl01_2295, Pfl01_2297, and Pfl01_3800 (Fig.

S6), thus this variation in sequence likely speaks to the inability of these other DGCs to respond

to the same ligands as GcbC. Consistent with the idea that these other CACHE domains bind

other signals, we have identified acetate and succinate as potential ligands that are sensed via

the CACHE domain of Pfl01_2295. Only succinate enhanced Pfl01_2295-LapD interaction, while

acetate promoted Pfl01_2295-dependent biofilm formation but did not enhance LapD

interaction. Thus, ligand binding may exert a variety of effects on target proteins. Such a

finding may not be surprising in the context of this complex c-di-GMP network, as multiple

pathways contribute to biofilm formation and many DGCs have multiple interaction partners

(20). Additional work is required to identify all of the ligands that impact the c-di-GMP network

in this organism.

Together, our data indicate that extracellular ligands, via their ability to impact protein-protein

interactions and/or DGC activity, can modulate c-di-GMP signaling specificity. We think it is

unlikely that all nine DGCs shown to interact with LapD do so simultaneously and with high

affinity. Rather, we envision a scenario wherein a "cloud" of DGCs with low activity perhaps

weakly interact with their receptor(s); a specific DGC-receptor interaction may increase in

response to appropriate environmental signals, concomitantly boosting c-di-GMP production,

ligand-specific signaling and biofilm formation. Overall, our work provides insight into a ligand-

mediated mechanism conferring signaling specificity within a complex network of enzymes and

receptors that make, break, and bind c-di-GMP. Given the large number of ligand-binding

domains associated with c-di-GMP-metabolizing proteins, the data presented here could

represent a general means of regulating c-di-GMP-controlled outputs by enhancing specific

interactions between c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes and their effectors.

Material and Methods

Strains and Media. Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table S2, and were cultured

and maintained in lysogeny broth (LB) or on 1.5% agar LB plates. *P. fluorescens* was grown at

30°C and *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* was grown at 37°C. *E. coli* S17-1-λ-pir was used for

maintenance and transfer of plasmids. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain InvSc1 was used for

plasmid modification as described previously (29, 30). K10T-1 medium was prepared as

described previously (31). Sodium citrate was added to 1.5% agar LB plates and K10T-1 media

to a final concentration of 13.6 mM (0.4% wt/vol) for all the experiments described. All organic

acids were set at 0.4% wt/ vol and the following concentrations were set as indicated: sodium

acetate (29.4mM), pyruvic acid (45.4mM), sodium succinate (14.8mM), sodium fumarate

(25.0mM), α-ketoglutarate (27.4mM), and isocitrate (15.5mM). The following antibiotics were

used as indicated: gentamycin (15µg/mL for *E. coli*, 30µg/mL for *P. fluorescens* and *P.*

aeruginosa), kanamycin (50µg/mL for *E. coli*), and carbenicillin (50µg/mL for *E. coli*).

Biofilm Assay. Biofilm assays were performed as described previously (1). *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1

strains were incubated in K10T-1 minimal medium with and without 0.4% organic acid, as

indicated, for 6 hours at 30°C. Biofilms were stained with 0.1% crystal violet, washed with

water and then solubilized with a 45% methanol, 45% dH$_2$O, and 10% glacial acetic acid

solution. The optical density (OD) of the solubilized crystal violet solution was measured at 550

nm to determine the amount of biofilm formed.

Dot Blot for LapA Localization Assay. Localization of LapA to the cells surface was measured

using a HA-tagged LapA variant integrated into the chromosome of *P. fluorescens* as described

previously with slight modification (7, 10, 32). Bacterial cultures were grown overnight in LB

and then subcultured into 5mL K10T-1 at a 1:50 dilution for 6 hours at 30°C. To test how

sodium citrate effected LapA localization to the cell surface, sodium citrate was added at the

beginning of the 6-hour subculturing period. After 6 hours of incubation, cells were normalized

to the lowest OD value, washed twice in K10T-1, and 5µl aliquots were spotted onto a

nitrocellulose membrane. Once dried, HA-tagged LapA was probed for by Western blot analysis.

Bacterial Two-Hybrid Assay. Bacterial two-hybrid (B2H) assays were performed using *E. coli*

BTH101 cells based on a previously described system (33). Briefly, ~100 ng of each bacterial

two hybrid plasmid was cotransformed into *E. coli* BTH101 by electroporation. *E. coli* BTH101

cells were incubated on LB agar supplemented with 50µg/mL kanamycin, 50µg/mL carbenicillin,

and 0.5mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 24 hours at 30°C. At 24 hours,

either β-galactosidase or c-di-GMP levels were quantified as described below. β-galactosidase

assays were performed as exactly described previously (12) to quantify the extent of protein-

protein interaction. β-galactosidase levels are presented in Miller Units.

c-di-GMP Quantification Assay. c-di-GMP was extracted from *E. coli* BTH101 cells after

incubation on LB agar plates at 30°C for 24 hours. The cells were scraped from the plate surface

with 1 mL of dH_2O, then pelleted and resuspended in 0.250 mL nucleotide extraction buffer

(40% methanol, 40% acetonitrile, 20% dH_2O, and 0.1N formic acid), followed by incubation at -

20°C for 1 hour. Cells were pelleted again and the reaction was neutralized by transfer of 0.2

ml nucleotide extract to 8µl of 15% NH_4CO_3. Nucleotide extracts were vacuum-dried and

resuspended in 0.2 mL HPLC grade H_2O. c-di-GMP concentration was analyzed by liquid

chromatography-mass spectrometry and compared to a standard curve of known c-di-GMP

concentration, as reported (12). The mols of c-di-GMP were normalized to the dry weight of the

cell pellet from which the nucleotides were extracted.

Congo Red Binding Assay

Assessment of DGC activity using the congo red binding assays was performed as described

previously (12).

Swim Motility Assay

Swim motility assays were performed as described previously (21). K10T-1 plates containing 0.35% agar were prepared by adding filter-sterilized K10T-1 media to an autoclaved, molten agar solution and plates were solidified for 3 hours. Overnight cultures were normalized to the lowest OD value and washed twice in K10T-1 medium. A 2-200μl pipette micropipette tip was used to inoculate each plate. A sterile pipette tip was dipped into each strain and plunged halfway into the swim agar plate. Plates were incubated at 30°C for ~30 hours and plates were photographed. The swim area was calculated using ImageJ software.

Growth Curve Assay

The amount of growth of *P. fluorescens* in the presence and absence of citrate was measured. Bacterial cultures were grown overnight in liquid LB and then subcultured in 5mL K10T-1 with and without 0.4% citrate at a 1:50 ratio for 6 hours at 30°C. Every two hours, the OD of the bacterial culture was measured at 600nm to determine the amount of growth that occurred.

Acknowledgement. We thank members of the lab for helpful discussions, the reviewers for their helpful comments, and Tom Hampton for assistance with statistical analysis. This work was supported by the NIH via grant R01GM123609 (to H.S. and G.A.O.) and P20 RR030360.

Literature Cited.

1. O'Toole GA, Kolter R (1998) Initiation of biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signaling pathways: A genetic analysis. *Mol*

Microbiol 28: 449-461.

2. Pruss BM, Besemann C, Denton A, Wolfe AJ (2006) A complex transcription network

controls the early stages of biofilm development by *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* 188(11):

3731-3739.

3. Römling U, Gomelsky M, Galperin MY (2005) c-di-GMP: The dawning of a novel bacterial

signaling system. *Mol Microbiol* 57(3): 629-639.

4. Baker AE, Diepold A, Kuchma SL, Scott JE, Ha DG, Orazi G, Armitage JP, O'Toole GA (2016)

A PilZ domain protein FlgZ mediates cyclic-di-GMP-dependent swarming motility control

in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol* 198(13): 1837-1846.

5. Ross P, Weinhouse H, Aloni Y, Michaeli D, Weinberger-Ohana P, Mayer R, Braun S, de

Vroom E, van der Marel GA, van Boom JH, Benziman M (1987) Regulation of cellulose

synthesis in *Acetobacter xylinum* by cyclic diguanlyic acid. *Nature* 325(6101): 279-281.

6. Merrit JH, Brothers KM, Kuchma SL, O'Toole HA (2007) SadC reciprocally influences

biofilm formation and swarming motility via modulation of exopolysaccharide production

and flagellar function. *J Bacteriol* 189(22): 8154-8164.

7. Monds RD, Newell PD, Gross RH, O'Toole GA (2007) Phosphate-dependent modulation of

c-di-GMP levels regulates *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1 biofilm formation by controlling

secretion of the adhesin LapA. *Mol Microbiol* 63(3): 659-679.

8. Martínez-Gil M, Ramos-González MI, Espinosa-Urgel M (2014) Roles of cyclic di-GMP and

the Gac system in transcriptional control of the genes coding for *Pseudomonas putida*

adhesins LapA and LapF. *J Bacteriol* 196(8): 1484-1495.

9. Hinsa SM, Espinosa-Urgel M, Ramos JL, O'Toole HA (2003) Transition from reversible to

irreversible attachment during biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365

requires an ABC transporter and a large secreted protein. *Mol Microbiol* 49: 905-918.

10. Newell PD, Monds RD, O'Toole GA (2009) LapD is a bis-(3'-5')-cyclic dimeric GMP-binding

protein that regulates surface attachment by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1. *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci USA 106(9): 3461-3466.

11. Navarro MV, Newell PD, Krasteva PV, Chatterjee D, Madden DR, O'Toole GA, Sondermann

HA (2011) Structural basis for c-di-GMP-mediated inside-out signaling controlling

periplasmic proteolysis. *PLoS Biol* 9(2): e1000588. doi: 10.1371.

12. Dahlstrom KM, Giglio KM, Collins AJ, Sondermann H, O'Toole GA (2015) Contribution of

physical interactions to signaling specificity between a diguanylate cyclase and its effector.

mBio 6(6):e01978-15. doi:10.1128/mBio.01978-15.

13. Dahlstrom KM, Gilgio KM, Sondermann H, O'Toole GA (2016) The inhibitory site of a

diguanylate cyclase is a necessary element for interaction and signaling with an effector

protein. *J Bacteriol* 198(11): 1595-1603.

14. Dahlstrom KM, O'Toole GA (2017) A symphony of cyclases: specificity in diguanylate

cyclase signaling. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 71: 179-195.

15. Anantharamn V, Aravind L (2000) Cache – a signaling domain common to animal Ca(2+)-

channel subunits and a class of prokaryotic chemotaxis receptors. *Trends Biochem Sci*

25(11): 535-537.

16. Upadhyay AA, Fleetwood AD, Adebali O, Finn RD, Zhulin IB (2016) Cache domains that are

homologous to, but different from PAS domains comprise the largest superfamily of

extracellular sensors in prokaryotes. *PLoS Comput Biol* 12(4): e1004862. doi:

10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004862.

17. Chen Y, Cao S, Chai Y, Clardy J, Kolter R, Guo JH, Losick R (2012) A *Bacillus subtilis* sensor

kinase involved in triggering biofilm formation on the roots of tomato plants. *Mol*

Microbiol 85(3): 418-430.

18. Mills E, Peterson E, Kulasekara BR, Miller SI (2015) A direct screen for c-di-GMP

modulators reveals a *Salmonella typhimurium* periplasmic L-arginine-sensing pathway. *Sci*

Signal 8(380): ra57. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aaa1796.

19. Zhang Z, Hendrickson WA (2010) Structural characterization of the predominant family of

histidine kinase sensor domains. *J Mol Biol* 400(3): 335-353.

20. Dahlstrom KM, Collins AJ, Doing G, Taroni JN, Gauvin TJ, Greene CS, Hogan DA, O'Toole GA

(2018) A multimodal strategy used by a large c-di-GMP network. *J Bacteriol*.

doi:10.1128/JB.00703-00717.

21. Newell PD, Yoshioka S, Hvorecny KL, Monds RD, O'Toole GA (2011) Systematic analysis of

diguanylate cyclases that promote biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1. *J*

Bacteriol 193(18): 4685-4698.

22. Brewster JL, McKellar JL, Finn TJ, Newman J, Peats TS, Gerth ML (2016) Structural basis for

ligand recognition by a Cache chemosensory domain that mediates carboxylate sensing in

Pseudomonas syringae. *Sci Rep* 6:35198. doi: 10.1038/srep35198.

23. Merritt JH, Brothers KM, Kuchma SL, O'Toole GA (2007) SadC reciprocally influences

biofilm formation and swarming motility via modulation of exopolysaccharide production

and flagellar function. *J Bacteriol* 189(22): 8154-8164.

24. Merritt JH, Ha DG, Cowles KN, Lu W, Morales DK, Rabinowitz J, Gitai Z, O'Toole GA (2010)

Specific control of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* surface-associated behaviors by two c-di-

GMP diguanylate cyclases. *Mbio* 1(4): e00183-10. doi:10.1128/mBio. 00183-10.

25. Cooley RB, O'Donnell JP, Sondermann H (2016) Coincidence detection and bi-directional

transmembrane signaling control a bacterial second messenger receptor. *Elife* 5: e21848

doi: 10.7554/eLife.21848.

26. Haas D, Défago G (2005) Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent

pseudomonads. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 3(4): 307-319.

27. Jones DL (1998) Organic acids in the rhizosphere – a critical review. *Plant Soil* 205: 25-44.

28. de Weert S, Vermeiren H, Mulders IH, Kuiper I, Hendrickx N, Bloemberg GV, Vanderleyden

J, De Mot R, Lugtenberg BJ (2002) Flagella-driven chemotaxis towards exudate

components is an important trait for tomato root colonization by *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 15(11): 1173-1180.

29. Shanks RMA, Calazza NC, Hinsa SM, Toutain CM, O'Toole GA (2006) *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae-based molecular tool kit for manipulation of gram-negative bacterial genes.

Appl Environ Microbiol 72(7): 5027-5036.

30. Bascom-Slack CA, Dawson D (1998) A physical assay for detection of early meiotic

recombination intermediates in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Gen Genet* 258: 512-520.

31. Monds RD, Newell PD, Schwartzman JA, O'Toole GA (2006) Conservation of the Pho

regulon in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72(3): 1910-1924.

32. Newell PD, Boyd CD, Sodermann H, O'Toole GA (2011) A c-di-GMP effector system control

cell adhesion by inside-out signaling and surface protein cleavage. *PloS Biol* 9(2):

e1000587. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000587.

33. Karimova G, Pidoux J, Ullmann A, Ladant D (1998) A bacterial two-hybrid system based on

a reconstituted signal transduction pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95: 5752-5756.

34. Shultz J, Milpetz F, Bork P, Ponting CP (1998) SMART, a simple modular architecture

research tool: Identification of signaling domains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95: 5857-5864.

35. Ulrich LE, Zhulin IB (2010) The MiST2 database: a comprehensive genomics resource on

microbial signal transduction. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38: D401-407 doi:10.1093/nar/gkp940.

36. R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

 Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Citrate stimulates biofilm formation via GcbC. (A) Predicted domain organization of

GcbC, Pfl01_2295, and Pfl01_2297 as predicted by SMART (34). The domains are indicated in

each block. TM = transmembrane domain. Analysis of Pfl01_2295 by MiST2 (35) predicts a

second transmembrane domain. (B) Biofilm formation by WT *P. fluorescens* and the Δ*gcbC*

mutant in the presence and absence of 0.4% citrate (n = 3; ± SD). (C) Biofilm formation by the

indicated strains +/- citrate. In this panel, the Δ4DGC mutant background is used, with the WT

GcbC and the catalytically inactive variant (GGAAF) introduced into this mutant on plasmids.

Pfl01_2297 and Pfl01_2295 are two other CACHE-domain containing diguanylate cyclases in *P.*

fluorescens, expressed from the same vector backbone, that serve as controls. (D)

Quantification of cell-surface levels of LapA in the presence and absence of 0.4% citrate.

Representative blots are shown. For panels B, C, and D, the values shown are an average of 3

replicates (± SD); horizontal black bars indicate a P value of <0.05 (*), <0.01 (**), or <0.001 (***)

with a student's t-test compared to the condition without citrate.

Fig. 2. Effects of CACHE domain mutations on biofilm formation. (A) Western blot to assess the

level of GcbC-HA expressed from a plasmid in the presence and absence of citrate. This is the

GcbC-HA-expressing plasmid used in Figure 1. (B) Homology model of the CACHE domain of

GcbC based on rpHK1S-Z16 (PDB ID: 3LIF) and vpHK1S-Z8 (PDB ID: 3LID) (see Figure S1 and S4)

to identify the putative ligand-binding site. (C) Western blot assessment of the relative stability

of GcbC-R139A-HA, GcbC-R139E-HA and GcbC-R162A-HA mutant proteins compared to the WT

GcbC. These proteins were all expressed in Δ4DGC mutant *P. fluorescens* strain. (D)

Quantitative analysis of biofilm formation by strains expressing the indicated CACHE domain

mutants in the presence and absence of citrate. For panels A and E, data shown is the average

of three replicates (± SD); horizontal black bars indicate a P value of either <0.05 (*) or <0.001

(***) with a student's t-test comparing each strain without citrate versus with added citrate. ns,

not significant.

Fig. 3. Citrate-mediated biofilm enhancement. (A) The effect of citrate on interaction with the

indicated proteins is compared by the B2H assay, and expressed as Miller Units. Pfl01_2295 =

CACHE domain-containing DGC; Pfl01_0192 = GGDEF and EAL domain-containing protein;

Pfl01_2920 = EAL domain-containing protein. Briefly, the proteins of interest fused to two

halves of the catalytic domain of an adenylate cyclase from *Bordetella pertussis* (T25 and T18)

are transformed into *E. coli* BTH101 cells (33). Plasmids pKNT25 and pUT18 are the vector-only

controls. If the two proteins interact, the adenylate cyclase activity is reconstituted, promoting

cAMP synthesis, which in turn activates transcription of the *lacZ* gene and increases production

of its gene product. The β-galactosidase activity is assessed using ortho-nitrophenyl-β-

galactoside (ONPG) as a substrate, which serves as a measure of the degree of interaction (33).

Citrate did not enhance interaction of the vectors or of the positive control (GCN4 leucine

zipper protein). Citrate only enhanced the interaction between LapD and GcbC. The effect of

catalytic activity of GcbC on interaction with LapD was compared in the presence and absence

of 0.4% citrate. Mutation of the putative citrate-binding pocket in the CACHE domain of GcbC

(GcbC-R139E) resulted in the loss of citrate-stimulated GcbC-LapD interaction. (B) The B2H

assay strains were used to measure the effects of citrate on c-di-GMP synthesis by GcbC.

Plasmids were cotransformed as indicated into *E. coli* BTH101. After 24 hours of incubation at

30°C, nucleotides were extracted. C-di-GMP production in *E. coli* BTH101 was determined for

strains producing the indicated proteins. Only co-expression of LapD and GcbC showed

appreciable accumulation of c-di-GMP, which was significantly stimulated by added citrate. For

panels A and B, all experiments were performed in triplicate ($\pm$ SD), with an indicated P value of

either <0.05 (*) or <0.01 (**) with a student's t-test comparing each strain without versus with

citrate. ns, not significant. For panel B, GcbC-LapD and GcbC R139E-LapD interactions are

represented by six biological replicates instead of three.

Fig. 4. LapD interacts with multiple DGCs.   (A) Interaction map of DGCs that showed a

significant level of interaction with LapD by 24 hours, as compared to the negative control, by

B2H assay. Data that served as the basis for this model is shown in Figure 4C. (B) Biofilm

formation of WT *P. fluorescens*. WT GcbC and the catalytically inactive variant (GGAAF) were

expressed on plasmids. Experiments were performed in triplicate ($\pm$ SD), with an indicated P

value of either <0.05 (*) or <0.01 (**) with a student's t-test comparing each strain to WT *P.*

fluorescens. (C) Plasmids containing each of the 21 DGCs and LapD were cotransformed into *E.*

coli BTH101. After 24 hours of incubation at 30°C, cells were scraped from the plate and β-

galactosidase levels were measured to identify LapD interaction partners. Of the 21 DGCs

tested, 9 were identified as significantly interacting with LapD by B2H assay compared to the

vector only (negative) control. Pfl01_2297, Pfl01_1336, Pfl01_2295, GcbC, Pfl01_4451,

Pfl01_2049, Pfl01_4307, Pfl01_2170, and Pfl01_3508 significantly interacted with LapD, but the

level of interaction with LapD varied amongst the 9 DGCs. Assays were performed in triplicate

with two biological replicates ($\pm$ SD). Significance was evaluated by a one-way ANOVA analysis

followed by a Tukey Multiple Comparison Analysis test, comparing each DGC-LapD interaction

to the negative control. Asterisks indicate a P value of either <0.01 (**) or <0.001 (***).

Fig. 5. Identification of potential ligands sensed by Pfl01_2295. Shown are the results of

biofilm assays and B2H assays with the indicated strains and proteins. For each panel, the

presence and absence of each organic acid was compared for both biofilm assay and B2H assay.

Panel A = +/- succinate; B = +/- acetate. Biofilm assay data are from six biological replicates ($\pm$

SD). B2H assays were performed in triplicate ($\pm$ SD). Linear models implemented in R (36) were

used to identify organic acid supplemented media whose properties significantly differed from

the base medium for both biofilm assay (K10T-1 minimal medium, see Materials and Methods)

and B2H assay experiments. P values of <0.05 were considered significant. P<0.01 (**); P<0.001

(***). (C) A model of a GcbC, LapD and LapG "basket" signaling complex (25). In this model, the

DGC- and PDE-like domains are indicated by the GGDEF and EAL residues typically associated

with the active enzymes; we showed previously that these domains of LapD are not active.

Instead, the PDE-like domain of LapD binds c-di-GMP (yellow hexagon). The GGDEF domain of

GcbC functions as an active DGC in this context. Also indicated are the HAMP signal

transduction domain and the S helix of LapD, the CACHE domain of GcbC, the transmembrane

(TM) domains, and I-sites (red and orange stars). The LapG pepriplasmic protease is also

shown.

Supplemental Material.

Fig. S1. CLUSTAL alignment of the amino acid sequence of the CACHE domain of GcbC and

rpHK1S-Z16 (PDB ID: 3LIF) of *R. palustris*. The CACHE domain of GcbC and rpHK1S-Z16 showed

31% identity at the amino acid level (E-score = $1e^{-27}$). The red amino acids indicate the

conserved residues in the proposed citrate-binding pocket. A subset of these residues are

mutated (see Figures 2 and 3 in the main text, and Table S1).

Fig. S2. Effect of a *lapA* mutation on citrate-mediated biofilm formation. (A) Quantitative

analysis of dose-dependent biofilm formation by WT *P. fluorescens* by addition of increasing

concentrations of citrate. Citrate concentrations of 0%, 0.4%, and 0.8% were tested. (B)

Quantitative analysis of biofilm formation by the WT and *lapA* mutant of *P. fluorescens* in the

presence and absence of citrate. (C) Quantification of LapA levels in whole cell lysate in the

presence and absence of 0.4% citrate by WT *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1. Representative blots are

shown. Data shown is the average of three replicates (± SD). (D) Growth of WT *P. fluorescens* in

the presence and absence of citrate for 6 hours at 30°C. (E) Motility by WT *P. fluorescens* in the

presence and absence of citrate at 30°C for 30 hours using a soft agar (0.3%) motility assay.

Representative images are shown. In all experiments in this figure, assays were performed in

triplicate (± SD), and horizontal black bar indicates a P value of <0.05 (*), <0.01 (**), or <0.001

(***) by a student's t-test comparing each strain without citrate versus with added citrate, or in

panel A, comparing the two different citrate concentrations tested.

Fig. S3. Assessment of DGC activity via congo red binding. Qualitative analysis of GcbC,

Pfl01_2295, and Pfl01_2297 to test for functional c-di-GMP production as described in the main

text. These plasmids were transformed into *P. aeruginosa* PA14, and the strains grown on

congo red plates supplemented with 0.1% arabinose at 37°C to induce expression of the

plasmid-borne DGCs for 24 hours. The binding of red pigment indicates Pel exopolysaccharide

production, which serves as an indirect means to assess the amount of c-di-GMP produced by

the indicated strain. GcbC and Pfl01_2295 show a higher degree of congo red binding as

compared to the empty pMQ72 control and the *pelA* deletion mutant strain. Pfl01_2297

showed only a very modest enhancement of congo red binding compared to the empty pMQ72

control and *pelA* deletion mutant strain.

Fig. S4. Modeling the CACHE domain of GcbC on rpHK1S-Z16 (PDB ID: 3LIF) and vpHK1S-Z8

(PDB ID: 3LID). Shown is the model of the ligand-binding site of rpHK1S-Z16 (PDB ID: 3LIF) and

vpHK1S-Z8 (PDB ID: 3LID), as well as the homology model of the ligand-binding site of the apo-

GcbC. On the far right is shown the overall model of the GcbC periplasmic domain based on the

structure of rpHK1S-Z16 (PDB ID: 3LIF).

Fig. S5. Identification of ligands sensed by GcbC's CACHE domain. (A) Shown is a list of organic

acids that are color coordinated with the graph in panel B. The chemical structure of acetate,

pyruvate, succinate, fumarate, α-ketoglutarate, isocitrate, and citrate are shown. The number

of carbon atoms of each compound is listed on the right. (B) Quantitative analysis of biofilm

formation by WT *P. fluorescens* and the Δ4DGC mutant strain in the presence of 0.4% acetate,

pyruvate, succinate, fumarate, α-ketoglutarate, and isocitrate. The empty vector control and a

plasmid carrying GcbC were introduced into the Δ4DGC mutant strain. Biofilm assay data are

from six biological replicates (± SD). Linear models implemented in R (36) were used to identify

organic acid supplemented media whose properties significantly differed from the base

medium (K10T-1 minimal medium, see Materials and Methods) for both biofilm assay and B2H

assay experiments. The reduced biofilm levels for medium supplemented with α-ketoglutarate

is due to the poor growth of the strains in the presence of this compound (not shown). P values

of <0.05 were considered significant. P<0.05 (*); P<0.001 (***). (C) Biofilm formation by the

indicated strains + isocitrate. The Δ4DGC mutant strain is used with WT GcbC and GcbC-R139E

variant introduced on plasmids. For panel C, experiments were performed in triplicate (+ SD),

and horizontal black bars indicate a P value of <0.05(*) with a student's t-test comparing the

presence and absence of isocitrate amongst each strain.

Fig. S6. CLUSTAL alignment of the amino acid sequence of the CACHE domains of GcbC,

Pfl01_2295, and Pfl01_2297. The red amino acids indicate the conserved residues of the RXYF

motif. The residues highlighted in blue are proposed to make up the putative citrate-binding

pocket of the CACHE domain of GcbC. The RXYF motif and residues R139 and R162 of GcbC are

conserved in the CACHE domains of Pfl01_2295, Pfl01_2297, and Pfl01_3800.

Fig. S7. Identification of potential ligands sensed by Pfl01_2295. Shown are the results of

biofilm assays with the indicated strains. For each panel, the presence and absence of each

organic acid was compared for each biofilm assay. Panel A = +/- isocitrate; B = +/- pyruvate; C =

+/- fumarate. Biofilm assays are representative of six biological replicates P<0.001 (***).

Table S1. CACHE Domain Mutations.

Table S2. Strains, Plasmids, and Oligonucleotides.