Title:

Rᴇᴍᴀᴘ: An online remote sensing application for land cover classification and monitoring

Authors:

Nicholas J. Murray[1]   murr.nick@gmail.com

David A. Keith[1,3]   david.keith@unsw.edu.au

Daniel Simpson[1]   danielksimpson@gmail.com

John H. Wilshire[1]   j.wilshire@unsw.edu.au

Richard M. Lucas[1]   richard.lucas@unsw.edu.au

Author details:

[1] Centre for Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Science,
University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

[2] New South Wales Office of Environment and Heritage, Hurstville, New South Wales,
Australia

Corresponding Author:

Dr Nicholas Murray, Centre for Ecosystem Science, University of New South Wales,
Sydney, Australia 2052 | Phone: +61 414 815 788 | Email: murr.nick@gmail.com

Running head: Rᴇᴍᴀᴘ: An online remote sensing application

ABSTRACT

1. Recent assessments of progress towards global conservation targets have revealed a paucity of indicators suitable for assessing the changing state of ecosystems. Moreover, land managers and planners are often unable to gain timely access to maps they need to support their routine decision-making. This deficiency is partly due to a lack of suitable data on ecosystem change, driven mostly by the considerable technical expertise needed to make ecosystem maps from remote sensing data.

2. We have developed a free and open-access online remote sensing and environmental modelling application, REMAP (*the remote ecosystem monitoring and assessment pipeline*; https://remap-app.org) that enables volunteers, managers, and scientists with little or no experience in remote sensing to develop high-resolution classified maps of land cover and land use change over time.

3. REMAP utilizes the geospatial data storage and analysis capacity of the Google Earth Engine, and requires only spatially resolved training data that define map classes of interest (e.g., ecosystem types). The training data, which can be uploaded or annotated interactively within REMAP, are used in a random forest classification of up to 13 publicly available predictor datasets to assign all pixels in a focal region to map classes. Predictor datasets available in REMAP represent topographic (e.g. slope, elevation), spectral (Landsat Archive image composites) and climatic variables (precipitation, temperature) that can inform on the distribution of ecosystems and land cover classes.

4. The ability of REMAP to develop and export high-quality classified maps in a very short (<10 minute) time frame represents a considerable advance towards globally accessible and free application of remote sensing technology. By enabling access to

data and simplifying remote sensing classifications, REMAP can catalyse the

monitoring of land use and change to support environmental conservation, including

developing inventories of biodiversity, identifying hotspots of ecosystem diversity,

ecosystem-based spatial conservation planning, mapping ecosystem loss at local

scales, and supporting environmental education initiatives.

KEYWORDS

Ecosystem monitoring, GIS, Google Earth Engine, Image classification, Landsat

Archive, Land cover mapping, Remote sensing, Satellite mapping

INTRODUCTION

Maps of land use and land cover change have been a central component of

environmental management and conservation planning for decades (Margules & Pressey

2000). Land cover maps enable the depiction of the distribution of ecosystems and land cover

types, assessments of biodiversity and identification of areas undergoing loss, fragmentation

and degradation (Haddad *et al.* 2015; Potapov *et al.* 2017). As well as supporting spatial

conservation planning, including mapping threats to nature, they are often used as surrogates

for species distributions. However, existing methods for mapping land cover extent and

changes over time are often based on remote sensing and rely on expert implementation and

comprehensive knowledge of space borne or airborne sensor data, analytical methods and

data uncertainties. This ÷capacity gapø has been a severe constraint in obtaining information

on the status of the worldøs natural environment and has hindered environmental conservation

programs across a range of spatial scales (Pereira, Brevik & Trevisani 2018; Murray *et al.* in

press).

Recent advances in geospatial data access, storage and analysis have vastly improved

our ability to utilize satellite sensor data archives in studies of land cover and land cover

change (e.g. Lewis *et al.* 2016; Gorelick *et al.* 2017). Moderate (< 30 m) resolution remote

sensing analyses are now possible at the global extent and have enabled the development of

complex remote sensing analyses (Gong *et al.* 2013; Hansen *et al.* 2013; Pekel *et al.* 2016).

At the same time, increases in satellite revisit frequencies, reductions in the time between

data acquisition and delivery to users, and increasing access to data archives have led to the

development of near real-time alert systems that can rapidly identify land cover loss and

change in areas where no ground observations can be obtained. These systems mainly focus

on automatic detection and analysis of land cover change for groups of related biomes (e.g.

forests) and have vastly improved the ability of non-specialists, environmental managers and

policy makers to access and use remote sensing data (Asner *et al.* 2009; Hansen *et al.* 2016;

Lucas & Mitchell 2017).

In this manuscript, we present a new online geospatial application that enables

volunteers, managers, students and scientists with little or no experience in remote sensing to

develop classified maps of land cover at Landsat spatial resolutions. The *Remote Sensing*

Monitoring and Assessment Pipeline (REMAP) utilizes the geospatial data storage and analysis

capacity of the Google Earth Engine (GEE; https://earthengine.google.com), a cloud-based

analysis platform, to allow users to interactively develop machine learning classifications of

land cover within an area of interest anywhere in the world for which there is sufficient

archival Landsat data. The REMAP application additionally allows monitoring and analysis of

land cover change by enabling users to map ecosystem distributions at two points in time (i.e.

2003 and 2017), quantify area change of each map class, and report the standard distribution

size metrics used by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List

of Ecosystems (Keith *et al.* 2013).

REMAP was developed to complement a range of other applications that support the

conservation of biodiversity, including GeoCAT (Bachman *et al.* 2011), Global Forest Watch

(www.globalforestwatch.org), the Map of Life (www.mol.org) and R packages such as

'redlistr' (Lee & Murray 2017) and 'rCat' (Moat & Bachman 2017). Potential uses of REMAP

include mapping the distributions of ecosystem types (Murray *et al.* in press), developing

land cover maps for protected areas (Lucas *et al.* 2015), assessing the performance of

protected areas over multi-decadal time frames (Green *et al.* 2013; Murray & Fuller 2015),

and identifying areas where degradation of ecosystems has occurred (Bhagwat *et al.* 2017).

REMAP was also developed to support the global effort to assess the status of all ecosystem

types on earth under the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems criteria (Keith *et al.* 2015; Rodríguez

et al. 2015) and can contribute to monitoring progress towards addressing the 2020

Convention on Biological Diversity Aichi Targets (CBD 2014). We describe here the

rationale for design, methodological considerations and analytical framework of REMAP, and

demonstrate its utility and limitations with four case studies (see *Case Studies*).

REMAP: REMOTE ECOSYSTEM MONITORING & ASSESSMENT PIPELINE

REMAP (https://remap-app.org) is a free and open-source web application that classifies land

cover according to user-supplied training data and a set of globally available remote sensing

datasets as predictor variables (Figure 1). We followed six design principles to develop

REMAP:

1. *Provide the ability to develop high quality maps from remote sensing data in a short time*

frame and without the need for high performance computers. Maps can be developed in

REMAP within a few minutes and, because REMAP completes classifications online by

accessing the GEE, the only prerequisites are an internet connection and web browser.

2. *Reduce the need to download, pre-process and process remote sensing data for use in*

environmental mapping. The system offers access to 13 publicly available geospatial

predictors that represent spectral, topographic and climatic variables that may influence

the distribution of different land cover types. Default predictors were selected to enable

the development of high quality maps of the widest range of land cover types possible,

and users are provided with options to explore different combinations of predictors in the

production of their classified map.

3. *Simplify implementation of machine learning classification approaches.* REMAP conducts

its classifications using the random forest algorithm (Breiman 2001) with a single execute

button. This approach allows users to implement a widely used machine learning method

known to achieve high classification accuracy from large amounts of potentially

correlated predictor variables (Rodriguez-Galiano *et al.* 2012).

4. *Permit the production of maps for at least two time periods to enable the quantification of*

any detectable spatial change. REMAP can be used to measure the impacts of, for

example, deforestation (Hansen & Loveland 2012), coastal reclamation (Murray *et al.*

2014), and many other land cover changes that can be reliably observed with Landsat

sensors.

5. *Enable estimation of standard spatial metrics used for assessing the status of ecosystems.*

Metrics that are useful for environmental conservation, including area, change in area,

extent of occurrence (EOO) and area of occupancy (AOO) can be calculated by users to

assess ecosystem change and contribute to global efforts to assess the status of

ecosystems.

6. *Implement free and open access software design principles.* All source code for REMAP is

available (www.github.com/REMAPApp/REMAP) and we will maintain free and open

access to the application.

DATA

The 13 publically available gridded datasets that were selected for inclusion in REMAP

(Table 1) had to meet the requirement of (i) full global extent, (ii) free availability with

sufficient open access to be included in the GEE public data archive, and (iii) sufficiently

high spatial resolution to permit identification of ecosystem distributions and common land

cover classes. The final set of predictors includes spectral variables and derived indices from

archival Landsat sensor data for two time periods, climate data (mean annual rainfall and

mean annual temperature; Hijmans *et al.* 2005)) and topographic data (derived from Shuttle

Radar Topography Mission data). The set of predictors available can be expanded in future

versions of REMAP.

To obtain the required global coverage of cloud-free Landsat sensor data for two

periods, referred to here as historical (1999-2003) and ‑current‑ (2014-2017), we developed

two global Landsat image composites. We produced image stacks of all Landsat scenes for

each period ($N_{1999\text{-}2003}$ = 340,658 images; $N_{2014\text{-}2017}$ = 375,674 images) and applied the GEE

implementation of the FMASK cloud masking algorithm (Gorelick *et al.* 2017). From these,

the median pixel of Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM+; bands 2-5) bands 2 5

(visible blue to shortwave infrared) and Operational Land Imager (OLI; bands 1-4) was used

to generate the two 4-band global image composites. From these composites, Normalized

Differenced Vegetation Index (Pettorelli 2013), Normalized Difference Water Index

(McFeeters 1996) and several other index layers were generated for use as spectral predictors

(Table 1). The provision of spectral data for two time periods facilitates the estimation of

change in land cover extent, which is important for monitoring of the impact of threatening

processes such as deforestation (Hansen *et al.* 2013), fragmentation (Haddad *et al.* 2015),

coastal reclamation (Murray *et al.* 2014), aquaculture (Thomas *et al.* 2017) and water

extraction (Tao *et al.* 2015).

USER INPUT

166   Users of REMAP generally follow a 7 step procedure to map, assess and monitor

ecosystem types or land cover classes (Table 2). Initially, users are required to define their

region of interest interactively (focus region) or to upload a vector file (.kml). This enables

REMAP to clip input data to a region of interest and limit the extent of the classification. The

maximum size of the region of interest is presently 100,000 km^2 due to limitations applied to

users of the GEE (Gorelick *et al.* 2017). Future versions of REMAP may increase this size

limit, although for larger regions or more complex map classifications we recommend users

directly utilise the GEE (https://earthengine.google.com).

174   REMAP requires a user to train the random forest classifier. Spatially resolved training

data that define map classes of interest, which can include ecosystem types, land cover

classes, areas of change (e.g. deforestation) or anthropogenic areas (e.g. urban areas) are used

by the classifier to assign a class membership to all pixels within the focal region. If

developing land cover maps, we recommend that users adopt land cover classification

taxonomies that are internationally recognized and ideally confirm to ISO (International

Organisation for Standards) such as the Food and Agricultural Organisation's (FAO) Land

Cover Classification System (LCCS). Training data can be provided interactively, by adding

training points via the user interface with reference to the predictor layers used by the

classifier or by uploading data which identify the location of observation points and their

class membership (.csv file). These may be sourced from field observations, external data

archives, expert opinion, literature or existing maps. In general, classifications with larger

numbers of training points will achieve higher class accuracies. We therefore recommend

users supply a minimum of 50 points per class to develop an initial map.

CLASSIFICATION APPROACH

REMAP uses a random forest classifier to assign pixels to user-defined map classes

(Breiman 2001). With sufficient training data that are representative of the classes of interest,

REMAP implements the classification on the predictor data and returns a classified image to

the browser window. In many cases, use of the default predictors (Table 2) will yield

classification accuracies that are acceptable to the user. However, REMAP also enables the

user to select a custom set of predictors, which in many circumstances will enable users to

achieve higher map accuracy compare to when the default predictor set is used (Table 2).

To allow users to assess classification accuracy, REMAP returns a confusion matrix

that compares classification results with a random subset of points held-out of the training

dataset. We suggest users tune their classifications to maximize accuracies (either overall or

for the class(es) of interest (ideally to >85%; Congalton & Green 2008) by providing more

training data for the classifier or by changing the set of predictors.

ECOSYSTEM MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT

Once a classified map of acceptable accuracy has been produced, REMAP can be used

to conduct the spatial analyses required to assess Criteria A (change in distribution size) and

B (range size) of the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems (Keith *et al.* 2013; Bland *et al.* 2017). To

assess Criterion A, REMAP computes the area of each class by summing the number of pixels

of known size. Criterion A of the Red List of Ecosystems requires assessors to estimate

change in area over time, which can be achieved by repeating the workflow for the second

time period. To account for potential changes in land cover between the two time periods,

users should develop a new training set or modify the existing set to ensure accurate

representation of land cover in the second time period. Once area estimates are completed for

two time periods, assessors can follow the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems guidelines to

estimate area change manually (Bland *et al.* 2017) or by using the ‑redlistr‑ R package (Lee

& Murray 2017). To assess criterion B of the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems, REMAP applies a

minimum convex polygon to a class of interest and reports its area, representing the Extent of

Occurrence (EOO) of the map class. The Area of Occupancy (AOO) of a map class is

calculated by applying a 10×10 km grid and counting the number of grid cells occupied by

the map class (Bland *et al.* 2017).

To support further analyses of the classified map data, users can export each classified

map as a georeferenced raster file (.geoTIFF). Furthermore, training data can be exported as a

.csv file with fields ‑latitude‑, ‑longitude‑ and ‑class‑ suitable for import into a GPS unit or

GIS software. Training data can also be saved as a JSON file, which is analogous to ‑save

workspace‑ functions in other software. This allows users to return to their analysis at a later

time by uploading the JSON file (see Appendix 1 for examples).

CASE STUDIES

Classifications of remote sensing data enable the measurement and monitoring of an

enormous range of environmentally relevant variables. To demonstrate the use of REMAP, we

developed case studies for (i) mapping a single ecosystem type (e.g. Murray *et al.* 2012;

Nascimento *et al.* 2013), (ii) generating a comprehensive land cover map for a region of

interest (e.g.,Malatesta *et al.* 2013; Connette *et al.* 2016), and (iii) quantifying land cover

change between two periods (e.g., Sexton *et al.* 2013; Olofsson *et al.* 2016; Thomas *et al.*

2017). All training data (.csv) and REMAP workspace files (.JSON) used to reproduce these

232 case studies are available in supplementary material (Appendix 1) and can be used in

233 association with tutorials available on the REMAP website (https://remap-app.org/tutorial).

234 **1.** *Mapping single land cover types or ecosystem types.* Mapping the distribution and change

235  of mangrove ecosystems has been an important focus of ecosystem monitoring programs

236  for decades due to their provision of ecosystem services (Mumby *et al.* 2004; Spalding *et*

237  *al.* 2014) and susceptibility to a wide range of threats (Cavanaugh *et al.* 2014; Asbridge *et*

238  *al.* 2016; Duke *et al.* 2017). In this case study, we developed a simple classification of

239  mangroves and non-mangrove from a set of 150 training points for a small focal region

240  (8301 ha) in the Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia (Figure 2). Against random subsets of

241  training data, the resubstitution accuracy reported by REMAP was 99.2%. Furthermore, a

242  random allocation of 389 points over the focal region indicated a 93.3% agreement with

243  the 2000 global mangrove map data produced for the year 2000 (Giri *et al.* 2011).

244 **2.** *Comprehensive classification of land cover for a focal region.* Land cover maps, which

245  represent all land types in a region, is a common aim of remote sensing programs (Lucas

246  & Mitchell 2017). We used REMAP to develop a land cover map with classes *semi-*

247  *deciduous vine forest*, *eucalypt woodland* and *human settlement* for a focal region in the

248  dry tropics of Northern Australia (Figure 3; Figure S1). A comparison with ecosystem

249  maps produced by the state-wide regional ecosystem mapping program, which develops

250  regulatory land cover maps through manual interpretation of aerial photography and

251  Landsat TM and SPOT satellite imagery, indicated good agreement between the two

252  mapping methods (Figure 3; Neldner *et al.* 2017; Queensland Department of Natural

253  Resources and Mines 2017). We provide a second land cover example that covers a larger

254  area with more land-cover classes in the Supplementary Material (Cheduba Island,

255  Myanmar, Figure S2).

3. *Quantifying land cover change.* To demonstrate capacity to detect changes in land and

water, REMAP was applied to the two Landsat composite images available (2003) and

OLS (2017) data acquired over Dubai, United Arab Emirates. The resulting maps provide

quantitative information on the extent of marine ecosystem loss as a result of large-scale

coastal reclamation projects (Figure 4). REMAP's use for change mapping is also

demonstrated with a deforestation example at Roraima, Brazil (Figure 1, Figure S3,

Appendix A).

DISCUSSION

REMAP is a fast, user-friendly approach to developing land cover maps from freely

available remote sensing data and its outcomes can be accepted if the accuracies of

classifications meet the expectations of the users. Our case studies indicate that such

accuracies can be achieved in REMAP but these depend upon the accuracy of the training data.

By utilizing the geospatial storage and analysis capacity of the GEE, REMAP allows users

with no prior knowledge in remote sensing and analysis to develop maps directly within a

web-browser. This enables mapping to be undertaken in regions by locally-responsible

individuals and organisations where computing infrastructure is scarce or the quality of

internet connections do not allow the download of remote sensing data for local analyses.

Indeed, REMAP is particularly useful for participatory mapping projects, expert elicitation and

engagement with a wide-range of environmental stakeholders. We therefore expect REMAP to

help broaden the use of classified maps in ecosystem monitoring and conservation programs,

and to help support the conservation of natural environments around the world.

We acknowledge that REMAP has several limitations and could be improved in a

number of ways. Most notably, the ability of REMAP to produce accurate maps is limited by

the accuracy of the available predictors and the ability to discriminate classes from good

quality training data. Thus, large numbers of finely distinguished classes will be difficult to

map accurately unless there are highly discriminatory predictors and high densities of

accurate training points. Further development of the REMAP application will therefore include

incorporating a greater number of relevant predictor data layers, such as climate maxima and

minima, to enhance the ability of the classification algorithm. In addition, future work will

also focus on (i) incorporating new global image composites from the same or different years

to allow monitoring of land use and cover change with higher temporal resolution or selection

of specific time frames by users, (ii) utilizing all relevant and available satellite imagery (e.g.

Sentinel 2), (iv) improving the user experience through the provision of more analysis tools

(e.g. image differencing), and (v) improving the application for use in collecting field data

and producing maps in mobile devices.

In conclusion, we have developed REMAP to make remote sensing accessible to a very

wide audience with the aim of enhancing environmental conservation programs. We expect

REMAP to extend the ability of volunteers, students, scientists and managers to assess the

extent of land cover changes and implement conservation actions to reduce the loss of natural

ecosystems. While we cannot provide exhaustive instructions on how to use REMAP here, a

series of online tutorials are available on the REMAP website to provide a suitable starting

point for the use of the REMAP application.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The project was supported by a Google Earth Engine Research Award and an Australian Research Council Linkage Grant LP130100435, co-funded by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, MAVA Foundation, NSW Office of Environment and Heritage, and the South Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources. We particularly wish to thank David Thau for advice throughout the project and the Google Earth Engine team for developing the Google Earth Engine (https://earthengine.google.com), without which this application would not be possible.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

REMAP: the remote ecosystem monitoring and assessment pipeline is a free and open-source web application available at: www.remap-app.org. Data used to develop the figures in this manuscript are available at figshare: xxx.xxx.xxx. A snapshot of the remap source code at the time of publication is available at: xxx.x. Nearmap aerial imagery courtesy of Nearmap Pty Ltd. (© 2017 Nearmap Australia Pty Ltd).

REFERENCES

Asbridge, E., Lucas, R., Ticehurst, C. & Bunting, P. (2016) Mangrove response to
environmental change in Australia's Gulf of Carpentaria. *Ecology and Evolution,* **6,**
3523-3539.

Asner, G.P., Knapp, D.E., Balaji, A. & Páez-Acosta, G. (2009) Automated mapping of
tropical deforestation and forest degradation: CLASlite. *Journal of Applied Remote
Sensing,* **3,** 033543.

Bachman, S., Moat, J., Hill, A.W., de Torre, J. & Scott, B. (2011) Supporting Red List threat
assessments with GeoCAT: geospatial conservation assessment tool. *ZooKeys***,** 117-
126.

Bhagwat, T., Hess, A., Horning, N., Khaing, T., Thein, Z.M., Aung, K.M., Aung, K.H., Phyo,
P., Tun, Y.L., Oo, A.H., Neil, A., Thu, W.M., Songer, M., LaJeunesse Connette, K.,
Bernd, A., Huang, Q., Connette, G. & Leimgruber, P. (2017) Losing a jewelô Rapid
declines in Myanmarøs intact forests from 2002-2014. *PLoS ONE,* **12,** e0176364.

Bland, L.M., Keith, D.A., Miller, R.M., Murray, N.J. & Rodríguez, J.P. (2017) Guidelines for
the application of IUCN Red List of Ecosystems Categories and Criteria, Version 1.1.
International Union for the Conservation of Nature, Gland, Switzerland.

Breiman, L. (2001) Random forests. *Machine learning,* **45,** 5-32.

Cavanaugh, K.C., Kellner, J.R., Forde, A.J., Gruner, D.S., Parker, J.D., Rodriguez, W. &
Feller, I.C. (2014) Poleward expansion of mangroves is a threshold response to
decreased frequency of extreme cold events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences,* **111,** 723-727.

CBD (2014) Strategic plan for biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Aichi biodiversity targets.

Congalton, R.G. & Green, K. (2008) *Assessing the Accuracy of Remotely Sensed Data:
Principles and Practices*. CRC press.

Connette, G., Oswald, P., Songer, M. & Leimgruber, P. (2016) Mapping Distinct Forest

Types Improves Overall Forest Identification Based on Multi-Spectral Landsat

Imagery for Myanmarøs Tanintharyi Region. *Remote Sensing,* **8,** 882.

Duke, N.C., Kovacs, J.M., Griffiths, A.D., Preece, L., Hill, D.J.E., van Oosterzee, P.,

Mackenzie, J., Morning, H.S. & Burrows, D. (2017) Large-scale dieback of

mangroves in Australiaøs Gulf of Carpentaria: a severe ecosystem response,

coincidental with an unusually extreme weather event. *Marine and Freshwater*

Research, **68,** 1816-1829.

Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., Masek, J. & Duke, N.

(2011) Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth

observation satellite data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography,* **20,** 154-159.

Gong, P., Wang, J., Yu, L., Zhao, Y., Zhao, Y., Liang, L., Niu, Z., Huang, X., Fu, H. & Liu,

S. (2013) Finer resolution observation and monitoring of global land cover: first

mapping results with Landsat TM and ETM+ data. *International Journal of Remote*

Sensing, **34,** 2607-2654.

Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D. & Moore, R. (2017)

Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote*

Sensing of Environment.

Green, J.M., Larrosa, C., Burgess, N.D., Balmford, A., Johnston, A., Mbilinyi, B.P., Platts,

P.J. & Coad, L. (2013) Deforestation in an African biodiversity hotspot: Extent,

variation and the effectiveness of protected areas. *Biological Conservation,* **164,** 62-

72.

Haddad, N.M., Brudvig, L.A., Clobert, J., Davies, K.F., Gonzalez, A., Holt, R.D., Lovejoy,

T.E., Sexton, J.O., Austin, M.P., Collins, C.D., Cook, W.M., Damschen, E.I., Ewers,

R.M., Foster, B.L., Jenkins, C.N., King, A.J., Laurance, W.F., Levey, D.J., Margules,

C.R., Melbourne, B.A., Nicholls, A.O., Orrock, J.L., Song, D.-X. & Townshend, J.R.

(2015) Habitat fragmentation and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. *Science*

Advances, **1**.

Hansen, M.C., Alexander, K., Alexandra, T., Peter, V.P., Svetlana, T., Bryan, Z., Suspense,

I., Belinda, M., Fred, S. & Rebecca, M. (2016) Humid tropical forest disturbance

alerts using Landsat data. *Environmental Research Letters,* **11,** 034008.

Hansen, M.C. & Loveland, T.R. (2012) A review of large area monitoring of land cover

change using Landsat data. *Remote Sensing of Environment,* **122,** 66-74.

Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A.,

Thau, D., Stehman, S.V., Goetz, S.J., Loveland, T.R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A.,

Chini, L., Justice, C.O. & Townshend, J.R.G. (2013) High-resolution global maps of

21st-century forest cover change. *Science,* **342,** 850-853.

Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G. & Jarvis, A. (2005) Very High

Resolution Interpolated Climate Surfaces for Global Land Areas. *International*

journal of Climatology, **25,** 1965-1978.

Keith, D.A., Rodríguez, J.P., Brooks, T.M., Burgman, M.A., Barrow, E.G., Bland, L., Comer,

P.J., Franklin, J., Link, J., McCarthy, M.A., Miller, R.M., Murray, N.J., Nel, J.,

Nicholson, E., Oliveira-Miranda, M.A., Regan, T.J., Rodríguez-Clark, K.M., Rouget,

M. & Spalding, M.D. (2015) The IUCN Red List of Ecosystems: Motivations,

Challenges, and Applications. *Conservation Letters,* **8,** 214-226.

Keith, D.A., Rodríguez, J.P., Rodríguez-Clark, K.M., Nicholson, E., Aapala, K., Alonso, A.,

Asmussen, M., Bachman, S., Basset, A., Barrow, E.G., Benson, J.S., Bishop, M.J.,

Bonifacio, R., Brooks, T.M., Burgman, M.A., Comer, P., Comín, F.A., Essl, F.,

Faber-Langendoen, D., Fairweather, P.G., Holdaway, R.J., Jennings, M., Kingsford,

R.T., Lester, R.E., Nally, R.M., McCarthy, M.A., Moat, J., Oliveira-Miranda, M.A.,

Pisanu, P., Poulin, B., Regan, T.J., Riecken, U., Spalding, M.D. & Zambrano-

Martínez, S. (2013) Scientific foundations for an IUCN Red List of Ecosystems. *PLoS*

ONE, **8,** e62111.

Lee, C. & Murray, N. (2017) redlistr: Tools for the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems and

Species. R Package Version 1.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=redlistr

Lewis, A., Lymburner, L., Purss, M.B., Brooke, B., Evans, B., Ip, A., Dekker, A.G., Irons,

J.R., Minchin, S. & Mueller, N. (2016) Rapid, high-resolution detection of

environmental change over continental scales from satellite dataóthe Earth

Observation Data Cube. *International Journal of Digital Earth,* **9,** 106-111.

Lucas, R., Blonda, P., Bunting, P., Jones, G., Inglada, J., Arias, M., Kosmidou, V., Petrou,

Z.I., Manakos, I., Adamo, M., Charnock, R., Tarantino, C., Mücher, C.A., Jongman,

R.H.G., Kramer, H., Arvor, D., Honrado, J.P. & Mairota, P. (2015) The Earth

Observation Data for Habitat Monitoring (EODHaM) system. *International Journal*

of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, **37,** 17-28.

Lucas, R. & Mitchell, A. (2017) Integrated Land Cover and Change Classifications. *The*

Roles of Remote Sensing in Nature Conservation: A Practical Guide and Case Studies

(eds R. Díaz-Delgado, R. Lucas & C. Hurford), pp. 295-308. Springer.

Malatesta, L., Attorre, F., Altobelli, A., Adeeb, A., De Sanctis, M., Taleb, N.M., Scholte, P.T.

& Vitale, M. (2013) Vegetation mapping from high-resolution satellite images in the

heterogeneous arid environments of Socotra Island (Yemen). *Journal of Applied*

Remote Sensing, **7,** 073527-073527.

Margules, C.R. & Pressey, R.L. (2000) Systematic conservation planning. *Nature,* **405,** 243-

253.

McFeeters, S.K. (1996) The use of the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) in the

delineation of open water features. *International Journal of Remote Sensing,* **17,**

1425-1432.

Moat, J. & Bachman, S. (2017) rCAT: Conservation Assessment Tools. R package Version

0.1.5. https://cran.r-project.org/package=rCAT

Mumby, P.J., Edwards, A.J., Ernesto Arias-Gonzalez, J., Lindeman, K.C., Blackwell, P.G.,

Gall, A., Gorczynska, M.I., Harborne, A.R., Pescod, C.L., Renken, H., C. C. Wabnitz,

C. & Llewellyn, G. (2004) Mangroves enhance the biomass of coral reef fish

communities in the Caribbean. *Nature,* **427,** 533-536.

Murray, N.J., Clemens, R.S., Phinn, S.R., Possingham, H.P. & Fuller, R.A. (2014) Tracking

the rapid loss of tidal wetlands in the Yellow Sea. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*

Environment, **12,** 267-272.

Murray, N.J. & Fuller, R.A. (2015) Protecting stopover habitat for migratory shorebirds in

East Asia. *Journal of Ornithology,* **156,** 217-225.

Murray, N.J., Keith, D.A., Bland, L.M., Ferrari, R., Lyons, M.B., Lucas, R., Pettorell, N. &

Nicholson, E. (in press) The role of satellite remote sensing in structured ecosystem

risk assessments. *Science of the Total Environment.*

Murray, N.J., Phinn, S.R., Clemens, R.S., Roelfsema, C.M. & Fuller, R.A. (2012)

Continental scale mapping of tidal flats across East Asia using the Landsat Archive.

Remote Sensing, **4,** 3417-3426.

Nascimento, W.R., Souza-Filho, P.W.M., Proisy, C., Lucas, R.M. & Rosenqvist, A. (2013)

Mapping changes in the largest continuous Amazonian mangrove belt using object-

based classification of multisensor satellite imagery. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf*

Science, **117,** 83-93.

Neldner, V.J., Wilson, B.A., Dillewaard, H.A., Ryan, T.S. & Butler, D.W. (2017)

Methodology for Survey and Mapping of Regional Ecosystems and Vegetation

Communities in Queensland. Version 4.0. . Queensland Herbarium, Queensland

Department of Science, InformationTechnology and Innovation, Brisbane, Brisbane.

Olofsson, P., Holden, C.E., Bullock, E.L. & Woodcock, C.E. (2016) Time series analysis of

satellite data reveals continuous deforestation of New England since the 1980s.

Environmental Research Letters, **11,** 064002.

Pekel, J.F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N. & Belward, A.S. (2016) High-resolution mapping of

global surface water and its long-term changes. *Nature,* **540,** 418-422.

Pereira, P., Brevik, E. & Trevisani, S. (2018) Mapping the environment. *Science of the Total*

Environment, **610,** 17-23.

Pettorelli, N. (2013) The normalized difference vegetation index. Oxford University Press.

Potapov, P., Hansen, M.C., Laestadius, L., Turubanova, S., Yaroshenko, A., Thies, C., Smith,

W., Zhuravleva, I., Komarova, A. & Minnemeyer, S. (2017) The last frontiers of

wilderness: Tracking loss of intact forest landscapes from 2000 to 2013. *Science*

Advances, **3,** e1600821.

Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines (2017) Regional ecosystem and

remnant map version 8.0. Queensland, Australia.

Rodriguez-Galiano, V.F., Ghimire, B., Rogan, J., Chica-Olmo, M. & Rigol-Sanchez, J.P.

(2012) An assessment of the effectiveness of a random forest classifier for land-cover

classification. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing,* **67,** 93-104.

Rodríguez, J.P., Keith, D.A., Rodríguez-Clark, K.M., Murray, N.J., Nicholson, E., Regan,

T.J., Miller, R.M., Barrow, E.G., Bland, L.M., Boe, K., Brooks, T.M., Oliveira-

Miranda, M.A., Spalding, M. & Wit, P. (2015) A practical guide to the application of

the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems criteria. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

Society B: Biological Sciences, **370,** 20140003.

Sexton, J.O., Urban, D.L., Donohue, M.J. & Song, C. (2013) Long-term land cover dynamics

by multi-temporal classification across the Landsat-5 record. *Remote Sensing of*

Environment, **128,** 246-258.

Spalding, M.D., Ruffo, S., Lacambra, C., Meliane, I., Hale, L.Z., Shepard, C.C. & Beck,

M.W. (2014) The role of ecosystems in coastal protection: Adapting to climate

change and coastal hazards. *Ocean & Coastal Management,* **90,** 50-57.

Tao, S., Fang, J., Zhao, X., Zhao, S., Shen, H., Hu, H., Tang, Z., Wang, Z. & Guo, Q. (2015)

Rapid loss of lakes on the Mongolian Plateau. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences.

Thomas, N., Lucas, R., Bunting, P., Hardy, A., Rosenqvist, A. & Simard, M. (2017)

Distribution and drivers of global mangrove forest change, 1996́2010. *PLoS ONE,*

12, e0179302.

Tables

Table 1. List of predictor layers available for use in land cover classifications using REMAP. Short name refers to the name given to each layer in the REMAP user interface. REMAP default indicates whether the predictor is used in a default classification. Raw data for all predictors used in REMAP are available for download from the Google Earth Engine.

Long Name	Short Name	REMAP Default?	Earth Engine ID
Topographic			
Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Elevation	Elevation		USGS/SRTMGL1_003
SRTM Slope	Slope		USGS/SRTMGL1_003
Climatic			
Mean Annual Temperature	Mean Annual Temperature		WORLDCLIM/V1/BIO
Annual Precipitation	Annual Precipitation		WORLDCLIM/V1/BIO
Spectral			
Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)	NDVI		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Normalised Difference Water index (NDWI)	NDWI		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Water Band Index (WBI)	WBI		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Blue band minus Red band (BR)	BR		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Normalised Difference Blue Green (BG)	BG		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Blue band	Blue		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Green band	Green		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Red band	Red		LANDSAT/LC8_SR
Near Infrared band (NIR)	NIR		LANDSAT/LC8_SR

Table 2. Descriptions of major analysis steps required to develop classified maps in REMAP. Analysis step refers to button in the sidebar of the REMAP user interface.

Analysis steps		Purpose	Options
1	Focus Region	Define the boundary of the analysis (region of interest)	Move vertices or supply by .kml file.
2	Build Training Set	Define the map classes to be used in the classification and provide georeferenced locations for each class	Uploading a training set (.csv, .kml or .JSON) or train interactively using Landsat image mosaics and predictor base layers
3	Select Predictors	Select predictor layers to be used in the classification.	Custom selection or use default settings (Table 1)
4	Classify	Run the random forest classification and return the classified map.	Run the classification on either the 2017 (present) or 2003 (historical) Landsat image mosaic.
5	Results	Obtain map accuracy statistics and area of each map class in hectares	
6	Assessment	Obtain area, AOO and EOO estimates for a single map class	
7	Export Data	Export training data or the classified image	Export training data as a .csv (for mapping or using in a GPS), a .JSON file (for saving the current workspace) or a georeferenced GeoTIFF (for map making and further analysis).

Figures

Figure 1. Simplified process chart of REMAP: the remote ecosystem assessment and monitoring pipeline. REMAP requires spatially resolved training data, and estimates class membership of all pixels in a region of interest using global remote sensing predictor layers and the random forests classification algorithm. To facilitate observations of land cover change, classifications in REMAP can be implemented on Landsat data obtained in the year 2003 or data obtained in the year 2017.

Figure 2. Workflow demonstrating the use of REMAP to map of a single ecosystem type, mangroves of the Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia. The panels show (a) the Landsat 8 OLI 3-year composite base layer from which all Landsat indices available in REMAP are calculated, (b) the Normalized Differenced Water Index (NDWI), (c) the Normalized Differenced Vegetation Index (NDVI), and (d) the final classified map of the distribution of mangroves in the region of interest (red box).

Figure 3. Demonstration of the use of Remap to classify ecosystem types, Mount Stuart, Queensland, Australia. (a) High resolution aerial photograph, (b) the 2017 Landsat OLI image composite, (c) training data used to produce the final 3-class map, and (d) the final classified map of the distribution of ecosystems in the focal region. Aerial photography in panel (a) copyright 2017 Nearmap Australia Pty Ltd.

Figure 4. The use of REMAP to identify cover change between 2003 and 2017, Dubai. The classified land-water maps developed from (a) the 2003 global Landsat mosaic and (b) 2003 land-water classification (c) 2017 global Landsat mosaic and (d) 2017 land-water classification. (e) image differencing allows areas of coastal reclamation to be mapped and quantified. Refer to Figure 1 and Appendix A for a deforestation example.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Appendix A: Supplementary Data

Data used to produce Figure 1 and Figure S3

- training points (remap_points_roraimaForest.csv)
- remap workspace (remap_training_roraimaForest.json)

Data used to produce Figure 2

- training points (remap_points_carpentariaMangroves.csv)
- remap workspace (remap_training_carpentariaMangroves.json)

Data used to produce Figure 3

- training points (remap_points_mtStuart.csv)
- remap workspace (remap_training_mtStuart.json)

Data used to produce Figure 4

- training points (remap_points_Dubai_2003.csv)
- remap workspace (remap_training_Dubai_2003.json)
- training points (remap_points_Dubai_2017.csv)
- remap workspace (remap_training_Dubai_2017.json)

Data used to produce Figure S2

- training points (remap_points_chedubaMyanmar.csv)
- remap workspace (remap_training_chedubaMyanmar.json)

Appendix B: Land cover example 2

Figure S1. Comparison of land cover map produced by the Queensland State Government with the REMAP map shown in Figure 3, Mount Stuart, Queensland, Australia. (a) Queensland government regional ecosystem map produced from aerial photography and satellite image interpretation (Neldner *et al.* 2017; Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines 2017), (b) the classified map of the distribution of major ecosystems in the focal region produced with REMAP.

Figure S2. Demonstration of the use of REMAP to classify land cover types in Cheduba Island, Myanmar. The focal region for which the classification is implemented is shown by the red polygon.

Figure S3. Demonstration of the use of REMAP to map deforestation in the Roraima area of Brazil.