TITLE

Gene network analysis identifies a central post-transcriptional regulator of cellular stress survival

AUTHORS/AFFILIATIONS

Matthew Z. Tien[1], Aretha Fiebig[1], Sean Crosson[1,2]

[1] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,

University of Chicago, Chicago, IL. USA.

929 E. 57th St. – GCIS W138

Chicago, IL 60637

[2] Department of Microbiology,

University of Chicago, Chicago, IL. USA

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR/ LEAD CONTACT

Sean Crosson: scrosson@uchicago.edu

SUMMARY

Cells adapt to shifts in their environment by remodeling transcription. Measuring changes in

transcription at the genome scale is now routine, but defining the functional significance of individual

genes within large gene expression datasets remains a major challenge. We applied a network-based

algorithm to interrogate publicly available transcription data to predict genes that serve major

functional roles in *Caulobacter crescentus* stress survival. This approach identified GsrN, a conserved

small RNA that is directly controlled by the general stress sigma factor, σ^T, and functions as a potent

post-transcriptional regulator of survival under multiple stress conditions. GsrN expression is both

necessary and sufficient to protect cells from hydrogen peroxide, where it functions by base pairing

with the leader of *katG* mRNA and promoting catalase/peroxidase expression. We conclude that GsrN

convenes a post-transcriptional layer of gene expression that serves a central functional role in stress

physiology.

INTRODUCTION

Organisms must control gene expression to maintain homeostasis. A common mode of gene regulation in bacteria involves activation of alternative sigma factors (σ), which redirect RNA polymerase to transcribe genes required for adaptation to particular environmental conditions. Alphaproteobacteria utilize an extracytoplasmic function (ECF) σ factor to initiate a gene expression program known as the general stress response (GSR) (Figure 1A). The GSR activates transcription of dozens of genes, which mitigates the detrimental effects of environmental stressors and influences the infection biology of alphaproteobacterial pathogens (reviewed in (Fiebig et al., 2015; Francez-Charlot et al., 2015)). The molecular mechanisms by which genes in the GSR regulon enable growth and survival across a chemically- and physically-distinct spectrum of conditions are largely uncharacterized. Defining the functional role(s) of individual genes contained within complex environmental response regulons, such as the GSR, remains a major challenge in microbial genomics.

In the alphaproteobacterium *Caulobacter crescentus*, GSR mutant strains have survival defects under multiple conditions including hyperosmotic and hydrogen peroxide stresses (Alvarez-Martinez et al., 2007; Foreman et al., 2012). However, the majority of genes regulated at the transcriptional level by the *Caulobacter* GSR sigma factor, σ^T, have no annotated function or no clear role in stress physiology. While studies of transcription can provide understanding of stress responses, this approach may miss functionally important processes that are regulated at the post-transcriptional level, such as those controlled by small RNAs (sRNAs). Roles for sRNAs in bacterial stress response systems are well described (Wagner and Romby, 2015), but remain unexplored in the alphaproteobacterial GSR.

sRNAs typically function as repressors, though the regulatory roles and mechanisms of action of these

molecules are diverse: sRNAs can control gene expression by protein sequestration, modulation of

mRNA stability, transcription termination, or promotion of translation (Wagner and Romby, 2015).

The system properties of environmental response networks are often influenced by sRNAs, which can

affect the dynamics of gene expression via feedback (Beisel and Storz, 2011; Mank et al., 2013; Nitzan

et al., 2015; Shimoni et al., 2007) or buffer response systems against transcriptional noise (Arbel-

Goren et al., 2013; Golding et al., 2005; Levine and Hwa, 2008; Mehta et al., 2008). However, the

phenotypic consequences of deleting sRNA genes are typically subtle and uncovering phenotypes

often requires cultivation under particular conditions. Thus, reverse genetic approaches to define

functions of uncharacterized sRNAs have proven challenging.

We applied a rank-based network analysis approach to predict the most functionally significant genes

in the *Caulobacter* GSR regulon. This analysis led to the prediction that a sRNA, which we name GsrN,

is a major genetic determinant of growth and survival under stress. We validated this prediction,

demonstrating that *gsrN* is under direct control of σ^T and functions as a potent post-transcriptional

regulator of survival across distinct conditions including hydrogen peroxide stress and hyperosmotic

shock. We developed a novel forward biochemical approach to identify direct molecular targets of

GsrN and discovered that peroxide stress survival is mediated through an interaction between GsrN

and the 5' leader sequence of *katG,* which activates KatG catalase/peroxidase expression. This post-

transcriptional connection between σ^T and *katG*, a major determinant of peroxide stress and

stationary phase survival (Italiani et al., 2011; Steinman et al., 1997), explains the peroxide sensitivity

phenotype of *Caulobacter* strains lacking a GSR system.

Finally, we demonstrate that RNA processing and sRNA-mRNA target interactions shape the pool of

functional GsrN in the cell, and that changes in GsrN expression enhance expression of some proteins

while inhibiting others. The broad regulatory capabilities of GsrN are reflected in the fact that a *gsrN*

deletion strain has survival defects across chemically- and physically-distinct stress conditions, and

support a model in which the GSR initiates layered transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulatory

responses to ensure environmental stress survival.

RESULTS

Iterative rank analysis of gene expression data identifies a small RNA regulator of stress survival

We applied a network-based analytical approach to interrogate published transcriptomic datasets

(Fang et al., 2013) and predict new functional genetic components of the *Caulobacter* GSR system.

We organized expression data for over 4000 genes (Figure 1B and Figure 1- source data 1) to create a

weighted network. In our basic network construction, each gene in the genome was represented as a

node and each node was linked to every other node by a correlation coefficient that quantified the

strength of co-expression across all datasets (Figure 1C). Within this undirected graph, we aimed to

uncover a GSR clique and thus more explicitly define the core functional components of the GSR

regulon.

To identify uncharacterized genes that are strongly associated with the GSR, we utilized an iterative

ranking approach related to the well-known PageRank algorithm (Brin and Page, 1998). We defined

the "input" set as the experimentally-defined regulators of σ^T (Figure 1D), optimized parameters

through a systematic self-predictability approach (Figure 1- figure supplement 1A and Materials and

methods- Iterative rank parameter tuning), and applied iterative ranking to compute a ranked list of

genes with strong associations to the input set (Figure 1- source data 2). We narrowed our ranked list

by performing a promoter motif search to predict direct targets of σ^T. A gene encoding an sRNA with

a consensus σ^T binding site in its promoter, *ccna_R0081* (Landt et al., 2008), was a top hit in our rank

list. We hereafter refer to this gene as *gsrN* (*g*eneral *s*tress *r*esponse non-coding <u>R</u>NA).

To test whether *gsrN* transcription requires the GSR sigma factor, σ^T, we generated a transcriptional

reporter by fusing the *gsrN* promoter to *lacZ* ($P_{gsrN}lacZ$). Transcription from P_{gsrN} required *sigT* (Figure

1- figure supplements 2A,C), validating *gsrN* as a bona fide member of the GSR regulon. To determine

whether *gsrN* is a feedback regulator of GSR transcription, we utilized a well-characterized $P_{sigU}lacZ$

reporter (Foreman et al., 2012). Transcription from P_{sigU} required *sigT* and other GSR regulators

(*phyR*, *phyK*), but was unaffected by deletion or overexpression of *gsrN*. Additionally, transcription

from P_{sigU} under known inducers of a GSR response through sucrose addition was not affected either

(Figure 1- figure supplement 2D). We conclude *gsrN* is activated by σ^T, but does not feedback to

control GSR transcription.

We next tested whether *gsrN* plays a role in stress survival. We subjected strains lacking *gsrN* or the

core GSR regulators, *sigT*, *phyR*, or *phyK*, to hydrogen peroxide, a known stress under which GSR

regulatory mutants have a survival defect. Δ*sigT*, Δ*phyR*, and Δ*phyK* strains had a ≈4-log decrease in

cell survival relative to wild type after exposure to hydrogen peroxide, as previously reported

(Alvarez-Martinez et al., 2007; Foreman et al., 2012). Cells lacking *gsrN* (Δ*gsrN*) had a ≈3-log viability

defect relative to wild type (Figures 1E and Figure 1– figure supplement 2B). Insertion of *gsrN* with its

native promoter at the ectopic *vanA* locus fully complemented the peroxide survival defect of Δ*gsrN*

(Figure 2A). These data provide evidence that *gsrN* is a major genetic contributor to cell survival upon

peroxide exposure. We identified 10 additional genes that are strongly regulated by σ^T and generated

strains harboring single, in-frame deletions of these genes. The functions of these 10 genes are

unknown: 6 encode conserved hypothetical proteins; 2 encode predicted outer membrane proteins;

1 encodes a cold shock protein, and 1 encodes a ROS/MUCR transcription factor. None of these

additional deletion strains were sensitive to hydrogen peroxide (Figures 1E and Figure 1– figure

supplement 2B).

Expression of GsrN is sufficient for survival under peroxide stress

Results outlined above demonstrate that *gsrN* is necessary for hydrogen peroxide stress survival. To

assess the effects of *gsrN* overexpression, we inserted constructs containing either one or three

copies of *gsrN* under its native promoter into the *vanA* locus of wild-type and Δ*gsrN* strains (Figure 2

– figure supplement 1A). We measured GsrN expression directly in these strains by Northern blot

(Figure 2 – figure supplement 1B) and tested their susceptibility to hydrogen peroxide (Figure 2A).

Treatment with increasing concentrations of hydrogen peroxide revealed that strains overexpressing

gsrN have a survival advantage compared to wild type. Measured levels of GsrN in the cell directly

correlated (*r=0.92*) with cell survival, which provides evidence that the protective effect of *gsrN*

under peroxide stress is dose dependent over the measured range (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1C).

To test sufficiency of *gsrN* to regulate cell survival under peroxide stress, we decoupled *gsrN*

transcription from σ^T. *gsrN* was constitutively expressed from promoters (P1 and P2) controlled by

the primary sigma factor, RpoD, in a strain lacking *sigT* (Figure S2A). *gsrN* expression from P1 was 15%

higher, and expression from P2 50% lower than *gsrN* expressed from its native σ^T-dependent

promoter (Figure 2B). Expression of *gsrN* from P1, but not P2, rescued the Δ*sigT* peroxide survival

defect (Figure 2C). We conclude that *gsrN* is the sole genetic determinant of hydrogen peroxide

survival regulated downstream of σ^T under these conditions. Consistent with the dose dependent

protection by GsrN, these data demonstrate that a threshold level of *gsrN* expression is required to

protect the cell from hydrogen peroxide.

GsrN is endonucleolytically processed into a more stable 5' isoform

A notable feature of GsrN is the presence of two isoforms by Northern blot. Probes complementary

to the 5' portion of GsrN reveal full-length (≈100 nucleotide) and short (51 to 54 nucleotides)

isoforms while probes complementary to the 3' portion reveal mostly full-length GsrN (Figure 3A and

Figure 3- figure supplement 1A). Two isoforms of GsrN are also evident in RNA-seq data (Figure 3-

figure supplement 1B).

The short isoform of *gsrN* could arise through two biological processes: alternative transcriptional

termination or endonucleolytic processing of full-length GsrN. To test these two possibilities, we

inhibited transcription with rifampicin, and monitored levels of both GsrN isoforms over time. Full-

length GsrN decayed exponentially with a half-life of ~105 seconds (Figure 3- figure supplements

1C,D). The 5' isoform increased in abundance for several minutes after treatment, concomitant with

the decay of the full-length product. This observation is consistent with a model in which the 5'

isoform arises from the cleavage of the full-length product.

To identify potential endonucleolytic cleavage sites, we conducted primer extension assays, primer

extension binding sites shown in (Figure 3E). Extension from an oligo complementary to the 5'

portion of GsrN confirmed the annotated transcriptional start site (Figure 3C). Extension from the 3'

portion identified two internal 5' ends (Figure 3D). The positions of these internal 5' ends are

consistent with two small bands observed on Northern blots of high concentrations of total RNA

hybridized with the 3' probe (Figure 3- figure supplement 1A). The terminus around C53 corresponds

to a potential endonucleolytic cleavage site that would generate the abundant stable 5' isoform

(Figure 3B).

5' end of GsrN is necessary and sufficient for peroxide survival

To test the function of the 5' portion of GsrN, we integrated a *gsrN* allele that contains only the first

58 nucleotides (Δ59-106), and lacks the transcriptional terminator (*gsrN*Δ3') into the *vanA* locus

(Figure 4A). This short *gsrN* allele complemented the Δ*gsrN* peroxide survival defect (Figure 4B). The

*gsrN*Δ3' allele produced a 5' isoform that was comparable in size and concentration to the wild-type

5' *gsrN* isoform. Since the transcriptional terminator of *gsrN* was removed, we also observed a run-on

~200nt transcript from *gsrN*Δ3' (Figure 4C).

To test the necessity of the 5' portion of GsrN in peroxide stress survival, we deleted nucleotides 10

to 50 of *gsrN* at its native locus (Figure 4D). The *gsrN*Δ5' strain had a peroxide viability defect that

was equivalent to Δ*gsrN*. Ectopic expression of either full-length *gsrN* or *gsrN*Δ3' in the *gsrN*Δ5' strain

complemented its peroxide survival defect (Figure 4E).

Several RNAs, including *katG* mRNA, co-purify with GsrN

We developed a forward biochemical approach to identify molecular partners of GsrN. The

Pseudomonas phage7 (PP7) genome contains hairpin (PP7hp) aptamers that bind to PP7 coat protein

(PP7cp) with nanomolar affinity (Lim and Peabody, 2002). We inserted the PP7hp aptamer into

multiple sites of *gsrN* with the goal of purifying GsrN with its interacting partners from *Caulobacter*

lysates by affinity chromatography (Figure 5A), similar to an approach used by (Hogg and Collins,

2007; Said et al., 2009). PP7hp insertions at the 5' end of *gsrN* and at several internal nucleotide

positions (37, 54, 59, 67, and 93nt) were functionally assessed (Figure 5- figure supplement 1A). GsrN-

PP7hp alleles tagged at the 5' end or at nucleotide positions 54 or 59 did not complement the Δ*gsrN*

peroxide survival defect (Figure 5- figure supplement 1B). These alleles yielded lower steady-state

levels of 5' isoform compared to wild type (Figure 5- figure supplements 1C,D). GsrN-PP7hp alleles

with insertions at nucleotides 37, 67, and 93 restored peroxide resistance to Δ*gsrN* and produced

more 5' isoform than non-complementing GsrN-PP7 constructs (Figure 5- figure supplement 1).

The PP7hp aptamer inserted at *gsrN* nucleotide 37 (GsrN(37)::PP7hp) was selected as the bait to

identify molecular partners that co-purify with GsrN. The pull-down fraction was compared to a

negative control pull-down from cells expressing PP7hp fused to the last 50 nucleotides of GsrN

including its intrinsic terminator (PP7hp::GsrN-3') (Figure 5A). Northern blots demonstrated GsrN-

PP7hp fusion transcripts were enriched in our purification (Figure 5B). Electrophoretic separation of

the eluate followed by silver staining revealed no significant protein differences between

GsrN(37)::PP7hp and the negative control (data not shown). We identified and quantified co-eluting

RNAs by RNA-seq.

We employed two approaches to identify RNAs enriched in GsrN(37)::PP7hp fractions relative to the

negative control fractions. A conventional RNA-seq pipeline (Tjaden, 2015) quantified mapped reads

within annotated gene boundaries as a first pass (Figure 5C and Figure 5- source data 1). To capture

reads in non-coding and unannotated regions, and to analyze reads unevenly distributed across

genes, we also developed a sliding window analysis approach. Specifically, we organized the

Caulobacter genome into 25 base-pair windows and quantified mapped reads in each window using

the EDGE-pro/DESeq pipeline (Anders and Huber, 2010; Magoc et al., 2013). Together these two

quantification strategies identified several mRNA, sRNAs, and untranslated regions enriched in the

GsrN(37)::PP7hp pull-down fraction (Figure 5D and Figure 5- source data 2). We applied IntaRNA

(Mann et al., 2017) to identify potential binding sites between GsrN and the enriched co-purifying

RNAs. Of the 67 analyzed enriched genes and regions, 32 of the predicted RNA-RNA interactions

involved the cytosine-rich 5' loop in the predicted secondary structure of GsrN (Figure 5E and Figure

5- source data 3). A sequence logo (Crooks et al., 2004) of the predicted target mRNA binding sites is

enriched with guanosines (Figure 5E), consistent with a model in which 6 tandem cytosines in the 5'

loop of GsrN determine target mRNA recognition. 27 of the predicted RNA-RNA interactions involved

the 3' exposed region of GsrN. The remaining 8 enriched genes and regions did not have a significant

binding site prediction with GsrN.

Transcripts enriched in the GsrN(37)::PP7hp fraction encode proteins involved in proteolysis during

envelope stress, enzymes required for envelope biogenesis, cofactor and nucleotide anabolic

enzymes, and transport proteins (Table 1). *sigT* and its anti-σ factor, *nepR,* were also enriched in the

GsrN(37)::PP7hp fraction, though we found no evidence for regulation of σ^T/NepR by GsrN (Figure 1-

figure supplement 2D). We observed significant enrichment of rRNA in the GsrN(37)::PP7hp fractions;

the functional significance of this signal is not known (Figure 5D). *katG*, which encodes the sole

catalase-peroxidase in the *Caulobacter* genome (Marks et al., 2010), was among the highly enriched

mRNAs in our pull-down. Specifically, reads mapping to the first 60 nucleotides of *katG* including the

5' leader sequence and the first several codons of the open reading frame were enriched in the

GsrN(37)::PP7hp pull-down fraction relative to the negative control (Figure 5F). *katG* was an

attractive GsrN target to interrogate the mechanism by which GsrN determines cell survival under

hydrogen peroxide stress.

GsrN base pairing to the 5' leader of *katG* activates *katG* translation, and enhances peroxide stress

survival

Most bacterial sRNAs regulate gene expression at the transcript and/or protein levels through

Watson-Crick base pairing with the 5'end of their mRNA targets (Wagner and Romby, 2015). We

sought to test whether GsrN affected the expression of *katG*. GsrN did not effect *katG* transcription in

exponential or stationary phases, or in the presence of peroxide as measured by a *katG-lacZ*

transcriptional fusion (Figure 6- figure supplements 1A-C). However, *katG* is transcriptionally

regulated by the activator OxyR, which binds upstream of the predicted *katG* -35 site (Italiani et al.,

2011). To decouple the effects of OxyR and GsrN on *katG* expression we generated a strict *katG*

translational reporter that contains the mRNA leader of *katG* fused to *lacZ* (*katG-lacZ*) constitutively

expressed from a σ^{RpoD}-dependent promoter. In both exponential and stationary phases, *katG-lacZ*

activity is reduced in Δ*gsrN* and enhanced in *gsrN*$^{++}$ strains compared to wild type (Figure 6- figure

supplements 1D,F). Hydrogen peroxide exposure did not affect *katG-lacZ* activity (Figure 6- figure

supplement 1E). We conclude that GsrN enhances KatG protein expression, but not *katG*

transcription.

We then used this translational reporter to investigate a predicted binding interaction between the

unpaired 5' loop of GsrN and a G-rich region at the 5' end of the *katG* transcript. Specifically, the first

7 nucleotides of *katG* mRNA (Zhou et al., 2015) is complementary to 7 nucleotides in the single-

stranded 5' loop of GsrN, including 4 of the 6 cytosines (Figure 6A). We disrupted this predicted base

pairing, mutating 5 of the 7 nucleotides in the putative *katG* target site and GsrN interaction loop.

These mutations preserved GC-content, but reversed and swapped (RS) the interacting nucleotides

(Figure 6A). We predicted that pairs of wild-type and RS mutant transcripts would not interact, while

base pairing interactions would be restored between RS mutant pairs.

Mutating the predicted target site in the *katG* 5' leader ablated GsrN-dependent regulation of the

katG-lacZ translational reporter (Figure 6- figure supplement 2A); expression was reduced to a level

similar to Δ*gsrN*. We further tested this interaction by assessing the effect of the reverse-swapped

gsrN(RS) allele on the expression of *katG-lacZ*. However, GsrN(RS) was unstable; total GsrN(RS) levels

were ≈10-fold lower than wild-type GsrN (Figure 6- figure supplements 3A,B). To overcome GsrN(RS)

instability, we inserted a plasmid with three tandem copies of *gsrN*(RS), 3*gsrN*(RS), into the *vanA*

locus in a Δ*gsrN* background, which increased steady-state levels of GsrN(RS) approximately 4-fold

(Figure 6- figure supplements 3A,B). *katG* target site or GsrN recognition loop mutations significantly

reduced *katG-lacZ* expression (Student's t-test, *p=0.0026* and *p=0.0046*, respectively). Compensatory

RS mutations that restored base pairing between the *katG* target site and the GsrN loop rescued

katG-lacZ expression (Figure 6B).

To assess the physiological consequence of mutating the G-tract in the *katG* mRNA leader and the

GsrN C-rich loop, we replaced wild-type *katG* on the chromosome with the *katG*(RS) allele in both the

Δ*gsrN*+3*gsrN* and Δ*gsrN*+3*gsrN*(RS) backgrounds, and measured survival after hydrogen peroxide

exposure. Both *katG(RS)*and *gsrN(RS)* mutants had survival defects (Figure 6C and Figure 6- figure

supplement 3C). Strains harboring the *katG(RS)* allele phenocopy the survival phenotype of Δ*gsrN*

under peroxide stress. While *katG(RS)* survival is compromised, the defect is not as large as a strain

missing *katG* completely (Δ*katG*) (Figure 6C and Figure 6- figure supplement 2C). Expressing *gsrN*(RS)

in one, three, or six tandem copies did not complement the peroxide survival defect of Δ*gsrN* (Figure

6- figure supplement 3C). A strain expressing *katG(RS) and gsrN(RS),* which restores base pairing

between the GsrN 5' loop and the *katG* 5' leader, rescued hydrogen peroxide stress survival (Figure

6C). The protective effect of overexpressing *gsrN* is lost when *katG* is deleted, and overexpression of

katG rescues the survival defect of Δ*gsrN* after peroxide treatment. We conclude that *katG* is

necessary and sufficient to protect the cell from hydrogen peroxide (Figure 6- figure supplement 2C).

Given differences in steady state levels of GsrN and GsrN(RS), we postulated that the capacity of GsrN

to interact with its targets influences its stability *in vivo*. Indeed, mutation of the *katG* target site

reduced GsrN by more than 2-fold (Student's t-test, *p<0.0001*). The compensatory *katG(RS)* allele

partially restored stability to GsrN(RS) (Figure 6D)*. katG(RS)* mutation or *katG* deletion did not

influence *gsrN* transcription (Figure 6- figure supplement 2B). Thus, we attribute the differences in

steady-state levels of the GsrN alleles to their ability to interact with mRNA targets via the 5' C-rich

loop.

GsrN enhances KatG expression and stabilizes *katG* mRNA *in vivo* in the presence of peroxide

To assess the relative effects of GsrN on *katG* transcript and protein levels *in vivo*, we directly

measured both by dot blot and Western blot, respectively. In untreated and peroxide treated

cultures, *katG* transcript levels trended lower in Δ*gsrN* and higher in *gsrN*++ compared to wild type.

These differences are not statistically significant (Student's t-test, *p=0.39*) in untreated cultures;

however, KatG protein tagged with the M2 epitope was reduced 2-fold in Δ*gsrN* lysates relative to

wild-type in untreated cultures (Student's t-test, *p<0.0001*) (Figure 7B). Since GsrN does not

influence *katG* transcription in untreated cultures (Figure 6- figure supplements 1A,C), GsrN may

enhance KatG translation *in vivo*.

Steady-state *katG* transcript levels differ significantly between Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*++ in peroxide treated

cultures (Student's t-test, *p<0.01*) (Figure 7A). KatG protein tagged with the M2 epitope was reduced

3-fold in peroxide treated cells in Δ*gsrN* lysates relative to wild-type; KatG-M2 levels in *gsrN*[++] were

increased in both untreated and peroxide treated cells (Figure 7B). These data support a model

whereby GsrN enhances KatG protein expression in the presence of peroxide by stabilizing *katG*

mRNA and/or promoting *katG* translation.

GsrN is a general regulator of stress adaptation

In the GsrN::PP7hp pull-down fraction, we observed enrichment of multiple RNAs in addition to *katG*

(Figure 5C,D). This suggested that GsrN may have regulatory roles beyond mitigation of peroxide

stress. To globally define genes that are directly or indirectly regulated by GsrN, we performed RNA-

seq and LC-MS/MS measurements on wild-type, Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*[++] strains (Figure 8A and Figure 8-

source data 1). We identified 40 transcripts, including *gsrN*, with significant differences in mapped

reads between the Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*[++] samples (Figure 8- figure supplement 1A and Figure 8- source

data 2). 11 proteins had significant label free quantitation (LFQ) differences (FDR<0.05) between

gsrN[++] and Δ*gsrN* (Figure 8- figure supplement 1B and Figure 8- source data 3). Most genes identified

as significantly regulated by transcriptomic and proteomic approaches did not overlap. Nonetheless,

these data provide evidence that GsrN can function as both a positive and negative regulator of gene

expression, either directly or indirectly.

Importantly, RNA-seq and proteomics experiments validated *katG* as a regulatory target of GsrN.

katG transcript levels measured by RNA-seq were not significantly different between Δ*gsrN* and

gsrN[++] strains (Figure 8B), consistent with our dot blot measurements of unstressed cultures (Figure

7A). Conversely, steady-state KatG protein levels estimated from our LC-MS/MS experiments were

significantly reduced in Δ*gsrN*, consistent with our Western analysis of KatG protein (Figures 8C,5F).

katG was the only gene that that was significantly enriched in the pull-down and differentially

expressed in the proteomic studies (Figure 8A). These results provide additional evidence that *katG* is

a major target of GsrN, and that GsrN functions to enhance KatG expression at the post-

transcriptional level.

Given our transcriptomic and proteomic datasets, we reasoned that GsrN may contribute to other

phenotypes associated with deletion of the GSR sigma factor, *sigT*. Indeed, the ΔgsrN mutant has a

survival defect after exposure to hyperosmotic stress, similar to Δ*sigT* (Figure 8D). As we observed for

peroxide stress, overexpression of *gsrN* protects cells under this physicochemically-distinct condition.

Hyperosmotic stress survival does not require *katG* (Figure 8D), providing evidence that a separate

GsrN regulatory target mediates this response. Unlike hydrogen peroxide (Figure 7A), hyperosmotic

stress induces GsrN expression (Figure 8E). This is consistent with previous transcriptomic studies in

Caulobacter in which hyperosmotic stress, but not peroxide stress, activated GSR transcription

(Alvarez-Martinez et al., 2007). GsrN transcription is also significantly enhanced in stationary phase

cultures relative to logarithmic phase cultures (Figure 1- figure supplement 2E). Though its functional

role under this condition remains undefined, it has been reported that *katG* is a genetic determinant

of stationary phase survival (Steinman et al., 1997).

σ^{EcfG}-regulated sRNAs are prevalent across the Alphaproteobacterial clade

The GSR system is broadly conserved in Alphaproteobacteria. Given the importance of GsrN as a post-

transcriptional regulator of the *Caulobacter* GSR, we reasoned that functionally-related sRNAs might

be a conserved feature of the GSR in this clade. To identify potential orthologs of *gsrN*, we surveyed

the genomes of Alphaproteobacteria that encoded regulatory components of the GSR system and for

which transcriptomic data were publically available.

We initially searched for GsrN-related sequences using BLASTn (Altschul et al., 1990). Hits to GsrN

were limited to the Caulobacteraceae family, including the genera *Caulobacter*, *Brevundimonas*, and

Phenylobacterium. The 5' C-rich loop of homologs identified in this family had the highest level of

conservation compared to other regions of secondary structure (Figure 9B). Predicted *gsrN* homologs

are often proximal to the genes encoding the core GSR regulators (*ecfG/sigT*, *nepR* and *phyR*) (Figure

9A). *C. crescentus* is a notable exception where *gsrN* is positioned distal to the GSR locus. Therefore,

we used genome position as a key parameter to identify additional GsrN or GsrN-like RNAs in

Alphaproteobacteria outside of Caulobacteraceae.

Our search for GsrN focused on three parameters: evidence of intergenic transcription, identification

of a near-consensus σ^{EcfG}-binding site in the promoter region, and proximity to the *sigT-phyR*

chromosomal locus. Based on our criteria, we identified a set of putative GsrN homologs in the

Rhizobiaceae family (Jans et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014; Valverde et al., 2008) (Figure 9A). The

predicted secondary structure of these putative GsrN homologues has features similar to GsrN from

Caulobacteraceae. Specifically, there is an exposed cytosine-rich loop at the 5' end (Figure 9C).

DISCUSSION

We sought to understand how GSR transcription determines cell survival across a spectrum of chemical and physical conditions. To this end, we developed a directed gene network analysis approach to predict genes with significant functional roles in the *Caulobacter* GSR. Our approach led to the discovery of *gsrN*, a small RNA of previously unknown function that is a major post-transcriptional regulator of stress physiology.

Role of GsrN in mitigating hydrogen peroxide stress

Hydrogen peroxide can arise naturally from the environment and is also produced as an aerobic metabolic byproduct (Imlay, 2013). Our data provide evidence that σ^T-dependent transcription of GsrN basally protects cells from hydrogen peroxide by enhancing KatG expression. Unlike the transcription factor OxyR, which induces *katG* expression in response to peroxide (Italiani et al., 2011), GsrN is not induced by peroxide treatment. KatG levels change by only a factor of two when *gsrN* is deleted or overexpressed, but we observe dramatic peroxide susceptibility and protection phenotypes as a function of *gsrN* deletion and overexpression, respectively. The survival phenotypes associated with subtle fold changes in KatG expression suggest that the capacity of KatG to detoxify endogenous sources of H_2O_2 is at or near its limit under normal cultivation conditions, similar to what has been postulated for *E. coli* (Imlay, 2013).

Expression of the ferritin-like protein, Dps, is controlled by σ^T and is reported to aid in the survival of *Caulobacter* under peroxide stress (de Castro Ferreira et al., 2016). The protective effect of Dps is apparently minimal under our conditions given that *a)* the peroxide survival defect of Δ*sigT* is rescued by simply restoring *gsrN* transcription (Figure 2B,C), and *b)* survival after peroxide exposure is

determined almost entirely by modifying base-pairing interactions between GsrN and *katG* mRNA.

This stated, the difference in hydrogen peroxide susceptibility between Δ*sigT* and Δ*gsrN* (Figure 1E)

may be explained in part by the fact that *dps* is still expressed in Δ*gsrN.*

Post-transcriptional gene regulation by GsrN is a central feature of the general stress response

Alternative sigma factor regulation is a major mechanism underlying transcriptional reprogramming

in response to stress (Paget, 2015; Staron et al., 2009). Roles for sRNAs in regulation of environmental

adaptation are also well-described (Storz et al., 2011). sRNAs have been implicated in regulation of

the σ^S-dependent general stress pathway of Enterobacteria (Mika and Hengge, 2014), which is

functionally analogous to the Alphaproteobacterial GSR. sRNAs that function downstream of the σ^B

general stress factor in Firmicutes have also been reported (Mader et al., 2016; Mellin and Cossart,

2012). In the case of the σ^S pathway of *E. coli*, different sRNAs function under different conditions to

modulate *rpoS* regulatory output (Repoila et al., 2003). Our data define *Caulobacter* GsrN as a central

regulator of stress physiology that has a major protective effect across distinct conditions. GsrN does

not mitigate stress by feeding back to affect GSR dependent transcription. The effects we report here

are, apparently, purely post-transcriptional and downstream of σ^T. GsrN protects *Caulobacter* from

death under hyperosmotic and peroxide stress conditions via genetically distinct post-transcriptional

mechanisms (Figures 1,8). Thus, transcriptional activation of GsrN by σ^T initiates a downstream post-

transcriptional program that directly affects multiple genes required for stress mitigation.

Quantitative proteomic studies (Figure 8A) demonstrate that GsrN activates and represses protein

expression, either directly or indirectly. In the case of KatG, we have shown that GsrN is among the

rare class of sRNAs (Frohlich and Vogel, 2009) that directly enhance protein expression (Figure 7B).

Our global and directed measurements of mRNA show that *katG* mRNA levels do not change

significantly between Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*[++] strains (Figure 7,8). However, in the presence of peroxide, we

observe significant changes in *katG* mRNA that correlate with changes in KatG protein levels. Our

data suggest a role for GsrN as a regulator of mRNA translation and, perhaps, mRNA stability. In this

way, GsrN may be similar to the sRNAs, DsrA and RhyB (Lease and Belfort, 2000; Prevost et al., 2007).

However, DsrA and RhyB function by uncovering ribosome-binding sites (RBS) in the leaders of their

respective mRNA target. We are unable to predict a location for the RBS in the *katG* mRNA leader,

but note that *katG* is among the 75% of open reading frames (ORFs) in *Caulobacter* that do not

contain a canonical RBS (Schrader et al., 2014).

To our knowledge, no other sRNA deletion mutant has as dramatic a set of stress survival phenotypes

as Δ*gsrN*. The target of GsrN that confers hyperosmotic stress protection remains undefined, but this

phenotype is also likely regulated at the post-transcriptional level (Figure 8D). While the reported

physiological effects of sRNAs are often subtle, GsrN provides a remarkable example of a single post-

transcriptional regulator that exerts a strong influence on multiple, distinct pathways controlling

cellular stress survival.

On GsrN stability and processing

The roles of sRNAs in stress adaptation have been investigated in many species, and a number of

molecular mechanisms underlying sRNA-dependent gene regulation have been described. We have

uncovered a connection between mRNA target site recognition and GsrN stability that presents

challenges in the characterization of GsrN regulatory mechanisms. Specifically, mutations in the *katG*

mRNA leader affect steady-state levels of GsrN (Figure 6D). Given this result, one could envision

scenarios in which changes in transcription of *katG* or some other direct GsrN target could broadly

affect stress susceptibility by altering levels of GsrN and, in turn, the stability of other target mRNAs

in the cell. In short, the concentrations of mRNA targets could affect each other via GsrN. Such effects

should be considered when assessing mRNA target site mutations in this system and others.

GsrN is among a handful of sRNAs that are reported to be post-transcriptionally processed (Figure 3)

(Papenfort and Vanderpool, 2015). Select PP7hp insertions resulted in reduced 5' isoform formation;

PP7hp insertion mutants with low 5' isoform levels did not complement the peroxide viability defect

of Δ*gsrN*. Processing to a short 5' isoform may be necessary for GsrN to bind *katG* mRNA and regulate

KatG expression. Alternatively, cleavage may not be required for function, and lack of

complementation by certain hairpin insertion mutants may be due to PP7hp interfering with target

recognition or simply reducing total levels of GsrN. Regardless, our data clearly show that GsrN is

cleaved in a regular fashion to yield a 5' isoform that is very stable in the cell (Figure 3- figure

supplement 1) and is sufficient to protect *Caulobacter* from hydrogen peroxide treatment (Figure 4B).

Our understanding of the role of RNA metabolism in sRNA-dependent gene regulation is limited, and

GsrN provides a good model to investigate mechanisms by which mRNA target levels and sRNA and

mRNA processing control of gene expression.

***Caulobacter* GSR and the cell cycle**

The transcription of *sigT*, *gsrN,* and several other genes in the GSR regulon are cell cycle regulated

(Fang et al., 2013; Laub et al., 2000; McGrath et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2015), with highest expression

during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition, when cells initiate DNA replication and growth (Figure

1C). GSR activation during this period potentially protects cells from endogenous stressors that arise

from upregulation of anabolic systems required for growth and replication. In the future, it is of

interest to explore the hypothesis that the GSR system provides both basal protection against

endogenous stressors generated as a function of normal metabolism, and induced protection against

particular stressors (e.g. hyperosmotic stress) encountered in the external environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Contact For Reagent And Resource Sharing

Sean Crosson

The University of Chicago

929 E. 57th St. – GCIS W138

Chicago, IL 60637

scrosson@uchicago.edu

Experimental Model And Subject Details

Growth Media and Conditions

C. crescentus was cultivated on peptone-yeast extract (PYE)-agar (0.2% peptone, 0.1% yeast extract,

1.5% agar, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$) (Ely, 1991) at 30°C. Antibiotics were used at the following

concentrations on this solid medium: kanamycin 25 µg/ml; tetracycline 1 µg/ml; and chloramphenicol

2 µg/ml.

For liquid culture, *C. crescentus* was cultivated in either PYE or in M2X defined medium (Ely, 1991).

PYE liquid: 0.2%(w/v) peptone, 0.1%(w/v) yeast extract, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, and 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$, autoclaved

before use. M2X defined medium: 0.15% (w/v) xylose, 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.5 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.01 mM Fe

Chelate, and 1x M2 salts, filtered with a 0.22 micron bottle top filter. One liter of 20x M2 stock was

prepared by mixing 17.4g Na_2HPO_4, 10.6 KH_2PO_4, and 10g NH_4Cl. To induce gene expression from the

vanA promoter, 500 µM vanillate (final concentration) was added. Antibiotics were used at the

following concentrations in liquid medium: kanamycin 5 µg/ml, tetracycline 1 µg/ml, nalidixic acid 20

µg/ml, and chloramphenicol 2 µg/ml.

For cultivation of *E. coli* in liquid medium, we used lysogeny broth (LB). Antibiotics were used at the

following concentrations: ampicillin 100 µg/ml, kanamycin 50 µg/ml, tetracycline 12 µg/ml, and

chloramphenicol 20 µg/ml.

Strain construction

All *C. crescentus* experiments were conducted using strain CB15 (Poindexter, 1964) and derivatives

thereof. Plasmids were conjugated into CB15 (Ely, 1991) using the *E. coli* helper strain FC3 (Finan et

al., 1986). Conjugations were performed by mixing the donor *E. coli* strain, FC3, and the CB15

recipient strain in a 1:1:5 ratio. Mixed cells were pelleted for 2 minutes at 15,000xg, resuspended in

100 µL, and spotted on a nonselective PYE-agar plate for 12-24 hours. Exconjugants containing the

desired plasmid were spread on PYE agar containing the plasmid-specified antibiotic for selection.

The antibiotic nalidixic acid (20 µg/ml) was used to counter-select against both *E. coli* strains (helper

and plasmid donor).

Gene deletion and nucleotide substitution strains were generated using the integrating plasmid

pNPTS138 (Ried and Collmer, 1987). pNPTS138 transformation and integration occurs at a

chromosomal site homologous to the insertion sequence in pNPTS138. Exconjugants with pNPTS138

plasmids were selected on PYE agar plates with 5 µg/ml kanamycin; 20 µg/ml nalidixic acid selected

against the *E. coli* donor strain. Single colony exconjugants were inoculated into liquid PYE or M2X for

6-16 hours in a rolling 30°C incubator for non-selective growth. Nonselective liquid growth allows for

a second recombination event to occur, which either restores the native locus or replaces the native

locus with the insertion sequence that was engineered into pNPTS138. Counter-selection for the

second recombination of pNPTS138 was carried out on PYE agar with 3% (w/v) sucrose. This selects

for loss of the *sacB* gene during the second crossover event. Colonies were subjected to PCR

genotyping and/or sequencing to identify to confirm the allele replacement.

Other strains utilized in this study originate from (Herrou et al., 2010), (Purcell et al., 2007), and

(Foreman et al., 2012).

The Δ*gsrN* strains and Δ*sigT* strains were complemented by introducing the gene at an ectopic locus

(either *vanA* or *xylX*) utilizing the integrating plasmids: pMT552, pMT674, and pMT680. pMT674 and

pMT680 carry a chloramphenicol resistance marker gene (*cat*) and pMT552 carries a kanamycin

resistance marker gene (*npt1*) (Thanbichler et al., 2007). pMT552 and pMT674 integrate into the

vanA gene and pMT680 integrates into the *xylX* gene. Transformation of ectopic complementation

plasmids conjugated (as described earlier). Introduction of *gsrN* complementation was done in the

reverse direction of the inducible promoters. Introduction of *katG* was done in-frame in the same

direction of the inducible promoters.

Replicating plasmids pPR9TT and pRKlac290 were conjugated as previously described earlier. pPR9TT

and pRKlac290 were selected using tetracycline and chloramphenicol, respectively.

pMal-MBP-PP7CPHis was transformed into *E. coli* Rosetta by electroporation and plated on LB plates

with ampicillin 100 μg/ml.

Plasmid construction

Plasmid pNPTS138 was used to perform allele replacements and to generate gene deletions (Ried and

Collmer, 1987; West et al., 2002). Primers for in-frame deletions and GeneBlocks (Gblocks) are listed

in Supplementary File 1. Gene fragments were created by splice-overlap-extension and ligated into

the digested pNPTS138 vector at restriction enzyme sites (HindIII, SpeI) or gene fragments were

stitched together using Gibson assembly. pNPTS138 contains a *kan*[R] (*npt1*) antibiotic resistance

marker and the counter-selectable marker gene *sacB*, which encodes levansucrase

Plasmids for *gsrN* genetic complementation experiments carried wild-type or mutant *gsrN* alleles

cloned antisense into a vanillate inducible(*vanA*)-promoter. An in-frame stop codon was designed at a

restriction enzyme site downstream of the *vanA* promoter to ensure translational read-through of

the *vanA* transcript did not disrupt *gsrN* transcription. Tandem *gsrN* alleles (overexpression by

multiple copies of *gsrN*) were constructed using Gblocks with unique ends for Gibson assembly into

pMT552. Plasmids for genetic complementation of the *katG* mutant were constructed by cloning

katG in-frame with the vanillate and xylose-inducible promoters of pMT674 and pMT680,

respectively, at the NdeI and KpnI restriction sites. *katG* complementation plasmids did not include

the 5' untranslated region (UTR) of *katG*.

Beta-galactosidase transcriptional and translational reporters utilized pRKlac290 (Ely, 1991) and

pPR9TT (Santos et al., 2001) replicating plasmids, respectively. Transcriptional reporters of *gsrN*

contained upstream and promoter sequences of *gsrN* cloned into the EcoRI and HindIII sites of

pPRKlac290. Translational reporters of *katG* contained the 191 nucleotides 3' of the annotated *katG*

transcriptional start site (Zhou et al., 2015) cloned into pPR9TT at HindIII and KpnI.

Protein expression plasmid pMal was used to express a maltose binding protein (MBP) fused to the N-

terminus of a Pseudomonas Phage 7 coat protein fused to a His-tag at its C-terminus (to generate

MBP-PP7CP-His). The PP7CPHis protein sequence was amplified out of pET283xFlagPP7CPHis and

inserted into pMal at SalI and EcoRI restriction sites. pET283xFlagPP7CPHis was a gift from Alex

Ruthenburg and originates from Kathleen Collins (Addgene plasmid # 28174).

Experimental Method Details

Hydrogen peroxide/osmotic stress assays

Liquid cultures were passaged several times before stress treatment to insure that population growth

rate and density was as consistent as possible prior to addition of hydrogen peroxide (oxidative

stress) or sucrose (hyperosmotic stress). Briefly, starter cultures were inoculated in liquid M2X

medium from colonies grown on PYE-agar plates. Cultures were grown overnight at 30°C in a rolling

incubator. Overnight cultures were then diluted back to an optical density reading of 0.05 at 660 nm

(OD_{660}=0.05) and grown in a rolling incubator at 30°C for 7-10 hours. After this period, cultures were

re-diluted with M2X to OD_{660}=0.025 and grown for 16 hours at 30°C in a rolling incubator. After this

period, OD_{660} was consistently 0.85-0.90. These cultures were then diluted to OD_{660}=0.05 and grown

for 1 hour and split into two tubes. One tube received stress treatment and the other tube was

untreated. Treated cultures were subjected to either hydrogen peroxide or sucrose.

For stress treatment, we used a freshly prepared 10 mM H_2O_2 solution diluted from a 30% (w/w)

stock bottle (stock never more than 3 months old) or a stock of 80% (w/v) sucrose. The amount of 10

mM H_2O_2 added for stress perturbation depended on the volume of the culture and the desired final

concentration of H_2O_2. Final volumes assessed in our studies are described for each experiment

throughout this manuscript.

Treated cultures and untreated cultures were subsequently tittered (10 µL sample in 90 µL of PYE) by

initially diluting into 96-well plates. 5 µL spots from each dilution were plated on PYE-agar. Once

spots dried, plates were incubated at 30°C for 2 days. Clearly visible colonies begin to form after 36

hours in the incubator.

589 The difference in colony forming units (CFU) between treated and untreated cultures was calculated

590 using the following formula:

$$Relative\ CFU = \frac{Treated\ CFU \times 10^x}{Untreated\ CFU \times 10^y} \qquad (1)$$

Where x represents the countable (resolvable) dilution in which colonies are found in the treated

sample dilution series and y represents the untreated sample dilution.

β-galactosidase gene expression reporter assays

To assess reporter gene expression, liquid cultures were passaged several times as described in the

hydrogen peroxide/osmotic stress assays section above. However, cultures were placed in a 30°C

shaker instead of a 30°C rolling incubator. Exponential phase cultures were harvested when the last

starter culture (i.e., the OD_{660}=0.05 culture at the 16 hour time point) reached an OD_{660} of 0.2-0.25.

Saturated growth cultures were harvested when the exponential phase culture reached an OD660 of

0.85-0.90. Reporter assays in which the effect of stress treatment was quantified were conducted on

exponential phase cultures that were split immediately before treatment.

β-galactosidase activity from chloroform-permeabilized cells was measured using the colorimetric

substrate o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG). 1 mL enzymatic reactions contained 200-250

μL of chloroform-permeabilized cells, 550-600 μL of Z-buffer (60 mM Na_2HPO_4, 40 mM NaH_2PO_4, 10

mM KCl, 1 mM $MgSO_4$), and 200 μL of 4 mg/mL ONPG in 0.1 M KPO_4, pH 7.0. Chloroform-

permeabilized cell samples were prepared from 100-150 μL of culture, 100 μL of PYE, and 50 μL of

chloroform (chloroform volume is not included in the final calculation of the 1 mL reaction).

Chloroform-treated cells were vortexed for 5-10 seconds to facilitate permeabilization. Z buffer and

ONPG were added directly to chloroform-permeabilized cells. Reactions were incubated in the dark at

room temperature and quenched with 1 mL of 1 M Na_2CO_3.

Each reporter construct was optimized with different reaction times and different volumes of cells.

Reaction time and volume for each reporter was empirically determined by the development of the

yellow pigment from chloroform-permeabilized *C. crescentus* CB15 cultures. Strains harboring the

pRKlac290 transcriptional reporter plasmid containing the established GSR promoter reporter P$_{sigU}$ or

P$_{gsrN}$ used 100 µL of cells and were quenched after 10 minutes and 18 minutes, respectively. Strains

containing pRKlac290 with the *katG* promoter (P$_{katG}$) used 150 µL of cells and were quenched after 12

minutes. Strains with the translational reporter plasmid pPR9TT containing the 5'UTR of *katG* (wild-

type and RS constructs) used 150 µL of cells and were quenched after 4 minutes.

Miller units were calculated as:

$$MU = \frac{A_{420} \times 1000}{A_{660} \times t \times v} \tag{2}$$

Where A_{420} is the absorbance of the quenched reaction measured at 420 nm on a Spectronic Genesys

20 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). A_{660} is the optical density of the

culture of cells used for the assay. t is time in minutes between the addition of ONPG to the time of

quenching with Na_2CO_3. v is the volume in milliliters of the culture added to the reaction.

TRIzol RNA extractions

Cultures used for the extraction of RNA were passaged in the same manner outlined in the hydrogen

peroxide/osmotic stress assays section above. Exponential phase cultures were harvested from the

last starter (i.e., the OD$_{660}$=0.05 culture at the 16 hour time point) when it reached an OD$_{660}$ of 0.20-

0.25. Saturated cultures were harvested when the final culture diluted to $OD_{660}=0.025$ reached an

OD_{660} of 0.85-0.90.

Exponential phase cultures (OD_{660} of 0.20-0.25) harvested for extraction of RNA were pelleted at

15000xg for 3 minutes at ≈23°C (i.e. room temperature). Early saturated cultures (OD_{660} of 0.85-0.90)

were also pelleted at 15000xg for 30 seconds at ≈23°C. All media were aspirated using a vacuum

flask. Cell pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of TRIzol™. The TRIzol resuspension was heated for 10

minutes at 65°C, treated with 200 µL of chloroform and hand shaken. The chloroform mixture was

allowed to stand for 5 minutes and then spun down at 15000xg for 15 minutes at 4°C. Approximately

500 µL of clear aqueous phase was extracted and mixed with 500 µL of 100% isopropanol. Samples

were then incubated at -20°C overnight. Overnight isopropanol precipitation was then spun down at

15000xg for 15 minutes at 4°C. Isopropanol was aspirated, the pellet was washed in 1mL of 75%

ethanol, and sample was spun down at 15000xg for 15 minutes at 4°C. Ethanol was removed from

pellet, and the pellet was left to dry for 15 minutes. The RNA pellet was resuspended in 25 µL of

nuclease-free H_2O.

Radiolabeled Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides were radiolabeled with T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (PNK). 10µL labeling reactions

were composed of 1µL of PNK, 1µL PNK 10x Buffer, 2µL of 5 µM oligonucleotides (1 µM final

concentration), 4µL H_2O, and 2 µL ATP, [γ-32P]. Reactions were incubated for a minimum of 37°C for

30 minutes. Total reactions were loaded onto a BioRad P-6 column to clean the reaction.

Radiolabeled samples were stored at 4°C.

Northern Blots

RNA samples were resolved on a 10% acrylamide:bisacrylamide (29:1), 7 M urea, 89 mM Tris Borate

pH 8.3, 2 mM Na_2EDTA (TBE) 17 by 15 cm gel, run for 1 hour and 50 minutes at 12 Watts constant

power in TBE running buffer. The amount of sample loaded was between 1-5 µg of RNA, mixed in a

1:1 ratio with 2x RNA loading dye (9 M urea, 100 mM EDTA, 0.02% w/v xylene cyanol, 0.02% w/v

bromophenol blue). Samples were heated for 8 minutes at 75°C and then subjected to an ice bath for

1 minute before loading. Acrylamide gels with immobilized samples were then soaked in TBE buffer

with ethidium bromide and imaged. Samples immobilized on the gel were transferred onto Zeta-

Probe Blotting Membrane with a Trans-Blot® SD Semi-Dry Transfer Cell. Transfer was done at 400 mA

constant current with voltage not exceeding 25V for 2 hours. Membrane was then subjected to two

doses of 120 mJ/cm^2 UV radiation, using a Stratalinker UV cross-linker. Membranes were

subsequently prehybridized 2 times for 30 minutes in hybridization buffer at 65°C in a rotating

hybridization oven. Hybridization buffer is a variation of the Church and Gilbert hybridization buffer

(20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7, 300 mM NaCl, 1% SDS). Blots were hybridized with hybridization

buffer containing the radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes described above. Hybridization buffer was

always prepared so that GsrN probe concentration was approximately 1 nM, 5S rRNA probe

concentration was approximately 2 pM, and tRNA-Tyr probe was 500 pM. Hybridization took place

over 16 hours at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven. Membranes were then incubated with wash

buffer three times for 20 minutes at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven. Wash buffer contained 20

mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.2), 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS. Membranes were then

wrapped in plastic wrap and placed directly against a Molecular Dynamics Phosphor Screen. Screens

were imaged with Personal Molecular Imager™ (PMI™) System. Membrane exposure time was

determined using a Geiger counter: 100x 2 minutes, 10x 30-60 minutes, 1.0x 8-16 hours, 0.1x 48-72

hours.

Intensity of GsrN bands or *katG* mRNA dots was calculated by dividing the probe signal specific to

GsrN or *katG* mRNA over the probe signal specific to the 5S rRNA multiplied by 100. Normalization of

katG mRNA specific probes in the dot blot was carried out in a manner similar to that described for

Northern blot, in which the 5S rRNA probe signal was used for normalization.

$$Normalized\ volume_x = \frac{volume_x(CNT * mm^2)}{volume_{5s\ rRNA\ probe}(CNT * mm^2)} \tag{3}$$

Rifampicin transcription inhibition assays

Liquid *C. crescentus* CB15 cultures were passaged in the same manner outlined in the hydrogen

peroxide/osmotic stress assays section. However, cells for transcription inhibition assays were grown

to an OD_{660} of 0.2-0.25 from the last starter culture (i.e., inoculated from the OD_{660}=0.05 culture from

16 hour growth) and split across 6 tubes and labeled: untreated, 30 second treatment, 2 minute

treatment, 4 minute treatment, 8 minute treatment, and 16 minute treatment. Untreated cultures

were the 0 time point where no rifampicin was added. Rifampicin treated cultures were subjected to

a final concentration of 10 µg/mL (from a 10 mg/mL stock in methanol) and were grown in a rolling

incubator at 30°C. The 30 second rifampicin treatment refers to the centrifugation time (15000xg for

30 seconds at room temperature) to pellet the cells. Thus, the 30 second sample was immediately

pelleted after exposure to rifampicin. 2 minute, 4 minute, 8 minute, and 16 minute samples were

placed into a rolling incubator after exposure and were removed 30 seconds prior to their indicated

time point, (i.e. 2 minute culture was removed from the incubator at 1 minute and 30 seconds).

Pellets were then subjected to TRIzol extraction as described earlier. RNA extracts were subjected to

Northern Blot analysis as described earlier.

Intensity of full-length and 5'isoform of GsrN bands were first adjusted to the intensity of the 5S rRNA

control, as described in Equation 3. To plot the GsrN decay curve, all adjusted bands were then

divided by the intensity of the 0 time point (untreated culture) and plotted in Prism v6.04.

$$Normalized\ timepoint\ volume_t \ = \frac{Normalized\ volume_t}{Normalized\ volume_0} \qquad (4)$$

Primer extension

Primer extension was carried out using the SuperScript™ IV Reverse Transcriptase standalone

enzyme. Total RNA from $gsrN^{++}$ and $\Delta gsrN$ strains was extracted from saturated cultures (OD_{660}=0.95-

1.0) as described in the TRIzol extraction section. Primers for extension were first HPLC purified

(Integrated DNA technologies) and radiolabeled as described in the Radiolabeled Oligonucleotides

section.

Briefly, 14 µL annealing reactions comprised of the following final concentrations/amounts: 0.1 µM of

gene specific radiolabeled primer, 0.3-0.5 mM of dNTPs, 2 µg of total RNA, and when necessary 0.5

mM ddNTPs. ddNTP reactions had a 3 dNTP:5 ddNTP ratio and were conducted using total RNA from

$gsrN^{++}$. Annealing reactions were incubated at 65°C for 5 minutes and subsequently incubated on ice

for at least 1 minute.

Extension reactions contained 14 µL annealing reactions with 6 µL of SuperScript™ IV Reverse

Transcriptase master mix (final concentrations/amount 5 mM DTT, 2.0 U/µL, 1x SSIV buffer).

Reactions were incubated at 50–55°C for 10 minutes and then incubated at 80°C for 10 minutes to

inactivate the reaction.

After the extension reaction, 1 μL of RNase H was added to the mixture. This was incubated at 37°C

for 20 minutes and mixed with 20 μL of 2x RNA loading dye. Reactions were subsequently heated for

8 minutes at 80°C, subjected to an ice bath for 1 minute, and loaded onto a 33.8 by 19.7 cm 20%

acrylamide:bisacrylamide gel (as outlined in the Northern Blot section). Reactions were loaded on the

gel along with a labeled Low Molecular Weight Marker (10-100 nt; Affymetrix/USB). Final amounts

loaded were estimated using a Geiger counter, such that 10 mR/hr was loaded for each sample.

Primer extension samples were resolved on the gel at 10 Watts constant power until unextended

primer reached the bottom of the gel. The acrylamide gel was wrapped in plastic, exposed, and

imaged as outlined in the Northern Blot section.

Affinity purification of GsrN using a PP7hp-PP7cp system

GsrN constructs containing a Pseudomonas phage 7 RNA hairpin (PP7hp) sequence were affinity

purified using a hairpin-binding phage coat protein (PP7cp) immobilized on agarose beads. To

prepare the coat protein, a 50 mL culture of *E. coli* Rosetta carrying an expression plasmid for PP7cp

fused to maltose binding protein (MBP) at its N-terminus and a His-tag at its C-terminus (pMal-PP7cp-

HIS) was grown at 37°C in a shaking incubator overnight in LB-ampicillin broth. Overnight cultures

were rediluted and grown to OD_{600}=0.6. Cells were then induced with 1mM IPTG for 5 hours and spun

down at 8000g at 4°C for 10 minutes. The cell pellet was resuspended in 6 mL of ice-cold lysis buffer

(125 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM Imidazole) and mechanically lysed in a LV1

Microfluidizer. Lysate was immediately added to 500 μL of amylose resin slurry that was prewashed

with ice-cold lysis buffer. After the sample was loaded, beads were washed in 50x bead volume

(~10mL) of ice-cold lysis buffer.

A 50 mL culture of *C. crescentus* Δ*gsrN* carrying plasmid pMT552 expressing PP7hp-tagged alleles of

gsrN was grown at 30°C in a shaking incubator overnight in M2X medium. The culture was prepared

from a starter and passaged as outlined in the hydrogen peroxide/osmotic stress assays section. Cells

were grown to an OD_{660}=0.85-0.90. Cells were spun down at 8000g at 4°C for 15 minutes,

resuspended in 6 mL of ice-cold lysis buffer, and mechanically lysed in a LV1 Microfluidizer. Lysate

was immediately loaded onto a column of amylose resin on which pMal-PP7cp-HIS had been

immobilized. After the sample was loaded, beads were washed in 50x bead volume (~10mL) of ice-

cold lysis buffer. Elution of MBP-PP7cp-HIS bound to GsrN-PP7hp and associated biomolecules was

completed over three 0.5 mL elution steps using 500 mM maltose. Each 0.5 mL elution was then

mixed with equal volumes of acid-phenol for RNA extraction for RNA analysis, or equal volumes of

SDS-Loading Buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 400 mM DTT, 8% SDS, 0.4% bromophenol blue, 40%

glycerol) for protein analysis. For the RNA analysis, the three elution fractions were combined in an

isopropanol precipitation step. RNA samples were subjected to DNase treatment as outlined in the

RNA-seq sequencing section.

Acid-Phenol RNA extraction

Samples for acid-phenol extractions were mixed with equal volumes of acid-phenol and vortexed

intermittently at room temperature for 10 minutes. Phenol mixture was spun down for 15 minutes at

maximum speed at 4°C. The aqueous phase was extracted, cleaned with an equal volume of

chloroform, and spun down for 15 minutes at maximum speed at 4°C. The aqueous phase was

extracted from the organic and equal volumes of 100% isopropanol were added. Linear acrylamide

was added to the isopropanol precipitation to improve pelleting (1 µL per 100 µL of isopropanol

sample). Samples were then incubated at -20°C overnight and spun down at 15000xg for 15 minutes

at 4°C. The isopropanol was aspirated, the pellet washed in 1 mL of 75% ethanol, and sample spun

again at 15000xg for 15 minutes at 4°C. Ethanol was removed from the RNA pellet, and pellet was left

to dry for 15 minutes. Pellet was resuspended in 25 µL of nuclease-free H_2O.

RNA dot blot analysis

Samples (≈3 µg) for dot blot analysis were mixed with equal volumes of 2x RNA loading dye as in a

Northern Blot, and heated for 8 minutes at 75°C. Samples were then spotted on a Zeta-Probe Blotting

Membrane and left to dry for 30 minutes. Spotted membrane was then subjected to two doses of

120 mJ/cm^2 UV radiation (Stratalinker UV crosslinker). The membrane was then prehybridized 2

times for 30 minutes in hybridization buffer at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven. After pre-

hybridization, we added radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes. Hybridization buffer with probes was

always prepared so that each probe's concentration was approximately 1 nM. *katG* mRNA was first

hybridized for 16 hours at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven. Membrane was then washed with

wash buffer three times, 20 minutes each at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven. The blot was

exposed for 48 hours to a Molecular Dynamics Phosphor screen and imaged on a Personal Molecular

Imager as described above. Membrane was subsequently stripped with two rounds of boiling in 0.1%

SDS solution and incubated for 30 minutes at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven. Following

stripping, the membrane was subjected to two rounds of prehybridization and then hybridized for 16

hours at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven with the probe specific to the 5' end of GsrN.

Membrane was then washed again with wash buffer three times for 20 minutes each at 65°C in a

rotating hybridization oven. This GsrN blot was exposed for 36 hours to the phosphor screen and

imaged. The membrane was stripped four times after GsrN probe exposure. Following stripping,

membrane was again subjected to two rounds of prehybridization and then hybridized for 16 hours at

65°C in a rotating hybridization oven with the probe specific to 5S rRNA. Membrane washed with

Wash Buffer three times, 20 minutes each at 65°C in a rotating hybridization oven. This 5S RNA blot

was exposed to the phosphor screen for 1 hour and imaged.

Western Blot analysis

Strains from which protein samples were prepared for Western blot analysis were grown and

passaged as outlined in the hydrogen peroxide/osmotic stress assays section. However, cultures were

taken from the overnight 16-hour growth when OD_{660} reached 0.85-0.90. 1 mL of these cultures was

then pelleted, resuspended in 125 μL of Western blot buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, and 5

μg/mL of DNase), and mixed with 125 μL SDS-Loading buffer. Samples were boiled at 85°C for 10

minutes, and 10-20 μL of each sample was loaded onto a Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Gradient Gel (4-

20%) with Precision Plus Protein™ Kaleidoscope™ Prestained Protein Standards. Samples were

resolved at 35 mA constant current in SDS running buffer (0.3% Tris, 18.8% Glycine, 0.1% SDS). Gels

were run until the 25 kDa marker reached the bottom of the gel. Gel was transferred to an

Immobilon®-P PVDF Membrane using a Mini Trans-Blot® Cell after preincubation in Western transfer

buffer (0.3% Tris, 18.8% Glycine, 20% methanol). Transfer was carried out at 4°C, 100 V for 1 hour and

20 minutes in Western transfer buffer. The membrane was then blocked in 5% (w/v) powdered milk

in Tris-buffered Saline Tween (TBST: 137 mM NaCl, 2.3 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 0.1% (v/v) of

Tween 20) overnight at room temperature on a rotating platform. Primary incubation with a

DYKDDDDK(i.e. M2)-Tag Monoclonal Antibody (clone FG4R) was carried out for 3 hours in 5%

powdered milk TBST at room temperature on a rotating platform (4 µL antibody in 12 mL).

Membrane was then washed 3 times in TBST for 15 minutes each at room temperature on a rotating

platform. Secondary incubation with Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, HRP was for 1

hour at room temperature on a rotating platform (3 µL antibody in 15 mL). Finally, membrane was

washed 3 times in TBST for 15 minutes each at room temperature on a rotating platform.

Chemiluminescence was performed using the SuperSignal™ West Femto Maximum Sensitivity

Substrate and was imaged using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System version 6.0. Chemiluminescence

was measured using the ChemSens program with an exposure time of ~2 minutes.

Western blot lane normalization of KatG-M2 specific bands was conducted by normalizing total signal

from the doublet signal in the M2 specific background to that of the non-specific band (found in

strains were there was no M2 tagged KatG). Samples extracted on the same day were run on the

same gel. Lane normalized samples were then normalized to the levels of KatG-M2 signal in the wild-

type untreated samples for that specific gel.

$$Lane\ Normalized\ volume_x = \frac{Volume\ of\ top_x + Volume\ of\ bottom_x}{Volume\ of\ non-specific_x} \tag{5}$$

$$WT\ Normalized\ volume_x = \frac{Lane\ Normalized\ volume_x}{Lane\ Normalized\ volume_{untreated\ WT}} \tag{6}$$

RNA-seq preparation

Total RNA was extracted from cultures passaged similarly to the hydrogen peroxide/osmotic stress

assays section. However, cultures were harvested at OD_{660}=0.85-0.90 from the 16-hour overnight

growth. Total RNA extraction followed the procedure outlined in the TRIzol extraction section.

Resuspended RNA pellets after the 75% ethanol wash were loaded onto an RNeasy Mini Kit column

(100 µL sample, 350 µL RLT, 250 µL 100% ethanol). Immobilized RNA was then subjected to an on-

column DNase digestion with TURBO™ DNase. DNase treatment was repeated twice on the same

column; each incubation was 30 minutes at 30°C with 70 µL solutions of DNase Turbo (7 µL DNase, 7

µL 10x Buffer, 56 µL diH$_2$O). RNA was eluted from column, rRNA was depleted using Ribo-Zero rRNA

Removal (Gram-negative bacteria) Kit (Epicentre). RNA-seq libraries were prepared with an Illumina

TruSeq stranded RNA kit according to manufacturer's instructions. The libraries were sequenced on

an Illumina HiSeq 4000 at the University of Chicago Functional Genomics Facility.

Soluble protein extraction for LC-MS/MS proteomics

Total soluble protein for proteomic measurements was extracted from cultures passaged similarly to

the hydrogen peroxide/osmotic stress assays section. However, harvested cultures were grown to an

OD$_{660}$=0.85-0.90 in 50 mL of M2X during the 16-hour overnight growth in a 30°C shaking incubator.

Cells were spun down at 8000g at 4°C for 15 minutes. Cells were resuspended in 6 mL of ice-cold lysis

buffer. Cells were mechanically lysed in LV1 Microfluidizer. Lysate was then spun down at 8000g at

4°C for 15 minutes. Protein samples were resolved on a 12% MOPS buffered 1D Gel (Thermo

Scientific) for 10 minutes at 200V constant. Gel was stained with Imperial Protein stain (Thermo

Scientific), and a ~2 cm plug was digested with trypsin. Detailed trypsin digestion and peptide

extraction by the facility is published in (Truman et al., 2012).

LC-MS/MS data collection and analysis

Samples for analysis were run on an electrospray tandem mass spectrometer (Thermo Q-Exactive

Orbitrap), using a 70,000 RP survey scan in profile mode, m/z 360-2000 Fa, with lockmasses, followed

by 20 MSMS HCD fragmentation scans at 17,500 resolution on doubly and triply charged precursors.

Single charged ions were excluded, and ions selected for MS/MS were placed on an exclusion list for

60s (Truman et al., 2012).

Computational Methods

Network construction

RNAseq data (15 read files) was obtained from the NCBI GEO database from (Fang et al., 2013). Read

files are comprised of 3 biological replicates of total RNA extracted from *C. crescentus* cultures at 5

time points across the cell cycle (0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes post synchrony). Reads were mapped

and quantified with Rockhopper 2.0 (Tjaden, 2015). The estimated expression levels of each gene

across the 5 time points were extracted from the "Expression" column in the "_transcripts.txt" file,

using the "verbose" output. Expression of each gene across the 5 time points was normalized using

python scripts as follows: for a given gene, the normalized expression of the gene at a time point, t, is

divided by the sum of the gene's expression across all the time points, Equation 7. Thus the sum of a

gene's normalized expression across the 5 time points would equal 1.

$$Let\ t\ \in T, where\ T = \{0, 30, 60, 90, 120\}$$

$$Normalized\ Transcript_t = \frac{Expression_t}{\sum^T Expression_t} \qquad (7)$$

We computed Pearson's correlation coefficient based on normalized expression between all pairwise

combinations of genes. Correlation coefficients were organized into a numpy.matrix data structure

where each row and column corresponds to the same gene order. Correlation coefficients less than 0

were not considered for this analysis and were assigned the value 0. We refer to this matrix as the

Rho-matrix. The Rho-matrix is symmetric and the product of its diagonal is 1. The Rho-matrix

represents the weighted edges of the network, where the value of 0 demonstrates no edge is drawn

between nodes.

A one-dimensional weight matrix that corresponds to the rows and columns of the Rho-matrix was

constructed as a numpy.matrix data structure with all values initialized at 0. Lastly, a key array was

constructed in conjunction with the Rho-matrix and weight-matrix for initializing the assignment of

weight and obtaining the final weights of the algorithm. The weight-matrix represents the weight of

the nodes of the network and the key matrix represents the gene name of the node.

Iterative Ranking: Matrices and Algorithms

Iterative ranking algorithms are a class of analytical tools used to understand relationships between

nodes of a given network. The iterative ranking algorithm used to dissect the general stress response

in the transcription-based network follows:

Given the Rho-matrix (P) and weight-matrix (f), the weight-matrix after t-iterations is Equation 8.

$$f^t = \propto f^0 + (1-\propto)Pf^{t-1} \tag{8}$$

893   For Equation 8, let $\propto$ represent a dampening factor applied to the initialize $(t) = 0$ weight of the

894   nodes, f^0. The final weights of the weight-matrix as $t \rightarrow \infty$ converge to a stable solution, Equation 9.

$$f^\infty = \propto [I - (1-\propto)P]^{-1} f^0 \tag{9}$$

Algorithm and solution information was adapted from (Wang and Marcotte, 2010).

Initial weight-matrix, (f^0), was created by assigning the weight 1.0 to the corresponding positions of

the seven genes known to regulate the General Stress Response (GSR) of *C. crescentus*: *sigT*, *phyR*,

phyK, sigU, nepR, lovR, and *lovK*. Normalization of the values of the Rho-matrix, P, was performed by

normalizing each column such that each column has a sum equal to 1 and then repeating the same

normalization process by rows.

Iterative rank parameter tuning

Iterative rank parameters were optimized through the self-prediction of known associated

components of the General Stress Response (GSR). Variables tuned for exploration were the $\propto$

parameter and the reduction of the number of edges based on correlation cut-offs. We chose to base

our parameters on which condition best predicted the gene *phyR*, when initializing the weight-matrix

with *sigT, sigU, nepR, phyK, lovR,* and *lovK* values of 1. Varying these two parameters showed that an

edge reduction of $\rho > 0.9$ and an alpha factor greater than 0.5 yielded the highest rank for *phyR*

(Figure 1- figure supplement 1A).

A $\rho > 0.9$ edge reduction reduces the number of edges each node has (Figure 1- figure supplement

1B). The total number of edges was reduced from 10225998 edges to 946558 (Figure 1- figure

supplement 1C). Only 19 nodes (.46%) were completely disconnected from the network (zero number

of edges). Tuning script is available at https://github.com/mtien/IterativeRank.

Identification of σ^T-promoter motifs

Motif finder utilized a python script that scans 200 nucleotides upstream of annotated transcriptional

start sites (Zhou et al., 2015) or predicted translational start sites (TSS) (Marks et al., 2010).

We built a simple python library to take in genomic FASTA files, find specified regions of interest, and

extract 200 nucleotides from a given strand. We used the *Caulobacter crescentus* NA1000 annotation

(CP001340) from NCBI as the input genomic file and used the predicted TSS (when available) or

annotated gene start sites as the region and strand specifier. After locating the position and strand

within the file, we extracted the 200 nucleotides directly upstream of the site of interest and put the

regions into a character-match calculator. Our simple calculator reported a list of positions for -35

(GGAAC) and for -10 elements (CGTT) of σ^T-dependent promoters within the 200-nucleotide input

string. Only strict matches to these elements were reported. Spacers were calculated between all

pairwise -35 and -10 matches. We identified potential σ^T-dependent promoters by identifying

consensus -35 to -10 sequences with 15-17 base spacing. Sequence logos were generated from

(Crooks et al., 2004)

IntaRNA analysis

IntaRNA version 2.0.2 is a program within the Freiberg RNA Tools collection (Mann et al., 2017). To

predict likely RNA-RNA associations between predicted unstructured regions within GsrN and its RNA

targets, we input the sequence of GsrN as the query ncRNA sequence and a FASTA file of either: 1)

windows significantly enriched in the GsrN(37)-PP7hp purification from our sliding window analysis

with an additional 100 base pairs (50 bp on each side of the window) or 2) entire gene windows that

showed significant enrichment from our Rockhopper analysis (Figure 5 – source table 3).

Output from IntaRNA comprised a csv file of target binding sites and the corresponding GsrN binding

sites. We extract the predicted binding sites of the targets with a python script and parsed the targets

into those predicted to bind the first exposed loop and the second exposed loop. Sequence logos

were generated from (Crooks et al., 2004)

Phylogenetic tree construction

A 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree of Alphaproteobacteria was constructed by extracting 16S rRNA

sequences for all species listed in Figure S7A and using the tree building package in Geneious 11.0.2

(Kearse et al., 2012). The tree was constructed using a global alignment with free end gaps and a cost

matrix of 65% similarity (5.0/~4.0). The genetic distance model was the Tamura-Nei and the tree

building method employed was neighbor-joining. *E. litoralis* was the out-group for tree construction.

Prediction of *gsrN* homologs

A homology search based on the sequence of GsrN was conducted using BLASTn (Altschul et al.,

1990). This simple search provided a list of clear GsrN homologs in the Caulobacteraceae family

(*Caulobacter*, *Brevundimonas*, and *Phenylobacterium*).

Identification of homologs in other genera relied on analysis of published transcriptomic data,

searching specifically for gene expression from intergenic regions. Analyzed data included *Rhizobium*

etli (Jans et al., 2013), *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (Valverde et al., 2008) and *Brucella abortus* (Kim et al.,

2014). The prediction of GsrN homologs in *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* and *Bradyrhizobium*

diazoefficiens is completely based on the proximity of a GsrN-like sequence to the GSR locus and the

presence of a σ^{ecfG}-binding site in the predicted promoters of these predicted genes.

Mapping reads from RNA-seq data

RNA-seq read files (fastQ) were aligned with sequence files (fastA) using bowtie 2.0 (Langmead and

Salzberg, 2012). SAMTools was then used to calculate the depth and coverage of each nucleotide in

the hit output file from bowtie 2.0 (Li et al., 2009). Normalization of reads per nucleotide was

computed by normalizing each count to the per million total number of reads mapped to all of the

CP001340.1 genome. Normalized reads per nucleotide was then plotted in Prism v6.04 where

standard error and mean were calculated.

RNA-seq analysis of mRNAs that co-elute with GsrN

RNA-seq read files (fastQ) from the three replicate GsrN(37)::PP7hp purifications and duplicate

PP7hp-GsrN-3' purifications were quantified and analyzed with Rockhopper 2.0 (Tjaden, 2015). Reads

were mapped to modified *C. crescentus* genome files (fastA, PTT, RNT) where the wild-type *gsrN*

locus was replaced with the sequence of *gsrN(37)-PP7hp*. Using the "verbose output" option and the

resulting "transcripts.txt" file, we pruned the dataset to find genes that had low FDR values ("qValue"

< .05), were significantly enriched in GsrN(37)::PP7 ("Expression GsrN(37)-PP7hp" > "Expression

PP7hp-GsrN-3'"), and had a high total number of reads that mapped to GsrN(37)::PP7 ("Expression

GsrN(37)-PP7hp" >1000). This analysis provided a list of 35 candidate genes (Figure 5-source data 1).

The Rockhopper analysis package organizes reads into IGV (integrative Genomic Viewer) files. Upon

visual inspection and spot validation of the 35 candidates in IGV, we found 26 genes with consistently

higher signal across the three GsrN(37)::PP7hp purifications relative to PP7hp-GsrN-3' control

fractions. In some cases, reads mapped outside coding sequences. Such reads mapped proximal to

the 5' end of annotated genes and to intergenic regions. We observed uneven read distribution

across some annotated genes. Cases in which reads were not evenly distributed across a gene were

typically not classified as significantly different from the control samples in "Expression" or "qValue"

by Rockhopper even when a clear bias in read density was visually evident (most often at the 5' end

of the gene).

As a second approach, we performed a systematic window annotation analysis to capture the

unaccounted read density differences between the two purified fractions (GsrN(37)::PP7hp and the

PP7hp-GsrN-3' negative control). Windows were generated by *in silico* fragmentation of the *C.*

crescentus NA1000 genome sequence, designating 25 base pair windows across the genome. We

prepared new annotated window files (FASTA, PTT, RNT) for wild-type, *gsrN(37)-PP7hp,* and *PP7hp-*

gsrN-3'. The window identification number corresponds to the same sequence across the three

different FASTA sequences.

1001

1002 Mapping and quantification of reads to these windows was conducted using the EDGE-pro analysis

1003 pipeline (Magoc et al., 2013). A caveat of EDGE-pro quantification is the potential misattribution of

1004 reads to input windows. EDGE-pro quantification does not take strand information into account when

1005 mapping reads to input windows.

1006

Read quantification of the *gsrN(37)::PP7hp* purifications showed consistent differences in one of the

three samples. *gsrN(37)::PP7hp* sample 1 contained 2.69% reads mapped to *gsrN(37)-PP7hp* while

sample 2 and 3 had 15.78% and 14.04% mapped to *gsrN(37)-PP7hp* respectively. Additionally, we

observed that sample 1 had several genes that were strongly enriched in sample 1 and not in sample

2 and 3. Thus we employed a metric to balance the discrepancies between the three separate

purifications. To minimize potential false positives, we calculated the average of all three samples and

the average of samples 2 and 3. If the total average was 1.5 times greater than the sample 2 and 3

average, we assumed that the sample 1 artificially raised the average RPKM value and did not

consider any data from any of the purifications in that specific window. The total window population

decreased from 161713 windows to 109648 windows after this correction. This process is reflected in

the https://github.com/mtien/Sliding_window_analysis script "remove_high_variant_windows.py".

1018

From the RPKM values calculated with EDGE-pro, we used the R-package, DESeq (Anders and Huber,

2010), to assess statistically significant differences between windows of expression. Candidate

windows enriched in the GsrN(37)::PP7 fractions were identified using metrics similar to what is

applied to traditional RNA-seq data. Briefly, we identified windows that had a low p-values (pvalue<

.10), were enriched in the GsrN(37)::PP7 ("baseMean GsrN(37)-PP7hp" > "baseMean PP7hp"), and

had a high level of reads mapped to the gene in the GsrN(37)::PP7 ("baseMean GsrN(37)-PP7hp"

>1000) (Figure 5-source data 2). Since the read density of windows from the total RNA extracted from

the PP7-purification did not converge when estimating dispersion with a general linear model, we

added total RNA seq read density from wild-type strains grown in stationary phase to help model the

dispersion for the negative binomial analysis by DESeq, GSE106168.

1029

1030   Adjacent significant windows were then combined and mapped onto the annotated genome of *C.*

1031   *crescentus*. In order to correct for strand information lost in EDGE-pro quantitation, bowtie file

1032   information was used to define the strand of reads mapped to combined significant windows (Table

1033   1).

1034

1035   **RNA-seq processing of total RNA**

1036   Analysis of whole genome RNA-seq data was conducted using the CLC Genomics Workbench

1037   (Qiagen). Reads were mapped to the *C. crescentus* NA1000 genome (accession CP001340.1) (Marks et

1038   al., 2010). Differential expression was determined using Wald test in the CLC Workbench suite (Figure

1039   8- source table 2).

1040

LC-MS/MS processing of total soluble protein

Raw files of LC-MS/MS data collected on wild-type, Δ*gsrN, and gsrN*$^{++}$ were processed using the

MaxQuant software suitev1.5.1.2 (Cox et al., 2014). Samples were run against a FASTA file of proteins

from the UniProt database (UP000001364) and standard contaminants. The label free quantitation

(LFQ) option was turned on. Fixed modification included carbamidomethyl (C) and variable

modifications were acetyl or formyl (N-term) and oxidation (M). Protein group files were created for

three comparisons: wild-type versus Δ*gsrN*, Δ*gsrN* versus *gsrN*$^{++}$, and wild-type versus *gsrN*$^{++}$

samples.

1049

LFQ values for each protein group were compiled across all three runs and used as estimated protein

quantities in our analyses (Figure 8A). Each strain had a total of 6 LFQ values for every protein group,

2 from each of the comparisons. Average LFQ values were only calculated if 3 or more LFQ values

were found for a given protein group. This allowed for protein groups that had a sufficient amount of

signal across all the samples and analyses to be considered for comparison. Once averages for each

protein group were calculated, we calculated the fold change between samples from different

backgrounds by dividing the averages and taking the log-2 transformation (log2Fold).

1057

Multiple t-tests were conducted using all 6 LFQ value obtained across the three MaxQuant runs. We

used the multiple t-test analysis from GraphPad Prism version 6.04 for MacOS, GraphPad Software,

La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com. The false discovery rate (Q) value was set to 5.000% and

each row was analyzed individually, without assuming a consistent SD.

Data And Software Availability

IterativeRank and RhoNetwork python libraries are available on

https://github.com/mtien/IterativeRank.

1065

1066 Scripts used to analyze the total RNA reads from the PP7-affinity purification are available on

1067 https://github.com/mtien/Sliding_window_analysis.

1068

1069 RNA-seq data of wild-type, Δ*gsrN*, and *gsrN*$^{++}$ in early stationary cultures are deposited in the NCBI

1070 GEO database under the accession number GSE106168.

1071

1072 RNA-seq affinity purification data have been deposited in the NCBI GEO database under accession

1073 number GSE106171.

1074

1075 LC-MS/MS data is available on the PRIDE Archive EMBL-EBI under the accession number PXD008128.

 Key Reagent And Resource Table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, HRP	ThermoFisher	32430
DYKDDDDK Tag Monoclonal Antibody (FG4R)	ThermoFisher	MA1-91878-1MG
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
See Supplementary File 1		
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Agar	Lab Scientific	A466
30% Hydrogen Peroxide	ThermoFisher	H325-100
substrate o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG)	GoldBio	N-275-100
acrylamide:bisacrylamide (29:1)	BioRad	1610156
Acid-Phenol	Ambion	Am9722
TRIzol	ThermoFisher	15596026
T4 Polynucleotide Kinase	New England Biolabs	M0201L
ATP, [γ-32P]- 3000Ci/mmol 10mCi/ml EasyTide	PerkinElmer	BLU502A500UC
SuperScript™ IV Reverse Transcriptase	ThermoFisher	18090010
RNase H	New England Biolabs	M0297S
TURBO™ DNase	ThermoFisher	AM2238
Critical Commercial Assays		
Micro Bio-Spin Columns With Bio-Gel P-6 in Tris Buffer	BioRad	7326221
Amylose Resin	New England Biolabs	E8021L
RNeasy Mini Kit	Qiagen	74106
SuperSignal™ West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate	ThermoFisher	34095
Deposited Data		
Raw and analyzed RNA-seq data	This paper	GEO: GSE106168 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE106168
Raw and analyzed LC-MS/MS data	This paper	PRIDE: PXD008128
Raw and analyzed RNA-seq data for GsrN-PP7hp purification	This paper	GEO: GSE106171 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE106171
Raw and analyzed RNA-seq data for Network construction	(Fang et al., 2013)	GEO: GSE46915
Gel and Blotting equipment		
Zeta-Probe Blotting Membranes	BioRad	162-0165

Low Molecular Weight Marker, 10-100 nt	Alfa Aesar	J76410
Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Gel, 4-20%	BioRad	456-1094
Precision Plus Protein™ Kaleidoscope™ Prestained Protein Standards	BioRad	1610375
Oligonucleotides		
See Supplementary File 1		
Plasmids		
See Supplementary File 1		
Software and Algorithms		
Bowtie2	(Langmead and Salzberg, 2012)	http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml
SAMTools	(Li et al., 2009)	http://samtools.sourceforge.net/
IntaRNA	(Mann et al., 2017)	http://rna.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/IntaRNA/Input.jsp
Prism v6.04	GraphPad Software, Inc.	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
WebLogo	(Crooks et al., 2004)	http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi
Geneious 11.0.2	(Kearse et al., 2012)	https://www.geneious.com/
R v 3.3.3		https://www.r-project.org/
Python v2.7		https://www.python.org/download/releases/2.7/
Rockhopper 2.0	(Tjaden, 2015)	https://cs.wellesley.edu/~btjaden/Rockhopper/
Edge-pro	(Magoc et al., 2013)	http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/EDGE-pro/index.shtml
DESeq	(Anders and Huber, 2010)	http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq.html

CLC Genomics Workbench 10	(Qiagen)	https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/clc-genomics-workbench/
MaxQuant	(Cox et al., 2014)	http://www.coxdocs.org/doku.php?id=maxquant:start
IterativeRank	This paper	https://github.com/mtien/IterativeRank
Sliding_window_analysis	This paper	https://github.com/mtien/Sliding_window_analysis

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was supported by awards U19AI107792 (NIAID Functional Genomics Program) and

1R01GM087353 from the National Institutes of Health. We would like to thank members of the

Crosson Lab for their contributions and input over the course of this project. The lab of Tao Pan lab

provided important support in development of nucleic acid methods and lending equipment, most

notably M.E. Evans and K.I. Zhou. Ruthenberg lab provided PP7 coat protein plasmids.

References

Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. (1990). Basic local alignment search

tool. J Mol Biol *215*, 403-410.

Alvarez-Martinez, C.E., Lourenco, R.F., Baldini, R.L., Laub, M.T., and Gomes, S.L. (2007). The ECF sigma

factor sigma(T) is involved in osmotic and oxidative stress responses in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol

Microbiol *66*, 1240-1255.

Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome

Biol *11*, R106.

Arbel-Goren, R., Tal, A., Friedlander, T., Meshner, S., Costantino, N., Court, D.L., and Stavans, J.

(2013). Effects of post-transcriptional regulation on phenotypic noise in Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids

Res *41*, 4825-4834.

Beisel, C.L., and Storz, G. (2011). The base-pairing RNA spot 42 participates in a multioutput

feedforward loop to help enact catabolite repression in Escherichia coli. Mol Cell *41*, 286-297.

Cox, J., Hein, M.Y., Luber, C.A., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N., and Mann, M. (2014). Accurate proteome-wide

label-free quantification by delayed normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed

MaxLFQ. Mol Cell Proteomics *13*, 2513-2526.

Crooks, G.E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J.M., and Brenner, S.E. (2004). WebLogo: a sequence logo

generator. Genome Res *14*, 1188-1190.

de Castro Ferreira, I.G., Rodrigues, M.M., da Silva Neto, J.F., Mazzon, R.R., and do Valle Marques, M.

(2016). Role and regulation of ferritin-like proteins in iron homeostasis and oxidative stress survival of

Caulobacter crescentus. Biometals *29*, 851-862.

Ely, B. (1991). Genetics of Caulobacter crescentus. Methods Enzymol *204*, 372-384.

Fang, G., Passalacqua, K.D., Hocking, J., Llopis, P.M., Gerstein, M., Bergman, N.H., and Jacobs-Wagner,

C. (2013). Transcriptomic and phylogenetic analysis of a bacterial cell cycle reveals strong associations

between gene co-expression and evolution. BMC Genomics *14*, 450.

Fiebig, A., Herrou, J., Willett, J., and Crosson, S. (2015). General Stress Signaling in the

Alphaproteobacteria. Annu Rev Genet *49*, 603-625.

Finan, T.M., Kunkel, B., De Vos, G.F., and Signer, E.R. (1986). Second symbiotic megaplasmid in

Rhizobium meliloti carrying exopolysaccharide and thiamine synthesis genes. Journal of bacteriology

167, 66-72.

Foreman, R., Fiebig, A., and Crosson, S. (2012). The LovK-LovR two-component system is a regulator

of the general stress pathway in Caulobacter crescentus. Journal of bacteriology *194*, 3038-3049.

Francez-Charlot, A., Kaczmarczyk, A., Fischer, H.M., and Vorholt, J.A. (2015). The general stress

response in Alphaproteobacteria. Trends in microbiology *23*, 164-171.

Frohlich, K.S., and Vogel, J. (2009). Activation of gene expression by small RNA. Curr Opin Microbiol

12, 674-682.

Golding, I., Paulsson, J., Zawilski, S.M., and Cox, E.C. (2005). Real-time kinetics of gene activity in

individual bacteria. Cell *123*, 1025-1036.

Herrou, J., Foreman, R., Fiebig, A., and Crosson, S. (2010). A structural model of anti-anti-sigma

inhibition by a two-component receiver domain: the PhyR stress response regulator. Mol Microbiol

78, 290-304.

Hogg, J.R., and Collins, K. (2007). RNA-based affinity purification reveals 7SK RNPs with distinct

composition and regulation. RNA *13*, 868-880.

Imlay, J.A. (2013). The molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences of oxidative stress:

lessons from a model bacterium. Nat Rev Microbiol *11*, 443-454.

Italiani, V.C., da Silva Neto, J.F., Braz, V.S., and Marques, M.V. (2011). Regulation of catalase-

peroxidase KatG is OxyR dependent and Fur independent in Caulobacter crescentus. Journal of

bacteriology *193*, 1734-1744.

Jans, A., Vercruysse, M., Gao, S., Engelen, K., Lambrichts, I., Fauvart, M., and Michiels, J. (2013).

Canonical and non-canonical EcfG sigma factors control the general stress response in Rhizobium etli.

Microbiologyopen *2*, 976-987.

Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A.,

Markowitz, S., Duran, C.*, et al.* (2012). Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop

software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics *28*, 1647-1649.

Kim, H.S., Willett, J.W., Jain-Gupta, N., Fiebig, A., and Crosson, S. (2014). The Brucella abortus

virulence regulator, LovhK, is a sensor kinase in the general stress response signalling pathway. Mol

Microbiol *94*, 913-925.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods *9*,

357-359.

Laub, M.T., McAdams, H.H., Feldblyum, T., Fraser, C.M., and Shapiro, L. (2000). Global analysis of the

genetic network controlling a bacterial cell cycle. Science *290*, 2144-2148.

Lease, R.A., and Belfort, M. (2000). A trans-acting RNA as a control switch in Escherichia coli: DsrA

modulates function by forming alternative structures. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences of the United States of America *97*, 9919-9924.

Levine, E., and Hwa, T. (2008). Small RNAs establish gene expression thresholds. Curr Opin Microbiol

11, 574-579.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R.,

and Genome Project Data Processing, S. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.

Bioinformatics *25*, 2078-2079.

Lim, F., and Peabody, D.S. (2002). RNA recognition site of PP7 coat protein. Nucleic Acids Res *30*,

4138-4144.

Mader, U., Nicolas, P., Depke, M., Pane-Farre, J., Debarbouille, M., van der Kooi-Pol, M.M., Guerin, C.,

Derozier, S., Hiron, A., Jarmer, H.*, et al.* (2016). Staphylococcus aureus Transcriptome Architecture:

From Laboratory to Infection-Mimicking Conditions. PLoS Genet *12*, e1005962.

Magoc, T., Wood, D., and Salzberg, S.L. (2013). EDGE-pro: Estimated Degree of Gene Expression in

Prokaryotic Genomes. Evol Bioinform Online *9*, 127-136.

Mank, N.N., Berghoff, B.A., and Klug, G. (2013). A mixed incoherent feed-forward loop contributes to

the regulation of bacterial photosynthesis genes. RNA Biol *10*, 347-352.

Mann, M., Wright, P.R., and Backofen, R. (2017). IntaRNA 2.0: enhanced and customizable prediction

of RNA-RNA interactions. Nucleic Acids Res.

Marks, M.E., Castro-Rojas, C.M., Teiling, C., Du, L., Kapatral, V., Walunas, T.L., and Crosson, S. (2010).

The genetic basis of laboratory adaptation in Caulobacter crescentus. Journal of bacteriology *192*,

3678-3688.

McGrath, P.T., Lee, H., Zhang, L., Iniesta, A.A., Hottes, A.K., Tan, M.H., Hillson, N.J., Hu, P., Shapiro, L.,

and McAdams, H.H. (2007). High-throughput identification of transcription start sites, conserved

promoter motifs and predicted regulons. Nat Biotechnol *25*, 584-592.

Mehta, P., Goyal, S., and Wingreen, N.S. (2008). A quantitative comparison of sRNA-based and

protein-based gene regulation. Mol Syst Biol *4*, 221.

Mellin, J.R., and Cossart, P. (2012). The non-coding RNA world of the bacterial pathogen Listeria

monocytogenes. RNA Biol *9*, 372-378.

Mika, F., and Hengge, R. (2014). Small RNAs in the control of RpoS, CsgD, and biofilm architecture of

Escherichia coli. RNA Biol *11*, 494-507.

Nitzan, M., Fechter, P., Peer, A., Altuvia, Y., Bronesky, D., Vandenesch, F., Romby, P., Biham, O., and

Margalit, H. (2015). A defense-offense multi-layered regulatory switch in a pathogenic bacterium.

Nucleic Acids Res *43*, 1357-1369.

Paget, M.S. (2015). Bacterial Sigma Factors and Anti-Sigma Factors: Structure, Function and

Distribution. Biomolecules *5*, 1245-1265.

Papenfort, K., and Vanderpool, C.K. (2015). Target activation by regulatory RNAs in bacteria. FEMS

Microbiol Rev *39*, 362-378.

Poindexter, J.S. (1964). Biological Properties and Classification of the Caulobacter Group. Bacteriol

Rev *28*, 231-295.

Prevost, K., Salvail, H., Desnoyers, G., Jacques, J.F., Phaneuf, E., and Masse, E. (2007). The small RNA

RyhB activates the translation of shiA mRNA encoding a permease of shikimate, a compound involved

in siderophore synthesis. Mol Microbiol *64*, 1260-1273.

Purcell, E.B., Siegal-Gaskins, D., Rawling, D.C., Fiebig, A., and Crosson, S. (2007). A photosensory two-

component system regulates bacterial cell attachment. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences of the United States of America *104*, 18241-18246.

Qiagen. CLC Genomics Workbench, pp. https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/.

Repoila, F., Majdalani, N., and Gottesman, S. (2003). Small non-coding RNAs, co-ordinators of

adaptation processes in Escherichia coli: the RpoS paradigm. Mol Microbiol *48*, 855-861.

Ried, J.L., and Collmer, A. (1987). An nptI-sacB-sacR cartridge for constructing directed, unmarked

mutations in gram-negative bacteria by marker exchange-eviction mutagenesis. Gene *57*, 239-246.

Said, N., Rieder, R., Hurwitz, R., Deckert, J., Urlaub, H., and Vogel, J. (2009). In vivo expression and

purification of aptamer-tagged small RNA regulators. Nucleic Acids Res *37*, e133.

Santos, P.M., Di Bartolo, I., Blatny, J.M., Zennaro, E., and Valla, S. (2001). New broad-host-range

promoter probe vectors based on the plasmid RK2 replicon. FEMS Microbiol Lett *195*, 91-96.

Schrader, J.M., Zhou, B., Li, G.W., Lasker, K., Childers, W.S., Williams, B., Long, T., Crosson, S.,

McAdams, H.H., Weissman, J.S.*, et al.* (2014). The coding and noncoding architecture of the

Caulobacter crescentus genome. PLoS Genet *10*, e1004463.

Shimoni, Y., Friedlander, G., Hetzroni, G., Niv, G., Altuvia, S., Biham, O., and Margalit, H. (2007).

Regulation of gene expression by small non-coding RNAs: a quantitative view. Mol Syst Biol *3*, 138.

Staron, A., Sofia, H.J., Dietrich, S., Ulrich, L.E., Liesegang, H., and Mascher, T. (2009). The third pillar of

bacterial signal transduction: classification of the extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factor protein

family. Mol Microbiol *74*, 557-581.

Steinman, H.M., Fareed, F., and Weinstein, L. (1997). Catalase-peroxidase of Caulobacter crescentus:

function and role in stationary-phase survival. Journal of bacteriology *179*, 6831-6836.

Storz, G., Vogel, J., and Wassarman, K.M. (2011). Regulation by small RNAs in bacteria: expanding

frontiers. Mol Cell *43*, 880-891.

Thanbichler, M., Iniesta, A.A., and Shapiro, L. (2007). A comprehensive set of plasmids for vanillate-

and xylose-inducible gene expression in Caulobacter crescentus. Nucleic Acids Res *35*, e137.

Tjaden, B. (2015). De novo assembly of bacterial transcriptomes from RNA-seq data. Genome Biol *16*,

1.

Truman, A.W., Kristjansdottir, K., Wolfgeher, D., Hasin, N., Polier, S., Zhang, H., Perrett, S.,

Prodromou, C., Jones, G.W., and Kron, S.J. (2012). CDK-dependent Hsp70 Phosphorylation controls G1

cyclin abundance and cell-cycle progression. Cell *151*, 1308-1318.

Valverde, C., Livny, J., Schluter, J.P., Reinkensmeier, J., Becker, A., and Parisi, G. (2008). Prediction of

Sinorhizobium meliloti sRNA genes and experimental detection in strain 2011. BMC Genomics *9*, 416.

Wagner, E.G., and Romby, P. (2015). Small RNAs in bacteria and archaea: who they are, what they do,

and how they do it. Adv Genet *90*, 133-208.

Wang, P.I., and Marcotte, E.M. (2010). It's the machine that matters: Predicting gene function and

phenotype from protein networks. J Proteomics *73*, 2277-2289.

West, L., Yang, D., and Stephens, C. (2002). Use of the Caulobacter crescentus genome sequence to

develop a method for systematic genetic mapping. Journal of bacteriology *184*, 2155-2166.

Zhou, B., Schrader, J.M., Kalogeraki, V.S., Abeliuk, E., Dinh, C.B., Pham, J.Q., Cui, Z.Z., Dill, D.L.,

McAdams, H.H., and Shapiro, L. (2015). The global regulatory architecture of transcription during the

Caulobacter cell cycle. PLoS Genet *11*, e1004831.

Zuker, M. (2003). Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic Acids

Res *31*, 3406-3415.

1231

1232

Figure 1. Iterative rank analysis of gene expression data identifies *gsrN*, a small RNA that confers resistance to hydrogen peroxide.

(A) Activation of general stress response (GSR) sigma factor, σ^T, promotes transcription of genes that mitigate the effects of environmental stress and genes that regulate σ^T activity.

(B) Normalized transcript levels from (Fang et al., 2013) of known GSR regulated genes are plotted as
a function of cell cycle time. The core GSR regulators, *sigT* and *phyR*, are highlighted in red and black
respectively. Data plotted from Figure 1- source data 1.
(C) *sigT* and *phyR* transcript levels are correlated as a function of cell cycle progression, Pearson's
correlation coefficient *r* = 0.92.
(D) An initial correlation-weighted network was seeded with experimentally-defined GSR regulatory
genes (red, value=1) (left). Final ranks were calculated using the stable solution of the iterative
ranking algorithm (right). Red intensity scales with the final rank weights (Figure 1- source data 2). A
gene encoding a small RNA, *gsrN,* was a top hit on the ranked list.
(E) Colony forming units (CFU) in dilution series (10^{-1} to 10^{-6}) of wild-type and mutant *Caulobacter*
strains after 0.2 mM hydrogen peroxide treatment for 1 hour. Red denotes core GSR regulatory
genes. Black denotes known σ^T–regulated genes, listed by GenBank locus ID.

1249

Figure 2. GsrN is necessary and sufficient for hydrogen peroxide stress survival

(A) *Caulobacter* wild type (WT), *gsrN* deletion (Δ*gsrN*), complementation (Δ*gsrN+gsrN*), and *gsrN* overexpression (4*gsrN*, *gsrN^{++}*) strains were subjected to increasing concentrations of hydrogen peroxide for one hour and tittered on nutrient agar. Log$_{10}$ relative CFU (peroxide treated/untreated) is plotted as a function of peroxide concentration. Δ*gsrN* and WT strains carried the empty plasmid (pMT552) as a control. Mean ± SD, n=3 independent replicates.

(B) Northern blot of total RNA isolated from WT and Δ*sigT* strains expressing *gsrN* from its native promoter (P$_{sigT}$) or from two constitutive σ^{RpoD} promoters (P$_1$ or P$_2$); probed with ^{32}P-labeled oligonucleotides specific for GsrN and 5S rRNA as a loading control. Quantified values are mean ± SD of normalized signal, n=3 independent replicates.

(C) Relative survival of strains in (B) treated with 0.2 mM hydrogen peroxide for 1 hour normalized as in (A). Mean ± SD from 3 independent experiments (points) is presented as bars.

Figure 3. Full-length GsrN is endonucleolytically processed into a more stable 5' isoform.

(A) Northern blots of total RNA from wild-type and ΔgsrN cells hybridized with probes complementary to the 5'end (left) or 3' end (right) of GsrN, and to 5S rRNA as a loading control.

(B) Predicted secondary structure of full-length GsrN. Nucleotide positions labeled with arrows. Cyan indicates the 5' end of GsrN determined by primer extension. Pink represents the 3' end.

(C) Primer extension from total RNA extracted from $gsrN^{++}$ and ΔgsrN (negative control) cultures ($OD_{660} \approx 1.0$). Sequence was generated from a radiolabeled oligo anti-sense to the underlined cyan sequence in (E). Sanger sequencing control lanes A, C, G, and T mark the respective ddNTP added to that reaction to generate nucleotide specific stops. "C" labels on the right of the gel indicate mapped positions from the "G" lane. Arrow indicates lane without ddNTPs. Asterisk indicates positions of 5' termini.

(D) Primer extension from RNA samples as in (C). Sequence was extended from a radiolabeled oligo anti-sense to the underlined pink sequence in (E).

(E) GsrN coding sequence. Cyan and pink indicate the predicted 5' and 3' isoforms, respectively. Primers binding sites used for primer extension in (C) and (D) are underlined. Highlighted C positions correspond to ddGTP stops in the "G" extensions.

1279
Figure 4. 5' end of GsrN is necessary and sufficient for peroxide survival.
(A) Schematic diagram of GsrN(Δ3'), which lacks nucleotides 59-106.
(B) Relative survival of strains treated with 0.2 mM hydrogen peroxide for 1 hour. WT and Δ*gsrN*
strains carry empty plasmids (EV), plasmids harboring full-length *gsrN*, *gsrN(*Δ3'), or multiple copies of
*gsrN(*Δ3') (labeled *gsrN(*Δ3')[++]). Bars represent mean ± SD from 4 independent experiments (points).
(C) Northern blot of total RNA from strains in panel 3D harvested during exponential growth phase.
Blots were hybridized with probes complementary to the 5' end of GsrN and 5S rRNA. Mean ± SD of
total GsrN signal from 3 independent samples.
(D) Schematic diagram of GsrN(Δ5'), which lacks nucleotides 10-50.
(E) Relative survival of strains treated with 0.2 mM hydrogen peroxide for 1 hour. Genetic
backgrounds are indicated above the line; the GsrN(Δ5') strain was complemented with either *gsrN*
(dark blue) or GsrN(Δ5') (cyan). Bars represent mean ± SD from several independent experiments
(points).

1293
Figure 5. GsrN co-purifies with multiple RNAs, including catalase/peroxidase *katG* mRNA.
(A) GsrN-target co-purification strategy. GsrN(black)-PP7hp(purple) fusions were expressed in a Δ*gsrN*
background. PP7 RNA hairpin (PP7hp) inserted at nucleotide 37 (*gsrN*(37)::PP7hp) was used as the
bait. PP7hp fused to the 3' hairpin of *gsrN* (PP7hp::*gsrN*-3')served as a negative control. Stationary
phase cultures expressing these constructs were lysed and immediately flowed over an amylose resin
column containing immobilized PP7hp binding protein (MBP-PP7cp-His).
(B) GsrN-PP7hp purification from strains bearing *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp (left) and PP7hp::*gsrN*-3' (right) was
monitored by Northern Blot with probes complementary to 5' end of GsrN and PP7hp, respectively.
Lysate, flow through (FT), buffer wash, and elution fractions are blotted. Approximately 1μg RNA was
loaded per lane, except for buffer wash (insufficient amount of total RNA).
(C) Annotation-based analysis of transcripts that co-purify with *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp (Figure 5-source data
1). Log$_{10}$ reads per kilobase per million reads (RPKM) is plotted against the ln(-log$_{10}$(false discovery

rate corrected p-value)). Dashed red lines mark the enrichment co-purification thresholds. Genes
enriched in the *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp purification compared to PP7hp::*gsrN*-3' are blue; labels correspond
to gene names or *C. crescentus* strain NA1000 CCNA GenBank locus ID. Data represent triplicate
purifications of *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp and duplicate PP7hp::3'GsrN control purifications. Log adjusted p-
values of zero are plotted as 10^{-260}.
(D) Sliding-window analysis of transcripts that co-purify with *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp (Figure 5-source data
2). Points represent 25-bp genome windows. RPKM values for each window were estimated by EDGE-
pro; p-values were estimated by DESeq. Windows that map to genes identified in (C) are blue. Orange
indicates windows with significant and highly abundant differences in mapped reads between
gsrN(37)::PP7hp fractions and the PP7hp::*gsrN*-3' negative control fractions. Dashed red lines denote
cut-off value for windows enriched in the *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp fractions. Grey points within the dashed
red lines are signal that mapped to rRNA.
(E) Predicted loops in GsrN accessible for mRNA target base pairing are highlighted in yellow. A
putative mRNA target site complementary to a cytosine-rich tract in the 5' GsrN loop is represented
as a sequence logo. Similar logo was generated for the sequences that mapped to the 2nd exposed
region of GsrN. Logo was generated from IntaRNA predicted GsrN-binding sites in transcripts
enriched in the *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp pull-down. 5' binding motif is present in 32 of the transcripts
identified in (C) and (D) and 3' binding motif is present in 27 of the transcripts identified in (C) and
(D).
(F) Density of reads that co-purified with *gsrN*(37)::PP7hp (blue) and PP7hp::*gsrN*-3' (red) and
mapped to *katG*. Read density in each dataset represents read coverage at each nucleotide divided
by the number of million reads mapped in that data set. Data represent mean ± SD of replicate
purifications.

1329

Figure 6. GsrN base pairs with the 5' leader of *katG* mRNA and enhances KatG expression.

(A) Predicted interaction between GsrN (blue) and *katG* mRNA (green), with base-pairing shown in dashed box. Wild-type (WT) and reverse-swapped (RS) mutation combinations of the underlined bases are outlined below.

(B) Translation from *katG* and *katG-RS* reporters in Δ*gsrN* strains expressing 3*gsrN* (WT) or 3*gsrN(RS)* (RS). Measurements were taken from exponential phase cultures. Bars represent mean ± SD of independent cultures (points) and p-value estimated by Student's t-test

(C) Relative hydrogen peroxide survival of RS strains. Δ*gsrN* strains expressing 3*gsrN* or 3*gsrN(RS)* and encode *katG* or *katG(RS)* alleles. Bars represent mean ± SD from 3 independent experiments (points).

(D) Northern blot of total RNA from strains in (C) collected in exponential phase hybridized with probes complementary to 5' end of GsrN and 5S rRNA. Quantification is mean ± SD normalized signal from 3 independent experiments and p-value estimated by Student's t-test.

A

B

1342

Figure 7. GsrN affects KatG and *katG* mRNA levels *in vivo*.

(A) Dot blot of total RNA of *gsrN* and *katG* mutants grown to early stationary phase (OD$_{660}$ 0.85-0.9).
Samples on right were treated with 0.2 mM hydrogen peroxide before RNA extraction. Blots were
hybridized with *katG* mRNA, GsrN or 5S rRNA probes. *katG* mRNA signal normalized to 5S rRNA signal
is quantified (mean ± SD, n=3, p-value estimated with Student's t-test).
(B) Immunoblot of KatG-M2 fusion in wild type, Δ*gsrN*, and Δ*gsrN*$^{++}$ strains in the presence and
absence of peroxide stress probed with α-FLAG antibody. KatG migrates as two bands as previously
reported (Italiani et al., 2011). Normalized KatG-M2 signal (mean ± SD, n=4, **** p<0.0001 Student's
t-test) is presented below each lane. Arrow indicates position of 100 kDa molecular weight marker.

1352

Figure 8. GsrN is a global regulator of stress physiology

(A) Transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of Δ*gsrN* (deletion) and *gsrN*⁺⁺ (overexpression) cultures in early stationary phase (Figure 8- source data 1). Only genes detected in both analyses are plotted. Red indicates transcripts that co-purify with GsrN-PP7hp (Figures 5C,D).

(B) *katG* transcript from Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*⁺⁺ cells quantified as reads per kilobase per million mapped (RPKM). Data represent mean ± SD of 5 independent samples. Significance was evaluated with the Wald test.

(C) Label free quantification (LFQ) intensities of KatG peptides from Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*⁺⁺ cells (mean ± SD, n=3; **** p<0.0001 Student's t-test).

(D) Hyperosmotic stress survival of wild type, Δ*gsrN*, *gsrN*⁺⁺, and Δ*katG* cells relative to untreated cells. Stress was a 5 hour treatment with 300 mM sucrose. Data represent mean ± SD from 2 independent experiments (points).

(E) Northern blot of total RNA from wild type, Δ*gsrN*, and *gsrN*⁺⁺ cultures with or without 150 mM sucrose stress. Blots were hybridized with GsrN and 5S rRNA probes. Normalized mean ± SD of total GsrN signal from 3 independent samples is quantified.

 Figure 9. Conserved features of GsrN homologues

 (A) Locus diagrams showing predicted *gsrN* homologs in several Alphaproteobacteria. Tree was
constructed from the 16s rRNA sequences of each strain where *Erythrobacter litoralis* (for which
there is no apparent *gsrN*-like gene) was the out-group. Red arrows represent *ecfG*, dark gray arrows
represent *nepR*, red boxes represent the conserved σ^{ecfG}-binding site, light gray arrows represent
phyR, and dark blue arrows represent *gsrN* (or its putative homologs). The prediction of GsrN
orthologs in the Caulobacteraceae (*Caulobacter*, *Brevundimonas*, and *Phenylobacterium)* was based
on a BLASTn search (Altschul et al., 1990). The prediction of GsrN in *Rhizobium etli*, *Sinorhizobium
meliloti*, and *Brucella abortus* was based on evidence of expression in published transcriptome data,
proximity to the GSR locus, and identification of a σ^{ecfG}-binding site upstream of the gene. The
prediction of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* was based on a BLASTn search of using the predicted GsrN
sequence from *R. etli* as the query (Altschul et al., 1990). The prediction of *Rhodopseudomonas
palustris* and *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* is completely based on the proximity to the GSR locus and
the presence of an upstream σ^{ecfG}-binding site*.

(B) Diagram of predicted secondary structure of GsrN in other Caulobacteraceae is colored by
secondary structure element. Colors highlighted in the sequence alignment correspond to the
predicted secondary structure regions in the cartoon. Density of shading corresponds to conservation
at that position.

(C) Diagram of predicted secondary structure of predicted GsrN homologs in select Rhizobiaceae
where the 5' portion contains an unpaired 5' G-rich loop (cyan) flanked by a small hairpin (green) and
a stem loop involving the 5' terminus (red).

1390

Figure 10. Regulatory architecture of the *Caulobacter* stress response systems

Expression of the GSR EcfG-sigma factor, *sigT* (σ^T), and select genes in the GSR regulon is regulated as a function cell cycle phase. σ^T-dependent transcription can be induced by certain signals (e.g. hyperosmotic stress), but is unaffected by hydrogen peroxide. Transcription of the sRNA, GsrN, is activated by σ^T, and the cell cycle expression profile of *gsrN* is highly correlated with *sigT* and its upstream regulators. Transcription of the catalase/peroxidase *katG* is independent of σ^T. GsrN dependent activation of KatG protein expression is sufficient to rescue the peroxide survival defect of a Δ*sigT* null strain. GsrN convenes a post-transcriptional layer of gene regulation that confers resistance to peroxide and hyperosmotic stresses.

1400

Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1. Parameter optimization of iterative rank through predicting *phyR*
demonstrates edge-reduction as an important parameter. (see Materials and Methods - Iterative
rank parameter tuning)

(A) Systematic parameter exploration of the alpha value and edge-reduction, where *sigT*, *sigU*, *phyK*,
nepR, *lovK*, and *lovR* are initialized with weight. Alpha value is plotted on the x-axis while the final
rank of *phyR* on the y-axis. Colors indicate network where edges less than the indicated value were
removed from the network.

(B) The number of edges drawn for a given node shows that an edge reduction of 0.9 dramatically
shifts the average number of edges per node.

(C) The number of total edges in a network shows that an edge reduction of 0.9 is reduced by 10-fold.

1411

Figure 1- Figure Supplement 2. *gsrN* transcription is activated by σ^T and is induced under hyperosmotic stress and stationary phase growth. GsrN does not affect transcription from a σ^T-dependent reporter.

(A) Schematic of *lacZ* transcriptional fusions to the promoters of *gsrN* and *sigU*. *sigU* is a well-characterized reporter of GSR transcription (Foreman et al., 2012). Promoters of both genes contain a consensus σ^T binding site (nucleotides in red) (McGrath et al., 2007; Staron et al., 2009). σ^T binding motif (bottom) generated from twenty-one σ^T-dependent promoters using WebLogo (Crooks et al., 2004).

(B) Quantification of relative hydrogen peroxide survival of strains presented in Figure 1E. CFU of peroxide treated cultures were normalized by the CFU of the paired untreated culture. Genotypes are indicated above each bar. Numbers indicate CCNA locus numbers of deleted genes. *gsrN*$^{++}$ is *gsrN* overexpression strain described in Figure 2A and Figure 2- figure supplement 1A. Bars represent mean ± SD from independent biological replicates (points).

(C) β-galactosidase activity from the P$_{gsrN}$*lacZ* transcriptional fusion in *Caulobacter* wild-type and Δ*sigT* backgrounds measured in Miller Units. Bars represent mean ± SD from 2 independent cultures.

(D) β-galactosidase activity from the P$_{sigU}$*lacZ* transcriptional fusion in a set of the genetic backgrounds in (B). GSR transcription was induced by exposure to 150 mM sucrose (final concentration) for three hours before measuring β-galactosidase activity. Bars represent mean ± SD from 3 independent cultures.

(E) β-galactosidase activity from the P$_{gsrN}$*lacZ* transcriptional fusion in exponentially growing (OD$_{660}$ ~0.25) and stationary phase (OD$_{660}$ ~0.75) wild-type cells. Bars represent mean ± SD from 2 independent cultures.

Figure 2- Figure Supplement 1. GsrN-dependent cell protection under oxidative stress is dose dependent.

(A) In this study, *gsrN* was expressed several different ways. (i) At the native locus, the *gsrN* promoter contains a consensus σ^T binding site (red box); *gsrN* is flanked by two genes also with predicted σ^T promoters. Ectopic complementation and overexpression strains were created using pMT552-derived plasmids containing either (ii) one or (iii) three tandem copies of *gsrN* that were integrated into the chromosomal *vanA* locus. We also constructed strains in which *gsrN* expression was driven from one of two distinct σ^{rpoD}-dependent promoters integrated in the chromosome. (iv) The RpoD1 promoter was taken from the predicted σ^{rpoD} binding site directly upstream of *vanA*; (v) RpoD2 promoter was taken from the predicted σ^{rpoD} binding site upstream of *xylX*.

(B) Northern blots of RNA isolated from strains expressing increasing copies of *gsrN* probed with oligos complementary to GsrN. 5S rRNA was blotted as a loading control. Cells were harvested in exponential phase. Blots were quantified by densitometry. GsrN signal from the full-length (FL; dark blue) and 5' isoform (5'; cyan) are normalized to 5S rRNA in each lane and multiplied by 100. Bars represent mean ± SD of triplicate extractions, each representing biologically independent samples.

(C) Relationship between GsrN levels and peroxide stress survival. Total GsrN levels quantified by Northern blot (B) plotted against relative cell survival after 0.8 mM hydrogen peroxide treatment (CFU determined as outlined in Figure 1- figure supplement 2B). The Δ*gsrN* strain has zero CFUs after one hour treatment with 0.8 mM hydrogen peroxide, and no detectable GsrN by Northern blots, thus the y-axis point for this strain was plotted at 10^{-6}, the detection limit of our assay.

1455
Figure 3- Figure Supplement 1. The 5' isoform of GsrN arises from endonucleolytic processing and is
the most abundant form of GsrN
(A) Northern blots of total RNA from cultures ($OD_{660} \approx 1.0$) of wild type, $\Delta gsrN$, and $gsrN^{++}$. Blots were
probed with ^{32}P-labeled oligonucleotides complementary to either the 5' or 3' end of GsrN. Probes to
5S rRNA and tRNA-Tyr were used to estimate the size of full-length GsrN and its 5' and 3' isoforms.
(B) RNA-seq read density from total wild-type RNA mapped to the *gsrN* locus. Chromosome position
(x-axis) is marked in reference to the annotated transcriptional start site (TSS) of *gsrN* (position
3,830,130 in GenBank accession CP001340). Reads per million reads mapped is plotted as a function
of nucleotide position. Mean ± SD from three independent biological replicates samples is plotted
(GEO: GSR106168, read files: GSM2830946, GSM2830947, and GSM2830948).
(C) Northern blot of total RNA extracted from wild type *Caulobacter* cells in exponential phase (OD_{660}
≈ 0.2-0.25) 0 to 16 minutes after treatment with 10 µg/mL rifampicin (final concentration). Bands for
full-length GsrN, 5' GsrN isoform, and 5S RNA loading control are shown.
(D) Quantification of blots from (C) of full-length GsrN and 5' GsrN isoform normalized to 5S rRNA
levels in each lane. Signal at each time point is normalized relative to the zero minute time point.
Data represent mean ± SD from three independent biological replicates.

1472
Figure 5- Figure Supplement 1. Identification, purification and biochemical characterization of
functional GsrN-PP7hp chimeras
(A) Predicted GsrN secondary structure diagram from mFold (Zuker, 2003). Cyan and pink represent
the 5' and 3' products, respectively, determined by primer extension and northern blot analyses
(Figure 3). Numbered positions along the secondary structure indicate where PP7 RNA hairpin
sequences (PP7hp) were inserted into *gsrN.*
(B) Wild type, Δ*gsrN-EV*, and Δ*gsrN+gsrN*-PP7hp strains were subjected to hydrogen peroxide,
diluted, and tittered as in Figure 1- figure supplement 2B. Empty vector (EV) strains carry pMT552.
The nucleotide position of each PP7hp insertion in *gsrN* is marked above each bar. Data represent
mean ± SD of three independent trials.
(C) Northern blots of total RNA from stationary phase cultures (OD$_{660}$ ≈ 1.0) of Δ*gsrN* strains carrying
gsrN-PP7hp fusions. Blots were probed with oligonucleotides complementary to both the 5' and 3'
ends of GsrN. Blot is overexposed to reveal minor products. Purple boxes mark full length GsrN, cyan
boxes mark 5' isoforms, and pink boxes mark 3' isoforms.
(D) Northern blots of same samples as in (C) ran in parallel but probed with oligonucleotides
complementary to the PP7 hairpin sequence.

1489
Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1. *gsrN* does not regulate *katG* transcription, but does enhance *katG-lacZ* mRNA translation

(A) *katG* transcriptional reporter construct contains the entire intergenic region upstream of *katG* fused to *lacZ* in pRKlac290. Transcription from this *katG* promoter (P$_{katG}$) reporter was assayed in wild type, Δ*gsrN,* and *gsrN^{++}* backgrounds during exponential growth (OD$_{660}$ ≈ 0.2-0.25). Data represent mean ± SD of three independent trials.

(B) Activity from the *katG* transcriptional reporter with and without a 15-minute treatment with 0.2 mM hydrogen peroxide. Cells were grown as in (A). Data represent mean ± SD of two independent trials.

(C) Activity from the *katG* transcriptional reporter in stationary phase cultures (OD$_{660}$ ≈ 1.0). Data represent mean ± SD of three independent trials.

(D) KatG translational reporter (top) assayed in exponentially growing cells (bottom). Reporter is constitutively expressed from the P$_{rpoD1}$ promoter. *katG* leader (1- 191 nt) region and the first 50 *katG* codons are fused in-frame to *lacZ*. Mean ± SD β-galactosidase activity, measured in Miller Units, presented from five independent trials.

(E) Activity from the *katG* translational reporter was assayed in wild type, Δ*gsrN,* and *gsrN^{++}* with and without a 15-minute treatment with 0.2 mM hydrogen peroxide. Cells were grown as in (A). Data represents mean ± SD from 2 independent trials.

(F) Translation from *katG* leader fusion reporter was assayed in saturated cultures (OD$_{660}$ ≈ 1.0). Data represents mean ± SD from 5 independent trials.

1510
Figure 6- Figure Supplement 2. *katG(RS)-lacZ* translation is not affected by *gsrN*. *katG* does not
affect *gsrN* transcription. *katG* is necessary and sufficient for peroxide stress survival.
(A) Translational reporter activity from *katG* and *katG*(RS) leader fusions. The *katG(RS)-lacZ* construct
is identical to that in (Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1D-F), except that it contains the reverse swapped
target recognition site in the 5' UTR upstream of *katG*. Activity from *katG(RS)-lacZ* in wild type,
Δ*gsrN,* and *gsrN*++ compared to *katG-lacZ* in Δ*gsrN* during exponential growth phase. Bars represent
mean ± SD from 3 independent cultures.
(B) Activity from the *gsrN* transcriptional reporter described in Figure S1A was assayed in Δ*katG* and
katG-RS backgrounds during exponential growth. Mean ± SD of 3 independent cultures.
(C) Wild type, Δ*gsrN,* *gsrN*++, Δ*gsrN+katG*++(P$_{xyl}$*katG*), Δ*katG,* *katG*++(P$_{xyl}$*katG*), and
Δ*katG+gsrN*++(4*gsrN)* strains were subjected to hydrogen peroxide, diluted, and tittered as in Figure
1- figure supplement 2B. Bars represent mean ± SD of at least 3 independent cultures.

1523

Figure 6- Figure Supplement 3. *gsrN* levels are determined by the sequence of its target recognition
loop and *gsrN(RS)* allele cannot complement the peroxide susceptibility of **Δ*gsrN*.**
(A) Predicted GsrN secondary structure diagram from Figure 3 with a black box is highlighting the
nucleotides within the exposed 5' loop of GsrN. Blue labeled sequence represents the wild-type *gsrN*
coding sequence, with the underlined nucleotides emphasizing the location of the RS mutation. The
bolded labeled sequence represents the RS mutant *gsrN* coding sequence.
(B) Wild type, Δ*gsrN,* Δ*gsrN+gsrN* (complementation strain)*,* and Δ*gsrN+XgsrN(RS)* strains were
subjected to hydrogen peroxide, diluted, and tittered as in Figure 1- figure supplement 2B. Three
different copy numbers of *gsrN(RS)* strains were tested. Bars represent mean ± SD of several
independent cultures (points).
(C) Northern blot of RNA extracted from wild type, Δ*gsrN*, and Δ*gsrN* complementation strains during
exponential growth phase. Complementation strains include wild-type *gsrN* and reverse-swapped
(RS) *gsrN(RS)* mutants. Three different copy numbers of *gsrN(RS)* strains were tested. Blots were
probed with oligonucleotides complementary to the 5' end of GsrN and to 5S rRNA. Quantified GsrN
levels reported were normalized to the 5S rRNA signal in the same lane. Data represent mean ± SD
from three independent biological replicates that were loaded, resolved, transferred, and hybridized
on the same gel.

1541

Figure 8- figure supplement 1. GsrN directly or indirectly affects the expression of multiple genes.

(A) RNA-seq analysis of Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*$^{++}$ early stationary phase cultures (OD$_{660}$ ~0.85-0.90) represented as a volcano plot where expression changes are plotted as a function of p-value (Figure 8- source data 2). Red indicates transcripts with a false discovery rate (FDR) corrected p-value < 0.05. Black indicates gene transcripts with a FDR p-value above the cut-off.

(B) LC-MS/MS total soluble protein signal from MaxQuant label free quantitation estimates from Δ*gsrN* and *gsrN*$^{++}$ cells grown to early stationary phase (OD$_{660}$ ~0.85-0.90) (Figure 8- source data 3). Log-2 transformed fold change in LFQ estimates from MaxQuant (Cox et al., 2014) are plotted as a function of p-values obtained from the multiple t-test analyses using GraphPad Prism version 6.04 for MacOS, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com. Red indicates proteins with significant differences (false discovery corrected p-value < 0.05). Black represents proteins that do not meet the FDR cut-off.

Gene Locus ID	Gene Name	log$_2$ Fold	Identification Method	Regions(s)	Description
CCNA_00167	-	4.56, 6.95	Rockhopper, Sliding window	179311-180120 (+), 179500-179550 (+, I, S)	metallophosphatase family protein
CCNA_00416	-	7.2	Sliding window	429625-429725 (-, I, S)	conserved hypothetical membrane protein
CCNA_00587	-	4.87	Sliding window	616250-616300 (+, I, S)	alpha/beta hydrolase family protein
CCNA_00882	-	4.61	Sliding window	962875-962925 (-, U, S)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_00894	-	4.29	Sliding window	974800-974850 (+, I, S)	1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(E)-butenyl 4-diphosphate synthase
CCNA_00897	-	3.2	Rockhopper	976013-976177 (+)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_00913	-	7.64, 7.80	Rockhopper, Sliding window	993033-993209 (-), 993175-993225 (-, I, S)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_00930	-	3.72, 6.98, 3.81	Rockhopper, Sliding window, Sliding window	1006253-1006870 (+), 1006275-1006425 (+, I, S), 1006475-1006650 (+, I, S)	riboflavin synthase alpha chain
CCNA_01024	-	3.32	Rockhopper	1111617-1112111 (-)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_01058	-	5.81	Sliding window	1159075-1159125 (-, D, S)	helix-turn-helix transcriptional regulator
CCNA_01154	-	3.45, 6.81	Rockhopper, Sliding window	1257902-1258591 (+), 1257975-1258025 (+, I, S)	conserved hypothetical protein
CCNA_01303	-	5.87, 5.30, 8.22	Rockhopper, Sliding window, Sliding window	1430061-1430900 (+), 1430550-1430625 (+, I, S), 1430650-1430725 (+, I, S)	conserved hypothetical protein
CCNA_01304	-	2.9	Rockhopper	1431129-1431329 (+)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_01335	-	2.99	Sliding window	1448600-1448650 (-, I, S)	ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase component
CCNA_01344	-	4.62	Sliding window	1458550-1458725 (+, I, S)	conserved hypothetical protein
CCNA_01584	-	3.14	Sliding window	1699675-1699725 (+, I, A)	multimodular transpeptidase-transglycosylase PBP 1A
CCNA_01660	-	4.41, 6.21	Rockhopper, Sliding window	1781219-1781911 (-), 1781350-1781575 (-, I, S)	conserved hypothetical protein
CCNA_01966	-	11.3	Sliding window	2110225-2110275 (-, I, A)	vitamin B12-dependent ribonucleotide reductase
CCNA_01996	-	9.15, 8.86	Rockhopper, Sliding window	2142908-2143687 (-), 2143625-2143700 (-, I, S)	undecaprenyl pyrophosphate synthetase
CCNA_02034	-	7.24	Sliding window	2178500-2178550 (+, I, S)	luciferase-like monooxygenase
CCNA_02064	lpxC	3.6	Sliding window	2215450-2215550 (-, I, S)	UDP-3-O-(3-hydroxymyristoyl) N-acetylglucosamine deacetylase
CCNA_02089	-	8.52	Rockhopper	2237967-2238341 (-)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_02217	-	4.02	Rockhopper	2364081-2364383 (-)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_02286	-	3.26	Sliding window	2435450-2435500 (-, I, S)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_02595	-	6.85	Sliding window	2743525-2743625 (-, U, S)	Zn finger TFIIB-family transcription factor
CCNA_02758	-	2.93	Rockhopper	2921763-2922152 (+)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_02761	-	3.65	Rockhopper	2923673-2923918 (+)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_02846	-	5.44, 8.60	Sliding window, Sliding window	3000100-3000175 (-, I, S), 2999225-2999275 (-, I, S)	DegP/HtrA-family serine protease
CCNA_02860	-	3.70, 4.78	Rockhopper, Sliding window	3012116-3013060 (-), 3012500-3012550 (-, I, S)	DnaJ-class molecular chaperone
CCNA_02975	-	6.34	Sliding window	3130300-3130375 (-, I, A)	excinuclease ABC subunit C

CCNA_02987	-	7.26	Sliding window	3142700-3142800 (-, I, A)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_02997	cspA	3.61	Rockhopper	3152607-3152816 (-)	cold shock protein CspA
CCNA_03002	-	6.03, 4.48	Rockhopper, Sliding window	3155705-3156322 (-), 3155750-3155800 (-, I, S)	CDP-diacylglycerol--glycerol-3-phosphate 3 phosphatidyltransferase
CCNA_03105	-	9.15	Sliding window	3255775-3255850 (-, I, S)	DnaJ domain protein
CCNA_03113	-	3.50, 5.40	Rockhopper, Sliding window	3263780-3264499 (-), 3264400-3264450 (-, I, S)	membrane-associated phospholipid phosphatase
CCNA_03138	katG	3.35	Sliding window	3286000-3286050 (+, I, S)	peroxidase/catalase katG
CCNA_03176	-	2.83	Rockhopper	3335155-3335445 (-)	nucleotidyltransferase
CCNA_03338	tolB	5.27	Sliding window	3519425-3519475 (-, I, S)	TolB protein
CCNA_03409	-	4.46, 5.44	Rockhopper, Sliding window	3576740-3577696 (-), 3577550-3577600 (-, I, S)	alpha/beta hydrolase family protein
CCNA_03506	-	3.27, 4.10	Rockhopper, Sliding window	3664090-3664677 (+), 3664100-3664175 (+, I, S)	putative transcriptional regulator
CCNA_03589	sigT	3.58	Rockhopper	3743953-3744558 (-)	RNA polymerase EcfG family sigma factor sigT
CCNA_03590	nepR	3.43, 3.50	Rockhopper, Sliding window	3744561-3744746 (-), 3744675-3744725 (-, I, S)	anti-sigma factor NepR
CCNA_03590, CCNA_03589	nepR, sigT	4.42	Sliding window	3744500-3744575 (-, O, S)	anti-sigma factor NepR, RNA polymerase EcfG family sigma factor sigT
CCNA_03617	-	3.5	Rockhopper	3772262-3772717 (+)	Copper(I)-binding protein
CCNA_03618, CCNA_03617	-,-	6.99	Sliding window	3772700-3772750 (+, O, S)	SCO1/SenC family protein, Copper(I)-binding protein
CCNA_03681	-	5.11	Sliding window	3843700-3843750 (-, U, S)	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein
CCNA_03825	-	3.63	Rockhopper	3991412-3991774 (-)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_03825, CCNA_03826	-,-	8.01	Sliding window	3991750-3991825 (-, O, S)	hypothetical protein, conserved hypothetical protein
CCNA_03826	-	3.71	Rockhopper	3991771-3992325 (-)	conserved hypothetical protein
CCNA_03888	-	2.99	Rockhopper	761965-762324 (+)	conserved hypothetical protein
CCNA_03976	-	3.57	Rockhopper	2923462-2923683 (+)	hypothetical protein
CCNA_R0016	-	8.53	Rockhopper	844332-844401 (+)	small non-coding RNA
CCNA_R0035	-	6.64	Rockhopper	1549367-1549443 (+)	tRNA-Pro
CCNA_R0044	-	4.82	Rockhopper	2059848-2059942 (-)	complex medium expressed sRNA
CCNA_R0061	-	4.65	Sliding window	2800475-2800525 (-, I, S)	RNase P RNA
CCNA_R0089	-	3.3	Sliding window	3874375-3874425 (+, U, S)	tRNA-Ala
CCNA_R0100	-	4.4	Rockhopper	165492-165575 (+)	small non-coding RNA
CCNA_R0108	-	4.27	Rockhopper	472905-472973 (+)	small non-coding RNA
CCNA_R0180	-	5.14	Rockhopper	3266851-3266937 (-)	small non-coding RNA

Table 1. RNAs that co-elute with GsrN-PP7hp.
Gene Locus ID: GenBank locus ID
Gene Name: if available
log_2Fold: calculated fold change of the given region
Identification Method: refers to what strategy identified the enriched gene in the PP7hp affinity
purification RNA-Seq
Region(s): the region and strand used to calculate the log_2Fold metric. Additionally for the sliding
window analysis additional information is provided. First letter indicates the relative position of

the region indicated to the annotated gene coordinates. Briefly: I-internal, U-upstream, D-
downstream. Second letter indicates the direction in which the reads mapped. Briefly: S-sense, A-
anti-sense.
Description: is the product description of the given gene(s)
1566

Figure 1 - source data 1
Excel file of gene expression data from (Fang et al., 2013) and estimated by Rockhopper (Tjaden, 2015). Each column represents the estimated expression from total RNA-extractions of *Caulobacter crescentus* cultures at five time points post-synchronization. These values were used to construct the network

Figure 1 – source data 2
Excel file of the results from the iterative rank algorithm. Results can be recapitulated using the scripts in https://github.com/mtien/IterativeRank.

Figure 5 – source data 1
Excel file of the output from Rockhopper analysis (Tjaden, 2015) on the RNA-Seq samples from the PP7 affinity purified total RNA samples. Figure 5C can be created using the python and R scripts in https://github.com/mtien/Sliding_window_analysis

Figure 5 – source data 2
Zipped file contain three files. These files include the sliding window analysis files generated from mapping the reads from the RNA-Seq experiment of the PP7 affinity purified total RNA samples. Figure 5D can be created using the scripts in https://github.com/mtien/Sliding_window_analysis

Figure 5 – source data 3
FASTA file that contains the windows of enrichment and total gene sequences of genes identified in the PP7 affinity purified total RNA samples.

Figure 8 – source data 1
Excel file that contains the log2Fold calculated values from both LC-MS/MS and RNA-Seq analysis of Δ*gsrN* versus *gsrN⁺⁺*. Values used to calculate the fold changes from LC-MS/MS can be accessed from PRIDE: PXD008128, which contains the MaxQuant (Cox et al., 2014) LFQ protein group estimations under the name "MQrun_delta.txt" and "MQrun_plus.txt" representing the values for Δ*gsrN* versus *gsrN⁺⁺*, respectively. Calculation of averages is outlined in Materials and Methods- LC-MS/MS processing of total soluble protein. Averages were then divided and log-transformed. Values used to estimate the fold changes from RNA-Seq were taken from the CLC workbench analysis of the GEO accession number GSE106168 files, see Materials and Methods-RNA-seq processing of total RNA.

Figure 8 – source data 2
Excel file that contains the compiled information from the CLC workbench analysis.

Figure 8 – source data 3
Excel file that contains the multiple t-test analysis outlined in Materials and Methods- LC-MS/MS processing of total soluble protein