Multivariate analysis of the cotton seed ionome reveals integrated genetic signatures of
abiotic stress response

Duke Pauli[1], Greg Ziegler[2,3], Min Ren[4], Matthew A. Jenks[5], Douglas J. Hunsaker[6], Min Zhang[4],
Ivan Baxter[2,3], and Michael A. Gore[1*]

[1]Plant Breeding and Genetics Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University,
Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
[2]Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
[3]United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS), Plant
Genetics Research Unit, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
[4]Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
[5]Division of Plant and Soil Sciences, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA
[6]United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS), Arid-
Land Agricultural Research Center, Maricopa, AZ 85138, USA

<u>*Corresponding author:</u>
Michael A. Gore
358 Plant Science Building, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
Tel: +1 (607) 255-5492
E-mail: mag87@cornell.edu

<u>One sentence summary</u>
The cotton ionome has a shared genetic basis that provides insight into the physiological status
of the plant.

<u>Journal research area:</u>
Research Article

<u>Short title:</u>
Abiotic stress signals in the cotton ionome

<u>Author contributions:</u>
D.P. and M.A.G. co-wrote the manuscript; D.P. led data analysis; G.Z. and I.B. conducted
elemental profiling and consulted on data analysis; M.R. and M.Z. carried out genetic mapping;
M.A.J. oversaw soil and nutrient management; D.J.H. managed irrigation scheduling; M.A.G.
overall project management, design, and coordination, oversaw data analysis; all authors
contributed to the critical review of the manuscript.

<u>Funding information</u>
This research was supported by Cotton Incorporated Fellowship (D.P.), Cotton Incorporated
project funds 13-580 (I.R.B.) and 14-194 (M.A.G.), Cornell University startup funds (M.A.G.),
United States Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) (D.H.,
I.B. and G.Z.), and National Science Foundation IOS-1238187 (M.A.G.).

ABSTRACT

To mitigate the effects of heat and drought stress, an understanding of the genetic control of physiological responses to these environmental conditions is needed. To this end, we evaluated an upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) mapping population under water-limited and well-watered conditions in a hot, arid environment. The elemental concentrations (ionome) of seed samples from the population were profiled in addition to those of soil samples taken from throughout the field site to better model environmental variation. The elements profiled in seeds exhibited moderate to high heritabilities as well as strong phenotypic and genotypic correlations between elements, which were not altered by the imposed irrigation regimes, and specifically those with similar biochemical function. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping results from a Bayesian classification method identified multiple genomic regions where QTL for individual elements colocalized, suggesting that genetic control of the ionome is highly interrelated. To more fully explore this relationship, multivariate QTL mapping was implemented among groups of biochemically related elements. This analysis revealed both additional and pleiotropic QTL responsible for coordinated control of phenotypic variation for elemental accumulation. Machine learning algorithms that utilized only ionomics data were able to predict at very high accuracy which irrigation regime genotypes were evaluated under. Taken together, these results demonstrate the extent to which the ionome is genetically interrelated and predictive of plant physiological responses to adverse environmental conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Plant growth, development, and survival are highly dynamic processes that can be altered by a myriad of favorable and adverse environmental conditions including abiotic stresses such as water deficit and high temperature. The physiological responses of plants to changing environmental conditions are difficult to observe and quantify at the population level, thus unraveling the genetic mechanisms responsible for the variability of these traits remains a formidable challenge. This is especially true for understanding plant nutrient and mineral uptake, which occurs below ground and is obscured by the soil environment. Because of the challenges associated with phenotyping below-ground traits such as root architecture and resource capture, there exists a gap in our knowledge about these fundamental biological mechanisms.

Elemental uptake is a critical function driven by physiological and biochemical processes occurring throughout the life cycle of a plant. Many factors affect elemental accumulation including availability in the soil environment, bioavailability within the plant, and the ability of the plant to mobilize and translocate them throughout cells and tissues. Additionally, physiological parameters such as root depth, permeability of root barriers, and rate of transpiration all affect the capacity of elements to enter and move throughout the plant. As a result, the total elemental content (the ionome) of plant tissues such as leaf and seed can be considered a "readout" of the summation of these processes and thus provide insight into plant stress response (Salt et al., 2008).

Plant ionomic analysis was originally developed in Arabidopsis, where it was used to identify elemental accumulation mutants and characterize their physiological responses to the environment (Lahner et al., 2003). This approach, wherein the elemental composition of plant tissues is described and useful genetic mutants identified, has been extended to a variety of species including rice (Norton et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2014; Pinson et al., 2015), maize (Baxter et al., 2013; Baxter et al., 2014; Mascher et al., 2014; Gu et al., 2015; Asaro et al., 2016), barley (Wu et al., 2013), soybean (Ziegler et al., 2013; Huber et al., 2016), tomato (Sánchez-Rodríguez et al., 2010), and other crops (Chen et al., 2009; White et al., 2012; Shakoor et al., 2016). These studies have shown that elemental traits are heritable and thus amenable to genetic mapping, but they have also demonstrated that individual elements exhibit phenotypic correlations which could arise from shared genetic control, overlap in membrane transporters at the cellular level, common physiochemical properties in the soil and rhizosphere, macroscale environmental

conditions, or some combination of these factors. One such set of factors that may have an

impact on the ionome are heat and drought stresses, conditions that not only affect the plant itself

through water availability but also through modification of the soil environment from which

nutrients are taken up (Vietz, 1972).

Heat and drought are two of the most common abiotic stresses that occur simultaneously

in agricultural production areas, often with devastating effects on yield and economic returns

(Rizhsky et al., 2002; Fannin, 2012). At the cellular level, these stresses affect photosynthesis

through adverse regulation of stomata and resulting CO_2 uptake, thereby decreasing whole plant

health and fitness (Chaves et al., 2003; Taiz and Zeiger, 2006; Prasad et al., 2008). Increased

variability in weather patterns could have a significant impact on crop yields as well as threaten

the manufacture of critical bio-based commodities in agricultural areas already at risk (Wheeler

and von Braun, 2013; Thornton et al., 2014). Expanding the understanding of how plants respond

to abiotic stress at the physiological level is imperative to increasing stress resiliency and

developing improved crop cultivars. Nowhere is this truer than for cotton (*Gossypium* spp.), a

crop with no naturally occurring substitute that can be produced on the scale demanded by

economic markets.

Cotton is the most widely grown fiber crop in the world, being produced in over 80

countries and responsible for a multi-billion dollar industry. In 2016, twenty-one million metric

tons of cotton fiber were produced globally (Cotton Inc, 2017). In the US, the largest global

exporter of cotton, the annual value of the crop is over $5 billion, translating into $25 billion

generated in value-added products and services (USDA Economic Research Service, 2015).

Currently, 65% of US cotton acreage is produced under rainfed agricultural systems, with global

data reflecting similar conditions in other countries (National Cotton Council of America, 2015).

Because of this, cotton, as with essentially all crops, is threatened by the effects of climate

change including decreased rainfall, increased temperatures, and highly variable weather patterns

(Dabbert and Gore, 2014). To contend with temperature and precipitation changes that are

unfavorable to crop growth, new technologies such as field-based, high-throughput phenotyping

have been investigated to support the more efficient and effective development of stress-resilient

cultivars (Thorp et al., 2015; Pauli et al., 2016a; Pauli et al., 2016b). Ionomic profiling, which

can be done on seed, is a complementary technology that could provide insight into the

physiological status of the plant in relation to its growing environment.

To make progress towards this goal, we assayed the elemental profiles of cotton seed in

an upland (*G. hirsutum* L.) recombinant inbred line (RIL) mapping population, which was

evaluated under two contrasting irrigation regimes for three growing seasons. The fourteen

elements that were profiled were arsenic (As), calcium (Ca), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), iron (Fe),

potassium (K), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mg), molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), phosphorus

(P), sulfur (S), rubidium (Rb), and zinc (Zn). Ionomic analyses were also conducted on soil

samples collected from multiple depths throughout the field site where the population was grown

in order to investigate relationships between soil and seed elemental concentrations.

Complementary quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping methods, consisting of Bayesian

classification and frequentist multivariate approaches, were employed to identify regions of the

cotton genome controlling phenotypic variation for elemental concentrations. To test whether the

ionome can truly be an indicator for environmental growing conditions, various supervised

learning methods were implemented to predict the irrigation regime under which RILs were

grown using only the ionomic data itself. Empirical results of this study demonstrate that the

ionome is a dynamic system that responds in a coordinated manner due to its shared genetic

basis, providing valuable information on the physiological status of plants.

RESULTS

Soil elemental variability

The soil samples taken from throughout the experimental field site demonstrated that spatial

variability existed for the concentration of the 14 elements profiled. A year effect of at least

moderately high significance was only found for K, S, Rb, and Zn ($P < 0.01$, Supplemental Table

1), although the effect of depth at which soil samples were taken was highly significant for all

elements profiled. Because the year effect was weakly significant ($P < 0.05$) or non-significant

($P > 0.05$) for 10 of the 14 elements, both years of data were used in conducting geostatistical

analyses to reveal and quantify the spatially structured and heterogeneous nature of the soil

element concentrations across the field site (Figure 1). The fitted models produced effective

ranges of spatial correlation from 12.49 to 66.44 m, with an average distance of 26.68 m

(Supplemental Table 2). As expected, these results confirmed that concentrations of nearly all

soil elements were related in a distance-dependent manner throughout the field. The only

element that did not display any type of detectable spatial relationship was sulfur, which had an

estimated concentration of 1089.90 ppm across the entire field site.

The soil conditions were not limiting for plant growth as the concentration of key

nutrients for cotton production in Arizona, which are P, K, Zn, Fe, and Mn, all had minimum

values exceeding production recommendations (Supplemental Table 3) (Silvertooth, 2001).

Arsenic, an element which can be toxic for plants, had an observed maximum value of 4.99 ppm

which was well below the accepted threshold of 40 ppm (Walsh et al., 1977). Given the

empirical evidence provided by the soil element analyses and the precision irrigation

management used in this experiment, we are confident that high temperature and water deficit

were the primary abiotic stresses impacting cotton plants from first flower to harvest.

Seed ionome profiles

In order to understand how the seed ionome responds to abiotic stress, two irrigation

regimes, consisting of water-limited (WL) and well-watered (WW) conditions, were imposed at

flowering (50% of plots at first flower) and continued throughout the remainder of the season

until harvest. Coinciding with the irrigation regimes, day time temperatures in the desert

Southwest, on average, exceeded 32°C, the threshold above which lint yields are acutely

impacted (Pauli et al., 2016a ; Schlenker and Roberts, 2009). To control for the effects of

localized soil environment and phenological development, the interpolated soil elemental

concentrations and flowering time of genotypes were individually tested as covariates in the

mixed linear model (Equation 2) to assess their association with seed element concentrations.

The only elements that exhibited a significant ($P < 0.05$) linear relationship between seed and

soil levels were Co, Mg, and Rb (Supplemental Table 4). The seed element concentrations that

had an association ($P < 0.05$) with flowering time were As, Cu, and Ni (Supplemental Table 4).

After accounting for the effects of soil environment and phenology, we observed significant

genotypic differences ($P < 0.0001$) for all 14 elements; however, only seven of the elements, Ca,

Cu, Fe, Mg, Mo, S, and Zn, displayed differences between the irrigation regimes. Of these seven

elements, only the concentration of Mg decreased under WL conditions, while the concentrations

of the other six elements increased under WL conditions. Genotype-by-irrigation regime

interactions were only significant for Co, Mn, Mo, and S (Figure 2, Supplemental Table 4).

In terms of the relative seed elemental concentration values, which were weight

normalized and rescaled prior to analysis, the RIL population exhibited extensive phenotypic

variation for the 14 elements profiled (Table 1). The macronutrients Ca, K, Mg, P and S all had

average concentrations above 10,000 ppb with K being the element in highest concentration with

values over 46,000 ppb in both irrigation regimes. Arsenic was the element with the lowest

relative average concentration of only 10.58 and 10.53 ppb for WL and WW conditions,

respectively, and Co was the second least abundant element with averages of 12.09 and 12.12

ppb for WL and WW regimes, respectively. Both positive and negative transgressive segregation

was observed for most elements in the RIL population.

We estimated broad-sense heritabilities for the elements to determine the extent to which

phenotypic variation was attributable to genetic variation in the RIL population. Heritability

values were moderate to high, ranging from a minimum of 0.32 (Zn, WW conditions, Figure 2)

to a maximum of 0.92 (Cu, WL conditions). With the exception of the low estimate for Zn under

WW conditions, heritabilities were all greater than 0.60. With regard to the heritability estimates

between irrigation regimes, there were no significant differences (two-sided t-test, $P > 0.05$). The

ANOVA also revealed that the variance due to year effects was large for most elements ($> 40\%$

for As, Co, K, Mg, Mn, P, Rb, and S) and that the variances associated with the second- and

third-order interaction terms were small (Figure 2).

To characterize the relationship among the elements and potentially shared regulation of

seed elemental levels, we estimated pairwise phenotypic ($\hat{r}_{pij}$) and genotypic ($\hat{r}_{gij}$) correlations

among the 14 elements. Element pairs with strong phenotypic correlations under both irrigation

regimes (ranging from 0.51 to 0.77) included Mg/P, Mg/Zn, Mg/Fe, Ca/Mn, Fe/Zn, and Zn/P,

results in agreement with other plant studies (Baxter et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Shakoor et

al., 2016). Neither major differences in terms of element pairings nor contrasts in correlation

strengths were observed between the two irrigation regimes (Figure 3, Supplemental Table 5).

Phenotypic correlations were, on average, positive with the exception of As, which was

negatively correlated with all other elements (Figure 3). The most highly correlated elements,

Ca, Cu, Fe, Ni, Mg, Mn, P, and Zn, were grouped together at the center of the network, while

micronutrients were mostly on the perimeter (Figure 3). Interestingly, K was one of the least

correlated elements despite being a macronutrient. It was primarily correlated with Rb, the only

other monovalent cation profiled, suggesting that chemical similarities among the elements are

responsible for their relatedness. The genotypic correlations estimated for the TM-1×NM24016

RIL population closely followed the pattern observed for the phenotypic correlations with

respect to strength and pairings (Figure 3, Supplemental Table 6).

QTL mapping

The mapping of QTL utilizing a Bayesian classification method detected a total of 38

QTL that mapped to 15 chromosomes and 21 unique genomic locations (Figure 4, Supplemental

Table 7). Concerning the two irrigation regimes, 16 and 22 QTL were detected under WL and

WW conditions, respectively. Only four QTL, *qCu.A07.28.00*, *qMg.A12.45.21*, *qNi.D12.116.00*,

and *qP.A05.74.05*, were detected for both irrigation regimes. The number of QTL found per

element varied from one (Mo) to five (Mg & Rb). There were a total of five genomic regions,

located on chromosomes A05, A06, A12, D01, and D12, to which two or more QTL mapped

with all but A12 having ion pairs (Ca/Mg, Fe/Zn, Cu/Ni, and Fe/Ni, respectively) with similar

biochemical properties. Several QTL mapped to chromosome A05, linkage group 74, including

for Fe, Mg, Ni, P, and Zn, indicating that this genomic region has a significant impact on the

cotton seed ionome.

We also implemented a more statistically powerful multi-trait mapping approach (a

multivariate analysis) to reveal loci controlling phenotypic variation for elements with similar

properties. Elements were first grouped based on their biochemical function creating two groups

of elements: "ionic" and "redox." Both of these groups were then analyzed using the method of

seemingly unrelated regression (SUR) to identify loci impacting multiple elemental

concentrations in cotton seed. This multi-trait analysis identified 45 QTL that mapped to 18

chromosomes and 31 unique genomic locations (Figure 4, Supplemental Table 8). Nearly an

equal number of QTL were detected for each irrigation regime, with 23 and 22 QTL found for

the WL and WW irrigation regimes, respectively. In contrast to the Bayesian mapping approach,

which only detected four QTL for both irrigation regimes, the multi-trait analysis detected 14.

Additionally, the multi-trait analysis also identified 10 QTL that, although detected with the

Bayesian classification approach, were not declared significant because they were below the

Bayes factor threshold of 10 (Supplemental Table 9).

We examined the tetraploid cotton draft genome sequence (*G. hirsutum* L. acc. TM-1,

which was one of the parents of the RIL population) (Zhang et al., 2015), to determine if there

were plausible candidate genes underlying detected QTL. For QTL that mapped to A06, A07,

and D12, candidate genes found to colocalize included a copper transporter, metal tolerance

protein, and potassium transporter, respectively. For the Zn QTL on A05, which was detected by

both mapping methods as well as for both irrigation regimes, a zinc transporter gene described in

G. hirsutum (UniProt ID K9N1X9) was identified within the 342 kb interval defined by the

flanking markers. The Bayesian classification-detected QTL for potassium on A09,

qK.A09.33.00, defined a 1.9 Mb interval containing a gene involved in metal ion transport

(UniProt ID B9IFR1).

Prediction of irrigation regime

We conducted a principal component analysis (PCA) on best linear unbiased estimators

(BLUEs) for all 14 elements for each of the individual years and found that the first two PCs

accounted for almost half of the total elemental variance, 47.3%. The analysis revealed a distinct

separation between the two irrigation regimes with PC 2 on the y-axis largely separating the WL

and WW into two groups and explaining 14.1% of the total variance (Figure 5A). These results

suggested that the seed ionome could be predictive of abiotic stress. To test this hypothesis, five

supervised machine learning approaches, logistic regression, linear discriminate analysis (LDA),

quadratic discriminate analysis (QDA), k-nearest neighbors (KNN), and support vector machines

(SVM), were used to determine the irrigation regime within which a RIL was grown. To help

control for non-reproducible environmental effects, the elemental BLUEs for the individual years

were first centered and scaled within respective years prior to their use in the five models.

Extremely high prediction accuracies were obtained for all five methods, but SVM achieved the

highest average prediction accuracy across the three years at 97.7% (Figure 5B) and a maximum

accuracy of 98.5% for 2011. The KNN method produced the lowest average prediction accuracy

of 92.5%, which was observed in 2010 and 2011. The remaining three methods, LDA, logistic

regression, and QDA, had an across-year-and-irrigation regime average of ~94%.

DISCUSSION

Over the last decade, ionomics has been established as a powerful tool for both examining the

nutrient status of plants to assess homeostasis and for revealing the genetic mechanisms

responsible for elemental variation. However, research efforts have largely been focused on

characterizing the elemental concentration of various plant tissues and identifying mutant lines

for further genetic characterization (Lahner et al., 2003; Baxter et al., 2009; Pinson et al., 2015).

These studies have led to valuable knowledge on the genetic control of element accumulation in

plants, but have also offered limited insight into how the ionome interacts with the environment.

To address these information gaps in ionomics research, we evaluated a cotton RIL mapping

population under contrasting irrigation regimes to assess the effects of high temperature and

water deficit on the ionome. The elemental profiles of the localized soil environment were also

analyzed so that these results could be incorporated into the analysis of the cotton seed ionome.

Further expanding on this work, the elemental concentration data was utilized for prediction of

abiotic stress, as defined by WL or WW irrigation regimes, to investigate how accurately the

ionome predicts the physiological status of the plant.

The heterogeneous nature of the soil environment can impact phenotypic variation of

most quantitative traits and influence growth characteristics like root density, biomass allocation,

and interplant competition (Caldwell et al., 1996; Hutchings et al., 2003). Because of this innate

relationship between the soil environment and plant development, we used geospatial

interpolation methods to model the elemental concentrations across the field site (Figure 1) in

order to assess if there was a direct association between soil and seed element levels. Although

we only found three elements (Co, Mg, and Rb) that exhibited a significant linear relationship

between soil and seed concentrations (Supplemental Table 4), under other more varied

environments the associations may be stronger and more numerous among the elements. Without

the inclusion of the soil data it would have been more difficult to determine if variation observed

in the seed ionome was due to genetic effects, abiotic stress, or only the localized environment.

This was demonstrated in the case of Mg, which had both a significant irrigation effect and a

linear relationship between soil and seed Mg concentrations. The inclusion of the interpolated

soil level data permitted us to decouple the impacts of water deficit stress from soil variability

and improve our genetic mapping, along with calculation of genotypic and phenotypic

correlations.

The irrigation regimes imposed in this experiment provided the ability to evaluate how

the cotton seed ionome responds to abiotic stress, specifically high temperature and water deficit.

Seven of the 14 elements assayed had significant differences between the irrigation regimes

(Figure 2), including Ca, Mg, and S which are important macronutrients (Mengel and Kirkby,

2012). Although we can only speculate what mechanisms may be responsible for observed

differences in most element concentrations due to water deficit, the increased seed Ca

concentration under WL conditions is consistent with its involvement in intra-plant signaling and

osmoregulation via increased solute concentration. This hypothesis is in agreement with the

results of Patakas et al. (2002) who found similar elevated Ca levels in leaves of grape (*Vitis*

vinifera L, cv. Savatiano), another woody perennial species like cotton, when evaluated under

drought-stress conditions. Although their analyses were based on leaf tissue samples and not

seed, one could hypothesize that seeds would show a similar response based on Ca signaling,

which occurs both as an early and secondary signaling response, with local and global effects

mediated through transport in the xylem (Knight, 1999; Sanders et al., 1999; Xiong et al., 2002;

White and Broadley, 2003). Additionally, the elevated levels of Ca in seeds harvested from WL

plots could also be due to increased levels of Ca associated with stomatal closure in response to

drought stress (Atkinson, 1991; Schroeder et al., 2001; Taiz and Zeiger, 2006). However the

long-term dynamics of Ca flux in these responses and what influence they would have on the

seed ionome remains unclear given that time-course studies are currently lacking in the literature.

To gain insight into the ionome and potential joint regulation of elemental accumulation

in cotton seed, we evaluated the correlations, both phenotypic and genotypic, among the

elements profiled (Figure 3). The phenotypic correlations confirmed our initial hypothesis that

elements with similar biological relevance and chemical properties would be highly correlated,

consistent with the results of Shakoor et al. (2016) and others. However, the lack of a contrast in

the correlation values and patterns between the two irrigation regimes was somewhat surprising

(Figure 3). Initially, we hypothesized that differences in available soil moisture would impact the

relationship among the individual elements. To evaluate if this association was due to

environment or genetics, we assessed the genetic correlation among the elements using a

multivariate restricted maximum likelihood approach, an analysis not previously used in ionomic

studies. The results of these analyses mirrored those obtained for the phenotypic correlations,

namely that the strength and relationship among genotypic correlations were highly similar

across the two irrigation regimes. In both sets of correlations, the majority of macronutrients

clustered in the center of the correlation network graphs, along with those elements involved in

redox reactions (Cu, Fe, Ni, and Zn) (Taiz and Zeiger, 2006; Mengel and Kirkby, 2012). The

remaining elements were grouped along the perimeter including arsenic, which was the only

element to be negatively correlated with all other elements, most likely due to its toxicity to

plants and thus exclusion (Meharg and Hartley-Whitaker, 2002). Although our study represents

an ideal situation given the precisely controlled water deficit stress and single field site, the

consistent trends in correlation, both phenotypic and genotypic, support the supposition that the

cotton ionome is a highly interrelated system.

Given the observed genotypic correlation values suggesting a shared genetic basis

responsible for elemental accumulation, QTL mapping was carried out to assess if loci

responsible for phenotypic variation were indeed shared amongst the elements. A Bayesian
classification method (Zhang et al., 2005) that fitted all markers simultaneously while exploiting
a priori information was used to more fully control for the genetic background effects to enable
better detection of causal loci. This approach was successful in detecting QTL, but more
importantly it identified six genomic regions to which multiple QTL mapped. The QTL for
elements that colocalized to the same location, such as Ca/Mg on A06, Fe/Zn on A05, and Ni/Fe
on D12, had similar biochemical properties, such as being divalent cations, and were also
involved in parallel biochemical processes like regulation of osmotic potentials and electron
transfer (Taiz and Zeiger, 2006).

To date, most ionomic genetic mapping studies, whether biparental mapping or genome-
wide association studies, have relied on univariate mapping approaches (Baxter et al., 2013;
Baxter et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Asaro et al., 2016; Shakoor et al., 2016). Despite the
ability of these methods to detect QTL that individually contribute to phenotypic variation, they
fail to account for the relationship among the various elements, and thus the shared biology
underpinning these traits (Baxter, 2015). Given the QTL results from the Bayesian analysis in
which QTL impacting physiologically related elements colocalized to genomic regions, and the
shared genetic basis revealed by genotypic correlations which were not affected by the irrigation
regimes, a novel analysis was needed to more fully capitalize on the inter-trait relatedness
because the traits, elemental concentrations, were not independent of each other.

With these considerations in mind, a multi-trait (multivariate) mapping approach was
taken to exploit the relationships among the elements to improve the ability to detect QTL
controlling the accumulation of multiple elements within the cotton seed. Seeming unrelated
regression (SUR, Zellner (1962)) was implemented so that elements with similar characteristics
could be grouped together and treated as one phenotype. The colocalizing QTL from the
Bayesian analysis corroborated the division of elements into "ionic" and "redox" groups posited
in the literature (Mengel and Kirkby, 2012) and further supported the use of a multi-trait
analysis. By analyzing like elements in aggregate and accounting for the correlation among
them, statistical power to detect QTL was increased. This improved power led to more consistent
detection of QTL with respect to irrigation regime; 14 QTL were identified in both WL and WW
conditions compared to four QTL found in the Bayesian analysis. These results are more
congruent with what the genetic correlations revealed, largely that relationships among elements

are stable despite the perturbations by abiotic stress. Additionally, the multi-trait analysis

detected 10 QTL whose Bayes factors were below the significance threshold in the Bayesian

analysis, and thus missed, further highlighting why previous genetic mapping studies not

utilizing a multi-trait analysis likely missed identifying important loci. Although the two

mapping approaches rely on two distinctly different branches of statistics, Bayesian and

frequentist methods, there was agreement between the two; a total of 18 QTL were concordant

between methods providing further support for the mutually identified QTL (Figure 4). Also,

elements with co-located QTL had similar ionic charges, suggesting that the genetic factors

underlying these QTL are not element-specific but instead dependent on chemical properties like

those used by cellular ion transporters for ion selectivity (Tester, 1990).

Although the detected QTL explained a moderate amount of the phenotypic variation

observed for cotton seed elemental concentrations, there was a question of whether these data

themselves could describe the physiological status of the plant. The results from PCA clearly

demonstrated that the elemental data could capture the effects of water deficit and served to

separate the RILs into respective irrigation regimes in which they were evaluated (Figure 5A).

Building on these results, various supervised learning algorithms were used to predict the year in

which irrigation regime RILs were evaluated out of the three years in which this experiment was

conducted. When the year-to-year variation was removed via standardization, extremely high

prediction accuracies, greater than 92%, were achieved for all of the methods used with minimal

variation in prediction amongst years within a method. These results demonstrate that the ionome

is capable of encapsulating the physiological status of cotton plants without the use of more

traditional physiological phenotypes like carbon isotope discrimination, relative leaf water

content, osmotic adjustment, and other, more time-consuming and costly measurements.

Additionally, because of the high prediction accuracies of irrigation regime, the possibility of

using ionomics for in-season crop predictions becomes an attractive crop management tool for

growers. However, the results obtained here would need to be replicated in leaf samples in order

to provide data earlier in the season so that the results could be integrated into decisions about

crop management.

CONCLUSION

The ionome is capable of capturing the mineral and nutrient content of the plant tissue from

which samples are taken thereby offering valuable insight on the physiological status of the plant

(Salt et al., 2008). Because of this, ionomic profiling was used for both cotton seeds and the

ambient soil to study how the ionome interacts with and responds to its localized environment,

with a focus on the impact of water deficit. Our results provide further evidence that the ionome

is a complex, interrelated biosystem that is largely under shared genetic control, and as such, it

responds as an integrated unit to abiotic stress as evident by the stable relationship among

phenotypic and genotypic correlations despite the contrasting irrigation treatments. Because of

the interrelatedness among the ionomic traits, a genetic mapping approach that capitalizes on

these relationships was used to detect loci that influence the composition of the various elements

in plant seed. To further extend these findings and concepts, the ionome was found to have a

remarkably high predictive accuracy for irrigation regime status that accurately reflected the

physiological status of the plant. Although this was a two-category classification problem of

water-stressed versus non-water stress, it yet reflects the ability of these types of data to describe

the status and overall wellbeing of the plant. Taken together, the present work provides new

insight into how complex biological systems are controlled at the genetic level, and this through

multiple shared loci that are associated with correlated responses, including responses to varied

water deficit regimes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Experimental Design

The plant material and experimental design have been extensively described in Pauli et al.

(2016a). Briefly, the 95 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) from TM-1×NM24016 biparental

mapping population (Percy et al., 2006; Gore et al., 2012) and commercial check cultivars were

evaluated at the University of Arizona's Maricopa Agricultural Center (MAC) located in

Maricopa, AZ, in 2010-12. The 95 RILs, parental lines, and commercial cultivars were grown

under two irrigation regimes, water-limited (WL) and well-watered (WW) conditions at a field

site of predominantly sandy clay loam soil texture. Each year, the trial was arranged in an alpha

(0, 1) lattice design with two replications per irrigation regime. Plots were one-row measuring

8.8 m in length with 1.02 m inter-row spacing, and thinned to a density of ~4.1 plants m^{-2}. The

trial was managed with conventional cotton cultivation practices. Meteorological data were

recorded by an automated Arizona Meteorological Network (AZMET) weather station

(ag.arizona.edu/azmet/index.html) located 270 m from the field site (Brown, 1989).

To establish the crop, several furrow irrigations were applied during the first 10-14 days
after planting after which subsurface drip irrigation (SDI) was used for the remainder of the field
season. In late May of years 2010-12 after plant establishment, neutron moisture probe access
tubes were installed to a depth of 1.6 m at 56 selected locations throughout the field with an
equal number of tubes in the WL and WW treatment plots. Weekly soil water content
measurements in 0.2 m increments from a depth of 0.1 to 1.5 m were made for all probe
locations from early June through early October in each year using field-calibrated neutron
moisture probes (Model 503, Campbell Pacific Nuclear, CPN, Martinez, CA). The scheduling of
the WW SDI treatment was performed using a daily soil-water-balance model calculated over
the cotton root zone as previously described in Andrade-Sanchez et al. (2014). Soil-water-
balance model inputs included estimated daily crop evapotranspiration (ETc) as determined from
FAO-56 dual crop coefficient procedures (Allen et al., 1998), metered irrigation depths, and
precipitation data from the AZMET weather station. For ETc, the cotton basal crop coefficient
(Kcb) values were 0.15, 1.2, and 0.52 for the initial, mid-season, and end of season values,
respectively. These parameters were constructed into an FAO-56 Kcb curve using the growth
stage lengths developed locally by Hunsaker et al. (2005) for a typical 155 day cotton season.
Additional crop and soil parameters used in calculating the daily soil water balance were as those
presented in Hunsaker et al. (2005; table 3), with the exception of the fraction of soil wetted by
irrigation, which was reduced to 0.2 for the SDI.
Irrigations to the WW plots were applied to refill the root zone water content to field
capacity when approximately 35% of the available soil water had been depleted. Starting mid-
July, the WL plots received one half of the irrigation amounts applied to the WW plots. The WL
irrigation regime was imposed when more than 50% of the plots were at first flower to minimize
the interaction of phenology and soil moisture deficit. The weekly soil water content
measurements for the WW treatment were used to monitor the actual soil water depletion and
adjust the modeled soil-water-balance depletion when needed.

Soil and Seed Sampling for Ionomic Profiling

In 2010 and 2012, soil samples were collected from the 56 neutron access tube sites at
five depth intervals: 0 – 30; 30 – 60; 60 – 90; 90 – 120; and 120 – 150 cm. The locations of
neutron tubes for 2011 were the same as for 2010, thus soil sampling was not carried out again in
2011. In 2012, the neutron probes were redistributed in the field and soil samples were taken

from the new neutron access tube sites. Soil sampling was performed within each of the five

depth intervals at a probe location (five samples per 56 probe locations). The collected samples

were homogenized and then sent to the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center (DDPSC) where

they were dried and then ground to obtain a soil particle size less than 4 mm. From these

prepared soil samples, two 50 mg subsamples were taken per each depth interval within a probe

location and placed in digestion tubes for ionomic profiling.

Prior to mechanical harvest at the end of the season, 25 bolls were harvested from each

experimental plot and processed using a laboratory 10-saw gin. From the processed boll samples,

six seeds were randomly sampled and sent to DDPSC where they were individually weighed and

placed in digestion tubes for further processing.

Determination of Elemental Composition by ICP-MS

Samples for both soil and seed were digested, analyzed by inductively coupled plasma

mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), and data corrected for loss of analyte during sample preparation

and drift as described in Ziegler et al. (2013). Briefly, both soil and seed were separately digested

in 2.5 mL nitric acid containing 20 parts per billion (ppb) indium as a sample preparation internal

standard at room temperature overnight, then heated to 100 °C for 3 h and diluted to 10 mL using

ultra-pure water (UPW). The nitric acid digestion of soil samples is a partial digestion procedure.

However, nitric acid digestion is sufficient to provide an adequate sample of elemental content

available for biological uptake. Samples were diluted in-line with 5x volume of UPW containing

yttrium as an instrument internal standard using an ESI prepFAST autodilution system.

Elemental concentrations were measured using a Perkin Elmer Elan 6000 DRC-e mass

spectrometer for all seed samples and the 2012 soil samples. A Perkin Elmer NexION 350D with

helium mode enabled for improved removal of spectral interferences was used to measure

elemental concentrations of the 2010 soil samples. Instrument reported concentrations were

corrected for the yttrium and indium internal standards and a matrix matched control (either

pooled soil or pooled seed sample). The control was run every 10 samples to correct for element-

specific instrument drift. The same control was used in every ICP-MS run to correct for run-to-

run variation.

To correct seed elemental concentrations for weight and run-to-run variation, we

followed the method of Asaro et al. (2016). Briefly, elemental concentration was regressed

against sample weight and ICP-MS run. The residuals from this model reflected the deviance of

samples from the population mean and were used as the weight-normalized phenotype. Because

a uniform amount of soil was digested for each sample, this normalization technique was not

necessary for the soil samples. Therefore, soil concentrations were simply converted to parts per

million, ppm, (mg analyte/kg sample) by dividing instrument reported concentrations by the 50

mg sample weight.

Analytical outliers for seed samples were removed first using the non-weight-normalized

values and again after normalization. Outliers were identified by analyzing the variance of the

six seed replicate measurements and excluding an elemental measurement from further analysis

if the median absolute deviation (MAD) was greater than 6.2. After outlier removal and weight-

normalization, the elemental concentrations were transformed to non-negative by adding a

constant to every sample so that the smallest value for each element quantified had a value

greater than 10. This was done to avoid boundary constraint issues during variance component

estimation. Final concentrations were calculated by taking the mean of the six individual seed

elemental concentrations and reported as parts per billion, ppb.

Soil Ionomic Data Analysis and Spatial Interpolation

To identify and remove significant outliers from the raw soil ionomic data, we fitted a

mixed linear model for each element in ASReml-R version 3.0 (Gilmour et al., 2009). For the

elements calcium (Ca), arsenic (As), and iron (Fe), soil sample data were log transformed to

stabilize variances based on preliminary analyses using mixed linear models. The full model

(Equation 1) fitted to the data was as follows:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + \text{year}_i + \text{depth}_j + \text{sample(year)}_{ik} + \qquad\qquad \text{(Equation 1)}$$

$$\text{rep(year)}_{il} + \text{depth(year} \times \text{sample)}_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijkl}$$

in which Y_{ijkl} is an individual soil sample observation; μ is the grand mean; year_i is the effect of

the ith year; depth_j is the effect of the jth soil sample depth; sample(year)_{ik} is the effect of the kth

soil sample nested within the ith year; rep(year)_{il} is the effect of the lth replication of the soil

sample nested within the ith year; $\text{depth(year} \times \text{sample)}_{ijk}$ is the effect of the jth soil sample depth

level nested within the kth soil sample and ith year; and ε_{ijkl} is the random error term following a

normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2. The model terms year_i and depth_j were fitted as

fixed effects while all others were fitted as random effects. To detect significant outliers,

Studentized deleted residuals (Neter et al., 1996) were used with degrees of freedom calculated

using the Kenward-Rogers approximation (Kenward and Roger, 1997).

Once all outliers were removed for each element, an iterative mixed linear model fitting

procedure of Equation 1 was conducted in ASReml-R version 3.0 (Gilmour et al., 2009).

Likelihood ratio tests were conducted to remove all terms fitted as random effects from the

model that were not significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ (Littell et al., 2006) to generate a final, best fitted

model for each element. This final model was used to generate best linear unbiased predictors

(BLUPs) for each unique neutron probe location for 2010 and 2012. Sequential tests of fixed

effects were carried out with degrees of freedom calculated using the Kenward-Rogers

approximation. For those elements in which log transformation was required (As, Ca, and Fe),

BLUPs were back transformed prior to further analyses.

The calculated BLUPs for each neutron probe location within the field were then utilized

for spatial interpolation using geostatistical methods. Conventionally in geostatistical analyses,

an estimate of the variogram model is calculated from the observed data points that describes the

spatial variability of the underlying processes in the given physical area of study. The derived

model accounting for the spatial relationship between sampling locations, which describes the

covariance as a function of distance between points (Yates, 1948), is then used to predict the

values at unsampled but known locations – this methodology is known as kriging (Webster and

Oliver, 2007). Due to the subjective nature of deriving empirical variograms, we used an

iterative model fitting procedure to obtain initial model parameters using the *automap* package

(Hiemstra et al., 2009) in R (R Core Team, 2016). The BLUPs for elements Mg, P, K, Ca, and Fe

were log transformed to stabilize variances for model fitting. Through implementation of the

'autoKrige' function in *automap*, eight spatial relationship models (spherical, exponential,

Gaussian, Matern, Bessel, circular, pentaspherical, and Stein's parameterization of Matern) were

tested, and for each spatial model used, seven values of the range parameter (the distance at

which spatial dependency is no longer present, values of 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 m) were

iterated over to find the optimal value. All other parameters (e.g., nugget variance and sill) were

calculated by the software. To assess the model fit for each combination of spatial model and

range distance, the sums of squares error was extracted from the fitted model to determine

reasonable starting values for all model parameters.

In the next step, the complete set of estimated parameters (range, sill, nugget, bin width,

and spatial model) from the best fitted model were used as starting values to generate an initial

empirical variogram using the function "variogram" in the R package *gstat* (Pebesma, 2004).

The generated empirical variogram and estimated parameters were then passed to the auto-fitting

function "fit.variogram" for further model optimization and parameter estimation with sample

point weightings determined by N_j/h^2_j, where N is the number of point pairs and h is the distance

between points. The final model for each element was then assessed by visual inspection to

ensure they were adequately capturing the spatial variance structure and that the model fitting

procedure selected optimal parameters. The optimized models for each element were then used

to conduct block kriging whereby element concentrations were predicted at the plot level for

each georeferenced plot across the experimental field site for the three years.

Seed Ionomic Data Analysis

The processing of the seed ionomic data was similar to that of the soil ionomic data.

Initially, we fitted mixed linear model for each element in ASReml-R version 3.0 to identify and

remove significant outliers from the raw data. Unlike the soil ionomic data, no transformation of

elemental concentrations was required due to the weight normalization step described above. The

full model (Equation 2) fitted to the data was as follows:

$$Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + \text{covariate}_{ijklmn} + \text{year}_i + \text{irg}_j + \text{rep(irg} \times \text{year)}_{ijk}$$
$$+ \text{column(rep} \times \text{irg} \times \text{year)}_{ijkl} + \text{block(rep} \times \text{irg} \times \text{year)}_{ijkm} \qquad \text{(Equation 2)}$$
$$+ \text{genotype}_n + \text{(genotype} \times \text{year)}_{in} + \text{(genotype} \times \text{irg)}_{jn}$$
$$+ \varepsilon_{ijklmn},$$

in which Y_{ijklmn} is the elemental concentration observation representing the adjusted model

residual from the weight normalization step; covariate$_{ijklmn}$ represents either flowering time (i.e.,

date of first flower, Julian calendar) or the interpolated soil element concentration for each

observation; μ is the grand mean; year$_i$ is the effect of the ith year; irg$_j$ is the effect of the jth

irrigation regime (WW or WL); rep(irg $\times$ year)$_{ijk}$ is the effect of the kth replication within the jth

irrigation regime within the ith year; column(rep $\times$ irg $\times$ year)$_{ijkl}$ is the effect of the lth plot grid

column within the kth replication within the jth irrigation regime within the ith year; block(rep $\times$

irg $\times$ year)$_{ijkm}$ is the effect of the mth incomplete block within the kth replication within the jth

irrigation regime within the ith year; genotype$_n$ is the effect of the nth genotype; (genotype $\times$

year)$_{in}$ is the interaction effect between the nth genotype and the ith year; (genotype $\times$ irg)$_{jn}$ is the

interaction effect between the nth genotype and the jth irrigation regime; and ε_{ijklmn} is the random

error term following a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2. The two covariates,

flowering time and soil element concentration, were tested individually for their significance at α

= 0.05, and if found to be nonsignificant, they were removed from the model. The model terms

genotype$_n$, irg$_j$, and (genotype $\times$ irg)$_{jn}$ were fitted as fixed effects, while all the other terms were

fitted as random effects. To detect significant outliers, Studentized deleted residuals (Neter et al.,

1996) were used with degrees of freedom calculated using the Kenward-Rogers approximation

(Kenward and Roger, 1997).

Once outliers had been removed, iterative model fitting was conducted as described

above with nonsignificant random terms removed from the model at a threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$. The

best fitted model was then used to generate both an overall (across three years) best linear

unbiased estimator (BLUE) and a within year BLUE for each separate irrigation regimes. Tests

of model fixed effects were conducted as described for the soil element analysis.

For each element, broad-sense heritability on an entry-mean basis ($\hat{H}^2$) was estimated

within each irrigation regime by reformulating Equation 2 to remove the irrigation regime term.

Next all terms were fitted as random effects in order to estimate their associated variance

components; however, if the covariate was found to be statistically significant it was retained in

the model as a fixed effect. For each element, the variance component estimates from each final

model were used to estimate $\hat{H}^2$ (Holland et al., 2003) as follows:

$$\hat{H}^2 = \frac{\widehat{\sigma_g^2}}{\widehat{\sigma_g^2} + \dfrac{\widehat{\sigma_{gy}^2}}{n_y} + \dfrac{\widehat{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}}{n_p}}, \qquad = \frac{\widehat{\sigma_g^2}}{\widehat{\sigma_p^2}} \qquad \text{(Equation 3)}$$

where $\widehat{\sigma_g^2}$ is the estimated genetic variance, $\widehat{\sigma_{gy}^2}$ is the estimated variance associated with

genotype-by-year variation, $\widehat{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}$ is the residual error variance, n_y is the harmonic mean of the

number of years in which each genotype was observed, and n_p is the harmonic mean of the

number of plots in which each genotype was observed. The denominator of equation 3 is

equivalent to the phenotypic variance, $\widehat{\sigma_p^2}$. Standard errors of the estimated heritabilities were

approximated using the delta method (Lynch and Walsh, 1998; Holland et al., 2003).

For each irrigation regime, genotypic ($\hat{r}_{gij}$) and phenotypic ($\hat{r}_{pij}$) correlations between

traits and their standard errors were estimated using multivariate REML in PROC MIXED of

SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute 2013) as previously described (Holland et al., 2001; Holland,

2006). To eliminate model convergence issues arising from the differences in scale among the

various elements, a data standardization procedure was implemented using PROC STANDARD

in SAS version 9.4. The BLUEs generated from Equation 2 for the two irrigation regimes within

the individual years (e.g. 2010 WL, 2010 WW) were standardized to have a mean of zero and

standard deviation of one prior to model fitting. The model used separately for each irrigation

regime was as follows:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + year(trait)_{ijk} + genotype_l + (year \times genotype)_{kl} + \varepsilon_{ijkl} \qquad \text{(Equation 4)}$$

where Y_{ijkl} are the paired BLUEs for the ith and jth traits; μ is the multivariate grand mean;

year(trait)$_{ijk}$ is the effect of the kth year on the combined ith and jth traits; genotype$_l$ is the effect

of the lth genotype; (year$\times$genotype)$_{kl}$ is the effect of the interaction between the kth year and the

lth genotype; and ε_{ijkl} is the random error term. The terms genotype$_l$ and (year$\times$genotype)$_{kl}$ were

fitted as random effects while year(trait)$_{ijk}$ was fitted as a fixed effect. The REPEATED

statement was used to estimate the covariance of the error associated with the ith and jth trait

BLUEs measured for the same genotype.

The formula for estimating genotypic correlations was as follows:

$$\hat{r}_{gij} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{Gij}}{\hat{\sigma}_{Gi}\hat{\sigma}_{Gj}} \qquad \text{(Equation 5)}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{Gij}$ is the estimated genotypic covariance between traits i and j, $\hat{\sigma}_{Gi}$ is the estimated

genotypic standard deviation of trait i and $\hat{\sigma}_{Gj}$ is the estimated genotypic standard deviation of

trait j.

The formula for estimating phenotypic correlations was as follows:

$$\hat{r}_{pij} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{Pij}}{\hat{\sigma}_{Pi}\hat{\sigma}_{Pj}} \qquad \text{(Equation 6)}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{Pij}$ is the estimated phenotypic covariance between traits i and j, $\hat{\sigma}_{Pi}$ is the estimated

phenotypic standard deviation of trait i and $\hat{\sigma}_{Pj}$ is the estimated phenotypic standard deviation of

trait j. For both genotypic and phenotypic correlations, significance was assessed by computing

the standard errors for the respective correlation values using the delta method based on Taylor

series expansion (Lynch and Walsh, 1998; Holland et al., 2003). A correlation value greater than

± 0.12 for either genotypic or phenotypic correlations corresponded to a confidence interval not

including 0, thus designated as a statistically significant correlation ($P < 0.05$).

QTL Analysis

The marker genotyping and genetic map construction for the TM-1$\times$NM24016 RIL

mapping population has been previously reported in Gore et al. (2014). Briefly, 841 marker loci,

consisting of 429 simple-sequence repeat (SSR) and 412 genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS)-

based single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), were assigned to 117 linkage groups covering

~2,061 cM of the cotton genome. The 841 marker loci were not equally distributed across the

genome.

QTL mapping with Bayesian classification method

We employed a Bayesian classification method to implement a multi-marker mapping

technique as described in Zhang et al. (2005) and Zhang et al. (2008). Briefly, the goal of the

analysis was to identify single markers that explained a significant amount of the variability for

the seed elemental concentrations within each of the individual irrigation regimes. With a total of

n observations (or RILs) and *m* markers for each observation (or RIL), let $i = 1,2,...,n$ be the

index for each observation (or RIL) and $j = 1,2,..., m$ be the index for the markers across all

linkage groups. Then the phenotypic value for the *i*th observation (or RIL) within an irrigation

regime, WL or WW, can be modeled as follows:

660
$$y_i = \mu + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_j x_{ij} + \varepsilon_i \qquad\qquad \varepsilon_i \sim N(0,\sigma^2) \qquad\qquad \text{(Equation 7)}$$

where μ is the overall mean, x_{ij} is the genotypic value of the jth marker of individual *i*, and ε_i is

the random error term from the environmental factors. In this model, the parameter of interest is

β_j, representing the main effect of the jth marker. Note that this model allows simultaneous

identification of main effects using marker information from the entire genome.

Due to the large number of predictor variables in the model (i.e., markers) but relatively

small number of observations available, the inference/estimation was done via a Bayesian

framework using Markov chain Monte Carlo. The inference/estimation was implemented as a

two-step procedure using a Gibbs sampler. *A priori* information was incorporated into the model

by prior specification for the parameter of interest (spike and slab prior where marker effect is

either positive, negative, or negligible), and estimation was based on the corresponding posterior

distributions. In the first step, all main marker effects were ranked based on their posterior

probabilities of having a non-zero effect on the trait of interest, and in the second step, a subset

of all marker effects were selected. The number of effects selected in the first step depended on

the total number of observations to ensure that efficient parameter estimates were obtained while

keeping a desirable level of statistical power.

The BLUEs for each of the profiled elements were standardized to have zero mean and a

standard deviation of one before fitting the above model (Equation 7) to the data; this was done

independently within each irrigation regime. In all analyses, the first 5,000 iterations were

discarded as burn-in period and the following 5,000 iterations were used for

inference/estimation. Model convergence was confirmed by the diagnostic tools presented in

Cowles and Carlin (1996). For each parameter of interest, we estimated its magnitude and

direction, as well as the posterior probabilities of being greater or less than zero. These posterior

probabilities were used to calculate the Bayes factor as defined by Jeffreys (1935); Jeffreys

(1961). As advised by Jeffreys (1961), a Bayes factor between 10 and 100 provides "strong

evidence" and large than 100 means "decisive evidence."

Seemingly unrelated regression analysis

We implemented seemingly unrelated regression (SUR), a multi-trait (multivariate)

analysis, to identify QTL controlling phenotypic variation in multiple elemental concentrations.

As a generalization of linear regression models with multiple responses, SUR was first proposed

by Zellner more than half a century ago (Zellner, 1962) and has been successfully applied to

analyzing high-dimensional metabolite data sets (Chen et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017). The

method of SUR includes a set of multiple regression equations with each equation representing

one of the response variables (i.e., a single elemental trait) of the multivariate response while

assuming that the error terms are correlated between equations. For our analyses, elements were

grouped according to their biological functions as described in Taiz and Zeiger (2006) and

Mengel and Kirkby (2012), which created two groups with more than two elements. The "ionic"

group (Ca, K, Mg, and Mn) included elements that remain in ionic form in plant tissue, and the

"redox" group (Cu, Fe, Mo, Ni, and Zn) which contained elements involved in

oxidation/reduction reactions. For each irrigation regime, WL or WW, the following model was

fitted to each of the three groups of elements:

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{kj}X_{ik} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} denotes the concentration of the jth element of the ith RIL within the elemental

groupings, β_{0j} represents the regression intercept, β_{kj} is the regression coefficient for the X_{ik}

predictor which denotes the genotype of the kth marker of the ith RIL, and ε_{ij} is the error term

that follows $N(0, \sigma_j^2)$ and cov($\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{lk}$). Such potential correlation structure among elements make

the SUR model more statistically powerful than linear regression models with a single element.

Note that for different RILs when $i \neq l$, the term σ_{jk} is equal to zero. The data for each element

were standardized to have mean zero and a standard deviation of one prior to the analysis,

allowing regression coefficient estimates and their associated 95% confidence intervals for each

element within a group to be comparable.

Nomenclature of QTL were defined by combining the elemental name, chromosome

assignment, linkage group, and peak marker position of the identified QTL with names

preference with "q" to denote QTL. To declare QTL as mapping to the same location, we used a

10 cM window to be consistent with previous studies of this population (Pauli et al., 2016a).

Prediction of Irrigation Regime

We used the elemental concentration BLUEs generated for individual years to predict

whether genotypes were grown under WL or WW conditions in the three years this study was

conducted. First, the BLUEs were standardized within each year to have a mean of zero and a

standard deviation of one to account for the effect of the individual years (non-reproducible

environments). Next, we employed a cross-validation strategy whereby two of the years were

used to predict the remaining year, for example, BLUEs from 2010 and 2011 were used to

predict irrigation regime in 2012, providing us with a prediction accuracy for each year. The

various models tested in R (R Core Team, 2016) were as follows: logistic regression; linear

discriminate analysis (LDA) and quadratic discriminate analysis (QDA) implemented in the

MASS package (Venables and Ripley, 2002); k-nearest neighbors (KNN) implemented in the

class package (Venables and Ripley, 2002); and support vector machines (SVM) implemented in

the *e1071* package (Meyer et al., 2017).

To visualize and understand the relationship between irrigation regimes and the RILs, the

BLUEs calculated for the three individual years were used to conduct a principal components

analysis (PCA) using the "prcomp" function in the *stats* package (R Core Team, 2016). Unlike

the prediction of irrigation regime, the BLUEs were not centered and scaled prior to the PCA as

this analysis was to explore the relationship among the fluctuations in elemental concentrations

observed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of

providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the

USDA. The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer. We especially thank Kristen

Cox, Bill Luckett, Joel Gilley, Virginia Moreno, Sara Wyckoff, Spencer Fosnot, Brian Nadon,

Kimberly Green, and Melissa Jurkowski for providing excellent technical expertise. We would

also like to thank Kelly Thorp and members of his lab for managing the soil samples. A special

thanks to Dan Ilut for graphical assistance and review of the manuscript. Thanks to Christine

Diepenbrock for critical review of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D., and Smith, M. (1998). "Crop evapotranspiration - guidelines for computing crop water requirements - FAO irrigation and drainage paper 56". Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Andrade-Sanchez, P., Gore, M.A., Heun, J.T., Thorp, K.R., Carmo-Silva, A.E., French, A.N., et al. (2014). Development and evaluation of a field-based high-throughput phenotyping platform. *Functional Plant Biology* 41**,** 69-79. doi: 10.1071/FP13126.

Asaro, A., Ziegler, G., Ziyomo, C., Hoekenga, O., Dilkes, B., and Baxter, I. (2016). The interaction of genotype and environment determines variation in the maize kernel ionome. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics*. doi: 10.1534/g3.116.034827.

Atkinson, C.J. (1991). The flux and distribution of xylem sap calcium to adaxial and abaxial epidermal tissue. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 42(8)**,** 987-993. doi: 10.1093/jxb/42.8.987.

Baxter, I. (2015). Should we treat the ionome as a combination of individual elements, or should we be deriving novel combined traits? *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66(8)**,** 2127-2131. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv040.

Baxter, I., Hosmani, P.S., Rus, A., Lahner, B., Borevitz, J.O., Muthukumar, B., et al. (2009). Root suberin forms an extracellular barrier that affects water relations and mineral nutrition in arabidopsis. *PLOS Genetics* 5(5)**,** e1000492. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000492.

Baxter, I.R., Gustin, J.L., Settles, A.M., and Hoekenga, O.A. (2013). Ionomic characterization of maize kernels in the intermated B73× Mo17 population. *Crop Science* 53(1)**,** 208-220.

Baxter, I.R., Ziegler, G., Lahner, B., Mickelbart, M.V., Foley, R., Danku, J., et al. (2014). Single-kernel ionomic profiles are highly heritable indicators of genetic and environmental influences on elemental accumulation in maize grain (Zea mays). *PLOS ONE* 9(1)**,** e87628. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087628.

Brown, P.W. (1989). "Accessing the Arizona meteorological network (AZMET) by computer", in: *Extension Report No. 8733.* (Tucson: University of Arizona).

Caldwell, M.M., Manwaring, J.H., and Durham, S.L. (1996). Species interactions at the level of fine roots in the field: influence of soil nutrient heterogeneity and plant size. *Oecologia* 106(4)**,** 440-447. doi: 10.1007/bf00329699.

Chaves, M.M., Maroco, J.P., and Pereira, J. (2003). Understanding plant responses to drought -
from genes to the whole plant. *Functional Plant Biology* 30(3)**,** 239-264. doi:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/FP02076.

Chen, C., Deng, L., Wei, S., Nagana Gowda, G., Gu, H., Chiorean, E.G., et al. (2015). Exploring
metabolic profile differences between colorectal polyp patients and controls using
seemingly unrelated regression. *Journal of Proteome Research* 14(6)**,** 2492-2499.

Chen, C., Nagana Gowda, G.A., Zhu, J., Deng, L., Gu, H., Chiorean, E.G., et al. (2017). Altered
metabolite levels and correlations in patients with colorectal cancer and polyps detected
using seemingly unrelated regression analysis. *Metabolomics* 13(11)**,** 125. doi:
10.1007/s11306-017-1265-0.

Chen, Z., Watanabe, T., Shinano, T., Okazaki, K., and Osaki, M. (2009). Rapid characterization
of plant mutants with an altered ion-profile: a case study using Lotus japonicus. *New*
Phytologist 181(4)**,** 795-801. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02730.x.

Cotton Inc (2017). "Monthly economic letter, April 2017". Cotton Inc.

Cowles, M.K., and Carlin, B.P. (1996). Markov chain monte carlo convergence diagnostics: A
comparative review. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 91(434)**,** 883-904.
doi: 10.2307/2291683.

Dabbert, T.A., and Gore, M.A. (2014). Challenges and perspectives on improving heat and
drought stress resilience in cotton. *Journal of Cotton Science* 18**,** 393-409.

Fannin, B. (2012). Updated 2011 Texas agricultural drought losses total $7.62 billion. *AgriLife*
Today.

Gilmour, A.R., Gogel, B.J., Cullis, B.R., and Thompson, R. (2009). *ASReml User Guide Release*
3.0. Hemel Hempstead, UK: VSN International Ltd.

Gore, M.A., Fang, D.D., Poland, J.A., Zhang, J., Percy, R.G., Cantrell, R.G., et al. (2014).
Linkage map construction and quantitative trait locus analysis of agronomic and fiber
quality traits in cotton. *The Plant Genome* 7(1)**,** 1-10.

Gore, M.A., Percy, R.G., Zhang, J., Fang, D.D., and Cantrell, R.G. (2012). Registration of the
TM-1/NM24016 cotton recombinant inbred mapping population. *Journal of Plant*
Registrations 6(1)**,** 124-127. doi: 10.3198/jpr2011.06.0334crmp.

Gu, R., Chen, F., Liu, B., Wang, X., Liu, J., Li, P., et al. (2015). Comprehensive phenotypic
analysis and quantitative trait locus identification for grain mineral concentration,

content, and yield in maize (Zea mays L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 128(9)**,**
1777-1789. doi: 10.1007/s00122-015-2546-5.

Hiemstra, P.H., Pebesma, E.J., Twenhöfel, C.J.W., and Heuvelink, G.B.M. (2009). Real-time
automatic interpolation of ambient gamma dose rates from the Dutch radioactivity
monitoring network. *Computers & Geosciences* 35(8)**,** 1711-1721. doi:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2008.10.011.

Holland, J.B. (2006). Estimating genotypic correlations and their standard errors using
multivariate restricted maximum likelihood estimation with SAS Proc MIXED. *Crop*
Science 46(2)**,** 642-654.

Holland, J.B., Frey, K.J., and Hammond, E.G. (2001). Correlated responses of fatty acid
composition, grain quality, and agronomic traits to nine cycles of recurrent selection for
increased oil content in oat. *Euphytica* 122(1)**,** 69-79. doi: 10.1023/a:1012639821332.

Holland, J.B., Nyquist, W.E., and Cervantes-Martinez, C. (2003). Estimating and interpreting
heritability for plant breeding: an update. *Plant Breeding Reviews* 22**,** 9-112.

Huber, S.C., Li, K., Nelson, R., Ulanov, A., DeMuro, C.M., and Baxter, I. (2016). Canopy
position has a profound effect on soybean seed composition. *PeerJ* 4**,** e2452.

Hunsaker, D.J., Barnes, E.M., Clarke, T.R., Fitzgerald, G.J., and P. J. Pinter, J. (2005). Cotton
irrigation scheduling using remotely sensed and FAO-56 basal crop coefficients.
Transactions of the ASAE 48(4). doi: 10.13031/2013.19197.

Hutchings, M.J., John, E.A., and Wijesinghe, D.K. (2003). Toward understanding the
consequences of soil heterogeneity for plant populations and communities. *Ecology*
84(9)**,** 2322-2334. doi: 10.1890/02-0290.

Jeffreys, H. (1935). Some tests of significance, treated by the theory of probability. *Proceedings*
of the Cambridge Philosophy Society 31.

Jeffreys, H. (1961). *The Theory of Probability*. Clarendon Press.

Kenward, M.G., and Roger, J.H. (1997). Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted
maximum likelihood *Biometrics* 53(3)**,** 983-997.

Knight, H. (1999). Calcium signaling during abiotic stress in plants. *International Review of*
Cytology 195**,** 269-324. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0074-7696(08)62707-2.

Lahner, B., Gong, J., Mahmoudian, M., Smith, E.L., Abid, K.B., Rogers, E.E., et al. (2003).

Genomic scale profiling of nutrient and trace elements in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nat*

Biotech 21(10)**,** 1215-1221.

Littell, R.C., Milliken, G.A., Stroup, W.W., Wolfinger, R.D., and Schabenberger, O. (2006). *SAS*

for mixed models. Cary, N.C.: SAS Institute.

Lynch, M., and Walsh, B. (1998). *Genetics and analysis of quantitative Traits.* Sunderland:

Sinauer.

Mascher, M., Gerlach, N., Gahrtz, M., Bucher, M., Scholz, U., and Dresselhaus, T. (2014).

Sequence and ionomic analysis of divergent strains of maize inbred line B73 with an

altered growth phenotype. *PLOS ONE* 9(5)**,** e96782. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096782.

Meharg, A.A., and Hartley-Whitaker, J. (2002). Arsenic uptake and metabolism in arsenic

resistant and nonresistant plant species. *New Phytologist* 154(1)**,** 29-43. doi:

10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00363.x.

Mengel, K., and Kirkby, E.A. (2012). *Principles of plant nutrition.* Springer Science & Business

Media.

Meyer, D., Dimitriadou, E., Hornik, K., Weingessel, A., and Leisch, F. (2017). "e1071: Misc

functions of the department of statistics, probability theory group".

National Cotton Council of America (2015). "Cotton production costs and returns". Cotton Inc.

Neter, J., Kutner, M.H., Nachtsheim, C.J., and Wasserman, W. (1996). *Applied Linear Statistical*

Models. Boston: McGraw-Hill.

Norton, G.J., Deacon, C.M., Xiong, L., Huang, S., Meharg, A.A., and Price, A.H. (2010).

Genetic mapping of the rice ionome in leaves and grain: identification of QTLs for 17

elements including arsenic, cadmium, iron and selenium. *Plant and Soil* 329(1)**,** 139-153.

doi: 10.1007/s11104-009-0141-8.

Patakas, A., Nikolaou, N., Zioziou, E., Radoglou, K., and Noitsakis, B. (2002). The role of

organic solute and ion accumulation in osmotic adjustment in drought-stressed

grapevines. *Plant Science* 163(2)**,** 361-367. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-

9452(02)00140-1.

Pauli, D., Andrade-Sanchez, P., Carmo-Silva, A.E., Gazave, E., French, A.N., Heun, J., et al.

(2016a). Field-based high-throughput plant phenotyping reveals the temporal patterns of

quantitative trait loci associated with stress-responsive traits in cotton. *G3:*
Genes|Genomes|Genetics 6(4)**,** 865-879. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.023515.

Pauli, D., Chapman, S.C., Bart, R., Topp, C.N., Lawrence-Dill, C.J., Poland, J., et al. (2016b).
The quest for understanding phenotypic variation via integrated approaches in the field
environment. *Plant Physiology* 172(2)**,** 622-634. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.00592.

Pebesma, E.J. (2004). Multivariable geostatistics in S: the gstat package. *Computers &*
Geosciences 30(7)**,** 683-691. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2004.03.012.

Percy, R.G., Cantrell, R.G., and Zhang, J. (2006). Genetic variation for agronomic and fiber
properties in an introgressed recombinant inbred population of cotton. *Crop Science* 46**,**
1311-1317. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005.08-0284.

Pinson, S.R.M., Tarpley, L., Yan, W., Yeater, K., Lahner, B., Yakubova, E., et al. (2015).
Worldwide genetic diversity for mineral element concentrations in rice grain. *Crop*
Science 55(1)**,** 294-311. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2013.10.0656.

Prasad, P.V.V., Staggenborg, S.A., and Ristic, Z. (2008). "Impacts of drought and/or heat stress
on physiological, developmental, growth, and yield processes of crop plants," in
Response of Crops to Limited Water: Understanding and Modeling Water Stress Effects
on Plant Growth Processes, eds. L.R. Ahuja, V.R. Reddy, S.A. Saseendran & Q. Yu.
Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil
Science Society of America, 301-355.

R Core Team (2016). "R: A language and environment for statistical computing". (Vienna,
Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Rizhsky, L., Liang, H., and Mittler, R. (2002). The combined effect of drought stress and heat
shock on gene expression in tobacco. *Plant Physiology* 130(3)**,** 1143-1151. doi: 10.1104/
pp.006858

Salt, D.E., Baxter, I., and Lahner, B. (2008). Ionomics and the study of the plant ionome. *Annu.*
Rev. Plant Biol. 59**,** 709-733.

Sánchez-Rodríguez, E., del Mar Rubio-Wilhelmi, M., Cervilla, L.M., Blasco, B., Rios, J.J.,
Leyva, R., et al. (2010). Study of the ionome and uptake fluxes in cherry tomato plants
under moderate water stress conditions. *Plant and Soil* 335(1)**,** 339-347. doi:
10.1007/s11104-010-0422-2.

Sanders, D., Brownlee, C., and Harper, J.F. (1999). Communicating with calcium. *The Plant Cell*
11(4)**,** 691-706. doi: 10.1105/tpc.11.4.691.

Schlenker, W., and Roberts, M.J. (2009). Nonlinear temperature effects indicate severe damages
to U.S. crop yields under climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences 106(37)**,** 15594-15598. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906865106.

Schroeder, J.I., Kwak, J.M., and Allen, G.J. (2001). Guard cell abscisic acid signalling and
engineering drought hardiness in plants. *Nature* 410(6826)**,** 327-330.

Shakoor, N., Ziegler, G., Dilkes, B.P., Brenton, Z., Boyles, R., Connolly, E.L., et al. (2016).
Integration of experiments across diverse environments identifies the genetic
determinants of variation in sorghum bicolor seed element composition. *Plant Physiology*
170(4)**,** 1989-1998. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01971.

Silvertooth, J.C. (2001). "Soil fertility and soil testing guideline for Arizona cotton". University
of Arizona Cooperative Extension.

Taiz, L., and Zeiger, E. (2006). *Plant Physiology.* Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer.

Tester, M. (1990). Tansley Review No. 21 Plant ion channels: whole-cell and single channel
studies. *New Phytologist* 114(3)**,** 305-340.

Thornton, P.K., Ericksen, P.J., Herrero, M., and Challinor, A.J. (2014). Climate variability and
vulnerability to climate change: a review. *Global Change Biology* 20(11)**,** 3313-3328.
doi: 10.1111/gcb.12581.

Thorp, K.R., Gore, M.A., Andrade-Sanchez, P., Carmo-Silva, A.E., Welch, S.M., White, J.W., et
al. (2015). Proximal hyperspectral sensing and data analysis approaches for field-based
plant phenomics. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 118**,** 225-236. doi:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2015.09.005.

USDA Economic Research Service, U.-E. (2015). *Cotton & Wool*. Available:
http://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/crops/cotton-wool.aspx [October 31, 2017].

Venables, W.N., and Ripley, B.D. (2002). *Modern Applied Statistics with S.* New York:
Springer.

Vietz, F. (1972). Water deficits and nutrient availability. *Water deficits and plant growth: Plant*
responses and control of water balance. Edited by T.T. Kozlowski. Academic Press.
New York. 101**,** 217-239.

Walsh, L.M., Sumner, M.E., and Keeney, D.R. (1977). Occurrence and distribution of arsenic in
soils and plants. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 19**,** 67-71.

Webster, R., and Oliver, M.A. (2007). *Geostatistics for environmental scientists.* John Wiley &
Sons.

Wheeler, T., and von Braun, J. (2013). Climate change impacts on global food security. *Science*
341(6145)**,** 508-513. doi: 10.1126/science.1239402.

White, P.J., and Broadley, M.R. (2003). Calcium in plants. *Annals of Botany* 92(4)**,** 487-511. doi:
10.1093/aob/mcg164.

White, P.J., Broadley, M.R., Thompson, J.A., McNicol, J.W., Crawley, M.J., Poulton, P.R., et al.
(2012). Testing the distinctness of shoot ionomes of angiosperm families using the
Rothamsted Park grass continuous hay experiment. *New Phytologist* 196(1)**,** 101-109.
doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04228.x.

Wu, D., Shen, Q., Cai, S., Chen, Z.-H., Dai, F., and Zhang, G. (2013). Ionomic responses and
correlations between elements and metabolites under salt stress in wild and cultivated
barley. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 54(12)**,** 1976-1988. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pct134.

Xiong, L., Schumaker, K.S., and Zhu, J.-K. (2002). Cell signaling during cold, drought, and salt
stress. *The Plant Cell* 14(suppl 1)**,** S165-S183. doi: 10.1105/tpc.000596.

Yates, F. (1948). Systematic sampling. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*
London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 241(834)**,** 345-377. doi:
10.1098/rsta.1948.0023.

Zellner, A. (1962). An efficient method of estimating seemingly unrelated regressions and tests
for aggregation bias. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 57(298)**,** 348-368.

Zhang, M., Montooth, K.L., Wells, M.T., Clark, A.G., and Zhang, D. (2005). Mapping multiple
quantitative trait loci by Bayesian classification. *Genetics* 169(4)**,** 2305-2318. doi:
10.1534/genetics.104.034181.

Zhang, M., Pinson, S.R.M., Tarpley, L., Huang, X.-Y., Lahner, B., Yakubova, E., et al. (2014).
Mapping and validation of quantitative trait loci associated with concentrations of 16
elements in unmilled rice grain. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 127(1)**,** 137-165. doi:
10.1007/s00122-013-2207-5.

Zhang, M., Zhang, D., and Wells, M.T. (2008). Variable selection for large p small n regression
models with incomplete data: mapping QTL with epistases. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9(1)**,** 1.

Zhang, T., Hu, Y., Jiang, W., Fang, L., Guan, X., Chen, J., et al. (2015). Sequencing of
956   allotetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. acc. TM-1) provides a resource for fiber
957   improvement. *Nat Biotech* 33(5)**,** 531-537. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3207
958   Ziegler, G., Terauchi, A., Becker, A., Armstrong, P., Hudson, K., and Baxter, I. (2013). Ionomic
959   screening of field-grown soybean identifies mutants with altered seed elemental
960   composition. *The Plant Genome* 6(2). doi: 10.3835/plantgenome2012.07.0012.

Table 1. Summary statistics of cotton seed elements. Means, standard deviations, and ranges
(parts per billion) of best linear unbiased estimators (BLUEs) for seed elements for the TM-
1×NM24016 recombinant inbred line (RIL) population evaluated under two irrigation regimes,
water-limited (WL) and well-watered (WW) conditions, including parental lines and their
midparent values Field trials were conducted from 2010-12 at the Maricopa Agricultural Center
located in Maricopa, AZ.
967

Ion	Irrigation regime	Parents			RIL population			
		TM-1	NM24016	Midparent	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
As	WL	10.60	10.54	10.57	10.58	0.09	10.43	10.88
	WW	10.57	10.54	10.56	10.53	0.07	10.39	10.74
Ca	WL	12528.86	17067.21	14798.04	13125.79	2357.68	8316.97	18824.00
	WW	11163.35	14774.05	12968.70	12206.20	2125.10	6348.93	16899.59
Co	WL	12.29	13.09	12.69	12.09	0.38	11.40	13.03
	WW	12.29	12.92	12.60	12.12	0.42	11.12	13.08
Cu	WL	33.42	94.22	63.82	61.58	10.65	33.27	93.37
	WW	30.74	86.60	58.67	55.56	10.41	26.29	80.54
Fe	WL	237.12	459.06	348.09	305.44	50.25	210.46	427.10
	WW	212.06	412.20	312.13	279.95	46.66	175.77	388.16
K	WL	46164.35	48992.02	47578.18	46143.43	3280.07	38486.65	54048.57
	WW	47709.49	49919.47	48814.48	46905.04	3529.59	40055.63	55012.74
Mg	WL	14729.61	18466.01	16597.81	16797.41	1934.97	11709.99	20884.97
	WW	16230.02	20191.12	18210.57	18003.52	1863.47	13340.41	21434.30
Mn	WL	112.29	162.72	137.50	121.36	14.22	87.42	152.30
	WW	105.08	148.24	126.66	118.26	14.49	81.94	161.27
Mo	WL	19.02	21.00	20.01	19.74	1.24	17.32	22.76
	WW	16.86	19.44	18.15	17.52	1.00	15.23	19.85
Ni	WL	13.21	14.28	13.75	14.11	0.72	12.50	15.52
	WW	12.88	14.00	13.44	13.88	0.77	12.25	15.92
P	WL	45776.14	52804.11	49290.12	51054.12	4184.02	41247.43	61589.54
	WW	45192.32	55452.35	50322.34	52830.91	3838.64	40742.95	63115.53
Rb	WL	28.77	29.79	29.28	30.02	2.17	24.87	34.98
	WW	26.75	27.05	26.90	27.67	1.95	22.97	33.41
S	WL	14526.02	15488.89	15007.46	14663.85	1306.94	11394.59	18026.07
	WW	14087.15	15004.32	14545.73	13937.97	1276.18	10665.15	16948.30
Zn	WL	208.40	357.09	282.74	275.27	44.09	160.72	357.82
	WW	171.51	303.45	237.48	248.19	42.92	139.85	328.92

969　Figure 1. Characterization of soil magnesium (Mg) concentration in the field site where the
970　mapping population was evaluated. A) Variogram representing spatial continuity of Mg
971　variability; samples become spatially independent at a distance of 24.98 m. B) Interpolated Mg
972　concentrations (log transformed, parts per million) throughout the field. Black and red colored
973　dots represent the sampling locations in 2010 and 2012, respectively.

	S	Mn	P	K	Mg	As	Co	Rb	Fe	Ca	Mo	Cu	Zn	Ni
H² WL	0.84	0.89	0.77	0.73	0.87	0.70	0.82	0.70	0.79	0.74	0.61	0.92	0.84	0.86
H² WW	0.83	0.85	0.68	0.73	0.81	0.63	0.86	0.65	0.79	0.61	0.62	0.91	0.32	0.86
G	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
I	**	NS	NS	NS	***	NS	NS	NS	**	*	***	***	**	NS
G×I	****	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	***	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS

Figure 2. Sources of variation for cotton seed elements. The figure shows the decomposition of phenotypic variance into respective components: teal for genotypic (G), yellow for irrigation regime (I), purple for year (Y), red for genotype-by-irrigation regime interaction (G×I), blue for genotype-by-year interaction (G×Y), orange for irrigation regime-by-year interaction (I×Y), green for the three way interaction of genotype-by-irrigation regime-by-year (G×I×Y), pink for field design variables replication, block, and column, and gray for residual variance. Variance component estimates were calculated from modeling all terms in Equation 2 as random. The table below lists the broad-sense heritabilities ($\hat{H}^2$) for the two irrigation regimes, water-limited (WL) and well-watered (WW), and the significance of the P-values for the different fixed effects from Equation 2. **** P-value < 0.0001, *** P-value < 0.001, ** P-value < 0.01, * P-value < 0.05, and NS > 0.05.

987   Figure 3. Network correlation graph of phenotypic ($\hat{r}_{pij}$) and genotypic ($\hat{r}_{gij}$) correlations among
988   elements profiled in cotton seed. Purple and blue edge colors represent positive correlation values,
989   red and gold represent negative correlation values. The edge thickness represents the magnitude of
990   the correlation value with only those values greater than 0.20 being displayed ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 4. Identified QTL controlling phenotypic variation for elemental concentration in cotton seed. Results from the multi-trait analysis, in which elements were grouped into ionic and redox categories, are highlighted in gray. The results for the individual elements analyzed using the Bayesian classification are listed below the corresponding multi-trait groupings. Loci that were shared across ionic and redox groups are highlighted with symbols above the respective chromosome and marker names. "Ungrouped" denotes individual elements that were not contained in the multi-trait groupings and analyzed using only the Bayesian classification method. No QTL were detected for Co, Mn, and S and thus these elements are not shown.

Figure 5. Characterization and prediction of irrigation regime using ionomic data for the 14 elements. A) Results of a PCA using standardized individual year BLUEs for the 95 RILs colored according to which irrigation regime RILs were evaluated in. B) Prediction accuracies achieved using five different classification methods. Bars represent across-year cross validation accuracies.