TaxAss: Leveraging Custom Databases Achieves Fine-Scale Taxonomic Resolution

RUNNING TITLE
TaxAss achieves fine-scale taxonomic resolution

AUTHORS
Robin R. Rohwer[a#], Joshua J. Hamilton[b], Ryan J. Newton[c], Katherine D. McMahon[b,d]

[a]Environmental Chemistry and Technology Program, University of Wisconsin-Madison
[b]Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison
[c]School of Freshwater Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
[d]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[#]Robin.Rohwer@gmail.com

WORD COUNTS:
Abstract (250)
Importance (147)
Text (5325)

ABSTRACT

Taxonomy assignment for microbial community composition studies can be limited by a

lack of relevant reference organisms in large taxonomy databases. TaxAss is a

taxonomy assignment workflow to classify 16S rRNA gene amplicon data using two

taxonomy reference databases: a large comprehensive database such as Greengenes

or SILVA, and a small ecosystem-specific database curated by scientists within a field.

To test TaxAss performance, we classified five different freshwater datasets using the

comprehensive Greengenes database and the freshwater-specific FreshTrain database.

TaxAss increased the percent of the dataset classified compared to using only

Greengenes. The increase in classifications was highest at fine-resolution taxa levels,

where across the freshwater test-datasets the classifications at species-level increased

by 24-40 percent reads. A similar increase in classifications was not observed in a

control mouse gut dataset, which was not expected to contain freshwater bacteria.

TaxAss maintained taxonomic richness compared to using only the FreshTrain.

Richness was maintained across all taxa-levels from phylum to species. Without

TaxAss, the majority of organisms not represented in the FreshTrain were unclassified,

but at finer taxa levels incorrect classifications were also significant. TaxAss splits a

dataset's sequences into two groups based on their percent identity to reference

sequences in the ecosystem-specific database. Highly similar sequences are classified

using the ecosystem-specific database and the others are classified using the

comprehensive database. TaxAss metrics help users choose a percent identity cutoff

42   appropriate for their data. TaxAss is free and open source, and available at

43   www.github.com/McMahonLab/TaxAss.

IMPORTANCE

Microbial communities drive ecosystem processes, but microbial community

composition analyses using 16S rRNA gene amplicon datasets is limited by the lack of

fine-resolution taxonomy classifications. Course taxonomic groupings at phylum, class,

and order level lump ecologically distinct organisms together. To avoid this, many

researchers cluster similar sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs). These

fine-resolution groupings are more ecologically relevant, but OTU definitions are

dataset-dependent and therefore cannot be compared between datasets. Microbial

ecologists studying a variety of environments have curated small, ecosystem-specific

taxonomy databases to provide consistent and up-to-date terminology. We created

TaxAss, a workflow that leverages these ecosystem-specific databases to assign

taxonomy. We found that TaxAss improves fine-resolution taxonomic classifications

(family, genus and species). Fine taxonomic groupings are also ecologically relevant, so

they provide an alternative to OTU-based analyses that is consistent and comparable

between datasets. TaxAss enables researchers to compare data using ecologically

relevant terminology.

INTRODUCTION

Microbial communities form the foundations of all ecosystems, yet interpretation of

community data is limited by the difficulty of comparing across datasets. With the rapid

development of massively parallel sequencing technology, scientists are increasingly

able to fingerprint microbial communities using amplicon sequencing of marker genes

such as the 16S rRNA gene**.** The resulting sequences are typically clustered into

sequence identity-based Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs). Comparison between

amplicon datasets is difficult because sequence identity-based OTUs are specific to

each analysis. For clarity, this paper refers to 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

datasets as "datasets" and defines OTUs as a dataset's sequence unit of measure,

irrespective of whether those units represent clustered sequences or unique sequences.

Taxonomy Allows Cross-Study Analyses

Sequence identity-based OTUs are widely accepted as good representations of

coherent ecological entities (1), however these OTUs represent study-specific

phylotypes that cannot be compared between datasets. This is because sequence

identity-based OTU clustering is specific to each analysis, resulting in arbitrary OTU

names. Additionally, the clustering algorithm and the similarity cutoff chosen for

curation of each dataset impacts the ecological relationships observed (2). For these

reasons, direct comparisons of sequence identity-based OTUs between multiple

datasets are impossible without the computationally expensive task of combining and

re-curating.

Taxonomic naming systems allow comparisons between datasets by creating consistent

terminology and consistent phylogeny-determined boundaries between organisms.

However, taxonomic naming is most useful when sequences can be classified to a fine

level (e.g. family, genus, or species). Many abundant taxa are poorly represented in

reference taxonomy databases (hereafter "databases"), resulting in only coarse

classifications for large proportions of amplicon datasets (e.g. phylum, class, or order).

Coarse taxonomic groupings often include diverse organisms with differing ecological

roles, so analyses at coarse taxa levels miss underlying ecological dynamics (3). Fine-

resolution taxonomic names are required to bridge the gap between ecologically

relevant OTU-based analyses and consistent, comparable taxonomy-based analyses.

Ecosystem-Specific Taxonomy Databases

Microbial ecologists from diverse ecosystems have created fine-resolution reference

taxonomies by curating databases specific to their ecosystems. These ecosystem-

specific databases are small compared to the large comprehensive databases compiled

by Greengenes (4), Silva (5), and the Ribosomal Database Project (6), but they are

generally well-curated with more finely resolved phylogenies for ecosystem-specific

lineages. Examples of ecosystems with curated databases include the human oral

cavity (7), the cow rumen (8), the honey bee gut (9), the cockroach and termite gut (10),

activated sludge (11), and freshwater lakes (12). Ecosystem-specific databases are

created to establish consistent vocabulary for common uncultured bacteria, create

monophyletic reference structures, incorporate new reference information, and

understand what the "typical" organisms are in a given ecosystem. Additionally,

ecosystem-specific databases can be used to assign taxonomy to a finer resolution than

can be achieved with a large comprehensive database.

Taxonomy Assignment Algorithm

Taxonomy Assignment Algorithm

Classification algorithms assign taxonomic names to OTUs based on their similarity to

reference sequences in a database. The most commonly used classification algorithm

was developed by Wang *et. al* (13) for the Ribosomal Database Project and is

implemented in both mothur (14) and QIIME (15)**.** This naïve Bayesian classifier

(hereafter "Wang classifier") assigns taxonomy to OTUs based on 8-mer signatures and

reports a bootstrap confidence estimate for each assignment (13). This bootstrap

confidence value is based on the repeatability of the OTU's assignment with

subsampled 8-mers, not on an absolute similarity measure. In a large database an OTU

dissimilar to any reference sequences will not be classified repeatably as any one

taxon, resulting in a low bootstrap confidence. However, in a small database an OTU

dissimilar to any reference sequences nevertheless can be classified repeatably

because there are fewer references from which to choose. This pitfall is referred to as

"forcing" in this paper, because OTUs are forced into incorrect classifications by the

small database.

Introducing TaxAss

We aimed to obtain fine-level taxonomy classifications by leveraging an ecosystem-specific database, while at the same time maintaining the full biological diversity of an amplicon dataset. To this end, we developed an open source taxonomy assignment workflow (TaxAss) that uses the popular Wang classifier as implemented in mothur and employs both an ecosystem-specific database and a comprehensive database. The workflow maintains taxonomic richness and accuracy by only classifying OTUs that share high percent identity with ecosystem-specific reference sequences using the ecosystem-specific database. The remaining OTUs are classified using the comprehensive database.

Ideally, an ecosystem-specific database would be merged with a comprehensive database and TaxAss would not be necessary. However in reality, updates to comprehensive databases usually occur only every few years, during which time both databases diverge further from each other as they continue to be curated. TaxAss allows researchers to effectively leverage the most current version of both databases to achieve the maximum, most accurate, and finest-resolution classifications possible.

The FreshTrain

This paper demonstrates TaxAss's efficacy using a variety of freshwater amplicon datasets, the comprehensive Greengenes database (4), and the ecosystem-specific Freshwater Training Set (FreshTrain) (12). The FreshTrain database was created in

2012 and was originally curated alongside Greengenes. It matches Greengenes at the

phylum, class, and order levels, but at finer taxonomic levels it was curated based on

additional information such as the geographical distribution of sequences. These finer

levels are referred to as lineage, clade, and tribe and approximate the Linnaean family,

genus, and species (12). This workflow and a newly updated version of the FreshTrain

are available online at www.github.com/McMahonLab/TaxAss.

159   RESULTS

Methods Summary

TaxAss uses both an ecosystem-specific database and a large comprehensive

database to improve taxonomic assignment resolution while maintaining richness. To

classify the maximum possible number of OTUs and avoid forcing inaccurate

ecosystem-specific classifications onto OTUs, the amplicon dataset is split into two

groups prior to classification: OTUs with high percent identity to ecosystem-specific

reference sequences and OTUs with low percent identity to ecosystem-specific

reference sequences. The two groups are then classified separately using the Wang

classifier and the appropriate database (Figure 1).

Fine-Resolution Classifications Increased

To test whether TaxAss improved taxonomic classification over solely using a

comprehensive database, we assigned taxonomy to a Lake Mendota amplicon dataset

first by using Greengenes alone and then by using TaxAss to leverage both

Greengenes and the FreshTrain (Figure 2a and Table 1). We compared the percent of

reads classified by both methods and observed a marked improvement in the percent of

the dataset classified to the fine taxa levels of family/lineage, genus/clade, and

species/tribe. At species/tribe level, the percent of reads classified increased from 1.4%

to 41%, at genus/clade level they increased from 23% to 63%, and at family/lineage

level they increased from 64% to 78%. In addition to these increases in classifications,

TaxAss also improved the quality of classifications because the FreshTrain is curated

with terminology consistent with the freshwater microbial ecology literature. At

family/lineage level, Greengenes alone could classify a majority of the dataset, but 75%

of those Greengenes-classified reads received more meaningful ecosystem-specific

nomenclature when using TaxAss.

The FreshTrain reference sequences come exclusively from temperate lake epilimnia,

and many of them come from Lake Mendota itself. Lake Mendota is a eutrophic,

temperate lake in Wisconsin, USA; and the Lake Mendota amplicon dataset consists of

95 epilimnetic samples collected by the North Temperate Lakes Microbial Observatory

over 11 years. To test TaxAss's efficacy when the ecosystem-specific database is less

representative of the ecosystem under investigation, we classified amplicon datasets

from a range of freshwater ecosystems first by using Greengenes alone and then by

using TaxAss to leverage Greengenes and the FreshTrain (Figure 2b). The additional

ecosystems we chose included the epilimnion of oligotrophic Lake Michigan (16), the

eutrophic Danube River (17), and the epilimnion and hypolimnion of dystrophic Trout

Bog (WI, USA) (18). We also used a mouse gut dataset (19) as a negative control to

ensure that TaxAss would not assign FreshTrain classifications erroneously. All

freshwater datasets showed improvements at all fine taxa levels (Figure 2b and

Supplemental Figure 2), with the amount of improvement reflecting the similarity of each

ecosystem to the FreshTrain reference sequences. For example, the temperate lake

Mendota and Lake Michigan epilimnia improved most (54 and 52% at genus/clade

level), while the dystrophic bog hypolimnion improved least (28% at genus/clade level).

Only 0.1, 0.3, and 0.2% of the mouse gut control dataset received FreshTrain

classifications at the species, genus, and family levels.

Richness Maintained

To test whether TaxAss improved taxonomic classification over solely using an

ecosystem-specific database, we assigned taxonomy to the Lake Mendota dataset first

by using the FreshTrain alone and then by using TaxAss to leverage both the

FreshTrain and Greengenes. TaxAss maintained taxonomic richness at all taxa levels

by classifying OTUs into a larger variety of taxonomic names (Figure 3 and Table 1). At

the same time TaxAss prevented inaccuracies in fine-resolution classifications that were

introduced by FreshTrain-only classifications (Figure 3b).

The FreshTrain is a more specific database with less taxonomic richness than

Greengenes, so a decrease in taxonomic richness in a FreshTrain-only classification

was expected. For example, the FreshTrain focuses on heterotrophic bacteria and does

not include any Cyanobacteria, which comprised 8.5% of the Lake Mendota dataset. All

of Lake Mendota's cyanobacterial OTUs were classified as something else (99% as

unclassified), which resulted in a loss of phylum-level richness in the FreshTrain-only

classification (Figure 3a). In contrast, TaxAss maintained the taxonomic richness of a

Greengenes-only classification and reduced unclassified reads at all taxa levels (Table

1).

In the FreshTrain-only classification scheme, we also observed occasions when an

OTU was incorrectly given a taxonomic assignment when it should have been labeled

unclassified (Figure 3b). This "forcing" of OTUs into incorrect classifications by the small

FreshTrain database was less common than OTUs being called "unclassified," but had

significant effects on taxa relative abundances at finer-resolution taxa levels. Lake

Mendota's 5th most abundant lineage, the *Bacteroidetes* bacI, gained 27% more reads

in a FreshTrain-only classification compared to using TaxAss. The forcing errors

TaxAss prevented were significant enough to change basic attributes such as rank

abundances of top taxa, and had an even larger impact on the freshwater test-datasets

that differed more from the FreshTrain references (Supplemental Figure 3).

Percent Identity Cutoff

An OTU is classified taxonomically in the ecosystem-specific database only if it matches

a sequence in that database at a sequence identity above the threshold set by the user.

Therefore, the percent identity cutoff choice for taxonomic classification is central to the

proper functioning of TaxAss because it determines which OTUs are classified in each

database (ecosystem-specific vs comprehensive). If the percent identity cutoff is set too

high, ecosystem-specific OTUs are passed to the comprehensive database for

classification; while if it is set too low, non-ecosystem-specific OTUs are passed to the

ecosystem-specific database for classification.

We found that a percent identity cutoff of 98-99% was appropriate for the analyzed

freshwater datasets, and we applied a cutoff of 98% when processing all data used in

this paper. We chose the percent identity cutoff to maximize the percent of each dataset

receiving taxonomic classification at every taxa level (Figure 4 and Supplemental Figure

4). TaxAss allows users to choose a cutoff specific to their data by generating the plots

shown in Figure 4, but users who wish to save computational time can simply choose a

percent identity cutoff and only run the classification once.

BLAST Conversion Validated

The calculation of percent identity for use in database selection is based on the percent

identity returned by The National Center for Biotechnology Information's Basic Local

Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (20). The default megablast settings are appropriate

for our application because they have been highly optimized to find short, highly similar

alignments. However, BLAST finds areas of local similarity and there is no way to

require BLAST to align the entire length of a query OTU's sequence. 16S rRNA gene

amplicon sequences are highly similar, and differences in taxonomic classification can

be based on even a single mismatch in the amplified region. Therefore, we recalculated

the percent identities BLAST returned into "full-length" percent identities for the entire

query OTU's sequence (Supplemental Document 1 and Equation 1).

We found that recalculating percent identity was necessary to prevent dissimilar OTUs

from inclusion in the ecosystem-specific classification. For example, the FreshTrain

does not include any reference sequences from the major freshwater phylum

Cyanobacteria, so no cyanobacterial OTUs have high true percent identities to any

references in the FreshTrain. We found that the percent identity recalculation was

necessary to prevent some cyanobacterial OTUs from meeting the percent identity

cutoff due to the original BLAST percent identities being based on only a short aligned

section of the OTU sequence (Supplemental Figure 1).

We also found that it was necessary to recalculate the percent identity from several

BLAST alignments ("hits") for each OTU because the best BLAST hit did not always

have the highest recalculated percent identity. TaxAss examines the top five BLAST

hits, recalculates the percent identity of each, and then uses the highest recalculated

percent identity to determine if an OTU meets the cutoff. To ensure enough BLAST hits

were examined to consistently arrive at the highest possible recalculated percent

identity, we calculated the proportion of times each BLAST hit number had the highest

recalculated percent identity. In the Lake Mendota amplicon dataset the first BLAST hit

almost always also had the best recalculated score, and the contribution of additional

BLAST hits was very low, especially when only "good" hits above a stringent percent

identity cutoff were considered (Table 2). In the Lake Mendota dataset at the chosen 98

percent identity cutoff, 99.69% of the best hits found by BLAST were also the best re-

calculated hits and only 0.01% of BLAST's 5th hits were used. TaxAss generates a

version of Table 2 for users' individual datasets, and if they observe more high-number

BLAST hits contributing to the best re-calculated hit they can increase the number of

BLAST results used for the calculation.

DISCUSSION

Ecosystem-Specific Databases

The need for curated ecosystem-specific databases has been recognized by microbial

ecologists studying many ecosystems. TaxAss was developed specifically to leverage

the Freshwater Training Set (FreshTrain) (12), but it could be applied to custom

databases curated for other ecosystems: the dictyopteran gut microbiota reference

database (DictDb) (10), the rumen and intestinal methanogen database (RIM-DB) (8),

the honey bee database (HBDB) (9), the microbial database for activated sludge

(MiDAS) (11), and the human oral microbiome database (HOMD) (7). These databases

were created by starting with a comprehensive database such as SILVA or Greengenes

and then re-curating the reference sequences from the study ecosystem, sometimes

also incorporating new reference sequences. Often during curation, phylogenies were

collapsed to be monophyletic and incorporate new organisms, and abundant but

unnamed organisms were given placeholder names to allow for consistent terminology

among researchers.

DictDB, HBDB, and MiDAS are fully integrated with modified versions of the entire

SILVA database, so a workflow like TaxAss that leverages two databases is not needed

because the single merged database can be used in one step for taxonomy

assignment. However fully integrated databases can be difficult to maintain over time

because new versions of each database will diverge from each other, and TaxAss

provides a means to circumvent this divergence. The FreshTrain is an example of this

divergence in action. The FreshTrain was originally integrated into the Hugenholtz

database that eventually became Greengenes, and Greengenes was last updated in

May 2013. In addition, SILVA now contains more total references and has been

updated as recently as September 2016, so some researchers prefer to use the more

recently updated SILVA as their comprehensive database. Similarly, the FreshTrain has

been updated almost annually since its creation as new full-length 16S rRNA gene

sequences from freshwater ecosystems became available. TaxAss allows microbial

ecologists to use the most up-to-date versions of their preferred databases without

performing or waiting for reconciliation of each release.

Once an ecosystem-specific database has diverged from the comprehensive database,

as occurred with the FreshTrain, leveraging the ecosystem-specific database for

taxonomy assignment is no longer straightforward. Reintegrating the ecosystem-specific

database into the comprehensive database is more involved than simply concatenating

databases and removing duplicated references because conflicting phylogenetic

structures must be resolved. Analysis of community amplicon data is a fairly routine part

of many studies for which extensive phylogenetic curation would fall outside the scope.

The FreshTrain has been used in a variety of ways since it diverged from the current

version of Greengenes, and it is often difficult to discern the specifics from cursory

sentences in a paper's methods section. TaxAss provides a well-documented and

rigorously tested workflow to leverage two conflicting databases without extensive

curation.

Current FreshTrain Usage

The simplest way the FreshTrain has been used to assign taxonomy to amplicon

datasets is as part of a separate, complementary analysis. For example, in a study of

the River Thames Basin (21), FreshTrain and Greengenes classifications were

displayed side by side and separate metrics such as diversity indices were calculated

for each. However, the bulk of the taxonomic analyses were carried out at the coarse

phylum level despite most abundant OTUs having FreshTrain nomenclature. When the

FreshTrain is used independently, the loss of richness in taxonomic classifications

(Figure 3) makes it difficult to use ecosystem-specific classifications for entire-dataset

analyses. TaxAss provides ecosystem-specific classifications without loss of taxonomic

richness, thus allowing for a single comprehensive analysis.

Another straightforward approach has been to classify amplicon datasets sequentially,

first using the FreshTrain and then re-classifying the unclassified sequences using a

comprehensive database. For example, in a study of Lake Erken, Sweden (22), OTUs

were first classified with the FreshTrain, and then unclassified OTUs were reclassified

using SILVA. While this approach allows for a single analysis, the initial classification of

all sequences with the small FreshTrain database can cause forcing of OTUs into

incorrect classifications (Figure 3b). TaxAss prevents forcing by splitting the OTUs into

two groups prior to classification.

This forcing of OTUs into incorrect classifications when using the FreshTrain was

observed in a study of cyanobacterial blooms in Yanga Lake, Australia (23), where the

authors observed that cyanobacterial OTUs were forced into heterotrophic

classifications. To prevent this, Greengenes was used for an initial classification, then

only OTUs assigned to phyla included in the FreshTrain were reclassified and renamed

with confidently assigned FreshTrain nomenclature. This approach prevented the

forcing of Yanga Lake's abundant cyanobacterial OTUs, but it would not prevent forcing

of OTUs that belong to phyla included in the FreshTrain (Verrucomicrobia,

Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria). In freshwater datasets such as

bogs, rivers, and lake hypolimnia many organisms belonging to FreshTrain phyla differ

significantly from the lake epilimnion references included in the FreshTrain. TaxAss

prevents forcing classifications onto OTUs of any phyla.

Another way to avoid the forcing observed with the Wang classifier is to use BLAST-

based taxonomy assignment algorithms that determine assignments based on

sequence similarity. Since the BLAST algorithm calculates an absolute similarity instead

of a relative one, a similarity cutoff prevents classifications to dissimilar sequences. The

BLAST method to assign taxonomy has been used with the FreshTrain to classify

sequences from boreal lakes in Quebec, Canada (24). However, unlike the Wang

classifier, BLAST only takes into account individual reference sequences and ignores

their encompassing phylogenetic structure. The BLAST-based algorithm from

Classification Resources for Environmental Sequence Tags (CREST) (25) addresses

this by taking a lowest common ancestor approach. Each query OTU is classified to the

finest taxa level that its top BLAST hits share. The CREST algorithm also has been

used to assign taxonomy using the FreshTrain to sequences obtained from the Danube

River in southeastern Europe (17). This approach avoided forcing and incorporated

phylogenetic information in the taxonomy assignments, however it does not maintain

diversity by also leveraging a comprehensive database. Additionally, the Wang classifier

is more robust at coarser taxa levels and for shorter sequences (25), and it is

implemented in common tools like mothur and QIIME. TaxAss allows users to leverage

both ecosystem-specific and comprehensive databases using the highly trusted and

conveniently implemented Wang classifier.

Future TaxAss Usage

We recommend all microbial ecologists studying freshwater systems use the FreshTrain

and TaxAss to classify their 16S rRNA gene amplicon datasets. This will result in a

consistent, specific, and comparable vocabulary throughout the field, and will improve

classification for analysis of individual datasets. We also recommend that microbial

ecologists with different ecosystem-specific databases consider TaxAss when their

databases diverge from the most up-to-date comprehensive database and phylogenetic

curation is outside the scope of their project.

We recommend microbial ecologists create ecosystem-specific databases if one does

not already exist, since they provide improved analysis and enhanced collaboration for

the entire field. Phylogenies must be created from full-length 16S rRNA gene

sequences, which are not collected as routinely as short amplicon sequences. However,

we believe the benefit of these databases as a reference for the field and to improve

taxonomic classification of amplicon sequences justifies the effort to create them,

especially since TaxAss allows their use without constant re-curation. Additional full-

length sequences to flesh-out the existing phylogenetic structure of organisms can be

created with clone libraries, as was done for the FreshTrain. New sequencing

technologies, such as the long reads produced by Nanopore (26) and PacBio (27, 28)

instruments, promise even easier reference sequence generation in the future.

Practical Guidance for Using TaxAss

TaxAss includes detailed descriptions of its constituent scripts including argument

options and descriptions, so users are able to customize their analyses. The most

important decision users make is the cutoff percent identity that determines which

database is used to classify each OTU. If an OTU is above the cutoff (i.e. has high

percent identity to an ecosystem-specific reference sequence) then it will be classified

with the ecosystem-specific database. When the cutoff is higher, fewer OTUs are

classified with the ecosystem-specific database and users run the risk of leaving some

ecosystem-specific OTUs poorly classified by the comprehensive database. If the cutoff

is lower, more OTUs will be classified with the ecosystem-specific database and users

run the risk of forcing incorrect classifications onto OTUs and losing taxonomic richness.

Users can decide on a percent identity cutoff at the beginning and run only one

classification, or they can run TaxAss with several cutoffs and generate versions of

Figure 4 to help guide their choice.

We found that a percent identity cutoff of 98-99% optimized classifications in our test-

datasets. The finding that most OTUs match their ecosystem-specific reference

sequences with such high percent identity suggests that the commonly chosen 97%

sequence identity clustering is too coarse to observe fine taxa level dynamics. This is

supported by previous findings that sequence identity-based OTUs can impose artificial

delineations between organisms that affect results differently depending on the lineage

(29), and that sequence identity-based OTUs can contain temporally discordant

sequences (30). We recommend that users planning a taxonomy-centric analysis

classify unique sequences without sequence identity-based clustering and use fine-level

taxonomic assignment to group their data. This will make the classification take longer,

but likely users will save computational time overall by not clustering. For users who

also want to emphasize OTU-based analyses and whose datasets are too large for

unclustered analyses, we recommend choosing a finer sequence identity-based OTU

definition such as 98 or 99% to best leverage the fine-level classification provided by

TaxAss and a detailed ecosystem-specific database. When OTUs have been clustered

based on sequence identity, we recommend that users choose the same or lower

percent identity cutoff in TaxAss to prevent OTUs with constituent sequences falling on

either side of the percent identity cutoff.

TaxAss Improves Analyses

Taxonomy-based analyses allow researchers to compare results across datasets.

Leveraging an ecosystem-specific database for taxonomy assignment results in a high

proportion of fine resolution classifications, and grouping sequences based on these

classifications is a dataset-independent way to describe community composition. The

resulting taxonomic terminology is consistent and comparable between analyses, and

the finely resolved taxonomic groupings rival the resolution of sequence identity-based

OTUs. TaxAss can replace or complement sequence identity-based OTU clustering,

which results in dataset-dependent OTU clusters and names. Reclustering sequence

identity-based OTUs to compare across datasets is computationally expensive, and is

not possible for datasets created with differing amplification primers. When researchers

use TaxAss to assign fine-level taxonomy to their datasets, colleagues can compare

their results directly, without re-analysis and regardless of primer set. Additionally,

taxonomic nomenclature can also bridge amplicon-based analyses and genomic

analyses.

Leveraging ecosystem-specific databases for taxonomy assignment also improves

researchers' interpretations of individual datasets. Ecosystem-specific terminology is

more meaningful because ecosystem-specific databases incorporate additional

reference sequences, finer phylogenetic delineations, consistent nomenclature for

uncultured organisms, and monophyletic structures. For example, the dominant lineage

in freshwater is the FreshTrain's actinobacterial lineage acI, which in Greengenes is

usually classified as Ack-M1. Although a classification exists for this organism in both

databases, the Greengenes lineage includes all sequences that match a single

historically used primer, while the FreshTrain classification includes a slightly different

subset of actinobacterial sequences and is based on a phylogeny manually curated

from full-length 16S rRNA genes. Additionally, the FreshTrain includes finer-level

phylogenetic information on acI's constituent subgroups known as clades and tribes,

which prior work suggests are ecologically and metabolically differentiated (12). The

fine-resolution taxonomy assignments provided by TaxAss and an ecosystem-specific

database allow researchers to link their amplicon datasets with known ecophysiological

traits.

Ecosystem-specific phylogenies that are not fully incorporated into a comprehensive

database are not straightforward to leverage for taxonomy assignment. The FreshTrain,

for example, has diverged from Greengenes since it was created, and it has been used

for taxonomy assignment with inconsistent and sometimes unreliable methods. TaxAss

is a well-documented, open source, and rigorously tested workflow that avoids the

pitfalls of using a small database: forcing incorrect classifications onto sequences and

losing taxonomic richness by leaving unrepresented organisms unclassified. At the

same time, TaxAss achieves the benefits of an ecosystem-specific database: more

meaningful nomenclature, larger proportions of the dataset classified, and finer-

resolution classifications.

METHODS

How to Use TaxAss

TaxAss replaces only the taxonomy assignment step of users' preferred amplicon

dataset processing pipeline such as mothur or qiime. TaxAss consists of a series of

scripts using R, Python, bash, mothur, and BLAST that are run from the terminal

command line. The input to TaxAss is a quality controlled fasta file, and if users opt to

run the optional percent identity cutoff metrics a relative abundance table is also

required. The output of TaxAss is the fasta file's sequence IDs followed by their 7-level

taxonomy assignments. Scripts, step-by-step instructions, and detailed explanations of

script argument options are available online at https://github.com/McMahonLab/TaxAss.

Percent Identity Recalculation

The naïve Bayesian algorithm used for taxonomy assignment (the Wang classifier) (13)

can "force" incorrect classifications onto OTUs when a close match does not exist in a

small reference database. TaxAss uses the well-accepted Wang classifier, but avoids

forcing when classifying with a small ecosystem-specific database by only classifying

sequences for which a close reference exists. The National Center for Biotechnology

Information's Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (20) is utilized to split the

amplicon dataset into two groups prior to classification: one is classified with the

ecosystem-specific database, the other with the comprehensive database.

Blastn queries each OTU sequence against the ecosystem-specific database using the

default megablast settings, which are optimized to find highly similar matches between

sequences longer than 30 bp (31). However, blast returns the percent identity of the

highest scoring pair (the "pident"), which does not necessarily include the full length of

the query OTU sequence. OTU sequences are highly similar; a single mismatch can

change a classification, so mismatches at the ends of OTU sequences (in the

"overhang") that BLAST leaves out of the alignment must be included in the percent

identity cutoff used for classification. Therefore, the BLAST pident is recalculated to a

full length percent identity with the following equation:

$\text{percent identity} = \frac{\text{pident} \times \text{length}}{\text{qlen} + (\text{length} - (\text{qend} - \text{qstart} + 1)}$ (Equation 1)

where "pident" is the percent identity returned by BLAST, "length" is the length of the

alignment, "qlen" is the query length, "qend" is the query end, and qstart is the query

start. All of these parameters are returned by BLAST output format 6, and detailed

descriptions of what they are, the equation derivation, and an example alignment and

calculation are included in Supplemental Document 1.

The recalculation to full length percent identity is conservative; all query nucleotides not

included in the alignment (nucleotides in the "overhang") are considered mismatches.

This means that it would be possible to exclude an OTU from the ecosystem-specific

classification when its true percent identity is above the cutoff due to matches in

unaligned overhangs. An example of this situation is illustrated in Supplemental

Document 1. When the highest scoring BLAST alignments contain matches on the

overhangs, some of the lower-scoring alignments will be longer, and therefore have a

higher recalculated percent identity. To correct for this TaxAss recalculates the percent

identity of the top five blast hits and uses the best one for the cutoff decision. TaxAss

also shows users the distribution of chosen hits, so that settings can be re-evaluated if

BLAST is not primarily returning hits that have the best recalculated percent identities.

Cutoff Choice

OTUs with percent identities greater than or equal to the users' specified cutoff are

classified with the ecosystem-specific database using the Wang classifier as

implemented by mothur. The remaining OTUs are classified with the comprehensive

database, also using the Wang classifier. The choice of a percent identity cutoff is left to

users so that they can balance their choices based on the structures of their datasets

and their plans for analysis. If the percent identity cutoff is too low, dissimilar OTUs will

be classified in the ecosytem-specific database and may be left unclassified or forced

into incorrect classifications, but if the percent identity cutoff is too high, OTUs similar to

the ecosystem-specific database will be classified by the comprehensive database and

may end up poorly classified.

Users have the option to choose a cutoff percent identity at the start, or they can

classify their datasets with multiple cutoffs and TaxAss will provide metrics to guide their

decisions. These metrics include versions of Figure 4, which shows the cutoff choices

that maximize the proportion of dataset classified at different taxa levels.

As an additional optional check, users can also classify their datasets with only the

comprehensive database and then compare the classifications. TaxAss provides

metrics to check for forcing incorrect coarse-resolution classifications onto OTUs

(Supplemental Table 1). Phylum- and class-level classifications are more reliable when

assigned by a large comprehensive database that includes more diversity, so if

ecosystem-specific classifications at these coarse taxa levels disagree with the

comprehensive database's assignments it suggests that the percent identity cutoff is too

low. Only these coarse levels can be used to check for forcing, because at finer

taxonomic levels too many OTUs end up unclassified by the comprehensive database

to compare assignments.

Data Availability

The freshwater datasets used in this paper are all publicly available on the National

Center for Biotechnology Information's (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA). The

accession numbers are: Lake Mendota (ERP016591), Trout Bog (ERP016854), Lake

Michigan (SRP056973), and Danube River (SRP045083). The Lake Michigan and bog

project accessions include additional sample types, so only the Lake Michigan and

Trout Bog samples were used. The mouse gut dataset is the full version of the example

data used by mothur's miSeq SOP, and is available on the mothur website

(https://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP).

Quality control of fastq files was performed according to mothur's MiSeq SOP (19,

accessed September 2017) through the chimera checking step. The resulting unique

sequences were used as OTUs in all further analyses. The single-end sequencing

datasets (Lake Mendota and Trout Bog) were also pre-processed with VSEARCH (32)

to trim to uniform lengths and remove low quality sequences with > 0.5 expected errors.

During TaxAss, the percent identity cutoff used for all datasets was 98%, and the Wang

classifier's bootstrap confidence was set at 80% for all classifications. Batch files that

reproduce all download, quality control, and TaxAss processing for each dataset are

available in Supplemental Document 2.

The taxonomy databases used in this paper are also publicly available. The Freshwater

Training Set (FreshTrain) (12) is available from www.github.com/McMahonLab/TaxAss.

591   The Greengenes database (4) is available from

592   greengenes.secondgenome.com/downloads. Further details on download, versions,

593   and formatting can be found in Supplemental Document 2 and in the detailed directions

594   provided at www.github.com/McMahonLab/TaxAss.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the North Temperate Lakes Long Term Ecological Research Program (NTL-

LTER) and the Microbial Observatory for their years of support collecting the Lake

Mendota and Trout Bog time series. We also thank the Earth Microbiome Project for

generously sequencing our Lake Mendota and Trout Bog datasets. We thank Benjamin

Crary and Jason Woodhouse for sharing insights from their efforts to combine taxonomy

databases for classification, and the many users of TaxAss who have reported bugs

during development.

REFERENCES

1.   Schmidt TSB, Matias Rodrigues JF, von Mering C. 2014. Ecological Consistency of

SSU rRNA-Based Operational Taxonomic Units at a Global Scale. PLoS Comput

Biol 10:e1003594.

2.   Schmidt TSB, Matias Rodrigues JF, von Mering C. 2015. Limits to robustness and

reproducibility in the demarcation of operational taxonomic units: Robustness and

reproducibility in OTU demarcation. Environ Microbiol 17:1689–1706.

3.   Ruiz-González C, Salazar G, Logares R, Proia L, Gasol JM, Sabater S. 2015.

Weak Coherence in Abundance Patterns Between Bacterial Classes and Their

Constituent OTUs Along a Regulated River. Front Microbiol 6.

4.   DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T,

Dalevi D, Hu P, Andersen GL. 2006. Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA

Gene Database and Workbench Compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol

72:5069–5072.

5.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glockner

FO. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data

processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590–D596.

6.   Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM, Sun Y, Brown CT, Porras-Alfaro

A, Kuske CR, Tiedje JM. 2014. Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for

high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D633–D642.

7.   Chen T, Yu W-H, Izard J, Baranova OV, Lakshmanan A, Dewhirst FE. 2010. The

Human Oral Microbiome Database: a web accessible resource for investigating

oral microbe taxonomic and genomic information. Database 2010.

8.   Seedorf H, Kittelmann S, Henderson G, Janssen PH. 2014. RIM-DB: a taxonomic

framework for community structure analysis of methanogenic archaea from the

rumen and other intestinal environments. PeerJ 2:e494.

9.   Newton IL, Roeselers G. 2012. The effect of training set on the classification of

honey bee gut microbiota using the Naïve Bayesian Classifier. BMC Microbiol

12:221.

10. Mikaelyan A, Köhler T, Lampert N, Rohland J, Boga H, Meuser K, Brune A. 2015.

Classifying the bacterial gut microbiota of termites and cockroaches: A curated

phylogenetic reference database (DictDb). Syst Appl Microbiol 38:472–482.

11. McIlroy SJ, Saunders AM, Albertsen M, Nierychlo M, McIlroy B, Hansen AA, Karst

SM, Nielsen JL, Nielsen PH. 2015. MiDAS: the field guide to the microbes of

activated sludge. Database 2015:bav062.

12. Newton RJ, Jones SE, Eiler A, McMahon KD, Bertilsson S. 2011. A Guide to the

Natural History of Freshwater Lake Bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 75:14–49.

13. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. 2007. Naive Bayesian Classifier for

Rapid Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. Appl

Environ Microbiol 73:5261–5267.

14. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski

RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van

Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-

Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing

Microbial Communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7537–7541.

15. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK,

Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, others. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of

high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335–336.

16. Newton RJ, McLellan SL. 2015. A unique assemblage of cosmopolitan freshwater

bacteria and higher community diversity differentiate an urbanized estuary from

oligotrophic Lake Michigan. Front Microbiol 6.

17. Savio D, Sinclair L, Ijaz UZ, Parajka J, Reischer GH, Stadler P, Blaschke AP,

Blöschl G, Mach RL, Kirschner AKT, Farnleitner AH, Eiler A. 2015. Bacterial

diversity along a 2600 km river continuum: River bacterioplankton diversity. Environ

Microbiol 17:4994–5007.

18. Linz AM, Crary BC, Shade A, Owens S, Gilbert JA, Knight R, McMahon KD. 2017.

Bacterial Community Composition and Dynamics Spanning Five Years in

Freshwater Bog Lakes. mSphere 2.

19. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. 2013.

Development of a Dual-Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline for

Analyzing Amplicon Sequence Data on the MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Platform.

Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5112–5120.

20. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment

search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.

21. Read DS, Gweon HS, Bowes MJ, Newbold LK, Field D, Bailey MJ, Griffiths RI.

2015. Catchment-scale biogeography of riverine bacterioplankton. ISME J 9:516–

526.

22. Eiler A, Heinrich F, Bertilsson S. 2012. Coherent dynamics and association

networks among lake bacterioplankton taxa. ISME J 6:330–342.

23. Woodhouse JN, Kinsela AS, Collins RN, Bowling LC, Honeyman GL, Holliday JK,

Neilan BA. 2016. Microbial communities reflect temporal changes in cyanobacterial

composition in a shallow ephemeral freshwater lake. ISME J 10:1337–1351.

24. Cottrell M, del Giorgio P, Kirchman D. 2015. Biogeography of globally distributed

bacteria in temperate and boreal Québec lakes as revealed by tag pyrosequencing

of 16S rRNA genes. Aquat Microb Ecol 76:175–188.

25. Lanzén A, Jørgensen SL, Huson DH, Gorfer M, Grindhaug SH, Jonassen I, Øvreås

L, Urich T. 2012. CREST – Classification Resources for Environmental Sequence

Tags. PLoS ONE 7:e49334.

26. Karst SM, Dueholm MS, McIlroy SJ, Kirkegaard RH, Nielsen PH, Albertsen M.

2016. Thousands of primer-free, high-quality, full-length SSU rRNA sequences

from all domains of life. bioRxiv 070771.

27. Wagner J, Coupland P, Browne HP, Lawley TD, Francis SC, Parkhill J. 2016.

Evaluation of PacBio sequencing for full-length bacterial 16S rRNA gene

classification. BMC Microbiol 16:274.

28. Schloss PD, Jenior ML, Koumpouras CC, Westcott SL, Highlander SK. 2016.

Sequencing 16S rRNA gene fragments using the PacBio SMRT DNA sequencing

system. PeerJ 4:e1869.

29. Youngblut ND, Shade A, Read JS, McMahon KD, Whitaker RJ. 2013. Lineage-

Specific Responses of Microbial Communities to Environmental Change. Appl

Environ Microbiol 79:39–47.

30. Hall MW, Rohwer RR, Perrie J, McMahon KD, Beiko RG. 2017. Ananke: temporal

clustering reveals ecological dynamics of microbial communities. PeerJ 5:e3812.

31. Camacho C, Madded T, Tao T, Agarwala R, Morgulis A. 2008. BLAST® Command

Line Applications User Manual [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Center for

Biotechnology Information (US).

32. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile

open source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4:e2584.

TABLES

Table 1. Classification of the Lake Mendota dataset

	Percent Classified[a]			Taxonomic Richness[b]		
	Greengenes	TaxAss	FreshTrain	Greengenes	TaxAss	FreshTrain
Phylum	98	98	87	68	68	5
Class	93	93	79	209	207	17
Order	83	87	74	423	419	35
Family/Lineage	64	78	68	699	733	65
Genus/Clade	23	63	56	1161	1206	102
Species/Tribe	1	41	42	1322	1405	154

[a]Percent classified = total reads classified / total reads in dataset *x* 100%

[b]Taxonomic Richness = total unique classifications

Table 2. Agreement between BLAST and recalculated percent identities.

BLAST result	Percent Identity Cutoff Applied[a]					
	95	**96**	**97**	**98**	**99**	**100**
Hit 1	97.8[b]	98.3	99.0	99.69	99.905	100
Hit 2	1.3	1.0	0.6	0.22	0.066	0
Hit 3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.05	0.014	0
Hit 4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.03	0.009	0
Hit 5	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.01	0.006	0

[a]Calculations performed only on sequences above listed recalculated percent identities

[b]*e.g.* 97.8% of BLAST's first hits also had the highest recalculated percent identity

FIGURES

720
721
722
723

Figure 1. TaxAss Conceptual Diagram

TaxAss separates OTUs into two groups that are classified separately and then
recombined. OTUs similar to any ecosystem-specific reference sequences are
classified using the ecosystem-specific database, otherwise they are classified by the
comprehensive database.

Figure 2. TaxAss performance compared to Greengenes-only performance.

A and B: Left bars represent the Greengenes-only classification and right bars

represent the TaxAss classification that leveraged both Greengenes and the

FreshTrain. Within the right bars, red reads were classified by the FreshTrain using

TaxAss and were left unclassified using only Greengenes; yellow reads were classified

by the FreshTrain using TaxAss but received Greengenes classifications using only

Greengenes, and grey reads were classified by Greengenes when using TaxAss.

A: In the Lake Mendota dataset, TaxAss leveraged the FreshTrain and Greengenes to

achieve improved fine-resolution classifications. **B:** TaxAss achieved improvements in a

range of freshwater datasets despite the FreshTrain's primary focus on temperate lake

epilimnia. Few changes in classification were observed in the mouse gut control.

Versions of this figure across all datasets and taxa levels can be found in Supplemental

Figure 2.

Figure 3. TaxAss performance compared to FreshTrain-only performance.

A and B: Lake Mendota **r**eads represented by blue bars were incorrectly classified as

red bars in the FreshTrain-only classification. Rank order of the bars follows the

TaxAss-classification rank abundances. Only taxa with at least 0.5% relative abundance

are included, and at lineage level the number of bars displayed is further truncated to

20. **A:** TaxAss maintained phylum richness by classifying the phyla (blue) that are not

included in the FreshTrain using Greengenes. **B**: TaxAss similarly maintained lineage

richness, and also prevented inaccuracies from forced taxonomic classifications (red

bars). Versions of this figure across all test-ecosystems can be found in Supplemental

Figure 3.

Figure 4. Percent identity cutoff choice.

The percent of reads classified in the Lake Mendota dataset at each taxa level. Faint

vertical lines highlight the maxima. TaxAss users can use this visualization to identify a

percent identity cutoff appropriate for their dataset. Versions of this figure across all test-

ecosystems can be found in Supplemental Figure 4.

LIST OF SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENTS

Supplemental Table 1. Coarse-level classification disagreements in Lake

Mendota dataset at 98 percent identity cutoff.

Supplemental Figure 1. Importance of percent identity recalculation.

The phylum Cyanobacteria exemplifies why the percent identity recalculation is

necessary. The ecosystem-specific FreshTrain database contains no cyanobacterial

references, so cyanobacterial reads serve as a control for something that should be

classified in the comprehensive Greengenes group. However, BLAST returned hits with

high percent identities for cyanobacterial OTUs due to including partial alignments (red

bars). After the TaxAss percent identity recalculation, the cyanobacterial OTUs had

lower percent identities and none were included in the FreshTrain classification group

(grey bars).

Supplemental Figure 2. TaxAss compared to Greengenes-only

performance.

Versions of Figure 2 for each test-dataset and taxa level.

Supplemental Figure 3. TaxAss compared to FreshTrain-only performance.

Versions of Figure 3 for each test-dataset.

Supplemental Figure 4. Percent Identity Cutoff Where Classifications Are

Maximized.

Versions of Figure 4 for each test-dataset.

Supplemental Document 1. BLAST percent identity recalculation.

This 3-page document defines the blast terminology, derives the equation to recalculate

percent identity, and provides an example alignment and calculation.

Supplemental Document 2. Data Processing Batch Files.

This zip file contains directions for reproducing all data processing in this paper. It

includes batch scripts that download each dataset, batch scripts that quality control

each dataset, and batch scripts that perform TaxAss on each dataset. It also contains

the versions of TaxAss scripts used in this paper. A README file includes directions for

how to download the versions of databases and programs used in processing, and how

to source the included batch scripts. The zip file does not include the data itself, just the

folder structure and scripts for download and processing; the uncompressed folder is 1

MB.