Basal forebrain GABAergic innervation of olfactory bulb periglomerular interneurons

Running title: inhibitory inputs of olfactory bulb interneurons

Alvaro Sanz Diez[1], Marion Najac[2] and Didier De Saint Jan[1]

[1]Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Propre de Recherche 3212, Université de Strasbourg, 67084 Strasbourg, France, [2]Department of Neurobiology, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208.

Corresponding authors:
desaintjan@inci-cnrs.unistra.fr
Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, CNRS UPR 3212, 5 rue Blaise Pascal, 67084 Strasbourg, France

Abstract: 219 words; introduction: 582 words; discussion: 1380 words

Key points summary

- Basal forebrain long-range projections to the olfactory bulb are important for olfactory sensitivity and odor discrimination.
- Using optogenetics, we confirm that basal forebrain afferents mediate IPSCs on granule and deep short axon cells. We also show that they selectively innervate specific subtypes of periglomerular (PG) cells.
- The three different subtypes of type 2 PG cells receive GABAergic IPSCs from the basal forebrain but not from other PG cells.
- Type 1 PG cells, in contrast, do not receive inputs from the basal forebrain but do receive inhibition from other PG cells.
- These results bring new light on the complexity and specificity of glomerular inhibitory circuits, as well as on their modulation by the basal forebrain.

Abstract

Olfactory bulb circuits are dominated by multiple inhibitory pathways that finely tune the activity of mitral and tufted cells, the principal neurons, and regulate odor discrimination. Granule cells mediate interglomerular lateral inhibition between mitral and tufted cells lateral dendrites whereas diverse subtypes of periglomerular (PG) cells mediate intraglomerular lateral inhibition between their apical dendrites. Deep short axon cells form broad intrabulbar inhibitory circuits that regulate both populations of interneurons. Little is known about the extrabulbar GABAergic circuits that control the activity of these various interneurons. We examined this question using patch-clamp recordings and optogenetics in olfactory bulb slices from transgenic mice. We show that axonal projections emanating from diverse basal forebrain GABAergic neurons densely project in all layers of the olfactory bulb. These long-range GABAergic projections provide a prominent synaptic input on granule and short axon cells in deep layers as well as on selective subtypes of PG cells. Specifically, three different subclasses of type 2 PG cells receive robust and target-specific basal forebrain inputs but have little local interactions with other PG cells. In contrast, type 1 PG cells are not innervated by basal forebrain fibers but do interact with other PG cells. Thus, attention-regulated basal forebrain inputs regulate inhibition in all layers of the olfactory bulb with a previously overlooked synaptic complexity that further defines interneuron subclasses.

Introduction

Olfactory bulb circuits transform the spatially organized sensory input carried by olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) into a temporal code in mitral and tufted cells, the principal neurons that relay the information to cortical areas. In the olfactory bulb, inhibitory interneurons vastly outnumber mitral and tufted cells and form several inhibitory circuits that shape the output of principal neurons. In addition, thousands of newborn inhibitory neurons are integrated every postnatal day in the pre-existing olfactory bulb network. Inhibition thus plays fundamental roles in early olfactory bulb processing, as demonstrated by multiple evidence *in vivo* (Abraham *et al.*, 2010;

Lepousez & Lledo, 2013; Fukunaga *et al.*, 2014; Gschwend *et al.*, 2015; Economo *et al.*,

2016).

Olfactory bulb interneurons are diverse but three large classes dominate: granule

cells, periglomerular (PG) cells and short-axon (SA) cells. Granule cells, the most

abundant type, form reciprocal dendrodendritic synapses with the lateral dendrites of

mitral and tufted cells in the external plexiform layer. PG interneurons, in contrast,

interact with the apical dendrites of mitral and tufted cells within the glomeruli. PG

cells usually project within a single glomerulus, often lack an axon and are functionally,

morphologically and chemically highly diverse (McQuiston & Katz, 2001; Kosaka &

Kosaka, 2007; Panzanelli *et al.*, 2007; Parrish-Aungst *et al.*, 2007; Whitman & Greer,

2007; Kosaka & Kosaka, 2011; Najac *et al.*, 2015; Benito *et al.*, 2018). They have been

classified in two broad functional classes depending on their excitatory inputs. The

majority are so-called type 2 PG cells and receive excitatory inputs exclusively from the

dendrites of mitral and tufted cells whereas type 1 PG cells receive direct excitatory

inputs from OSNs (Kosaka & Kosaka, 2007; Shao *et al.*, 2009). This simple classification,

however, does not fully reflect the functional heterogeneity of PG cells (McQuiston &

Katz, 2001; Najac *et al.*, 2015; Benito *et al.*, 2018). Finally, SA cells with soma located in

the glomerular layer (superficial SA cells) or in the granule cell layer (deep or dSA cells),

unlike what their name suggest, possess long ramifying axons that form broad

intrabulbar connections. Diverse subtypes of deep SA cells mediate a widespread

interneuron-specific inhibition (Eyre *et al.*, 2008; Boyd *et al.*, 2012; Burton *et al.*, 2017)

whereas superficial SA cells inhibit external tufted cells (Liu *et al.*, 2013; Whitesell *et*

al., 2013).

Granule, PG and SA cells receive GABAergic synaptic inputs but only a few studies

have investigated their origin. These inputs could arise from local intrabulbar

interactions or from extrabulbar afferences. The olfactory bulb receives centrifugal

GABAergic projections from the basal forebrain, particularly from the horizontal limb

of the Diagonal Band of Broca (HDB) and from the magnocellular preoptic nucleus

(MCPO) (Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986; Gracia-Llanes *et al.*, 2010; Niedworok *et al.*, 2012).

These long-range projections make functional connections with granule (Nunez-Parra

et al., 2013) and dSA cells (Case *et al.*, 2017) and their pharmacological inactivation

impairs olfactory sensitivity and odor discrimination (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013). Little is

known, however, about their connections in the glomerular layer. We here examined the targets of centrifugal basal forebrain axons in olfactory bulb interneurons. We used patch-clamp recording and light activation of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) targeted to basal forebrain GABAergic neurons in acute slices from dlx5/6-Cre transgenic mice. We specifically focused on PG cells and examined whether GABAergic inputs differ in distinct subclasses of PG cells. The results indicate that long-range basal forebrain GABAergic projections broadly regulate olfactory bulb circuits and innervate specific PG cell subtypes with a previously unexpected level of synaptic complexity.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experimental procedures were approved by the French Ministry and the local ethic committee for animal experimentation (C.R.E.M.E.A.S). We used dlx5/6-Cre female mice that express the Cre recombinase under the control of the regulatory sequences of the dlx5 and dlx6 genes (Monory *et al.*, 2006), and Kv3.1-EYFP mice of either sex that express the enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP) under the control of the Kv3.1 K$^+$ channel promoter (Metzger *et al.*, 2002).

Slice preparation. 3 weeks to 3 month-old mice were killed by decapitation and the olfactory bulbs rapidly removed in ice-cold oxygenated (95% O_2-5% CO_2) solution containing (in mM): 83 NaCl, 26.2 $NaHCO_3$, 1 NaH_2PO_4, 2.5 KCl, 3.3 $MgSO_4$, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, 70 sucrose and 22 D-glucose (pH 7.3, osmolarity 300 mOsm/l). Horizontal olfactory bulb slices (300 µm) were cut using a Microm HM 650V vibratome (Microm, Germany) in the same solution; incubated for 30-40 minutes at 34°C; stored at room temperature in a regular artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) until use. ACSF contained (in mM): 125 NaCl, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 1 $MgCl_2$, 2 $CaCl_2$ and 25 D-glucose and was continuously bubbled with 95% O_2-5% CO_2.

Electrophysiological recordings. Experiments were conducted at 32-34°C under an upright microscope (SliceScope, Scientifica, Uckfield, UK) with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. Whole-cell recordings were made with glass pipettes (3-6 MΩ) filled with a K-gluconate-based internal solution containing (in mM): 135 K-gluconate, 2 $MgCl_2$, 0.025 $CaCl_2$, 1 EGTA, 4 Na-ATP, 0.5 Na-GTP, 10 Hepes (pH 7.3, 280 mOsm). Atto 594 (10 µM, Sigma) was added to the internal solution in order to visualize the cell morphology. Loose cell-attached recordings (15-100 MΩ seal resistance) were

made with pipettes filled with ACSF. OSN axons bundles projecting inside a given

glomerulus were electrically stimulated using a theta pipette filled with ACSF as

previously described (Najac *et al.*, 2015). The electrical stimulus (100 μs) was delivered

using a Digitimer DS3 (Digitimer, Welwyn Garden City, UK). Optical stimulation was

done through a blue (490 nm) CoolLED pE 100 (CoolLED Ltd., Andover, UK) directed

through the 40X objective of the microscope. Recordings were acquired with a

multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), low-passed filtered at

2-4 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz using the AxoGraph X software (Axograph Scientific). In

current-clamp recordings, a constant hyperpolarizing current was injected in order to

maintain the cell at a potential of -60/-70 mV. In voltage-clamp recordings, access

resistance (Ra<30 MΩ for PG, granule and deep SA cells) was not compensated.

Voltages indicated in the paper were corrected for the junction potential (-15 mV).

Drugs. 6-nitro-7-sulfamoylbenzo[f]quinoxaline-2,3-dione (NBQX), D-2-Amino-5-

phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5), 2-(3-carboxypropyl)-3-amino-6-(4

methoxyphenyl)pyridazinium bromide (GBZ) were purchased from Abcam

Biochemicals.

Stereotaxic viral injections. 3-6 weeks old dlx5/6-cre female mice were anesthetized

with intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (20%), acepromazine (6%) and

medetomidine (11.8%) mix (100μl/10g) and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus. Mice

were craneotomized and a volume of 200-500 nl of

AAV9.EF1a.DIO.hChR2(H134R).eYFP.WPRE.hGH or AAV9.EF1a.DIO.eYFP.WPRE.hGH

(University of Pennsylvania Viral Vector Core) was stereotaxically injected with a

Pneumatic Picopump PV 820, at 0.2 mm AP, -1.6 mm ML and -5.6 mm DV from

bregma. After surgery, mice were injected with Metacam 100 (meloxicam) (5%)

(100μl/10g), Antisedan (atipamezol) (2.5%) (100μl/10g), rehydrated with 1 ml of NaCl

0.9% and placed under a heating lamp. Mice recovered during 3-4 weeks after

injection before anatomical or physiological experiments.

Analysis. EPSCs, IPSCs or photocurrent amplitudes were measured as the peak of an

average response computed from multiple sweeps. The decay of light-evoked IPSCs

was most often best fitted with a double exponential with time t=0 at the peak of the

current. Time constant values indicated in the text are weighted decay time constants

calculated using the following equation: $\tau_w = (\tau_1 A_1 + \tau_2 A_2)/(A_1 + A_2)$ where τ_1 and τ_2 are

the fast and slow decay time constants and A_1 and A_2 are the equivalent amplitude

weighting factors. To estimate the duration of an OSN-evoked plurisynaptic excitatory

response, individual EPSCs with amplitude >5 pA were automatically detected by the

Axograph X software using a sliding template function. PSTH (peri stimulus time

histograms) representing the cumulative number of EPSCs per 20 ms bin across several

consecutive sweeps were then constructed. The decaying phase of these histograms

was fitted with a single or with two exponentials to provide a time constant of the

OSN-evoked response duration.

Statistics. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. We used the Student's *t*-test to assess the

statistical difference between paired sets of data with normal distribution, the non-

parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank sum test to assess the statistical difference

between unpaired sets of data, the Kruskal-Wallis test for data sets with more than

two variables and p-values are reported.

Immunohistochemistry. Adult mice (1-3 months) were deeply anesthetized with

intraperitoneal injection of xylazine (6.3%) and ketamine (25%) and intracardially

perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brains were removed and kept in 4% PFA

overnight. Slices were cut (50 μm thick) with a vibratome (Leica VT 1000S). Blocking

steps were performed using a PBS solution containing 2% BSA or 5% goat serum and

0.3% Triton X-100 during 2h. Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary

antibodies: goat anti-choline acetyltransferase (ChAT; 1:500; Millipore Cat# AB144P,

RRID:AB_2079751), mouse anti-parvalbumin (PV; 1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich Cat# P3088,

RRID:AB_477329), rabbit anti-calretinin (CR; 1:1000; Swant Cat# 7697,

RRID:AB_2721226) or mouse anti-calbindin D28K (CB; 1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich Cat#

C9848, RRID:AB_476894). After three washes in PBS, sections were incubated 2 hours

at room temperature with the corresponding secondary antibodies —Alexa fluor 633

conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:500; ThermoFisher A-21070) and Alexa fluor 633

conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:500; ThermoFisher A-21050) or Alexa fluor 647

conjugated donkey anti-goat (1:200; ThermoFisher A-21447). After three washes,

sections were mounted in Prolong Diamond Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher).

Images were taken using a Leica TCS SP5 II confocal microscope or an Axio Imager M2

for mosaic images. Immunostained and EYFP-expressing cells were manually counted

using Cell counter plug-in on Fiji software.

<u>Results</u>

Selective expression of ChR2 in GABAergic neurons of the HDB

We used an optogenetic approach to map the functional synaptic connections of

basal forebrain GABAergic neurons onto olfactory bulb interneurons. We injected an

adeno-associated virus encoding a Cre-inducible ChR2-EYFP fusion protein in the basal

forebrain of dlx5/6-Cre mice, a transgenic line that expresses the Cre recombinase

exclusively in GABAergic neurons in the forebrain (Monory *et al.*, 2006)(Figure 1A).

These injections produced a dense EYFP labeling of fibers in the olfactory bulb. Labeled

fibers were particularly abundant in the granule cell layer and in the glomerular layer

of the bulb, similarly to a previous study using a Cre-dependent viral approach in the

GAD65-Cre mouse (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013). In the basal forebrain, expression of

ChR2-EYFP was intense around the injection site but was limited to the membrane,

making cell bodies difficult to distinguish. Hence, we injected the same virus expressing

cytosolic EYFP to verify the specificity of the recombination in GABAergic neurons

(Figure 1B, n=5 mice). EYFP was expressed in cell bodies of various sizes around the

injection site. Some of these cells also expressed the calcium-binding proteins

calretinin (CR, n=122 cells counted), calbindin (CB, n=54) or parvalbumin (PV, n=25),

three classical markers of GABAergic neurons that label non-overlapping neural

populations in the basal forebrain (Zaborszky *et al.*, 2005). EYFP also colocalized with

choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), the enzyme for acetylcholine synthesis, in about 1/3

of the ChAT(+) neurons counted (n=63/190 cells), consistent with the idea that

cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain are also GABAergic (Saunders *et al.*, 2015).

We then tested the synaptic connections made by ChR2-expressing basal

forebrain axons in the olfactory bulb using whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings in

olfactory bulb slices. Consistent with previous reports (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013; Case

et al., 2017), activating ChR2-expressing basal forebrain axons with brief (1-10 ms)

flashes of blue light evoked outward inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) in granule

cells (n=9/11, range 67-464 pA, mean 161±124 pA at Vh=0 mV, Figure 2A) and dSA cells

(n=10/10, range 30-681 pA, mean 379±170 pA at Vh between -15 and -35 mV, Figure

2B). In 2 of the 10 dSA cells tested, the response had two components that reversed at

different potentials. At Vh=-70 mV, the response was biphasic with an outward

component that was blocked by the GABA$_A$ receptor antagonist gabazine (GBZ, 5 µM),

and an inward component that persisted in the presence of GBZ. These dual responses

are similar to those mediated by VGLUT3(+) basal forebrain neurons that release both

GABA and acetylcholine onto a specific subtype of dSA cells with axonal projections in

the glomerular layer (Case et al. 2017). They were therefore not further studied.

Most PG cells tested also responded to the photostimulation with an IPSC

(n=63/82, range 6-849 pA, mean 220±201 pA at Vh=0 mV, Figure 2C). Light-evoked

IPSCs sometime displayed multiple peaks or deflections during the rising phase

indicating that they resulted from summating IPSCs (not shown). However, responses

were unaffected by the presence of the AMPA and NMDA receptor antagonists, NBQX

and D-AP5 respectively, demonstrating that they were monosynaptic (n=14 PG cells,

Figure 2C). Thus, IPSCs recorded in ACSF or in NBQX/D-AP5 were pooled for analysis.

Evoked IPSCs were totally abolished by GBZ (n=10 PG cells, Figure 2C), had a single

component that reversed at the expected equilibrium potential for chloride (ECl=-88

mV in our recording conditions, n=12 PG cells, Figure 2D). Light-evoked IPSCs had a

uniformly fast time course in dSA cells (mean decay time constant 11.7±5.9 ms) and in

granule cells (29±6.4 ms). In contrast, the duration of light-evoked IPSCs was highly

variable in PG cells (decay time constant range 6-254 ms, mean 46.9±56.1 ms)(Figure

2E). However, light-responsive PG cells had diverse firing and synaptic properties,

suggesting that the variable time course of their basal forebrain input may reflect PG

cell diversity. To further validate this possibility, we classified the recorded PG cells in

distinct subtypes based on their membrane properties and OSN-evoked synaptic

responses and then examined their GABAergic synaptic inputs.

Type 1 PG cells are not innervated by basal forebrain afferences

Type 1 PG cells constituted 11% of the PG cells recorded in dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice

(9/82). These neurons fired multiple action potentials in response to a membrane

supra-threshold step depolarization. Their discharge was regular (n=2), irregular (as in

Figure 3A, n=3) or a burst of spikes (n=3). They responded to the electrical stimulation

of OSNs with a fast onset monosynaptic EPSC (mean latency 1.59±0.25 ms)(Figure 3B

and 3E). The onset of this EPSC varied little across trials even at low intensities of

stimulation (jitter 0.09±0.04 ms) whereas the response of type 2 PG cells had a longer

onset latency (>2 ms) that increased and became more variable with stimulations of

lower intensity (Figure 3E). OSN stimulation also induced IPSCs in 8/9 type 1 PG cells

voltage-clamped at Vh=0 mV, i.e. around the reversal potential for excitation (Figure

3B, 3D). This inhibitory response was composed of multiple asynchronous IPSCs,

consistent with a plurisynaptic input generated within the glomerular network by

other PG cells activated by the stimulation. However, none of the 9 type 1 PG cells

tested responded to the photo stimulation of the basal forebrain fibers (Figure 3C).

Thus, type 1 PG cells receive inhibitory inputs from neighboring PG cells but not from

the basal forebrain.

Different classes of type 2 PG cells receive basal forebrain inputs with target-specific

properties

Type 2 PG cells were largely predominant in our recordings in dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice

(n=68/82, 83%) and all PG cells responding to the flash of blue light with an IPSC were

type 2 PG cells. We classified these type 2 PG cells in three subclasses, based on their

membrane properties and OSN-evoked synaptic responses, as followed:

1. CR-expressing type 2 PG cells

The most numerous cell type (n=29, 35%) showed the distinctive membrane and

synaptic properties of CR-expressing PG cells (Fogli Iseppe *et al.*, 2016; Benito *et al.*,

2018), consistent with the predominance of this subtype within the entire population.

These cells fired at most a single action potential or a spikelet and were passively

depolarized by a suprathreshold current step (Figure 4A). In addition, these PG cells

received few spontaneous EPSCs (not shown), had small OSN-evoked excitatory inputs

(Figure 4B, 4C) and usually large electrical membrane resistance (Figure 4D). OSN

stimulations evoked small IPSCs or no IPSC at all in these cells clamped at Vh=0mV

(Figure 4B, 4C) as previously reported in genetically-identified CR-expressing PG cells

(Benito *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, photo stimulation of basal forebrain fibers evoked a

GBZ-sensitive IPSC in 27/29 cells (Figure 4E). These light-evoked IPSCs were often large

(average amplitude 294±196 pA, range 6-741 pA) and always fast (average decay time

constant 11.9±3.6 ms, range 6-21 ms)(Figure 4E). Thus, a fast basal forebrain-mediated

GABAergic input is another attribute of CR-expressing PG cells.

2. CB-like type 2 PG cells with short OSN-evoked excitatory responses

The second group of type 2 PG cells (n=18, 22%) included cells that responded to OSN

stimulations with a short burst of asynchronous EPSCs (average duration 33±25 ms,

range 12-100 ms)(Figure 5B). We have previously shown that cells with this type of

response are heterogeneous in terms of firing properties but include CB-expressing PG

cells (Najac *et al.*, 2015). Cells classified in this group were thus called CB-like PG cells.

The majority (n=10) responded to a depolarizing current step with a single spike

preceding a plateau potential, as in the example shown in Figure 5A. However, some

cells had a regular firing (n=3) and others responded with a burst of action potentials

(n=3). Photo stimulation of basal forebrain fibers evoked an IPSC in 13 of these 18 CB-

like cells (Figure 5D). Light-evoked responses had a mean amplitude of 140±101 pA

(range 24-324 pA) and a decay time constant ranging from 6.7 to 53.8 ms (mean

27±14.2 ms)(Figure 5D), significantly slower than in CR-like PG cells (p=0.0027,

Wilcoxon test). In contrast, OSN stimulation did not evoke IPSCs (Figure 5B, 5C),

suggesting that these cells are not connected to other PG cells.

To confirm the absence of connections with other PG cells, we used the Kv3.1-EYFP

reporter mouse. A population of type 2 PG cells with short OSN-evoked responses is

labeled in this mouse, including CB-expressing cells (Najac *et al.*, 2015). In these

experiments, we recorded the whole-cell voltage-clamp response of EYFP(+) PG cells

while simultaneously monitoring in loose cell-attached the discharge of a control PG

cell projecting into the same glomerulus (either EYFP(+), n=4, or EYFP(-), n=5, Figure

5F). OSN stimulations that efficiently drove the firing of the control PG cell induced a

robust excitatory response in EYFP(+) PG cells clamped at Vh=-75 mV. This stimulation,

however, did not evoke any IPSC when cells were clamped at Vh=0mV (n=9). In a

subset of experiments, increasing the stimulation intensity by a factor of 10 also failed

to evoke IPSCs (n=6). In contrast, an electrical stimulation in the glomerular layer at

>200 μm away from the recorded PG cell evoked a reliable GBZ-sensitive IPSC in

EYFP(+) PG cells (n=16, average amplitude 87±48 pA at Vh=0 mV; decay time constant:

30±13 ms, range 7.7-53.6 ms) that persisted in the presence of NBQX and D-AP5 (not

shown). Our results suggest that this monosynaptic IPSC is mediated by basal forebrain

axons ramifying in the glomerular layer, although the possibility that dSA cells also

innervate these PG cells cannot be excluded. Thus, CB-like type 2 PG cells responding

to the stimulation of OSNs with a short excitatory response do not interact locally with

other PG cells but do receive a prominent GABAergic input from the basal forebrain.

3. Type 2 PG cells with long-lasting OSN-evoked excitatory responses

The third group of type 2 PG cells (n=18, 22%) is composed of cells that responded to

OSN stimulations with a long-lasting barrage of EPSCs, often lasting several hundreds

of milliseconds (range 0.1 to >3s, average 792±757 ms)(Figure 6B). All these cells had a

regular firing upon injection of a depolarizing step, indicating that this population is

quite homogeneous (Figure 6A). Moreover, 17/18 cells in this group responded to the

photo stimulation of the basal forebrain axons with a prolonged IPSC (IPSC amplitude

193±247 pA, range 11-850 pA; average decay time constant 116±63 ms, range 40-254

ms, p<0.0001 for comparison with both CR-like and CB-like PG cells, Wilcoxon

test)(Figure 6D). These basal forebrain-mediated IPSCs were much larger than the

OSN-evoked slow IPSCs that were eventually observed in 6/11 PG cells (Figure 6C).

Thus, long-lasting basal forebrain-mediated IPSCs are found in a specific subclass of

regularly firing PG cells that responds to an OSN input with a remarkably prolonged

barrage of EPSCs.

Finally, 10% of the recorded PG cells (n=8), that either had an incomplete

characterization or functional properties that did not fit in any of the 4 previously

defined subgroups, could not be classified. 6 of these cells responded to the photo

stimulation with an IPSC.

Diversity of basal forebrain afference

Our data so far indicate that the time course of the basal forebrain synaptic inputs

depends on the PG cell subtype they target. To start gaining insight into whether these

distinct postsynaptic PG neurons are contacted by different presynaptic fibers, we

compared the short-term plasticity at these synapses. We applied a train of 5 blue light

pulses at 20 Hz. This photo stimulation evoked IPSCs that depressed at different

degrees in the three subclasses of type 2 PG cells as quantified by the paired-pulse

ratio of the second IPSC amplitude relative to the first (Kruskal-Wallis test, H=11.38,

p=0.0034)(Figure 7). In particular, the paired-pulse depression in CR-like PG cells

(0.73±0.13, n=11) was less pronounced than in CB-like PG cells (0.47±0.16, n=8,

p=0.0008, Wilcoxon test) and than in PG cells with long-lasting OSN-evoked responses

(0.56±0.16, n=8, p=0.020, Wilcoxon test). The paired-pulse ratio was not different in

these two last groups (p=0.38, Wilcoxon test) but failures of transmission were

frequent in CB-like PG cells (seen in 5/8 cells, Figure 7B) whereas they were never

observed in PG cells with long-lasting OSN-evoked responses. Together, these data

provide evidence that basal forebrain inputs may be mediated by specific afferent

fibers on each subclass of olfactory bulb PG cells.

Discussion

Most efforts in understanding the function of inhibition in the olfactory bulb have

focused on the local activation mechanisms of the diverse interneuron populations and

on the impact of their activity in shaping the olfactory bulb output. In comparison,

GABAergic circuits that control the activity of olfactory bulb interneurons have

received little attention. We show here that long-range GABAergic projections from

the basal forebrain make prominent and specific connections on most classes of

interneurons in the olfactory bulb with a previously unsuspected level of synaptic

specificity. These data provide additional criteria for PG cells classification and

emphasize that each PG cell subtype belong to a specific synaptic circuit, an important

step towards understanding the functional implications of their diversity. They also

reinforce the idea that the basal forebrain is a major modulator of olfactory bulb

circuits whose influence is still partially understood.

Basal forebrain projections to the olfactory bulb

The basal forebrain contains several nuclei without clear delineations that

innervate the cerebral cortex, limbic areas, hippocampus, thalamic structures and the

olfactory bulb with cholinergic and GABAergic projections. Centrifugal projections to

the olfactory bulb originate principally from the HDB and MCPO. Cholinergic axons are

found essentially in the glomerular layer and in the internal plexiform layer of the bulb

and, moderately, in the granule cell layer (Macrides *et al.*, 1981; Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986;

Salcedo *et al.*, 2011; Case *et al.*, 2017; Hamamoto *et al.*, 2017). GABAergic projections

are even more massive and innervate all layers of the bulb (Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986;

Gracia-Llanes *et al.*, 2010; Niedworok *et al.*, 2012; Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013).

Cholinergic and GABAergic neurons are not clearly segregated in the basal forebrain

(Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986), interact between each other (Yang *et al.*, 2014) and nearly all

cholinergic neurons express the molecular machinery to co-transmit GABA (Saunders

et al., 2015). These two centrifugal pathways are thus intimately coupled and attempts

to evaluate their influence independently have to be interpreted with caution.

Nevertheless, several reports demonstrate that ACh modulates diverse bulbar neurons

at different timescales and via multiple pre- and postsynaptic muscarinic and nicotinic

receptors (Castillo *et al.*, 1999; Ghatpande & Gelperin, 2009; Devore *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et*

al., 2015; Smith *et al.*, 2015). Optogenetic manipulation of basal forebrain cholinergic

neurons modulates odor detection, discrimination and olfactory learning (Ma & Luo,

2012; Rothermel *et al.*, 2014). Our results indicate that basal forebrain GABAergic

neurons also have multiple targets in the bulb. Not surprisingly, pharmacological

inactivation of HDB/MCPO GABAergic neurons using a DREADD/CNO paradigm impairs

olfactory sensitivity and odor discrimination (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013). The basal

forebrain therefore stands as a major modulator of olfactory bulb circuits but

understanding its global impact on olfactory bulb processing may first require a careful

investigation of its action on each targeted neuronal population.

GABAergic synaptic connections discriminate different classes of PG cells

We closely examined the GABAergic inputs of olfactory bulb PG cells. The results

demonstrate that GABAergic connections are an additional criterion that helps

classifying diverse PG cell subtypes. First, type 1 and type 2 PG cells can be

distinguished on the basis of their inhibitory input. Basal forebrain fibers contact

different subtypes of type 2 PG cells but not type 1 PG cells. Moreover, type 2 PG cells

have little synaptic interactions with other PG cells whereas type 1 PG cells do.

Previous studies revealed that GABAergic lateral interactions between PG cells

projecting within the same glomerulus are mediated, at least in part, by GABA spillover

(Smith & Jahr, 2002; Murphy *et al.*, 2005). Our results further suggest that more
conventional PG-PG cells synaptic interactions may be restricted to type 1 PG cells.

Second, we show that basal forebrain axons produce IPSCs with distinct time
courses and short term plasticities in three subgroups of type 2 PG cells that also differ
on the basis of their OSN-evoked excitatory response and firing properties. IPSCs with
diverse durations likely reflect different subunit compositions of postsynaptic $GABA_A$
receptors. For instance, a subpopulation of PG cells that does not overlap with CB- and
CR-expressing PG cells selectively expresses the alpha5 subunit (Panzanelli *et al.*,
2007). Expression of this subunit correlates in some neurons with slow IPSCs (Pangratz-
Fuehrer *et al.*, 2016) suggesting that the alpha5 subunit may be a molecular marker of
the type 2 PG cells with regular firing and a long-lasting OSN-evoked excitatory
response.

We limited our characterization to a subset of electrophysiological properties
that, in our recording conditions, could be unambiguously established. A full
characterization that correlates membrane and synaptic properties with morphological
and molecular features would most likely define additional subclasses of type 2 PG
cells. PG cells with short-lasting OSN-evoked excitatory responses, for instance, have
heterogeneous firing patterns and include CB-expressing and CB-lacking PG cells (Najac
et al., 2015). However, those are challenging experiments in mice lacking a genetically-
encoded marker that labels a specific subpopulation.

Postsynaptic $GABA_A$ receptors with different kinetics contribute in shaping short
term depression. However, our analysis of paired pulse ratio and failure rate suggest
that the different degrees at which basal forebrain IPSCs depressed in PG cells also
reflect presynaptic diversity. Thus, distinct subsets of chemically-defined basal
forebrain GABAergic neurons may have spatially defined projections in the olfactory
bulb and control specific bulbar interneuron populations. Consistent with this
hypothesis, a recent report demonstrates that a specific subset of GABA/ACh basal
forebrain neurons preferentially projects in the internal plexiform layer of the olfactory
bulb where it selectively connects with one specific subtype of dSA cells (Case *et al.*,
2017). Thus, addressing the synaptic complexity of basal forebrain GABAergic inputs in
the olfactory bulb may necessitate the use of transgenic mice allowing the visualization
and manipulation of selective genetically-identified population of neurons.

Role of basal forebrain GABAergic afferents in olfactory bulb odor processing

We show the connections of basal forebrain GABA fibers with PG and granule cells that, together, constitute the main populations of interneurons directly regulating the activity of olfactory bulb output neurons. Deep SA cells also provide inhibitory input on PG and granule cells (Eyre *et al.*, 2008; Burton *et al.*, 2017) and are themselves modulated by basal forebrain inputs. This diversity of olfactory bulb interneurons under the control of basal forebrain fibers makes it challenging to predict the global functional implications of this GABAergic innervation on the olfactory bulb output. In the simplest way, inhibition of olfactory bulb interneurons may induce a disinhibition of mitral and tufted cells and thus facilitate the olfactory bulb output. However, GABA may be excitatory on some PG cells (Parsa *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, co-transmission of GABA and ACh on, at least, some interneurons (Case *et al.*, 2017), also complicates this view. Moreover, as olfactory bulb processing is fundamentally rhythmic and tightly coupled to respiration, the physiological impact of basal forebrain centrifugal inputs may depend on their temporal dynamics along a respiration cycle. A synchronized activity of these centrifugal afferents may reset the activity of olfactory bulb interneurons before a new respiration cycle. But how synchronized are they? Recent data indicate that some non-cholinergic neurons in the HDB have their activity correlated with attention whereas the activity of cholinergic neurons is correlated with primary reinforcers and outcome expectations (Hangya *et al.*, 2015). Centrifugal inputs from the basal forebrain could therefore contextually modulate the olfactory bulb activity as a function of the internal state.

Interestingly, basal forebrain GABAergic fibers and axonal feedback from the olfactory cortex target the same populations of interneurons in the bulb. Thus, axons from pyramidal cells of the piriform cortex or the anterior olfactory nucleus directly excite olfactory bulb interneurons and facilitate mitral cell inhibition (Boyd *et al.*, 2012; Markopoulos *et al.*, 2012), i.e. the exact opposite of what HDB GABAergic fibers might do. Pyramidal cells of the olfactory cortex innervate HDB neurons as well (Paolini & McKenzie, 1997; Linster & Hasselmo, 2000; Do *et al.*, 2016). Centrifugal afferents from the basal forebrain could have complex dynamics, similar as cortical feedback that exerts spatially diffuse, temporally complex and non-uniform actions on olfactory bulb

interneurons in response to odors (Boyd *et al.*, 2015; Otazu *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the net

impact of cortical feedback and basal forebrain fiber inputs on olfactory bulb

interneurons, balancing or opposite actions, may depend on their relative timing in

different behavioral context.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

This work was supported by the Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique, the
Université de Strasbourg and the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (Grant ANR-12-
JSV4-006-01). ASD was funded by a fellowship from the Ministère de la Recherche and
by a fellowship from the Fonds Paul Mandel pour les Recherches en Neuroscience. We
thank Ipek Yalcin (Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, Strasbourg)
and Claire Gaveriaux-Ruff (Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et
Cellulaire, Strasbourg) for the kind gift of the dlx5/6-Cre mice and Thomas Knöpfel
(Imperial College, London) for the kind gift of the Kv3.1-EYFP mice. We thank Sophie
Reibel-Foisset and the animal facility Chronobiotron (UMS 3415 Centre National pour
la Recherche Scientifique and Université de Strasbourg), the Plateforme Imagerie In
Vitro-Neuropôle-Strasbourg, and Aline Huber for their technical assistance. We thank
Jean Christophe Cassel (Laboratoire de Neurosciences Cognitives et Adaptatives,
Strasbourg) Nuria Benito, Philippe Isope, Matilde Cordero-Erausquin, Bernard Poulain,
and members of the lab for their constructive comments throughout the project.
503

<u>References</u>

Abraham NM, Egger V, Shimshek DR, Renden R, Fukunaga I, Sprengel R, Seeburg PH, Klugmann

M, Margrie TW, Schaefer AT & Kuner T. (2010). Synaptic inhibition in the olfactory bulb

accelerates odor discrimination in mice. *Neuron* **65,** 399-411.

Benito N, Gaborieau E, Sanz Diez A, Kosar S, Foucault L, Raineteau O & De Saint Jan D. (2018).

A pool of postnatally-generated interneurons persists in an immature stage in the olfactory

bulb. *J Neurosci.*in press.

Boyd AM, Kato HK, Komiyama T & Isaacson JS. (2015). Broadcasting of cortical activity to the

olfactory bulb. *Cell Rep* **10,** 1032-1039.

Boyd AM, Sturgill JF, Poo C & Isaacson JS. (2012). Cortical feedback control of olfactory bulb

circuits. *Neuron* **76,** 1161-1174.

Burton SD, LaRocca G, Liu A, Cheetham CE & Urban NN. (2017). Olfactory Bulb Deep Short-
Axon Cells Mediate Widespread Inhibition of Tufted Cell Apical Dendrites. *J Neurosci* **37,** 1117-
1138.

Case DT, Burton SD, Gedeon JY, Williams SG, Urban NN & Seal RP. (2017). Layer- and cell type-
selective co-transmission by a basal forebrain cholinergic projection to the olfactory bulb. *Nat*
Commun **8,** 652.

Castillo PE, Carleton A, Vincent JD & Lledo PM. (1999). Multiple and opposing roles of
cholinergic transmission in the main olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci* **19,** 9180-9191.

Devore S, de Almeida L & Linster C. (2014). Distinct roles of bulbar muscarinic and nicotinic
receptors in olfactory discrimination learning. *J Neurosci* **34,** 11244-11260.

Do JP, Xu M, Lee SH, Chang WC, Zhang S, Chung S, Yung TJ, Fan JL, Miyamichi K, Luo L & Dan Y.
(2016). Cell type-specific long-range connections of basal forebrain circuit. *Elife* **5**.

Economo MN, Hansen KR & Wachowiak M. (2016). Control of Mitral/Tufted Cell Output by
Selective Inhibition among Olfactory Bulb Glomeruli. *Neuron* **91,** 397-411.

Eyre MD, Antal M & Nusser Z. (2008). Distinct deep short-axon cell subtypes of the main
olfactory bulb provide novel intrabulbar and extrabulbar GABAergic connections. *J Neurosci*
28, 8217-8229.

Fogli Iseppe A, Pignatelli A & Belluzzi O. (2016). Calretinin-Periglomerular Interneurons in Mice
Olfactory Bulb: Cells of Few Words. *Front Cell Neurosci* **10,** 231.

Fukunaga I, Herb JT, Kollo M, Boyden ES & Schaefer AT. (2014). Independent control of gamma
and theta activity by distinct interneuron networks in the olfactory bulb. *Nat Neurosci* **17,**
1208-1216.

Ghatpande AS & Gelperin A. (2009). Presynaptic muscarinic receptors enhance glutamate
release at the mitral/tufted to granule cell dendrodendritic synapse in the rat main olfactory
bulb. *J Neurophysiol* **101,** 2052-2061.

Gracia-Llanes FJ, Crespo C, Blasco-Ibanez JM, Nacher J, Varea E, Rovira-Esteban L & Martinez-
Guijarro FJ. (2010). GABAergic basal forebrain afferents innervate selectively GABAergic
targets in the main olfactory bulb. *Neuroscience* **170,** 913-922.

Gschwend O, Abraham NM, Lagier S, Begnaud F, Rodriguez I & Carleton A. (2015). Neuronal
pattern separation in the olfactory bulb improves odor discrimination learning. *Nat Neurosci*
18, 1474-1482.

Hamamoto M, Kiyokage E, Sohn J, Hioki H, Harada T & Toida K. (2017). Structural basis for
cholinergic regulation of neural circuits in the mouse olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol* **525,** 574-
591.

Hangya B, Ranade SP, Lorenc M & Kepecs A. (2015). Central Cholinergic Neurons Are Rapidly
Recruited by Reinforcement Feedback. *Cell* **162,** 1155-1168.

Kosaka K & Kosaka T. (2007). Chemical properties of type 1 and type 2 periglomerular cells in
the mouse olfactory bulb are different from those in the rat olfactory bulb. *Brain Res* **1167,** 42-
55.

Kosaka T & Kosaka K. (2011). "Interneurons" in the olfactory bulb revisited. *Neurosci Res* **69,**
93-99.

Lepousez G & Lledo PM. (2013). Odor discrimination requires proper olfactory fast oscillations
in awake mice. *Neuron* **80,** 1010-1024.

Linster C & Hasselmo ME. (2000). Neural activity in the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of
broca can be modulated by electrical stimulation of the olfactory bulb and cortex in rats.
Neurosci Lett **282,** 157-160.

Liu S, Plachez C, Shao Z, Puche A & Shipley MT. (2013). Olfactory bulb short axon cell release of
GABA and dopamine produces a temporally biphasic inhibition-excitation response in external
tufted cells. *J Neurosci* **33,** 2916-2926.

Liu S, Shao Z, Puche A, Wachowiak M, Rothermel M & Shipley MT. (2015). Muscarinic
receptors modulate dendrodendritic inhibitory synapses to sculpt glomerular output. *J*
Neurosci **35,** 5680-5692.

Ma M & Luo M. (2012). Optogenetic activation of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons
modulates neuronal excitability and sensory responses in the main olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci*
32, 10105-10116.

Macrides F, Davis BJ, Youngs WM, Nadi NS & Margolis FL. (1981). Cholinergic and
catecholaminergic afferents to the olfactory bulb in the hamster: a neuroanatomical,
biochemical, and histochemical investigation. *J Comp Neurol* **203,** 495-514.

Markopoulos F, Rokni D, Gire DH & Murthy VN. (2012). Functional properties of cortical
feedback projections to the olfactory bulb. *Neuron* **76,** 1175-1188.

McQuiston AR & Katz LC. (2001). Electrophysiology of interneurons in the glomerular layer of
the rat olfactory bulb. *J Neurophysiol* **86,** 1899-1907.

Metzger F, Repunte-Canonigo V, Matsushita S, Akemann W, Diez-Garcia J, Ho CS, Iwasato T,
Grandes P, Itohara S, Joho RH & Knopfel T. (2002). Transgenic mice expressing a pH and Cl-
sensing yellow-fluorescent protein under the control of a potassium channel promoter. *Eur J*
Neurosci **15,** 40-50.

Monory K, Massa F, Egertova M, Eder M, Blaudzun H, Westenbroek R, Kelsch W, Jacob W,
Marsch R, Ekker M, Long J, Rubenstein JL, Goebbels S, Nave KA, During M, Klugmann M, Wolfel
B, Dodt HU, Zieglgansberger W, Wotjak CT, Mackie K, Elphick MR, Marsicano G & Lutz B.
(2006). The endocannabinoid system controls key epileptogenic circuits in the hippocampus.
Neuron **51,** 455-466.

Murphy GJ, Darcy DP & Isaacson JS. (2005). Intraglomerular inhibition: signaling mechanisms of
an olfactory microcircuit. *Nat Neurosci* **8,** 354-364.

Najac M, Sanz Diez A, Kumar A, Benito N, Charpak S & De Saint Jan D. (2015). Intraglomerular
lateral inhibition promotes spike timing variability in principal neurons of the olfactory bulb. *J*
Neurosci **35,** 4319-4331.

Niedworok CJ, Schwarz I, Ledderose J, Giese G, Conzelmann KK & Schwarz MK. (2012). Charting

monosynaptic connectivity maps by two-color light-sheet fluorescence microscopy. *Cell Rep* **2,**

1375-1386.

Nunez-Parra A, Maurer RK, Krahe K, Smith RS & Araneda RC. (2013). Disruption of centrifugal

inhibition to olfactory bulb granule cells impairs olfactory discrimination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*

A **110,** 14777-14782.

Otazu GH, Chae H, Davis MB & Albeanu DF. (2015). Cortical Feedback Decorrelates Olfactory

Bulb Output in Awake Mice. *Neuron* **86,** 1461-1477.

Pangratz-Fuehrer S, Sieghart W, Rudolph U, Parada I & Huguenard JR. (2016). Early postnatal

switch in GABAA receptor alpha-subunits in the reticular thalamic nucleus. *J Neurophysiol* **115,**

1183-1195.

Panzanelli P, Fritschy JM, Yanagawa Y, Obata K & Sassoe-Pognetto M. (2007). GABAergic

phenotype of periglomerular cells in the rodent olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol* **502,** 990-1002.

Paolini AG & McKenzie JS. (1997). Intracellular recording of magnocellular preoptic neuron

responses to olfactory brain. *Neuroscience* **78,** 229-242.

Parrish-Aungst S, Shipley MT, Erdelyi F, Szabo G & Puche AC. (2007). Quantitative analysis of

neuronal diversity in the mouse olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol* **501,** 825-836.

Parsa PV, D'Souza RD & Vijayaraghavan S. (2015). Signaling between periglomerular cells

reveals a bimodal role for GABA in modulating glomerular microcircuitry in the olfactory bulb.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **112,** 9478-9483.

Rothermel M, Carey RM, Puche A, Shipley MT & Wachowiak M. (2014). Cholinergic inputs from

Basal forebrain add an excitatory bias to odor coding in the olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci* **34,** 4654-

4664.

Salcedo E, Tran T, Ly X, Lopez R, Barbica C, Restrepo D & Vijayaraghavan S. (2011). Activity-

dependent changes in cholinergic innervation of the mouse olfactory bulb. *PLoS One* **6,**

e25441.

Saunders A, Granger AJ & Sabatini BL. (2015). Corelease of acetylcholine and GABA from

cholinergic forebrain neurons. *Elife* **4**.

Shao Z, Puche AC, Kiyokage E, Szabo G & Shipley MT. (2009). Two GABAergic intraglomerular

circuits differentially regulate tonic and phasic presynaptic inhibition of olfactory nerve

terminals. *J Neurophysiol* **101,** 1988-2001.

Smith RS, Hu R, DeSouza A, Eberly CL, Krahe K, Chan W & Araneda RC. (2015). Differential

Muscarinic Modulation in the Olfactory Bulb. *J Neurosci* **35,** 10773-10785.

Smith TC & Jahr CE. (2002). Self-inhibition of olfactory bulb neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **5,** 760-766.

Whitesell JD, Sorensen KA, Jarvie BC, Hentges ST & Schoppa NE. (2013). Interglomerular lateral

inhibition targeted on external tufted cells in the olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci* **33,** 1552-1563.

Whitman MC & Greer CA. (2007). Adult-generated neurons exhibit diverse developmental

fates. *Dev Neurobiol* **67,** 1079-1093.

Yang C, McKenna JT, Zant JC, Winston S, Basheer R & Brown RE. (2014). Cholinergic neurons

excite cortically projecting basal forebrain GABAergic neurons. *J Neurosci* **34,** 2832-2844.

Zaborszky L, Buhl DL, Pobalashingham S, Bjaalie JG & Nadasdy Z. (2005). Three-dimensional

chemoarchitecture of the basal forebrain: spatially specific association of cholinergic and

calcium binding protein-containing neurons. *Neuroscience* **136,** 697-713.

Zaborszky L, Carlsen J, Brashear HR & Heimer L. (1986). Cholinergic and GABAergic afferents to

the olfactory bulb in the rat with special emphasis on the projection neurons in the nucleus of

the horizontal limb of the diagonal band. *J Comp Neurol* **243,** 488-509.

Figure 1: **Conditional expression of ChR2 in various GABAergic neurons of the basal**

forebrain. A, Stereotaxic injection of a viral construct in the basal forebrain of dlx5/6-

Cre mice was used for conditional expression of ChR2-EYFP in GABAergic neurons of

the basal forebrain. Bottom left, sagittal section of a brain 4 weeks after the injection.

The site of injection (BF, boxed area 1) and the olfactory bulb (OB, boxed area 2) are

enlarged in the two other pictures. ONL: olfactory nerve layer, GL: glomerular layer,

EPL: external plexiform layer. **B,** EYFP expression in the basal forebrain 4 weeks after

injection of the same viral construct encoding cytosolic EYFP. Co-staining of EYFP

(green) together with ChAT, CB, PV or CR (red). Some neurons (arrowheads), but not

all, co-express the two markers. Scale bars 50 μm.

704
705

Figure 2. **Synaptic connections of basal forebrain axons with various inhibitory interneurons of the olfactory bulb. A,** Light-evoked consecutive ISPCs evoked in a deep SA cell recorded at Vh=-15 mV in the presence of AMPA and NMDA receptor blockers (10 µM NBQX + 50 µM D-AP5). The black trace is the average response. Light stimulation (1 ms) at blue arrow. **B,** Same in a granule cell recorded at Vh=0 mV. **C,** Light-evoked IPSCs elicited in a PG cell (Vh=0mV) in control condition, in the presence of NBQX and D-AP5 and after the addition of gabazine (5 µM, GBZ) to the cocktail. Several traces are superimposed for each condition. The graph shows the amplitude of consecutive responses in these different conditions. **D,** Average current-voltage relationship of the light-evoked IPSC in PG cells (n=12 cells, each plot is an average±sem). Inset, IPSCs from a representative PG cell recorded at different holding potentials. **E,** Decay time constants distribution of light-evoked IPSCs recorded in PG, dSA and granule cells. PG and granule cells were recorded at Vh=0mV, dSA cells at Vh comprised between -35 mV and -15 mV.

Figure 3: Type 1 PG cells are not contacted by basal forebrain projections but are

inhibited by other PG cells. Membrane properties (**A**), OSN-evoked synaptic responses

(**B**) and responses to basal forebrain axons photo stimulation (**C**) of a type 1 PG cell

recorded in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mouse. This cell responded to the stimulation of OSN

with an inward fast monosynaptic EPSC at Vh=-75 mV and with outward plurisynaptic

IPSCs at Vh=0 mV (**B**). In contrast, light stimulation of basal forebrain fibers did not

evoke any response (**C**). Several traces are superimposed in each case. **D,** Summary

plots for OSN-evoked synaptic response amplitudes of all type 1 PG cells tested at two

holding potentials. **E,** Classification of the recorded PG cells into type 1 and type 2 in

dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice. Top, early phase of OSN-evoked EPSCs in a type 1 PG cell (red)

and in a type 2 PG cell (black). The type 1 PG cell responded with a short latency EPSC,

736   starting <2ms after the beginning of the stimulation artifact and with little onset

737   variability between trials. In contrast, responses from the type 2 PG cell had a delayed

738   and more variable onset latency. The graph shows the onset latency vs. onset jitter of

739   OSN-evoked responses in PG cells classified as type 1 PG cells (red circles) and in PG

740   cells classified as type 2 PG cells (black circles) in this study.

Figure 4: CR-expressing type 2 PG cells receive fast basal forebrain GABAergic inputs.
Membrane properties (**A**) and OSN-evoked synaptic responses (**B**) of a typical CR-expressing type 2 PG cell recorded in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mouse. This cell typically responded to a suprathreshold depolarization with a single spikelet followed by a passive membrane depolarization (**A**) and had small OSN-evoked responses at Vh=-75 mV and at Vh=0 mV (**B**). **C**, summary plots for OSN-evoked synaptic responses recorded at Vh=-75 mV and at Vh=0 mV for cells classified as CR-expressing PG cells. **D**, cells classified as CR-expressing type 2 PG cells also had larger electrical membrane resistances compared to PG cells classified in the other groups (horizontal bars show the averages, p<0.001 for comparison with each of the 3 other subgroups, Wilcoxon test). **E,** light stimulation of basal forebrain fibers evoked large and fast GBZ-sensitive

IPSCs in CR-expressing PG cells. Traces are from the same cell as in A and B. The

average IPSC (black trace) is superimposed on several consecutive responses recorded

at Vh=0mV in ACSF. Bottom, distribution histogram of the decay time constants of

light-evoked IPSCs in cells classified as CR-expressing PG cells (black bars)

superimposed on the distribution histogram for all the recorded PG cells (white bars).

Figure 5: Type 2 PG cells with short OSN-evoked excitatory response do not interact

with other PG cells but receive inputs from the basal forebrain. Membrane properties

(**A**) and OSN-evoked synaptic responses (**B**) of a typical type 2 PG cell that responded

to the stimulation of the OSN with a short burst of EPSCs in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mouse.

The short (<100 ms) OSN-evoked excitatory response was the main criterion for

classifying PG cells in this subclass. The duration of the response was quantified using

an exponential fit (red trace, decay time constant 67 ms) of the OSN-evoked PSTH

(bottom). **C**, Summary plots (right) for OSN-evoked synaptic responses recorded at

Vh=-75 mV and at Vh=0 mV indicate that cells in this group also had no OSN-evoked

IPSC. **D**, IPSCs evoked by a light stimulation of the basal forebrain fibers. The average

IPSC (black trace) is superimposed on several consecutive responses recorded at

Vh=0mV in the presence of NBQX and D-AP5. GBZ abolished the response. Traces are

from the same cell as in A and B. Bottom, distribution histogram of the decay time

constants of light-evoked IPSCs in PG cells classified in this subclass (black bars)

superimposed on the distribution histogram for all the recorded PG cells (white bars).

F, Paired recording of two PG cells projecting in the same glomerulus in the Kv3.1-EYFP

transgenic mouse. The "test" EYFP(+) PG cell was recorded in the whole-cell

configuration at Vh=0 mV to monitor IPSCs and at Vh=-75 mV to monitor EPSCs. The

"control" PG cell was recorded in the loose cell-attached configuration to monitor its

firing. OSNs were stimulated at an intensity inducing the firing of the control PG cell.

The summary graph on the right shows that OSN stimulations did not evoke any

outward IPSC in the test cell even when the stimulation was increased by a factor of

10.

Figure 6: **Type 2 PG cells with long-lasting OSN-evoked excitatory response receive**

slow GABAergic inputs from the basal forebrain. Membrane properties (**A**) and OSN-

evoked synaptic responses of a typical type 2 PG cell that responded to the stimulation

of the OSN with a long-lasting burst of EPSCs in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mouse. **A**, Like all

the cells included in this group, this PG cell responded to a step depolarization with a

regular firing. **B**, OSN-evoked responses recorded at two holding potentials. The PSTH

of the excitatory response (bottom) was fitted with an exponential (red trace) with a

decay time constant of 396 ms. **C**, Summary plots for OSN-evoked synaptic responses

recorded at Vh=-75 mV and at Vh=0 mV for cells included in this group. **D**, IPSCs

evoked by a light stimulation of the basal forebrain fibers. The average IPSC (black

trace) is superimposed on several consecutive responses recorded at Vh=0mV in the

presence of NBQX and D-AP5. GBZ abolished the response. Same cell as in A and B.

Bottom, distribution histogram of the decay time constants of light-evoked IPSCs in PG

cells classified in this subclass (black bars) superimposed on the distribution histogram

for all the recorded PG cells (white bars). Cells included in this group had slow IPSCs.

805
806

Figure 7 : Basal forebrain GABAergic inputs have different presynaptic properties

depending on the postsynaptic PG cell subtype. A-C, Top row, light-evoked IPSCs in

three PG cells representative of the three subclasses of type 2 PG cells recorded in

dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice (**A**: CR-expressing PG cells; **B**: PG cells with short OSN-evoked

excitatory responses and **C**: regularly firing PG cells with long-lasting OSN-evoked

responses). Each cell was stimulated with 5 flashes of light at 20 Hz. 10-12 consecutive

responses are superimposed for each cell, the black trace is the average response.

Middle row, amplitudes of the nth light-evoked IPSC relative to the normalized

amplitude of the first IPSC recorded in PG cells classified in the 3 subgroups of the

corresponding column. Lines connect plots from the same cells. **Bottom row**, failure

rate for each of the five consecutive stimuli and for each cell over multiple trials.

Failures were never observed in the third group.