Basal forebrain GABAergic innervation of olfactory bulb periglomerular interneurons

Running title: inhibitory inputs of olfactory bulb interneurons

Alvaro Sanz Diez[1], Marion Najac[2] and Didier De Saint Jan[1]

[1]Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Propre de Recherche 3212, Université de Strasbourg, 67084 Strasbourg, France, 2Department of Neurobiology, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208.

Corresponding authors:
desaintjan@inci-cnrs.unistra.fr
Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, CNRS UPR 3212, 5 rue Blaise Pascal, 67084 Strasbourg, France

Authors contributions: ASD and DDSJ did the experiments, MN contributed essential preliminary data, DDSJ wrote the paper. All authors edited the manuscript.

Abstract: 219 words; introduction: 591 words; discussion: 1614 words

Key points summary

- Basal forebrain long-range projections to the olfactory bulb are important for olfactory sensitivity and odor discrimination.
- Using optogenetics, we confirm that basal forebrain afferents mediate IPSCs on granule and deep short axon cells. We also show that they selectively innervate specific subtypes of periglomerular (PG) cells.
- Three different subtypes of type 2 PG cells receive GABAergic IPSCs from the basal forebrain but not from other PG cells.
- Type 1 PG cells, in contrast, do not receive inputs from the basal forebrain but do receive inhibition from other PG cells.
- These results bring new light on the complexity and specificity of glomerular inhibitory circuits, as well as on their modulation by the basal forebrain.

Abstract

Olfactory bulb circuits are dominated by multiple inhibitory pathways that finely tune the activity of mitral and tufted cells, the principal neurons, and regulate odor discrimination. Granule cells mediate interglomerular lateral inhibition between mitral and tufted cells lateral dendrites whereas diverse subtypes of periglomerular (PG) cells mediate intraglomerular lateral inhibition between their apical dendrites. Deep short axon cells form broad intrabulbar inhibitory circuits that regulate both populations of interneurons. Little is known about the extrabulbar GABAergic circuits that control the activity of these various interneurons. We examined this question using patch-clamp recordings and optogenetics in olfactory bulb slices from transgenic mice. We show that axonal projections emanating from diverse basal forebrain GABAergic neurons densely project in all layers of the olfactory bulb. These long-range GABAergic projections provide a prominent synaptic input on granule and short axon cells in deep layers as well as on selective subtypes of PG cells. Specifically, three different subclasses of type 2 PG cells receive robust and target-specific basal forebrain inputs but have little local interactions with other PG cells. In contrast, type 1 PG cells are not innervated by basal forebrain fibers but do interact with other PG cells. Thus, attention-regulated basal forebrain inputs regulate inhibition in all layers of the olfactory bulb with a previously overlooked synaptic complexity that further defines interneuron subclasses.

Introduction

Olfactory bulb circuits transform the spatially organized sensory input carried by olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) into a temporal code in mitral and tufted cells, the principal neurons that relay the information to cortical areas. In the olfactory bulb, inhibitory interneurons vastly outnumber mitral and tufted cells and form several inhibitory circuits that shape the output of principal neurons. In addition, thousands of newborn inhibitory neurons are integrated every postnatal day in the pre-existing olfactory bulb network. Inhibition thus plays fundamental roles in early olfactory processing, as demonstrated by multiple evidence *in vivo* (Abraham *et al.*, 2010;

Lepousez & Lledo, 2013; Fukunaga *et al.*, 2014; Gschwend *et al.*, 2015; Economo *et al.*,
2016).

Olfactory bulb interneurons are diverse but three large classes dominate: granule
cells, periglomerular (PG) cells and short-axon (SA) cells. Granule cells, the most
abundant type, form reciprocal dendrodendritic synapses with the lateral dendrites of
mitral and tufted cells in the external plexiform layer. PG interneurons, in contrast,
interact with the apical dendrites of mitral and tufted cells within the glomeruli. They
constitute >80% of the juxtaglomerular GABAergic cells, usually project within a single
glomerulus, often lack an axon and are functionally and chemically highly diverse
(McQuiston & Katz, 2001; Kosaka & Kosaka, 2007; Panzanelli *et al.*, 2007; Parrish-
Aungst *et al.*, 2007; Whitman & Greer, 2007; Kosaka & Kosaka, 2011; Najac *et al.*,
2015; Benito *et al.*, 2018). They have been classified in two broad functional classes
depending on their excitatory inputs. The majority are so-called type 2 PG cells and
receive excitatory inputs exclusively from the dendrites of mitral and tufted cells
whereas type 1 PG cells receive direct excitatory inputs from OSNs (Kosaka & Kosaka,
2007; Shao *et al.*, 2009). This simple synaptic classification, however, does not fully
reflect the functional heterogeneity of PG cells (McQuiston & Katz, 2001; Najac *et al.*,
2015; Benito *et al.*, 2018). Finally, SA cells with soma located in the glomerular layer
(superficial SA cells) or in the granule cell layer (deep or dSA cells) form broad
intrabulbar connections. Diverse subtypes of deep SA cells mediate a widespread
interneuron-specific GABAergic inhibition (Eyre *et al.*, 2008; Boyd *et al.*, 2012; Burton
et al., 2017) whereas superficial SA cells are tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-expressing
mixed dopaminergic/GABAergic neurons that regulate glutamate release from OSNs
and monosynaptically inhibit external tufted cells (Liu *et al.*, 2013; Whitesell *et al.*,
2013).

Granule, PG and SA cells receive GABAergic synaptic inputs but only a few studies
have investigated their origin. These inputs could arise from local intrabulbar
interactions or from extrabulbar afferences. The olfactory bulb receives centrifugal
GABAergic projections from the basal forebrain, particularly from the horizontal limb
of the Diagonal Band of Broca (HDB) and from the magnocellular preoptic nucleus
(MCPO) (Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986; Gracia-Llanes *et al.*, 2010; Niedworok *et al.*, 2012).
These long-range projections make functional connections with granule (Nunez-Parra

et al., 2013) and dSA cells (Case *et al.*, 2017) and their pharmacological inactivation

impairs olfactory sensitivity (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013). Little is known, however, about

their connections in the glomerular layer. We here examined the targets of centrifugal

basal forebrain axons in olfactory bulb interneurons. We used patch-clamp recording

and light activation of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) targeted to basal forebrain

GABAergic neurons in acute slices from dlx5/6-Cre transgenic mice. We specifically

focused on PG cells and examined whether GABAergic inputs differ in distinct

subclasses of PG cells. The results indicate that long-range basal forebrain GABAergic

projections broadly regulate olfactory bulb circuits and innervate specific PG cell

subtypes with a previously unexpected level of synaptic complexity.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experimental procedures were approved by the French Ministry and the

local ethic committee for animal experimentation (C.R.E.M.E.A.S). We used dlx5/6-Cre

female mice that express the Cre recombinase under the control of the regulatory

sequences of the dlx5 and dlx6 genes (Monory *et al.*, 2006), and Kv3.1-EYFP mice of

either sex that express the enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP) under the

control of the Kv3.1 K^+ channel promoter (Metzger *et al.*, 2002).

Slice preparation. 3 weeks to 3 month-old mice were killed by decapitation and the

olfactory bulbs rapidly removed in ice-cold oxygenated (95% O2-5% CO2) solution

containing (in mM): 83 NaCl, 26.2 NaHCO3, 1 NaH2PO4, 2.5 KCl, 3.3 MgSO4, 0.5 CaCl2,

70 sucrose and 22 D-glucose (pH 7.3, osmolarity 300 mOsm/l). Horizontal olfactory

bulb slices (300 µm) were cut using a Microm HM 650V vibratome (Microm, Germany)

in the same solution; incubated for 30-40 minutes at 34°C; stored at room

temperature in a regular artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) until use. ACSF contained

(in mM): 125 NaCl, 25 NaHCO3, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 1 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2 and 25 D-

glucose and was continuously bubbled with 95% O2-5% CO2.

Electrophysiological recordings. Experiments were conducted at 32-34°C under an

upright microscope (SliceScope, Scientifica, Uckfield, UK) with differential interference

contrast (DIC) optics. Whole-cell recordings were made with glass pipettes (3-6 MΩ)

filled with a K-gluconate-based internal solution containing (in mM): 135 K-gluconate,

2 $MgCl_2$, 0.025 $CaCl_2$, 1 EGTA, 4 Na-ATP, 0.5 Na-GTP, 10 Hepes (pH 7.3, 280 mOsm).

Atto 594 (10 µM, Sigma) was added to the internal solution in order to visualize the

cell morphology during the recording. Loose cell-attached recordings (15-100 MΩ seal

resistance) were made with pipettes filled with ACSF. Olfactory nerves (ON) projecting

inside a given glomerulus were electrically stimulated using a theta pipette filled with

ACSF as previously described (Najac *et al.*, 2015). The electrical stimulus (100 µs) was

delivered using a Digitimer DS3 (Digitimer, Welwyn Garden City, UK). Optical

stimulation was done through a blue (490 nm) CoolLED pE 100 (CoolLED Ltd., Andover,

UK) directed through the 40X objective of the microscope.

Cell selection. Visual online inspection of dye-filled neurons combined with functional

properties were used to select the cells included in our analysis. Morphology was

assessed during recording upon visual inspection of dye-filled cells. PG cells were

selected based on the small size (5-7 µm) of their cell body, their position close to the

edge of the glomerulus and their small dendritic tree that ramified in a single

glomerulus. Superficial SA cells, with larger cell bodies and processes that broadly

ramified between or within several glomeruli were excluded from the analysis. PG cell

morphology was not reconstructed post-hoc because pipette withdraw after recording

inevitably damaged these cells. Deep SA cells were most often found within the IPL,

sometimes within the granule cell layer and selected based upon their cell body that

was larger (>10 µm) than granule cells' soma. Moreover, many had a spontaneous

high-frequency firing in the cell-attached mode (Eyre *et al.*, 2008). Cell morphology

was reconstructed in a subset of dSA and granule cells. To do so, neurobiotin (Vector

Laboratories INC., Burlingame, CA) was added to the intracellular solution (1mg/ml).

The patch pipette was slowly withdrawn after the recording to avoid damaging the cell

body. The slice was then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, washed 3 times with

PBS and incubated in a permeabilizing solution containing Cy-5 conjugated streptavidin

(1 µg/ml; Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA) overnight. After 3 rinses with PBS,

sections were mounted. Labeled cells were imaged with a confocal microscope (Leica

TCS SP5, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Recordings were acquired with a multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices,

Sunnyvale, CA), low-passed filtered at 2-4 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz using the

AxoGraph X software (Axograph Scientific). In current-clamp recordings, a constant

hyperpolarizing current was injected in order to maintain the cell at a potential of -60/-
70 mV. In voltage-clamp recordings, access resistance (Ra<30 MΩ for PG, granule and
deep SA cells) was not compensated. Voltages indicated in the paper were corrected
for the junction potential (-15 mV).

Drugs. 6-nitro-7-sulfamoylbenzo[f]quinoxaline-2,3-dione (NBQX), D-2-Amino-5-
phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5), 2-(3-carboxypropyl)-3-amino-6-(4
methoxyphenyl)pyridazinium bromide (GBZ), mecamylamine hydrochloride (MECA)
and atropine were purchased from Abcam Biochemicals.

Stereotaxic viral injections. 3-6 weeks old dlx5/6-cre female mice were anesthetized
with intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (20%), acepromazine (6%) and
medetomidine (11.8%) mix (100µl/10g) and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus. Mice
were craneotomized and a volume of 200-500 nl of
AAV9.EF1a.DIO.hChR2(H134R).eYFP.WPRE.hGH or AAV9.EF1a.DIO.eYFP.WPRE.hGH
(University of Pennsylvania Viral Vector Core) was stereotaxically injected with a
Pneumatic Picopump PV 820, at 0.2 mm AP, -1.6 mm ML and -5.6 mm DV from
bregma. After surgery, mice were injected with Metacam 100 (meloxicam) (5%)
(100µl/10g), Antisedan (atipamezol) (2.5%) (100µl/10g), rehydrated with 1 ml of NaCl
0.9% and placed under a heating lamp. Mice recovered during 3-4 weeks after
injection before anatomical or physiological experiments.

Analysis. EPSCs, IPSCs or photocurrent amplitudes were measured as the peak of an
average response computed from multiple sweeps. The decay of light-evoked IPSCs
was most often best fitted with a double exponential with time t=0 at the peak of the
current. Time constant values indicated in the text are weighted decay time constants
calculated using the following equation: $\tau_w = (\tau_1 A_1 + \tau_2 A_2)/(A_1 + A_2)$ where τ_1 and τ_2 are
the fast and slow decay time constants and A_1 and A_2 are the equivalent amplitude
weighting factors. The onset of EPSCs and IPSCs was measured at 5% of the first peak
of the response. The latency of an ON-evoked EPSC was defined as the time interval
between the beginning of the stimulation artifact and the onset of the EPSC. The
latency of a light-evoked IPSC was defined as the time interval between the onset of
light and the onset of IPSC. The jitter of evoked EPSCs and IPSCs was defined as the
standard deviation (SD) of their latencies. To estimate the duration of an ON-evoked
plurisynaptic excitatory response, individual EPSCs with amplitude >5 pA were

automatically detected by the Axograph X software using a sliding template function.

PSTH (peri stimulus time histograms) representing the cumulative number of EPSCs per

20 ms bin across several consecutive sweeps were constructed. We then determined

the time needed after stimulation for the EPSC frequency to come back to baseline

frequency + 2 SD during at least five consecutive bins. Baseline frequency was

calculated over the 25 bins (i.e. 500 ms) preceding the stimulation.

Statistics. Data are expressed as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated in the text. We

used the Student's *t*-test to assess the statistical difference between paired sets of

data with normal distribution, the non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank sum

test to assess the statistical difference between unpaired sets of data, the Kruskal-

Wallis test for data sets with more than two variables and p-values are reported.

Immunohistochemistry. Adult mice (1-3 months) were deeply anesthetized with

intraperitoneal injection of xylazine (6.3%) and ketamine (25%) and intracardially

perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brains were removed and kept in 4% PFA

overnight. Slices were cut (50 μm thick) with a vibratome (Leica VT 1000S). Blocking

steps were performed using a PBS solution containing 2% BSA or 5% goat serum and

0.3% Triton X-100 during 2h. Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary

antibodies: goat anti-choline acetyltransferase (ChAT; 1:500; Millipore Cat# AB144P,

RRID:AB_2079751), mouse anti-parvalbumin (PV; 1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich Cat# P3088,

RRID:AB_477329), rabbit anti-calretinin (CR; 1:1000; Swant Cat# 7697,

RRID:AB_2721226) or mouse anti-calbindin D28K (CB; 1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich Cat#

C9848, RRID:AB_476894). After three washes in PBS, sections were incubated 2 hours

at room temperature with the corresponding secondary antibodies —Alexa fluor 633

conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:500; ThermoFisher A-21070) and Alexa fluor 633

conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:500; ThermoFisher A-21050) or Alexa fluor 647

conjugated donkey anti-goat (1:200; ThermoFisher A-21447). After three washes,

sections were mounted in Prolong Diamond Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher).

Images were taken using a Leica TCS SP5 II confocal microscope or an Axio Imager M2

for mosaic images. Immunostained and EYFP-expressing cells were manually counted

using Cell counter plug-in on Fiji software.

Results

Selective expression of ChR2 in GABAergic neurons of the basal forebrain

We used an optogenetic approach to map the functional synaptic connections of basal forebrain GABAergic neurons onto olfactory bulb interneurons. We injected an adeno-associated virus encoding a Cre-inducible ChR2-EYFP fusion protein in the basal forebrain of dlx5/6-Cre mice, a transgenic line that expresses the Cre recombinase exclusively in GABAergic neurons in the forebrain (Monory *et al.*, 2006)(Figure 1A). These injections produced a dense EYFP labeling of fibers in the olfactory bulb. Labeled fibers were particularly abundant in the granule cell layer and in the glomerular layer of the bulb, similarly to a previous study using a Cre-dependent viral approach in the GAD65-Cre mouse (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013). In the basal forebrain, expression of ChR2-EYFP was intense around the injection site but was limited to the membrane, making cell bodies difficult to distinguish. Hence, we injected the same virus expressing cytosolic EYFP to verify the specificity of the recombination in GABAergic neurons (Figure 1B, n=5 mice). EYFP was expressed in cell bodies of various sizes around the injection site. Some of these cells also expressed the calcium-binding proteins calretinin (CR, n=122 cells counted), calbindin (CB, n=54) or parvalbumin (PV, n=25), three classical markers of GABAergic neurons that label non-overlapping neural populations in the basal forebrain (Zaborszky *et al.*, 2005). EYFP also colocalized with choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), the enzyme for acetylcholine synthesis, in about 1/3 of the ChAT(+) neurons counted (n=63/190 cells), consistent with the idea that cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain are also GABAergic (Saunders *et al.*, 2015).

Synaptic connections of basal forebrain axons in the olfactory bulb

We then tested the synaptic connections made by ChR2-expressing basal forebrain axons in the olfactory bulb using whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings in olfactory bulb slices. Consistent with previous reports (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013; Case *et al.*, 2017), activating ChR2-expressing basal forebrain axons with brief (1-10 ms) flashes of blue light evoked outward inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) in dSA cells (n=11/11, range 30-681 pA, mean 368±166 pA at Vh between -15 and -35 mV,

Figure 2A) and in granule cells (n=10/12, range 31-464 pA, mean 148±124 pA at Vh=0

mV, Figure 2B). Light-evoked IPSCs had fast onset latencies (dSA: 1.6±0.35 ms, granule:

2.2±0.78 ms) and little jitter (dSA: 125±160 µs, granule: 274±170 µs), consistent with

monosynaptic transmission. In 3 of the 11 dSA cells tested, the response had two

components that reversed at different potentials. At Vh=-70 mV, the response was

biphasic with an outward component that was blocked by the $GABA_A$ receptor

antagonist gabazine (GBZ, 5 µM), and an inward component that persisted in the

presence of GBZ but was inhibited by the nicotinic receptor antagonist mecamylamine

(MECA, 20 µM)(not shown). These dual responses are similar to those mediated by

basal forebrain neurons that release both GABA and acetylcholine onto a specific

subtype of dSA cells (Case et al. 2017) and were therefore not further studied.

Most PG cells tested also responded to the photostimulation with an IPSC

(n=73/94, range 6-974 pA, mean 231±218 pA at Vh=0 mV)(Figure 2C). In the majority

of cells, light-evoked IPSCs had a short (<2 ms) onset latency that varied little across

sweeps (jitter <200 µs), consistent with monosynaptic inputs (Figure 2E). However, in

some cells, as in the case illustrated in Figure 2C, evoked IPSCs had longer and more

variable onset latencies, displayed multiple peaks and had deflections during the rising

phase. These complex responses resulting from summating asynchronous IPSCs could

reflect spike asynchrony in presynaptic axons of different lengths. They could also arise

from a multisynaptic pathway wherein light activation of the basal forebrain

cholinergic afferents directly activate juxtaglomerular GABAergic neurons innervating

PG cells or, indirectly, via the activation of mitral and tufted cells (Liu *et al.*, 2015). To

test this possibility, we blocked the glutamatergic transmission with the AMPA and

NMDA receptor antagonists NBQX and D-AP5, respectively (n=14 PG cells), the

cholinergic transmission with the nicotinic and muscarinic ACh receptor antagonists

mecamylamine and atropine, respectively (n=6), or both pathways with a cocktail of

these four drugs (n=4). These blockers had no effect on light-evoked IPSCs, even on

complex ones (Figure 2C). Hence, our results are consistent with direct monosynaptic

inputs of basal forebrain axons onto PG cells and confirm previous morphological

evidence (Gracia-Llanes *et al.*, 2010).

Evoked IPSCs recorded in ACSF or in the presence of acetylcholine and glutamate

receptor blockers were then pooled for analysis. They were totally abolished by GBZ

(n=11 PG cells, Figure 2C) and reversed at the expected equilibrium potential for

chloride (ECl=-88 mV in our recording conditions, n=12 PG cells, Figure 2D). Light-

evoked IPSCs had a uniformly fast time course in dSA cells (mean decay time constant

11.4±5.7 ms) and in granule cells (29±6.3 ms). In contrast, the duration of light-evoked

IPSCs was highly variable in PG cells (decay time constant range 6-254 ms, mean

48.7±57.0 ms)(Figure 2F). However, light-responsive PG cells had diverse firing and

synaptic properties, suggesting that the variable time course of their basal forebrain

input may reflect PG cell diversity. To further validate this possibility, we classified the

recorded PG cells in distinct subtypes based on their membrane properties, ON-evoked

synaptic responses and light-evoked GABAergic synaptic inputs.

Type 1 PG cells are not innervated by basal forebrain afferents

Type 1 PG cells constituted ~10% of the PG cells recorded in dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice

(n=9 cells). These neurons fired multiple action potentials in response to a membrane

supra-threshold step depolarization. Their discharge was regular (n=2), irregular (as in

Figure 3A, n=3) or a burst of spikes (n=3). They responded to the electrical stimulation

of OSN axons with a fast onset monosynaptic EPSC (mean latency 1.59±0.25 ms)(Figure

3B and 3E). The onset of this EPSC varied little across trials even at low intensities of

stimulation (jitter 90±40 µs) whereas the response of type 2 PG cells had a longer

onset latency (>2 ms) that increased and became more variable with stimulations of

lower intensity (Figure 3E), as previously shown (Shao *et al.*, 2009; Najac *et al.*, 2015).

ON stimulation also induced IPSCs in 8/9 type 1 PG cells voltage-clamped at Vh=0 mV,

i.e. around the reversal potential for excitation (Figure 3B, 3D). This inhibitory response

was composed of multiple asynchronous IPSCs, consistent with a plurisynaptic input

generated within the glomerular network by other PG cells activated by the

stimulation. However, none of the 9 type 1 PG cells tested responded to the photo

stimulation of the basal forebrain fibers (Figure 3C). Thus, type 1 PG cells receive

inhibitory inputs from neighboring PG cells but not from the basal forebrain.

Different classes of type 2 PG cells receive basal forebrain inputs with target-specific

properties

Type 2 PG cells were largely predominant in our recordings in dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice

(n=68) and all PG cells responding to the flash of blue light with an IPSC were type 2 PG

cells. We classified these type 2 PG cells in three subclasses, based on their membrane

properties, ON-evoked synaptic responses and light-evoked basal forebrain inputs, as

followed:

1. CR-expressing type 2 PG cells

The most numerous cell type (n=29) showed the distinctive immature-like membrane

and synaptic properties of CR-expressing PG cells (Fogli Iseppe *et al.*, 2016; Benito *et*

al., 2018), consistent with the predominance of this subtype within the entire

population. These cells fired at most a single action potential or a spikelet and were

passively depolarized by a suprathreshold current step (Figure 4A). In addition, these

PG cells received few spontaneous EPSCs (not shown), had small ON-evoked excitatory

inputs (Figure 4B, 4C) and, usually, a large electrical membrane resistance (Figure 4D).

ON stimulations evoked small IPSCs or no IPSC at all in these cells clamped at Vh=0mV

(Figure 4B, 4C) as we previously reported in genetically-identified CR-expressing PG

cells (Benito *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, photo stimulation of basal forebrain fibers

evoked a GBZ-sensitive IPSC in 27/29 cells (Figure 4E). These light-evoked IPSCs were

often large (average amplitude 294±196 pA, range 6-741 pA) and always fast (average

decay time constant 11.9±3.6 ms, range 6-21 ms)(Figure 4E). Thus, a fast basal

forebrain-mediated GABAergic input is another attribute of CR-expressing PG cells.

2. CB-like type 2 PG cells with short ON-evoked excitatory responses

The second group of type 2 PG cells (n=17) included cells that responded to ON

stimulations with a short burst of asynchronous EPSCs that lasted only few tens of ms

(average duration 41±27 ms)(Figure 5B). We have previously shown that this kind of

response is observed in a heterogeneous subclass of PG cells that includes CB-

expressing PG cells (Najac *et al.*, 2015). Cells classified in this group were thus called

CB-like PG cells. Their ON-evoked response is surprisingly much shorter than the firing

of the presynaptic mitral and tufted cells, independent of the stimulation strength.

However, the mechanism that limits glutamate release on these PG cells is still

unknown. In the present study, the majority (n=9) responded to a depolarizing current

step with a single spike preceding a plateau potential. However, some cells had a

regular firing (n=3) and others responded with action potentials riding on a calcium

spike (n=3). Photo stimulation of basal forebrain fibers evoked an IPSC in 12 of these

17 CB-like cells (Figure 5D). Light-evoked responses had a mean amplitude of 129±96

pA (range 24-324 pA) and a decay time constant ranging from 6.7 to 53.8 ms (mean

26±14 ms)(Figure 5D), significantly slower than in CR-like PG cells (p=0.0060, Wilcoxon

test). In contrast, ON stimulation did not evoke IPSCs (Figure 5B, 5C), suggesting that

these cells are not connected to other PG cells.

To confirm the absence of connections with other PG cells, we used the Kv3.1-EYFP

reporter mouse. A population of type 2 PG cells with short ON-evoked responses is

labeled in this mouse, including CB-expressing cells (Najac *et al.*, 2015). In these

experiments, we recorded the whole-cell voltage-clamp response of EYFP(+) PG cells

while simultaneously monitoring in loose cell-attached the discharge of a control PG

cell projecting into the same glomerulus (either EYFP(+), n=4, or EYFP(-), n=5, Figure

5F). ON stimulations that efficiently drove the firing of the control PG cell induced a

robust excitatory response in EYFP(+) PG cells clamped at Vh=-75 mV. This stimulation,

however, did not evoke any IPSC when cells were clamped at Vh=0mV (n=9). In a

subset of experiments, increasing the stimulation intensity by a factor of 10 also failed

to evoke IPSCs (n=6). In contrast, an electrical stimulation in the glomerular layer at

>200 μm away from the recorded PG cell evoked a reliable GBZ-sensitive IPSC in

EYFP(+) PG cells (n=16, average amplitude 87±48 pA at Vh=0 mV; decay time constant:

30±13 ms, range 7.7-53.6 ms) that persisted in the presence of NBQX and D-AP5 (not

shown). Our results suggest that this IPSC is mediated by basal forebrain axons

ramifying in the glomerular layer, although the possibility that dSA cells also innervate

these PG cells cannot be excluded. Thus, CB-like type 2 PG cells responding to the

stimulation of the olfactory nerve with a short excitatory response do not interact

locally with other PG cells but do receive a prominent GABAergic input from the basal

forebrain.

3. Type 2 PG cells with long-lasting ON-evoked excitatory responses

The third group of type 2 PG cells (n=18) does not correspond to any known

genetically-identified PG cell subtype described so far. It is composed of cells that all

responded to the injection of a depolarizing step with a regular firing (Figure 6A). On
average, these cells fired up to 27±6 action potentials during a 500 ms-long
suprathreshold step, a high frequency that distinguishes them from small TH(+)
juxtaglomerular cells that fire at a lower rate (Galliano *et al.*, 2018). In addition, they
responded to the ON stimulation with a long-lasting barrage of EPSCs, often lasting
several hundreds of milliseconds (average 1050±610 ms)(Figure 6B). These long
responses also contrast with the short burst of EPSCs evoked in TH(+) juxtaglomerular
cells (Kiyokage *et al.*, 2010) but match well with the prolonged ON-evoked firing in
mitral and tufted cells (Najac *et al.*, 2015; Geramita & Urban, 2017). Moreover, 17/18
cells in this group responded to the photo stimulation of the basal forebrain axons
with a prolonged IPSC (IPSC amplitude 193±247 pA, range 11-850 pA; average decay
time constant 116±63 ms, range 40-254 ms, p<0.0001 for comparison with both CR-
like and CB-like PG cells, Wilcoxon test)(Figure 6D). These basal forebrain-mediated
IPSCs were much larger than the ON-evoked IPSCs that were eventually observed in
6/11 PG cells (Figure 6C). Thus, long-lasting basal forebrain-mediated IPSCs are found
in a specific and homogeneous subclass of regularly firing PG cells that responds to an
ON input with a remarkably prolonged barrage of EPSCs.

Finally, 21 of the recorded PG cells, that either had an incomplete characterization
(n=17) or functional properties that did not fit in any of the 4 previously defined
subgroups (n=4), were not classified. 17 of these cells responded to the photo
stimulation with an IPSC.

Diversity of basal forebrain afference

Our data so far indicate that the time course of the basal forebrain synaptic inputs
depends on the PG cell subtype they target. To start gaining insight into whether these
distinct postsynaptic PG neurons are contacted by different presynaptic fibers, we
compared the short-term plasticity at these synapses. We applied a train of 5 blue light
pulses at 20 Hz. This photo stimulation evoked IPSCs that depressed at different
degrees in the three subclasses of type 2 PG cells as quantified by the paired-pulse
ratio of the second IPSC amplitude relative to the first (Kruskal-Wallis test, H=11.19,
p=0.0037)(Figure 7). In particular, the paired-pulse depression in CR-like PG cells
(0.73±0.13, n=11) was less pronounced than in CB-like PG cells (0.46±0.16, n=7,

p=0.0012, Wilcoxon test) and than in PG cells with long-lasting ON-evoked responses

(0.56±0.16, n=8, p=0.020, Wilcoxon test). The paired-pulse ratio was not different in

these two last groups (p=0.28, Wilcoxon test) but failures of transmission were

frequent in CB-like PG cells (seen in 5/7 cells, Figure 7B) whereas they were never

observed in PG cells with long-lasting ON-evoked responses. Together, these data

provide evidence that basal forebrain inputs may be mediated by specific afferent

fibers on each subclass of olfactory bulb PG cells.

Discussion

Most efforts in understanding the function of inhibition in the olfactory bulb have

focused on the local activation mechanisms of the diverse interneuron populations and

on the impact of their activity in shaping the olfactory bulb output. In comparison,

GABAergic circuits that control the activity of olfactory bulb interneurons have

received little attention. We show here that long-range GABAergic projections from

the basal forebrain make prominent and specific connections on most classes of

interneurons in the olfactory bulb with a previously unsuspected level of synaptic

specificity. These data provide additional criteria for PG cells classification and

emphasize that each PG cell subtype belongs to a specific synaptic circuit, an important

step towards understanding the functional implications of their diversity. They also

reinforce the idea that the basal forebrain is a major modulator of olfactory bulb

circuits whose influence is still partially understood.

Basal forebrain projections to the olfactory bulb

The basal forebrain contains several nuclei without clear delineations that

innervate the cerebral cortex, limbic areas, hippocampus, thalamic structures and the

olfactory bulb with cholinergic and GABAergic projections. Centrifugal projections to

the olfactory bulb originate principally from the HDB and MCPO. Cholinergic axons are

found essentially in the glomerular layer and in the internal plexiform layer of the bulb

and, moderately, in the granule cell layer (Macrides *et al.*, 1981; Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986;

Salcedo *et al.*, 2011; Case *et al.*, 2017; Hamamoto *et al.*, 2017). GABAergic projections

are even more massive and innervate all layers of the bulb (Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986;

Gracia-Llanes *et al.*, 2010; Niedworok *et al.*, 2012; Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013).

Cholinergic and GABAergic neurons are not clearly segregated in the basal forebrain
(Zaborszky *et al.*, 1986), interact between each other (Yang *et al.*, 2014) and nearly all
cholinergic neurons express the molecular machinery to co-transmit GABA (Saunders
et al., 2015). These two centrifugal pathways are thus intimately coupled and attempts
to evaluate their influence independently have to be interpreted with caution.
Nevertheless, several reports demonstrate that ACh modulates diverse bulbar neurons
at different timescales and via multiple pre- and postsynaptic muscarinic and nicotinic
receptors (Castillo *et al.*, 1999; Ghatpande & Gelperin, 2009; Devore *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et*
al., 2015; Smith *et al.*, 2015). Optogenetic manipulation of basal forebrain cholinergic
neurons modulates odor detection, discrimination and olfactory learning (Ma & Luo,
2012; Rothermel *et al.*, 2014). Our results indicate that basal forebrain GABAergic
neurons also have multiple targets in the bulb. Not surprisingly, pharmacological
inactivation of HDB/MCPO GABAergic neurons using a DREADD/CNO paradigm impairs
olfactory sensitivity and odor discrimination (Nunez-Parra *et al.*, 2013). The basal
forebrain therefore stands as a major modulator of olfactory bulb circuits but
understanding its global impact on olfactory bulb processing may first require a careful
investigation of its action on each targeted neuronal population.

GABAergic synaptic connections discriminate different classes of PG cells

We closely examined the GABAergic inputs of olfactory bulb PG cells. The results
demonstrate that GABAergic connections are an additional criterion that helps
classifying diverse PG cell subtypes. First, type 1 and type 2 PG cells can be
distinguished on the basis of their inhibitory input. Basal forebrain fibers contact
different subtypes of type 2 PG cells but not type 1 PG cells. Moreover, type 2 PG cells
have little synaptic interactions with other PG cells whereas type 1 PG cells do.
Previous studies revealed that GABAergic lateral interactions between PG cells
projecting within the same glomerulus are mediated, at least in part, by GABA spillover
(Smith & Jahr, 2002; Murphy *et al.*, 2005). Our results further suggest that more
conventional PG-PG cells synaptic interactions may be restricted to type 1 PG cells.

Second, we show that basal forebrain axons produce IPSCs with distinct time
courses and short term plasticities in three subgroups of type 2 PG cells that also differ
on the basis of their ON-evoked excitatory response and firing properties. IPSCs with

diverse durations likely reflect different subunit compositions of postsynaptic GABA$_A$

receptors. For instance, a subpopulation of PG cells that does not overlap with CB-, CR-

and TH-expressing cells selectively expresses the alpha5 subunit of the GABA$_A$ receptor

(Panzanelli *et al.*, 2007). Expression of this subunit correlates in some neurons with

slow IPSCs (Pangratz-Fuehrer *et al.*, 2016) suggesting that the alpha5 subunit may be a

molecular marker of the type 2 PG cells with regular firing and a long-lasting ON-

evoked excitatory response.

Postsynaptic GABA$_A$ receptors with different kinetics contribute in shaping short

term depression. However, our analysis of paired pulse ratio and failure rate suggest

that the different degrees at which basal forebrain IPSCs depressed in PG cells also

reflect presynaptic diversity. Thus, distinct subsets of chemically-defined basal

forebrain GABAergic neurons may control specific PG cell subclasses. Consistent with

this high level of centrifugal afferent selectivity, a recent report demonstrates that a

specific subset of GABA/ACh basal forebrain neurons preferentially projects in the

internal plexiform layer of the olfactory bulb where it selectively connects with one

specific subtype of dSA cells (Case *et al.*, 2017). Thus, addressing the synaptic

complexity of basal forebrain afferents in the glomerular layer of the olfactory bulb

may necessitate the use of transgenic mice allowing the manipulation of selective

genetically-identified population of neurons.

Are there other classes of PG cells?

We have defined four subclasses of PG cells based on functional parameters.

However, we limited our characterization to a subset of electrophysiological properties

that, in our recording conditions, could be unambiguously established. A full

characterization that correlates membrane and synaptic properties with morphological

and molecular features would most likely define additional subclasses of PG cells. For

instance, type 2 PG cells with short-lasting ON-evoked excitatory responses have

heterogeneous firing patterns and include CB-expressing and CB-lacking PG cells (Najac

et al., 2015). In addition, some TH(+) juxtaglomerular neurons may constitute a

subclass of PG cells. These GABAergic/dopaminergic neurons constitute 20% of the

juxtaglomerular GABAergic cells (Panzanelli *et al.*, 2007; Parrish-Aungst *et al.*, 2007).

They are often collectively called superficial SA cells although only a small fraction of

them corresponds to the classical definition of superficial SA cells i.e. large TH(+) cells

with an axon and dendrites that widely ramify in the glomerular layer (Kosaka &

Kosaka, 2008; Kiyokage *et al.*, 2010; Galliano *et al.*, 2018). The majority though is

anaxonic and resembles the classical PG cells, with a small cell body and processes that

locally ramify, sometime within a single glomerulus (Kosaka & Kosaka, 2008; Galliano

et al., 2018). We cannot exclude that small TH(+) cells have been included in our

analysis but they would constitute at most 1 out of 5 of our randomly recorded PG

cells. Moreover, their electrophysiological properties do not match well with any of the

four subclasses we defined. Small TH(+) juxtaglomerular cells fire spikes in a regular

manner but at low rate (Pignatelli *et al.*, 2005; Puopolo *et al.*, 2005; Galliano *et al.*,

2018) and a majority responds to the ON stimulation with a short polysynaptic

response (Kiyokage et al., 2010). Thus, our study does not address whether TH-

expressing juxtaglomerular neurons also receive basal forebrain inputs and future

experiments done in genetically identified TH(+) PG cells are needed to address this

important question.

Role of basal forebrain GABAergic afferents in olfactory bulb odor processing

We show the connections of basal forebrain GABA fibers with PG and granule cells

that, together, constitute the main populations of interneurons directly regulating the

activity of olfactory bulb output neurons. Deep SA cells also provide inhibitory input on

PG and granule cells (Eyre *et al.*, 2008; Burton *et al.*, 2017) and are themselves

modulated by basal forebrain inputs. This diversity of olfactory bulb interneurons

under the control of basal forebrain fibers makes it challenging to predict the global

functional implications of this GABAergic innervation on the olfactory bulb output. In

the simplest way, inhibition of olfactory bulb interneurons may induce a disinhibition

of mitral and tufted cells and thus facilitate the olfactory bulb output. However, GABA

may be excitatory on some PG cells (Parsa *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, co-transmission of

GABA and ACh on, at least, some interneurons (Case *et al.*, 2017), also complicates this

view. Moreover, as olfactory bulb processing is fundamentally rhythmic and tightly

coupled to respiration, the physiological impact of basal forebrain centrifugal inputs

may depend on their temporal dynamics along a respiration cycle. A synchronized

activity of these centrifugal afferents may reset the activity of olfactory bulb

interneurons before a new respiration cycle. But how synchronized are they? Recent
data indicate that some non-cholinergic neurons in the HDB have their activity
correlated with attention whereas the activity of cholinergic neurons is correlated with
primary reinforcers and outcome expectations (Hangya *et al.*, 2015). Centrifugal inputs
from the basal forebrain could therefore contextually modulate the olfactory bulb
activity as a function of the internal state.

Interestingly, basal forebrain GABAergic fibers and axonal feedback from the
olfactory cortex target the same populations of interneurons in the bulb. Thus, axons
from pyramidal cells of the piriform cortex or the anterior olfactory nucleus directly
excite olfactory bulb interneurons and facilitate mitral cell inhibition (Boyd *et al.*, 2012;
Markopoulos *et al.*, 2012), i.e. the exact opposite of what HDB GABAergic fibers might
do. Pyramidal cells of the olfactory cortex innervate HDB neurons as well (Paolini &
McKenzie, 1997; Linster & Hasselmo, 2000) (Do *et al.*, 2016). Centrifugal afferents from
the basal forebrain could have complex dynamics, similar as cortical feedback that
exerts spatially diffuse, temporally complex and non-uniform actions on olfactory bulb
interneurons in response to odors (Boyd *et al.*, 2015; Otazu *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the net
impact of cortical feedback and basal forebrain fiber inputs on olfactory bulb
interneurons, balancing or opposite actions, may depend on their relative timing in
different behavioral context.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

This work was supported by the Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique, the
Université de Strasbourg and the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (Grant ANR-12-
JSV4-006-01). ASD was funded by a fellowship from the Ministère de la Recherche and
by a fellowship from the Fonds Paul Mandel pour les Recherches en Neuroscience. We
thank Ipek Yalcin (Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, Strasbourg)
and Claire Gaveriaux-Ruff (Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et
Cellulaire, Strasbourg) for the kind gift of the dlx5/6-Cre mice and Thomas Knöpfel
(Imperial College, London) for the kind gift of the Kv3.1-EYFP mice. We thank Sophie
Reibel-Foisset and the animal facility Chronobiotron (UMS 3415 Centre National pour
la Recherche Scientifique and Université de Strasbourg), the Plateforme Imagerie In
Vitro-Neuropôle-Strasbourg, and Aline Huber for their technical assistance. We thank
Jean Christophe Cassel (Laboratoire de Neurosciences Cognitives et Adaptatives,
Strasbourg) Nuria Benito, Philippe Isope, Matilde Cordero-Erausquin, Bernard Poulain,
and members of the lab for their constructive comments throughout the project.

References

Abraham NM, Egger V, Shimshek DR, Renden R, Fukunaga I, Sprengel R, Seeburg PH,
Klugmann M, Margrie TW, Schaefer AT & Kuner T. (2010). Synaptic inhibition in the
olfactory bulb accelerates odor discrimination in mice. *Neuron* **65,** 399-411.

Benito N, Gaborieau E, Sanz Diez A, Kosar S, Foucault L, Raineteau O & De Saint Jan D.
(2018). A pool of postnatally-generated interneurons persists in an immature stage in
the olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci.*

Boyd AM, Kato HK, Komiyama T & Isaacson JS. (2015). Broadcasting of cortical activity
to the olfactory bulb. *Cell Rep* **10,** 1032-1039.

Boyd AM, Sturgill JF, Poo C & Isaacson JS. (2012). Cortical feedback control of olfactory
bulb circuits. *Neuron* **76,** 1161-1174.

Burton SD, LaRocca G, Liu A, Cheetham CE & Urban NN. (2017). Olfactory Bulb Deep
Short-Axon Cells Mediate Widespread Inhibition of Tufted Cell Apical Dendrites. *J
Neurosci* **37,** 1117-1138.

Case DT, Burton SD, Gedeon JY, Williams SG, Urban NN & Seal RP. (2017). Layer- and
cell type-selective co-transmission by a basal forebrain cholinergic projection to the
olfactory bulb. *Nat Commun* **8,** 652.

Castillo PE, Carleton A, Vincent JD & Lledo PM. (1999). Multiple and opposing roles of
cholinergic transmission in the main olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci* **19,** 9180-9191.

Devore S, de Almeida L & Linster C. (2014). Distinct roles of bulbar muscarinic and
nicotinic receptors in olfactory discrimination learning. *J Neurosci* **34,** 11244-11260.

Do JP, Xu M, Lee SH, Chang WC, Zhang S, Chung S, Yung TJ, Fan JL, Miyamichi K, Luo L &
Dan Y. (2016). Cell type-specific long-range connections of basal forebrain circuit. *Elife*
5.

Economo MN, Hansen KR & Wachowiak M. (2016). Control of Mitral/Tufted Cell
Output by Selective Inhibition among Olfactory Bulb Glomeruli. *Neuron* **91,** 397-411.

Eyre MD, Antal M & Nusser Z. (2008). Distinct deep short-axon cell subtypes of the
main olfactory bulb provide novel intrabulbar and extrabulbar GABAergic connections.
J Neurosci **28,** 8217-8229.

Fogli Iseppe A, Pignatelli A & Belluzzi O. (2016). Calretinin-Periglomerular Interneurons
in Mice Olfactory Bulb: Cells of Few Words. *Front Cell Neurosci* **10,** 231.

Fukunaga I, Herb JT, Kollo M, Boyden ES & Schaefer AT. (2014). Independent control of
gamma and theta activity by distinct interneuron networks in the olfactory bulb. *Nat
Neurosci* **17,** 1208-1216.

Galliano E, Franzoni E, Breton M, Chand AN, Byrne DJ, Murthy VN & Grubb MS. (2018).
Embryonic and postnatal neurogenesis produce functionally distinct subclasses of
dopaminergic neuron. *Elife* **7**.

Geramita M & Urban NN. (2017). Differences in Glomerular-Layer-Mediated
Feedforward Inhibition onto Mitral and Tufted Cells Lead to Distinct Modes of Intensity
Coding. *J Neurosci* **37**, 1428-1438.

Ghatpande AS & Gelperin A. (2009). Presynaptic muscarinic receptors enhance
glutamate release at the mitral/tufted to granule cell dendrodendritic synapse in the
rat main olfactory bulb. *J Neurophysiol* **101**, 2052-2061.

Gracia-Llanes FJ, Crespo C, Blasco-Ibanez JM, Nacher J, Varea E, Rovira-Esteban L &
Martinez-Guijarro FJ. (2010). GABAergic basal forebrain afferents innervate selectively
GABAergic targets in the main olfactory bulb. *Neuroscience* **170**, 913-922.

Gschwend O, Abraham NM, Lagier S, Begnaud F, Rodriguez I & Carleton A. (2015).
Neuronal pattern separation in the olfactory bulb improves odor discrimination
learning. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 1474-1482.

Hamamoto M, Kiyokage E, Sohn J, Hioki H, Harada T & Toida K. (2017). Structural basis
for cholinergic regulation of neural circuits in the mouse olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol*
525, 574-591.

Hangya B, Ranade SP, Lorenc M & Kepecs A. (2015). Central Cholinergic Neurons Are
Rapidly Recruited by Reinforcement Feedback. *Cell* **162**, 1155-1168.

Kiyokage E, Pan YZ, Shao Z, Kobayashi K, Szabo G, Yanagawa Y, Obata K, Okano H,
Toida K, Puche AC & Shipley MT. (2010). Molecular identity of periglomerular and short
axon cells. *J Neurosci* **30**, 1185-1196.

Kosaka K & Kosaka T. (2007). Chemical properties of type 1 and type 2 periglomerular
cells in the mouse olfactory bulb are different from those in the rat olfactory bulb.
Brain Res **1167**, 42-55.

Kosaka T & Kosaka K. (2008). Tyrosine hydroxylase-positive GABAergic juxtaglomerular
neurons are the main source of the interglomerular connections in the mouse main
olfactory bulb. *Neurosci Res* **60**, 349-354.

Kosaka T & Kosaka K. (2011). "Interneurons" in the olfactory bulb revisited. *Neurosci*
Res **69**, 93-99.

Lepousez G & Lledo PM. (2013). Odor discrimination requires proper olfactory fast
oscillations in awake mice. *Neuron* **80**, 1010-1024.

Linster C & Hasselmo ME. (2000). Neural activity in the horizontal limb of the diagonal
band of broca can be modulated by electrical stimulation of the olfactory bulb and
cortex in rats. *Neurosci Lett* **282,** 157-160.

Liu S, Plachez C, Shao Z, Puche A & Shipley MT. (2013). Olfactory bulb short axon cell
release of GABA and dopamine produces a temporally biphasic inhibition-excitation
response in external tufted cells. *J Neurosci* **33,** 2916-2926.

Liu S, Shao Z, Puche A, Wachowiak M, Rothermel M & Shipley MT. (2015). Muscarinic
receptors modulate dendrodendritic inhibitory synapses to sculpt glomerular output. *J*
Neurosci **35,** 5680-5692.

Ma M & Luo M. (2012). Optogenetic activation of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons
modulates neuronal excitability and sensory responses in the main olfactory bulb. *J*
Neurosci **32,** 10105-10116.

Macrides F, Davis BJ, Youngs WM, Nadi NS & Margolis FL. (1981). Cholinergic and
catecholaminergic afferents to the olfactory bulb in the hamster: a neuroanatomical,
biochemical, and histochemical investigation. *J Comp Neurol* **203,** 495-514.

Markopoulos F, Rokni D, Gire DH & Murthy VN. (2012). Functional properties of
cortical feedback projections to the olfactory bulb. *Neuron* **76,** 1175-1188.

McQuiston AR & Katz LC. (2001). Electrophysiology of interneurons in the glomerular
layer of the rat olfactory bulb. *J Neurophysiol* **86,** 1899-1907.

Metzger F, Repunte-Canonigo V, Matsushita S, Akemann W, Diez-Garcia J, Ho CS,
Iwasato T, Grandes P, Itohara S, Joho RH & Knopfel T. (2002). Transgenic mice
expressing a pH and Cl- sensing yellow-fluorescent protein under the control of a
potassium channel promoter. *Eur J Neurosci* **15,** 40-50.

Monory K, Massa F, Egertova M, Eder M, Blaudzun H, Westenbroek R, Kelsch W, Jacob
W, Marsch R, Ekker M, Long J, Rubenstein JL, Goebbels S, Nave KA, During M,
Klugmann M, Wolfel B, Dodt HU, Zieglgansberger W, Wotjak CT, Mackie K, Elphick MR,
Marsicano G & Lutz B. (2006). The endocannabinoid system controls key epileptogenic
circuits in the hippocampus. *Neuron* **51,** 455-466.

Murphy GJ, Darcy DP & Isaacson JS. (2005). Intraglomerular inhibition: signaling
mechanisms of an olfactory microcircuit. *Nat Neurosci* **8,** 354-364.

Najac M, Sanz Diez A, Kumar A, Benito N, Charpak S & De Saint Jan D. (2015).
Intraglomerular lateral inhibition promotes spike timing variability in principal neurons
of the olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci* **35,** 4319-4331.

Niedworok CJ, Schwarz I, Ledderose J, Giese G, Conzelmann KK & Schwarz MK. (2012).
Charting monosynaptic connectivity maps by two-color light-sheet fluorescence
microscopy. *Cell Rep* **2,** 1375-1386.

Nunez-Parra A, Maurer RK, Krahe K, Smith RS & Araneda RC. (2013). Disruption of
centrifugal inhibition to olfactory bulb granule cells impairs olfactory discrimination.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **110,** 14777-14782.

Otazu GH, Chae H, Davis MB & Albeanu DF. (2015). Cortical Feedback Decorrelates
Olfactory Bulb Output in Awake Mice. *Neuron* **86,** 1461-1477.

Pangratz-Fuehrer S, Sieghart W, Rudolph U, Parada I & Huguenard JR. (2016). Early
postnatal switch in GABAA receptor alpha-subunits in the reticular thalamic nucleus. *J*
Neurophysiol **115,** 1183-1195.

Panzanelli P, Fritschy JM, Yanagawa Y, Obata K & Sassoe-Pognetto M. (2007).
GABAergic phenotype of periglomerular cells in the rodent olfactory bulb. *J Comp*
Neurol **502,** 990-1002.

Paolini AG & McKenzie JS. (1997). Intracellular recording of magnocellular preoptic
neuron responses to olfactory brain. *Neuroscience* **78,** 229-242.

Parrish-Aungst S, Shipley MT, Erdelyi F, Szabo G & Puche AC. (2007). Quantitative
analysis of neuronal diversity in the mouse olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol* **501,** 825-836.

Parsa PV, D'Souza RD & Vijayaraghavan S. (2015). Signaling between periglomerular
cells reveals a bimodal role for GABA in modulating glomerular microcircuitry in the
olfactory bulb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112,** 9478-9483.

Pignatelli A, Kobayashi K, Okano H & Belluzzi O. (2005). Functional properties of
dopaminergic neurones in the mouse olfactory bulb. *J Physiol* **564,** 501-514.

Puopolo M, Bean BP & Raviola E. (2005). Spontaneous activity of isolated dopaminergic
periglomerular cells of the main olfactory bulb. *J Neurophysiol* **94,** 3618-3627.

Rothermel M, Carey RM, Puche A, Shipley MT & Wachowiak M. (2014). Cholinergic
inputs from Basal forebrain add an excitatory bias to odor coding in the olfactory bulb.
J Neurosci **34,** 4654-4664.

Salcedo E, Tran T, Ly X, Lopez R, Barbica C, Restrepo D & Vijayaraghavan S. (2011).
Activity-dependent changes in cholinergic innervation of the mouse olfactory bulb.
PLoS One **6,** e25441.

Saunders A, Granger AJ & Sabatini BL. (2015). Corelease of acetylcholine and GABA
from cholinergic forebrain neurons. *Elife* **4**.

Shao Z, Puche AC, Kiyokage E, Szabo G & Shipley MT. (2009). Two GABAergic
intraglomerular circuits differentially regulate tonic and phasic presynaptic inhibition
of olfactory nerve terminals. *J Neurophysiol* **101,** 1988-2001.

Smith RS, Hu R, DeSouza A, Eberly CL, Krahe K, Chan W & Araneda RC. (2015).
Differential Muscarinic Modulation in the Olfactory Bulb. *J Neurosci* **35,** 10773-10785.
770

771 Smith TC & Jahr CE. (2002). Self-inhibition of olfactory bulb neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **5,**
772 760-766.
773

774 Whitesell JD, Sorensen KA, Jarvie BC, Hentges ST & Schoppa NE. (2013).
775 Interglomerular lateral inhibition targeted on external tufted cells in the olfactory bulb.
776 *J Neurosci* **33,** 1552-1563.
777

778 Whitman MC & Greer CA. (2007). Adult-generated neurons exhibit diverse
779 developmental fates. *Dev Neurobiol* **67,** 1079-1093.
780

781 Yang C, McKenna JT, Zant JC, Winston S, Basheer R & Brown RE. (2014). Cholinergic
782 neurons excite cortically projecting basal forebrain GABAergic neurons. *J Neurosci* **34,**
783 2832-2844.
784

785 Zaborszky L, Buhl DL, Pobalashingham S, Bjaalie JG & Nadasdy Z. (2005). Three-
786 dimensional chemoarchitecture of the basal forebrain: spatially specific association of
787 cholinergic and calcium binding protein-containing neurons. *Neuroscience* **136,** 697-
788 713.
789

790 Zaborszky L, Carlsen J, Brashear HR & Heimer L. (1986). Cholinergic and GABAergic
791 afferents to the olfactory bulb in the rat with special emphasis on the projection
792 neurons in the nucleus of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band. *J Comp Neurol* **243,**
793 488-509.
794

796 **Competing interests** : The authors declare no competing financial interests.

<u>**Figure 1**</u>: **Conditional expression of ChR2 in various GABAergic neurons of the basal**

forebrain. A, Stereotaxic injection of a viral construct in the basal forebrain of dlx5/6-

Cre mice was used for conditional expression of ChR2-EYFP in GABAergic neurons of

the basal forebrain. Bottom left, sagittal section of a brain 4 weeks after the injection.

The site of injection (BF, boxed area 1) and the olfactory bulb (OB, boxed area 2) are

enlarged in the two other pictures. ONL: olfactory nerve layer, GL: glomerular layer,

EPL: external plexiform layer. **B,** EYFP expression in the basal forebrain 4 weeks after

injection of the same viral construct encoding cytosolic EYFP. Co-staining of EYFP

(green) together with ChAT, CB, PV or CR (red). Some neurons (arrowheads), but not

all, co-express the two markers. Scale bars 50 μm.

Figure 2. **Synaptic connections of basal forebrain axons with various inhibitory interneurons of the olfactory bulb. A,** Light-evoked consecutive ISPCs evoked in a deep SA cell recorded at Vh=-35 mV in the presence of AMPA and NMDA receptor blockers (10 µM NBQX + 50 µM D-AP5). The black trace is the average response. Light stimulation (1 ms) at blue arrow. The morphology of this neurobiotin-filled cell is shown. Solid lines delimit the glomerular layer (top) and the mitral cell layer (bottom). **B,** Same in a granule cell recorded at Vh=0 mV. **C,** Light-evoked IPSCs elicited in a PG cell (Vh=0mV) in control condition, in the presence of NBQX (10 µM), D-AP5 (50 µM), mecamylamine (MECA, 20 µM) and atropine (ATRO, 10 µM) and after the addition of gabazine (5 µM, GBZ) to the cocktail. Several traces are superimposed for each condition. The graph shows the amplitude of consecutive responses in these different conditions. The image on the right is a snapshot of this PG cell during the recording, superimposed on the DIC image of the glomerulus delimited by a dashed line. Scale bar 10 µm. **D,** Average current-voltage relationship of the light-evoked IPSC in PG cells (n=12 cells, each plot is an average±sem). Inset, IPSCs from a representative PG cell

829   recorded at different holding potentials. **E,** Distribution histograms of the onset latency

830   (left) and onset jitter (right) of light-evoked IPSCs recorded in PG cells. **F,** Decay time

831   constants distribution of light-evoked IPSCs recorded in PG, dSA and granule cells. PG

832   and granule cells were recorded at Vh=0mV, dSA cells at Vh comprised between -35

833   mV and -15 mV.

<u>Figure 3</u>: **Type 1 PG cells are not contacted by basal forebrain projections but are**

inhibited by other PG cells. Membrane properties (**A**), ON-evoked synaptic responses

(**B**) and responses to basal forebrain axons photo stimulation (**C**) of a type 1 PG cell

recorded in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mouse. This cell responded to the stimulation of OSN

axons with an inward fast monosynaptic EPSC at Vh=-75 mV and with outward

plurisynaptic IPSCs at Vh=0 mV (**B**). In contrast, light stimulation of basal forebrain

fibers did not evoke any response (**C**). Several traces are superimposed in each case. **D,**

Summary plots for ON-evoked synaptic response amplitudes of all type 1 PG cells

tested at two holding potentials. **E,** Classification of the recorded PG cells into type 1

and type 2 in dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice. Top, early phase of ON-evoked EPSCs in a type 1

PG cell (red) and in a type 2 PG cell (black). The type 1 PG cell responded with a short

latency EPSC, starting <2ms after the beginning of the stimulation artifact and with

little onset variability between trials. In contrast, responses from the type 2 PG cell had

a delayed and more variable onset latency. The graph shows the onset latency vs.

onset jitter of ON-evoked responses in PG cells classified as type 1 PG cells (red circles)

and in PG cells classified as type 2 PG cells (black circles) in this study.

Figure 4: CR-expressing type 2 PG cells receive fast basal forebrain GABAergic inputs.
Membrane properties (**A**) and ON-evoked synaptic responses (**B**) of a typical CR-
expressing type 2 PG cell recorded in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mouse. This cell typically
responded to a suprathreshold depolarization with a single spikelet followed by a
passive membrane depolarization (**A**) and had small ON-evoked responses at Vh=-75
mV and at Vh=0 mV (**B**). **C**, summary plots for ON-evoked synaptic responses recorded
at Vh=-75 mV and at Vh=0 mV for cells classified as CR-expressing PG cells. **D**, cells
classified as CR-expressing type 2 PG cells also had larger electrical membrane
resistances compared to PG cells classified in the other groups (horizontal bars show
the averages, p<0.001 for comparison with each of the 3 other subgroups, Wilcoxon
test). **E,** light stimulation of basal forebrain fibers evoked large and fast GBZ-sensitive

IPSCs in CR-expressing PG cells. Traces are from the same cell as in A and B. The

average IPSC (black trace) is superimposed on several consecutive responses recorded

at Vh=0mV in ACSF. Bottom, distribution histogram of the decay time constants of

light-evoked IPSCs in cells classified as CR-expressing PG cells (black bars)

superimposed on the distribution histogram for all the recorded PG cells (white bars).

Figure 5: **Type 2 PG cells with short ON-evoked excitatory response do not interact**

with other PG cells but receive inputs from the basal forebrain. Membrane properties

(**A**) and ON-evoked synaptic responses (**B**) of a typical type 2 PG cell that responded to

the stimulation of the OSN axons with a short burst of EPSCs in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP

mouse. The short ON-evoked excitatory response was the main criterion for classifying

PG cells in this subclass. **C**, Summary plots (right) for ON-evoked synaptic responses

recorded at Vh=-75 mV and at Vh=0 mV indicate that cells in this group also had no

ON-evoked IPSC. **D**, IPSCs evoked by a light stimulation of the basal forebrain fibers.

The average IPSC (black trace) is superimposed on several consecutive responses

recorded at Vh=0mV in the presence of NBQX and D-AP5. GBZ abolished the response.

Traces are from the same cell as in A and B. Bottom, distribution histogram of the

decay time constants of light-evoked IPSCs in PG cells classified in this subclass (black

bars) superimposed on the distribution histogram for all the recorded PG cells (white

bars). **E**, Paired recording of two PG cells projecting in the same glomerulus in the

Kv3.1-EYFP transgenic mouse. The "test" EYFP(+) PG cell was recorded in the whole-

cell configuration at Vh=0 mV to monitor IPSCs and at Vh=-75 mV to monitor EPSCs.

The "control" PG cell was recorded in the loose cell-attached configuration to monitor

its firing. OSNs were stimulated at an intensity inducing the firing of the control PG cell.

The summary graph on the right shows that OSN stimulations did not evoke any

outward IPSC in the test cell even when the stimulation was increased by a factor of

10.

Figure 6: **Type 2 PG cells with long-lasting ON-evoked excitatory response receive slow GABAergic inputs from the basal forebrain.** Membrane properties (**A**) and ON-evoked synaptic responses of a typical type 2 PG cell that responded to the stimulation of the OSN axons with a long-lasting burst of EPSCs in a dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mouse. **A**, Like all the cells included in this group, this PG cell responded to a step depolarization with a regular firing. **B**, ON-evoked responses recorded at two holding potentials and PSTH of the excitatory response (bottom). **C**, Summary plots for ON-evoked synaptic responses recorded at Vh=-75 mV and at Vh=0 mV for cells included in this group. **D**, IPSCs evoked by a light stimulation of the basal forebrain fibers. The average IPSC (black trace) is superimposed on several consecutive responses recorded at Vh=0mV in the presence of NBQX and D-AP5. GBZ abolished the response. Same cell as in A and B.

914   Bottom, distribution histogram of the decay time constants of light-evoked IPSCs in PG

915   cells classified in this subclass (black bars) superimposed on the distribution histogram

916   for all the recorded PG cells (white bars). Cells included in this group had slow IPSCs.

919
920

Figure 7 : Basal forebrain GABAergic inputs have different presynaptic properties

depending on the postsynaptic PG cell subtype. A-C, Top row, light-evoked IPSCs in

three PG cells representative of the three subclasses of type 2 PG cells recorded in

dlx5/6;ChR2-EYFP mice (**A**: CR-expressing PG cells; **B**: PG cells with short ON-evoked

excitatory responses and **C**: regularly firing PG cells with long-lasting ON-evoked

responses). Each cell was stimulated with 5 flashes of light at 20 Hz. 10-12 consecutive

responses are superimposed for each cell, the black trace is the average response.

Middle row, amplitudes of the nth light-evoked IPSC relative to the normalized

amplitude of the first IPSC recorded in PG cells classified in the 3 subgroups of the

corresponding column. Lines connect plots from the same cells. **Bottom row**, failure

rate for each of the five consecutive stimuli and for each cell over multiple trials.

Failures were never observed in the third group.