1 Dynamics and interactions of highly resolved marine plankton via automated high
2 frequency sampling

10 David M. Needham[1], Erin B. Fichot[1], Ellice Wang[1], Lyria Berdjeb[1], Jacob A. Cram[1],
11 Cedric G. Fichot[2], Jed A. Fuhrman[1]
12
13 [1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California
14 [2]Earth and Environment, Boston University

31   Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abstract

Short time-scale observations are valuable for understanding microbial ecological processes. We assessed dynamics in relative abundance and potential activities by sequencing the small sub-unit ribosomal RNA gene (rRNA gene) and rRNA molecules (rRNA) of *Bacteria*, *Archaea*, and *Eukaryota* once to twice-daily between March 2014 and May 2014 from the surface ocean off Catalina Island, California. Typically *Ostreococcus, Braarudosphaera, Teleaulax, and Synechococcus* dominated phytoplankton sequences (including chloroplasts) while SAR11, *Sulfitobacter,* and *Fluviicola* dominated non-phytoplankton *Bacteria* and *Archaea*. We observed short-lived increases of diatoms, mostly *Pseudo-nitzschia* and *Chaetoceros,* with quickly responding *Bacteria* and *Archaea* including *Flavobacteriaceae* (*Polaribacter* & *Formosa*), *Roseovarius,* and *Euryarchaeota* (MGII), notably the exact amplicon sequence variants we observed responding similarly to another diatom bloom nearby, three years prior. We observed correlations representing known interactions among abundant phytoplankton rRNA sequences, demonstrating the biogeochemical and ecological relevance of such interactions: 1) The kleptochloroplastidic ciliate *Mesodinium* 18S rRNA gene sequences and a single *Teleaulax* taxon (via 16S rRNA gene sequences) were correlated (Spearman r =0.83) yet uncorrelated to a *Teleaulax* 18S rRNA gene OTU, or any other taxon (consistent with a kleptochloroplastidic or karyoklepty relationship) and 2) the photosynthetic prymnesiophyte *Braarudosphaera bigelowii* and two strains of diazotrophic cyanobacterium UCYN-A were correlated and each taxon was also correlated to other taxa, including *B. bigelowii* to a verrucomicrobium and a dictyochophyte phytoplankter (all r > 0.8). We also report strong correlations (r > 0.7) between various ciliates, bacteria, and phytoplankton, suggesting interactions via currently unknown mechanisms. These data reiterate the utility of high-frequency time-series to show rapid microbial reactions to stimuli, and provide new information about *in-situ* dynamics of previously recognized and hypothesized interactions.

Introduction

Natural marine microbial communities, consisting of *Bacteria*, *Archaea*, and *Eukaryota*, are diverse and dynamic. The interactions among microbial species and their environment and between microbial species dictate how energy and nutrients flow through the ocean [1,2]. Marine microbial communities are known to be seasonally variable [3–5] and can show rapid responses to environmental variation, such as stratification and pulses of nutrients [6,7]. Daily or diel-scale high-resolution time-series are particularly useful for observing ecological responses to short-term perturbations, such as phytoplankton blooms and interactions of organisms, because whole microbial generation times are on the scale of a few days [5,8]. During phytoplankton blooms, microbial communities can vary in pronounced, succession-like ways with dominant taxa shifting quickly [6,9], even on time scales of one to several days [7,10].

Complex ecological interactions between microorganisms are prevalent in the ocean [1]. Such interactions can be general, such as lineages of *Bacteria* that consistently respond to increases in phytoplankton biomass and the organic matter produced by such blooms [11]. However, many interactions appear to be species specific, including direct microbe-microbe interactions, and can be observed at short temporal scales [2]. Such interactions include grazing, cross-feeding, mutualism, parasitism, symbiosis, or kleptochloroplasty (i.e., where a heterotrophic protist captures chloroplasts from another species and the chloroplast continues to function inside the grazer) [12]. Many of these interactions occur beween organisms of different domains or trophic states, e.g., between *Bacteria* and *Eukaryota*, or between phototrophs and heterotrophs. Studying all of these organisms together allows a more complete view of components in the "microbial loop" [13].

The dynamics and ecology of microbial organisms via time-series is often assessed via sequencing of the small subunit ribosomal rRNA gene of cellular organisms, which is conserved across all three domains of life. We have recently shown that a single rRNA gene primer set has high coverage of *Bacteria* and *Archaea*, most

phytoplankton via chloroplast 16S rRNA gene sequencing, as well as covering most

Eukaryota via 18S rRNA gene sequencing [7,14]. With current sequencing outputs

from the Illumina MiSeq and HiSeq platform (paired end 2x250 or 2x300 high

quality reads), it is possible to confidently discriminate taxa by as little as a single

base pair (bp) difference in this conserved gene, which is the highest resolution

possible for this method [15–17], but this often, still, does not reliably discriminate

strains or even species.

A complementary approach to sequencing the rRNA gene is reverse transcribing

and sequencing of the small sub-unit of the rRNA molecule itself (rRNA) which

provides the same identity information as DNA, but the number of sequences is

considered a proxy for the cumulative number of ribosomes from that taxon. The

approach may yield insight into the potential activities of taxa across the full

community [18–21]. The rRNA and rRNA gene sequencing approaches each have

benefits and uncertainties. First, in any PCR-based approach, choice of primer

influences the result and can bias against certain taxa. Though, we have shown that

the primers recreate known inputs, i.e., mock communities, reasonably accurately,

some taxa are still biased for or against and some groups may be missed. For the

rRNA gene, while the gene copy number in the genome varies between taxa, it is

relatively consistent within individuals of a given taxon and across time. The large

majority of free living planktonic marine *Bacteria* and *Archaea* have 1-2 copies per

cell [22]. For chloroplasts, copy number is usually between 1-2 per chloroplast,

while the number of chloroplasts per cell can vary from one to hundreds (depending

largely on cell size [7]). However, for small phytoplankton most commonly found

offshore of Southern California, USA (the location of the present study), the variation

is typically low (two to four chloroplasts for common taxa)[7]. The 18S rRNA gene

of *Eukaryota*, on the other hand, has a larger range in copy number, from 2 to 50,000

[23]. Thus, comparing relative abundances for these taxa via 18S rRNA gene is

tenuous, but the copy number variation relates very roughly to cellular biomass,

when compared over many orders of magnitude on a log-log plot [23]. rRNA, in

contrast, may in part reflect variation of "potential activity" between and within taxa

over time. However, the number of ribosomes per cell does not consistently reflect

growth rate across taxa, because the relationship is irregular between taxa, and it is

not anything like a linear measure of growth rate [20,21]. Previous work has

assessed the ratio between the rRNA and rRNA gene of individual taxa. Such work

reports an "index" that aims to examine the relative activities across taxa and

describe patterns across all taxa. Such an analysis, with all its inherent complexities

and complicating factors, is outside the scope of this paper.

Here we apply rRNA and rRNA gene sequencing to study the full cellular microbial

community -- *Bacteria*, *Archaea*, and *Eukaryota* -- from seawater samples collected

from the photic zone once to twice per day over about 1.5 months via an

Environmental Sample Processor (ESP), which also provided continuous physical

and chemical measurements. We examined the short-term dynamics of the

microbial community before and after a short-lived increase in phytoplankton

biomass. Additionally, we found that the members of two symbioses were prevalent

during our time-series: 1.) the ciliate *Mesodinium* and the chloroplasts of the

cryptophyte *Teleaulax* [24] and 2.) the diazotrophic cyanobacterium UCYN-A and

haptophyte alga *Braarudosphaera bigelowii* [25]. This allowed us to assess the *in-*

situ relative abundances and physiological dynamics of these relationships, which

provides insight into the nature of these associations. We also explore other strong

co-occurrence patterns between phytoplankton, potential eukaryotic grazers,

bacteria, and archaea to examine potential new interactions.

<u>Methods</u>

Sampling

An Environmental Sample Processor (ESP) [26], which autonomously draws

seawater samples and filters them sequentially while also recording depth,

temperature, conductivity, and chlorophyll-*a* fluorescence was deployed about 1 km

offshore of Santa Catalina Island, California, USA (33 28.990 °N, 118 30.470 °W) 13

March 2014 to 1 May 2014. The ESP was tethered to the sea floor by a long cable, in

a location of about 200 m total water depth, and thus sampled in Eulerian fashion.

The depth at which the instrument itself was suspended in the water column varied

over the course of a day, due to tides and tidal currents. Over the first five days, the

depth of sampling by the instrument was between 5 and 10 m. The ESP

malfunctioned on day six. After the instrument was restored two weeks later,

samples were collected by the instrument at depths between 7 m and 15 m (Figure

1), which continued throughout the remainder of the time-series. One L water

samples for molecular analysis were drawn once (10 AM, 18 March to 23 March) or

twice per day (10 AM and 10 PM, 9 April to 1 May). The samples were pre-screened

with a 300 μm copper screen and then sequentially collected on a 1 μm AE filter

(Pall Gelman) and a 0.22 μm Durapore filter (Millipore) with an HA backing filter. All

filters were stored in RNAlater at ambient seawater temperature until ESP retrieval

(1 May), upon which the filters were stored at -80 °C until processing. Past ESP

research has demonstrated that RNAlater storage has little influence on quality and

composition of RNA for ESP deployments (6 of 17,284 transcripts differentially

expressed) [27], but RNAlater has been shown, like all preservatives, to have a small

influence on composition based on DNA-based community assessments[28,29],

though the influence was not tested here.

The ESP recorded depth, temperature, conductivity, and chlorophyll-*a* fluorescence

measurements every five minutes. Reported tidal heights are from the National

Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration's observed water heights for a Los

Angeles, CA, USA station (9410660) which is about 35 km from where the ESP was

deployed (https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov).

Satellite imagery

Level-2 remote-sensing reflectances (*Rrs*) from *Aqua* MODIS (MODerate Resolution

Imaging Spectrometer) were used to produce daily maps of surface chlorophyll-*a*

concentrations over the 1 March 2014 - 30 April 2014 time period. About one third

of all the images were discarded because of cloud coverage. The surface chlorophyll-

a concentrations were derived by applying a local empirical algorithm to the

Rrs(488)/*Rrs*(547) remote-sensing reflectance ratio [30]. This local empirical

algorithm was parameterized specifically for MODIS using *in situ* measurements

made in the coastal waters off the Los Angeles, CA area (i.e., our region of study). A

time-series of remotely sensed chlorophyll-*a* concentration at the ESP location was

also calculated by averaging the retrievals over a ~ 3-x-3 km region (i.e., 3-x-3

pixels) surrounding the ESP location, and by calculating the standard deviation of all

retrievals within that region. Only the chlorophyll-*a* concentrations derived from a

minimum of seven valid pixels within the 3-x-3-pixels region were considered, as

fewer valid pixels generally indicated the close proximity of clouds and a potential

contamination of the remote-sensing reflectances.

196
DNA and RNA extraction

Each AE and Durapore filter was aseptically cut in half, one half for DNA extraction,

the other half for RNA extraction. DNA was extracted and purified from the

Durapore filters using a hot SDS extraction protocol [31] and from the AE filters

using a NaCl/cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) bead-beating extraction

[32]. The more harsh extraction used on the larger size fraction was used to contend

with the organisms found on the larger size fraction are harder to break open (e.g.,

algal cell walls, diatom silica frustules, and dinofagellate theca). Each of these

methods was modified to include lysozyme and proteinase K lysis steps (30 minutes

at 37 °C and 30 minutes at 50 °C, respectively). DNA was purified from the

supernatant from both methods by phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol purification,

precipitation overnight in ammonium acetate and ethanol, centrifugation, and re-

suspension in TE buffer. Each half-filter underwent two sequential lysis steps, and

the extracted DNA was combined.

RNA was extracted from the Durapore and AE filter using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen),

as per manufacturer's instructions, including an on-column DNAse step. For the AE

filters, a second DNA-removal step was performed on 10 ng of RNA with the

Invitrogen DNAse I, Amplification Grade (Cat. Number: 18068015).

Reverse transcription and PCR

RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript III from Invitrogen using

random hexamers, with 0.1 ng for the Durapore size fraction and all of the RNA from

the Invitrogen DNAse treated AE RNA (input 10 ng). cDNA was purified

magnetically with 2x Ampure beads. Cleaned cDNA was then amplified for 30 cycles

via PCR with 5PRIME HotMasterMix. The forward SSU rRNA primer construct

consisted of (5' to 3') a 'generic' Illumina flow cell adapter, Illumina sequencing

primer, 4 random bp, five base barcode, and SSU rRNA gene forward primer 515F

(GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA). Reverse primer construct consisted of (5' to 3') a

'generic' Illumina flow cell adapter, 6 bp index, sequencing primer, and rRNA

reverse primer 926R (CCGYCAATTYMTTTRAGTTT) [7,14]. Thermocycling

conditions consisted of an initial denaturation of 95 °C for 120 s; 25 cycles of 95 °C

for 45 s, 50 °C for 45 s, 68 °C for 90 s; and a final elongation step 68 °C for 300 s

[7,14]. We concluded that RNA extracts were devoid of significant DNA by

performing no-RT PCR and observing an absence of amplification in an agarose gel.

DNA from Durapore (0.5 ng) and AE filters (0.05 ng) was amplified by 30 and 35

cycles, respectively. For the AE DNA PCR amplifications, five extra PCR cycles and

10-fold reduced DNA template were necessary because of (presumably) an

inhibitory effect of the RNAlater on the extracted DNA. After PCR, products were

cleaned and concentrated with Ampure beads and pooled. All samples were

sequenced in one MiSeq 2x300 run at University of California, Davis.

Sequence Analysis

All commands run during data analysis and figure generation are available via

Figshare (10.6084/m9.figshare.5373916). Sequences are available via EMBL study

accession number PRJEB22356. Demultiplexed samples were trimmed via a sliding

window of 5, trimming where the window average quality dropped below q20 via

Trimmomatic. Sequences less than 200 bp were removed. For 16S rRNA and rRNA

gene analysis, forward and reverse reads were then merged with a minimum

overlap of 20 bp, minimum merged length of 200 bp and maximum differences (in

overlap region) of 3 bp using USEARCH [33]. Both 18S rRNA and rRNA gene

sequence forward and reverse reads did not overlap so this merging step retains

only 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences. A separate analysis was necessary for the

18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequence (see below). Primers were removed from the

sequences with cutadapt [34]. Chimeras were detected *de novo* and reference-based

searching with QIIME *identify_chimeric seqs.py* and with the SILVA gold database

[35] as the reference [36]. Merged 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences were then

padded to make them all the same length with *o-pad-with-gaps* via the *Oligotyping*

pipeline [37]. Then the sequences were "decomposed" with Minimum Entropy

Decomposition (MED) default settings [15]. MED decomposes the sequences into

types that are distinguished by as little as a single base, based on an assessment of

the underlying sequence variability and positions of high variability. We recently

concluded that this approach performs well for our assays via custom-made marine

mock communities [10]. We refer to these highly resolved sequences as Amplicon

Sequence Variants (ASVs).

Sequence classification was performed on representative sequences from the 16S

rRNA and rRNA gene ASVs via SILVA [35], Greengenes [38], and PhytoREF [39]

databases using UCLUST [40] via the QIIME *assign_taxonomy.py* command. The

PhytoREF database was used for classification of chloroplast 16S rRNA and rRNA

gene sequences. Additionally we also searched the sequences for cultured relatives

in the NCBI nr database (see Needham and Fuhrman 2016 for details) with BLASTn

[41]. All classifications and representative sequences are available via Figshare

(Public Project Link: https://goo.gl/nM1cwe). For the 16S rRNA and rRNA gene

non-phytoplankton sequences, we generally display the SILVA and Phytoref for the

non-phytoplankton and phytoplankton, respectively. However, in some cases, we

used matches from NCBI because the more curated databases (e.g., SILVA) may lack

the most up-to-date sequences available. We generally confirmed the NCBI

classifications via phylogenetics (e.g., UCYN-A, see below).

We performed manual curation of our classification in the following cases: 1.)

Prasinophyceae sequences were all manually curated because an abundant

Prasinophyceae sequence was initially annotated as *Ostreococcus* and *Bathycoccus*

via the NCBI and Phytoref databases, respectively. By manual inspection, we found

that the ASV perfectly matched an *Ostreococcus* genome sequence; we updated the

classification throughout the manuscript (Supplementary Figure 1). 2.). We found

that UCYN-A sequences are generically classified by the SILVA database as

Cyanobacterial Subsection I, Family I (i.e., same groups as to *Prochlorococcus* and

Synechococcus). To resolve the UCYN-A sequences to their respective sub-groups we

downloaded the sequences of UCYN-A1 [42] (gi|284809060) and UCYN-A2

[43](gi|671395793). We found the UCYN-A1 and UCYN-A2 rRNA gene sequences

from these genomes were 3 bp different in the V4 to V5 amplified region we used

(i.e., 99.2% similar). Each of our two UCYN-A ASVs matched one of each of the

representative genomes at 100% (Supplementary Figure 2), thus we notated them

accordingly.

We split the 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequence datasets into two partitions, the

"phytoplankton" and "non-phytoplankton." "Phytoplankton" included those

sequences determined by the Greengenes taxonomy to be of chloroplastidic origin

and *Cyanobacteria*. "Non-phytoplankton" included the remaining bacterial and

archaeal 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences. After this step, samples that did not

have greater than 100 reads in a given dataset were removed from further analysis.

The average number of reads per sample for non-phytoplankton and phytoplankton

datasets was 16,576 ± 9,302 SD and 13,426 ± 11,478 SD, respectively.

The 18S rRNA and rRNA gene forward and reverse sequencing reads were too long

to overlap, given the MiSeq 2x300 forward and reverse sequencing that we used.

Therefore, most programs that merge forward and reverse reads discard these

reads. Hence, they need special treatment. The following steps were taken to

process these data. 1.) The data were quality filtered the same as for the 16S rRNA

and rRNA gene analysis via Trimmomatic. 2.) The resulting quality filtered forward

and reverse reads were trimmed to 290 bp and 250 bp, respectively. Reads that

were shorter than those thresholds were discarded. The sequences were trimmed to

these different lengths due to the difference in read qualities between the forward

and reverse reads (forward is higher quality). Given these trimmed sequence

lengths, the 16S rRNA and rRNA gene reads will overlap but the 18S rRNA and rRNA

gene reads will not. 3.) We collected the 18S rRNA and rRNA gene reads by running

all the reads through PEAR merging software [44], using default settings, and

retained the unassembled reads. 4.) The forward and reverse reads of the

unassembled reads were then joined with a degenerate base, "N", between the two

reads. This approach was suitable for classification via 8 bp subsequences ("words"

or "kmers") - based RDP classifier [45,46] as well as a local alignment-based tool

such as BLAST. Due to relatively low numbers of 18S rRNA and rRNA gene

sequences (646 ±403 SD reads per sample), we did not perform MED, but clustered

the sequences into OTUs at 99% sequence similarity via QIIME *pick_otus.py*, using

the UCLUST option. We chose the OTU approach because MED relies upon relatively

high coverage to help confidently differentiate between sequencing errors and true

variants. 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequence OTU representative sequences were

classified with *assign_taxonomy.py* via the RDP classifier option against the Protistan

Ribosomal Reference (PR2) database and SILVA database, and against the NCBI

database as previously described using BLASTn. We generally used the PR2

classifications. All classifications and representative sequences are available via

Figshare (https://goo.gl/nM1cwe). For the 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequence data,

we generally report the data as proportions of non-metazoan 18S rRNA and rRNA

gene sequences, except where specified.

Phylogenetic trees were generated for the most abundant unique sequence from the

ASVs (16S rRNA gene sequences) and OTUs (18S rRNA gene sequences) with

MUSCLE default settings with a maximum of 100 iterations [47]. Phylogenies were

reconstructed using PhyML default settings [48]. Notably, in the 18S rRNA gene tree,

Mesodinium is divergent from the rest of the ciliates due to a very aberrant 18S

rRNA gene sequence which has been previously reported [49].

Statistical Analysis

Pairwise correlations between parameters were performed using eLSA [50,51]. To

focus networks on the most prevalent taxa, only ASVs and OTUs that met the

following thresholds for each given dataset were considered: 1.) detected in 75% of

samples, 2.) a mean relative proportion greater than of 0.05%, and 3.) a relative

proportion of greater than 0.5% for at least one day. Missing data were interpolated

linearly (typically only a few samples per dataset, except for 18S rRNA which had 12

(of 53) days missing), and p and q values were determined via a theoretical

calculation [51]. Due to the two weeks of missing data, we did not consider time-

lagged correlations. Only correlations that had p and $q < 0.005$ were considered

significant. Due to the large variation in number of pairwise correlations for some

associations versus others (phytoplankton and non-phytoplankton versus

eukaryotes to non-phytoplankton), we use different Spearman correlation values in

different network figures (but always with p and $q < 0.005$ and at $r > |0.70|$). For

Figure 7, where we display correlations to "heterotrophic taxa of *Eukaryota*", we

excluded lineages of *Eukaryota* that contain completely phototrophic taxa

(*Chlorophyta*, *Archaeplastida*, Stramenopiles, and *Haptophyta*). Note, removing all

Stramenopiles from the analysis, also removed "MAST" groups, which may be

primarily heterotrophic. Other network-specific details regarding the taxonomic

groups considered for a given network are displayed either within figure legends or

figure headings.

Mantel tests were performed in R [52] via vegan package's [53] "mantel" calculation,

on a fully overlapping dataset of 41 samples. We excluded the 18S rRNA dataset

from this analysis because this dataset was missing many samples relative to the

other datasets due to low read counts. Additionally, we avoided using the smaller

size fraction (0.22-1 μm) phytoplankton rRNA and rRNA gene sequences for

statistical associations. This is because most phytoplankton taxa are not observed in

this fraction. However, the smaller phytoplankton do typically appear in the 1-300

μm size fraction. Thus, this size fraction is likely a more meaningful representation

of the phytoplankton community.

Results and Discussion

Over the initial six days of sampling, conditions at the sampling location, 1 km off

Catalina Island, CA, USA, were relatively stable, with chlorophyll-*a* concentrations of

0.5-1.5 μg/L (Figure 1). After the 6th sample, the ESP malfunctioned. During a 15-day

non-operational period, satellite data indicated that a modest increase (about

fourfold background levels) in chlorophyll-*a* concentration (indicative of

phytoplankton biomass) occurred throughout the San Pedro Channel. The increase

in phytoplankton biomass started near the Southern Californian coast (to the

northwest of the ESP deployment location) and then extended towards the sampling

location near Catalina Island, with the highest concentrations reaching closest to the

location of the ESP four days before the instrument was repaired and sampling

continued (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 3). When sampling resumed, the

chlorophyll-*a* concentrations were still elevated (though below peak levels

according to satellite data) and remained between 1-5 µg/L for the remainder of the

time-series. We noted cyclical patterns within the chlorophyll-*a* data, apparently

reflecting a combination of diel phytoplankton migrations and physiological

variations [54] and depth variations due to changes in tidal height and tidal currents

moving the instrument laterally (Figure 1).

Overall community dynamics

We performed sequencing of the small sub-unit of rRNA and rRNA gene sequences

of *Archaea*, *Bacteria*, and chloroplasts, as well as 18S rRNA and rRNA gene

sequences of *Eukaryota*. A major consideration is how to partition this data into

ecologically relevant communities. In this study, we partitioned the 16S rRNA and

rRNA gene sequences into two groups: 1.) "phytoplankton" (chloroplast sequences

and *Cyanobacteria*, i.e., the "primary producers") and 2.) "non-phytoplankton" (all

other *Bacteria* and *Archaea*, assumed here, for simplicity sake, to be largely

heterotrophic, i.e., the "secondary consumers"). Certainly, divisions between these

classically defined trophic levels are recognized as being "fuzzy," including the

common occurrence of various types of mixotrophs [1]. However, this approach was

taken because it allows a more independent assessment of the influence of the

major primary producer communities (in the surface ocean, phytoplankton) on the

secondary consumers, in a manner that combining the *Cyanobacteria* with the other

Bacteria and *Archaea* does not allow. Additionally, a complicating factor of primary

versus secondary producers is the fact that many bacteria and archaea have

phototrophic capability via proteorhodopsin [55] or bacteriochlorophyll [56,57],

and some are chemoautotrophs (e.g., *Thaumarchaeota* [58]). An obvious

consideration of including the *Cyanobacteria* in the same data partition as the

chloroplasts is that, on a cell-by-cell basis, chloroplast rRNA gene sequence will be

overrepresented relative to their true cellular abundances. This is because

cyanobacteria have a maximum of two rRNA gene copies per cell, whereas protists

can have many chloroplasts. Regardless of how the data are partitioned, at this

broad taxonomic level, differences in the biology, copy number, and PCR

amplification bias are unavoidable and thus a direct one-to-one relationship of the

read sequence proportions to biomass or cell numbers from sequence data is not

possible. However, relative changes in read proportions of taxa over the course of

two months at diel to daily time scales are expected to be ecologically informative.

Due to the difficulty of accurately predicting the primary lifestyle of many

eukaryotic taxa determined by 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences, the ability of

many protists to be mixotrophic [12], and because of the unknown presence of

chloroplasts in some lineages, we generally analyzed all of the *Eukaryota* rRNA and

rRNA gene OTUs as proportion of all *Eukaryota*, excluding only metazoan sequences.

Metazoan sequences appeared in the data sporadically and because their especially

high 18S rRNA gene copy number and multi-cellularity likely strongly biases the

data. Thus, we generally excluded metazoan sequences (e.g., copepods) because

their inclusion would alter the interpretation of a primary focus, the microbial

eukaryotes.

At the broadest level, the 16S rRNA gene sequences tended to be from non-

phytoplankton taxa, especially in the smaller size fraction, averaging 58% of the

total in the large size-fraction (1-300 μm) and 85% in the smaller size-fraction (0.22

– 1 μm)(Figure 2). Phytoplankton made up the majority of the rest of the rRNA gene

relative proportions, 39% and 15%, respectively, of the large and small size

fractions. 18S rRNA gene sequences made up 3.6% and 0.1% in the large and small

fractions, respectively. These values provide an overall view of the read frequencies

that can be expected from our "universal" sequencing approach, but they do not

provide insight into overall cell number or biomass of these partitions, where flow

cytometry or microscopy would be more useful. Additionally, because the data are

compositional, meaning always a proportion of 100%, a decrease in one partition of

the data necessarily results in an increase in another partition, even when there may

have been no absolute response in the latter. This also applies to individual ASV

relative abundances.

In contrast to the rRNA gene, the relative proportion of phytoplankton rRNA was

higher than non-phytoplankton rRNA in the larger size fraction (65% and 35%,

respectively). In the smaller size fraction, the proportions of non-phytoplankton and

phytoplankton rRNA were of roughly equal proportion (averages of 53% and 43%,

respectively), with the exception of following the phytoplankton bloom when non-

phytoplankton made up >95% of the rRNA sequences in the small size fraction for

several sampling dates (Figure 2). In both size fractions, 18S rRNA always

constituted less than 10% of the total reads, and were almost always negligible in

the small size fraction (Figure 2). Thus, the rRNA sequence frequencies from the

different partitions are variable depending on size fraction and environmental

conditions, but we do not know the extent that this relates to relative community

activities, given the major differences in biology between the partitions.

Dynamics of individual phytoplankton taxa

Within the phytoplankton community, the *Synechococcus* ASVs tended to have the

highest read proportions in both rRNA and rRNA gene, in both size fractions (Figure

3). In the larger size fraction, one of two different *Synechococcus* ASVs were the

highest in read proportions in 24 and 44 of 50 days in rRNA gene and rRNA,

respectively. In the smaller size fraction, a single *Synechococcus* ASV was dominant

in all 47 rRNA gene sequenced samples, and in 52 of 53 of the rRNA sequenced

samples, with a *Prochlorococcus* ASV exceeding it on a single date in rRNA.

Besides *Synechococcus*, in the larger size fraction, a variety of eukaryotic

phytoplankton ASVs (via chloroplasts) were found to display the highest sequence

proportion among all phytoplankton ASVs for at least one sample within the time-

series in either the rRNA or rRNA gene-based analyses. This included ASVs of

Ostreococcus (14 days), *Teleaulax* (6 days), *Chrysochromulinaceae* (5 days),

Braarudosphaera (three days), and *Pseudo-nitzschia* (1 day) (Figure 3). Several

diatom ASVs, mostly *Chaetoceros* sp*.* and *Pseudo-nitzschia* sp., peaked in their

sequence proportions for a few days following the small phytoplankton biomass

increase (deduced from satellite chlorophyll-*a* measurements), which was likely

already decreasing by the time we resumed sampling (Supplementary Figure 4).

Pico-eukaryotic phytoplankton taxa (i.e., *Bathycoccus*, *Micromonas*, and

Ostreococcus) increased in relative read proportions steadily over the second half of

the time-series, and ultimately were the second and third most represented ASV in

the phytoplankton rRNA gene dataset on average (Supplementary Figure 4). In

addition, two ASVs of the diazotrophic, symbiotic unicellular cyanobacterium UCYN-

A were cumulatively 1.1% and 5.6% of sequences in the large size-fraction rRNA

gene and rRNA, respectively. UCYN-A constituted up to 25% of all rRNA

phytoplankton sequences in that size fraction (more detail below) (Supplementary

Figure 4). This observation of high rRNA and rRNA gene presence of UCYN-A in a

productive upwelling region is significant from an oceanographic standpoint

because they may be an important source of bio-available nitrogen (via nitrogen

fixation) in these surface waters even during spring and accompanying increases in

phytoplankton biomass. These observations and short-term dynamics complement

the previously documented activity of UCYN-A at this location throughout the year

where they were reported as particularly active in summer and winter [59].

In the smaller size fraction, besides *Cyanobacteria*, *Ostreococcus*, *Micromonas*,

Bathycoccous, and *Pelagomonas* were commonly high in sequence proportions

(Supplementary Figure 4). It appears that these taxa tended to be equally split

between both size fractions, with the exception of *Pelagomonas*, which had a higher

proportion in the small size fraction. Generally, *Cyanobacteria* tended to be a higher

proportion in the rRNA than rRNA gene, while the opposite was the case for the

eukaryotic phytoplankton in the small size fraction. It is unclear how much this

relates to the relative activities of the two groups, considering the likely major

differences in cellular physiology across domains.

Dynamics of individual non-phytoplankton taxa

Generally, a single SAR11 ASV had the highest rRNA gene sequence proportions of

the non-phytoplankton bacterial and archaeal communities in the small size fraction

(most abundant on 44 of 47 samples). In contrast, a variety of ASVs were observed

to make up the highest proportion of the sequences for at least one date in the larger

size fraction. In the rRNA gene sequences from the larger size fraction, the

dominance shifted between *Fluviicola* (24 days), *Roseovarius* (12), *Polaribacter* (3),

Roseibacillus (3), *Puniceicoccaceae* (*Verrucomicrobia*) (1), and Marine Group II

Euryarchaeota (1). For the rRNA, in both the smaller and larger size fractions, the

ASVs with highest proportions on a given day shifted among 11 and 10 different

taxa, respectively. We observed particularly rapid dynamics following the increase

in phytoplankton biomass (8 April – 13 April, Figure 3). For the large size fraction,

the same ASVs tended to be highest in read proportions in both the rRNA and rRNA

gene sequence datasets.

Previously, we reported on a larger diatom bloom that occurred three years earlier

at a location about 20 km away [7,10]. We also had daily resolved data for this time-

series. For that study we generated 99% OTUs and then discriminated ASVs within

the abundant OTUs (i.e., > 2.5% relative abundance on any given day, or 0.4% on

average). Overall, 119 of the 279 bacterial and archaeal ASVs that we report in the

present study were also reported in that previous study. For the present study, 15 of

the 20 ASVs that ever had the highest proportion of sequences across all samples

were also among the ASVs in the previous study. Several of the ASVs became most

relative abundant for at least one sample in both time-series: members of

Flavobacteraceae (*Polaribacter* and *Formosa*), *Verrucomicrobia* (*Roseibacillus* and

Puniceicoccaceae) Marine Group II *Euryarchaeota*, *Roseovarius*, and SAR11. The

rapid day-to-day variation in the 8 – 12 April period is similar to what we observed

previously, and the same ASVs of *Polaribacter*, *Roseibacillus*, and Marine Group II

Euryarchaeota became most abundant in response to increases in chlorophyll-*a*,

while *Roseovarius*, *Puniceicoccaceae*, and SAR11 peaked during more stable

conditions. However, the response here was not as pronounced as in 2011. In that

study, based on estimates from satellite imagery, the peak in chlorophyll-*a*

concentration was about fourfold larger. Thus, the 2011 bloom likely corresponded

to a larger release of organic material. The consistency between years of

phytoplankton bloom response, even among exact sequence variants, is similar to

those reported from the North Sea [60].

Often, particular ASVs were observed within both size fractions, but in the smaller

size fraction, their temporal variation and overall relative abundances were reduced

due to the sustained high relative abundance of SAR11 ASVs (cumulatively 23% and

30% in the rRNA and rRNA gene in 0.2-1 µM, respectively versus 2% and 6% in the

1-300 µm size fraction). Besides SAR11, other non-photosynthetic taxa that were

relatively higher in the smaller fraction were SAR92 and SAR86 of

Gammaproteobacteria, and OCS116 of *Alphaproteobacteria*, (Figure 3,

Supplementary Figure 5). Notably a *Vibrio* ASV peaked up to 30% in rRNA

sequences and 2% in rRNA gene sequences. This is surprising considering that

Vibrios are typically thought to be "bloom-responders" [11] but here had high rRNA

proportions before the bloom.

Dynamics of individual eukaryotic taxa via 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences

The eukaryotic community (1-300 µm) via 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences was

often dominated by metazoans, such as herbivorous copepods (*Paracalanus*) and

larvaceans (*Oikopleura*, which can graze particles as small as bacteria). A single

copepod OTU (*Paracalanus* sp.) was the most represented in the rRNA gene on 34 of

50 samples and larvacean OTU (*Oikopleura dioica)* being most represented on 16 of

44 dates in the rRNA (Supplementary Figure 6). Excluding metazoans, we observed

20 different *Eukaryota* OTUs which became the highest in sequence proportions for

a given sample via rRNA gene, including 21 samples by ciliates (10 samples by

Mesodinium), 11 samples by chlorophytes (*Ostreococcus* (4), *Bathycoccus* (5),

Micromonas (2)), and 9 samples by dinoflagellates (primarily *Gyrodinium* and

Gymnodinium four and two samples, respectively) (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure

7). Similarly, ciliates were typically the highest in sequence proportions in the rRNA

(29 of 44 days). However, in contrast to the rRNA gene, Stramenopiles were

commonly the highest in sequence proportions (14 of 44 dates) in the rRNA. As

suggested by this high variability, Bray-Curtis community similarity across samples

showed that the eukaryotic community via 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences was

more variable than the 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences of bacteria, archaea, and

phytoplankton (Supplementary Figure 8). This is despite the fact that the 18S rRNA

and rRNA gene datasets were assessed using less resolving OTU-based approach

rather than the MED-based approach for the 16S rRNA and rRNA gene datasets. The

reasons that the dominance patterns vary between rRNA and rRNA gene are

probably a combination of copy number differences and levels of activity, even given

that dormant cells have a baseline level of rRNA [20].

Correlations between taxa

Previously most marine microbial community pairwise correlative analyses have

been between the abundance of organisms irrespective of activity [2]. However for

many types of microbial interactions, it would be valuable to consider some

indicator of activity level of the organisms as well. We aimed to do so here by

including rRNA in addition to the rRNA gene relative abundances in the co-

occurrence patterns between taxa. We first examined known two-organism

symbiotic interactions that occur among abundant taxa within our samples. Then,

we examined the strong correlations across all taxa to identify possible interactions

among and between domains, such as syntrophy, symbiosis, or grazing.

UCYN-A and Braarudosphaera

A widely distributed and important group of cyanobacterial nitrogen fixers,

commonly known as UCYN-A, has a greatly reduced genome and metabolic

deficiencies that are evidently met by having a symbiotic relationship with algae

[25,61–63]. At least four types of UCYN-A have been reported (denoted UCYN-A1,

A2, A3, and A4) and these types likely vary in their hosts [61]. The most well-

supported UCYN-A symbiosis is a relationship between UCYN-A2 and the

haptophyte alga *Braarudosphaera bigelowii* [25,61,62]. Other UCYN-A types are

thought to be associated with different phytoplankton, including with species

closely related to *Braarudosphaera* [25].

We observed two ASVs of UCYN-A, each an exact match to a 16S rRNA gene

sequence from genome sequenced UCYN-A types. One ASV was a perfect match to

UCYN-A1 (gi|284809060) and another with a perfect match UCYN-A2

(gi|671395793) (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 7). These two ASVs differed by 3

bp over the 375 bp 16S rRNA gene sequences that we analyzed. The dynamics of the

rRNA gene relative abundance of the UCYN-A1 and UCYN-A2 were similar over the

full time-series (Spearman r= 0.64). There was a pronounced increase in both types

from 18 April to 25 April when UCYN-A1 increased from about 0.5% to about 3% in

rRNA gene proportions of all phytoplankton, while the increase in UCYN-A2 was less

pronounced (it peaked to about 1.5% on 25 April). Both UCYN-A types also peaked

in early March -- though the peaks were offset slightly (by one day via rRNA gene

sequences, two days via rRNA sequences). Both were relatively low in early and late

April. Overall, the rRNA levels of the two UCYN-A ASVs were similar in dynamics to

the rRNA gene and to one another (Figure 4), though the mid-to-late April peaks

were more similar in amplitude and timing in the rRNA than the rRNA gene when

UCYN-A1 was about twice as relatively abundant.

A single *Braarudosphaera bigelowii* ASV (1 bp different over 368 bp to an NCBI 16S

rRNA chloroplast gene sequence from *Braarudosphaera, Accession:* AB847986.2

[64]) was high in rRNA read proportions during March, low in early April, peaked

during the middle of April and decreased after April 24 (Figure 4). The rRNA and

rRNA gene of *Braarudosphaera* chloroplasts were correlated (0.64, *p* < 0.001).

In general, *Braarudosphaera* and UCYN-A were highly positively correlated, and the

best correlations were between the *Braarudosphaera rRNA gene* and UCYN-A1 rRNA

gene (*r*= 0.86, Figure 4c), while the correlation to UCYN-A2 rRNA genes was not as

strong (*r* = 0.76) (Supplementary Table 2). *Braarudosphaera* rRNA was correlated to

both UCYN-A1 and UCYN-A2 rRNA (*r*=0.81 and 0.83, respectively). UCYN-A1 rRNA

gene was also significantly correlated to *Braarudosphaera* rRNA (*r* = 0.63), but the

other combinations of rRNA to rRNA gene and vice-versa between these two taxa

were not as significantly correlated (i.e., *p* > 0.005). Given that the literature reports

a specific relationship between UCYN-A2 and *Braarudosphaera* and between UCYN-

A1 and a *Haptophyta* taxon closely related to *Braarudosphaera* [25], it may be that

the 16S rRNA gene sequence does not discriminate between distinct

Braarudosphaera or other taxa of *Haptophyta* that may be present.

We found that there were several other ASVs highly correlated to *Braarudosphaera,*

suggesting, at least, shared ecological preferences between these taxa and

Braarudosphaera. A dictyochophyte alga (*Dictyochophyraceae_* sp._6) (rRNA) had a

particularly strong correlation to *Braarudosphaera* rRNA (*r* = 0.86). Additionally,

*Puniceicoccaceae_*1 and *Puniceicoccaceae_*2 (*Verrucomicrobia*) rRNA and rRNA gene

were both very strongly correlated to *Braarudosphaera* (all *r* >0.81).

*Puniceicoccaceae_*2 was strongly correlated to UCYN-A1_1. Generally,

Verrucomicrobia are often found to be particle associated [7,65–67], and were

indeed enriched in the larger size fraction in our samples, suggesting possible

physical attachment in an association. FISH targeting *Braarudosphaera*, the two

UCYN-A ASVs, and the other potentially associated taxa could be used to

substantiate the correlative-based associations we report here.

Mesodinium and Teleaulax

Another known interaction between abundant taxa we observed is that of the ciliate

Mesodinium rubrum (=Myrionecta rubra) with the photosynthetic cryptophyte,

Teleaulax. In this interaction, *Mesodinium* phagocytizes *Teleaulax* and retains

functioning *Telaulax* chloroplast within the *Mesodinium* cell, becoming functionally

phototrophic [68]. The exact nature and mechanisms of the interaction is unclear,

but the *Teleaulax* chloroplasts can remain intact and apparently funtional for days to

weeks within the *Mesodinium* [69,70]. It is unclear to what extent the relationship is

most similar to kleptochloroplastic relationships, whereby chloroplasts are

consumed and used until they lose function without nuclear assistance; or a

karyokleptic relationship, whereby chloroplasts can be maintained by consuming

and retention of the nucleus of grazed *Teleaulax* [69]. Further, a dinoflagellate,

Dinophysis, obtains its chloroplasts by feeding on *Mesodinium*, which in that case

would be an intermediate source from *Telaulax* [71,72].

We found that *Mesodinium* and *Teleaulax* sequences were generally among the

highest taxa in overall rRNA gene sequence proportions found in the eukaryotic

community (18S rRNA gene) and phytoplankton communities (via 16S rRNA gene

sequences of chloroplasts), respectively (Figure 3, Supplementary 4 and 7). On

average, the *Teleaulax* ASV (an exact sequence match over the full 374 bp to

Teleaulax amphioxeia, Supplementary Figure 9) made up 5.5% and 12.4% of

chloroplast rRNA gene and rRNA, respectively. The most abundant *Mesodinium* OTU

(an exact match to *Mesodinium major* strain LGC-2011, Supplementary Figure 10)

made up 2.0% and 2.5% of 18S rRNA gene and rRNA sequences (Figure 5),

respectively. The rRNA gene sequences of these taxa increased in abundance

between 15 April and 20 April, and again between 24 April and 26 April. The

Spearman correlation between the rRNA gene of these taxa (*Mesodinium* and

Teleaulax_amphioxea_1 chloroplasts) was 0.86 and neither taxa had significant

correlations to any other taxa (Figure 5d).

A second abundant *Teleaulax* chloroplast sequence (3 bp different from the best

match, *Teleaulax amphioxeia*) was also commonly detected with an average

sequence proportion of 2.0% and 1.6% of rRNA gene and rRNA sequences,

respectively. This *Teleaulax* chloroplast ASV was not significantly correlated with

Mesodinium. However, it was significantly correlated with a *Teleaulax* 18S rRNA

gene OTU ($r = 0.67$, $p < 0.005$, Figure 5d). Unlike the *Mesodinium-Teleaulax*

association, these *Teleaulax* sequences were positively correlated with many ASVs,

rRNA genes of *Synechococcus*, *Alphaproteobacteria* (OCS116 and *Defluuivicoccus*),

the NS5 genus of *Bacteroidetes*, Marine Group II *Euryarchaeota*, and *Sphingobacteria*

(all $r > 0.7$). Finally, while we observed *Dinophysis* in our samples (Supplementary

Figure 4-5), they did not have significant correlations to support a *Mesodinium* or

Teleaulax interaction; however such a statistical relationship may not be expected if

the abundance of *Dinophysis* is not dependent on contemporaneous availability of

Mesodinium-Teleaulax via a specific grazing dependency.

Our observations of strong, consistent relationship over about 1.5 months between

specific types of *Mesodinium* and chloroplasts from *Teleaulax* lends support to the

hypothesis that that *Mesodinium* can maintain chloroplasts a long time with the

periodic help of *Teleaulax* nuclei [69]. Additionally, based on correlation between

Teleaulax 18S rRNA gene OTU and a second *Teleaulax* 16S rRNA gene ASV, it

appears a second strain of free-living *Teleaulax* is present that may not be

associated with *Mesodinium* cells. Because a single *Teleaulax* nucleus in a

Mesodinium cell might support replication of many more captured chloroplasts than

would be found in a single *Teleaulax* cell [69], detection of associated *Teleaulax*

nuclei might be hard to discern via correlations between 18S rRNA genes in our

system. Other *Teleaulax* nuclei may be present but in lesser abundance (and 18S

rRNA gene copies per cell), reducing the ability to regularly detect them in strong

co-occurrence with the *Teleaulax* chloroplasts and *Mesodinium*.

Other correlations between taxa

To gain an understanding for how the communities changed in relation to one

another, overall, we performed Mantel tests. All the different communities were

significantly correlated ($p < 0.001$). The non-phytoplankton (regardless of which

non-phytoplankton dataset is considered) were more strongly related to the

phytoplankton rRNA gene dataset than phytoplankton rRNA dataset

(Supplementary Figure 11). The strong correlation between phytoplankton and

non-phytoplankton is similar to those that we previously reported [7]. The types of

interactions that are driving this strong correlation is unclear, but could be

symbiotic, mutualistic, or antagonistic [1,2,73,74]. Another hypothesis is that

different phytoplankton communities generate different suspended and sinking

marine aggregates that in turn harbor different bacterial and archaeal communities.

Further substantiation and insight into these associations will require a variety of

techniques, including microscopy, single cell isolation, and ultimately cultivation.

There was a relatively weak correlation between *Eukaryota* by 18S rRNA and rRNA

gene sequences to the other communities, as has also been shown in another time-

series study [75]. This may be because phagotrophs are less species-specific (e.g.,

phagocytize all similarly sized taxa) [76,77].

For pairwise correlations, several of the phytoplankton-to-heterotrophic bacteria

correlations are the same as those that we previously reported [7], including those

between *Rhodobacteraceae*, *Polaribacter* (*Flavobacteriaceae*), and SAR92 to diatoms

Pseudo-nitzschia and *Chaetoceros* (Figure 6), suggesting that these correlations are

specific and repeatable between different time-series even though they were

separated by 3 years and about 20 km. The associations of these prokaryotic groups

with phytoplankton, especially in diatom blooms, have been reported previously,

with responses at time-scales from weeks to months [6,9,11,60]. We also observed a

group of highly positively correlated *Prochlorococcus* ASV to various taxa from

Flavobacteriaceae and *Verrucomicrobia*, indicating the shared ecosystem

preferences or interactions (Figure 6).

In addition to the types of interactions previously described, we also observed many

strong correlations between heterotrophic or mixotrophic eukaryotic taxa and

potential symbionts or prey (Figure 7). Of these, only five taxa had strong

correlations (|Spearman r | > 0.7, p < 0.005) to bacteria or phytoplankton; of these,

four were ciliates. In addition to the relationship between *Mesodinium* and *Teleaulax*

described previously, the ciliate OTUs of *Strombidium* were shown to have

correlations to a variety of *Bacteria*, including *Flavobacteriaceae*,

Gammaproteobacteria, relatively rare ASVs classified as *Mycoplasma*, and *Pseudo-*

nitzschia. We observed no strong negative correlations (Spearman *r* < -0.7), in

contrast to many strong positive ones > 0.7 or even 0.8. Even though these taxa are

positively associated (seemingly implying mutual benefice), it is unclear if predator-

prey interactions would be expected to be positively or negatively correlated on this

time-scale. This would likely depend on factors such as specificity and turnover

times of the taxa involved. However, it appears that these particular ciliates may

have specific interactions with these bacteria, and may be good targets for future

analyses to determine the nature of these interactions.

Conclusions

Our results show a rapid, day-to-day response of particular microbial taxa to

changes in phytoplankton. In our study, we saw only a small increase in

phytoplankton biomass, relative to previous studies, yet many of the patterns

previously observed persisted. Observations of microbial dynamics via rRNA and

rRNA gene yielded somewhat similar results, though the overall proportions of taxa

could change between the rRNA and rRNA gene sequence datasets, with

phytoplankton often being the more represented among rRNA sequences. Our

results provide new *in-situ* characterizations of previously reported symbiotic

interactions which were between taxa with some of the highest average sequence

proportions that we observed across the whole time-series. These observations

suggested that the *Mesodinium*-to-*Teleaulax* chloroplast association appeared to

occur independently of other microbial interactions, while UCYN-A-to-

Braarudosphaera co-occurred with several other taxa. Overall, the study reiterates

the utility of short-term time-series for understanding environmental responses and

microbe-to-microbe interactions in which turnover times can be very fast.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation for funding (Grant Number: 3779) and their support of this project. We thank the Scholin Lab at the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute for deployment, data acquisition, quality control, and help with experimental design. In particular we thank Christina Preston, Roman Marin III, James Birch, Scott Jensen, Brent Roman, Bill Ussler, and Kevan Yamahara for their help with the ESP, and Charlotte Eckmann for valuable comments on the manuscript. We thank the Wrigley Institute of Environmental Studies for logical support, especially Gordon Boivin. We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support (Grant Number: 1136818).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

1. Worden AZ, Follows MJ, Giovannoni SJ, Wilken S, Zimmerman AE, Keeling PJ. Rethinking the marine carbon cycle: Factoring in the multifarious lifestyles of microbes. Science. 2015;347:1257594–1257594.

2. Fuhrman JA, Cram JA, Needham DM. Marine microbial community dynamics and their ecological interpretation. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13:133–46.

3. Gilbert JA, Steele JA, Caporaso JG, Steinbrück L, Reeder J, Temperton B, et al. Defining seasonal marine microbial community dynamics. ISME J. 2012;6:298–308.

4. Fuhrman JA, Hewson I, Schwalbach MS, Steele JA, Brown M V., Naeem S. Annually reoccurring bacterial communities are predictable from ocean conditions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103:13104–9.

5. Cram JA, Chow C-ET, Sachdeva R, Needham DM, Parada AE, Steele JA, et al. Seasonal and interannual variability of the marine bacterioplankton community throughout the water column over ten years. ISME J. 2014;9:563.

6. Teeling H, Fuchs BM, Becher D, Klockow C, Gardebrecht A, Bennke CM, et al. Substrate-controlled succession of marine bacterioplankton populations induced by a phytoplankton bloom. Science. 2012;336:608–11.

7. Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. Pronounced daily succession of phytoplankton,

archaea and bacteria following a spring bloom. Nat Microbiol. 2016;1:16005.

8. Fuhrman JA, Azam F. Thymidine incorporation as a measure of heterotrophic

bacterioplankton production in marine surface waters: Evaluation and field results.

Mar Biol. 1982;66:109–20.

9. Teeling H, Fuchs BM, Bennke CM, Krüger K, Chafee M, Kappelmann L, et al.

Recurring patterns in bacterioplankton dynamics during coastal spring algae

blooms. Elife. 2016;5:1–31.

10. Needham DM, Sachdeva R, Fuhrman JA. Ecological dynamics and co-occurrence

among marine phytoplankton, bacteria and myoviruses shows microdiversity

matters. ISME J. 2017;11:1614–29.

11. Buchan A, LeCleir GR, Gulvik CA, González JM. Master recyclers: features and

functions of bacteria associated with phytoplankton blooms. Nat Rev Microbiol.

2014;12:686–98.

12. Mitra A, Flynn KJ, Tillmann U, Raven JA, Caron DA, Stoecker DK, et al. Defining

planktonic protist functional groups on mechanisms for energy and nutrient

acquisition: incorporation of diverse mixotrophic strategies. Protist. Elsevier GmbH.;

2016;167:106–20.

13. Graf JS, Thingstad TF. The ecological role of water-column microbes in the sea.

Mar Ecol. 1983;10:257–63.

14. Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. Every base matters: assessing small

subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time-series

and global field samples. Environ Microbiol. 2016;18:1403–14.

15. Eren AM, Morrison HG, Lescault PJ, Reveillaud J, Vineis JH, Sogin ML. Minimum

entropy decomposition: Unsupervised oligotyping for sensitive partitioning of high-

throughput marker gene sequences. ISME J. 2014;9:968–79.

16. Callahan BJ, Mcmurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. DADA2 :

High resolution sample inference from amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13:581–3.

17. Tikhonov M, Leach RW, Wingreen NS. Interpreting 16S metagenomic data

without clustering to achieve sub-OTU resolution. ISME J. Nature Publishing Group;

2015;9:68–80.

18. Campbell BJ, Yu L, Heidelberg JF, Kirchman DL. Activity of abundant and rare

bacteria in a coastal ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:12776–81.

19. Hunt DE, Lin Y, Church MJ, Karl DM, Tringe SG, Izzo LK, et al. Relationship

between abundance and specific activity of bacterioplankton in open ocean surface

waters. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79:177–84.

20. Blazewicz SJ, Barnard RL, Daly RA, Firestone MK. Evaluating rRNA as an

indicator of microbial activity in environmental communities: limitations and uses.

ISME J. Nature Publishing Group; 2013;7:2061–8.

21. Lankiewicz TS, Cottrell MT, Kirchman DL. Growth rates and rRNA content of four

marine bacteria in pure cultures and in the Delaware estuary. ISME J. Nature

Publishing Group; 2016;10:823–32.

22. Brown M V., Fuhrman JA. Marine bacterial microdiversity as revealed by internal

transcribed spacer analysis. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2005;41:15–23.

23. de Vargas C, Audic S, Henry N, Decelle J, Mahé F, Logares R, et al. Eukaryotic

plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. Science. 2015;348:1261605-1–11.

24. Johnson MD, Beaudoin DJ, Laza-Martinez A, Dyhrman ST, Fensin E, Lin S, et al.

The genetic diversity of *Mesodinium* and associated cryptophytes. Front Microbiol.

2016. p. 2017.

25. Zehr JP, Shilova IN, Farnelid HM, Muñoz-Marín M del C, Turk-Kubo KA. Unusual

marine unicellular symbiosis with the nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium UCYN-A. Nat

Microbiol. 2016;2:1:10.

26. Scholin C, Doucette G, Jensen S, Roman B, Pargett D, Marin RI, et al. Remote

detection of marine microbes, small invertebrates, harmful algae, and biotoxins

using the Environmental Sample Processor (ESP). Oceanography. 2009;22:158–67.

27. Ottesen EA, Marin R, Preston CM, Young CR, Ryan JP, Scholin CA, et al.

Metatranscriptomic analysis of autonomously collected and preserved marine

bacterioplankton. ISME J. 2011;5:1881–95.

28. Gray MA, Pratte ZA, Kellogg CA. Comparison of DNA preservation methods for

environmental bacterial community samples. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2013;83:468–

77.

29. McCarthy A, Chiang E, Schmidt ML, Denef VJ. RNA preservation agents and

nucleic acid extraction method bias perceived bacterial community composition.

PLoS One. 2015;10:1–14.

30. Trinh RC, Fichot CG, Gierach MM, Holt B, Malakar NK, Hulley G, et al. Application

of Landsat 8 for monitoring impacts of wastewater discharge on coastal water

quality. Front Mar Sci. 2017;4:329.

31. Fuhrman JA, Comeau DE, Hagstrom A, Chan AM. Extraction from natural

planktonic microorganisms of DNA suitable for molecular biological studies. Appl

Environ Microbiol. 1988;54:1426–9.

32. Countway PD, Gast RJ, Savai P, Caron DA. Protistan diversity estimates based on

18S rDNA from seawater incubations in the western North Atlantic. J Eukaryot

Microbiol. 2005;52:95–106.

33. Edgar RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon

reads. Nat Methods. 2013;10:996–8.

34. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal. 2011;17.

35. Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, et al. SILVA: a

comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA

sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35:7188–96.

36. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et

al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat

Methods. 2010;7:335–6.

37. Eren AM, Maignien L, Sul WJ, Murphy LG, Grim SL, Morrison HG, et al.

Oligotyping: Differentiating between closely related microbial taxa using 16S rRNA

gene data. Methods Ecol Evol. 2013;4:1111–9.

38. McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, et al. An

improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary

analyses of bacteria and archaea. ISME J. Nature Publishing Group; 2012;6:610–8.

39. Decelle J, Romac S, Stern RF, Bendif EM, Zingone A, Audic S, et al. PhytoREF: a

reference database of the plastidial 16S rRNA gene of photosynthetic eukaryotes

with curated taxonomy. Mol Ecol Resour. 2015;15:1435–45.

40. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.

Bioinformatics. 2010;26:2460–1.

41. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search
tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215:403–10.

42. Zehr JP, Bench SR, Carter BJ, Hewson I, Niazi F, Shi T, et al. Globally distributed
uncultivated oceanic N2-fixing cyanobacteria lack oxygenic photosystem II. Nature.
2008;322:1110–2.

43. Bombar D, Heller P, Sanchez-Baracaldo P, Carter BJ, Zehr JP. Comparative
genomics reveals surprising divergence of two closely related strains of
uncultivated UCYN-A cyanobacteria. ISME J. Nature Publishing Group; 2014;8:2530–
42.

44. Zhang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, Stamatakis A. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina
Paired-End reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics. Oxford University Press; 2014;30:614–20.

45. Jeraldo P, Kalari K, Chen X, Bhavsar J, Mangalam A, White B, et al. IM-TORNADO:
a tool for comparison of 16S reads from paired-end libraries. PLoS One.
2014;9:e114804.

46. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naïve Bayesian classifier for rapid
assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ
Microbiol. American Society for Microbiology; 2007;73:5261–7.

47. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:1792–7.

48. Guindon S, Dufayard J, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New
algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing
the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol. 2010;59:307–21.

49. Johnson MD, Tengs T, Oldach DW, Delwiche CF, Stoecker DK. Highly divergent
SSU rRNA genes found in the marine ciliates *Myrionecta rubra* and *Mesodinium*
pulex. Protist. 2004;155:347–59.

50. Xia LC, Steele JA, Cram JA, Cardon ZG, Simmons SL, Vallino JJ, et al. Extended local
similarity analysis (eLSA) of microbial community and other time series data with
replicates. BMC Syst Biol. BioMed Central Ltd; 2011;5:1–12.

51. Xia LC, Ai D, Cram JA, Fuhrman JA, Sun F. Efficient statistical significance
approximation for local similarity analysis of high-throughput time series data.

Bioinformatics. 2013;29:230–7.

52. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
Austria; 2015.

53. Oksanen AJ, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, Hara RBO, et al.
vegan: Community Ecology Package. 2015;

54. Dandonneau Y, Neveux J. Diel variations of in vivo fluorescence in the eastern
equatorial Pacific: an unvarying pattern. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr.
1997;44:1869–80.

55. Fuhrman JA, Schwalbach MS, Stingl U. Proteorhodopsins: an array of
physiological roles? Nat Rev Micro. Nature Publishing Group; 2008;6:488–94.

56. Béjà O, Suzuki MT, Heidelberg JF, Nelson WC, Preston CM, Hamada T, et al.
Unsuspected diversity among marine aerobic anoxygenic phototrophs. Nature.
2002;415:630–3.

57. Schwalbach MS, Fuhrman JA. Wide-ranging abundances of aerobic anoxygenic
phototrophic bacteria in the world ocean revealed by epifluorescence microscopy
and quantitative PCR. Limnol Oceanogr. 2005;50:620–8.

58. Könneke M, Bernhard AE, de la Torre JR, Walker CB, Waterbury JB, Stahl DA.
Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. Nature.
2005;437:543–6.

59. Hamersley M, Turk K, Leinweber A, Gruber N, Zehr JP, Gunderson T, et al.
Nitrogen fixation within the water column associated with two hypoxic basins
within the Southern California Bight. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2011;63:193–205.

60. Chafee M, Fernàndez-Guerra A, Buttigieg PL, Gerdts G, Eren AM, Teeling H, et al.
Recurrent patterns of microdiversity in a temperate coastal marine environment.
ISME J. 2017;1–16.

61. Farnelid H, Turk-Kubo K, Muñoz-Marín M, Zehr JP. New insights into the ecology
of the globally significant uncultured nitrogen-fixing symbiont UCYN-A. Aquat
Microb Ecol. 2016;77:125–38.

62. Thompson AW, Foster RA, Krupke A, Carter BJ, Musat N, Vaulot D, et al.
Unicellular cyanobacterium symbiotic with a single-celled eukaryotic alga. Science.
2012;337:1546–50.

63. Tripp HJ, Bench SR, Turk K a, Foster R a, DeSantis TZ, Niazi F, et al. Metabolic

streamlining in an open-ocean nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium. Nature.

2010;464:90–4.

64. Hagino K, Onuma R, Kawachi M, Horiguchi T. Discovery of an endosymbiotic

nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium UCYN-A in *Braarudosphaera bigelowii*

(Prymnesiophyceae). PLoS One. 2013;8:e81749.

65. Crespo BG, Pommier T, Fernández-Gómez B, Pedrós-Alió C. Taxonomic

composition of the particle-attached and free-living bacterial assemblages in the

Northwest Mediterranean Sea analyzed by pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA.

Microbiologyopen. 2013;2:541–52.

66. Rath J, Wu KY, Herndl GJ, DeLong EF. High phylogenetic diversity in a marine

snow-associated bacterial assemblage. Aquat Microb Ecol. 1998;14:261–9.

67. Mestre M, Borrull E, Sala Mm, Gasol JM. Patterns of bacterial diversity in the

marine planktonic particulate matter continuum. ISME J. 2017;1–12.

68. Gustafson DE, Stoecker DK, Johnson MD, Van Heukelem WF, Sneider K.

Cryptophyte algae are robbed of their organelles by the marine ciliate *Mesodinium*

rubrum. Nature. 2000;405:1049–52.

69. Johnson MD, Oldach D, Delwiche CF, Stoecker DK. Retention of transcriptionally

active cryptophyte nuclei by the ciliate *Myrionecta rubra*. Nature. 2007;445:426–8.

70. Herfort L, Peterson TD, McCue LA, Crump BC, Prahl FG, Baptista AM, et al.

Myrionecta rubra population genetic diversity and its cryptophyte chloroplast

specificity in recurrent red tides in the Columbia River estuary. Aquat Microb Ecol.

2011;62:85–97.

71. Garcia-Cuetos L, Moestrup Ø, Hansen PJ, Daugbjerg N. The toxic dinoflagellate

Dinophysis acuminata harbors permanent chloroplasts of cryptomonad origin, not

kleptochloroplasts. Harmful Algae. 2010;9:25–38.

72. Sjöqvist CO, Lindholm TJ. Natural co-occurrence of *Dinophysis acuminata*

(Dinoflagellata) and *Mesodinium rubrum* (Ciliophora) in thin layers in a coastal inlet.

J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2011;58:365–72.

73. Amin SA, Parker MS, Armbrust EV. Interactions between diatoms and bacteria.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2012;76:667–84.

74. Amin SA, Hmelo LR, van Tol HM, Durham BP, Carlson LT, Heal KR, et al.

Interaction and signalling between a cosmopolitan phytoplankton and associated

bacteria. Nature. 2015;522:98–101.

75. Chow C-ET, Kim DY, Sachdeva R, Caron DA, Fuhrman JA. Top-down controls on

bacterial community structure: microbial network analysis of bacteria, T4-like

viruses and protists. ISME J. Nature Publishing Group; 2014;8:816–29.

76. Hahn MW, Höfle MG. Flagellate predation on a bacterial model community:

Interplay of size-selective grazing, specific bacterial cell size, and bacterial

community composition. Appl Environ Microbiol. American Society for

Microbiology; 1999;65:4863–72.

77. Gonzalez JM, Sherr EB, Sherr BF. Size-selective grazing on bacteria by natural

assemblages of estuarine flagellates and ciliates. Appl Environ Microbiol.

1990;56:583–9.

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

Figure Legends

Figure 1 | Environmental context for the Environmental Sample Processor (ESP) deployment near Santa Catalina Island 18 Mar-1 May 2014. Sampling did not occur for about two weeks 23 Mar - 9 Apr due to ESP disconnection. Before the interruption, the microbial community was collected daily at 10:00, and, after, twice daily at 10:00 and 22:00. During the interruption, (**a**) satellite chlorophyll-*a* measurements indicated a small increase in chlorophyll-*a* occurred throughout the San Pedro Channel, peaking four days before resumption of sampling. (**b**) Temperature and depth, and (**c**) chlorophyll-*a* CTD ESP concentrations were measured every five minutes (the thin lines); chlorophyll-*a* data 12 – 15 April is missing due to poor quality. Satellite chlorophyll-*a* is the mean of 3-x-3 pixels (~ 3-x-3 km area) covering the region surrounding the ESP; the error bars are the standard deviation of the nine pixels used in calculation of the mean. Note, in these waters, the satellite-derived chlorophyll-*a* concentrations are generally representative of the upper 5 m of the water column, and the ESP was deployed between 5-20 m, so some variation in absolute concentration is expected. Tide data are observed water measurements for nearby Los Angeles, CA. Circles represent the average value during sample collection for microbial community analyses (usually about 30 minutes). (**b**) and (**c**) Sample collection times are indicated by ticks at the top of the figures.

Figure 2 | Dynamics of the overall proportion of sequences observed using a single "universal" primer. The data was split into three partitions: "Phytoplankton" (green, chloroplast and cyanobacterial rRNA and rRNA gene sequences), "Non-Phytoplankton" (black, all remaining bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences), and *Eukaryota* sequences (red, all 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences). Data are shown for the (**a**) 1-300 μm size fraction rRNA gene sequences, (**b**) 1-300 μm rRNA sequences, (**c**) 0.2-1 μm rRNA gene sequences, and (**d**) 0.2-1 μm rRNA sequences. Satellite chlorophyll-*a* concentrations and standard deviations (shown in yellow) are estimated by 3-x-3 pixels surrounding the ESP as in Figure 1.

Figure 3 | Daily to semi-daily 16S and 18S rRNA and rRNA gene dynamics of

microbial taxa. Heatmaps include data from (**a**) "non-phytoplankton" *Bacteria* and

Archaea via 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences, (**b**) "phytoplankton", via 16S rRNA

and rRNA gene sequences of chloroplasts and *Cyanobacteria*, and (**c**) *Eukaryota* taxa

via 18S rRNA and rRNA gene, excluding metazoan sequences. Only ASVs or OTUs

that ever became taxon with the highest proportion of sequences within a given

dataset for at least one sample are shown. The tree shows the phylogenetic

relatedness of the ASV or OTU according to the amplicon-sequenced region. Note

that *Mesodinium* is known to have a very aberrant 18S rRNA gene sequence [49].

For the dates where two samples were taken per day (10:00 AM and 10:00 PM, 10

April - 1 May), a dash underneath a given sample indicates the sample was taken at

night. All 16S rRNA and rRNA gene ASVs shown here were also detected during the

2011 diatom bloom study [7,10], except where "--" is found next to the ASV name;

asterisks next to taxon names indicate that ASV was also found to most abundant

during the 2011 study.

1069

Figure 4 | Co-occurrence of symbionts UCYN-A and *Braarudosphaera.* Relative

proportions are via 16S (**a**) rRNA genes and (**b**) rRNA as proportion of all

phytoplankton chloroplasts and *Cyanobacteria* sequences in the 1-300 μm size

fraction. (**c**) Co-occurrence network of taxa positively correlated to UCYN-A or

Braarudosphaera taxa where circles, squares, and diamonds represent

phytoplankton, non-phytoplankton, and *Eukaryota* rRNA and rRNA gene ASVs or

OTUs, respectively. Nodes filled in with gray shading are from the 1-300 μm size

fraction, and those with no shading (open) are from the 0.2-1 μm size fractions,

respectively. Darker gray nodes indicate the UCYN-A and *Braarudosphaera* nodes. A

dashed line surrounding a node indicates the node represents data from the rRNA

dataset, whereas a solid line or no-line indicates rRNA gene. Lines connecting edges

indicate positive correlations (Spearman $r > 0.80$, p & $q < 0.001$) and line thickness

corresponds with strength of correlation.

1083

Figure 5 | Co-occurrence of symbionts of *Mesodinium rubrum* and *Teleaulax*.

Dynamics of the dominant ASVs of *Mesodinium* and *Teleaulax* chloroplast via (**a**)

rRNA gene sequences, and (**b**) rRNA sequences. Additionally, the dynamics of a (**c**)

second *Teleaulax* chloroplast ASV and the *Teleaulax* with highest sequence

proportions via 18S rRNA genes. (**d**) Co-occurrence network of taxa positively

correlated to *Mesodinium* and *Teleaulax* showing that the dynamics of the apparent

symbionts are not correlated to other taxa. Network colors and shapes are the same

as in Figure 4.

1092

Figure 6 | Network showing pairwise positive correlations between

phytoplankton and non-phytoplankton or *Eukaryota* rRNA and rRNA ASV or

OTU relative proportions. As in Figure 4, nodes filled in with gray shading are

from the 1-300 μm size fraction and those with no shading (open) are from the 0.2-1

μm size fraction. A dashed line surrounding a node indicates the node represents

data from the rRNA sequence dataset, whereas a solid line or no-line indicates rRNA

gene sequence dataset. Connecting lines indicate positive correlations (Spearman >

0.80, p & q < 0.001) and line thickness corresponds with strength of correlation.

Only taxa with average relative abundance > 0.5% are shown.

1102

Figure 7 | Network showing pairwise positive correlations between

heterotrophic *Eukaryota* to *Bacteria* and phytoplankton. Vertical lines

surrounding a node indicates the node represents data from the rRNA sequence

dataset, whereas no-line indicates rRNA gene sequence dataset. Lines connecting

edges indicate correlations (Spearman > 0.70, p & q < 0.001; no correlations were

observed < -0.70) and line thickness corresponds with strength of correlation. MAST

heterotrophs would not show (see methods).

1110

Figure 1

Figure 1 | Environmental context for the Environmental Sample Processor (ESP) deployment near Santa Catalina Island 18 Mar-1 May 2014. Sampling did not occur for about two weeks 23 Mar - 9 Apr due to ESP disconnection. Before the interruption, the microbial community was collected daily at 10:00, and, after, twice daily at 10:00 and 22:00. During the interruption, (**a**) satellite chlorophyll-*a* measurements indicated a small increase in chlorophyll-a occurred throughout the San Pedro Channel, peaking four days before resumption of sampling. (**b**) Temperature and depth, and (**c**) chlorophyll-*a* CTD ESP concentrations were measured every five minutes (the thin lines); chlorophyll-a data 12 – 15 April is missing due to poor quality. Satellite chlorophyll-a is the mean of 3-x-3 pixels (~ 3-x-3 km area) covering the region surrounding the ESP; the error bars are the standard deviation of the nine pixels used in calculation of the mean. Note, in these waters, the satellite-derived chlorophyll-a concentrations are generally representative of the upper 5 m of the water column, and the ESP was deployed between 5-20 m, so some variation in absolute concentration is expected. Tide data are observed water measurements for nearby Los Angeles, CA. Circles represent the average value during sample collection for microbial community analyses (usually about 30 minutes). (**b**) and (**c**) Sample collection times are indicated by ticks at the top of the figures.

Figure 2

Figure 2 | Dynamics of the overall proportion of sequences observed using a single "universal" primer. The data was split into three partitions: "Phytoplankton" (green, chloroplast and cyanobacterial rRNA and rRNA gene sequences), "Non-Phytoplankton" (black, all remaining bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences), and *Eukaryota* sequences (red, all 18S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences). Data are shown for the (**a**) 1-300 µm size fraction rRNA gene sequences, (**b**) 1-300 µm rRNA sequences, (**c**) 0.2-1 µm rRNA gene sequences, and (**d**) 0.2-1 µm rRNA sequences. Satellite chlorophyll-*a* concentrations and standard deviations (shown in yellow) are estimated by 3-x-3 pixels surrounding the ESP as in Figure 1.

Figure 3

Figure 3 | Daily to semi-daily 16S and 18S rRNA and rRNA gene dynamics of microbial taxa. Heatmaps include data from (**a**) "non-phytoplankton" Bacteria and Archaea via 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences, (**b**) "phytoplankton", via 16S rRNA and rRNA gene sequences of chloroplasts and Cyanobacteria, and (**c**) *Eukaryota* taxa via 18S rRNA and rRNA gene, excluding metazoan sequences. Only ASVs or OTUs that ever became taxon with the highest proportion of sequences within a given dataset for at least one sample are shown. The tree shows the phylogenetic relatedness of the ASV or OTU according to the amplicon-sequenced region. Note that Meso-dinium is known to have a very aberrant 18S rRNA gene sequence [49]. For the dates where two samples were taken per day (10:00 AM and 10:00 PM, 10 April - 1 May), a dash underneath a given sample indicates the sample was taken at night. All 16S rRNA and rRNA gene ASVs shown here were also detected during the 2011 diatom bloom study [7,10], except where "--" is found next to the ASV name; asterisks next to taxon names indicate that ASV was also found to most abundant during the 2011 study.

Figure 4

Figure 4 | Co-occurrence of symbionts UCYN-A and Braarudosphaera. Relative proportions are via 16S (**a**) rRNA genes and (**b**) rRNA as proportion of all phytoplankton chloroplasts and Cyanobacteria sequences in the 1-300 μm size fraction. (**c**) Co-occurrence network of taxa positively correlated to UCYN-A or *Braarudosphaera* taxa where circles, squares, and diamonds represent phytoplankton, non-phytoplankton, and Eukaryota rRNA and rRNA gene ASVs or OTUs, respectively. Nodes filled in with gray shading are from the 1-300 μm size fraction, and those with no shading (open) are from the 0.2-1 μm size fractions, respectively. Darker gray nodes indicate the UCYN-A and *Braarudosphaera* nodes. A dashed line surrounding a node indicates the node represents data from the rRNA dataset, whereas a solid line or no-line indicates rRNA gene. Lines connecting edges indicate positive correlations (Spearman *r* > 0.80, *p* & *q* < 0.001) and line thickness corresponds with strength of correlation.

Figure 5

Figure 5 | Co-occurrence of symbionts of *Mesodinium rubrum* and *Teleaulax*. Dynamics of the dominant ASVs of *Mesodinium* and *Teleaulax* chloroplast via (**a**) rRNA gene sequences, and (**b**) rRNA sequences. Additionally, the dynamics of a (**c**) second Teleaulax chloroplast ASV and the Teleaulax with highest sequence proportions via 18S rRNA genes. (**d**) Co-occurrence network of taxa positively correlated to *Mesodinium* and *Teleaulax* showing that the dynamics of the apparent symbionts are not correlated to other taxa. Network colors and shapes are the same as in Figure 4.

Figure 6   Correlations between phytoplankton and all other taxa

Figure 6 | Network showing pairwise positive correlations between phytoplankton and non-phytoplankton or *Eukaryota* rRNA and rRNA ASV or OTU relative proportions. As in Figure 4, nodes filled in with gray shading are from the 1-300 μm size fraction and those with no shading (open) are from the 0.2-1 μm size fraction. A dashed line surrounding a node indicates the node represents data from the rRNA sequence dataset, whereas a solid line or no-line indicates rRNA gene sequence dataset. Connecting lines indicate positive correlations (Spearman > 0.80, *p* & *q* < 0.001) and line thickness corresponds with strength of correlation. Only taxa with average relative abundance > 0.5% are shown.

Figure 7

Correlations between heterotrophic taxa of *Eukaryota* and all other taxa

Figure 7 | Network showing pairwise positive correlations between heterotrophic *Eukaryota* to *Bacteria* and phytoplankton. Vertical lines surrounding a node indicates the node represents data from the rRNA sequence dataset, whereas no-line indicates rRNA gene sequence dataset. Lines connecting edges indicate correlations (Spearman > 0.70, *p* & *q* < 0.001; no correlations were observed < -0.70) and line thickness corresponds with strength of correlation. MAST heterotrophs would not show (see methods).