Within-host dynamics explain patterns of antibiotic resistance in

commensal bacteria

Nicholas G. Davies[1,2*], Stefan Flasche[1,2], Mark Jit[1,2,3], Katherine E. Atkins[1,2]

[1] Centre for Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases, London School of

Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT, UK.

[2] Department for Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and

Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London

WC1E 7HT, UK.

[3] Modelling and Economics Unit, Public Health England, London SE1 8UG, UK.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail:

Nicholas.Davies@lshtm.ac.uk

The spread of antibiotic resistance, a major threat to human health, is poorly understood. Empirically, resistant strains gradually increase in prevalence as antibiotic consumption increases, but current mathematical models predict a sharp transition between full sensitivity and full resistance. In other words, we do not understand what drives persistent coexistence between resistant and sensitive strains of disease-causing bacteria in host populations. Without knowing what drives patterns of resistance, we cannot accurately predict the impact of potential strategies for managing resistance. Here, we show that within-host dynamics— bacterial growth, strain competition, and host immune responses— promote frequency-dependent selection for resistant strains, explaining patterns of resistance at the population level. By capturing these processes in a parsimonious mathematical framework, we resolve a long-standing conflict between theory and observation. Our models capture widespread coexistence for multiple bacteria-drug combinations across 30 European countries and explain associations between carriage prevalence and resistance prevalence among bacterial subtypes. A mechanistic understanding of resistance evolution is needed to accurately forecast the impact and effectiveness of resistance-management strategies.

Despite the global public health threat of antibiotic resistance[1,2], we currently lack a mechanistic understanding of how resistance spreads in human populations[3]. This gap in our knowledge is reflected in the inability of mathematical models to explain why resistance prevalence gradually increases as we move from countries with low antibiotic consumption to those with high

antibiotic consumption[3–8] (Fig. 1A). Although the mechanism driving this pattern

seems intuitively obvious—greater antibiotic consumption selects for more

resistance—the simplest models of disease transmission (Fig. 1B) are unable to

capture the gradual rise that is observed. Instead, these models predict

competitive exclusion[9]—that is, that above a particular level of antibiotic

consumption, resistant strains fully outcompete sensitive strains, while below

this treatment-rate threshold, they are unable to emerge at all (Fig. 1C).

This theoretical prediction stands in stark contrast to empirical patterns of

widespread coexistence between sensitive and resistant strains, a trend that

holds across multiple bacteria-drug combinations[6–8]. While previous work has

suggested that multiple strain carriage within individuals may promote limited

amounts of coexistence[3, 10], existing models cannot reproduce coexistence along

the 4- to 20-fold range of treatment rates over which it is observed. Moreover,

the reason why multiple carriage may promote limited coexistence is unclear.

Without identifying an explicit, general mechanism for widespread coexistence,

we will be unable to predict the likely impact of public health interventions for

managing resistance.

Here, we show that within-host dynamics explain observed patterns of

resistance in commensal bacteria. Specifically, we develop a suite of models that

explicitly integrate within-host bacterial growth, strain competition, and

bacterial subtype-specific host immune responses that, when calibrated to data

across 30 European countries, provides a parsimonious and general explanation

for empirical patterns of resistance in three commensal bacterial species, and

also explains patterns of resistance among competing subtypes in the

commensal bacterium *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.

Results

Existing models fail to capture widespread coexistence. We begin by

analysing a standard model of resistant disease transmission developed by

Lipsitch and colleagues[3, 10]. A central feature of this model is that hosts can

become dual carriers—that is, carriers of both sensitive and resistant strains

simultaneously—through sequential colonisation events (Fig. 2A). This model

makes two key assumptions: (1) that dual carriage is balanced, with each strain

carried in equal measure; and (2) that if a dual carrier is re-colonised, the

incoming strain "knocks out" one of the two resident strains at random.

Together, these assumptions preserve the crucial requirement of structural

neutrality at the population level[10], meaning that selection does not produce

coexistence "for free" by artificially promoting transmission of rare strains. In

this "within-host balancing" model, the amount of coexistence is governed by the

parameter k, the efficiency of co-colonisation relative to single colonisation.

While setting $k = 0$ eliminates dual carriage and recovers competitive exclusion,

allowing dual carriage ($0 < k \leq 1$) promotes limited coexistence (Fig. 2B). We

calibrated this model to European data on penicillin use and penicillin non-

susceptibility among *S. pneumoniae* (*Methods*). Due to the limited range of

coexistence predicted by the balancing model, we found that it cannot readily

capture observed patterns of resistance[3, 5] (Fig. 2C), even when co-colonisation is

more efficient than single colonisation ($k > 1$; text S2).

Although the balancing model preserves neutrality at the between-host level[10],

we argue that it violates the principle of structural neutrality at the within-host

level. Specifically, a structurally-neutral model should not predict that balancing

selection promotes stable coexistence between two strains if the strains are

biologically identical[10]. The balancing model meets this requirement at the

population level[3, 10]. However, at the within-host level, when an invading strain

colonises a host already carrying a larger resident strain, the balancing model

implicitly assumes that the invading strain multiplies within the host—at the

expense of the resident strain—until both strains are present in equal frequency,

even if the two strains are biologically identical. Moreover, if a dual carrier is re-

colonised, knockout immediately eliminates one of the host's existing strains, an

assumption which simplifies the mathematical model but which does not have a

clear mechanistic explanation. In order to preserve neutral within-host

dynamics, we developed a new model that relaxes these two non-neutral

assumptions.

Within-host neutrality captures widespread coexistence. In our novel

"within-host neutral" model, which exhibits structural neutrality at all levels,

hosts are assumed to have a fixed carrying capacity beyond which bacterial

growth cannot be supported. If a host is already colonised, a new strain can

invade, but rather than reaching the same frequency as the resident strain, we

assume that the new strain does not increase in frequency after co-colonisation,

since the host is already at carrying capacity (Fig. 2D). Strikingly, integrating

within-host neutrality greatly promotes coexistence (Fig. 2E), allowing us to

readily capture patterns of *S. pneumoniae* resistance across European countries

(Fig. 2F). We originally developed this model as an individual-based simulation

which allows us to track arbitrary within-host strain frequencies for each host,

but the model can be approximated using a four-state system of differential

equations, which simplifies analysis and model calibration (*Methods*).

It is generally agreed that antibiotic resistance is associated with a fitness cost[11,

12], because in the absence of such a cost, resistant strains would fully outcompete

sensitive strains. We have thus far parameterised this cost by assuming that

resistant strains are associated with reduced transmission. Alternatively, it is

possible to assume that resistant strains suffer reduced within-host growth[11, 12],

such that a resistant strain will, in the absence of antibiotic treatment, be

outcompeted within the host by any sensitive strains (Fig. 2G). We captured this

process of within-host competition using a five-state differential equation model

based on our within-host neutral model (*Methods*). This addition further expands

the parameter space over which coexistence is maintained (Fig. 2H), improving

the model fit to patterns of *S. pneumoniae* penicillin non-susceptibility (Fig. 2I).

To verify the generality of our results, we re-parameterised the three models for

two additional facultatively-pathogenic commensal bacteria, *Escherichia coli* and

Staphylococcus aureus (*Methods*), and recalibrated the models to pan-European

patterns of resistance against the most widely used antibiotics for all three

species, which yielded a further four bacteria-drug combinations[6, 8]. Here, too,

the empirical data are better captured by the within-host neutral models than by

the balancing model (Fig. 3). Using the Akaike Information Criterion to select the

most parsimonious model, we found that the within-host competition model has

the most support across all bacteria-drug combinations (Figs. 2, 3).

Within-host dynamics promotes coexistence via frequency-dependent

selection. Analysis of our model reveals that frequency-dependent selection[13] is

the mechanism through which within-host dynamics promote coexistence. That

is, the within-host processes we have identified result in fitness advantages for

either resistant or sensitive strains when those strains are rare. If resistant cells

colonise a host already carrying a sensitive strain, and that host subsequently

takes antibiotics, the sensitive strain is cleared and the resistant cells can grow to

occupy the host's now-vacated niche; by contrast, if resistant cells colonise a host

already carrying a resistant strain, antibiotic treatment has no such effect. Hence,

resistant cells benefit from a fitness advantage when sensitive-strain carriers are

common. Within-host competition has a complementary effect, providing

sensitive cells with a fitness advantage when resistant-strain carriers are

common, because sensitive cells colonising a resistant-strain carrier can grow

over time to become the dominant strain in the host. Either or both of these

mechanisms can promote stable coexistence by equalising the fitness of resistant

and sensitive strains at intermediate carriage frequencies.

Identifying the mechanism of frequency-dependent selection helps to explain

why previous model predictions for resistance prevalence are sensitive to a

narrow range of antibiotic treatment rates[3, 5, 10], and hence have been unable to

support coexistence over the wide range of treatment rates measured

empirically. Specifically, the "balancing" and "knockout" assumptions of the

within-host balancing model create a bias against coexistence in two ways. First,

balanced carriage of co-colonising strains decreases rare strains' frequency-

dependent fitness benefit because it reduces the scope for rare strains to grow

within dual carriers. Second, knockout reduces the prevalence of dual carriage by

depleting strain diversity among hosts. Both effects reduce the strength of

frequency-dependent selection for resistance, biasing models against

coexistence.

Patterns of coexistence among bacterial serotypes. Many bacterial species

exhibit extensive diversity in the expression of capsular proteins exposed to host

immune systems, which subdivides species into several distinct "serotypes" that,

like resistant versus sensitive strains, are known to stably coexist in host

populations[14, 15]. Host immune memory can promote coexistence between

serotypes, because more common serotypes are more likely to provoke a host

immune response owing to previous exposure, creating frequency-dependent

selection for serotype diversity[14, 15]. However, similar considerations to those

detailed above reveal that serotype diversity can also be promoted through a

related mechanism that does not require host immune memory. In our within-

host neutral model, coexistence is promoted by resistant strains multiplying to

take the place of co-colonising sensitive strains that are cleared by antibiotic

treatment. A similar mechanism might promote stable coexistence between

commensal bacteria serotypes, so long as—when co-colonising a host—

serotypes can be independently cleared by a host immune response, with any

strains of the same serotype being cleared simultaneously. This would result in a

fitness advantage for a strain co-colonising a host carrying a different serotype,

promoting serotype diversity even in the absence of immune memory.

To test this hypothesis, we extended our individual-based model to track

coexistence between five serotypes differing in their clearance rate, their

transmission rate or their within-host growth rate. We found that independent

clearance of serotypes from co-colonised hosts can promote stable coexistence

between serotypes, even when serotypes exhibit intrinsic fitness differences

(Fig. 4A). Unlike in previous models which have not explicitly tracked within-

host dynamics[14, 15], we find that acquired immunity is not needed to maintain

limited amounts of serotype diversity. Moreover, we found that resistance

prevalence varied across coexisting serotypes, with the direction of the trend in

resistance prevalence among serotypes depending on whether the cost of

resistance was parameterised as a transmission-rate cost or a growth-rate cost.

When resistance is associated with a transmission-rate cost, resistance is more

strongly selected in fitter serotypes, whether serotypes differ in fitness due to

differences in duration of carriage[4], transmissibility, or within-host growth (Fig.

4B). This reflects an empirical association between serotype fitness and

resistance prevalence observed in *S. pneumoniae*[4]. By contrast, when resistance

is associated with a within-host growth-rate cost (Fig. 4C), resistance is more

strongly selected in less-fit serotypes (Fig. 4D). Thus, our model would predict

that the association between resistance prevalence and serotype fitness may

depend on the balance between these two putative costs of resistance.

Resistance prevalence among pneumococcal serotypes. Finally, we used this

proof of principle to evaluate carriage distribution and resistance prevalence in a

model with 30 co-circulating *S. pneumoniae* serotypes parameterised with

observed differences in duration of carriage. We found that independent

clearance of serotypes alone was insufficient to support the high diversity of

pneumococcal serotype carriage observed in human populations, with only five

serotypes maintained (text S4). However, introducing serotype-specific

immunity[14] to our within-host neutral framework was sufficient to capture much

of the observed pneumococcal diversity and patterns of resistance among

pneumococcal serotypes (Fig. 5).

Discussion

We have proposed a novel, parsimonious and pathogen-independent

mathematical framework that, unlike previous models, captures empirical

patterns of antibiotic resistance across countries differing in treatment rates and

among serotypes. We argue that frequency-dependent selection drives these

patterns of resistance and that coexistence of sensitive and resistant strains is

promoted by integrating structural neutrality at the within-host level.

Frequency-dependent selection has long been known to promote stable

coexistence among animal, plant, and bacterial competitors[16–19], and we show

here that it could also have a pivotal role in maintaining coexistence between

sensitive and resistant strains of commensal bacteria.

Although a number of alternative mechanisms that could explain coexistence

between drug-sensitive and resistant pathogens have been proposed[3–5, 20–23],

some support only modest amounts of coexistence[3, 5], while other proposed

mechanisms may be difficult to generalize empirically, such as strongly age-

assortative mixing[4], independent mappings of balancing selection[4], or specific

immune responses to resistance-associated phenotypes[3, 21–23]. Our framework of

within-host neutrality provides two advances on previous work: it harmonises

pathogen dynamics occurring at the between-host and within-host levels, and it

allows us to better and more parsimoniously capture observed patterns of

resistance prevalence across a range of important bacteria-drug combinations.

Dual carriage of resistant and sensitive strains, a crucial factor for coexistence, is

generated in our models via sequential colonisation. The empirical prevalence of

dual carriage is not well known, but a study of *S. aureus* carriage in children

found 21% of hosts carried both resistant and sensitive strains[24] and—although

resistance phenotypes were not measured—other studies have found up to 48%

multiple carriage of genetically-distinct *S. pneumoniae* strains[25, 26] and 42%

multiple carriage of virulent *E. coli* strains[27]. These studies find that carriage is

typically dominated by one strain with other strains carried at low frequency,

consistent with our model's carrying-capacity assumptions. Dual carriage could

also occur through *de novo* mutation, which is likely to be especially important

for long-lived chronic infections such as colonisations of the cystic fibrosis lung

by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[28, 29]. While it is possible that coexistence is

maintained by forces additional to dual carriage, we suggest that any model

incorporating dual carriage should observe within-host neutrality to avoid

biasing against coexistence.

Antibiotic resistance is one of the foremost threats to human health, and

combating this threat will require the global deployment of coordinated

interventions[1, 2]. Mathematical models of disease transmission will play a crucial

role in this endeavour, because they can explicitly integrate the mechanisms that

drive resistance evolution in a population-level framework and allow us to

quantify long-term trends as well as the likely impact of any large-scale

interventions for reducing resistance[30]. Providing a framework in which to

answer public health questions will require a balance between mathematical

tractability and identifiability of the models on one side, and necessary

complexity on the other; building on the simple models proposed here will help

to establish that balance. If mathematical models are able to incorporate a truly

mechanistic understanding of resistance, they will be better able to explain

common patterns of resistance and to accurately predict the effect of

interventions at a national and global level[30]. With growing calls for an

integrated and multifaceted approach to the problem of antimicrobial

resistance[1, 2], a new generation of mechanistic mathematical models will be

uniquely placed to support the evidence-based adoption of impactful and cost-

effective strategies.

References and Notes

1. World Health Organization (2016) *United Nations high-level meeting on*

antimicrobial resistance. http://www.who.int/antimicrobial-

resistance/events/UNGA-meeting-amr-sept2016/en.

2. O'Neill J, *ed.* (2016) *Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and*

recommendations. https://amr-review.org/home.html.

3. Colijn C, *et al.* (2010) What is the mechanism for persistent coexistence of

drug-susceptible and drug-resistant strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*?

J. R. Soc. Interface **7**, 905–919.

4. Lehtinen S, *et al.* (2017) Evolution of antibiotic resistance is linked to any

genetic mechanism affecting bacterial duration of carriage. *Proc Natl Acad*

Sci USA **114**, 1075–1080.

5. Cobey S, *et al.* (2017) Host population structure and treatment frequency

maintain balancing selection on drug resistance. *J R Soc Interface* **14**,

20170295.

6. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. (2017) Antimicrobial

consumption rates by country.

http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/antimicrobial-resistance-and-

consumption/antimicrobial-consumption/esac-net-

database/Pages/Antimicrobial-consumption-rates-by-country.aspx.

7. European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (2007) *EARSS Annual*

Report 2007. Bilthoven: EARSS.

8. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2017) *Antimicrobial*

resistance surveillance in Europe 2015. Annual Report of the European

Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). Stockholm:

ECDC.

9. Hardin G (1960) The competitive exclusion principle. *Science* **131**, 1292–1297.

10. Lipsitch M, Colijn C, Cohen T, Hanage WP, Fraser C (2009) No coexistence for

free: neutral null models for multistrain pathogens. *Epidemics* **1**, 2–13.

11. Andersson DI (2006) The biological cost of mutational antibiotic resistance:

any practical conclusions? *Curr Opin Microbiol* **9**, 461–465.

12. Andersson DI, Hughes D (2010) Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it

possible to reverse resistance? *Nat Rev Microbiol* **8**, 260–271.

13. Ayala FJ, Campbell CA (1974) Frequency-dependent selection. *Ann Rev Ecol*

Syst **5**, 115–138.

14. Cobey S, Lipsitch M. (2012) Niche and neutral effects of acquired immunity

permit coexistence of pneumococcal serotypes. *Science* **335**, 1376–1380.

15. Flasche S, *et al.* (2013) The impact of specific and non-specific immunity on

the ecology of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and the implications for

vaccination. *Proc R Soc B* **280**, 20131939.

16. Ayala FJ (1971) Competition between species: frequency dependence. *Science*

171, 820–824.

17. Sinervo B, Lively CM (1996) The rock-paper-scissors game and the evolution

of alternative male strategies. *Nature* **380**, 240–243.

18. Gigord LDB, Macnair MR, Smithson A (2001) Negative frequency-dependent

selection maintains a dramatic flower color polymorphism in the

rewardless orchid *Dactylorhiza sambucina* (L.) Soò. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*

98, 6253–6255.

19. Rainey PB, Travisano M (1998) Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous

environment. *Nature* **394**, 69–72.

20. Austin DJ, Kristinsson KG, Anderson RM (1999) The relationship between the

volume of antimicrobial consumption in human communities and the

frequency of resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**, 1152–1156.

21. Dietz K (1979) Epidemiologic interference of virus populations. *J Math Biol* **8**,

291–300.

22. Gupta S, Swinton J, Anderson RM (1994) Theoretical studies of the effects of

heterogeneity in the parasite population on the transmission dynamics of

malaria. *Proc R Soc Lond B* **256**, 231–238.

23. Lipsitch M (1997) Vaccination against colonizing bacteria with multiple

serotypes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**, 6571–6576.

24. Mongkolrattanothai K, *et al* (2011) Simultaneous carriage of multiple

genotypes of *Staphylococcus aureus* in children. *J Med Microbiol* **60**, 317–

322.

25. Kamng'ona AW, *et al.* (2015) High multiple carriage and emergence of

Streptococcus pneumoniae vaccine serotype variants in Malawian

children. *BMC Inf Diseases* **15**, 234.

26. Turner P, *et al.* (2011) Improved detection of nasopharyngeal cocolonization

by multiple pneumococcal serotypes by use of latex agglutination or

molecular serotyping by microarray. *J Clin Microbiol* **49**, 1784–1789.

27. Martinez-Medina M, *et al.* (2009) Molecular diversity of *Escherichia coli* in the

human gut: new ecological evidence supporting the role of adherent-

invasive *E. coli* (AIEC) in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* **15**, 872–882.

28. Smith EE, *et al.* (2006) Genetic adaptation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to the

airways of cystic fibrosis patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**, 8487–

8492.

29. Yang L, *et al.* (2011) Evolutionary dynamics of bacteria in a human host

environment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**, 7481–7486.

30. Atkins KE, *et al.* Use of mathematical modelling to assess the impact of

vaccines on antibiotic resistance. *Lancet Infect Dis*, in press.

31. Chewapreecha C, *et al.* (2014) Dense genomic sampling identifies highways

of pneumococcal recombination. *Nat Genet* **46**, 305–309.

32. Bogaert D, *et al.* (2004) Colonisation by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and

Staphylococcus aureus in healthy children. *Lancet* **363**, 1871–1872.

33. Goossens MC, Catry B, Verhaegen J (2013) Antimicrobial resistance to

benzylpenicillin in invasive pneumococcal disease in Belgium, 2003–

2010: the effect of altering clinical breakpoints. *Epidemiol Infect* **141**,

490–495.

34. Ter Braak CJF (2006) A Markov chain Monte Carlo version of the genetic

algorithm differential evolution: easy Bayesian computing for real

parameter spaces. *Stat Comp* **16**, 239–249.

35. Nelder JA, Mead R (1965) A simplex method for function minimization. *Comp*

J **7**, 308–313.

36. Li B, *et al.* (2012) Duration of stool colonization in healthy medical students

with extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother **56**, 4558–4559.

37. Apisarnthanarak A, Bailey TC, Fraser VJ (2008) Duration of stool colonization

in patients infected with extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing

Escherichia coli and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Clin Infect Dis* **46**, 1322–1333.

38. Shenoy ES, Paras ML, Noubary F, Walensky RP, Hooper DC (2014) Natural

history of colonization with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

(MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE): a systematic

review. *BMC Infect Dis* **14**, 177.

39. Larsson AK, *et al.* (2011) Duration of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

aureus colonization after diagnosis: A four-year experience from southern

Sweden. *Scand J Inf Dis* **43**, 456–462.

40. Martinez-Medina M, *et al.* (2009) Molecular diversity of *Escherichia coli* in the

human gut: new ecological evidence supporting the role of adherent-

invasive *E. coli* (AIEC) in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* **15**, 872–882.

41. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2014) *Surveillance of*

antimicrobial consumption in Europe 2011. Stockholm: ECDC.

<https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publi

cations/antimicrobial-consumption-europe-surveillance-2011.pdf>.

Acknowledgements: We thank M. Davies for assistance. NGD, MJ and KEA were

funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research

Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Immunisation at the London School of Hygiene and

Tropical Medicine in partnership with Public Health England (PHE). The views

expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the

NIHR, the Department of Health or PHE. The authors declare no competing

financial interests. NGD, SF, MJ and KEA conceived the study; NGD performed the

analyses; NGD and KEA drafted the manuscript, which all authors revised.

Supplementary Materials

Materials and Methods

Tables S1–S2

Figs S1–S13

References (32 – 41)

    Figures

Fig. 1. The problem of coexistence. **(A)** The prevalence of penicillin non-

susceptibility in invasive isolates of *S. pneumoniae* across 27 European countries

illustrates widespread coexistence. This pattern of gradual increase of resistance

with the antibiotic treatment rate holds across many pathogen-drug

combinations[6–8]. **(B)** A simple two-strain single-carriage model defined by the

system of ordinary differential equations $\frac{dS}{dt} = \beta SX - (u + \tau)S, \frac{dR}{dt} = \beta(1 -$

$c)RX - uR, X = 1 - S - R$, with X non-carriers, S sensitive-strain carriers, and R

resistant-strain carriers. Here, β is the rate of transmission (solid arrows), τ is

the rate of antibiotic treatment (dashed arrow), u is the rate of natural clearance

(dotted arrows), and c is the transmission cost of resistance. **(C)** Contrary to

observed patterns of coexistence, the single-carriage model predicts competitive

exclusion, with the sensitive strain dominating the resistant strain if $\tau > cu/(1\text{-}c)$;

here, $\beta = 4$ months^{-1}, $u = 1$ months^{-1}.

Fig. 2. Three models of dual carriage. **(A)** The within-host balancing model[10]

extends the single-carriage model (Fig. 1B) by permitting simultaneous dual

carriage. An example sequence of colonisation events illustrates equal co-

colonisation and knockout. **(B)** Although coexistence increases with the

efficiency of multiple carriage, k, the region of coexistence remains small for the

within-host balancing model. **(C)** When calibrated to penicillin non-susceptibility

in *S. pneumoniae* across European countries, the balancing model does not

capture widespread coexistence. **(D)** The same example sequence of colonisation

events as in panel **A** illustrates the absence of the balancing and knockout

mechanisms in the within-host neutral model. **(E)** Integrating within-host

neutrality expands the region of coexistence. Overlaid markers are results from

our individual-based model with no limit to the number of co-colonisation states

(see Methods). **(F)** Within-host neutrality yields a better fit to empirical data. **(G)**

The same example sequence illustrates frequency-dependent selection acting on

439   the sensitive strain when within-host competition is added to the within-host

440   neutral model. **(H)** Within-host competition further increases coexistence and **(I)**

441   the resulting model provides the best fit to the data (relative likelihood of 1.0 for

442   the within-host competition model, 0.635 for the within-host neutral model, and

443   4.49×10^{-5} for the balancing model).

Fig. 3. Within-host dynamics explain patterns of resistance across commensal

bacteria. Within-host neutral models with (blue) or without (green) within-host

competition capture persistent coexistence, while the balancing model (red)

does not. Compared with the within-host neutral model with strain competition,

the relative likelihood of the balancing and neutral models are, respectively, **(A)**

2.45×10^{-127}, and 3.85×10^{-15}; **(B)** 3.22×10^{-163} and 3.41×10^{-28}; **(C)** 6.33×10^{-4} and

0.141; and **(D)** 6.57×10^{-15} and 2.28×10^{-3}.

Fig. 4. Within-host dynamics promote coexistence between serotypes, and

intrinsic fitness differences between serotypes are correlated with resistance

prevalence within serotypes. **(A)** In a model with five serotypes differing in

various measures of intrinsic fitness, independent clearance of serotypes from

hosts supports limited coexistence between serotypes even in the absence of

immune memory. **(B)** When resistance carries a transmission-rate cost, fitter

serotypes are more strongly selected for resistance. **(C, D)** When resistance

carries a growth-rate cost, fitter serotypes are less strongly selected for

resistance. Serotypes are assumed to differ in clearance rate (u = 0.96, 0.98, 1.0,

1.02, 1.04), transmission rate (β = 2.2, 2.1, 2.0, 1.9, 1.9), or within-host growth

rates (growth rate penalties α = 0, 0.015, 0.03, 0.045, 0.06; see Methods). In each

plot, the fittest serotype is shown in red. The mean and 95% interquantile range

for the last 50 years of the 100-year simulation is shown. Resistance is assumed

to be associated with either a 10% transmission-rate cost (A, B) or a growth-rate

penalty of 0.025 (C, D).

Fig. 5. Resistance prevalence among pneumococcal serotypes. **(A)** We

parameterised our individual-based within-host neutral model to simulate a

population in which 30 common pneumococcal serotypes circulate, using a

previously-published data set[4, 31] to assign measured durations of carriage to

each serotype. Serotypes are otherwise undifferentiated and we assume no

within-host competition. Incorporating a simple model of host immune memory

(see *Methods*) recovers extensive diversity in **(A)** pneumococcal carriage and **(B)**

resistance prevalence. **(C)** The positive relationship between duration of carriage

and resistance prevalence observed empirically (black error bars, showing 95%

confidence intervals for duration of carriage) is recovered in the model (red

ribbon, showing 95% interquantile range for each serotype in the final 50 years

of the 100-year simulation).

Materials and Methods

<u>Systems of ordinary differential equations</u>

In the main text, we discuss four epidemiological models of antibiotic resistance:

a single-carriage model, and three multiple-carriage models (the within-host

balancing model, the within-host neutral model, and the within-host competition

model). These models can be analysed using the systems of differential equations

presented in this section, or using an alternative stochastic individual-based

model formulation which is presented below (see *Individual-based model*). The

ordinary differential equation models can simulate two strains at a time

(sensitive and resistant), and are used for exposition of the key principles and for

model calibration (Figs. 1–3). The individual-based models can simulate an

arbitrary number of strains, and are used for validation of the ordinary

differential equation models (Fig. 2) and for analysing serotype dynamics (Figs. 4

and 5).

Single-carriage model — The single-carriage model is given by

$\qquad \frac{dS}{dt} = \beta SX - (u + \tau)S$

$\qquad \frac{dR}{dt} = \beta(1 - c)RX - uR$

$\qquad X = 1 - S - R \,.$ $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ (1)

Here, $S = S(t)$ is the fraction of sensitive strain carriers in the population; $R = R(t)$

is the fraction of resistant strain carriers in the population; and $X = X(t)$ is the

fraction of non-carriers. The parameter β is the person-to-person transmission

rate of the sensitive strain, u is the natural clearance rate, τ is the per capita rate

of antibiotic treatment, and c is the transmission cost of resistance. Here, the

resistance prevalence is $\rho = R/(1–X)$.

Within-host balancing model — Following previous work[3, 10], the balancing

model is given by

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \beta S_{tot}X - (u + \tau)S - k\beta(1 - c)R_{tot}S + \frac{k\beta S_{tot}D}{2}$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \beta(1 - c)RX - uR - k\beta S_{tot}R + \frac{k\beta(1-c)R_{tot}D}{2} + \tau D$$

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = k\beta(1 - c)R_{tot}S + k\beta S_{tot}R - (u + \tau)D - k\beta S_{tot}D - k\beta(1 - c)R_{tot}D$$

$$X = 1 - S - R - D. \qquad\qquad\qquad (2)$$

Here, the additional compartment $D = D(t)$ is the fraction of dual carriers in the

population (SR carriers in Fig. 2A of the main text), k is the efficiency of multiple

colonisation relative to single colonisation, and $S_{tot} = S + D/2$ and $R_{tot} = R + D/2$

give the effective population burden of sensitive- and resistant-strain

colonisation, respectively. The resistance prevalence is $\rho = R_{tot}/(1–X)$.

Within-host neutrality model — The novel neutral model is given by

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \beta(S + S_R)X - (u + \tau)S - k\beta RS$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \beta(1-c)RX - uR + \tau S_R$$

$$\frac{dS_R}{dt} = k\beta(1-c)RS - (u+\tau)S_R$$

$$X = 1 - S - R - S_R \,.$$ (3)

Here, the compartment $S_R = S_R(t)$ captures the fraction of the population

predominantly colonised with sensitive bacteria, but also carrying a small

amount of resistant bacteria that are carried in insufficient quantity to transmit,

and $S + S_R$ gives the effective population burden of sensitive-strain colonisation.

The corresponding R_S compartment is omitted as it does not impact upon the

overall resistance prevalence in this model, $\rho = R/(1-X)$; however, for tracking

the overall prevalence of dual carriers (both S_R and R_S), the full neutral model can

be recovered from equations 4 below by setting $b = 0$.

Within-host competition model — The neutral model with within-host

competition is given by

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \beta(S + S_R)X - (u+\tau)S - k\beta(R + R_S)S$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \beta RX - uR + \tau(S_R + R_S) - k\beta(S + S_R)R$$

$$\frac{dS_R}{dt} = k\beta(R + R_S)S - (u+\tau)S_R + bR_S$$

$$\frac{dR_S}{dt} = k\beta(S + S_R)R - (u+\tau)R_S - bR_S$$

$$X = 1 - S - R - S_R - R_S \,.$$ (4)

Here, the R_S compartment captures dual carriers predominantly colonised with

resistant bacteria plus a small amount of sensitive bacteria, and b captures the

within-host benefit of sensitivity, *i.e.* the rate at which R_S carriers become S_R

carriers. The resistance prevalence is $\rho = (R + R_S)/(1-X)$.

Ordinary differential equation solutions — All models are solved by setting single-

carriage compartments (S and R) equal to 0.001 and all dual-carriage

compartments to 0, then integrating the systems of ordinary differential

equations numerically in C++ using the Runge–Kutta Dorman–Prince method

until they reach equilibrium.

<u>Individual-based model</u>

The individual-based model follows the same logic as the ordinary differential

equation models, except it can simulate more than two strains simultaneously

and within-host dynamics are simulated more explicitly.

In the individual-based model, hosts are indexed by $i \in 1 \dots N$, where N is the

population size, and there are M strains indexed by $j \in 1 \dots M$. Each host is

characterised by a vector $(f_{i,1}, f_{i,2}, \dots, f_{i,M})$ giving the load of each strain colonising

the host, where $0 \le f_{i,j} \le 1$ for all i, j and the host's total bacterial load is $f_i = \Sigma_j f_{i,j}$.

The force of infection of strain j is $\lambda_j = (\beta/N) \Sigma_i q_i (1-c_j) f_{i,j}$, where β is the basic

transmission rate, c_j is the transmission cost associated with strain j, $q_i = 0$ if $f_i =$

0, and $q_i = 1/f_i$ if $f_i > 0$; that is, hosts with no bacterial load are not infectious, but

all hosts with any nonzero bacterial load are assumed to be equally infectious,

aside from differences in the transmission cost associated with each of their

carried strains. Henceforth, we omit host subscripts *i* to focus on a single host.

The model proceeds in discrete time steps. Each time step, hosts may or may not

experience a series of "events" (colonisation, treatment, or natural clearance)

and then their strain carriage is "updated" according to a series of rules. For a

time step Δt, an event which occurs at rate *r* over the whole population is

assumed to occur to each host with probability $r\Delta t$. Colonisation events occur at

rate λ_j for each strain *j* if a host is uncolonised and rate $k\lambda_j$ if a host is already

colonised. When a host is colonised by strain *j*, the host's carriage of that strain is

increased by the "germ size" ι, where $\iota << 1$, so we have $f_j' = f_j + \iota$, *i.e.*

colonisation by strain *j* increases the host's carriage of that strain by ι while

leaving carriage of other strains unaffected. Additionally, hosts are colonised by

importation of strains at a low rate $\omega = 1\text{x}10^{-5}$ to prevent stochastic elimination

of strains at low carriage frequencies[14]. Treatment events occur at rate τ. When a

host undergoes treatment, the host's carriage of each strain becomes $f_j' = Q_j f_j$

for all *j*, where $Q_j = 0$ for sensitive strains and $Q_j = 1$ for resistant strains, *i.e.* all

sensitive strains are set to zero frequency. Clearance events occur at rate u_s for

each serotype *s*; each strain *j* is associated with a serotype s_j, and there may be

more than one strain with the same serotype. When a host undergoes natural

clearance, the host's carriage of each strain becomes $f_j' = 0$ for all *j* for which $s_j =$

s, *i.e.* carriage of all strains of serotype *s* are set to zero while carriage of other

strains is unaffected.

Once all "events" for a time step have been done, each host is "updated"

according to the following rules. Under the within-host neutral model, total

strain carriage for colonised hosts is always maintained at the carrying capacity

of the host (*i.e.* $f = \Sigma f_j = 1$). Specifically, a host's bacterial load becomes $f_j' = f_j/f$

for all j. Under the within-host competition model, strain dynamics are modelled

using an ordinary differential equation model of logistic growth and cell death;

that is, we integrate from time t to time $t + \Delta t$ the differential equations defined

by

$$\frac{df_j}{dt} = f_j\left(\mu\left(1 - \alpha_j\right)\left(1 - \frac{f}{K}\right) - \nu\right) \qquad (5)$$

for all strains j, where μ is the basic growth rate, α_j is the growth-rate penalty for

strain j, K is the host density regulation constant, and ν is the cell death rate. For

all simulations of the within-host competition model, we assume $\mu = 200$, $\nu =$

100, and $K = 2$, which maintains total strain carriage for each host at $f = 1$ when

no strain has a growth-rate penalty. The model proceeds in discrete time steps of

$\Delta t = 0.001$ or $\Delta t = 0.005$ months.

Host immune memory

When modelling the full range of pneumococcal serotypes (Fig. 5), we simulate

host immune memory as follows. A host who has previously cleared a strain of

serotype s (*i.e.* through natural clearance only, not treatment) cannot be re-

infected by that serotype. However, hosts are randomly cleared of their immune

memory at rate $1/a_{max}$, where a_{max} is the maximum host age simulated in the

model, which approximates new hosts entering the population at birth at the

same rate that hosts leave the population due to aging. We assume $a_{max} = 60$

months, reflecting the relative importance of pneumococcal transmission in

hosts aged 5 years or under[3, 32].

<u>Model parameterisation and calibration</u>

We calibrated the models using European Centre for Disease Prevention and

Control data on penicillin consumption[6] and the prevalence of penicillin non-

susceptibility among *S. pneumoniae* invasive isolates[7] from 2007 for 27

European countries. We focused on the year 2007 for this data set as criteria for

penicillin non-susceptibility in *S. pneumoniae* were changed in some countries

after this year, yielding inconsistencies in resistance data between countries[3, 33].

For calibration to all other bacteria-drug combinations, we used data for the

same 27 countries collected in 2015, the most recent year for which data are

available[6, 8]. Antibiotic consumption rates were given in defined daily doses

(DDD) per thousand people; we converted these to overall treatment rates by

assuming that 10 DDD comprise one treatment course for penicillin[4] and

fluoroquinolones, while 7 DDD comprise one treatment course for macrolides.

We used Markov chain Monte Carlo with differential evolution[34] to calibrate the

model output to empirical data. We assumed that the number of resistant

isolates tested in a given country follows a binomial distribution with success

probability drawn from a beta distribution, with the model-predicted resistance

prevalence as the mode of the beta distribution. Setting the success probability

as a random variable allowed us to account for between-country variation in

resistance prevalence not captured by our dynamic model. The likelihood of the

observed resistance prevalence for bacteria-antibiotic set j across all countries,

$\boldsymbol{d_j}$, given the model predicted resistance prevalence, ρ_j, and prevalence of

carriage, Y_j, is

$$L_j\left(\boldsymbol{d_j}\vert\rho_j,\boldsymbol{Y_j}\right) =$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{C_j}\left(\left[Y_j^{(0)} \le Y\left(\tau_{i,j}\right) \le Y_j^{(1)}\right]\int_{x=0}^{1}\mathrm{Beta}\left(x\vert\omega = \rho_j\left(\tau_{i,j}\right),\kappa = \kappa_j^*\right)\mathrm{Bin}\left(r_{i,j}\vert n_{i,j},x\right)dx\right).$$

Here, $\left[Y_j^{(0)} \le Y\left(\tau_{i,j}\right) \le Y_j^{(1)}\right]$ is 1 if $Y(\tau_{i,j})$ lies between $Y_j^{(0)}$ and $Y_j^{(1)}$, which are the

lower and upper bounds of duration of carriage, respectively, and 0 otherwise;

$\mathrm{Beta}(x\vert\omega,\kappa) = x^{\alpha\text{-}1}(1\text{-}x)^{\beta\text{-}1}\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)/(\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta))\vert\alpha = \omega(\kappa\text{-}2) + 1, \beta = (1\text{-}\omega)(\kappa\text{-}2)+1$ is the

beta distribution probability density with mode ω and concentration κ; and

$\mathrm{Bin}(r\vert n,x) = \binom{n}{r}x^r(1-x)^{n-r}$ is the binomial distribution probability density.

There are C_j countries in the data set j labelled $i = 1...C_j$; for a country i and

bacteria-antibiotic set j, the measured antibiotic use is $\tau_{i,j}$, the number of samples

taken of a particular bacteria is $n_{i,j}$, and the number of those samples tested as

non-susceptible to the particular antibiotic is $r_{i,j}$. The model prediction for

resistance prevalence given treatment rate τ is $\rho_j(\tau)$, the model prediction for

prevalence of carriage given treatment rate τ is $Y_j(\tau)$, and the estimated

concentration around the best-fit line, estimated from the data, is κ_j^*.

To estimate the concentration κ_j^* from the resistance prevalence data, we used a

truncated line function $f(\tau) = \max(0, a + g\tau)$ as the modal antibiotic resistance

prevalence and fixed κ_j^* as the concentration. We calculated the maximum

likelihood estimates of a_j^*, g_j^*, and κ_j^* by using the Nelder-Mead algorithm[35] to

maximize the following likelihood function:

$$L_j^{(1)}(a_j^*, g_j^*, \kappa_j^*)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{C_j} \int_{x=0}^{1} \text{Beta}\left(x \middle| \omega = \max\left(0, a_j^* \tau_{i,j} + g_j^*\right), \kappa = \kappa_j^*\right) \text{Bin}\left(r_{i,j} \middle| n_{i,j}, x\right) dx$$

Priors for all model fitting were identified from the literature and were bacteria-

specific (see below).

For illustrative purposes, the parameters c and b for Fig. 2B, E, H were chosen

such that τ = 1.5 courses per person per year would lead to 50% resistance

prevalence. This τ was chosen to roughly coincide with *S. pneumoniae* empirical

data[6, 8]. Other parameters used were β = 4, u = 1 (ref. 3) and k as given in the

figure.

Priors for duration of carriage

For *S. pneumoniae*, we assume an average duration of carriage of 1 month (ref.

3), giving a clearance rate u = 1 months^{-1}. For *E. coli*, we assume that the average

duration of carriage is 59 to 98 days[36, 37], and accordingly set a uniform prior for

u over the range 0.3–0.5 months^{-1}. For *S. aureus*, we assume a duration of

carriage of 3.3 months (estimated from ambulatory patients clearing MRSA[38]) to

5.9 months (ref. 39), and accordingly set a uniform prior for u over the range

0.17–0.3 months^{-1}.

The transmission parameter, β, is constrained by the likelihood function (see

below), so we set a uniform prior on β wide enough to overlap the full range of

observed carriage prevalence, Y, given the range of clearance rates u and

treatment rates τ (*i.e.* $Y = 1 - (u + \tau)/\beta$ for the sensitive strain alone, and $Y = 1 -$

$u/(\beta(1-c))$ for the resistant strain alone). Specifically, for *S. pneumoniae*, we use

the range 1–6; for *E. coli*, we use the range 1–10; and for *S. aureus*, we use the

range 0.1–2.

Likelihood ranges for carriage prevalence

In the main text, we detail how the likelihood function used in model fitting

constrains model output such that all countries must exhibit a prevalence of

carriage Y such that $Y^{(0)} \leq Y \leq Y^{(1)}$. For *S. pneumoniae*, we follow Colijn *et al.*[3] in

assuming $0.2 \leq Y \leq 0.8$ in children. For *E. coli*, we restrict our attention to

extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC), a subset of *E. coli* that is responsible

for most invasive infections, and assume $0.499 \leq Y \leq 0.942$, which is derived from

95% confidence intervals around the observed prevalence of carriage of ExPEC

(9 of 12 healthy control subjects) from Martinez-Medina *et al.*[40]. Finally, for *S.*

aureus, we adopt $0.2 \leq Y \leq 0.6$ based on Bogaert *et al.*[32], which is based on the

observed range of *S. aureus* colonization in Dutch children, with a slightly wider

range to capture more variation between European countries.

MCMC details

Following the differential evolution MCMC algorithm[34], we run $10n$ chains,

where *n* is the number of free parameters in the model, *i.e.* 30 for *S. pneumoniae*

(for which carriage duration is fixed at 1 month) and 40 for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*,

for which carriage duration is not fixed. The burn-in period lasts 1,000 iterations,

after which 100,000 samples from the posterior are taken across all chains.

<u>Sources for antibiotic consumption and resistance prevalence data</u>

We used data from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control

(ECDC) and from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network

(EARS-Net) to parameterize our models for the consumption of antibiotics and

prevalence of antibiotic resistance in EU countries.

The ECDC provides information on antimicrobial consumption in defined daily

doses (DDD) per 1000 inhabitants per day for participating countries, with

antimicrobials broken down into categories as classified in the World Health

Organisation's (WHO) Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification

System. Consumption is classified into primary care and hospital use, with the

majority of use in primary care. We focus on primary care usage only, as hospital

usage data is sparse and we are focusing on community-acquired bacterial

carriage. The ECDC releases online publications summarizing consumption data

(*e.g.* ref. 41) and maintains a queryable online database[6].

EARS-Net, formerly EARSS, provides information on resistance levels as

measured in invasive isolates of various bacterial pathogens isolated from blood

and cerebrospinal fluid[7, 8]. We assume that invasive isolates are a sample of

commensally-carried strains[4]. We summarize the data used and sources for the

five data sets analysed (**Table S1**).

Data set	Consumption: *ATC code, sector, year, and source*	Resistance: *Pathogen, resistance metric, year, and source*
Streptococcus pneumoniae penicillin resistance, 2007 (Figs. 1–2, main text)	J01C (Beta-lactam antibacterials, penicillins), primary care, 2007 (ECDC 2017b, 2014†)	*S. pneumoniae*, percentage non-susceptible to penicillin, 2007 (EARSS 2007)
Escherichia coli fluoroquinolone resistance, 2015 (Fig. 3a, main text)	J01MA (Fluoroquinolones), primary care, 2015 (ECDC 2017b)	*E. coli*, percentage resistant to fluoroquinolones, 2015 (ECDC 2017a)
E. coli penicillin resistance, 2015 (Fig. 3b, main text)	J01C (Beta-lactam antibacterials, penicillins), primary care, 2015 (ECDC 2017b)	*E. coli*, percentage resistant to aminopenicillins, 2015 (ECDC 2017a)
Staphylococcus aureus meticillin resistance, 2015 (Fig. 3c, main text)	J01C (Beta-lactam antibacterials, penicillins), primary care, 2015 (ECDC 2017b)	*S. aureus*, percentage resistant to meticillin (MRSA), 2015 (ECDC 2017a)
S. pneumoniae macrolide resistance, 2015 (Fig. 3d, main text)	J01FA (Macrolides), primary care, 2015 (ECDC 2017b)	*S. pneumoniae*, percentage non-susceptible to macrolides, 2015 (ECDC 2017a)

751 **Table S1.** Data sources for antibiotic consumption and antimicrobial resistance

752 across five pathogen-drug combinations. †Portugal recorded no penicillin

753 consumption for 2007 in the online ECDC database[6], but the 2011 ECDC report[41]

754 provides the corrected figure of 11.3 DDD per 1000 inhabitants per day for 2007.

 Supporting information

<u>S1. Posterior distributions from model fitting</u>

Here, we show the posterior distributions from model fitting from the main

analysis (**Fig. S1–S5**).

Figure S1 | Posterior distribution for *S. pneumoniae* / penicillin, 2007. *Y* gives

the overall prevalence of carriage (whether single or dual) in the population;

dual gives the fraction of carriers who carry both sensitive and resistant strains;

beta gives the transmission parameter; *c* gives the transmission cost of

resistance; *u* gives the clearance rate (if the clearance rate is subject to fitting; for

S. pneumoniae, *u* = 1); *k* gives the efficiency of recolonisation, and *b* gives the

within-host rate of succession (see *Methods*, main text, for details).

Figure S2 | Posterior distribution for *E. coli* / fluoroquinolones, 2015. See Fig. S1

for details.

Figure S3 | Posterior distribution for *E. coli* / penicillin, 2015. See Fig. S1 for

details.

Figure S4 | Posterior distribution for *S. aureus* / meticillin, 2015. See Fig. S1 for

details.

Figure S5 | Posterior distribution for *S. pneumoniae* / macrolides, 2015. See Fig.

S1 for details.

S2. Positive association between carriers: $k > 1$

In the main analysis, we assume that k measures relative efficiency of co-

colonisation compared to colonisation of an uncolonised host, and hence restrict

our attention to $k \leq 1$. However, it is also possible to interpret k as capturing

positive association between carriers, as increasing k increases transmission

among carriers without impacting upon the overall prevalence of carriage in the

population. Thus, it is possible to have $k > 1$ reflecting this mechanism. Allowing

for the range $0 \leq k \leq 5$, we find that (a) the fit of the within-host balancing model

does not substantially improve and that (b) similar levels of coexistence are

possible for the within-host neutral and within-host competition models with

lower prevalence of carriage. Here, for illustration, we adopt more restrictive

ranges on the prevalence of carriage: $0.2 \leq Y \leq 0.4$ for *S. pneumoniae*, $0.4 \leq Y \leq 0.8$

for *E. coli*, and $0.2 \leq Y \leq 0.4$ for *S. aureus* (**Fig. S6**).

Figure S6 | Model fits with $0 \leq k \leq 5$.

 Posterior distributions from model fitting with 0 ≤ k ≤ 5

808 Posterior distributions provided in **Figs. S7–S11**.

811 **Figure S7 |** Posterior distribution for *S. pneumoniae* / penicillin (2007) data set

812 with $0 \leq k \leq 5$.

815 **Figure S8 |** Posterior distribution for *E. coli* / fluoroquinolones (2015) data set

816 with $0 \leq k \leq 5$.

Figure S9 | Posterior distribution for *E. coli* / penicillin (2015) data set with 0 ≤

k ≤ 5.

Figure S10 | Posterior distribution for *S. aureus* / meticillin (2015) data set with

0 ≤ *k* ≤ 5.

Figure S11 | Posterior distribution for *S. pneumoniae* / macrolides (2015) data

set with $0 \leq k \leq 5$.

Relative likelihoods from model fitting with $0 \leq k \leq 5$

We calculated the relative likelihood for the fitted models, M$_i$, by comparison of

the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) of each model to that of the best model, M

$(\exp[(\text{AIC}(M) - \text{AIC}(M_i))/2])$ (**Table S2**).

Model	Within-host balancing	Within-host neutrality	Within-host competition
S. pneumoniae / penicillin (2007) (*n* = 3)	4.82×10^{-5}	0.453	1
E. coli / fluoroquinolones (2015) (*n* = 4)	1.11×10^{-127}	1.03×10^{-12}	1
E. coli / penicillin (2015) (*n* = 4)	1.12×10^{-161}	1.34×10^{-26}	1

S. aureus / meticillin (2015) (*n* = 4)	6.87 x 10^{-4}	0.696	1
S. pneumoniae / macrolides (2015) (*n* = 3)	3.84 x 10^{-14}	1.33 x 10^{-3}	1

Table S2 | Relative likelihoods for model fits with $0 \leq k \leq 5$. The number of

parameters, *n*, is given for each model.

<u>S3. S_R-to-S clearance in within-host competition model</u>

In the within-host neutral model with competition, within-host competition

causes R_S carriers to transition into S_R carriers over time. However, an

alternative model proposes that the minor complement of resistant cells in S_R

carriers may be outcompeted within the host over time, transitioning S_R carriers

to *S* carriers. To verify the robustness of our results against the clearance of

resistant cells from S_R carriers, we re-fit the the neutral model with within-host

competition to the *S. pneumoniae* / penicillin data set assuming that S_R carriers

transition to *S* carriers at double the rate of the R_S to S_R transition, i.e. 2*b*. Adding

this transition has a minimal impact on the model fit (**Fig. S12**). The relative

likelihood of the model fitted with S_R-to-*S* clearance is 0.926 compared to the

model fitted without S_R-to-*S* clearance.

Figure S12 | Model fits for *S. pneumoniae* / penicillin (2007) data set without

and with S_R-to-S clearance.

<u>S4. Pneumococcal coexistence without immune memory</u>

We found that independent clearance of serotypes alone was insufficient to

support the high diversity of pneumococcal serotype carriage observed in

human populations with only four serotypes maintained (Fig. S13).

Fig. S13 | As serotypes vary greatly in duration of carriage, the mechanism of

independent clearance alone is not able to reproduce observed patterns of

pneumococcal carriage or resistance prevalence, as all but the four serotypes

with the highest duration of carriage are eliminated. Resistance prevalence is

close to 50% for eliminated serotypes as stochastic importation of strains (see

Methods) maintains carriage of both sensitive and resistant strains of each

serotype at low prevalence.

872