Transposon insertional mutagenesis in *Saccharomyces uvarum* reveals trans-acting

effects influencing species dependent essential genes

Running Title

Gene essentially is influenced by trans effects

Authors

Monica R. Sanchez[1,2], Celia Payen[1], Frances Cheong[1], Blake Hovde[1], Sarah Bissonnette[3],

Adam Arkin[5], Jeffrey M. Skerker[5], Rachel Brem[6], Amy A. Caudy[4] and Maitreya J. Dunham[1]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, United

States of America

[2]Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington,

United States of America

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, California State University, Turlock, California, United

States of America

[4]Donnelly Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research, University of Toronto, Toronto,

ON, Canada

[5]Energy Biosciences Institute, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California United

States of America

[6]Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California,

United States of America

* Corresponding author

Email : maitreya@uw.edu (MD)

Abstract

To understand how complex genetic networks perform and regulate diverse cellular

processes, the function of each individual component must be defined. Comprehensive

phenotypic studies of mutant alleles have been successful in model organisms in

determining what processes depend on the normal function of a gene. These results are

often translated to the increasing number of newly sequenced genomes by using sequence

homology. However, sequence similarity does not always mean identical function or

phenotype, suggesting that new methods are required to functionally annotate newly

sequenced species. We have implemented comparative functional analysis by high-

throughput experimental testing of gene dispensability in *Saccharomyces uvarum*, a sister

species of *S. cerevisiae.* We created haploid and heterozygous diploid Tn7 insertional

mutagenesis libraries in *S. uvarum* to identify species dependent essential genes, with the

goal of detecting genes with divergent function. Comprehensive gene dispensability

comparisons with *S. cerevisiae* revealed that approximately 12% of conserved orthologs are

predicted to display diverged dispensability, including 22 confirmed differentially essential

genes. Surprisingly, despite their differences in essentiality, these genes are capable of

cross-species complementation, demonstrating that other *trans*-acting factors that are

background dependent contribute to differential gene essentiality. Furthermore, we

identified an instance of swapped essentiality between two paralogs, *CDC25* and *SDC25*

between these two species*.* This data set provides direct experimental evidence of gene

function across species, which can inform comparative genomic analyses, improve gene

annotation and be applied across a diverse set of microorganisms to further our

understanding of gene function evolution.

Keywords

Functional genomics, gene function evolution, yeast, insertional mutagenesis, essential

genes, orthologs

1 Introduction

The ability to accurately predict gene function based on DNA sequence similarity is

a valuable tool, especially in the current stage of genomic research where numerous

genomes are increasingly becoming sequenced. It has become crucially important to

predict gene function based on sequence similarity due to the lack of experimentally

determined functional information associated with each newly sequenced genome. Most

functional predictive methods rely on similarities of DNA sequence homology, co-

expression patterns as well as protein structure to help assign function to uncharacterized

genes, using genes where known functions have been previously characterized (Eisen 1998;

Usadel et al. 2009). However, these methods come with their own set of limitations and

often produce a substantial number of predictive errors, highlighting the importance of

implementing experimental methods to directly test gene function of previously

uncharacterized genomes to improve current methods of gene function annotation.

The gold standard of gene function characterization relies on targeted deletions of

predictive coding sequences to probe the contributions of each gene to specific biological

processes. To get a global view of gene function within an organism, several genome-wide

deletion collections have been created in model species, particularly in bacteria and yeast,

(Baba et al. 2006; Berardinis et al. 2008; Porwollik et al. 2014; Winzeler et al. 1999)

including highly diverged species (Kim et al. 2010; Schwarzmüller et al. 2014) as well as

different strains within a species (Dowell et al. 2010). These systematic deletion collections

are powerful tools for investigating molecular mechanisms of gene function, biological

pathways, and genetic interactions, especially in the genetic workhorse *S. cerevisiae,* where

gene function characterization and gene dispensability comparisons have been extensively

performed amongst various deletion collections of yeast (Costanzo 2016; Dowell et al.

2010; Kim et al. 2010; Tong et al. 2001). These studies have identified approximately 17%

of essential genes to be differentially essential between highly diverged species (*S.*

cerevisiae and *S. pombe*) and have discovered 6% of essential genes (57) that are

differentially essential even between two strains of *S. cerevisiae.*

However, considerable effort and resources are required to create these targeted,

systematic libraries and they are not a practical approach for validating gene function

across a wide range of non-standard genetic backgrounds in a high-throughput manner.

Alternative approaches to targeted gene deletion libraries are transposon based

mutagenesis methods used to create random insertional mutant collections, eliminating

requirements for *a priori* knowledge about defined coding regions and providing

information about partial loss of function or gain of function mutations. Random insertional

profiling has been widely applied across various species and has been instrumental in

understanding virulence genes, stress tolerance mechanisms and even tumor suppressor

genes in mice (DeNicola et al. 2015; van Opijnen and Camilli 2013; de la Rosa et al. 2017;

Weerdenburg et al. 2015; Yung et al. 2015; Coradetti et al. 2017). Several transposon

libraries have also been implemented across diverged yeast species, providing useful

information about gene function, growth inhibiting compounds and essential functional

protein domains (Gangadharan et al. 2010; Guo et al. 2013b; Michel et al. 2017; Oh et al.

2010; Ross-Macdonald et al. 1999; Zhao et al. 2017; Price et al. 2016) .

Here we utilize a random insertional method that has allowed us to assay gene

dispensability of approximately 50,000 mutants in *Saccharomyces uvarum,* a species that

diverged from *S. cerevisiae* approximately 20 million years ago and contains approximately

80% identity in coding sequences to *S. cerevisiae* (Dujon 2010; Kellis et al. 2003; Scannell et

al. 2007)*.* These species can inter-mate to create hybrids, allowing us to leverage the large

genetic toolsets established in *S. cerevisiae* to more fully explore the genetic basis for

possible differential gene dispensability among these species*.* Genes with different

dispensability patterns between these two species can be used as a preliminary indicator of

divergent gene function, providing a model for investigating gene function evolution

between two diverged species of yeast. While this Tn7 insertional density is modest in

comparison to other insertional mutant libraries (50,000 compared to > 300,000

insertions) we successfully validated a subset of predicted differentially essential genes,

proving this approach to be useful for prioritizing genes for testing viability (Michel et al.

2017; Guo et al. 2013a). Furthermore, this Tn7 transposon mutagenesis library provides a

valuable resource for studying *S. uvarum* gene function and serves as a framework for

comparative functional genomics studies across newly sequenced, previously

uncharacterized species.

Results

Generating Tn7 insertional libraries in *S. uvarum* to predict essential and

nonessential genes

One initial step to identifying genes with divergent gene function is to identify

mutant phenotypes that are different between two diverged species. One of the most

straightforward phenotypes to characterize is cell viability or gene dispensability.

Therefore, we first sought to characterize gene essentiality in *S. uvarum*, with the aim of

identifying genes that are differentially essential between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum*.

Instead of creating a library of individual knock out strains, we applied a high-throughput

approach of creating random insertional mutants and leveraged the power of sequencing to

identify the insertion sites in a pooled collection. The Tn7 mutagenesis library approach

described by Kumar *et al.,* (2004) was used to create a collection of *S. uvarum* mutant

strains and has been previously described by Caudy *et al.,* (2013). Briefly, *in vitro*

transposition of the Tn7 transposon was performed in a plasmid library containing random

S. uvarum genomic fragments. The Tn7 transposon was designed to carry a ClonNat

resistance marker that carries stop codons in all reading frames near both termini. The

interrupted genomic fragments were excised out of the plasmid and integrated at their

corresponding genomic positions, likely producing truncations when inserted within coding

regions (**Supplemental Fig. 1A**). The plasmid library contains ~50,000 unique genomic

insertion sites that were integrated into a diploid and a haploid *MAT***a** strain at a 10X

coverage (additional details can be found in **Supplemental Information**). Pools of mutants

from each Tn7 library were grown up in liquid cultures as described in Materials and

Methods. Insertion sites were determined using sequencing methods as described in detail

in the **Supplemental Information** along with DNA sequencing library preparation

protocols (**Supplemental Fig. 1C**).

The distribution of haploid, diploid and overlapping (present in both libraries)

insertion sites are evenly distributed throughout the *S. uvarum* genome, as illustrated in

Supplemental Fig. 2. (Detailed information about overall sequencing coverage is listed in

Supplementary File 4.) Once the insertion sites were determined in both libraries, we

counted the number of insertion sites in each annotated open reading frame using a custom

Python script (Materials and Methods). **Supplemental Table 1** summarizes the number of

insertion sites and the number of genes that contain insertion sites within each library,

including the initial plasmid library. All annotated *S. uvarum* genes containing the number

of insertion sites from each library are listed in **Supplementary File 5.**   Of the 5,908

annotated genes, a total of 5,315 (90%) genes harbor insertion sites that were identified in

at least one library. Comparisons between shared genes and unique genes harboring

insertion sites are illustrated in **Supplemental Fig. 3.**

Since the essentiality of most genes is expected to be conserved between *S.*

cerevisiae and *S. uvarum,* we used the known essential set in *S. cerevisiae* to test if essential

genes in the haploid library contain fewer insertion sites. We identified a significant

reduction in the number of inserts present in known *S. cerevisiae* essential genes in the

haploid library (Wilcoxon test p < 2.2 e^{-16}, essential average inserts/kb=0.88, SD=1.28 vs.

non-essential average inserts/kb = 4, SD = 4.38) (**Supplemental Fig. 4**), indicating that

essential genes are effectively targeted by this approach. However, due to the nature of the

library, insertional events at different positions across a gene may result in a partial loss of

function. Since essential genes may still tolerate some insertions, we instead relied on

comparisons between the diploid and haploid libraries to make inferences about gene

essentiality. Specifically, we calculated an insertion ratio using the number of inserts per

gene in the haploid library divided by the number of inserts in the diploid library, which

inherently normalizes for the length of the gene (Materials and Methods). Using the

insertion ratio as a metric, we also identified a significant difference between *S. uvarum*

genes whose orthologs are known to be essential (Wilcoxon rank tests Sc_E:Sc_NE p <

2.2e^{-16}) (**Supplemental Fig. 5B**). Using the insertion ratio, we categorized genes as

essential or non-essential using a null distribution to rank genes above or below a cut-off

metric of 0.25 (details described in **Supplemental Information**). Using this cut-off

value, 1170 genes were categorized as essential genes. We applied an additional cut-off

metric (more details in Material and Methods) to remove a class of low coverage genes,

resulting in a total number of 718 (13%) predicted essential genes and 3,838 (65%) genes

that are predicted non-essential, with 1299 genes (22%) undetermined (genes without

inserts in the diploid library**).**   We proceeded to characterize each gene set and validate

the dispensability of each of the predicted gene categories.

Analysis of predicted gene dispensability

The predicted gene list of *S. uvarum* essential genes was compared to known essential genes lists from both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* to determine the amount of conservation that exists between orthologs across diverged species. Of the predicted 718 *S. uvarum* essential genes, 297 genes (42%) are shared amongst all three sets, with a total of 487 genes (68%) shared with at least one other set. Furthermore, 9 genes that are *S. cerevisiae* strain specific, including 4 genes that are S288C specific and 5 genes that are $\sum$1278b specific, overlap with *S. uvarum* predicted essential genes (**Supplemental Fig. 6**). Similar to what has been previously shown in *S. cerevisiae,* predicted essential genes in *S. uvarum* were more likely to be unique, with 91% of essential genes (656/718) being present in single copy compared to 76% of non-essential genes (2736/3604). Additionally, comparisons between Gene Ontology (GO) molecular function terms of essential gene sets from both species show significant enrichment (p-value < 0.01) for fundamental biological functions. Processes such as DNA replication/binding, RNA and protein biosynthesis, as well as structural constituents of the ribosome and cytoskeleton were enriched in both sets of essential genes (**Supplemental File 6**). In contrast, non-essential genes were significantly (p-value < 0.01) enriched for regulatory functions (transcription factor activity) and conditional responsive processes, such as transmembrane transporter activity and cell signaling (kinase activity) (**Supplemental File 7**).

Once we determined that many of the features of the predicted essential genes were similar to confirmed essential genes in other species, we proceeded to create heterozygous deletions to validate 13 conserved essential genes. Sporulating each heterozygous deletion strain and performing tetrad analysis for cell viability confirmed essentiality for 12 (92%) of the 13 strains (**Supplemental Table 2**). One example of a

confirmed essential gene can be found in **Figure 1A,** which illustrates the genomic positions

of all insertion sites across a genomic locus of chromosome V that contains essential and

non-essential genes. The color of the gene outline matches the predicted dispensability,

which is determined by their insertion ratio. For example, the gene *BRR2* has an insertion

ratio of 0.130 and is predicted to be a conserved essential gene (**Figure 1C**). The tetrad

analysis of a *BRR2* heterozygous deletion strain displays a 2 viable:2 inviable segregation

pattern in both species, validating this gene as a conserved essential gene (**Figure 1B**).

Images of all other confirmed essential genes are located in **Supplemental Fig. 7.** We also

tested three conserved non-essential genes and all three (100%) were confirmed as non-

essential (**Supplemental Fig. 8**) (**Supplemental Table 2**).   Additionally, we obtained an

independent set of haploid deletion strains (see Materials and Methods), which was used as

a validated non-essential gene set. Out of the total 356 gene deletions that were included in

our library, 346 of those genes were predicted to be non-essential (97%) while the

remaining 3% were predicted to be essential indicating that a significant proportion of our

predicted non-essential genes were correctly predicted.

1
2
Figure 1. Validation of conserved essential and non-essential genes. A) Mapped

chromosomal insertion positions are plotted across chromosome V. Haploid inserts are

indicated in red, diploid inserts are blue and overlapping inserts are indicated in purple.

Genes indicated across the top are outlined according to predicted dispensability and filled

in if confirmed. B) Tetrad analysis of a confirmed conserved essential gene *brr2Δ* in *S.*

cerevisiae and *S. uvarum*. Segregants containing *brr2Δ* alleles are inviable in both species.

C) Table indicating the insertion ratio (number of haploid inserts by the number of diploid

inserts) per gene. The final column lists the predicted classification (NE, non-essential; E,

essential; N/A, no data).

Gene dispensability comparisons of orthologous pairs between *S. cerevisiae* and *S.

uvarum

Our main goal of this project is to identify genes with differential essentiality to test

for evidence of divergent function. While the previous section categorized all annotated *S.*

uvarum genes, we narrowed our analysis to 4,543 orthologous genes for which we had data

in the *S. uvarum* dataset to make direct comparisons of dispensability between *S. cerevisiae*

and *S. uvarum* (**Supplementary File 8**). Overall, 88% (4016/4543) of these genes display

conserved dispensability between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum.* The remaining 12% of

orthologs are predicted to differ in essentiality between the two species, with 304 (7%) of

these genes only essential in *S. uvarum* and 221 (5%) genes only essential in *S. cerevisiae*

(**Supplemental Figure 9**). Note the larger number of predicted essential genes in *S.*

uvarum (304 in *S. uvarum* compared to 222 in *S. cerevisiae*). This difference may be

attributed to the reliance on the absence of data (lack of insertion sites in a haploid gene) in

a greater proportion of genes not previously characterized as an essential gene in *S.*

cerevisiae (3687 non-essential genes vs. 765 essential genes)*, whereas, the latter category

utilizes the presence of insertional data in a smaller proportion of genes that are known to

be essential in *S. cerevisiae*. All predicted genes that differ in dispensability are listed in

Supplemental File 9.

To analyze the two categories of genes that differ in essentiality further, we

compiled a list of 222 genes from the *S. cerevisiae*-specific category and a more restrictive

list (Materials and Methods) of 220 *S. uvarum*-specific genes to normalize the number of

genes from each species. Using this list, we determined the proportion of *S. cerevisiae*-

specific and *S. uvarum*-specific genes annotated for each function by performing Gene

Ontology (GO) term finder using the molecular function ontology. The proportion of

essential genes that differ between species for each functional category are represented in

Supplemental Figure 9B, illustrating a subset of all significant functional categories.

Interestingly, the most striking difference is in the functional category of the structural

constituent of the ribosome. This category is enriched for genes that are predicted to be

essential in *S. uvarum* (46/52)*. Additionally, differences exist between essential genes in

the category of RNA polymerase activity, where 9/10 genes were identified from predicted

S. cerevisiae essential genes. Full lists of significant (p-value < 0.01) GO enrichment

molecular function terms for each species individually are listed in **Supplemental File 10.**

To confirm a subset of these predicted essential genes within each genetic

background, we applied the same method previously described to confirm conserved

essential genes by sporulating heterozygous deletion strains to determine the viability

pattern of the segregants. For example, gene *SSQ1* is an example of a confirmed *S. uvarum*-

specific essential gene, illustrated in **Figure 2**. An example of one confirmed *S. cerevisiae*-

specific gene is *VTC4,* illustrated in **Figure 3.** Overall, we confirmed a total of 22 predicted *S.*

uvarum-specific and *S. cerevisiae*-specific essential genes (tetrad analysis can be found in

Supplemental Figs. 10 and 11 respectively). Interestingly, there are a variety of growth

phenotypes associated with confirmed species-specific genes. All combined tetrad analysis

results from the confirmation tests, also including false positives, are represented in

Supplemental Fig. 12. **Supplemental Table 2** summarizes the total number of genes

confirmed in each category. We note the higher false positive rate in the species-specific

essential gene categories and attribute this to the low density of the overall library

coverage.

Gene	Difference Ratio	Prediction
SSQ1	0.090	E
ARC18	0.0	E
ROM2	1.5	NE
ELO3	0.5	NE
VID22	0.66	NE
SPT3	0.0	E
PSY3	N/A	N/A
FBP1	0.5	NE

Figure 2. Validation of *S. uvarum*-specific essential gene *SSQ1*. A) Mapped

chromosomal insertion positions are plotted across chromosome X. Haploid inserts are

indicated in red, diploid inserts are blue and overlapping inserts are indicated in purple.

Genes indicated across the top are outlined according to predicted dispensability and filled

in, if confirmed. Light blue filling indicates a gene that is essential in *S. uvarum* and non-

essential in *S. cerevisiae* (confirmed E_NE). B) Tetrad analysis of a heterozygous

ssq1Δ::KanMX strain displaying inviable segregants containing the *ssq1Δ* allele in *S. uvarum.*

C) Tetrad analysis of a heterozygous *ssq1Δ::KanMX* strain in *S. cerevisiae* containing viable

segregants plated on YPD and G418. D) Table indicating the insertion ratio (number of

haploid inserts by the number of diploid inserts) per gene. The final column lists the

predicted classification (NE, non-essential; E, essential; N/A, no data).

D. Gene	Difference Ratio	Prediction
MPS3	0.222	E
TPH3	1.375	NE
CCT3	0.333	E
MAD3	1.0	NE
VTC4	0.75	NE
RPC17	0.0	E

Figure 3. Validation of *S. cerevisiae*-specific essential gene *VTC4*. A) Mapped

chromosomal insertion positions are plotted across chromosome XII. Haploid inserts are

indicated in red, diploid inserts are blue and overlapping inserts are indicated in purple.

Genes indicated across the top are outlined according to predicted dispensability and filled

in if confirmed. Light pink filling indicates a gene that is essential in *S. cerevisiae* and non-

essential in *S. uvarum* (confirmed NE_E). B) Tetrad analysis of a heterozygous *vct4Δ* strain

displaying viable segregants with the *vtc4Δ* allele in *S. uvarum* plated on YPD and G418. C)

Tetrad analysis of a heterozygous *vtc4Δ* allele in *S. cerevisiae* resulting in inviable

segregants. D) Table indicating the insertion ratio (number of haploid inserts by the

number of diploid inserts) per gene. The final column lists the predicted classification (NE,

non-essential; E, essential; N/A, no data).

In some cases, validation failures could be explained by errors in genome

annotation, not errors in our insertional library results. One gene, *DRE2,* was predicted to

be a non-essential gene but was confirmed as an essential gene through tetrad analysis**.**

Although this gene was initially called as a false positive, closer analysis revealed that all the

haploid insertions were clustered at the 5' end of the gene (**Supplemental Fig. 13**).

Performing protein alignments of *DRE2* between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum* revealed an

annotated start codon in *S. uvarum* upstream of the annotated start codon in *S. cerevisiae.* It

is likely that the gene was misannotated in *S. uvarum*, and instead shares the methionine

start position further downstream. Using the reannotated gene coordinates, we would

correctly classify *DRE2* as essential in *S. uvarum* since the haploid insertions were no longer

included in the open reading frame*.* This one example highlights the utility of this library to

improve gene annotation in addition to characterizing gene essentiality.

To determine if the differences in dispensability could be explained by gene

expression, we compared a previously described metric used to quantify differences in gene

expression between orthologous genes. We compared this metric in known genes that

differ in essentiality and did not find evidence of genes enriched for expression differences,

suggesting that gene expression alone cannot account for the differences in species

dependent essentiality **(Supplemental Figure 14).**

Paralog divergence and duplicate gene loss explain some background effects on

differential gene dispensability

Once we confirmed a list of genes that differed in dispensability between species

and ruled out obvious expression differences, we set out to determine what genetic

background effects could be contributing to the differences in dispensability between *S.*

cerevisiae and *S. uvarum.* One explanation could be genetic redundancy due to gene

duplications, such that a gene is nonessential in one species due to the presence of a

paralog, whereas the other species contains only a single copy. To investigate this

possibility, we began investigating genes that differed in dispensability and had paralogs.

Of the 222 *S. cerevisiae*-specific essential genes, 11 were known to have paralogs. For

example, *CDC25* is an *S. cerevisiae*-specific essential gene (nonessential in *S. uvarum)* and is

a paralog to *SDC25*, which contains a premature stop codon in *S. cerevisiae.* We performed

complementation assays by cloning *S. uvarum* alleles of both paralogs into a CEN/ARS

plasmid and testing whether the *S. uvarum* alleles could rescue the inviable phenotype of

segregants from a heterozygous *cdc25Δ* deletion in *S. cerevisiae*. We found that *SDC25* from

S. uvarum is functional and that both *SDC25* and *CDC25* alleles from *S. uvarum* can

complement a *cdc25Δ* deletion in *S. cerevisiae* (**Table 1)**. Although we did not test for

complementation in the *S. uvarum* background, the results from the complementation

assays suggest that perhaps *CDC25* is required for growth in *S. cerevisiae* due to the lack of

redundancy as a consequence of the non-functional copy of *SDC25.*

Following this same logic, we hypothesized that *CDC25* non-essentiality in *S.*

uvarum could be attributed to the redundancy provided by the functional copy of *SDC25* in

this species*.* To test this idea, we created an *S. uvarum* mutant heterozygous for both

cdc25Δ sdc25Δ and performed segregation analysis on the dissected tetrads (**Table 1**)**.**

Unexpectedly, the segregation pattern of a double mutant displays a lethal phenotype for

not only the double mutant but also the single *sdc25Δ* mutant. We confirmed this result by

constructing an *sdc25Δ* heterozygous mutant in *S. uvarum* and found a 2:2 segregation

pattern showing that *SDC25* is an essential gene in *S. uvarum*. Although there is no clear

explanation for the requirement of *SDC25* in *S. uvarum,* this comparison displays one clear

example of paralog divergence in essentiality between these two species.

Genotype	*S. cerevisiae*	*S. uvarum*
cdc25Δ	-	+
sdc25Δ	+	-
cdc25Δ + ScCDC25	+	N/D
cdc25Δ + SuCDC25	+	N/D
cdc25Δ + SuSDC25	+	N/D
cdc25Δ + ScSDC25	-	N/D
sdc25Δ + ScCDC25	N/D	-

2   **Table 1. Viability summary of gene deletions and complementation assays.** Signs in

3   columns indicated by each species represent viability (-:inviable, +:viable, N/D:not done).

4   Complementation assays are represented by the gene deletion with the addition of each

5   gene expressed on a low copy plasmid.

6
7

In addition to redundancy differences that are attributed to divergence between

pairs of paralogs, gene gains and losses may also contribute to genetic background effects

that result in differential dispensability between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum.* Previous

studies have investigated gene gains and losses across the *Saccharomyces* clade and

identified genes that lost their duplicate in some species but not others. For example, *ALR1*

is found as a singleton in *S. cerevisiae* but has retained the duplicate copy in *S. uvarum.* The

ALR1 gene is a confirmed *S. cerevisiae*-specific essential gene, which may be explained by

the loss of the other copy of the duplicate pair. We created a heterozygous deletion of both

ALR1 copies in *S. uvarum* and tested the viability phenotypes of each mutant and of the

double mutant separately. Surprisingly, each single mutant and the double mutant were

viable. Furthermore, *ALR2* (the paralog of *ALR1)* is also nonessential, and even the triple

mutants had no phenotype **(Supplemental Fig. 15).**

Divergent gene dispensability is largely due to trans effects

While genetic redundancy or gene loss is a possible explanation for a fraction of differentially essential genes, the remaining much larger portion of this class of genes remained unexplained. Because our main goal for this study was to find evidence of gene function divergence between these two species, we proceeded to further investigate the remaining differentially essential genes for functional differences. For a subset of these genes, we performed complementation assays in both species to test for divergent function. We cloned five *S. cerevisiae* alleles from the list of *S. uvarum*-specific essential genes (*SAC3, TUP1, CCM1, SSQ1,* and *AFT1)* and seven *S. uvarum* alleles from the list of *S. cerevisiae*-specific essential genes (*ALR1*, *SHR3*, *CDC25*, *INN1*, *LCD1*, *SEC24*, *VTC24*) into a CEN/ARS plasmid to perform complementation tests in *S. uvarum* and *S. cerevisiae* **(Supplemental Fig. 16)**. The results from these complementation tests revealed that all genes are able to complement the inviable phenotype in the other species, suggesting that the differences in essentiality are more likely to be due to *trans*-acting changes rather than functional differences of protein coding regions.

Discussion

In this study, we applied a comparative functional genomics approach to investigate how genetic background influences gene dispensability between two diverged species of yeast. Using insertional integration comparisons between haploid and diploid pools of mutants, we prioritized genes to validate as predicted essential, non-essential and differentially essential gene categories in *S. uvarum.* We predicted approximately 12% of orthologs to differ in dispensability between *S. uvarum* and *S. cerevisiae* and validated 22 genes in this category. Surprisingly, however, most genes that differ in dispensability have retained their function between these two species, suggesting that differences in gene

dispensability are likely due to *trans*-acting changes rather than the direct result of

divergent coding sequence.

Specifically, our comparison of orthologous genes between *S. cerevisiae* and *S.*

uvarum revealed that a majority of genes maintain conserved dispensability requirements

(88%) while 12% of orthologs are predicted to be essential in one species but not the other.

We confirmed 93% (15/16) of predicted conserved categories of essentiality and 49%

(27/55) of genes predicted to be differentially essential. Although our rate of confirmed

genes in this category was lower than the conserved category, we correctly identified a

subset of genes that are differentially dispensable, despite the moderately dense insertional

profile of the library and a less restrictive cut-off value applied to include more genes to be

classified as this type. Further analysis of predicted species-specific essential genes

revealed enriched GO ontology terms of molecular functions involved in structural

constituent of the ribosome and DNA binding, although more precise analysis of functional

enrichments may require more thorough validation to remove the influence of false

positives. Finally, we utilized genetic tools in *S. uvarum* to test hypotheses about genetic

background effects that contribute to differences in essentiality. We find that differences

can be explained by paralog divergence and *trans*-acting factors.

Applying a random insertional approach has proved to be useful in functionally

profiling *S. uvarum* and will be useful for studying other understudied species, with the goal

of adding information to gene annotation methods. While this study was performed in

standard laboratory conditions, it is easily amenable for testing stressful conditions, other

nutrient sources as well as naturally relevant conditions. This library can be applied to

probe previously un-annotated genes or even proto-genes for functional acquisition, since it

is not restricted to *a priori* assumptions of genic boundaries. The identification of synthetic

lethal interactions can also be determined by performing insertional profiling in the

background of a particular mutation of interest relatively quickly and economically.

Additionally, pooled competition experiments *en masse* can be used to determine the

frequency of particular insertional mutants, providing quantitative measurements of

cellular fitness across conditions. Such a strategy could be efficiently employed using

computational approaches to prioritize experimental conditions that are most likely to

probe the most valuable phenotypic information for further functional characterization

(Guan et al. 2010).

Gene regulation also plays a large role in evolution and is crucial for responding to

environmental change (Carroll 2005). In previous studies, we aimed to functionally

characterize differences in gene expression patterns between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum*

and discovered species-specific responses to osmotic stress, peroxisome biogenesis and

autophagy, suggesting that each species may been exposed to different selective pressures

within their respective evolution histories (Caudy et al. 2013; Guan et al. 2013).

Interestingly, we did not find that genes with different gene expression patterns between

species were more likely to be differentially essential. Instead, *trans* genetic interactions

dominate. Identifying the molecular basis of these *trans* effects can now be undertaken,

potentially revealing principles of genetic interactions across species.

Materials and Methods

Strains, plasmids and primers

The strains, plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in **Supplementary**

Files 1, **2** and **3** respectively. All *S. uvarum* strains are derivatives of the sequenced strain

CBS 7001 (previously sometimes called *S. bayanus* or *S. bayanus* var *uvarum*), and all *S.*

cerevisiae strains are of S288C background. Unless specified below, yeast strains were

grown at 25°C for *S. uvarum* strains and 30°C for *S. cerevisiae* strains and standard media

recipes were used.

Construction of the Tn7 mutagenesis library

The construction of the Tn7 plasmid library has been previously described in detail

and was obtained from the Caudy lab (Caudy et al. 2013). Briefly, this mutagenesis

approach uses a plasmid library of *S. uvarum* genomic DNA, containing random Tn7

transposon insertions. The construct has a selectable marker for transformation into yeast,

allowing the selection of disruption alleles.

To make the plasmid library, genomic DNA was isolated and fragmented by

sonication to an average length of 3 kb from a *rho0 S. uvarum* strain. The ends of the DNA

were blunted and cloned into the pZero Blunt vector (Invitrogen). Approximately 50,000

colonies were recovered from the transformation into *E. coli* DH5α strain. The

transformants were scraped from Kanamycin plates and pooled for plasmid purification. A

version of the Tn7 transposon was constructed by amplifying the promoter from the Tet-on

pCM224 (Bellí et al. 1998). The cassette of the Tet-on promoter and the ClonNAT resistance

gene was amplified using PCR primers containing lox and BamHI sites and cloned into the

BamHI site of the NEB vector pGPS3. This transposon construct was inserted into the *S.*

uvarum genomic DNA library *in vitro* using the transposon kit from NEB. Initial selection

(50,000 colonies) was on ClonNAT/Zeo. HindIII and XbaI were used to digest the pZero

backbone to release the linearized genomic DNA for efficient recombination. The library

was then used to transform a haploid *S. uvarum* strain (ACY12) and a diploid strain

(YMD1228) using a modified transformation protocol optimized for *S. uvarum* (Caudy et al.

2013). Transformant colonies were plated to YPD-ClonNat plates and allowed to grow for 5

days at 25°C. A total of ~ 500,000 colonies were scraped for each pool. Each final pool was

well mixed at a 1:1 ratio with 50% glycerol and 2 ml aliquots were stored at -80°C.

Pooled growth of Tn7 *S. uvarum* libraries

To determine the initial complexity of the integrated pools, genomic DNA was

extracted directly from the glycerol stocks of both haploid and diploid pools using the

Hoffman and Winston method (Hoffman and Winston 1987). Additionally, we inoculated

500 µl of both libraries in separate YPD flasks for 24 hours to recover mutants after 24

hours of growth. Furthermore, to collect samples over time, we competed both pools under

sulfate-limiting conditions in chemostats for approximately 30 generations at 25°C. A large-

volume, ~300ml, sulfate-limited chemostat (Gresham et al. 2008) was inoculated with a

single 2ml glycerol stock sample of each pool. After allowing the chemostat to grow at 25°C

without dilution for ~24 hrs, fresh media was added to the chemostat at a rate of 0.17 h-1.

This pooled growth assay was repeated twice, each including 5 time points with O.D. and

dilution rate measurements as well as collected cell pellets for DNA extractions using the

modified Hoffman-Winston prep referenced above.

Tn7 sequencing library preparation

Sequencing libraries were prepared by first extracting genomic DNA from pools of

each library grown in YPD and sulfate limited conditions. Genomic DNA libraries were

prepared for Illumina sequencing using a Tn7-seq protocol described previously (Wetmore

et al. 2015). Briefly, the Covaris was used to randomly fragment DNA to approximately 200-

800 bp in length. The fragments were blunt ended and A-tails were added to the fragments

to ligate the Illumina adapter sequences. Custom index primers (listed in **Supplementary**

File 3) targeting Tn7-specific sequence and Illumina adapter sequence were used to enrich

for genomic DNA with Tn7 insertion sites. The barcoded libraries were quantified on an

Invitrogen Qubit Fluorometer and submitted for 150 bp-paired end sequencing on an

Illumina HiSeq 2000 by JGI. This method was also applied to make the plasmid library, from

linearized plasmid DNA.

Sequencing analysis

Sequencing reads from the FASTQ files were trimmed to remove Tn7 specific

sequences and adapter sequences, restricting the minimal length of reads to 36 bp using

Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014) and FASTX-Toolkit. Trimmed FASTQ files were aligned

against the reference strain of *S. uvarum* (CBS 7001) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA)

with standard filters applied (Li and Durbin 2009). Specifically, non-uniquely mapping

reads, reads in which the pair did not map, reads with a mapping quality less than 30 and

PCR/optical duplicate reads were filtered out; the samtools C-50 filter was applied as

recommended for reads mapped with BWA. To limit the insertional analysis to actively

growing cells, SAM files were merged from the later time points in the growth assays of

each pool using samtools (Li et al. 2009). The sequence coverage of the nuclear genome

ranged from 70 to 300x (**Supplementary File 4**). Insertion sites were determined from

SAM files using a custom Ruby script. Insertion sites that had 10 reads or more were

processed through a custom Python script that counted the number of insertion events in

each coding region across the genome. This pipeline was applied to both libraries and

further comparisons were made between the pools to determine essential genes. Read data

have been deposited at the NCBI under the SRA accession number SRP115313.

Predicting gene dispensability between species

In order to determine a list of predicted essential genes, comparisons were made

between the haploid and diploid libraries. We calculated an insertion ratio by dividing the

number of insertions in the haploid pool by the number in the diploid pool. This direct

comparison inherently accounts for the length of the gene, since the length is constant in

both libraries. Therefore, a decrease in insertion sites in the haploid library indicates a

reduction in the presence of mutants containing insertional sites that impact cellular

viability. Ratios closer to zero represent insertional mutants that reduce the frequency of

haploids harboring insertional sites in a coding region that is required for cellular growth.

To make an insertion ratio cut-off value to categorize essential and non-essential

genes, we analyzed the distribution of insertion ratios within intergenic regions between

500 bp and 7 kb in length and positioned between Watson and Crick coding regions (so

chosen because these are less likely to contain promoter sequences). The distribution of the

insertion ratio calculated for these regions was similar to that of known non-essential genes

in *S. cerevisiae.* Therefore, we used this distribution to rank the insertion ratios of all coding

regions and set a cut-off value to 0.25 where 20% of the insertion ratio of coding regions fell

below the intergenic distribution, which was similar to the kernel density estimates of

known *S. cerevisiae* essential genes. The kernel density estimates were computed in R using

X and visualized using ggplot2. To remove a class of low coverage genes in the essential

gene category, we applied an additional cut-off value. Since the difference between 0 and 1

with a gene that is longer has a lower weighted difference than a shorter gene, we

calculated the difference between the diploid pool and haploid pool and normalized this

value to the length of the gene (normalized difference). Genes with less than a normalized

difference of 2 were removed from the essential category.

Validating predicted essential and non-essential genes

We validated predicted essential genes by creating *S. uvarum* heterozygous diploid

deletion mutants using primers listed in the **Supplemental File 3.** Primers containing 50

bp of homology upstream and downstream of each candidate open reading frame were used

to amplify the KanMX cassette from the pRS400 plasmid. The PCR product was used to

integrate into the *S. uvarum* genome using an *S. uvarum* specific transformation protocol.

The proper integration of the construct was validated through clone purifying positive

colonies and extracting genomic DNA to perform PCR using diagnostic primers listed in the

Supplemental File 3. The diagnostic primers were designed to target ~150 bp upstream

and ~150 bp downstream of the open reading frame to identify wild type and drug marker

alleles. Positive clones were sporulated for 3-5 days at 25°C and 8 tetrads were screened

for 2:2 viable segregation. Images were taken after 4 days of growth on YPD plates.

Mutants conferring non-essential phenotypes were replicated on G418 plates and images

were taken after 4 days of growth at 25°C (**Supplemental Fig. 9&10**). This method was

also applied to making double mutants. A collection of 440 *MATα S. uvarum* strains was

generated by standard methods in the Rine lab and used as confirmed non-essential genes.

Cross-species complementation assays

To determine if genes are diverging in gene function or in other trans-acting factors,

we performed cross-species complementation assays with species-specific essential genes.

Essential genes that were *S. cerevisiae* specific were tested in a heterozygous diploid

deletion strain from the magic marker collection. Alleles of each *S. cerevisiae* essential gene

were amplified from *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum* genomes and cloned into a CEN ARS

plasmid. PCR using Phusion DNA polymerase was used to amplify 500 bp upstream and 5

bp downstream of the stop codon of each gene from *S. cerevisiae* and *S. uvarum.* Each gene

was cloned into pIL37 by Gibson assembly using primers listed in **Supplemental File 3**

using standard methods (Thomas et al. 2015**).** All plasmids used in this study are listed in

Supplemental File 2. The *S. cerevisiae* heterozygous diploid deletion strains were

transformed with a plasmid containing a corresponding allele from each species and

selected on C-URA plates. Similarly, *S. uvarum* specific essential genes were also tested by

making each heterozygous diploid deletion strain *ura3Δ/ura3Δ,* and transformed with a

plasmid containing a corresponding *S. cerevisiae* allele from the MoBY-ORF collection (Ho et

al. 2009).

Transformed strains were sporulated for 5 days at 30°C and 25°C for *S. cerevisiae* and

S. uvarum species, respectively and tetrad analysis was performed on YPD plates. After 3

days of growth, plates were replica plated on C-URA and YPD+G418 plates and imaged after

2 days of growth (**Supplemental Fig. 15)**.

Data Access

The transposon insertion data in this study have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read

Archive (SRA; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/) under SRA accession number

SRP115313.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to Jasper Rine for generously sharing *S. uvarum* deletion strains. Thank

you to Noah Hanson and Kolena Dang for technical assistance with tetrad dissections.

Thank you to Daniel Chee for his help with optimizing the Python code and Jeremy Stone for

his help with the Ruby script that was used for the insertional analysis pipeline. We thank

M.K. Raghuraman and Harmit Malik for their helpful comments on the manuscript and

thank Sergey Kryazhimskiy for his helpful insight about data analysis. This work was

supported by NSF grant 1516330. MS was funded by NSF GSRF DGE-1256082 and the

Robert D. Watkins Graduate Research Fellowship from the American Society for

Microbiology. MJD is supported in part by a Faculty Scholars grant from the Howard

Hughes Medical Institute. MJD is also a senior fellow in the Genetic Networks program at the

Canadian Institute for Advanced Research. Sequencing resources were provided by JGI CSP

Project 1460. AAC was supported by an Open Operating Grant from the Canadian Institutes

for Health Research and by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering

Research Council of Canada. AAC is the Canada Research Chair of Metabolomics for Enzyme

Discovery.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* **2**: 2006.0008.

Bellí G, Garí E, Piedrafita L, Aldea M, Herrero E. 1998. An activator/repressor dual system allows tight tetracycline-regulated gene expression in budding yeast. *Nucleic Acids Res* **26**: 942–947.

Berardinis V de, Vallenet D, Castelli V, Besnard M, Pinet A, Cruaud C, Samair S, Lechaplais C, Gyapay G, Richez C, et al. 2008. A complete collection of single-gene deletion mutants of *Acinetobacter baylyi ADP1*. *Mol Syst Biol* **4**: 174.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.

Carroll SB. 2005. Evolution at Two Levels: On Genes and Form. *PLOS Biol* **3**: e245.

Caudy AA, Guan Y, Jia Y, Hansen C, DeSevo C, Hayes AP, Agee J, Alvarez-Dominguez JR, Arellano H, Barrett D, et al. 2013. A New System for Comparative Functional Genomics of *Saccharomyces* Yeasts. *Genetics* **195**: 275–287.

Coradetti S, Pinel D, Geiselman G, Ito M, Mondo S, Reilly M, Cheng Y-F, Bauer S, Grigoriev I, Gladden J, et al. 2017. Functional genomics of lipid metabolism in the oleaginous yeast *Rhodosporidium toruloides*. *bioRxiv* 190546.

Costanzo M. 2016. Systems biology: A yeast global genetic interaction map. *Nat Methods* **13**: 904–904.

de la Rosa J, Weber J, Friedrich MJ, Li Y, Rad L, Ponstingl H, Liang Q, de Quirós SB, Noorani I, Metzakopian E, et al. 2017. A single-copy Sleeping Beauty transposon mutagenesis screen identifies new PTEN-cooperating tumor suppressor genes. *Nat Genet* **49**: 730–741.

DeNicola GM, Karreth FA, Adams DJ, Wong CC. 2015. The utility of transposon mutagenesis for cancer studies in the era of genome editing. *Genome Biol* **16**.

Dowell RD, Ryan O, Jansen A, Cheung D, Agarwala S, Danford T, Bernstein DA, Rolfe PA, Heisler LE, Chin B, et al. 2010. Genotype to phenotype: a complex problem. *Science* **328**: 469.

Dujon B. 2010. Yeast evolutionary genomics. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**: 512–524.

Eisen JA. 1998. Phylogenomics: Improving Functional Predictions for Uncharacterized Genes by Evolutionary Analysis. *Genome Res* **8**: 163–167.

Gangadharan S, Mularoni L, Fain-Thornton J, Wheelan SJ, Craig NL. 2010. DNA transposon Hermes inserts into DNA in nucleosome-free regions in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 21966–21972.

Gresham D, Desai MM, Tucker CM, Jenq HT, Pai DA, Ward A, DeSevo CG, Botstein D, Dunham
MJ. 2008. The Repertoire and Dynamics of Evolutionary Adaptations to Controlled
Nutrient-Limited Environments in Yeast. *PLoS Genet* **4**: e1000303.

Guan Y, Dunham M, Caudy A, Troyanskaya O. 2010. Systematic Planning of Genome-Scale
Experiments in Poorly Studied Species. *PLOS Comput Biol* **6**: e1000698.

Guan Y, Dunham MJ, Troyanskaya OG, Caudy AA. 2013. Comparative gene expression
between two yeast species. *BMC Genomics* **14**: 1–13.

Guo Y, Park JM, Cui B, Humes E, Gangadharan S, Hung S, FitzGerald PC, Hoe K-L, Grewal SIS,
Craig NL, et al. 2013a. Integration Profiling of Gene Function With Dense Maps of
Transposon Integration. *Genetics* **195**: 599–609.

Guo Y, Sheng Q, Li J, Ye F, Samuels DC, Shyr Y. 2013b. Large Scale Comparison of Gene
Expression Levels by Microarrays and RNAseq Using TCGA Data. *PLOS ONE* **8**:
e71462.

Ho CH, Magtanong L, Barker SL, Gresham D, Nishimura S, Natarajan P, Koh JLY, Porter J,
Gray CA, Andersen RJ, et al. 2009. A molecular barcoded yeast ORF library enables
mode-of-action analysis of bioactive compounds. *Nat Biotechnol* **27**.

Hoffman CS, Winston F. 1987. A ten-minute DNA preparation from yeast efficiently releases
autonomous plasmids for transformation of *Escherichia coli. Gene* **57**: 267–272.

Kellis M, Patterson N, Endrizzi M, Birren B, Lander ES. 2003. Sequencing and comparison of
yeast species to identify genes and regulatory elements. *Nature* **423**: 241–254.

Kim D-U, Hayles J, Kim D, Wood V, Park H-O, Won M, Yoo H-S, Duhig T, Nam M, Palmer G, et
al. 2010. Analysis of a genome-wide set of gene deletions in the fission yeast
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nat Biotechnol **28**: 617–623.

Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1754–1760.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.
2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–
2079.

Michel AH, Hatakeyama R, Kimmig P, Arter M, Peter M, Matos J, Virgilio CD, Kornmann B.
2017. Functional mapping of yeast genomes by saturated transposition. *eLife* **6**:
e23570.

Oh J, Fung E, Schlecht U, Davis RW, Giaever G, St. Onge RP, Deutschbauer A, Nislow C. 2010.
Gene Annotation and Drug Target Discovery in *Candida albicans* with a Tagged
Transposon Mutant Collection. *PLoS Pathog* **6**.

Porwollik S, Santiviago CA, Cheng P, Long F, Desai P, Fredlund J, Srikumar S, Silva CA, Chu W,
Chen X, et al. 2014. Defined Single-Gene and Multi-Gene Deletion Mutant Collections
in S*almonella enterica sv Typhimurium. PLOS ONE* **9**: e99820.

Price MN, Wetmore KM, Waters RJ, Callaghan M, Ray J, Kuehl JV, Melnyk RA, Lamson JS, Suh Y, Esquivel Z, et al. 2016. Deep Annotation of Protein Function across Diverse Bacteria from Mutant Phenotypes. *bioRxiv* 72470.

Ross-Macdonald P, Coelho PSR, Roemer T, Agarwal S, Kumar A, Jansen R, Cheung K-H, Sheehan A, Symoniatis D, Umansky L, et al. 1999. Large-scale analysis of the yeast genome by transposon tagging and gene disruption. *Nature* **402**: 413–418.

Scannell DR, Butler G, Wolfe KH. 2007. Yeast genome evolution—the origin of the species. *Yeast* **24**: 929–942.

Schwarzmüller T, Ma B, Hiller E, Istel F, Tscherner M, Brunke S, Ames L, Firon A, Green B, Cabral V, et al. 2014. Systematic Phenotyping of a Large-Scale *Candida glabrata* Deletion Collection Reveals Novel Antifungal Tolerance Genes. *PLOS Pathog* **10**: e1004211.

Thomas S, Maynard ND, Gill J. 2015. DNA library construction using Gibson Assembly®. *Nat Methods* **12**.

Tong AH, Evangelista M, Parsons AB, Xu H, Bader GD, Pagé N, Robinson M, Raghibizadeh S, Hogue CW, Bussey H, et al. 2001. Systematic genetic analysis with ordered arrays of yeast deletion mutants. *Science* **294**: 2364–2368.

Usadel B, Obayashi T, Mutwil M, Giorgi FM, Bassel GW, Tanimoto M, Chow A, Steinhauser D, Persson S, Provart NJ. 2009. Co-expression tools for plant biology: opportunities for hypothesis generation and caveats. *Plant Cell Environ* **32**: 1633–1651.

van Opijnen T, Camilli A. 2013. Transposon insertion sequencing: a new tool for systems-level analysis of microorganisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **11**: 435–442.

Weerdenburg EM, Abdallah AM, Rangkuti F, Ghany MAE, Otto TD, Adroub SA, Molenaar D, Ummels R, Veen K ter, Stempvoort G van, et al. 2015. Genome-Wide Transposon Mutagenesis Indicates that *Mycobacterium marinum C*ustomizes Its Virulence Mechanisms for Survival and Replication in Different Hosts. *Infect Immun* **83**: 1778–1788.

Wetmore KM, Price MN, Waters RJ, Lamson JS, He J, Hoover CA, Blow MJ, Bristow J, Butland G, Arkin AP, et al. 2015. Rapid Quantification of Mutant Fitness in Diverse Bacteria by Sequencing Randomly Bar-Coded Transposons. *mBio* **6**: e00306-15.

Winzeler EA, Shoemaker DD, Astromoff A, Liang H, Anderson K, Andre B, Bangham R, Benito R, Boeke JD, Bussey H, et al. 1999. Functional characterization of the *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. *Science* **285**: 901–906.

Yung MC, Park DM, Overton KW, Blow MJ, Hoover CA, Smit J, Murray SR, Ricci DP, Christen B, Bowman GR, et al. 2015. Transposon Mutagenesis Paired with Deep Sequencing of *Caulobacter crescentus* under Uranium Stress Reveals Genes Essential for Detoxification and Stress Tolerance. *J Bacteriol* **197**: 3160–3172.

1   Zhao L, Anderson MT, Wu W, T. Mobley HL, Bachman MA. 2017. TnseqDiff: identification of
2   conditionally essential genes in transposon sequencing studies. *BMC Bioinformatics*
3   **18**: 326.