1   *Enterococcus faecalis* strains with compromised CRISPR-Cas defense emerge under antibiotic

2   selection for a CRISPR-targeted plasmid

4   Wenwen Huo[ab], Valerie J. Price[ab], Ardalan Sharifi[a], Michael Q. Zhang[a], and Kelli L. Palmer[a]*

6   Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas, USA[a]

7   [b]These authors contributed equally

8   *Corresponding author

9   E-mail: kelli.palmer@utdallas.edu (KLP)

Abstract

Enterococcus faecalis is a Gram-positive bacterium that natively colonizes the human gastrointestinal tract and opportunistically causes life-threatening infections. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) *E. faecalis* strains have emerged that are replete with mobile genetic elements (MGEs). Non-MDR *E. faecalis* strains frequently possess CRISPR-Cas systems, which reduce the frequency of mobile genetic element (MGE) acquisition. We demonstrated in previous studies that *E. faecalis* populations can transiently maintain both a functional CRISPR-Cas system and a CRISPR-Cas target. In this study, we used serial passage and deep sequencing to analyze these populations. In the presence of antibiotic selection for the plasmid, mutants with compromised CRISPR-Cas defense and enhanced ability to acquire a second antibiotic resistance plasmid emerged. Conversely, in the absence of selection, the plasmid was lost from wild-type *E. faecalis* populations, but not *E. faecalis* populations that lacked the *cas9* gene. Our results indicate that *E. faecalis* CRISPR-Cas can become compromised under antibiotic selection, generating populations with enhanced abilities to undergo horizontal gene transfer.

Importance

Enterococcus faecalis is a leading cause of hospital-acquired infections and disseminator of antibiotic resistance plasmids among Gram-positive bacteria. We have previously shown that *E. faecalis* strains with an active CRISPR-Cas system can prevent plasmid acquisition and thus limit the transmission of antibiotic resistance determinants. Yet, CRISPR-Cas was not a perfect barrier. In this study, we observed populations of *E. faecalis* with transient co-existence of CRISPR-Cas and one of its plasmid targets. Our experimental data demonstrate that antibiotic selection results in compromised *E. faecalis* CRISPR-Cas function, thereby facilitating the acquisition of additional resistance plasmids by *E. faecalis*.

Introduction

Enterococcus faecalis is a Gram-positive bacterium that natively colonizes the human gastrointestinal tract and opportunistically causes life-threatening infections (1-4). Antibiotic resistance is a major concern for treatment of these infections. *E. faecalis* can acquire antibiotic resistance through horizontal gene transfer (HGT), mediated primarily by plasmids, transposons, and integrative conjugative elements (5-7). One of the most clinically relevant forms of HGT in *E. faecalis* is afforded through the pheromone-responsive plasmids. The pheromone-responsive plasmids are narrow host range conjugative plasmids that disseminate antibiotic resistance and virulence genes among *E. faecalis* (5, 8-10) and mobilize resistance genes to other pathogens (2, 11-14).

Some *E. faecalis* encode CRISPR-Cas systems. We have demonstrated that these systems reduce the spread of antibiotic resistance plasmids among *E. faecalis in vitro,* and *in vivo* in the murine intestine (15-19). CRISPR-Cas systems confer sequence-specific genome defense against mobile genetic elements (MGEs) (20, 21). Type II CRISPR-Cas systems occur in *E. faecalis* (22-24). They consist of a CRISPR array and CRISPR-associated (*cas*) genes. In *E. faecalis*, the CRISPR is an array of 36 base pair (bp) repeat sequences interspersed by 30 bp sequences referred to as spacers. When cells are challenged with a MGE, some incorporate a short segment (protospacer) of the invading MGE genome into the CRISPR as a novel spacer (20, 25). By this mechanism, the CRISPR serves as a heritable molecular memory of MGE encounters. Short sequence motifs adjacent to protospacers, called protospacer adjacent motifs (PAMs), as well as the Cas9, Cas1, and Cas2 nucleases are important for adaptation (26-31). The CRISPR is transcribed and processed into small RNAs called crRNAs; one crRNA corresponds to one spacer (32). If a MGE possessing the protospacer and PAM enters the cell, the Cas9 nuclease is directed to the MGE genome by the crRNA. Cas9 cleaves the invading MGE, generating a double-stranded DNA break, thereby inhibiting its entry (33-36).

Several of our studies (17, 18, 37) have focused on the CRISPR3-Cas locus of the *E. faecalis*

strain T11RF, which natively lacks horizontally acquired antibiotic resistance genes (38, 39). *E.*

faecalis T11RF is closely related to the model multidrug-resistant *E. faecalis* strain V583, but lacks

the ~620 kb of horizontally acquired genome content that V583 possesses (39). T11RF has a

CRISPR-Cas system, while V583 does not (24). In general, multidrug-resistant *E. faecalis* lack

CRISPR-Cas. The *E. faecalis* T11RF CRISPR3-Cas possesses 21 spacers. Spacer 6 is identical

to the *repB* gene of the model pheromone-responsive plasmid pAD1. pAD1 encodes a haemolytic

bacteriocin referred to as cytolysin and the virulence factor aggregation substance (8, 40). We

previously demonstrated that T11RF CRISPR3-Cas interferes with acquisition of the pAD1

derivative pAM714, which encodes erythromycin resistance via *ermB* on Tn*917* (41, 42). Deletion

of *cas9* or spacer 6 in *E. faecalis* T11RF resulted in up to a 150-fold increase in pAM714

acquisition in *in vitro* mating with *E. faecalis* OG1SSp(pAM714) donors (17). This increase in

conjugation frequency was not observed for the pheromone-responsive plasmid pCF10, which is

not natively targeted by the T11RF CRISPR3 spacers (17). These experiments confirmed that

CRISPR3-Cas is a sequence-specific anti-plasmid defense system in *E. faecalis* T11RF.

Despite *E. faecalis* T11RF CRISPR3-Cas acting as a barrier to pAM714, up to 10^5

T11RF(pAM714) transconjugants still arose in *in vitro* conjugation reactions (17). In this study, we

tested the investigated the fate of these transconjugants in serial passage experiments with and

without selection for the plasmid.

Results

Serial passage experiments to study transconjugant evolution over time. We mated *E.*

faecalis OG1SSp(pAM714) donors and T11RF or T11RF Δ*cas9* recipients for 18 hours on agar

plates without erythromycin selection (17). After 18 hours, mating reactions were recovered and

plated on agar selective for transconjugants, donors, and recipients. These data were previously

reported and demonstrated that CRISPR-Cas significantly reduces pAM714 acquisition by T11RF

(17). We randomly selected 6 T11RF(pAM714) and 6 T11RFΔ*cas9*(pAM714) transconjugants for

further analysis in this study. The 6 T11RF(pAM714) transconjugants are referred to hereafter as

WT1-WT6, and the 6 T11RFΔ*cas9*(pAM714) transconjugants are referred to as Δ1-Δ6. The

transconjugant colonies were each resuspended in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth. Samples were

removed from the colony resuspensions for serial dilution and plating to determine the percentage

of erythromycin-resistant cells, and for PCR and sequencing to determine the size and sequence

of the CRISPR3. These data are referred to as "Day 0." Next, each transconjugant colony

resuspension was split equally into BHI broth and BHI broth with erythromycin and passaged daily

for 14 days. At every passage, broth samples were removed to determine the percentage of

erythromycin-resistant cells and the size (by agarose gel electrophoresis) and sequence (by

Illumina sequencing) of the CRISPR3 amplicon. As a control, wild-type T11RF was also passaged

for 14 days in BHI broth.

At Day 0, the WT1-WT6 populations, except for WT4, were a mix of erythromycin-sensitive

(primarily) and erythromycin-resistant cells (Figure S1A). The Δ1-Δ6 populations were comprised

of erythromycin-resistant cells (Figure S1A). The CRISPR3 arrays of all populations were wild-

type size (1.76 kb) based on PCR amplification and agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of

products (Figure S1B).

Erythromycin resistance is lost from most WT but no Δ*cas9* populations during passage

in non-selective medium. The frequency of erythromycin-resistant cells decreased in the WT1,

WT2, WT3, WT5, and WT6 populations over the course of serial passage in BHI broth lacking

erythromycin (Figure 1A). In contrast, erythromycin resistance was stably maintained at high

frequencies in the WT4 population and all of the Δ1-Δ6 populations in the absence of erythromycin

selection (Figure 1A). The CRISPR3 arrays of all populations were of wild-type size based on

PCR amplification and agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of products (Figure 1B and Figure

S2).

We analyzed the WT4 population further because it was an outlier compared to the other WT

populations. We sequenced the *cas9* coding region of the WT4 population from Day 0 and

identified a mutation resulting in an Ala749Thr substitution. Ala749 occurs within the RuvC

nuclease domain and is conserved in the well-studied Cas9 of *Streptococcus pyogenes* (17). The

Ala749Thr substitution may result in loss in Cas9 function, causing WT4 to phenocopy the Δ1-Δ6

populations.

CRISPR-Cas mutants emerge in WT populations during passage with erythromycin. We

observed stable maintenance of erythromycin resistance in all WT1-WT6 and Δ1-Δ6 populations

during passage with erythromycin selection (Figure 1A). By Day 14 of passage with erythromycin,

CRISPR3 arrays in all WT populations except WT1 and WT4 were smaller in size than the wild-

type T11RF control (Figure 1B). The variation in array size initiated sporadically over the 14 days,

and each transconjugant had a unique pattern (Figure S2). Sanger sequencing of the CRISPR3

array amplicons from Day 1 and Day 14 erythromycin-passaged populations revealed that the

CRISPR3 spacer 6 was either deleted from the array or the spacer 6 region had poor sequence

quality (Table 2). We will refer to spacer 6 as S_6 hereafter, and use this subscript nomenclature

for the other 20 spacers of the T11RF CRISPR3 array (e.g., S_1, S_2, etc.).

In contrast to WT populations, CRISPR3 array sizes for the Δ1-Δ6 populations were unchanged

after passage in medium with erythromycin (Figure 1B and Table 2). We chose the Δ4 population

as a representative of the Δ1-Δ6 populations for future analyses.

To investigate whether mutations were present outside the CRISPR3 array, we performed whole

genome Illumina sequencing on select populations. We observed variation in the *cas9* sequence

of the WT1, WT2, and WT3 populations relative to the T11RF wild-type (Table 3). All of the

mutations led to nonsynonymous changes (Table 3). Some of the populations possessed

variations in one or more additional genes (Table S2). No mutations were identified in the S_6

protospacer or the PAM region of the *repB* gene in pAM714. However, we did identify a mutation

within *repB*, not associated with the protospacer or PAM, in the WT2 population (Table S2). We

observed no evidence of Tn*917* movement from pAM714 into the T11 chromosome as all reads

overlapping the ends of Tn*917* also overlapped the pAD1 reference sequence.

CRISPR3 alleles with spacer deletions are present in most WT populations after serial

passage with erythromycin selection. To attain greater resolution of CRISPR3 alleles, we used

Illumina sequencing to analyze CRISPR3 amplicons. We analyzed the CRISPR3 amplicons

obtained for the WT1-WT6 and Δ4 populations after Day 1 and Day 14 of serial passage with

erythromycin. We also analyzed the wild-type T11RF control passaged in BHI broth. We achieved

an average of 16 million reads for our amplicons (Table S3).

To identify specific CRISPR3 alleles in the amplicon sequencing, we created a pool of references

by sliding a contiguous and non-overlapping window of 96 bp in length along CRISPR3 reference,

which allows each reference to contain exactly two adjacent spacers connected by one repeat.

The sliding window starts at 30 bp upstream of the first repeat and ends at 30 bp downstream of

the terminal repeat. In total, 22 references were generated to represent wild type alleles. Then,

we manually constructed artificial CRISPR3 reference sequences for every possible spacer

deletion and rearrangement event with a length of 96 bp. In total, 462 references were constructed

to represent all mutant alleles. We then mapped CRISPR amplicon reads to wild-type and mutant

references (see Figure S3). The mapping was performed with stringency to allow unique mapping

only. After mapping, the number of mapped reads per 96-bp reference was calculated and

normalized by the total number of mapped reads per all references. To further evaluate the

normalized reads per reference, we calculated z-score using mean and standard deviation from

the T11RF Day 1 and Day 14 mapping result. The higher the z-score, the more abundant reads

per reference. The significance of z-score was calculated using t-test with a degree of freedom of

483. By applying a p-value cutoff of 0.05, we identified the most abundant CRISPR3 alleles in

each population (Figure 2 and Table 2). Of the five T11RF transconjugant populations analyzed,

all other than WT1 possessed at least one significantly enriched mutant CRISPR3 allele after 14

days of passage with antibiotic selection, and each of those alleles lacked S_6 (Table 2).

One drawback for our method is that it is difficult to set a p-value cutoff. Here we used canonical

definition of 0.05. However, the normalized reads per reference for wild-type alleles is expected

to be much higher than that from mutant alleles, and in fact, it is much higher in control samples

(T11RF control Day 1 and Day 14). Such variation results in large standard deviation values which

skew the calculation of z-scores and hence underestimates the abundance of mutant alleles. We

predict that more mutant alleles existed in Day 14 WT transconjugant samples, which is supported

by an examination of the normalized reads per reference plot (Figure S4), but we are uncertain

about their significance as measured by p-value. Supporting our approach, control samples

yielded results largely as expected. In control samples (T11RF and the representative Δ*cas9*

transconjugant), only wild type alleles were significantly abundant with p-value < 0.05. However,

we also observed uneven distribution among different 96-bp references representing wild-type

alleles, which resulted in a "loss of spacer16-17" call for the Day 14 Δ*cas9* transconjugant at the

p-value of 0.057. When looking at the normalized reads per reference heatmap (Figure S4), we

believe spacer16-17 was still largely intact within the Δ*cas9* transconjugant population, the

abundance of which is about 1000 times more than that from a mutant 96-bp reference. In short,

our statistical approach has strengths and weaknesses, which have been discussed here.

Functional assays confirm that CRISPR-Cas defense was compromised after antibiotic selection. Our sequencing analyses suggested that the WT transconjugants passaged with erythromycin had become deficient for CRISPR-Cas defense either by deletion of spacer 6 or inactivation of Cas9 by mutation. For a functional assessment, we tested whether the transconjugant populations could still defend against sequences targeted by T11RF CRISPR3-Cas. To do this, we utilized the pheromone-responsive plasmid pCF10 (43), which is not natively targeted by the T11RF CRISPR3-Cas system. We previously demonstrated that pCF10 transfer into wild-type and Δ*cas9* T11RF strains is equivalent (17). In this study, we modified pCF10 to be targeted by the T11RF CRISPR3-Cas system. We generated three derivatives of *E. faecalis* OG1SSp(pCF10), each with an insertion of a T11RF CRISPR3 spacer (S_1, S_6, or S_7) and CRISPR3 consensus PAM in the pCF10 *uvrB* gene (Figure 3A). Disruption of *uvrB* does not impact pCF10 conjugation (17). We then compared conjugation of wild-type pCF10 and these derivatives into the control T11RF population that had been passaged in BHI broth for 14 days. As expected, conjugation frequencies were significantly lower for all pCF10 derivatives bearing CRISPR3 targets, as compared to the wild-type pCF10 (Figure 3B).

We then evaluated pCF10 transfer into the WT5 Day 14 populations. For WT5 passaged without erythromycin, we observed similar results to the T11RF control (Figure 3B), demonstrating that the CRISPR3-Cas system in this population is still functional. For WT5 passaged with erythromycin, conjugation frequency of only the pCF10 derivative bearing S_1 was reduced relative to wild-type pCF10 (Figure 3B). This is consistent with the deletion of S_6 and S_7 in this population (Figure 2), and demonstrates that the sequencing data are accurate and not the result of PCR amplification bias. We note that we observed a ~3 log higher conjugation frequency of pCF10 into this population compared to the WT5 population passaged without erythromycin (Figure 3B). This is due to comparatively low donor numbers (averages of 7.1×10^6 and 5.6×10^9 CFU/mL,

respectively; raw transconjugant and donor numbers for Figure 2 are presented in Dataset S1).

pAM714 encodes the bacteriocin, cytolysin (8). We previously reported that *E. faecalis* with

pAM714 kill *E. faecalis* cells that lack pAM714 (18). The probable explanation is that the WT5

population passaged with erythromycin, which has a very high carriage rate of pAM714 (Figure

1) killed OG1SSp(pCF10) donors using cytolysin.

We also evaluated pCF10 transfer into the WT4 Day 14 populations. WT4 was unique among the

6 WT transconjugants in that it maintained erythromycin resistance at high frequencies in the

absence of erythromycin selection (Figure 1A). We identified a probable loss-of-function mutation

in the RuvC domain-encoding region of *cas9*. The WT4 populations did not interfere with any of

the three pCF10 derivatives bearing CRISPR3 targets (Figure 3B). This is consistent with a loss

of CRISPR-Cas function in the WT4 populations conferred by a loss of function mutation in *cas9*.

Analysis of *E. faecalis* genomes identifies a strongly supported instance of *in situ* CRISPR-

Cas compromise. Our data demonstrate that loss-of-function mutations in CRISPR-Cas arise

that promote plasmid acquisition (for WT4) or plasmid maintenance (for WT2-3 and WT5-6) in *E.*

faecalis. We used genome data available for *E. faecalis* to identify potential instances where this

may have occurred in nature. We focused on published MDR *E. faecalis* strains that possess

CRISPR-Cas, reasoning that CRISPR-Cas function may have been compromised, allowing for

the accumulation of acquired resistance genes. More specifically, we narrowed our focus to

strains that both encode CRISPR-Cas as well as resistance to the last-line antibiotics vancomycin

or linezolid, which are often plasmid-borne. Using these strict criteria, we analyzed the literature

and identified one strongly supported occurrence of CRISPR-Cas compromise. The MDR *E.*

faecalis strain KUB3006, for which a completely closed genome is available (44), possesses 4

plasmids, including one that encodes linezolid resistance. Yet, it also encodes CRISPR3-Cas

(44). The *cas9* gene is frameshifted, and the frameshift occurs within the codon for one of the two

Cas9 active sites that we previously experimentally confirmed in *E. faecalis* (17). We conclude

that CRISPR3-Cas function is likely to be compromised in this strain, which requires experimental

confirmation.

Discussion

In this study we investigated the fates of *E. faecalis* transconjugants that acquired a CRISPR-

targeted plasmid, using serial passage with and without antibiotic selection for the plasmid.

We observed that 5 of 6 wild-type T11RF(pAM714) transconjugants lost the plasmid over the

course of antibiotic-free serial passage, while T11RFΔ*cas9*(pAM714) transconjugants maintained

the plasmid. This is indicative of active CRISPR-Cas defense in the T11RF(pAM714)

transconjugant populations. An important caveat is that erythromycin is a bacteriostatic antibiotic,

and we cannot be certain that plasmid-free T11RF surviving on the transconjugant selective agar

were not carried over with the initial transconjugant colonies that were picked. At least two

explanations for our observations are possible. The first is that CRISPR-Cas 'scrubs' pAM714

from T11RF over the course of antibiotic-free serial passage. The second is that T11RF cells

lacking pAM714 (either carried over or sporadically arising) outcompete T11RF(pAM714) cells.

This competitive effect is due to the growth defect of cells that simultaneously possess CRISPR-

Cas and one of its plasmid targets, as we have previously reported (15, 16).

On the other hand, when the same T11RF(pAM714) transconjugants were passaged with

antibiotic selection, the plasmid was maintained, as expected, but variants emerged that lacked

the spacer targeting the plasmid. Variations in *cas9* were also observed, but not experimentally

investigated, so their impacts on CRISPR-Cas function remain undefined. Importantly, one of

these transconjugant populations was found to have lost previously programmed sequence-

specific defense against resistance plasmids. This supports our CRISPR amplicon sequence

analysis by demonstrating that spacer losses identified by sequencing corresponded with loss of

functional CRISPR-Cas defense. We also identified one very strongly supported example of

CRISPR-Cas compromise in an independent *E. faecalis* isolate with a closed genome. We posit

that the interplay of CRISPR-Cas, plasmids, and antibiotic selection should be further investigated

for a comprehensive understanding of the roles of genome defense systems such as CRISPR-

Cas in mitigating the antibiotic resistance crisis.

Materials and Methods

Strains, reagents, and routine molecular biology procedures. Bacterial strains and plasmids

used in this study are listed in Table 1. *E. faecalis* strains were grown in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI)

broth or on agar plates at 37°C unless otherwise noted. Antibiotics were used for *E. faecalis* at

the following concentrations: erythromycin, 50 µg/mL; chloramphenicol, 15 µg/mL; streptomycin,

500 µg/mL; spectinomycin, 500 µg/mL; rifampicin, 50 µg/mL; fusidic acid, 25 µg/mL; tetracycline,

10 µg/mL. *Escherichia coli* strains used for plasmid propagation and were grown in lysogeny broth

(LB) broth or on agar plates at 37°C. Chloramphenicol was used at 15 µg/mL for *E. coli*. PCR was

performed using *Taq* (New England Biolabs) or Phusion (Fisher Scientific) polymerases. Primer

sequences used are in Table S1. Routine Sanger sequencing was carried out at the

Massachusetts General Hospital DNA core facility (Boston, MA). *E. faecalis* electrocompetent

cells were made using the lysozyme method as previously described (45).

Generation of pCF10 derivatives. To insert the T11 CRISPR3 spacer 1 (S_1), S_6, and S_7

sequences and CRISPR3 PAM (TTGTA) into pCF10, 47 bp and 39 bp single stranded DNA oligos

were annealed to each other to generate dsDNA with restriction enzyme overhangs for BamHI

and PstI. The annealed oligos were ligated into the pLT06 derivative pWH107 that includes

sequence from pCF10 *uvrB*, to insert these sequences into the *uvrB* gene of pCF10 by

homologous recombination. A knock-in protocol was performed as previously described (17).

Conjugation experiments. *E. faecalis* donor and recipient strains were grown in BHI overnight

to stationary phase. A 1:10 dilution was made for both donor and recipient cultures in fresh BHI

broth and incubated for 1.5 hr to reach mid-exponential phase. A mixture of 100 µL donor cells

and 900 µL recipient cells was pelleted and plated on non-selective BHI agar to allow conjugation.

After 18 h incubation, the conjugation mixture was scraped from the plate using 2 mL 1X PBS

supplemented with 2 mM EDTA. Serial dilutions were prepared from the conjugation mixture and

plated on BHI agars selective for transconjugants or donors. After 24-48 h incubation, colony

forming units per milliliter (CFU/mL) was determined using plates with 30 - 300 colonies. The

conjugation frequency was calculated as the CFU/mL of transconjugants divided by the CFU/mL

of donors.

Serial passage. Transconjugant colonies were suspended in 50 µL BHI broth. The 50 µL

suspension was used as follows: 3 µL was used for PCR to confirm the integrity of the CRISPR

array, 10 µL was inoculated into plain BHI broth, another 10 µL was inoculated into selective BHI

broth for plasmid selection, and another 10 µL was used for serial dilution and plating on selective

medium to enumerate the initial number of plasmid-containing cells in the transconjugant

colonies. Broth cultures were incubated for 24 h, followed by 1:1000 dilution into either fresh plain

BHI or fresh selective BHI. At each 24 h interval, 3 µL of each culture from the previous incubation

was used for PCR to check CRISPR array integrity, and 10 µL was used for serial dilution and

plating on agars to determine CFU/mL for total viable cells and plasmid-containing cells. The

cultures were passaged in this manner for 14 days; cryopreserved culture stocks were made daily

in glycerol. To use the Day 14 transconjugant populations in conjugation reactions, the glycerol

stocks were completely thawed on ice, and 20 µL was inoculated into plain BHI broth. The cultures

were incubated for 6-8 h to allow them to reach mid-exponential phase ($OD_{600nm} \approx 0.5$–0.7), and

900 µL was used as recipient in conjugation reactions as described above.

Deep sequencing of CRISPR3 amplicons and genomic DNA. For CRISPR3 amplicon

sequencing, 3 µL from a broth culture was used as template in PCR using Phusion Polymerase

with CR3_seq_F/R primers (Table S1). The PCR products were purified using the Thermo

Scientific PCR purification kit (Thermo Scientific). Genomic DNA was isolated using the phenol-

chloroform method (46). The purified PCR amplicons and genomic DNA samples were

sequenced using 2 x 150 bp paired end sequencing chemistry by Molecular Research LP (MR

DNA; Texas).

Whole genome sequencing analysis. T11 supercontig and pAD1 plasmid contig references

were downloaded from NCBI (accession numbers: T11: NZ_GG688637.1-NZ_GG688649; pAD1:

AB007844, AF394225, AH011360, L01794, L19532, L37110, M84374, M87836, U00681,

X17214, X62657, X62658, M11180 [Tn*917*]). Reads were aligned to these references using

default parameters in CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen) where ≥50% of each mapped read

has ≥80% sequence identity to the reference. Variations occurring with ≥35% frequency at

positions with ≥10X coverage between our samples and the reference contigs were detected

using the Basic Variant Detector. At the same time, local realignment was performed, followed by

Fixed Ploidy variant detection using default parameters and variants probability ≥90% in CLC

Genomics Workbench. The basic variants and fixed ploidy variants were combined for each

sequencing sample and subjected to manual inspection. The variants that were detected in the

T11 genome from all samples were inferred to be variants in our parent T11 stock and were

manually removed. The variants that were detected in pAD1 genome from all transconjugant

samples were inferred to be variants in our pAM714 stock, hence were also manually removed.

Next, variants within the CRISPR3 array were removed as we analyzed CRISPR3 alleles using a

different approach (amplicon deep sequencing; see below). All variants detected from all

populations were manually checked for coverage depth to eliminate the detection bias. The

variants detected in all samples are shown in Table S2. To detect the insertion site of Tn*917*, the

mapped reads on reference M11180 were inspected. Reads immediately adjacent to the 5' and

3' ends of Tn*917* shared consensus sequences which were later used for blast against T11 and

pAD1 (Figure S5). No evidence for Tn*917* insertions other than in the expected position in

pAM714 was detected.

Analysis of CRISPR3 amplicon sequencing. To analyze the amplicon sequencing, we first

created a pool of references with 96-bp in length to represent both wild type and mutant CRISPR3

alleles. In the wild type reference pool, each 96-bp reference contains two adjacent spacers

connected by one direct repeat (5'-S_x-R-S_{x+1}-3' where x is from 1 to 20). To include the leader end

and terminal end, wild type reference pool also contains 30 bp upstream of the first repeat (S_0)

and 30 bp downstream of the terminal repeat (S_T), generating two additional wild type references:

5'-S_0-R-S_1-3' and 5'-S_{21}-TR-S_T-3'. In total, 22 references were created to represent wild type

CRISPR3 allele.

To detect CRISPR3 spacer deletions and rearrangements, we manually created an additional

462 references with 96-bp in length to represent mutant CRISPR3 alleles. Each mutant reference

contains two spacers connected by a direct repeat, where the reference was not already

represented in the wild type reference pool. Schematically, the mutant references can be

expressed by 5'-spacer[x]-repeat-spacer[y]-3' (5'-$S_x RS_y$-3') where y ≠ x. The selection of

spacer[x] is from S_0 to S_{21} while the selection of spacer[y] is from S_1 to S_T. Additionally, we assume

that if spacer[y] is ST then the repeat would be terminal repeat TR, generating 5'-$S_x TRS_T$-3' (x is

from 0 to 21). In total, 462 references were created with 96-bp in length.

Sequencing reads were first clipped into 75 bp fragments to enhance mapping efficiency, allowing

for retrieval of maximal sequence information. The clipped reads were used to map to the pool of

96-bp references using stringent mapping parameters in CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen).

The stringent mapping parameters require 100% of each mapped read to be ≥95% identical to

one unique reference. Thus, the sequencing reads from different CRISPR alleles will be

distinguished. The number of mapped reads per each reference was calculated and normalized

by the total number of mapped reads to all references, generating normalized reads per reference.

The total number of mapped reads to pools of wild type references and mutant references were

summarized in Table S3.

To visualize the normalized reads per reference, a heatmap was generated (Figure S4). On the

heatmap, each row name represents 5'-S_x (x is from 0 to 20) and each column name represents

R-S_y-3' (y is from 1 to 21) or TR-S_T-3'. The diagonal cells are empty due to the assumption of no

repetitive spacers (or 5'-Sx-R-Sx-3'). Intuitively, the cells adjacent to the diagonal cells on the

upper side represents wild type pool of references, 5'-Sx-R-Sy-3' where y=x+1 (Figure S3). The

cell color represents normalized reads per reference (from white to red to black is lowest to

intermediate to the highest abundance).

To evaluate the statistical significance of normalized mapped reads, we calculated z-score using

the mean and standard deviation calculated from T11RF control. Combining the normalized reads

per reference from Day 1 and Day 14 T11RF control, we obtained an average normalized reads

per reference of 0.002 or 2%) with standard deviation of 0.0096 or 9.6%. These numbers were

used to calculated z-score for normalized reads per reference for all samples. To assess the

significance, the z-score is further transformed into p-value. A p-value of 0.05 was used as a

significant cutoff.

To visualize the p-value and derive mutant alleles, a heatmap was plotted using the same method

as above. The cells with p-value < 0.05 were color coded. To derive the mutant allele, we assume

that S_0 and S_T are intact. The sequence of spacers was derived based on the idea of forward

algorithm and Viterbi algorithm. Each p-value was considered as a conditional probability: p-value

(5'-Sx-R-Sy-3') ~ P(spacer y $|_{\text{spacer x}}$).

Accession number. The sequencing data for amplicon and whole genome sequencing analysis

of transconjugant populations has been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under

PRJNA418345**.**

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by Public Health Service grant R01AI116610 to

K.L.P and the Cecil H. and Ida Green Chair to M.Q.Z. We thank Dr. Chen Jia for consultation on

data analysis methods.

References

1.   Lebreton F, Willems RJL, Gilmore MS. 2014. Enterococcus Diversity, Origins in Nature,
and Gut Colonization. *In* Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed), Enterococci:
From Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection, Boston.
2.   Kristich CJ, Djoric D, Little JL. 2014. Genetic basis for vancomycin-enhanced
cephalosporin susceptibility in vancomycin-resistant enterococci revealed using
counterselection with dominant-negative thymidylate synthase. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother 58:1556-64. 3957902
3.   Uttley AH, George RC, Naidoo J, Woodford N, Johnson AP, Collins CH, Morrison D,
Gilfillan AJ, Fitch LE, Heptonstall J. 1989. High-level vancomycin-resistant enterococci
causing hospital infections. Epidemiol Infect 103:173-81. 2249484
4.   Huycke MM, Sahm DF, Gilmore MS. 1998. Multiple-drug resistant enterococci: the nature
of the problem and an agenda for the future. Emerging infectious diseases 4:239.
5.   Clewell DB, Weaver KE, Dunny GM, Coque TM, Francia MV, Hayes F. 2014.
Extrachromosomal and Mobile Elements in Enterococci: Transmission, Maintenance, and

428    Epidemiology. *In* Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed), Enterococci: From
429    Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection, Boston.

430 6.  Palmer KL, Kos VN, Gilmore MS. 2010. Horizontal gene transfer and the genomics of
431    enterococcal antibiotic resistance. Curr Opin Microbiol 13:632-9. 2955785

432 7.  Hegstad K, Mikalsen T, Coque T, Werner G, Sundsfjord A. 2010. Mobile genetic elements
433    and their contribution to the emergence of antimicrobial resistant Enterococcus faecalis
434    and Enterococcus faecium. Clinical microbiology and infection 16:541-554.

435 8.  Clewell DB. 2007. Properties of *Enterococcus faecalis* plasmid pAD1, a member of a
436    widely disseminated family of pheromone-responding, conjugative, virulence elements
437    encoding cytolysin. Plasmid 58:205-27.

438 9.  Dunny GM. 2007. The peptide pheromone-inducible conjugation system of *Enterococcus*
439    *faecalis* plasmid pCF10: cell-cell signalling, gene transfer, complexity and evolution. Philos
440    Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 362:1185-93. 2435581

441 10. Gilmore MS, Segarra RA, Booth MC, Bogie CP, Hall LR, Clewell DB. 1994. Genetic
442    structure of the Enterococcus faecalis plasmid pAD1-encoded cytolytic toxin system and
443    its relationship to lantibiotic determinants. J Bacteriol 176:7335-44. PMC197123

444 11. Jasni AS, Mullany P, Hussain H, Roberts AP. 2010. Demonstration of conjugative
445    transposon (Tn*5397*)-mediated horizontal gene transfer between *Clostridium difficile* and
446    *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:4924-6. 2976158

447 12. Showsh SA, De Boever EH, Clewell DB. 2001. Vancomycin Resistance Plasmid in
448    *Enterococcus faecalis* That Encodes Sensitivity to a Sex Pheromone Also Produced by
449    *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 45:2177-2178.

450 13. Tsvetkova K, Marvaud JC, Lambert T. 2010. Analysis of the mobilization functions of the
451    vancomycin resistance transposon Tn1549, a member of a new family of conjugative
452    elements. J Bacteriol 192:702-13. 2812457

453 14. Zhu W, Murray PR, Huskins WC, Jernigan JA, McDonald LC, Clark NC, Anderson KF,
454    McDougal LK, Hageman JC, Olsen-Rasmussen M, Frace M, Alangaden GJ, Chenoweth
455    C, Zervos MJ, Robinson-Dunn B, Schreckenberger PC, Reller LB, Rudrik JT, Patel JB.
456    2010. Dissemination of an *Enterococcus* Inc18-Like *vanA* plasmid associated with
457    vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:4314-20.
458    2944587

459 15. Hullahalli K, Rodrigues M, Nguyen UT, Palmer K. 2018. An Attenuated CRISPR-Cas
460    System in *Enterococcus faecalis* Permits DNA Acquisition. MBio 9:e00414-18.
461    PMC5930301

16.   Hullahalli K, Rodrigues M, Palmer KL. 2017. Exploiting CRISPR-Cas to manipulate
Enterococcus faecalis populations. Elife 6:e26664. PMC5491264
17.   Price VJ, Huo W, Sharifi A, Palmer KL. 2016. CRISPR-Cas and Restriction-Modification
Act Additively against Conjugative Antibiotic Resistance Plasmid Transfer in *Enterococcus*
faecalis. mSphere 1:e00064-16.
18.   Price VJ, McBride SW, Hullahalli K, Chatterjee A, Duerkop BA, Palmer KL. 2019.
Enterococcus faecalis CRISPR-Cas Is a Robust Barrier to Conjugative Antibiotic
Resistance Dissemination in the Murine Intestine. mSphere 4:e00464-19. PMC6656873
19.   Rodrigues M, McBride SW, Hullahalli K, Palmer KL, Duerkop BA. 2019. Conjugative
Delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 for the Selective Depletion of Antibiotic-Resistant Enterococci.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63:e01454-19. PMC6811441
20.   Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA,
Horvath P. 2007. CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes.
Science 315:1709-12.
21.   Marraffini LA, Sontheimer EJ. 2008. CRISPR interference limits horizontal gene transfer
in staphylococci by targeting DNA. Science 322:1843-5. 2695655
22.   Bourgogne A, Garsin DA, Qin X, Singh KV, Sillanpaa J, Yerrapragada S, Ding Y, Dugan-
Rocha S, Buhay C, Shen H, Chen G, Williams G, Muzny D, Maadani A, Fox KA, Gioia J,
Chen L, Shang Y, Arias CA, Nallapareddy SR, Zhao M, Prakash VP, Chowdhury S, Jiang
H, Gibbs RA, Murray BE, Highlander SK, Weinstock GM. 2008. Large scale variation in
Enterococcus faecalis illustrated by the genome analysis of strain OG1RF. Genome Biol
9:R110. 2530867
23.   Hullahalli K, Rodrigues M, Schmidt BD, Li X, Bhardwaj P, Palmer KL. 2015. Comparative
Analysis of the Orphan CRISPR2 Locus in 242 *Enterococcus faecalis* Strains. PLoS One
10:e0138890. 4580645
24.   Palmer KL, Gilmore MS. 2010. Multidrug-resistant enterococci lack CRISPR-*cas*. MBio 1.
2975353
25.   Garneau JE, Dupuis ME, Villion M, Romero DA, Barrangou R, Boyaval P, Fremaux C,
Horvath P, Magadan AH, Moineau S. 2010. The CRISPR/Cas bacterial immune system
cleaves bacteriophage and plasmid DNA. Nature 468:67-71.
26.   Horvath P, Romero DA, Coute-Monvoisin AC, Richards M, Deveau H, Moineau S, Boyaval
P, Fremaux C, Barrangou R. 2008. Diversity, activity, and evolution of CRISPR loci in
Streptococcus thermophilus. J Bacteriol 190:1401-12. 2238196

27.   Deveau H, Barrangou R, Garneau JE, Labonte J, Fremaux C, Boyaval P, Romero DA,
496   Horvath P, Moineau S. 2008. Phage response to CRISPR-encoded resistance in
497   *Streptococcus thermophilus*. J Bacteriol 190:1390-400. 2238228

498   28.   Mojica FJ, Diez-Villasenor C, Garcia-Martinez J, Almendros C. 2009. Short motif
499   sequences determine the targets of the prokaryotic CRISPR defence system.
500   Microbiology 155:733-40.

501   29.   Yosef I, Goren MG, Qimron U. 2012. Proteins and DNA elements essential for the CRISPR
502   adaptation process in *Escherichia coli*. Nucleic Acids Res 40:5569-76. 3384332

503   30.   Nunez JK, Kranzusch PJ, Noeske J, Wright AV, Davies CW, Doudna JA. 2014. Cas1-
504   Cas2 complex formation mediates spacer acquisition during CRISPR-Cas adaptive
505   immunity. Nat Struct Mol Biol doi:10.1038/nsmb.2820.

506   31.   Heler R, Samai P, Modell JW, Weiner C, Goldberg GW, Bikard D, Marraffini LA. 2015.
507   Cas9 specifies functional viral targets during CRISPR–Cas adaptation. Nature 519:199.

508   32.   Deltcheva E, Chylinski K, Sharma CM, Gonzales K, Chao Y, Pirzada ZA, Eckert MR, Vogel
509   J, Charpentier E. 2011. CRISPR RNA maturation by trans-encoded small RNA and host
510   factor RNase III. Nature 471:602-7. 3070239

511   33.   Jinek M, Jiang F, Taylor DW, Sternberg SH, Kaya E, Ma E, Anders C, Hauer M, Zhou K,
512   Lin S, Kaplan M, Iavarone AT, Charpentier E, Nogales E, Doudna JA. 2014. Structures of
513   Cas9 endonucleases reveal RNA-mediated conformational activation. Science
514   343:1247997.

515   34.   Sternberg SH, Redding S, Jinek M, Greene EC, Doudna JA. 2014. DNA interrogation by
516   the CRISPR RNA-guided endonuclease Cas9. Nature 507:62-7.

517   35.   Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E. 2012. A
518   programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity.
519   Science 337:816-21.

520   36.   Gasiunas G, Barrangou R, Horvath P, Siksnys V. 2012. Cas9-crRNA ribonucleoprotein
521   complex mediates specific DNA cleavage for adaptive immunity in bacteria. Proc Natl
522   Acad Sci U S A 109:E2579-86. 3465414

523   37.   Huo W, Price VJ, Sharifi A, Zhang MQ, Palmer KL. 2018. Deep sequencing analysis of
524   CRISPR-escaping plasmid transconjugants in Enterococcus faecalis. bioRxiv:220467.

525   38.   McBride SM, Fischetti VA, Leblanc DJ, Moellering RC, Jr., Gilmore MS. 2007. Genetic
526   diversity among *Enterococcus faecalis*. PLoS One 2:e582. 1899230

527   39.   Palmer KL, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Kos VN, Zucker J, Desjardins C, Cerqueira G, Gevers
528   D, Walker S, Wortman J, Feldgarden M, Haas B, Birren B, Gilmore MS. 2012.

529   Comparative genomics of enterococci: variation in *Enterococcus faecalis*, clade structure
530   in *E. faecium*, and defining characteristics of *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus*. MBio
531   3:e00318-11. 3374389

532   40.   Weaver KE, Tritle DJ. 1994. Identification and characterization of an *Enterococcus*
533   *faecalis* plasmid pAD1-encoded stability determinant which produces two small RNA
534   molecules necessary for its function. Plasmid 32:168-81.

535   41.   Ike Y, Clewell DB, Segarra RA, Gilmore MS. 1990. Genetic analysis of the pAD1
536   hemolysin/bacteriocin determinant in *Enterococcus faecalis*: Tn*917* insertional
537   mutagenesis and cloning. J Bacteriol 172:155-63. 208413

538   42.   Clewell DB, Tomich PK, Gawron-Burke MC, Franke AE, Yagi Y, An FY. 1982. Mapping of
539   *Streptococcus faecalis* plasmids pAD1 and pAD2 and studies relating to transposition of
540   Tn*917*. J Bacteriol 152:1220-30. 221629

541   43.   Dunny G, Yuhasz M, Ehrenfeld E. 1982. Genetic and physiological analysis of conjugation
542   in Streptococcus faecalis. Journal of bacteriology 151:855-859.

543   44.   Kuroda M, Sekizuka T, Matsui H, Suzuki K, Seki H, Saito M, Hanaki H. 2018. Complete
544   Genome Sequence and Characterization of Linezolid-Resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*
545   Clinical Isolate KUB3006 Carrying a *cfr*(B)-Transposon on Its Chromosome and *optrA*-
546   Plasmid. Front Microbiol 9:2576. PMC6209644

547   45.   Bae T, Kozlowicz B, Dunny GM. 2002. Two targets in pCF10 DNA for PrgX binding: their
548   role in production of Qa and *prgX* mRNA and in regulation of pheromone-inducible
549   conjugation. J Mol Biol 315:995-1007.

550   46.   Manson JM, Keis S, Smith JMB, Cook GM. 2003. A Clonal Lineage of VanA-Type
551   *Enterococcus faecalis* Predominates in Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci Isolated in
552   New Zealand. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 47:204-210.

553   47.   Gold OG, Jordan HV, van Houte J. 1975. The prevalence of enterococci in the human
554   mouth and their pathogenicity in animal models. Arch Oral Biol 20:473-7.

555   48.   Perez-Casal J, Caparon MG, Scott JR. 1991. Mry, a trans-acting positive regulator of the
556   M protein gene of *Streptococcus pyogenes* with similarity to the receptor proteins of two-
557   component regulatory systems. J Bacteriol 173:2617-24. PMC207828

559 **Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used.**
560

Name	Description	Reference
E. faecalis **strains**		
T11RF	Rifampicin- and fusidic acid-resistant derivative of the human urine isolate T11	(17, 39)
T11RFΔ*cas9*	Derivative of T11RF with *cas9* deleted	(17)
OG1RF	Rifampicin- and fusidic acid-resistant derivative of the human oral isolate OG1	(22, 47)
OG1SSp	Spectinomycin- and streptomycin-resistant derivative of OG1; donor strain for conjugation assays	(41-43)
Plasmids		
pAM714	65 kb PRP encoding erythromycin on Tn*917*, derivative of pAD1	(41)
pCF10	67 kb PRP encoding tetracycline resistance on Tn*925*	(43)
pLZ12	Broad host range shuttle vector encoding chloramphenicol resistance	(48)
pKH12	pLZ12 with *oriT*	(16)
pKHS5	pKH12 with CRISPR2 protospacer S5 and CRISPR1/2 PAM	This study
pKHS96	pKH12 with CRISPR1 protospacer S96 and CRISPR1/2 PAM	(16)
pVP107	pLT06 with T11CR2 protospacer and CRISPR1/2 PAM	(17)
pWH107	pVP107 digested with XbaI/SphI and re-ligated with primers pVP107_ XbaI _For/pVP107_SphI_Rev to remove PstI enzyme site	This study
pWH107.S1	pWH107 with T11CR3 protospacer S1 and CRISPR3 PAM inserted between BamHI/PstI	This study
pWH107.S6	pWH107 with T11CR3 protospacer S6 and CRISPR3 PAM inserted between BamHI/PstI	This study
pWH107.S7	pWH107 with T11CR3 protospacer S10 and CRISPR3 PAM inserted between BamHI/PstI	This study

563 **Table 2. CRISPR alleles.**
564

Sample name	Day 1 Sanger[c]	Day 14 Sanger[c]	Day 1 Amplicon[d]	Day 14 Amplicon[d]
T11RF control[a]	WT	WT	WT	WT
$\Delta 4$[b]	WT	WT	WT	WT
WT1[b]	Poor quality at S_6-S_7	WT	WT	WT
WT2[b]	WT	ΔS_2-S_{11}	WT	ΔS_2-S_{11}
WT3[b]	Poor quality at S_6-S_7	ΔS_5-S_7	WT	ΔS_5-S_7
WT5[b]	Poor quality at S_3-S_8	Poor quality at S_3-S_9	WT	ΔS_5-S_8
WT6[b]	Poor quality at S_1-S_7	Poor quality at S_5-S_6	ΔS_5-S_6	ΔS_6

565 [a]T11RF without pAM714 passaged for 14 days in BHI medium.
566 [b]pAM714 transconjugants passaged for 14 days in BHI medium with erythromycin.
567 [c]CRISPR3 alleles detected by Sanger sequencing.
568 [d]CRISPR3 alleles detected by Illumina amplicon deep sequencing. Abundant alleles with p-value
569 < 0.05 are shown.
570

572 **Table 3. Nonsynonymous *cas9* mutations detected by whole genome sequencing.**
573

Position	Ref	Allele	Amino acid change	WT1[a]	WT2[a]	WT3[a]
652983	G	A	Gln506*	31.6% (689x)	ND (590x)	ND (577x)
653184	C	T	Glu439Lys	ND (640x)	ND (536x)	24.2% (594x)
653180	AG	T	Leu440fs	ND (640x)	ND (528x)	23.5% (590x)
654165	G	-	Arg112fs	ND (676x)	48.4% (659x)	ND (772x)

574 [a]Shown are variation frequency and coverage at the indicated nucleotide position on *E. faecalis* T11
575 contig 1.11.
576 ND, not detected.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Antibiotic resistance phenotypes and qualitative assessment of CRISPR3

genotypes in serially passaged transconjugant populations. a) Erythromycin resistant

CFU/mL (expressed as percent of total CFU/mL) remaining in *E. faecalis* populations over the

course of passage without (left) and with (right) antibiotic selection. WT transconjugant

populations are shown in green or red and Δ*cas9* transconjugant populations are shown in black.

b) CRISPR3 amplicon size from early (Day 1) and late (Day 14) passage dates for six WT

transconjugant populations and a representative Δ*cas9* transconjugant population (Δ4). The

CRISPR3 region was PCR-amplified using aliquots from passaged cultures as template. As a

control, T11RF without pAM714 was passaged for 14 days and the CRISPR3 locus amplified

(T11RF). P: positive control, T11RF genomic DNA. L: DNA ladder.

Figure 2. Detection of mutant CRISPR3 array alleles using amplicon sequencing. Heat maps

show the mutant alleles with significant abundance (p-value <0.05) present at Day 1 and Day 14

of T11RF control (a) and antibiotic-passaged transconjugant populations (b-g). Each cell within

heatmap represents one of the 484 artificial references where a 5' spacer (rows) is linked with a

3' spacer (columns) by a repeat. White cells represent mutant alleles that were not significantly

abundant.

Figure 3. Experimental confirmation of compromised CRISPR-Cas function in antibiotic-

passaged WT5 and in WT4. Day 14 transconjugant populations passaged in BHI and

erythromycin (Erm) were used as recipients in conjugation reactions with OG1SSp(pCF10) and

derivatives of pCF10. a) Schematic of where the T11 CRSPR3 spacer 1, spacer 6 and spacer 7

sequences with corresponding PAM (underlined) were introduced into pCF10. Wild-type pCF10

is not natively targeted by T11RF CRISPR3-Cas. b) Conjugation frequency (ratio of

transconjugants to donors) from mating reactions. A749T refers to the *cas9* mutation detected in

the WT4 population. Statistical significance was determined using a student's t-test; P-values: **

≤ 0.01; *** ≤ 0.001; **** ≤ 0.0001.

Supplemental Material Legends.

Dataset S1. Raw transconjugant and donor CFU/mL data.

Table S1. Primers used in this study.

Table S2. SNP detection in all genomic DNA sequencing samples.

Table S3. Mapping statistics of the amplicon sequencing.

Figure S1. Initial phenotypes of transconjugants chosen for serial passage experiments. a) Frequency of erythromycin resistance in transconjugant colonies used to initiate serial passage experiments. Erythromycin resistance is used to track pAM714 maintenance in the population. b) CRISPR3 amplicon PCR results for transconjugant colonies used to initiate serial passage experiments. Shown are CRISPR3 amplicon sizes for six T11RF pAM714 transconjugants (WT 1-6), a representative T11RF$\Delta cas9$ pAM714 transconjugant (Δ4), T11RF genomic DNA as a positive control (P), and a reagent control (N).

Figure S2. Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of CRISPR3 amplicons in six T11RF(pAM714) transconjugant populations. Six T11RF(pAM714) transconjugants were serially passaged for 14 days in BHI (left panel) or BHI with erythromycin (right panel). The size of the CRISPR3 array was monitored using PCR and gel electrophoresis on each passage day.

Figure S3. Demonstration of mutant alleles and visualization of heatmap matrix. A cartoon of the T11 CRISPR3 array is shown below the heatmap. The array contains 21 unique spacer

sequences, of which spacer 6 targets pAM714. Four scenarios (a-d) corresponding to four cells

indicating different wild-type (b) or mutant (a,c,d) allele types in the matrix are shown.

Figure S4. Less abundant mutant alleles can be observed in a heatmap of normalized read

counts. Heat maps show the abundance of each mutant allele per sample. Day 1 and Day 14

populations were analyzed and T11RF was used as a control. Each cell within the heat map

represents one of the 484 artificial references. The color scale represents low (white) to

intermediate (ref) to high (black) abundance alleles. Black boxes indicate the expected wild type

allele at the S5-S6 region. Pink boxes surround rare alleles that did not meet the significance

cutoff but can be visible here.

Figure S5. Mapping of Tn*917* location. The consensus sequences were extracted from 5' and

3' ends of transposon Tn*917* and mapped to pAD1 sequences.

a).

b).

a)

pCF10	WT *uvrB* sequence; not targeted by CRISPR3-*cas*
pCF10+Sp1	AAAAGTTGAATATGTTTCGCTTTGGTGTAA<u>TTGTA</u>
pCF10+Sp6	CCGATACAGCTCTTTATTCTTCTAATTACA<u>TTGTA</u>
pCF10+Sp7	TGCTTTTGGAGGAATACAAATGAGAAGATT<u>TTGTA</u>

b)

Transconjugants/Donor

Recipient population	Passage medium	CRISPR genotype
T11RF	BHI	WTCR3
WT5	BHI	WTCR3
WT5	Erm	ΔS5-S8
WT4	BHI	A749T
WT4	Erm	A749T

Legend:
- pCF10
- pCF10+Sp1
- pCF10+Sp6
- pCF10+Sp7

Figure S1. Initial phenotypes of transconjugants chosen for serial passage experiments. a) Frequency of erythromycin resistance in transconjugant colonies used to initiate serial passage experiments. Erythromycin resistance is used to track pAM714 maintenance in the population. b) CRISPR3 amplicon PCR results for transconjugant colonies used to initiate serial passage experiments. Shown are CRISPR3 amplicon sizes for six T11RF pAM714 transconjugants (WT 1-6), a representative T11RF∆*cas9* pAM714 transconjugant (∆4), T11RF genomic DNA as a positive control (P), and a reagent control (N).

Figure S2. Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of CRISPR3 amplicons in six T11RF(pAM714) transconjugant populations. Six T11RF(pAM714) transconjugants were serially passaged for 14 days in BHI (left panel) or BHI with erythromycin (right panel). The size of the CRISPR3 array was monitored using PCR and gel electrophoresis on each passage day.

Figure S3. Demonstration of mutant alleles and visualization of heatmap matrix. A cartoon of the T11 CRISPR3 array is shown below the heatmap. The array contains 21 unique spacer sequences, of which spacer 6 targets pAM714. Four scenarios (a-d) corresponding to four cells indicating different wild-type (b) or mutant (a,c,d) allele types in the matrix are shown.

Figure S4. Less abundant mutant alleles can be observed in a heatmap of normalized read counts. Heat maps show the abundance of each mutant allele per sample. Day 1 and Day 14 populations were analyzed and T11RF was used as a control. Each cell within the heat map represents one of the 484 artificial references. The color scale represents low (white) to intermediate (ref) to high (black) abundance alleles. Black boxes indicate the expected wild type allele at the S5-S6 region. Pink boxes surround rare alleles that did not meet the significance cutoff but can be visible here.

Figure S5. Mapping of Tn*917* location. The consensus sequences were extracted from 5' and 3' ends of transposon Tn*917* and mapped to pAD1 sequences.

Table S1. Primers used in this study.

Name	Primer sequence
CRISPR seq primers	
CRISPR1 seq For	CGTATTTGACAGAGGATGAAG
CRISPR1 seq Rev	CGAATATGCCTGTGGTGAAA
CRISPR2 seq For	TGCTGTTACAGCTACTAAA
CRISPR2 seq Rev	GCCAATGTTACAATATCAAACA
CRISPR3 seq For	GCTCACTGTATTGGAAGAAC
CRISPR3 seq Rev	CATCGATTCATTATTCCTCCAA
pWH107 and derivatives	
pVP107_XbaI_For	CTAGAGATAATATATCTTTTATATAGAAGATGGGTACCATGGCATG
pVP107_SphI_Rev	CCATGGTACCCATCTTCTATATAAAAGATATATTATCT
T11CR3sp6_BamPst_F	GATCCTCCCGATACAGCTCTTTATTCTTCTAATTACATTGTACTGCA
T11CR3sp6_BamPst_R	GTACAATGTAATTAGAAGAATAAAGAGCTGTATCGGGAG
T11CR3sp1_BamPst_F	GATCCTCAAAAGTTGAATATGTTTCGCTTTGGTGTAATTGTACTGCA
T11CR3sp1_BamPst_R	GTACAATTACACCAAAGCGAAACATATTCAACTTTTGAG
T11CR3sp7_BamPst_F	GATCCTCTGCTTTTGGAGGAATACAAATGAGAAGATTTTGTACTGCA
T11CR3sp7_BamPst_R	GTACAAAATCTTCTCATTTGTATTCCTCCAAAAGCAGAG
T11CR2S1 seq arm1 F	CGAAATCAGCACATGGAACA
T11CR2S1 seq arm2 R	CCAGTAACTGTATCAACTAC

Table S2. SNP detection in all genomic DNA sequencing samples.

Contig	Position	Ref	Allele	Annotation	Amino acid change	T11RF[a]	WT3[b]	WT1[c]	WT2[c]	WT3[c]	WT5[c]	WT6[c]	Δ4[c]
AD1REP ABC	1904	C	T	Replication protein	AAB00504.1: p.Pro100Leu				45.49				
1.11	51613	C	T	Collagen adhesin								25.00	
1.11	51674	G	A	Collagen adhesin	WP_002379313.1: p.Gly392Ser						33.90	33.39	
1.11	51745	A	G	Collagen adhesin							33.02		
1.11	337315	G	T	Helicase	WP_002382285.1: p.Glu425*							37.16	
1.11	652983	G	A	Cas9	WP_002379510.1: p.Gln506*			31.64					
1.11	653180	AG	T	Cas9	WP_002379510.1: p.Leu440fs		22.74			23.52			
1.11	653184	C	T	Cas9	WP_002379510.1: p.Glu439Lys		23.21			24.17			
1.11	654165	G	-	Cas9	WP_002379510.1: p.Arg112fs				48.41				
1.11	685523	A	T	Conserved hypothetical protein	WP_002382413.1: p.Glu5Val		23.24						
1.11	685938	A	T	Conserved hypothetical protein	WP_002382413.1: p.Glu143Asp		26.00						
1.11	974866	AT	GC	Cell wall surface anchor family protein	WP_002379620.1: p.Ile972Ala							23.41	
1.11	974991	A	G	Cell wall surface anchor family protein								22.67	
1.2	2903	C	T	Peptidylprolyl isomerase	WP_002355150.1: p.Val167Ile					46.03			

[a]Day 14 BHI-passaged
[b]Day 1 Erythromycin-passaged population WT3 to confirm presence of *cas9* mutations prior to Day 14
[c]Day 14 Erythromycin-passaged

Table S3. Mapping statistics of the amplicon sequencing.

Sample name	# of Total reads	# mapped to WT pool of references	# mapped to mutant pool of references
Day 1			
T11RF	19,082,652	7,273,291	125,204
Δ4	20,808,404	8,995,910	77,551
WT1	16,736,162	6,808,760	168,051
WT2	16,859,152	7,222,339	59,609
WT3	17,357,970	7,136,664	250,783
WT5	17,744,230	6,784,881	430,500
WT6	16,179,400	6,337,706	406,347
Day 14			
T11RF	17,973,946	7,760,594	68,900
Δ4	14,403,868	5,369,063	55,037
WT1	14,158,158	5,219,558	55,730
WT2	14,538,836	4,489,642	254,615
WT3	17,174,946	5,653,212	385,906
WT5	15,200,646	5,384,356	460,061
WT6	12,325,042	4,201,804	303,973