Identification of USP7 as an essential component to maintain integrity and function of

non-canonical PRC1.1 in leukemia

Henny Maat[1], Jennifer Jaques[1], Aida Rodríguez López[1], Shanna M. Hogeling[1], Marcel P. de Vries[2,3],

Chantal Gravesteijn[1], Annet Z. Brouwers-Vos[1], Gerwin Huls[1], Edo Vellenga[1], Vincent van den Boom[1]

and Jan Jacob Schuringa[1,*].

[1]Department of Experimental Hematology, Cancer Research Center Groningen, University Medical

Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, 9713 GZ Groningen, The Netherlands;

[2]Department of Pharmacy, Interfaculty Mass Spectrometry Center, University of Groningen, A.

Deusinglaan 1, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands;

[3]Department of Pediatrics, Center for Liver, Digestive, and Metabolic Diseases, University Medical

Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, 9713 GZ Groningen, The Netherlands

*Correspondence: j.j.schuringa@umcg.nl. Department of Experimental Hematology, Medical Center

Groningen, University of Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, DA13, 9713 GZ Groningen, The Netherlands.

ABSTRACT

Polycomb proteins are essential epigenetic regulators of gene transcription. KDM2B, the chromatin-binding moiety of non-canonical PRC1.1, is critically important for human leukemias. Here, we investigated the complete interactome of KDM2B in human leukemic cells and identified that the deubiquitinase USP7 is an essential component of PRC1.1 and required for its stability and function. USP7 inhibition results in disassembly of the PRC1.1 complex and consequently loss of binding to its target loci. PRC1.1 can be associated with active loci and loss of PRC1.1 binding coincided with loss of H2AK119ub, reduced H3K27ac levels and reduced gene transcription, whereas H3K4me3 levels remained unaffected. Survival was reduced in (primary) acute myeloid leukemia cells in both cycling as well as quiescent populations upon USP7 inhibition, also independent of the USP7-MDM2-p53 axis. Finally, we evaluated the efficacy of USP7 inhibition *in vivo* and find that progression of MLL-AF9-induced leukemia is delayed, although in a niche-dependent manner.

KEYWORDS

chromatin occupancy / deubiquitinase / leukemia / non-canonical PRC1.1 / USP7

INTRODUCTION

Regulation of gene transcription is carefully coordinated to control stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. Polycomb group (PcG) proteins are important epigenetic regulators and critically involved in controlling gene transcription by mediating post-translational modifications of histone proteins and chromatin remodeling [1-3]. Genome-wide analyses of Polycomb target genes revealed the occupancy of PcG proteins at promoters of genes regulating cell fate, highlighting their importance for proper lineage specification [4-6]. Yet, how PcG proteins are recruited, recognize their target genes and regulate gene expression still remains poorly understood. Understanding these processes is important since deregulation of PcG proteins frequently contributes to cancer and hematopoietic malignancies, like leukemia [7-9].

PcG proteins form multi-protein chromatin modifying complexes of which Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) and 2 (PRC2) are best characterized [10]. PRC2, by means of EZH1/EZH2, catalyzes the trimethylation of histone H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me3) which can be recognized by the chromodomain protein (CBX) of the canonical PRC1 complex [11-13]. PRC1 monoubiquitinates histone H2A on lysine 119 (H2AK119ub) via either one of the two E3 ligases RING1A/1B [14,15]. Proteome analysis has identified the composition of six major PRC1 complexes that all contain RING1A or RING1B and distinguished by the presence of one of the six PCGF proteins (PCGF1-6) [16,17]. Canonical PRC1 complexes contain either PCGF2 or PCGF4 and one of the CBX paralogs (CBX2/4/6/7/8), PHC (1/2/3) and SCML1/SCML2/SCMH1 subunits. Non-canonical PRC1 complexes lack a CBX subunit and instead contain RYBP or YAF2 which all three compete for the same binding site of RING1B [16,18]. The exact function of individual subunits in the PRC1 complex is not fully understood, though it is suggested that they are involved in maintaining the integrity of the complex, in providing or controlling enzymatic activity or in targeting to chromatin. For example, the H2AK119 E3 ligase activity is enhanced by the dimerization of RING1A/B with either PCGF2 or PCGF4 [19-21] or can be stimulated by the PCGF1-RYBP/YAF2 interaction in the case of non-canonical PRC1.1 [16,22].

A shRNA approach for individual PRC1 subunits in hematopoietic stem cells revealed a lack of

functional redundancy, suggesting unique functions of distinct PRC1 complexes [23] and indeed PRC1

complex composition changes upon lineage specification [24].

To better understand the function of PRC1 complexes in human leukemias, we recently identified an

essential role for non-canonical PRC1.1 proteins [17]. PRC1.1 was first identified by the purification of

the BCOR protein, which was found to interact with RING1A/B, RYBP, PCGF1, SKP1 and KDM2B

[25,26]. A potential oncogenic role of PRC1.1 is underlined by the fact that KDM2B is overexpressed

in leukemias, breast and pancreatic cancers where it functions as an oncogene, conversely

knockdown of KDM2B abrogated tumorigenicity [17,27-30]. Via the ZF-CxxC domain of KDM2B,

PRC1.1 is targeted to non-methylated CpG islands genome-wide and contributes to PRC1.1

recruitment [17,31-34]. The PRC1.1 complex assembles around a core PCGF1-RING1B dimer

[22,35,36]. While Polycomb-mediated silencing by canonical PRC1 and PRC2 complexes is thought to

maintain compacted chromatin, which is inhibitory to transcription [37,38], less is known about the

function of PRC1.1 on gene transcription. In mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) and mouse

embryonic fibroblasts it is suggested that KDM2B functions together with PRC2 to repress lineage-

specific genes [32,33,39]. On the other hand, Boulard and colleagues find more DNA methylation in

KDM2B null mESC at CpG islands co-occupied by PRC2, suggesting that KDM2B keeps promoters in an

activated non-methylated state [40]. We and others have shown that PRC1.1/KDM2B can also be

targeted to sites independent of PRC2/H3K27me3 and notably is associated with 'permissive' or

active chromatin suggested by the occupancy of H3K4me3, H3K36me3, RNAPII (S5P) and H3K27ac

[17,41-43].

A better understanding of PRC1.1 function is required in order to understand its role in gene

regulation and to explore possibilities to target PRC1.1 in human diseases such as leukemia. Here, we

investigated KDM2B/PRC1.1 in more detail with the aim to identify alternative approaches to target

the non-canonical PRC1.1 complex in human leukemic cells. LC-MS/MS-based proteome studies were

used to investigate the complete interactome of KDM2B in human leukemic cells and we identified

that the deubiquitinase USP7 is an essential component of PRC1.1 and is required to maintain its

integrity and function. As a consequence of inhibiting USP7 deubiquitinase activity the PRC1.1

complex disassembles and loses binding to chromatin, with a concomitant reduction in gene

expression of target loci. Furthermore, our data show that USP7 is essential for leukemic cells and

suggests that targeting of USP7 might provide an alternative therapeutic approach for leukemia.

RESULTS

Identification of the deubiquitinase USP7 as a subunit of PRC1.1

We recently identified KDM2B as a critically important factor for the survival of human leukemic

stem cells [17]. Therefore, we set out to study the KDM2B interactome in detail in leukemic cells.

Human K562 cells were transduced with KDM2B-EGFP followed by anti-EGFP pull outs and LC-MS/MS

analysis to identify interacting proteins. Thus, 406 KDM2B-interacting proteins were identified

involved in cellular processes like DNA/chromatin binding, protein binding, RNA binding and RNA

polymerase activity (Fig 1A and Dataset EV1). Gene Ontology analyses revealed that this list

contained proteins that associated with rRNA processing, mRNA splicing, translation, mRNA

processing, positive regulation of gene expression and DNA damage response (Fig 1B). The most

abundant KDM2B interaction partners were non-canonical PRC1.1 proteins (Fig 1C), including the

ubiquitin-specific protease 7 (USP7). Since inhibitors are available that inhibit its deubiquitinase

activity, we questioned whether inhibition of USP7 could be an alternative way to target leukemic

stem cells, in part via inhibition of PRC1.1 and therefore we continued to study the role of USP7 in

further detail. To validate the interaction of USP7 with PRC1.1 proteins, besides KDM2B, we also

performed EGFP pull outs on nuclear extracts of stable K562 cell lines expressing PCGF1-EGFP and

EGFP-RING1B followed by LC-MS/MS analysis (Dataset EV2). Fig 1D shows the spectral counts

(MS/MS counts) corrected for expected peptides based on *in silico* protein digests. Clearly, USP7 was

found to interact with KDM2B, PCGF1 and RING1B and all other PRC1.1 proteins were co-purified,

indicating that USP7 is a subunit of PRC1.1. In addition, Western blots of independent pull outs on

canonical PRC1 (PCGF2, PCGF4, CBX2) and non-canonical PRC1.1 (PCGF1, RING1B) were performed

using antibodies against USP7 and RING1B (Fig 1E). As expected, RING1B precipitated with both PRC1

and PRC1.1 proteins. The interaction of USP7 with RING1B and PCGF1 was confirmed by Western

blot while less interaction was observed with the canonical PRC1 proteins PCGF2, PCGF4 or CBX2 (Fig

1E and Dataset EV3).

USP7 inhibition results in disassembly of the PRC1.1 complex

Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) exert a variety of important cellular functions, including the control

over protein stabilization or degradation, protein localization, protein activity or by modulating

protein-protein interactions [44]. We therefore questioned whether inhibition of the deubiquitinase

USP7 might affect PRC1.1 stability and function. P22077 (1-(5-((2,4-difluorophenyl)thio)-4-

nitrothiophen-2-yl)ethanone) has been identified as a selective inhibitor of USP7, as well as its

paralog USP47, using activity-based chemical proteomics [45,46]. In general, DUB inhibitors increase

overall protein polyubiquitination [46]. Similarly, we observed accumulation of polyubiquitinated

proteins in our EGFP-RING1B and PCGF1-EGFP K562 cells treated for 24h with P22077 (Fig 2A). Next,

we investigated the effect of USP7 inhibition on the stability of PRC1.1. EGFP pull outs were

performed on nuclear extracts from K562 PCGF1-EGFP and EGFP-RING1B cells treated with DMSO or

P22077 followed by LC/MS-MS analysis (Fig 2B and Dataset EV4). Volcano plots were generated using

label-free quantification (LFQ) intensities of potential interactors of EGFP-RING1B (left) and PCGF1-

EGFP (right) plotted as fold change difference (control/USP7i) against significance (t-test p-value).

Interactions with several PRC1.1 proteins, highlighted in orange, were significantly reduced in both

EGFP-RING1B and PCGF1-EGFP pull outs as a consequence of USP7 inhibition (Fig 2B). The ubiquitin

protein, UBB, was enriched in both PCGF1-EGFP and EGFP-RING1B pull outs upon P22077 treatment,

suggesting that these proteins or other Polycomb interaction proteins might be more ubiquitinated

upon USP7 inhibition. In addition we analysed the intensity-based absolute quantification (iBAQ)

values, as a measure for protein abundance, relative to either RING1B or PCGF1 and normalized to

control pull outs (Fig 2C). Similarly, these data demonstrated a clear reduced interaction of PRC1.1

proteins with RING1B and PCGF1 after P22077 treatment. Independent EGFP pull outs performed on

PCGF1-EGFP and EGFP-RING1B cell lines further confirmed that USP7 inhibition indeed resulted in

reduced interaction of PCGF1-EGFP with endogenous RING1B and EGFP-RING1B with endogenous

PCGF1 (Fig 2D). Importantly, input samples did not reveal loss of protein stability and also the

expression of EGFP-RING1B and PCGF1-EGFP was not affected as quantified by FACS analysis (Fig 2E).

Taken together these data indicate that USP7 is essential for PRC1.1 complex integrity.

PRC1.1 chromatin binding relies on functional USP7 deubiquitinase activity

To determine the functional consequences of disassembly of the PRC1.1 complex upon USP7

inhibition, we performed several ChIPs to investigate whether PRC1.1 would still be recruited to

target loci. Previously, we identified PRC1 and PRC1.1 target loci by ChIP-seq for PCGF1/2/4, CBX2,

RING1A/1B, KDM2B, H2AK119ub and H3K27me3 in the leukemic cell line K562 [17]. Here, ChIP-

qPCRs were performed for KDM2B, PCGF1 and RING1B, the core PRC1.1 subunits, on several loci in

the absence or presence of P22077 (30 µM). Inhibition of USP7 resulted in a complete loss of KDM2B

binding, with concomitant strong reductions in PCGF1 and RING1B chromatin binding (Fig 3A).

Importantly, USP7 inhibition did not result in reduced protein levels of KDM2B, PCGF1 or RING1B (Fig

2D and E and Fig EV1). Since RING1B mediates H2AK119 ubiquitination we then analysed the levels of

this histone modification upon USP7 inhibition. Similarly, H2AK119ub was lost from PRC1.1 target loci

(Fig 3B). These data suggest that USP7 inhibition severely impacts on PRC1.1 chromatin binding and

likely as a consequence on H2AK119ub levels. Since non-canonical PRC1.1 can be recruited to active

loci in leukemic cells, we also investigated the levels of H3K4me3, but no changes were observed on

this histone mark upon USP7 inhibition, further highlighting that not all posttranslational histone

modifications are affected as a consequence of treatment (Fig 3C). To test whether the loss of

H2AK119ub would indeed be the result of impaired *de novo* ubiquitination by either one of the two

E3 ligases RING1A/1B, cells were cross-linked at 4h, 8h and 16h followed by a ChIP for H2AK119ub,

KDM2B and RING1B (Fig 3D). The time-dependent loss of H2AK119ub coincided with a loss of KDM2B

and RING1B binding at the same target loci, suggesting that loss of *de novo* ubiquitination underlies

these observations. Again, H3K4me3 levels remained unaffected, while H3K27ac levels were reduced

upon USP7 inhibition indicative for reduced transcriptional activity at these loci (Fig 3E). We then

performed genetic knockdown studies of USP7 as an alternative approach to study its function (Fig

EV2A). ChIP-qPCR experiments showed reduced KDM2B chromatin binding to PRC1.1 loci by two

independent miR-E USP7 shRNAs, although this reduction was less compared to cells treated with

the USP7 inhibitor (Fig EV2B). Presumably our shRNA approach did not result in a complete loss of

USP7 expression, resulting in some USP7 catalytic activity remaining intact. To address this further

we also included a new recently developed compound in our studies, FT671, which was shown to

inhibit USP7 with high affinity and specificity [47]. We performed KDM2B and H2AK119ub ChIPs in

the absence or presence of FT671 and also these data clearly demonstrated a loss of KDM2B binding

and reduced H2AK119ub levels to PRC1.1 loci upon inhibiting USP7 function (Fig 3F).

USP7 inhibition leads to downregulation of several PRC1.1 active target genes

Given that USP7 inhibition severely impaired PRC1.1 occupancy to several target loci we investigated

whether this would also affect the expression of PRC1.1 target genes in leukemic cells. RNA-seq was

performed to compare gene expression in DMSO or USP7 inhibitor treated K562 cells (P22077, 30

µM) for 4h, 8h, 16h and 24h. Since PRC1.1 can be associated with active genes in leukemic cells we

focused on the genes that were downregulated upon USP7 inhibition. In total 297 genes were

downregulated more than 2 fold after 24h of USP7 inhibition (Dataset EV5). Since USP7 has also

PRC1.1 independent functions, for instance by controlling MDM2/p53 [48], we then analysed

whether downregulated genes overlapped with previously identified PRC1.1 peaks by ChIP-seq (Fig

4A, Dataset EV5)[17]. Twenty-four percent of these genes overlapped with PRC1.1 targets. Gene

Ontology (GO) analysis revealed that this set was enriched for GO terms like transcription, regulation

of gene expression and chromatin modification, while PRC1.1 independent downregulated genes

were enriched for GO terms like mRNA splicing, protein folding and protein polyubiquitination (Fig

4A). ChIP-seq profiles of TOP2B, SIN3A, CHD1 and MYC are shown in Fig 4B as representative

examples for PRC1.1 target genes. The tracks show clear binding of PCGF1, RING1A/1B and KDM2B

and little occupancy of canonical PRC1 proteins (PCGF2, PCGF4, CBX2). These loci were also enriched

for H2AK119ub and active chromatin marks H3K4me3, H3K36me3, RNAPII and H3K27ac but devoid

of the repressive mark H3K27me3. Loss of PRC1.1 binding was confirmed by ChIP-qPCRs for KDM2B,

PCGF1 and RING1B on these 4 loci (Fig 4C). Again, a concomitant loss of H2AK119ub and H3K27ac

marks was seen, without any alterations in H3K4me3 (Fig 4C). Subsequently, the observed

downregulation based on RNA-seq data was validated by independent quantitative RT-PCRs (Fig 4D).

Loss of PRC1.1 binding correlated with loss of gene expression. Taken together, these data suggest

that the presence of PRC1.1 is required to maintain the transcriptional activity of several target

genes.

Both quiescent and cycling primary AML cell populations are sensitive towards USP7 inhibition

To study the functional consequences of USP7 inhibition, we tested P22077 on the AML cell lines

MOLM-13, NB4, K562 and HL60. USP7 inhibition severely impaired cell growth in all tested AML cell

lines (Fig 5A) associated with increased apoptosis. Also the specific USP7 inhibitor (FT671)

demonstrated reduced proliferation of MOLM-13, OCI-AML3, K562 and HL60 cells (Fig 5B). Cell lines

that do not express functional p53 (HL60 and K562) also showed sensitivity, indicating that at least in

those cell lines the effect of P22077 and FT671 was independent of p53 (Dataset EV6). Next, we

assessed whether USP7 inhibition, using P22077, also affected the survival of primary AML cells. The

long-term proliferation of primary AML CD34$^+$ cells (n=3) on MS5 co-culture was clearly reduced in

the presence of P22077 (Fig 5C). Patient characteristics are shown in Dataset EV6. With current

therapies for leukemia patients the majority of the blast population is readily eradicated, while the

rare quiescent population of leukemic stem cells is more difficult to target. To examine the effects of

USP7 inhibition (P22077) on both cycling as well as quiescent (G_0) cells, we performed

Hoechst/PyroninY stainings. AML 2 was characterized by a large $CD34^+/CD38^+$ population that is high

in cycling activity, while the $CD34^+/CD38^-$ population is predominantly in G_0 (Fig 5D). In both

populations, the G_0 fraction was equally or even more efficiently targeted by P22077 compared to

the cycling fraction, indicating that cycling cells are not necessarily more sensitive than quiescent

cells. AML3 was low in $CD34^+$ and therefore we gated on the whole blast population which also

showed that both quiescent and cycling cells were sensitive for P22077 (Fig 5E).

Evaluation of USP7 inhibition in a xenograft leukemia mouse model

Next, we evaluated the effect of USP7 inhibition in our human CB MLL-AF9 xenograft mouse model

(Fig 6A) [49,50]. Importantly, these lymphoid MLL-AF9 cells grown on a co-culture of MS5 *in vitro*

were sensitive towards USP7 inhibition (Fig EV3). Here 5 x 10^4 MLL-AF9 leukemic cells, from a

primary leukemic mouse, were intravenously (IV) injected into secondary recipients (n=11). Three

weeks after injection 10/11 mice showed engraftment of MLL-AF9 $EGFP^+/CD45^+/CD19^+$ cells in

peripheral blood (Fig 6B). Subsequently, mice were divided into two groups that were treated either

with DMSO as control (n=5) or 20 mg/kg P22077 (n=6) via intraperitoneal (IP) injections. Peripheral

blood chimerism levels were monitored by regular blood sample analysis and mice were sacrificed

when chimerism levels in the blood exceeded 40%. Mice were treated daily starting four weeks post-

transplant (indicated with the arrow, Fig 6C). Two-and-a-half weeks after starting treatment,

chimerism levels were significantly lower in P22077-treated mice compared to DMSO (Fig 6B and C).

The chimerism levels for DMSO treated mice rapidly increased to 44% (average) within 6 weeks after

injection, whereas the chimerism levels of P22077 treated mice were around 25% on average.

Notably, 3/6 mice gave a better response to USP7 inhibition with lower chimerism levels of 18.3, 16.8

and 12% respectively at day 46 (Fig 6B). Upon treatment we followed the mice for some additional

weeks and within 60 days all control mice exceeded 40% chimerism in the blood, indicative for a full

blown leukemia and were sacrificed (Fig 6C and D). Bone marrow, spleen and liver analyses showed

high levels (>90%) of chimerism. USP7 inhibition delayed leukemia development and in particular in

two mice a clear response to USP7 inhibition was observed and chimerism levels remained relatively

stable between day 56-67 post-transplant, although ultimately mice did develop MLL-AF9 induced

leukemia after day 77 (Fig 6D).

Since the efficacy of USP7 inhibition *in vitro* in AML cell lines and patient samples was rather high, in

contrast to what we observed *in vivo* in our xenograft mouse model, we hypothesized that the bone

marrow niche could potentially play a protective role in the survival of leukemic cells and dampen

the response to therapeutic agents [51-53]. Therefore we evaluated the efficacy of USP7 inhibition in

a direct comparison of CB MLL-AF9 cells grown in liquid culture or in a co-culture setting on murine

MS5 bone marrow stromal cells. In both settings P22077 impaired cell growth, but the efficacy was

much higher in liquid culture conditions (Fig 6E). Loss of KDM2B binding to PRC1.1 target loci was

confirmed by ChIP-qPCRs, both under liquid culture and MS5 co-culture conditions, although we did

note that the efficacy was somewhat higher under liquid culture conditions (Fig 6F).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we reveal that the deubiquitinase USP7 is an essential component of non-canonical PRC1.1 in leukemia and is required for its stability and function. Inhibiting USP7 deubiquitinase activity resulted in disassembly of the PRC1.1 complex. Consequently, recruitment of the PRC1.1 complex to target loci was heavily lost coinciding with the loss of its H2AK119ub catalytic activity. This causes repression of a subset of transcriptionally active PRC1.1 target genes and corresponds with a reduction in H3K27ac, highlighting an essential function for PRC1.1 in maintaining gene transcription. Furthermore, USP7 inhibition strongly impaired leukemic cell growth *in vitro* and delayed MLL-AF9 induced leukemia *in vivo*, although in a niche-dependent manner.

The non-canonical PRC1.1 complex consists of several Polycomb proteins and although we begin to learn more about the function of some of the individual proteins within the complex, the role that others fulfill remains far from completely understood. PRC1.1 is recruited to non-methylated CpG islands via KDM2B and a single point mutation in the ZF-CxxC domain (K643A) of KDM2B has been shown to prevent this interaction [31,32,34]. The H2AK119 E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of both canonical PRC1.2/1.4 and non-canonical PRC1.1 is mediated by RING1A/1B proteins and is supported by the dimerization with one of the PCGFs (PCGF1-6) [54-56]. For example, non-canonical PCGF1/3/5/6-RING1 heterodimers show much higher E3 ligase activity than canonical PCGF2/4-RING1 heterodimers [54]. This difference possibly lies in a structural difference in PCGF helix α3 residues between canonical and non-canonical PCGFs which may limit ubiquitin transfer by the RING1 dimer. Furthermore, the interaction of RYBP/YAF2 with the PCGF1-RING1B core dimer increased the relative H2AK119 E3 ligase activity of PRC1.1 in an *in vitro* assay [22]. KDM2B and BCOR contributed little or nothing to this activity.

A role for the deubiquitinase USP7 within PRC1.1 was suggested since USP7 stably interacts with PRC1.1 proteins, KDM2B, PCGF1 and RING1B (Fig 1). Sánchez and colleagues first identified the ubiquitin protease USP7 as an interactor of RING1B [26]. Then, USP7 was also identified in proteomics analysis of PCGF1, RYBP, YAF2 and RING1A/B pullouts [16,17]. Previously, USP7 has been

shown to be associated with the canonical PRC1 protein BMI1 (PCGF4) and potentially also with

MEL18 (PCGF2), although Lecona and colleagues suggested that USP7 interacts directly with SCML2

and thereby bridges the interaction with PRC1.4 [57,58]. Similar to Gao and colleagues [16] however,

in our canonical PCGF2, PCGF4 and CBX2 proteome analyses we found little or no interaction with

USP7 [17]. We did notice that several canonical RING1B-Polycomb interactions were also reduced

upon USP7 inhibition, including CBX2/4/8, SCML2 and SCMH1, though the interaction with PCGF2

and PCGF4 was not affected. This might also suggest that USP7 impacts on PRC1 protein stability,

although further studies are required to clarify these issues. In line with that notion, a recent paper

demonstrated that another deubiquitinase, USP26, controls the stability of CBX4 and CBX6 and

thereby affects the complex composition of PRC1 during ESC reprogramming [59]. Maertens and

colleagues demonstrated that also USP11 interacts with PRC1 proteins and affects the stability of

BMI1 [58]. Thus, DUBs reveal an interesting role on Polycomb stability and complex composition.

Based on our observations, the complex composition of PRC1.1 is affected upon USP7 inhibition. This

suggest that controlling ubiquitin levels of Polycomb proteins is important for the integrity of the

protein-protein interactions within PRC1.1 and its chromatin binding. Upon USP7 inhibition an overall

increase in protein ubiquitination was observed (Fig 2). Importantly, USP7 inhibition did not lead to

degradation of PCGF1 or RING1B, allowing us to conclude that USP7 controls protein-protein

interactions rather than proteasomal degradation. In line with that notion, overexpression of USP7

has been shown to deubiquitinate RING1B thereby stabilizing RING1B and preventing it from

proteasomal degradation [60]. Furthermore, RING1B self-ubiquitination is required for its ligase

activity on histone H2A [61]. This is supported by the observation that H2AK119ub is lost upon USP7

inhibition, most likely as a consequence of loss of *de novo* ubiquitination mediated via RING1B.

Similarly, knockout of USP7 reduced H2AK119ub levels coinciding with reduced levels of RING1B [57].

Other studies also show that USP7 is not likely a DUB for H2AK119 [58,62,63]. Thus, regulating

ubiquitin levels within the PRC1.1 complex itself is critically important and mediated via USP7

deubiquitinase activity.

With the efficient loss of PRC1.1 binding and consequent loss of H2AK119ub from target loci upon

USP7 inhibition we were able to study PRC1.1 function in relation to gene regulation in more detail.

Previously we identified genes that are targeted by PRC1.1 independent of H3K27me3 and have a

transcriptionally active profile suggested by H3K4me3, RNAPII and H3K27ac occupancy close to the

transcription start site. Loss of PRC1.1 binding resulted in reduced gene expression coinciding with

reduced levels of H3K27ac in the majority of analysed cases. The mechanisms underlying differences

in gene expression when PRC1.1 binding is lost are currently unclear. We hypothesize that PRC1.1

creates a transcriptionally permissive and open chromatin state which enables transcription factors

to bind and initiate gene expression. Addressing a possible cross-talk between PRC1.1 proteins,

CBP/p300-linked H3K27ac, recruitment of transcription factors and accessibility of chromatin is

definitely a focus for future work. Since H3K4me3 levels remained unaffected following USP7

inhibition, this suggest that the H3K4 methyltransferase complex that is likely targeted to CpGs via

CFP1 protein is not targeted in a PRC1.1-dependent manner [64].

In ESCs PRC1.1 targets are usually described together with canonical PRC1/PRC2 signaling, known as

bivalent loci and shown to repress target genes [31-33]. For example, knockdown of KDM2B leads to

the up-regulation of a subset of poised target genes, coinciding with some reduction in RING1B

binding and H2AK119ub [31]. While we find no clear interaction of USP7 with canonical PRC1 in

leukemic cells, it was shown that USP7 inhibition does impact functionally on canonical PRC1 [57].

Inhibiting the catalytic core by removal of RING1A/B in ESCs does not lead to loss of KDM2B binding

[31]. However, in leukemic cells we find that USP7 inhibition not only leads to reduced H2AK119ub

but also induces loss of PRC1.1/KDM2B binding as a result of complex disassembly, suggesting that

KDM2B-PCGF1-BCOR/L1/SKP1 interactions might be needed for targeting. This is in agreement with

data that suggest that dimerization of PCGF1 and BCOR(L1) is required for binding to KDM2B and

recruiting PRC1.1 to the chromatin [35].

PRC1.1 is essential for human leukemic cells [17]. By inhibiting individual Polycomb proteins using an

shRNA approach we observed impaired cell growth of leukemic cells upon knockdown of PCGF1,

RING1B, KDM2B or BCOR. *In vivo* experiments in humanized niche xenograft mice using primary AML

patient samples [65] or lentiviral leukemia models [50] demonstrated that leukemogenesis was

delayed or even abrogated upon knockdown of PRC1.1 proteins. While these genetic studies clearly

highlight the importance of PRC1.1 for the survival of leukemic cells, small molecule inhibitors would

be easier to implement in a therapeutic clinical setting. Various USP7 inhibitors have been

developed, and most recently selective USP7 inhibitors which destabilize USP7 substrates including

MDM2 and thereby increase p53-mediated apoptosis of cancer cells were generated [47,66]. We

observed that leukemic cells were very sensitive to USP7 inhibition, both in model systems as well as

in primary patient samples. Importantly, also the quiescent leukemic cells, that are usually more

difficult to target, showed sensitivity to P22077. It is evident that USP7 can function in several

pathways, often through regulating protein stability of tumor suppressors or epigenetic regulators

[67-69] and it is particularly the p53 pathway that is strongly controlled by USP7 [70-73]. While we

cannot exclude the possibility that some of these pathways were also affected in some of our

studies, we have analysed the p53 status in our models and primary AML patient samples and see

sensitivity even in the absence of a normal p53 response. Furthermore, while USP7 knockout mice

are embryonically lethal, deletion of p53 was not able to rescue this phenotype, further highlighting

that p53-independent pathways downstream of USP7 exist as well [74]. Although P22077 has shown

antitumor effects *in vivo* in neuroblastoma models [70,75], the responses that we observed *in vivo* in

our CB MLL-AF9 leukemia model were more modest, despite very strong effects seen upon USP7

inhibition *in vitro*. We wondered whether the presence of a protective bone marrow

microenvironment might play a role in regulating P22077 sensitivity and therefore performed

experiments in the absence or presence of bone marrow stroma. We indeed demonstrate that MLL-

AF9 cells grown on MS5 stromal cells were less sensitive towards USP7 inhibition compared to cells

grown in liquid culture conditions. These observations are in line with other data clearly supporting

the notion that the niche plays a protective role and can dampen the response to therapeutic agents

[51-53].

In conclusion, our data reveal an important role for USP7 deubiquitinase activity in the integrity of

the PRC1.1 complex. We provide insight into the recruitment of PRC1.1 to target loci and function in

gene regulation and show that PRC1.1 is a potential interesting therapeutic target in leukemia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

GFP-mediated pull outs

Pull outs were performed on nuclear extracts from K562 cells stably expressing KDM2B-EGFP, PCGF1-

EGFP and EGFP-RING1B. At least $80x10^6$ cells were collected for each pull out, washed with PBS and

lysed in 3 ml buffer A including freshly added protease inhibitors (10 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.9, 1.5 mM

MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1x Chymostatin Leupeptin Antipain Pepstatin (CLAP) protease-

inhibitor cocktail (all 1 µg/ml) and 0.1 mM PMSF (all from Sigma-Aldrich). After 10 minutes

incubation on ice, 10% IGEPAL CA-630 (endconc. 0.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) was added, followed by 10

seconds vortexing and 1 minute 14000 rpm spin to recover the nuclei. Nuclei were resuspended in

0.7 ml high salt buffer (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 420 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 25%

Glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1x CLAP and 0.1 mM PMSF) and incubated on ice for 20 minutes with 10

seconds vortexing intervals every 5 minutes. Extracted nuclei were collected after 15 minutes at

14000 rpm at 4°C and 2 volumes of Dilution buffer (25 mM Tris/Cl pH 7.5, 0.45% IGEPAL CA-630, 1 x

CLAP, 0.1 mM PMSF) and 3 volumes Wash buffer (TBS, 0.3% IGEPAL CA-630, 1 x CLAP, 0.1 mM PMSF)

were added. Pre-clearing of cell lysate was done by adding 50 µl pre-equilibrated binding control

magnetic agarose beads (Chromotek) and incubated for 30 min at 4°C on a rotating platform. Then

pre-cleared lysate was incubated with 70 µl pre-equilibrated GFP-Trap magnetic agarose beads

(Chromotek) overnight at 4°C on a rotating platform. Beads were separated using a magnetic rack

and six times washed in Wash buffer. Bound (B) fractions were eluted from the beads by boiling for

10 min in 2x Leammli sample buffer.

In gel trypsin digestion

Bound fractions of KDM2B-EGFP, PCGF1-EGFP (control/USP7i) and GFP-RING1B (control/USP7i) were loaded on a 4-12% pre-cast NuPAGE gel (Invitrogen) and were run briefly. Gels were stained with Coomassie dye R-250 (Thermo Scientific) and subsequently destained with ultrapure water overnight. Gel lanes were cut into one slice, further cut into small pieces and completely destained using 70% 50 mM NH_4HCO_3 and 30% acetonitrile (ACN). Reduction and alkylation of cysteines was performed by adding 10 mM DTT dissolved in 50 mM NH_4HCO_3 and incubated at 55°C for 30 min. Next, 55 mM iodoacetamide in 50 mM NH_4HCO_3 was added and incubated for 30 min, in dark, at room temperature. Remaining fluid was removed and 50 mM NH_4HCO_3 was added with 10 min. shaking. Then 100% ACN was added incubated for 30 min. while shaking. Fluid was removed and gel pieces were dried for 15 min. at 55°C. Proteins were digested with adding 10 ng/μl sequencing-grade modified trypsin (Promega) in 50 mM NH_4HCO_3 to the gel pieces and incubated overnight at 37°C. Next day, peptides were extracted using 5% formic acid followed by second elution with 5% formic acid in 75% acetonitrile. Samples were dried in a SpeedVac centrifuge and dissolved in 5% formic acid.

LC-MS/MS analysis

Online chromatography of the extracted tryptic peptides was performed with the Ultimate 3000 nano-HPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled online to a Q-Exactive-Plus mass spectrometer with a NanoFlex source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a stainless steel emitter. Tryptic digests were loaded onto a 5 mm × 300 μm i.d. trapping micro column packed with PepMAP100 5 μm particles (Dionex) in 0.1% FA at the flow rate of 20 μL/min. After loading and washing for 3 minutes, peptides were forward-flush eluted onto a 50 cm × 75 μm i.d. nanocolumn, packed with Acclaim C18 PepMAP100 2 μm particles (Dionex). The following mobile phase gradient was delivered at the flow rate of 300 nL/min: 2–50% of solvent B in 90 min; 50–80% B in 1 min; 80% B during 9 min, and back to 2 % B in 1 min and held at 3% A for 19 minutes. Solvent A was 100:0 H2O/acetonitrile

(v/v) with 0.1% formic acid and solvent B was 0:100 H2O/acetonitrile (v/v) with 0.1% formic acid. MS

data were acquired using a data-dependent top-10 method dynamically choosing the most abundant

not-yet-sequenced precursor ions from the survey scans (300–1650 Th) with a dynamic exclusion of

20 seconds . Sequencing was performed via higher energy collisional dissociation fragmentation with

a target value of 2e5 ions determined with predictive automatic gain control. Isolation of precursors

was performed with a window of 1.6. Survey scans were acquired at a resolution of 70,000 at m/z

200. Resolution for HCD spectra was set to 17,500 at m/z 200 with a maximum ion injection time of

110 ms. The normalized collision energy was set at 28. Furthermore, the S-lens RF level was set at 60

and the capillary temperature was set at 250degr. C. Precursor ions with single, unassigned, or six

and higher charge states were excluded from fragmentation selection.

Data analysis

Raw mass spectrometry data were analysed using MaxQuant version 1.5.2.8 [76], using default

settings and LFQ/ iBAQ enabled, searched against the Human Uniprot/Swissprot database

(downloaded June 26, 2016, 20197 entries). Further data processing was performed using Perseus

software, version 1.5.6.0 [77]. Network visualization of KDM2B interactome was performed using

Cytoscape software, version 3.5.1. For Gene Ontology (GO) analysis we used DAVID Bioinformatics

Resources (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp). ChIP-seq tracks were visualized and analysed

using UCSC genome browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu). Accession number for the Polycomb ChIP-

seq data previously reported and used for analysis in this paper is GEO: GSE54580 [17]. Previously

published ChIP-seq used for analysis include H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K27ac and RNAPII/Pol2(b)

from ENCODE/Broad Institute (GSE29611).

Patient samples

AML blasts from peripheral blood or bone marrow from untreated patients were studied after

informed consent and the protocol was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee, in accordance

with the Declaration of Helsinki. Mononuclear cells were isolated by density gradient centrifugation and CD34+ cells were selected automatically by using autoMACS (Miltenyi Biotec).

Cell culture

The AML cell lines K562, MOLM13, NB4, OCI-AML3 and HL-60 were cultured in RPMI 1640 (BioWhittaker, Lonza, Verviers, Belgium) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FCS, HyClone Laboratories, Logan, Utah, US) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (p/s, PAA Laboratories). MS5 murine stromal cells were cultured in alpha-MEM with 200 mM glutamine (BioWhittaker) supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% p/s. Primary AMLs were cultured in Gartner's medium consisting of alpha-MEM (BioWhittaker) supplemented with 12.5% heat-inactivated FCS and 12.5% heat-inactivated Horse serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA), 1 µM hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 57.2 µM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) and 1% p/s in the presence of 20 ng/ml granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), thrombopoietin (TPO) and IL-3. All AMLs were grown on a MS5 stromal layer. CB MLL-AF9 liquid and MS5 co-cultures under myeloid permissive conditions were grown in Gartner's medium supplemented with 20 ng/ml Flt-3L, stem cell factor (SCF) and IL-3 [49,50]. For lymphoid CB-MLL-AF9 cells were grown on MS5 co-culture in Gartner's medium without Horse serum and hydrocortisone and no IL-3 was added. Instead ascorbic acid (50 µg/ml, Sigma) and IL-7 (10 ng/ml) were added. All cultures were kept at 37°C and 5% CO_2. For USP7 inhibition experiments, P22077 (1-(5-((2,4-difluorophenyl)thio)-4-nitrothiophen-2-yl)ethanone) was purchased from Merck Millipore (662142) (Billerica, MA, USA) and stock solution dissolved in DMSO then added 1:1000 to the culture. FT671 was generously provided by FORMA Therapeutics (Watertown, MA, USA)[47].

Generation of lentiviral vectors and transductions

Lentiviral pRRL SFFV PCGF1-EGFP and EGFP-RING1B vectors were generated as described previously[17]. pRRL SFFV KDM2B EGFP was generated as follows. KDM2B was PCR amplified from cDNA in two parts (from the ATG to the RsrII site [fragment 1] and from the RsrII site to end of

KDM2B [excluding the stop codon, fragment 2]). Both fragments were independently subcloned into

pJet1.2 resulting in the pJet1.2 KDM2B[1] and pJet1.2 KDM2B[2] plasmids and that were

subsequenty verified by sequencing. Next, KDM2B fragment 2 was isolated from pJet1.2 KDM2B[2]

using RsrII and XbaI digestion and ligated into pJet1.2 KDM2B[1] that was also digested with RsrII and

XbaI, resulting in a pJet1.2 plasmid with the full length KDM2B ORF but excluding the stop codon.

Finally, the KDM2B ORF was subcloned into the pRRL SFFV GFP vector using AgeI digestion, resulting

in the pRRL SFFV KDM2B-GFP construct. A lentiviral pRRL SFFV-His-Ubiquitin-mBlueberry2 vector was

generated by PCR amplification of His-Ubiquitin from pCl His-Ubi (Addgene) plasmid using primers

including BamHI sites. The PCR product was first ligated into pJet1.2 using Blunt-End cloning

protocol. Subsequently His-Ubiquitin was isolated from pJet1.2 using BamHI digestion and ligated

into pRRL SFFV-IRES-mBlueberry2 vector also using BamHI digestion and verified by sequencing.

The constitutive lentiviral pRRL.SFFV.EGFP.miR-E vector was generated by subcloning the EGFP.miR-E

from the pRRL LT3GECIR vector (generously provided by the Zuber lab) into the

pRRL.SFFV.IRES.EGFP[78]. Therefore the LT3GECIR vector was cut with MluI and self-ligated. The

pRRL.SFFV.IRES.EGFP vector was cut with XhoI and EcoRI (Klenow) followed by self-ligation. The

EGFP.miR-E was isolated from the LT3GECIR vector with BamHI and SacII digestion and cloned into

the pRRL.SFFV.IRES.EGFP cut with BamHI and SacII, resulting into the pRRL.SFFV.EGFP.miR-E vector.

Subsequently miR-E shRNAs for USP7 and a SCR control were designed or selected from Table S3

(Fellmann et al, 2013). USP7 #A: TGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCGACAGGATTTATTCAAGATACTATAGTGAAGCC

ACAGATGTATAGTATCTTGAATAAATCCTGCTGCCTACTGCCTCGGA; USP7#B:TGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCGCC

AAGAACATTGTTAAATTCAATAGTGAAGCCACAGATGTATTGAATTTAACAATGTTCTTGATGCCTACTGCCTC

GGA; SCR: TGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCGCAGGAATTATAATGCTTATCTATAGTGAAGCCACAGATGTATAGATA

AGCATTATAATTCCTATGCCTACTGCCTCGGA . 97-mer oligonucleotides were purchased from

Invitrogen and PCR amplified using the primers miRE-Xho-fw (5'

TGAACTCGAGAAGGTATATTGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCG-3') and miRE-EcoOligo-rev (5'-

TCTCGAATTCTAGCCCCTTGAAGTCCGAGGCAGTAGGC-3') according to Phusion High-Fidelity kit

(Thermo Scientific). The PCR product (139 bp) was first ligated into pJet1.2 using Blunt-End cloning

protocol and verified by sequencing. Then the miR-E shRNA was isolated using XhoI and EcoRI

digestion and ligated into the pRRL.SFFV.EGFP.miRE vector also using XhoI and EcoRI digestion.

Generation of lentiviral viruses and transductions were performed as described previously [79].

Flow cytometry analysis

Flow cytometry analyses were performed on the BD LSR II (Becton Dickinson (BD) Biosciences) and

data were analysed using FlowJo (Tree Star Inc, Ashland, OR, USA). Cells were sorted on a MoFlo XDP

or MoFLo Astrios (Beckman Coulter). For Hoechst/PyroninY staining, cells were resuspended in

HPGM (Lonza, Leusden, The Netherlands) and stained with 5 ug/ml Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen) at

37°C for 30-45 min. Then 1 ug/ml PyroninY (Sigma) was added and incubated for 30-45 min at 37C.

Upon FcR blocking (MACS miltenyi Biotec), cells were stained with CD34-APC (581, BD Biosciences)

and CD38-AlexaFluor 700 (HIT2, Biolegend) at 4°C for 30 min. Cells were washed in medium

containing Hoechst/PyroninY and analysed on the BD LSR II. *In vivo* engraftment levels were analysed

in peripheral blood (PB), bone marrow, liver and spleen. Prior to staining, cells were blocked with

anti-human FcR block (MACS miltenyi Biotec) and anti-mouse CD16/CD32 block (BD Biosciences) and

stained with CD45-BV421 (HI30), CD19-BV785 (HIB19) and CD33-APC (WM53) all from Biolegend at

4°C for 30 min.

Western blotting

For detecting His-tagged ubiquitinated proteins cells were lysed in 1 ml denaturing lysis buffer (6M

Guanidium-HCl, 100 mM Na_2HPO_4 x $2H_2O$, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM Imidazole, 10 mM β-

mercaptoethanol) and sonicated on ice. Lysates were pre-cleared by centrifugation at 14000 rpm at

4°C and five volumes denaturing lysis buffer was added. His-tagged ubiquitinated proteins were

purified by adding 75 µl pre-equilibrated Ni-NTA magnetic agarose beads (Jena Bioscience) and

incubated for 4h on a rotating wheel. Beads were separated using a magnetic rack and washed 1x in

denaturing lysis buffer without Imidazole, 1x in wash buffer pH 8.0 (8M Urea, 100 mM Na_2HPO_4 x

$2H_2O$, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol), 1x in wash buffer pH 6.3 (8M Urea,

100 mM Na_2HPO_4 x $2H_2O$, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.3, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol) plus 0.2% Triton X-100,

and 1x in wash buffer pH 6.3 plus 0.1% Triton X-100. His-tagged ubiquitinated proteins were eluted

from the beads by adding 75 µl elution buffer (200 mM Imidazole, 150 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.7, 30%

glycerol, 5% SDS and 720 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and incubated for 20 min. on a rotating wheel.

Elution samples were diluted 2x in Laemmli sample buffer containing 10% β-mercaptoethanol and

immediately boiled for 5 min. prior to Western blot analysis.

GFP mediated pull out cell lysates, input, non-bound and bound fractions were boiled for 5-10 min. in

Laemmli sample buffer prior to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins were transferred to

fluorescence polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF FL, Millipore) membrane by semidry blotting.

Membranes were blocked in Odyssey blocking buffer (Westburg). The following primary antibodies

were used: anti-USP7 (A300-033A, Bethyl Laboratories), anti-RING1B (ab 181140, Abcam), anti-GFP

(sc-9996, Santa Cruz), anti-Ubiquitin (FK2, Enzo Life Sciences), anti-PCGF1 (ab183499, Abcam).

Fluorescent secondary antibodies either goat anti-mouse IRDye 800 or goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)

Alexa Fluor 680 (Invitrogen) were used for detection. Membranes were scanned using the Odyssey

CLx Imaging System (Li-Cor Biosciences).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

ChIP was essentially performed as described previously (Frank, 2001), with minor modifications.

Briefly, K562 cells stably expressing low levels of EGFP-fusion vectors encoding, PCGF1-EGFP, EGFP-

RING1B, KDM2B-EGFP or non-transduced K562 cells and CB-MLL-AF9 cells were treated with DMSO

or P22077 for indicated timepoints and subsequently cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min.

Reactions were quenched with addition of Glycine at a final concentration of 125 mM. Cells were

lysed in SDS buffer including protease inhibitors (1x CLAP, 0.1 mM PMSF) and snap frozen in liquid

nitrogen and stored at -80°C. ChIP was continued by resuspending cells in cold IP buffer and

sonicated using a Bioruptor (Diagenode). Pre-clearing was performed by adding Protein G Dynabeads

(Invitrogen) and incubated for 15 min at 4°C on a rotating platform. Then ChIP reactions were

performed using the following antibodies: anti-GFP (ab290, Abcam), anti-KDM2B (ab137547, Abcam),

anti-H2AK119ub (D27C4, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-H3K4me3 (ab8580, Abcam), anti-H3K27ac

(C15410196, Diagenode) and IgG (I8141, Sigma) followed by overnight incubation at 4°C on a rotating

platform. Next day Protein G Dynabeads were added for 2-4h after beads were separated using a

magnetic rack and washed with 3x Mixed Micelle Buffer, 2x Buffer 500, 2x LiCl Detergent Wash

Buffer and 1x TE (pH 8.0). ChIP DNA was eluted and reverse cross-linked at 65°C in the presence of

1% SDS and 0.1M NaHCO$_3$. Samples were treated with RNase and Proteinase K and purified using

QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). ChIPs were analysed by qPCR as percentage of input, Dataset

EV7 lists the qPCR primers used.

RNA seq analysis and quantitative real-time PCR

RNA samples for sequencing were prepared for DMSO and P22077 (30 µM) treated K562 cells at 4h,

8h, 16h and 24h. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit from Qiagen (Venlo, The

Netherlands) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Initial quality check and RNA

quantification of the samples was performed by capillary electrophoresis using the LabChip GX

(Perkin Elmer). Sequence libraries were generated with 50 ng mRNA, using Lexogen Quantseq 3' prep

kit (Lexogen GmbH) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The obtained cDNA

fragment libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq500 using default parameters (single

read). Bioinformatics were performed on the Strand Avadis NGS (v3.0) software (Strand Life Sciences

Pvt.Ltd). Sequence quality was checked for GC content, base quality and composition using FASTQC

and StrandNGS. Quality trimmed reads were aligned to build Human Hg19 transcriptome. Ensembl

Genes and transcripts (2014.01.02) was used as gene annotation database. Quantified reads were

normalized using the DESeq package. Reads with failed vendor QC, quality score less than 24 (average), mapping quality score below 50 and length less than 20 were all filtered out.

For quantitative RT-PCR, RNA was reverse transcribed using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad) and amplified using SsoAdvanced SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) on a CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). RPL27 was used as housekeeping gene. Primer sequences are available on request.

USP7 inhibition *in vivo*

Eight to ten week old female NSG (NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid ll2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ) mice were purchased from the Centrale Dienst Proefdieren (CDP) breeding facility within the University Medical Center Groningen. Mouse experiments were performed in accordance with national and institutional guidelines, and all experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Groningen (IACUC-RuG). 24h prior to transplantations, mice were sub-lethally irradiated with a dose of 1.0 Gy (X-RAD 320 Unit, PXINC 2010). After irradiation mice received Neomycin (3.5 g/l) in their drinking water and soft food (RM Convalescence + BG SY (M); Special Diet Services; Witham, England) for two weeks. For secondary transplantations, 5×10^4 MLL-AF9 EGFP cells from primary leukemic mice (CB MLL-AF9 xenograft mouse model, [49,50]) were injected IV (lateral tail vein). Peripheral blood chimerism levels were monitored by regular blood sample analysis. Mice were randomly divided into two groups, weighted and treated with DMSO as control (n=5) or 20 mg/kg P22077 (n=6) via intraperitoneal (IP) injections daily starting four weeks post-transplant. Prior to injections, P22077 was dissolved in DMSO (or DMSO only as control) and directly mixed with Cremophor EL (1:1). This solution was then diluted 1:4 in saline, to get an end concentration of max. 10% DMSO. Mice were humanely terminated by cervical dislocation under isoflurane anesthesia when chimerism levels in the blood exceeded 40%. Peripheral blood, bone marrow, spleen and liver were analysed.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. S. Bergink and E. de Mattos for providing pCI His-Ubi plasmid and advice with

ubiquitin experiments. We thank M. Elliot for generation of KDM2B-EGFP construct. We also thank

Bart-Jan Wierenga for generation of the pRRL.SFFV.EGFP.miR-E vector. The authors thank FORMA

therapeutics (Watertown, MA, USA) for providing the FT671 compound. We would like to thank

Roelof-Jan van der Lei, Theo Bijma, Henk Moes en Geert Mesander for help with cell sorting. We

acknowledge Prof. Robert E Campbell (Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton,

Alberta, Canada) for providing the mBlueberry2 Fluorescent Protein. This work is supported by the

European Research Council (ERC-2011-StG 281474-huLSCtargeting) and the Dutch Cancer Foundation

(RUG 2014-6832).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

613   Reference List

1. Kouzarides T (2007) Chromatin modifications and their function. *Cell* **128:** 693-705

2. Simon JA, Kingston RE (2013) Occupying chromatin: Polycomb mechanisms for getting to genomic

targets, stopping transcriptional traffic, and staying put. *Mol. Cell* **49:** 808-824

3. Mas G, Di CL (2016) The role of Polycomb in stem cell genome architecture. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol* **43:**

87-95

4. Boyer LA, Plath K, Zeitlinger J, Brambrink T, Medeiros LA, Lee TI, Levine SS, Wernig M, Tajonar A,

Ray MK*, et al.* (2006) Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic

stem cells. *Nature* **441:** 349-353

5. Bracken AP, Dietrich N, Pasini D, Hansen KH, Helin K (2006) Genome-wide mapping of Polycomb

target genes unravels their roles in cell fate transitions. *Genes Dev* **20:** 1123-1136

6. Lee TI, Jenner RG, Boyer LA, Guenther MG, Levine SS, Kumar RM, Chevalier B, Johnstone SE, Cole

MF, Isono K*, et al.* (2006) Control of developmental regulators by Polycomb in human embryonic

stem cells. *Cell* **125:** 301-313

7. Bracken AP, Helin K (2009) Polycomb group proteins: navigators of lineage pathways led astray in

cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **9:** 773-784

8. Hu D, Shilatifard A (2016) Epigenetics of hematopoiesis and hematological malignancies. *Genes*

Dev **30:** 2021-2041

9. Piunti A, Shilatifard A (2016) Epigenetic balance of gene expression by Polycomb and COMPASS

families. *Science* **352:** aad9780

10. Simon JA, Kingston RE (2009) Mechanisms of polycomb gene silencing: knowns and unknowns.

Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol **10:** 697-708

11. Cao R, Wang L, Wang H, Xia L, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Jones RS, Zhang Y (2002) Role of

histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science* **298:** 1039-1043

12. Kuzmichev A, Nishioka K, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Reinberg D (2002) Histone

methyltransferase activity associated with a human multiprotein complex containing the Enhancer of

Zeste protein. *Genes Dev* **16:** 2893-2905

13. Kirmizis A, Bartley SM, Kuzmichev A, Margueron R, Reinberg D, Green R, Farnham PJ (2004)

Silencing of human polycomb target genes is associated with methylation of histone H3 Lys 27. *Genes*

Dev **18:** 1592-1605

14. Wang H, Wang L, Erdjument-Bromage H, Vidal M, Tempst P, Jones RS, Zhang Y (2004) Role of

histone H2A ubiquitination in Polycomb silencing. *Nature* **431:** 873-878

15. de Napoles M, Mermoud JE, Wakao R, Tang YA, Endoh M, Appanah R, Nesterova TB, Silva J, Otte

AP, Vidal M*, et al.* (2004) Polycomb group proteins Ring1A/B link ubiquitylation of histone H2A to

heritable gene silencing and X inactivation. *Dev. Cell* **7:** 663-676

16. Gao Z, Zhang J, Bonasio R, Strino F, Sawai A, Parisi F, Kluger Y, Reinberg D (2012) PCGF Homologs,

CBX Proteins, and RYBP Define Functionally Distinct PRC1 Family Complexes. *Mol. Cell* **45:** 344-356

17. van den Boom V, Maat H, Geugien M, Rodriguez LA, Sotoca AM, Jaques J, Brouwers-Vos AZ,

Fusetti F, Groen RW, Yuan H*, et al.* (2016) Non-canonical PRC1.1 Targets Active Genes Independent

of H3K27me3 and Is Essential for Leukemogenesis. *Cell Rep* **14:** 332-346

18. Wang R, Taylor AB, Leal BZ, Chadwell LV, Ilangovan U, Robinson AK, Schirf V, Hart PJ, Lafer EM,

Demeler B*, et al.* (2010) Polycomb group targeting through different binding partners of RING1B C-

terminal domain. *Structure* **18:** 966-975

19. Cao R, Tsukada Y, Zhang Y (2005) Role of Bmi-1 and Ring1A in H2A ubiquitylation and Hox gene

silencing. *Mol. Cell* **20:** 845-854

20. Buchwald G, van der Stoop P, Weichenrieder O, Perrakis A, van LM, Sixma TK (2006) Structure

and E3-ligase activity of the Ring-Ring complex of polycomb proteins Bmi1 and Ring1b. *EMBO J* **25:**

2465-2474

21. Elderkin S, Maertens GN, Endoh M, Mallery DL, Morrice N, Koseki H, Peters G, Brockdorff N, Hiom
K (2007) A phosphorylated form of Mel-18 targets the Ring1B histone H2A ubiquitin ligase to
chromatin. *Mol. Cell* **28:** 107-120
22. Rose NR, King HW, Blackledge NP, Fursova NA, Ember KJ, Fischer R, Kessler BM, Klose RJ (2016)
RYBP stimulates PRC1 to shape chromatin-based communication between Polycomb repressive
complexes. *Elife* **5:**
23. van den Boom V, Rozenveld-Geugien M, Bonardi F, Malanga D, van GD, Heijink AM, Viglietto G,
Morrone G, Fusetti F, Vellenga E*, et al.* (2013) Non-redundant and locus-specific gene repression
functions of PRC1 paralog family members in human hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. *Blood*
121: 2452-2461
24. Morey L, Santanach A, Blanco E, Aloia L, Nora EP, Bruneau BG, Di CL (2015) Polycomb Regulates
Mesoderm Cell Fate-Specification in Embryonic Stem Cells through Activation and Repression
Mechanisms. *Cell Stem Cell* **17:** 300-315
25. Gearhart MD, Corcoran CM, Wamstad JA, Bardwell VJ (2006) Polycomb group and SCF ubiquitin
ligases are found in a novel BCOR complex that is recruited to BCL6 targets. *Mol. Cell Biol* **26:** 6880-
6889
26. Sanchez C, Sanchez I, Demmers JA, Rodriguez P, Strouboulis J, Vidal M (2007) Proteomics analysis
of Ring1B/Rnf2 interactors identifies a novel complex with the Fbxl10/Jhdm1B histone demethylase
and the Bcl6 interacting corepressor. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* **6:** 820-834
27. He J, Nguyen AT, Zhang Y (2011) KDM2b/JHDM1b, an H3K36me2-specific demethylase, is
required for initiation and maintenance of acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **117:** 3869-3880
28. Andricovich J, Kai Y, Peng W, Foudi A, Tzatsos A (2016) Histone demethylase KDM2B regulates
lineage commitment in normal and malignant hematopoiesis. *J. Clin. Invest*, 84014
[pii];10.1172/JCI84014 [doi]
29. Ueda T, Nagamachi A, Takubo K, Yamasaki N, Matsui H, Kanai A, Nakata Y, Ikeda K, Konuma T,
Oda H*, et al.* (2015) Fbxl10 overexpression in murine hematopoietic stem cells induces leukemia
involving metabolic activation and upregulation of Nsg2. *Blood*, blood-2014-03-562694
[pii];10.1182/blood-2014-03-562694 [doi]
30. Kottakis F, Foltopoulou P, Sanidas I, Keller P, Wronski A, Dake BT, Ezell SA, Shen Z, Naber SP,
Hinds PW*, et al.* (2014) NDY1/KDM2B Functions as a Master Regulator of Polycomb Complexes and
Controls Self-Renewal of Breast Cancer Stem Cells. *Cancer Res* **74:** 3935-3946
31. Farcas AM, Blackledge NP, Sudbery I, Long HK, McGouran JF, Rose NR, Lee S, Sims D, Cerase A,
Sheahan TW*, et al.* (2012) KDM2B links the Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) to recognition of
CpG islands. *Elife* **1:** e00205
32. He J, Shen L, Wan M, Taranova O, Wu H, Zhang Y (2013) Kdm2b maintains murine embryonic
stem cell status by recruiting PRC1 complex to CpG islands of developmental genes. *Nat. Cell Biol* **15:**
373-384
33. Blackledge NP, Farcas AM, Kondo T, King HW, McGouran JF, Hanssen LL, Ito S, Cooper S, Kondo K,
Koseki Y*, et al.* (2014) Variant PRC1 complex-dependent H2A ubiquitylation drives PRC2 recruitment
and polycomb domain formation. *Cell* **157:** 1445-1459
34. Wu X, Johansen JV, Helin K (2013) Fbxl10/Kdm2b Recruits Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 to CpG
Islands and Regulates H2A Ubiquitylation. *Mol. Cell* **49:** 1134-1146
35. Wong SJ, Gearhart MD, Taylor AB, Nanyes DR, Ha DJ, Robinson AK, Artigas JA, Lee OJ, Demeler B,
Hart PJ*, et al.* (2016) KDM2B Recruitment of the Polycomb Group Complex, PRC1.1, Requires
Cooperation between PCGF1 and BCORL1. *Structure*, S0969-2126(16)30222-2
[pii];10.1016/j.str.2016.07.011 [doi]
36. Junco SE, Wang R, Gaipa JC, Taylor AB, Schirf V, Gearhart MD, Bardwell VJ, Demeler B, Hart PJ,
Kim CA (2013) Structure of the Polycomb Group Protein PCGF1 in Complex with BCOR Reveals Basis
for Binding Selectivity of PCGF Homologs. *Structure*, S0969-2126(13)00053-1
[pii];10.1016/j.str.2013.02.013 [doi]
37. Francis NJ, Kingston RE, Woodcock CL (2004) Chromatin compaction by a polycomb group protein
complex. *Science* **306:** 1574-1577

38. Muller J, Verrijzer P (2009) Biochemical mechanisms of gene regulation by polycomb group
protein complexes. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **19:** 150-158
39. Tzatsos A, Pfau R, Kampranis SC, Tsichlis PN (2009) Ndy1/KDM2B immortalizes mouse embryonic
fibroblasts by repressing the Ink4a/Arf locus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **106:** 2641-2646
40. Boulard M, Edwards JR, Bestor TH (2015) FBXL10 protects Polycomb-bound genes from
hypermethylation. *Nat. Genet* **47:** 479-485
41. Tavares Λ, Dimitrova E, Oxley D, Webster J, Poot R, Demmers J, Bezstarosti K, Taylor S, Ura H,
Koide H*, et al.* (2012) RYBP-PRC1 Complexes Mediate H2A Ubiquitylation at Polycomb Target Sites
Independently of PRC2 and H3K27me3. *Cell*,
42. Liang G, He J, Zhang Y (2012) Kdm2b promotes induced pluripotent stem cell generation by
facilitating gene activation early in reprogramming. *Nat. Cell Biol* **14:** 457-466
43. Engelen E, Brandsma JH, Moen MJ, Signorile L, Dekkers DH, Demmers J, Kockx CE, Ozgur Z, van
IJcken WF, van den Berg DL*, et al.* (2015) Proteins that bind regulatory regions identified by histone
modification chromatin immunoprecipitations and mass spectrometry. *Nat. Commun* **6:** 7155
44. Leznicki P, Kulathu Y (2017) Mechanisms of regulation and diversification of deubiquitylating
enzyme function. *J Cell Sci* **130:** 1997-2006
45. Tian X, Isamiddinova NS, Peroutka RJ, Goldenberg SJ, Mattern MR, Nicholson B, Leach C (2011)
Characterization of selective ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like protease inhibitors using a fluorescence-
based multiplex assay format. *Assay Drug Dev Technol* **9:** 165-173
46. Altun M, Kramer HB, Willems LI, McDermott JL, Leach CA, Goldenberg SJ, Kumar KG, Konietzny R,
Fischer R, Kogan E*, et al.* (2011) Activity-based chemical proteomics accelerates inhibitor
development for deubiquitylating enzymes. *Chem Biol* **18:** 1401-1412
47. Turnbull AP, Ioannidis S, Krajewski WW, Pinto-Fernandez A, Heride C, Martin ACL, Tonkin LM,
Townsend EC, Buker SM, Lancia DR*, et al.* (2017) Molecular basis of USP7 inhibition by selective
small-molecule inhibitors. *Nature*, 10.1038/nature24451
48. Li M, Brooks CL, Kon N, Gu W (2004) A dynamic role of HAUSP in the p53-Mdm2 pathway. *Mol
Cell* **13:** 879-886
49. Horton SJ, Jaques J, Woolthuis C, van DJ, Mesuraca M, Huls G, Morrone G, Vellenga E, Schuringa
JJ (2013) MLL-AF9-mediated immortalization of human hematopoietic cells along different lineages
changes during ontogeny. *Leukemia* **27:** 1116-1126
50. Sontakke P, Carretta M, Jaques J, Brouwers-Vos AZ, Lubbers-Aalders L, Yuan H, de Bruijn JD,
Martens AC, Vellenga E, Groen RW*, et al.* (2016) Modeling BCR-ABL and MLL-AF9 leukemia in a
human bone marrow-like scaffold-based xenograft model. *Leukemia* **30:** 2064-2073
51. Karjalainen R, Pemovska T, Popa M, Liu M, Javarappa KK, Majumder MM, Yadav B, Tamborero D,
Tang J, Bychkov D*, et al.* (2017) JAK1/2 and BCL2 inhibitors synergize to counteract bone marrow
stromal cell-induced protection of AML. *Blood* **130:** 789-802
52. Greim H, Kaden DA, Larson RA, Palermo CM, Rice JM, Ross D, Snyder R (2014) The bone marrow
niche, stem cells, and leukemia: impact of drugs, chemicals, and the environment. *Ann. N. Y. Acad.
Sci* **1310:** 7-31
53. Parmar A, Marz S, Rushton S, Holzwarth C, Lind K, Kayser S, Dohner K, Peschel C, Oostendorp RA,
Gotze KS (2011) Stromal niche cells protect early leukemic FLT3-ITD+ progenitor cells against first-
generation FLT3 tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Cancer Res* **71:** 4696-4706
54. Taherbhoy AM, Huang OW, Cochran AG (2015) BMI1-RING1B is an autoinhibited RING E3
ubiquitin ligase. *Nat. Commun* **6:** 7621
55. Endoh M, Endo TA, Endoh T, Fujimura Y, Ohara O, Toyoda T, Otte AP, Okano M, Brockdorff N,
Vidal M*, et al.* (2008) Polycomb group proteins Ring1A/B are functionally linked to the core
transcriptional regulatory circuitry to maintain ES cell identity. *Development* **135:** 1513-1524
56. Dou H, Buetow L, Sibbet GJ, Cameron K, Huang DT (2012) BIRC7-E2 ubiquitin conjugate structure
reveals the mechanism of ubiquitin transfer by a RING dimer. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19:** 876-883
57. Lecona E, Narendra V, Reinberg D (2015) USP7 COOPERATES WITH SCML2 TO REGULATE THE
ACTIVITY OF PRC1. *Mol. Cell Biol*, MCB.01197-14 [pii];10.1128/MCB.01197-14 [doi]

58. Maertens GN, El Messaoudi-Aubert S, Elderkin S, Hiom K, Peters G (2010) Ubiquitin-specific
proteases 7 and 11 modulate Polycomb regulation of the INK4a tumour suppressor. *Embo j* **29:** 2553-
2565
59. Ning B, Zhao W, Qian C, Liu P, Li Q, Li W, Wang RF (2017) USP26 functions as a negative regulator
of cellular reprogramming by stabilising PRC1 complex components. *Nat. Commun* **8:** 349
60. de BP, Zaaroor-Regev D, Ciechanover A (2010) Regulation of the Polycomb protein RING1B
ubiquitination by USP7. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* **400:** 389-395
61. Ben-Saadon R, Zaaroor D, Ziv T, Ciechanover A (2006) The polycomb protein Ring1B generates
self atypical mixed ubiquitin chains required for its in vitro histone H2A ligase activity. *Mol Cell* **24:**
701-711
62. van der Knaap JA, Kumar BR, Moshkin YM, Langenberg K, Krijgsveld J, Heck AJ, Karch F, Verrijzer
CP (2005) GMP synthetase stimulates histone H2B deubiquitylation by the epigenetic silencer USP7.
Mol Cell **17:** 695-707
63. Sarkari F, Sanchez-Alcaraz T, Wang S, Holowaty MN, Sheng Y, Frappier L (2009) EBNA1-mediated
recruitment of a histone H2B deubiquitylating complex to the Epstein-Barr virus latent origin of DNA
replication. *PLoS Pathog* **5:** e1000624
64. Lee JH, Skalnik DG (2005) CpG-binding protein (CXXC finger protein 1) is a component of the
mammalian Set1 histone H3-Lys4 methyltransferase complex, the analogue of the yeast
Set1/COMPASS complex. *J Biol Chem* **280:** 41725-41731
65. Antonelli A, Noort WA, Jaques J, de BB, de Jong-Korlaar R, Brouwers-Vos AZ, Lubbers-Aalders L,
van Velzen JF, Bloem AC, Yuan H*, et al.* (2016) Establishing human leukemia xenograft mouse models
by implanting human bone marrow-like scaffold-based niches. *Blood* **128:** 2949-2959
66. Kategaya L, Di Lello P, Rouge L, Pastor R, Clark KR, Drummond J, Kleinheinz T, Lin E, Upton JP,
Prakash S*, et al.* (2017) USP7 small-molecule inhibitors interfere with ubiquitin binding. *Nature*,
10.1038/nature24006
67. Carra G, Panuzzo C, Torti D, Parvis G, Crivellaro S, Familiari U, Volante M, Morena D, Lingua MF,
Brancaccio M*, et al.* (2017) Therapeutic inhibition of USP7-PTEN network in chronic lymphocytic
leukemia: a strategy to overcome TP53 mutated/deleted clones. *Oncotarget* **8:** 35508-35522
68. Felle M, Joppien S, Nemeth A, Diermeier S, Thalhammer V, Dobner T, Kremmer E, Kappler R,
Langst G (2011) The USP7/Dnmt1 complex stimulates the DNA methylation activity of Dnmt1 and
regulates the stability of UHRF1. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39:** 8355-8365
69. van der Horst A, de Vries-Smits AM, Brenkman AB, van Triest MH, van den Broek N, Colland F,
Maurice MM, Burgering BM (2006) FOXO4 transcriptional activity is regulated by monoubiquitination
and USP7/HAUSP. *Nature cell biology* **8:** 1064-1073
70. Fan YH, Cheng J, Vasudevan SA, Dou J, Zhang H, Patel RH, Ma IT, Rojas Y, Zhao Y, Yu Y*, et al.*
(2013) USP7 inhibitor P22077 inhibits neuroblastoma growth via inducing p53-mediated apoptosis.
Cell Death Dis **4:** e867
71. Ye M, Tang Y, Tang S, Liu J, Wu K, Yao S, Sun Y, Zhou L, Deng T, Chen Y*, et al.* (2015) STIP is a
critical nuclear scaffolding protein linking USP7 to p53-Mdm2 pathway regulation. *Oncotarget* **6:**
34718-34731
72. Colland F, Formstecher E, Jacq X, Reverdy C, Planquette C, Conrath S, Trouplin V, Bianchi J,
Aushev VN, Camonis J*, et al.* (2009) Small-molecule inhibitor of USP7/HAUSP ubiquitin protease
stabilizes and activates p53 in cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* **8:** 2286-2295
73. Hu M, Gu L, Li M, Jeffrey PD, Gu W, Shi Y (2006) Structural basis of competitive recognition of p53
and MDM2 by HAUSP/USP7: implications for the regulation of the p53-MDM2 pathway. *PLoS Biol* **4:**
e27
74. Kon N, Kobayashi Y, Li M, Brooks CL, Ludwig T, Gu W (2010) Inactivation of HAUSP in vivo
modulates p53 function. *Oncogene* **29:** 1270-1279
75. Tavana O, Li D, Dai C, Lopez G, Banerjee D, Kon N, Chen C, Califano A, Yamashiro DJ, Sun H*, et al.*
(2016) HAUSP deubiquitinates and stabilizes N-Myc in neuroblastoma. *Nat Med* **22:** 1180-1186
76. Cox J, Mann M (2008) MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-
range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol* **26:** 1367-1372

77. Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, Mann M, Cox J (2016) The Perseus
computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. *Nat. Methods* **13:** 731-740
78. Fellmann C, Hoffmann T, Sridhar V, Hopfgartner B, Muhar M, Roth M, Lai DY, Barbosa IA, Kwon
JS, Guan Y*, et al.* (2013) An Optimized microRNA Backbone for Effective Single-Copy RNAi. *Cell Rep* **5:**
1704-1713
79. van Gosliga D, Schepers H, Rizo A, van der Kolk D, Vellenga E, Schuringa JJ (2007) Establishing
long-term cultures with self-renewing acute myeloid leukemia stem/progenitor cells. *Exp. Hematol*
35: 1538-1549

Figure legends

Figure 1. The deubiquitinase USP7 interacts with non-canonical PRC1.1 proteins

(A) Visualization of KDM2B interactome using Cytoscape, categorized by Molecular Function as

annotated by DAVID.

(B) Gene Ontology analysis of KDM2B interactome shown as Biological Process.

(C) Schematic representation of the non-canonical PRC1.1 complex, including USP7. PRC1.1

preferentially binds to non-methylated CpG islands via the ZF-CxxC domain of KDM2B. The

ubiquitination of histone H2A on lysine 119 is mediated by RING1A/B. PRC1.1 can be recruited to

active genes in leukemic cells, indicated by H3K4me3.

(D) Identification of USP7 and other PRC1.1 proteins by LC-MS/MS in KDM2B-EGFP, PCGF1-EGFP and

EGFP-RING1B pull outs from nuclear extracts in K562 cells. The numbers indicate MS/MS counts for

each interacting protein corrected for expected peptides based on *in silico* digests (x10).

(E) Validation of USP7 and RING1B interactions as analysed by Western blot in canonical PRC1

(PCGF2/PCGF4/CBX2/RING1B) and non-canonical PRC1.1 (PCGF1/RING1B) pull outs. Input (I), non-

bound (NB) and bound (B) fractions are shown.

Figure 2. USP7 deubiquitinase activity is essential for PRC1.1 integrity

(A) Purification of his-tagged ubiquitinated proteins under denaturing conditions in EGFP-RING1B and

PCGF1-EGFP cells treated with DMSO (-) or P22077 (+) for 24h followed by Western blot analysis.

(B) Label-free quantification (LFQ) intensities of EGFP pull outs on EGFP-RING1B (left) and PCGF1-

EGFP (right) interactions, performed in technical replicates in control (DMSO, 0.1%) and USP7i

treated cells are illustrated as Volcano plot. The fold change difference (log2) of the EGFP pull out in

control versus USP7i is plotted against the –log10 t-test p-value (y-axis). The bait protein

(RING1B/PCGF1) is highlighted in green, PRC1.1 proteins in orange and UBB protein in purple.

(C) Intensity based absolute quantification (iBAQ) values for several identified PRC1.1 proteins are

shown in USP7i/control EGFP pull outs relative to RING1B (left) and PCGF1 (right). Data are shown as

mean ± SD (n=2 or 3).

(D) Western blot of EGFP pull outs on PCGF1-EGFP and EGFP-RING1B in the absence (-) or presence

(+) of P22077 for 72h probed with antibodies for EGFP, RING1B and PCGF1. Input (I), non-bound (NB)

and bound (B) fractions are shown.

(E) Mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) analysis of EGFP-RING1B and PCGF1-EGFP K562 cells in control

or USP7i treated cells at 72h.

Figure 3. Loss of PRC1.1 occupancy and H2AK119ub at target loci upon USP7 inhibition

(A) ChIP-qPCRs on control or 72h P22077 (USP7i) treated K562 cells for endogenous KDM2B, PCGF1-

EGFP, EGFP-RING1B (B) H2AK119ub and (C) H3K4me3 on several PRC1.1 loci previously identified by

ChIP-seq. Error bars represent SD of technical qPCR replicates.

(D) ChIP-qPCRs on control or USP7i treated K562 cells for 4h/8h/16h (◥) for H2AK119ub, KDM2B-

EGFP, EGFP-RING1B and (E) H3K27ac on four PRC1.1 loci. Error bars represent SD of technical qPCR

replicates.

(F) ChIP-qPCRs on control or 24h FT671 (10 μM) treated K562 cells for endogenous KDM2B and

H2AK119ub. Error bars represent SD of technical qPCR replicates.

Figure 4. USP7 inhibition leads to downregulation of a subset of PRC1.1 active target genes

(A) Venn diagram showing overlap of genes downregulated by more than two fold after 24h of USP7

inhibition with previously identified PRC1.1 target genes. Gene Ontology analysis of downregulated

genes identified as PRC1.1 targets (73) or Polycomb independent targets (224) shown as Biological

Process.

(B) ChIP-seq profiles of TOP2B, SIN3A, CHD1 and MYC as representative examples for PRC1.1 target

genes (73). Our ChIP-seq tracks are shown for GFP (control), H2AK119ub, H3K27me3, PCGF1, PCGF2,

PCGF4, CBX2, RING1A, RING1B and KDM2B. ChIP-seq tracks for H3K4me3, H3K36me3, RNAPII and

H3K27ac were downloaded from ENCODE/Broad.

(C) ChIP-qPCRs in control or 72h USP7i for KDM2B, PCGF1-EGFP, EGFP-RING1B and H2AK119ub or

16h USP7i for H3K4me3 and H3K27ac. Error bars represent SD of technical qPCR replicates.

(D) Validation of relative gene expression levels of PRC1.1 target genes by qRT-PCR in control and

P22077 treated cells (24h). Data are represented as mean ± SD.

Figure 5: Sensitiviy of primary AMLs towards USP7 inhibition

(A) Cumulative cell growth of various AML cell lines in control (DMSO) or P22077 treated (5, 10 and

20 µM) conditions.

(B) Cumulative cell growth of various AML cell lines exposed to different concentrations of the USP7

inhibitor FT671, performed in duplicate.

(C) Cumulative cell growth of primary AML patient cells (n=3) grown on MS5 co-culture treated with

DMSO (control) or P22077 (20 µM) performed in duplicate.

(D) Analysis of both cycling and quiescent AML cells by Hoechst/PyroninY stainings within the

CD34$^+$/CD38$^+$ and CD34$^+$/CD38$^-$ populations (E) or whole population (AML3). Cells were treated with

DMSO (control) or P22077 (20 µM) for 3 days while AMLs were grown on MS5 co-culture. Absolute

cell numbers were calculated in G_0, G_1 and $S/G_2/M$ fractions.

Figure 6: Evaluation of USP7 inhibition in xenograft leukemia mouse model

(A) Experimental setup of our human CB MLL-AF9 xenograft mouse model. Here 5 x 10^4 MLL-AF9

EGFP cells from a primary leukemic mouse were IV injected into secondary recipients (n=11).

(B) Peripheral blood analysis of MLL-AF9 EGFP/CD45$^+$/CD19$^+$ cells, three weeks after injection prior to

treatment (left) and 2.5 weeks following treatment (right). Mice were treated daily with either DMSO

as control (n=5) or 20 mg/kg P22077 (n=6).

(C) Peripheral blood chimerism levels of control and P22077 (20 mg/kg) treated mice over the course

of the experiment. Treatment was started at day 28 (4 weeks post-transplant) indicated with the

arrow.

(D) Kaplan-Meier curve showing the survival of DMSO (n=5) and P22077 (n=6) treated mice.

Statistical analysis was performed using a log-rank test.

(E) Cumulative cell growth of CB MLL-AF9 cells grown under myeloid permissive conditions in liquid

and co-culture on MS5, treated with DMSO (control) or P22077 (15 and 20 μM). Error bars represent

standard deviation of two independent experiments.

(F) ChIP-qPCR for KDM2B in CB MLL-AF9 cells treated with DMSO or P22077 (48h) under either liquid

culture or MS5 co-culture conditions. Error bars represent SD of technical qPCR replicates.

Expanded View Figure legends

Figure EV1: Stability of protein expression upon USP7 inhibition

(A) Mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) analysis of EGFP-RING1B and PCGF1-EGFP K562 cells in control

or USP7i treated cells for 4 days and (B) of KDM2B-EGFP K562 cells in control or USP7i treated cells

for 3 days.

Figure EV2: Evaluation of USP7 knockdown on KDM2B binding

(A) USP7 knockdown efficiencies validated by qPCR, 3 days after transductions in K562 cells.

(B) KDM2B ChIP in K562 miR-E SCR and miR-E USP7#A and #B transduced cells, 3 days after

transduction. qPCRs were performed on several PRC1.1 target loci. Error bars represent SD of

technical qPCR replicates.

Figure EV3: Sensitivity of lymphoid MLL-AF9 cells grown on MS5 for P22077

(A) Lymphoid MLL-AF9 (GFP) co-culture on murine stromal cells treated with DMSO or P22077 (20

µM), day 6. Lymphoid MLL-AF9 cells typically form cobblestone areas (left). Absolute cell numbers

corrected for human CD45$^+$ and GFP$^+$ are shown 10 days upon DMSO or P22077 (20 µm) treatment

(right).

Fig 1

A

DNA binding

Helicase activity

Chromatin binding

RNA polymerase I activity

PRC1.1

Protein binding

RNA binding

B

KDM2B interactome GO Biological Process

rRNA processing (106)	
mRNA splicing, via spliceosome (83)	
translation (72)	
mRNA processing (38)	
mRNA export from nucleus (25)	
positive regulation of gene expression, epigenetic (13)	
histone H2A monoubiquitination (7)	
DNA damage response, detection of DNA damage (9)	

1.00E-120   1.00E-80   1.00E-40   1.00E+00

p-value

C

non-canonical PRC1.1

BCOR
BCORL1
RYBP/YAF2
USP7
SKP1
PCGF1
RING1A/B
KDM2B

▲ H2AK119ub
▼ H3K4me3
⚲ unmethylated CpG

CpG

D

	KDM2B	PCGF1	RING1B
USP7	25	30	19
PCGF1	40	91	25
BCOR	12	19	11
BCORL1	9	13	13
KDM2B	131	19	16
SKP1	98	10	4
RYBP	10	19	11
YAF2	9	19	25
RING1A	35	84	0
RING1B	18	39	166

MS/MS counts corrected (x10)

E

GFP	PCGF1-GFP	PCGF2-GFP	PCGF4-GFP	GFP-RING1B	GFP-CBX2
I NB B	I NB B	I NB B	I NB B	I NB B	I NB B

IB: USP7

◄ GFP-RING1B

IB: RING1B

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

A

RNA-seq >2 DOWN USP7i

2455

ChIP-seq PRC1.1 peaks

PRC1.1 independent (224) DOWN GO Biological Process

mRNA splicing, via spliceosome (9)
protein folding (7)
proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process (6)
protein metabolic process (2)
extrinsic apoptotic signaling pathway via death domain receptors (3)
protein autoubiquitination (3)
protein polyubiquitination (5)
nucleosome assembly (4)

1.00E-05   1.00E-02

p-value

PRC1.1 dependent (73) DOWN GO Biological Process

negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter (13)
transcription, DNA-templated (18)
regulation of gene expression (4)
covalent chromatin modification (3)
histone H3 deacetylation (2)

1.00E-05   1.00E-02

p-value

B

GFP
H2AK119ub
H3K27me3
PCGF1
PCGF2
PCGF4
CXB2
RING1A
RING1B
KDM2B
H3K4me3
H3K36me3
RNAPII
H3K27ac

TOP2B   SIN3A   CHD1   MYC

C

KDM2B   PCGF1   RING1B
H2AK119ub   H3K4me3   H3K27ac

D

Fig 5

Fig 6

Fig EV1

A

B

Fig EV2

Fig EV3

A

lymphoid MLL–AF9 co-culture

DMSO

P22077 (20 μM)

Abs. cell number

6.10⁵
5.10⁵
4.10⁵
3.10⁵
2.10⁵
1.10⁵
0

DMSO

P22077 (20 μM)