Deficiency of global genome nucleotide excision repair explains mutational signature observed in cancer

Myrthe Jager[1,3]

Francis Blokzijl[1,3]

Ewart Kuijk[1]

Maria Vougioukalaki[2]

Roel Janssen[1]

Nicolle Besselink[1]

Sander Boymans[1]

Joep de Ligt[1]

Jan Hoeijmakers[2]

Joris Pothof[2]

Ruben van Boxtel[1,‡,*]

Edwin Cuppen[1,*]

[1]Center for Molecular Medicine, Cancer Genomics Netherlands, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands

[2]Erasmus Medical Center, Wytemaweg 80, 3015 CN Rotterdam, The Netherlands

[3]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[‡]Present address: Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, 3584 CT Utrecht, The Netherlands

[*]Corresponding authors: R.vanBoxtel@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl, ecuppen@umcutrecht.nl

Running title: GG-NER-deficiency explains mutational signature

Keywords: ERCC1, XPC, nucleotide excision repair, NER, adult stem cell, liver, small intestine, organoids, mutational signatures, Signature 8, cancer, progeria, CRISPR-Cas

ABSTRACT

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is one of the main DNA repair pathways that protect cells

against genomic damage. Deficiency in this pathway can contribute to the development of

cancer and accelerate aging. In addition, NER-deficiency is an important determinant for

cancer treatment outcome, as NER-deficient tumors are sensitive to cisplatin treatment.

Non-silent mutations in the NER-gene *ERCC2* were previously associated with a specific

mutational footprint (Signature 5), potentially providing a functional readout for NER-

deficiency. However, not all NER-deficient tumors are characterized by a high Signature 5

contribution, illustrating the importance to further characterize the mutational consequences

of NER-deficiency. Here, we analyzed the somatic mutational profiles of adult stem cells

(ASCs) from NER-deficient progeroid $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice, using whole-genome sequencing

analysis of clonally derived organoids. Our results indicate that NER-deficiency increases

the point mutation load in liver, but not in small intestinal ASCs, which coincides with a

tissue-specific aging-pathology observed in these mice. More specifically, this increase can

be largely explained by Signature 8, a mutational footprint observed in human cancer with as

yet unknown etiology. The genomic distribution of the acquired point mutations indicates that

deficiency of global-genome NER (GG-NER), rather than transcription-coupled NER (TC-

NER), is responsible for the accumulated mutations. We independently confirmed the link

between Signature 8 and GG-NER-deficiency through mutational analysis of a human

organoid culture that was deleted for *XPC* using CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing. Elevated levels

of Signature 8 may, therefore, serve as a novel biomarker for GG-NER-deficient tumors and

could improve personalized cancer treatment strategies.

INTRODUCTION

The genome is continuously exposed to DNA-damaging agents, which ultimately can result

in accumulation of mutations or, alternatively, the induction of senescence or cell death. To

counteract mutagenic processes, cells exploit multiple DNA repair pathways that each repair

specific lesions. Deficiency of these DNA repair pathways can contribute to cancer,

accelerate aging, or both (Hoeijmakers 2009). To increase insight into the cellular processes

that underlie mutation accumulation, including the activity of DNA repair pathways, mutation

loads and types can be characterized (Alexandrov et al. 2013; Nik-Zainal et al. 2016). To

date, systematic analyses of tumor genomes have revealed 30 signatures of point mutations

and 6 rearrangement signatures of mutational processes in cancer genomes (Alexandrov et

al. 2013; Nik-Zainal et al. 2016). These mutational signatures may have important diagnostic

value. For example, several signatures are associated with BRCA1/2 inactivity and can

consequently be predictive for a potentially beneficial response to PARP inhibition or

cisplatin treatment (Waddell et al. 2015; Davies et al. 2017).

Although for some signatures the underlying molecular process (Kim et al. 2016;

Alexandrov et al. 2013, 2016) and/or involved DNA repair pathway (Kim et al. 2016; Davies

et al. 2017; Alexandrov et al. 2013) is known, in-depth mechanistic insight is still lacking for

the majority of the mutational signatures. Efforts to link mutational processes to specific

signatures have mainly focused on associating mutation data from tumors to mutagen

exposure and DNA repair-deficiency. However, tumors are genomically highly unstable and

typically multiple mutational processes are active simultaneously and/or consecutively

(Alexandrov et al. 2013; Nik-Zainal et al. 2016), which hampers the identification of the

underlying processes that cause specific mutational signatures. Tissue-specific adult stem

cells (ASCs), on the other hand, maintain a highly stable genome during life (Blokzijl et al.

2016a). In addition, ASCs provide a relevant cell source to study mutational patterns, as

these cells are the cell-of-origin for specific types of cancer (Barker et al. 2009; Zhu et al.

2016; Adams et al. 2015). Moreover, DNA damage in the genomes of ASCs is suggested to

contribute to the depletion of ASCs in tissues, which is one of the hallmarks of aging (López-

Otín et al. 2013; Rossi et al. 2008; Hoeijmakers 2009). We recently developed a protocol for

studying the mutational consequences of mutagen exposure or inactivation of DNA repair

components in non-cancerous ASCs, by combining organoid culturing technology with

whole-genome sequencing (WGS) (M Jager, F Blokzijl, V Sasselli, S Boymans, R Janssen,

N Besselink, H Clevers, R van Boxtel and E Cuppen, in press).

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is one of the main cellular DNA repair pathways

(Iyama and Wilson 2013). Within NER, two subpathways are recognized: global-genome

NER (GG-NER), which repairs bulky helix-distorting lesions throughout the genome, and

transcription-coupled NER (TC-NER), which resolves RNA polymerase blocking lesions

during transcription (Iyama and Wilson 2013; Marteijn et al. 2014; Hoeijmakers 2009).

Identification of NER-deficient tumors has great clinical potential, as these tumors show an

increased response to chemotherapeutic agents inducing bulky chemical adducts, distorting

helical structure and disrupting base-pairing, such as cisplatin treatment (Stubbert et al.

2010; Amable 2016; Van Allen et al. 2014; Olaussen et al. 2006; Li et al. 2000). Somatic

mutations in *ERCC2*, a key factor of NER, were recently associated with Signature 5 in

urothelial tumors (Kim et al. 2016). However, NER has been suggested to underlie multiple

mutational signatures, based on large-scale tumor mutation analyses (Alexandrov et al.

2013). Indeed, not all NER-deficient tumors are characterized by a high Signature 5

contribution (Kim et al. 2016). It is, therefore, important to determine which other mutational

signatures present in human cancer reflect NER-deficiency.

To determine the mutational consequences of NER-deficiency *in vivo*, we used

Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$ mice. ERCC1, which forms a complex with XPF, plays an essential role in the core

NER-reaction involving both GG-NER and TC-NER (Kirschner and Melton 2010; Iyama and

Wilson 2013; Sijbers et al. 1996a; Aboussekhra et al. 1995), crosslink repair (Rahn et al.

2010) and single strand annealing (SSA) of double strand breaks (Al-Minawi et al. 2008).

ERCC1 is mutated in ~4.5% of all human tumors, especially skin and liver cancer

(http://dcc.icgc.org), and single nucleotide polymorphisms in *ERCC1* have been linked to an

increased risk of developing colorectal cancer (Ni et al. 2014). Germline mutations in *ERCC1*

are extremely rare and have only been observed sporadically in humans. These patients

develop a severe form of Cockayne syndrome, a progeroid-like disease, called cerebro-

oculo-facial-skeletal syndrome (COFS) (Jaspers et al. 2007; Kashiyama et al. 2013).

Similar to patients with deleterious mutations in *ERCC1*, *Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$* mice have a

reduced lifespan as a result of progeroid-like symptoms. *Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$* mice can reach a maximum

age of approximately 26 weeks, which is approximately five times shorter than wild-type (WT) littermates (Dollé et al. 2011; Vermeij et al. 2016). $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice are hemizygous for a single truncated $Ercc1$ allele, encoding a protein lacking the last seven amino acids at the C-terminus, which largely corrupts protein function (Dollé et al. 2011; Weeda et al. 1997). Disruption of this domain results in reduced NER-activity (Su et al. 2012). $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ livers display various aging-like characteristics and pathology such as liver lipofuscin, hepatocellular senescence and cell death, and steatosis (Dollé et al. 2011; Gregg et al. 2012; Niedernhofer et al. 2006; Weeda et al. 1997). In contrast, other organs, such as the small intestine, do not show an obvious pathological phenotype, indicating that the consequences of loss of ERCC1 differ considerably between tissues, although the reason for this remains unclear.

Here, we exploited the organoid culture system to study the mutational consequences of NER-deficiency in mouse and human ASCs. We first determined the mutations that are acquired *in vivo* in the genomes of single ASCs in the liver and small intestine of $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice and WT littermates. Our results indicated that mutation accumulation in $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ ASCs is predominantly a result of dysfunctional GG-NER. Next, we confirmed the observed mutational consequences of GG-NER-deficiency through targeted deletion of the essential GG-NER component XPC (Iyama and Wilson 2013) in single human ASCs using the CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing technique in human organoids.

RESULTS

Loss of NER protein ERCC1 increases point mutation load in liver, but not in small intestinal mouse ASCs

To characterize the mutational consequences of NER-deficiency, we generated clonal organoid cultures from single liver and small intestinal ASCs of three 16-week-old female $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice and three female WT littermates (Fig. 1A). The tissues were harvested at the age of 16 weeks, which is the time point at which $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice generally start to die (Vermeij et al. 2016). WGS analysis of DNA isolated from the clonal organoid cultures allows for

reliable determination of the somatic mutations that were present in the original ASCs and

accumulated during life, either in presence or absence of ERCC1 (Blokzijl et al. 2016a) (M

Jager, F Blokzijl, V Sasselli, S Boymans, R Janssen, N Besselink, H Clevers, R van Boxtel

and E Cuppen, in press). Subclonal mutations acquired after the single-cell-step will only be

present in a subpopulation of the cells and are filtered out based on a low allele frequency.

We also sequenced the genomes of polyclonal biopsies from the tail of each mouse, which

served as control samples to exclude germline variants.

Figure 1. Experimental setup and tissue-specific expression of *Ercc1* in mouse ASCs. (A)

Schematic overview of the experimental setup used to determine the mutational patterns in

single ASCs from the liver and small intestine of mice. Biopsies from the liver and small

intestine of six 16-week-old female mice (three *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ mice and three WT littermates) were

cultured in bulk for ~1.5 week to enrich for ASCs. Subsequently, clonal organoids were

derived from these bulk organoid cultures and expanded for approximately 1 month, until

there were enough cells to perform both WGS and RNA sequencing. As a control sample for

filtering germline variants, a biopsy of the tail of each mouse was also subjected to WGS. (B)

Boxplots depicting normalized mRNA counts of *Ercc1* in ASC organoid cultures from liver

and small intestine of *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ mice (n = 3 and n = 3, respectively) and WT littermates (n = 3

and n = 4, respectively). Asterisks represent significant differences (P < 0.05, negative

binomial test). (C) Western blot analysis of ERCC1 in *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ and WT small intestinal and

liver mouse organoids.

To determine transcriptome profiles, we performed RNA sequencing on one clonal

organoid culture from each tissue of each mouse. *Ercc1* is significantly differentially

expressed (P < 0.05, negative binomial test) between WT and *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ in both liver and small

intestinal ASCs (Fig. 1B), confirming the anticipated effects of the *Ercc1* mutations at the

mRNA level. While there is some *Ercc1* expression in *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ ASCs, the C-terminal domain

of ERCC1 is essential in ERCC1-XPF complex formation and disruption of this interaction

reduces the stability of ERCC1 protein (Tripsianes et al. 2005; de Laat 1998; Sijbers et al.

1996b). Indeed, ERCC1 protein is not detectable by immunoblotting in *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ organoid

cultures of both tissues (Fig. 1C). No other DNA repair genes were differentially expressed

between WT and *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ ASCs (Supplemental File S1). Notably, the expression of 8 out of

9 core NER genes, including *Ercc1*, is higher in WT liver ASCs than WT small intestinal

ASCs (Supplemental Fig. S1, Supplemental Table S1).

Figure 2. Somatic mutation rates in the genomes of ASCs from liver and small intestine of WT and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice. (A) Point mutations, (B) double point mutations, (C) indels, and (D) SVs acquired per genome per week in ASCs of WT liver (n = 3), $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ liver (n = 3), WT small intestine (n = 2), and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ small intestine (n = 3). Error bars represent standard deviations. Asterisks represent significant differences ($q < 0.05$, two-sided t-test, FDR correction). n.s. : non-significant ($q \geq 0.05$, two-sided t-test, FDR correction).

Next, we performed WGS analysis on the clonally-expanded organoid cultures (Fig. 1A). We identified 4,238 somatic point mutations in the autosomal genome of 11 clonal ASC samples. Liver ASCs of WT mice acquire 19.6 ± 4.1 (mean ± standard deviation) mutations per week. This rate is similar in ASCs of the small intestine, at 16.2 ± 3.2 mutations per week, and is in line with the observation that human liver and intestinal ASCs have similar

mutation accumulation rates *in vivo* (Blokzijl et al. 2016a). Loss of ERCC1 induces a twofold

increase (46.5 ± 3.1 point mutations per week) in the genome-wide number of point

mutations in ASCs in the liver (Fig. 2A, Supplemental Fig. S2A). However, we did not

observe a different mutation rate in small intestinal ASCs of *Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$* mice (21.1 ± 5.0 point

mutations per week) compared with WT small intestinal ASCs (Fig. 2A, Supplemental Fig.

S2A). We also observe a significant increase in the number of double point mutations in liver

ASCs lacking ERCC1 ($q < 0.05$, *t*-test, FDR correction; Fig. 2B, Supplemental Fig. S2B,

Supplemental Table S2). *Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$* liver ASCs acquire 0.49 ± 0.06 double point mutations per

week, while WT liver ASCs acquire only 0.05 ± 0.04 double point mutations per week. Again,

we did not observe this difference between WT and mutant ASCs of the small intestine (0.07

± 0.10 and 0.07 ± 0.07 per week, respectively). The increased number of double point

mutations in the liver ASCs was still significant when we normalized for the total number of

point mutations ($q < 0.05$, *t*-test, FDR correction; Supplemental Fig. S2C), indicating a liver-

specific enrichment of double point mutations in *Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$* ASCs compared with WT.

In addition to the 4,238 point mutations, we identified 2,543 small insertions and

deletions (indels) and 21 larger deletions (≥100 bp) in the autosomal genome of the 11

clonal ASC samples. As opposed to the point mutations, we observed similar indel numbers

for WT and *Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$* ASCs of both tissues (Fig. 2C, Supplemental Fig. S2D). ASCs in the

small intestine and liver of the mice acquire approximately 13.7 - 18.0 indels per week,

independent of *Ercc1* mutation status. Likewise, loss of ERCC1 does not influence the

number or type of structural variations (SVs) in ASCs of the small intestine and the liver (Fig.

2D, Supplemental Fig. S2E, Supplemental Table S3). Each mouse ASCs carried 0 - 6

deletions (median length of 539 bp) after 16 weeks (Supplemental Table S3). Finally, a

genome-wide copy-number profile was generated to identify chromosomal gains and losses.

These profiles indicate that all WT and *Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$* ASCs were karyotypically stable during life

(Supplemental Fig. S3). Nevertheless, some subclonal aneuploidies were detected in a WT

as well as an *Ercc1*^{-/Δ} liver organoid sample, which are most likely culturing artefacts that

occurred *in vitro* after the clonal step irrespective of *Ercc1* mutation status.

Loss of NER protein ERCC1 induces Signature 8 mutations in mouse ASCs

To further dissect the mutational consequences of NER-deficiency, we characterized the

mutation spectra in the mouse ASCs. Irrespective of tissue-type, the mutation spectra of all

assessed ASCs are predominantly characterized by C:G > A:T mutations and C:G > T:A

mutations (Fig. 3A, Supplemental Fig. S4). Although the mutation spectra are highly similar

(Supplemental Fig. S5), we did observe some notable differences, such as an increased

contribution of T:A > A:T mutations in *Ercc1*^{-/Δ} ASCs compared with WT ASCs (Fig. 3A).

Furthermore, two subsequent nucleotides are more frequently substituted by TT or AA in

NER-deficient mouse ASCs (Supplemental Table S2). These results suggest that different

mutational processes are active in the *Ercc1*^{-/Δ} ASCs.

as well as an *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ liver organoid sample, which are most likely culturing artefacts that

occurred *in vitro* after the clonal step irrespective of *Ercc1* mutation status.

Loss of NER protein ERCC1 induces Signature 8 mutations in mouse ASCs

To further dissect the mutational consequences of NER-deficiency, we characterized the

mutation spectra in the mouse ASCs. Irrespective of tissue-type, the mutation spectra of all

assessed ASCs are predominantly characterized by C:G > A:T mutations and C:G > T:A

mutations (Fig. 3A, Supplemental Fig. S4). Although the mutation spectra are highly similar

(Supplemental Fig. S5), we did observe some notable differences, such as an increased

contribution of T:A > A:T mutations in *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ ASCs compared with WT ASCs (Fig. 3A).

Furthermore, two subsequent nucleotides are more frequently substituted by TT or AA in

NER-deficient mouse ASCs (Supplemental Table S2). These results suggest that different

mutational processes are active in the *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ ASCs.

Figure 3. Mutational patterns of point mutations acquired in the genomes of ASCs from liver and small intestine of WT and *Ercc1*^-/Δ^ mice. (A) Mean relative contribution of the indicated mutation types to the mutational spectrum for each mouse ASC group. Error bars represent standard deviations. The total number of mutations, and total number of ASCs (n) per group is indicated. (B) Optimal absolute contribution of the indicated COSMIC mutational signatures per genome to reconstruct the mutational profile of each indicated mouse ASC

group. (C) Similarity of the mutational profiles to the COSMIC mutational signatures depicted

in (B). (D) Relative contribution of each indicated context-dependent point mutation type to

mutational Signature 8 (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures). (E) Depletion of

somatic point mutations in genes, promoters, promoter-flanking regions, and enhancers for

each indicated ASC group. The log2 ratio of the number of observed and expected point

mutations indicates the effect size of the depletion in each region.

To determine if any of the known mutational signatures is associated to NER-deficiency, we

assessed the contribution of each COSMIC mutational signature

(http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures) to the mutational profiles of all ASCs. For this

analysis, we first inferred the contribution of each mutational signature and then selected

those with a contribution of at least 10% to the mutational profile of at least one of the four

ASC groups ($Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ liver, $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ small intestine, WT liver, WT small intestine; Fig. 3B,

Supplemental Fig. S6). The mutational profiles of NER-deficient liver ASCs not only closely

resemble Signature 8 (cosine similarity of 0.92; Fig. 3C, Supplemental Fig. S7), but

Signature 8 can almost fully explain the increase in point mutations in NER-deficient liver

ASCs (Fig. 3B-D). The number of Signature 8 mutations is also increased in all small

intestinal ASCs of $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice compared with WT small intestinal ASCs. This result

suggests that NER-deficiency can cause Signature 8 mutations in ASCs, regardless of

tissue-type.

Mutations are distributed non-randomly throughout the genome in cancer cells and in

human ASCs (Blokzijl et al. 2016a; Schuster-Böckler and Lehner 2012). NER is one of the

pathways that is suggested to underlie this non-random distribution of mutations (Perera et

al. 2016; Zheng et al. 2014). Firstly, NER-activity has been linked to a local enrichment of

mutations at gene promoters (Perera et al. 2016). However, we do not observe any

significant differences in the depletion of mutations in promoters, promoter-flanking, and

enhancer regions between NER-proficient and -deficient ASCs (Fig. 3E, Supplemental Fig.

S8A). Secondly, TC-NER results in a depletion of mutations in expressed genes, as this

pathway repairs lesions on the transcribed strand during transcription (Pleasance et al.

2010). Mutations are indeed depleted in genic regions of NER-proficient WT mouse ASCs,

but the depletion is not significantly different in NER-deficient ASCs (n.s., Poisson test, FDR

correction; Fig. 3E, Supplemental Fig. S8A). Moreover, the average expression levels of

genes in which the somatic mutations are located do not differ between $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ and WT

ASCs (n.s., *t*-test, FDR correction; Supplemental Fig. S8B), suggesting that $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ ASCs

do not accumulate more mutations in expressed genes. Finally, there are no obvious

changes in transcriptional strand bias, although the mutation numbers are too low to be

conclusive (Supplemental Fig. S8C). NER-deficiency thus influences both the mutation load

and mutation type, but not the genomic distribution of the observed point mutations in mouse

ASCs, suggesting that the contribution of TC-NER in the observed mutational consequences

is minimal.

Loss of GG-NER protein XPC induces Signature 8 mutations in human ASCs

To confirm the causal relationship between GG-NER-deficiency and Signature 8 and double

point mutations, we generated a human *XPC*-knockout (XPC^{KO}) using CRISPR-Cas9 gene-

editing in a small intestinal human organoid culture (Fig. 4A). After confirming absence of

XPC protein (Fig. 4B), we passaged the XPC^{KO} clones for ± 2 months to allow the ASCs to

accumulate sufficient mutations required for downstream analyses. Subsequently, we

derived subclonal cultures of single ASCs and expanded these until sufficient DNA could be

isolated for WGS. This approach allowed us to catalog the mutations that specifically

accumulated between the two clonal expansion steps in the absence of XPC (Supplemental

Fig. S9A) (Blokzijl et al. 2016a; Drost et al. 2017). As a control, WGS data of three

previously-established XPC^{WT} organoid cultures of the same human donor was used.

Similar to the $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mouse ASCs, loss of XPC in human ASCs induced an

increase in the genome-wide number of point mutations acquired per week (3-fold increase;

Fig. 4C, Supplemental Fig. S9B). In addition, the number of double point mutations acquired

per week was also increased (12-fold increase; Fig. 4D). Similar as for the $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ ASCs,

we did not observe a significant change in the genomic distribution of acquired mutations as

a result of *XPC* deletion in human ASC, nor a change in transcriptional strand bias

(Supplemental Fig. S9C-D). Importantly, ~39% of the increase in point mutations in the

XPC^KO ASCs can be explained by Signature 8 on average (Fig. 4E, Supplemental Fig. S9B),

underscoring that NER-deficiency causes Signature 8, independent of tissue-type or

species.

Figure 4. Mutational consequences of *XPC*^KO in human intestinal organoid cultures *in vitro*.

(A) Targeting strategy for the generation of *XPC*^KO organoid cultures using CRISPR-Cas9

gene-editing. (B) Western blot analysis of XPC in human *XPC*^WT and *XPC*^KO organoids. (C)

Number of point mutations, (D) double point mutations, and (E) Signature 8 mutations

acquired per genome per week in human *XPC*^WT ASCs (n = 3) and an *XPC*^KO ASC (n = 1) *in*

vitro.

DISCUSSION

We exploited the organoid culturing system, CRISPR-Cas9, WGS, and mutational signature

analyses to study the genome-wide mutational consequences of NER-deficiency in

individual ASCs of human and mice. Our results show that loss of ERCC1 induces a

significant increase in the number of both single and double point mutations in mouse liver

ASCs, but not in mouse small intestinal ASCs. Similarly, a LacZ reporter assay showed

increased point mutation frequency in livers of $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice (Dollé et al. 2006). Interestingly,

this tissue-specific mutational effect coincides with the tissue-specific pathological aging

phenotype observed in $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice (Dollé et al. 2011; Gregg et al. 2012). A possible

explanation for this tissue-specific effect is that liver ASCs might be more dependent on DNA

repair facilitated by ERCC1 compared with small intestinal ASCs, e.g. as a result of tissue-

specific mutagen exposure. In line with this, WT liver ASCs show a higher basal expression

of $Ercc1$ and other NER genes than WT small intestinal ASCs. However, the transcription

levels of DNA repair components do not necessarily reflect DNA repair-activity, due to post-

transcriptional regulation (Naipal et al. 2015). Alternatively, liver and small intestinal ASCs

might use different mechanisms to cope with unrepaired DNA damage as a result of the loss

of ERCC1, such as the utilization of alternative DNA repair mechanisms, like translesion

synthesis (TLS) polymerases to bypass polymerase-blocking lesions, or differential induction

of apoptosis or senescence.

ERCC1 is involved in multiple DNA repair pathways, including TC-NER, GG-NER,

SSA, and crosslink repair. Previously, it has been shown that SSA- and crosslink repair-

deficiencies result in increased number of indels and SVs in mice, whereas NER-deficiency

introduces point mutations (Dollé et al. 2006). Since we only observe an increase in point

mutations, NER-deficiency is likely responsible for the mutational consequences of loss of

ERCC1 in mouse liver ASCs. If TC-NER-deficiency underlies the mutation accumulation, this

would be reflected by an increase in mutations in expressed genes in $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mice.

However, in both WT and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ cells a depletion of mutations is observed in genes, and

the expression levels of genes in which the somatic mutations are located do not differ

between NER-proficient and -deficient ASCs, suggesting that the observed mutational

consequences of impaired ERCC1 is rather an effect of defective GG-NER. We

independently confirmed that GG-NER-deficiency induces an increase in both single and

double point mutations, by cataloguing mutations that are acquired in a human small

intestinal organoid culture that is deleted for GG-NER component *XPC* by CRISPR-Cas9

gene-editing. More specifically, the majority of the increase in point mutations can be

explained by an increase in Signature 8 in both systems, suggesting that perturbations of

GG-NER result in the accumulation of Signature 8 in both mouse and human ASCs.

Until now, the etiology of Signature 8 was unknown

(http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures). Signature 8 is characterized by C:G > A:T

point mutations and is associated with double point mutations (Alexandrov et al. 2013; Nik-

Zainal et al. 2016). C:G > A:T mutations have been linked to several processes, including

oxidative stress (Kamiya et al. 1995; Degtyareva et al. 2013). Interestingly, NER has been

suggested to play a role in the repair of tandem DNA lesions that result from oxidative stress

(Bergeron et al. 2010; Cadet et al. 2012). If left unrepaired, these lesions can block regular

DNA polymerases, but can be bypassed by error-prone TLS polymerases, resulting in

increased mutation incorporation (Cadet et al. 2012). Moreover, it has been shown that

oxidative stress results in increased induction of double point mutations in NER-deficient

human fibroblasts (Lee 2002). Similarly, UV- and smoking-induced tandem DNA lesions

have been linked to NER and double point mutation rates, but these mutagens are unlikely

to affect the genomes of ASCs in liver and small intestine (Helleday et al. 2014). Taken

together, an increase in double point and Signature 8 mutations could thus reflect mutation

incorporation as a result of oxidative damage in NER-deficient cells.

In human urothelial cancer, somatic mutations in *ERCC2*, a key factor in both TC-

NER and GG-NER, have been associated with a high contribution of mutational Signature 5

(Kim et al. 2016; Iyama and Wilson 2013). However, we did not observe an increase

Signature 5 contribution in ASCs without ERCC1 or XPC. This difference in mutational

consequences could reflect various differences between these systems, such as different

effects of the mutations on protein function, distinct roles of the proteins, or tumor- and/or

tissue-specific activity of mutagenic damage and/or DNA repair processes. In our study, we

deleted specific NER components in an otherwise normal genetic background, providing us

with the unique opportunity to characterize the direct mutational consequences of NER-

deficiency.

Determination of the NER-capacity of tumors can be important for precision

medicine, as it has been shown that tumors with mutations in NER genes (Stubbert et al.

2010; Van Allen et al. 2014; Amable 2016; Zhang et al. 2017), and tumors with low

expression of *ERCC1* (Olaussen et al. 2006; Li et al. 2000; Amable 2016) are sensitive to

cisplatin treatment. However, translation of these findings into the clinical setting has been

challenging, because connecting tumor biopsy mRNA levels and immunohistochemistry

measurements to NER-activity remains an unresolved issue (Bowden 2014), and

interpreting the effects of mutations in DNA repair genes on NER-capacity is challenging.

Rather than looking for the presence of causal events, mutational catalogs can be used as a

functional readout of NER-capacity in tumors. Here, we show that GG-NER-deficiency

specifically induces Signature 8 and double point mutations in both mouse and human

ASCs. Signature 8 has an overall prevalence of 2% in sequenced human tumors, is found in

medulloblastoma (Alexandrov et al. 2013) and contributes to the mutational profile of the

majority of, but not all, breast cancer tumors (Nik-Zainal et al. 2016; Alexandrov et al. 2013).

We propose that an elevated contribution of Signature 8 in combination with double point

mutations might serve as a novel biomarker for GG-NER-deficiency and has the potential to

guide treatment decision. Additional studies are required to demonstrate the predictive value

of these mutations for treatment response.

METHODS

Mouse tissue material

Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$ mice were generated and maintained as previously described (Vermeij et al., 2016).

Briefly, by crossing *Ercc1*$^{\Delta/+}$ (C57BL6J or FVB background) with *Ercc1*$^{+/-}$ mice (FVB or

C57BL6J background), *Ercc1*^{-/Δ} mice were generated in a uniform F1 C57BL6J/FVB hybrid

background. Wild type F1 littermates were used as controls. Animals were housed in

individual ventilated cages under specific pathogen-free conditions in a controlled

environment (20–22 °C, 12 h light : 12 h dark cycle). Experiments were performed in

accordance with the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care and with the guidelines approved

by the Dutch Ethical Committee in full accordance with European legislation.

We used three 16-week old female *Ercc1*^{-/Δ} mice and three female WT littermates for

our experiments. Tails were harvested and stored at -20°C. Livers and small intestines were

harvested and kept on ice in Adv+++ medium (Advanced DMEM/F-12 with 1% GlutaMAX,

HEPES 10 mM and 1% penicillin/streptomycin) for a few hours until further processing.

Human tissue material

Endoscopic biopsies were performed at the University Medical Center Utrecht and the

Wilhelmina Children's Hospital. The patients' informed consent was obtained and this study

was approved by the ethical committee of University Medical Center Utrecht.

Generation of clonal *Ercc1*^{-/Δ} and WT mouse organoid cultures

Single liver ASCs were isolated from livers as described previously (Kuijk et al. 2016). Liver

organoid cultures were initiated by culturing the liver ASCs in BME overlaid with mouse liver

culture initiation medium (50% Adv+++ medium, 35% WNT3A conditioned medium

(produced in house), 5% NOGGIN conditioned medium (produced in house), 5% RSPOI

conditioned medium (produced in house), 1x B27 without retinoic acid, 1x N2, 1x Primocin,

10mM Nicotinamide, 0.625mM N-acetylcysteine, 100ng/ml FGF-10, 10μM ROCKi, 50 ng/ml

HGF, 10nM Gastrin, and 50ng/ml hEGF). 1.5 week after culture initiation, clonal organoid

liver cultures were generated and expanded according to protocol (M Jager, F Blokzijl, V

Sasselli, S Boymans, R Janssen, N Besselink, H Clevers, R van Boxtel and E Cuppen, in

press) in mouse liver expansion medium (90% Adv+++ medium, 5% RSPOI conditioned

medium (produced in house), 1x B27 without retinoic acid, 1x N2, 1x Primocin, 10mM

Nicotinamide, 0.625mM N-acetylcysteine, 100ng/ml FGF-10, 50 ng/ml HGF, 10nM Gastrin,

and 50ng/ml hEGF).

Crypts were isolated from small intestines as described previously (Sato et al. 2009).

Small intestinal organoid cultures were initiated by culturing the small intestinal ASCs in

matrigel overlaid with mouse small intestine medium (50% WNT3A conditioned medium

(produced in house), 30% Adv+++ medium, 10% NOGGIN conditioned medium (produced in

house), 10% RSPOI conditioned medium (produced in house), 1x B27, 1x hES Cell Cloning

& Recovery Supplement, 1x Primocin, 10µM ROCKi, 1.25mM N-acetylcysteine, and 50ng/ml

hEGF). Clonal small intestinal organoid cultures were generated by picking single organoids

manually and clonally expanding these organoid cultures according to protocol in mouse

small intestine medium (M Jager, F Blokzijl, V Sasselli, S Boymans, R Janssen, N Besselink,

H Clevers, R van Boxtel and E Cuppen, in press). Culture expansion failed for the small

intestine of mouse WT1.

Generation of a clonal and subclonal *XPC*^KO organoid culture

Clonal *XPC*^KO organoid cultures were generated froma small intestinal bulk organoid culture

derived previously (Blokzijl et al. 2016a) using the CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing technique as

described in (Drost et al. 2017). One clonal human *XPC*^KO organoid culture was obtained

and cultured for 72 days in human small intestinal organoid medium (50% WNT3A

conditioned medium (produced in house), 30% Adv+++ medium, 20% RSPOI conditioned

medium (produced in house), 1x B27, 1x Primocin, 1.25mM N-acetylcysteine, 0.5µM A83-

01, 10µM SB202190, 100ng/ml recombinant Noggin, and 50ng/ml hEGF). Subsequently, a

subclonal culture was derived according to protocol (M Jager, F Blokzijl, V Sasselli, S

Boymans, R Janssen, N Besselink, H Clevers, R van Boxtel and E Cuppen, in press).

Western blot

Protein samples from mouse organoid cultures were collected in Laemmli buffer and

measured using the Qubit^tm 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the Qubit^tm

Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Q33211). Protein samples from human organoid

cultures were collected in Laemmli buffer and measured using a Lowry protein assay. 30µg

of protein per sample was run on a 10% SDS page gel. Subsequently, the proteins were

transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. After transfer, the membrane was blocked for 1

hour using 5% ELK (Campina) at room temperature and subsequently incubated overnight

with the primary antibody (ERCC1: Abcam, ab129267; XPC: Cell Signaling Technology;

#12701). Secondary antibody was incubated 1 hour at room temperature, and subsequently

proteins were visualized using the Amersham ECL Western blotting analysis system (GE

Healthcare, RPN2109) and the Amersham Imager 600 system (GE Healthcare).

RNA sequencing and differential expression analysis of *Ercc1*^{-/Δ} and WT mouse

organoid cultures

For each mouse (three *Ercc1*$^{-/Δ}$ mice and three WT littermates), we performed RNA

sequencing on one clonal organoid culture from the liver and the small intestine. An

additional small intestinal organoid clone was sequenced of mice WT2 and WT3 to increase

the amount of replicates for differential expression analysis, as culture expansion failed for

the small intestine of WT1. Total RNA was collected in TRIzol and purified from all organoid

cultures using the Qiasymphony (Qiagen). RNA libraries for Illumina sequencing were

generated from 50 ng of poly-A selected mRNA using the Neoprep (Illumina) and sequenced

2 x 75 bp paired-end to approximately 3300 Million base pairs per sample with the Illumina

NextSeq 500 at the Utrecht Sequencing Facility.

RNA sequencing reads were mapped with STAR v.2.4.2a to the mouse reference

genome GRCm38. The BAM files were sorted with Sambamba v0.5.8 and reads were

counted with HTSeq-count version 0.6.1p1 (default settings) to exons as defined in

GRCm38v70.gtf (Ensembl). Non-uniquely mapped reads were not counted. Subsequently,

DESeq v1.28.0 was used to normalize counts. DESeq nbinomTest was used to test for

differential expression (1) of *Ercc1* between *Ercc1*$^{-/Δ}$ and WT liver ASCs, (2) of *Ercc1*

between *Ercc1*$^{-/Δ}$ and WT small intestinal ASCs, (3) of 83 other DNA repair genes (Casorelli

et al. 2006) between $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ and WT liver ASCs, and (4) between $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ and WT small

intestinal ASCs, and (5) of 9 NER genes between the WT liver and WT small intestinal

ASCs. Differentially expressed genes with an adjusted P-value q < 0.05 (Benjamini-

Hochberg FDR multiple-testing correction) were considered significant.

WGS and read alignment

DNA was isolated from mouse liver organoid cultures and mouse control (tail) samples using

the genomic tip 20-G kit (Qiagen) and from mouse small intestinal organoid samples and the

human XPC^{KO} sample using the Qiasymphony (Qiagen). DNA libraries for Illumina

sequencing were generated from 200 ng genomic DNA using standard protocols (Illumina)

and sequenced 2 x 100 bp paired-end to 30X base coverage with the Illumina HiSeq Xten at

the Hartwig Medical Foundation. The sequence reads of XPC^{KO} were mapped to the

GRCh37 human reference genome using using the Burrows–Wheeler Aligner (BWA) v0.7.5a

(Li and Durbin 2009), with settings '-t 4 -c 100 -M'. The mapped data of clonal XPC^{WT}

organoids was previously generated in the study ('donor_id' 6) (Blokzijl et al. 2016a). The

sequence reads of the mouse ASCs were mapped to the GRCm38 mouse reference

genome using using the Burrows–Wheeler Aligner (BWA) v0.7.5a (Li and Durbin 2009), with

settings '-t 4 -c 100 -M'. The WGS data of the tails confirmed that the $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$mice have

compound heterozygous mutations in $Ercc1$ and the WT littermates do not (Supplemental

Fig. S10).

Callable genome

The callable genome was defined for all sequenced samples using the GATK CallableLoci

tool v3.4.46 (Van der Auwera et al. 2013) with default settings and additional optional

parameters 'minBaseQuality 10', 'minMappingQuality 10',

'maxFractionOfReadsWithLowMAPQ 20', and 'minDepth 20'. 'CALLABLE' regions were

extracted from every output file. Subsequently, genomic regions that were callable (1) in the

mouse organoid clone and the control (tail) sample, and (2) in the human organoid clone,

subclone, and control (blood) were intersected to define a genomic region that is surveyed in

all samples that were compared. Approximately 90 ± 1% of the autosomal genome was

surveyed in every mouse clone, and 73 - 88% of the autosomal genome was surveyed in

each human subclone (Supplemental Table S4).

Point mutation and indel calling

For both human and mouse samples, point mutations and indels were multi-sample called

with GATK HaplotypeCaller v3.4.46 with default settings and additional options '-

stand_call_conf 30 -stand_emit_conf 15' and GATK Queue v3.4.46. For mouse samples the

quality of the calls was assessed using GATK VariantFiltration v3.4.46 with options 'QD <

2.0, MQ < 40.0, FS > 60.0, HaplotypeScore > 13.0, MQRankSum < -12.5,

ReadPosRankSum < -8.0' for point mutations and 'QD < 2.0, FS > 200.0,

ReadPosRankSum < -20.0' for indels, with additional options 'clusterSize 3' and

'clusterWindowSize 35'. For human samples the quality of the calls was assessed using

GATK VariantFiltration v3.4.46 with options 'QD < 2.0, MQ < 40.0, FS > 60.0,

HaplotypeScore > 13.0, MQRankSum < -12.5, ReadPosRankSum < -8.0, MQ0 >= 4 &&

((MQ0 / (1.0 * DP)) > 0.1), DP < 5, QUAL < 30, QUAL >= 30.0 && QUAL < 50.0, SOR > 4.0'

for point mutations and 'QD < 2.0, FS > 200.0, ReadPosRankSum < -20.0, MQ0 >= 4 &&

((MQ0 / (1.0 * DP)) > 0.1), DP < 5, QUAL < 30.0, QUAL >= 30.0 && QUAL < 50.0, SOR >

10.0' for indels, with additional options 'clusterSize 3' and 'clusterWindowSize 10.

Point mutation filtering

To obtain high-quality catalogs of somatic point mutations, we applied a comprehensive

filtering procedure. For the mouse samples, we only considered positions on the autosomal

genome that were callable (see "Callable genome") in both the organoid and control (tail)

sample. We excluded positions at which indels were called, as these positions likely

represent false-positive point mutation calls. Furthermore, we only included positions with a

'PASS' flag by GATK VariantFiltration, a GATK phred-scaled quality score ≥ 100, a sample-

level genotype quality of 99 in the organoid culture and ≥ 10 in the control (tail) sample, and

a coverage of ≥ 20X in the organoid and the tail sample. We subsequently excluded variants

with any evidence in another organoid sample or control (tail) sample of the same mouse to

remove germline variants. To exclude potentially missed germline events, we also removed

positions that have any evidence in the organoid and/or control samples of the other mice.

Finally, we excluded positions with a variant allele frequency (VAF) < 0.3 in the organoid

sample to exclude mutations that were induced after the clonal step.

For the human samples, we only considered positions on the autosomal genome that

were callable (see "Callable genome") in the control (blood) sample, clonal organoid and

subclonal organoid culture. We considered mutations with a 'PASS' flag by GATK

VariantFiltration and a GATK phred-scaled quality score ≥ 100. For both the clonal and

subclonal organoid cultures, all variants with evidence in the control (blood) sample were

excluded, to remove germline variants. To exclude potentially missed germline events, we

removed positions that are in the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database v137.b3730, or

in a blacklist with positions that are recurrent in unmatched individuals (BED-file available

upon request). Subsequently, for both the clonal and subclonal cultures, all variants with a

VAF < 0.3 were excluded. Finally, the resulting somatic point mutation catalogs of the clonal

and subclonal cultures were compared and all events unique to the subclonal organoid were

considered to be accumulated after the *XPC* deletion, that is: between the two sequential

clonal expansion steps.

Clonality of organoid cultures

We validated whether the organoid samples were clonal based on the VAF of somatic point

mutations, before the final filter step (VAF < 0.3). Each cell acquires its own set of somatic

mutations and the reads supporting a mutation will be diluted in the WGS data of non-clonal

samples, resulting in a low VAF. After extensive filtering of somatic point mutations, liver

organoid samples from WT1, WT2, and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$2 showed a shift in the VAK-peak away from

0.5 and therefore these samples were excluded from further analyses (Supplemental Fig.

S11). An additional liver organoid culture from these mice was sequenced and these

samples were confirmed to be clonal (Supplemental Fig. S11).

Double point mutations

We selected point mutations from the filtered variant call format (VCF) files that were called

on consecutive bases in the mouse or human reference genome. The double point

mutations were subsequently manually checked in the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) to

exclude double point mutations present in the control sample, and/or with many point

mutations or indels in the region, as these are (likely) false positives.

Indel filtration of $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ and WT mouse organoid cultures

We only considered positions on the autosomal genome that were callable (see "Callable

genome") and had a sequencing depth of ≥ 20X in both the organoid sample and the control

(tail) sample. We excluded positions that overlap with a point mutation. Furthermore, we only

considered positions with a filter 'PASS' from VariantFiltration, a GATK phred-scaled quality

score > 250 and a sample-level genotype quality of 99 in both the organoid sample and the

control (tail) sample. We subsequently excluded Indels that are located within 50 base pairs

of an indel called in another organoid sample and indels with any evidence in another

organoid sample or a control (tail) sample. Finally, we excluded positions with a VAF < 0.3 in

the organoid sample.

SV calling and filtration of $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ and WT mouse organoid cultures

SVs were called with DELLY v0.7.2 with settings 'type DEL DUP INV TRA INS', 'map-qual

1', 'mad-cutoff 9', 'min-flank 13', and 'geno-qual 5' (Rausch et al. 2012). We only considered

SVs of at least 100 bp on the autosomal chromosomes that were called with a filter 'PASS',

and a sample-specific genotype quality of at least 90 in the organoid culture and the control

sample. We subsequently excluded positions with any evidence in the control (tail) sample.

The filtered SVs were finally checked manually in IGV to reduce false-positives and we

excluded SVs present in the tail sample, with no visible change in the read-depth (for

duplications and deletions), and/or with many point mutations in the region.

Genome-wide copy number profiles of _Ercc1_$^{-/\Delta}$ and WT mouse organoid cultures

To generate a virtual karyotype, genome-wide copy number states were determined using

FreeC v7.2 with settings 'ploidy 2', 'window 1000' and 'telocentromeric 50000' (Boeva et al.

2012). Subsequently, the average copy number across bins of 500,000 bp was calculated

and plotted to assess genome stability.

Quantification of the contribution of COSMIC mutational signatures to the mutational

profiles

We retrieved the point mutation types from all the filtered VCF files, converted them to the 6

types of point mutations that are distinguished by convention and generated a mutational

spectrum for four ASC groups (_Ercc1_$^{-/\Delta}$ liver, _Ercc1_$^{-/\Delta}$ small intestine, WT liver, and WT small

intestine), as well as _XPC_^{KO}, _XPC_^{WT}1, _XPC_^{WT}2, and _XPC_^{WT}3 ASCs. We retrieved the

sequence context for all point mutations to generate the mutational profiles with 96 point

mutation types. Subsequently, we estimated the contribution of the 30 COSMIC mutational

signatures (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures) to the retrieved mutational profiles.

This function determines the non-negative linear combination of signatures that best

reconstructs each mutational profile, by minimizing the Euclidean norm of the residual. Next,

we selected signatures with a contribution of at least 10% to the mutational profile of at least

one of the four ASC groups (Supplemental Fig. S6), and re-estimated the contribution of

these 7 signatures to the mutational profiles of the four ASC groups (Fig. 3B). Furthermore,

we calculated the cosine similarity of the 7 signatures to the mutational profiles of each of

the four ASC groups (Fig 3C). All mutational pattern analyses were performed with

MutationalPatterns (Blokzijl et al. 2016b).

Enrichment or depletion of point mutations in genomic regions

To test whether the point mutations appear more or less frequently than expected in genes,

promoters, promoter-flanking, and enhancer regions, we loaded the UCSC Known Genes

tables as TxDb objects for Mm10 (Team BC and Maintainer 2016) and Hg19 (Carlson and

Maintainer 2015), and the regulatory features for Mm10 and Hg19 from Ensembl using

biomaRt (Durinck et al. 2005, 2009). We tested for enrichment or depletion of point

mutations in the genomic regions per ASC group (*Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ liver, *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ small intestine, WT

liver, WT small intestine, *XPC*^{KO} and *XPC*^{WT}) using a one-sided Binomial test with

MutationalPatterns (Blokzijl et al. 2016b)**,** which corrects for the surveyed genomic areas

(Supplemental Fig. S8A, Supplemental Fig. S9C). Two-sided Poisson tests were performed

to test for significant differences in the ratio of point mutations within a genomic region

divided by the total number of point mutations between (1) mouse WT and *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ liver

ASCs, (2) mouse WT and *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ small intestinal ASCs, and (3) human *XPC*^{KO} and human

XPC^{WT} ASCs (Fig. 3E, Supplemental Fig. S8A, Supplemental Fig. S9C). Within species,

differences in mutation rates with an adjusted P-value *q* < 0.05 (Benjamini-Hochberg FDR

multiple-testing correction) were considered significant.

To test whether point mutations occur more frequently in more highly expressed

genes in the NER-deficient mouse ASCs, we first selected point mutations that occurred

within genes in the mouse ASCs. Per ASC group, we next determined the average Reads

Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads (RPKM) of these genes. Two-sided *t*-tests were

performed to test for significant difference in the average expression of genes that carry a

somatic mutation between (1) mouse WT and *Ercc1*$^{-/\Delta}$ liver ASCs, and (2) mouse WT and

Ercc1$^{-/\Delta}$ small intestinal ASCs (Supplemental Fig. S8B). Differences in gene expression

distributions with an adjusted P-value $q < 0.05$ (Benjamini-Hochberg FDR multiple-testing

correction) were considered significant.

Transcriptional strand bias of point mutations

For the point mutations within genes we determined whether the mutations are located on

the transcribed or the non-transcribed strand. To this end, we determined whether the

mutated "C" or "T" base is on the same strand as the gene definition, which is untranscribed,

or the opposite strand, which is transcribed. We generated a mutational profile per ASC

group with the relative contribution of each mutation type with separate bars for the

mutations on the transcribed and untranscribed strand, and calculated the significance of the

strand bias using a two-sided Poisson test with MutationalPatterns (Supplemental Fig. S8C,

Supplemental Fig. S9D) (Blokzijl et al. 2016b). Furthermore, we performed two-sided

Poisson tests to test whether there is a significant difference in strand bias per mutation type

between (1) mouse WT and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ liver ASCs, (2) mouse WT and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ small intestinal

ASCs, and (3) human XPC^{KO} and human XPC^{WT} ASCs (Supplemental Fig. S8C,

Supplemental Fig. S9D). Within species, differences in strand bias with an adjusted P-value

$q < 0.05$ (Benjamini-Hochberg FDR multiple-testing correction) were considered significant.

Calculation and comparison of mutation rates

To calculate the mutation rates per genome per week, we quantified the number of somatic

point mutations, double nucleotide mutations, indels, and SVs for each mouse ASC.

Moreover, we quantified the number of point mutations, double nucleotide mutations, and

Signature 8 mutations for the human ASCs. All event counts were extrapolated to the entire

autosomal genome using the callable genome length (see" Callable genome") for both

mouse and human ASCs to correct for differences in the surveyed genome (Supplemental

Table S4). Subsequently, the mutation rates were calculated by dividing the extrapolated

number of mutations by the number of weeks in which the mutations were accumulated (WT

and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ mouse organoids: 16 weeks; XPC^{WT} human organoids: 20.6 weeks; XPC^{KO}

human organoids 10.3 weeks). Two-tailed t-tests were performed to determine whether the

mutation rates differ significantly between (1) mouse WT and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ liver ASCs, and (2)

mouse WT and $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$ small intestinal ASCs. Differences in mutation rates between $Ercc1^{-/\Delta}$

and WT mouse ASCs with an adjusted P-value $q < 0.05$ (Benjamini-Hochberg FDR

multiple-testing correction) were considered significant. To determine the proportion of

additionally accumulated mutations in the XPC^{KO} culture that can be attributed to Signature 8

in human ASCs, we first calculated the increase in point mutations and the increase in

Signature 8 mutations of XPC^{KO} compared to $XPC^{WT}1$, $XPC^{WT}2$, and $XPC^{WT}3$ separately. We

then divided the increase in Signature 8 mutations by the total increase in point mutations.

DATA ACCESS

The sequencing data of the mouse samples have been deposited at the European

Nucleotide Archive under accession number ERP021379. The sequencing data of the

human samples have been deposited at the European Genome-Phenome archive under

accession numbers EGAS00001001682 and EGAS00001002681.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the the animal caretakers of the Erasmus MC for taking care

of the mice and the Utrecht Sequencing Facility for providing the sequencing service and

data. Utrecht Sequencing Facility is subsidized by the University Medical Center Utrecht,

Hubrecht Institute and Utrecht University. This study was financially supported by the NWO

Zwaartekracht program Cancer Genomics.nl.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.J., E.K., M.V., N.B., and R.B. performed organoid culture. N.B. and R.B. generated

western blots and sequenced the organoid cultures. M.J., F.B., R.J., S.B., J.L., and R.B.

performed bioinformatic analyses. M.J., F.B., E.K., J.H., J.P., R.B., and E.C. were involved in

the conceptual design of this study. M.J., F.B., R.B., and E.C. wrote the manuscript.

DISCLOSURE DECLARATION

The authors have nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

Aboussekhra A, Biggerstaff M, Shivji MK, Vilpo JA, Moncollin V, Podust VN, Protić M,
Hübscher U, Egly JM, Wood RD. 1995. Mammalian DNA nucleotide excision repair
reconstituted with purified protein components. *Cell* **80**: 859–868.

Adams PD, Jasper H, Lenhard Rudolph K. 2015. Aging-Induced Stem Cell Mutations as
Drivers for Disease and Cancer. *Cell Stem Cell* **16**: 601–612.

Alexandrov LB, Ju YS, Haase K, Van Loo P, Martincorena I, Nik-Zainal S, Totoki Y, Fujimoto
A, Nakagawa H, Shibata T, et al. 2016. Mutational signatures associated with tobacco
smoking in human cancer. *Science* **354**: 618–622.

Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Aparicio SAJR, Behjati S, Biankin AV, Bignell GR,
Bolli N, Borg A, Børresen-Dale A-L, et al. 2013. Signatures of mutational processes in
human cancer. *Nature* **500**: 415–421.

Al-Minawi AZ, Saleh-Gohari N, Helleday T. 2008. The ERCC1/XPF endonuclease is
required for efficient single-strand annealing and gene conversion in mammalian cells.
Nucleic Acids Res **36**: 1–9.

Amable L. 2016. Cisplatin resistance and opportunities for precision medicine. *Pharmacol*
Res **106**: 27–36.

Barker N, Ridgway RA, van Es JH, van de Wetering M, Begthel H, van den Born M,
Danenberg E, Clarke AR, Sansom OJ, Clevers H. 2009. Crypt stem cells as the cells-of-
origin of intestinal cancer. *Nature* **457**: 608–611.

Bergeron F, Auvré F, Radicella JP, Ravanat J-L. 2010. HO* radicals induce an unexpected
high proportion of tandem base lesions refractory to repair by DNA glycosylases. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **107**: 5528–5533.

Blokzijl F, de Ligt J, Jager M, Sasselli V, Roerink S, Sasaki N, Huch M, Boymans S, Kuijk E,
Prins P, et al. 2016a. Tissue-specific mutation accumulation in human adult stem cells
during life. *Nature* **538**: 260–264.

Blokzijl F, Janssen R, Van Boxtel R, Cuppen E. 2016b. MutationalPatterns: an integrative R
package for studying patterns in base substitution catalogues.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071761.

Boeva V, Popova T, Bleakley K, Chiche P, Cappo J, Schleiermacher G, Janoueix-Lerosey I,
Delattre O, Barillot E. 2012. Control-FREEC: a tool for assessing copy number and
allelic content using next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 423–425.

Bowden NA. 2014. Nucleotide excision repair: Why is it not used to predict response to
platinum-based chemotherapy? *Cancer Lett* **346**: 163–171.

Cadet J, Ravanat J-L, TavernaPorro M, Menoni H, Angelov D. 2012. Oxidatively generated
complex DNA damage: tandem and clustered lesions. *Cancer Lett* **327**: 5–15.

Carlson M, Maintainer BP. 2015. *TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg19.knownGene: Annotation*
package for TxDb object(s).

Casorelli I, Tenedini E, Tagliafico E, Blasi MF, Giuliani A, Crescenzi M, Pelosi E, Testa U,
Peschle C, Mele L, et al. 2006. Identification of a molecular signature for leukemic
promyelocytes and their normal counterparts: Focus on DNA repair genes. *Leukemia*

20: 1978–1988.

Davies H, Glodzik D, Morganella S, Yates LR, Staaf J, Zou X, Ramakrishna M, Martin S,
Boyault S, Sieuwerts AM, et al. 2017. HRDetect is a predictor of BRCA1 and BRCA2
deficiency based on mutational signatures. *Nat Med* **23**: 517–525.

Degtyareva NP, Heyburn L, Sterling J, Resnick MA, Gordenin DA, Doetsch PW. 2013.
Oxidative stress-induced mutagenesis in single-strand DNA occurs primarily at
cytosines and is DNA polymerase zeta-dependent only for adenines and guanines.
Nucleic Acids Res **41**: 8995–9005.

de Laat W. 1998. Mapping of interaction domains between human repair proteins ERCC1
and XPF. *Nucleic Acids Res* **26**: 4146–4152.

Dollé MET, Busuttil RA, Garcia AM, Wijnhoven S, van Drunen E, Niedernhofer LJ, van der
Horst G, Hoeijmakers JHJ, van Steeg H, Vijg J. 2006. Increased genomic instability is
not a prerequisite for shortened lifespan in DNA repair deficient mice. *Mutat Res* **596**:
22–35.

Dollé MT, Kuiper R, Roodbergen M, Robinson J, de Vlugt S, Wijnhoven SP, Beems RB, de
la Fonteyne L, de With P, van der Pluijm I, et al. 2011. Broad segmental progeroid
changes in short-lived Ercc1 −/Δ7 mice. *Pathobiology of Aging & Age-related Diseases*
1: 7219.

Drost J, van Boxtel R, Blokzijl F, Mizutani T, Sasaki N, Sasselli V, de Ligt J, Behjati S,
Grolleman JE, van Wezel T, et al. 2017. Use of CRISPR-modified human stem cell
organoids to study the origin of mutational signatures in cancer. *Science*.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aao3130.

Durinck S, Moreau Y, Kasprzyk A, Davis S, De Moor B, Brazma A, Huber W. 2005. BioMart
and Bioconductor: a powerful link between biological databases and microarray data
analysis. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3439–3440.

Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W. 2009. Mapping identifiers for the integration of
genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nat Protoc* **4**: 1184–1191.

Gregg SQ, Gutiérrez V, Robinson AR, Woodell T, Nakao A, Ross MA, Michalopoulos GK,
Rigatti L, Rothermel CE, Kamileri I, et al. 2012. A mouse model of accelerated liver
aging caused by a defect in DNA repair. *Hepatology* **55**: 609–621.

Helleday T, Eshtad S, Nik-Zainal S. 2014. Mechanisms underlying mutational signatures in
human cancers. *Nat Rev Genet* **15**: 585–598.

Hoeijmakers JHJ. 2009. DNA damage, aging, and cancer. *N Engl J Med* **361**: 1475–1485.

Iyama T, Wilson DM 3rd. 2013. DNA repair mechanisms in dividing and non-dividing cells.
DNA Repair **12**: 620–636.

Jaspers NGJ, Raams A, Silengo MC, Wijgers N, Niedernhofer LJ, Robinson AR, Giglia-Mari
G, Hoogstraten D, Kleijer WJ, Hoeijmakers JHJ, et al. 2007. First reported patient with
human ERCC1 deficiency has cerebro-oculo-facio-skeletal syndrome with a mild defect
in nucleotide excision repair and severe developmental failure. *Am J Hum Genet* **80**:
457–466.

Kamiya H, Murata-Kamiya N, Koizume S, Inoue H, Nishimura S, Ohtsuka E. 1995. 8-
Hydroxyguanine (7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine) in hot spots of the c-Ha-ras gene: effects of

sequence contexts on mutation spectra. *Carcinogenesis* **16**: 883–889.

Kashiyama K, Nakazawa Y, Pilz DT, Guo C, Shimada M, Sasaki K, Fawcett H, Wing JF,
Lewin SO, Carr L, et al. 2013. Malfunction of nuclease ERCC1-XPF results in diverse
clinical manifestations and causes Cockayne syndrome, xeroderma pigmentosum, and
Fanconi anemia. *Am J Hum Genet* **92**: 807–819.

Kim J, Mouw KW, Polak P, Braunstein LZ, Kamburov A, Kwiatkowski DJ, Rosenberg JE,
Van Allen EM, D'Andrea A, Getz G. 2016. Somatic ERCC2 mutations are associated
with a distinct genomic signature in urothelial tumors. *Nat Genet* **48**: 600–606.

Kirschner K, Melton DW. 2010. Multiple roles of the ERCC1-XPF endonuclease in DNA
repair and resistance to anticancer drugs. *Anticancer Res* **30**: 3223–3232.

Kuijk EW, Rasmussen S, Blokzijl F, Huch M, Gehart H, Toonen P, Begthel H, Clevers H,
Geurts AM, Cuppen E. 2016. Generation and characterization of rat liver stem cell lines
and their engraftment in a rat model of liver failure. *Sci Rep* **6**.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep22154.

Lee D-H. 2002. Oxidative DNA damage induced by copper and hydrogen peroxide promotes
CG->TT tandem mutations at methylated CpG dinucleotides in nucleotide excision
repair-deficient cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**: 3566–3573.

Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1754–1760.

Li Q, Yu JJ, Mu C, Yunmbam MK, Slavsky D, Cross CL, Bostick-Bruton F, Reed E. 2000.
Association between the level of ERCC-1 expression and the repair of cisplatin-induced
DNA damage in human ovarian cancer cells. *Anticancer Res* **20**: 645–652.

López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. 2013. The Hallmarks of
Aging. *Cell* **153**: 1194–1217.

Marteijn JA, Lans H, Vermeulen W, Hoeijmakers JHJ. 2014. Understanding nucleotide
excision repair and its roles in cancer and ageing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **15**: 465–481.

Naipal KAT, Raams A, Bruens ST, Brandsma I, Verkaik NS, Jaspers NGJ, Hoeijmakers JHJ,
van Leenders GJLH, Pothof J, Kanaar R, et al. 2015. Attenuated XPC Expression Is Not
Associated with Impaired DNA Repair in Bladder Cancer. *PLoS One* **10**: e0126029.

Niedernhofer LJ, Garinis GA, Raams A, Lalai AS, Robinson AR, Appeldoorn E, Odijk H,
Oostendorp R, Ahmad A, van Leeuwen W, et al. 2006. A new progeroid syndrome
reveals that genotoxic stress suppresses the somatotroph axis. *Nature* **444**: 1038–1043.

Nik-Zainal S, Davies H, Staaf J, Ramakrishna M, Glodzik D, Zou X, Martincorena I,
Alexandrov LB, Martin S, Wedge DC, et al. 2016. Landscape of somatic mutations in
560 breast cancer whole genome sequences. *Nature* **534**: 47.

Ni M, Zhang W-Z, Qiu J-R, Liu F, Li M, Zhang Y-J, Liu Q, Bai J. 2014. Association of ERCC1
and ERCC2 polymorphisms with colorectal cancer risk in a Chinese population. *Sci Rep*
4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04112.

Olaussen KA, Dunant A, Fouret P, Brambilla E, André F, Haddad V, Taranchon E, Filipits M,
Pirker R, Popper HH, et al. 2006. DNA repair by ERCC1 in non-small-cell lung cancer
and cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med* **355**: 983–991.

Perera D, Poulos RC, Shah A, Beck D, Pimanda JE, Wong JWH. 2016. Differential DNA

repair underlies mutation hotspots at active promoters in cancer genomes. *Nature* **532**:
259–263.

Pleasance ED, Cheetham RK, Stephens PJ, McBride DJ, Humphray SJ, Greenman CD,
Varela I, Lin M-L, Ordóñez GR, Bignell GR, et al. 2010. A comprehensive catalogue of
somatic mutations from a human cancer genome. *Nature* **463**: 191–196.

Rahn JJ, Adair GM, Nairn RS. 2010. Multiple roles of ERCC1-XPF in mammalian interstrand
crosslink repair. *Environ Mol Mutagen* **51**: 567–581.

Rausch T, Zichner T, Schlattl A, Stütz AM, Benes V, Korbel JO. 2012. DELLY: structural
variant discovery by integrated paired-end and split-read analysis. *Bioinformatics* **28**:
i333–i339.

Rossi DJ, Jamieson CHM, Weissman IL. 2008. Stems cells and the pathways to aging and
cancer. *Cell* **132**: 681–696.

Sato T, Vries RG, Snippert HJ, van de Wetering M, Barker N, Stange DE, van Es JH, Abo A,
Kujala P, Peters PJ, et al. 2009. Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt villus structures in
vitro without a mesenchymal niche. *Nature* **459**: 262–265.

Schuster-Böckler B, Lehner B. 2012. Chromatin organization is a major influence on regional
mutation rates in human cancer cells. *Nature* **488**: 504–507.

Sijbers AM, de Laat WL, Ariza RR, Biggerstaff M, Wei Y-F, Moggs JG, Carter KC, Shell BK,
Evans E, de Jong MC, et al. 1996a. Xeroderma Pigmentosum Group F Caused by a
Defect in a Structure-Specific DNA Repair Endonuclease. *Cell* **86**: 811–822.

Sijbers AM, van der Spek PJ, Odijk H, van den Berg J, van Duin M, Westerveld A, Jaspers
NG, Bootsma D, Hoeijmakers JH. 1996b. Mutational analysis of the human nucleotide
excision repair gene ERCC1. *Nucleic Acids Res* **24**: 3370–3380.

Stubbert LJ, Smith JM, McKay BC. 2010. Decreased transcription-coupled nucleotide
excision repair capacity is associated with increased p53- and MLH1-independent
apoptosis in response to cisplatin. *BMC Cancer* **10**: 207.

Su Y, Orelli B, Madireddy A, Niedernhofer LJ, Scharer OD. 2012. Multiple DNA Binding
Domains Mediate the Function of the ERCC1-XPF Protein in Nucleotide Excision
Repair. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 21846–21855.

Team BC, Maintainer BP. 2016. *TxDb.Mmusculus.UCSC.mm10.knownGene: Annotation*
package for TxDb object(s).

Tripsianes K, Folkers G, Ab E, Das D, Odijk H, Jaspers NGJ, Hoeijmakers JHJ, Kaptein R,
Boelens R. 2005. The structure of the human ERCC1/XPF interaction domains reveals
a complementary role for the two proteins in nucleotide excision repair. *Structure* **13**:
1849–1858.

Van Allen EM, Mouw KW, Kim P, Iyer G, Wagle N, Al-Ahmadie H, Zhu C, Ostrovnaya I,
Kryukov GV, O'Connor KW, et al. 2014. Somatic ERCC2 mutations correlate with
cisplatin sensitivity in muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma. *Cancer Discov* **4**: 1140–
1153.

Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, Del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A,
Jordan T, Shakir K, Roazen D, Thibault J, et al. 2013. From FastQ data to high
confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr*

Protoc Bioinformatics **43**: 11.10.1–33.

Vermeij WP, Dollé MET, Reiling E, Jaarsma D, Payan-Gomez C, Bombardieri CR, Wu H,
Roks AJM, Botter SM, van der Eerden BC, et al. 2016. Restricted diet delays
accelerated ageing and genomic stress in DNA-repair-deficient mice. *Nature* **537**: 427–
431.

Waddell N, Pajic M, Patch A-M, Chang DK, Kassahn KS, Bailey P, Johns AL, Miller D,
Nones K, Quek K, et al. 2015. Whole genomes redefine the mutational landscape of
pancreatic cancer. *Nature* **518**: 495–501.

Weeda G, Donker I, de Wit J, Morreau H, Janssens R, Vissers CJ, Nigg A, van Steeg H,
Bootsma D, Hoeijmakers JHJ. 1997. Disruption of mouse ERCC1 results in a novel
repair syndrome with growth failure, nuclear abnormalities and senescence. *Curr Biol* **7**:
427–439.

Zhang R, Jia M, Xue H, Xu Y, Wang M, Zhu M, Sun M, Chang J, Wei Q. 2017. Genetic
variants in ERCC1 and XPC predict survival outcome of non-small cell lung cancer
patients treated with platinum-based therapy. *Sci Rep* **7**: 10702.

Zheng CL, Wang NJ, Chung J, Moslehi H, Sanborn JZ, Hur JS, Collisson EA, Vemula SS,
Naujokas A, Chiotti KE, et al. 2014. Transcription restores DNA repair to
heterochromatin, determining regional mutation rates in cancer genomes. *Cell Rep* **9**:
1228–1234.

Zhu L, Finkelstein D, Gao C, Shi L, Wang Y, López-Terrada D, Wang K, Utley S, Pounds S,
Neale G, et al. 2016. Multi-organ Mapping of Cancer Risk. *Cell* **166**: 1132–1146.e7.