Impacts of predators, habitat, recruitment, and disease on soft-shell clams *Mya arenaria*

and stout razor clams *Tagelus plebeius* in Chesapeake Bay

AUTHORS AND ADDRESSES: Cassandra N. Glaspie[a,*,†], Rochelle D. Seitz[a], Matthew B.

Ogburn[b], Christopher F. Dungan[c], Anson H. Hines[b]

[a]Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William & Mary, P.O. Box 1346, Gloucester

Point, Virginia 23062, U.S.A.

[b]Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, 647 Contees Wharf Rd., Edgewater, Maryland

21037, U.S.A.

[c]Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Cooperative Oxford Laboratory, 904 S. Morris St.,

Oxford, Maryland 21654, U.S.A

*Corresponding author contact information: glaspiec@oregonstate.edu; phone: 541-737-6105

†Present address: Oregon State University, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, 104 Nash Hall,

Corvallis, OR 97731, USA

RUNNING PAGE HEAD: Factors influencing clam decline

KEY WORDS: bivalve, seagrass, oyster, temperature, climate, *Perkinsus chesapeaki*

ABSTRACT

Soft-shelled clams, *Mya arenaria*, and razor clams, *Tagelus plebeius*, in Chesapeake Bay

have been in decline since the 1970s, with severe declines since the early 1990s. These declines

are likely caused by multiple factors including warming, predation, habitat loss, recruitment

limitation, disease, and harvesting. A bivalve survey was conducted in Chesapeake Bay to

examine influential factors on bivalve populations, focusing on predation (crab, fish, and

cownose rays), habitat type (mud, sand, gravel, shell, or seagrass), environment (temperature,

salinity, and dissolved oxygen), recruitment, and disease. *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* were

found more often in more-complex habitats such as seagrass or shell than any other habitat.

Pulses in bivalve density associated with recruitment were attenuated through the summer and

fall when predators are most active, indicating that predators likely influence temporal dynamics

in these species. *Mya arenaria*, which is near the southern extent of its range in Chesapeake Bay,

was negatively associated with high water temperatures. Recruitment of *M. arenaria* in Rhode

River, MD, declined steadily between 1980 and 2016. Infection by the parasitic protist *Perkinsus*

sp. was associated with stressful environmental conditions, bivalve size, and environmental

preferences of *Perkinsus* sp, but was not associated with bivalve densities. It is likely that habitat

loss, low recruitment, and predators are major factors keeping *T. plebeius* and *M. arenaria* at low

densities in Chesapeake Bay. Persistence at low densities may be facilitated by complex habitats,

whereas further reductions in habitats such as seagrass could result in local extinction of these

important bivalve species.

INTRODUCTION

The soft-shell clam *Mya arenaria* and the stout razor clam *Tagelus plebeius* are both large, deep-burrowing bivalves that are harvested in the Chesapeake Bay for human consumption and for bait (Dungan et al. 2002, Homer et al. 2011). *Mya arenaria*, in particular, supports a large commercial fishery in the U.S., and it accounted for 14% of domestic commercial bivalve dollar value in 2015 (NMFS 2016). In Chesapeake Bay, *M. arenaria* has supported a commercial hydraulic dredge fishery in Virginia and Maryland starting in the early 1950s with the invention of the hydraulic dredge. Commercial clammers also harvest *T. plebeius* for eel and crab bait (Dungan et al. 2002, Homer et al. 2011).

Historically, *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* served important roles as biomass dominants that contributed substantially to the food web and water quality of Chesapeake Bay (Abraham & Dillon 1986, Eggleston et al. 1992, Seitz et al. 2001). *Mya arenaria* and *T. plebeius* are key prey for numerous commercially and recreationally important fish and crab species (Eggleston et al. 1992, Seitz et al. 2005, Fisher 2010). These large-bodied filter-feeding clams likely played a large role in filtration of the water column when they were abundant; *M. arenaria* in the Baltic Sea can filter the entire water column in less than a day (Forster & Zettler 2004), and filtration by *M. arenaria* in the Gulf of Maine increased water clarity in some lagoons where their densities were high (Thiet et al. 2014). Non-oyster bivalves have recently gained attention for water filtration as an ecosystem service in Chesapeake Bay (Gedan et al. 2014), but the value of filtration by soft-shelled clams has not been assessed.

In Chesapeake Bay*, M. arenaria* has been in decline since the early 1970s, with more pronounced declines since the 1990s, and this species now exists in Chesapeake Bay at record

low levels (Fig. 1). Declines during and after 1972 are attributed to impacts from Tropical Storm

Agnes, a 100-year storm that drastically reduced salinities and increased sedimentation

throughout Chesapeake Bay (Hyer & Ruzecki 1976, Schubel 1976, Schubel et al. 1976), which

resulted in a mass mortality event for *M. arenaria* (Cory & Redding 1976). Due to this storm,

which followed declines in abundance of *M. arenaria* in the late 1960s, the commercial

hydraulic dredge fishery for *M. arenaria* essentially ended in Virginia waters around 1968, and

has failed to recover since then (Haven, 1970). More recent (post-1990) dramatic declines in

abundance of *M. arenaria* have resulted in variable, low harvests in Maryland waters (Homer et

al. 2011). Since 1980, commercial clammers have gradually switched to harvest of *T. plebeius*

for eel and crab pot bait (Dungan et al. 2002, Homer et al. 2011). Like *M. arenaria*, *T. plebeius*

populations have experienced declines in recent years, which were first documented in 2003 and

resulted in the loss of 70-80% of the population in Maryland (Fig. 1; Homer et al. 2011). There

are no historic landings records or long-term time series of *T. plebeius* abundance, so the history

of decline and potential mechanisms for decline in this species are largely unknown.

Multiple factors have been blamed for the inability of *M. arenaria* to recover from

Tropical Storm Agnes and the recent declines in *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* abundances,

including predation, overfishing, low recruitment, rising temperatures, habitat loss, and disease

mortalities. Although a fishery still exists in the upper Chesapeake Bay, these species have not

been fished commercially in Virginia waters since the closure of the hydraulic dredge fishery in

the 1970s. Recruitment of *M. arenaria* remains high in several tributaries of Chesapeake Bay

(Lovall et al. 2017) though these individuals rarely survive to adulthood, except in habitats with

sufficient structure to allow protection from predators (Seitz et al. 2005). This leads to the notion

that populations are not limited by fishing pressure or recruitment, but rather by other factors

such as predation and lack of suitable habitat.

There is some evidence that habitat preferences, including environmental tolerances and

availability of refuge habitat that allows clams to avoid predation, may influence population

dynamics of *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*. *Mya arenaria* is near the southern extent of its

geographic range in Virginia, and is not tolerant of temperatures above 28°C, a temperature that

is frequently exceeded in Chesapeake Bay during summer months (Moore & Jarvis 2008). In

contrast, *T. plebeius* is a warm-water species that is distributed into South America (Abrahao et

al. 2010). Habitat type has been shown to influence the distribution and abundances of *M.*

arenaria. Growth rates can be impacted by sediment type, with higher growth rates observed in

sand than mud-gravel-shell mixtures (Newell 1982). Habitats with more structure, such as

gravel, shell hash, or seagrass, can also provide refuge from predators (Sponaugle & Lawton

1990, Skilleter 1994, Seitz et al. 2001).

The availability of some refuge habitats, such as seagrass and oyster shell, is decreasing

in the Chesapeake Bay (Rothschild et al. 1994, Lefcheck et al. 2017). The severe and persistent

declines of Chesapeake Bay seagrass in the recent past have been attributed mostly to

anthropogenic nutrient and sediment pollution, which decrease water clarity (Kemp et al. 2004).

The dominant seagrass species in Chesapeake Bay, eelgrass *Zostera marina*, is also sensitive to

warming, and the interaction between warming and poor water quality has resulted in recent

declines of shallow beds (Lefcheck et al. 2017). In the past several years, seagrass die-offs

induced by extreme high temperatures in Chesapeake Bay have resulted in the prediction that *Z.*

marina may disappear from the Bay entirely (Moore & Jarvis 2008; though seagrass may be

increasing in the coastal bays, see Orth & McGlathery 2012). Loss of oyster reefs in Chesapeake

Bay due to overfishing and habitat destruction has resulted in the ecological extinction of oysters

in the Bay (Rothschild et al. 1994). As oysters have been lost, so has a major source of shell

material in the Bay. Oyster shell is a limited resource, and shell half-life is estimated to be as

little as 3-10 years in Delaware Bay (Mann & Powell 2007). Loss of this structural refuge may

have implications for populations of deep-burrowing clams such as *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*.

The recent findings of high prevalences of the parasitic protist *Perkinsus chesapeaki* in

M. arenaria and *T. plebeius* suggest that this could be a cause of the population declines of both

bivalve species (Dungan et al. 2002, Reece et al. 2008). *Perkinsus chesapeaki* infections have

reached epizootic levels in *M. arenaria* (Farley et al. 1991, Dungan et al. 2002, Reece et al.

2008). However, prevalence is not necessarily equal to pathogenicity, as seen in some disease-

resistant oyster stocks in the Chesapeake Bay (Encomio et al. 2005). The cancer disseminated

neoplasia also causes mortality of *M. arenaria*, but this disease is not as prevalent as *P.*

chesapeaki, and is not reported to affect *T. plebeius* (Dungan et al. 2002); thus, this study focuses

only on the impact of *P. chesapeaki* on *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*.

No single factor can likely be exclusively attributed to the declines of these two bivalve

species; thus, multiple factors were considered to gain useful insight into the problem. The

Chesapeake Bay provides an ideal location to study the effects of predators, habitat type,

recruitment, disease, and physical factors on the distribution and abundance of *M. arenaria* and

T. plebeius. The Bay offers a range of environmental conditions including temperature, salinity,

dissolved oxygen, and availability of complex habitat. Lower Chesapeake Bay (the Virginia

portion of Chesapeake Bay) is mostly polyhaline (except in the upper reaches of the tributaries).

Upper Chesapeake Bay (the Maryland portion of the Bay) is mostly mesohaline. Bottom habitat

type in the upper Bay is characterized by oyster shell hash, soft muds, fine sands, and

gravel/pebbles (Smith et al. 2003). Bottom type in the lower Bay is similar to that of the upper

Bay (Wright et al. 1987), except shallow shoals in the lower Bay south of the Potomac River

often have mixed beds of eelgrass *Z. marina* and widgeongrass *Ruppia maritima* (Orth et al.

2010). The entire Chesapeake Bay experiences seasonal hypoxia in deep channel water, which

occasionally wells up onto the shoals with the tides and wind events (Sanford 1990, Kemp et al.

2005). Summer temperatures in the upper Bay are likely still hospitable for *M. arenaria*, while

the lower Bay experiences periods when they are above the tolerance limit for the species. In

Chesapeake Bay, the dominant predators of *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* include the blue crab

Callinectes sapidus, horseshoe crabs *Limulus polyphemus* (Botton 1984, Lee 2010), and

demersal fishes (de Goeij et al. 2001, Seitz et al. 2001) including cownose rays (Fisher 2010).

Crabs forage for clams from the sediment and consume the entire clam (Beal 2006), whereas

demersal fishes nip clam siphons, causing clams to reduce their burial depth and exposing them

to increased predation by probing predators (de Goeij et al. 2001). High predation rates on

infauna are also associated with seasonal migratory behavior of cownose rays *Rhinoptera*

bonasus (Blaylock 1993), which are able to consume bivalves that would otherwise avoid

predation by burrowing, armor, and/or size refuges (Fisher 2010).

In this study, we concurrently examined predation, habitat, recruitment, and disease

prevalence/intensity to disentangle the relative effects of these factors on survival and persistence

of *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*. We hypothesized that: (1) densities of *M. arenaria* and *T.*

plebeius are positively associated with presence of complex habitat such as seagrass and shell,

and negatively correlated with predator densities; (2) densities of *M. arenaria* are negatively

correlated with temperature; and (3) intensity and prevalence of infection by presumed *Perkinsus*

chesapeaki (hereafter, *Perkinsus* sp.) increase under stressful environmental conditions (i.e.,

positively correlated with temperature and river discharge, negatively correlated with salinity and

dissolved oxygen).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey design

Clams were collected from three river systems of lower Chesapeake Bay (Lynnhaven

River, York River, Mobjack Bay), and three river systems of upper Chesapeake Bay (Western

Shore, Eastern Bay, Chester River) in fall 2011; spring/summer/fall 2012; and either

spring/summer 2013 (for lower Bay) or summer/fall 2013 (for upper Bay; see Table 1 for

sampling dates). Each sampling season, four to nine sites within each subestuary were sampled,

with three to four replicate samples from each site (Table 1).

At each site in the lower Bay, bivalves were collected from shallow water of 1.5-2 m

depth mean high water, using a suction sampling device that collects samples of 0.11 m^2 area and

40 cm depth. Three replicate sample locations in each site were selected by throwing the suction

cylinder from the boat, and samples were sieved through 3-mm mesh. All samples were assigned

a habitat category (mud, sand, gravel, shell, or seagrass) based on observations made in the field

and the lab during sample processing. In the upper Bay, samples were collected using a

commercial hydraulic escalator dredge targeting areas fished for *M. arenaria*. Habitats were

categorized by the presence/absence of oyster shell hash retained on the escalator dredge, and by

diver surveys conducted in 2012. All *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* were counted, and clam

densities at each site were determined by sample area (in lower Bay) or dredge distance (in upper

Bay). All clams were measured to the nearest 1 mm for shell length. Dissolved oxygen, salinity,

and temperature were also recorded at each site using a YSI (Model 85, Yellow Springs

Instruments).

Between spring 2012 and summer 2013, blue crab abundance was quantified at each

lower Bay site within a few days of bivalve suction sampling, using six replicate 20-m tows of a

modified commercial crab scrape (usually used for harvesting soft-shell, peeler crabs in seagrass

in lower Chesapeake Bay; 1 m width; Seitz et al., 2008). In Maryland, tows were conducted in

the spring, summer, and fall of 2012 with two replicate 4.9 m-wide otter trawl tows for seven

minutes each (approx.~ 500 m). Any fish caught in tows were counted and released. Some trawls

occurred approximately a month before or after bivalve sampling, due to logistical constraints. In

this case, an average of crab or fish abundance before and after the bivalve sampling was used in

analyses. At each bivalve sampling site and for each season, the number of ray pits within 1 m to

either side of a 50-m transect were counted, and are treated as a proxy of cownose ray

Rhinoptera bonasus density (Hines et al. 1997). Ray pits were about 0.3 m in diameter and 10

cm deep, and could be easily seen in good visibility, or detected by sweeping the sediment with

hands in poor visibility. Horseshoe crabs *Limulus polyphemus* were not quantified because they

were not abundant enough in any of our samples. Fish and crab abundances per tow and ray pit

counts were converted to density per 100 m^2 for analyses. Due to logistical constraints, certain

data, such as environmental data and predator abundance, were missing from the survey dataset,

especially for fall 2011, so models that included these missing variables were fit to data from

spring 2012 through fall 2013. Environmental data from the CBIBS monitoring buoy at 38.963N

76.448W were used for Maryland western shore samples from summer and fall 2013.

We conducted a gear comparison of bivalve sampling methods by using suction samples

collected in the same areas as hydraulic dredging in spring 2012. Site-average densities from

suction samples were regressed against site-average densities from hydraulic dredging for both

M. arenaria and *T. plebeius*. *Mya arenaria* densities calculated using suction sampling were 3.58

times higher than those calculated from hydraulic dredge samples ($R^2 = 0.95$; Supplementary

Fig. 1a); thus, suction sample densities for *M. arenaria* were reduced by a factor of 3.58 to allow

both data sets to be analyzed together. Densities calculated for *T. plebeius* using both methods

were in close agreement (slope $= 1.10$, $R^2 = 0.90$; Supplementary Fig. 1b), so the raw data sets

were combined for analyses. Suction samples appeared to collect more small and large *Mya*

arenaria (mean 42.33 mm, SD 13.73 mm; Supplementary Fig. 2a) than dredge sampling (mean

40.22 mm, SD 5.42 mm; Supplementary Fig. 2b), indicating that the discrepancy in densities was

not an artifact of hydraulic dredge mesh size. Biomass of both *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* from

the lower Bay was determined as ash free dry weight of bivalves dried in a drying oven for 24

hours, and ashed in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for five hours; bivalves in the upper Bay were not

processed for biomass.

The long-term trend of *M. arenaria* recruitment in Maryland was evaluated using data

from surveys conducted by SERC in the Rhode River, Maryland. Benthic core samples were

collected approximately quarterly (typically March/April, June, October, December) at two

sandy subtidal sites from 1981-2016. Cores were 10.2 cm in diameter and 35 cm long. Seven

core samples were taken per site on each sample date and animals retained on a 500 µm sieve

were preserved in 10% buffered formalin and stained with rose Bengal. All *M. arenaria* were

measured for shell length and individuals <10 mm shell length were considered recruits. The

total number of recruits in each year was calculated by site and then averaged across the two

sites to provide an index of annual recruitment.

Disease

Whenever possible, 30 clams from each upper Bay site were held for 24-96 h in flow-

through systems of ambient Rhode River or Tred Avon River waters before they were examined

for *Perkinsus* sp. infections. Clams were dissected to secure labial palp tissues that were

inoculated into tubes containing 3 ml of Ray's fluid thioglycollate medium (RFTM, Ray 1966),

and then incubated in the dark at 28 °C for 4-7 d. After incubation in RFTM, individual clam

tissues were macerated in pools of 25% Lugol's iodine before microscopic examinations at 40x

magnification. *Perkinsus* sp. hypnospores were quantified in the most heavily infected palps of

individual clams, and infections were assigned ordinal intensity ranks of 0-5.

Perkinsus sp. prevalence and intensity data for 2011-2013 were analyzed together with

similar data collected 2000-2009. Only data from clams collected at the same geographic

locations by both investigations were used for the time series. For samples collected during our

2011-2013 survey, infection intensity categories included the rank of 0.5 for infections of very

low intensities (Ray 1954). In accordance with suggested methods for cross-calibrating such data

(Dungan & Bushek 2015), infection intensity data for 2011-2013 were cross calibrated to those

of 2000-2009 by pooling infection intensities of the lowest ranks (0.5, 1.0) (Dungan et al. 2002,

Reece et al. 2008, Homer et al. 2011). Prevalences were estimated as the proportion of infected

clams.

Clams tested for *Perkinsus* sp. infections were predominantly collected from upper Bay

sites where hydraulic dredging was used to reliably collect adequate numbers of adult clams.

Although hydraulic escalator dredging was unavailable in the lower Bay, 30 juvenile *M.*

arenaria were collected by hand from Indian Field Creek, York River, VA, in April 2013, held

in flow-through tanks for several months until they were 20-30 mm in shell length, and were

assayed for *Perkinsus* sp. infections in July 2013.

Statistical analyses

259   Both *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* exhibited many instances of zero catch, so two models

were used to analyze the data: presence/absence was modeled with a binomial generalized

additive model (GAM, logit link), and non-zero densities were modeled with a Gaussian GAM

(identity link) on log-transformed data. GAMs for *T. plebeius* included the following as

parametric predictors: temperature (°C), salinity, dissolved oxygen (mg L^{-1}), ray pit density (m^{-}

^{2}), crab density (m^{-2}), fish density (m^{-2}), and habitat (5 categories: gravel, mud, sand, shell, and

seagrass). *Mya arenaria* were rarer and data contained many more zeroes than *T. plebeius*; to

meet assumptions, habitat was reduced to two categories (simple, including mud, sand, and

gravel; and complex, including seagrass and shell) in both presence/absence and non-zero

density models, and the presence/absence model was run only on spring/summer data for 2012.

Perkinsus sp. infection intensity rank-score was modeled as a log-transformed continuous

variable using a Gaussian GAM (identity link). Disease GAMs for *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*

included the following as parametric predictors: temperature (°C), salinity, dissolved oxygen (mg

L^{-1}), bivalve length (mm), and bivalve density (m^{-2}). To account for trends in data across space, a

two-dimensional spline (trend-surface) was fit on latitude and longitude for all GAMs (Cressie

1993). To account for trends in time, sampling day was fit in each model using a spline with a

kernel smoothing function (Kohn et al. 2002). The number of knots (k) in each model was

chosen automatically using a Generalized Cross-validation (GCV) optimizer, except for the

presence/absence model for *M. arenaria*, which was fit with a spline of order k = 2 because only

two seasons were modeled, and the GCV optimizer produced a model with skewed residuals. For

all GAMs, model assumptions were assessed visually using residual and quantile-quantile plots.

Time series (infection intensity, infection prevalence, and *M. arenaria* recruitment) were

analyzed using automatic time series forecasting (ARIMA models; Hyndman & Khandakar

2008), and disease models included spring/summer temperature (April-August) obtained from

the Chesapeake Bay Program Water Quality Database (http://www.chesapeakebay.net/data) for

all tidal mainstem Chesapeake Bay stations, and annually averaged Susquehanna River discharge

obtained from the USGS water quality monitoring station at Harrisburg, PA (National Water

Information System at https://waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis). No autocorrelation or non-

stationarity was detected in ARIMA models of *Perkinsus* sp. infection intensities or prevalences

([p,d,q] = [0,0,0]), so analysis proceeded using linear models. For multiple comparisons,

significant difference was determined using non-parametric bootstrap hypothesis testing with

10,000 simulations and $\alpha = 0.05$ (Efron & Tibshirani 1993), and Cohen's d was calculated as a

measure of effect size for all two-group comparisons. All analyses were completed in R (R Core

Team 2017).

RESULTS

Survey

Temperature over the course of the survey (fall 2011 – summer 2013) ranged 12.6 °C –

33.3 °C. Temperatures exceeding 28 °C and 30 °C were observed for 23.7% and 6.5% of all

samples collected, respectively. Salinity ranged from 5.3 in the upper York River site to 25.7 in

the Lynnhaven Bay sites. The minimum dissolved oxygen level observed was 3.3 mg L^{-1} in

Mobjack Bay, though the site means ranged 6.50 mg L^{-1} - 9.35 mg L^{-1} (Table 1). The maximum

density of fish observed was 9.38 fish m^{-2} (mean: 0.47, sd: 1.18); the maximum density of ray

pits observed was 0.46 pits m^{-2} (mean: 0.04, sd: 0.09); and the maximum density of blue crabs

observed was 7.25 crabs m^{-2} (mean: 0.36, sd: 0.97). Several major storms occurred during the

study period, including Hurricane Sandy, which made landfall in New Jersey on October 29,

2012 and caused major flooding throughout Chesapeake Bay (Kunz et al. 2013), and Hurricane

Lee in 2011, which was a major inflow event (Hirsch 2012). These storms produced no

noticeable mortality in our survey of *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* in the Chesapeake Bay.

310   Densities of *M. arenaria* were 2.75 times higher in the lower Bay than in the upper Bay

($p = 0.02$, $d = 0.01$). The maximum density observed was 53.33 m^{-2} *M. arenaria* near the mouth

of the York River, VA, in spring 2012. Out of all samples collected, 54.73% of upper Bay and

89.82% of lower Bay samples did not contain *M. arenaria*. Despite repeated sampling, samples

from four sites in Virginia contained no *M. arenaria* (two in Mobjack Bay and two in

Lynnhaven). Bay-wide, densities of *Tagelus plebeius* were 13.07 times higher than *M. arenaria*

densities ($p < 0.001$, $d = 0.02$, Fig. 2b). Densities of *Tagelus plebeius* were 8.37 times higher in

the lower Bay than in the upper Bay ($p < 0.001$, $d = 0.04$). In the upper Bay, densities of *T.*

plebeius were 6.58 times higher in the Eastern Bay and Chester River than on the Western Shore

($p < 0.001$, $d = 0.03$; Fig. 2a). The maximum density observed for *T. plebeius* was 218.18 m^{-2} in

the lower Bay in Lynnhaven (Linkhorn Bay) in summer 2013. *Tagelus plebeius* were found at

every lower Bay site, but were not found at two sites in the Eastern Bay of Maryland throughout

the study period. Trends in biomass of both *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* in the lower Bay closely

matched trends in densities (Supplementary Figure 3).

Average shell length of *M. arenaria* was 1.43 times greater in the upper Bay than in the

lower Bay (p < 0.001, d = 0.07; Fig. 3a). Similarly, *T. plebeius* in the upper Bay were 2.07 times

larger than those in the lower Bay (p < 0.001, d = 0.04; Fig. 3b). Only 5.56% of *M. arenaria*

collected in the lower Bay were greater than 50 mm in shell length, while 29.73% of upper Bay

M. arenaria were 50 mm or larger.

The highest densities of *Mya arenaria* were in the spring, with declining density through

the fall (Fig. 4a). *Tagelus plebeius* densities did not show consistent seasonal trends over the

study period. In the lower Bay, *T. plebeius* densities were the lowest in spring 2012, increased

through the summer and fall, and then remained high (generally 15-20 m^{-2}) and stable through

2013 (Fig. 4b). In contrast, in the upper Bay the clearest seasonal trend involved a pulse of *T.*

plebeius in spring 2012 (~5 m^{-2}) which disappeared through the summer and fall (Fig. 4a).

Within each season, there were some differences in *M. arenaria* densities among habitats:

M. arenaria were very rarely found in gravel, were found in mud and sand mainly in the spring,

and had a tendency towards high but variable densities in seagrass throughout the seagrass

growing season (Fig. 5a). The odds of finding *M. arenaria* in seagrass or shell were 392.04 times

greater than in less-complex habitats such as mud, sand, and gravel. When *M. arenaria* were

present, densities in seagrass or shell were 2.15 times greater than in less-complex habitats such

as mud, sand, and gravel. The odds of finding *M. arenaria* decreased as temperature increased,

and when they were present, *M. arenaria* density was negatively correlated with dissolved

oxygen (Table 2). There was no significant relationship between *M. arenaria* density and

predator density (Table 2).

Tagelus plebeius density in seagrass was higher than in less-complex habitats in most

seasons (Fig. 5b). The odds of finding *T. plebeius* in seagrass were 11.65 times greater than in

mud (significant at $\alpha = 0.10$), 3.95 times greater than in sand (significant at $\alpha = 0.10$), and 11.28

times greater than in shell (significant at $\alpha = 0.05$). When *T. plebeius* were present, densities in

sand were 4.63 times greater than in gravel, 5.39 times greater than in seagrass, and 4.74 times

greater than in shell. High densities in sand were only observed in the spring (Fig. 5b). High

densities of *T. plebeius* were also observed in shell, though this was not significant in the models

(Table 2). When they were present, *T. plebeius* density increased with salinity (Table 2). There

was no significant relationship between *T. plebeius* density and predator densities (Table 2).

Mya arenaria recruitment in the Rhode River declined steadily between 1980 and 2016.

Recruitment was characterized by an Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA[2, 1,

1]), with a negative trend (drift) of -0.03 million clams ha^{-1} y^{-1}. The survey captured no recruits

post-2005 (Fig. 6).

Disease

Mean infection intensity for *Mya arenaria* was light throughout the upper Bay, with a

mean of 0.48 on a 5-point scale (Fig. 7a). Infection intensity in *M. arenaria* increased 54.9% for

each degree increase in temperature, and increased 6.7% for each mm in shell length (Table 2).

Mya arenaria infection intensity was positively correlated with temperature, salinity, and

dissolved oxygen (Table 2). Infection intensity for *M. arenaria* on the Western Shore of

Maryland was 1.54 times higher than for *M. arenaria* on the Eastern Shore in Eastern Bay or the

Chester River (p = 0.03, d = 0.02; Fig. 7a). The mean infection intensity for *T. plebeius* was light

to moderate and was 1.57 times greater than that for *M. arenaria* (p < 0.001, d = 0.03; Fig. 7b).

Mean infection intensity for *T. plebeius* decreased by 16.3% with each unit increase in salinity,

and increased 21.2% with each unit increase in temperature (Table 2). Infection intensity

increased with *T. plebeius* size (3.6% for each mm of shell length; Table 2). Disease intensity for

T. plebeius and *M. arenaria* did not increase with bivalve density (Table 2).

Perkinsus sp. infection prevalence was low for *M. arenaria*, averaging 29.21% over the

course of the survey (2011-2013). Infection prevalence for *M. arenaria* was 1.19 times higher on

the Western Shore of Maryland than on the Eastern Shore in Eastern Bay or Chester River (p =

0.002, d = 0.03; Fig. 7c). *Perkinsus* sp. infection prevalence during the survey (2011-2013) was

2.17 times higher in *T. plebeius* (Fig. 7c) than in *M. arenaria* (Fig. 7d; p < 0.001, d = 0.06).

Mean infection prevalence for both bivalve species increased with temperature and dissolved

oxygen, and prevalence also increased with salinity for *M. arenaria* (Table 2). Infection

prevalence increased with bivalve size for *M. arenaria*, but not for *T. plebeius* (Table 2).

Infection prevalence and intensity were not related to *M. arenaria* or *T. plebeius* densities (Table

2). In the lower Bay, where 30 juvenile *M. arenaria* were assayed, hypnospores were present in

two individuals at very low infection intensities (ordinal rank 0.05).

Prevalence of infection was moderate to high between 2000 and 2013, with mean

infection rates of 68.46% for *M. arenaria* and 81.86% for *T. plebeius* (Fig. 8a). Across all years

in the time series, average prevalence of infection was similar between species (d = 0.25, p =

0.16), and average infection intensities were light and similar between *M. arenaria* and *T.*

plebeius (d = 0.04, p = 0.18). Infection prevalence and intensity were negatively correlated with

annual Susquehanna River discharge for *M. arenaria* (prevalence: coef. = -1.25$_E^{-5}$, t_9 = -3.40, p =

0.01; intensity: coef. = -2.28 $_E^{-5}$, t_9 = -2.31, p = 0.05) but not for *T. plebeius* (prevalence: coef. =

-6.99E^{-6}, $t_8 = -1.60$, $p = 0.15$; intensity: coef. $= -1.17\text{E}^{-5}$, $t_8 = -1.28$, $p = 0.24$; Fig. 8c). Infection

prevalence and intensity were not correlated with spring/summer temperature for *M. arenaria*

(prevalence: coef. $= 2.96\text{E}^{-2}$, $t_9 = -0.56$, $p = 0.59$; intensity: coef. $= 6.23\text{E}^{-2}$, $t_9 = 0.44$, $p = 0.67$) or

for *T. plebeius* (prevalence: coef. $= -2.51\text{E}^{-2}$, $t_8 = -0.40$, $p = 0.70$; intensity: coef. $= -9.95\text{E}^{-2}$, $t_8 = -$

0.76, $p = 0.47$).

DISCUSSION

As we hypothesized, the distributions of thin-shelled commercial species *Mya arenaria*

and *Tagelus plebeius* were positively associated with complex habitat. Densities of both *M.*

arenaria and *T. plebeius* were associated with habitats with a high degree of complexity

(seagrass and shell) as compared to some less-complex habitats (mud, sand, and gravel).

Complex habitats may be more favorable for these species because they increase rates of larval

settlement by baffling water currents (Heiss et al. 2010), provide increased food resources for

both suspension and facultative deposit-feeding species (Peterson et al. 1984), and provide

refuge from predators (Orth et al. 1984). However, this also implies that habitat loss may be an

important factor in the decline of *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*, as both seagrass and oyster reef

habitats are declining in Chesapeake Bay (Orth et al. 1984, 2006, Rothschild et al. 1994, Beck et

al. 2011, Lefcheck et al. 2017).

In agreement with our hypotheses, densities of *M. arenaria* were negatively associated

with increasing temperature. *Mya arenaria* is a cold-water species that is distributed from the

sub-arctic regions to North Carolina along Atlantic coasts of North America (Abraham & Dillon

1986, Maximovich & Guerassimova 2003). Typically *M. arenaria* survives well in temperatures

from 2 to 28 °C (Cohen 2005), with mortality usually occurring above 30 °C (Kennedy &

Mihursky 1971). It is expected that with global climate change, Chesapeake Bay may become

inhospitable for this species. The upper tolerance range for *M. arenaria* is frequently surpassed

in the summer in Chesapeake Bay, especially in shallow waters where this sampling effort took

place. High summer temperatures in particular are likely a major factor in the decline of *M.*

arenaria in lower Chesapeake Bay.

Bivalve presence/absence and density were not negatively associated with ray, blue crab,

or fish densities for either species, in contrast to our hypothesis, and horseshoe crabs were not

abundant enough to determine their relative contribution to bivalve mortality. However, the

snapshot in time provided by our predator and bivalve sampling may not reflect the legacy of

predator-prey interactions experienced by local clam populations (Thrush et al. 1997, Seitz &

Lipcius 2001, Seitz et al. 2016). Our seasonal time series for *M. arenaria* abundance in particular

seem to indicate that predation is an important factor in determining density. In both the upper

and lower Bay, densities of *M. arenaria* decline throughout the summer months when predation

peaks (Hines et al. 1990). Observed temporal trends also correspond with *M. arenaria*

reproductive behavior in Chesapeake Bay, where the fall spawn is more successful than the

spring spawn, due to decreased predation pressure in the winter months (Blundon & Kennedy

1982a, Baker & Mann 1991). Individuals spawned in the fall are able to settle and grow

throughout the winter, when risk of predation is minimal, and this new generation manifests as a

springtime spike in density. However, even the clams that survive until the spring are almost

completely consumed by predators each year, essentially resulting in an "annual crop" rather

than a stable population with a sustainable age distribution. The declines in *M. arenaria* in

summer may be associated with increasing temperature as well as predation, and we have

insufficient evidence from our snapshot in time to distinguish between effects of the two.

However, this effect persisted in both the upper and lower Bay, under different temperature

regimes, indicating that predation is likely a major driver of observed trends in *M. arenaria*

density.

Despite occupying a similar niche in Chesapeake Bay, *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*

exhibited different seasonal trends in densities. *Tagelus plebeius* spawns in the spring (Holland

& Dean 1977), and while a spring high-density event was noted in the upper Bay in 2012, the

lower Bay had relatively steady densities that did not show a clear recruitment signal or summer

crash. *Tagelus plebeius* in the lower Bay likely do not exhibit the same seasonal crashes in

abundance observed for *M. arenaria* because a robust adult *T. plebeius* population remains in

lower Chesapeake Bay throughout the seasons. This population allows for high densities of many

different size classes to exist at any given time. However, in the upper Bay, where densities are

much lower, seasonal spring recruitment was evident in time series, indicating very different

population dynamics in these two regions of Chesapeake Bay. *Tagelus plebeius* in the upper Bay

seem to exhibit annual population fluctuations more similar to those of *M. arenaria*. This may be

because of density-dependent mortality sources specific to the upper Bay, including fishing

pressure and disease, which work to keep upper Bay *T. plebeius* at low densities that are

sensitive to annual recruitment and predation.

Predation and low fecundity likely drive patterns in recruitment of *M. arenaria* in

Chesapeake Bay, which is characterized by very low recruitment with some pockets of locally

high recruitment, though studies examining recruitment in more locations would be necessary to

identify factors contributing to low recruitment. Although there are some cases of locally high

recruitment of *M. arenaria* in Virginia (Lovall et al. 2017), recruitment in the Rhode River,

Maryland, declined drastically since the 1980s and now is essentially zero. Predation has been

identified as the most important limiting factor in recruitment of *M. arenaria* in other regions

(Beal et al. 2001, Hunt & Mullineaux 2002, Beal 2006), and likely plays an important role in

Chesapeake Bay as well. In addition, low adult densities and small body sizes may limit

recruitment. *Mya arenaria* are highly fecund, but clams ~32 mm shell length (the most common

size encountered in the lower Bay during the survey) are rarely mature in the western Atlantic

(Brousseau 1978, Brousseau & Baglivo 1988). Clams ~46 mm shell length (the mean size of

clams in the upper Bay) are generally mature, though expected fecundity for clams of that size is

less than half the fecundity of clams >60 mm shell length (Brousseau 1978, Brousseau &

Baglivo 1988). Clams >60 mm shell length were rarely encountered in the upper Bay, and never

encountered in the lower Bay, indicating fecundity may be limited by age, and therefore body

size, in Chesapeake Bay *M. arenaria*.

In agreement with our hypothesis, *Perkinsus* sp. infection intensity and prevalence in

both species was positively associated with high temperatures, conditions that were considered

stressful for *M. arenaria* in particular. Impacts of dissolved oxygen on *Perkinsus* sp. infection

was less clear, though dissolved oxygen was generally within the tolerable range for *M. arenaria*

and *T. plebeius* and may not have been extreme enough to induce a stress response during the

course of the survey. Disease intensity and prevalence in *M. arenaria* was positively correlated

with salinity, and long-term intensities and prevalences of *Perkinsus* sp. infections in *M.*

arenaria were negatively associated with Susquehanna River discharge, a proxy of salinity.

Salinity preference of *Perkinsus* sp. may explain the long-term correlation between infection

intensities/prevalences and river discharge/salinity. *Perkinsus* sp. survives and proliferates best at

moderate to high salinities, depending on the species. At 28 °C, *Perkinsus chesapeaki* survival

and proliferation is optimal at moderate salinities 15-25‰ (La Peyre et al. 2006) or 14 ‰

(McLaughlin et al. 2000). In oysters, infection intensity and prevalence of a similar protist,

Perkinsus marinus, were both greater in higher salinity waters (Burreson & Calvo 1996). Thus, it

may be expected that *Perkinsus* sp. infection in *M. arenaria* would be greatest in high-salinity

(low flow) years and locations.

It is possible that disease dynamics do not drive trends in *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius*

density in space and time, as intensities of infections were generally low for both species. In

addition, infection intensity was associated with clam size (proxy of age), but not with clam

densities. Previous studies have also failed to find an association between *P. chesapeaki*

infection and mortality (Bushek et al. 2008). However, this study was unable to disentangle the

impact of disease on mortality (which would manifest as a negative relationship between bivalve

density and disease) from density-dependent disease dynamics (which would manifest as a

positive relationship between bivalve density and disease). To our knowledge, this study

documents the most complete record of *Perkinsus* sp. infection in *M. arenaria* and *T. plebeius* to

date, but impacts of disease mortalities on depleted clam populations of Chesapeake Bay remain

to be carefully evaluated by rigorous experimental investigations of disease pathogenesis and

outcomes.

The lack of information on *T. plebeius* long-term abundances is an impediment to

understanding the decline of this species and the consequences of this decline. Without landings

records or fisheries-independent indices of *T. plebeius* abundance, it is difficult to assess the

health of the population. In addition, basic population biology information for *T. plebeius*

populations in the coastal U.S. is often lacking. Investigations of some populations of *T. plebeius*

in Argentina (Lomovasky et al. 2016) and Brazil (da Silva et al. 2015) have established valuable

baselines for the species. The ecological and commercial importance of this species, as well as

its role harboring *Perkinsus* sp., warrant future studies on growth, reproduction, tolerance to

environmental conditions, disease-related mortality, and distribution within U.S. waters.

Conclusions

It is likely that habitat loss, low recruitment, and predators are major factors keeping *Mya*

arenaria and *Tagelus plebeius* at low densities in Chesapeake Bay, though continued harvest,

which was not addressed in this study, may play a role as well. We found no evidence suggesting

densities of *M. arenaria* or *T. plebeius* are negatively impacted by *Perkinsus* sp. infection

prevalence or intensity, though the direct effect of *Perkinsus* sp. infection on bivalve mortality

remains to be addressed. Extremely low densities of *M. arenaria*, decimated after tropical storm

Agnes in 1972 (Cory & Redding 1976, Haven et al. 1976), and a susceptibility of this thin-

shelled species to predation by blue crabs, likely fuel a feedback loop that leads to high per-

capita rates of predation, which works to keep *M. arenaria* populations at low levels. In recent

years, similar dynamics may have been at work in the upper Chesapeake Bay, where populations

of *T. plebeius* have reached low densities through fishing pressure or possibly disease. Since *M.*

arenaria and *T. plebeius* are preferred prey items for major predators such as *Callinectes sapidus*

and *Rhinoptera bonasus* (Blundon and Kennedy, 1982b; Fisher, 2010), it is unlikely that predator

switching will provide much relief. However, both species appear able to take advantage of

refuge provided by complex habitats. Habitats such as seagrass and shell allow both bivalve

species to persist at a low-density refuge, which may be stable. Further work should focus on

predator-prey interactions, elucidating the existence and stability of low-density refuge habitats,

the effect of population declines on exosystem services such as filtration, and the likelihood that

thin-shelled bivalve species may persist, recover, and sustain commercial fisheries in

Chesapeake Bay.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance given by the students and staff of the

Community Ecology and Marine Conservation Biology labs at the Virginia Institute of Marine

Science, many Maryland Department of Natural Resources staff members who collected and

analyzed clams for abundances and diseases during 2000-2009, and the Fish and Invertebrate

Ecology Lab at the Smithsonian Environmental Research Center. This material is based upon

work supported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration grant numbers

NA11NMF4570218 and NA07NMF4570326. C. Glaspie was supported by an Environmental

Protection Agency (EPA) Science to Achieve Results (STAR) Fellowship, grant number

FP91767501; and the National Science Foundation Administration GK-12 program, grant

number DGE-0840804. M. Ogburn was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship awarded by the

Smithsonian Environmental Research Center. This paper is contribution number XXXX from the

Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William & Mary.

LITERATURE CITED

Abraham BJ, Dillon PL (1986) Species profiles: Life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Mid-Atlantic)- softshell clam. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 82(11.68)

Abrahao JR, Cardoso RS, Yokoyama LQ, Amaral ACZ (2010) Population biology and secondary production of the stout razor clam *Tagelus plebeius* (Bivalvia, Solecurtidae) on a sandflat in southeastern Brazil. Zoologia 27:54–64

Baker PK, Mann R (1991) Soft shell clam. In: Funderburk S, Mihursky JA, Jordan SJ, Riley D (eds) Habitat Requirements for Chesapeake Bay Living Resources. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Annapolis, MD

Beal BF (2006) Relative importance of predation and intraspecific competition in regulating growth and survival of juveniles of the soft-shell clam, *Mya arenaria* L., at several spatial scales. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 336:1–17

Beal BF, Parker MR, Vencile KW (2001) Seasonal effects of intraspecific density and predator exclusion along a shore-level gradient on survival and growth of juveniles of the soft-shell clam, *Mya arenaria* L., in Maine, USA. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 264:133–169

Beck MW, Brumbaugh RD, Airoldi L, Carranza A, Coen LD, Crawford C, Defeo O, Edgar GJ, Hancock B, Kay MC, Lenihan HS, Luckenbach MW, Toropova CL, Zhang G, Guo X (2011) Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for conservation, restoration, and management. Bioscience 61:107–116

Blaylock RA (1993) Distribution and abundance of the cownose ray, *Rhinoptera bonasus*, in lower Chesapeake Bay. Estuaries 16:255–263

Blundon JA, Kennedy VS (1982a) Refuges for infaunal bivalves from blue crab, *Callinectes*

sapidus (Rathbun), predation in Chesapeake Bay. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 65:67–81

Blundon JA, Kennedy VS (1982b) Mechanical and behavioral aspects of blue crab, *Callinectes*

sapidus (Rathbun), predation on Chesapeake Bay bivalves. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 65:47–65

Botton ML (1984) The importance of predation by horseshoe crabs, *Limulus polyphemus*, to an

intertidal sand flat community. J Mar Res 42:139–161

Brousseau DJ (1978) Population dynamics of the soft-shell clam *Mya arenaria*. Mar Biol 50:63–

71

Brousseau DJ, Baglivo JA (1988) Life tables for two field populations of soft-shell clam, *Mya*

arenaria, (Mollusca: Pelecypoda) from Long Island Sound. Fish Bull 86:567–580

Burreson EM, Calvo LMR (1996) Epizootiology of *Perkinsus marinus* disease of oysters in

Chesapeake Bay, with emphasis on data since 1985. J Shellfish Res 15:17–34

Bushek D, Landau B, Scarpa E (2008) *Perkinsus chesapeaki* in stout razor clams Tagelus

plebeius from Delaware Bay. Dis Aquat Organ 78:243–247

Cohen AN (2005) Guide to the Exotic Species of San Francisco Bay. San Francisco Estuary

Institute, Oakland, CA

Cory RL, Redding MJ (1976) Mortalities caused by Tropical Storm Agnes to clams and oysters

in the Rhode River area of Chesapeake Bay. In: Anderson AM (ed) The Effects of Tropical

Storm Agnes on the Chesapeake Bay Estuarine System. The Johns Hopkins University

Press, Baltimore, MD, p 478–487

Cressie NAC (1993) Statistics for Spatial Data. Wiley, New York, NY

Dungan CF, Bushek D (2015) Development and applications of Ray's fluid thioglycollate media

for detection and manipulation of *Perkinsus* spp. pathogens of marine molluscs. J Invertebr

Pathol 131:68–82

Dungan CF, Hamilton RM, Hudson KL, McCollough CB, Reece KS (2002) Two epizootic

diseases in Chesapeake Bay commercial clams, *Mya arenaria* and *Tagelus plebeius*. Dis

Aquat Organ 50:67–78

Efron B, Tibshirani RJ (1993) An Introduction to the Bootstrap. Chapman & Hall, New York,

NY

Eggleston DB, Lipcius RN, Hines AH (1992) Density-dependent predation by blue crabs upon

infaunal clam species with contrasting distribution and abundance patterns. Mar Ecol Prog

Ser 85:55–68

Encomio VG, Stickler SM, Allen SK, Chu F-L (2005) Performance of "natural dermo-resistant"

oyster stocks — survival, disease, growth, condition and energy reserves. J Shellfish Res

24:143–155

Farley CA, Plutschak DL, Scott RF (1991) Epizootiology and distribution of transmissible

sarcoma in Maryland softshell clams, *Mya arenaria*, 1984-1988. Environ Health Perspect

90:35–41

Fisher RA (2010) Life history, trophic ecology, and prey handling by cownose ray, *Rhinoptera*

bonasus, from Chesapeake Bay. Gloucester Point, VA

Forster S, Zettler ML (2004) The capacity of the filter-feeding bivalve *Mya arenaria* L. to affect

water transport in sandy beds. Mar Biol 144:1183–1189

Gedan KB, Kellogg L, Breitburg DL (2014) Accounting for multiple foundation species in

oyster reef restoration benefits. Restor Ecol 22:517–524

Goeij P de, Luttikhuizen PC, Meer J van der, Piersma T (2001) Facilitation on an intertidal

mudflat: The effect of siphon nipping by flatfish on burying depth of the bivalve *balthica*.

Oecologia 126:500–506

Haven DS (1970) A study of the hard and soft clam resources of Virginia: Annual contract report

for the period 1 July 1969 through 30 June 1970. Gloucester Point, VA

Haven DS, Hargis WJ, Loesch JG, Whitcomb JP (1976) The effect of Tropical Storm Agnes on

oysters, hard clams, soft clams, and oyster drills in Virginia. In: Anderson AM (ed) The

Effects of Tropical Storm Agnes on the Chesapeake Bay Estuarine System. The Johns

Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, p 488–508

Heiss WM, Smith AM, Probert PK (2010) Influence of the small intertidal seagrass *Zostera*

novazelandica on linear water flow and sediment texture. New Zeal J Mar Freshw Res

34:689–694

Hines A, Haddon A, Wiechert L (1990) Guild structure and foraging impact of blue crabs and

epibenthic fish in a sub-estuary of Chesapeake Bay. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 67:105–126

Hines AH, Whitlatch RB, Thrush SF, Hewitt JE, Cummings VJ, Dayton PK, Legendre P (1997)

Nonlinear foraging response of a large marine predator to benthic prey: Eagle ray pits and

bivalves in a New Zealand sandflat. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 216:191–210

Hirsch RM (2012) Flux of nitrogen, phosphorus, and suspended sediment from the Susquehanna

River Basin to the Chesapeake Bay during Tropical Storm Lee, September 2011, as an

indicator of the effects of reservoir sedimentation on water quality.

Holland AF, Dean JM (1977) The biology of the stout razor clam *Tagelus plebeius*: II. Some

aspects of the population dynamics. Chesap Sci 18:188–196

Homer ML, Dungan CF, Tarnowski ML (2011) Assessment of Chesapeake Bay commercial

softshell clams *Mya arenaria* and *Tagelus plebeius*. Report to NOAA Chesapeake Bay

Fisheries Science Program, Award NA07NMF4570326

Hunt HL, Mullineaux LS (2002) The roles of predation and postlarval transport in recruitment of

the soft shell clam (*Mya arenaria*). Limnol Oceanogr 47:151–164

Hyer P V., Ruzecki EP (1976) Changes in salinity structure of the James, York and

Rappahannock estuaries resulting from the effects of Tropical Storm Agnes. In: Ruzecki EP

(ed) The Effects of Tropical Storm Agnes on the Chesapeake Bay Estuarine System. The

Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, p 66–80

Hyndman RJ, Khandakar Y (2008) Automatic time series forecasting: The forecast package for

R. J Stat Softw 26

Kemp WM, Batleson R, Bergstrom P, Carter V, Gallegos CL, Hunley W, Karrh L, Koch EW,

Landwehr JM, Moore KA, Murray L, Naylor M, Rybicki NB, Court Stevenson J, Wilcox

DJ (2004) Habitat requirements for submerged aquatic vegetation in Chesapeake Bay:

Water quality, light regime, and physical-chemical factors. Estuaries 27:363–377

Kemp WM, Boynton WR, Adolf JE, Boesch DF, Boicourt WC, Brush G, Cornwell JC, Fisher

TR, Glibert PM, Hagy JD, Harding LW, Houde ED, Kimmel DG, Miller WD, Newell RIE,

Roman MR, Smith EM, Stevenson JC (2005) Eutrophication of Chesapeake Bay: Historical

trends and ecological interactions. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 303:1–29

Kennedy VS, Mihursky JA (1971) Upper temperature tolerances of some estuarine bivalves.

Chesap Sci 12:193–204

Kohn R, Schimek MG, Smith M (2002) Spline and kernel regression for dependent data. In:

Schimekk MG (ed) Smoothing and Regression: Approaches, Computation and Application.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Kunz M, Mühr B, Kunz-Plapp T, Daniell JE, Khazai B, Wenzel F, Vannieuwenhuyse M, Comes

T, Elmer F, Schröter K, Fohringer J, Münzberg T, Lucas C, Zschau J (2013) Investigation

of superstorm Sandy 2012 in a multi-disciplinary approach. Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci

13:2579–2598

Lee WJ (2010) Intensive use of an intertidal mudflat by foraging adult American horseshoe crabs

Limulus polyphemus in the Great Bay estuary, New Hampshire. Curr Zool 56:611–617

Lefcheck JS, Wilcox DJ, Murphy RR, Marion SR, Orth RJ (2017) Multiple stressors threaten the

imperiled coastal foundation species eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) in Chesapeake Bay, USA.

Glob Chang Biol:1–11

Lomovasky BJ, Brey T, Klügel A, Iribarne O (2016) Distribution pattern, density and growth of

the stout razor clam *Tagelus plebeius* in a South-west Atlantic estuarine system. J Mar Biol

Assoc United Kingdom

Lovall CD, Seitz RD, Knick KE (2017) Direct and indirect impacts of shoreline development on

shallow-water benthic communities in a depauperate estuarine system. Bull Mar Sci

93:715–741

Mann R, Powell EN (2007) Why oyster restoration goals in the Chesapeake Bay are not and

probably cannot be achieved. J Shellfish Res 26:905–917

Maximovich N V., Guerassimova A V. (2003) Life history characteristics of the clam *Mya*

arenaria in the White Sea. Helgol Mar Res 57:91–99

McLaughlin SM, Elsayed EE, Faisal M (2000) Analysis of extracellular proteins of two

Perkinsus spp. isolated from the softshell clam *Mya arenaria* in vitro. Comp Biochem

Physiol Part B Biochem Mol Biol 126:587–598

Moore KA, Jarvis JC (2008) Eelgrass diebacks in the lower Chesapeake Bay: Implications for

long-term persistence. J Coast Res 55:135–147

National Marine Fisheries Service (2016) Fisheries of the United States 2015.

Newell CL (1982) The effects of sediment type on growth rate and shell allometry in the soft

shelled clam *Mya arenaria* L. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 65:285–295

Orth RJ, Carruthers TJB, Dennison WC, Duarte CM, Fourqurean JW, Heck KL, Hughes AR,

Kendrick GA, Kenworthy WJ, Olyarnik S, Short FT, Waycott M, Williams SL (2006) A

global crisis for seagrass ecosystems. Bioscience 56:987

Orth RJ, Heck, Jr. KL, Montfrans J van (1984) Faunal communities in seagrass beds: A review

of the influence of plant structure and prey characteristics on predator-prey relatonships.

Estuaries 7:339–350

Orth RJ, Marion SR, Moore K a., Wilcox DJ (2010) Eelgrass (*Zostera marina L.*) in the

Chesapeake Bay Region of Mid-Atlantic Coast of the USA: Challenges in conservation and

restoration. Estuaries and Coasts 33:139–150

Orth RJ, McGlathery KJ (2012) Eelgrass recovery in the coastal bays of the Virginia Coast

Reserve, USA. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 448:173–176

Peterson CH, Summerson HC, Duncan PB (1984) The influence of seagrass cover on population

structure and individual growth rate of a suspension-feeding bivalve, *Mercenaria*

mercenaria. J Mar Res 42:123–138

Peyre M La, Casas S, Peyre J La (2006) Salinity effects on viability, metabolic activity and

proliferation of three *Perkinsus* species. Dis Aquat Organ 71:59–74

R Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Ray SM (1954) Biological studies of *Dermocystidium marinus*, a fungus parasite of oysters. Rice

University

Ray SM (1966) A review of the culture method for detecting *Dermocystidium marinum*, with

suggested modifications and precautions. Proc Natl Shellfish Assoc 54:55–69

Reece KS, Dungan CF, Burreson EM (2008) Molecular epizootiology of *Perkinsus marinus* and

P. chesapeaki infections among wild oysters and clams in Chesapeake Bay, USA. Dis

Aquat Organ 82:237–248

Rothschild BJ, Ault JS, Goulletquer P, Héral M (1994) Decline of the Chesapeake Bay oyster

population: A century of habitat destruction and overfishing. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 111:29–39

Sanford LP (1990) Covariability of dissolved oxygen with physical processes in the summertime

Chesapeake Bay. J Mar Res 48:567–590

Schubel JR (1976) Effects of Agnes on the suspended sediment on the Chesapeake Bay and

contiguous shelf waters. In: Schubel JR (ed) The Effects of Tropical Storm Agnes on the

Chesapeake Bay Estuarine System. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, p

179–200

Schubel JR, Carter HH, Cronin WB (1976) Effects of Agnes on the distribution of salinity along

the main axis of the Bay and in contiguous shelf waters. In: Ruzecki EP (ed) The Effects of

Tropical Storm Agnes on the Chesapeake Bay Estuarine System. The Johns Hopkins

University Press, Baltimore, MD, p 33–65

Seitz R, Lipcius R (2001) Variation in top-down and bottom-up control of marine bivalves at

differing spatial scales. ICES J Mar Sci 58:689–699

Seitz R, Lipcius R, Hines A (2017) Consumer versus resource control and the importance of

habitat heterogeneity for marine bivalves. Oikos 126:121–135

Seitz RD, Lipcius RN, Hines AH, Eggleston DB (2001) Density-dependent predation, habitat

variation, and the persistence of marine bivalve prey. Ecology 82:2435–2451

Seitz RD, Lipcius RN, Knick KE, Seebo MS, Long WC, Brylawski BJ, Smith A (2008) Stock

enhancement and carrying capacity of blue crab nursery habitats in Chesapeake Bay. Rev

Fish Sci 16:329–337

Seitz RD, Lipcius RN, Seebo MS (2005) Food availability and growth of the blue crab in

seagrass and unvegetated nurseries of Chesapeake Bay. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 319:57–68

Silva CF da, Corte GN, Yokoyama LQ, Abrahão JR, Amaral ACZ (2015) Growth, mortality, and

reproduction of *Tagelus plebeius* (Bivalvia: Solecurtidae) in Southeast Brazil. Helgol Mar

Res 69:1–12

Skilleter G (1994) Refuges from predation and the persistence of estuarine clam populations.

Mar Ecol Prog Ser 109:29–42

Smith GF, Roach EB, Bruce DG (2003) The location, composition, and origin of oyster bars in

mesohaline Chesapeake Bay. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 56:391–409

Sponaugle S, Lawton P (1990) Portunid crab predation on juvenile hard clams: Effects of

substrate type and prey density. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 67:43–53

Thiet RK, Smith SM, Rubino V, Clark R, Lee K, Oset J (2014) Soft shell clams (*Mya arenaria*)

contribute to macroalgal blooms in a partially-restored Gulf of Maine back-barrier lagoon.

Ecol Restor 32:46–58

Thrush SF, Cummings VJ, Dayton PK, Ford R, Grant J, Hewitt JE, Hines AH, Lawrie SM,

Pridmore RD, Legendre P, McArdle BH, Schneider DC, Turner SJ, Whitlatch RB,

Wilkinson MR (1997) Matching the outcome of small-scale density manipulation

experiments with larger scale patterns an example of bivalve adult/juvenile interactions. J

Exp Mar Bio Ecol 216:153–169

Wright LD, Prior DB, Hobbs CH, Byrne RJ, Boon JD, Schaffner LC, Green MO (1987) Spatial

variability of bottom types in the lower Chesapeake Bay and adjoining estuaries and inner

shelf. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 24:765–784

TABLES

Table 1. Locations and dates of suction sampling in the lower Chesapeake Bay and hydraulic

dredge sampling in the Upper Chesapeake Bay. Sites (latitude = Lat. and longitude = Lon.) were

each sampled on multiple dates, listed here for each river. Means are shown for temperature

(Temp., °C), salinity (Sal.) and dissolved oxygen (DO, mg L^{-1}).

Region	River	Lat.	Lon.	Temp.	Sal.	DO	Dates
Lower Bay	Lynnhaven River	36.88367	-76.01586	24.25	22.25	7.27	11/9/11
		36.89569	-76.08216	22.35	22.87	7.77	4/30/12
							7/12/12
		36.89569	-76.10185	22.29	23.21	7.67	10/4/12
		36.89872	-76.04659	23.55	23.18	7.82	5/1/13
							7/23/13
	Mobjack Bay	37.29100	-76.38210	21.20	19.06	8.99	11/3/11
		37.31217	-76.40636	21.62	18.82	8.53	4/20/12
							7/30/12
		37.34371	-76.41560	21.76	18.84	8.63	10/17/12
		37.37065	-76.36165	21.54	19.36	8.53	4/30/13
							7/15/13
	York River	37.26266	-76.39778	22.87	20.33	8.21	11/2/11
		37.28126	-76.58297	22.41	17.40	7.46	4/19/12
							7/10/12
		37.41419	-76.67194	23.17	12.94	8.41	9/13/12
		37.43980	-76.70376	22.78	12.13	7.08	4/24/13
							7/10/13
Upper Bay	Chester River	38.93225	-76.22830	23.8	12.36	9.35	10/25/11
		38.99975	-76.20897	22.20	11.80	8.60	4/20/12
							7/26/12
		39.04367	-76.18493	22.71	11.71	7.70	10/23/12
		39.08914	-76.15221	22.35	10.80	6.80	6/11/13
							9/18/13
	Eastern Bay	38.83910	-76.24934	22.97	13.33	8.43	10/18/11
		38.87986	-76.33017	22.66	13.34	8.14	5/31/12
		38.90133	-76.25754	22.22	13.23	8.28	7/31/12
		38.91160	-76.25772	23.63	12.94	7.70	6/18/13
		38.94111	-76.25729	23.65	12.54	7.75	9/20/13
	Western Shore	38.86741	-76.51770	22.49	11.73	7.06	11/1/11
		38.86819	-76.50999	21.68	11.21	7.01	4/20/12
		38.88224	-76.48127	22.04	12.33	6.96	8/2/12
		38.89855	-76.43542	26.80	13.20	8.60	10/24/12
		38.90902	-76.45731	21.71	11.84	7.11	7/11/13
		38.93742	-76.43924	24.01	11.76	6.50	9/25/13
		38.98781	-76.41432	22.78	12.95	7.94	
		38.9808	-76.44611	22.95	13.05	7.77	
		39.01121	-76.39606	22.82	12.65	7.58	

Table 2. Generalized additive model (GAM) coefficients and standard deviations (in parentheses) for presence/absence (P/A), density,

Perkinsus sp. infection intensity (average ordinal intensity rank of infected individuals) and prevalence (Dis. Prevalence) of infection

(proportion of individuals infected) models for *Mya arenaria* and *Tagelus plebeius*. Transformations (either log or logit) are indicated

next to the variable name and coefficients are not back transformed. Parametric predictor variables were temperature (temp., °C),

salinity (Sal.), dissolved oxygen (DO, mg L^{-1}), ray pit density (rays m^{-2}), crab density (crabs m^{-2}), fish density (fish m^{-2}), and habitat

(gravel [GR], mud [MU], sand [SA], shell [SH], and seagrass [included in the intercept, int.]). Disease GAMs also included bivalve

length (mm), and bivalve density (m^{-2}). Coefficients significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ are bolded.

	Mya arenaria				Tagelus plebeius			
	logit(P/A)	log(Density)	log(Intensity)	Dis. Prevalence	logit(P/A)	log(Density)	log(Intensity)	Dis. Prevalence
Int.	147.01 (62.41)	6.11 (7.09)	**-21.90 (2.09)**	**-23.01 (2.10)**	12.14 (5.45)	-4.56 (4.22)	**-4.23 (1.73)**	-3.18 (1.70)
Temp.	-4.79 (2.34)	-0.27 (0.29)	**0.44 (0.12)**	**0.60 (0.07)**	-0.28 (0.16)	0.01 (0.11)	**0.19 (0.07)**	**0.14 (0.07)**
Sal.	-1.27 (0.75)	0.17 (0.17)	**0.27 (0.13)**	**0.48 (0.04)**	-0.29 (0.16)	0.31 (0.14)	**-0.18 (0.06)**	0.06 (0.05)
DO	-0.55 (0.78)	-0.32 (0.16)	**0.54 (0.06)**	**0.19 (0.03)**	0.22 (0.17)	-0.04 (0.1)	-0.03 (0.03)	**0.10 (0.03)**
Rays	-7.94 (15.51)	0.09 (1.76)	NA	NA	1.45 (2.89)	0.63 (1.25)	NA	NA
Crabs	0.34 (0.77)	-0.04 (0.37)	NA	NA	-0.09 (0.18)	-0.01 (0.15)	NA	NA
Fish	-0.37 (0.65)	0.10 (0.17)	NA	NA	-0.12 (0.15)	-0.15 (0.12)	NA	NA
Habitat	SG & SH v.	SG & SH v.	NA	NA	GR: -0.46 (1.32)	GR: 0.15 (0.97)	NA	NA
	MU, SA & GR	MU, SA & GR			MU: -2.46 (1.48)	MU: 1.27 (0.96)	NA	NA
	-5.97 (2.82)	-0.77 (0.38)			SA: -1.37 (0.81)	SA: 1.68 (0.65)	NA	NA
					SH: -2.42 (0.69)	SH: 0.13 (0.59)	NA	NA
Bivalve length	NA	NA	**0.06 (0.01)**	**$2.89\mathrm{E}^{-2}$ ($4.01\mathrm{E}^{-3}$)**	NA	NA	**0.04 (0.01)**	-0.02 (0.01)
Bivalve density	NA	NA	$8.13\mathrm{E}^{-5}$ ($2.26\mathrm{E}^{-3}$)	$4.11\mathrm{E}^{-4}$ ($1.62\mathrm{E}^{-3}$)	NA	NA	$1.78\mathrm{E}^{-3}$ ($3.52\mathrm{E}^{-3}$)	$1.04\mathrm{E}^{-3}$ ($2.92\mathrm{E}^{-3}$)

Figure 1. (a) *Mya arenaria* abundance (± S.E.) and fishery landings (solid line) for the period

1951-2015, with inset showing amplification of abundance and landings for 2000-2015.

Abundance data (shaded bars) are from Maryland DNR (2001-2008) and SERC (2011-2013)

fishery-independent escalator dredge sampling. Landings are for the Maryland and Virginia

portions of Chesapeake Bay combined (NMFS Commercial Landings Database). Vertical dashed

line represents Tropical Storm Agnes (1972). Data source: NMFS Commercial Landings

Database. (b) *Tagelus plebeius* abundance (± S.E.) from Maryland DNR (2001-2008) and SERC

(2011-2013) fishery-independent escalator dredge sampling. Note differences in y-axis ranges.

Figure 2. Mean density (m^{-2}) of (a) *Mya arenaria* and (b) *Tagelus plebeius* captured in suction

and hydraulic dredge samples between fall 2011 and summer 2013. Point size is a linear function

of mean density at each site: Radius = (0.25 x Density) + 1

837 Figure 3. Average shell length in lower and upper Chesapeake Bay for (a) *Mya arenaria* and (b)

838 *Tagelus plebeius*, with boxes from the first to third quartile and a horizontal line at the median.

839 Whiskers extend from the lowest data point that is still within 1.5 inter-quartile range (IQR) of

840 the lower quartile, to the highest data point still within 1.5 IQR of the upper quartile, and data

841 outside this range are shown as circle symbols.

Figure 4. Mean densities and standard errors for (a) *Mya arenaria* and (b) *Tagelus plebeius* from fall 2011 through fall 2013 for the lower Bay (black) and upper Bay (white). NA = not available (not sampled). For the lower Bay, N = 36 for all seasons. For the upper Bay, N = 62, 51, 67, 59, NA, 43, 67.

Figure 5. Seasonal trends in density for (a) *Mya arenaria* and (b) *Tagelus plebeius* in different

substrates (shown in different color bars) and seasons. Error bars denote standard error. In the

fall, N = 15, 25, 92, 17, 90. In the spring, N = 7, 6, 35, 23, 44. In the summer, N = 10, 26, 55, 24,

53.

Figure 6. Mean annual *Mya arenaria* recruitment from fishery-independent core sampling in the

Rhode River, Maryland (1980-2016). Recruitment data include only clams ≤ 10 mm shell length.

Shown are means of two sampling sites (bars), standard deviation (error bars) and fit from

ARIMA model (solid black line). No clams were captured in samples after 2005.

Figure 7. Average *Perkinsus* sp. (a) infection intensity (average ordinal intensity rank of

individuals 0-5) for *Mya arenaria*, (b) infection intensity for *Tagelus plebeius*, (c) prevalence of

infection (proportion of individuals infected) for *M. arenaria*, and (d) prevalence of infection for

T. plebeius for the upper Chesapeake Bay from hydraulic dredge samples collected between fall

2011 and fall 2013. Point size is a linear function of mean disease intensity (Radius = [2.5 x

Intensity] + 1) or mean disease prevalence (Radius = [3.0 x Prevalence] + 1) at each site.

Figure 8. Time series of *Perkinsus* sp. (a) mean annual infection intensity (average ordinal

intensity rank) and (b) mean annual prevalence (proportion of individuals infected) for *Mya*

arenaria (black) and *Tagelus plebeius* (white) from 2000-2013. Error bars indicate standard

error. Also shown is (c) average annual Susquehanna River discharge as a proxy for salinity

(from the USGS National Water Information System). NA = not available (not sampled). See

supplementary data files for sample sizes.

     SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

888   Supplementary Figure 1. Relationship between density of (a) *Mya arenaria* and (b) *Tagelus*

889   *plebeius* in suction samples and hydraulic dredge samples, including equations from simple

890   linear regressions.

894 Supplementary Figure 2. Size (length) density histograms for *Mya arenaria* captured in (a)

895 suction samples and (b) hydraulic dredge samples.

903   Supplemental Figure 3.   Lower Chesapeake Bay biomass (ash-free dry weight) of (a) *Mya*

904   *arenaria* and (b) *Tagelus plebeius* captured in suction samples between fall 2011 and summer

905   2013. Point size is a linear function of mean biomass at each site: Radius = (4.0 x Density) + 1.