bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/224204; this version posted January 22, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

1	E. coli translation strategies differ across nutrient conditions
2	
3	
4 5	<b>Authors:</b> Sophia Hsin-Jung Li <sup>1</sup> , Zhiyuan Li <sup>2</sup> , Junyoung O. Park <sup>3,4</sup> , Christopher G. King <sup>5</sup> , Joshua D. Rabinowitz <sup>3,6</sup> , Ned S. Wingreen <sup>*1,3</sup> , and Zemer Gitai <sup>*1</sup>
6	Affiliations:
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Molecular Biology,
8	<sup>2</sup> Princeton Center for Theoretical Science,
9	<sup>3</sup> Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics,
10	<sup>4</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering,
11	<sup>5</sup> Department of Physics, and
12	<sup>6</sup> Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA.
13	
14 15	*Correspondence to: wingreen@princeton.edu, zgitai@princeton.edu

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/224204; this version posted January 22, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

#### 16 Abstract

17 For cells to grow faster they must increase their protein production rate. Microorganisms have traditionally been thought to accomplish this increase by producing more ribosomes to 18 19 enhance protein synthesis capacity, leading to the linear relationship between ribosome level and growth rate observed under most growth conditions previously examined. Past studies have 20 21 suggested that this linear relationship represents an optimal resource allocation strategy for 22 each growth rate, independent of any specific nutrient state. Here we investigate protein production strategies in continuous cultures limited for carbon, nitrogen, and phosphate, which 23 differentially impact substrate supply for protein versus nucleic acid metabolism. Unexpectedly, 24 25 we find that at slow growth rates, *E. coli* achieves the same protein production rate using three 26 different strategies under the three different nutrient limitations. Upon phosphate (P) limitation, translation is slow due to a particularly low abundance of ribosomes, which are RNA-rich and 27 thus particularly costly for phosphorous-limited cells. In nitrogen (N) limitation, translation is 28 slowed by limited glutamine and stalling at glutamine codons, resulting is slow elongation. In 29 30 carbon (C) limitation, translation is slowed by accumulation of inactive ribosomes not bound to mRNA. These extra ribosomes enable rapid growth acceleration upon nutrient upshift. Thus, 31 bacteria tune ribosome usage across different limiting nutrients to enable balanced nutrient-32 limited growth while also preparing for future nutrient upshifts. 33

#### 34 Introduction

Resource allocation during growth is a fundamental challenge faced by all cells<sup>1-4</sup>. For example, with a fixed resource budget, cells must balance production of the machinery that makes proteins (ribosomes, tRNAs, translation factors) with the production of the proteins themselves. This balance is generally represented by the RNA:protein ratio (R/P ratio)<sup>5</sup>. The R/P ratio captures protein production capacity, as >95% of total RNA is devoted to translation (rRNAs and tRNAs<sup>5,6</sup>). In single-cell organisms like *E. coli*, previous studies demonstrated that

there is a linear relationship between R/P ratio and growth rate, with faster growth rates 41 requiring more protein production capacity and therefore higher R/P ratios<sup>5,7,8</sup>. Production of 42 ribosomes is costly as each contains 52 protein subunits and three large rRNAs<sup>9,10</sup>; hence, it is 43 44 advantageous for the cell to saturate ribosomes with substrates. In this efficient ribosome 45 scenario, the ribosome level should be fixed and independent of nutrient conditions for any growth rate, with the only way to increase protein synthesis rate being to increase the number of 46 47 ribosomes<sup>1,5,11</sup>. One surprise for such a seemingly optimized system is that multiple studies have demonstrated that at slow growth rates E. coli accumulates inactive ribosomes<sup>12</sup>. There 48 are two possible explanations for the presence of inactive ribosomes. First, it is possible that E. 49 coli translation is constrained in such a way that it cannot function when ribosome levels drop 50 too low<sup>12</sup>. Alternatively, *E. coli* could regulate ribosome production independently of growth rate. 51 52 Here we settle this debate by showing that *E. coli* ribosome production and usage differ across 53 nutrient conditions.

54 Results

# Phosphate-limited cells achieve the same growth rate with fewer ribosomes than Carbon or Nitrogen-limited cells

To determine the generality of the relationship between growth rate and ribosome 57 58 content, we examined how the R/P ratio changes as a function of growth upon different nutrient limitations. We measured R/P ratios in *E. coli* under glucose- (C, carbon), ammonia- (N, 59 nitrogen), and phosphate- (P, phosphorous) limitations over a range of different growth rates in 60 chemostats (Figure 1A). Surprisingly, P-limited cells consistently exhibited lower R/P ratios than 61 C-limited or N-limited cells, with a roughly 2-fold difference at the slowest growth rate tested (0.1 62  $h^{-1}$ , Figure 1B). Measured protein levels were similar in all cells regardless of growth rate or 63 64 nutrient limitation (Figure S1A-B), indicating that the changes in the R/P ratios reflect changes in ribosome availability. 65

The finding that E. coli can grow at the same rate with a lower R/P ratio implies that P-66 limited cells make the same amount of protein with fewer ribosomes, i.e. use ribosomes more 67 efficiently. Thus, C/N-limited E, coli cells do not use ribosomes with optimal efficiency and their 68 69 supply of "extra" ribosomes cannot be ascribed to requirements for productive translation. Since RNA accounts for two-thirds of the mass of bacterial ribosomes<sup>9,14</sup>, producing fewer ribosomes 70 upon phosphate-limitation makes sense as a way for cells to deal with a limitation that 71 preferentially reduces an elemental substrate needed to make RNA but not protein. This effect 72 may be a direct consequence of low phosphate resulting in limited nucleotide pools and thus 73 slow RNA synthesis, as deletion of phosphate sensing or storage systems, phoB<sup>15</sup> or ppk<sup>16</sup>, did 74 not alter the R/P ratio (Figure S1C-D). 75

# *N-limited ribosomes translate slowly while C-limited cells accumulate more mRNA-free ribosomes*

78 Why do C/N-limited cells accumulate so many ribosomes if P-limited cells can achieve 79 the same protein synthesis rates with fewer ribosomes? One possibility is that the ribosomes in these cells translate slowly. We thus used a *lacZ* induction assay to compare the translation 80 elongation rates of slow-growing C-, N-, and P-limited cells (0.1 h<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>17,18</sup>. We observed a reduced 81 elongation rate in N-limited cells compared to C- and P-limited cells but no difference between 82 83 C- and P-limited cells (Figure 1C). Thus, N-limited cells may need higher ribosome numbers to compensate for their slow translation elongation, but something else must explain the elevated 84 85 ribosome numbers in C-limited cells.

To characterize ribosome pools we performed polysome profiling, which separates ribosome species using a sucrose gradient<sup>19</sup>. Regardless of the growth condition, all cells exhibited similar fractional pools of dissociated 30S and 50S subunits (Figure 1D). In contrast, the fraction of 70S monosomes was significantly larger in C/N-limited cells than in P-limited cells (Figure 1D). Since growth rate is proportional to protein synthesis rate, growth rate can be estimated by the product of the number of active ribosomes and the translation elongation rate.
However, using the assumption that all 70S monosomes are active yielded very different growth
rate estimates for C-, N-, and P-limited cells (Figure 1F and SI), which is inconsistent with the
fact that these cells are growing at the same rate and have the same protein content. This
inconsistency suggested that a fraction of the 70S ribosomes may not be active.

96 The mass of a single mRNA is small relative to the mass of a ribosome, such that 70S 97 monosomes could represent either mRNAs with only one ribosome per transcript or inactive "free" ribosomes that are not associated with an mRNA. To distinguish free and mRNA-bound 98 70S monosomes, we utilized their differential sensitivity to high potassium levels (170 mM)<sup>20</sup>. 99 100 High potassium causes free ribosomes to shift to a lower density but does not shift the density of mRNA-bound monosomes<sup>20</sup>. We thus designed a high-resolution "free-ribosome profiling" 101 method to resolve this density shift (Figure S2). As controls, we confirmed that our assay 102 detects the potassium-dependent shift of 70S monosomes induced by puromycin (Figure S2), 103 which releases elongating ribosomes from their associated mRNAs<sup>21</sup>. There was no potassium-104 105 dependent shift detected in fast-growing cells that lack free ribosomes (Figure S2).

By combining traditional and free-ribosome polysome profiling, we quantified the relative 106 107 fractions of all ribosome species in slow-growing C-, N-, and P-limited E. coli. The fraction of 108 free monosomes was roughly 3-fold greater in the C-limited cells than in the P-limited cells, while the fraction of mRNA-bound monosomes remained relatively constant across nutrient 109 110 limitations (Figure 1E). The accumulation of free 70S monosomes in C- and N-limited cells appears to be independent of a previously-described RaiA-dependent mechanism for ribosome 111 storage as deletion of raiA had no impact on R/P ratios or polysome profiles (Figure S3). 112 113 Importantly, revising our protein synthesis rate estimates to account for the fraction of inactive 70S monosomes yielded similar values for all cells, regardless of nutrient limitation (Figure 1F). 114 115 These results both validate our experimental measurements and suggest that in different

nutrient states, *E. coli* differentially tune ribosome number, translation rate, and active fraction to
 produce proteins at the same rates (Figure 2A).

#### 118 **Quantitative modeling describes three different strategies of ribosome dynamics to**

119 achieve the same protein production rate

120 To better understand nutrient-dependent ribosome dynamics, we probed translation in slow-growing C-, N-, and P-limited cells by ribosome profiling. Analysis of ribosome densities as 121 a function of distance from the start and stop codons revealed higher ribosome occupancy near 122 the start codon (Figure 2B). Ribosome density thereafter was similar, with no decrease in 123 124 ribosome density between the first and second halves of genes (Figures 2B and S4A), 125 suggesting that there is little to no aborted translation after the first few codons. We quantified ribosome dynamics by building a simple macroscopic model (Figure 2C, S4B-E and details in 126 SI). In this model, unbound ribosomes  $(R_u)$  can bind to an mRNA with a free ribosomal binding 127 site (with rate constant  $k_{\rm f}$ ) to become initiating ribosomes ( $R_{\rm i}$ ). The initiating ribosomes can 128 proceed to elongation (with rate constant  $k_{\rm p}$ ) to become working ribosomes ( $R_{\rm w}$ ), or can abort 129 translation (with rate constant  $k_r$ ). Working ribosomes elongate to finish translation and become 130 unbound (with rate constant  $k_{el}/N_{aa}$ ), where  $N_{aa}$  is the length of an average protein in amino 131 acids. We defined the fraction of ribosomes bound to the first 10 codons as initiating ribosomes 132 since the ribosome footprint size is  $\sim 10 \text{ codons}^{22,23}$ . To validate our model we used it to 133 calculate elongation rates, which closely agreed with those we measured experimentally (Figure 134 S5A). 135

Analysis of our model indicated that the system can be characterized by two main dimensionless parameters: the "relative proceeding rate", defined as the ratio between the rate of ribosomes proceeding from initiation to elongation ( $k_p$ , s<sup>-1</sup>) and the rate of elongation ( $k_{el}/N_{aa}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>); and the "saturation parameter" ( $R_t/(K_m+R_t)$ ), reflecting the degree of saturation of ribosome binding sites on mRNAs, where  $R_t$  is the total ribosome number and  $K_m = (k_r + k_p)/k_f$  (Figures 141 2D-E and S5B-C). Fitting the measured ribosome densities, translation elongation rates, and 142 pool sizes of ribosome species to the macroscopic model revealed that P-limited cells have the highest relative proceeding rate while C-limited cells have the lowest saturation parameter, and 143 144 N-limited cells have intermediate values for both parameters (Figures 2D-E and S5B-H). Thus, 145 C-, N-, and P-limited cells produce proteins at the same rate using three different strategies: Plimited cells have few ribosomes that are mostly active and elongate rapidly, N-limited cells 146 147 have more ribosomes but fewer are active and they elongate slowly, and C-limited cells have many ribosomes, which elongate rapidly, but even fewer are bound to mRNA (Figure 2F and 148 S5I). 149

#### 150 **N-limited ribosomal regulation is mediated by RelA, the ppGpp alarmone synthase**

Insight into the molecular basis of nutrient-specific ribosome regulation came from 151 analysis of the codon occupancies in our ribosome profiling data. Codon-specific ribosome 152 stalling leads to increased codon occupancy and is a hallmark of insufficient pools of the 153 154 corresponding charged tRNAs. P-limited cells exhibited no elevated codon frequencies, consistent with these cells' efficient ribosome usage (Figure 3A). In contrast, both C- and N-155 limited cells exhibited significant codon-specific stalling. Under N-limitation, ribosomes stalled at 156 both of the two glutamine-encoding codons, which together account for 4.4% of all predicted 157 158 ORF codons in *E. coli*. This result is consistent with previous studies indicating that glutamine is the most strongly-depleted amino acid pool upon N-limitation and serves as an intracellular 159 sensor for extracellular nitrogen levels<sup>24-26</sup>. Upon C-limitation, we observed elevated occupancy 160 of the Leu-CUA codon, which was surprising as there is no known intracellular carbon sensor 161 that controls translation. E. coli has six leucine codons decoded by five leucine tRNA 162 species<sup>27,28</sup>. Leu-CUA is the rarest Leu codon, accounting for only 0.4% of all predicted ORF 163 codons. 164

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/224204; this version posted January 22, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

165 In addition to clarifying the link between metabolism and translation for N-limitation, the 166 observation that ribosomes stall at specific codons upon C/N-limitation suggested a molecular mechanism for nutrient-specific translation regulation (Figure 3A). In bacteria, insufficient 167 charged tRNA pools activate the stringent response to induce accumulation of the cellular 168 alarmone, ppGpp<sup>30,31</sup>, ppGpp is known to regulate rRNA transcription *in vivo*. Its role in 169 translation is less well-understood but ppGpp has been shown *in vitro* to inhibit translation 170 factors such as EF-Tu and IF2 by competing with GTP<sup>32,33</sup>. To test how ppGpp accumulation 171 might affect translation in vivo, we induced ppGpp synthesis by treating batch-grown E. coli with 172 serine hydroxamate (SHX). SHX is a serine analog that competitively inhibits serine tRNA 173 synthetase to yield uncharged serine-tRNA and thereby activate the ReIA ppGpp synthase<sup>34</sup>. 174 SHX treatment increased the pool of mRNA-free 70S monosomes, and this effect was 175 176 completely dependent on *relA* (Figures S6A-B). Thus, inducing ppGpp by activating RelA alters translation by increasing the fraction of inactive free 70S ribosomes. 177

RelA is primarily required for the accumulation of ppGpp upon N-limitation but not C-178 limitation<sup>31</sup>. Consistently, deletion of *relA* had little impact on the accumulation of free ribosomes 179 upon C-limitation, but significantly reduced free ribosome pools upon N-limitation to levels 180 similar to those observed upon P-limitation (Figures 3B and S6C). We could not probe the role 181 of ppGpp in C-limited ribosome accumulation because unlike N-limitation, C-limitation elevates 182 ppGpp through *spoT*, which is essential<sup>35</sup>. N-limited  $\Delta relA$  cells also contained more polysomes 183 than wild type (Figure 3B), suggesting that these cells had a higher fraction of elongating 184 ribosomes. This result was initially confusing because the wild type and  $\Delta relA$  N-limited cells 185 were grown at the same growth rate and maintained the same R/P ratio (Figure S6D). We thus 186 187 measured the rate of translation elongation and found that while N-limited  $\Delta relA$  cells have higher fractions of translating ribosomes, their ribosomes elongate more slowly (Figure 3C), 188 189 resulting in the same rate of protein production as wild type.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/224204; this version posted January 22, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

190 To understand how RelA influences ribosome dynamics we performed ribosome profiling. N-limited  $\Delta relA$  cells exhibited more pronounced ribosome stalling at both glutamine 191 codons than wild type N-limited cells, while relA deletion had no effect on P- or C-limited cells 192 193 (Figures 3D and S7). Fitting the  $\Delta relA$  cells measurements to our ribosome dynamics model 194 revealed that  $\Delta relA$  cells specifically increase the relative proceeding rate under N-limitation, but display no effect on relative proceeding rate under P- or C-limitation and no effect on the 195 196 saturation parameter in any condition (Figures 3E and S5B-C). These results suggest that, consistent with its known effects on IF-2 in vitro, in N-limited cells ReIA functions to restrict the 197 transition from translational initiation to elongation. Thus, in the absence of ReIA, more 198 ribosomes attempt to elongate, which exacerbates the depletion of charged tRNA pools, leading 199 to increased stalling and a slower translational elongation rate. 200

#### 201 Extra ribosomes may facilitate growth acceleration upon nutrient upshift

202 While cells can modulate different aspects of ribosome dynamics to achieve the same 203 protein production rate, what benefits might be served by the inefficient translation system used by C-limited cells where many ribosomes are inactive? Since free ribosomes accumulate the 204 most at the slowest growth rates, we hypothesized that our findings could reflect a trade-off 205 between steady-state growth rate and the ability to respond to a fluctuating environment. Such 206 207 transitions could include rapidly and safely slowing growth when nutrients become depleted and rapidly increasing growth rate when nutrients are replenished. In this scenario, cells may benefit 208 209 more by optimizing their ability to rapidly utilize new nutrients, for example to outcompete their neighbors or maximally utilize a transient pulse of nutrients, than by optimizing steady-state 210 growth rate when nutrient levels are low. 211

We built a mathematical model of cellular resource allocation to predict the growth dynamics upon upshift. This model supported the hypothesis that the larger free ribosome pools of C/N-limited cells should enable them to increase their growth rates more quickly than P-

limited cells upon nutrient upshift (Figures 4A, S8 and details in SI)<sup>4,36</sup>. We experimentally tested 215 this prediction by measuring the growth rates of slow-growing  $(0.1 h^{-1})$  E. coli immediately after 216 being shifted to rich media (LB + 0.4% glucose)<sup>37</sup>. As predicted, C/N-limited cells increased 217 218 their growth rates significantly faster than P-limited cells (Figure 4B). Thus, the distinct 219 translation strategies employed under different nutrient conditions may represent nutrientspecific adaptations, with P-limited cells optimizing for current steady-state growth under slow 220 221 growth conditions, and C- and N-limited cells favoring the ability to rapidly recover growth (Figure 4C). 222

223 Discussion

In previous studies, *E. coli* were found to vary R/P ratio with growth rate independently of 224 the specific nutrient limitation used to produce a given growth rate<sup>5,8</sup>. Meanwhile, transcriptional 225 analysis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae suggested that the primary determinant of the response 226 to a wide range of stresses was the cellular growth rate rather than the specific stressor<sup>38</sup>. 227 Together, these studies suggested that the primary regulator of microbial physiology is growth 228 rate. However, our findings demonstrate that at the same growth rate, E. coli exhibit significantly 229 different translation strategies across nutrient limitations; at the lowest growth rate tested, P-230 limited cells produced the same amount of protein with roughly half as many ribosomes as C/N-231 232 limited cells. P-limited cells also exhibited smaller inactive 70S monosome pools and higher relative proceeding rates than C/N-limited cells. Furthermore, while C/N-limited cells have 233 234 similar ribosome numbers, they also display differences in free ribosome pools, translational elongation rates, and sensitivity to the loss of the ppGpp synthase RelA. Thus, our results 235 suggest that the extra ribosomes of C/N-limited cells do not reflect essential constraints, but 236 rather reflect a selectively beneficial adaptation. 237

238 Our findings also implicate ppGpp as a key mediator of ribosome activity that specifically 239 modulates the transition from translation initiation to elongation. ppGpp is a well-characterized cellular alarmone that senses translational activity and inhibits rRNA transcription<sup>31,39</sup>. However,
ppGpp can competitively inhibit GTP-dependent enzymes, including the translation initiation
factor IF-2 that is required for the transition to elongation<sup>40</sup>. Our data thus provide *in vivo* support
for a previous *in vitro* study demonstrating that ppGpp inhibits IF-2 function<sup>33</sup>. Since ppGpp can
also affect other GTP-binding proteins involved in translation<sup>32,41</sup>, future studies on bacterial
translation will confirm exactly how ppGpp interferes with ribosome function.

246 In native environments such as the mammalian gut, bacteria such as *E. coli* are faced with feast and famine cycles induced by feeding cycles<sup>42</sup>. Here we show that the linear 247 relationship between R/P ratio and growth rate in E. coli does not reflect the optimization of 248 249 steady-state growth rate but, instead, reflects the ability of cells with higher inactive ribosome 250 pools to rapidly accelerate growth upon nutrient repletion. These findings suggest that E. coli may improve their fitness by sacrificing their maximal growth rate in nutrient-poor periods in 251 return for the ability to respond to a changing environment, including rapidly accelerating growth 252 in nutrient-rich periods. Our macroscopic model highlights how cells can tune total ribosome 253 254 number, the fraction of working ribosomes, and the rate of translational elongation to achieve the same total protein production rate while balancing other constraints such as reduced amino 255 acid availability or the need to rapidly accelerate growth. Future studies will address the 256 consequences of adaptation strategies in dynamic conditions, for example the generality of 257 bacteria optimizing growth rate transitions at the expense of steady-state growth<sup>43</sup>, but this 258 strategy could explain recent reports of the sub-optimality of protein allocation for E. coli in the 259 presence of poor carbon sources<sup>44</sup>, as well as the sub-optimal expression levels of essential 260 genes in *B. subtilis*<sup>45</sup>. 261

262

#### 263 Author Contributions

264 S.H.L., J.O.P., J.D.R., N.S.W, and Z.G. designed the experiments. S.H.L., J.O.P., and C.K. 265 performed experiments. Z.L. and N.S.W. constructed the mathematical models. S.H.L. conducted computational analysis of sequencing data. S.H.L, Z.L., N.S.W, and Z.G. wrote the paper with assistance from J.D.R.

268

#### 269 Acknowledgments:

We thank the members of the Gitai, Wingreen, and Rabinowitz labs for helpful discussions. We 270 thank Gene-Wei Li for his support for the ribosome profiling experiments. We thank the 271 272 Microarray Core Facility at the Lewis-Sigler Institute (Daniel Sanchez, Jennifer M. Miller, Jessica Wiggins, and Wei Wang) for RNA-Seq sample processing and sequencing and the Princeton 273 Proteomics Core Facility (Henry Shwe and Tharan Srikumar) for ribosome profiling sample 274 275 processing. Lance Parsons provided helpful technical support for bioinformatics analysis of the sequencing data. We thank the Botstein lab, particularly Sandy Silverman, for chemostat 276 277 operation support and all former members for discussions relating to microbial growth. 278

- The ribosome sequencing data that support the findings of this paper will be deposited in GenBank with the accession code at publication.
- This work was supported by grants from the NIH (DP1AI124669 and R01GM082938).
- 282

### 283 **References and Notes:**

- 284
- You, C. *et al.* Coordination of bacterial proteome with metabolism by cyclic AMP signalling. **500**, 301–306 (2013).
   Li, G.-W., Burkhardt, D., Gross, C. & Weissman, J. S. Quantifying absolute protein
- 288synthesis rates reveals principles underlying allocation of cellular resources. Cell289**157**, 624–635 (2014).
- Giordano, N., Mairet, F., Gouzé, J.-L., Geiselmann, J. & de Jong, H. Dynamical
   Allocation of Cellular Resources as an Optimal Control Problem: Novel Insights into
   Microbial Growth Strategies. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 12, e1004802 (2016).
- 295
   5.
   Bremer, H. & Dennis, P. P. Modulation of Chemical Composition and Other

   295
   5.
   6.

   295
   6.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.
   7.

   295
   7.<
- Parameters of the Cell at Different Exponential Growth Rates. *EcoSal* 1–56 (2012).
  Baracchini, E. & Bremer, H. Determination of synthesis rate and lifetime of bacterial mRNAs. *Anal. Biochem.* **167**, 245–260 (1987).
- 7. Schaechter, M., Maaløe, O. & Kjeldgaard, N. O. Dependency on Medium and
   Temperature of Cell Size and Chemical Composition during Balanced Growth of
   Salmonella typhimurium. *Microbiology* **19**, 592–606 (1958).
- Scott, M., Gunderson, C. W., Mateescu, E. M., Zhang, Z. & Hwa, T.
   Interdependence of cell growth and gene expression: origins and consequences.
   *Science* 330, 1099–1102 (2010).
- 3059.Yamamoto, T., Izumi, S. & Gekko, K. Mass spectrometry of hydrogen/deuterium306exchange in 70S ribosomal proteins from E. coli. FEBS Lett. 580, 3638–3642307(2006).
- 30810.Nomura, M. & Gourse, R. Regulation of the synthesis of ribosomes and ribosomal309components. Annual review of ... (1984).
- 31011.Maaløe, O. & Kjeldgaard, N. O. Control of Macromolecular Synthesis, WA311Beniamin. (Inc., 1966).

312	12.	Dai, X. et al. Reduction of translating ribosomes enables Escherichia coli to
313		maintain elongation rates during slow growth. Nat Microbiol 2, 16231 (2016).
314	13.	Klumpp, S., Scott, M., Pedersen, S. & Hwa, T. Molecular crowding limits translation
315		and cell growth. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> <b>110,</b> 16754–16759 (2013).
316	14.	Lewin, B. Genes VIII. (Pearson education, Inc, 2003).
317	15.	Wanner, B. L. Gene regulation by phosphate in enteric bacteria. Journal of Cellular
318		Biochemistry <b>51</b> , 47–54 (1993).
319	16.	Ahn, K. & Kornberg, A. Polyphosphate kinase from Escherichia coli. Purification
320		and demonstration of a phosphoenzyme intermediate. J. Biol. Chem. 265, 11734–
321		11739 (1990).
322	17.	Schleif, R., Hess, W., Finkelstein, S. & Ellis, D. Induction kinetics of the L-arabinose
323		operon of Escherichia coli. <i>J. Bacteriol.</i> <b>115,</b> 9–14 (1973).
324	18.	Dalbow, D. G. & Young, R. Synthesis time of $\beta$ -galactosidase in Escherichia coli B/r
325	10.	as a function of growth rate. <i>Biochemical Journal</i> <b>150</b> , 13–20 (1975).
323 326	19.	Qin, D. & Fredrick, K. Analysis of polysomes from bacteria. <i>Meth. Enzymol.</i> <b>530</b> ,
	19.	• • • •
327	20.	159–172 (2013). Beller, R. J. & Lubsen, N. H. Effect of polypeptide chain length on dissociation of
328	20.	
329	04	ribosomal complexes. <i>Biochemistry</i> <b>11</b> , 3271–3276 (1972).
330	21.	Nathans, D. Puromycin inhibition of protein synthesis: incorporation of puromycin
331		into peptide chains. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> <b>51</b> , 585–592 (1964).
332	22.	Steitz, J. A. Polypeptide Chain Initiation: Nucleotide Sequences of the Three
333		Ribosomal Binding Sites in Bacteriophage R17 RNA. 224, 957–964 (1969).
334	23.	Ingolia, N. T., Ghaemmaghami, S., Newman, J. R. S. & Weissman, J. S. Genome-
335		wide analysis in vivo of translation with nucleotide resolution using ribosome
336		profiling. Science <b>324</b> , 218–223 (2009).
337	24.	Doucette, C. D., Schwab, D. J., Wingreen, N. S. & Rabinowitz, J. D. α-Ketoglutarate
338		coordinates carbon and nitrogen utilization via enzyme I inhibition. Nature Chemical
339		<i>Biology</i> <b>7,</b> 894–901 (2011).
340	25.	Yuan, J. et al. Metabolomics driven quantitative analysis of ammonia assimilation in
341		E. coli. <i>Mol. Syst. Biol.</i> 5, 302 (2009).
342	26.	Ikeda, T. P., Shauger, A. E. & Kustu, S. Salmonella typhimuriumApparently
343		Perceives External Nitrogen Limitation as Internal Glutamine Limitation. Journal of
344		Molecular Biology <b>259,</b> 589–607 (1996).
345	27.	Subramaniam, A. R., Pan, T. & Cluzel, P. Environmental perturbations lift the
346		degeneracy of the genetic code to regulate protein levels in bacteria. Proc. Natl.
347		Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 2419–2424 (2013).
348	28.	Sørensen, M. A. et al. Over Expression of a tRNALeu Isoacceptor Changes
349	-	Charging Pattern of Leucine tRNAs and Reveals New Codon Reading. Journal of
350		Molecular Biology <b>354</b> , 16–24 (2005).
351	29.	Elf, J., Nilsson, D., Tenson, T. & Ehrenberg, M. Selective charging of tRNA
352	201	isoacceptors explains patterns of codon usage. Science <b>300</b> , 1718–1722 (2003).
353	30.	Hauryliuk, V., Atkinson, G. C., Murakami, K. S., Tenson, T. & Gerdes, K. Recent
354	50.	functional insights into the role of (p)ppGpp in bacterial physiology. Nat Rev Micro
355		<b>13</b> , 298–309 (2015).
	31.	Potrykus, K. & Cashel, M. (p)ppGpp: Still Magical? *. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 62, 35–
356	51.	•
357	20	51 (2008).
358	32.	Mitkevich, V. A. <i>et al.</i> Thermodynamic characterization of ppGpp binding to EF-G or
359		IF2 and of initiator tRNA binding to free IF2 in the presence of GDP, GTP, or
360	00	ppGpp. <i>Journal of Molecular Biology</i> <b>402</b> , 838–846 (2010).
361	33.	Milon, P. <i>et al.</i> The nucleotide-binding site of bacterial translation initiation factor 2
362		(IF2) as a metabolic sensor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 13962–13967

363		(2006).
364	34.	Jiang, M., Sullivan, S. M., Wout, P. K. & Maddock, J. R. G-protein control of the
365	04.	ribosome-associated stress response protein SpoT. J. Bacteriol. <b>189</b> , 6140–6147
366		(2007).
367	35.	Gentry, D. R. & Cashel, M. Mutational analysis of the Escherichia coli spoT gene
368	55.	identifies distinct but overlapping regions involved in ppGpp synthesis and
369		degradation. <i>Mol. Microbiol.</i> <b>19</b> , 1373–1384 (1996).
370	36.	Pavlov, M. Y. & Ehrenberg, M. Optimal control of gene expression for fast proteome
371	50.	adaptation to environmental change. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> <b>110</b> , 20527–
372		20532 (2013).
373	37.	Kjeldgaard, N. O., Maaløe, O. & Schaechter, M. The Transition Between Different
374	57.	Physiological States During Balanced Growth of Salmonella typhimurium.
375		Microbiology <b>19</b> , 607–616 (1958).
376	38.	Brauer, M. J. <i>et al.</i> Coordination of growth rate, cell cycle, stress response, and
377	50.	metabolic activity in yeast. <i>Mol. Biol. Cell</i> <b>19</b> , 352–367 (2008).
378	39.	Paul, B. J., Ross, W., Gaal, T. & Gourse, R. L. rRNA Transcription in Escherichia
378	39.	coli. Annu. Rev. Genet. <b>38</b> , 749–770 (2004).
380	40.	Laursen, B. S., Sørensen, H. P., Mortensen, K. K. & Sperling-Petersen, H. U.
381	40.	Initiation of protein synthesis in bacteria. <i>Microbiology and Molecular Biology</i>
382		Reviews <b>69</b> , 101–123 (2005).
383	41.	Rojas, AM., Ehrenberg, M. N., Andersson, S. G. E. & Kurland, C. G. ppGpp
383 384	41.	inhibition of elongation factors Tu, G and Ts during polypeptide synthesis. <i>Mol.</i>
385		Gen. Genet. <b>197</b> , 36–45 (1984).
385	42.	Zarrinpar, A., Chaix, A., Yooseph, S. & Panda, S. Diet and Feeding Pattern Affect
387	42.	the Diurnal Dynamics of the Gut Microbiome. <i>Cell Metabolism</i> <b>20</b> , 1006–1017
388		(2014).
389	43.	Fischer, E. & Sauer, U. Large-scale in vivo flux analysis shows rigidity and
390	40.	suboptimal performance of Bacillus subtilis metabolism. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> <b>37,</b> 636–640
390 391		(2005).
392	44.	Towbin, B. D. <i>et al.</i> Optimality and sub-optimality in a bacterial growth law. <i>Nat</i>
393		<i>Commun</i> <b>8</b> , 14123 (2017).
394	45.	Peters, J. M. <i>et al.</i> A Comprehensive, CRISPR-based Functional Analysis of
395	40.	Essential Genes in Bacteria. <i>Cell</i> <b>165</b> , 1493–1506 (2016).
396	46.	Baba, T. <i>et al.</i> Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout
397	40.	mutants: the Keio collection. <i>Mol. Syst. Biol.</i> <b>2</b> , 2006.0008 (2006).
397 398	47.	Zhu, M., Dai, X. & Wang, YP. Real time determination of bacterial in vivoribosome
398 399	47.	translation elongation speed based on LacZα complementation system. <i>Nucleic</i>
400		Acids Res. gkw698 (2016). doi:10.1093/nar/gkw698
400 401	48.	Dunn, J. G. & Weissman, J. S. Plastid: nucleotide-resolution analysis of next-
401 402	<del>4</del> 0.	generation sequencing and genomics data. <i>BMC Genomics</i> <b>17</b> , 958 (2016).
402	49.	Woolstenhulme, C. J., Guydosh, N. R., Green, R. & Buskirk, A. R. High-precision
403	<del>4</del> 0.	analysis of translational pausing by ribosome profiling in bacteria lacking EFP. Cell
404		Rep 11, 13–21 (2015).
405		
100		

#### **Materials and Methods** 407

408 Cell strains and growth conditions

- Escherichia coli strain NCM3722 was grown in batch or continuous cultures. To achieve 409 410
- different growth rates, different carbon or nitrogen sources were provided in batch culture, whereas dilution rates ranging from  $0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}$  to  $0.7 \text{ h}^{-1}$  were used in continuous (chemostat) 411

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/224204; this version posted January 22, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

cultures. The chemostat (Sixfors, HT) volume was 300mL with oxygen and pH probes to

413 monitor the culture. pH was maintained at 7.2 +/- 0.1 and the aeration rate was set at 4.5 l/h. 40

414 mM MOPS media (M2120, Teknova) was used with glucose (0.4%, Sigma G8270), ammonia

415 (9.5 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, Sigma A9434) and phosphate (1.32 mM  $K_2$ HPO<sub>4</sub>, Sigma P3786) added

separately. For carbon- and nitrogen- limiting media, glucose and ammonia concentrations were

reduced by 5-fold (0.08% and 1.9mM respectively). Phosphorus-limiting medium contains 0.132

418 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>. Δ*relA* mutant was generated by P1 transduction from the KEIO collection<sup>46</sup> into 419 *Escherichia coli* strain NCM3722

419 420

# 421 <u>Nutrient upshift growth measurement</u>

422 Cells from chemosats were mixed with 4X volumes of fresh pre-warmed media and grown
423 in flasks in a 37°C water bath. Cell growth was monitored every 5 minutes by checking
424 absorbance at 600nm in a quartz cuvette (Starna, 16.160-Q-10/Z8.5) using a
425 spectrophotometer (GENESYS<sup>™</sup> 20, Thermo Scientific). The LB media (244610, BD) used for
426 upshift was supplemented with 0.4% glucose.

427

# 428 Total RNA measurement

The method for RNA measurement was adapted from You et al.<sup>1</sup>, 1.5 mL of cultures were 429 pelleted by centrifugation for 1 min at 13,000 X g. The pellet was frozen on dry ice and the 430 431 supernatant was taken to measure absorbance at 600 nm for cell loss. The pellet was then washed twice with 0.6M HClO₄ and digested with 0.3M KOH for 1 hour at 37°C. The solution 432 was then precipitated with 3M HCIO<sub>4</sub> and the supernatant was collected. The pellet was re-433 434 extracted again with 0.5M HCIO<sub>4</sub>. The supernatants were combined and absorbance measured at 260nm using NanoDrop (ND-1000, NanoDrop). Total RNA concentration was determined by 435 multiplying the A260 absorbance with 31 ( $\mu$ g RNA/mL) as the extinction coefficient. 436

437

# 438 <u>Total protein measurement</u>

The method for protein measurement is adapted from You et al.<sup>1</sup>, 1.5 mL of cell cultures were 439 pelleted by centrifugation for 1 min at 13,000 X g. Cells were washed with 1 mL MOPS buffer 440 once, re-suspended in 200 uL water and left on dry ice. All the supernatant was collected and 441 OD600 was measured for cell loss. To measure the protein content, samples were thawed, 442 100µL 3M NaOH were added, and the sample was heated for 5 min at 98°C. The samples were 443 444 cooled down to RT for 5 min before 300µL 0.1% CuSO₄ were added for biuret assay. The samples were incubated at RT for 5 min and centrifuged at 13,000 X g for 1 min. The 445 supernatant was collected and absorbance was measured at 555nm for a 200µL sample 446 447 volume in a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek) with software Gen 5.0. Proper dilution of albumin (23209, Thermo) with known concentrations was used to infer the total protein 448 concentration in the cell. 449

450

# 451 Polysome profiling and quantification of ribosome fraction

200mL of cells were collected from cultures by filtration through 90mm cellulose acetate 452 membranes with a 0.2 µm pore size (CA029025, Strelitech) at 37°C, scratched with a clean and 453 pre-warmed stainless steel spatula, and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The whole filtration 454 455 procedure did not take more than 2 minutes in order to maintain the original physiological state. Cell pellets were mixed with 650µL lysis buffer frozen nuggets (20mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10mM 456 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 0.4% Triton X-100, 0.1% NP-40, 1 mM Chloramphenicol, 100 U/mL 457 RNase-free DNase I (04716728001 Roche)) in a pre-chilled 10mL jar (014620331, Retsch). 458 Pulverization was done by cryomill (Retsch) at 15 Hz for 15 minutes. The thawed cell lysates 459 were quantified by NanoDrop and 200µL of lysates with RNA concentration ranging from 80µg 460 to 500µg were used. For overall polysome quantification, lysates were loaded to 10%-55% 461 linear sucrose gradients (20mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100mM NH₄Cl, 300 µM 462

Chloramphenicol) made by GradientMaster (BioComp). The gradients were placed in a SW41Ti
 bucket and centrifuged in Optima XE-100 Ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) at 35,000 rpm for 2
 hours at 4°C. Gradients were fractionated by BioComp Gradient Fractionator and the absorption
 curves at 254nm were recorded by a UV monitor (EM-1, BioRad).

Quantification of the polysome profiles was done using customized MATLAB codes. First. 467 baselines were estimated using the readings where no peaks existed and the background was 468 subtracted. The background from the free nucleotides and tRNA was removed by fitting an 469 exponential decay function to the first peak representing the source of non-ribosome signals. 470 471 Each ribosome peak was picked and quantified by integrating the area underneath the curve. To quantify different species of ribosomes in the 70S peak, 100mM NH₄CI was replaced with 472 170mM KCI. Cell lysates were loaded onto 10%-30% linear gradient and centrifuged at 35,000 473 474 rpm at 4°C for 5 h. Because the two peaks for the free and mRNA-bound 70S ribosomes are 475 very close in mass and clean separation was not possible, the MATLAB file-exchange package, peakfit, was used to fit the two overlapped peaks as two Gaussian distributions. For the free 476 ribosome control, cells were treated with 100µM puromycin for 5 minutes and collected. For 477 serine hydroxamate (SHX, Sigma S4503) treatment, cells were grown in MOPS glucose 478 479 minimal media until OD ~0.3 and treated with SHX with final concentration of 1 mg/mL for ten 480 minutes before collection.

481

### 482 <u>lacZ induction and translational elongation rate measurement</u>

The method was adapted from Zhu et al<sup>47</sup>. A final concentration of 5 mM IPTG (I2481C-25, 483 Gold Biotechnology) was added to cultures. At every 15 seconds, 1 mL of culture was collected 484 485 in a tube containing 10µL 100mM chloramphenicol, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C before subsequent measurement. After cells were thawed, 400µL of the sample was 486 added to 100 µL 5x Z-buffer (0.3M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2M NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, 50mM KCI, 5mM 487 MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 20mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol) and incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes. 100µL of 4mg/mL 488 MUG (337210010, ACROS Organics) in DMSO was then added to each sample every 10 489 490 seconds to accurately control the reaction time. The samples were incubated at 37°C in a thermomixer at 1,400 rpm mixing rate for 30 minutes to 2 hours, depending on the enzyme 491 expression level. The reaction was stopped by addition of 300µL of 1M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The tubes were 492 493 spun down at 16,000 X g for 3 minutes to sediment the cell debris. 200 µL of supernatant were taken and measured fluorescence by a microplate reader (365 nm excitation and 450 nm 494 495 emission filter).

To infer translational elongation rate, the square root of the signal in excess of the signal at time zero was plotted. A linear fit was performed on the points after signal began to increase. The lag time is the x-intercept of the line. A previous study has shown that the initiation time remains constant for about 10 seconds across a wide range of conditions tested<sup>47</sup>. Therefore, we corrected the elongation times measured by subtracting 10 seconds in the lag times measured.

502

### 503 <u>Ribosome footprinting and total RNA extraction for RNA-Seq</u>

The cell collection step was the same as for polysome profiling except that 1mM 504 chloramphenicol was used in the sucrose solution. The footprinting and library preparation steps 505 were adapted from Li et al.<sup>2</sup> After quantification of RNA concentration with NanoDrop, samples 506 with 500µg RNA were digested with 750U MNase (10107921001, Roche) for 1 hour at 25°C 507 before being guenched with 6mM EGTA. The lysates were then layered onto a 10%-55% 508 509 sucrose gradient and centrifuged. The monosome fraction was collected and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. No polysome peaks were observed, indicating a thorough digestion. The RNA 510 was isolated using hot phenol and size selected on 15% TBE-Urea PAGE gels run for 1 hour at 511 210V. Gels were stained with SYBR Gold and visualized using Dark Reader (Clare Chemical 512 Research). RNA fragments with size between 25-40 nt were extracted using isopropanol 513

514 precipitation. Total RNA was extracted with TRIZOL from the same pulverized cells used for footprinting. After DNasel (04716728001, Roche) treatment and cleanup using RNA clean & 515 516 concentrator 5 (R1016, Zymo Research), ribosomal RNA was subtracted using MicrobeExpress 517 (AM1905, Ambion). The recovered RNA was fragmented and size selected. RNA fragments with size between 25-40nt were extracted using isopropanol precipitation. 518 519 520 Library preparation and sequencing Fragments from footprints and total RNA were dephosphorylated at the 3' end by PNK 521 522 (M0201, NEB). The repaired fragments were linked to the Universal miRNA Cloning Linker (S1315S, NEB), reverse transcribed (18080044, Thermo)and circularized (CL4111K, Epicentre). 523 The circularized samples were PCR amplified (M0531L, NEB) and size selected. High quality 524 PCR samples checked by Bioanalyzer high sensitive DNA chip. Deep sequencing was done by 525 Illumina HiSeq 2500 on Rapid flowcells with settings of single end and 75 nt-long read length. 526 527 528 Mapping and sequencing data analysis Data manipulation including barcode splitting, linker trimming and mapping were done 529 using Galaxy. The processed reads were mapped to Escherichia coli genome 530 531 escherichia coli k12 nc 000913 3 from the NCBI database with the BWA short read mapping algorithm. Only the reads between 20-45 nt that aligned to the coding region were used for 532 533 further analysis. To infer the ribosome A-site position, python package Plastid<sup>48</sup> was used to align the 3' end 534 of reads to the stop and start codons<sup>49</sup>, which are known to have higher ribosome densities. We 535 found that the offsets were 12 nt for stop codon and 15 nt for start codon. Therefore, we used 536 11nt for A site position and 14nt for P site. The counts were normalized to the total counts in the 537 coding region as reads per million (RPM) and transcripts per million (TPM). Further analysis 538 was done using customized Python and R codes with packages including Plastid, dplyr, tidyr 539 540 and ggplot2. 541 Analysis of ribosome profiling data 542 Transcripts per million (TPM) was used to identify highly expressed genes for codon 543 occupancy analysis. After assigning each mapped read to the A-site nucleotide, the raw counts 544 were first normalized by the length of their mapped coding region, to yield count density for each 545 546 gene. These count densities were then globally normalized to one million counts across all genes within one sample. To determine codon occupancy, transcripts having total counts over 547 100 TPM and containing more than 200 codons were considered. Ribosome footprint counts for 548 549 the first and last 40 codons were removed to avoid possible effects from initiation and termination, and counts per codon were recorded for the remaining counts. For each gene and 550 each codon type, the codon occupancy ratio is defined as the ratio of measured counts to 551 552 expected counts. Expected counts are simply proportional to the frequency of that particular codon in the gene. The final reported codon occupancy ratio is the average of codon occupancy 553 ratios from all genes considered weighted equally. 554 We calculated ribosome counts along a transcript using reads per million (RPM). Only 555 transcripts having total counts over 10 TPM and containing more than 100 codons were 556 557 considered. Total counts after filtering were normalized to one million reads. The selected genes account for more than 85% of the total counts. The ribosome counts are the sum of RPM at 558 559 each position. 560 To do the first and second halves comparison of ribosome counts of genes, the same set of genes and trimming processing for codon occupancy were used. The RPM from positions 561 were summed up based on its assigned location (first or second half) on the transcript and plot 562 against each other. 563

564

# 565 Code availability

- 566 All the codes used for data analysis in this paper are available upon request.
- 567 Data availability
- 568 All the data used to reach the conclusion of this paper will be made available upon publication
- on SRA BioProject with submission number <u>SUB3256640</u>.