Niche separation increases with genetic distance among bloom-forming cyanobacteria

Authors

Nicolas Tromas[1*#], Zofia E. Taranu[2*], Bryan D Martin[3], Amy Willis[4], Nathalie Fortin[5], Charles

W. Greer[5] and B. Jesse Shapiro[1#]

Author affiliations

1- Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, 90 Vincent-d'Indy, Montréal,

QC, Montréal, QC H2V 2S9, Canada

2- Department of Biology, University of Ottawa, 30 Marie Curie, ON, Ottawa, K1N 6N5, Canada

3- Department of Statistics, University of Washington, Box 354322, Seattle, WA 98195

4- Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Box 357232, Seattle, 98195

5- National Research Council Canada, Energy, Mining and Environment, 6100 Royalmount

Avenue, Montréal, QC H4P 2R2, Canada

* These authors contributed equally to this work

#Corresponding   authors: B.   Jesse   Shapiro.   Phone: 514-343-6033.   E-mail:

jesse.shapiro@umontreal.ca;   Nicolas   Tromas.   Phone   514-343-3188.   E-mail:

nicolas.tromas@umontreal.ca.

SUMMARY

Bacterial communities are composed of distinct groups of potentially interacting lineages, each

thought to occupy a distinct ecological niche. It remains unclear, however, how quickly niche

preference evolves and whether more closely related lineages are more likely to share ecological

niches. We addressed these questions by following the dynamics of two bloom-forming

cyanobacteria genera over an 8-year time-course in Lake Champlain, Canada, using 16S

amplicon sequencing and measurements of several environmental parameters. The two genera,

Microcystis (*M*) and *Dolichospermum* (*D*), are frequently observed simultaneously during bloom

events and thus have partially overlapping niches. However, the extent of their niche overlap is

debated, and it is also unclear to what extent niche partitioning occurs among strains within each

genus. To identify strains within each genus, we applied minimum entropy decomposition

(MED). We confirmed that at a genus level, *M* and *D* have different preferences for nitrogen and

phosphorus concentrations. Within each genus, we also identified strains differentially associated

with temperature, precipitation, and concentrations of nutrients and toxins. In general, niche

similarity between strains (as measured by co-occurrence over time) declined with genetic

distance. This pattern is consistent with habitat filtering – in which closely-related taxa are

ecologically similar, and therefore tend to co-occur under similar environmental conditions. In

contrast with this general pattern, similarity in certain niche dimensions (notably particulate

nitrogen and phosphorus) did not decline linearly with genetic distance, and instead showed a

complex polynomial relationship. This observation suggests the importance of processes other

than habitat filtering – such as competition between closely-related taxa, or convergent trait

evolution in distantly-related taxa – in shaping particular traits in microbial communities.

INTRODUCTION

Microbial communities are composed of potentially diverse groups of lineages, which must be sufficiently similar to survive in the same habitat, yet sufficiently dissimilar to occupy distinct ecological niches and avoid competition. This tension between selection for common traits to survive in a common environment (habitat filtering) and selection for divergent traits to reduce competition (niche partitioning among closely-related species) was recognized by Darwin, and the relative impacts of the two processes on communities is still debated (Cavender-Bares et al., 2009). A pioneering study of microbial communities using phylogenetic marker genes found evidence for phylogenetic clustering, suggesting the importance of habitat filtering in selecting for closely-related taxa sharing specific traits allowing them to survive in a given habitat (Horner-Devine and Bohannan, 2006). However, phylogenetic overdispersion (the opposite pattern as phylogenetic clustering) has also been observed, suggesting that competition between closely-related taxa can lead to niche partitioning (Koeppel and Wu, 2014). Importantly, the power to detect phylogenetic overdispersion depends on the phylogenetic resolution (*e.g.* whether operational taxonomic units are defined at 97, 98 or 99% identity in a marker gene) (Koeppel and Wu, 2014).

Beyond searching for phylogenetic patterns of clustering or overdispersion, explicitly considering the associations between microbial traits and niches can help understand the selective pressures shaping microbial communities on different evolutionary time scales. It is known that certain traits (*e.g.* salinity preference, methanogenesis) are slow-evolving and thus restricted to only certain lineages, whereas other traits (*e.g.* phage resistance, organic phosphate utilization) can be acquired by a single point mutation or gene acquisition, thus evolving rapidly in response to ecological selection and competition (Martiny et al., 2015). Therefore, habitat filtering might

be stronger for slow-evolving traits, while niche partitioning will be more likely for fast-evolving

traits.

In this study, we use Hutchinson's definition of a fundamental niche as the set of abiotic

conditions under which an organism can survive and reproduce, and a realized niche as the

conditions under which it is actually observed in practice, accounting for both abiotic and biotic

(*e.g.* competition, predation, cooperation) interactions (Hutchinson 1957). If two species have

identical ecological niches, one should competitively exclude the other (Gause 1934; Tilman

1982) unless competition is weak due to abundant resources. In practice, closely related taxa

often compete for space and resources (Cavender-Bares et al., 2009), favouring specialization to

reduce overlap in niche space. For example, coexisting (sympatric) taxa within the same genus

tend to have different realized niches, experiencing different seasonal growth patterns or

responding differently to environmental parameters (Gray et al., 2004; Jaspers and Overmann,

2004; Hunt et al, 2008; Simek et al, 2010; Jezberra et al, 2011; Neuenschwander et al., 2015).

Pairs of taxa with similar realized niches can be identified when they co-occur in repeated

sampling over space and time, and such co-occurrence networks are readily inferred from deep

amplicon sequencing datasets (Friedman and Alm, 2012).

Cyanobacteria are widely and naturally present in freshwater ecosystems, and some

lineages form blooms under appropriate conditions of temperature and nutrients (Konopka and

Broke, 1978; Harke et al, 2015). Several studies have shown that, at the genus level, some bloom-

forming cyanobacteria share similar niches (Pearl et al, 2001; Yamamoto, 2009) allowing them to

co-occur during bloom events. However, the degree of similarity among ecological niches of co-

occurring cyanobacteria (e.g. *Microcystis* and *Dolichospermum*) remains unclear.

We investigated niche partitioning among *Microcystis* (*M*) and *Dolichospermum* (*D*) that

induce blooms nearly every year in a large eutrophic North American lake, Lake Champlain. In a

previously described 8-year time-course analysis (2006 to 2013) spanning multiple bloom events,

we used 16S amplicon sequencing to broadly survey changes in the lake microbial community

over time, generally at the genus level (Tromas et al., 2017). Here, we use Minimum Entropy

Decomposition of amplicon sequences (Eren *et al.*, 2015) to identify sub-genus strains (MED

nodes; here used interchangeably with "strains") within each of the two dominant cyanobacterial

genera, *M* and *D*, at single nucleotide resolution. A previous study demonstrated that oligotypes

(similar to MED nodes) lacked the resolution to distinguish toxic and non-toxic *Microcystis*

lineages, but could potentially be informative about other, more phylogenetically conserved

niches (Berry et al., 2017). We used a combination of genetic data (diversity of MED nodes) and

matched environmental data (*e.g.* temperature, nutrient concentrations, precipitation) to address

three specific questions. First, how similar are the niches of the two dominant cyanobacterial

genera *M* and *D*? Second, how similar are the niches of strains within each genus? Third, how

does niche similarity change with genetic relatedness? We confirm that *M* and *D* are broadly co-

occuring during blooms, but have distinct nutrient preferences. We also identified niche

partitioning at the sub-genus level, and observe a general decline in realized niche similarity with

genetic distance, consistent with habitat filtering. However, certain niche dimensions (particulate

nutrient concentrations) show a complex polynomial relationship with genetic distance,

suggesting that a combination of habitat filtering and competitive interactions shapes the

evolution of these traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling, DNA extraction, purification and sequencing

Open-water season samples (April to November) collected over 8 years (2006 to 2013) from the photic zone of Missisquoi Bay at two different sites (littoral and pelagic) of Lake Champlain, Quebec, Canada (45°02'45"N, 73°07'58"W) were filtered and extracted for DNA sequencing as described in Tromas *et al.*, (2017).

Sequence analysis

A total of 7,949,602 sequences were obtained from 150 lake samples, with a median of 41,982 per sample as previously described (Tromas et al., 2017). These sequences were processed with the default parameters of the SmileTrain pipeline (https://github.com/almlab/SmileTrain/wiki) that includes reads quality filtering, chimera filtering, and merging using USEARCH (version 7.0.1090, http://www.drive5.com/usearch/, default parameter) (Edgar, 2010), Mothur (version 1.33.3) (Schloss *et al.*, 2009), Biopython (version 2.7) and custom scripts. Minimum Entropy Decomposition (MED) was then applied to the filtered and merged reads to partition sequence reads into MED nodes (Eren *et al.*, 2015). MED was performed using the following parameters –M noise filter set to 500 resulting in ~7% of reads filtered and 942 MED nodes. Samples with less than 1000 reads were removed, yielding a final dataset of 135 samples. Finally, taxonomy was assigned using the assign_taxonomy.py QIIME script (default parameters), and a combination of GreenGenes and a freshwater-specific database (Freshwater database 2016 August 18 release; Newton *et al.*, 2011), using TaxAss (https://github.com/McMahonLab/TaxAss, installation date: September 13th 2016; Rohwer et al., 2017). After assignment, nodes that belong to Eukaryotes but still present in the database

(Cryptophyta, Streptophyta, Chlorophyta and Stramenopiles orders) were removed, leading to a total of 891 nodes.

Diversity analysis

Comparing changes in the diversity of *M* strains to the diversity of *D* strains was performed using betta (Willis et al., 2017). betta accounts for strains that are present in the environment but not observed in the samples due to incomplete sampling. The number of unobserved strains is estimated based on the number of strains that are observed and their abundances. The total strain diversity was estimated using breakaway (R package v4) which accounts for ecological interactions between strains (Willis and Bunge, 2015).

Conditionally rare taxa analysis

We investigated the temporal dynamics of *M* and *D* nodes by measuring the composition for each genus in conditionally rare taxa. The matrix of node absolute abundances was used as input for the R script CRT_Functions_v1.1.R (Shade et al., 2014; https://github.com/ShadeLab/ConditionallyRareTaxa) using the default parameters. Conditionally rare taxa are defined as rare taxa that occasionally could become very abundant, without showing rhythmic or seasonal patterns.

Node–environment relationships analysis

To investigate node–environment relationships, we used an environmental data matrix that included: particulate phosphorus in µg/ L (PP, the difference between TP and DP), particulate nitrogen in mg/ L (PN, the difference between TN and DN), soluble reactive phosphorus in µg/ L (DP), dissolved nitrogen in mg/ L (DN), 1-week-cumulative precipitation in mm and 1-week-

average air temperature in Celsius. The measurements of the different environment variables are

described in Tromas et al (2017). In this previous study, we showed that these environmental

variable, over the 8 years, were not correlated with one another.

Response to abiotic factors

To analyze the response of each node to abiotic environmental data, we used a Latent

Variable Model (LVM) framework, which combines Generalized Linear Models with Bayesian

Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods (boral package in R; Hui 2015, Warton *et al.*

2015). LVM is a model-based approach for analyzing multivariate data (*e.g.* numerous taxa

within the response matrix) that partitions the different drivers of taxa co-occurrence patterns into

two components: the first is a regression component, which models the taxa-specific

environmental responses, and the second is a latent variable component, which is used to identify

residual patterns of co-occurrence resulting from unmeasured factors and/or biotic interactions

(Letten *et al.* 2015). In this study, we used LVMs to examine how taxa co-respond to abiotic

gradients, and used these co-responses as a proxy for niche similarity. To do so, we extracted the

environmental correlation matrix from the regression model, which, for any two taxa,

corresponds to the correlation between their fitted values ($x_i\beta_j$). In particular, for each node we

calculated the predicted probability of mean abundance for each site on linear and nonlinear (*i.e.*

$Y \sim X + X^2$) response scales, providing a vector of fitted values. Correlations among the fitted

responses of any two taxa were then calculated based upon these vectors (correlating the vector

of taxon A with the vector of taxon B, for instance).

We first tested LVMs using a response matrix of two columns, corresponding to the

relative abundances of the *D* and *M* genera, relative to the rest of the bacterial community. For

these models, *D* and *M* abundances were normalized by the total counts of all bacteria using the

centered log ratio to correct for data compositionality (clr-inter genera) (Aitchison, 1986; Paliy

and Shankar, 2016) using a a zero-replacement procedure as suggested in Gloor and Reid (2016).

To then examine dynamics within these genera, we ran separate LVMs for D and M nodes (*i.e.*

response matrix consisted of the D and M nodes, respectively). For this second set of LVMs,

node abundances were normalized by the total counts of D and M, respectively, to obtain an

intra-genera relative abundance (clr-intra genera) for each node. Environmental variables were

standardized to mean zero and unit variance to reduce the correlation between the linear (X) and

nonlinear (X^2) fit, which also simplified model interpretation and stabilized MCMC sampling. As

most of the D nodes were conditionally rare, we included only the D nodes that were present in at

least 70% of samples, to avoid overfitting based on too little data. To complement this

multivariate LVM analysis and help visualize the univariate response of each genera and node to

the suite of environmental variables, we also tested linear (degree-1 polynomial) and nonlinear

(degree-2 polynomial) relationships between each response and explanatory variable. We used

AIC to find the single best-fit model (either linear or nonlinear) for each relationship and plotted

the best-fit model.

Lastly, to test whether co-responses or niche separations were stronger for more closely or

distantly related nodes, we extracted the correlations between fitted responses of any two nodes

from the *environmental correlation matrix* of each best-fit LVM and examined the relationship

between each significant correlation and the pairwise genetic distances of the two respective taxa

(percent identity in the V4 region of the 16S gene). Here, significant positive correlations

represent co-response between any two taxa whereas significant negative correlations represent

the degree of niche separation. The genetic distance between nodes was measured within each

genus M and D using the software MEGA (version 7.0.18) using the p-distance (the proportion of

nucleotide sites at which two sequences differ), calculated by dividing the number of sites with

nucleotide differences by the total number of sites compared (excluding sites with gaps).

Co-occurrence analysis

Co-occurrences between *Microcystis*/*Dolichospermum* and other taxa were calculated

with SparCC (Friedman and Alm, 2012), with 20 iterations to estimate the median correlation of

each pair of MED nodes, and 500 bootstraps to assess the statistical significance. Correlations

were then filtered for statistical significance ($P < 0.01$) and correlations with R > ±0.6, were

selected to build networks using Cytoscape (version 3.1.0).

RESULTS
223

Do *Microcystis* and *Dolichospermum* co-occur temporally?

We have previously shown that *M* and *D* were the two most dominant bloom forming

cyanobacteria in Lake Champlain's Missisquoi Bay (Tromas et al., 2017). Both genera were

present every year at both littoral and pelagic sampling sites, between 2006 and 2013, with a

relative abundance of at least 15% on average during summer (max=24.8%), with the exception

of summer 2007 (0.7%) when no substantial blooms occurred (Figure 1). *D* was generally the

most dominant genus except for 2009 and 2010 when *M* dominated (Figure S1). *D* and *M* were

both present every year, (Figure S1) suggesting that they share the same physico-temporal niche

during a bloom, although other aspects of their niches likely differ. For example, *D* can fix

nitrogen but *M* cannot (Hajdu et al., 2007).

Figure 1

Figure 1. Temporal dynamics of the two dominant cyanobacterial genera over an eight-year

time course in littoral (A) and pelagic (B) sampling sites. Relative abundance of *Microcystis* is

shown in red, *Dolichospermum* in green and the other members of the bacterial community in

blue. The time scale (x-axis) is in units of years.

Are *Microcystis* and *Dolichospermum* equally diverse and dynamic?

To explore the temporal dynamics of the finer-scale taxa within each genus, we partitioned each genus MED nodes, which we call "strains" (Methods). We obtained 25 *D* strains and 6 *M* strains, and after accounting for low-abundance strains that may be missing from the samples using Breakaway (Methods), we conclude that diversity is significantly lower within *M* than within *D* ($P<0.001$). The difference in the number of nodes is unlikely to be an artifact of sequencing depth, because there is no correlation between the number of sequence reads and the number of nodes per genus (Figure S2). *D* thus appears to be more diverse than *M*.

We then examined whether nodes within the *M* and *D* genera followed the same temporal dynamics. We observed that 15/25 *D* nodes were conditionally rare, meaning that they are usually rare but occasionally become relatively abundant, without following any apparent rhythm (Table 1).

	Number of conditionally rare nodes	Number of total nodes	Proportion conditional rare / total nodes	Fisher's Exact Test P-value
Whole bacterial community	95	891	0.10	
Dolichospermum	15	25	0.60	$P < 0.001$
Microcystis	1	6	0.16	$P > 0.1$

Table 1. Conditionally rarity analysis. We characterized here the number of MED nodes for two cyanobacterial genera, *Microcystis* and *Dolichospermum* and their dynamic over the 8-years time course by using a conditionally rare analysis (Methods).

The proportion of rare *D* nodes was significantly higher than what is observed in other genera in

the lake community (Fisher test, $P < 0.001$). Several conditionally rare *D* nodes seemed to

dominate several times without showing seasonal patterns (Figure S3). Furthermore, we noticed a

shift in node composition after 2011, where node D5505 decreased while D2282 increased in

relative abundance. In contrast, we only found one conditionally rare *M* node. However, only two

M nodes (M5732 and M5733) were consistently dominant over time (Figure S4). Similarly, only

two *D* nodes, but not always the same two, dominated at any given time (Figure S3). Overall,

these results suggest that *D* is more genetically diverse, and that this genetic diversity varies over

time. In contrast, *M* is less diverse and more stable over time.

Do *Microcystis* and *Dolichospermum* share the same realized niche within the community?

To investigate the niche separation between *D* and *M*, we analyzed their relationships with

several environmental conditions measured at the time of sampling (temperature, nutrient

concentrations, precipitation and toxin concentrations). We observed that these two

cyanobacterial genera have different responses to nutrients (Figure S5) as previously observed

(Anderson et al 2015; Harke et al., 2016). *M* relative abundance was positively correlated with

DP (Figure S5; R^2=7%), in agreement with previous observations (Homma et al., 2008). In

contrast, *D* was not significantly correlated with DP, and instead was positively correlated with

PP and PN (Figure S5). *D* also responded negatively to dissolved nitrogen, in agreement with

previous studies demonstrating that Nostocales (the Order containing *Dolichospermum*) are

favoured under conditions of low dissolved inorganic nitrogen, due to their ability to utilize

atmospheric nitrogen for growth (Suikkanen et al., 2013; Andersson et al., 2015). Overall, these

results confirmed that *M* and *D* share a spatio-temporal niche during a bloom, but have distinct

nutrient preferences.

How similar are the niches of strains within a genus?

Numerous studies have focused on environmental condition that favour cyanobacterial blooms, but few studies have examined how different cyanobacterial strains might respond differently to environmental conditions due to niche partitioning. We observed that *D* and *M* strains, within each genus, appear to have qualitatively different dynamics (Figure S3, S4). To test whether these different dynamics were due to niche partitioning, we first analyzed how the different strains within each genus were related to environmental variables, and then used Latent Variable Models (LVMs) to determine the co-responses (niche similarity) as well as niche separation between strains.

We found that nearly all (19/20) of the significant relationships between strain relative abundances (within each genus) and environmental conditions were linear (Figure 2; Table S2). *D* nodes D2282 (red) and D5630 (green) showed opposite responses to nutrients (PP and PN) and precipitation (Figure 2A). The LVMs confirmed a significant niche separation for these niche dimensions (Figure S6). In contrast, nodes D2424 and D5630 both displayed a similar negative relationship (Figure 2A), resulting in a significant co-response (niche similarity) to DN (Figure S6). Within the *M* genus, we observed several nodes with similar responses to PP, PN and DP (Figure 2B), and significant co-responses between nodes were detected for these niches (Figure S7). We also found significant niche separations for DN (involving M5732, M5733 and M5738) and temperature (involving M5734 and M5738) (Figure S7). Overall, the LVM analysis showed that niche separation occurs among nodes within a genus. In *D*, niche separation occurred mainly in the niche dimensions of particulate nutrients (PP and PN) and precipitation (Figure S6), whereas in *M* niche separation occurred mainly according to temperature and DN preferences (Figure S7).

Figure 2. Niche partitioning at the sub-genus level. Best-fit polynomial models of the response of *Dolichospermum* (A) and *Microcystis* (B) nodes to abiotic factors. Relative abundances of each MED node (strain) was computde relative to the total number of reads within each genus using the centered-log ratio (clr) transform. Significant relationships are shown by solid lines and coloured confidence intervals. In most cases, the degree-1 polynomial (linear model) provided the best-fit (see Table S1 for details). For *D*, only the dominant nodes (observed in at least 70% of samples) are shown.

How do niche preferences vary with genetic distance?

When two taxa share the same realized niche (*i.e.* where they are actually able to survive in the wild, including biotic and abiotic niches), they are more likely to be observed together, and thus to be correlated in survey data. We therefore used co-occurrence patterns between pairs of nodes as a proxy for similarity in their realized niches, and asked if more genetically similar nodes are more likely to have similar realized niches. Indeed, we found that pairwise SparCC correlation coefficients between nodes tends to decline with genetic distance (Figure 3).

Figure 3

Figure 3. Co-occurrence of strains declines with their pairwise genetic distance. Relationship between co-occurrence (significant SparCC correlation, $P < 0.05$) and genetic distance (p distance) between *Dolichospermum* (A) and *Microcystis* (B) nodes. Blue diamonds represents the mean SparCC correlation for each distance. Boxplots show the median (horizontal line), the 25th and 75th percentile (enclosed in box) and 95% confidence intervals (whiskers).

This pattern was significant within both D (linear regression, $F(1,279) = 28.3$, $P < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 8.9\%$) and M (linear regression, $F(1,13) = 7.9$, $P < 0.05$, adjusted $R^2 = 33.0\%$). The higher R^2 observed for M might be explained by its more limited genetic diversity (maximum pairwise genetic distance ~0.01) compared to D (maximum distance ~0.05). There appears to be a rapid decline in niche similarity as genetic distance goes from 0 to ~0.01 (adjusted $R^2 = 23.0\%$ in D

when considering only this distance range) followed by a flatter relationship for genetic distance

>0.01.

We also identified non-cyanobacterial MED nodes that co-occurred with each D and M

node, as a proxy for microbial interactions that define their biotic niches. We found that M nodes

were generally more connected with other members of the bacterial community (Figure S9), and

relatively few taxa (5 out of 26; Table S5) are correlated with both M and D. Overall, this

suggests that M and D strains co-occur with distinct sets of other bacteria, and thus have distinct

realized biotic niches.

Finally, we asked whether the decline in niche similarity with genetic distance (Figure 3)

was a common feature of all niche dimensions, or if different abiotic parameters showed different

patterns. We considered all significant models, both linear and non-linear as shown in Figure 4

(for D) and Figure S8 (for M). We found a negative linear relationship between DN niche

similarity and genetic distance (adjusted R^2=25%, P = 0.0791). Qualitatively, most other niche

dimensions showed a similar pattern for D (Figure 4), and among M nodes we found a significant

negative linear relationship between the correlated fitted response to microcystin concentrations

and genetic distance (Figure S8). However, we also identified non-linear relationships between D

niche similarity and genetic distance for PP (adjusted R^2=69%, P = 0.0184) and PN (adjusted

R^2=63%, P= 0.0280). For these niche dimensions, the correlation of fitted responses declines

from genetic distances of 0 to ~0.01, then rises to another peak around ~0.03 before declining

again (Figure 4).

Figure 4. The relationship between genetic distance the co-response (niche similarity) for *Dolichospermum*. LVMs were used to identify correlations between the responses of MED nodes to each measured environmental parameter (separate panels). Positive correlations of fitted responses indicate similar niches; negative correlations indicate different niche preferences. Genetic distances were computed using the p-distance. Separate model fits were tested for the relationship between each niche dimension and genetic distance. See Table S4 for details of model fits.

Discussion

Overall, our results show how *Microcystis* and *Dolichospermum* can achieve similar levels of dominance during cyanobacterial blooms by partitioning niche space at the genus and sub-genus level. Over eight years of sampling, we observed that *Dolichospermum* and *Microcystis* generally co-occurred during blooms, suggesting a broadly similar physico-temporal niche (Figure 1; Figure S1). However, we confirmed that *D* was associated with lower concentrations of dissolved nitrogen, consistent with its known ability to fix nitrogen (Harke et al., 2016, Andersson et al., 2015) while *M* was associated with higher concentrations of dissolved phosphorus (Figure S5).

To dissect niche preferences at finer taxonomic resolution, below the genus level, we used minimum entropy decomposition (MED), allowing single-nucleotide resolution of 16S amplicon sequences. Finer taxonomic resolution has been shown to increase the power to correctly identify phylogenetic overdispersion, a signature of competitive interactions being more important than habitat filtering (Koeppel and Wu, 2014). However, even at high resolution, the 16S marker gene may be too slow-evolving to be a good marker for fast-evolving traits, such as toxin production (Berry et al., 2017) – a trait which likely evolves rapidly by horizontal gene transfer (Moffit and Neilan, 2004).

Despite these limitations, MED analysis provided additional insights into the distinct niches of *M* and *D*. *Dolichospermum* diversity was higher and most of the MED nodes (strains) were conditionally rare, some of them being bloom-associated in some years but not in others (e.g D2282, Figure S3). *Microcystis* nodes, on the other hand, were less diverse but more consistently bloom-associated (nodes M5732 and M5733; Figure S4) and more correlated with other taxa (Figure S9), suggesting that the two dominant bloom-forming genera might use different ecological strategies, beyond what is already known about nitrogen and phosphorus utilization.

Examining niche partitioning below the genus level also allowed us to detect patterns not

evident at the genus level. For example, *Dolichospermum* is associated with higher

concentrations of PP and PN at the genus level (Figure S5), but contains a strain (D5630) that

shows the opposite pattern (Figure 2). Within *Microcystis*, niche partitioning occurred mainly for

temperature and DN, and the two most dominant *M* nodes had a significant niche separation for

DN (Figure 2; Figure S7). It is known that *Microcystis* does not fix atmospheric nitrogen, but is

able to use refractory N-containing compounds such as urea or amino acids (Moisander et al.,

2009; Dai et al., 2009), and DN is likely needed for toxin production (Monchamp et al., 2014).

Therefore, different *M* strains could specialize in their preference for different forms of nitrogen.

The relative importance of habitat filtering and competition in shaping microbial

communities is widely debated, and distinguishing between the two processes can be technically

challenging (Koeppel and Wu, 2014; Cadotte and Tucker 2017). Consistent with a general effect

of habitat filtering in selecting for genetically similar cyanobacteria under similar conditions, we

found a negative relationship between MED node co-occurrence and pairwise genetic distance

(Figure 3). This result is in agreement with an early study showing the importance of habitat

filtering in microbial communities (Horner-Devine and Bohannan, 2006) and is also consistent

with what was observed by Silverman et al (2017) using human microbiome data.

Also consistent with the general importance of habitat filtering in shaping cyanobacterial

communities, we observed that closely-related strains tended to have similar co-responses to

several measured environmental parameters. For example, we found negative linear relationships

between niche similarity and *Dolichospermum* genetic distance for most niche dimensions,

particularly DN (Figure 4). In *Microcystis,* we found that more genetically similar strains tended

to be observed at more similar concentrations of the toxin microcystin (Figure S8). Although 16S

is a poor marker for microcystin production (Berry et al., 2017), our results suggest that

phylogenetic relatedness is nevertheless somewhat predictive of microcystin concentrations. This

means that microcystin production or tolerance is more likely to be shared by close relatives.

In contrast to the general pattern of declining niche similarity with genetic distance, PN

and PP both had non-linear relationships with genetic distance in *Dolichospermum* (Figure 4).

After an initial decline in co-responses to PN and PP to a genetic distance of ~0.01, co-response

rose to another peak at ~0.03 before declining again. This response is consistent with near-

identical MED nodes sharing the same preferences for PN and PP concentrations, and that

competition between close-relatives (up to genetic distance of ~0.01) imposes divergent selection

for distinct niche preferences. The similarity in PN and PP niches for more distant relatives

(distance ~0.03) can be explained if distant relatives have diverged to reduce competition in other

niche dimensions, allowing them to converge in PN and PP preferences. It is unclear why this

non-linear relationship is observed for PN and PP, but not for other niche dimensions. However,

it highlights the importance of considering each niche dimension separately, because adaptation

to different niche dimensions can occur at dramatically different rates (Martiny et al., 2015).

References

Aitchison, J. (1986) The Statistical Analysis of Compositional Data. Chapman and Hall, London,
416 p. doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4109-0

Andersson, A., Höglander, H., Karlsson, C., and Huseby, S. (2015). Key role of phosphorus and
nitrogen in regulating cyanobacterial community composition in the northern Baltic Sea.
Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 164, 161–171. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2015.07.013.

Berry, M. A., White, J. D., Davis, T. W., Jain, S., Johengen, T. H., Dick, G. J., et al. (2017). Are
Oligotypes Meaningful Ecological and Phylogenetic Units? A Case Study of Microcystis
in Freshwater Lakes. *Front Microbiol* 8. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00365.

Beversdorf, L. J., Miller, T. R., and McMahon, K. D. (2013). The Role of Nitrogen Fixation in
Cyanobacterial Bloom Toxicity in a Temperate, Eutrophic Lake. *PLOS ONE* 8, e56103.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056103.

Cadotte, M. W., and Tucker, C. M. (2017). Should Environmental Filtering be Abandoned?
Trends Ecol. Evol. 32, 429–437. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2017.03.004.

Cavender-Bares, J., Kozak, K. H., Fine, P. V. A., and Kembel, S. W. (2009). The merging of
community ecology and phylogenetic biology. *Ecology Letters* 12, 693–715.
doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01314.x.

Crump, B. C., Kling, G. W., Bahr, M., and Hobbie, J. E. (2003). Bacterioplankton Community
Shifts in an Arctic Lake Correlate with Seasonal Changes in Organic Matter Source. *Appl.*
Environ. Microbiol. 69, 2253–2268. doi:10.1128/AEM.69.4.2253-2268.2003.

Dai, R., Liu, H., Qu, J., Zhao, X., and Hou, Y. (2009). Effects of amino acids on microcystin
production of the Microcystis aeruginosa. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 161, 730–736.
doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.04.015.

Edgar, R. C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
Bioinformatics 26, 2460–2461. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461.

Eren, A. M., Morrison, H. G., Vineis, J. H., Reveillaud, J., Sogin, M. L., and Lescault, P. J.
(2014). Minimum entropy decomposition: Unsupervised oligotyping for sensitive
partitioning of high-throughput marker gene sequences. *The ISME Journal* 9, 968.
doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.195.

Friedman, J., and Alm, E. J. (2012). Inferring Correlation Networks from Genomic Survey Data.
PLOS Computational Biology 8, e1002687. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002687.

Gauze, G. F. (1934). *The struggle for existence*. Baltimore, The Williams & Wilkins company
Available at: http://archive.org/details/struggleforexist00gauz

Gray, N. D., Comaskey, D., Miskin, I. P., Pickup, R. W., Suzuki, K., and Head, I. M. (2004).
Adaptation of sympatric Achromatium spp. to different redox conditions as a mechanism

for coexistence of functionally similar sulphur bacteria. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6, 669–677.
doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00607.x.

Hajdu, S., Höglander, H., and Larsson, U. (2007). Phytoplankton vertical distributions and
composition in Baltic Sea cyanobacterial blooms. *Harmful Algae* 6, 189–205.
doi:10.1016/j.hal.2006.07.006.

Harke, M. J., Davis, T. W., Watson, S. B., and Gobler, C. J. (2016). Nutrient-Controlled Niche
Differentiation of Western Lake Erie Cyanobacterial Populations Revealed via
Metatranscriptomic Surveys. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 604–615.
doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b03931.

Höfle, M. G., Haas, H., and Dominik, K. (1999). Seasonal dynamics of bacterioplankton
community structure in a eutrophic lake as determined by 5S rRNA analysis. *Appl.*
Environ. Microbiol. 65, 3164–3174.

Homma, T., Komatsu, N., Negishi, M., Katagami, Y., Nakamura, K., and Park, H. D. (2008).
Influence of Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen and Phosphorus Concentrations on the
Horizontal and Temporal Changes of Microcystis Population in Lake Kitaura. The XII
World Lake Conference, Taal. 1423-1429.

Horner-Devine, M. C., and Bohannan, B. J. M. (2006). Phylogenetic clustering and
overdispersion in bacterial communities. *Ecology* 87, S100-108.

Hui, F. K. C., Taskinen, S., Pledger, S., Foster, S. D., and Warton, D. I. (2015). Model-based
approaches to unconstrained ordination. *Methods Ecol Evol* 6, 399–411.
doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12236.

Hunt, D. E., David, L. A., Gevers, D., Preheim, S. P., Alm, E. J., and Polz, M. F. (2008).
Resource partitioning and sympatric differentiation among closely related
bacterioplankton. *Science* 320, 1081–1085. doi:10.1126/science.1157890.

Hutchinson, G. E. (1957). Concluding Remarks. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 22, 415–
427. doi:10.1101/SQB.1957.022.01.039.

Jaspers, E., and Overmann, J. (2004). Ecological Significance of Microdiversity: Identical 16S
rRNA Gene Sequences Can Be Found in Bacteria with Highly Divergent Genomes and
Ecophysiologies. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70, 4831–4839. doi:10.1128/AEM.70.8.4831-
4839.2004.

Jezbera, J., Jezberová, J., Brandt, U., and Hahn, M. W. (2011). Ubiquity of Polynucleobacter
necessarius subspecies asymbioticus results from ecological diversification. *Environ*
Microbiol 13, 922–931. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02396.x.

Koeppel, A. F., and Wu, M. (2014). Species matter: the role of competition in the assembly of
congeneric bacteria. *ISME J* 8, 531–540. doi:10.1038/ismej.2013.180.

Konopka, A., and Brock, T. D. (1978). Effect of Temperature on Blue-Green Algae

(Cyanobacteria) in Lake Mendota. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 36, 572–576.

Letten, A. D., Keith, D. A., Tozer, M. G., and Hui, F. K. C. (2015). Fine-scale hydrological niche
differentiation through the lens of multi-species co-occurrence models. *J Ecol* 103, 1264–
1275. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12428.

Lindström, (2000). Bacterioplankton Community Composition in Five Lakes Differing in
Trophic Status and Humic Content. *Microb. Ecol.* 40, 104–113.

Martiny, J. B. H., Jones, S. E., Lennon, J. T., and Martiny, A. C. (2015). Microbiomes in light of
traits: A phylogenetic perspective. *Science* 350, aac9323. doi:10.1126/science.aac9323.

Moffit, M.C. and Neilan, B.A. (2004). Characterization of the nodularin synthetase gene cluster
and proposed theory of the evolution of cyanobacterial hepatotoxins. *Applied and*
Environmental Microbiology 70, 6353-6362

Moisander, P. H., Ochiai, M., and Lincoff, A. (2009). Nutrient limitation of Microcystis
aeruginosa in northern California Klamath River reservoirs. *Harmful Algae* 8, 889–897.
doi:10.1016/j.hal.2009.04.005.

Monchamp, M.-E., Pick, F. R., Beisner, B. E., and Maranger, R. (2014). Nitrogen Forms
Influence Microcystin Concentration and Composition via Changes in Cyanobacterial
Community Structure. *PLOS ONE* 9, e85573. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085573.

Morrison, J. M., Baker, K. D., Zamor, R. M., Nikolai, S., Elshahed, M. S., and Youssef, N. H.
(2017). Spatiotemporal analysis of microbial community dynamics during seasonal
stratification events in a freshwater lake (Grand Lake, OK, USA). *PLoS One* 12.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177488.

Neuenschwander, S. M., Pernthaler, J., Posch, T., and Salcher, M. M. (2015). Seasonal growth
potential of rare lake water bacteria suggest their disproportional contribution to carbon
fluxes. *Environ Microbiol* 17, 781–795. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12520.

Newton, R. J., Jones, S. E., Eiler, A., McMahon, K. D., and Bertilsson, S. (2011). A guide to the
natural history of freshwater lake bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 75, 14–49.
doi:10.1128/MMBR.00028-10.

Paerl, H. W., Fulton, R. S., Moisander, P. H., and Dyble, J. (2001). Harmful freshwater algal
blooms, with an emphasis on cyanobacteria. *Scientific World Journal* 1, 76–113.
doi:10.1100/tsw.2001.16.

Paliy O., Shankar ,V. (2016). Application of multivariate statistical techniques in microbial
ecology. *Mol Ecol* 25,1032–1057. doi:10.1111/mec.13536.

Rohwer, R. R., Hamilton, J. J., Newton, R. J., and McMahon, K. D. (2017). TaxAss: Leveraging
Custom Databases Achieves Fine-Scale Taxonomic Resolution. *bioRxiv*, 214288.
doi:10.1101/214288.

Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., et al.
(2009). Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported
Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. 75, 7537–7541. doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09.

Shade, A., Jones, S. E., Caporaso, J. G., Handelsman, J., Knight, R., Fierer, N., et al. (2014).
Conditionally Rare Taxa Disproportionately Contribute to Temporal Changes in
Microbial Diversity. *mBio* 5, e01371-14. doi:10.1128/mBio.01371-14.

Shade, A., Kent, A. D., Jones, S. E., Newton, R. J., Triplett, E. W., and McMahon, K. D. (2007).
Interannual dynamics and phenology of bacterial communities in a eutrophic lake.
Limnol. Oceanogr. 52, 487–494. doi:10.4319/lo.2007.52.2.0487.

Tromas, N., Fortin, N., Bedrani, L., Terrat, Y., Cardoso, P., Bird, D., et al. (2017). Characterising
and predicting cyanobacterial blooms in an 8-year amplicon sequencing time course.
ISME J 11, 1746–1763. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.58

Silverman, J. D., Washburne, A. D., Mukherjee, S., and David, L. A. (2017). A phylogenetic
transform enhances analysis of compositional microbiota data. *eLife* 6, e21887.
doi:10.7554/eLife.21887.

Šimek, K., Kasalický, V., Horňák, K., Hahn, M. W., and Weinbauer, M. G. (2010). Assessing
Niche Separation among Coexisting Limnohabitans Strains through Interactions with a
Competitor, Viruses, and a Bacterivore. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 3762–3762.
doi:10.1128/AEM.00774-10.

Suikkanen, S., Pulina, S., Engström-Öst, J., Lehtiniemi, M., Lehtinen, S., and Brutemark, A.
(2013). Climate Change and Eutrophication Induced Shifts in Northern Summer Plankton
Communities. *PLOS ONE* 8, e66475. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066475.

Tilman, D. (1982). *Resource Competition and Community Structure*. Princeton University Press.

Warton, D. I., Blanchet, F. G., O'Hara, R. B., Ovaskainen, O., Taskinen, S., Walker, S. C., et al.
(2015). So Many Variables: Joint Modeling in Community Ecology. *Trends in Ecology &*
Evolution 30, 766–779. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2015.09.007.

Willis, A., and Bunge, J. (2015). Estimating diversity via frequency ratios. *Biometrics* 71, 1042–
1049. doi:10.1111/biom.12332.

Willis, A., Bunge, J., and Whitman, T. (2017). Improved detection of changes in species richness
in high diversity microbial communities. J. R. Stat. Soc. C 66, 963–977.
doi:10.1111/rssc.12206.

Yamamoto, Y., and Tsukada, H. (2009). Measurement of in Situ Specific Growth Rates of
Microcystis (cyanobacteria) from the Frequency of Dividing Cells1. *Journal of Phycology*
45, 1003–1009. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2009.00723.x.

Data availability

Raw sequence data have been deposited NCBI GenBank under BioProject number

PRJNA353865: SRP099259.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We thank Francis Hui, Andrew Letten and David Warton for advice on the LVM analyses.

We also thank everyone who participated in sampling, data collection and analysis.

This research was funded by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC)

Discovery grant and a Fonds de Recherche du Québec Nature et Technologies (FRQNT) New

Researcher grant to BJS, and the federal government interdepartmental Genomics Research and

Development Initiative (GRDI). NT is funded by a project from the European Union's Horizon

2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No

656647.