Mutation accumulation in selfing populations under fluctuating selection

Ho, E.K.H. and Agrawal, A.F.

Abstract

Selfing species tend to be prone to extinction and this may be because selfing reduces the

efficacy of selection acting to establish beneficial mutations and remove deleterious mutations

from the population. Past theory shows that highly selfing populations can suffer from a

continuous accumulation of deleterious mutations, a process analogous to Muller's ratchet in

asexual populations. However, current theory provides little insight into which types of genes are

most likely to accumulate deleterious alleles and what environmental circumstances may

accelerate genomic degradation. Here we investigate temporal changes in the environment that

cause fluctuations in the strength of purifying selection acting on some genes. We simulate

highly selfing populations with genomes containing a mixture of loci experiencing time constant

selection and loci experiencing selection that fluctuates in strength over time (but not in

direction). Even when both types of loci experience the same average strength of selection over

time, loci under fluctuating selection contribute disproportionately more to deleterious mutation

accumulation. Moreover, the presence of loci experiencing fluctuating selection in the genome

increases the fixation rate of deleterious alleles at loci that are under constant selection; under

most realistic scenarios this effect of linked selection can be attributed to a reduction in N_e.

Fluctuating selection is particularly injurious to highly selfing populations when selective

environments are strongly autocorrelated over time and when selection is concentrated into

temporally rare environments in which selection is strong. From these results, we suggest that

loci under fluctuating selection are likely to be important drivers of extinction in selfing species.

Introduction

Self-fertilization has evolved many times independently in plants and animals (Barrett

2002, Goodwillie et al. 2005, Jarne and Auld 2006). It is speculated that selfers evolve readily

because they have a transmission advantage over outcrossers (Fisher 1941) and benefit from

reproductive assurance when there is a lack of mates or pollinators (Lloyd 1979, Grossenbacher

et al. 2017). Segregating recessive alleles result in high levels of inbreeding depression in

outcrossing species and can prevent the transition to self-fertilization (Lloyd 1979; Charlesworth

1980). However, low or moderate levels of selfing can lead to the purging of inbreeding

depression, potentially allowing transitions to high levels of selfing (Lande and Schemske 1985).

Despite frequent transitions from outcrossing to self-fertilization, highly selfing populations are

relatively rare in nature and relegated at the tips of phylogenies (Stebbins 1957, Igic and Kohn

2006). Stebbins (1957) proposed that highly selfing species suffer from low rates of adaptation

and are more prone to extinction; classically called the 'dead end' hypothesis.

Population genetics theory provides insights into why selfing is a dead end. Selfing

reduces the effective population size, N_e, which diminishes the efficacy of selection. The

reduction in N_e occurs for two reasons. First, under neutrality, N_e is reduced two-fold in

obligately selfing populations because gametes are not sampled independently (Pollak 1987).

Second, but perhaps more importantly, high homozygosity in selfing populations reduces the

effectiveness of recombination and can further reduce N_e due to linked selection effects (Golding

and Strobeck 1979, Hudson and Kaplan 1995, Nordborg 1997, 2000). Due to linked selection,

we expect genomes with low recombination rates to suffer from reduced rates of adaptation

(Stebbins 1957, Hill and Robertson 1966, Barton 1995) and increased rates of deleterious

mutation accumulation, which could lead to extinction (Muller 1932, van Valen 1975, Haigh

1978, Heller and Maynard Smith 1979, Nunney 1989, Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1993,

1997). Explicit contrasts of selfing and outcrossing species confirm lower rates of adaptation and

higher rates of deleterious mutation accumulation in both theory (Glemin and Ronfort 2013;

Kamran-Disfani and Agrawal 2014) and reality (Wright et al. 2002, Cutter 2006, Cutter et al.

2006, Slotte et al. 2010, Qui et al. 2011, Ness et al. 2012, Brandvain et al. 2013, Slotte et al.

2013, Arunkumar et al. 2014, Burgarella et al. 2015). Further, phylogenetic analyses infer higher

extinction rates in self-compatible *Solanacaea* (Goldberg et al. 2009) relative to their self-

compatible counterparts. (A similar pattern occurs between asexual and sexual *Onagraceae*

(Johnson et al. 2012).) The disadvantage resulting from very strong linked selection can maintain

low levels of outcrossing in species considered strong selfers (Kamran-Disfani and Agrawal

2014).

While both reduced rates of adaptation and higher rates of deleterious mutation

accumulation may contribute to extinction, our focus here is on better understanding the latter.

Though existing theory makes it clear that deleterious mutations accumulate more readily in

highly selfing populations, this literature does not aim to provide insight into the types of genes

most likely to degrade or the types of environmental circumstances that might hasten genomic

degradation. Here we investigate how loci that experience fluctuations in intensity (but not

direction) affect deleterious mutation accumulation in highly selfing populations.

There is abundant evidence that selection is environmentally sensitive in natural and in

laboratory experiments (Kassen 2002, Fry et al. 2002, Baer et al. 2006, Hedrick 2006, Leimu and

Fischer 2008, Agrawal and Whitlock 2010, Bergland et al. 2014, Kraemer et al. 2015, Latta et al.

2015, Roles et al. 2016, Rutter et al. 2017). Conceptually, selection can vary in direction and

magnitude between environments (Martin and Lenormand 2006), but empirically, selection

varies mostly in magnitude. For example, 'stressful' environments may alleviate or intensify the

strength of selection, but rarely reverses the direction of selection (Kishony and Leibler 2003,

Agrawal and Whitlock 2010, Kraemer et al. 2015). In a comprehensive study on yeast,

Hillenmeyer et al. (2008) found that 97% of all viable singe gene knockouts reduce fitness in at

least one environment while having little to no effect in other environments after performing

over 1000 chemical assays. This suggests that selection against mutations can fluctuate in

magnitude from neutrality to deleterious between environments (i.e., conditionally neutral

mutations may be common). Other types of studies also indicate that temporal changes in the

environment create fluctuations in the strength of selection (Lynch 1987, Grant and Grant 2002,

Bell 2010, MacColl 2011, Bergland et al. 2014, Siepielski et al. 2017). Despite this evidence,

most mutation accumulation models assume selection is constant. This likely underestimates

fixation rates of deleterious mutations if selection fluctuates temporally (Wardlaw and Agrawal

2012, Cvijovic et al. 2015).

Though classic single locus models for the fixation probability assume selection is

constant (Haldane 1927, Kimura 1962), it is known that fixation probabilities can be highly

sensitive to the different ways that selection may change over time (Kimura and Ohta 1970,

Takahata et al. 1975, Gillespie 1978, Uecker and Hermisson 2011, Waxman 2011, Peischl and

Kirkpatrick 2012, Cvijovic et al. 2015). Often neglected are changes in selection that are

stochastic and temporally autocorrelated, especially with regards to fixations of mutations that

are on average deleterious. It is well documented that environments can fluctuate over many

different time scales under various degrees of temporal autocorrelation (Steele 1985, Halley

1996, Vasseur and Yodviz 2004, Furguson et al. 2016) and evolutionary processes can be

strongly dependent on both the temporal autocorrelation in selection and the average strength of

selection (Charlesworth 1993, Pieschl and Kirkpatrick 2012, Wardlaw and Agrawal 2012, Zhang

2012, Chevin et al. 2015, Cvijovic et al. 2015, Tufto 2015). In general, if changes in selection

occur rarely (very high temporal autocorrelation), then the probability of fixation for the

mutation is largely dependent on the selective environment in which it arose and can be

estimated from models assuming constant selection. If fluctuations are very frequent (very low

temporal autocorrelation), fixation probabilities can also be approximated by constant selection

models but using a time-averaged strength in selection (Mustonen and Lassig 2009, Peischl and

Kirkpatrick 2012, Cvijovic et al. 2015). However, in the intermediate range where selection and

environmental change operate on similar time scales, classic equations become inaccurate.

Within this range, Cvijovic et al. (2015) have shown in single locus models that selection

fluctuating in direction increases the probability of fixation for all mutations.

We examine selection that is always negative (or zero) but fluctuates in intensity (but not

direction) and in a system with strong linked selection. Results from single locus theory do not

extend simply to highly selfing populations where loci in the genome are tightly linked (Higgs

and Woodcock 1995, Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1993). Interference between deleterious

mutations leads to mutation accumulation in asexual and highly selfing populations (Muller

1932, Haigh 1978, Heller and Maynard Smith 1979, Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1993, 1997,

Gordo and Charlesworth 2000a,b; Kamran-Disfani and Agrawal 2014). However, little is known

about selective interference when some loci experience fluctuations in selection intensity. In a

related study, Wardlaw and Agrawal (2012) found that temporal autocorrelation in selection

intensity is an important determinant of Muller's ratchet in asexuals because the ratchet can turn

quickly during periods of relaxed selection. The current work extends their findings to selfing

populations with some recombination, explores more realistic environmental scenarios,

separately quantifies fixation rates for loci experiencing fluctuating versus constant selection

within the same genome, examines the extent to which the linked selection effects from

fluctuations can be accounted for through N_e, and considers selective interference involving

beneficial mutations.

We simulate highly selfing populations whose genomes contain both loci under constant

selection and loci under selection that fluctuates in the intensity of negative selection. We

examine how fluctuating selection affects the rate that deleterious mutations fix at loci

experiencing fluctuation but also at linked loci experiencing constant selection. We find that

genomes containing a larger proportion of loci under fluctuating selection experience higher

fixation rates genome wide, both because of higher fixation rates at the fluctuating loci

themselves but also through a linked selection effect on other loci, including those under

constant selection. Fluctuating selection has relatively more dramatic effects for populations with

lower mutations rates, stronger average selection, larger population sizes and higher outcrossing.

This is because fixation rates of loci experiencing fluctuating selection are less sensitive to

conditions that reduce fixation rates at loci experiencing constant selection. These results imply

that loci under fluctuating selection contribute disproportionately to mutation accumulation and

fitness decline in highly selfing populations.

Methods
Overview

The simulation program was written in C++ and can be downloaded from

https://github.com/EddieKHHo/SelfingMutAccum. Diploid populations of size *N* were simulated

assuming a life cycle of selection, reproduction and mutation. Our "base model" assumes

individuals carry two types of loci: those that experience constant negative selection on

mutations (hereafter "constant loci" or C-loci) and loci that experience selection that fluctuates in

intensity but not direction (hereafter "fluctuating loci" or F-loci) between neutrality and

relatively strong negative selection, i.e., mutations are conditionally neutral. In the base model,

all loci under fluctuating selection were affected identically by the environment so that these loci

were all either selected or neutral in the same generations. We first examined the base model

within populations that were either fully selfing or highly but not completely selfing (98%

selfing; hereafter "partially selfing"). We then examined three extensions of the model. The first

extension allowed selection to vary quantitatively over time. Specifically, the strength of

selection against mutations occurring at loci under fluctuating selection was exponential

distributed over time (rather than being "on" or "off" as in the base model). In the second

extension the conditionally neutral loci were equally divided into two different sets of loci that

each experienced selection in response to separate ecological variables. For example, the first set

could represent loci that were only selected under dry conditions and the second set represents

those loci that were selected only when there was high herbivory. The two environmental

conditions (e.g., "dry" and "high herbivory") could occur independently or be positively or

negatively correlated. In the last extension on the model, we allowed for beneficial mutations to

occur at C-loci.

Base model

Life cycle

Each diploid individual carried 5000 fitness-affecting loci and three neutral quantitative loci on a

linear genome; neutral loci were used to estimate effective population size (discussed below). A

proportion, p_f, of fitness affecting loci were assigned to experience fluctuating selection and the

remaining proportion, $1\text{-}p_f$, were assigned to experience constant selection; location assignment

of locus types are randomized for every simulation. Loci under constant selection (C-loci)

experienced a selection coefficient of s_c in all generations. Loci under fluctuating selection (F-

loci) experienced a strength of selection that changed over time $s_f(t)$, taking the value 0 or s_{max}.

The parameter f controlled the temporal autocorrelation in selection between consecutive

generations. With probability f selection automatically remained the same as the previous

generation. With probability, $1\text{-}f$, $s_f(t)$ was randomly chosen to be 0 or s_{max} with probability $(1\text{-}\phi)$

and ϕ, respectively; ϕ is the expected proportion of generations that $s_f(t) = s_{max}$. The correlation

in selection between time points separated by t generations is f^t. The expected run length that

$s_f(t)$ equals 0 and s_{max} is $1/((1\text{-}\phi)(1\text{-}f))$ and $1/(\phi(1\text{-}f))$, respectively. The geometric average

strength of selection experienced by loci under fluctuating selection is $s_{avg} = 1\text{-}(1\text{-}s_{max})^{\phi}$. For all

simulations we chose s_{max} such that $s_{avg} = s_c$, so that loci under fluctuating selection experienced

the same geometric average selection strength as loci under constant selection (i.e., $s_c = s_{avg}$) to

provide the fairest comparison between these types of loci.

Populations started with N individuals where each individual received a number of

mutations sampled from a Poisson distribution with mean $U/(h\,s_{avg})$ where U is the genome-wide

per generation mutation rate and $h = 0.25$ is the dominance coefficient. Every generation, each of

N offspring was produced as follows. The fitness of parents at time *t* was calculated assuming

loci act multiplicatively as

$$W_i(t) = (1 - s_c)^{x_c}(1 - h\, s_c)^{y_c}(1 - s_f(t))^{x_f}(1 - s_f(t))^{y_f}$$

where x_c and y_c represents the number of loci under constant selection that are homozygous and

heterozygous mutants and x_f and y_f represents the number of loci under fluctuating selection that

are homozygous and heterozygous mutants. Offspring were produced through sporophytic

selfing a proportion *S* of the time and through outcrossing a proportion 1-*S* of the time, on

average. Selfing rates were fixed and we focus on fully selfing populations (*S* = 1) and partially

selfing populations with a high rate of selfing (*S* = 0.98); see Table S1 for simulations with lower

selfing rates. A potential parent, *i*, was chosen randomly and their fitness relative to the

maximum in the population (W_i / W_{max}) was compared to a uniform random deviate (Uniform [0,

1]), where W_{max} is largest value of W_i in the population. The parent was kept if their relative

fitness surpasses the uniform random deviate, otherwise, the process was repeated until a suitable

parent is chosen. The offspring was produced via selfing if a uniform random deviate (Uniform

[0,1]) was smaller than the selfing rate, *S*. Otherwise, a second parent was chosen under the same

procedure as the first and the offspring is produced via outcrossing. Gametes were created

following recombination between homologous chromosomes of a parent with *c* crossover events

distributed randomly over the genome. *c* is a Poisson distributed random variable with a mean of

M = 1, where *M* represents the genomic map length. After combining two gametes from either

one or two parents, *x* mutations were randomly added to the genome of the offspring, where *x* is

a number sampled from a Poisson distribution with mean *U*. The locus to undergo mutation was

chosen randomly and the mutation event was ignored if the chosen locus was already a mutant.

Fixation occurs when all 2*N* alleles at a locus were mutant. When this occurs, we reset all alleles

back to wild type.

After a burn-in period of at least 20 000 generations, data was collected over at least 40

000 more generations; the burn-in and data collection period was much longer under low

mutations rates (*U* < 1). We calculated the per locus fixation rates for loci under constant and

fluctuating selection separately as the number of deleterious mutations that fixed at each type of

loci divided by the number of generations over which the data was collected and divided by the

number of loci for each type. Throughout, we compare per locus fixation rates in populations

that experienced fluctuating selection ($p_f > 0$) to the per locus fixation rates in populations that

did not experience fluctuating selection ($p_f = 0$, i.e., the "classic" model typical of most past

mutation accumulation models). More precisely, we calculated the *relative fixation rate* as the

per locus fixation rate in populations with $p_f > 0$ divided by the per locus fixation rate in

populations with $p_f = 0$ (holding all other parameters equal). Thus, the relative fixation rate for

loci under constant selection quantified the extent to which fixation rates were affected by

residing in genomes that experience fluctuating selection rather than residing in genomes that

only experience constant selection. The relative fixation rate for loci under fluctuating selection

quantified the direct effect of fluctuating selection as well as effect of residing in a genome

experiencing fluctuating selection. Each parameter set was replicated at least 20 times. For a

given parameter combination, relative fixation rates were calculated using the average rate over

all replicates divided by the average rate from the replicates of the corresponding classic model.

Effective population size

We estimated effective population size, N_e, using three linked quantitative neutral loci located at

one-quarter, one-half and three-quarters along the genome (following Keightley and Otto 2006,

Agrawal and Hartfield 2016). At reproduction, the value of each locus on each gamete was

perturbed by adding a random number drawn from a normal distribution with mean 0 and

variance 1. At steady state, the population wide variance at each locus gives an estimate of $2 N_e$

(Lynch and Hill 1986). For each simulation, N_e was measured every 100 generations over at least

40 000 generations following a burn-in period of 20 000 generations; the burn-in and data

collection period was much longer under low mutations rates ($U < 1$). This burn-in period was

likely greater than 20 N_e generations given that estimates of N_e from all our simulations fell far

below 1000. We reported the genome-wide N_e averaged among all time points and among the

three neutral loci; N_e estimates are similar across all three loci. We confirmed that our estimates

of N_e matched theoretical expectations in fully selfing populations under background selection

when mutations fixed at negligible rates (Gordo et al. 2002). We also confirmed that N_e

measured 10 000, 20 000 or 30 000 generations after the burn-in period matched our reported

value (taken over 40 000 generations after the burn-in period).

Simulations with exponentially distributed selection

We extended the base model by allowing selection strength to vary over time following an

exponential distribution (as opposed to a bimodal distribution in the base model). Each

generation, consecutive environments remain the same with probability f. With probability, 1-f,

the selection strength is chosen from an exponential distribution with mean $1/s_{avg}$. Similar to the

base model, loci under constant and fluctuating selection experienced the same geometric

averaged selection strength. In particular, we focused on simulations with $s_{avg} = 0.025$ where the

geometric mean and the variance in selection strength under the exponential model was almost

identical to that of the conditionally neutral mutations with $s_{avg} = 0.025$ and $\phi = 0.5$ in the base

model.

Simulations with two fluctuating ecological variables

An unrealistic assumption of the base model is that all F-loci experience fluctuating selection in

exactly the same way. As the simplest way to relax this assumption, we extended the base model

by allowing for two equally sized sets (F1, F2) of loci under fluctuating selection and each set

responds to a different ecological variable. Each individual possess 5000 fitness affecting loci. A

proportion p_{f1} and p_{f2} of fitness affecting loci are assigned to experiencing fluctuating selection in

response to separate environmental factors and the remaining proportion, 1-p_{f1}-p_{f2}, are assigned

to experience constant selection; location assignment of loci is randomized every simulation. We

assume equally sized sets of fluctuating loci, $p_{f1} = p_{f2}$ (and $p_{f1} + p_{f2} = p_f$).

For simulations where mutations at loci under fluctuating selection are conditionally

neutral (as in the base model), we assume both groups experience fluctuations in selection of the

same magnitude (i.e., between 0 and s_{max}) and experience the same time average selection s_{avg}.

Each generation, consecutive environments remained the same with probability f. With

probability, 1-f, the environment was randomly selected among four possible states: $\{s_{f1}(t) = s_{max}$,

$s_{f2}(t) = s_{max}\}$, $\{s_{f1}(t) = s_{max}, s_{f2}(t) = 0\}$, $\{s_{f1}(t) = 0, s_{f2}(t) = s_{max}\}$, $\{s_{f1}(t) = 0, s_{f2}(t) = 0\}$ with

probabilities $\phi^2 + d$, $\phi(1-\phi) - d$, $(1-\phi)\phi - d$, $(1-\phi)(1-\phi) + d$, respectively. Loci within F1 and F2

were expected to be under selection with strength s_{max} a proportion ϕ of the time, but are

otherwise neutral. The value of d must satisfy $d_{min} = \max\{-\phi^2, -(1-\phi)^2\}$ when $d < 0$ and $d_{max} =$

$(1-\phi)\phi$ when $d > 0$. The correlation in selective states between set F1 and F2, ρ, was determined

by the choice of d. We explore five different correlations in selective states between loci within

set F1 and F2, $\rho = \{-1, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 1\}$. When $\rho = 1$, this model is the same as the base model.

For simulations where selection fluctuates over time following an exponential

distribution, we modeled three cases that differ in the correlation of selective states between loci

within set F1 and F2. First, loci in set F1 and F2 may be completely correlated in selection ($\rho =$

1), therefore $s_{f1}(t)$ equals $s_{f2}(t)$ and was drawn from the exponential distribution. Second, loci in

the two sets may be uncorrelated in selection ($\rho = 0$), therefore $s_{f1}(t)$ and $s_{f2}(t)$ were

independently drawn from an exponential distribution. Lastly, loci in the two sets may be

negatively correlated in selection. $s_{f1}(t)$ is drawn from an exponential distribution, with a value

falling on the kth quantile, and $s_{f2}(t)$ takes on the value that is the $(1\text{-}k)^{th}$ quantile of the

exponential distribution; this represents the minimum correlation possible between two

exponentially distributed random variables ($\rho = 1\text{-}\pi^2/6 \cong -0.645$; Bladt and Neilsen 2010).

Simulations with beneficial mutations

Lastly, we extended the base model by allowing beneficial mutations to occur at C-loci. During

reproduction, x beneficial mutations were randomly added to the C-loci of the gamete, where x

was a number sampled from a Poisson distribution with mean U_b (the genome wide mutation rate

of beneficial mutations); the beneficial rates examined were much lower than the deleterious

rates ($U_b << U$). The locus to undergo mutation was chosen randomly among the C-loci. The

mutation event was ignored if the chosen allele was already a beneficial mutant. If the chosen

allele was a deleterious mutant, it was changed into a beneficial mutant. (A beneficial mutant

was changed into a deleterious mutant if that allele was chosen to receive a deleterious

mutation.) Fitness was calculated as previously described with an additional multiplicative factor

of $(1 + s_b)^{x_b}(1 + h_b s_b)^{y_b}$ where h_b and s_b are the dominance and selective coefficient of

beneficial mutations, respectively; x_b and y_b are the numbers of C-loci that are homozygous and

heterozygous for the beneficial mutations, respectively. Beneficial fixation occurs when all $2N$

alleles at a locus were beneficial mutants; we reset all alleles back to wild type when this occurs.

Following the burn-in period, the per locus fixation rates for deleterious mutations was

calculated as described above. We estimated the fixation probability for a new beneficial

mutation as the number of beneficial mutations that fixed divided by the expected number of

beneficial mutations that were introduced during the time interval over which the data was

collected (i.e. $N * U_b *$ number of generations). In addition, we used two methods for comparing

deleterious fixation rates at C- and F-loci in simulations *without* beneficial mutations ($U_b = 0$) to

the deleterious fixation rates of C- and F-loci in simulations *with* beneficial mutations ($U_b > 0$),

holding all parameters the same except for U_b. *Method 1*: We calculated the per locus deleterious

fixation rate in simulations with $U_b > 0$ divided by the per locus deleterious fixation rate in

populations with $U_b = 0$ for C-loci and F-loci separately (holding all other parameters equal;

Table S2). *Method 2*: We examined the number of additional deleterious fixations at C-loci and

F-loci per beneficial fixation. To do this we calculated the number of deleterious fixations at C-

loci (F-loci) for simulations with $U_b > 0$ minus the average number of deleterious fixations at C-

loci (F-loci) for simulations with $U_b = 0$ and divided this difference by the number of beneficial

fixations for the simulations with $U_b > 0$ (holding all other parameters equal; Table S3). Each

parameter set was replicated at least 20 times.

Results
In their model of obligately asexual populations, Wardlaw and Agrawal (2012) previously

showed that some degree of temporal autocorrelation in selection, $f > 0$, is required for

fluctuating selection to significantly elevate rates of mutation accumulation. We confirmed this

finding for partially selfing ($S = 0.98$) and obligately selfing ($S = 1$) populations (Figure S1, S2,

S10). Rather than further exploring the effects of f on fixation rates, we simply assume that

selection undergoes moderate degrees of temporal autocorrelation ($f = 0.95$, $\phi = 0.5$) such that

the correlation in selection between time points separated by 1, 10, and 100 generations is 0.95,

0.60, and 0.006, and the average run length between switches in the environment is ~40

generations. This regime elevates fixation rates at loci under fluctuating loci, but is moderate in

its effects; fixation rates can be much higher with higher values of f and lower values of ϕ

(Figure S1, S2, S10). We focus on exploring how loci under fluctuating selection in the genome

alter fixation rates at linked sites and genome wide. We also extend our model to test the

robustness of our results to different forms of fluctuating selection.

Varying the composition of the genome, p_f

We examine fixation rates in genomes where a fraction p_f of loci are under fluctuating selection

(F-loci) and the remaining loci are under constant selection (C-loci). Unless otherwise noted, we

focus on simulations with $N = 10^4$, $s_{avg} = 0.025$, and $h = 0.25$ with $f = 0.95$ and $\phi = 0.5$. For both

partially and obligately selfing populations, per locus fixation rates within genomes experiencing

fluctuating selection ($p_f > 0$) are typically higher than fixation rates in the classic model ($p_f = 0$);

this is true for both C- and F-loci, but the effect is stronger for the latter (Figure 1, S2) The fact

that per locus fixation rates vary with p_f indicates that changing the density of F-loci in the

genome alters the effects of linked selection on both F- and C-loci. When less than half the

genome consists of F-loci ($p_f < 0.5$), increasing p_f generally elevates fixation rates at both C- and

F-loci and, thereby, the genome-wide average fixation rate. Curiously, at high mutation rates (U

$= 1$) and with partial selfing ($S = 0.98$), further increasing p_f reduces fixation rates for genomes

that contain more than 50% F-loci (Figure 1a). As it seems unlikely that a high fraction of loci

experience strong fluctuations in selection, the results for $p_f < 0.5$ are the most biologically

relevant. Therefore, in the relevant range, our results indicate that genomes experiencing more

loci under fluctuating selection fix deleterious mutations at faster rates.

When mutation rates are lower (so absolute fixation rates are lower), the effect of

fluctuating selection on the *relative* fixation rate is more dramatic for both C- and F-loci. For the

parameter sets examined in Fig. 1 for partial selfers, the inclusion of F-loci maximally increases

genome-wide fixation rate by a factor of 1.38 vs. 2.42 at the high and low mutation rate,

respectively (Fig. 1a, c). For obligate selfers, the maximal increase is 1.5 vs. 4.91 at the high and

low mutation rate, respectively (Fig 1b, d). This effect of mutation rate holds in simulations

using other values of autocorrelation f and frequency of selection ϕ (Figure S2). Although the

relative impact of fluctuating selection is larger at lower mutation rates, in the remaining sections

we focus on results from high mutation rates ($U = 1$) because simulations at lower mutation rates

require much longer runs to obtain accurate estimates of fixation rates.

Figure 1. Relative fixation rates (mean ± SE for C- and F-loci in populations with varying genomic composition, p_f, under moderate temporal autocorrelation in selection (f = 0.95, ϕ = 0.5) with h = 0.25, s_{avg} = 0.025. Fixation rates under high mutation rates in (a) partially selfing populations (U = 1, S = 0.98) and in (b) obligately selfing populations (U = 1, S = 1). Fixation rates under lower mutations rates in (c) partially selfing populations (U = 0.5, S = 0.98) and in (d) obligately selfing populations (U = 0.25, S = 1). Fixation rates are given relative to the fixation rate for C-loci in the classic model (p_f = 0), which is represented by the horizontal dashed line at 1.0 (the grey shading denotes SE). The absolute fixation rates in the classic model (p_f = 0) for panels a-d are 7.47 x 10^{-6}, 2.33 x 10^{-5}, 8.74 x 10^{-7} and 7.08 x 10^{-7} per locus per generation respectively.

The density of F-loci alters the effective population size, N_e (see Figure 2a which shows

N_e for the simulations represented by Figures 1a-b; Figure S3 for simulations represented by

Figures 1 c-d). We may suspect that variation in fixation rates in relation to p_f, as shown in

Figure 1a, occurs because of changes in the strength of genetic drift (proportional to $1/N_e$). If so,

fixation rates should be equal for simulations with different values of p_f if they experienced the

same N_e. To test this, we ran additional simulations changing the census population size, N, of

the simulations to raise or lower N_e for a given value of p_f. (We observe that N_e is not linearly

related to N (Figure 2b) as has been observed previously in simulations of asexual populations

accumulating deleterious mutation due to Muller's ratchet (Gordo *et al.* 2002).) Consistent with

the idea that the relationship between p_f and fixation rate results from the effect of p_f on N_e, we

observe fixation rates are similar across different values of p_f when N_e is similar, but only if p_f is

not too high ($0 < p_f \leq 0.5$; Figures 2c, d). However, when comparing simulations with similar

estimates of N_e, very high values of p_f (i.e., $p_f = 0.8, 0.95$) result in higher rates fixation rates

than low or moderate values of p_f (i.e., $p_f \leq 0.5$). This indicates that when p_f is large (and the

mutation rate is high), selective interference between C- and F-loci affects fixation rates in ways

that cannot be captured by a genome-wide estimate of N_e if a large fraction of the genome

consists of F-loci.

Different methods of estimating of N_e can yield different values when genomes

experience selective interference at many loci (McVean and Charlesworth 2000, Comeron et al.

2008). Thus, we cannot reject the possibility that a different estimator of N_e may explain the

trends in fixation rates we observed even at high values of p_f. However, we suspect that a single

genome wide summary statistic, such as N_e, cannot fully account for variation in fixation rates of

both C- and F-loci because the two types of loci can qualitatively differ in their response to p_f

(Figure 1a). For example, we observe non-monotonic effect of p_f on N_e for obligately selfing

populations (Figure 2a) but fixation rates at F-loci are monotonically increasing with p_f while

fixation rates at C-loci changes non-monotonically with p_f (Figure 2b).

Figure 2. (a) Estimates of effective population size (mean ± SE) for genomes containing different densities of F-loci, p_f, in a population of $N = 10^4$. Shown are N_e values for partially selfing populations ($U = 1$, $S = 0.98$; black points) and obligately selfing populations ($U = 1$, $S = 1$, grey points); these results are from the same simulations represented in Figures 1a-b. The horizontal dashed line indicates the N_e (grey shading is SE) for the corresponding classic model ($p_f = 0$) under partially selfing (top) and obligate selfing (bottom). (b) Estimates of N_e plotted against N for partially selfing populations ($U = 1$, $S = 0.98$) for genomes with different values of p_f as depicted in the legend. The open symbol indicates the N_e when $N = 10^4$ (i.e., corresponding to simulations in Figure 1a). Fixation rates under partial selfing ($U = 1$, $S = 0.98$) plotted against N_e (c) loci under constant selection (d) loci under fluctuating selection.

Fixation bias at F-loci

The fixation rate of deleterious mutations at F-loci is always greater than that for C-loci within

all mixed genomes (Figures 1, 2c, d). This bias is clearly stronger when selection fluctuates in

ways that directly increase fixation rates at F-loci (i.e., larger f and smaller ϕ; Figure S2).

Moreover, this bias is affected by the 'traditional' parameters affecting mutation accumulation

such as the strength of selection (s_{avg}), the mutation rate (U) and the population size (N). To

examine how this bias depends on aspects of selection, we performed simulations where half the

genome consist of F-loci ($p_f = 0.5$) at high mutations rates ($U = 1$) with an average selection

strength of $s_c = s_{avg} = \{0.005, 0.025, 0.05\}$. The ratio of the fixation rate at F-loci to the fixation

rate at C-loci (within the same population) is consistently larger than unity for both partially and

obligately selfing populations (Figure 3a), indicating that mutations fix disproportionately

frequently at loci under fluctuating selection. This bias is stronger when selection is stronger on

average or when it is concentrated into shorter but more intense events (i.e., smaller values of ϕ;

Figure 3a). This bias in fixations at F-loci increases with the average selection strength as

stronger selection seems to reduced fixation rates at C-loci relatively more than at F-loci (Figure

3b).

Reducing the mutation rate also increases the bias in fixations at F-loci for both partially

selfing and obligately selfing populations (Figures 4, S2, S9). In obligately selfing populations, a

reduction in U in conjunction with an increase in s_{avg} causes an even larger bias (Figure 4b). This

bias seems reasonably insensitive to genome composition, p_f. The bias towards fixations at F-loci

is also stronger with increasing population size (Figure S5) and increasing outcrossing rates

(Figure S6). Overall, these results show that loci under fluctuating selection contribute

disproportionately to the fixation of deleterious mutations and decline in fitness of highly selfing

populations. Conditions that are expected to slow down mutation accumulation, such as lower U,

stronger s_{avg}, larger N, and less selfing seem to reduce fixation rates at C-loci more so than at F-

loci, making the relative contribution of F-loci to mutation accumulation even greater.

Figure 3. (a) Ratio of fixation rates at F-loci to fixation rates at C-loci (within the same
population) for partially selfing (black; $S = 0.98$) and obligately selfing (grey; $S = 1$) populations
when half of the genome consists of F-loci ($p_f = 0.5$); error bars are SE (often too small to be
visible). When $\phi = 0.5$, selection is non-neutral (s_{max}) half the time but when $\phi = 0.25$, selection
is non-neutral only a quarter of the time. To maintain $s_{avg} = \{0.005, 0.025, 0.05\}$ when ϕ is
reduced by half we approximately double the value of s_{max} (Methods), therefore making
selection concentrated into shorter but more intense events. (b) Fixation rates at F-loci and C-
loci for partially selfing (black; $S = 0.98$) and obligately selfing (grey; $S = 1$) populations when ϕ
= 0.5. Other parameters: $N = 10^4$, $U = 1$, $h = 0.25$, $f = 0.95$, $s_c = s_{avg}$.

Figure 4. Ratio of fixation rates (mean ± SE) at F-loci to fixation rates at C-loci (within the same
population) for populations with different proportions of F-loci, p_f for (a) partially selfing
populations ($S = 0.98$) and (b) obligately selfing populations ($S = 1$). Changes to parameters
that reduce mutation accumulation (reduced U or increased s) result in an increased bias of F-
loci fixation over C-loci fixation. Other parameters: $N = 10000$, $h = 0.25$, $f = 0.95$, $\phi = 0.5$.

Exponentially distributed selection over time

Above we assumed that mutations at F-loci are conditionally neutral, that is, selection fluctuates

bimodally over time between 0 and s_{max}. To examine whether our results are qualitatively robust

to different temporal distributions of fluctuating selection, we now consider simulations where

the selection strength for F-loci is randomly sampled from an exponential distribution when the

environment changes. The exponential distribution we use has a very similar mean and standard

deviation in selection strength compared to our base model of conditional neutrality model ($s_{avg} \cong$

0.025, standard deviation in $s_f(t)$ = 0.025). Using f = 0.95, the correlation in selection between

time points separated by 1, 10, and 100 generations is 0.95, 0.60, and ~0, respectively. The

fixation rates for both C- and F-loci are slightly lower under the exponential model than the

bimodal model (Figure 5). Mutations fix disproportionately at F-loci under the exponential

selection model, but this bias is not quite as strong as observed in the bimodal selection model.

Both models are also similarly affected by changes in the mutation rate; we observe that

reducing mutations rates (from U = 1 to U = 0.5) increases relative fixation rates and the

proportion of mutations that fix at F-loci (Figure S8, S9). Though the bimodal and exponential

selection model represents qualitatively different forms of temporal heterogeneity in selection,

the effects of these models on fixation rates are reasonably similar. We speculate that any form

of fluctuations in selection intensity are likely to elevate fixation rates and fitness decline in

highly selfing populations as long as the variance in selection over time is sufficiently large and

there is some degree of temporal autocorrelation.

Figure 5. Relative fixation rates (mean ± SE) at C- and F-loci in populations with different
genomic compositions, p_f, when selection strength is sampled from a bimodal (black) or
exponential distribution (grey) over time in (a) partially selfing populations (S = 0.98) and (b)
obligately selfing populations (S = 1). Relative fixation rates under the bimodal selection model
are re-plotted from Figure 1a, b. The geometric time average and the variance in selection
strength and for the bimodal and exponential selection model are approximately the same (s_{avg}
= 0.025, standard deviation in $s_f(t)$ = 0.025). Fixation rates are given relative to the fixation rate
for C-loci in the classic model (p_f = 0), which is represented by the horizontal dashed line at 1.0
(the grey shading denotes SE). Other parameters: f = 0.95, N = 10^4, U = 1, h = 0.25.

Two components of fluctuating selection

In the previous results, we assume that all F-loci simultaneously respond to the same

environmental factor that fluctuates in time. As the simplest way to relax this assumption, we

allow for two equally sized sets of F-loci (F1, F2) that respond to two separate environmental

factors. The two environmental factors can be correlated in any way. At one end of the spectrum,

environmental factors fluctuate in perfect synchrony, causing a complete correlation in selective

states between loci within F1 and F2 ($\rho = 1$), which is equivalent to the base model. At the other

end, the two environment factors fluctuate in anti-synchrony, causing a negative correlation in

selective states between F1 and F2 ($\rho = -1$). At this extreme, at any given time point, selection at

one set is 0 while at the other set is s_{max}. We perform these simulations for a range of different

values of ρ, the correlation in selective states between set F1 and F2.

For partially selfing populations, ρ has little to no effect on the fixation rates of C- and F-

loci when the genome consists of mostly C-loci ($p_f \leq 0.5$); ρ is negatively related to fixation rate

when the genome consists of mostly F-loci, making our base model ($\rho = 1$) conservative in this

respect (Figure 6). Obligately selfing populations are largely insensitive to the correlation in

selection between loci in F1 and F2 (Figure 6b). We observe qualitatively similar effects of ρ

when selection between the two groups is exponentially distributed in time under high and lower

mutation rates (Figure S7, S8, S9). In sum, under biologically realistic ranges of p_f (i.e., $p_f < 0.5$),

fixation rates are not dependent on how fluctuations in selection are correlated between two

equally sized sets of F-loci.

Figure 6. Relative fixation rates (mean ± SE) for C-loci (black) and F-loci (grey) in populations
with different genomic compositions, p_f, for (a) partially selfing populations (S = 0.98) and (b)
obligately selfing populations (S = 1). Loci under fluctuating selection are equally distributed
between two sets of F-loci (F1, F2). The correlation in selective states between F1 and F2, ρ,
can be positive or negative or zero. Fixation rates are shown for genomes with different
frequencies of fluctuating loci, p_f. Fixation rates are given relative to the fixation rate for C-loci in
the classic model (p_f = 0), which is represented by the horizontal dashed line at 1.0 (the grey
shading denotes SE). Other parameters: f = 0.95, ϕ = 0.5, s_{avg} = 0.025, N = 10^4, U = 1, h = 0.25.

Beneficial mutations

We performed a smaller set of simulations in which beneficial mutations occurred at C-loci

(Table S2, S3). For these simulations, beneficial mutations rates were much lower than

deleterious mutation rates ($U_b \leq 0.001$) and beneficial mutations were assumed to have larger

effects than deleterious mutations ($s_b = 0.05$, $h_b = 0.5$ vs. $s_{avg} = 0.025$, $h = 0.025$). F-loci only

received deleterious mutations and experienced selection fluctuating bimodally over time

between 0 and s_{max}, with parameters $f = 0.95$ and $\phi = 0.5$.

The fixation probability of beneficial mutations was lower in simulations with F-loci ($p_f =$

0.2 than those without F-loci ($p_f = 0$) (Table S2) indicating that deleterious mutations at F-loci

cause more interference with beneficials than those at C-loci cause. Conversely, beneficials

increase the deleterious fixation rates at both C-loci and F-loci. We took two perspectives on this

change. The proportional increase in the deleterious fixation rate is similar for C- and F-loci

(Table S2). However, because the F-locus rate without beneficials is larger than the C-locus rate,

the similarity in "proportional increase" obscures the fact that the absolute increase in the

deleterious fixation rate caused by beneficials is larger for F-loci than C-loci. This is clearer from

our second perspective in which we calculate the average number of additional fixed deleterious

mutations per fixed beneficial (Table 1). In the simulations reported, each beneficial that fixes is

responsible for, on average, less than one additional deleterious fixation but these are not evenly

distributed between F- and C-loci. F-loci are only 25% as abundant as C-loci in these simulations

(i.e., $p_f = 0.2$), but the number of additional fixed deleterious F-alleles per fixed beneficial is

more than 25% the number of additional fixed deleterious C-alleles. From this we infer that F-

loci experience stronger interference from beneficials than do C-loci. Because we have explored

only a small set of parameters for simulations with beneficials, the conclusions above should be

regarded with greater caution.

Table 1. Summary of simulations with beneficial mutations.

Self rate	# Gen.	U	U_b	s_b	p_f	Ben. prob. fix. x 10^{-3} (SE)	Extra fix del per fix ben (SE)	
							C-loci	F-loci
0.98	120000	0.5	0.0001	0.05	0	3.80 (0.019)	0.345 (0.023)	
					0.2	3.50 (0.025)	0.283 (0.015)	0.122 (0.021)
	50000	1	0.001	0.05	0	1.54 (0.011)	0.492 (0.012)	
					0.2	1.42 (0.007)	0.372 (0.014)	0.135 (0.032)
1	120000	0.25	0.00005	0.05	0	2.41 (0.018)	0.938 (0.028)	
					0.2	2.28 (0.021)	0.703 (0.035)	0.225 (0.055)
	50000	1	0.001	0.05	0	0.49 (0.006)	0.757 (0.041)	
					0.2	0.47 (0.005)	0.608 (0.07)	0.066 (0.043)

Standard error for each statistic indicated within parentheses. '*# Gen.*' is the number of generations examined for calculating the data. U, U_b are the genomic wide mutation rates of deleterious and beneficial mutations, respectively. s_b is the fitness advantage of a beneficial mutation. '*Ben. prob. fix.*' is the fraction of beneficial mutations that fixed per generation in the simulation assuming that the number of beneficial mutations introduced per generation was $U_b * N$. '*Extra fix del per fix ben*' is the average number of extra deleterious alleles fixed per beneficial fixed beyond what would have otherwise fixed. Other parameters: $N = 10000$, $h = 0.25$, $s_{avg} = 0.025$, $h_b = 0.5$, $f = 0.95$, $\phi = 0.5$, $\rho = 1$. See Table S2 for further information on these simulations.

Discussion

Highly selfing populations experience lower effective population sizes, N_e, and suffer from a reduced efficacy of selection (reviewed in Hartfield 2014). As a consequence, highly selfing populations can accumulate and permanently fix deleterious mutations at high rates leading to rapid fitness decline and possibly to extinction (Heller and Maynard Smith 1979, Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1993). It is generally accepted that environmental changes occur on many different times scales (Steele 1985, Halley 1996, Vasseur and Yodviz 2004, Furguson et al. 2016) and can induce fluctuations in the intensity and direction of selection (Siepielski et al. 2009, 2017, Bell 2010) but the consequences for mutation accumulation in selfers is unstudied.

We found that loci experiencing selection that fluctuates in intensity, F-loci, fix deleterious

mutations at a higher rate than loci under constant selection, C-loci, experiencing the same time

averaged selection strength ($s_c = s_{avg}$). The extent to which F-loci contribute disproportionately to

total mutation accumulation depends on aspects of environmental heterogeneity. The bias is

stronger with increasing temporal autocorrelation in selection, f, and when selection is

concentrated into rarer but more intense episodes (low values of ϕ), holding s_{avg} constant

(Figures S1, S2, S10). This bias also becomes stronger with changes to "traditional" parameters

that lower the overall rate of mutation accumulation, i.e., higher values of s_{avg} or N as well as

lower values of U (Figures 3, 4, S5, S9). In sum, fluctuating selection can greatly increase the

rate of mutation accumulation in highly selfing populations and the loci under fluctuating

selection contribute disproportionately to mutational decline. These conclusions appear

qualitatively robust to the exact nature of fluctuations (bimodal vs. exponential distribution of

selection coefficients and positive, negative, or no temporal correlation in selection among loci

Figure 5, 6, S7, S8).

In our model, deleterious mutations fix as a consequence of selective interference

between tightly linked sites. Supporting this, simulations at higher rates of outcrossing ($S \leq 0.9$)

fix few to no mutations (Table S1). In contrast, obligately asexual organisms (Wardlaw and

Agrawal 2012) and pure selfers ($S = 1$) have high rates of mutation accumulation that are

strongly increased by fluctuating selection. These effects remain important even when low levels

of genetic mixing occur as with low levels of outcrossing ($S = 0.98$). It is well known that

interference between constantly selected loci can lead to the accumulation of deleterious

mutations in asexual and highly selfing populations, a process classically called Muller's ratchet

(Haigh 1978, Heller and Maynard Smith 1979, Charlesworth and Charleswroth 1997). However,

we do not have a robust understanding of selective interference between loci under fluctuating

selection and loci under constant selection. If F-loci are conditionally neutral (as in the base

model), mutations accumulate unimpeded by selection during periods of neutrality ($s_f(t) = 0$)

with an expected run length of $1/(\phi(1-f))$. Subsequently, when F-loci are under selection ($s_f(t) =$

s_{max}), selective interference likely prevents effective removal of deleterious mutations at

individual loci, increasing the probability of fixation.

In genomes containing a mix of F- and C-loci, F-loci have inherently high rates of

fixation but also tend to increase fixation rates at C-loci and other F-loci as illustrated in Figure 1

where increasing the fraction of loci under fluctuating selection increases the per-locus fixation

rates at both C- and F-loci (for $0 < p_f < 0.5$). This indicates that F-loci cause more selective

interference (with both C- and F-loci) than C-loci. Similarly, we found that deleterious mutations

at F-loci reduce fixation rates of beneficials more than deleterious mutations at C-loci do (Table

S2, S3). Previous work in asexual populations where selection is constant over time but varies

among loci shows that interference from strongly deleterious alleles increase fixation of more

weakly deleterious alleles (Gordo and Charlesworth 2001, Gordo et al. 2002, Soderberg and

Berg 2007). From this we can infer that strong interference caused by F-loci occurs during

periods when selection is strong, $s_f(t) = s_{max} > s_c$. However, during periods where $s_f(t) = 0$, F-loci

do not cause interference. In fact, compared to the classic model, interference experienced by C-

loci should be reduced relative to the classic model ($p_f = 0$) because C-loci are separated

physically by (temporarily) neutral F-loci when $s_f(t) = 0$. Given the observed net increase in

fixation rate at C-loci, we can infer that the increased interference when selection at F-loci is

strong ($s_f(t) = s_{max}$) outweighs the reduced interference when selection is weak ($s_f(t) = 0$).

Reciprocally, we observed that strongly selected beneficials under constant selection interfered

more strongly with deleterious alleles at F-loci than at C-loci (Table 1). From the perspective of

an F-locus, the sweeping beneficial causes very strong interference on an F-locus when the

selection at the F-locus is weak and weaker interference when direct selection on the F-locus is

strong. We can infer that the episodes of strong interference must outweigh periods of weak

interference because the total interference from beneficials experienced by F-loci is greater than

that experienced by C-loci.

In some, but not all cases, the effect of selective interference on fixation rates can be

interpreted as resulting from a reduction in the effective population size (Hill and Robertson

1966, McVean and Charlesworth 2000, Gordo and Charlesworth 2002, Good et al. 2014). We

observed that per-locus fixation rates varied as a function of p_f for both C- and F-loci (Fig. 1). In

the range where p_f was not too large ($0 < p_f \leq 0.5$), fixation rates for each locus type (F and C)

were nearly equal for different values of p_f when we adjusted N to obtain similar N_e values (Fig.

2). Because neutral diversity (used to infer N_e) and fixation rates are similarly affected by

selective interference within this range of p_f values, we conclude that selective interference in

this regime can be thought of as causing a reduction in N_e. Good et al. (2014) have shown that in

asexual populations experiencing strong selective interference the variance in fitness explains

neutral diversity much better than the N_e expected under deterministic mutation selection

balance. We examined whether the time-averaged variance in fitness could explain the variation

in fixation rates in relation to p_f better than our diversity-based estimates of N_e but found no

obvious improvement (Figure S4).

Neither N_e nor the variance in fitness can account for the variation in fixation rates when

considering populations where most loci undergo fluctuating selection ($p_f > 0.5$). Others have

noted that the effects of selective interference on fixation rates cannot always be captured

through N_e. A sweep of a strongly beneficial mutation differentially affects neutral diversity and

fixation probability at a linked weakly beneficial mutation (Stephan et al. 1992, Barton 1994).

Fluctuating selection may have some sweep-like properties because genotypes favoured during

the selective period ($s_f(t) = s_{max}$) can be different from those favoured during the neutral period

($s_f(t) = 0$) due to the shift in the relative importance of F- and C-loci in determining fitness.

Recurrent sweeps of highly fit genotypes caused by changing selective environments may

represent another dynamical process that differentially affects fixation probability at selected loci

and genetic drift at linked neutral loci (Comeron et al. 2008).

We face several major questions when assessing the relevance of our model to natural

systems. To what extent does selection strength on individual genes depend on environmental

conditions? How many loci in the genome are under fluctuating selection? Do elevated rates of

mutation accumulation increase extinction rates? What happens if environmental changes alter

population size in addition to selection? Can we detect signatures of fluctuating selection in

molecular data?

In laboratory experiments, selection at individual loci has been shown to vary between

different abiotic and biotic environments for bacteria (Remold and Lenski 2001, Cooper et al.

2005), yeast (Hillenmeyer et al. 2008, Jasnos et al. 2008, Bell 2010b, Heitpas et al. 2013, Cao et

al. 2014), nematodes (Coolon et al. 2009) and fruit flies (Lewontin and Matsuo 1963, Wang et al.

2009, 2014, Young et al. 2009). In the largest study of this kind, Hillenmeyer et al. (2008) found

that 97% of gene deletions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* reduced fitness relative to the wild type

in at least one environment while having little to no effect in other environments. Although the

environmental conditions chosen for most experiments do not typically mimic those in nature,

studies find that selection at individual loci tend to differ in magnitude (rather than in direction)

between environments. We show that selection fluctuating only in magnitude increases fixation

rates of deleterious mutations, which may be relevant for many types of environmentally

sensitive loci. It remains a significant empirical challenge to parameterize models with selection

coefficients of naturally occurring mutations quantified across naturally occurring environments.

Another critical parameter for our model is the proportion of loci in the genome that

experiences fluctuating selection, p_f. Excluding mutations causing intrinsic lethality, we

speculate that almost every locus experiences temporal change in selection strength as it seems

implausible selection strength is exactly the same in all conditions. However, for many (or most)

loci, fluctuations in selection may be minor. Perhaps only a minority of mutations undergo

fluctuations in selection strength on the order of magnitude that we modeled and with at least a

moderate level of temporal autocorrelation. Although we are unaware of any empirical estimates

for p_f, we suspect that the results of simulations with values of $p_f < 0.5$ best represent reality.

Our study was motivated by the 'dead end' hypothesis for highly selfing species

(Stebbins 1957, Igic and Busch 2013) but we do not explicitly model extinction. Similar to

previous examinations of mutation accumulation in genomes with low rates of recombination

(Haigh 1978, Heller and Maynard Smith 1979, Stephan et al. 1993, Charlesworth and

Charleswroth 1997), we hypothesize that the fixation of deleterious mutations should lead to

fitness loss and eventual extinction. Models using realistic parameters to predict the expected

time to extinction under Muller's ratchet assume deleterious mutations directly reduce

population growth rates (Lynch and Gabriel 1993, Gabriel et al. 1993, Loewe 2006, Loewe and

Lamatsch 2008). However, it is not obvious how the deleterious fitness effects of mutations

directly affect ecological success and species persistence (Agrawal and Whitlock 2012). That

said, our model predicts that mutations accumulate disproportionately at loci experiencing

fluctuating selection. We might expect, for example, that populations are driven to extinction

when deleterious mutations fix at loci required for surviving rare but severe climatic events. The

chance of this occurring increases if those mutations hitchhike to high frequencies from linkage

to beneficial mutations. Predicting the impact of mutation accumulation on species persistence

requires more complex models that incorporate the functional role and ecological context of

genes and explicitly track population sizes to extinction.

Environmental changes that cause fluctuations in selection may also cause fluctuations in

population size (e.g. Bergland et al. 2014). Our goal in this study was to isolate the effects of

fluctuating selection on its own but population size changes may be important on their own

and/or interact with fluctuations in selection. The addition of fluctuating population size will

lower N_e, further elevating fixation rates (Ewens 1967). Moreover, fixation rates may depend on

the correlation between population size and selection strength but the nature of this relationship

is not obvious. Selective deaths may or may not simply substitute for ecological deaths. Stress,

which is sometimes defined as a reduction in absolute fitness or population growth (and thereby

population size), is a poor predictor of the average strength of selection on new mutations

(Martin and Lenormand 2006; Agrawal and Whitlock 2010). Since fluctuating population size

alone can increase extinction rates (Lande 1993, Foley 1994, Ovaskainen and Meerson 2010),

integrating how environmental changes affect ecological and evolutionary forces will be

important for predicting the persistence of highly selfing species.

Ideally, one would be able to test our prediction that F-loci fix disproportionately more

deleterious mutations than C-loci. Genes could be crudely classified as C- or F-loci depending on

whether their expression levels are stable or variable under different naturally occurring

environmental conditions (see examples of environmental sensitive expression in Sonna et al.

2002, Lopez-Maury et al. 2008, Lasky et al. 2014). Such a classification is far from perfect (e.g.,

selection can change even if expression does not). For a pair of selfing and outcrossing sister

species, the ratio of divergence at non-synonymous to synonymous sites could be compared

between genes with variable expression ($\omega_{v,selfing}$, $\omega_{v,outcross}$) and genes with stable expression

($\omega_{s,selfing}$, $\omega_{s,outcross}$). Because high selfing is expected to disproportionately increase fixation rates

at loci under fluctuating selection, we may predict that $\omega_{v,selfing} - \omega_{v,outcross}$ would be greater than

$\omega_{s,selfing} - \omega_{s,outcross}$. Unfortunately, there are two major caveats with this approach. Differences in

the average strength of selection between the sets of genes with variable and stable expression

would confound the results. Furthermore, there is currently only weak evidence that selfing

species fix non-synonymous mutations at a higher rate than outcrossing species (Wright et al.

2011, Gioti et al. 2013, Burgarella et al. 2015). This may be due to the young age of selfing

species or that higher fixation rates of beneficial mutations raise the value of ω in outcrossers

more than selfers (Burgarella et al. 2015). The approach outlined above assumes selfing lineages

are old enough for differences in fixation rates to be apparent and, further, that beneficial

mutations do not make a substantial contribution to divergence in either selfers or outcrossers.

It is widely speculated that highly selfing species are short lived and prone to extinction.

This is supported by their rarity in nature (Igic and Kohn 2006) and higher estimates of

extinction rates in self-compatible species (Goldberg et al. 2009; see Johnson et al. 2012 for

similar results on asexuals). There is also genomic evidence that selection is less efficient in

selfing plants and animals (Wright et al. 2002, Cutter et al. 2006a,b, Slotte et al. 2013, Burgarella

et al. 2015). It is also generally accepted that environmental conditions change over time and can

alter selection. Fluctuations in the direction and intensity of selection may be important for long-

term evolutionary dynamics, but the effects on genomic inferences of selection are not well

understood (Huerta-Sanchez 2012, Gossmann et al. 2014). We find that selection fluctuating

only in intensity can elevate fixation rates of deleterious mutations. Our results may well

underestimate the relative importance given that we observe stronger effects under lower

mutation rates (Figure 1, S8, S9). Even if rare in the genome, loci experiencing fluctuating

selection make a disproportionately larger contribution to mutation accumulation and fitness

decline than loci under constant selection. Moreover, mutation accumulation at such loci may

make selfing species particularly susceptible to extinction to rare environmental conditions

where the functions of such loci are particularly important. Although detecting and measuring

the fitness impact of loci under fluctuating selection will be empirically challenging, our model

shows a plausible parameter space where fluctuating selection can strongly impact the

persistence of highly selfing species.

References

Agrawal, A.F. and Hartfield, M. 2016. Coalescence with background and balancing selection in systems with bi- and uniparental reproduction: contrasting partial asexuality and selfing. Genetics 202: 313-326.

Agrawal, A.F. and Whitlock, M.C. 2010. Environmental duress and epistasis: how does stress affect the strength of selection on new mutations? Trends Ecol. Evol. 25:450-458.

Agrawal, A.F., and Whitlock, M.C. 2012. Mutation load: the fitness of individuals in populations where deleterious alleles are abundant. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 43: 115-135.

Arunkumar, R., Ness, R. W., Wright, S. I. and Barrett, S. C. H. 2015 The evolution of selfing is accompanied by reduced efficacy of selection and purging of deleterious mutations. Genetics 199: 817-829.

Bachtrog, D. and Gordo, I. 2004. Adaptive evolution of asexual populations under Muller's ratchet. Evolution 7: 1403-1413.

Barrett, S.C.H. 2002. The evolution of plant sexual diversity. Nat. Rev. Genet. 3: 274-284.

Barton, N. H. 1995. A general model for the evolution of recombination. Genet. Res. Camb. 65: 123-144.

Bell, G. 2010a. Fluctuating selection: the perpetual renewal of adaptation in variable environments. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 365: 87-97.

Bell, G. 2010b. Experimental genomics of fitness in yeast. P. R. Soc. B. 277: 1459-1467.

Bergland, A.O., Behrman, E.L., O'Brien, K.R., Schmidt, P.S. and Petrov, D.A. 2014. Genomic evidence of rapid and stable adaptive oscillations over seasonal time scales in *Drosophila*. PLoS Genet. 10: e1004775.

Bladt, M. and Nielsen, B.F. 2010. On the construction of bivariate exponential distributions with an arbitrary correlation coefficient. Stoch. Models 26: 295-308.

Brandvain, Y., Slotte, T., Hazzouri, K.M., Wright, S.I. and Coop, G. 2013. Genomic identification of founding haplotypes reveal the history of the selfing species *Capsella rubella*. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003754.

Burgarella, C., Gayral, P., Ballenghien, M. *et al*. 2015. Molecular evolution of freshwater snails with contrasting mating systems. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32: 2403-2416.

Cao, H., Butler, K., Hossain, M. and Lewis, J.D. 2014. Variation in the fitness effects of mutations with population density and size in *Escherichia coli*. PLoS One 9: e1059369.

Charlesworth, D. Morgan, M.T. and Charlesworth, B. 1993. Mutation accumulation in finite
outbreeding and inbreeding populations. Genet. Res. Camb. 61: 39-56.

Charlesworth, B. 1980. The cost of sex in relation to mating systems. J. Theor. Biol. 84: 655-
671.

Charlesworth, B. 1993. Directional selection and evolution of sex and recombination. Genet.
Res. Camb. 61: 205-224.

Charlesworth, B. and Charlesworth, D. 1997. Rapid fixation of deleterious alleles can be caused
by Muller's ratchet. Genet. Res. Camb. 70: 63-73.

Chevin, L., Visser, M.E., and Tufto, J. 2015. Estimating the variation, autocorrelation and
environmental sensitivity of phenotypic selection. Evolution 69: 2319-2332.

Comeron, J.M., Williford, A. and Kliman, R.M. 2008. The Hill-Robertson effect: evolutionary
consequences of weak selection and linkage in finite populations. Heredity 100: 19-31.

Coolon, J.D., Jones, K.L., Todd, T.C., Carr, B.C. and Herman, M.A. 2009. *Caenorhabditis*
elegans genomic response to soil bacteria predict environmental-specific genetic effects on life
history traits. PLoS Genet. 5: e1000503.

Cooper, T.F., Lenski, R.E. and Elena, S.F. 2005. Parasites and mutational load: an experimental
test of a pluralistic theory for the evolution of sex. Proc. R. Soc. B. 272: 311-317.

Cutter, A.D. 2006. Nucleotide polymorphism and linkage disequilibrium in wild populations of
the partial selfer *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 172: 171-184.

Cutter, A.D., Baird, S.E., Charlesworth, D. 2006. High nucleotide polymorphism and rapid
decay of linkage disequilibrium in wild populations of *Caenorhabditis remanei*.
Genetics 174: 901-913.

Cvijovic, I., Good, B.H., Jerison, E.R. and Desai, M.M. 2015. Fate of a mutation in a fluctuating
environment. P. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. 112: E5021-E5028.

Ewens, W.J. 1967. The probability of survival of a new mutant in a fluctuating environment.
Heredity 22: 438-443.

Ferguson, J.M., Carvalho, F., Murillo-Garcia, O., Taper, M.L. and Ponciano, J.M. 2016. An
updated perspective on the role of environmental autocorrelation in animal populations. Theor.
Ecol. 9: 129-148.

Fisher, R.A. 1941. Average excess and average effect of a gene substitution. Ann. Eugenic 11:
53-63.

Foley, P. 1994. Predicting extinction times from environmental stochasticity and carrying
capacity. Conserv, Biol. 8: 124-137.
870
871   Gabriel, W., Lynch, M. and Burger, R. 1993. Muller's ratchet and mutational meltdowns.
872   Evolution 74: 1744-1757.
873
874   Gillespie, J.H. 1978. A general model to account for enzyme variation in natural populations. V.
875   the SAS-CFF model. Thoer. Pop. Biol. 14: 1-45.
876
877   Gioti, A., Stajich, J.E. and Johannesson, H. 2013. *Neurospora* and the dead-end hypothesis:
878   genomic consequences of selfing the model genus. Evolution 67: 3600-3616.
879
880   Glemin, S., and Ronfort, J. 2013. Adaptation and maladaptation in selfing and outcrossing
881   species: new mutations versus standing variation. Evolution 67: 225-240.
882
883   Goldberg, E.E., Kohn, J.R., Lande, R., Robertson, K.A., Smith, S.A. and Igic, B. 2010. Species
884   selection maintains self-incompatibility. Science 330: 493-495.
885
886   Golding, G.B. and Strobeck, C. 1979. Linkage disequilibrium in a finite population that is
887   partially selfing. Genetics 94: 777-789.
888
889   Good, B.H., Walczak, A.M., Neher, R.A. and Desai, M.M. 2014. Genetic diversity in the
890   interference selection limit. PLoS Genet. 10: e1004222.
891
892   Goodwillie, C., Kalisz, S. and Eckert, C. G. 2005. The evolutionary enigma of mixed mating
893   systems in plants: occurrence, theoretical explanations, and empirical evidence. Annu. Rev. Ecol.
894   Evol. Syst. 36: 47-79.
895
896   Gordo, I. and Charlesworth B. 2000a. The degeneration of asexual haploid populations and the
897   speed of Muller's ratchet. Genetics 154: 1379-1387.
898
899   Gordo, I. and Charlesworth B. 2000b. On the speed of Muller's ratchet. Genetics 156: 2137-
900   2140.
901
902   Gordo, I. and Charlesworth, B. 2001. The speed of Muller's ratchet with background selection
903   and the degeneration of Y chromosomes. Genet. Res. Camb. 78: 149-161.
904
905   Gordo, I., Navarro, A. and Charlesworth, B. 2002. Muller's ratchet and the pattern of variation at
906   a neutral locus. Genetics 161: 835-848.
907
908   Gossman, T.I., Waxman, D. and Eyre-Walker, A. 2014. Fluctuating selection models and
909   Mcdonald-Kreitman type analyses. PLoS One 9: e84540.
910
911   Grant, P.R. and Grant, B.R. 2002. Unpredictable evolution in a 30-year study of Darwin's
912   finches. Science 296: 707-711.
913

914 Haigh, J. 1978. The accumulation of deleterious genes in a population-Muller's ratchet. Theor.
915 Popul. Biol. 14: 251-267.
916
917 Haldane, J.B.S. 1927. A mathematical theory of natural and artificial selection Part V: selection
918 and mutation. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 23: 838-844.
919
920 Hartfield, M. 2016. Evolutionary genetic consequences of facultative sex and outcrossing. J.
921 Biol. Evol. 29: 5-22.
922
923 Hedrick, P.W. 2006. Genetic polymorphism in heterogeneous environments: the age of
924 genomics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37: 67-93.
925
926 Heller, R. and Maynard Smith, J. 1979. Does Muller's ratchet work with selfing? Genet. Res.
927 Camb. 32: 289-293.
928
929 Hietpas, R.T., Bank, C., Jensen, J.D. and Bolon, D.N.A. 2013. Shifting fitness landscapes in
930 response to altered environments. Evolution 67: 3512-3522.
931
932 Higgs, P.L. and Woodcock, G. 1995. The accumulation of mutations in asexual populations and
933 the structure of genealogical trees in the presence of selection. J. Math. Biol. 33: 677-702.
934
935 Hill, W. G. and Robertson, A. 1966. The effects of linkage on limits to artificial selection. Genet.
936 Res. Camb. 8: 269-294.
937
938 Hillenmeyer, M.E., Fung, E., Wildenhain, J., Pierce, S.E., Hoon, S., Lee, W., Proctor, M.,
939 St.Onge, R.P., Tyers, M., Koller, D. et al. 2008. The chemical genomic portrait of yeast:
940 uncovering a phenotype for all genes. Science 320: 362-365.
941
942 Hudson, R.R. and Kaplan, N.L. 1995. Deleterious background selection with recombination.
943 Genetics 141: 1605-1617.
944
945 Huerta-Sanchez, E., Durrett, R. and Bustamante, C.D. 2008. Population genetics of
946 polymorphism and divergence under fluctuating selection. Genetics 178: 325-337.
947
948 Igic, B. and Busch, J.W. 2013. Is self-fertilization an evolutionary dead end? New Phytol. 198:
949 386-397.
950
951 Igic, B. and Kohn, J.R. 2006. The distribution of plant mating systems: study bias against
952 obligately outcrossing species. Evolution 60: 1098-1103.
953
954 Jarne, P. and Auld, J.R. 2006. Animals mix it up too: the distribution of self-fertilization among
955 hermaphroditic animals. Evolution 60: 1816-8124.
956
Jasnos, L., Tomala, K., Paczesniak, D. and Korona, R. 2008. Interactions between stressful
environment and gene deletions alleviate the expected average loss of fitness in yeast. Genetics
178: 2105-2111.

Johnson, M.T.J., Fitzjohn, R.G., Smith, S.D., Rausher, M.D. and Otto, S.P. 2012. Loss of sexual
recombination and segregation is associated with increased diversification in evening primroses.
Evolution 65: 3230-3240.

Kamran-Disfani, A. and Agrawal, A.F. 2014. Selfing, adaptation and background selection in
finite populations. J. Evol. Biol. 27:1360-1371.

Kassen, R. 2002. The experimental evolution of specialists, generalists, and the maintenance of
diversity. J. Evol. Biol. 15: 173-190.

Kimura, M. 1962. On the probability of fixation of mutant genes in a population. Genetics 47:
713-719.

Kimura, M., and Ohta, T. 1969. Probability of fixation of a mutant gene in a finite population
when selective advantage decreases with time. Genetics 65: 525-534.

Kishony, R. and Leibler, S. 2003. Environmental stress can alleviate the average deleterious
effect of mutations. J. Biol. 2: 14.

Kraemer, S.A., Morgan, A.D., Ness, R.W., Keightley, P.D. and Colegrave, N. 2015. Fitness
effects of new mutations in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* across two stress gradients. J. Evol.
Biol. 29: 583-593.

Lande, R. 1993. Risks of population extinction from demographic and environmental
stochasticity and random catastrophes. Am. Nat. 142: 911-927.

Lande, R. and Schemske, D.W. 1985. The evolution of self-fertilization and inbreeding
depression in plants. I. genetic models. Evolution 39: 24-40.

Lasky, J.R., Marais, D.L.D., Lowry, D.B., Povolotskaya, I., McKay, J.K., Richards, J.M., Keitt,
T.H. and Juenger, T.E. 2014. Natural variation in abiotic stress responsive gene expression and
local adaptation to climate in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31: 2282-2296.

Latta IV, L.C., Peacock, M., Civitello, D.J., Dudycha, J.L., Meik, J.M. and Schaack, S. 2015.
The phenotypic effects of spontaneous mutations in different environments. Am. Nat 185: 243-
252.

Leimu, R. and Fischer, M. 2008. A meta-analysis of local adaptation in plants. PLoS One 3:
e4010.

1000

1001 Lewontin, R.C. and Matsuo, Y. 1963. Interaction of genotypes determining viability in
1002 *Dosophila busckii*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 49: 270-278.

1003

1004 Lloyd, D.G. 1979. Some reproductive factors affecting the selection of self-fertilization in plants.
1005 Am. Nat. 113: 67-79.

1006

1007 Loewe, L. 2006. Quantifying the genomic decay paradox due to Muller's ratchet in human
1008 mitochondrial DNA. Genet. Res. Camb. 87: 133-159.

1009

1010 Loewe, L. and Lamatsch, D.K. 2008. Quantifying the threat of extinction from Muller's ratchet
1011 in the diploid Amazon molly (*Poecilia formosa*). BMC Evol. Biol. 8: 88.

1012

1013 Lopez-Maury, L., Marguerat, S. and Bahler, J. 2008. Tuning gene expression to changing
1014 environments: from rapid responses to evolutionary adaptation. Nat. Rev. Genet. 9: 583-593.

1015

1016 Lynch, M. and Gabriel, W. 1990. Mutation load and the survival of small populations. Evolution
1017 44: 1725-1737.

1018

1019 Lynch, M. 1987. The consequences of fluctuating selection for isozyme polymorphisms in
1020 *Daphnia*. Genetics 115: 657-669.

1021

1022 Maccoll, A.D.C. 2011. The ecological causes of evolution. Trends Ecol. Evol. 26: 514-522.

1023

1024 Martin, L. and Lenormand, T. 2006. The fitness effect of mutations across environments: a
1025 survey in light of fitness landscape models. Evolution 60: 2413-2427.

1026

1027 McVean, G.A.T. and Charlesworth, B. 2000. The effects of Hill-Robertson interference between
1028 weakly selected mutations on patterns of molecular evolution and variation. Genetics 155: 929-
1029 944.

1030

1031 Muller, H.J. 1932. Some genetic aspects of sex. Am. Nat. 66: 118-138.

1032

1033 Mustonen, V. and Lassig, M. 2009. From fitness landscapes to seascapes: non-equilibrium
1034 dynamics of selection and adaptation. Trends Genet. 25: 111-119.

1035

1036 Ness, R. W., Siol., M., and Barrett, S. C. H. 2012. Genomic consequences of transitions
1037 from cross- to self-fertilization on the efficacy of selection in three independently derived selfing
1038 plants. BMC Genomics 13: 611.

1039

1040 Nordborg, M. 1997. Structured coalescent processes on different time scales. Genetics 146:
1041 1501-1514.

1042

1043 Nordborg, M. 2000. Linkage disequilibrium, gene trees and selfing: an ancestral recombination
1044 graph with partial self-fertilization. Genetics 154: 923-929.

1045

1046 Nunney, L. 1989. The maintenance of sex by group selection. Evolution 43: 245-257.

1047

1048 Orr, A.H. The rate of adaptation in asexual. Genetics 155: 961-968.

1049

1050 Ovaskainen, O. and Meerson, B. 2010. Stochastic models of population extinction. Trends Ecol.
1051 Evol. 25: 643-652.

1052

1053   Peischl, S. and Kirkpatrick, M. 2012. Establishment of new mutations in changing environments.
1054   Genetics 191: 895-906.

1055

1056   Pollak, E. 1987. On the theory of partially inbreeding finite populations. I. partial selfing.
1057   Genetics 117: 353-360.

1058

1059   Qui, S., Zeng, K. Slotte, T., Wright, S. I. and Charlesworth, D. 2011. Reduced efficacy of natural
1060   selection on codon usage bias in selfing *Arabidopsis* and *Capsella* species. Genome Biol. Evol.
1061   3: 868–880.

1062

1063   Remold, S.K. and Lenski, R.E. 2001. Contribution of individual random mutations to genotype-
1064   by-environmental interactions in *Escherichia coli*. P. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 11388-11393.

1065

1066   Roles, A.J., Rutter, M.T., Dworkin, I., Fenster, C.B. and Conner, J.K. 2016. Field measurements
1067   of genotype by environment interaction for fitness caused by spontaneous mutations in
1068   *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Evolution 70: 1039-1050.

1069

1070   Rutter, M.T., Wieckowski, Y.M., Murren, J. and Strand, A.E. 2017. Fitness effects of mutations:
1071   testing genetic redundancy in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Evol. Biol. 30: 1124-1135.

1072

1073   Siepielski, A.M. Morrissey, M.B., Buoro, M., Carlson, S.M., Caruso, C.M., Clegg, S.M.,
1074   Coulson, T., Dibattista, J., Gotanda, K.M., Francus, C.D. et al. 2017. Precipitation drives global
1075   variation in natural selection. Science 355: 959-962.

1076

1077   Siepielski, A.M., DiBattisa, J.D., Carlson, S.M. 2009. It's about time: the temporal dynamics of
1078   phenotypic selection in the wild. Ecol. Lett. 12: 1261-1276.

1079

1080   Slotte, T., Foxe, J. P., Hazzouri, K. M. and Wright, S. I. 2010. Genome-wide evidence for
1081   efficient positive and purifying selectionin *Capsella grandiflora*, a plant species with a large
1082   effective population size. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27: 1813-1821.

1083

1084   Soderberg, R.J. and Berg. O.G. 2007. Mutational interference and the progression of Muller's
1085   ratchet when mutations have a broad range of deleterious effects. Genetics 177: 971-986.

1086

1087   Sonna, L.A., Fijuta, J., Gaffin, S.L. and Lilly, C.M. 2002. Effects of hear and cold stress on
1088   mammalian gene expression. J. Appl. Phytol. 92: 1725-1742.

1089

1090   Stebbins, G. L. 1957. Self fertilization and population variability in the higher plants. Am. Nat.
1091   91: 337-354.

1092

1093   Steele, J.H. 1985. A comparison of terrestrial and marine ecological systems. Nature 313: 335-
1094   358.

1095

1096   Steele, J.M. 1996. Ecology, evolution and the 1/f-noise. Trends Ecol. Evol. 11: 33-37.
1097

1098 Stephan W., Chao, L. and Smale, J.G. 1993. The advance of Muller's ratchet in a haploid asexual
1099 population: approximate solutions based on diffusion theory. Genet. Res. Camb. 61: 225-231.
1100
1101 Takahata, N., Ishii, K. and Matsuda, H. 1975. Effect of temporal fluctuation of selection
1102 coefficient on gene frequency in a population. P. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 72: 4541-4545.
1103
1104 Tufto, J. 2015. Genetic evolution, plasticity, and bet-hedging as adaptive responses to temporally
1105 autocorrelated fluctuations in selection: a quantitative genetic model. Evolution 69: 2034-2049.
1106
1107 Uecker, H., and Hermisson, J. 2011. On the fixation process of a beneficial mutation in a
1108 variable environment. Genetics 188: 915-930.
1109
1110 van Valen, L. 1975. Group selection, sex and fossils. Evolution 29: 87-94.
1111
1112 Vasseur, D.A. and Yodvis, P. 2004. The color of environmental noise. Ecology 85: 1146-1152.
1113
1114 Wang, A.D., Sharp, N.P. and Agrawal, A.F. 2014. Sensitivity of the distribution of mutational
1115 fitness effects to environment, genetic background, and adaptedness: a case study with
1116 *Drosophila*. Evolution 68: 840-853.
1117
1118 Wang, A.D., Sharp, N.P., Spencer, C.C., Tedman-Aucoin, K. and Agrawal, A.F. 2009. Selection,
1119 epistasis, and parent-of-origin effects on deleterious mutations across environments in
1120 *Drosophila melanogaster*. Am. Nat. 174: 863-874.
1121
1122 Wardlaw, A.M., and Agrawal, A.F. 2012. Temporal variation in selection accelerates mutational
1123 decay by Muller's ratchet. Genetics 191: 907-916.
1124
1125 Waxman, D. 2011. A unified treatment of the probability of fixation when population size and
1126 the strength of selection change over time. Genetics 188: 907-913.
1127
1128 Wright, S.I., Kalisz, S. and Slotte, T. 2011. Evolutionary consequences of self-fertilization in
1129 plants. P. R. Soc. B. 280: 1-10.
1130
1131 Wright, S.I., Lauga, B. and Charlesworth, D. 2002. Rates and patterns of molecular evolution in
1132 inbred and outbred *Arabidopsis*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19: 1407-1420.
1133
1134 Young, J.A., Yourth, C. and Agrawal, A.F. 2009. The effects of pathogen on selection against
1135 deleterious mutations in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J. Evol. Biol. 22: 2125-2129.
1136
1137 Zhang, X. 2012. Fisher's geometrical model of fitness landscape and variance in fitness within a
1138 changing environment. Evolution 66: 2350-2368.
1139

1140
1141
1142
1143