**A eDNA-qPCR assay to non-invasively detect the presence of the parasite**

***Schistocephalus solidus* inside its threespine stickleback host.**

Running title: a eDNA method to detect an internal parasite

Chloé Suzanne Berger[1] and Nadia Aubin-Horth[1,*]

[1]Département de Biologie et Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS),

Université Laval, Québec, Canada, G1V 0A6

*corresponding author: Nadia.Aubin-Horth@bio.ulaval.ca

**Key Words:** *Schistocephalus solidus*, threespine stickleback, parasite, detection,

quantitative real-time PCR, environmental DNA

**ABSTRACT**

Detecting the presence of a parasite within its host is crucial to the study of host-parasite interactions. The *Schistocephalus solidus* - threespine stickleback pair has been studied extensively to investigate host phenotypic alterations associated with a parasite with a complex life cycle. This cestode is localized inside the stickleback's abdominal cavity and can be visually detected only once it passes a mass threshold. We present a non-invasive quantitative PCR approach based on detection of environmental DNA from the worm (eDNA), sampled in the fish abdominal cavity. Using this approach on two fish populations (n=151), 98% of fish were correctly assigned to their *S. solidus* infection status. There was a significant correlation between eDNA concentration and total parasitic mass. We also assessed ventilation rates as a complimentary mean to detect infection. Our eDNA detection method gives a reliable presence/absence response and its future use for quantitative assessment is promising.

**INTRODUCTION**

Internal parasites with complex life cycles often have multiple effects on their hosts (Marcogliese and Cone, 1997). Studying these parasite-hosts interactions requires to track the parasite during its development in the hosts. One of the model systems for investigating phenotypic alterations of the intermediate host infected by a parasite with a complex life cycle is the *Schistocephalus solidus*-threespine stickleback pair (Barber, 2013). *S. solidus* is a freshwater flatworm that has to infect three distinct hosts to survive and reproduce: a copepod, the threespine stickleback, and a fish-eating bird. The threespine stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus* is the specific intermediate host of *S. solidus* and can be infected by one or several worms after consumption of a *S. solidus*-infected copepod. Within 24 hours after oral uptake, the small worm penetrates the intestine wall of the fish and migrates into the abdominal cavity (Hammerschmidt and Kurtz, 2007) where it shows a sigmoid growth curve during the first twelve weeks (Barber and Svensson, 2003). When the worm has gained a sufficient mass, it can reproduce in its avian final host (Tierney and Crompton, 1992). Previous studies demonstrated that *S. solidus* infection has major impacts on the stickleback energy demands, physiology, immunity and behaviour (Barber and Scharsack, 2010).

The entire life cycle of this parasite can be reproduced in the laboratory (Barber and Scharsack, 2010). However, the success rate when experimental single infections are used is around 15-20% (Grécias et al., 2016; Weber et al., 2017). Thus, a high number of individuals must be included and assayed in a study to obtain an acceptable final sample size of infected ones. Furthermore, variation in infection rates for sticklebacks

caught in the wild is high (Weber et al., 2017), which means that wild individuals

considered as uninfected controls may turn out to be infected. A simple, reliable and

non-invasive detection method would allow selecting, or avoiding, infected individuals

depending on the needs of a specific project. This would allow minimizing the number of

individuals used in an experimental procedure, an important aspect for the refinement of

animal use protocols.

Available methods to detect sticklebacks parasitized by *S. solidus* relies on

morphological modifications that are exacerbated with an increase in parasitic load

(Dingemanse et al., 2009). A visual analysis of the level of the abdominal distension of

the fish allows to predict the infection status and the parasite index (PI, the proportion of

infected fish weight that is contributed by parasite tissue) (Barber, 1997). However, this

visual approach is less reliable when the stickleback is infected by a single worm or by a

few small worms, which do not induce clear morphological changes. Furthermore, some

confounding factors can impact the level of abdominal distension, including eggs and

large organs (Barber, 1997). In this context, it is necessary to develop a method that will

not be based on morphological criteria.

Our objective was to design a non-lethal method to detect *S. solidus* in the abdominal

cavity of its threespine stickleback host. We used two complementary approaches:

detection of environmental DNA (eDNA) and measurement of a physiological trait. Our

first hypothesis was that *S. solidus* produces cell-free DNA in the fish abdominal cavity,

and that this eDNA could be identified by a PCR approach to correctly detect *S. solidus*

infection in sticklebacks (Bass et al., 2015). eDNA produced by parasites is a common

target in epidemiology to detect infection using a PCR approach in organic samples like

serum, feces (Pontes et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2017) or plasma (Imwong et al., 2015).

eDNA is also used to detect invasive species in aquatic environments (Takahara et al.,

2013). We thus tested a non-invasive quantitative real-time PCR method (qPCR), based

on eDNA extraction from fluids of the stickleback abdominal cavity. We designed

primers that amplify a gene sequence from the *S. solidus* genome but not stickleback

DNA. We tested if we could assign a fish to a given infection status. Since eDNA has

been previously used to make quantitative predictions about the biomass of organism

detected (Lacoursiere-Roussel et al., 2016), we also tested if eDNA concentration

covaried with the total mass of the parasite(s) present within the abdominal cavity of an

individual.

Our second hypothesis was that infected fish would show a significantly higher

ventilation rate compared to uninfected ones, which could be used to discriminate them.

It has been shown that oxygen consumption is higher in infected sticklebacks compared

to uninfected ones for a given swimming speed (Lester, 1971) and for fish of similar

mass (Meakins and Walkey, 1975). We thus tested the use of the ventilation rate as a

rapid and non-invasive indicator of *S. solidus* infection, using a previously published

protocol to measure ventilation in stickleback (Di Poi et al., 2016).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Populations of interest

This study was conducted in two populations of threespine sticklebacks. The first population was Lac Témiscouata, Québec (47°40'33"N 68°50'15"O, freshwater environment), where sticklebacks are known to be infected by *S. solidus* and to show typical behaviour alterations (Grecias et al., 2017). The second population was composed of anadromous fish from a salt marsh at Isle-Verte, Québec (48°1'0"N 69°26'59"O; salinity 22-26 ppt (Poulin and FitzGerald, 1989)), for which infection by *S. solidus* is not expected as the worm does not tolerate high levels of salinity (Macat et al., 2015).

### Fish sampling and rearing

Individuals were caught using a seine and minnow traps in Lac Témiscouata as adults in July 2016 and September 2017, and as juveniles in August 2016, Juvenile sticklebacks from the anadromous Isle-Verte salt marsh population were sampled in tide pools in July 2016 using hand nets. Sticklebacks were brought to the "Laboratoire Regional des Sciences Aquatiques" at Université Laval. Adults and juveniles from each population were kept in separate 80 L-tanks under a Light:Dark photoperiod and a temperature of 15°C, reflecting the conditions in their natural environments (Québec, Canada). For anadromous fish, salinity was maintained at 28 ppt. Fish were fed daily with brine shrimps. All sticklebacks were adults at the start of the experiment. They were isolated in 2 L-tanks and were assigned an identification number. 151 sticklebacks were used: 96 from Lac Témiscouata and 55 from Isle-Verte.

**Sampling eDNA from the host abdominal cavity**

We sampled the internal fluids of the fish within the intra-peritoneal cavity to obtain eDNA. The fish of interest was taken out of its tank and placed on a sponge. The needle of a syringe filled with 100 µL of PBS (Phosphate Buffered Saline, pH 7.4 Life Technologies) was inserted into its abdominal cavity and PBS was injected. Then, without removing the needle from the abdominal cavity, the plunger of the needle was pulled back until the syringe filled with the PBS that was just injected (up to 100 µL). After the needle was removed, the fish was directly put back in its tank. Between 10 and 100 µL of PBS was obtained and directly added to a tube of 700 µL of Longmire lysis preservation buffer (Longmire et al., 1997). This protocol was done twice for each fish on two consecutive days. On the second day, the PBS used to wash the abdominal cavity was added to the same Longmire tube as the first sample (i.e. up to 200 µL in the 700 µL Longmire tube). Each day, the needle was inserted into a different face of the fish. Abdominal cavity fluid samples were placed at 4°C between the first and the second day, and during the night following the second day. Samples were kept at -20°C before DNA extraction. Fish were fed with brine shrimps after the sampling of the abdominal cavity.

After these two samplings, fish were euthanized with an overdose of MS-222 (75 mg/L mg/kg) followed by exsanguination and dissected to determine if they were infected by *S. solidus*. Fish sex, size and mass, and *S. solidus* mass and number in each fish were

noted. The parasite index was calculated using the formula (total weight (mg) of

parasites / Total weight (mg) of host plus parasites) x 100. A value of 50 indicates a

situation where the total weight of parasites is equal to the net weight of the stickleback

(Arme and Owen, 1967).

The protocol was approved by the Comité de Protection des Animaux de l'Université

Laval (CPAUL 2014069-3).

**DNA extraction from samples**

DNA extraction from the abdominal cavity samples was performed according to an

environmental DNA method previously developed (Lacoursière-Roussel et al., under

revision), with small modifications to the protocol. To summarize, 30 µL of proteinase K

(4 mg/mL) (VWR) was directly added to a Longmire buffer sample and the tube was

incubated at 55°C for the night. The sample was transferred into a new 2 mL tube and

950 µL of phenol chloroform isoamyl alcohol (Invitrogen) was added. After shaking and

centrifugation, supernatant was transferred into a new tube and 950 µL of chloroform

(VWR) was added. After shaking and centrifugation, a maximum of 750 µL of the

supernatant was put into a new tube. 750 µL of ice cold isopropanol (Fisher Scientific)

and 375 µL of room temperature 5M sodium chloride NaCl were added to the tube,

which was placed at -20°C for the night. After centrifugation, all liquid was removed from

the tube and 1500 µL of 70% ethanol was added. After centrifugation, ethanol was

removed and the tube was air-dried. The DNA pellet was resuspended in 80 µL water

and the tube was placed at 55°C for 10 minutes. The tube was placed at 4°C for the

night and then stored at -20°C.

**Design of primers specific to the *Schistocephalus solidus* genome**

A pair of primers that amplifies a sequence from a nuclear gene (GEEE01010589.1

TSA: Schistocephalus solidus ssol_TR119934_c5_g1_i2 transcribed RNA sequence)

from the *S. solidus* genome was designed. The gene sequence was obtained from the

de    novo    transcriptome    of    *S.    solidus*    (sequence    available    on    NCBI:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/GEEE01010589.1?report=fasta)    and    does    not

have known homologues in other species (Hébert et al., 2016). The only available

information on the function of this gene is  that it is highly expressed during early

developmental stages of the worm when it is not able to reproduce (Hebert et al., 2017).

We    targeted    the    DNA    sequence    of    this    gene    with    the    forward    for-

CGGATTGTCTTCTCGTTGTA and reverse rev-GGACAACCACTGTCCACTAA primers,

designed with Primer3 (Untergasser et al., 2012). The primers generated a 200 bp

amplicon. We verified with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (nucleotide BLAST)

on NCBI that the 200 bp amplicon did not align with other sequences except for *S.*

*solidus*.

**PCR assays to determine specificity of amplification**

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) assays were conducted on genomic DNA samples to

confirm that the designed primers amplified only *S. solidus* DNA and not threespine

stickleback DNA. DNA was extracted from *S. solidus* and stickleback samples (Lac

Témiscouata) kept at -20°C in ethanol 90%. Tissue samples of 0.3 cm$^2$ (*S. solidus* body,

stickleback tail) were used for the extraction. Extraction was performed using a salt

method (Aljanabi and Martinez, 1997). PCR reaction was performed in a total volume of

24.3 µL (13.75 µL of nuclease free water, 1 µL of 10mM dNTPs, 0.25 µL of TAQ DNA

polymerase (5U/µL Bio Basic), 2.5 µL of 10x TAQ buffer with MgCL$_2$, 5 µL of DNA (*S.*

*solidus* or stickleback) and 1.8 µL of primer mix (4.56 µM of each primer)). Two negative

controls were done (no primers, no DNA). The PCR program was realized with a

Mastercycler (Eppendorf) under these conditions: a denaturation step of 2 min at 95°C,

an annealing and elongation step of 40 cycles including 20 sec at 95°C, 40 sec at 56°C

and 60 sec at 72°C, and a final step of 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were visualized on

a 2% agarose gel using SYBR safe staining (Invitrogen).

**qPCR assays**

eDNA extracted from the abdominal cavity samples of fish was amplified with a real-time

quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using the designed primers specific to *S.*

*solidus*. Each 96-well plate included eDNA samples extracted from the body cavity and a

standard curve obtained from a pool of *S. solidus* genomic DNA (3 individuals) diluted at

different concentrations. The concentrations of the 7-point standard curve ranged from

0.9 ng/µL to 0.01 ng/µL with a dilution factor of 2. qPCR reaction was performed in a

qPCR mix including 12.5 µL of PowerUp SYBR Green Master mix (Life Technologies)

and 1 µL of primer mix (4.56 µM of each primer). For the standard curve, genomic DNA

samples, 6.5 µL of RNAse free water and 5 µL of DNA were added to the qPCR mix. For

the eDNA samples, no water was used and 11.5 µL of solution containing the

resuspended eDNA was added to the qPCR mix (for a total qPCR mix volume of 25 µL

in each case). Two negative controls (no primers, no DNA) were done in each plate.

Each reaction was done in triplicate. qPCR was performed with a 7500 Real-Time PCR

system (Life Technologies). The amplification was realized according to the conditions

described by (Lacoursière-Roussel et al., 2016): 2 min at 50 °C, 10 min at 95 °C,

followed by 70 cycles of 15 sec at 95 °C and 60 sec at 56 °C. A melt curve protocol was

then performed with temperature going from 60 celsius to 95 celsius to detect primer

dimers, check specificity by determining that a single amplicon was produced, and

obtain a Tm value for that sample, which can be compared to the standard curve of

*Schistocephalus* DNA.

**Ventilation rate measurements**

A subset of individuals used in the *S. solidus* eDNA detection experiment was used to

quantify ventilation rates (captured in Lac Témiscouata in July 2016, n=20). Ventilation

measurements were repeated three days in a row with individuals sampled in the same

order each day. For each individual fish, a 250 mL beaker was filled with 50 mL of clean

water from the supply used to fill experimental 2 L-tanks. The individual was filmed from

the side (JVC Everio camera) to be able to detect opercular movement. At the end of the

three days of measurements, these fish were euthanized and their infection status

determined. Dissection showed that 13 individuals were infected and 7 were parasite-

free. All the infected fish were also detected as infected by the eDNA approach (see

below). Films were subsequently analyzed at 0.46 X speed by an observer (NAH) blind

to treatment using the open source VLC Media Player (Videolan). The first 30 seconds

of the movie where the fish was clearly visible were used to measure ventilation rates. If

the individual moved in a position where it was not visible during the first-30-second

sampling period, the ventilation measurement was made for the usable seconds within

that period and reported back to 30 seconds. The observer repeated all measurements

for the first day of sampling to assess accuracy. Measures done twice on the same fish

on the same video were highly correlated (Spearman r = 0.99) with most samples

having the exact same number of ventilations when measured twice, with a maximum

difference of 3 ventilation movements and an average difference of 0.7. A single

measurement per video was thus used. A ventilation rate was calculated by dividing the

number of ventilation measured in 30 seconds by 30. This measurement was used for

further statistical analyses. The total number of ventilation measured during a 30 second

interval was used to estimate the number of ventilation over 1 minute for graphical

purposes and for comparison to previous studies.

**Analyses**

Following qPCR amplification, the presence or the absence of *S. solidus* eDNA in the

body cavity samples was determined by comparing the Ct values (amplification curves)

and the Tm values (melt curves) of the samples with the ones of the standard curves. A

Ct ("cycle threshold") is the cycle at which a sample reaches a preset threshold of

fluorescence, which is the proxy for the quantity of eDNA. A sample with a low Ct means

that there were more templates in the original sample and that it thus reached the

threshold sooner in the 70 PCR cycles. A body cavity sample showing at least one of its

Ct values (among the triplicates) above 0 in combination with the associated Tm value(s) close (+/- 2) to the mean Tm of the standard curve (79.64) was considered positive for *S. solidus* eDNA. On the contrary, if a Ct value above 0 was associated with a Tm value more distant to the mean Tm of the standard curve, then this value was considered negative for *S. solidus* eDNA and the result of primer dimer formation. Finally, if the body cavity sample had only "undetermined" Ct values, it was considered negative for *S. solidus* eDNA, irrespectively of Tm values. For each fish, qPCR results were analyzed with regard to its infection status (parasitized or not by *S. solidus* upon dissection). A true positive was obtained when there was concordance between the infection status and the qPCR results. A false negative was defined when the fish was infected by *S. solidus*, but no traces of *S. solidus* eDNA were found using qPCR. A false positive was associated with fish not infected by *S. solidus* but for which *S. solidus* eDNA was inferred using qPCR results. All individual results are found in supplementary data.

Statistical analysis was done in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team, 2017) using R Studio (Version 1.0.136) and the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2009). To determine if our method allows a quantitative estimate of parasite mass, we tested if the eDNA concentration obtained for infected fish (using the Ct value) negatively correlated with their total parasite mass, using a non-parametric Spearman correlation (a small Ct value should be associated with a larger parasite mass and vice-versa if the eDNA method is quantitative). We used the lowest Ct measured for a given fish if more than one triplicate amplified DNA. Repeatability of ventilation rates over the three days was calculated

278 following a previously developed method (Lessells and Boag, 1987). We compared

279 ventilation rates between infected and non-infected individuals using a t-test, as they

280 followed a normal distribution (based on a Shapiro-wilk test). We tested if ventilation

281 rates covaried positively with the parasite load (parasite index) using a non-parametric

282 correlation (Spearman correlation).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

285 In parasite-host system studies, being able to detect a parasite during its development in

286 the host is important to better understand their interactions. Here, we demonstrate the

287 power of a method based on detecting eDNA from an internal parasite directly sampled

288 from the abdominal cavity of its specific intermediate host.

**Primers specificity**

PCR tests performed with primers that target a sequence from the *S. solidus* nuclear

gene NCBI ID GEEE01010589.1 confirmed the amplification of *S. solidus* genomic DNA,

and not of threespine stickleback genomic DNA. The PCR product was sequenced using

Sanger ABI3730xl (Centre Hospital Universitaire du Québec) to confirm the amplification

of the 200 pb amplicon in the *S. solidus* genome. Controls (no template, no primers)

were negative as expected.

**Detection of *S. solidus* eDNA in the abdominal cavity of infected fish**

qPCR was performed in combination with a eDNA extraction protocol to try to detect *S. solidus* presence in the stickleback abdominal cavity. Standard curves realized with a pool of *S. solidus* genomic DNA samples diluted at different concentrations had mean Ct values ranging from 29.65 (highest concentration) to 36.86 (lowest concentration) and a mean Tm value of 79.64. All the controls were negative. The earliest Ct value we obtained for abdominal cavity eDNA samples with our protocol and amount of starting material varied between 34.4 and 49.6 for positively identified individuals. Using this approach on two fish populations, 148 fish out of 151 were correctly assigned to their infection status (infected or not by *S. solidus*, 98 % of true positive).

In the Témiscouata population, 35 fish out of 96 were infected by *S. solidus.* Single and multiple infections (up to 6 worms) were reported upon dissection, with a median of 1.5 worm, illustrating the predominance of single or double infections. Note that one fish harboured 12 worms, but we did not obtain reliable mass for the worms and as such is included in the dataset only to calculate the infection status assignation rate. Among the infected sticklebacks, 18 exhibited a single worm upon dissection and no external visual clues suggested the presence of *S. solidus* in the fish body cavity (Figure 1). For all infected fish, the total parasite mass was comprised between 130 mg and 2356 mg (median of 495 mg) and the parasite index was always below 50 (between 4.9 and 40.4, median = 16,6%), meaning that the total weight of parasites was always below the net weight of the fish host. 32 out of the 35 infected fish were successfully detected as infected using qPCR. For the 3 remaining infected fish, no trace of *S. solidus* eDNA was detected with our protocol (1.98% of samples tested, low false negative rate). They had

no eDNA detected in any of the triplicates (Ct "undetermined"). The 3 fish had a total

parasite mass that span almost the whole range found in the study (168 mg and 274 mg

for the single infections and 1644 mg for the double infection, from the second smallest

to the third largest). Their parasite index (respectively 12.5, 6.5 and 25.3) was above the

minimal values from infected fish that were correctly detected. These observations

indicate that these false negatives are not due to a lack of sensitivity of the method at

low parasitic weight. We rather propose that the critical step of our method is during the

sampling of the internal fluids of the fish intraperitoneal cavity. *S. solidus* is free in the

abdominal cavity of its host. It is possible that the PBS injection was done too far from

the worm to allow the sampling of its DNA. To overcome this issue, we performed body

cavity sampling on two consecutive days, and each time the needle was inserted into a

different face of the fish. This approach was quite reliable and probably resulted in our

low false negative rate. However, we suggest that the eDNA sampling protocol could be

extended over three days in order to maximize *S. solidus* DNA capture.

None of the fish from the anadromous population were infected by *S. solidus* (n=55). We

never detected *S. solidus* DNA in the samples of the uninfected fish from this population,

nor from the 61 uninfected fish from Lac Témiscouata (0 false positive). False positive

could have resulted from a lack of specificity of the primers used. The absence of false

positive for 116 samples confirms the specificity of the method. A false positive could

also have resulted from a signal of an exposed stickleback, i.e. a fish that was exposed

to a *S. solidus*-infected copepod, but for which infection did not succeed and the worm

did not develop in its abdominal cavity. The absence of false positive suggests that our

method does not allow to detect sticklebacks that have been exposed but that did not

develop an infection. However, all the fish used were of wild origin, which prevents us to

have a record of exposure over the life of an individual fish. Testing this possibility with

laboratory-exposed fish could add to the usefulness of the present detection method.

We found a significant correlation between Ct values (which are inversely correlated to

the amount of *S. solidus* eDNA) and the total parasite mass found within a fish (one-

sided Spearman correlation, rho= -0.41, p = 0.01, Figure 2). This result suggests that in

the future this approach could be used in a quantitative way to estimate not only the

presence but the total mass of parasites within a host. The correlation is non-linear and

there is large variation in total parasite mass for a given Ct value and thus further

refinement would be needed. Therefore, our method in its current state gives a very

reliable presence/absence response and its use for quantitative assessment looks

promising for the future.

**Variations of ventilation rates between uninfected and infected sticklebacks**

We measured the ventilation rates of 20 fish, 3 times over 3 consecutive days, and then

determined that 13 of these were infected. The infected individuals harboured from 1 to

5 worms with a total mass ranging between 618 mg and 2356 mg (parasite index

between 13.2 and 40.4). One infected individual died after the first ventilation

measurement and we could use the videos for only the 2 first days for one of the non-

infected fish. We had 3 ventilation measurements for all other individuals. Repeatability

of ventilation across 3 days for a given fish was 0.41 (Lessells and Boag, 1987). As

ventilation rates were repeatable over this time scale, we used ventilation rates

measured on the first day in subsequent analysis, to reflect how this method would be

used to quickly detect infection. Ventilation rates estimated over 30 seconds and

reported in number of opercular movement per minute ranged between 99 and 146

opercular beats/min in uninfected fish and between 112 and 160 in *S. solidus* infected

ones (Figure 3). The average number of ventilation per minute was 122 for uninfected

fish and 134 for infected fish. Ventilation rates of uninfected fish were in the same range

as found in previous studies of freshwater sticklebacks (Bell et al., 2010; Di Poi et al.,

2016). We found that ventilation rates did not differ significantly between non-infected

and infected individuals (two-sided t-test, t = -1.57, p = 0.15, n = 20, Figure 3).

Ventilation was positively correlated to the parasite index, but this covariation was not

significant (one-sided Spearman correlation, rho = 0.35, p = 0.07, n=20). There was a

substantial overlap in ventilation rate between the two groups, which prevented the use

of this trait to discriminate between *S. solidus* infected and healthy individuals.

Therefore, the power of this simple measurement is low and can only be used as a

complementary indicator of infection by *S. solidus* in its host.

We expect the eDNA method presented here to find applications beyond the *S. solidus-*

threespine stickleback system. Indeed, several fish species have parasites found in their

abdominal cavity (Hoffman, 1999). To apply our method to these systems, the main

challenge would be to design highly specific primers for the parasite of interest (Taylor et

al., 2013). Our low cost method could be a simple alternative to morphological-based

methods already used in these systems.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the personnel of the Laboratoire Régional des Sciences Aquatiques (LARSA) at Université Laval for their help with fish rearing. We thank Anaïs Lacoursière-Roussel, Noémie Leduc, Alysse Perreault-Payette and Guillaume Côté for their advice concerning eDNA extraction. We thank Christian Landry and Leonard Foster for their comments during the development of this project. Anna Prudova from the Foster lab first suggested to us to sample the stickleback abdominal cavity fluids to study the *Schistocephalus* secretome, which led to this eDNA detection protocol.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

**Author contributions**

C.S.B. designed the study with input from N.A.-H. C.S.B. performed the eDNA-qPCR experiments and analyzed the data. N.A.-H. performed the ventilation rate measurements and did the statistical analyses. C.S.B. and N.A-H. wrote the manuscript.

**Funding**

This work was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) grant through the Discovery grant program to N.A.-H.

412 **Ethics**

413 This study was approved by the Comité de Protection des Animaux de l'Université Laval

414 (CPAUL 2014069-3).

416 **Data availability**

417 The complete dataset is available as a supplementary data file.

# REFERENCES

**Aljanabi, S. M. and Martinez, I.** (1997). Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. *Nucleic acids research* **25**, 4692-4693.

**Arme, C. and Owen, R. W.** (1967). Infections of the three-spined stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus L., with the plerocercoid larvae of Schistocephalus solidus (Müller, 1776), with special reference to pathological effects. *Parasitology* **57**, 301-314.

**Barber, I.** (1997). A non-invasive morphometric technique for estimating cestode plerocercoid burden in small freshwater fish. *JOURNAL OF FISH BIOLOGY* **51**, 654-658.

**Barber, I.** (2013). Sticklebacks as model hosts in ecological and evolutionary parasitology. *Trends in Parasitology* **29**, 556-566.

**Barber, I. and Scharsack, J. P.** (2010). The three-spined stickleback- Schistocephalus solidus system: an experimental model for investigating host-parasite interactions in fish. *Parasitology* **137**, 411-424.

**Barber, I. and Svensson, P. A.** (2003). Effects of experimental Schistocephalus solidus infections on growth, morphology and sexual development of female three-spined sticklebacks, Gasterosteus aculeatus. *Parasitology* **126**, 359.

**Bass, D., Stentiford, G. D., Littlewood, D. T. J. and Hartikainen, H.** (2015). Diverse Applications of Environmental DNA Methods in Parasitology. *Trends in Parasitology* **31**, 499-513.

**Bell, A. M., Henderson, L. and Huntingford, F. A.** (2010). Behavioral and respiratory responses to stressors in multiple populations of three-spined sticklebacks that differ in predation pressure. *J Comp Physiol B* **180**, 211-20.

**Di Poi, C., Lacasse, J., Rogers, S. M. and Aubin-Horth, N.** (2016). Evolution of stress reactivity in stickleback. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **17**, 395-405.

**Dingemanse, N. J., Oosterhof, C., Van Der Plas, F. and Barber, I.** (2009). Variation in stickleback head morphology associated with parasite infection. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **96**, 759-768.

**Grécias, L., Hébert, F.-O., Berger, C. S., Barber, I. and Aubin-Horth, N.** (2016). Can the behaviour of threespine stickleback parasitized with Schistocephalus solidus be replicated by manipulating host physiology? *The Journal of experimental biology* **220**, 237-246.

**Grecias, L., Valentin, J. and Aubin-Horth, N.** (2017). Testing the parasite mass burden effect on host behaviour alteration in the Schistocephalus-stickleback system. *bioRxiv*.

**Hammerschmidt, K. and Kurtz, J.** (2007). Schistocephalus solidus: establishment of tapeworms in sticklebacks--fast food or fast lane? *Experimental Parasitology* **116**, 142-9.

**Hebert, F. O., Grambauer, S., Barber, I., Landry, C. R. and Aubin-Horth, N.** (2017). Major host transitions are modulated through transcriptome-wide reprogramming events in Schistocephalus solidus, a threespine stickleback parasite. *Molecular Ecology* **26**, 1118-1130.

**Hébert, F. O., Grambauer, S., Barber, I., Landry, C. R. and Aubin-Horth, N.** (2016). Transcriptome sequences spanning key developmental states as a resource for the study of the cestode Schistocephalus solidus, a threespine stickleback parasite. *GigaScience* **5**, 1-9.

**Hoffman, G. L.** (1999). Parasites of North American freshwater fishes: Cornell University Press.

**Imwong, M., Woodrow, C. J., Hendriksen, I. C. E., Veenemans, J., Verhoef, H., Faiz, M. A., Mohanty, S., Mishra, S., Mtove, G., Gesase, S. et al.** (2015). Plasma concentration of parasite DNA as a measure of disease severity in falciparum malaria. *The Journal of infectious diseases* **211**, 1128.

**Lacoursière-Roussel, A., Howland, K., Normandeau, E., Grey, E., Archambault, P., Deiner, K., Lodge, D. M., Hernandez, C., Leduc, N. and Bernatchez, L.** (under revision). eDNA monitoring as a new surveillance tool for coastal Arctic biodiversity. *Molecular Ecology Resources*.

**Lacoursiere-Roussel, A., Rosabal, M. and Bernatchez, L.** (2016). Estimating fish abundance and
biomass from eDNA concentrations: variability among capture methods and environmental conditions.
*Mol Ecol Resour* **16**, 1401-1414.

**Lacoursière-Roussel, A., Rosabal, M. and Bernatchez, L.** (2016). Estimating fish abundance and
biomass from eDNA concentrations: variability among capture methods and environmental conditions.
*Molecular Ecology Resources* **16**, 1401-1414.

**Lessells, C. M. and Boag, P. T.** (1987). Unrepeatable repeatabilities: a common mistake. *The Auk*
**104**, 116-121.

**Lester, R. J. G.** (1971). The influence of Schistocephalus plerocercoids on the respiration of
Gasterosteus and a possible resulting effect on the behavior of the fish. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **49**,
361-366.

**Longmire, J. L., Maltbie, M. and Baker, R. J.** (1997). Use of "Lysis Buffer" in DNA Isolation and Its
Implication for Museum Collections. *OCCASIONAL PAPERS, MUSEUM OF TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY* **163**, 1-
3.

**Macat, Z., Bednarik, A. and Rulik, M.** (2015). The three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus
aculeatus) infection with Schistocephalus solidus in Hel marina (Puck Bay, Baltic Sea, Poland).
*OCEANOLOGICAL AND HYDROBIOLOGICAL STUDIES* **44**, 11-17.

**Marcogliese, D. J. and Cone, D. K.** (1997). Food webs: a plea for parasites. *Trends in Ecology &*
*Evolution* **12**, 320-325.

**Meakins, R. H. and Walkey, M.** (1975). The effects of parasitism by the plerocercoid of
Schistocephalus solidus Muller 1776 (Pseudophyllidea) on the respiration of the three-spined stickleback
Gasterosteus aculeatus L. *JOURNAL OF FISH BIOLOGY* **7**, 817-824.

**Pontes, L. A., Dias-Neto, E. and Rabello, A.** (2002). Detection by polymerase chain reaction of
Schistosoma mansoni DNA in human serum and feces. *The American journal of tropical medicine and*
*hygiene* **66**, 157.

**Poulin, R. and FitzGerald, G. J.** (1989). Risk of parasitism and microhabitat selection in juvenile
sticklebacks. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **67**, 14-18.

**R Core Team.** (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. : R Foundation for
Statistical  Computing, Vienna, Austria.

**Takahara, T., Minamoto, T. and Doi, H.** (2013). Using environmental DNA to estimate the
distribution of an invasive fish species in ponds. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e56584.

**Taylor, M. W., Kevin, S. M., Michael, K. Y., Stephen, F. J., Winsor, H. L., Andrew, R. W. and**
**Michael, K. S.** (2013). Robust detection of rare species using environmental DNA: the importance of
primer specificity. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e59520.

**Tierney, J. F. and Crompton, D. W. T.** (1992). Infectivity of Plerocercoids of Schistocephalus
solidus (Cestoda: Ligulidae) and Fecundity of the Adults in an Experimental Definitive Host, Gallus gallus.
*The Journal of Parasitology* **78**, 1049-1054.

**Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B. C., Remm, M. and Rozen, S. G.**
(2012). Primer3--new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic acids research* **40**, e115.

**Weber, J. N., Kalbe, M., Shim, K. C., Erin, N. I., Steinel, N. C., Ma, L. and Bolnick, D. I.** (2017).
Resist Globally, Infect Locally: A Transcontinental Test of Adaptation by Stickleback and Their Tapeworm
Parasite. *The American naturalist* **189**, 43.

**Wickham, H.** (2009). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.: Springer-Verlag New York.

**Xu, J., Duan, Z. L., Guan, Z. X., Wang, Y. Y., Lin, C., Zhang, T. T., Zhang, H. Q., Qian, X. and Xia, C.**
**M.** (2017). Early detection of circulating DNA of Schistosoma japonicum in sentinel mice models.
*Experimental Parasitology* **176**, 82-88.

**Figures**

**Figure 1. External morphology of threespine sticklebacks from Lac Témiscouata that were infected by *S. solidus* (single or multiple infections) or not. A.** Non-infected fish. **B.** Infected fish with 1 *S. solidus* worm (parasite mass 618.2 mg; PI 13.2). **C.** Infected fish with 5 *S. solidus* worms (total parasite mass 2356 mg; PI 39.3). A and B do not exhibit abdominal distension while it is clearly visible in C. Fish B and C were both detected as infected using the eDNA method.

**Figure 2. eDNA concentration covaries with total parasite mass**. Low Ct values, which represent high levels of eDNA, are associated with a high parasite mass (Spearman correlation, rho = -0.41, p = 0.01, n= 31).

**Figure 3. Ventilation rates did not differ significantly between un-infected and infected threespine sticklebacks** (two-sided t-test, t = -1.57, p = 0.15, n=20). Ventilation per minute ranged between 99 and 146 opercular movement per minute in un-infected fish (n=7) and between 112 and 160 in *S. solidus* infected fish (n=13). The lower and upper hinges correspond to the 25th and 75th percentiles and the vertical bars extend to the largest value no further than 1.5 times the interquartile range.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3