Differential default-mode network functional connectivity and morphometric
changes in Alzheimer's disease

Kamil A. Grajski[1] and Steven L. Bressler[2], for the Alzheimer's disease Neuroimaging Initiative[3]

Correspondence to: Dr. Kamil A. Grajski,

NuroSci, LLC.,

525 South Flagler Drive,

Suite 21CD, West Palm Beach,

FL, 33401,

USA

E-mail: kgrajski@nurosci.com

11   **Abstract**

We report group level differential detection of functional connectivity disruption and

morphometric changes in the CN to MCI transition in an age-, education- and gender-

matched 105 subjects ADNI database, using same-session structural MRI and rsfMRI. In

Dementia-MCI and Dementia-CN comparisons, but not MCI-CN comparisons of

FreeSurfer estimates of thickness in 68 cortical regions, 28 subcortical volumes, and 22

hippocampal subfield volumes, characteristic atrophy was detected by one-way, three-

factor ANOVA and *post hoc* pairwise *t* tests. By contrast, functional connectivity analysis of

57 left hemisphere medial temporal lobe and subcortical ROIs with 82 ROIs in both

hemispheres, and 69 right MTL and subcortical ROIs with 120 ROIs in both hemispheres,

detected significant MCI-CN changes. Confirmatory findings include an anterior-posterior

[1] NuroSci, LLC. West Palm Beach, FL, 33401.
[2] Center for Complex Systems and Brain Science, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL, 33431.
[3] Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's disease Neuroimaging Initiative
(ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design
and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this
report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-
content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf.

cortical DMN "disconnect". Novel findings include a bilateral hemispheric disconnect, a

posterior DMN left-right hemisphere disconnect and a DMN dorsal-ventral disconnect

involving thalamus, hippocampus, and posterior MTL. A novel data-driven approach for

ROI identification and selection was developed and implemented with the AFNI toolkit.

These findings position resting-state functional MRI connectivity biomarkers within a

model Alzheimer's disease pathophysiological cascade as coincident with and potentially in

advance of morphometric biomarkers of the CN to MCI transition.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; mild cognitive impairment; neuroimaging; ageing; default-

mode network; resting-state fMRI

Abbreviations: ADNI = Alzheimer's disease Neuroimaging Initiative; CDR= clinical dementia

rating; CDRSB= clinical dementia rating, sum of boxes; CDT= cluster determination threshold;

CMT= color maximum threshold; CN= cognitively normal; D= dementia; DMN= default-mode

network; EPI= echo-planar image; FWHM= full width half maximum; ICA= independent

components analysis; ICV = intra-cranial volume; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MMSE=

mini-mental state examination; MTL= medial temporal lobe; PCC= posterior cingulate cortex;

rsfMRI= resting-state functional MRI; ROI= region of interest;

Running Title: Alzheimer's disease functional connectivity and morphometric changes

Introduction

In late-onset Alzheimer's disease, neuropathologies may cumulate for decades before possible

though not inevitable clinical manifestation. Diagnostic guidelines (Jack, 2011; McKhann, 2011;

Albert, 2011; Sperling, 2011; Vinters, 2015) for cognitively normal, mild cognitive impairment,

and Alzheimer's disease consider neuroimaging biomarkers, including labelling (Amyloid PET),

metabolic (FDG PET) and morphometric (MRI) (Klunk, 2004; Fan, et al., 2008; Davatzikos, et

al., 2009; Misra, et al., 2009; Jack, 2011; Sorensen, et al., 2016; Wolk, et al., 2017). Disruption

of functional connectivity in Alzheimer's disease has been observed in the DMN in resting-state

functional MRI (rsfMRI), a non-invasive, repeatable neuroimaging methodology (Greicius, et

al., 2004; Buckner, et al., 2005; Sorg, et al., 2007; Zhou, et al., 2010; Jones, et al., 2011; Jones, et

al., 2012, Brier, et al., 2012; Cai, et al., 2015). However, such observations have not broadly

impacted clinical practice (Woo, et al., 2017).

Here we test the hypothesis that in the absence of evidence of statistically significant CN to MCI

morphometric changes, particularly in anatomical regions associated with the DMN and

additional coactivated regions, functional connectivity disruptions directly involving these

structures are detected. We present evidence confirming the hypothesis. We suggest that

functional connectivity analysis of rsfMRI may provide a complementary approach to

established neuroimaging and other biomarkers within the framework of a model of Alzheimer's

disease pathophysiology (Jack, 2013).

Materials and Methods

Data used in the preparation of this report was obtained from the Alzheimer's disease

Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). The ADNI project was launched

in 2003 as a public-private partnership, led by Principal Investigator Michael Weiner, MD. The

primary goal of ADNI has been to test whether serial magnetic resonance imaging, positron

emission tomography, other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessment

can be combined to measure the progression of mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's

disease.

Clinical population

The clinical population in this report is a subset of the ADNI 2 experimental sub-study on resting

state fMRI functional connectivity (rsfMRI) (Jack, et al., 2008; Weiner, et al., 2015). The ADNI

2 rsfMRI data available for download on the Laboratory of Neuro Imaging (LONI) website in

September 2016 consisted of 886 scan pairs (structural MRI, rsfMRI) from 220 subjects recorded

during visits over the course of the multi-year ADNI study. The paired series were processed

through a multistage image and quality assurance procedure and yielded a cross-sectional study

dataset consisting of one scan pair per each of 105 subjects.

Image acquisition

A typical ADNI 2 clinical visit sequence consisted of an initial screening visit, a baseline visit, a

3-month, 6-month and 12-month, and annual visit thereafter. Of interest in the present analysis

are two of the up to eight scans obtained during each ADNI 2 subject visit. The scans of interest

are the high-resolution T_1-weighted 3D volumetric structural scan (Scan #2 in the protocol) and

resting state functional scan (Scan #4 in the protocol) obtained during each subject visit.

Whole-brain high-resolution structural images were recorded in 3T MRI scanners of a single

manufacturer (Philips Medical Systems: Achieva, Ingenia, Ingenuity, Intera) from 14 unique

sites in two configurations (MULTI-COIL; SENSE-HEAD), and fifteen (15) different software

versions, the most common being 3.2.1 or higher. The ADNI structural scan protocol defined a

T_1-weighted sagittal magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MP-RAGE) scan. Typical

parameters extracted from ADNI XML metadata files were: a) flip angle, 9°; b) volume; 256 x

256 x 170; c) pixel spacing, 1.0 mm; d) slice thickness, 1.2 mm; e) TE (3.14 msec) and f) TR

(6.77 msec).

The rsfMRI scan protocol defined a T_2-weighted sequence. Typical parameters extracted from

ADNI XML metadata files were: a) flip angle, 80°; b) pixel spacing, 2.79 - 3.313 mm; c) slice

thickness, 3.312 mm; d) TE=30.0 msec; e) TR=3000 msec; and f) phase-direction (anterior-

posterior, N=16; posterior-anterior, N=86; missing values=4). Only two (of nine observed)

temporal slice order sequences were admitted to the present 105 scan dataset: a) ascending 48

planes (odd, even), N=98; and b) descending 48 planes (even, odd), N=7. rsfMRI scans were of

two durations: a) 140 TRs ("resting state", N=91); and b) 200 TRs ("extended resting state",

N=14). The scans with 200 TRs were truncated to 140 TRs for the final stage functional

connectivity analysis.

Image processing

Structural images and rsfMRI images were downloaded from LONI either in DICOM format

(and locally converted using dcm2nii) or in 4DNIfTI format (whereby the DICOM to NIfTI

conversion was completed by LONI). Preprocessing and data analysis were performed using a

combination of FreeSurfer (Dale, et al., 1999), Analysis of Functional Neuroimages (AFNI)

(Cox, 1996), and "home-grown" analysis implemented in R and *tcsh* shell scripts. The AFNI

Version was AFNI_17.1.03 (2-May-2017). The FreeSurfer version was V6.0 and was run in the

Amazon Cloud on Ubuntu 14.04. The R version was Version 3.2.3 (10-Dec-2015). The AFNI

Python script uber_subject.py was used to generate a preprocessing shell script which was

manually edited.

Structural image analysis with FreeSurfer 6.0

Subcortical and hippocampal subfield segmentation, and cortical parcellation (surface area,

thickness and volume) were obtained with FreeSurfer Version 6.0 image analysis suite, which is

documented and freely available for download online (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) (Dale,

et al., 1999). FreeSurfer default settings were applied via the "recon-all" command.

Functional MRI analysis with AFNI

The rsfMRI EPI preprocessing sequence consisted of the following steps in AFNI: a) alignment

of EPI centers to a standard atlas; b) elimination of the first two EPIs; c) outlier detection and de-

spiking; d) application of time-shift correction; e) alignment of each EPI to the least motion

distorted volume; f) alignment to the anatomical image and nonlinear warp to a standard space

(MNI_avg152T1); g) generation of anatomical and EPI masks; h) application of spatial

smoothing (FWHM = 4.0mm); i) scaling each voxel time series to a mean of 100; j) generation

of demeaned motion parameters and motion parameter derivatives; k) generation of motion

"censor" masks (motion limit = 0.3); l) generation of ventricle (Vent) and white-matter

segmentation masks from FreeSurfer segmentation and parcellation results; and m)

deconvolution with 12 regressors (6 regressors + 6 motion derivatives). The process yielded a

4D residual error volume that served as input to the next stage of volumetric and correlation

analysis. The global average signal was not removed from 4D volumes and there was no

bandpass filtering in the preprocessing stage.

Image quality assurance

The starting database consisted of 886 rsfMRI scans (and their paired structural images)

downloaded from LONI. An R package "ADNIMERGE" contained MRI and rsfMRI quality

assessments (e.g., comments by ADNI quality reviewers) and related data (e.g., rsfMRI slice

order) stored in the tables "mayoadirl_mri_imageqc" and "mayoadirl_mri_fmri", respectively.

The 886-scan set (n=220 subjects) was reduced to 673 scans (n=196) by rejecting all scans that

did not conform to the slice order sequences noted above, or had clearly excessive motion based

on visual inspection in the FSL viewer, or contained any reviewer comment in either of the

available pair of comment fields. Comments typically referenced motion or clinical findings.

The rsfMRI EPI preprocessing sequence with motion-limit set to 0.3 was applied to each of the

673 structural-rsfMRI pairs. The global average signal of the rsfMRI scan was analyzed as an

initial screen for excessive motion. Scans were rejected if the global average time-series

amplitude value fell outside the range 96-104 or that the standard deviation of the global average

time series exceeded 2.0. The gross motion screening procedure reduced the 673 structural-

rsfMRI pairs database to 507 (n=190 subjects).

The 507 scan pairs database was further screened using statistics generated by the AFNI-based

preprocessing pipeline. Scans were marked for rejection according to the following criteria: a)

DICE coefficient < 0.78; b) censor fraction > 0.21; c) maximum censored displacement > 1.00;

d) average TSNR > 400 or average TSNR < 100; e) degrees of freedom left < 100; and f) global

correlation > 0.11. 206 scan pairs from 106 subjects met these quality assurance criteria. The

final dataset reduction step enforced the rule of a single scan pair per subject: 105 structural-

functional scan pairs from 105 subjects. Where multiple candidate scan pairs were present, the

latest available pair was selected: 80% of the final dataset consists of data recorded from subjects

who were on at least their second ADNI 2 study visit. ANOVA confirmed that preprocessing

quality measures were matched across the three diagnostic groups.

Seed ROI definition

ROI seeds were defined by an anatomically bootstrapped data-driven procedure. The procedure

was applied independently to the left and right hemispheres. To bootstrap the ROI seed selection

procedure, FreeSurfer subcortical segmentations were used to define the 3D bounding box for

the hippocampus and MTL. A grid of 6mm-spaced coordinates within the bounding box formed

the input candidate ROI seed pool. The input candidate seeds that supported a complete 6mm

radius spatial sample (33 voxels), determined by comparison with the global brain mask

generated during AFNI baseline processing, formed a base set of anatomical ROI seeds.

To define corresponding paired ROI seeds, we performed the following volumetric ROI

procedure on each base set ROI seed. First, for each base set ROI seed, compute and retain the

subject-dependent average time series from the 6mm radius sphere centered at the ROI seed.

Second, compute the Fischer z-transformed Pearson correlation coefficient between subject

averaged time series and every other voxel in the subject 4D image (restricting the computations

to those voxels contained within the global brain mask generated during AFNI baseline

processing). Third, with the resulting set of subject-dependent 3D Fischer z-transform volumes,

conduct a group-level, one-way, three-factor (CN, MCI, Dementia) 3D ANOVA at each voxel

and retain the 3D volume of F statistics. Fourth, perform a 3D cluster analysis on the F statistic

3D volume and retain the coordinate of the center of mass (expressed as a 3D integer valued

coordinate) of each qualified cluster as a base ROI-dependent candidate paired ROI seed. Retain

as well as each cluster extent (number of voxels) and weight (F statistic averaged over cluster

extent). Cluster determination threshold ($F \geq 4.816$; $p \leq 0.01$, uncorrected) and minimum cluster

size ($N_C = 20$) were chosen to be permissive by design. Fifth, combine the outputs of the

previous stage across all base ROI seeds and retain as the raw list of paired ROI seed candidates.

Prune the raw list of paired ROI coordinates such that the minimum distance between the paired

ROI seeds is > 6mm. For each paired ROI, compute and retain the subject-dependent average

time series from the 6mm radius sphere centered at the paired ROI seed.

The anatomically bootstrapped, data-driven ROI definition procedure yielded per subject for the

left hemisphere a total of 139 ROI seeds and corresponding spatially averaged time series, and

per subject for the right hemisphere a total of 189 ROI seeds and corresponding spatially

averaged time series. Anatomically-bootstrapped ROI seeds were hemisphere-specific, but

paired ROI seeds ranged over both hemispheres. These time series were subjected to additional

quality assurance checks and input to a pairwise ROI cross-correlation analysis described next.

ROI time series quality assurance

Prior to inclusion in pairwise correlation analysis individual ROI average time series were

subjected to band-pass filtering (4th order Butterworth, $f = \{0.01, 0.1\}$) and a final quality

assurance check for outliers. The 3rd-138th time series elements were retained as the candidate

final ROI time series. For subject k, ROI j, the time series was rejected if any of the following

conditions were true: a) the time series was constant; b) the time series standard deviation, $\sigma_{jk} >$

20.0; or c) the fraction of time points with values greater than the outlier threshold θ_{jk} exceeded

5%. The outlier threshold was set as $\theta_{jk} = \mu_{jk} + 3\sigma_{jk}$, where μ_{jk} is the time series average.

Rejected time series counts and percentages were as follows: a) left CN, 8 of 4,448 (0.18%) from

3 subjects; b) left MCI, 8 of 5,421 (0.15%) from 5 subjects; c) left D, 13 of 4,726 (0.28%) from 7

subjects; d) right CN, 15 of 6,048 (0.25%); e) right MCI, 11 of 7,371 (0.14%); and f) right D, 18

of 6,426 (0.28%). Rejected time series resulted in NA entries in the corresponding subject ROI

Pearson correlation coefficient matrices. These NA entries propagated into the ANOVA stage as

subject missing values. For the set of 9,591 left hemisphere ANOVA tests, 9,443 (98.5%)

included at least 102 of 105 subjects (97.1%) as did 100% of the significant ANOVA tests and

100% of the significant *post hoc* pairwise *t* test MCI-CN contrast tests discussed in Results. For

the set of 17,766 right hemisphere ANOVA tests, 17,018 (95.8%) included at least 102 of 105

subjects (97.1%) as did 100% of the significant ANOVA tests and 100% of the significant *post*

hoc pairwise *t* test MCI-CN contrast tests discussed in Results.

ROI pairwise correlation analysis

Pairwise correlation analysis was at the level of individual ROI pairs. The core statistical

method was one-way, three-factor (clinical assignment) ANOVA, with *post hoc t* tests applied

pairwise only for significant ANOVA tests, correcting for large-scale multiple testing through

False Detection Rate correction (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) and permutation repeated

measures (Nichols and Holmes, 2002; Efron, 2010; Eklund, et al., 2016).

Assignment of anatomical position to an ROI coordinate

For expository purposes, we mapped ROI seed MNI coordinates to anatomical locations by

analyzing the output of the AFNI *whereami* output. The AFNI *whereami* tool maps an input

coordinate to anatomical position with respect to a multiplicity of atlases. To assign a final

anatomical label we used the "Focus Point", and "Within 2mm" or "Within 4mm" outputs from

the Talairach-Tournoux, MNI (Macro Labels, N27), and MNI (Cytoarchitectonic Max

Probability Maps) and checked for consistency. Results were visually confirmed.

Results

Clinical population

The clinical population in this report is a subset of the ADNI 2 sub-study on resting state fMRI

(rsfMRI) (Supplementary Table 1). Subjects were assigned to one of three clinical categories:

Cognitively Normal (CN; N=32; median age=73.3); Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI; N=39;

median age=72.4); and Dementia (D; N=34; median age=74.6). Except where noted, the term

"dementia" used in this analysis refers to mild dementia. Clinical assignments followed ADNI 2

protocols determined by individual patient CDR, CDRSB and MMSE scores. CN was defined as

CDR 0, CDRSB 0, and MMSE $\geq$ 24. MCI was defined as CDR 0.5 and MMSE $\geq$ 24.0. D was

defined as $0.5 \leq$ CDR ≤ 1.0 and $20 \leq$ MMSE ≤ 26. ANOVA confirmed that age, education and

gender were matched, but that APOE$\Box$4(2) status was not matched (CN: 3% of CN; MCI: 10%;

D: 26%).

Structural MRI analysis

Structural MRI analysis was performed using the FreeSurfer Version 6.0 image analysis suite

(http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). The effect of clinical category on cortical thinning was

evaluated using one-way, three-factor ANOVA on estimates of cortical thickness from 34

cortical regions per hemisphere (68 total) (Supplementary Table 2). An ANOVA F statistic $p <$

0.05 value, p_F^*, corrected by the False Discovery Rate (FDR), q=0.1, gave $p_F^* = 0.032$ and

identified 23 significant tests. For significant ANOVA tests only, *post hoc* pairwise *t* tests were

computed and then FDR-corrected for three comparisons: MCI-CN ($p_{MCI,CN}^*$=0.006), D-CN

($p_{D,CN}^*$=0.037), and D-MCI ($p_{D,MCI}^*$=0.022). There were no significant negative MCI-CN

comparisons. There were two significant positive MCI-CN comparisons (left and right

paracentral lobule), though each changed the group average by less than 4.4%. By contrast,

there were twenty-one significant D-MCI comparisons and fifteen significant D-CN

comparisons. Effect sizes, measured by Cohen's *d*, were in the range $0.6 \leq d \leq 1.0$. Consistent

with existing evidence of cortical thinning in Alzheimer's disease, all D-MCI and D-CN

contrasts were negative.

The effect of clinical category on subcortical volumes was evaluated using one-way, three-factor

ANOVA on estimates from eight regions per hemisphere (16 total) (Supplementary Table 3).

Values were normalized by the estimated total intracranial volume. The FDR-corrected (q=0.1)

ANOVA F statistic p value, $p_F^* = 0.012$, identified eight significant tests. For the significant

ANOVA tests only, *post hoc* pairwise t tests were computed and FDR-corrected for the contrasts

D-CN ($p_{D,CN}^* = 0.004$), and D-MCI ($p_{D,MCI}^* = 0.031$). For the MCI-CN contrast no p value fell

below the FDR-corrected level indicated by q=0.1. Thus, we list the FDR-corrected p value as

"not applicable" ($p_{MCI,CN}^* = $ NA) and conclude that there were no significant MCI-CN

comparisons. There were eight significant D-CN contrasts; and six significant D-MCI contrasts.

Effect sizes were in the range $0.3 \leq d \leq 1.1$. All significant pairwise comparisons for ventricles

(e.g., inferior lateral ventricle) were positive and all significant pairwise contrasts for subcortical

structures (e.g., hippocampus) were negative, consistent with Alzheimer's disease progression.

Hippocampal sub-fields (11 per hemisphere) were analyzed similarly as above and all twenty-

two ANOVA tests were significant, FDR corrected p value (q=0.1), $p_F^* = 0.032$ (Supplementary

Table 4). *Post hoc* pairwise t tests detected twenty-two significant D-CN comparisons ($p_{D,CN}^* = $

0.029), and eighteen significant D-MCI comparisons ($p_{D,MCI}^* = 0.077$). Effect sizes, measured as

Cohen's d, were at least "strong" and above, with left hemisphere effect scores uniformly higher

than the right hemisphere (left: $0.9 \leq d \leq 1.1$; right: $0.7 \leq d \leq 0.86$). Consistent with Alzheimer's

disease progression all significant D-MCI and D-CN hippocampal sub-field pairwise contrasts

were negative. For the MCI-CN comparison, however, no p value fell below the FDR-corrected

level indicated by q=0.1. Thus, we list the FDR-corrected p value as "not applicable" ($p_{MCI,CN}^* = $

NA) and conclude that there were no significant MCI-CN comparisons.

ROI pairwise functional connectivity

Here we present evidence in support of the hypothesis that in the absence of evidence of

statistically significant CN to MCI morphometric changes, functional connectivity disruptions

directly involving these structures are detected. We tested the hypothesis separately for the left

and right hemispheres with a focus on the MCI-CN contrast. Using an automatic, data-driven

procedure described above in Methods, we identified 57 ROI seeds in the region of left

hippocampus and adjacent structures (e.g., medial temporal lobe (MTL), thalamus), and a

corresponding set of 82 ROI seeds in both left and right hemispheres (total N=139 ROIs).

Similarly, we identified 69 ROI seeds in the region of right hemisphere hippocampus and

adjacent structures, and a corresponding set of 120 ROI seeds in both hemispheres (total N=189

ROIs). Functional connectivity analysis consisted of the evaluation of the effect of clinical

category on group-level ROI-ROI pair-wise time series correlations (Fisher Z transformed

Pearson correlation coefficient), where for each ROI we computed the average time series over

the voxels (N=33) contained in a 6mm sphere centered on the ROI seed.

The effect of clinical category on group average ROI-ROI pair-wise correlation was evaluated

using one-way, three-factor ANOVA. The sample p value for each ANOVA test was validated

using repeated measures (5000 random permutation trials of sample data clinical category labels)

and counting the proportion of repeated trials in which the resampled F statistic was greater than

the sample F-statistic) (Nichols and Holmes, 2002; Efron, 2010; Eklund, et al., 2016).

Correction for multiple comparisons was performed with FDR correction ($q = 0.05$) over the set

of sample p values (left: N = 9,591 tests; right: N = 17,766 tests).

Left hemisphere ROI analysis

There were twenty-one significant ANOVA tests found using the FDR-corrected F-statistic $p <$

0.05 value ($p_F^* < 0.0002$). *Post hoc* pairwise t tests were performed only for the significant

ANOVA tests for each of three contrasts: MCI-CN; D-CN; and D-MCI. The contrast sample t

test p values were computed and validated as part of the resampling procedure above and

correction for multiple comparisons was with FDR ($q = 0.05$) over the set of twenty-one tests per

contrast: $p_{MCI,CN}^* = 0.0140$; $p_{D,CN}^* = 0.0280$; $p_{D,MCI}^* = 0.0196$.

Of the twenty-one significant ANOVA comparisons, there were seven significant *post hoc* MCI-

CN t test comparisons (*post hoc* pairwise t test $p < p_{MCI,CN}^*$) (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table 5).

All seven of the comparisons were negative meaning that group average CN functional

connectivity was greater than in MCI. Of these seven significant MCI-CN comparisons, there

was one intrahemispheric comparison (ROI seed pair) ($p = 0.0066$, Cohen's d=0.60, moderate

effect size) for MNI coordinate (-17, 67, 1), superior frontal gyrus (Brodmann Area 10), and

MNI coordinate (-40, -76, 29), superior occipital gyrus (Brodmann Area 19). Six of the seven

significant MCI-CN comparisons were between left and right hemisphere ROIs, and they had

moderate to strong effect size ($0.55 \leq d \leq 1.0$, median $d = 0.78$).

Spatial correlation maps corresponding to representative significant ROI pairs are shown in

Figure 2. In Figure 2 the ROI seed is centered at (-14, -44, 4) in the region of left

parahippocampal gyrus and Brodmann Area 30 (posterior cingulate cortex). The paired ROI is

centered at (7, -62, 8) (right cuneus and Brodmann Area 30). The MCI-CN ROI pairwise t test is

$p = 0.0140$ and the effect size is moderate ($d = 0.55$). The results shown are notable for several

reasons. First, the anatomical positions of this ROI pair align with Default Mode Network

(DMN) nodes. Second, the ROI pair was identified in a data driven fashion with minimal

researcher guidance. Last, the statistically significant reduction in group average functional

connectivity in the MCI-CN contrast is significant as well in the D-MCI and D-CN contrasts.

These results demonstrate a monotonic decrease in functional connectivity with Alzheimer's

disease progression between the left posterior hippocampal-parahippocampal region and the right

cuneus-posterior cingulate cortex.

We observed a functional disconnect between an anterior subcortical (thalamus) and a posterior

cortical region associated with the DMN (Fig 1a; Supplementary Table 5; Extended Data Figure

1). The ROI seed was centered at (-6, -12, 4) in the region of left medial dorsal nucleus and

ventral lateral nucleus of the thalamus. The paired ROI was centered at (48, -69, 36) (right

angular gyrus, Brodmann Area 39, and inferior parietal cortex). The MCI-CN ROI pairwise t

test was $p < 0.001$ and the effect size was strong $(d = 0.99)$.

Additional significant ROI pairs in the MCI-CN contrast (Supplementary Table 5) were: a) left

caudate tail and hippocampus (CA) seed with paired ROI in the region of right posterior superior

temporal and medial gyri, and the inferior parietal cortex $(p < 0.001, d = 1.02)$; b) a more lateral

ROI in left caudate tail and hippocampus (CA) and a paired ROI in the region of right posterior

cingulate cortex $(p < 0.001, d = 0.86)$; and c) an ROI in the region of the left parahippocampal

gyrus and Brodmann Area 36 (perirhinal cortex) and a paired ROI in the region of the right

caudate (head), $(p = 0.002, d = 0.70)$.

The observation of significant contrasts in MCI-CN functional connectivity shown in Figure 1a

was validated using repeated measures (N = 5000 trials) procedures that permuted group labels.

There were no significant randomized ANOVA tests or *post hoc* pairwise t tests (Fig. 1b).

We next assessed the effect of Alzheimer's disease progression on the D-MCI contrast

(Supplementary Table 5). Of the seven significant MCI-CN ROI (Fig. 1a), two did not have

significant D-MCI contrast, four had significant positive D-MCI contrast, and one had significant

negative D-MCI contrast (Figure 1a). Overall, twelve of twenty-one ANOVA significant ROI

pairs were significant and *positive* for the D-MCI contrast (Fig. 1c) and seven of twenty-one

ANOVA significant ROI pairs were significant and *negative* for the D-MCI contrast (Fig. 1d).

These results are evidence of monotonic and non-monotonic changes in functional connectivity

between ROI pairs with Alzheimer's disease progression.

Right hemisphere ROI seeds

With an FDR corrected F statistic *p < 0.05* value ($p_F^* < 0.0002$) there were nineteen significant

ANOVA tests (out of 17,766 tests). *Post hoc* pairwise *t* tests were performed only for the

significant ANOVA tests for each of three contrasts: MCI-CN; D-CN; and D-MCI. The contrast

sample *t* test *p* values were validated as part of the resampling procedure above and correction

for multiple comparisons was with FDR (*q*=0.05) over the set of nineteen tests per contrast:

$p_{MCI,CN}^* = 0.0102; p_{D,CN}^* = 0.0394; p_{D,MCI}^* = 0.0088.$

For the MCI-CN contrast, there were thirteen significant comparisons (ROI pairs) (*post hoc*

pairwise *t* test $p < p_{MCI,CN}^*$) (Fig. 3a, b; Supplementary Table 6). There was one positive MCI-CN

contrast ($p < 0.001, d = 1.0$): seed (38, -4, -28) in the region of right inferior and medial temporal

gyrus and hippocampus (CA); paired ROI seed (24, -22, 73) in a region between right precentral

and postcentral gyri (Figure 3a). There were twelve negative MCI-CN contrasts with *p* values in

the range $p_{MCI,CN}^* < 0.0001$ to $p_{MCI,CN}^* < 0.0102$, median $p_{MCI,CN}^* = 0.0007$, and Cohen's *d*, 0.55

$\leq d \leq 1.37$, median $d = 0.81$ (Figure 3b). Of these twelve negative contrasts, five consisted of a

cross-hemispheric ROI pair; the remaining seven were right intrahemispheric.

Spatial correlation maps corresponding to a representative significant ROI pair are shown in

Figure 4. In Figure 4 the seed ROI is at (34, -36, -8) in the region of right fusiform gyrus,

parahippocampal gyrus, and hippocampus (CA). The paired ROI is centered at (6, -54, 52) in the

region of right precuneus, Brodmann Area 7, and superior parietal lobe. The MCI-CN ROI

pairwise t test is $p = 0.0074 < p^*_{MCI,CN}$ the effect size is moderate ($d = 0.60$). These right

hemisphere results, taken together with similar observations for left hemisphere, provide

evidence of an interhemispheric "disconnect" between posterior MTL and DMN posterior

cortical regions.

There is also evidence of interhemispheric disconnection between right anterior subcortical and

posterior ventral cortical regions associated with the DMN, comparable to that observed for the

left hemisphere. Specifically, one significant MCI-CN ROI pair t test was $p < 0.001$ and the

effect size was strong $(d = 1.1)$. One ROI was centered at (12, -14, 0) in the right ventral lateral

nucleus of the thalamus; the paired ROI was centered at (34, -6, -28) (inferior and middle

temporal gyri, hippocampus (EC, SUB)).

Additional significant MCI-CN ROI pairs are as follows: a) seed region in the right ventral

anterior nucleus of the thalamus, paired region in the left superior frontal gyrus and

supplementary motor area (SMA) ($p < 0.001$, $d = 1.38$); b) seed region right posterior cingulate

cortex and hippocampus (CA), paired region left middle temporal gyrus and Brodmann Area 22

($p < 0.01$, $d = 0.60$); c) seed region right parahippocampal gyrus, Brodmann Area 19 and 37,

paired region *left* cerebellum (VIIIa, b) ($p < 0.001$, $d = 1.02$); and d) the same seed region as in

(c), paired region *right* cerebellum (VII, VIIb) ($p < 0.001$, $d = 0.80$). In every case listed above,

the paired ROIs are within a region associated with the DMN (e.g., hippocampus, cingulate

cortex, cerebellum) or other resting state network (e.g., SMA).

We assessed the effect of Alzheimer's disease progression in the D-MCI contrast. Of the twelve

significant MCI-CN comparisons, three did not have significant D-MCI contrast, eight had

significant positive D-MCI contrast, and one had significant negative D-MCI contrast. Overall,

nine of nineteen significant ANOVA comparisons (ROI pairs) were significant and *positive* for

the D-MCI contrast (Fig. 3c) and six of nineteen significant ANOVA comparisons were

significant and *negative* for the D-MCI contrast (Fig. 3d).

Discussion

Sensitivity analysis

In preliminary studies, we assessed through spot-checking the sensitivity of the detection of

statistically significant (one-way, three-factor ANOVA ROI pair) functional connectivity

comparisons to changes in preprocessing parameters. The results reported in this study were

obtained with FWHM = 4.0 mm spatial smoothing in the AFNI preprocessing stage. Smoothing

with FWHM = 6.0 mm or FWHM = 8.0 mm, and FDR q value (0.05), eliminated the observation

of significant ANOVA trials. In such cases, we did not proceed to the 5000 permutation

repeated measures test (although we observed anecdotally using a 1000 permutation repeated

measures test that a few significant tests were observed). Similarly, present results were

obtained using an ROI defined as the 6.0 mm radius volume centered at a given source or target

ROI seed. Expansion to an 8.0mm radius volume, all else being equal, eliminated the

observation of significant ANOVA tests. In any of the above tests, when we relaxed the FDR q

value, for example, to $0.10 \leq q \leq 0.20$, progressively greater numbers of significant ANOVA

tests were observed. These preliminary observations drove the decision to proceed with FWHM

= 4.0 mm and ROI volume radius = 6.0 mm where, with a conservative FDR $q = 0.05$.

We assessed the reproducibility of the reported results under repeated measures with different

random number generator seeds in the 5000-trial permuted repeated measures. We completed

two additional 5000-trial runs for the left hemisphere data and found that for the MCI-CN

contrast (the only contrast analyzed in detail) there were comparable numbers of significant tests,

~2/3 were the same ROI pairs with comparable F statistic values to those reported here. The

remaining pairs were typically in positions adjacent to or nearby those reported.

Finally, for the reported pairwise ROI functional connectivity results we determined the impact

of an even more conservative FDR value $q = 0.01$. We analyzed results only for the left

hemisphere. The FDR corrected F statistic p value was ($p_F^* < 0.0001$) and there remained 21

significant ANOVA tests. *Post hoc* pairwise t tests were performed only for the significant

ANOVA tests for each of three contrasts: MCI-CN; D-CN; and D-MCI. The contrast sample t

test p values were computed and validated as above and correction for multiple comparisons was

with FDR ($q = 0.01$) over the set of 21 tests per contrast: $p_{MCI,CN}^* = <0.0001$; $p_{D,CN}^* = 0.0044$;

$p_{D,MCI}^* = 0.0024$. There were three significant MCI-CN pairs, all negative (indicating loss of

connectivity), and all with strong Cohen's d effect size, d > 0.86. All three were left source ROI

and right target ROI: a) left thalamus (medial dorsal nucleus), right angular gyrus; b) left

hippocampus (CA in region of caudate tail), right superior temporal gyrus, inferior parietal

cortex; and c) left hippocampus (CA in region of caudate tail), right posterior cingulate cortex.

Comparison to previous work

Four published reports are particularly relevant to the present study (Grecius, et al., 2004; Jones,

et al, 2011; Brier, et al., 2012; Wolk, et al., 2017). Grecius, et al., (2004) performed a pairwise

group-level (healthy control (N=14) vs very mild or mild Alzheimer's disease (N=13)) ICA and

ROI analyses of steady-state DMN connectivity during a simple sensorimotor task in a cohort

drawn the Washington University Alzheimer's disease Research Center. Key findings were: a)

prominent bilateral coactivation of the hippocampus with DMN in both clinical groups; and b)

disruption of hippocampal – PCC connectivity in the Alzheimer's disease group. Present results

demonstrate bilateral coactivation of hippocampus with task-free DMN and disruption of

hippocampal – PCC functional connectivity, particularly in the MCI-CN comparison. We note

that present results were obtained in a multi-site, multi-investigator Alzheimer's disease dataset

and with independent statistical methods. Present results extend the findings of Grecius, et al., in

two ways. First, the hippocampal – PCC disconnection is observed as part of a larger pattern of

left-right hemisphere disconnection amongst DMN nodes. Second, group-level disruption of

functional connectivity in MCI-CN is observed in a cohort in which *there were no statistically*

significant morphometric MCI-CN comparisons recorded in any of the measured hippocampal

subfields.

Brier, et al., (2012) performed a cross-sectional analysis of five task-free resting state networks

(RSN), including DMN, in a cohort drawn from the Knight Alzheimer's disease Research Center

at Washington University in St. Louis. Subjects were assigned to one of three groups according

to CDR scores 0 (cognitively normal, N=386), 0.5 (very mild AD, N=91), and 1.0 (mild AD,

N=33). The authors derived summary measures of intranetwork, internetwork steady-state

correlation structure based on Fisher z-transformed pairwise Pearson correlation analysis of ROI

seed-based average time series. While not uniformly statistically significant, the conclusion was

that at the group level there was of a progressive loss of intranetwork and internetwork

connectivity. The authors also reported results of the effect of clinical group on ROI pair-wise

functional connectivity between PCC and eight other DMN nodes. For two ROI pairs (PCC –

medial prefrontal cortex; PCC –left inferior temporal lobe) there was a statistically significant

reduction of connectivity in the CDR 0.5 group compared to the CDR 0 group. Present results

confirm the Brier, et al., observation of ROI pair-wise functional connectivity loss in the MCI-

CN comparison. Present findings extend Brier, et al., results in several ways. First, where Brier,

et al., utilized a single ROI seed to estimate certain midline DMN structures (e.g., PCC,

thalamus), the present study utilized an anatomically bootstrapped data-driven method that

identified distinct left and right ROI seeds in these structures. The result was a relatively more

fine-grained ROI pair-wise analysis which led to the observation of widespread left- and right-

hemispheric disconnect amongst DMN and DMN coactivated regions. We observed, in addition,

disconnection between subcortical and cortical structures. Second, again leveraging the above

ROI identification and selection procedure, the present study included hippocampus and other

MTL structures in the analysis and presented evidence that these structures are implicated in the

loss of functional connectivity in the MCI-CN comparison. Last, as noted above, the present

results were obtained in a multi-site, multi-investigator database and in which no statistically

significant morphometric changes were observed in the MCI-CN comparison.

Jones, et al. (2011) performed group-level functional connectivity analyses of task-free steady-

state DMN connectivity in cohorts drawn from the Mayo Clinic Alzheimer's disease Research

Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. Age-related changes in DMN functional connectivity

were analyzed using an ICA approach in a cognitively normal cohort (N=341). The age-related

changes consisted of differential effects in anterior and posterior DMN connectivity: a) anterior

DMN showed both increases and decreases in connectivity within the frontal lobe; and b)

posterior DMN showed a pattern of mainly, but not exclusively, decreases in connectivity. An

age-matched CN and Alzheimer's disease group analysis using both ICA and seed-based ROI

approaches concluded that DMN connectivity changes in Alzheimer's disease represent an

acceleration of the same aging pattern observed in the control sample. Present results confirm

and extend observations of a diversity of functional connectivity decreases (in the CN to MCI

transition) and increases (particularly in the MCI to D transition) within the DMN, including

subcortical-cortical disconnection and bi-lateral disconnection.

The absence of significant morphometric changes in cortical thickness, whole hippocampus

volume and hippocampal subfield volumes in the cohort reported above is with respect to a

widely available benchmark: up-to-date automated analysis (FreeSurfer) of research quality T1-

weighted scans (1.0 x 1.0 x 1.0 mm^3). We do not conclude that there are no morphometric

changes taking place contemporaneously with the CN to MCI transition. Wolk, et al. (2017)

analyzed high resolution (~0.4 x 0.4 x 2 mm^3) T2-weighted MRI scans orthogonal to the long

axis of the hippocampus. They reported significant group-level reduction of hippocampal

subfield volumes (e.g., Brodmann Area 35, perirhinal cortex) in the CN to early MCI transition

where whole hippocampus volumes were not significantly different. The Wolk, et al., study

together with present results indicates the potential of dual functional and morphometric

biomarkers of the earliest stages in the Alzheimer's disease pathophysiology.

Conclusion

We report evidence in support of the hypothesis that disruption of task-free resting state network

functional connectivity is present in advance of the Alzheimer's disease pathophysiological stage

at which neurodegeneration has sufficiently progressed to be detected in an automated analysis

of standard (1mm) structural T1 MRI as cortical thinning, subcortical structural volume

reduction and hippocampal subfield volume reduction. An anatomically bootstrapped, data-

driven procedure identified statistically significant MCI-CN comparisons in resting state network

ROI pairs whose anatomical locations corresponded mainly with DMN nodes. Further, we

observed a diversity of effects of Alzheimer's disease progression on ROI pairwise functional

connectivity including complex patterns of decreases *and* increases across the MCI-CN and D-

MCI transitions suggesting that the effect of Alzheimer's disease progression on functional

connectivity may be more complex than simply monotonic loss of functional connectivity.

Acknowledgements

Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer's disease Neuroimaging

Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of

Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the

National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from

the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon

Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.;

Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its

affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer

Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research &

Development LLC; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx

Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging;

Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of

Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions

are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee

organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated

by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data

are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

Supplementary material

1. Supplementary Information Table 1 (ADNI RID fMRI MRI DX).

Excel table containing ADNI subject ID, unique structural MRI image ID, unique rsfMRI image ID, and the clinical category assigned in this analysis. An authorized ADNI user will be able to access the same raw data used as a starting point in this study.

2. Supplementary Information Table 2 (Cortical Thickness ANOVA Results)

Excel table containing the ANOVA F statistic and p value, *post hoc* pair-wise t statistic and p value, pair-wise Cohen's d, group average, and group average standard error, for 68 cortical region thickness estimates.

3. Supplementary Information Table 4 (Subcortical Segmentation ANOVA Results)

Excel table containing the ANOVA F statistic and p value, *post hoc* pair-wise t statistic and p value, pair-wise Cohen's d, group average, and group average standard error, for 16 hippocampal subfield volume estimates.

4. Supplementary Information Table 3 (Hippocampal Subfields ANOVA Results)

Excel table containing the ANOVA F statistic and p value, *post hoc* pair-wise t statistic and p value, pair-wise Cohen's d, group average, and group average standard error, for 22 subcortical structure volume estimates.

5. Supplementary Information Table 5 (Left Hemisphere Source ROI MCI-CN Contrast ANOVA Results)

Excel table containing left significant MCI-CN contrasts, their ANOVA sample F statistic and p value, repeated measures permuted F statistic and p value, permutation estimated *post hoc* pair-wise t test p value, pair-wise Cohen's d, and group averages.

6. Supplementary Information Table 6 (Right Hemisphere Source ROI MCI-CN Contrast ANOVA Results)

Excel table containing right 12 significant MCI-CN contrasts, their ANOVA sample F statistic and *p* value, repeated measures permuted F statistic and *p* value, permutation estimated *post hoc* pair-wise *t* test *p* value, pair-wise Cohen's *d*, and group averages.

References

Albert, M. S. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease:

Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association

workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* **7**,

270-279 (2011).

Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and

powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **57**, 289-300 (1995).

Brier, M. R., et al. Loss of intranetwork and internetwork resting state functional

connections with Alzheimer's disease progression. *J Neurosci.* **32**, 8890-8899 (2012).

Buckner, R. L., et al. Molecular, structural, and functional characterization of Alzheimer's

disease: evidence for a relationship between default activity, amyloid, and memory. *J.*

Neurosci. **25**, 7709-17 (2005).

Cai, S., et al. Changes in thalamic connectivity in the early and late stages of amnestic mild

cognitive impairment: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance study from ADNI. *PLoS*

ONE 10(2): e0115573 (2015).

Cox, R. W. AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance

neuroimages. *Comp Biomed Res.* **29**, 1762-173 (1996).

Dale, A.M., Fischl, B., and Sereno, M.I. Cortical surface-based analysis. I. Segmentation

and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage* **9**, 179-194 (1999).

Davatzikos, C., et al. Longitudinal progression of Alzheimer's-like patterns of atrophy in

normal older adults: the SPARE-AD index. *Brain*. **132**, 2026-2036 (2009).

Efron, B. Large-scale inference: Empirical Bayes methods for estimation, testing and

prediction. IMS Monographs. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2010).

Eklund, A., Nichols, T. E., and Knutsson, H. Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for

spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. **113**, 7900-7905

(2016).

Fan, Y., et al. m Spatial patterns of brain atrophy in MCI patients, identified via high-

dimensional pattern classification, predict subsequent cognitive decline. *Neuroimage*. **39**,

1731-1743 (2008).

Greicius, M. D., Srivastava, G., Reiss, A. L., and Menon, V. Default-mode network activity

distinguishes Alzheimer's disease from healthy aging: evidence from functional MRI. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101**, 4637-4642 (2004).

Jack, C. R., et al. The Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (ADNI): MRI methods.

J. Magn. Reson Imaging. **27**, 685–691 (2008).

Jack, C. R. Introduction to the recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-

Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease.

Alzheimer's & Dementia. **7**, 257-262 (2011).

Jack, C. M. Alliance for aging research AD biomarkers group: structural MRI. *Neurobiol.*

Aging. **32**, S48-S57 (2011).

Jack, C. R., et al. Update on hypothetical model of Alzheimer's disease biomarkers. *Lancet*

Neurol. **12**, 207-216 (2013).

Jones, D.T., et al. Age-related changes in the default mode network are more advanced in

Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. **77**, 1524-1531 (2011).

Jones, D.T., et al. Non-stationarity in the resting brain's modular architecture. *PLoS ONE*

7(6) e39731 (2012).

Klunk, W. E. Imaging brain amyloid in Alzheimer's disease with Pittsburgh Compound-B.

Ann. Neurol. **55**, 306-19 (2004).

McKhann, G. M. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations

from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic

guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* **7**, 263-269 (2011).

Misra, C., Fan, Y. and Davatzikos, C. Baseline and longitudinal patterns of brain atrophy in

MCI patients, and their use in prediction of short-term conversion to AD: results from ADNI.

Neuroimage. **44**, 1415-1422 (2009).

Nichols, T. E. and Holmes, A. P. Nonparametric permutation tests for functional

neuroimaging: A primer with examples. *Human Brain Mapp.* **15**, 1-25 (2002).

Sorensen, L., et al. Early detection of Alzheimer's disease using MRI hippocampal texture.

Hum. Brain Mapp. 37, 1148-1161 (2016).

Sorg, et al. Selective changes of resting-state networks in individuals at risk for Alzheimer's

disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. **104**, 18760-18765 (2007).

Sperling, R. A. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease:

Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association

workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* **7**,

280-292 (2011).

Vinters, H. V. Emerging concepts in Alzheimer's disease. *Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis.*

10, 291–319 (2015).

Weiner, M.W., et al. Impact of the Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative, 2004 to

2014. *Alzheimer's Dement.* **11**, 865-884 (2015).

Wolk, D. A., et al. Medial temporal lobe subregional morphometry using high resolution

MRI in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging*. 49:204-213 (2017).

Woo, C-W., Chang, L. J., Lindquist and Wager, T. D. Building better biomarkers: brain

models in translational neuroimaging. *Nat Neurosci.* **20**, 365-376 (2017).

Zhou, J., et al. Divergent network connectivity changes in behavioral variant frontotemporal

dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. **133**, 1352-1367 (2010).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Left hemisphere ROI functional connectivity changes with Alzheimer's disease

progression. A plotted point in each panel is the group average Fisher Z-transformed, Pearson

pairwise correlation coefficient and standard error of the mean (y axis) for an ROI pair consisting

of a left hemisphere anatomically bootstrapped ROI in left MTL, and an ROI which could be

either in the left or right hemisphere. Plotted lines connect the same functional pair across

diagnostic states (x axis). One-way, three-factor ANOVA tests for source-target ROI pairs (with

FDR correction, q=0.05, for multiple hypotheses, N = 9,591) yielded 21 significant ROI pairs.

(a) Seven of 21 ANOVA significant ROI pairs were significant and negative for the MCI-CN

contrast *post hoc* pairwise *t* test (FDR correction, q=0.05, for multiple hypotheses, N=21). In six

of seven cases, the paired ROI was in the right hemisphere. The single-headed arrow points to

an ROI pair whose spatial correlation maps are shown in Figure 2. The double-headed arrow

points to an ROI pair whose spatial correlation maps are shown in Supplementary Data Figure 1.

There were no significant positive MCI-CN contrasts. **(b)** Repeated measures ANOVA by

permuted clinical labels (N=5000 trials) shows no effect for any of the seven ROI pairs shown in

(a). **(c)** Twelve (12) of 21 ANOVA significant ROI pairs were significant and *positive* for the D-

MCI contrast *post hoc* pairwise *t* test. **(d)** Seven (7) of 21 ANOVA significant ROI pairs were

significant and *negative* for the D-MCI contrast *post hoc* pairwise *t* test.

Figure 2. Volumetric representation of functional connectivity changes corresponding to

an ROI pair with significant MCI-CN contrast. (The ROI pair treated in this Figure is the one

highlighted by small single-headed arrow in Figure 1(a)). Shown in the left-most column is one

ROI mask centered at (-14, -44, 4) in MNI coordinates in the AFNI MNI152 atlas in a region

containing left parahippocampal gyrus, Brodmann Area 30, and posterior cingulate cortex.

Voxel-wise Fisher z-transformed Pearson correlation coefficient maps for CN (*2nd column*), MCI

(*3rd column*), and D (*4th column*) clinical groups with axial (*top row*), coronal (*middle*) and

sagittal (*bottom*) are shown for fixed position (7, -62, 8) (right cuneus and Brodmann Area 30).

For this cross-hemispheric ROI pair, in addition to a significant negative MCI-CN contrast, there

was a significant negative D-MCI contrast. The spatial correlation maps were generated by

cluster analysis with cluster detection threshold, $P_{CDT} < 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$, minimum cluster size, $N_C =$

200; and color scale maximum threshold, $P_{CMT} < 1.0 \times 10^{-9}$. Display of clusters outside of the

immediate region of the ROIs is suppressed. Statistically significant results were tested and

confirmed only for the 6 mm ROI pair. Thus, the volumetric data in the region around the ROI

indicates trend only.

Figure 3. Right hemisphere ROI functional connectivity changes with Alzheimer's disease

progression. One-way, three-factor ANOVA tests for 17,766 functional pairs (with FDR

correction for multiple hypotheses) yielded 19 significant ROI pairs. **(a)** One of 19 ANOVA

significant ROI pairs was significant and *positive* for the MCI-CN contrast *post hoc* pairwise *t*

test. **(b)** 12 of 19 ANOVA significant ROI pairs were significant and *negative* for the MCI-CN

contrast *post hoc* pairwise *t* test. **(c)** Nine of 19 ANOVA significant ROI pairs were significant

and *positive* for the D-MCI contrast *post hoc* pairwise *t* test. **(d)** Six of 19 ANOVA significant

ROI pairs were significant and *negative* for the D-MCI contrast *post hoc* pairwise *t* test. The

single-headed arrow points to an ROI pair with monotonic loss of functional connectivity with

Alzheimer's disease progression. Corresponding volumetric correlation maps are shown in

Figure 4. Supplementary Figure 3 illustrates 3D volumetric correlation maps for an ROI pair

whose functional connectivity changes are non-monotonic (identified by the double-headed

arrow in Panel (b)).

Figure 4. Volumetric correlates of functional connectivity changes corresponding to a right

intrahemispheric ROI pair with significant MCI-CN contrast. (The ROI pair treated in this

Figure is the one highlighted by small single-headed arrow in Figure 3(a)). Shown on the bottom

right is the position of a portion of the ROI mask centered at (34, -36, -8) in the region of right

parahippocampal gyrus and hippocampus (CA). Voxel-wise Fisher z-transformed Pearson

correlation coefficient maps for CN (left column) with axial (*top row*), coronal (*middle*) and

sagittal (*bottom*) are shown for ROI at fixed position (6, -54, 52) (neighboring structures include

precuneus and superior parietal lobe). For the MCI-CN contrast, voxel-wise *post hoc* pair-wise *t*

statistic maps are shown (*right column*). For this intrahemispheric ROI pair, in addition to a

significant negative MCI-CN contrast, there was a significant negative D-MCI contrast. For the

CN maps, cluster analysis was with $P_{CDT} < 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$, $N_C = 100$; and $P_{CMT} < 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$. For the

MCI-CN maps, cluster analysis was with $P_{CDT} < 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $N_C = 20$; and $P_{CMT} < 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$.

Display of clusters outside of the immediate region of the ROI is suppressed.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure 1. Representative progression of cortical thinning and subcortical

structure volume. *(a-g)***:** Representative left and right hemisphere CN, MCI and D diagnosis

group average and standard error of FreeSurfer 6.0 estimates of labelled regional cortical layer

thickness where one or both hemispheres were significant in a one-way, three-factor (CN, MCI,

D), ANOVA, FDR-corrected (q=0.1, 68 tests) procedure. For significant ANOVA tests (23 of

68), FDR corrected, *post hoc* pairwise *t* tests were computed for the three contrasts MCI-CN, D-

CN, and D-MCI. There were two significant MCI-CN contrasts (left and right paracentral, panel

(g)); fifteen significant D-CN contrasts; and twenty-one significant D-MCI contrasts. *(h-i)***:**

Representative left and right hemisphere CN, MCI and D clinical group average and standard

error of FreeSurfer 6.0 estimates of labelled subcortical structure volume where one or both

hemispheres were significant in a one-way, three-factor (CN, MCI, D), ANOVA, FDR-corrected

(q=0.1, 16 tests). For significant ANOVA tests (8 of 16), FDR corrected, post hoc pairwise *t*

tests were computed for the three contrasts MCI-CN, D-CN, and D-MCI. There were eight

significant D-CN contrasts and six significant D-MCI contrasts. There were no significant MCI-

CN contrasts.

Supplementary   Figure   2.   Volumetric   correlates   of   functional   connectivity   changes

corresponding to a left intrahemispheric ROI pair with significant MCI-CN contrast. (The

pair treated in this Figure is the one highlighted by small double-headed arrow in Figure 1(a)).

Shown in the bottom left is the source ROI mask centered at (-6, -12, 4) in MNI coordinates in

the AFNI MNI152 atlas as left thalamus (medial dorsal nucleus; ventral lateral nucleus). Voxel-

wise Fisher z-transformed Pearson correlation coefficient axial maps for CN (*top row, left*), MCI

(*top row, middle*), and D (*top row, right*) clinical groups with axial (*top row*), coronal (*middle*)

and sagittal (*bottom*) are shown for fixed position (48, -69, 36) (right angular gyrus; Brodmann

Area 39; inferior parietal cortex). Shown in the second row are the spatial maps of *post hoc* pair-

wise *t* test statistics for MCI-CN and D-CN. For this ROI pair, the significant negative MCI-CN

contrast is followed by a significant positive D-MCI contrast. The spatial correlation maps were

generated by cluster analysis with $P_{CDT} < 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$, $N_C = 100$; and $P_{CMT} < 1.0 \times 10^{-8}$. The spatial

t statistic maps were generated by cluster analysis with $P_{CDT} < 0.05$, $N_C = 100$; and, $P_{CMT} <$

0.001. Display of clusters outside of the immediate region of the target ROI is suppressed.

Supplementary Figure 3. Volumetric correlates of functional connectivity changes

corresponding to a right intrahemispheric ROI pair with significant MCI-CN contrast.

(The source-target pair treated in this Figure is the one highlighted by small double-headed arrow

in Figure 3(a)). Shown on the bottom right is the position of a portion of the ROI mask centered

at (12, -14, 0) in the region of right thalamus (ventral lateral nucleus). Voxel-wise Fisher z-

transformed Pearson correlation coefficient maps for CN (left column) with axial (*top row*),

coronal (*middle*) and sagittal (*bottom*) are shown for target ROI at fixed position (34, -6, -28)

(neighboring structures include hippocampus and perirhinal cortex). For the MCI-CN contrast,

voxel-wise *post hoc* pair-wise *t* statistic maps are shown (*right column*). For this

intrahemispheric source-target ROI pair, in addition to a significant negative MCI-CN contrast,

there was a significant positive D-MCI contrast (not shown). For the CN maps, cluster analysis

was with $P_{CDT} < 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$, $N_C = 100$; and $P_{CMT} < 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$. For the MCI-CN maps, cluster

analysis was with $P_{CDT} < 5.0$ x 10^{-3}, $N_C = 20$; and $P_{CMT} < 1.0$ x 10^{-3}. Display of clusters outside

of the immediate region of the target ROI is suppressed.

a. MCI-CN < 0

b. MCI-CN Contrast Permutation Control

c. D-MCI >= 0

d. D-MCI < 0

a. MCI-CN >= 0

b. MCI-CN < 0

c. D-MCI >= 0

d. D-MCI < 0