Sex in the wild: why field-based studies play a critical role in resolving the problem of sex

4   Maurine Neiman[1*], Patrick G. Meirmans[2], Tanja Schwander[3] & Stephanie Meirmans[4*]

5
[1]Department of Biology
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA, 52242, USA
Tel: +1 319 384 1814
Fax: +1 319 335 1069
maurine-neiman@uiowa.edu

12
[2]Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED)
University of Amsterdam
P.O. Box 94248, 1090GE Amsterdam
Tel: +31 20 5258424
Fax: +31 20 5257832
p.g.meirmans@uva.nl

19
[3]Department of Ecology and Evolution
University of Lausanne
CH-1015 Lausanne
Tel: +41 21 6924151
Fax: +41 21 6924165
tanja.schwander@unil.ch

26
27 [4]Academic Medical Center (AMC)
28 University of Amsterdam
29 1105 AZ Amsterdam, NL
30 s.meirmans@amc.uva.nl

31
32 *Corresponding authors

33
34 Running Title: Sex in the wild

35
36 Keywords: sexual reproduction, asexual reproduction, parthenogenesis, Red Queen, niche
37 differentiation, Muller's ratchet

Author contributions

40 SM and MN conceived the paper idea, SM, PM, MN, and TS designed the review strategy,
41 reviewed and analysed the literature, and wrote the manuscript. All authors gave final approval
42 for publication.

Abstract

Why and how sexual reproduction is maintained in natural populations, the so-called "queen of problems", is a key unanswered question in evolutionary biology. Recent efforts to solve the problem of sex have tended to emphasize results generated from laboratory settings and traditional model systems. Here, we use a literature survey of representative "sex in the wild" literature to argue that even though these laboratory-based studies have provided important insights, understanding the maintenance of sex ultimately requires an empirical focus in natural populations. Our survey revealed several substantial ways in which field-based research into the maintenance of sex has departed from the emphasis and outcomes of laboratory studies, including relatively strong support for mechanisms involving niche differentiation and an almost complete absence of attention towards adaptive evolution. We conclude by suggesting steps forward, emphasizing tests of niche differentiation mechanisms and adaptive evolution-focused hypotheses in the wild and leveraging the growing body of genomic resources for non-model taxa to address whether molecular evolution in natural populations proceeds as expected under various hypotheses for sex.

Introduction

Ask evolutionary biologists about unresolved problems in evolution, and many will question why so many species reproduce via sexual reproduction ("sex"). The predominance of sex was first identified as a major unanswered question by leading twentieth century evolutionary biologists such as George Williams and John Maynard Smith, who developed theory demonstrating that sexual reproduction should be at a substantial disadvantage vis-à-vis asexual reproduction and thus be rapidly replaced by the latter (Maynard Smith 1971, 1978, Williams 1975). This theory is based on the recognition that sex should impose a variety of costs (e.g., males, recombination, for a recent overview see Lehtonen et al. 2012, Meirmans et al. 2012) that should translate into major advantages for asexual reproduction. The central place of the problem of sexual reproduction in evolutionary theory is illustrated by Graham Bell's 1982 statement that the maintenance of sex is the "queen of problems" in evolutionary biology (Bell 1982). Within a decade or so after the paradox of sex was first identified, dozens of hypotheses for sex had been proposed (Kondrashov 1993). Most of these hypotheses focused on direct or indirect benefits of sexual reproduction that can outweigh (at least in principle) the costs of sex (reviewed in Neiman and Schwander 2011) and that are linked to genetic consequences of meiotic recombination and segregation (e.g., Agrawal 2009a,b). Despite all of this attention and the forty or so years that have passed since the problem of sex was identified, the evolutionary mechanisms underlying the maintenance of sex remain hotly debated (e.g., Sharp and Otto 2016, Neiman et al. 2017).

The crux of the problem of the maintenance of sex is the persistence of sexual reproduction in so many species, and especially the maintenance of sex in natural populations of organisms for which asexual reproduction is an option. This means that natural populations are ultimately the empirical setting in which to seek solutions to sex. While the critical importance of

field-based research to the resolution of the problem of sex might seem obvious, most recent

attention towards empirical tests of the maintenance of sex has focused on results generated by

experimental evolution in laboratory settings. Laboratory studies are very powerful because the

processes underlying the focal mechanisms can be manipulated and isolated. Indeed, these lab-

focused studies, which nearly always use genetic model systems (e.g., *Drosophila melanogaster*

(Singh et al. 2015), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (McDonald et al. 2016), *Tribolium castaneum*

(Lumley et al. 2015), *Brachionus calyciflorus* (Becks and Agrawal 2012)), have provided

important tests of the potential for particular mechanisms for sex to be applicable under specific

circumstances (e.g., sexual selection-facilitated clearance of mutational load, strong selection for

adaptation to new environments; reviewed in Sharp and Otto 2016).

Though we agree that laboratory studies are valuable, it should be obvious that these

studies are ultimately limited by the distinct possibility that their results are confounded by

influences emerging from the lab setting itself. In other words, it can be difficult to impossible to

determine whether the outcomes of lab-based studies can be extrapolated to natural conditions,

exemplified by a recent example of a case where field and lab studies addressing the same

mechanisms for sex generated opposite results (Lavanchy et al. 2016). The solution to the

challenge posed by the limitations of laboratory-focused research is conceptually simple but

often empirically challenging: (1) determine whether the patterns or processes that emerged from

laboratory insights apply similarly in natural populations, and (2) use field studies to

parameterize or focus laboratory experiments.

There are some specific issues that are likely to be particularly difficult to address in a

laboratory setting. For example, most laboratory studies use model organisms (typically small

organisms with short generation times), which do not represent the diversity of eukaryotes.

Model organisms –often the products of decades of laboratory culture– are also almost certainly

adapted to laboratory conditions (e.g., Sterken et al. 2015). Another limitation of laboratory

studies is that factors that are typically present in nature and likely relevant to the realized costs

and benefits of sexual reproduction are often difficult to replicate in the lab. Examples of such

factors include naturally occurring and locally adapted parasites and predators, extreme abiotic

conditions, and unpredictable environmental changes. Even efforts to include these factors in

laboratory experiments could in principle translate into conditions that are unlikely to

characterize natural populations, e.g., unrealistically high doses of infectious parasites or the

imposition of direct competition between sexual and asexual individuals that experience niche

differentiation in the wild.

Here, we argue that these limitations of lab-based studies mean that field-focused

research must play a critical role in the resolution of the problem of sex. It is our hope that a

useful and synthetic overview of these "sex in the wild" studies will both provide some of the

credit that this body of work deserves and motivate researchers to continue and expand this type

of empirical research. Our overview –the first of which we are aware since Bell (1982)–

addresses this goal by performing a survey of relevant empirical literature on "sex in the wild" to

(1) assess the contributions of field studies towards resolving the sex problem, (2) identify what

we have learned from these studies and what we still need to know, and (3) provide some

concrete steps forward.

Methods

Literature survey approach

We began our survey by establishing a set of *a priori* criteria for study inclusion. These criteria were formulated with the goal of only including studies that could directly inform the maintenance of sex in natural populations. First of all, this meant that the study had to be performed in the field or use field-collected individuals that were not subsequently subject to the potential for selection in a laboratory environment. We included studies with laboratory-reared individuals only in those cases where lab rearing was not likely to influence the factors that are the focus of testing (e.g., establishment of phylogenetic relationships). We therefore excluded studies where the potential for laboratory-imposed selection could confound the ability to interpret the study outcome, e.g. via laboratory-cultured lineages (e.g., Xu et al. 2011).

Second, we decided to confine our survey to studies explicitly focused on testing or investigating a particular mechanism for the maintenance of sex. We chose to adopt this mechanism-centered approach because this type of research takes place in a structured framework that facilitates meaningful comparisons among studies. Our strategy does have some limitations in excluding other valuable types of studies, including but not limited to evaluation of population genetic principles in natural populations (e.g., Menken et al. 1995, Lo et al. 2009), establishing the biogeographic distribution of sexual vs. asexual reproduction (e.g., geographic parthenogenesis; see Tilquin and Kokko 2016 for a recent overview), or describing unusual natural manifestations of reproductive strategies (e.g., Aanen et al. 2016).

Finally, we chose to only include those studies that featured obligately asexual individuals and facultatively or obligately sexual individuals that are sympatric in nature in at

least part of their range. We made this choice because in these species, the maintenance of sex is

an active problem. We excluded all studies of sperm-dependent asexual taxa (e.g., *Ambystoma*

mole salamanders, *Ips* bark beetles, *Rubus* subgenus *Rubus* blackberries) because all-asexual

populations of sperm-dependent organisms are not evolutionarily stable.

We then classified and described each study according to the question/hypothesis that the

study addressed (as outlined below), the methods used to address study goals, the study

outcomes, whether the study supported or did not support the focal question/hypothesis, and the

taxonomic group that the study represented (see supplementary material; Table S1). We included

an "Other" category to account for studies that addressed mechanisms or conditions with the

potential to favor sex that did not easily fit under the umbrella of an established hypothesis (e.g.,

reproductive assurance, which is largely confined to asexuals but needs to be tested by

comparing sexuals and asexuals). We classified the species by class, following the U.S.

Interagency Taxonomic Information System; when species from multiple classes were

addressed, we used the lowest shared taxonomic level.

We included individual studies in more than one hypothesis category if (a) the study

found evidence for or against multiple hypotheses or (b) whether and how the study tested

hypotheses was not clear enough to identify a single hypothesis that was the focus of the paper.

In the latter situation, we assessed each study carefully and then assigned the study to each

hypothesis that in our view was tested by the study design and/or was supported by the evidence

delivered by the study. We termed each incidence of a distinct test of a distinct hypothesis a

"case", which meant that some studies are represented by more than one case. We use this

terminology consistently throughout the rest of the paper. We concluded our survey at the end of

the summer of 2017, meaning that only papers published by this time were able to be included.

Categories of hypotheses for sex

Sex, via segregation and recombination, breaks up linkage disequilibria (LD) within and across

loci (Hill and Robertson 1966). This consequence of sexual reproduction is the reason that most

hypotheses for the maintenance of sex focus on identifying conditions or situations associated

with benefits of breaking up LD. Theoretical analyses have highlighted situations where

selection changes over time and over space or when linkage is generated by the combination of

selection and drift as the conditions that are most likely to produce such benefits (Barton 2009).

Field-based studies of sex that address benefits of LD breakup have typically focused on

ecological situations (e.g., coevolving parasites or spatially structured niches, see below) that are

expected to translate into changes in selection over space or time, but do not generally establish

explicit links to the genetic mechanisms conferring benefits to sex. For this reason we *a priori*

delineated the major hypotheses for sex by ecological mechanisms or scenarios that can generate

or are associated with changes in selection.

We acknowledge that some of these categories feature conceptual overlap (e.g.,

"parasites" and "increased rate of adaptive evolution", from the perspective that sexuals that can

adapt more quickly to parasites might be at an advantage), that other categories could be

combined to make even broader categories (e.g., all niche-based hypotheses; all hypotheses

generated by genetic linkage), and that not all evolutionary biologists would necessarily produce

the same classification system. We decided to separate hypotheses involving disadvantages to

asexual lineages via reduced rate of adaptive evolution and increased rate of accumulation of

harmful mutations into separate categories because, even though both phenomena are the

consequence of the reduction in efficacy of selection at linked sites in the absence of

recombination (Hill-Robertson effect; Hill and Robertson 1966, Felsenstein 1974), different

empirical methods are typically applied to detect evidence for ineffective adaptive evolution vs.

ineffective purifying selection.

Parasites

Biological antagonism –what we henceforth refer to as "parasites" or parasite pressure"– is

potentially connected to the maintenance of sex because parasites can generate rapid changes in

the direction of selection. Most prominent of the parasite mechanisms is the hypothesis that

parasites can help maintain sex by imposing negative frequency-dependent selection favouring

rare host genotypes (the "Red Queen"; Bell 1982, Jaenike 1978, Hamilton 1980). Links between

sex and biological antagonism can be driven by other mechanisms (e.g., Haafke et al. 2016),

though the Red Queen-sex connection seems to be the most theoretically robust (e.g., Hamilton

et al. 1990, Howard and Lively 1994, Peters and Lively 1999) and has received more empirical

attention and support than other parasite-driven mechanisms for sex (reviewed in Neiman and

Koskella 2009, Lively and Morran 2014).

Rate of adaptive evolution

Selection works most effectively if beneficial and deleterious mutations occur in different

individuals because these individuals should experience larger differentials in relative fitness

than when mutations co-occur within individuals (Hill and Robertson 1966). Over time, drift in

the presence of selection may therefore lead to the accumulation of individuals where beneficial

and harmful alleles are linked. Sex can generate benefits in this situation in two ways: by

immediately exposing 'hidden variation' (both beneficial and harmful) to selection and by

enabling more effective adaptive evolution at longer time scales.

Harmful mutations

Hill-Robertson effects are expected to translate into increased rates of harmful mutation

accumulation via relatively ineffective purifying selection in asexual vs. sexual lineages.

Muller's ratchet, which will cause irreversible mutation accumulation in small populations, is

also expected to disproportionately affect asexual lineages (Muller 1964).

Niche differentiation

One of the simplest mechanisms that enables coexistence between asexuals and their sexual

relatives is niche differentiation. In the most extreme case of non-overlapping sexual and asexual

niches, there is no competition between sexuals and asexuals, rendering costs of sex irrelevant

(Meirmans et al. 2012). Perhaps because of its simplicity, there exist only a few theory-focused

papers on this topic. One exception is the modelling study by Case and Taper (1986), who

showed that niche differentiation can arise through character displacement after invasion of a

sexual population by an asexual lineage. In practice, however, this hypothesis is difficult to test:

it is challenging to estimate the degree of niche overlap in natural populations and to determine

whether the observed degree of niche differentiation between sexuals and asexuals is enough to

prevent competition-driven extinction of one of the reproductive modes.

Coverage of niche space

There are a variety of formulations of the overarching hypothesis that sex can be maintained in

situations where sexual individuals, lineages, or populations cover larger fractions of the

available niche space than asexual counterparts. The most prominent example is the Tangled

Bank hypothesis (Bell 1982), which postulates that sexual reproduction can be favored by

generating a genetically diverse set of offspring that can make efficient use of a heterogeneous

habitat via reduction in competition between siblings for limited resources. Asexually produced

siblings, on the other hand, will compete for these same resources because they are genetically

similar (also predicted by the conceptually similar frozen niche concept (Vrijenhoek 1979). This

advantage of sexual reproduction is increasingly offset as asexual lineage diversity increases,

assuming that higher asexual diversity translates into more variable resource utilization by the

asexual population.

Results

Our literature survey of 66 studies (83 cases; some studies focused on multiple mechanisms)

addressing the mechanisms underlying the maintenance of sex in the wild revealed some clear

patterns (Table 1). First, there is a distinct majority of support (56 cases) vs. lack of support (27

cases), perhaps reflecting a publication bias towards positive results. The parasite and niche

differentiation hypotheses predominated amongst the studies featuring positive results; we

elaborate on these and other hypothesis-specific patterns below. Our survey also clearly showed

that some taxa have been the focus of far more investigation than others: the vast majority of

studies involved animal systems (54 studies; 82%), which themselves were dominated by

gastropods (23 studies), branchiopods (eight studies), reptiles (eight studies), and insects (six

studies). The remaining 16% of the studies were in plants (11 studies; all

Magnoliopsida/angiosperms) and fungi (one study). Some systems were particularly heavily

represented. For example, the gastropod *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* was featured in 16 studies

(see supplementary material, Table S1, for an overview). Other taxa common in our survey

included the branchiopod *Daphnia pulex* (seven studies), the gastropod *Melanoides tuberculata*

(four studies), and the angiosperm *Taraxacum officinale* (four studies). Only two studies applied

a broad taxonomic approach, using comparisons of the ecologies of hundreds of sexual and

asexual taxa (Ross et al. 2013, van der Kooi et al. 2017) to detect associations with, or

consequences of, reproductive mode variation that apply across taxa.

Parasites

Our search revealed 30 cases (representing 29 studies; one study with mixed results contributed

two cases) that considered the maintenance of sex from the perspective of selection imposed via

parasitism, by far the most frequently tested of the five main hypothesis categories that we

distinguished. Twenty of these cases reported results consistent with the expectations of

situations where parasites are contributing to the maintenance of sex. Nearly all cases (27/30)

focused at least in part on the Red Queen. A distinct majority of these Red Queen cases (15/27)

involved the interaction between the snail *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* and the trematode parasite

Microphallus 'livelyi'. The three parasite-focused papers that did not explicitly address the Red

Queen considered broader formulations of the parasite/antagonism hypothesis (e.g., "parasitism

rate", "herbivory").

Rate of adaptive evolution

Our survey identified only three cases that addressed rates of adaptive evolution in the context of

the maintenance of sex. All three of these cases took what we viewed as indirect approaches to

this question, addressing whether sexuals were more often found in unpredictable abiotic

environments that would likely demand rapid adaptation relative to the environments harboring

asexual counterparts. Two ostracod-focused cases reported evidence consistent with this

prediction, with sexual ostracods associated with relatively harsh and unpredictable

environments (Schmit et al. 2013a,b). By contrast, parasites seemed to be a more likely

explanation of patterns of distribution of sexual vs. asexual New Zealand freshwater snails than

rapidly changing abiotic components of the environment (Lively 1987). Direct empirical

evaluation of whether sexual organisms feature higher rates of adaptive evolution than their

asexual relatives in natural populations clearly deserves future attention. Despite ample evidence

for the importance of drift in natural populations (e.g., all studies supporting the 'mutation

accumulation' mechanism), we found no field-based studies that investigated whether sex

generates short-term benefits by exposing hidden genetic variation to selection.

Mutation accumulation

The prediction that deleterious mutations accumulate more rapidly in asexual than sexual

lineages has been tested in a number of different organisms. In most cases (14 out of 19 cases;

Table 1) there was support for the hypothesis that asexuals do accumulate deleterious mutations

more rapidly than sexual lineages. Even so, nearly all (15/19) cases are based on a handful of

genes, raising the question of the extent to which these results are likely to hold for the genome

as a whole. Only four studies thus far have extended analyses of deleterious mutation

accumulation to the genome scale (Hollister et al. 2015, Ament-Velásquez et al. 2016, Brandt et

al. 2017, Lovell et al. 2017). Three of these studies (Hollister et al. 2015, Ament-Velásquez et al.

2016, Lovell et al. 2017) found that, as expected, asexuals had a higher load of deleterious

mutations than sexuals. The fourth study (Brandt et al. 2017) found that *sexual* taxa experienced

more mutation accumulation than asexual counterparts. This latter study focused on asexual

lineages that are extremely old (tens of million years since derivation from sexual ancestors),

suggesting that the absence of deleterious mutation accumulation may have contributed to the

long-term persistence of these lineages in the absence of sex.

Niche differentiation

We identified 17 cases that considered the maintenance of sex from the perspective of niche

differentiation. Together with harmful mutations, these studies featured the broadest taxonomic

support of the hypothesis categories, representing six of the 11 taxonomic classes in Table 1.

Tests for niche differentiation were most common in angiosperms, representing six of 17 cases.

Thirteen of these 17 cases found at least some support for niche differentiation between sexuals

and asexuals. Only three of these cases took the critical and perhaps most challenging additional

step of determining whether the observed niche differentiation is enough to eliminate

competition between sexuals and asexuals (O'Connell and Eckert 2001, Lehto and Haag 2010,

Schmit et al. 2013a). All three cases did indeed suggest that niche differentiation between

sexuals and asexuals was substantial enough that direct competition is unlikely, which should in

turn resolve the problem of sex by rendering costs of sex irrelevant.

Coverage of niche space

We identified eight cases where niche breadth was compared between asexual taxa and sexual

counterparts, representing five taxonomic groups. In all but one case, the asexuals were

characterized by broader niches than their sexual relatives. While most of these studies just

focused on one or a few systems, the two studies that evaluated hundreds of species reported the

same pattern (Ross et al. 2013, van der Kooi et al. 2017). Because the maintenance of sex via

differential coverage of niche space requires that *sexuals* cover more niche space (and not, as

found here, asexuals), our survey does not support the idea that niche-coverage related

hypotheses will provide substantial explanatory power with respect to the maintenance of sex in

the wild.

Discussion

Our survey revealed that the conceptual focus and outcomes of field-focused studies on the

maintenance of sex were different from their lab-focused counterparts, emphasizing a critical

need for continued empirical research on sex in natural settings. Perhaps most prominently, our

survey results highlight an important role for niche differentiation mechanisms in the

maintenance of sex. This finding is also consistent with the many examples of geographical

parthenogenesis and the observation that sexual and asexual individuals or lineages often differ

in other key elements of their biology or ecology (e.g., hybrid status, polyploidy, production of

resting eggs) (see also Meirmans et al. 2012). Evidence for the potential importance of niche

differentiation from the field is striking in light of what seems like the near absence of laboratory

studies focused on this mechanism. Indeed, we are not aware of any laboratory-focused study

that has specifically addressed niche differentiation. While we do not know why this hypothesis

has received so little attention in the laboratory, we speculate that the fact that niche

differentiation departs from most other prominent hypotheses for sex in the absence of a specific

advantage generated by or associated with sexual reproduction might be a contributing factor.

In contrast to niche differentiation, there was very little support for the related but distinct

niche space-coverage mechanisms such as Tangled Bank (Bell 1982). While these niche space-

focused mechanisms have been the focus of quite a bit of theoretical attention (e.g., Bell 1982,

Case and Taper 1986, Pound et al. 2002), these mechanisms seem to have very little empirical

backing: in our survey, we found seven examples of negative results and only one example of

support among the eight niche-space focused cases in our survey. This finding is in agreement

with the general sentiment from earlier sex-focused research that revealed little evidence for

Tangled Bank-like mechanisms (Ellstrand and Antonovics 1985, Burt and Bell 1987).

Another striking difference between our results and the typical focuses and outcomes of

lab-based studies on the maintenance of sex is with respect to the role (or lack thereof) of an

increased rate of adaptive evolution in sexual vs. asexual organisms. While there is substantial

theoretical (Barton 1995, Burt 2000) and lab-based (e.g., Kaltz and Bell 2002, Goddard et al.

2005, McDonald et al. 2016) support for an important role for sexual reproduction in facilitating

adaptive evolution, we only found three field-based studies that directly addressed whether

sexuals have an adaptability advantage relative to asexual counterparts. One possible explanation

for this distinct difference in focus between laboratory and field-focused research on the

maintenance of sex is that adaptability hypotheses are perceived to be difficult to rigorously test

in the wild and are thus difficult to propose, fund, or execute. We also cannot exclude the

possibility that publication bias (e.g., the challenges in publishing negative results) contributes to

this pattern. Finally, the possibility remains that the necessarily artificial nature of lab-based

studies may enhance or bias results and explain –at least in part– the different focuses and

outcomes of laboratory vs. field-focused research.

Most of the positive evidence in our survey comes from studies addressing the Red

Queen hypothesis, a particular formulation of the parasite hypothesis for sex. A distinct majority

of these Red Queen-focused studies found evidence that parasite-host interactions contribute to

the maintenance of sex. While the relatively large number and generally positive outcome of

these studies might be taken as evidence that the Red Queen can provide a general explanation

for the maintenance of sex in natural populations, the fact that most of these cases (15/ 27;

~55%) involve a single study system, *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*, means that this conclusion

would be premature. The issues posed by dominance of a particular study system with respect to

tests of a particular hypothesis are highlighted by the fact that 15/15 cases in the *Potamopyrgus*

system are consistent with the expectations of the Red Queen, compared to only five of 12 cases

from other taxa (see Table S1 for more details). In general, our take-home message is that

emergence of any mechanism as one that confers broad explanatory power for understanding sex

in nature will require support from a diverse array of natural systems.

While many cases (12/17) demonstrate at least some support for mutation accumulation

hypotheses, most of the confirmatory evidence comes from only three study systems: *Daphnia*,

Potamopyrgus, and *Campeloma*. All directly relevant genome-scale analyses of deleterious

mutation accumulation of which we are aware have found considerable among-gene variation

with respect to the rate of deleterious mutation accumulation in sexual and asexual lineages.

Because of this among-gene variation, the inferences generated by the 15 cases that only

included a handful of genes must be viewed with caution. Indeed, one of the four cases that did

investigate mutation accumulation at the whole-genome scale in the wild found that, contrary to

predictions, sexual taxa experienced more mutation accumulation (Brandt et al. 2017). Finally,

although there appears to be some tentative general support for deleterious mutation

accumulation in asexual lineages, it is important to note that even broad support for this

mechanism is unlikely to explain the maintenance of sex. The reason for this caveat is that

mutation accumulation generates a long-term disadvantage for asexuality, whereas short-term

advantages are required for the maintenance of sex within populations (Maynard Smith 1978).

No single mechanism emerged as being important to the maintenance of sex across all

natural systems included in our survey. This result provides indirect support for the idea that

different mechanisms for sex might be important for different taxa (see also Neiman et al. 2017).

While the notion that multiple mechanisms are of relevance to the maintenance of sex in nature

would not surprise most of the researchers who study this topic, our survey results emphasize the

importance of including a variety of taxa and considering multiple mechanisms when studying

the maintenance of sex. Direct tests of the importance of this type of pluralism are conceptually

simple but logistically challenging: the simultaneous study of the importance of multiple

mechanisms across a diverse array of appropriate taxa in natural settings. The related but distinct

point regarding the existence of a variety of different evolutionary "schools" concerning the

maintenance of sex (Gouyon 1999, Meirmans and Strand 2010) also highlights the value of

research on the same mechanisms or systems by multiple independent investigator groups.

Outlook

Important steps forward for field-based research on the maintenance of sex will ideally come

from several angles, which should perhaps most prominently include rigorous evaluation of the

Red Queen hypothesis for sex in a diverse array of systems and direct tests for adaptive evolution

(especially short-term effects) in natural settings. Especially insightful results with respect to the

latter could be obtained from field experiments where sexuals and asexuals are transferred to (1)

relatively harsh and/or unpredictable habitats, and (2) a relatively stable habitat, and where

adaptation to the environment is tracked over multiple generations. Other useful tests could come

from creative leveraging of unpredictable events (e.g., floods, fire, or even climate change) that

might be expected to enable the detection of rapid adaption. Finally, researchers could evaluate

whether sex generates short-term benefits via the exposure of hidden genetic variation to

selection by comparing genetic variation for fitness in naturally occurring and coexisting sexual

and asexual organisms over multiple generations.

With respect to other mechanisms for the maintenance of sex, our study suggests that

broader attention to niche differentiation mechanisms would likely turn out to be fruitful. In

particular, the application of mesocosm approaches, which can come closer to reflecting natural

complexity, could bridge field and laboratory insights (e.g., Ganz and Ebert 2010). Throughout,

we expect that an increasing availability of genomic resources for non-model taxa that have

achieved prominence as the focus of "sex in the wild" studies (e.g., *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*

(Bankers et al. 2017); *Taraxacum officinale*, E. Schranz pers. comm.) will provide a critical

means of testing hypotheses for sex that make specific predictions regarding molecular

evolution. We also believe that "pluralist" approaches that explicitly consider the possibility that

different mechanisms might be important for different taxa or that separate mechanisms can

operate simultaneously or in concert will also provide key advances (recently reviewed in

Neiman et al. 2017).

Acknowledgements

427 We acknowledge Curt Lively for useful discussions on the history and development of the Red
428 Queen hypothesis for sex and members of the Neiman lab as well as two anonymous reviewers
429 for helpful comments on earlier versions of the MS. TS was supported by SNSF grant PP00P3
430 139013.
431

Data archival location

433 The results of our literature survey are provided as electronic supplementary material.
434

References

Aanen D, Beekman M, Kokko H. 2016 Introduction: Weird sex: the underappreciated diversity
of sexual reproduction *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **371**, 20160262.

Agh N, Abatzopoulos TJ, Kappas I, Van Stappen G, Razavi Rouhani SM, Sorgeloos P. 2007
Coexistence of sexual and parthenogenetic *Artemia* populations in Lake Urmia and neighboring
lagoons. *Int. Rev. Hydrobiol.* **92**, 48-60.

Agrawal AF. 2009a Differences between selection on sex versus recombination in Red Queen
models with diploid hosts. *Evolution* **63**, 2131-2141.

Agrawal AF. 2009b Spatial heterogeneity and the evolution of sex in diploids. *Am. Nat.* **174**,
S54-S70.

Ament-Velásquez SL, Figuet E, Ballenghien M, Zattara EE, Norenburg JL, Fernández-Álvarez,
Bierne J, Bierne N, Galtier N. 2016. Population genomics of sexual and asexual lineages in
fissiparous ribbon worms (Lineus, Nemertea): hybridization, polyploidy and the Meselson effect.
Mol. Ecol. **25**, 3356-3369.

Bankers L, Fields P, McElroy KE, Boore JL, Logsdon JM Jr, Neiman M. 2017 Genomic
evidence for population-specific responses to co-evolving parasites in a New Zealand freshwater
snail. *Mol. Ecol.* **26**, 3663-3675.

Barraclough TG, Fontaneto D, Ricci C, Herniou EA. 2007 Evidence for inefficient selection
against deleterious mutations in cytochrome oxidase I of asexual bdelloid rotifers. *Mol. Biol.*
Evol. **24,** 1952-1962.

Barton NH. 1995 A general model for the evolution of recombination. *Genet. Res.* **65**, 123-144.

Barton NH. 2009 Why sex and recombination? *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol* **74**, 187-95.

Bast J, Schaefer I, Schwander T, Maraun M, Scheu S, Kraaijeveld K. 2016 No accumulation of
transposable elements in asexual arthropods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 697-706.

Becks L, Agrawal AF. 2012 The evolution of sex is favoured during adaptation to new
environments. *PLoS Biol.* **10**, e1001317.

Bell G. 1982 *The masterpiece of nature*. London, UK: Croon Helm.

Ben-Ami F, Heller J. 2008 Sex versus parasitism versus density. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **93**, 537-544.

Ben-Ami F, Heller J. 2005 Spatial and temporal patterns of parthenogenesis and parasitism in the
freshwater snail *Melanoides tuberculata*. *J. Evol. Biol.* **18**, 138-146.

Ben-Ami F, Heller J. 2007 Temporal patterns of geographic parthenogenesis in a freshwater
snail. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **91**, 711-718.

Brandt A, Schaefer I, Glanz J, Schwander T, Maraun M, Scheu S, Bast J. 2017. Effective
purifying selection in ancient asexual oribatid mites. *Nat. Comm 8,* 873.

Brown SG, Kwan S, Shero S. 1995 The parasitic theory of sexual reproduction: parasitism in
unisexual and bisexual geckos. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* **260**, 317-320.

Bruvo R, Adolfsson S, Symonova R, Lamatsch DK, Schön I, Jokela J, Butlin RK, Müller S.
2010 Few parasites, and no evidence for *Wolbachia* infections, in a freshwater ostracod
inhabiting temporary ponds. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **102**, 208-216.

Burt A. 2000 Perspective: sex, recombination, and the efficacy of selection – was Weismann
right? *Evolution* **54**, 337-351.

Burt A, Bell G. 1987 Mammalian chiasma frequencies as a test of two theories of recombination.
Nature **326**, 803-805.

Case TJ. 1990 Patterns of coexistence in sexual and asexual species of *Cnemidophorus* lizards.
Oecologia **83**, 220-227.

Case TJ, Taper ML. 1986 On the coexistence and coevolution of asexual and sexual competitors.
Evolution **40**, 366-387.

Crummett LT, Sears BF, Lafon DC, Wayne ML. 2013 Parthenogenetic populations of the
freshwater snail *Campeloma limum* occupy habitats with fewer environmental stressors than
their sexual counterparts. *Freshw. Biol.* **58**, 655-663.

Cuellar O. 1979 On the ecology of coexistence in parthenogenetic and bisexual lizards of the
genus *Cnemidophorus*. *Am. Zool.*, **19**, 773-786.

Dagan Y, Liljeroos K, Jokela J, Ben-Ami F. 2013 Clonal diversity driven by parasitism in a
freshwater snail. *J. Evol. Biol.* **26**, 2509-2519.

Dybdahl MF, Lively CM. 1995 Host-parasite interactions: infection of common clones in natural
populations of a freshwater snail (*Potamopyrgus antipodarum*). *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B Biol.*
Sci. **260**, 99-103.

Dybdahl MF, Lively CM. 1998 Host-parasite coevolution: evidence for rare advantage and time-
lagged selection in a natural population. *Evolution* **52**, 1057-1066.

Ellstrand NC, Antonovics J. 1985 Experimental studies of the evolutionary significance of sexual
reproduction II. A test of the density-dependent selection hypothesis. *Evolution* **39**, 657-666.

Elzinga JA, Chevasco V, Mappes J, Grapputo A. 2012 Low parasitism rates in parthenogenetic
bagworm moths do not support the parasite hypothesis for sex. *J. Evol. Biol.* **25**, 2547-2558.

Felsenstein J. 1974 The evolutionary advantage of recombination. *Genetics* **78**, 737-756.

Fox JA, Dybdahl MF, Jokela J, Lively CM. 1996 Genetic structure of coexisting sexual and
clonal subpopulations in a freshwater snail (*Potamopyrgus antipodarum*). *Evolution* **50**, 1541-
1548.

Ganz HH, Ebert D. 2010 Benefits of host genetic diversity for resistance to infection depend on
parasite diversity. *Ecology* **91**, 1263–1268.

Gibson AK, Xu JY, Lively CM. 2016 Within-population covariation between sexual
reproduction and susceptibility to local parasites. *Evolution* **70**, 2049-2060.

Goddard MR, Godfray H, Burt A. 2005. Sex increases the efficacy of natural selection in
experimental yeast populations. *Nature* **434**, 636-40.

Gouyon, P-H. 1999 Sex: a pluralistic approach includes species selection. (One step beyond and
it's good.). *J. Evol. Biol.* **12**, 1029-1030.

Grishkan I, Korol AB, Nevo E, Wasser SP. 2003 Ecological stress and sex evolution in soil
microfungi. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **270**, 13-18.

Haafke J, Chakra MA, Becks L. 2016 Eco-evolutionary feedback promotes Red Queen dynamics
and selects for sex in predator populations. *Evolution* **70**, 641-652.

Hamilton WD. 1980 Sex vs. non-sex vs. parasite. *Oikos* **35**, 282-290.

Hamilton WD, Axelrod R, Tanese R. 1990 Sexual reproduction as an adaptation to resist
parasites (A review). *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **87**, 3566-3573.

Hanley KA, Bolger DT, Case TJ. 1994 Comparative ecology of sexual and asexual gecko
species (*Lepidodactylus*) in French Polynesia. *Evol. Ecol.* **8**, 438-454.

Hanley KA, Fisher RN, Case TJ. 1995 Lower mite infestations in an asexual gecko compared
with its sexual ancestors. *Evolution* **49**, 418-426.

Henry L, Schwander T, Crespi BJ. 2012 Deleterious mutation accumulation in asexual *Timema*
stick insects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 401-408.

Hill WG, Robertson A. 1966 The effect of linkage on limits to artificial selection. *Genet. Res.* **8**,
269-294.

Hollister JD, Greiner S, Wang W, Wang J, Zhang Y, Wong GK, Wright SI, Johnson MT. 2015.
Recurrent loss of sex is associated with accumulation of deleterious mutations in *Oenothera*.
Mol. Biol. Evol. **32**, 896-905.

Howard RS, Lively CM. 1994 Parasitism, mutation accumulation, and the maintenance of sex.
Nature **367,** 554-557.

Innes DJ, Ginn M. 2014 A population of sexual *Daphnia pulex* resists invasion by asexual
clones. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* **281**, 20140564.

Jaenike J. 1978 An hypothesis to account for the maintenance of sex within populations. *Evol.*
Theor. **3**, 191-194.

Jiang X, Tang H, Ye Z, Lynch M. 2017 Insertion polymorphisms of mobile genetic elements in
sexual and asexual populations of *Daphnia pulex*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **9**, 362-374.

Johnson SG. 1994 Parasitism, reproductive assurance and the evolution of reproductive mode in
a fresh-water snail. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **255**, 209-213.

Johnson SG, Howard RS. 2007 Contrasting patterns of synonymous and nonsynonymous
sequence evolution in asexual and sexual freshwater snail lineages. *Evolution* **61**, 2728-2735.

Johnson MTJ, Smith SD, Rausher MD. 2009 Plant sex and the evolution of plant defenses
against herbivores. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 18079-18084.

Jokela J, Lively CM. 1995 Parasites, sex, and early reproduction in a mixed population of
freshwater snails. *Evolution* **49**, 1268-1271.

Jokela J, Dybdahl MF, Lively CM. 2009 The maintenance of sex, clonal dynamics, and host-
parasite coevolution in a mixed population of sexual and asexual snails. *Am. Nat.* **174**, S43-S53.

Kaltz O, Bell G. 2002. The ecology and genetics of fitness in *Chlamydomonas*. XII. Repeated
sexual episodes increase rates of adaptation to novel environments. *Evolution* **56**, 1743-1753.

Kearney MR, Moussalli A, Strasburg J, Lindenmayer D, Moritz C. 2003 Geographic
parthenogenesis in the Australia arid zone: I. A climatic analysis of the *Heteronotia binoei*
complex (Gekkonidae). *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **5**, 953-976.

Killick SC, Obbard DJ, West SA, Little TJ. 2008 Parasitism and breeding system variation in
North American populations of *Daphnia pulex*. *Ecol. Res.* **23**, 235-240.

King KC, Lively CM. 2009 Geographic variation in sterilizing parasite species and the Red
Queen. *Oikos* **118**, 1416-1420.

King KC, Jokela J, Lively CM. 2011 Parasites, sex, and clonal diversity in natural snail
populations. *Evolution* **65**, 1474-1481.

Kondrashov AS. 1993 Classification of hypotheses on the advantage of amphimixis. *J. Hered.*
84, 372-387.

Külköylüoğlu O, Sari N, Akdemir D, Yavuzatmaca M, Altinbağ C. 2012 Distribution of sexual
and asexual ostracoda (Crustacea) from different altitudinal ranges in the Ordu region of Turkey:
Testing the Rapoport Rule. *High Alt. Med. Biol.* **13,** 126-137.

Lavanchy G, Strehler M, Roman MNL, Lessard-Therrien M, Humbert JY, Dumas Z, Jalvingh K,
Ghali K, Fontcuberta García-Cuenca A, Zijlstra B, Arlettaz R, Schwander T. 2016 Habitat
heterogeneity favours asexual reproduction in natural populations of grassthrips. *Evolution* **70**,
1780-1790.

Lehto MP, Haag CR. 2010 Ecological differentiation between coexisting sexual and asexual
strains of *Daphnia pulex*. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **79**, 1241-1250.

Lehtonen J, Jennions MD, Kokko H. 2012 The many costs of sex. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **27**, 172-
178.

Lively CM. 1987 Evidence from a New Zealand snail for the maintenance of sex by parasitism.
Nature **328**, 519-521.

Lively CM. 1992 Parthenogenesis in a freshwater snail: reproductive assurance versus parasitic
release. *Evolution* **46**, 907-913.

Lively CM, Morran LT. 2014 The ecology of sexual reproduction. *J. Evol. Biol.* **27**, 1292-1303.

Lo EY, Stefanović S, Dickinson TA. 2009. Population genetic structure of diploid sexual and
polyploid apomictic hawthorns (*Crataegus*; Rosaceae) in the Pacific Northwest. *Mol. Ecol.* **18**,
1145–1160.

Lovell JT, Williamson RJ, Wright SI, McKay JK, Sharbel TF. 2017 Mutation accumulation in an
asexual relative of *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1006550.

Lumley AJ, Michalczyk Ł, Kitson JJN, Spurgin LG, Morrison CA, Godwin JL, Dickinson ME,
Martin OY, Emerson BC, Chapman T, Gage MJJ. 2015 Sexual selection protects against
extinction. *Nature* **522**, 470-473.

Mark Welch DB, Meselson MS. 2001 Rates of nucleotide substitution in sexual and anciently
asexual rotifers. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 6720-6724.

Mau M, Lovell JT, Corral JM, Kiefer C, Koch M, Aliyu OM, Sharbel TF. 2015 Hybrid apomicts
trapped in the ecological niches of their sexual ancestors. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E2357-
E2365.

Maynard Smith J. 1971 What use is sex? *J. Theor. Biol.* **30**, 319-335.

Maynard Smith J. 1978 *The evolution of sex*. London, UK: Cambridge University Press.

McDonald MJ, Rice DP, Desai MM. 2016 Sex speeds adaptation by altering the dynamics of
molecular evolution. *Nature* **531**, 233-236.

McKone MJ, Gibson AK, Cook D, Freymiller LA, Mishkind D, Quinlan A, York JM, Lively
CM, Neiman M. 2016 Fine-scale association between parasites and sex in *Potamopyrgus*
antipodarum within a New Zealand lake. *NZ J. Zool.* **40**, 330-333.

Menken S, Smits E, Den Nijs JCM. 1995 Genetical population structure in plants: gene flow
between diploid sexual and triploid asexual dandelions (*Taraxacum* section *Ruderalia*).
Evolution **49**, 1108–1118.

Meirmans PG. 2005 Ecological and genetic interactions between diploid sexual and triploid
apomictic dandelions. Ph.D. Thesis. Instituut voor Biodiversiteit en Ecosysteem Dynamica,
Universiteit van Amsterdam.

Meirmans PG, Calame FG, Bretagnolle F, Felber F, den Nijs JCM. 1999 Anthropogenic
disturbance and habitat differentiation between sexual diploid and apomictic triploid *Taraxacum*
sect. *Ruderalia*. *Folia Geobot.* **34**, 451-469.

Meirmans S, Strand R. 2010. Why are there so many theories for sex, and what do we do with
them? *J. Hered*, **101**, S3-S12.

Meirmans S, Meirmans PG, Kirkendall LR. 2012 The costs of sex: Facing real-world
complexities. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **87**, 19-40.

Moritz C, McCallum H, Donnellan S, Roberts JD. 1991 Parasite loads in parthenogenetic and
sexual lizards (*Heteronotia binoei*): support for the Red Queen hypothesis. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.*
B Biol. Sci. **244**, 145-149.

Muller HJ. 1964 The relation of recombination of mutational advance. *Mutat. Res.* **106**, 2-9.

Neiman M, Koskella B. 2009. Sex and the Red Queen. In *Lost Sex* (eds Schön I, Martens K, van
Dijk P), pp. 133-159. Amsterdam: Springer.

Neiman M, Schwander T. 2011 Using parthenogenetic lineages to identify advantages of sex.
Evol. Biol. **38**, 115-123.

Neiman M, Jokela J, Lively CM. 2005 Variation in asexual lineage age in *Potamopyrgus*
antipodarum, a New Zealand snail. *Evolution* **59**, 1945-1952.

Neiman M, Lively CM, Meirmans S. 2017 Why sex? A pluralist approach revisited. *Trends*
Ecol. Evol. **32**, 589-600.

Neiman M, Hehman G, Miller JT, Logsdon JM Jr, Taylor DR. 2010 Accelerated mutation
accumulation in asexual lineages of a freshwater snail. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **27**, 954-963.

Normark BB, Moran NA. 2000. Testing for the accumulation of deleterious mutations in asexual
eukaryote genomes using molecular sequences. *J. Nat. Hist.* **34**, 1719-1729.

O'Connell LM, Eckert CG. 2001 Differentiation in reproductive strategy between sexual and
asexual populations of *Antennaria parlinii* (Asteraceae). *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **3**, 311-330.

Paczesniak D, Adolfsson S, Liljeroos K, Klappert K, Lively CM, Jokela J. 2014 Faster clonal
turnover in high-infection habitats provides evidence for parasite-mediated selection. *J. Evol.*
Biol. **27**, 417-428.

Paland S, Lynch M. 2006 Transitions to asexuality result in excess amino acid substitutions.
Science **311**, 990-992.

Pellino M, Hojsgaard D, Schmutzer T, Scholz U, Hörandl E, Vogel H, Sharbel TF. 2013 Asexual
genome evolution in the apomictic *Ranunculus auricomus* complex: examining the effects of
hybridization and mutation accumulation. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 5908-5921.

Peters AD, Lively CM. 1999 The red queen and fluctuating epistasis: a population genetic
analysis of antagonistic coevolution. *Am. Nat.* **154**, 393-405.

Pound GE, Doncaster CP, Cox SJ. 2002 A Lotka–Volterra model of coexistence between a
sexual population and multiple asexual clones. *J. Theor. Biol*. **217**, 535–545.

Rho M, Schaack S, Gao X, Kim S, Lynch M, Tang H. 2010 LTR retroelements in the genome of
Daphnia pulex. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 425.

Ross L, Hardy NB, Okusu A, Normark BB. 2013 Large population size predicts the distribution
of asexuality in scale insects. *Evolution* **67**, 196-206.

Schmit O, Adolfsson S, Vandekerkhove J, Rueda J, Bode SNS, Rossetti G, Michalakis Y, Jokela
J, Martens K, Mesquita-Joanes F. 2013a The distribution of sexual reproduction of the
geographic parthenogen *Eucypris virens* (Crustacea: Ostracoda) matches environmental
gradients in a temporary lake. *Can. J. Zool.* **91**, 660-671.

Schmit O, Bode SNS, Camacho A, Horne DJ, Lamatsch DK, Martens K, Martins MJF,
Namiotko T, Rossetti G, Rueda-Sevilla J, Schön I, Vandekerkhove J, Mesquita-Joanes F. 2013b
Linking present environment and the segregation of reproductive modes (geographical
parthenogenesis) in *Eucypris virens* (Crustacea: Ostracoda). *J. Biogeog.* **40**, 2394-2408.

Sharp N, Otto SP. 2016 Evolution of sex: Using experimental genomics to select among
competing theories. *Bioessays* **38**, 751-757.

Singh ND, Criscoe DR, Skolfield S, Kohl KP, Keebaugh ES, Schlenke TA. 2015 Fruit flies
diversify their offspring in response to parasite infection. *Science* **349**, 747-750.

Stefani, R. 1956. *Il problema della partenogenesi in* "*Haploembia solieri*" Ramb. (Embioptera-
Oligotomidae). *Memorie dell'Accademia Lincei Rome Sez IIIa* **5**, 127-201.

Stefani, R. 1959. *Aspetti zoogeografici di un problema evolutivo. Bollettino di Zoologia Torino*
26, 105-114.

Steiner BL, Armbruster GFJ, Scheepens JF, Stöcklin J. 2012 Distribution of bulbil- and seed-
producing plants of *Poa alpine* (Poaceae) and their growth and reproduction in common gardens
suggest adaptation to different elevations. *Am. J. Bot.* **99**, 2035-2044.

Sterken MG, Snoek LB, Kammenga JE, Andersen EC. 2015 The laboratory domestication of
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Trends Genet.* **31**, 224-231.

Tarkhnishvili D, Gavashelishvili A, Avaliani A, Murtskhvaladze M, Mumladze L. 2010
Unisexual rock lizard might be outcompeting its bisexual progenitors in the Caucasus. *Biol. J.*
Linn. Soc. **101**, 447-460.

Tilquin A, Kokko H. 2016. What does the geography of parthenogenesis teach us about sex?
Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B **371**, 20150538.

Tucker A, Ackerman M, Eads B, Xu S, Lynch M. 2013 Population-genomic insights into the
evolutionary origin and fate of obligately asexual *Daphnia pulex*. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**,
15740-15745.

van der Kooi CJ, Matthey-Doret C, Schwander T. 2017 Evolution and comparative ecology of
parthenogenesis. *Evol. Lett., in press,* DOI: 10.1002/evl3.30.

Verduijn MH, Van Dijk PJ, Van Damme JMM. 2004 The role of tetraploids in the sexual-
asexual cycle in dandelions (*Taraxacum*). *Heredity* **93**, 390-398.

Vergara D, Lively CM, King KC, Jokela J. 2013 The geographic mosaic of sex and infection in
lake populations of a New Zealand snail at multiple spatial scales. *Am. Nat.* **182**, 484-493.

Vergara D, Jokela J, Lively CM. 2014 Infection dynamics in coexisting sexual and asexual host
populations: support for the Red Queen hypothesis. *Am. Nat.* **184**, S22-S30.

Verhoeven KJF, Biere A. 2013 Geographic parthenogenesis and plant-enemy interactions in the
common dandelion. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **13**, 23.

Vrijenhoek RC. 1979 Factors affecting clonal diversity and coexistence. *Am. Zool.* **19**, 787-797.

West SA, Lively CM, Read AF. 1999 A pluralist approach to sex and recombination. *J. Evol.*
Biol. **12**, 1003-1012.

Williams GC. 1975 *Sex and evolution*. Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press.

Xu S, Omilian AR, Cristescu ME. 2011 High rate of large-scale hemizygous deletions in
asexually propagating *Daphnia*: Implications for the evolution of sex. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 335-
342.

Table Caption

Table 1. Summary of the results of our literature survey. We list the number of studies that

provided support (green) or no support (red) for the different categories of hypotheses for sex,

separated by taxonomic group. In total, 66 studies and 83 cases were included; studies that tested

multiple hypotheses or included multiple taxonomic groups were counted as "cases" multiple

times. Numbers in brackets after the name of the taxonomic group indicate the number of studies

for each group.

Class/clade	Parasites	Rate of adaptive evolution	Harmful Mutations	Niche differentiation	Coverage of niche space	Other
Reptiles (8)	2 / 1	-	-	2 / 2	0 / 2	-
Insects (6)	0 / 2	-	2 / 1	-	0 / 2	-
Branchiopods (8)	0 / 1	-	4 / 0	2 / 0	0 / 1	1 / 0
Ostracods (4)	0 / 1	2 / 0	-	2 / 1	0 / 2	-
Arachnids (1)	-	-	0 / 1	-	-	-
Arthropods; general (1)	-	-	0 / 1	-	-	-
Gastropods (23)	17 / 4	0 / 1	4 / 0	1 / 0	1 / 0	3 / 1
Rotifers (2)	-	-	1 / 1	-	-	-
Anopla (1)	-	-	1 / 0	-	-	-
Magnoliopsida (11)	2 / 0	-	2 / 1	5 / 1	-	1 / 0
Fungi (1)	-	-	-	1 / 0	-	-
TOTAL	21 / 9	2 / 1	14 / 5	13 / 4	1 / 7	5 / 1