1 **Multiple Determinants and Consequences of Cohesion Fatigue in Mammalian Cells**

2 Hem Sapkota[1,2], Emilia Wasiak[2], John R. Daum[2] and Gary J. Gorbsky[2,3]

1. Department of Cell Biology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK 73104, USA

2. Cell Cycle and Cancer Biology Research Program, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, OK 73104, USA

3 3. Corresponding author

4 **Running Title:** Causes and results of cohesion fatigue

Abstract

Cells delayed in metaphase with intact mitotic spindles undergo cohesion fatigue, where sister chromatids separate asynchronously, while cells remain in mitosis. Cohesion fatigue requires release of sister chromatid cohesion. However, the pathways that breach sister chromatid cohesion during cohesion fatigue remain unknown. Using moderate-salt buffers to remove loosely bound chromatin Cohesin, we show that "cohesive" Cohesin is not released during chromatid separation during cohesion fatigue. Using a regulated protein heterodimerization system to lock different Cohesin ring interfaces at specific times in mitosis, we show that the Wapl-mediated pathway of Cohesin release is not required for cohesion fatigue. By manipulating microtubule stability and Cohesin complex integrity in cell lines with varying sensitivity to cohesion fatigue, we show that rates of cohesion fatigue reflect a dynamic balance between spindle pulling forces and resistance to separation by interchromatid cohesion. Finally, while massive separation of chromatids in cohesion fatigue likely produces inviable cell progeny, we find that short metaphase delays, leading to partial chromatid separation, predispose cells to chromosome missegregation. Thus, complete separation of one or a few chromosomes and/or partial separation of sister chromatids may be an unrecognized but common source of chromosome instability that perpetuates the evolution of malignant cells in cancer.

Introduction

Cells delayed or arrested at metaphase with intact mitotic spindles undergo cohesion fatigue, where sister chromatids separate asynchronously, while the cells remain in M phase (Daum et al., 2011; Stevens et al., 2011). Separated chromatids generated before anaphase likely missegregate or form merotelic attachments that can result in aneuploidy and chromosome breakage. While all cells can undergo cohesion fatigue when arrested at metaphase, the rate of chromatid separation varies significantly within a population of cells and among different cell types, even those closely related. Microtubule pulling forces are essential. Treatment of cells with Nocodazole, a microtubule depolymerizer, completely eliminates cohesion fatigue in mitotic cells arrested by treatment with the proteasome inhibitor, MG132, or by depletion of the SKA3 protein (Daum et al., 2011).

The Cohesin complex normally holds sister chromatids together from DNA replication until anaphase (Michaelis et al., 1997). The major structural elements of the Cohesin ring consists of two Structural Maintenance of Chromosome proteins (SMC3 and SMC1) and cohesin complex component RAD21 that closes the ring. These proteins intersect at three sites, referred to as "gates." Cohesin gates may open during different stages of dynamic Cohesin-chromatin interactions during the cell cycle. For example, Cohesin appears to load onto chromosomes via the opening of the SMC3 and SMC1 hinge interface (Buheitel and Stemmann, 2013) and partially through the SMC3 and RAD21 interface (Murayama and Uhlmann, 2015). To release sister chromatids from each other in mitosis in vertebrates, Cohesin complexes are removed from chromosomes through two mechanisms. In early mitosis until metaphase, the 'prophase pathway' uses Plk1 and Aurora B kinases and the Cohesin removal protein, Wapl, to release a large portion of Cohesin from chromosome arms via opening of SMC3-RAD21 interface of Cohesin. Then at the metaphase-anaphase transition, the protease, Separase, cleaves the RAD21 component of

the remaining chromosome-bound Cohesin to induce the final separation of sister chromatids

(Waizenegger et al., 2000).

In addition to its three core structural ring components, the Cohesin complex contains

several regulatory, auxiliary components. One of these has two isoforms called Stromal Antigen

1 and 2 (SA1 or SA2) (Solomon et al., 2011; Sumara et al., 2000). Cohesin complexes contain

either SA1 or SA2 (Zhang et al., 2008). Cells depleted of either SA1 or SA2 continue to proliferate,

but deletion of both is lethal (van der Lelij et al., 2017). Cohesin complexes containing SA1 appear

important for arm and telomere cohesion, while Cohesin complexes containing SA2 have more

critical roles for centromeric cohesion (Canudas and Smith, 2009). SA2 at centromeres recruits

proteins that promote cohesion, including Sororin, Shugoshin (SGO1), and Protein Phosphatase

2A (PP2A), that shield centromeric Cohesin from phosphorylation and removal due to the Wapl-

mediated prophase pathway (Hauf et al., 2005; McGuinness et al., 2005; Nishiyama et al., 2013).

The separation of chromatids in cohesion fatigue requires release of sister chromatid cohesion.

However, we do not know if and how the Cohesin complex is breached during cohesion fatigue.

Although we and others have shown that depletion of Wapl, a negative regulator of cohesin, prior

to mitotic entry, delays cohesion fatigue, it is unclear whether continued Wapl activity is essential

for cohesion fatigue after the chromosomes align at the metaphase plate. Previously, we reported

that Cohesin protein levels in chromosome fractions remained constant before and after cohesion

fatigue (Daum et al., 2011). However, a subsequent study indicated that most, but not all Cohesin

in isolated chromosomes was released by a treatment with a moderate concentration of salt

(Bermudez et al., 2012). This result suggested that the only the minor, the salt-resistant population

comprises the "cohesive" Cohesin that functionally holds sister chromatids together. Currently,

we do not comprehensively understand the factors that determine the sensitivity of cells to

cohesion fatigue, the mechanism by which cohesion is lost during fatigue, and the consequences

of partial and full chromatid separation to downstream chromosome instability.

Results

Cohesin remains bound to chromatids after fatigue.

Current models indicate that Cohesin is released from chromosomes during chromatid separation at anaphase (Supplementary figure 2A and (Kueng et al., 2006; Tomonaga et al., 2000; Uhlmann et al., 2000) Cohesion fatigue also generates separated chromatids. Thus we anticipated that Cohesin should also be released from chromosomes during the process. Nevertheless, in our previous work comparing isolated chromosomes and chromatids prepared from cells before and after fatigue, surprisingly, levels of the core Cohesin subunits associated with chromatin remained unchanged (Daum et al., 2011). However, a potential explanation for this result came from a subsequent study, which revealed that in isolated mitotic chromosomes most Cohesin can be released by treatment with moderate salt (Bermudez et al., 2012). The implication of that work was that only the minor, salt resistant Cohesin was functional in sister chromatid cohesion, and perhaps this small pool was indeed released during fatigue but was too small for detection in our previous study.

We first confirmed that only a fraction of Cohesin remains bound to chromosomes after treatment with moderate salt buffer (Supplementary figure 1B). We then examined whether any changes occurred in the salt-resistant population before and after cohesion fatigue. We treated mitotic cells with MG132 in the absence or presence of Nocodazole for 8 h and then isolated chromosome fractions in moderate salt buffer. As expected, more than 90% of cells treated with MG132 without Nocodazole showed over half of their chromatids separated compared with only 5% of cells treated with MG132 in the presence of Nocodazole. If the salt-resistant Cohesin was released during fatigue, at least a 45% reduction (dotted line figure 1B) in core Cohesin should occur in fatigued samples (MG132 alone) compared to non-fatigued samples (MG132 + Nocodazole). However, immunoblotting for the core Cohesin component, SMC3 revealed no differences Cohesin levels between fatigued and non-fatigued samples (Figures 1A and 1B).

Thus, Cohesin release did not occur during cohesion fatigue tracking either the total chromosome-

bound population or the salt-resistant population.

Centromeric levels of Shugoshin1 are not critical regulators of sensitivity to cohesion

fatigue

The Shugoshin1 (SGO1) protein protects centromeric Cohesin from Wapl-mediated

release by recruiting protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) to the centromere region (Gandhi et al.,

2006; Liu et al., 2013b; Shintomi and Hirano, 2009; Xu et al., 2009). Changes in SGO1 have been

implicated in explaining why different cell lines show differential sensitivity to cohesion fatigue

during metaphase arrest (Liu et al., 2013a; Tanno et al., 2015). For our studies, we used two

isolates of HeLa cells that exhibit strong differences in the rate of cohesion fatigue

(Supplementary figures 4A and 4B). One HeLa cell line, stably expressing histone H2B-GFP,

undergoes cohesion fatigue with an average time of approximately 340±127 min at metaphase,

while another HeLa cell line, stably expressing histone H2B-mRFP, undergoes cohesion fatigue

after an average of 130±55 min. We named these cell lines HeLa-Slow and HeLa-Fast,

respectively. We induced metaphase arrest by treating cells with MG132 or with ProTAME, a cell

permeant inhibitor of the Anaphase Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C) (Lara-Gonzalez and

Taylor, 2012; Sackton et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2010).

We measured total SGO1 levels in both HeLa-Fast and HeLa-Slow cells and compared

SGO1 levels by immunofluorescence in normal prophase, prometaphase or metaphase cells and

in cells arrested in metaphase for 6 h (HeLa-Slow) or 3 h (HeLa-Fast). From metaphase-arrested

cells, we selected fatigued cells and examined their SGO1 levels. In HeLa-Slow cells, SGO1

levels diminished from prometaphase to metaphase, but no further reduction in SGO1 levels

occurred with metaphase arrest for 6 h. Cells with separated chromatids showed no reduction in

SGO1 levels compared to normal metaphase-arrested cells (Supplementary figure 1D). HeLa-

Fast cells showed a similar trend during mitotic progression with SGO1 showing reduced levels

at metaphase. In these cells, SGO1 levels were further decreased after 3 h of metaphase delay.

However, in cells that underwent cohesion fatigue during the 3 h metaphase delay, SGO1 levels

were equal to levels of normal metaphase cells (Supplementary figure 1E). Thus, both cell lines

showed a reduction in centromere-associated SGO1 levels as the cells aligned their

chromosomes, but SGO1 did not appear to be altered during fatigue. Finally, comparison of total

chromosome associated SGO1 levels showed higher levels in HeLa-Fast cells than in HeLa-Slow

cells, the opposite that might be expected if SGO1 levels were a major determinant of resistance

to cohesion fatigue (Supplementary figure 1C).

Inhibiting Wapl-mediated Cohesin release during early mitosis delays subsequent

cohesion fatigue.

We and others have previously shown that depletion of Wapl, which mediates Cohesin

removal during early mitosis, delays cohesion fatigue (Daum et al., 2011; Stevens et al., 2011).

To extend these studies in a system where enhanced Cohesin binding to mitotic chromosomes

could be directly monitored, we used Hela cells stably expressing SMC1-GFP (Hou et al., 2007)

and examined the effects of Wapl depletion. We depleted Wapl via RNAi, treated the SMC1-GFP

cells with ProTAME, and examined cells with clear SMC1-GFP signals on metaphase

chromosomes, indicative of those with efficient Wapl depletion (Supplementary figure 2A).

Normally, Cohesin released into the cytoplasm by the Wapl-mediated prophase pathway

obscures the residual chromosome-bound population, but Wapl depletion results in strong

retention of chromosome Cohesin (Gandhi et al., 2006; Haarhuis et al., 2013; Haarhuis et al.,

2017; Tedeschi et al., 2013). When Wapl-depleted cells were arrested at metaphase, there was

a significant increase in time these cells take to undergo cohesion fatigue (Figure 2A), confirming

that Wapl depletion causes increased chromosome association of Cohesin that in turn delayed

cohesion fatigue without affecting the total number of cells undergoing fatigue. As another

approach we manipulated a competitor of Wapl activity, Sororin, which is normally released from

chromatin by mitotic phosphorylation. A Sororin mutant (9A-Sororin) resists mitotic

phosphorylation and inhibits Wapl-mediated Cohesin release (Liu et al., 2013b). As expected,

cells expressing the 9A mutant form of Sororin showed delayed cohesion fatigue compared to

cells expressing wild type Sororin (Figure 2B).

Inhibiting the Wapl pathway or locking cohesin gates after chromosome alignment at

metaphase does not inhibit cohesion fatigue.

The studies above show that inhibiting the Wapl-mediated Cohesin release during early

mitosis slowed cohesion fatigue. Inhibition of Wapl function before mitotic entry increased the

levels of salt-resistant Cohesin retained on chromosomes (supplementary figure 2A), and this

might fully account for delays in cohesion fatigue. However, it remained possible that Wapl

continues to function in opening Cohesin rings during metaphase arrest, contributing to cohesion

fatigue after chromosome alignment. We used two distinct approaches to test this possibility. Two

mitotic kinases, Plk1 and Aurora B are critical for the function of the Wapl. In our previous work,

we showed that chemical inhibition of Plk1 did not block cohesion fatigue, but in that study, the

rates of fatigue were not quantified (Daum et al., 2011). Here we used ZM447439, an inhibitor of

Aurora B kinase to inhibit Wapl-mediated Cohesin release in HeLa-Slow cells after chromosome

alignment at metaphase. Treatment of these cells in early mitosis with 0.5 µM ZM447439 caused

significant defects in chromosome alignment, confirming inhibition of Aurora B kinase

(Supplementary figure 2B). We added the inhibitor at 2.5 µM, a fivefold higher concentration, one

hour after release from Nocodazole to MG132 after most cells had aligned their chromosomes,

to avoid disrupting chromosome alignment. Then we tracked cells with tight metaphase plates at

the time of ZM447439 addition. The addition of 2.5 µM ZM447439 did not induce loss of

chromosome alignment in cells at metaphase, and did not delay cohesion fatigue (Figure 2C).

This finding indicated that the continued activity of Aurora B kinase in promoting Wapl activity in

metaphase cells does not promote cohesion fatigue in these cells.

The Wapl-mediated prophase pathway releases Cohesin by opening the SMC3-RAD21

interface or gate. As a stringent test of the role of the Wapl in cohesion fatigue we used three

HEK293 cells lines, each expressing a pair of Cohesin ring components tagged with FRB or FKBP

proteins that allows locking of SMC3-RAD21 gate, the SMC1-RAD21 gate, and the SMC1-SMC3

gate by the addition of Rapamycin (Buheitel and Stemmann, 2013). We depleted endogenous

Cohesin proteins and induced expression of the siRNA-resistant fusion proteins. We used

chromosome spreads to confirm previously published work that locking the SMC3-RAD21 gate,

but not the other gates in early mitosis, inhibited Wapl-mediated release of Cohesin and increased

the proportion of chromosomes with unresolved chromosome arms (Supplementary figures 2C

and D). We then studied the effect on cohesion fatigue (Figures 2D and E). As expected, when

we locked the Cohesin gates by adding rapamycin before cells entered mitosis (Figure 2D top),

chromosome spreads showed that cohesion fatigue was inhibited in cells expressing the SMC3-

RAD21 pair of Rapamycin-binding proteins, mimicking Wapl inhibition (Figure 2D bottom).

Locking the other two gates showed no effect on cohesion fatigue assayed with chromosome

spreads. Identical results were found by live cell imaging (Supplementary figure 2E). The results

obtained by locking gates before entry into mitosis reveal that gate locking was efficient, that

locking the SMC3-RAD21 gate mimicked Wapl depletion in blocking the cohesin removal during

prophase and prometaphase, and that Rapamycin-induced dimerization of SMC3-RAD21 in the

presence of Wapl was robust and could resist chromatid separation by spindle-pulling forces.

Next, we locked the Cohesin gates of Cohesin on chromosomes in metaphase after the

normal Wapl-mediated release of unprotected Cohesin during prophase and prometaphase. To

accomplish this, we first incubated mitotic cells with nocodazole for 12 h to allow Wapl-mediated

cohesin release to be completed (Figure 2E top). We then released cells from nocodazole to

MG132 or MG132 plus nocodazole and added Rapamycin to lock each gate. Consistent with our

results from Aurora B inhibition, chromosome spreads from cells treated for 6 h with MG132

showed that locking any of the Cohesin gates after metaphase alignment did not inhibit cohesion

fatigue (Figure 2E bottom). These results indicate that inhibition of the Wapl before/early in mitosis

delays cohesion fatigue through an increase in the amount of functional Cohesin retained on

chromosomes. However, once cells are at metaphase, after full activity of the Wapl-mediated

prophase pathway is complete, inhibition of the Wapl-mediated prophase pathway does not delay

fatigue, indicating it is not required for chromatid separation. In addition, locking the other Cohesin

gates does not affect fatigue. Thus, transient opening of a single Cohesin gate is unlikely to

account for the separation of sister chromatids in cohesion fatigue for cells we have analyzed.

Compromised Cohesin accelerates cohesion fatigue.

Cohesin-chromatin interactions are highly regulated throughout cell cycle (Bermudez et

al., 2012; Gandhi et al., 2006; Lara-Gonzalez and Taylor, 2012; Liu et al., 2013a; Whelan et al.,

2012; Xu et al., 2014). In early mitosis, Cohesin is removed from chromosome arms by the Wapl-

mediated prophase pathway (Gandhi et al., 2006; Kueng et al., 2006; Nishiyama et al., 2010;

Nishiyama et al., 2013; Shintomi and Hirano, 2009). In cells arrested in mitosis for long periods,

Cohesin removal separates chromosome arms, which generates the classic "X-shape"

chromosomes seen in chromosome spreads (Supplementary figure 3A). We previously found that

under normal conditions, cohesion fatigue initiates at kinetochores then propagates down the

chromosome arms. Thus, Cohesin loss and arm separation should increase cell susceptibility to

cohesion fatigue. To test this idea, we used chromosome spreads to compare rates of cohesion

fatigue in LLC-PK cells after arresting cells in mitosis for 5 or 11 h with Nocodazole. After

Nocodazole arrest, cells were washed then placed in fresh media containing MG132 and then

processed for chromosome spreads immediately (0 h), 3 h or 6 h later (Figure 3A left). Cells

arrested in Nocodazole for 11 h had significantly increased cohesion fatigue compared to cells

arrested for just 5 h (Figure 3A right). In contrast, cells harvested at 0 h, 3 h or 6 h after being

maintained in MG132 plus Nocodazole showed very few separated chromatids. We hypothesized

that arrest in Nocodazole might decrease the level of salt-resistant Cohesin on chromosomes.

Quantification of western blots showed a modest decrease in chromosome-bound Cohesin levels

comparing chromosomes from cells arrested for 5 and 11 h (Supplementary figure 3C). These

results indicate that longer mitotic arrest, without spindle pulling forces, primes cells to undergo

faster cohesion fatigue.

These results indicated that the increased time spent in mitosis leads to a higher

propensity for cohesion fatigue. As a complimentary method to test this idea, we compared onset

of cohesion fatigue in cells that reach full metaphase quickly with those where chromosome

alignment is delayed. To increase the proportion of cells with alignment delays, we treated cells

with 1.5 uM S-Trityl-L-cysteine (STLC), an inhibitor of mitotic motor kinesin Eg5 (Skoufias et al.,

2006). When we measured the time from full metaphase alignment to cohesion fatigue, cells with

the slowest alignment, and thus with longer times spent in prometaphase, showed faster cohesion

fatigue (Supplementary figure 3B).

The Cohesin subunit SA2 is thought to promote cohesion specifically at centromeres

(Canudas and Smith, 2009). Unlike Sgo1 depletion where sister chromatids separate without

spindle pulling forces, depletion of SA2 caused increased interkinetochore distances only in the

presence of intact spindles (Kleyman et al., 2014) suggesting defective cohesion maintenance

rather than compromised cohesion establishment. If so, then depletion of SA2 should accelerate

cohesion fatigue. We investigated the consequences of SA2 loss using HCT116 cells in which

the STAG2 gene, which codes for SA2, had been deleted by homologous recombination

(Solomon et al., 2011). Chromosomes from SA2 knockout cells showed reduced amounts of the

Cohesin ring components, SMC3 and RAD21, compared to parental HCT116 cells

(Supplementary figure 3E). Metaphase arrest for 3 or 6 h caused increased separation of

chromatids in chromosome spreads of SA2 knockout cells compared to parental cells (Figure 3B).

Inclusion of nocodazole to disrupt spindle microtubules abrogated the differences in chromatid

separation in SA2 knockout and parental cells. Thus, loss of the Cohesin regulatory component,

SA2, increases the susceptibility of cells to cohesion fatigue in the presence of spindle pulling

forces. Because SA2 helps to resist cohesion fatigue, we hypothesized that its release might

accompany fatigue. We analyzed chromosome fractions from HeLa cells by western blot before

and after fatigue but found no reduction in the amount of chromosome-bound SA2 after chromatid

separation (Figure 3C).

Modulating microtubule stability alters rates of cohesion fatigue.

Previously we showed that complete disruption of spindle microtubules blocked cohesion

fatigue, which indicated that spindle pulling forces were essential (Daum et al, 2011). However, it

was unclear the degree to which the rate of cohesion fatigue might be sensitive to microtubule

dynamic turnover. To alter microtubule dynamics while maintaining intact spindles, we used 5 nM

Nocodazole and 1.5 nM Taxol, concentrations that slow but do not block progression of control

cells through mitosis (Supplementary figure 4C). We measured the elapsed time from metaphase

to chromosome scattering (fatigue) in cells arrested at metaphase. Treatment with 5 nM

Nocodazole marginally delayed chromosome alignment, but significantly slowed cohesion fatigue

in both HeLa-Slow and HeLa-Fast cells (Figure 4A). Correspondingly, partial stabilization of

spindle microtubules with 1.5 nM Taxol led to faster cohesion fatigue in both cell types (Figure

4B). When viewed as percentages, HeLa-Slow and HeLa-Fast cells showed comparable delay in

cohesion fatigue when treated with Nocodazole and comparable acceleration when treated with

Taxol.

To reduce spindle tension we treated cells with low concentrations of the Eg5 inhibitor

STLC (Skoufias et al., 2006). At high concentrations, STLC induces collapse of spindle poles.

But, at reduced concentrations, spindles can be maintained with decreased interpolar distance

and diminished spindle tension (Vallot et al., 2017). The decrease in spindle tension should

reduce the outward force on kinetochores. In control cells, 1.5 uM STLC caused only a slight

delay in normal mitotic progression (Supplementary figure 4D). In cells arrested at metaphase,

STLC treatment led to significantly slower cohesion fatigue in both HeLa-Slow and HeLa-Fast

cells (Figure C).

Fatigued chromatids can congress to the metaphase plate.

Normally metaphase in mitosis requires approximately 10 to 30 min before synchronous

separation of sister chromatids in anaphase occurs, followed by mitotic exit. In contrast, when

cells are experimentally delayed at metaphase, chromatids pull apart slowly and asynchronously

while cells remain in mitosis (Figure 5A). The rate of chromatid separation varies widely among

different cell lines. Chromosome spreads of cells arrested for a few to several hours (depending

on the cell line) show complete separation of most chromosomes (Figure 1B). Typically, in cells

that have undergone cohesion fatigue, some chromatids are oriented near the poles but many

appear clustered near the metaphase plate (Figure 5A, last panel). To understand the behavior

of chromatids during cohesion fatigue we used high resolution lattice light sheet microscopy to

track chromatid movement after cohesion fatigue. Live imaging of LLC-Pk cells stably expressing

GFP-Topoisomerase IIα, which marks both kinetochores and chromosome arms, revealed that

partially and completely separated chromatids oscillate toward and away from the spindle

midplane (Compare normal mitosis in Supplemental Video 1 and cohesion fatigue in

Supplemental Video 2). Thus, unpaired kinetochores on chromatids separated by cohesion

fatigue can subsequently align near the metaphase plate. This is likely due to formation of

merotelic attachments of single kinetochores to microtubules from both poles and to microtubule-

based ejection forces from the poles impacting chromatid arms.

Short delays at metaphase induce partial separation of chromatids at their kinetochores.

Chromatid separation in cohesion fatigue is progressive, initiating at the kinetochores then

advancing distally along the chromosome arms (Daum et al., 2011). To evaluate the time-course

of chromatid separation after short delays, we tracked the interkinetochore distance between

sister chromatids in LLC-PK cells. We detected significant separation of kinetochores in cells

treated with MG132 for 3 h (Figure 6A) In most cells arrested for 3 h, sister chromatid arms

remained attached, but kinetochores were significantly separated with many showing separations

of more than 3 µm versus 1.75 µm in control metaphase cells and 0.7 µm in cells treated with 330

nM nocodazole (Figure 6A, 6B and Supplementary figure 5A). Like LLC-PK cells, HeLa-Slow cells

also showed increased interkinetochore distances when delayed at metaphase for 3 h

(Supplementary figure 5B). To examine the dynamics of chromatid separation in detail, we used

live cell imaging to monitor metaphase-arrested LLC-PK cells expressing GFP-Topoisomerase

IIα. As anticipated from the analysis of fixed cells, live cell tracking showed wider average

distances between sister kinetochores in cells arrested at metaphase with MG132 for 3 hours

compared to untreated control cells or cells treated for only 1 hour (Supplementary figure 5C).

Moreover, cells treated with MG132 for 3 h showed a significantly larger range of stretching

between sister kinetochores compared to cells treated for only 1 h. In metaphase of untreated

cells or cells treated with MG132 for 1 h, the average distance between sister kinetochores varied

over an average range of about 0.4 µm as sister kinetochores oscillated together and apart. In

contrast, cells arrested at metaphase for 3 h showed a range of stretching between sister

kinetochores of 1 µm or more (Figure 6C). Overall, moderate delays at metaphase cause

abnormal separation of kinetochores.

Partial separation of chromatids induces chromosome segregation defects.

Transient delays in anaphase onset after most chromosomes have aligned at the

metaphase plate often occur because one or more chromosomes lag in congression, even in an

unperturbed, normal mitosis. To examine the immediate impact of partial chromatid separation

that may occur during a transient delay, we arrested cells at metaphase, then released them into

anaphase. We arrested LLC-PK cells with 5 µM MG132 for 3 h. Cells were washed into fresh

medium without drug and then fixed 3.5 h later when most had entered anaphase. We examined

every cell that entered anaphase for lagging chromosomes, anaphase bridges or micronuclei

(Figure 7A left). Cells arrested at metaphase for 3 h with MG132 treatment exited mitosis with an

error rate of ~44%. Cells treated and released after a treatment with both MG132 and Nocodazole

showed segregation errors in 18% of anaphases, significantly lower than MG132 treatment alone

(Figure 7A right). Cells treated and released from a 3 h Nocodazole arrest exhibited a slightly

elevated error rate of 7%. Untreated control cells exited mitosis with a missegregation rate of

~4%. Because mitotic exit after release from MG132 requires approximately 3.5 h while recovery

from Nocodazole takes only 30-60 min, cells released from the combination of MG132 and

Nocodazole arrest at metaphase with an intact spindle for approximately 3 h. This finding is

consistent with the higher rate of anaphase defects in these cells compared with controls. We

also compared the accumulation of segregation defects in cells arrested at metaphase for

different durations. We treated LLC-PK cells with MG132 for 1 or 4 h, released them in fresh

medium and then evaluated the anaphases. In cells arrested for 1 h, 13% of the anaphases

showed segregation errors, while in cells arrested for 4 h, 55% of revealed errors (Supplementary

figure 6A).

Not all anaphase chromosome segregation errors cause aneuploidy, as some lagging

chromosomes are properly incorporated into daughter nuclei. However, missegregated

chromosomes often decondense separately to form micronuclei that persist for long periods in

daughter cells and can induce catastrophic DNA damage (Crasta et al., 2012; Hatch et al., 2013;

Thompson and Compton, 2011; Zhang et al., 2015). We tested whether short metaphase delays

increase the incidence of micronuclei. We quantified the number of micronuclei in LLC-PK cell

cultures 24 h after transient arrests with MG132 or Nocodazole for 1 h and 3 h. Cells delayed at

metaphase with MG132 treatment for 3 h exhibited significantly higher numbers of micronuclei

compared to cells arrested for 1 h or cells treated with nocodazole for 3 h (Figures 7B and C).

To map the effects of short metaphase delays in greater detail, we used video microscopy.

To achieve metaphase delays of varying lengths, we treated cells with 10, 20 or 30 μM ProTAME.

ProTAME is a cell permeable inhibitor of the APC/C (Zeng et al., 2010). ProTAME delayed cells

at metaphase in a dose-dependent manner for varying durations (Supplementary figure 6B).

These ProTAME-induced metaphase delays were followed by three outcomes: 1) normal

anaphase and mitotic exit, 2) defective anaphase involving lagging chromosomes, anaphase

bridges, or micronuclei or 3) cohesion fatigue (Figure 8A). Cells delayed at metaphase for less

than 2 h had a low incidence (14%) of anaphase defects. The number of cells showing defective

anaphase increased to 37% in cells delayed for 3 h and to approximately 58% in cells delayed for

4 h. With longer arrest durations, the number of cells exhibiting defective anaphase declined,

while the number of cells that underwent cohesion fatigue increased (Figures 8B and 8C). Cells

exhibiting normal anaphase were delayed at metaphase an average of 134 ±111 min, while cells

showing at least one kind of chromosome segregation defect were delayed for 199 ±106 min.

Cohesion fatigue occurred in cells arrested at metaphase for 370 ±105 min (Supplementary figure

6C). Thus, cells showed an increased frequency of segregation errors that correlated with the

duration of metaphase arrest but then exhibited cohesion fatigue after extended times at

metaphase. Cells with massive chromatid separation did not generally enter anaphase, likely due

to reactivation of the spindle checkpoint. Overall, limited separation of chromatids caused by

transient metaphase delay produces chromosome segregation defects in anaphase and often

generates micronuclei.

Discussion:

Our data reveal that breaching of sister chromatid cohesion that accompanies cohesion

fatigue does not require release of core Cohesin ring components from chromatids. It also does

not appear to exploit a specific protein-protein interface in the Cohesin ring. More specifically, the

Wapl-mediated opening of cohesin rings is not required after metaphase arrest to separate sister

chromatids in cohesion fatigue. In contrast, loss of Wapl activity in early mitosis leads to increased

retention of Cohesin on metaphase chromosomes, which does inhibit subsequent cohesion

fatigue. Experimental manipulations that compromise Cohesin integrity in mitotic chromosomes

accelerate cohesion fatigue. Our studies demonstrate the dynamic tension of the mitotic spindle,

specifically the pulling forces acting on kinetochores is countered by the resistance of Cohesin

that holds chromatids together. The rate of chromatid separation in cells delayed at metaphase

yields a quantitative measure of these two antagonistic components. Our studies also reveal that

partially or fully separated chromatids can travel to the spindle equator. This competence for the

kinetochores of unpaired chromatids to congress to the metaphase plate was first described by

(Brinkley et al., 1988). Finally, we show that in contrast to complete chromatid separation that

accompanies cohesion fatigue, even relatively short metaphase delays can result in partial

chromatid separation that lead to defects in chromosome segregation during the subsequent

anaphase.

In mitosis in vertebrate cells, most Cohesin is released from chromosomes through the

activities of mitotic kinases and the Wapl protein which act during early mitosis. Of the remaining

Cohesin that remains bound to isolated mitotic chromosomes, most can be released by treatment

with moderate levels of salt (Bermudez et al., 2012). Although not proven, we speculate that the

functional or "cohesive" Cohesin that holds sister chromatids together reflects the minor, salt-

resistant population. The precise molecular nature of Cohesin interactions with chromatin remains

a topic of research and debate. For sister chromatid cohesion, most models are variations on two

general modes of Cohesin/chromatin interaction termed "embrace" or "handcuff" models

(reviewed in (Skibbens, 2016). Embrace models propose that both sisters are contained within

the same Cohesin ring, while handcuff models suggest sister chromatids are enclosed in separate

rings that are linked together. Recently a new model of chromatin binding by Cohesin was

reported, termed "hold-and-release" (Xu et al., 2018). The hold-and-release model proposes that

DNA is sandwiched by arched coiled-coils of SMC components rather than entrapped within a

ring. Although sister chromatids undergo separation during cohesion fatigue, we found no change

in the amount of salt-stable, core Cohesin components bound to chromosomes before or after

chromatid separation (Figure 1). This surprising result suggests that salt-resistant Cohesin is not

released from chromatids during their separation. This outcome is most consistent with the

handcuff models or the newly suggested hold-and-release model of interchromatid cohesion since

these do not necessarily require Cohesin release at chromatid separation. However, there are

other potential explanations for our findings. One is that sister chromatid cohesion is mediated

only by a minor subfraction of the salt-resistant Cohesin, which is indeed released during cohesion

fatigue at levels we cannot detect. Recent work in Drosophila, where total Cohesin levels were

genetically regulated, shows that expression of very low levels of Cohesin can maintain normal

sister chromatid cohesion at metaphase (Oliviera, personal communication). Another possibility

to explain our results is that Cohesin interactions with chromatin are remodeled during fatigue

from binding sister chromatids together to binding chromatin segments within a single chromatid.

This possibility has been proposed to explain centromere structure in budding yeast (Yeh et al.,

2008) and chromatin immunoprecipitation studies in yeast suggest that Cohesin may be able to

break and reform chromatid linkages during mitosis (Ocampo-Hafalla et al., 2007). Alternatively,

salt-resistant Cohesin might be released during chromatid separation only to rebind onto the

separated chromatids later. Finally we cannot exclude the possibility that DNA damage generated

during cohesion fatigue could recruit Cohesin during separation (Unal et al., 2004).

Another potential explanation for the retention of Cohesin on chromatids during cohesion

fatigue is that protein-protein interactions among proteins comprising the Cohesin ring may open

transiently. Opposing poleward-directed tension may exploit these transient openings, allowing

sister chromatids to slip apart. Separation would initiate at the kinetochores then progress down

the length of the chromosome arms, the exact behavior observed in time lapse imaging (Daum et

al., 2011). However, in our current study, after allowing the normal activity of the Wapl-mediated

Cohesin release in prometaphase, locking of individual gates of Cohesin complexes at metaphase

did not inhibit cohesion fatigue (Figure 2E). This evidence suggests that neither the SMC3-

RAD21, Wapl gate nor the SMC1-SMC3 and the SMC1-RAD21 gates are individually required

for cohesion fatigue. Because we cannot lock multiple gates at the same time, we cannot eliminate

the possibility that transient openings of multiple Cohesin gates contributes to cohesion fatigue.

In our previous study we showed, by siRNA depletion, that background Separase activity did

slightly affect the timing of cohesion fatigue but was not required (Daum et al., 2011). This

evidence, along with our current Aurora B inhibition studies (Figure 2C) and gate locking

experiments suggest that the mechanism of cohesion fatigue is likely a novel, yet undiscovered

pathway of breaching sister chromatid cohesion. Thus, the phenomenon of cohesion fatigue

provides an additional tool to study the nature of Cohesin interaction with chromatin.

Our studies reveal that the amount of Cohesin retained on chromosomes dictates the rate

of cohesion fatigue. While Wapl activity is not required for cohesion fatigue, previous work showed

that siRNA-mediated depletion of Wapl decreased the rate and extent of cohesion fatigue (Daum

et al., 2011; Stevens et al., 2011). We tested the idea that this was due to increased retention of

Cohesin on mitotic chromosomes by using HeLa cells stably expressing SMC1-GFP and showed

directly that cohesion fatigue is delayed in cells where mitotic chromosomes show enrichment of

Cohesin after Wapl depletion prior to mitotic entry. We also showed that expression of the Wapl

antagonist, Sororin, mutated to be resistant to removal by mitotic phosphorylation, also delays

cohesion fatigue. These experiments confirm that inhibition of Wapl-mediated prophase pathway

before mitotic entry enriches Cohesin on chromosomes and delays cohesion fatigue (Figures 2A

and 2B).

While our results show that the Wapl-mediated cohesin removal is not essential for

cohesion fatigue, it may still influence timing. Complete disruption of spindle microtubules

eliminates cohesion fatigue. However, extended arrest in the absence of microtubules renders

cells more sensitive to subsequent cohesion fatigue when metaphase spindles are allowed to

form (Figure 3A). Over time in cells arrested with depolymerized spindles, chromosome-

associated Cohesin may diminish through continued Wapl-mediated Cohesin release and/or

background separase activity. In support of this idea, we found diminished Cohesin levels on

chromosomes isolated from cells treated with Nocodazole for longer times (Supplementary figure

3C).

Two previous studies reported that experimentally induced metaphase delays would

reduce immediate chromosome segregation errors (Cimini et al., 2003; Ertych et al., 2014).

However, our detailed analyses indicated the opposite, that transient metaphase delays increase

the incidence of segregation defects during anaphase (Figures 7 and 8). The reason for this

discrepancy is not clear, but the previous reports used different cell lines and different

experimental conditions. Consistent with the previous studies, we found that very short

metaphase delays did not increase the chromosome segregation errors (Supplementary figure

6C). Our results point to a critical threshold of metaphase arrest and accompanying kinetochore

separation that may be required before the delay becomes detrimental. In our experiments

increased kinetochore separation abrogates the normal back-to-back orientation of sister

kinetochores allowing greater chances for merotelic attachments of single kinetochores to both

spindle poles. Such merotelic kinetochore attachments have been shown to increase the

incidence of anaphase defects (Cimini et al., 2003; Salmon et al., 2005; Thompson and Compton,

2011). Cohesion fatigue that generates chromatid separation in several chromosomes likely

compromises cell viability either through cell cycle arrest mediated by the spindle checkpoint or

through catastrophic chromosome missegregation if cells exit mitosis. The more subtle errors that

accompany partial chromatid separation for cells with shorter delays at metaphase may produce

segregation defects that can propagate in daughter cells.

Although certain cells, notably some cancer cell lines, exhibit high degrees of chromosome

instability, most dividing cells in culture show low rates of spontaneous segregation errors that

can manifest in several ways (Thompson and Compton, 2008). As with the other errors, we

observe spontaneous cohesion fatigue in normal control cells at low frequency (data not shown).

Cells that undergo substantial cohesion fatigue with many separated chromatids are likely to die,

because separated single chromatids elicit spindle checkpoint signaling (Lara-Gonzalez and

Taylor, 2012), which promotes continued mitotic arrest and cell death. Even if they survive and

divide after delay, the progeny cells would have highly abnormal chromosome content and would

likely be inviable. In this study we also focused on less extreme circumstances, where shorter

delays at metaphase allowed sister chromatids to partially separate before anaphase onset

(Figure 6).

Cohesin complexes contain one of two auxiliary "Stromal Antigen" components, SA1 or

SA2. In HCT116 and RPE1 cells, depletion of SA2 causes significant increases in lagging

chromosomes (Kleyman et al., 2014). Furthermore, in HCT116 cells, knockout of the Stag2 gene,

which codes for SA2, does not strongly affect normal mitotic progression but may increase the

incidence of aneuploidy (Solomon et al., 2011). We found that HCT116 cells lacking SA2

underwent faster cohesion fatigue compared to parental HCT116 cells (Figure 3B). However, it

does not appear that release of SA2 accompanies cohesion fatigue in normal cells, since

chromatin-associated SA2 does not decrease during metaphase arrest or after chromatid

separation (Figure 3C). Inactivating mutations in the Stag2 gene are correlated with aneuploidy

in some cancers (Solomon et al., 2011). We propose that an increased propensity for full or partial

chromatid separation due to cohesion fatigue may contribute to aneuploidy in cells with mutations

in Stag2.

Previous studies suggested that cells prone to undergo rapid cohesion fatigue showed

altered distribution and reduced levels of the Cohesin protector protein, SGO1 (Tanno et al.,

2015). However, both fast and slow fatiguing HeLa cells exhibited no significant reduction in

SGO1 protein during cohesion fatigue when compared to metaphase levels (Supplementary

figures 1D and 1E). SGO1 levels were higher at metaphase in HeLa-Fast cells compared to HeLa-

Slow cells, the opposite one might expect if Sgo1 levels regulated the rate of cohesion fatigue.

Taken together, our observations indicate that breaching cohesion during cohesion fatigue may

not require canonical Cohesin removal mechanisms, such as the Wapl pathway or the activity of

Separase. However, we believe it is highly likely that these mechanisms may influence the

sensitivity and rates of cohesion fatigue in different cells and under different conditions.

Metaphase is a point of balance between microtubule-dependent pulling forces that

separate chromatids versus cohesive forces that hold chromosomes together. We show that

mitotic spindle microtubule dynamics affect cohesion fatigue, likely by modulating spindle-pulling

forces. Low concentrations of Taxol accelerate fatigue, while low concentrations of Nocodazole

slow it. Partial inhibition of Eg5 kinesin with STLC likely compromises overall spindle tension to

relax spindle-pulling force and slow cohesion fatigue (Figure 4). These results highlight the roles

of robust and dynamic microtubules and sufficient spindle-pulling forces to separate sister

chromatids during cohesion fatigue.

While normally transient, metaphase can be delayed. Our data suggest that many factors

contribute to cell sensitivity to cohesion fatigue including the various canonical Cohesin

regulators. However, a complete understanding of the primary molecular mechanisms underlying

chromatid separation during cohesion fatigue remains unresolved and may reflect an incomplete

understanding of sister chromatid cohesion. Future studies of cohesion fatigue may provide

insight into the nature of Cohesin complex-chromatin interactions. While complete chromatid

separation of many chromosomes will likely result in cell death or inviable progeny cells, complete

separation of one or a few chromosomes and/or partial chromatid separation may be an important

source of genomic instability that perpetuates the evolution of malignant cells in cancer.

Material and methods:

Cell culture and drug treatments: HeLa, LLC-PK, HCT116 and HEK293 cells were cultured in flasks in DMEM-based media supplemented with 2 mM HEPES, non-essential amino acids (NEAA), sodium-pyruvate, 1X penicillin-streptomycin (P/S, Corning, 30-002-CI) and 10% FBS. Cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO_2 in a water-jacketed incubator. Cells were subcultured every other day and were used within 6 months of thawing from liquid nitrogen. Unless otherwise specified, drugs were applied at the following concentrations: Nocodazole: 330 nM, MG132: 25 µM, ProTAME: 25 µM, Rapamycin: 100 nM, ZM447439: 2.5 uM. All cell lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma. HeLa cells, LLC-PK cells and HCT116 cells were mycoplasma free. The HEK293 cells were found to be mycoplasma positive. Unfortunately, all the stock cultures, even the earliest isolates at the laboratory of origin were found to be mycoplasma positive. We used several approaches designed to cure the mycoplasma contamination, but these were unsuccessful.

Chromosome/chromatin isolation: Subconfluent cultures of HeLa cells were treated with Nocodazole for 12 - 16 h, then mitotic cells were collected by shake-off. Cells remaining in the flasks (interphase cells primarily in G2) were collected by trypsinization. Cells were centrifuged in 50 ml tubes at 200 Xg for 4 min and re-suspended in warm media at 1X10^6 cells/ml. 4x10^5 cells were aliquoted into 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 200 Xg for 5 min. The cell pellet was then lysed with cold Extraction Lysis Buffer (ELB) by repeated pipetting. The ELB contained PHEM buffer: 60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA and 4 mM $MgCl_2$ with 0.1 M NaCl, 1% CHAPS, 1mM DTT, and 1:200 protease inhibitor (Sigma, P8340). Lysed cells were incubated for 20 min in ice then centrifuged at 1400 Xg for 10 min. A fraction of soluble supernatant was saved. The pellets were subjected to two more cycles of resuspension in ELB and centrifugation.

Western blot: Supernatant and chromatin pellets were dissolved in 1X loading buffer (1X LDS sample buffer (Thermofisher, NP007) + 50 mM DTT). Equivalent cell numbers were loaded on 4-12% NuPAGE gels, electrophoresed at 50 V for 7 min, then for 2 h at 150 V in MOPS SDS running buffer. Proteins were transferred onto 0.45 micron PVDF membrane in transfer buffer (50 mM Tris, 192 mM Glycine and 0.05% SDS) containing 15% methanol with a Midi transfer apparatus (Idea Scientific). Blots were blocked with 5 % non-fat dry milk in PBST (PBS with 0.05% Tween 20) or 1:10 Sea Block (Thermofisher, 37527). Blots were cut into pieces and incubated with rabbit anti-SMC3 (Bethyl, A300-055A) at 1:1000 in block, rabbit anti-RAD21 (Bethyl, A300-080A, BL331) at 1:1000, mouse anti-SA2 (Santa Cruz, J-12) at 1:1000, rabbit anti-CENPA (Millipore, 07-574) at 1:200 and rabbit anti-Histone H3 (Abcam, ab1791) at 1:10000 at 4°C overnight with gentle rocking. Blots were washed 3 times with PBST then labeled with Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary (JacksonImmunoresearch, 11-035-144) at 1:20000. For far red fluorescent detection, goat anti-rabbit, or anti-mouse (Azure biosystem, AC2128 and AC2129) were used at 1:10000 at room temperature for 2.5 h. Blots were washed again 3 times with PBST. For HRP detection blots were treated with Pierce West Pico reagent for 5 mins, then captured by chemiluminescence with a Kodak 4000R Image Station. For far-red fluorescence, membranes were imaged using an Azure c600 imaging system. Blot quantification was done using the raw images with Metamorph Software (Molecular devices).

Chromosome spreads: Mitotic cells were washed with warm media by centrifuging at 300Xg for 3 min. Cells were suspended in 500 µl of warmed swelling buffer (40 % complete media + 60% DI water). Samples were incubated in a 37°C water bath for 15-18 min. Swollen cells were fixed by adding 1 ml 3:1 methanol: acetic acid, then incubated for 10 min. The cells were pelleted for 5 min at 250Xg, then washed with 1 ml fixative and pelleted once more. The cell pellets were resuspended in 100-200 µl fixative, then 40-50 µl of cell suspension was dropped from a height of 60 cm onto a 22 mm^2 coverslip that was cleaned with 95% ethanol and wiped with acetic acid. The coverslips were immediately placed inside a 150 mm plastic culture dish on top of wet filter

paper. The lid was left off, and the coverslips were allowed to dry in the humidified chamber. Once

dried, coverslips were stained with DAPI (100 ng/ml) and SYBERGold nucleic acid dye (1:20000).

Slides were imaged with a Zeiss Axioplan II microscope using a 100X objective, Hamamatsu Orca

II camera and Metamorph software. At least 200 mitotic spreads were scored for each sample. If

an individual cell spread had more than 10 single chromatids, the cell was scored as fatigued.

Live cell imaging: Cells were grown in chambered cover glasses (Lab-Tek) for 24 h, then the

medium was changed to L-15 phenol red-free medium supplemented with P/S, NEAA and 10%

FBS. The surface of the medium was overlayered with mineral oil to reduce evaporation. For most

experiments, chambers were transferred to a Zeiss Axiovert microscope then imaged while using

an air-curtain heater to maintain the temperature at 37°C. Images were acquired every 7-10 min

for 18-20 h with a Zeiss 20X objective and ORCA-ER Hamamatsu camera using Metamorph

Software (Molecular Devices LLC). Images were analyzed using Metamorph software. For

experiments; Wapl depletion in SMC1-GFP cells, STLC treatment in HeLa-Fast, Taxol treatment

in HeLa-Slow, Rapamycin treatment in HeK293, ZM447439 treatment, and Sororin mutant

images were acquired using 20X objective in a Nikon Ti microscope fitted with an OKOlab

environmental chamber. For each cell that entered mitosis, the intervals from nuclear envelope

breakdown (NEBD) to metaphase and to anaphase onset or cohesion fatigue were recorded. To

induce metaphase arrest, cells were treated with 25 µM MG132 or 25 µM ProTAME, and scored

as fatigued when approximately 10% of the chromosomes had undergone chromatid separation.

For high resolution cohesion fatigue imaging, LLC-PK cells constitutively expressing EGFP-

Topoisomerase II alpha were grown on round 5mm glass coverslips in DMEM-based media to

densities between 60% and 80%. For control cultures exhibiting normal mitotic progression

DMSO was added to culture medium at 0.1%. To induce cohesion fatigue the 26S proteasome

inhibitor, MG132, dissolved in DMSO was added at 10 uM to experimental cultures which

resulted in a 0.1% DMSO concentration. Prior to image acquisition in order to improve

fluorescence capture and to remove the requirement for carbon dioxide pH buffering, media was

exchanged to phenol-free Leibovitz L-15 media with L-glutamine (Cat.# AT207-1L, VWR), 10 %

FBS, Penicillin, Streptomycin with or without MG132 as described above. Fluorescence images

of EGFP-Topoisomerase II alpha at 5 second intervals encompassing the entire cell volume

were acquired using the lattice light sheet microscope (LLSM) at Janelia Research Campus's

Advanced Imaging Center. Movies were prepared using Imaris software.

siRNA experiments: HeLa cells stably expressing SMC1-GFP were grown on chambered cover

glasses. Cells were transfected with Wapl siRNAs #1 GAGAGAUGUUUACGAGUUU; #2

CAACAGUGAAUCGAGUAA or universal negative control (Sigma catalog # SIC001) using RNAi

Max lipofectamine (Thermofisher catalog # 13778150). 48 h after transfection, live cell imaging

was done as described above. Only cells that showed a clear GFP signal on metaphase

chromosomes were included indicating significant depletion of Wapl. The elapsed time from

NEBD to metaphase and to chromatid separation was measured.

Immunofluorescence: Cells grown on 22 mm^2 coverslips were simultaneously fixed and

permeablized with 2% PFA and 0.5% Triton X-100 in 1X PHEM buffer at room temperature for 15

min. The cells were blocked with 20% boiled normal goat serum (BNGS) for at least 20 min.

Coverslips were incubated with primary antibody, CREST (1:800, Antibody INC, 15-134), rabbit

anti SGO1 (1:500, a gift from Dr. Hongtao Yu) diluted in 5% BNGS in PBST overnight at 4°C.

Coverslips were washed 3 times with MBST (MOPS buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20), then

incubated in secondary antibody, goat anti-rabbit conjugated to CY3 at 1:1500 (JacksonImmuno,

111-165-045109) , goat anti-human conjugated to FITC at 1:800 (JacksonImmuno, 109-95-088)

for 2 h at room temperature. After incubation with secondary antibodies, coverslips were washed

three times again then labeled with DAPI (100 ng/ml) for one min. Coverslips were mounted on

slides with Vectashield mounting media (Victor labs, H-1000), then sealed with clear nail polish.

Fluorescence images of cells were taken using a Zeiss Axioplan II microscope with a Zeiss 100X

objective, Hamamatsu Orca II camera and Metamorph software. Distances between pairs of

kinetochores were measured using the region measurement tool in Metamorph software.

Transient metaphase arrest: For fixed cell analysis, LLC-PK cells grown on 22mm^2 were treated

with 5 μM MG132 ± 330 nM Nocodazole for 3 h. Arrested cells were washed 4 times with warm

DMEM then released into complete DMEM medium to complete mitosis. 3.5 h after release from

drug, cells were fixed with 3:1 methanol: acetic acid and labelled with (DAPI 100 ng/ml). Anaphase

cells were examined visually for lagging chromosomes or anaphase bridges with a Zeiss Axioplan

II and a Zeiss 100X objective. For identification of micronuclei, LLC-PK cells grown on coverslips

were transiently arrested with Nocodazole for 3 h or MG132 for 1 or 3 h then washed and released

into complete medium. 24 h after release, cells were fixed with 3:1 methanol: acetic acid then

labeled with DAPI (100 ng/ml). Each coverslip was imaged at 50 random positions with a Zeiss

Axiovert microscope and Zeiss 20X objective. The total number of cells and micronuclei in a field

was quantified using Metamorph software. For live cell imaging, HeLa-H2B-GFP cells grown on

chambered cover glasses were treated with 10, 20, or 30 μM ProTAME in L-15 medium then

imaged every 10 min for 18 h. Every cell that entered the mitosis was examined visually at

anaphase for any visible signs of anaphase bridges, lagging chromosomes or micronuclei

formation.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Dr. Arshad Desai for HeLa cells expressing H2B-mRFP, Dr. Kyoko Yokomori for HeLa cells expressing SMC1-GFP, Dr. Todd Waldman for HCT116 SA2 knockout cells, Dr. Olaf Stemmann for HeK293 cells expressing FRB- and FKBP-fused Cohesin proteins, and Dr. Hongtao Yu for HeLa cell expressing 9A-mutnat Sororin and anti-SGO1 antibodies. We also thank John Heddleston and Teng-Leong Chew at Janelia Research Campus's Advanced Imaging Center and HHMI and More Foundation for providing instrumentation and technical assistance for lattice light sheet microscopy imaging. Our thanks to all the members of Program in Cell Cycle and Cancer Biology at OMRF for insightful discussion and comments. This work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R01GM111731) and by the McCasland Foundation.

References

Bermudez, V.P., A. Farina, T.L. Higashi, F. Du, I. Tappin, T.S. Takahashi, and J. Hurwitz. 2012. In vitro loading of human cohesin on DNA by the human Scc2-Scc4 loader complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 109:9366-9371.

Brinkley, B.R., R.P. Zinkowski, W.L. Mollon, F.M. Davis, M.A. Pisegna, M. Pershouse, and P.N. Rao. 1988. Movement and segregation of kinetochores experimentally detached from mammalian chromosomes. *Nature*. 336:251-254.

Buheitel, J., and O. Stemmann. 2013. Prophase pathway-dependent removal of cohesin from human chromosomes requires opening of the Smc3-Scc1 gate. *Embo j*. 32:666-676.

Canudas, S., and S. Smith. 2009. Differential regulation of telomere and centromere cohesion by the Scc3 homologues SA1 and SA2, respectively, in human cells. *J Cell Biol*. 187:165-173.

Cimini, D., B. Moree, J.C. Canman, and E.D. Salmon. 2003. Merotelic kinetochore orientation occurs frequently during early mitosis in mammalian tissue cells and error correction is achieved by two different mechanisms. *J Cell Sci*. 116:4213-4225.

Crasta, K., N.J. Ganem, R. Dagher, A.B. Lantermann, E.V. Ivanova, Y. Pan, L. Nezi, A. Protopopov, D. Chowdhury, and D. Pellman. 2012. DNA breaks and chromosome pulverization from errors in mitosis. *Nature*. 482:53-58.

Daum, J.R., T.A. Potapova, S. Sivakumar, J.J. Daniel, J.N. Flynn, S. Rankin, and G.J. Gorbsky. 2011. Cohesion fatigue induces chromatid separation in cells delayed at metaphase. *Curr Biol*. 21:1018-1024.

Ertych, N., A. Stolz, A. Stenzinger, W. Weichert, S. Kaulfuss, P. Burfeind, A. Aigner, L. Wordeman, and H. Bastians. 2014. Increased microtubule assembly rates influence chromosomal instability in colorectal cancer cells. *Nat Cell Biol*. 16:779-791.

Gandhi, R., P.J. Gillespie, and T. Hirano. 2006. Human Wapl is a cohesin-binding protein that promotes sister-chromatid resolution in mitotic prophase. *Curr Biol*. 16:2406-2417.

Haarhuis, J.H., A.M. Elbatsh, B. van den Broek, D. Camps, H. Erkan, K. Jalink, R.H. Medema, and B.D. Rowland. 2013. WAPL-mediated removal of cohesin protects against segregation errors and aneuploidy. *Curr Biol*. 23:2071-2077.

Haarhuis, J.H.I., R.H. van der Weide, V.A. Blomen, J.O. Yanez-Cuna, M. Amendola, M.S. van Ruiten, P.H.L. Krijger, H. Teunissen, R.H. Medema, B. van Steensel, T.R. Brummelkamp, E. de Wit, and B.D. Rowland. 2017. The Cohesin Release Factor WAPL Restricts Chromatin Loop Extension. *Cell*. 169:693-707.e614.

Hatch, E.M., A.H. Fischer, T.J. Deerinck, and M.W. Hetzer. 2013. Catastrophic nuclear envelope collapse in cancer cell micronuclei. *Cell*. 154:47-60.

Hauf, S., E. Roitinger, B. Koch, C.M. Dittrich, K. Mechtler, and J.M. Peters. 2005. Dissociation of cohesin from chromosome arms and loss of arm cohesion during early mitosis depends on phosphorylation of SA2. *PLoS Biol*. 3:e69.

Hou, F., C.W. Chu, X. Kong, K. Yokomori, and H. Zou. 2007. The acetyltransferase activity of San stabilizes the mitotic cohesin at the centromeres in a shugoshin-independent manner. *J Cell Biol*. 177:587-597.

Kleyman, M., L. Kabeche, and D.A. Compton. 2014. STAG2 promotes error correction in mitosis by regulating kinetochore-microtubule attachments. *J Cell Sci*. 127:4225-4233.

Kueng, S., B. Hegemann, B.H. Peters, J.J. Lipp, A. Schleiffer, K. Mechtler, and J.M. Peters. 2006. Wapl controls the dynamic association of cohesin with chromatin. *Cell*. 127:955-967.

Lara-Gonzalez, P., and S.S. Taylor. 2012. Cohesion fatigue explains why pharmacological inhibition of the APC/C induces a spindle checkpoint-dependent mitotic arrest. *PLoS One*. 7:e49041.

Liu, H., L. Jia, and H. Yu. 2013a. Phospho-H2A and cohesin specify distinct tension-regulated Sgo1 pools at kinetochores and inner centromeres. *Curr Biol*. 23:1927-1933.

Liu, H., S. Rankin, and H. Yu. 2013b. Phosphorylation-enabled binding of SGO1-PP2A to cohesin protects sororin and centromeric cohesion during mitosis. *Nat Cell Biol*. 15:40-49.

McGuinness, B.E., T. Hirota, N.R. Kudo, J.M. Peters, and K. Nasmyth. 2005. Shugoshin prevents dissociation of cohesin from centromeres during mitosis in vertebrate cells. *PLoS Biol*. 3:e86.

Michaelis, C., R. Ciosk, and K. Nasmyth. 1997. Cohesins: chromosomal proteins that prevent premature separation of sister chromatids. *Cell*. 91:35-45.

Murayama, Y., and F. Uhlmann. 2015. DNA Entry into and Exit out of the Cohesin Ring by an Interlocking Gate Mechanism. *Cell*. 163:1628-1640.

Nishiyama, T., R. Ladurner, J. Schmitz, E. Kreidl, A. Schleiffer, V. Bhaskara, M. Bando, K. Shirahige, A.A. Hyman, K. Mechtler, and J.M. Peters. 2010. Sororin mediates sister chromatid cohesion by antagonizing Wapl. *Cell*. 143:737-749.

Nishiyama, T., M.M. Sykora, P.J. Huis in 't Veld, K. Mechtler, and J.M. Peters. 2013. Aurora B and Cdk1 mediate Wapl activation and release of acetylated cohesin from chromosomes by phosphorylating Sororin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 110:13404-13409.

Ocampo-Hafalla, M.T., Y. Katou, K. Shirahige, and F. Uhlmann. 2007. Displacement and re-accumulation of centromeric cohesin during transient pre-anaphase centromere splitting. *Chromosoma*. 116:531-544.

Sackton, K.L., N. Dimova, X. Zeng, W. Tian, M. Zhang, T.B. Sackton, J. Meaders, K.L. Pfaff, F. Sigoillot, H. Yu, X. Luo, and R.W. King. 2014. Synergistic blockade of mitotic exit by two chemical inhibitors of the APC/C. *Nature*. 514:646-649.

Salmon, E.D., D. Cimini, L.A. Cameron, and J.G. DeLuca. 2005. Merotelic kinetochores in mammalian tissue cells. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 360:553-568.

Shintomi, K., and T. Hirano. 2009. Releasing cohesin from chromosome arms in early mitosis: opposing actions of Wapl-Pds5 and Sgo1. *Genes Dev*. 23:2224-2236.

Skibbens, R.V. 2016. Of Rings and Rods: Regulating Cohesin Entrapment of DNA to Generate Intra- and Intermolecular Tethers. *PLoS Genet*. 12:e1006337.

Skoufias, D.A., S. DeBonis, Y. Saoudi, L. Lebeau, I. Crevel, R. Cross, R.H. Wade, D. Hackney, and F. Kozielski. 2006. S-trityl-L-cysteine is a reversible, tight binding inhibitor of the human kinesin Eg5 that specifically blocks mitotic progression. *J Biol Chem*. 281:17559-17569.

Solomon, D.A., T. Kim, L.A. Diaz-Martinez, J. Fair, A.G. Elkahloun, B.T. Harris, J.A. Toretsky, S.A. Rosenberg, N. Shukla, M. Ladanyi, Y. Samuels, C.D. James, H. Yu, J.S. Kim, and T. Waldman. 2011. Mutational inactivation of STAG2 causes aneuploidy in human cancer. *Science*. 333:1039-1043.

Stevens, D., R. Gassmann, K. Oegema, and A. Desai. 2011. Uncoordinated loss of chromatid cohesion is a common outcome of extended metaphase arrest. *PLoS One*. 6:e22969.

Sumara, I., E. Vorlaufer, C. Gieffers, B.H. Peters, and J.M. Peters. 2000. Characterization of vertebrate cohesin complexes and their regulation in prophase. *J Cell Biol*. 151:749-762.

Tanno, Y., H. Susumu, M. Kawamura, H. Sugimura, T. Honda, and Y. Watanabe. 2015. The inner centromere-shugoshin network prevents chromosomal instability. *Science*. 349:1237-1240.

Tedeschi, A., G. Wutz, S. Huet, M. Jaritz, A. Wuensche, E. Schirghuber, I.F. Davidson, W. Tang, D.A. Cisneros, V. Bhaskara, T. Nishiyama, A. Vaziri, A. Wutz, J. Ellenberg, and J.M. Peters. 2013. Wapl is an essential regulator of chromatin structure and chromosome segregation. *Nature*. 501:564-568.

Thompson, S.L., and D.A. Compton. 2011. Chromosome missegregation in human cells arises
through specific types of kinetochore-microtubule attachment errors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A*. 108:17974-17978.
Tomonaga, T., K. Nagao, Y. Kawasaki, K. Furuya, A. Murakami, J. Morishita, T. Yuasa, T.
Sutani, S.E. Kearsey, F. Uhlmann, K. Nasmyth, and M. Yanagida. 2000.
Characterization of fission yeast cohesin: essential anaphase proteolysis of Rad21
phosphorylated in the S phase. *Genes Dev*. 14:2757-2770.
Uhlmann, F., D. Wernic, M.A. Poupart, E.V. Koonin, and K. Nasmyth. 2000. Cleavage of
cohesin by the CD clan protease separin triggers anaphase in yeast. *Cell*. 103:375-386.
Unal, E., A. Arbel-Eden, U. Sattler, R. Shroff, M. Lichten, J.E. Haber, and D. Koshland. 2004.
DNA damage response pathway uses histone modification to assemble a double-strand
break-specific cohesin domain. *Mol Cell*. 16:991-1002.
Vallot, A., I. Leontiou, D. Cladiere, W. El Yakoubi, S. Bolte, E. Buffin, and K. Wassmann. 2017.
Tension-Induced Error Correction and Not Kinetochore Attachment Status Activates the
SAC in an Aurora-B/C-Dependent Manner in Oocytes. *Curr Biol*.
van der Lelij, P., S. Lieb, J. Jude, G. Wutz, C.P. Santos, K. Falkenberg, A. Schlattl, J. Ban, R.
Schwentner, T. Hoffmann, H. Kovar, F.X. Real, T. Waldman, M.A. Pearson, N. Kraut,
J.M. Peters, J. Zuber, and M. Petronczki. 2017. Synthetic lethality between the cohesin
subunits STAG1 and STAG2 in diverse cancer contexts. *Elife*. 6.
Waizenegger, I.C., S. Hauf, A. Meinke, and J.-M. Peters. 2000. Two Distinct Pathways Remove
Mammalian Cohesin from Chromosome Arms in Prophase and from Centromeres in
Anaphase. *Cell*. 103:399-410.
Whelan, G., E. Kreidl, G. Wutz, A. Egner, J.M. Peters, and G. Eichele. 2012. Cohesin
acetyltransferase Esco2 is a cell viability factor and is required for cohesion in pericentric
heterochromatin. *Embo j*. 31:71-82.
Xu, B., S. Lu, and J.L. Gerton. 2014. Roberts syndrome: A deficit in acetylated cohesin leads to
nucleolar dysfunction. *Rare Dis*. 2:e27743.
Xu, X., R. Kanai, N. Nakazawa, L. Wang, C. Toyoshima, and M. Yanagida. 2018. Suppressor
mutation analysis combined with 3D modeling explains cohesin's capacity to hold and
release DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
Xu, Z., B. Cetin, M. Anger, U.S. Cho, W. Helmhart, K. Nasmyth, and W. Xu. 2009. Structure and
function of the PP2A-shugoshin interaction. *Mol Cell*. 35:426-441.
Yeh, E., J. Haase, L.V. Paliulis, A. Joglekar, L. Bond, D. Bouck, E.D. Salmon, and K.S. Bloom.
2008. Pericentric chromatin is organized into an intramolecular loop in mitosis. *Curr Biol*.
18:81-90.
Zeng, X., F. Sigoillot, S. Gaur, S. Choi, K.L. Pfaff, D.C. Oh, N. Hathaway, N. Dimova, G.D.
Cuny, and R.W. King. 2010. Pharmacologic inhibition of the anaphase-promoting
complex induces a spindle checkpoint-dependent mitotic arrest in the absence of spindle
damage. *Cancer Cell*. 18:382-395.
Zhang, C.Z., A. Spektor, H. Cornils, J.M. Francis, E.K. Jackson, S. Liu, M. Meyerson, and D.
Pellman. 2015. Chromothripsis from DNA damage in micronuclei. *Nature*. 522:179-184.
Zhang, N., S.G. Kuznetsov, S.K. Sharan, K. Li, P.H. Rao, and D. Pati. 2008. A handcuff model
for the cohesin complex. *J Cell Biol*. 183:1019-1031.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: The core Cohesin protein SMC3 remains bound to chromatids during cohesion

fatigue.

(A) Immunoblotting of chromosome fractions from mitotic HeLa cells treated with MG132

±Nocodazole for 8 h. Lane 1 shows baseline salt-resistant SMC3 level in mitotic chromosomes

before cohesion fatigue. Lane 2 reflects SMC3 in chromosome fractions of fatigued chromatids.

Lane 3 shows SMC3 in negative control for cohesion fatigue (MG132 +Nocodazole). **(B)**

Quantified immunoblots from four independent experiments where band intensity of SMC3 was

measured and normalized to CENP-A band intensity. Dotted line on graph represents the

expected level of SMC3 if cohesin was lost from fatigued chromosomes based on the percentage

of separated chromosomes seen in chromosome spreads. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's

multiple comparison was used for statistical analysis. Error bars represent standard deviation.

Figure 2: Wapl-mediated release of Cohesin after metaphase is not required for cohesion

fatigue.

(A) Wapl depletion during interphase slows cohesion fatigue in subsequent mitosis. Elapsed times

from metaphase to chromatid separation/cohesion fatigue were determined via live cell imaging

in HeLa cells stably expressing SMC1-GFP. In Wapl-depleted cells, only cells with clear GFP

signal on chromosomes at the metaphase plate (indicating successful Wapl depletion) were

scored in two independent experiments with totals of >100 cells. **(B)** Expression of

phosphorylation resistant Sororin slows cohesion fatigue. Elapsed times from metaphase to

cohesion fatigue were determined in HeLa cells expressing either WT sororin or non-

phosphorylatable 9A-sororin. At least 60 cells were scored for each cell type. The Mann-Whitney

test was used for statistical analysis. **(C)** Inhibition of Aurora B kinase after metaphase alignment

does not inhibit cohesion fatigue. Experimental scheme and graph depicting elapsed times from

metaphase to cohesion fatigue were determined after 2.5 uM ZM 447439 treatment in cells

released from Nocodazole to MG132 for 1 h. Three independent experiments with totals of >200

cells were quantified. The Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical analysis. **(D)** Locking the

SMC3-Rad21 gate but not other gates before mitotic entry inhibits cohesion fatigue. Experimental

scheme and results from chromosome spreads in Hek293 expressing Cohesin fusions to

Rapamycin-binding proteins treated with Rapamycin to lock specific gates **before cells entered**

mitosis then treated with MG132 for 6 h to arrest cells at metaphase and allow cohesion fatigue.

Totals of >100 spreads per condition per cell line were quantified. Graph shows mean±S.E.M.

Dotted line represents the expected inhibition of fatigue with efficient SMC3-RAD21 gate locking

based on % of spreads with unresolved chromatid arms (45%) from supplementary figure 2D. **(E)**

Locking any of the Cohesin gates after completion of Wapl-mediated Cohesin release in early

mitosis does not inhibit cohesion fatigue. HEK293 cells expressing Cohesin fusions to

Rapamycin-binding proteins were treated with or without Rapamycin **after allowing completion**

of early mitosis, Wapl-mediated Cohesin removal in three independent experiments with totals

of > 450 spreads per cells line for each treatment. Graph shows Mean±S.D. Two-way ANOVA

with Tukey's multiple comparison test was used for statistical analysis.

Figure 3: **Altering Cohesin changes the rate of cohesion fatigue.**

(A) Longer mitotic arrest in Nocodazole leads to enhanced cohesion fatigue. Experimental

scheme and chromosome spread analysis for LLC-PK cells arrested in mitosis for 5 or 11 h with

330 nM Nocodazole, then washed and treated with MG132 ±Nocodazole (330 nM) to arrest at

metaphase for 3 or 6 h. Three independent experiments with a total of >600 spreads were scored

for each treatment. Cells initially arrested for 11 h in Nocodazole undergo more rapid cohesion

fatigue than those arrested for 5 h, consistent with the continued action of the Wapl-mediated

prophase pathway in removing Cohesin from chromosomes in cells arrested in mitosis. Error bars

show standard deviation. Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple test was used for statistical

analysis. **(B)** SA2 knockout HCT116 cells undergo cohesion fatigue more rapidly than parental

cells. Chromosome spreads were examined in parental and SA2 knockout HCT116 cells. Cells

were treated with 330 nM Nocodazole overnight (16 h), then mitotic cells were collected, washed

then treated with MG132 ±Nocodazole (330 nM) for 3 or 6 h. Three independent experiments with

totals of >600 spreads were scored. Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple test was used for

statistical analysis. **(C)** SA2 protein is not lost from chromatids during cohesion fatigue.

Immunoblotting of SA2 protein in chromosome fractions prepared from mitotic HeLa cells treated

with MG132 ±Nocodazole for 8 h. Lane 1 shows baseline SA2 levels in mitotic chromosomes,

lane 2 shows SA2 in chromosome fractions from fatigued chromatids and lane 3 shows cohesion

fatigue negative control (MG132 plus 330 nM Nocodazole for 8 h).

Figure 4: Microtubule dynamics and spindle tension impact cohesion fatigue.

(A) Treatment of cells with low concentration of Nocodazole slows cohesion fatigue. The elapsed

time from metaphase to chromatid scattering/cohesion fatigue was determined in HeLa-Slow cells

(left) and HeLa-Fast cells (right) arrested at metaphase with MG132 +/- 5nM Nocodazole **(B)**

Treatment of cells with low concentration of Taxol accelerates cohesion fatigue. The elapsed time

from metaphase to chromatid scattering/cohesion fatigue was determined in HeLa-Slow cells (left)

and HeLa-Fast cells (right) arrested at metaphase with ProTAME +/- 1.5nM Taxol. **(C)**

Decreasing spindle tension with low concentration of STLC slows cohesion fatigue. The elapsed

time from metaphase to chromatid scattering/cohesion fatigue was determined in HeLa-Slow cells

(left) and HeLa-Fast cells (right) arrested at metaphase with ProTAME +/- 1.5µM STLC. Three

independent experiments with a total of ≥150 cells were scored for each treatment and cell type.

Error bars show standard deviation. The Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical analysis.

Figure 5: Separated chromatids can congress to the spindle midplane after cohesion fatigue.

(**A**) Cohesion fatigue was assessed in HeLa cells stably expressing H2B-GFP treated with either DMSO (control) or ProTAME via live cell imaging. Time 00 indicates nuclear envelop breakdown (NEBD). DMSO-treated cells progress through normal mitosis (top panel), while ProTAME-treated cells undergo cohesion fatigue with a concentration of chromatin at the spindle midplane in the final image (bottom panel). (**B**) Chromosome spreads prepared from HeLa cells treated with MG132 ±Nocodazole for 8 h. Left panel shows paired sister chromatids (MG132+Nocodazole). Right panel shows separated sister chromatids (cohesion fatigue) after 8 h of metaphase arrest (MG132).

Figure 6: Sister kinetochores separate after transient metaphase arrest.

(**A**) Transient metaphase arrest results in increased separation of sister kinetochores in LLC-PK cells. Representative immunofluorescence images (left) and quantification (right) of control metaphase cells or cells arrested at metaphase for up to 1 h and up to 2-3 h. The average distances between pairs of kinetochores from were compared in control metaphase cells and cells treated with MG132 for 1 or 3 h (n ≥ 125 kinetochore pairs in 5 cells from each treatment). One-way ANOVA, with Tukey's multiple comparison test was used for statistical analysis. (**B**) The frequency distributions for distances between sister kinetochores from cells in (A) show increased proportions widely separated kinetochores in those arrested for 3 h. (**C**) The extent of stretching between sister kinetochores increases with time for cells arrested at metaphase. Live cell imaging determined the maximum stretching of sister kinetochores in LLC-PK cells arrested at metaphase for 1 or 2-3 h. For these measurements, n ≥ 10 pairs of kinetochores were imaged every 10 sec for 3 min. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison was used for statistical analysis.

Figure 7: Transient metaphase delays induce segregation defects in LLC-PK cells.

(A) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of anaphase/telophase segregation defects (lagging chromosomes, anaphase bridges, or micronuclei) in LLC-PK cells transiently arrested at metaphase. Segregation defects during anaphase were examined in untreated cells or in cells transiently treated with Nocodazole, MG132, or MG132 +Nocodazole for 3 h in three independent experiments with >700 anaphases examined for each treatment. Error bars represent standard deviations. Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's multiple comparison test was used for statistical analysis. **(B)** Transient delays at metaphase induce formation of persistent micronuclei. Low magnification images of LLC-PK cells transiently arrested at a prometaphase-like state with nocodazole or at metaphase with MG132 for 3 h then released for 24 h. Arrows indicate the micronuclei present in cells that were transiently delayed at metaphase. **(C)** Percentages of micronuclei in images from (B) were determined in ≥5000 cells from 50 randomly selected fields. One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis.

Figure 8: Segregation defects in HeLa cells scale with the length of metaphase delay.

(A) Live-cell images of HeLa-H2B-GFP cells treated with 10, 20 or 30 µM ProTAME. Top panel shows a normal anaphase; bottom two panel show anaphase defects (arrows indicate micronuclei). **(B)** Fates of individual cells after ProTAME treatment. Most cells with slight delays had no segregation defects; intermediate delays increased the proportion of defective anaphase, while longer delays often resulted in cohesion fatigue. **(C)** Compiling of results for cells treated with ProTAME shows the increased anaphase defects at intermediate times of metaphase delay and increased cohesion fatigue at longer times.

Supplemental Figure Legends

Supplemental figure 1: Buffers containing moderate levels of salt removes most Cohesin

from isolated mitotic chromosomes, and Sgo1 levels do not correlate with cohesion

fatigue.

(A) Titration of Cohesin SMC3 and CENP-A used for quantification of Cohesin proteins. Dilutions

of the 0 h sample were blotted with samples from other treatments and time points. The linear

ranges of dilutions were determined for accurate quantification of the Cohesin component SMC3

(top) and loading control CENP-A (bottom). The vertical dotted lines represent the number of cells

that generate linear protein loaded to signal ratios. 10000 cells equivalent protein was loaded for

subsequent experiments. **(B)** Immunoblot of Cohesin components (SMC3 and RAD21) from

chromosome/chromatin fractions of mitotic and interphase (G2) HeLa cells isolated in buffers with

increasing NaCl. Cohesin was readily released from mitotic chromosomes compared to

interphase chromatin by salt treatment. More than 90% of Cohesin was released from mitotic

chromosomes by treatment with 100 mM NaCl. **(C)** Total SGO1 levels relative to CREST signals

were determined by immunofluorescence in HeLa-Slow and HeLa-Fast cells. At least 10 cells for

each cell line were quantified. **(D)** SGO1 levels determined by immunofluorescence in HeLa-Slow

cells that were treated with MG132 for 6h. **(E)** Total SGO1 levels in HeLa-Fast cells treated with

MG132 for 3h. For each cell line and treatment, at least 5 randomly selected cells were imaged

with 0.5 μm Z sections. Summed projections were generated with Metamorph Software using the

stack arithmetic tool. Region of DAPI staining was used as the mask to quantify total

chromosome-associated SGO1.

Supplemental figure 2: Inhibition of the prophase pathway before mitotic entry enriches chromosome-bound Cohesin and delays cohesion fatigue.

(A) Images from live cell imaging of HeLa-SMC1-GFP cells depleted of Wapl by RNAi. Wapl-depletion resulted in cells showing strong SMC1-GFP signals on mitotic chromosomes. **(B)** The elapsed times from NEBD to metaphase were determined in Hells treated with 0.5 uM ZM447439. ZM Treatment caused significant delays in chromosome alignment indicating Aurora B inhibition. >100 cells for each treatment were scored. **(C)** Chromosome spread on the left shows unresolved chromosome arms in a cell where the Wapl-mediated cohesin release was blocked by locking SMC3-RAD21 interface prior to entrance of cells into mitosis. Spread on right is from a control cell of the same type without Rapamycin addition (unlocked SMC3-RAD21 gate). **(D)** Percentages of chromosome spreads with closed/unresolved chromosome arms in HEK293 cells expressing pairs of Cohesin fusions to which Rapamycin was added to lock different Cohesin gates. Unresolved arms were increased only when the SMC-RAD21 gate was locked. **(E)** Elapsed times form metaphase to cohesion fatigue were determined in three gate-locking Hek293 cells line with gates locked prior to mitotic entry. Locking the SMC3-RAD21 gate but not other gates delayed cohesion fatigue.

Supplemental figure 3: Longer mitotic arrest reduces the levels of chromosome Cohesin and the amount of chromosome-associated Cohesin is reduced in cells lacing SA2.

(A) Longer mitotic arrest in Nocodazole results in greater separation of chromosome arms. Cells were arrested with Nocodazole for 3 h (left panel) or 14 h (right panel) then prepared for chromosome spreads. **(B)** Cells that take longer to align their chromosomes fatigue faster. Elapsed times from metaphase to cohesion fatigue in STLC+ProTAME-treated cells plotted against times from NEBD to metaphase. **(C)** A small reduction of salt-resistant SMC3 in chromosome fractions accompanies longer arrest in Nocodazole. Immunoblot of chromosome fractions from mitotic HeLa cells arrested in mitosis with Nocodazole for 5h or 11h. Graph shows

quantification. **(D)** SA2 knockout HCT116 cells lack expression of detectable SA2 protein.

Immunoblot with anti-SA2 antibody of whole cell lysates of SA2 knockout HCT116 cells and

parental HCT116 cells. **(E)** Core Cohesin ring protein levels are reduced in SA2 knockout cells

relative to parental cells. Immunoblot of salt-treated chromosome fractions from HCT116 SA2

knockout and parental cells. Graph shows band intensity of SMC3 and RAD21 relative to CENP-

A.

Supplemental figure 4: HeLa-Fast and HeLa–Slow cells differ in fatigue kinetics, and HeLa-

Slow cells undergo slower mitosis in low concentrations of spindle drugs.

(A) The elapsed time from metaphase to chromatid separation (cohesion fatigue) was determined

from live cell imaging in HeLa-Slow and HeLa-Fast cells treated with 25 uM ProTAME. Average

times of fatigue with standard deviations are shown from three independent experiments with

≥300 cells. Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical analysis. **(B)** Kinetics of cohesion fatigue

in cells from (A). **(C)** HeLa-Slow cells treated with low concentrations of Nocodazole (5 nM) or

Taxol (1.5 nM) show slowed progression through mitosis. The elapsed times from NEBD to

anaphase was determined by live cell imaging. **(D)** Low concentration of STLC slowed but did not

block mitotic progression in HeLa-Slow cells. The elapsed time from NEBD to anaphase was

determined with cells treated with 1.5 µM STLC with ≥100 cells per treatment scored.

Supplemental figure 5: Short metaphase arrest causes increased interkinetochore

distance only in the presence of a functional spindle.

(A) Interkinetochore distances were measured in LLC-PK cells treated with MG132 and

Nocodazole for 1 or 3 h compared to normal metaphase (Control). A total of ≥100 kinetochore

pairs from 5 cells were measured. One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis. **(B)**

Interkinetochore distances were measured in HeLa cells arrested at metaphase with MG132 for

30 min or 3 h. A total of ≥100 kinetochore pairs from 5 cells were measured. **(C)** Interkinetochore

distances were determined from live cell imaging in LLC-PK cells stably expressing Topo-II-GFP.

Cells were arrested in metaphase with MG132 treatment for 1 or 3 h. Transient metaphase arrest

led to significant kinetochore separation if spindle microtubules were intact.

Supplemental figure 6: MG132 and ProTAME induce metaphase delays whose duration

determines cell fate.

(A) Percentages of defective anaphases in LLC-PK cells treated with MG132 for 1 or 4 h then

released into drug-free medium. **(B)** Metaphase arrest durations were determined from live cell

imaging of HeLa-Slow cells treated with increasing concentrations of ProTAME. For each

concentration of ProTAME, ≥75 cells were scored. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for statistical

analysis. **(C)** The average length of metaphase arrest was determined in cells from (B) that exited

mitosis to 1) normal anaphase, 2) defective anaphase, or 3) cohesion fatigue.

Supplemental Videos

Supplemental Video 1: Normal mitotic progression visualized by lattice light sheet microscopy

in LLC-PK cells stably expressing EGFP-Topoisomerase IIα, which highlights kinetochores and

chromosome arms. Time = min:sec.

Supplemental Video 2: Consequences of cohesion fatigue visualized by lattice light sheet

microscopy in LLC-PK cells stably expressing EGFP-Topoisomerase IIα. Imaging was initiated 6

h after metaphase arrest induced by treatment with MG132. Some chromatids (00 min, middle

left) have separated completely. Other chromosomes show extensive separation of their

kinetochores but retain partially cohered arms. Separated kinetochores undergo oscillations

toward and away from the spindle equator. Time = min:sec

A

	0 h	8 h	
MG132	+	+	+
NOC	+	-	+

SMC3

150—

CENP-A

17—

B

Figure_2

A

Metaphase→Fatigue [min]

p<0.0001

Control siRNA | Wapl siRNA

B

Metaphase→Fatigue[mins]

p<0.0001

WT Sororin | 9A Sororin

C

+Noc — 5 or 11h — Wash, then +MG132±Noc — 0h, 3h, 6h Chromosome spreads

Metaphase→Fatigue [mins]

ns

MG132 | MG132 +ZM (2.5 uM)

D Gates locked **before** Wapl-mediated cohesin removal

siRNA — 60h — +/-Rapa — 12h — +MG132 — 6h — Chromosome spreads

+Dox. — +Noc — Collect mitotic, Wash off Noc

Fatigued [%]

-Rapa 6h MG132
+Rapa (before) 6h MG132+Rapa

ns   p<0.0001   ns

SMC3-SMC1 | SMC3-RAD21 | SMC1-RAD21

E Gates locked **after** Wapl-mediated cohesin removal

siRNA — 60h — 12h — +MG132 +/-Rapa — 6h — Chromosome spreads

+Dox. — +Noc — Collect mitotic, Wash off Noc

Fatigued [%]

0 h MG132
6 h MG132
+Rapa (after) 6 h MG132
6 h MG132 +Noc

ns   ns   ns

SMC3-SMC1 | SMC3-RAD21 | SMC1-RAD21

HeLa-Slow   HeLa-Fast   Figure_4

A

Normal mitosis

Cohesion fatigue

B

Paired   **Fatigued**

8h MG132 + Noc   8h MG132

figure_6

A

Lagging   Anaphase bridge   Micronuclei

B

3 h Nocodazole

3 h MG132

C

figure_8