<u>Title</u>

Ribosome provisioning activates a bistable switch coupled to fast exit from stationary
phase

<u>Authors:</u>

P. Remigi[1*], G.C. Ferguson[2], S. De Monte[3,4] and P.B. Rainey[1,5,6*]

[1] New Zealand Institute for Advanced Study, Massey University, Auckland 0745, New
Zealand

[2] Institute of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Massey University, Auckland 0745, New
Zealand

[3] Institut de biologie de l'Ecole normale supérieure (IBENS), Ecole normale supérieure, CNRS,
INSERM, PSL Université Paris 75005 Paris, France

[4] Department of Evolutionary Theory, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön
24306, Germany

[5] Department of Microbial Population Biology, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology,
Plön 24306, Germany

[6] Ecole Superieure de Physique et de Chimie Industrielles de la Ville de Paris (ESPCI Paris
Tech), CNRS UMR 8231, PSL Research University, 75231 Paris, France

<u>* Corresponding authors:</u>

Philippe Remigi (philippe.remigi@gmail.com) and Paul B. Rainey (rainey@evolbio.mpg.de)

Abstract:

Observations of bacteria at the single-cell level have revealed many instances of phenotypic

heterogeneity within otherwise clonal populations, but the selective causes, molecular bases

and broader ecological relevance remain poorly understood. In an earlier experiment in

which the bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SBW25 was propagated under a selective

regime that mimicked the host immune response, a genotype evolved that stochastically

switched between capsulation states. The genetic cause was a mutation in *carB* that

decreased the pyrimidine pool (and growth rate), lowering the activation threshold of a pre-

existing but hitherto unrecognised phenotypic switch. Genetic components surrounding

bifurcation of UTP flux towards DNA/RNA or UDP-glucose (a precursor of colanic acid

forming the capsules) were implicated as key components. Extending these molecular

analyses – and based on a combination of genetics, transcriptomics, biochemistry and

mathematical modelling – we show that pyrimidine limitation triggers an increase in

ribosome biosynthesis and that switching is caused by competition between ribosomes and

CsrA/RsmA proteins for the mRNA transcript of a feed-forward regulator of colanic acid

biosynthesis. We additionally show that in the ancestral bacterium the switch is part of a

programme that determines stochastic entry into the semi-quiescent capsulated state,

ensures that such cells are provisioned with excess ribosomes, and enables provisioned cells

to exit rapidly from stationary phase under permissive conditions.

Introduction:

Phenotypic variation between isogenic cells growing in homogeneous environments can have adaptive consequences, allowing populations to survive unpredictable environmental changes or promoting interactions between different cell types[1,2]. Natural selection, by means of genetic mutations affecting the integration of stochastic noise within signaling pathways, can fine-tune epigenetic switches[3-7] but the molecular details underpinning the evolution of phenotypic heterogeneity remain poorly understood.

Opportunity to study the genetic bases of the evolution of phenotypic heterogeneity arose from a selection experiment where the capacity to switch between different colony phenotypes evolved *de novo* in the bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SBW25 (ref. 8). In this experiment, bacteria were passaged through consecutive cycles comprised of single-cell bottlenecks and negative frequency-dependent selection, a regime mimicking essential features of the adaptive immune system in animals. A genotype emerged (1B4) that forms distinct opaque or translucent colonies on agar plates. At the single-cell level, this behaviour reflects an epigenetic switch characterized by the bistable production of an extracellular capsule, resulting in the coexistence of two sub-populations of capsulated (Cap$^+$) or non-capsulated (Cap$^-$) cells. Capsules are made of a colanic acid-like polymer, whose production arises from the activity of the *wcaJ-wzb* locus and requires the precursor UDP-glucose. A mutation in *carB* (c2020t), a gene involved in *de novo* pyrimidine biosynthesis, is responsible for heterogeneous capsule production via a decrease in intracellular pyrimidine pools[8,9]. Additionally, the switch between capsulated and uncapsulated cells was found to be active in the ancestral gentoype devoid of the *carB* mutation – the *carB* mutation having altered the threshold at which the switch is activated – and to underpin the stochastic entry of cells into a semi-quiescent state.

Here we extend earlier work and provide mechanistic understanding of how the *carB* mutation determines capsulation heterogeneity. Of central importance is evidence that ribosome biosynthesis is up-regulated upon pyrimidine limitation and that this favors translation of a positively auto-regulated activator of capsular exopolysaccharide biosynthesis that is otherwise inhibited by CsrA/Rsm proteins. The switch comprises part of a programme that facilitates stochastic entry into a semi-quiescent state and rapid exit from this state upon realisation of permissive conditions through modulation of ribosome levels.

Results

Capsulation is not induced by UDP-glucose depletion

Previous work analysed the switcher genotype 1B4 (ref. 8) and the link between pyrimidine

limitation (caused by a defect in CarB), growth and heterogeneous expression of capsules[9].

Particular attention was given to bifurcation of UTP flux towards DNA/RNA, or UDP-glucose

(a precursor of colanic acid from which capsules are synthesised). Extensive analyses

showed that capsule production was tied to entry into a semi-quiescent state triggered by

reduction of flux though the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway. The primary signalling

molecule was not identified, but it was hypothesised to be a product of the pyrimidine

biosynthetic pathway[9], with UDP-glucose being a prime candidate given its role in regulation

of cell size and bacterial growth[10].

To test the hypothesis that UDP-glucose underpins the switch to capsule production, a

translational (GFP) reporter fused to PFLU3655 (the primary transcriptional activator of the

wcaJ-wzb operon, see ref. 9 and below) was introduced into the chromosome of a *galU*

mutant of 1B4. The *galU* mutant is unable to convert UTP to UDP-glucose and is therefore

Cap[-] (ref. 9). The proportion of cells expressing the P*pflu3655*-GFP reporter was reduced in

the mutant compared to the 1B4 switching genotype (Supplementary Figure 1). This finding

was inconsistent with the prediction that low UDP-glucose is the signal that increases the

chance of switching to the capsulated state. Accordingly the mechanistic links between

pyrimidine limitation, growth and the heterogeneous production of capsules were

reassessed.

Ribosomes are over-produced in *carB* mutants

Transcriptomic data published previously[9] was interrogated to identify signalling pathways

displaying different levels of activity as a result of the causal *carB* mutation. KEGG-

enrichment analyses showed over-representation of ribosomal components among the

genes that are expressed at least two-fold more in the capsulated sub-population of 1B4

(1B4 Cap[+]) compared to its (non-capsulated) ancestor 1A4 (Supplementary Table 1). By

extracting raw expression values from the available RNAseq datasets, it was found that the

average expression levels of ribosomal protein genes increased in 1B4 (in both Cap[-] and

Cap[+]) compared to 1A4 or SBW25 (Fig. 1a). Such a finding is surprising since ribosome

production is usually proportional to growth rate[11,12] and was expected to be reduced in the

slower growing strain 1B4 (Supplementary Figure 2)**.**

Bacteria adjust ribosome concentration to match nutrient availability in order to maximise

growth rate. They do so by modulating transcriptional activity at ribosomal RNA (*rrn*)

operon promoters[11,12] causing the production of ribosomal proteins to match available rRNA

(ref. 13). The over-expression of ribosomal protein genes in 1B4 may therefore reflect

transcriptional up-regulation at *rrn* promoters. Using a chromosomally-integrated reporter,

an increase in P*rrnB*-GFP transcriptional activity was detected in *carB* mutants compared to

immediate ancestral types (Fig. 1b). This difference was most obvious when cultures

reached OD~2, a density at which 1B4 cultures undergo a noticeable increase in capsulation

(Supplementary Figure 3). Supplementing growth media with 2mM uracil – a treatment

known to suppress capsulation[9] – restored wild-type P*rrnB*-GFP expression in strains

carrying the mutant *carB* allele (Fig. 1b). Measurement of cellular RNA content also showed

higher levels in *carB* mutants (Fig. 1c). These measurements were not affected by cell size

(Supplementary Figure 4) indicating a *bona fide* increase in ribosome concentration.

Together, these results show that pyrimidine limitation triggers the production of an

enhanced pool of ribosomes.

Figure 1: Increased ribosome production in *carB* mutants

a, Transcriptional induction of ribosomal protein genes in SBW25, 1A4, 1B4 Cap[-] and 1B4 Cap^{+} cells.
Absolute expression levels of ribosomal protein genes (KEGG pathway '0310-Ribosomes', n=26) were
extracted from a previous RNA-seq dataset[9] and normalised to SBW25. Boxplots represent the
distribution of expression ratios. Bold segments inside rectangles show the median, lower and upper
limits of the box represent first and third quartiles, respectivey. Whiskers extend up to 1.5 times the

interquartile range and dots represent outliers, if present. Letter groups indicate statistical

significance, *P* < 0.05, Kruskall-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc correction. **b**, Expression kinetics of

the P*rrnB*-GFP transcriptional reporter. Fluorescence in individual cells was measured by flow

cytometry. Mean fluorescence of bacterial populations ± s.d. over biological replicates are shown, n =

4. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments. **c**, Total RNA content in bacterial cells

during exponential phase (OD_{600nm} = 0.5-0.6). Values were normalised to SBW25 control within each

experiment. Means ± s.d. are shown, n = 6. Data are pooled from 4 independent experiments. ** *P* <

0.01, *** *P* < 0.001, two-tailed *t*-test.

High ribosome levels are required for capsulation

The counterintuitive effect of the *carB* mutation on ribosome levels suggested a causal

connection between ribosome concentration and capsulation. In support of this hypothesis,

a previous transposon-mutagenesis screen found that insertions in ribosome- or translation-

associated genes (*prfC, rluB, rluC, glu/gly* tRNA) decreased or abolished capsulation in 1B4

(ref. 9). We set out to manipulate ribosome concentration in 1B4 in order to test directly if

ribosome abundance affects capsulation.

P. fluorescens SBW25 harbours five copies of *rrn* (*rrnA-E*). Because deletion of a single *rrn*

operon can often be compensated by over-expression of those remaining[14-16] capsulation

was quantified in both single and double *rrn* deletion mutants using the chromosomally-

inserted translational reporter P*pflu3655*-GFP (ref. 9). Whereas single mutants were not

significantly affected in capsulation status, double mutants produced fewer capsulated cells

(Fig. 2a and Supplementary Figure 5). Growth rate was only marginally affected in certain

mutant combinations (Supplementary Figure 6) but a significant reduction in total RNA

content was observed in three out of the six *rrn* double mutants (Supplementary Figure 7).

Together, these results show that capsulation is positively affected by increased ribosome

abundance, which is itself a response to pyrimidine starvation .

Figure 2: Genetic bases of capsulation

a, Capsulation in the rrn deletion mutants. The Tn7-P*pflu3655*-GFP reporter was introduced in 1B4 bacteria and its derived rrn mutants. Capsulation was measured by quantifying the proportion of GFP positive cells by flow cytometry at the onset of stationary phase (OD$_{600nm}$ = 1-2). Means ± s.e.m. are shown, n = 8 (1B4 Δ*rrnB*) or n = 11 (all other strains). Data are pooled from 4 independent experiments. ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001, Kruskall-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc correction, comparison to 1B4. **b**, Capsulation in *gac*/*rsm* mutants. Means ± s.e.m. are shown, n = 12 (1B4), n = 15 (1B4 Δ*gacA*) or n = 9 (all other strains). Data are pooled from 3 independent experiments. * P < 0.05, *** P < 0.001, Kruskall-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc correction, comparison to 1B4. **c**, A model for capsulation in 1B4. See text for details.

A ribosome-Rsm competition model for the control of capsulation

Next, we asked how ribosome abundance influences heterogeneous production of capsulated cells. Results from a previous transposon mutagenesis screen showed that the Gac/Rsm two-component signalling pathway is required for production of colanic acid-like capsules[9]. The Gac/Rsm signalling pathway controls important ecological traits in many Gram-negative bacteria, including secretion of exoproducts, the transition between biofilm and planktonic cells and pathogenicity[17,18]. Its activity is mediated through post-

transcriptional regulators of the Csr/Rsm family that prevent translation of mRNA targets by

binding to sites adjacent to or overlapping ribosome-binding sites (RBS)[17,19]. Upon

perception of unknown extracellular signals[18], the sensor kinase GacS activates the cognate

response regulator GacA and the transcription of small non-coding RNAs *rsmY* and *rsmZ*.

Binding of these sRNAs to Csr/Rsm proteins antagonizes their translation inhibition activity.

Three Csr/Rsm homologs are present in SBW25 and were named *rsmA1* (*PFLU4746*), *rsmA2*

(*PFLU4324*) and *rsmE* (*PFLU4165*). The phenotypic effect of the Gac/Rsm pathway was

investigated by creating deletion mutants for the response regulator *gacA* and the two

Csr/Rsm homologs *rsmA1* and *rsmE.* Capsulation was completely abolished in a *gacA*

deletion strain, confirming the transposon-mutagenesis results (Fig. 2b). Deletion of *rsmA1*

or *rsmE* increased the production of capsulated cells, consistent with their typical inhibitory

role in Gac/Rsm signalling pathways.

We postulated that variations in the relative concentration of free ribosomes and RsmA/E

may determine translational output of a key positive regulator of capsule biosynthesis (Fig.

2c). While searching for such a regulator, attention turned to *PFLU3655*. The first gene of a

putative operon (*PFLU3655-3657*) localized just upstream of the colanic acid biosynthetic

operon, *PFLU3655* is annotated as a hypothetical protein carrying a two-component

response regulator C-terminal domain (PFAM PF00486). *PFLU3655* is among the most highly

up-regulated genes in 1B4 Cap^{+} cells and transposon insertions in its promoter were shown

to abolish capsulation in 1B4 (ref. 9). A non-polar deletion of *PFLU3655* in 1B4 abolished

capsule formation, while complementation of the mutant with an IPTG-inducible copy of

PFLU3655 on a low copy number plasmid (pME6032) restored capsulation (Fig. 3a). Over-

expression of *PFLU3655* in SBW25 or 1B4 led to high capsulation levels in these strains,

showing that PFLU3655 is a key positive regulator of colanic acid biosynthesis, the

expression of which is sufficient for capsulation. Moreover, ectopic expression of PFLU3655

induces expression from its own promoter, as measured using the chromosomally-encoded

P*pflu3655*-GFP translational fusion (Fig. 3b). This result shows that PFLU3655 expression can

generate a positive feedback loop, a motif that can sustain bistable gene expression[20,21].

Figure 3: PFLU3655 is required for capsulation

a, Capsulation in SBW25, 1B4 Δ*pflu3655* and 1B4 strains carrying the pME6032-*pflu3655* plasmid or
the empty vector (EV) after induction with 1mM IPTG. Phase contrast microscopy images of bacterial
suspensions counter-stained with indian ink. White halos around cells indicate capsulation. Scale bar
= 10 μm. **b**, PFLU3655 establishes a positive feedback loop. GFP fluorescence from the P*pflu3655*-GFP
reporter in SBW25 (left), 1B4 Δ*pflu3655* (middle) or 1B4 (right) cells carrying the pME6032-*pflu3655*
plasmid or empty vector and *pflu3655* expression was induced with IPTG at indicated concentration
and fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry. Data are representative of 3 independent
experiments (**a**, **b**).

Existence of a positive fedback loop does not however guarantee bistability, which often

requires the additional presence of an ultrasensitive switch to convert small input deviations

(typically, molecular noise) into large output differences[22]. When signalling components are

present in large numbers, ultrasensitive responses and threshold effects can arise through

molecular titration where a molecule (RNA or protein) is sequestered and inhibited by

another protein[22-25]. This led to rocognition that titration by RsmA/E may be involved in

phenotypic bistability.

Two putative Rsm binding sites are located in the promoter and 5' region of the coding

sequence of *PFLU3655* (Fig. 4a), indicating that PFLU3655 mRNA could be a direct target of

RsmA/E. The P*pflu3655*-GFP capsulation reporter was used to test this hypothesis. This

translational reporter contains ~500 nucleotides upstream of the PFLU3655 start codon with

the first 39 coding nucleotides being fused in frame to GFP; the two putative RsmA/E binding

sites are conserved in this synthetic construct. Using site-directed mutagenesis, nucleotides

located in the putative Rsm binding sites were substituted and effects on GFP expression in

the P*pflu3655*-GFP reporter strain determined (Fig. 4a,b). Both G-8A and A33T point

mutations increased GFP production, albeit to different extents, consistent with the

expected effects arising from reduction in binding of an inhibitor. Altering the putative RBS

(GG-7AC) completely abolished GFP expression (Fig. 4b), which is similarly to be expected

given the need for translation.

Figure 4: RsmA/E binding sites in *PFLU3655* control capsulation

a, Schematic diagram of *PFLU3655* region. Two putative RsmA/E binding sites (orange squares) are
located in the promoter and 5' region of the gene. Numbers indicate nucleotide positions relative to
start codon (not to scale). Sequences of putative RsmA/E binding sites are shown, the putative
ribosome-binding site (RBS) is underlined. Grey box: point mutations introduced in the different
sequences by site-directed mutagenesis. **b**, Expression of the P*pflu3655*-GFP reporter carrying the
different point mutations in the 1B4 background. GFP fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry.
Data are representative of 3 independent experiments. **c-d**, Mutations in putative RsmA/E binding
sites affect capsulation in 1B4 (**c**) and SBW25 (**d**). Individual point mutations were re-introduced into
1B4 and SBW25 carrying the wild-type P*pflu3655*-GFP reporter and the proportion of GFP positive
cells in late exponential phase (OD$_{600nm}$ = 1-2) was measured by flow cytometry. Means ± s.e.m. are
shown, n = 9 (1B4) or n = 7 (SBW25). Data are pooled from 3 independent experiments. * $P < 0.05$, **
$P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, Kruskall-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc correction, comparison to 1B4.

To determine effects on capsulation, the individual point mutations were re-introduced at

the native locus in 1B4 and SBW25. The G-8A mutation, but not the A33T mutation,

increased the proportion of capsulated cells in both strains (Fig. 4c, d). This difference

mirrors the difference observed on expression of GFP and suggests that the increase in

PFLU3655 translation mediated by the A33T mutation is not sufficient to increase the

likelihood to jump-start the positive feedback loop, contrary to G-8A.

Together, these data support the model proposed earlier (Fig. 2c). If this model is correct,

one would expect other RsmA/E targets to be over-expressed in *carB* mutants. A list of

genes that were differentially expressed in a SBW25 *gacS* mutant[26] was extracted and their

expression levels were compared using the RNAseq dataset. On average, genes that were

up-regulated in the *gacS* mutant were expressed at lower levels in 1B4 (both Cap⁻ and Cap⁺)

than in SBW25 or 1A4 (Supplementary Figure 8). Genes that were down-regulated in *gacS*

showed a slight bias towards higher expression in 1B4 Cap⁺ but this difference was not

statistically significant. These results are consistent with the opposing effects of *gacS*

inactivation (leading to constitutive activation of RsmA/E) and *carB*-dependent increase in

ribosome concentration on RsmA/E targets.

A qualitative mathematical model of post-transcriptional control of a positively regulated

gene

We produced a mathematical model based on our experimental observations in order to

describe the qualitative behaviour of the cells, notably bistability of the internal state, and to

predict how the explored changes in the regulation pathways are likely to affect the fraction

of capsulated cells.

An ordinary differential equation for the concentration of mRNA transcribed from *PFLU3655*

formalizes the two hypotheses that transcription is positively regulated by the protein

PFLU3655 concentration, and that ribosomes and the regulator RsmA/E compete for a

binding site on the mRNA (Supplementary Note). The model, illustrated in Figure S1 from

Supplementary Note, describes the dynamics of three mRNA pools: free, bound to

ribosomes (thus translated) and bound to the regulator. Modifications in total ribosome

concentration, in the rate of basal mRNA production, and in regulator binding efficiency can

be included in this model in the form of parameter changes. By studying their effect on the

system dynamics, and in particular its equilibria, the model can be used to check whether

the hypotheses formulated previously on ribosome-mediated translational regulation are

consistent with experimental observations.

Like other systems where post-transcriptional control is mediated by regulators acting as

mRNA 'sponges', molecular titration introduces nonlinearities that can give rise to

bistability[22-25]. When the system is bistable, an 'OFF' equilibrium, where the gene is not

translated, coexists with an 'ON' equilibrium, where the protein, thus the fluorescent

reporter, are produced. The level of protein expression in this second equilibrium is set by

saturation of the transcription rate with increasing protein concentration. These two stable

equilibria are separated by a third unstable equilibrium – whose position depends on all the

parameters of the system – which sets the protein concentration threshold for mRNA

translation to overcome sequestration by the regulator. Assuming that the transition

between the two alternative states takes place due to stochastic processes at the molecular

level, extension of the basin of attraction of either stable equilibrium can be taken as a proxy

of the probability of observing cells in the corresponding state.

As shown in Figure S2 from Supplementary Note, the model reproduces the qualitative

modifications observed experimentally: the entry into a bistable regime when ribosome

levels increase (hence the difference between SBW25 and 1B4 strains), and the increase

both in the capsulation probability and in the protein levels when either a second,

unregulated, source of mRNA production is added, or the binding affinity of the regulator is

reduced. As a corollary of our model ribosome levels are expected to be heterogeneous in

the population with capsulated cells having an increased average ribosome content with

respect to non-capsulated cells. Indeed, RNAseq data indicate that ribosomal protein genes

are expressed at higher levels in 1B4 Cap$^+$ compared to 1B4 Cap$^-$ (Fig. 1a).

Consequences of ribosome heterogeneity on growth resumption in capsulated cells

When the quality and/or quantity of nutrients rises abruptly, differences in ribosome

abundance can be significant for bacterial fitness[27]. Reaching higher ribosome

concentrations – required for maximal growth rate after nutrient up-shift – is time-

consuming and introduces a time-delay between environment change and future growth[28].

Cells with higher ribosome concentrations before nutrient up-shift might be considered as

having been provisioned for rapid acclimation to the new conditions. If it is true that

ribosomes promote growth resumption after nutrient up-shift, then capsulated cells should

have an average growth advantage under these conditions. To test this prediction, 1B4 cells

grown to late exponential phase (OD$_{600nm}$~1) and cell suspensions enriched in Cap$^-$ or Cap$^+$

cells were used to inoculate fresh cultures. The initial growth rate after nutrient up-shift in

batch cultures was positively correlated with the proportion of capsulated cells (Fig. 5a).

Time-lapse microscopy on solid agar pads confirmed that colonies founded by GFP$^+$

(capsulated) cells grew approximately 10% faster than those originating from GFP⁻ (non-

capsulated) cells (Fig. 5b).

<u>Figure 5: Capsulation and growth in 1B4</u>

a, Initial growth rate after nutrient upshift is correlated to the proportion of capsulated cells in 1B4

populations. Data points are pooled from 2 independent experiments. n = 36, r^2 = 0.91. Shaded area

indicates 95% confidence interval. **b**, Growth rate of micro-colonies founded by Cap⁻ (GFP⁻) or Cap⁺

(GFP⁺) cells measured by time-lapse microscopy. n = 97 (GFP⁻) or n = 94 (GFP⁺). Data are pooled from

7 independent experiments. *** $P < 0.001$, two-tailed t-test

Capsulation in SBW25

Identification of the mechanism promoting production of colanic acid capsules in the

derived 1B4 switcher genotype raises questions as to the role and conditions for expression

of colanic acid in ancestral SBW25. In bacteria, extracellular capsules are important for

bacterial pathogenicity[29] and are associated with broader environmental versatility[30]. Recent

work has also demonstrated a role for colanic acid-like capsules in the positioning of cells at

the surface of bacterial colonies, providing access to oxygen and a fitness advantage[31,32].

In order to test if capsulation occurs in ancestral SBW25 colonies, we spot-inoculated

bacterial suspensions on agar plates with incubation at 28°C for several days. Mucoid

papillations were observed in the centre of colonies from 5 days post-inoculation and

increased over time (Fig. 6a). These papillations were not observed in colonies derived from

a colanic acid mutant (data not shown) and their appearance was delayed when 2mM uracil

was added to agar plates (Fig. 6b). In SBW25, cells sampled from mucoid regions showed a

high proportion of capsulated cells, approximately 60% of which expressed the P*pflu3655*-

GFP reporter (Fig 6c). The fact that some capsulated cells do not express the GFP reporter in

old colonies may result from remanence of capsules around cells that have stopped

production, and/or from a possible PFLU3655-independent capsulation program. When

streaked on new agar plates, no phenotypic difference was observed in colonies arising from

mucoid versus non-mucoid cells (data not shown), indicating that mucoidy is not dependent

on *de novo* mutation. These results indicate that capsulation in starved SBW25 colonies is

also a consequence of bistable colanic acid production.

Given previous results with 1B4, we reasoned that capsulated SBW25 cells might also

benefit from a growth advantage upon exposure to rich medium. Cells were collected from

7-day old colonies and enriched in capsulated or non-capsulated cells by gentle

centrifugation. When transferred to fresh batch cultures, cell suspensions enriched in

capsulated cells showed a faster initial growth rate (Supplementary Figure 9). To directly

measure the fitness effect of capsulation during growth resumption, cellular suspensions

from colonies of SBW25 or its isogenic variant carrying a neutral *lacZ* marker[33] were

collected. SBW25 Cap⁻ cells were mixed with SBW25-*lacZ* Cap⁺ cells, and *vice versa*, to

initiate competition experiments. A significant fitness advantage of capsulated cells was

detected after 2h or 4h growth in KB medium (Fig. 6d). Finally, time-lapse microscopy

experiments revealed that micro-colonies founded by GFP⁺ cells display an initial growth

rate significantly higher than colonies arising from GFP⁻ cells (Fig 6e).

<u>Figure 6: Capsulation and growth in SBW25</u>

a-b, SBW25 colony grown on KB agar plate (**a**) or KB agar plate supplemented with 2 mM uracil (**b**) for

7 days. Scale bar = 2 mm. **c**, SBW25 cells carrying the Tn7-P*pflu3655*-GFP reporter and sampled for a

7-day old colony were counter-stained with indian ink to detect the presence of colanic acid capsules.

A GFP image are overlaid with the phase contrast image. Scale bar = 10 µm. Images are

representative of at least 3 independent experiments (**a-c**). **d**, Competitive fitness difference between

SBW25 Cap⁻ and Cap⁺ cells. Boxplot of the differences in Malthusian parameters between cultures

enriched in Cap⁺ vs. Cap⁻ cells are shown, n = 12. Data are pooled from 2 independent experiments. *

P < 0.05,*** *P* < 0.001, comparison to 0 with two-tailed *t*-test. **e**, Initial growth rate of micro-colonies

founded by GFP⁻ or GFP⁺ cells measured by time-lapse microscopy. n = 65. Data are pooled from 4

independent experiments. *** P <0.001, Wilcoxon test.

To test if capsulation status in SBW25 is also associated with higher average ribosome

content, we measured expression of the ribosomal protein gene *rpsL* in capsulated and non-

capsulated cells originating from old SBW25 colonies by RT-qPCR. We found an expression

ratio of 1.67 (+/- 0.35 s.d., n = 5, two-tailed *t*-test, P = 0.012) in Cap⁺ vs. Cap⁻ cells, suggesting

that SBW25 capsulated cells contain on average more ribosomes than their non-capsulated

counter-parts.

Overall, the results from experiments carried out in SBW25 are consistent with the

capsulation model proposed for 1B4. During 'long-term' (*i.e.*, 7 days) starvation, nutrient

limitation (possibly triggered by a reduction in flux through the pyrimidine pathway) causes

a shift towards increased ribosome content. Cells with higher ribosome levels have an

enhanced chance of flipping to the capsulated state where growth remains slow and cells

enter a semi-quiescent state. Even though giving up competition for nutrients in stationary

phase, these cells then stand primed for rapid growth upon nutrient upshift.

Discussion:

Studies of adaptive phenotypes derived from selection experiments are by nature

multifaceted, but two parallel lines of inquiry are of particular importance. The first concerns

the nature of the adaptive phenotype, including its selective and molecular causes. The

second concerns the ecological significance of the traits affected by adaptive evolution, prior

to the occurrence of the adaptive mutation(s). A satisfactory answer to the first requires

understanding of the latter.

Early characterisation of the 1B4 switching genotype[8] showed the behaviour to be a

consequence of a single non-synonymous nucleotide substitution in carbamoyl phosphate

synthase *carB*. In and of itself discovery of the causal switch-generating mutation shed no

light on the adaptive phenotype. Understanding began to emerge only upon recognition

that the *carB* mutation had altered the activation threshold of a pre-existing switch[9]. Here

we have substantially extended understanding of the mechanistic bases of phenoytpic

switching in the derived 1B4 genotype.

Experiments examining function of the bistable behaviour in a *galU* mutant of the 1B4

switcher showed that previous conclusions concerning the central role of UTP or related

molecules required revision. While pyrimidine biosynthesis and the UTP decision point are

important components of the pathways leading to capsulation, the mechanism of switching

resides elsewhere. Data presented here have led to formulation of a compelling new model

of the switch. Central to the proposed model (Fig. 2c) is competition between RsmA/E and

ribosomes for *PFLU3655* mRNA, a positive regulator of capsulation. That translation

initiation and/or efficiency may affect signaling through the GacAS two-component system

was previously suggested in two independent studies[34,35].

Importantly, in our model, titration of *PFLU3655* mRNA by RsmA/E has the potential to

generate bistability. An increase in ribosome production resulting from the *carB* mutation

leads the system to a bistable regime. Small fluctuations in ribosome and/or in RsmA/E

activities beyond an activation threshold initiate a positive feedback loop leading to

capsulation. The position of the threshold and the consequent probability of switching are

affected by even small changes in parameters of the system. The prevalence of inhibitory

interactions in signaling networks offers a common route for the evolution (or evolutionary

tuning) of bistable switches through relatively minor changes to the basal level or the

interaction affinity of threshold-defining components[6,23,36]. Bimodal expression of GacAS-

regulated genes has been observed in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[37] and the relevance of

ribosome-mediated heterogeneity for numerous two-component signaling pathways

involving RNA-binding proteins deserves further attention. Such regulatory strategies would

enable bacterial cells to couple their internal metabolic and physiological status to multiple

signaling outputs. Heterogeneity in persister resuscitation in *E. coli* was recently shown to

correlate with ribosome content[38] and provides a further example of the association

between ribosomes and phenotypic heterogeneity.

A remaining open question is the mechanistic link between pyrimidine starvation and

ribosome biosynthesis. While the stringent response is believed to tune ribosome

production to cellular needs[39], a phenomenon of ribosome over-capacity – bearing similarity

to what we describe as 'ribosome provisioning' – was previously reported in slow growing

bacteria[40-42] and occurs concomitantly with a reduction in the rate of translation (or

accumulation of inactive ribosomes). RelA-dependent production of ppGpp was shown to be

necessary for ribosome accumulation under nitrogen starvation[42], but a *relA spoT* mutant of

genotype 1B4 was unaffected in its capacity to switch (data not shown). It is possible that in

1B4 an imbalance in the nucleotide pool – a factor known to influence ribosome production

in *E. coli*[43] – may directly alter ribosome production.

In vitro selection experiments can shed light on hitherto unrecognized aspects of bacterial

physiology[44-49]. Understanding the evolutionary origin of the switch between cells with

differing capsulation states requires understanding of the function and ecological

significance of the switch in the ancestral genotype. Previous work showed that switching to

the capsulated type was accompanied by a reduction in growth rate and that the probability

of switching to the capsulated state was more likely in starved cells (particularly in cells

starved of pyrimidines). This was understood as a mechanism that allowed cells entering

starvation conditions to hedge their bets in the face of uncertainty surrounding the future

state of the environment[9]. Discovery that capsulated cells, despite slow growth, are replete

in ribosomes was perplexing, but caused attention to focus on exit from the semi-quiescent

state. Just as cells entering a slow growing phase stand to be out-competed by conspecific

types that remain in the active growth phase should the environment unexpectedly return

to one conducive for growth, cells exiting from a slow growth state stand to be out-

competed by types that are already actively growing unless they can rapidly resume "life in

the fast lane". This phenomenon that we refer to as 'ribosome provisioning' has parallels

with recent reports of ribosome dynamics in exponentially growing cells[27,50,51]. In

environments where resource availability fluctuates, it appears that the control of ribosome

biosynthesis is subject to a trade-off between maximising growth rate during nutrient

limitation and growth resumption upon nutrient up-shift. This trade-off may be solved at the

single-cell level, where heterogeneity in ribosome activity may contribute to optimize long-

term geometric mean fitness.

Although the subject of little attention in the microbiological world (but see refs. 27, 51, 52)

ideas concerning provisioning of future generations with resources sufficient to aid their

establishment is a component of life-history evolution theory[53]. It has been particularly well

developed in the context of seed dormancy and the evolution of post-germination traits[54]. It

is not difficult to conceive that bacterial cells entering a slow or non-growing state, such as

persisters, or the capsulated cells of SBW25, will through evolutionary time, experience

selection for mechanisms that facilitate rapid re-entry to active growth. Our data here is

suggestive of such an evolutionary response.

Material and methods:

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens strains were cultivated in King's Medium B (KB; ref. 55) at 28°C. *Escherichia coli*

DH5α *λpir* was used for cloning and was grown on Lysogeny Broth at 37°C. Bacteria were

plated on their respective growth media containing 1.5% agar. Antibiotics were used at the

following concentrations: ampicillin (50-100 µg mL^{-1}), gentamicin (10 µg mL^{-1}), tetracycline

(10 µg mL^{-1}), kanamycin (25 or 50 µg mL^{-1} for *E. coli* or *P. fluorescens*, respectively) and

nitrofuorantoin (100 µg mL^{-1}). Uracil (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to culture medium at 2 mM

final concentration when indicated. For competition experiments, 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-

indolyl-β-d-galactopyranoside (X-gal) was used at a concentration of 60 mg L^{-1} in agar plates.

For capsulation assays, pre-cultures were inoculated from pre-calibrated dilutions of frozen

glycerol aliquots in order to reach an OD_{600nm} of 0.3-0.5 after overnight culture.

For colony assays with SBW25, 5 µl of cell suspensions were spot-inoculated on KB agar

plates and incubated for 7 days at 28°C. Cells from the center of these colonies were

resuspended in PBS or Ringer's solution for growth and competition assays and time-lapse

microscopy, or in RNAlater solution (Invitrogen) for RT-qPCR.

Molecular techniques

Oligonucleotides and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3,

respectively. Standard molecular biology techniques were used for DNA manipulations[56].

DNA fragments used to generate promoter fusions and gene deletion constructs were

prepared by splicing by overhang extension polymerase chain reaction (SOE-PCR; ref. 57). All

DNA fragments generated by SOE-PCR were first cloned into the pGEM-T easy vector

(Promega) and their fidelity was verified by Sanger sequencing (Macrogen, Seoul). Plasmids

were introduced into *P. fluorescens* by tri-parental conjugations with the helper plasmid

pRK2013 (ref. 58), carrying the *tra* and *mob* genes required for conjugation. Tn7-based

plasmids were mobilized into recipient strains with the additional helper plasmid pUX-BF13

(ref. 59).

To generate deletion mutants (*rrn* operons, *gacA, rsmA, rsmE* and *pflu3655*), regions

flanking the genes or operons of interest were amplified from SBW25 genomic DNA and

assembled by SOE-PCR. Deletion cassettes were inserted into the pUIC3 plasmid[60] as *Spe*I

fragments and mutants were obtained following the two-step allelic exchange protocol

described previously[33]. Deletion mutants were checked by PCR. To check *rrn* copy number

after *rrn* deletions, quantitative PCR was performed using a protocol described previously[61].

For complementation and over-expression studies, *PFLU3655* was amplified and cloned into

pME6032 (ref. 62) as an *EcoRI*/*XhoI* restriction fragment, downstream of the P*tac* promoter.

To generate the Tn7-P*rrnB*-GFP reporter, a ~600bp fragment upstream of the *rrnB* operon

(*PFLUr7-11*) was amplified from SBW25 genomic DNA and fused by SOE-PCR to *gfpmut3*

sequence containing the T0 terminator previously amplified with oPR152/FluomarkerP2

from the miniTn7(Gm)-P*rrnB1-gfpmut3* plasmid[63]. The resulting fragment was cloned into

pUC18R6K-mini-Tn7T-Gm (ref. 64) as a *SpeI* restriction fragment.

Site-directed mutagenesis of putative RsmA/E binding sites in pGEMT easy-P*pflu3655*-GFP

plasmid was performed using the Quick Change mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to

manufacturer's instructions. Mutagenized fragments were then cloned into pUC18R6K-

miniTn7T-Gm (ref. 64) as a *SpeI* restriction fragment. In order to re-engineer point mutations

in RsmA/E into the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* genome, a 1.5kb fragment spanning equal

length on each side of the target sites was amplified and cloned into pGEM-T easy vector.

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed on this plasmid as described above, and the

resulting DNA fragments were cloned in pUIC3 as *SpeI* restriction fragments and introduced

into the *P. fluorescens* genome *via* the two-step allelic exchange protocol.

RNA extractions and RT-qPCR

For quantification of RNA concentration in bacterial cultures, cells were harvested from 1 ml

of cultures at OD_{600nm} of 0.5-0.6 and resuspended in 200 µl of RNAlater solution (Invitrogen).

For total RNA quantification, we followed the method described by ref. 65, except that,

before processing, cells cultures were resuspended in RNAlater (Invitrogen) instead of being

fast frozen on dry ice. To normalise total RNA concentrations, the relationship between cell

density and OD_{600nm} was established for each strain by counting cells with a hemocytometer

in 5 independent cultures of similar OD to those used for RNA extractions. For *rrn* double

mutants, no significant difference in the cell/OD_{600nm} ratio was found when compared to

1B4, so RNA quantities were normalised with OD_{600nm} values.

Reverse-transcription quantitative PCR was performed as described previously[61], using *gyrA*

as an internal control. Oligonucleotide primers used for RT-qPCR are listed in Supplementary

Table 2.

Capsulation and gene expression assays

For capsulation tests, cells were grown from standardized glycerol aliquots stored at -80°C.

Aliquots were diluted in KB and pre-cultures were grown overnight in order to reach an

OD_{600nm} of 0.3-0.5 in the morning. For gene expression studies, overnight pre-cultures were

grown to saturation. In both cases, pre-cultures were diluted to OD_{600nm} of 0.05 in KB and

incubated at 28°C. IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.1-1mM when indicated.

Samples were taken at different time points for flow cytometry and OD measurements. GFP

fluorescence in bacterial populations was measured with a BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer.

Cell suspensions were diluted to a density of ~ 10^5 cells ml^{-1} in filter-sterilised PBS and at

least 20,000 cells were analysed by flow cytometry. Cellular debris were filtered using side-

scatter channel (SSC-H/SSC-W). GFP fluorescence was detected with a 488 nm laser with

530/30 bandwidth filter. Laser intensity was set to 600V, except for P*rrnB*-GFP analyses

where intensity was lowered to 300V. Flow cytometry data files were analysed in R (ref. 66)

using the 'flowCore' package[67]. For capsulation experiments, the relative sizes of GFP

positive and negative sub-populations were measured after manual thresholding of GFP

intensity, following bi-exponential transformation of the FITC-H signal. The distributions of

expression intensities in Figures 3b. and 4b. were smoothed in R using the 'KernSmooth'

package[68].

Growth curves in microplate reader

Overnight precultures were adjusted to OD_{600nm} of 0.05 and 200 µl KB cultures were grown in

96-well plates. Cultures were incubated in a Synergy 2 microplate reader (Biotek) for at least

24h at 28°C with constant shaking and OD_{600nm} was read every 5 minutes.

To measure growth rates of cultures enriched in capsulated or non-capsulated cells, cells

were harvested from late-exponential phase (OD_{600nm} ~ 1; 1B4) or 7-day old colonies

(SBW25) and centrifuged (1 min, 3000 rpm). Supernatants and pellets were collected,

representing sub-populations enriched in capsulated and non-capsulated cells, respectively.

Cell suspension density was adjusted to OD_{600nm} of 0.05 in KB to start growth curves. Initial

growth rates were calculated by performing a linear regression on the logarithm of the

measure OD values during the first 2h of growth.

Microscopy

Microscopy experiments were performed with an Olympus BX61 upright microscope

equipped with an F-View II monochrome camera, a motorized stage and a temperature-

controlled chamber set at 28°C. Devices were operated by the Cell^P or CellSens softwares

(Olympus). Phase-contrast images were acquired with an oil-immersion 100x/N.A. 1.30

objective. GFP fluoresecence images were acquired with the following filter set: excitation

(460-480 nm), emission (495-540 nm) and dichroic mirror (DM485).

Indian ink staining of capsulated cells was performed as described previously[9]. To determine

cell size, exponentially growing bacteria were diluted 1:10 in KB and transferred on 1%

agarose-KB gel pads. Cell sizes were determined from phase-contrast images with MicrobeJ

(ref. 69). For time-lapse microscopy, bacteria were harvested from late exponential phase

(1B4, OD_{600nm} ~ 1-2) or from 7 day-old colonies (SBW25), diluted 1:1000 in KB and 2µl of the

resulting suspension was immediately transferred on a gel pad (1% agarose KB) located on a

glass slide within an adhesive frame (GeneFrame, Thermo-Fisher). When dry, a cross-section

of the pad was removed with a razor blade in order to allow gas exchanges to occur; the

preparation was sealed with a glass cover-slip and transferred to the microscope incubation

chamber pre-heated to 28°C. One GFP image was taken before starting the experiment in

order to determine the capsulation status of each cell and phase-contrast images were then

recorded every 10 minutes.

Phase contrast images were segmented with Fiji (ref. 70) and individual colony areas were

extracted. For each colony analysed, the capsulation status of the founding cell was

determined manually based on GFP signal. The logarithm of the growth rate of individual

1B4 colonies was then fitted using a linear regression. For SBW25, segmented linear

regressions were found to better fit the data and the slope of the first line was reported.

RNAseq analyses

RNAseq data were published previously[9]. KEGG orthology terms for the SBW25 genome

were downloaded from the KEGG Orthology database (www.genome.jp; accessed in April

2016). KEGG enrichment statistics were computed with a hypergeometric test and were

performed separately for up- and down-regulated genes. Data from the transcriptome

analysis of SBW25 *gacS* mutant were retrieved from Supplementary Table 3 from ref. 26.

Competition experiments

SBW25 or SBW25-*lacZ* cell suspensions were spot-inoculated on separate KB agar plates and grown for 7 days. Cells from the centre of colonies were harvested, resuspended in Ringer's solution and gently centrifuged to enrich suspensions in capsulated or non-capsulated cells. Capsulated SBW25 cells were mixed with non-capsulated SBW-*lacZ* cells, and vice-versa. Mixed suspensions were diluted 1:100 in KB medium and grown at 28°C with orbital shaking for 4h. Appropriate dilutions of the cultures were plated on KB + X-gal plates at 0h, 2h and 4h post-inoculation in order to measure the ratio of white-blue colonies. The difference in Malthusian parameters[71] was used as a measure of relative fitness.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in R (ref. 66). Parametric data were analysed with a two-tailed Welch's *t*-test. Non-parametric data were analysed with a Wilcoxon test (2 samples) or with a Kruskall-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc correction (multiple comparisons). In Figure 5a, r^2 indicates the adjusted R-squared value calculated from the linear regression. All *P* values are provided in Supplementary Table 5. All measurements were performed on distinct samples. The number of replicates indicate biological replicates, consisting of independent bacterial cultures or individual cells/micro-colonies (Figures 5b, 6e and Supplementary Figure 4).

Data availability

Data and material are available from corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements:

The authors thank Jenna Gallie and Camille De Almeida for discussion, Xue-Xian Zhang for the generous gift of pUIC3-Δ*gacA* deletion plasmid, Heather Hendrickson and Peter Lind for help with microscopy and flow cytometry, respectively, and Elena Denisenko for assistance with KEGG enrichment analyses. This work was supported in part by the Marsden Fund Council and a James Cook Research Fellowship from government funding administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand. SDM has received support under the program « Investissements d'Avenir » launched by the French Government and implemented by ANR

626   with the references ANR-10-LABX-54 MEMOLIFE and ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL* Research

627   University.

Author contributions:

630   PR and PBR designed the study, PR and GCF performed experiments and analysed the data,

631   SdM designed and analysed the mathematical model, PR and PBR wrote the paper with

632   contributions from all authors.

References:

1　Ackermann, M. A functional perspective on phenotypic heterogeneity in
microorganisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **13**, 497-508, doi:10.1038/nrmicro3491 (2015).
2　van Boxtel, C., van Heerden, J. H., Nordholt, N., Schmidt, P. & Bruggeman, F. J.
Taking chances and making mistakes: non-genetic phenotypic heterogeneity and its
consequences for surviving in dynamic environments. *J R Soc Interface* **14**,
doi:10.1098/rsif.2017.0141 (2017).
3　Bodi, Z. *et al.* Phenotypic heterogeneity promotes adaptive evolution. *PLoS Biol* **15**,
e2000644, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2000644 (2017).
4　Fridman, O., Goldberg, A., Ronin, I., Shoresh, N. & Balaban, N. Q. Optimization of lag
time underlies antibiotic tolerance in evolved bacterial populations. *Nature* **513**,
418-421, doi:10.1038/nature13469 (2014).
5　Richard, M. & Yvert, G. How does evolution tune biological noise? *Front Genet* **5**,
374, doi:10.3389/fgene.2014.00374 (2014).
6　Rotem, E. *et al.* Regulation of phenotypic variability by a threshold-based
mechanism underlies bacterial persistence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 12541-
12546, doi:10.1073/pnas.1004333107 (2010).
7　Van den Bergh, B. *et al.* Frequency of antibiotic application drives rapid evolutionary
adaptation of Escherichia coli persistence. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, 16020,
doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.20 (2016).
8　Beaumont, H. J. E., Gallie, J., Kost, C., Ferguson, G. C. & Rainey, P. B. Experimental
evolution of bet hedging. *Nature* **462**, 90-U97, doi:Doi 10.1038/Nature08504 (2009).
9　Gallie, J. *et al.* Bistability in a metabolic network underpins the de novo evolution of
colony switching in Pseudomonas fluorescens. *PLoS Biol* **13**, e1002109,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002109 (2015).
10　Vadia, S. & Levin, P. A. Growth rate and cell size: a re-examination of the growth law.
Curr Opin Microbiol **24**, 96-103, doi:10.1016/j.mib.2015.01.011 (2015).
11　Dennis, P. P., Ehrenberg, M. & Bremer, H. Control of rRNA synthesis in Escherichia
coli: a systems biology approach. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **68**, 639-668,
doi:10.1128/MMBR.68.4.639-668.2004 (2004).
12　Paul, B. J., Ross, W., Gaal, T. & Gourse, R. L. rRNA transcription in Escherichia coli.
Annu Rev Genet **38**, 749-770, doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.38.072902.091347 (2004).
13　Keener, J. & Nomura, M. in *Escherichia coli and Salmonella: cellular and molecular*
biology (eds F.C. Neidhardt *et al.*) 1417-1431 (American Society for Microbiology,
1996).
14　Bollenbach, T., Quan, S., Chait, R. & Kishony, R. Nonoptimal microbial response to
antibiotics underlies suppressive drug interactions. *Cell* **139**, 707-718,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.025 (2009).
15　Condon, C., French, S., Squires, C. & Squires, C. L. Depletion of functional ribosomal
RNA operons in Escherichia coli causes increased expression of the remaining intact
copies. *EMBO J* **12**, 4305-4315 (1993).
16　Gyorfy, Z. *et al.* Engineered ribosomal RNA operon copy-number variants of E. coli
reveal the evolutionary trade-offs shaping rRNA operon number. *Nucleic Acids Res*
43, 1783-1794, doi:10.1093/nar/gkv040 (2015).
17　Vakulskas, C. A., Potts, A. H., Babitzke, P., Ahmer, B. M. & Romeo, T. Regulation of
bacterial virulence by Csr (Rsm) systems. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **79**, 193-224,
doi:10.1128/MMBR.00052-14 (2015).
18　Valentini, M., Gonzalez, D., Mavridou, D. A. & Filloux, A. Lifestyle transitions and
adaptive pathogenesis of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **41**, 15-20,
doi:10.1016/j.mib.2017.11.006 (2017).

19   Lapouge, K., Schubert, M., Allain, F. H. & Haas, D. Gac/Rsm signal transduction
685   pathway of gamma-proteobacteria: from RNA recognition to regulation of social
686   behaviour. *Mol Microbiol* **67**, 241-253, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06042.x
687   (2008).
688   20   Norman, T. M., Lord, N. D., Paulsson, J. & Losick, R. Stochastic Switching of Cell Fate
689   in Microbes. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **69**, 381-403, doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-091213-
690   112852 (2015).
691   21   Veening, J. W., Smits, W. K. & Kuipers, O. P. Bistability, epigenetics, and bet-hedging
692   in bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **62**, 193-210,
693   doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.163002 (2008).
694   22   Ferrell, J. E., Jr. & Ha, S. H. Ultrasensitivity part II: multisite phosphorylation,
695   stoichiometric inhibitors, and positive feedback. *Trends Biochem Sci* **39**, 556-569,
696   doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2014.09.003 (2014).
697   23   Buchler, N. E. & Cross, F. R. Protein sequestration generates a flexible ultrasensitive
698   response in a genetic network. *Mol Syst Biol* **5**, 272, doi:10.1038/msb.2009.30
699   (2009).
700   24   Buchler, N. E. & Louis, M. Molecular titration and ultrasensitivity in regulatory
701   networks. *J Mol Biol* **384**, 1106-1119, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2008.09.079 (2008).
702   25   Mukherji, S. *et al.* MicroRNAs can generate thresholds in target gene expression. *Nat
703   Genet* **43**, 854-859, doi:10.1038/ng.905 (2011).
704   26   Cheng, X., de Bruijn, I., van der Voort, M., Loper, J. E. & Raaijmakers, J. M. The Gac
705   regulon of Pseudomonas fluorescens SBW25. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **5**, 608-619,
706   doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12061 (2013).
707   27   Mori, M., Schink, S., Erickson, D. W., Gerland, U. & Hwa, T. Quantifying the benefit of
708   a proteome reserve in fluctuating environments. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1225,
709   doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01242-8 (2017).
710   28   Ehrenberg, M., Bremer, H. & Dennis, P. P. Medium-dependent control of the
711   bacterial growth rate. *Biochimie* **95**, 643-658, doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2012.11.012
712   (2013).
713   29   Roberts, I. S. The biochemistry and genetics of capsular polysaccharide production in
714   bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **50**, 285-315, doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.50.1.285
715   (1996).
716   30   Rendueles, O., Garcia-Garcera, M., Neron, B., Touchon, M. & Rocha, E. P. C.
717   Abundance and co-occurrence of extracellular capsules increase environmental
718   breadth: Implications for the emergence of pathogens. *PLoS Pathog* **13**, e1006525,
719   doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006525 (2017).
720   31   Kim, W., Levy, S. B. & Foster, K. R. Rapid radiation in bacteria leads to a division of
721   labour. *Nat Commun* **7**, 10508, doi:10.1038/ncomms10508 (2016).
722   32   Kim, W., Racimo, F., Schluter, J., Levy, S. B. & Foster, K. R. Importance of positioning
723   for microbial evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, E1639-1647,
724   doi:10.1073/pnas.1323632111 (2014).
725   33   Zhang, X. X. & Rainey, P. B. Construction and validation of a neutrally-marked strain
726   of Pseudomonas fluorescens SBW25. *J Microbiol Methods* **71**, 78-81,
727   doi:10.1016/j.mimet.2007.07.001 (2007).
728   34   Blumer, C. & Haas, D. Multicopy suppression of a gacA mutation by the infC operon
729   in Pseudomonas fluorescens CHA0: competition with the global translational
730   regulator RsmA. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **187**, 53-58 (2000).
731   35   Kitten, T. & Willis, D. K. Suppression of a sensor kinase-dependent phenotype in
732   Pseudomonas syringae by ribosomal proteins L35 and L20. *J Bacteriol* **178**, 1548-
733   1555 (1996).

734   36   Zhang, Q., Bhattacharya, S. & Andersen, M. E. Ultrasensitive response motifs: basic
735   amplifiers in molecular signalling networks. *Open Biol* **3**, 130031,
736   doi:10.1098/rsob.130031 (2013).

737   37   Broder, U. N., Jaeger, T. & Jenal, U. LadS is a calcium-responsive kinase that induces
738   acute-to-chronic virulence switch in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Nat Microbiol* **2**,
739   16184, doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.184 (2016).

740   38   Kim, J. S., Yamasaki, R., Song, S., Zhang, W. & Wood, T. K. Single Cell Observations
741   Show Persister Cells Wake Based on Ribosome Content. *Environ Microbiol*,
742   doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14093 (2018).

743   39   Bosdriesz, E., Molenaar, D., Teusink, B. & Bruggeman, F. J. How fast-growing bacteria
744   robustly tune their ribosome concentration to approximate growth-rate
745   maximization. *FEBS J* **282**, 2029-2044, doi:10.1111/febs.13258 (2015).

746   40   Dai, X. *et al.* Reduction of translating ribosomes enables Escherichia coli to maintain
747   elongation rates during slow growth. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 16231,
748   doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.231 (2016).

749   41   Koch, A. L. The adaptive responses of Escherichia coli to a feast and famine
750   existence. *Adv Microb Physiol* **6**, 147-217 (1971).

751   42   Li, S. H.-J. *et al.* E. coli translation strategies differ across nutrient conditions. *bioRxiv*,
752   doi:doi.org/10.1101/224204 (2018).

753   43   Gaal, T., Bartlett, M. S., Ross, W., Turnbough, C. L., Jr. & Gourse, R. L. Transcription
754   regulation by initiating NTP concentration: rRNA synthesis in bacteria. *Science* **278**,
755   2092-2097 (1997).

756   44   Blank, D., Wolf, L., Ackermann, M. & Silander, O. K. The predictability of molecular
757   evolution during functional innovation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 3044-3049,
758   doi:10.1073/pnas.1318797111 (2014).

759   45   Clarke, P. H. in *Evolution from Molecules to Men* (ed Bendall D.S.) 235-252
760   (Cambridge University Press, 1983).

761   46   Hall, B. G. Experimental evolution of a new enzymatic function. Kinetic analysis of
762   the ancestral (ebg) and evolved (ebg) enzymes. *J Mol Biol* **107**, 71-84 (1976).

763   47   Hindre, T., Knibbe, C., Beslon, G. & Schneider, D. New insights into bacterial
764   adaptation through in vivo and in silico experimental evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol*
765   **10**, 352-365, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2750 (2012).

766   48   Laan, L., Koschwanez, J. H. & Murray, A. W. Evolutionary adaptation after crippling
767   cell polarization follows reproducible trajectories. *Elife* **4**, doi:10.7554/eLife.09638
768   (2015).

769   49   Rainey, P. B., Remigi, P., Farr, A. D. & Lind, P. A. Darwin was right: where now for
770   experimental evolution? *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **47**, 102-109,
771   doi:10.1016/j.gde.2017.09.003 (2017).

772   50   Korem Kohanim, Y., Levi, D., Jona, G., Bren, A. & Alon, U. A bacterial growth law out
773   of steady-state. *bioRxiv*, doi:doi.org/10.1101/257709 (2018).

774   51   Metzl-Raz, E. *et al.* Principles of cellular resource allocation revealed by condition-
775   dependent proteome profiling. *Elife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.28034 (2017).

776   52   Joers, A. & Tenson, T. Growth resumption from stationary phase reveals memory in
777   Escherichia coli cultures. *Sci Rep* **6**, 24055, doi:10.1038/srep24055 (2016).

778   53   Stearns, S. C. *The evolution of life histories*. (Oxford University Press, 1992).

779   54   Evans, A. S. & Cabin, R. J. Can Dormancy Affect the Evolution of Post-Germination
780   Traits? The Case of Lesquerella fendleri. *Ecology* **76**, 344-356, doi:10.2307/1941194
781   (1995).

782   55   King, E. O., Ward, M. K. & Raney, D. E. Two simple media for the demonstration of
783   pyocyanin and fluorescin. *J Lab Clin Med* **44**, 301-307 (1954).

56   Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual.*
2nd edn, (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1989).
57   Ho, S. N., Hunt, H. D., Horton, R. M., Pullen, J. K. & Pease, L. R. Site-directed
mutagenesis by overlap extension using the polymerase chain reaction. *Gene* **77**, 51-
59 (1989).
58   Ditta, G., Stanfield, S., Corbin, D. & Helinski, D. R. Broad host range DNA cloning
system for gram-negative bacteria: construction of a gene bank of Rhizobium
meliloti. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **77**, 7347-7351 (1980).
59   Bao, Y., Lies, D. P., Fu, H. & Roberts, G. P. An improved Tn7-based system for the
single-copy insertion of cloned genes into chromosomes of gram-negative bacteria.
Gene **109**, 167-168 (1991).
60   Rainey, P. B. Adaptation of Pseudomonas fluorescens to the plant rhizosphere.
Environ Microbiol **1**, 243-257 (1999).
61   Farr, A. D., Remigi, P. & Rainey, P. B. Adaptive evolution by spontaneous domain
fusion and protein relocalization. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**, 1562-1568, doi:10.1038/s41559-
017-0283-7 (2017).
62   Heeb, S., Blumer, C. & Haas, D. Regulatory RNA as mediator in GacA/RsmA-
dependent global control of exoproduct formation in Pseudomonas fluorescens
CHA0. *J Bacteriol* **184**, 1046-1056 (2002).
63   Lambertsen, L., Sternberg, C. & Molin, S. Mini-Tn7 transposons for site-specific
tagging of bacteria with fluorescent proteins. *Environ Microbiol* **6**, 726-732,
doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00605.x (2004).
64   Choi, K. H. *et al.* A Tn7-based broad-range bacterial cloning and expression system.
Nat Methods **2**, 443-448, doi:10.1038/nmeth765 (2005).
65   You, C. *et al.* Coordination of bacterial proteome with metabolism by cyclic AMP
signalling. *Nature* **500**, 301-306, doi:10.1038/nature12446 (2013).
66   R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2016).
67   flowCore: Basic structures for flow cytometry data (R package version 1.44.0., 2017).
68   KernSmooth: Functions for Kernel Smoothing supporting Wand & Jones (1995) (R
package version 2.23-15, 2015).
69   Ducret, A., Quardokus, E. M. & Brun, Y. V. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput
bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, 16077,
doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.77 (2016).
70   Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat
Methods* **9**, 676-682, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019 (2012).
71   Lenski, R. E., Rose, M. R., Simpson, S. C. & Tadler, S. C. Long-Term Experimental
Evolution in Escherichia coli. I. Adaptation and Divergence During 2,000 Generations.
The American Naturalist **138**, 1315-1341, doi:10.1086/285289 (1991).

824