**Title**: High quality whole genome sequence of an abundant Holarctic odontocete, the harbour

porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*)

**Authors**: Marijke Autenrieth[1], Stefanie Hartmann[2], Ljerka Lah[1,3], Anna Roos[4], Alice B.

Dennis[1], Ralph Tiedemann[1]*

[1] University of Potsdam, Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, Evolutionary Biology &

Systematic Zoology, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

[2] University of Potsdam, Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, Evolution and Adaptive

Genomics, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

[3] current address: Novartis BTDM Mengeš, Kolodvorska 27, SI-1234 Mengeš, Slovenia (All

work in regards to this manuscript was performed at University of Potsdam[1])

[4] Swedish Museum of Natural History, SE-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden

**\*Corresponding author**: Prof. Dr. Ralph Tiedemann tiedeman@uni-potsdam.de

**Abstract**

The harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) is a highly mobile cetacean found in waters

across the Northern hemisphere. It occurs in coastal water and inhabits water basins that vary

broadly in salinity, temperature, and food availability. These diverse habitats could drive

differentiation among populations; population structure within the north Atlantic (north of 51°

latitude) is not fully resolved, particularly in relation to Baltic Sea populations. Here we report

the first harbour porpoise genome, assembled *de novo* from a Swedish Kattegat individual.

The genome is one of the most complete cetacean genomes currently available, with a total

size of 2.7 Gb, and 50% of the total length found in just 34 scaffolds. Using the largest

scaffolds, we were able to examine chromosome-level rearrangements relative to the genome

of the closest related species available, domestic cattle (*Bos taurus*). The draft annotation

comprises 22,154 predicted gene models, which we further annotated through matches to

NCBI nucleotide database, GO categorization, and motif prediction. To infer the adaptive

abilities of this species, as well as their population history, we performed Bayesian skyline

analysis of the genome, which is concordant with the demographic history of this species,

including expansion and fragmentation events. Overall, this genome assembly, together with

the draft annotation, represents a crucial addition to the limited genetic markers currently

available for the study of porpoise and cetacean conservation, phylogeny, and evolution.

## Introduction

As an apex predator, the harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) is a key indicator for conservation and biodiversity measurements in the Nordic Seas (Hooker & Gerber, 2004; Lawrence et al., 2016; Sergio et al., 2008). Marine mammals in particular face many threats from their environment (Fietz et al., 2013; Godard-Codding et al., 2011) including noise pollution (Dyndo et al., 2015; Nabe-Nielsen et al., 2014), marine debris and by-catch (Scheidat et al., 2008; Unger et al., 2017), predation by grey seals (Leopold et al., 2014), and infectious diseases (Siebert et al., 2001; van Beurden et al., 2017). These threats impact structure, boundaries, and stability of populations. This is especially true in the Kattegat/Baltic Sea area, where broad ecological shifts have occurred on a relatively short time scale. Since forming 15,000 years ago, the Baltic has undergone periods of brackish, marine, and completely fresh water, and encountered increasing and continuous humans impacts including eutrophication, pollution and overharvesting (Korpinen et al., 2012; Paasche et al., 2015; Ukkonen et al., 2014; Varjopuro et al., 2014). This geological history has created a series of challenges to marine species, and has likely fostered local adaption and population differentiation.

Harbour porpoises are the most abundant costal cetaceans across their wide distribution from sub-polar to temperate waters in the Northern hemisphere (Fontaine et al., 2017; Gaskin, 1984). As one of the smallest marine mammals, they belong to the *Delphinoida* and are the sister group to the *Monodontidae* (Gatesy et al., 2013; Geisler et al., 2011; Hassanin et al., 2012). Three subspecies of harbour porpoise, *P. p. vomerina* (North Pacific), *P. p. relicta* (Black Sea) and *P. p. phocoena* (North Atlantic), can be differentiated genetically (Rosel et al., 1999), but also by morphological traits including body size and diet (Fontaine et al., 2017; Galatius et al., 2012).

The population size of harbour porpoises in European Atlantic Shelf waters is estimated to be

375,000 with shifts across the last decade in the exact regions they occupy (e.g. in the North

Sea; (Hammond et al., 2013). Estimates of population size in the western Baltic Sea are

smaller, approximately 40,000 animals (Benke et al., 2014; Scheidat et al., 2008; Viquerat et

al., 2014). The Baltic Sea proper population, which is not included in the former surveys, has

very low estimates (below 500 individuals; Amundin, 2016) and is considered critically

endangered (Benke et al., 2014; Hammond et al., 2008; Scheidat et al., 2008).

As with other marine mammals in the Northern Atlantic, e.g. grey and harbour seals,

subpopulations of the harbor porpoise arose during the end of the last glacial period as North

Sea populations recolonized the Baltic Sea (Fietz et al., 2016). Now these different

populations show shifts in habitat use based largely on food availability (Hammond et al.,

2013) and activity patterns (Nuuttila et al., 2017), and display fine scale morphological and

genetic differences (Fontaine et al., 2012, 2014; Wiemann et al., 2010) and significant

isolation by distance (Lah et al., 2016). Recent studies based on morphometric and genetic

data suggest that different ecotypes of harbour porpoise in the North Atlantic and Baltic Sea

exist and may need further conservation measures (Fontaine et al., 2014, 2017; Galatius et al.,

2012).

These fine scale differences in morphology and behavior may constitute local

adaptation, yet the genes underlying such a potentially adaptive differentiation are still

unknown and would be best investigated on a whole-genome scale. To examine this, there is a

need for high quality genomic resources for this species. A genome will also allow for a

broader investigation of population structure, demographic history, functional, and

evolutionary questions, as has been shown for other cetacean species in recent studies (Foote

et al., 2016; Keane et al., 2015; Nery et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013; Yim et al., 2013; Zhou et

al., 2013). To this end, a full genome will enable mapping of so far anonymous nuclear

microsatellite (Wiemann et al. 2010) and SNP (Lah et al. 2016) loci, thus facilitating

population genomic inference.

We present here the first *de novo* assembly of the full genome of the harbor porpoise,

scaffolded with *in vitro* proximity ligation data (hereafter "Chicago" library), and draft-

annotated to predict its coding proteins and their functions (Deposited at NCBI as BioProject:

PRJNA417595 with BioSample-ID: SAMN08000480). We demonstrate chromosome-level

homology with other Cetartiodactyla (Gatesy et al., 2013), and insight into past population

dynamics using a Bayesian skyline plot (Li & Durbin, 2011).

**Materials and Methods**

*DNA sampling*

Tissue for whole genome sequencing came from a single individual from the Kattegat

(Glommen - Falkenberg), Sweden (ID: C2009/02665). Muscle tissue was sampled in July

2009 from a by-caught female of probably young age (22.4kg, 110.5m), frozen, and

transported to Potsdam, Germany for DNA extraction. Sample preparation and Genomic DNA

isolation were performed following the Quiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Cat 69506,

Hilden). Successful high molecular weight DNA-isolation was confirmed by Sanger

sequencing of the mitochondrial control region, and visualization of fragment sizes of the

entire extraction using the Tape Station (Agilent 2200, Santa Clara, CA 95051). By mtDNA

sequencing, we verified that the analyzed specimen carried haplotype PHO7 (Tiedemann et

al., 1996), indicative of the separated Beltsea population of the Kattegat/Western Baltic Sea

region (Lah et al., 2016; Wiemann et al., 2010).

*Genome sequencing and assembly*

The draft *de novo* assembly was constructed from two libraries (insert sizes ca. 300 and ca.

500bp); sequenced in 125bp PE on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 at EUROFINS Genomics. Reads

were trimmed using CUTADAPT v1.10 (Martin, 2011) and an initial assembly was made using

SOAPDENOVO2 (Luo et al., 2015). DNA from the same sample was used by Dovetail

Genomics for construction of a Chicago library (Putnam et al., 2016), and sequenced in 150bp

PE reads on an Illumina NextSeq500 at the University of Potsdam. The draft assembly was

then scaffolded with the Chicago library results for the final HiRise assembly, performed by

Dovetail Genomics.

Presence of core, single copy, and orthologous genes was measured using CEGMA and

BUSCO, run in the genome mode for the Laurasiatheria database (Simão et al., 2015).

BLOBTOOLS was run to examine potential contaminants, based on divergence in GC-content

and read coverage variation across the assembly (Laetsch & Blaxter, 2017).

*Genome annotation*

Genome annotation was performed by MAKER2 (Holt & Yandell, 2011) in two steps. MAKER2

makes use of different programs and draws from several lines of evidence. Prior to

annotation, repetitive elements were soft-masked with REPEATMASKER (Smit et al., 2013-

2015) using the te_protein repeat database (Smith et al., 2007). In the first MAKER2 run, three

gene predictors were used: SNAP (Bromberg et al., 2008) was *ab initio* trained with the

CEGMA results (Parra et al., 2007), GENEMARK-ES (Ter-Hovhannisyan et al., 2008) was run

using an HMM produced by *ab initio* training on the whole *P. phocoena* genome, and

AUGUSTUS was run using the presets for human, as is recommended for vertebrates (Stanke et

al., 2004). Protein sequences, supplied as evidence were obtained from the complete

SwissProt database (553,941 Proteins) plus NCBI entries of 184,527 proteins from eight

different cetacean groups (On 20 March 2017, all hits to following keywords:

"*Balaenopteridae*", "*Lipotes vexillifer*", "*Neophocaena*", "*Orcinus orca*", "*Phocoena*",

"*Physeter catodon*", "*Pontoporia blainvillei*", "*Tursiops truncatus*").

For the second MAKER2 run, we created a new SNAP-HMM based on the first MAKER2

output, and ran it with the same parameters as the first run, exchanging only the SNAP HMM

and excluding the protein evidence. The resulting CDS predictions were extracted from the

final gff file, which was created by *fathom* implemented in SNAP (Bromberg et al., 2008).

These gene predictions were further verified by a BLASTN search against the entire GENBANK

non-redundant nucleotide sequence database (date downloaded 21.07.2017). Summary

statistics were generated using GENOME ANNOTATION GENERATOR (Hall et al., 2014). We then

used all CDS and their BLAST results in BLAST2GO (Goetz et al., 2008) to identify conserved

protein domains with INTERPROSCAN (including a Pfam comparison). We functional

annotated the CDS with GO terms, which are a controlled vocabulary to describe gene

function constantly actualized by the Gene Ontology Consortium (Ashburner et al., 2000;

Carbon et al., 2017).

*Comparative genomics*

The closest relative with a chromosome-level assembly currently available is the domestic

cattle, *Bos taurus*. To validate our assembly, we compared our scaffolds to the *B. taurus*

chromosomes (assembly UMD 3.1.1 downloaded from NCBI, ACCESSION

DAAA00000000). Specifically, the 122 *P. phocoena* scaffolds of at least 1Mbp were aligned

to the *B. taurus* chromosomes using the nucmer software of the MUMMER package v. 3.23

(Kurtz et al., 2004). From the coordinates of these alignments, runs of ten or more

consecutive matches of each at least 250bp between a given *P. phocoena* scaffold and a *B.*

*taurus* chromosome were extracted using custom perl scripts. Their start and end positions

were used to generate a CIRCOS (http://circos.ca/) plot that shows regions of collinearity as

well as rearrangements. For the CIRCOS plot, separate ribbons are displayed between a *B.*

*taurus* chromosome and a *P. phocoena* scaffold for consecutive hits that were each no more

than 20,000 bp apart. If a hit is more than 20,000bp from the next run of consecutive hits, a

new ribbon was started; in total 24,394 separate ribbons were constructed (Figure 1).

*Population genomics*

In using genome-wide diploid sequence data it is possible to reconstruct the population

history in estimating population sizes through the past (Li et al., 2011). To estimate the

demographic history of the individual sequenced, we used the SNP Frequency spectra based

on our genome assembly, which is a haploid sequence, and the PE reads used to construct the

*de novo* assembly, prior to Chicago scaffolding (described above, we used both insert sizes).

These reads were first mapped back to the final assembly using BWA (Li & Durbin, 2009).

SNP data was extracted from the resulting bam files, and variants were extracted using

SAMTOOLS vs.1.6. (Li, Handsaker, et al., 2009), and BCFTOOLS (Li, Handsaker, et al., 2009),

implemented with the script vcfutils.pl (Li, Handsaker, et al., 2009). This generated a final

*.fq.gz file, which was then used to generate the final Bayesian skyline plot in the PSMC

package, using perl scripts psmc2history.pl and psmc_plot.pl (Li et al., 2011). The parameters

of the PSMC analysis were set following the recommendation from the authors (Li & Durbin,

2011, https://github.com/lh3/psmc) and we applied a generation time of 10 years (Birkun Jr. &

Frantzis, 2008) and a mutation rate of $2.2 \times 10^{-9}$ year/site (Taylor et al., 2007).

**Results**

*De novo* *assembly of the* P. phocoena *genome*

Shotgun sequencing produced a total number of 1,268M reads (Table 1), these were used to generate a draft assembly with 2.4M scaffolds and an N50 of 33.1kb. This assembly was combined with the Chicago library data (556M read) for final scaffolding by Dovetail Genomics (Putnam et al., 2016). The final HiRise assembly from Dovetail contains ca. 2M scaffolds (Table 2) and has a total length of 2.7Gb (N50 of 23.8Mb). The greatest improvements from the addition of the Chicago libraries is in building up the 34 longest scaffolds, which make up approximately half of the entire assembly (Table 2). The CIRCOS plot illustrates the near-completeness of these long scaffolds. We observe almost complete coverage of the cow chromosomes by scaffolds bigger than 1Mb in our assembly (Figure 1). The BUSCO and CEGMA analyses also suggests that we have largely reconstructed the entire genome, and identified 96.9% (91.3% complete) of the 2,586 Eukaryotic and 94.2 (88.7% complete) of the 6,253 Laurasiatheria BUSCO core genes and 90% of the 248 ultra-conserved CEGs (54% complete).

*Genome completeness and annotation*

The MAKER2 annotation resulted in the prediction of 22,154 coding genes (Table 3). In total 21,750 CDS had a BLAST hit against the nucleotide database, which accounts for 98% of the total CDSs. Of these BLAST hits, 99% account for vertebrate, and these were dominated (90%) by hits to Cetacea (thereof 59% *Tursiops truncatus*, 27% *Orcinus orca*). Further annotation with INTERPROSCAN revealed 250,126 features of these predicted proteins. These comprise hits in several protein domain databases, e.g. 23,319 PFAM protein domains, 37,046

PANTHER gene families, 24,538 SUPERFAMILY annotations and 31,114 GENE3D domains.

Assignment of the BLAST results to Gene Ontology (GO) categories resulted in 55,143 hits

across the GO categories (

Figure *2*).

*Inference of Kattegat/Baltic population history*

We inferred the population history of the harbour porpoise *P. phocoena* based on one single

individual (Li et al., 2011) using the PSMC algorithm, which combines all generated PE read

data generated. Between eight and four million years ago the inferred population size ($N_e$) was

low, around 10,000 individuals (Figure 3). It began to increase slightly at 3Myr, and rose

more rapidly around 2Myr, reaching an $N_e$ of 45,000 during the following 1.5 Myr. The

estimated population size peaked approximates 400kyra before it dropped to a quarter of the

original size around 100kyrs ago, leading to a very low $N_e$, similar to that seen in present day

populations (Hammond et al., 2013).

**Discussion**

We present here a high quality *de novo* genome assembly for the harbour porpoise *Pho-*

*coena phocoena*. With a GC-content of 41.4% and a total length of 2.7 GB, this assembly is

comparable to other high quality genomes (Groenen et al., 2013; Zimin et al., 2009). BUSCO

and CEGMA gene scans support a near completeness of core genes in the assembly, and sup-

port that we have largely reconstructed the entire genome. For almost completely covering the

chromosomes of the *B. taurus* genome (Figure 1), only 122 scaffolds are needed, including

the 34 largest scaffolds representing 50% of the whole genome. Of these largest scaffolds

some completely match single *B. taurus* chromosomes, e.g., chromosome 25. Other *B. taurus*

chromosomes are in only 2-3 pieces in our scaffolds, e.g. chromosomes 12, 24. Based on this

comparison, we infer that our assembly represents a nearly complete genome of *P. phocoena*,

and that our largest scaffolds are nearly-complete chromosomes. The Circos plot also illus-

trates chromosomal rearrangements between domestic cattle and the harbour porpoise, two

species diverged approximately 60Myrs ago within the Cetartiodactyla (Gatesy et al., 2013).

These chromosomal rearrangements are seen several times among distinct lineage of Cetarti-

odactyla (Avila et al., 2015; Kulemzina et al., 2009, 2011; Pauciullo et al., 2014), e.g., com-

parison between camel, pig and domestic cattle (Balmus et al., 2007).

The number of annotated genes (22,154) is comparable to other published cetacean ge-

nomes: 21,459 bottlenose dolphin (Lindblad-Toh et al., 2011), 20,605 minke whale (Yim et

al., 2013), 22,711 grey whale (DeWoody et al., 2017). They appear to broadly span key func-

tional gene categories, e.g. biological processes, cellular components and molecular function,

both across the annotated GO terms and the InterProScan analysis. With this information

we can directly search for known, respectively key genes, for further investigations, e.g. se-

lection or adaptive traits.

The harbor porpoise is estimated to have split from is closest relative ca. 5Myr ago

(Gatesy et al., 2013). Interestingly our Bayesian skyline plot (Figure 3) coincides with this

date by starting a population expansion around that time point. Around 4.5 Myr ago an

expansion occurred, during which time the North Atlantic is known to have cooled, leading to

an extinction of 65% of the marine organisms (Stanley, 1995). The harbour porpoise is well

known in subarctic regions and some populations (e.g. Greenland) occur in areas which freeze

to a large extent during winter (Tolley & Rosel, 2006). Therefore, an extinction of other

marine species during a cold water period does not preclude that the harbour porpoise could

increase its population size and expand through the Atlantic. During the last interglacial

period, Eemian, the inferred $N_e$ remained relatively high at around 50,000 individuals before,

dropping dramatically with the beginning of the last glacial period 100kya. When comparing

this pattern to the demographic history of other cetaceans, it is most similar to the bottlenose

dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*), a related species with a similar North Atlantic distribution

(Brüniche-Olsen et al., n.d.; Foote et al., 2016; Yim et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013). The newly

forming sea ice areas, around 400kya ago, could have led to fragmentation of different

populations, and therefore lead to a drop in regional total effective population size in regards

to our sample. A potential low population size we see postulated for today would fit to the

history of the Baltic Sea and the population status of *P. phocoena* (Johannesson et al., 2011;

Johannesson & André, 2006; Ukkonen et al., 2014). Specifically, there is strong evidence for a

Western Baltic/Kattegat (i.e., Beltsea) population separated from the North Sea/North Atlantic

(Hammond et al., 2013; Lah et al., 2016), which currently counts approximately 40,000

animals (Benke et al., 2014; Scheidat et al., 2008; Viquerat et al., 2014). Our sequenced

specimen was assigned with high likelihood to this Beltsea population by mtDNA analysis

(exhibiting haplotype PHO 7; cf. Tiedemann et al., 1996; Wiemann et al., 2010).

In this study we present the first whole genome assembly and annotation of the harbour

porpoise, at this point the most complete assembly for the Family *Phocoenidae*. This genome

adds to the Cetacean genome collection by supplying important resources for further

investigation within the *Odontoceti* as well as outside the *Cetacea*. This will provide an

invaluable resource for further genetic studies within the harbour porpoise itself, both as a

resource for whole-genome investigations into population structure and to identify key genes

associated with local adaptation. This genome represents a crucial genetic resource for further

investigation in the population genetics and phylogeny on other species of the *Phocoenidae*

including the currently most rare marine mammal, the almost extinct Vaquita (*Phocoena*

*sinus*) (Taylor et al., 2017), and is hence especially important for conservation efforts.

**Acknowledgments**

Financial support came from the Bundesamt für Naturschutz (FKZ # 3514824600), as

part of a larger study of population genomics. We thank Prof. Dr. Michael Hofreiter for

providing access to the Illumina NextSeq platform. Additional support came from the

University of Potsdam. Large-scale computational effort was made possible by computing

resources provided by the department of Genetics at University of Potsdam and the High

Performance Computing Cluster Orson2, managed by ZIM (Zentrum für

Informationstechnologie und Medienmanagement) at the University of Potsdam.

**References**

Amundin, M. (2016). SAMBAH - Static Acoustic Monitoring of the Baltic Sea Harbour
porpoise. LIFE Project Number LIFE 08 NAT/S/000261 European Commission, 77pp.
available at http://www.sambah.org/SAMBAH-Final-Report-FINAL-for-website-April-
2017.pdf.
Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., … Sherlock,
G. (2000). Gene ontologie: Tool for the unification of biology. *Nature Genetics*, *25*(1),
25–29. doi:10.1038/75556.Gene
Avila, F., Baily, M. P., Merriwether, D. A., Trifonov, V. A., Rubes, J., Kutzler, M. A., …
Raudsepp, T. (2015). A cytogenetic and comparative map of camelid chromosome 36
and the minute in alpacas. *Chromosome Research*, *23*(2), 237–251. doi:10.1007/s10577-
014-9463-3
Balmus, G., Trifonov, V. A., Biltueva, L. S., O'Brien, P. C. M., Alkalaeva, E. S., Fu, B., …
Ferguson-Smith, M. A. (2007). Cross-species chromosome painting among camel, cattle,
pig and human: Further insights into the putative Cetartiodactyla ancestral karyotype.
*Chromosome Research*, *15*(4), 499–515. doi:10.1007/s10577-007-1154-x
Benke, H., Bräger, S., Dähne, M., Gallus, A., Hansen, S., Honnef, C. G., … Verfuß, U. K.
(2014). Baltic Sea harbour porpoise populations: Status and conservation needs derived
from recent survey results. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *495*, 275–290.
doi:10.3354/meps10538
Birkun Jr., A. A., & Frantzis, A. (2008). *Phocoena phocoena ssp. relicta*. The IUCN Red List
of Threatened Species 2008: e.T17030A6737111. Downloaded on 12 October 2017.
Bromberg, Y., Yachdav, G., & Rost, B. (2008). SNAP predicts effect of mutations on protein
function. *Bioinformatics*, *24*(20), 2397–2398. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn435
Brüniche-Olsen, A., Westerman, R., Kazmierczyk, Z., Vertyankin, V. V., Godard-Codding, C.,

Bickham, J. W., & DeWoody, J. A. (n.d.). The inference of gray whale (Eschrichtius
robustus) population attributes from whole-genome sequences.

Carbon, S., Dietze, H., Lewis, S. E., Mungall, C. J., Munoz-Torres, M. C., Basu, S., …
Westerfield, M. (2017). Expansion of the gene ontology knowledgebase and resources:
The gene ontology consortium. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(D1), D331–D338.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1108

DeWoody, J. A., Fernandez, N. B., Brüniche-Olsen, A., Antonides, J. D., Doyle, J. M., San
Miguel, P., … Bickham, J. (2017). Characterization of the gray whale (Eschrichtius
robustus) genome and a genotyping array based on single nucleotide polymorphisms in
candidate genes. *Biological Bulletin*, *232*(June), 186–197.

Dyndo, M., Wiśniewska, D. M., Rojano-Doñate, L., & Madsen, P. T. (2015). Harbour
porpoises react to low levels of high frequency vessel noise. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 11083.
doi:10.1038/srep11083

Fietz, K., Galatius, A., Teilmann, J., Dietz, R., Frie, A. K., Klimova, A., … Olsen, M. T.
(2016). Shift of grey seal subspecies boundaries in response to climate, culling and
conservation. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(17), 4097–4112. doi:10.1111/mec.13748

Fietz, K., Graves, J. A., & Olsen, M. T. (2013). Control Control Control: A Reassessment and
Comparison of GenBank and Chromatogram mtDNA Sequence Variation in Baltic Grey
Seals (*Halichoerus grypus*). *PLoS ONE*, *8*(8), 1–7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072853

Fontaine, M. C., Roland, K., Calves, I., Austerlitz, F., Palstra, F. P., Tolley, K. A., … Aguilar,
A. (2014). Postglacial climate changes and rise of three ecotypes of harbour porpoises,
*Phocoena phocoena*, in western Palearctic waters. *Molecular Ecology*, *23*(13), 3306–
3321. doi:10.1111/mec.12817

Fontaine, M. C., Snirc, A., Frantzis, A., Koutrakis, E., Oztürk, B., Oztürk, A. a, & Austerlitz,
F. (2012). History of expansion and anthropogenic collapse in a top marine predator of
the Black Sea estimated from genetic data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the USA*, *109*(38), E2569-76. doi:10.1073/pnas.1201258109

Fontaine, M. C., Thatcher, O., Ray, N., Piry, S., Brownlow, A., Davison, N. J., … Goodman,
S. J. (2017). Mixing of porpoise ecotypes in southwestern UK waters revealed by genetic
profiling. *Royal Society Open Science*, *4*(3), 160992. doi:10.1098/rsos.160992

Foote, A. D., Vijay, N., Ávila-Arcos, M. C., Baird, R. W., Durban, J. W., Fumagalli, M., …
Wolf, J. B. W. (2016). Genome-culture coevolution promotes rapid divergence of killer
whale ecotypes. *Nature Communications*, *7*(May), 11693. doi:10.1038/ncomms11693

Galatius, A., Kinze, C. C., & Teilmann, J. (2012). Population structure of harbour porpoises in
the Baltic region: evidence of separation based on geometric morphometric comparisons.
*Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, *92*(8), 1669–1676.
doi:10.1017/S0025315412000513

Gaskin, D. (1984). The harbour porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*  (L.): regional populations,
status, and infromation on direct and indirect catches. *Reports of the International
Whaling Commission*, *34*, 569–586.

Gatesy, J., Geisler, J. H., Chang, J., Buell, C., Berta, A., Meredith, R. W., … McGowen, M. R.
(2013). A phylogenetic blueprint for a modern whale. *Molecular Phylogenetics and
Evolution*, *66*(2), 479–506. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2012.10.012

Geisler, J. H., McGowen, M. R., Yang, G., & Gatesy, J. (2011). A supermatrix analysis of
genomic, morphological, and paleontological data from crown Cetacea. *BMC
Evolutionary Biology*, *11*(1), 112. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-11-112

Godard-Codding, C. A. J., Clark, R., Fossi, M. C., Marsili, L., Maltese, S., West, A. G., …
Stegeman, J. J. (2011). Pacific ocean-wide profile of CYP1A1 expression, stable carbon

and nitrogen isotope ratios, and organic contaminant burden in sperm whale skin biopsies. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *119*(3), 337–343. doi:10.1289/ehp.0901809

Goetz, S., Garccia-Gomez, M. J., Terol, J., Williams, T. D., Nagaraj, S. H., Nueda, M. J., … Conesa, A. (2008). High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *36*(10), 3420–3435. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn176

Groenen, M. A. M., Archibald, A. L., Uenishi, H., Tuggle, C. K., Takeuchi, Y., Rothschild, M. F., … Hunt, T. (2013). Analyses of pig genomes provide insight into porcine demography and evolution. *Nature*, *491*(7424), 393–398. doi:10.1038/nature11622

Hall, B., DeRego, T., & Geib, S. (2014). GAG: the Genome Annotation Generator (Version 1.0) [Software]. doi:Available from http://genomeannotation.github.io/GAG

Hammond, P. S., Bearzi, G., Bjørge, A., Forney, K. A., Karczmarski, L., Kasuya, T., … Wilson, B. (2008). *Phocoena phocoena* (Baltic Sea subpopulation). (errata version published in 2016) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008: e.T17031A98831650. Downloaded on 10 October 2017. Retrieved from http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/17031/0

Hammond, P. S., Macleod, K., Berggren, P., Borchers, D. L., Burt, L., Canadas, A., … Vazquez, J. A. (2013). Cetacean abundance and distribution in European Atlantic shelf waters to inform conservation and management. *Biological Conservation*, *164*, 107–122. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.04.010

Hassanin, A., Delsuc, F., Ropiquet, A., Hammer, C., Jansen Van Vuuren, B., Matthee, C., … Couloux, A. (2012). Pattern and timing of diversification of Cetartiodactyla (Mammalia, Laurasiatheria), as revealed by a comprehensive analysis of mitochondrial genomes. *Comptes Rendus - Biologies*, *335*(1), 32–50. doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2011.11.002

Holt, C., & Yandell, M. (2011). MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database management tool for second-generation genome projects. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *12*(1), 491. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-491

Hooker, S. K., & Gerber, L. (2004). Marine Reserves as a Tool for Ecosystem-Based Management : The Potential Importance of Megafauna. *BioScience*, *54*(1), 27–39. doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0027:MRAATF]2.0.CO;2

Johannesson, K., & André, C. (2006). Life on the margin: Genetic isolation and diversity loss in a peripheral marine ecosystem, the Baltic Sea. *Molecular Ecology*, *15*(8), 2013–2029. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02919.x

Johannesson, K., Smolarz, K., Grahn, M., & André, C. (2011). The future of baltic sea populations: Local extinction or evolutionary rescue? *Ambio*, *40*(2), 179–190. doi:10.1007/s13280-010-0129-x

Keane, M., Semeiks, J., Webb, A. E., Li, Y. I., Quesada, V., Craig, T., … deMagalhães, J. P. (2015). Insights into the evolution of longevity from the bowhead whale genome. *Cell Reports*, *10*(1), 112–122. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.008

Korpinen, S., Meski, L., Andersen, J. H., & Laamanen, M. (2012). Human pressures and their potential impact on the Baltic Sea ecosystem. *Ecological Indicators*, *15*(1), 105–114. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.09.023

Kulemzina, A. I., Trifonov, V. A., Perelman, P. L., Rubtsova, N. V., Volobuev, V., Ferguson-Smith, M. A., … Graphodatsky, A. S. (2009). Cross-species chromosome painting in Cetartiodactyla: Reconstructing the karyotype evolution in key phylogenetic lineages. *Chromosome Research*, *17*(3), 419–436. doi:10.1007/s10577-009-9032-3

Kulemzina, A. I., Yang, F., Trifonov, V. A., Ryder, O. A., Ferguson-Smith, M. A., & Graphodatsky, A. S. (2011). Chromosome painting in Tragulidae facilitates the

reconstruction of Ruminantia ancestral karyotype. *Chromosome Research*, *19*(4), 531–
539. doi:10.1007/s10577-011-9201-z

Kurtz, S., Phillippy, A., Delcher, A. L., Smoot, M., Shumway, M., Antonescu, C., & Salzberg,
S. L. (2004). Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome*
*Biology*, *5*(2), R12. doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12

Laetsch, D. R., & Blaxter, M. L. (2017). BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies.
*F1000Research*, *6*(1287), 1–15. doi:10.12688/f1000research.12232.1 and
doi:10.5281/zenodo.845347

Lah, L., Trense, D., Benke, H., Berggren, P., Gunnlaugsson, Þ., Lockyer, C., … Tiedemann,
R. (2016). Spatially Explicit Analysis of Genome-Wide SNPs Detects Subtle Population
Structure in a Mobile Marine Mammal, the Harbor Porpoise. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(10), 1–23.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162792

Lawrence, J. M., Armstrong, E., Gordon, J., Lusseau, S. M., & Fernandes, P. G. (2016).
Passive and active, predator and prey: using acoustics to study interactions between
cetaceans and forage fis. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *73*(8), 2075–2084.
doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw013

Leopold, M. F., Begeman, L., van Bleijswijk, J. D. L., IJsseldijk, L. L., Witte, H. J., & Gröne,
A. (2014). Exposing the grey seal as a major predator of harbour porpoises. *Proc. R. Soc.*
*B*, *282*, 20142429. doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.2429

Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual whole-
genome sequences. *Nature*, *475*(7357), 493–496. doi:10.1038/nature10231

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., … Durbin, R. (2009).
The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(16), 2078–
2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Lindblad-Toh, K., Garber, M., Zuk, O., Lin, M. F., Parker, B. J., Washietl, S., … Kellis, M.
(2011). A high-resolution map of human evolutionary constraint using 29 mammals.
*Nature*, *478*(7370), 476–482. doi:10.1038/nature10530

Luo, R., Liu, B., Xie, Y., Li, Z., Huang, W., Yuan, J., … Wang, J. (2015). Erratum:
SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler.
*GigaScience*, *4*(1), 30. doi:10.1186/s13742-015-0069-2

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. *EMBnet.journal*, *17*(1), 10. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Nabe-Nielsen, J., Sibly, R. M., Tougaard, J., Teilmann, J., & Sveegaard, S. (2014). Effects of
noise and by-catch on a Danish harbour porpoise population. *Ecological Modelling*, *272*,
242–251. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.09.025

Nery, M. F., Gonzalez, D. J., & Opazo, J. C. (2013). How to Make a Dolphin: Molecular
Signature of Positive Selection in Cetacean Genome. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(6), 2–8.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065491

Nuuttila, H. K., Courtene-Jones, W., Baulch, S., Simon, M., & Evans, P. G. H. (2017). Don't
forget the porpoise: acoustic monitoring reveals fine scale temporal variation between
bottlenose dolphin and harbour porpoise in Cardigan Bay SAC. *Marine Biology*, *164*(3),
1–16. doi:10.1007/s00227-017-3081-5

Paasche, Ø., Österblom, H., Neuenfeldt, S., Bonsdorff, E., Brander, K., Conley, D. J., …
Stenseth, N. C. (2015). Connecting the Seas of Norden. *Nature Climate Change*, *5*(2),
89–92. doi:10.1038/nclimate2471

Parra, G., Bradnam, K., & Korf, I. (2007). CEGMA: A pipeline to accurately annotate core

457             genes in eukaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics*, *23*(9), 1061–1067.
458             doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm071
459 Pauciullo, A., Perucatti, A., Cosenza, G., Iannuzzi, A., Incarnato, D., Genualdo, V., …
460             Iannuzzi, L. (2014). Sequential cross-species chromosome painting among river buffalo,
461             cattle, sheep and goat: A useful tool for chromosome abnormalities diagnosis within the
462             family bovidae. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110297
463 Putnam, N. H., Connell, B. O., Stites, J. C., Rice, B. J., Hartley, P. D., Sugnet, C. W., …
464             Rokhsar, D. S. (2016). Chromosome-scale shotgun assembly using an in vitro method for
465             long-range linkage. *Genome Research*, *26*, 342–350. doi:10.1101/gr.193474.115
466 Rosel, P. E., Tiedemann, R., & Walton, M. (1999). Genetic evidence for limited trans-Atlantic
467             movements of the harbor porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*. *Marine Biology (Berlin)*,
468             *133*(4), 583–591.
469 Scheidat, M., Gilles, A., Kock, K. H., & Siebert, U. (2008). Harbour porpoise *Phocoena*
470             *phocoena* abundance in the southwestern Baltic Sea. *Endangered Species Research*,
471             *5*(2–3), 215–223. doi:10.3354/esr00161
472 Sergio, F., Caro, T., Brown, D., Clucas, B., Hunter, J., Ketchum, J., … Hiraldo, F. (2008). Top
473             Predators as Conservation Tools: Ecological Rationale, Assumptions, and Efficacy.
474             *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *39*(1), 1–19.
475             doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173545
476 Siebert, U., Wünschmann, A., Weiss, R., Frank, H., Benke, H., & Frese, K. (2001). Post-
477             mortem findings in harbour porpoises (*phocoena phocoena*) from the German North and
478             Baltic Seas. *Journal of Comparative Pathology*, *124*(2–3), 102–114.
479             doi:10.1053/jcpa.2000.0436
480 Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., & Zdobnov, E. M. (2015).
481             BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
482             orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(19), 3210–3212. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351
483 Smit, A. F. A., Hubley, R., & Green, P. (n.d.). RepeatMasker Open-4.0.
484             <http://www.repeatmasker.org>.
485 Smith, C. D., Edgar, R. C., Yandell, M. D., Smith, D. R., Celniker, S. E., Myers, E. W., &
486             Karpen, G. H. (2007). Improved repeat identification and masking in Dipterans. *Gene*,
487             *389*(1), 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2006.09.011
488 Stanke, M., Steinkamp, R., Waack, S., & Morgenstern, B. (2004). AUGUSTUS: A web server
489             for gene finding in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *32*(Web Server issue), W309–
490             W312. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh379
491 Stanley, S. M. (1995). 7 Neogene Ice Age in the North Atlantic Region: Climatic Changes,
492             Biotic Effects, and Forcing Factors. In *Effects of Past Global Change on Life*.
493             Washington (DC): National Academies: National Research Council (US) Panel on
494             Effects of Past Global Change on Life.
495 Sun, Y. B., Zhou, W. P., Liu, H. Q., Irwin, D. M., Shen, Y. Y., & Zhang, Y. P. (2013). Genome-
496             wide scans for candidate genes involved in the aquatic adaptation of dolphins. *Genome*
497             *Biology and Evolution*, *5*(1), 130–139. doi:10.1093/gbe/evs123
498 Taylor, B. L., Chivers, S. J., Larese, J., & Perrin, W. F. (2007). Generation length and percent
499             mature estimates for IUCN assessments of cetaceans. *Administrative Report LJ-07-01*
500             *National Marine Fisheries*, 24. doi:10.1.1.530.4789
501 Taylor, B. L., Rojas-Bracho, L., Moore, J., Jaramillo-Legorreta, A., Ver Hoef, J. M.,
502             Cardenas-Hinojosa, G., … Hammond, P. S. (2017). Extinction is Imminent for Mexico's
503             Endemic Porpoise Unless Fishery Bycatch is Eliminated. *Conservation Letters*, *10*(5),
504             588–595. doi:10.1111/conl.12331

Ter-Hovhannisyan, V., Lomsadze, A., Chernoff, Y. O., & Borodovsky, M. (2008). Gene
prediction in novel fungal genomes using an ab initio algorithm with unsupervised
training, 1979–1990. doi:10.1101/gr.081612.108
Tiedemann, R., Harder, J., Gmeiner, C., & Haase, E. (1996). Mitochondrial DNA sequence
patterns of Harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the North and the Baltic Sea.
*Zeitschrift Für Säugetierkunde*, *61*, 104–111.
Tolley, K., & Rosel, P. (2006). Population structure and historical demography of eastern
North Atlantic harbour porpoises inferred through mtDNA sequences. *Marine Ecology*
*Progress Series*, *327*, 297–308. doi:10.3354/meps327297
Ukkonen, P., Aaris-Sorensen, K., Arppe, L., Daugnora, L., Halkka, A., Lougas, L., … Stora, J.
(2014). An Arctic seal in temperate waters: History of the ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*) in
the Baltic Sea and its adaptation to the changing environment. *The Holocene*, *24*(12),
1694–1706. doi:10.1177/0959683614551226
Unger, B., Herr, H., Benke, H., Böhmert, M., Burkhardt-Holm, P., Dähne, M., … Siebert, U.
(2017). Marine debris in harbour porpoises and seals from German waters. *Marine*
*Environmental Research*, 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2017.07.009
van Beurden, S. J., Ijsseldijk, L. L., van de Bildt, M. W. G., Begeman, L., Wellehan, J. F. X.,
Waltzek, T. B., … Penzes, J. J. (2017). A novel cetacean adenovirus in stranded harbour
porpoises from the North Sea: detection and molecular characterization. *Archives of*
*Virology*, *162*(7), 2035–2040. doi:10.1007/s00705-017-3310-8
Varjopuro, R., Andrulewicz, E., Blenckner, T., Dolch, T., Heiskanen, A. S., Pihlajamäki,
M., … Psuty, I. (2014). Coping with persistent environmental problems: Systemic delays
in reducing eutrophication of the Baltic Sea. *Ecology and Society*, *19*(4).
doi:10.5751/ES-06938-190448
Viquerat, S., Herr, H., Gilles, A., Peschko, V., Siebert, U., Sveegaard, S., & Teilmann, J.
(2014). Abundance of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) in the western Baltic,
Belt Seas and Kattegat. *Marine Biology*, *161*(4), 745–754. doi:10.1007/s00227-013-
2374-6
Wiemann, A., Andersen, L. W., Berggren, P., Siebert, U., Benke, H., Teilmann, J., …
Tiedemann, R. (2010). Mitochondrial Control Region and microsatellite analyses on
harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) unravel population differentiation in the Baltic
Sea and adjacent waters. *Conservation Genetics*, *11*(1), 195–211. doi:10.1007/s10592-
009-0023-x
Yim, H.-S., Cho, Y. S., Guang, X., Kang, S. G., Jeong, J.-Y., Cha, S.-S., … Lee, J.-H. (2013).
Minke whale genome and aquatic adaptation in cetaceans. *Nature Genetics*, *46*(1), 88–
92. doi:10.1038/ng.2835
Zhou, X., Sun, F., Xu, S., Fan, G., Zhu, K., Liu, X., … Yang, G. (2013). Baiji genomes reveal
low genetic variability and new insights into secondary aquatic adaptations. *Nature*
*Communications*, *4*(2708), 1–6. doi:10.1038/ncomms3708
Zimin, A. V, Delcher, A. L., Florea, L., Kelley, D. R., Schatz, M. C., Puiu, D., … Salzberg, S.
L. (2009). A whole-genome assembly of the domestic cow, *Bos taurus*. *Genome*
*Biology*, *10*(4), R42. doi:10.1186/gb-2009-10-4-r42
547
548

**Data Accessibility**

The genome assembly, finale genome sequence and the draft annotation are deposit on NCBI

under BioProject-ID: PRJNA417595 and BioSample-ID: SAMN08000480).

**Authors Contributions**

R.T. and L.L. designed the study; A.R. provided the sample and associated biological

information. L.L. performed molecular lab work, S.H. performed initial *de novo* assembly,

M.A. executed all genome annotations and analyses, M.A., S.H., and, A.B.D. analyzed and

interpreted the results, M.A. wrote the manuscript. All authors edited and approved the final

manuscript.

**Tables and Figures**

**Table 1** Sequencing statistics of libraries used for the two assemblies.

|  | Insert (bp) | n reads | Coverage |
|---|---|---|---|
| Illumina Library 300 | 300 | 794 M | 74.1 X |
| Illumina Library 500 | 500 | 473 M | 44.2 X |
| Chicago library | 1-50kb | 528 M | 87.2 X |

**Table 2** Assembly statistics of the harbour porpoise genome.

|  | SOAPdenovo assembly | Dovetail HiRise Assembly |
|---|---|---|
| Total length | 2,669.6 Mb | 2,681.2 Mb |
| *Scaffolds* |  |  |
| N50 (number/length) | 23,685 / 0.032Mb | 34 / 23.8Mb |
| N90 (number) | 159,889 | 43,146 |
| Longest | 304,733 | 67,078,619 |
| Number | 2,139,681 | 2,025,248 |

**Table 3** Genome annotation statistics

|  | Exons | Introns | Genes | CDS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Number | 171,735 | 149,581 | 22,154 | 22,154 |
| Longest | 10,332 | 1,532,135 | 2,299,565 | 20,613 |
| Mean length | 166 | 3,966 | 28,051 | 1,282 |
| % genome covered by | - | - | 23,2% | 1.1% |
| GC% in CDS | - | - | - | 54.48% |

**Figure 1** CIRCOS plot of harbour porpoise scaffolds (at least 1Mbp, black on the left outer rim) mapped against the cow (*Bos taurus*) chromosomes (colored bars, labeled X and 1-29). The *B. taurus* autosomal chromosomes (1-29) as well as the X chromosome (x) are shown in different colors. The 122 largest *P. phocoena* scaffolds with consecutive MUMMER hits between a *B. taurus* chromosome of at least 250bp that are no more than 20,000bp apart are shown in black. Matches between *B. taurus* chromosomes and *P. phocoena* scaffolds are shown in the color of the *B. taurus* chromosomes.

**Figure 2** GO-Terms; GO annotation level 2, separated by gene ontology terms: "Cellular Component", "Biological Process", and "Molecular Function". Separate categories are listed, with the number of hits in parentheses.

**Figure 3** PSMC estimated harbour porpoise population size changes over time for the Baltic Sea. g = generation time; μ = mutation rate (per site, per year). Porpoise data generated on the basis of mapping PE reads to whole genome scaffolds during SNP calling.

## Cellular Component

## Biological Process

## Molecular Function