Recapitulation of human germline coding variation in an ultra-mutated infant
leukemia

Alexander M Gout[1], Rishi S Kotecha[2,3,4], Parwinder Kaur[5,6], Ana Abad[1], Bree Foley[7],
Kim W Carter[8], Catherine H Cole[3], Charles S Bond[9], Ursula R Kees[2], Jason
Waithman[7,*], Mark N Cruickshank[1,*]

[1]Cancer Genomics and Epigenetics Laboratory, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre,
Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.

[2]Leukaemia and Cancer Genetics Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon
Kids Institute, Perth, Australia.

[3]Department of Haematology and Oncology, Princess Margaret Hospital for Children,
Perth, Australia.

[4]School of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.

[5]Personalised Medicine Centre for Children, Telethon Kids Institute, Australia.

[6]Centre for Plant Genetics & Breeding, UWA School of Agriculture & Environment.

[7]Cancer Immunology Unit, Telethon Kids Institute, Perth, Australia.

[8]McCusker Charitable Foundation Bioinformatics Centre, Telethon Kids Institute,
Perth, Australia.

[9]School of Molecular Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.

19
20 * These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for
21 materials should be addressed to J.W. (Jason.Waithman@telethonkids.org.au) or to
22 M.N.C. (Mark.Cruickshank@telethonkids.org.au). Telethon Kids Institute, 100
23 Roberts Road, Subiaco, Western Australia, 6008. Phone: +61 8 9489 7777. Fax: +61
24 8 9489 7700.

25
Running title: Ultra-mutation targets germline alleles in an infant leukemia.

Total number of Figures/Tables: 5 figures and 2 tables.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abstract: *Mixed lineage leukemia/Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2A* gene

rearrangements occur in 80% of infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia, but the role of

cooperating events is unknown. Infant leukemias typically carry few somatic lesions,

however we identified a case with over 100 somatic point mutations per megabase.

The patient presented at 82 days of age, among the earliest manifestations of cancer

hypermutation recorded. The transcriptional profile showed global similarities to

canonical cases. Coding lesions were predominantly clonal and almost entirely

targeting alleles reported in human genetic variation databases with a notable

exception in the mismatch repair gene, *MSH2*. The patient's diagnostic leukemia

transcriptome was depleted of rare and low-frequency germline alleles due to loss-of-

heterozygosity, while somatic point mutations targeted low-frequency and common

human alleles in proportions that offset this discrepancy. Somatic signatures of ultra-

mutations were highly correlated with germline single nucleotide polymorphic sites

indicating a common role for 5-methylcytosine deamination, DNA mismatch repair

and DNA adducts. These data suggest similar molecular processes shaping

population-scale human genome variation also underlies the rapid evolution of an

infant ultra-mutated leukemia case.

Introduction:

Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2A (*KMT2A*) (also known as *Mixed lineage leukemia*) gene rearrangements (*KMT2A*-R) at 11q23 occur in infant, pediatric, adult and therapy-induced acute leukemias and are associated with a poor prognosis. *KMT2A*-R occurs in around 80% of infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and 35-50% of infant acute myeloid leukemia (AML) involving more than 60 distinct partner genes [1]. *KMT2A*-R infant ALL (iALL) genomes have an exceedingly low somatic mutation burden, with recurring mutations in *RAS-PI3K* complex genes. Compared to wild-type KMT2A, the fusion oncoprotein has altered histone-methyltransferase activity, causing epigenetic and transcriptional deregulation. While KMT2A-oncoproteins are strong drivers of leukemogenesis, several lines of evidence suggest that *KMT2A*-R alone is insufficient for tumor initiation. For example, there is significant variability in latency of disease onset in experimental models of *KMT2A*-fusions [2, 3] and in patients with *KMT2A*-R detected in neonatal blood spots [4]. Furthermore, *KMT2A*-R acute leukemias [5] in children are enriched for mutations affecting epigenetic regulatory genes and on average harbor more somatic lesions than infants. These observations have prompted speculation that iALL is a distinct developmental and genetic entity and pre-leukemic transformation occurs *in utero*, targeting a cell of origin with a genetic/epigenetic permissive predisposition [6].

As a step towards defining cooperating events we performed next-generation sequencing on a *KMT2A*-R iALL patient cohort and integrated the data with a larger set of acute leukemias. We investigated the combined germline and somatic mutation profiles, identifying a highly mutated specimen from a patient diagnosed at 82 days of age. Here we report sequence analysis of this unique leukemia in relation to its canonical *KMT2A*-R iALL counterparts, revealing common mechanisms driving leukemia ultra-mutation and population-scale human genome variation.

Methods:

Patient specimens, external sequencing data and controls

The study cohort consisted of ten infants diagnosed at Princess Margaret

Hospital for Children (Perth), of which we have previously reported RNA-seq data for

five patients [7]. Additional RNA-seq data was downloaded for 39 *KMT2A*-R iALL

cases at diagnosis, six cases at relapse, six cases lacking the *KMT2A*-R (*KMT2A*-

germline) and for pediatric *KMT2A*-R patients at diagnosis, comprising 10 AML

cases, seven ALL cases and one undifferentiated case as reported previously by

Andersson *et al.* [5] (accession EGAS00001000246). RNA-seq data comprising 28

KMT2A-R and 52 *KMT2A*-germline adult diagnosistic AML cases downloaded from

the Short Read Archive (BioProject Umbrella Accession PRJNA278766[8]; Leucegene:

AML sequencing). Normal human bone marrow transcriptomes were downloaded

from the Short Read Archive (BioProject PRJNA284950) comprising 20 pooled

sorted human hematopoietic progenitor populations [9].   The full list of samples

analysed in this study including those downloaded from external sources is

summarised in Supplementary Table S1.

Sample preparation and next-generation sequencing

RNA was isolated with TRIzol™ Reagent (Invitrogen Life Science

Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and purified with RNeasy Purification Kits

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA was isolated with QIAamp DNA Mini Kit

(Qiagen). Nucleic acid integrity and purity was assessed using the Agilent 2100

Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). RNA-seq was performed at the

Australian Genome Research Facility, Melbourne with 1 µg RNA as previously

reported [7]. Whole exome sequencing (WES) was performed by the Beijing Genome

Institute using SureSelect XT exon enrichment (Agilent Technologies) with 200 ng of

remission DNA (v4 low input kit) or 1 µg of diagnostic DNA (v5). Diagnostic RNA and

remission genomic DNA sequencing was performed on a HiSeq 2000 (Illumina, Inc.,

San Diego, CA, USA) instrument with 100bp paired end reads; diagnostic genomic

DNA sequencing was performed on a HiSeq 2500 with 150bp paired end reads.

Sequencing libraries were constructed using Illumina TruSeq kits (Illumina, Inc.).

Bioinformatics

103   For gene-expression analysis, RNA-seq reads were mapped to hg19 with

HISAT and gene-counts generated with HTseq [10]. Data was normalized with RUV-

seq [11] implementing factor analysis of negative control genes identified by fitting a

linear model with cell type (hematopoietic sub-set or leukemia sub-type) as a

covariate. voom! [12] was used to adjust for library size and generate counts per million

(cpm) values. *KMT2A*-fusion split-reads were identified using RNA-seq data with

FusionFinder [13] using default settings.

110   Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) were identified from RNA-seq data using

the intersection of two methodologies: (1) the "Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) Best

Practices workflow for SNP and indel calling on RNAseq data" and (2) *SNPiR* [14].

WES data was processed based on the GATK Best Practices workflow. Variants

were characterized using custom scripts that extract the coverage of sequenced

bases from VCF and bam files, determining the overlap among samples, and

calculating variant allele fractions (VAFs).

117   High confidence somatic mutations were identified using *VarScan2* (v2.3.9) [15]

setting cut-offs of *P*-value<0.005; coverage > 50X; VAF > 0.1 or VAF > 0.3 to enrich

for clonal mutations. Variants were annotated with ANNOVAR [16] and with metadata

from   additional   sources   including   seven   functional/conservation   algorithms

(PolyPhen2 [17], Sift [18], MutationTaster [19], likelihood ratio test [20], GERP [21], PhyloP [22],

and CADD [23]) and databases of human genetic variation (Exome Aggregation

Consortium [ExAC] [24], 1000 genomes, HapMap, dbSNP, Catalog of Somatic

Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC)[25] and ClinVar). Predicted functional alleles were

assigned for variants called as damaged/deleterious by at least 4/7 algorithms.

Somatic mutational spectra were investigated using the R package,

DeconstructSigs (v1.8.0) [26] calculating the fraction of somatic substitutions within the

possible 96-trinucleotide contexts relative to the exome background. Copy number

analysis was performed on WES data using *VarScan2* to calculate log2 depth ratio

and GC-content and *Sequenza* (v2.1.2) [27] to identify chromosomal segments with

copy loss. Gene ontology enrichment was performed using GREAT (v3.0.0) [28]

bioinformatics tools. Mutations were inspected by mapping the genomic position to

crystal structures in the Protein DataBank[29] and analyzed with Pymol (v1.8.6.2).

Data and code availability

Scripts used to execute variant detection software and analysis are available at

https://github.com/cruicks/ultramutated-case under an MIT license and are being

developed on Github (https://github.com/cruicks/vcfbamCompare). The sequencing

data has been deposited in the European Genome Phenome Archive (ega-box-909).

Results:

Characteristics of KMT2A-R iALL cohort and RNA-seq variant detection pipeline

We reported previously *KMT2A*-R fusion transcripts for 5/10 cases [7], and of

the remaining five *KMT2A*-R iALL we detected *KMT2A-MLLT3* (P401, P438),

KMT2A-AFF1 (P848), *KMT2A-MLLT1* (P706) and *KMT2A-EPS15* (P809). *KMT2A-*

fusion transcripts were therefore detected in all samples and reciprocal fusion

sequences detected in 5/10 samples (Table 1). To determine the validity of our RNA-

seq based pipeline for detecting rare and common alleles, we examined the

concordance of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) called from RNA-seq and WES

data. Across seven samples with matched WES and RNA-seq data, we identified a

total of 54,792 point mutations from RNA-seq reads of which 166 were absent from

matched WES (coverage>20 reads), representing a discordance rate of 0.3% (Figure

1a; Supplementary Table S2). In one sample, P706 there were two events called with

RNA-seq data that were not identified in matched WES but with evidence extracted

using the Integrated Genome Browser at previously reported somatic mutation sites

in *FLT3* (encoding FLT3 p.V491L [30]; 200/1,719 alternate RNA-seq reads; 15/214

alternate WES reads) and *KRAS* (encoding KRAS p.A146T [31]; 24/159 alternate RNA-

seq reads; 6/51 alternate WES reads). Furthermore, analysis of 117 discordant

events with coverage > 50 reads, revealed 76 recurrences in two or more samples

(64%) at 26 unique sites, however none of these sites have been validated as

somatic mutations in cancer to date in the COSMIC[25] or The Pediatric Cancer

Genome Project (PCGP)[32] databases. These results indicate a high rate of

reproducibility of the RNA-seq variant detection pipeline and suggest that RNA-DNA

differences mostly comprise artifacts and/or novel RNA-editing events [33] and a

minority of putative somatic alterations exclusively detected by RNA-seq.

Identification of a highly mutated infant leukemia

Expressed somatic mutations were defined as variants detected in both

diagnostic leukemia RNA-seq and WES, but absent in remission WES at sites with

>20 reads (Figure 1b-d). Most patients (5/6) showed the expected "silent genomic

landscape" (Supplementary Table S3) except for patient P337 (Figure 1b-d;

Supplementary Table S4). Three patients expressed RAS-family hot-spot somatic

mutations (P848 and P706: KRAS p.A146T and P438: NRAS.p.G12S). We detected

no expressed somatic missense mutations in patients P399 and P401. The pair of

monozygotic twins (P809 and P810) lacked private expressed mutations but we

detected two shared expressed missense mutations clustered in the *PER3* gene

(encoding PER3.p.M1006R and PER3.p.K1007E). These results are consistent with

recurring RAS-pathway mutations and low mutation rate reported previously [5, 34].

However, in patient P337 RNA-seq reads we detected 1,420 expressed somatic

mutations (Supplementary Table S4). The overlap of both rare and common alleles

across the matched datasets verifies the common origin of RNA-seq and

diagnostic/remission WES data ruling out sample contamination or mislabeling

(Figure 1a-c). We previously reported a complex *KMT2A* translocation involving

2q37, 19p13.3 and 11q23[35] in the highly mutated leukemia sample and established

two cell lines from the diagnostic sample [7].

Characterisation and clonal analysis of somatic lesions

Somatic lesions were further evaluated in six cases by comparing diagnostic and

remission WES. Two different variant allele fraction (VAF)-cut-offs, either VAF>0.1 or

VAF>0.3, were chosen to enrich for sub-clonal and clonal events respectively. There

were on average ~82.2 (range 69-95) sub-clonal and ~3.4 clonal (range 3-4) somatic

point mutations in canonical *KMT2A*-R iALL exomes, confirming previous studies of a

low somatic mutation rate in the dominant clone (Table 1). In contrast, there were

198 sub-clonal and 5,054 clonal somatic point mutations in the highly-mutated

sample, equating to a rate of 139 mut/Mb, classifying this sample as ultra-mutated.

There were also ~40-fold increased number of indels in the dominant clone of the

ultra-mutated sample (n=260) compared to typical cases (average = 6.6; range: 3-9)

including 13 predicted to cause a frame-shift protein-coding truncation (Table 1;

Supplementary Table S5). There were 2,420 exonic substitutions encoding 1,109

missense alleles and 12 stop-gain alleles (Supplementary Table S5). We also noted

that the ultra-mutated case carried an excess of loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH)

positions, with 6,555 high confidence sites; vastly more than typical cases which had

a mean of 57.2 LOH positions (range 28-100) (Figure 2a-b; Table 1).

WES was performed on two independent cell lines derived from the ultra-mutated

diagnostic specimen, PER-784A and PER-826A, to characterize clonal somatic

events. (Figure 2c-d). Most somatic and LOH-events detected in the primary ultra-

mutated sample were also called in both, PER-784A and PER-826A cell lines using

P337 remission WES for all comparisons (Figure 2d). These data suggest that the

somatic lesions are largely clonal in agreement with the VAF-distributions (Figure 2b,

d). In total, 4,754/5,054 (94%) somatic events (Supplementary Table S6) and

6,150/6,575 (93%) LOH-events (Supplementary Table S7) were called in the derived

cell lines. Moreover, there were relatively few discordant sites when directly

comparing cell lines with the primary diagnostic sample (PER-784A: 34 discordant

sites; PER-826A: 44 discordant sites; Supplementary Table S6)). We further

investigated evidence of clonal somatic lesions in cell lines using an alternative

approach, by directly extracting quality filtered WES reads mapping to coding

somatic point mutations (n=2,411; Q>50 in diagnosis WES; Figure 2e) and LOH-sites

(n=3,117; Q>50 in remission WES; Figure 2f; Supplementary Table S8). All coding

clonal somatic mutations were supported by reads in both cell lines of which 121

(5%) were homozygous (VAF>0.95 in cell line and VAF>0.9 in primary sample). Most

LOH-sites were evidenced by reference alleles (VAF<10%; 2,179/3,117) in cell lines,

with ~30% of LOH-sites evidenced by alternate alleles (VAF> 90%; 926/3,117) and

only 12 sites (0.3%) with both reference and alternate alleles (VAF range 10-90%).

We performed copy number analysis of the ultra-mutated sample to map LOH-sites

to chromosomal segments with evidence of deletions. Most genomic regions were

called as diploid with limited chromosomal copy loss detected (Supplementary Figure

S1a-b). There was a total of 382/6,555 (5.8%) LOH-sites mapping to homozygous

deletions, suggesting only a minority of the identified LOH-sites likely arose through

chromosome segment deletion. Infant *KMT2A*-R ALL typically carry a small number

of copy-number alterations which may coincide with LOH-sites. We determined the

distance of LOH-sites, somatic mutations and germline variants (called by *VarScan2*)

to the nearest homozygous SNV in each leukemia diagnostic sample finding that in

canonical cases LOH-sites tend to be located closer to homozygous sites than either

germline or somatic variants (Supplementary Figure S1c; left panel) and show a

unique bimodal distribution (Supplementary Figure S1c; right panel). In contrast the

average distance of LOH-sites to homozygous SNVs in the ultra-mutated sample

was similar to that of germline variants and somatic mutations with each displaying a

similar distribution (Supplementary Figure S1c). Thus, most LOH-sites in the ultra-

mutated specimen do not show evidence of localising within deleted or copy-neutral

loss-of-heterozygosity chromosomal segments.

In summary, these data demonstrate that somatic lesions in the primary sample were

predominantly clonal and LOH-sites appear to comprise a large proportion of somatic

substitutions rather than chromosomal alterations. We also note relatively few coding

sequence changes in derived cell lines compared to the dominant diagnostic

leukemic clone, both which are capable of long-term propagation. These results

could reflect loss of the hypermutator phenotype during *in vitro* culture or in

diagnostic leukemia cells.

Signatures of somatic mutations and LOH-sites correlate with profiles of germline

alleles

We next investigated the sequence features of somatic and LOH substitutions

in the ultra-mutated sample (Figure 3). We hypothesised that LOH-sites and somatic

mutations may show common feature biases depending on the context of alteration.

Somatic events were evaluated separately that induce a heterozygous mutation

(n=4,773) or homozygous mutation (n=281), and LOH causing reference→alternative

changes (n=2,057) were evaluated separately to alternative→reference changes

(n=4,453). Since we noted an overlap in the somatic mutations of the ultra-mutated

sample with variants in the ExAC database (Figure 1a-c), we first examined the

distribution of these sites with respect to the derived allele frequency in ExAC. We

observe that each of these categories of sequence alterations in the ultra-mutated

sample were distributed across the range of population allele-frequencies (Figure 3a-

b). While the distributions of LOH and somatic sites were similar, somatic

heterozygous changes were relatively more frequent at higher frequency alleles as

were LOH reference→alternate alleles.

Somatic signatures of ultra-mutations were investigated in comparison to

heterozygous and homozygous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in patient

remission samples. Heterozygous positions were defined by VAF<0.9 yielding

51,347 heterozygous and 31,237 homozygous SNPs in canonical remission samples

and 10,681 heterozygous and 6 617 homozygous SNPs in the ultra-mutated

remission sample. Analysis of WES remission variants from canonical patients and

the ultra-mutated sample revealed similar ExAC allele frequency distributions with

distinctions between heterozygous and homozygous alleles (Figure 3c-d). The

overall enrichment of each category of somatic substitution and LOH across the 96

possible trinucleotide contexts resembled patient remission SNPs with elevated

proportions of C>T transitions at NpCpG motifs (Figure 3e).

Pre-defined signatures were used to infer mutational profiles revealing

Signature 1A (associated with spontaneous deamination of 5-methylcytosine),

Signature 12 (associated with unknown process with strand-bias for T→C

substitutions) and Signature 20 (associated with defective DNA mismatch repair,

MMR) as enriched in each of the mutation/variant sets, however with distinctive

proportions (Figure 3f).   Heterozygous somatic mutations and LOH

alternative→reference sites were additionally enriched for Signature 1B (variant of

Signature 1A) and showed highly correlated profiles (Pearson's correlation 0.919).

LOH reference→alternative sites were additionally enriched for Signature 5

(associated with unknown process with strand-bias for T→C substitutions) and were

highly correlated with homozygous somatic mutation profiles (0.912) and to profiles

of patient heterozygous positions (P337: 0.935; canonical: 0.926). Homozygous

somatic mutations also displayed similarities to heterozygous patient SNPs (P337:

0.981; canonical: 0.985). Overall the somatic mutational profiles indicate highly

related patterns of both somatic ultra-mutations and LOH-sites. Notably LOH

alternative→reference sites showed unique and overlapping features to somatic

heterozygous mutations. We also observe underlying similarities in the profiles of

somatic substitutions and germline alleles.

Ultra-mutation distribution recapitulates common and rare germline variation

We noted a similar spectrum of rare and common alleles in the ultra-mutated

leukemia transcriptome compared to typical *KMT2A*-R iALL cases; but there were

comparatively fewer rare/low-frequency alleles shared with the remission sample

(Figure 1a-c; ExAC<0.5%).   We further explored this trend to disentangle the

contribution of somatic acquired alleles and LOH-sites. Analysis of the remission and

diagnostic samples from this patient in isolation, revealed a similar proportion of

rare/low-frequency coding alleles detected by WES and both were proportionally

within the same range as canonical counterparts (Figure 4a). However, when directly

comparing the remission and diagnostic WES, we noted a modest depletion of

germline rare/low-frequency coding SNV alleles defined by *VarScan2* (Figure 4a;

2.9% rare alleles in P337 compared to 3.5±0.2% in canonical cases). This apparent

depletion of germline alleles in the ultra-mutated leukemic sample was coincident

with an increased proportion of somatic acquired rare/low-frequency coding SNV

alleles compared to LOH-sites (Figure 4a). Therefore, when considering the total

pool of SNVs in the leukemic coding genome, the somatic gains and losses of

rare/low-frequency coding SNV alleles were in proportions that resulted in a similar

distribution as canonical cases (Figure 4a). We extended this observation by

investigating the rare and common allele abundance of expressed germline-encoded

variants and somatic mutations in the ultra-mutated leukemic sample compared with

a larger cohort of *KMT2A*-R iALL transcriptomes (Figure 4b; n=32 St. Jude patients

and n=8 Perth patients).   Most typical *KMT2A*-R iALL diagnostic specimens

expressed similar numbers of rare and low-frequency expressed SNVs, except for

4/32 cases sequenced by St Jude investigators, which had an elevated number of

expressed rare and low-frequency ExAC alleles (Figure 4b). Excluding these

samples, typical *KMT2A*-R iALL patients expressed on average 42.3 ±10.4 (standard

deviation) variants that were absent from ExAC and 212 ± 32.5 variants with an allele

frequency of <0.5% in ExAC. The total number of expressed variants in the ultra-

mutated sample was slightly lower than typical cases (38 absent from ExAC and 174

AF <0.5% in ExAC), and were approximately comprised of half germline (17/38 and

88/174 respectively) and half somatic acquired alleles. Overall, the ultra-mutated

transcriptome had the lowest prevalence of germline-encoded rare and low-

frequency alleles among the larger sample size (Table 2). Furthermore, the somatic

mutation abundance within each allele-frequency bin was proportional to their

abundance in prototypical silent cases. Consequently, the low numbers of rare

germline alleles in the ultra-mutated transcriptome were off-set by somatic mutations

such that the total numbers were within the same range as typical cases (Figure 4b-

c). We conclude that despite carrying thousands of somatic mutations, the coding-

genome of ultra-mutated patient P337 shows similarities to canonical *KMT2A*-R iALL

cases, vis-à-vis rare allele prevalence and representation of common human genetic

variation.

RAS-pathway and DNA-replication and repair gene mutations

Gene ontology analysis of the expressed non-synonymous somatic mutations

(n=535) revealed over-representation of RAS-pathway genes (7/23 genes; 7-fold

enriched; *P*=0.049; *RALGDS*, *RAC1*, *CHUK*, *PIK3R1*, *NFKB1*, *PLD1* and *PIK3CA*)

and "*MLL* signature 1 genes" (33/369 genes; 2-fold enriched; *P*=0.046). Of the 535

non-synonymous   expressed   somatic   mutations,   45   were   predicted

deleterious/damaging, including in the MMR gene *MSH2* (p.E489K). The *MSH2*

somatic mutation localizes to a hot-spot, within the MMR ATPase domain in a region

at the periphery of the DNA binding site, approximately 20 Å from the DNA molecule

in the complex structure of full-length MSH2, a fragment of MSH6, ADP and DNA

containing a G:T mispair [36]. The mutation encodes a substitution of a negatively

charged glutamate with a positively charged lysine (Figure 4d). There was a non-

synonymous somatic mutation in another MMR gene, *MUTYH*; however, this site is a

common human allele with a derived AF of 0.297 and was not called as

damaged/deleterious by our prediction pipeline. There were no somatic mutations,

LOH-sites nor rare/deleterious coding germline alleles detected in *POLE* or *POLD1*

which are frequently co-mutated with *MSH2* in ultra-mutated tumours [37, 38] and in

sporadic early-onset colorectal cancer [39]. Among the 45 expressed and predicted

damaging somatic mutations, there were two rare substitutions in DNA polymerase

encoding genes: *POLG2* (p.D308V; ExAC AF 0.0000165), which encodes a

mitochondrial DNA polymerase and *POLK* (p.R298H; ExAC AF 0.0003), which

encodes an error prone replicative polymerase that catalyzes translesion DNA

synthesis. The *POLK* mutation maps to a conserved impB/mucB/samB family domain

(Pfam: PF00817) involved in UV-protection. The encoded substitution of arginine to

histidine is located approximately 20 Å from the DNA molecule in the structure of a

526 amino acid N-terminal Pol K fragment complexed with DNA containing a bulky

deoxyguanosine adduct induced by the carcinogen benzo[a]pyrene (Figure 4e) [40].

Both wild-type and mutant residues are positively charged, however arginine is

strongly basic (pI 11) while histidine is weakly basic (pI 7) and titratable at

physiological pH [41]. We additionally observed an expressed and predicted functional

germline rare allele in *ATR*, a master regulator of the DNA damage response

(p.L2076V; ExAC AF 0.0002).

Global transcriptional similarities of the ultra-mutated and canonical infant leukemias

We next examined the transcriptional profile of the highly mutated *KMT2A*-R

iALL specimen in comparison to a collection of patient leukemia samples and purified

blood progenitor populations (Figure 5). As expected cell type was the major source

of variation distinguishing normal hematopoietic progenitor, lymphoblastic leukemias

and myeloid leukemias. We also observe clustering according to *KMT2A*

translocation status and partner genes as reported previously [42]. Replicate samples

of the highly mutated *KMT2A*-R iALL specimen cluster with canonical counterparts

demonstrating global transcriptional similarities (Figure 5).

Discussion:

We report sequence analysis of an ultra-mutated acute lymphoblastic

leukemia diagnosed in an infant at 82 days of age which, to our knowledge,

represents the earliest onset of a hypermutant tumor recorded. The mutation rate

observed was at the upper limit of cases reported previously in childhood cancers [37,

38]. By integrating analysis of somatic mutations and germline alleles with a larger

cohort of *KMT2A*-R iALL patients we found that the high somatic mutation rate does

not generate large numbers of rare alleles compared to typical cases. Rather, we

observe that the ultra-mutated *KMT2A*-R leukemic coding genome closely resembles

the rare allele landscape of canonical cases. This apparent paradox is explained by

the net effect of somatic gains and losses, both of which were targeted to rare and

common alleles in proportions that off-set the depleted pool of germline alleles

observed in the leukemic coding sequences. Our data indicate both the rare allele

burden and transcriptional profile of the ultra-mutated leukemia were comparable to

its canonical counterparts. Therefore, despite its radically different genetic route to

leukemogenesis, the molecular profile of the ultra-mutated specimen seems to have

converged towards that of canonical cases.

Most ultra-mutated childhood cancers reported previously have a combined

MMR and proof reading polymerase deficiency [37, 38] - we detect evidence for the

former (*MSH2* point mutation), but not the latter. However, we identify somatic

mutations in two genes encoding DNA polymerases (*POLK* and *POLG2*) and an

additional MMR gene (*MUTYH*). Notably, the *POLK* mutation is a rare allele,

predicted functional and encodes pol κ, a replicative error-prone translesion DNA

polymerase that plays a role in bypassing unrepaired bases that otherwise block

DNA replication [43, 44]. For example, pol κ preferentially incorporates dAMP at

positions complementary to 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2′-deoxyguanosine adducts [45]. As a

corollary to these observations, *POLK* mutations have been found in prostate cancer

with elevated C→T transition [46]. Further studies are required to determine if any of

these mutations cooperate to specify the unique mutational pattern observed in the

ultra-mutated *KMT2A*-R iALL genome.

The largest fraction of ultra-mutations was attributed to Signature 1,

associated with 5-methylcytosine deamination inducing C→T transitions, which

accumulates in normal somatic cells with age over the human lifespan [47]. This

signature is found ubiquitously across tumour sub-types [48], and prominently in

hypermutated early onset AML patients with germline *MBD4* mutations [49]. LOH-sites

(reference→alternative) were enriched for Signature 5 which is also correlated with

human aging. We observe enrichment of Signature 20 in LOH-sites and somatic

alterations, consistent with an association with a MMR-defect [48]. This signature has

also been reported as enriched in tumours with germline-MMR and secondary

mutation in the *POLD1* proof-reading gene [48]. We also find enrichment of Signature

12 which arises from a poorly characterized underlying molecular process; however,

the dominant base transitions, A→G and T→C have been observed in association

with DNA damage (e.g. by adducts) in normal and cancer tissues [50, 51]. These

observations suggest common mutagenic and repair deficits driving age-related

mutation in humans were operative in the cell of origin during pre-natal evolution of

the ultra-mutated infant leukemia genome. *MSH2* was likely the primary MMR-hit in

cooperation with an additional replicative repair lesion, however, we find no evidence

for an involvement of *POLE* and *POLD1*.

The preponderance of ultra-mutated sites to overlap germline alleles in

proportion to their population allele frequencies demonstrates that hypermutation can

recapitulate aspects of population scale human genetic variation in a cancer genome.

Aproximately half of the somatic substitutions appear to target heterozygous SNPs

resulting in loss-of-heterozygosity. We cannot rule out a role for focal chromosomal

alterations undetectable by our analyses. However collectively our data suggest a

major fraction of the LOH-sites could have arisen by point mutagenesis of

heterozygous positions. They more frequently target the alternate allele inducing

reversion to the reference base, with around 30% of events resulting in a

homozygous non-reference allele in the diagnostic sample. Most ultra-mutations are

therefore likely passengers coinciding with common human alleles, consistent with

findings that hypermutated tumours tend to have relatively few drivers proportional to

their mutation burden [52]; however, as proposed previously by Valentine and

colleagues[53], a minority of rare alleles may contribute to infant leukemogenesis.

While our results do not resolve the temporal order of the key oncogenic events -

namely *MSH2* point mutation, genomic hypermutation and *KMT2A* translocation –

due to the clonal nature of these events they appear to have occurred in rapid

succession. This could be explained by a sentinel DNA replicative repair defect

inducing hypermutation followed by positive selection of cooperating lesions

resulting in clonal expansion and fixation of passengers [54]. An alternative model is

also possible in which the *MSH2*-mutation impairs double-strand-break repair [55, 56]

promoting *KMT2A*-rearrangement, but with neutral selection of ultra-mutations. Such

a scenario appears inconsistent with the observed predominance of clonal mutations,

but could be reconciled if the hypermutator phenotype was lost rapidly after the

KMT2A-rearrangement and prior to clonal expansion. Reports of pervasive positive

selection in cancer and non-cancerous tissues [52, 57], lend support to the former model

however, since we note loss of hypermutator phenotype in patient-derived cell lines,

neutral selection of ultra-mutations is not ruled out by our data analysis. Altogether

our results illuminate a link between mutagenesis during human aging, germline

variation and somatic ultra-mutation in cancer. Further studies are required to

determine if combinations of somatic mutations and/or germline alleles promote

KMT2A-R iALL leukemogenesis/hypermutation and if somatic mutated sites

significantly overlap human germline alleles in other malignancies.

Financial support: Children's Leukaemia and Cancer Research Foundation (Inc.)

Fellowship (MNC); NHMRC Career Development Fellowship 1066869 (JW); Raine

Medical Research Foundation Clinician Research Fellowship 2016-2018 (RSK);

Children's Leukaemia and Cancer Research Foundation (Inc.) project grants (URK,

MNC, RSK); Ian Potter Foundation (AG); Healy Foundation (AG). Telethon Kids

Institute Blue Sky Research Grant (MNC, URK, RSK, JW). Telethon Kids Institute

Research Focus Area Precision Medicine Working Group Funding (JW, MNC).

Acknowledgments: We thank Daniel MacArthur and Fengmei Zhao for assisting

with exome sequence analysis; and researchers at The Pediatric Cancer Genome

Project at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital for provision of data. We thank all

the patients, families and hospital staff for providing samples.

Authorship contributions: AG wrote computer code, performed bioinformatics,

conceived analysis strategy, interpreted and analyzed data and edited the

manuscript. AA and BF performed experiments. KWC, PK and CB performed

additional data analysis and interpretation. RSK provided patient samples and clinical

data, assisted with data interpretation and edited the manuscript. CHC, URK and JW

acquired funding support, assisted with data interpretation and edited the manuscript.

MNC performed bioinformatics, formulated research, conceived analysis strategy,

acquired funding support, interpreted data, designed experiments and drafted the

manuscript.

References:

1.   Meyer C, Burmeister T, Groger D, Tsaur G, Fechina L, Renneville A*, et al.*
The MLL recombinome of acute leukemias in 2017. *Leukemia* 2017 Jul 13.
475

476   2.   Bergerson RJ, Collier LS, Sarver AL, Been RA, Lugthart S, Diers MD*, et al.*
477   An insertional mutagenesis screen identifies genes that cooperate with Mll-
478   AF9 in a murine leukemogenesis model. *Blood* 2012 May 10; **119**(19)**:** 4512-
479   4523.
480

481   3.   Chen W, O'Sullivan MG, Hudson W, Kersey J. Modeling human infant MLL
482   leukemia in mice: leukemia from fetal liver differs from that originating in
483   postnatal marrow. *Blood* 2011 Mar 24; **117**(12)**:** 3474-3475.
484

485   4.   Gale KB, Ford AM, Repp R, Borkhardt A, Keller C, Eden OB*, et al.*
486   Backtracking leukemia to birth: identification of clonotypic gene fusion
487   sequences in neonatal blood spots. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997 Dec 9;
488   **94**(25)**:** 13950-13954.
489

490   5.   Andersson AK, Ma J, Wang J, Chen X, Gedman AL, Dang J*, et al.* The
491   landscape of somatic mutations in infant MLL-rearranged acute lymphoblastic
492   leukemias. *Nat Genet* 2015 Apr; **47**(4)**:** 330-337.
493

494   6.   Sanjuan-Pla A, Bueno C, Prieto C, Acha P, Stam RW, Marschalek R*, et al.*
495   Revisiting the biology of infant t(4;11)/MLL-AF4+ B-cell acute lymphoblastic
496   leukemia. *Blood* 2015 Dec 17; **126**(25)**:** 2676-2685.
497

498   7.   Cruickshank MN, Ford J, Cheung LC, Heng J, Singh S, Wells J*, et al.*
499   Systematic chemical and molecular profiling of MLL-rearranged infant acute
500   lymphoblastic leukemia reveals efficacy of romidepsin. *Leukemia* 2016 Jul 22.
501

502   8.   Lavallee VP, Baccelli I, Krosl J, Wilhelm B, Barabe F, Gendron P*, et al.* The
503   transcriptomic landscape and directed chemical interrogation of MLL-
504   rearranged acute myeloid leukemias. *Nat Genet* 2015 Sep; **47**(9)**:** 1030-1037.
505

506   9.   Casero D, Sandoval S, Seet CS, Scholes J, Zhu Y, Ha VL*, et al.* Long non-
507   coding RNA profiling of human lymphoid progenitor cells reveals
508   transcriptional divergence of B cell and T cell lineages. *Nat Immunol* 2015
509   Dec; **16**(12)**:** 1282-1291.
510

511   10.   Anders L, Guenther MG, Qi J, Fan ZP, Marineau JJ, Rahl PB*, et al.* Genome-
512   wide localization of small molecules. *Nat Biotechnol* 2014 Jan; **32**(1)**:** 92-96.
513

514   11.   Risso D, Ngai J, Speed TP, Dudoit S. Normalization of RNA-seq data using
515   factor analysis of control genes or samples. *Nat Biotechnol* 2014 Sep; **32**(9)**:**
516   896-902.
517

518   12.   Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. voom: Precision weights unlock linear
519   model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol* 2014; **15**(2)**:**
520   R29.
521

522   13.   Francis RW, Thompson-Wicking K, Carter KW, Anderson D, Kees UR,
523   Beesley AH. FusionFinder: a software tool to identify expressed gene fusion
524   candidates from RNA-Seq data. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**(6)**:** e39987.
525

526 14.   Piskol R, Ramaswami G, Li JB. Reliable identification of genomic variants
527   from RNA-seq data. *Am J Hum Genet* 2013 Oct 3; **93**(4)**:** 641-651.
528
529 15.   Koboldt DC, Zhang Q, Larson DE, Shen D, McLellan MD, Lin L*, et al.*
530   VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer
531   by exome sequencing. *Genome Res* 2012 Mar; **22**(3)**:** 568-576.
532
533 16.   Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic
534   variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010 Sep;
535   **38**(16)**:** e164.
536
537 17.   Adzhubei I, Jordan DM, Sunyaev SR. Predicting functional effect of human
538   missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* 2013 Jan;
539   **Chapter 7:** Unit7 20.
540
541 18.   Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-
542   synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nat Protoc*
543   2009; **4**(7)**:** 1073-1081.
544
545 19.   Schwarz JM, Rodelsperger C, Schuelke M, Seelow D. MutationTaster
546   evaluates disease-causing potential of sequence alterations. *Nat Methods*
547   2010 Aug; **7**(8)**:** 575-576.
548
549 20.   Chun S, Fay JC. Identification of deleterious mutations within three human
550   genomes. *Genome Res* 2009 Sep; **19**(9)**:** 1553-1561.
551
552 21.   Cooper GM, Stone EA, Asimenos G, Green ED, Batzoglou S, Sidow A.
553   Distribution and intensity of constraint in mammalian genomic sequence.
554   *Genome Res* 2005 Jul; **15**(7)**:** 901-913.
555
556 22.   Cooper GM, Goode DL, Ng SB, Sidow A, Bamshad MJ, Shendure J*, et al.*
557   Single-nucleotide evolutionary constraint scores highlight disease-causing
558   mutations. *Nat Methods* 2010 Apr; **7**(4)**:** 250-251.
559
560 23.   Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general
561   framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants.
562   *Nat Genet* 2014 Mar; **46**(3)**:** 310-315.
563
564 24.   Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T*, et al.*
565   Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature* 2016
566   Aug 18; **536**(7616)**:** 285-291.
567
568 25.   Forbes SA, Beare D, Boutselakis H, Bamford S, Bindal N, Tate J*, et al.*
569   COSMIC: somatic cancer genetics at high-resolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017
570   Jan 4; **45**(D1)**:** D777-D783.
571
572 26.   Rosenthal R, McGranahan N, Herrero J, Taylor BS, Swanton C.
573   DeconstructSigs: delineating mutational processes in single tumors
574   distinguishes DNA repair deficiencies and patterns of carcinoma evolution.
575   *Genome Biol* 2016 Feb 22; **17:** 31.
576
577 27.   Favero F, Joshi T, Marquard AM, Birkbak NJ, Krzystanek M, Li Q*, et al.*
578   Sequenza: allele-specific copy number and mutation profiles from tumor
579   sequencing data. *Ann Oncol* 2015 Jan; **26**(1)**:** 64-70.
580

581 28. McLean CY, Bristor D, Hiller M, Clarke SL, Schaar BT, Lowe CB, *et al.*
582   GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat*
583   *Biotechnol* 2010 May; **28**(5)**:** 495-501.
584
585 29. Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, *et al.* The
586   Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000 Jan 01; **28**(1)**:** 235-242.
587
588 30. He J, Abdel-Wahab O, Nahas MK, Wang K, Rampal RK, Intlekofer AM, *et al.*
589   Integrated genomic DNA/RNA profiling of hematologic malignancies in the
590   clinical setting. *Blood* 2016 Jun 16; **127**(24)**:** 3004-3014.
591
592 31. Chang MT, Asthana S, Gao SP, Lee BH, Chapman JS, Kandoth C, *et al.*
593   Identifying recurrent mutations in cancer reveals widespread lineage diversity
594   and mutational specificity. *Nat Biotechnol* 2016 Feb; **34**(2)**:** 155-163.
595
596 32. Downing JR, Wilson RK, Zhang J, Mardis ER, Pui CH, Ding L, *et al.* The
597   Pediatric Cancer Genome Project. *Nat Genet* 2012 May 29; **44**(6)**:** 619-622.
598
599 33. Pickrell JK, Gilad Y, Pritchard JK. Comment on "Widespread RNA and DNA
600   sequence differences in the human transcriptome". *Science* 2012 Mar 16;
601   **335**(6074)**:** 1302; author reply 1302.
602
603 34. Chang VY, Basso G, Sakamoto KM, Nelson SF. Identification of somatic and
604   germline mutations using whole exome sequencing of congenital acute
605   lymphoblastic leukemia. *BMC Cancer* 2013; **13:** 55.
606
607 35. Henderson MJ, Choi S, Beesley AH, Baker DL, Wright D, Papa RA, *et al.* A
608   xenograft model of infant leukaemia reveals a complex MLL translocation. *Br*
609   *J Haematol* 2008 Mar; **140**(6)**:** 716-719.
610
611 36. Warren JJ, Pohlhaus TJ, Changela A, Iyer RR, Modrich PL, Beese LS.
612   Structure of the human MutSalpha DNA lesion recognition complex. *Mol Cell*
613   2007 May 25; **26**(4)**:** 579-592.
614
615 37. Campbell BB, Light N, Fabrizio D, Zatzman M, Fuligni F, de Borja R, *et al.*
616   Comprehensive Analysis of Hypermutation in Human Cancer. *Cell* 2017 Nov
617   16; **171**(5)**:** 1042-1056 e1010.
618
619 38. Shlien A, Campbell BB, de Borja R, Alexandrov LB, Merico D, Wedge D, *et al.*
620   Combined hereditary and somatic mutations of replication error repair genes
621   result in rapid onset of ultra-hypermutated cancers. *Nat Genet* 2015 Mar;
622   **47**(3)**:** 257-262.
623
624 39. Palles C, Cazier JB, Howarth KM, Domingo E, Jones AM, Broderick P, *et al.*
625   Germline mutations affecting the proofreading domains of POLE and POLD1
626   predispose to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Nat Genet* 2013 Feb;
627   **45**(2)**:** 136-144.
628
629 40. Jha V, Bian C, Xing G, Ling H. Structure and mechanism of error-free
630   replication past the major benzo[a]pyrene adduct by human DNA polymerase
631   kappa. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016 Jun 2; **44**(10)**:** 4957-4967.
632
633 41. Szpiech ZA, Strauli NB, White KA, Ruiz DG, Jacobson MP, Barber DL, *et al.*
634   Prominent features of the amino acid mutation landscape in cancer. *PLoS*
635   *One* 2017; **12**(8)**:** e0183273.

637   42.   Stam RW, Schneider P, Hagelstein JA, van der Linden MH, Stumpel DJ, de
638   Menezes RX*, et al.* Gene expression profiling-based dissection of MLL
639   translocated and MLL germline acute lymphoblastic leukemia in infants. *Blood*
640   2010 Apr 8; **115**(14)**:** 2835-2844.

642   43.   Kanemaru Y, Suzuki T, Sassa A, Matsumoto K, Adachi N, Honma M*, et al.*
643   DNA polymerase kappa protects human cells against MMC-induced
644   genotoxicity through error-free translesion DNA synthesis. *Genes Environ*
645   2017; **39:** 6.

647   44.   Maddukuri L, Ketkar A, Eddy S, Zafar MK, Eoff RL. The Werner syndrome
648   protein limits the error-prone 8-oxo-dG lesion bypass activity of human DNA
649   polymerase kappa. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014 Oct 29; **42**(19)**:** 12027-12040.

651   45.   Irimia A, Eoff RL, Guengerich FP, Egli M. Structural and functional elucidation
652   of the mechanism promoting error-prone synthesis by human DNA
653   polymerase kappa opposite the 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine adduct.
654   *J Biol Chem* 2009 Aug 14; **284**(33)**:** 22467-22480.

656   46.   Yadav S, Mukhopadhyay S, Anbalagan M, Makridakis N. Somatic Mutations
657   in Catalytic Core of POLK Reported in Prostate Cancer Alter Translesion
658   DNA Synthesis. *Hum Mutat* 2015 Sep; **36**(9)**:** 873-880.

660   47.   Alexandrov LB, Jones PH, Wedge DC, Sale JE, Campbell PJ, Nik-Zainal S*, et
661   al.* Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. *Nat Genet* 2015
662   Dec; **47**(12)**:** 1402-1407.

664   48.   Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Aparicio SA, Behjati S, Biankin AV*,
665   et al.* Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. *Nature* 2013 Aug
666   22; **500**(7463)**:** 415-421.

668   49.   Mathijs A Sanders EC, Christoffer Flensburg, Annelieke Zeilemaker, Sarah E
669   Miller, Adil al Hinai, Ashish Bajel, Bram Luiken, Melissa Rijken, Tamara
670   Mclennan, Remco M Hoogenboezem, François G Kavelaars, Marnie E
671   Blewitt, Eric M Bindels, Warren S Alexander, Bob Löwenberg, Andrew W
672   Roberts, Peter J M Valk, Ian Majewski. Germline loss of MBD4 predisposes
673   to leukaemia due to a mutagenic cascade driven by 5mC. *bioRxiv* 2018.

675   50.   Chen L, Liu P, Evans TC, Jr., Ettwiller LM. DNA damage is a pervasive cause
676   of sequencing errors, directly confounding variant identification. *Science* 2017
677   Feb 17; **355**(6326)**:** 752-756.

679   51.   Lin J, Shi T. Error-prone DNA polymerase and oxidative stress increase the
680   incidences of A to G mutations in tumors. *Oncotarget* 2017 Jul 11; **8**(28)**:**
681   45154-45163.

683   52.   Martincorena I, Raine KM, Gerstung M, Dawson KJ, Haase K, Van Loo P*, et
684   al.* Universal Patterns of Selection in Cancer and Somatic Tissues. *Cell* 2017
685   Nov 16; **171**(5)**:** 1029-1041 e1021.

687   53.   Valentine MC, Linabery AM, Chasnoff S, Hughes AE, Mallaney C, Sanchez
688   N*, et al.* Excess congenital non-synonymous variation in leukemia-associated
689   genes in MLL- infant leukemia: a Children's Oncology Group report.
690   *Leukemia* 2013 Dec 4.

54.   Dagogo-Jack I, Shaw AT. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2017 Nov 8.

55.   van Oers JM, Edwards Y, Chahwan R, Zhang W, Smith C, Pechuan X*, et al.* The MutSbeta complex is a modulator of p53-driven tumorigenesis through its functions in both DNA double-strand break repair and mismatch repair. *Oncogene* 2014 Jul 24; **33**(30)**:** 3939-3946.

56.   Burdova K, Mihaljevic B, Sturzenegger A, Chappidi N, Janscak P. The Mismatch-Binding Factor MutSbeta Can Mediate ATR Activation in Response to DNA Double-Strand Breaks. *Mol Cell* 2015 Aug 20; **59**(4)**:** 603-614.

57.   Martincorena I, Roshan A, Gerstung M, Ellis P, Van Loo P, McLaren S*, et al.* Tumor evolution. High burden and pervasive positive selection of somatic mutations in normal human skin. *Science* 2015 May 22; **348**(6237)**:** 880-886.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Characterization of germline and somatic point mutations identifies
an ultra-mutated infant leukemia case. (a) Overlap of variants identified from
diagnostic leukemia specimen by RNA-seq and whole exome sequencing (WES). (b)
Overlap of variants called in diagnostic RNA-seq and remission bone marrow WES.
(c) Overlap of variants called in diagnostic and remission WES. Barplots colored
showing overlap binned by derived allele frequency as follows: present with AF<0.5%
in ExAC (green), present with AF 0.5-1% in ExAC (yellow), present with AF>1% in
ExAC (purple), absent from the ExAC (orange), non-overlapping variants are colored
to show sites with coverage <20 reads (grey) or coverage >20 reads (black) in the
comparator. (d) Scatterplots of diagnostic leukemia (x-axis) versus remission (y-axis)
variant allele fraction (VAF) from WES for coding variants detected in diagnostic
leukemia RNA-seq.

Figure 2: Clonal analysis reveals somatic signatures operative during infant
leukemia ultra-mutation. Histograms showing the VAF density distribution of
somatic mutations (left, x-axis: dark shaded bars) or LOH-sites (right; x-axis: light
shaded bars) in diagnostic leukemia WES from canonical cases (a) and the ultra-
mutated case (b). (c) Illustration of cell lines derived from ultra-mutated specimen
and histograms displaying the VAF density distribution of somatic mutations (left, x-
axis: dark shaded bars) or LOH-sites (right; x-axis: light shaded bars) in cell lines
(PER-784A: red; PER-826A: green). (d) Venn diagram displaying the overlap in
somatic mutations (left) and LOH-sites (right) identified by VarScan2 comparing the
primary diagnostic specimen and derived cell lines (PER-784A: red; PER-826A:
green) to the primary remission sample. (e) Somatic mutation and (f) LOH coding
sites showing total read coverage (left y-axis) and VAF (right y-axis) from primary
specimens (left panels) and cell lines (right panels) ordered by decreasing VAF in the
diagnostic sample (x-axis).

Figure 3: Germline-like somatic signatures of ultra-mutations. (a-d) Histograms
showing the number (a-b) or frequency (c-d) of events overlapping the spectrum of
ExAC derived allele frequency bins with 5% increments. (a) Somatic mutations called
by VarScan2 were plotted grouping homozygous and heterozygous events
separately. (b) Loss-of-heterozygosity sites called by VarScan2 were plotted
grouping reference→alternative and alterantive→reference sites separately. All
quality filtered coding variants from each of the canonical patients or P337 ultra-
mutated specimen called by GATK grouped by homozygous and heterozygous
events from (c) remission sample or (d) diagnostic sample. Normalised fraction of
variants within unique trinucleotide context (ordered alphabetically on the x-axis) for
VarScan calls (left panel) as shown for (a-b), or remission variants (right panel) as
shown for (c). (f) Somatic signatures identified by *deconstrucSigs* for categories of
mutations/variants shown in (e) showing their relative proportions (bar plot) and pair-
wise Pearson's correlation coefficients (heatmap), with both plots ordered by
unsupervised hierarchical clustering.

Figure 4: Ultra-mutations target rare and common human alleles. (a) Number of
variants (y-axis) called from RNA-seq reads from St Jude and Perth cohorts (open
circles) are plotted with the total variants detected in the ultra-mutated sample
(shaded circles), germline variants (shaded triangle pointing up) and somatic
mutations (shaded triangle pointing down) binned according to the allele count in
ExAC database (red: not in ExAC; green < 0.5%; yellow: 0.5-1% and blue > 0.5%).
(b) Bar plot displaying fraction of variants within rare, low-frequency and common
allele frequency bins (as described for b) ordered by increasing proportion of
common alleles (i.e. derived AF>1%) and excluding outliers (SJINF018, SJINF021,
SJINF010 and SJINF008). Variants extracted from RNA-seq data are shown in the

left panel. Variants extracted from diagnostic WES samples and for ultra-mutation
germline, somatic mutations and LOH-sites defined by VarScan2 are shown in the
right panel. (c) Crystal structure of the MutS lesion recognition complex (PDB CODE
2O8D[36]) MSH2:MSH6 mismatch repair proteins (MSH2: green, MSH6: blue) bound
to ADP and G:T mismatch DNA (orange) showing the location of the p.E423K amino
acid change. (d) Crystal structure of Pol k (green and blue representing different
molecules) in complex with a bulky DNA adduct (orange) (PDB CODE 4U6P[40])
showing locations of the p.R298H amino acid change.

Figure 5: Global transcriptional concordance of ultra-mutated infant leukemia.
Multi-dimensional scaling of RNA-seq count data from acute leukemias and normal
bone marrow progenitor populations displaying translocation partner gene by color
and blood/leukemia type by symbol shape according to the legend. Labels show
replicate measurements from the ultra-mutated diagnostic specimen, P337.

Table 1: Characterisation of somatic lesions in *KMT2A*-R patient samples.

Patient ID	KMT2A-fusion	Reciprocal KMT2A-fusion	Diagnostic leukemia DNA	Remission DNA	Point mutations 0.1 > VAF[1] < 0.3	Point mutations VAF > 0.3	Indels VAF > 0.3	LOH[2]-sites	Point mutations or hotspots with RNA-SEQ reads
P337	*KMT2A-MLLT1*	Not detected	Exome	Exome	198	5,054	260	6,555	> 1,000 expressed somatic mutations
P401	*KMT2A- MLLT 3*	Not detected	Exome	Exome	78	3	9	45	No non-silent somatic mutations
P399	*KMT2A-AFF1*	*AFF1-KMT2A*	Exome	Exome	95	3	7	67	No non-silent somatic mutations
P438	*KMT2A- MLLT3*	Not detected	Exome	Exome	74	4	9	100	NRAS.p.G12S
P810	*KMT2A-EPS15*	*EPS15-KMT2A*	Exome	Exome	95	4	5	46	PER3.p.M1006R, PER3.p.K1007E
P809	*KMT2A-EPS15*	*EPS15-KMT2A*	Exome	Exome	69	3	3	28	PER3 M1006R, PER3.p.K1007E
P848	*KMT2A-AFF1*	*AFF1-KMT2A*	no	Exome	NA	NA	NA	NA	KRAS.p.A146T
P287	*KMT2A-AFF1*	*AFF1-KMT2A*	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA	No COSMIC[3] hotspots
P706	*KMT2A- MLLT1*	Not detected	no	Exome	NA	NA	NA	NA	KRAS.p.A146T
P272	*KMT2A-AFF1*	Not detected	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA	No COSMIC[3] hotspots

775   [1]VAF: Variant allele fraction; [2]LOH: Loss-of-heterozygosity; [3]COSMIC: Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer

776   Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of expressed rare (Not in ExAC or <0.5%
777   allele frequency), low-frequency (0.5-1% allele frequency) and common (>1% allele
778   frequency) alleles in 39 *KMT2A*-R patient samples compared to ultra-mutated (P337)
779   sample and its constituent expressed germline alleles and somatic mutations.

	Not in ExAC	<0.5% AF[1] in ExAC	0.5-1% AF in ExAC	>1% AF in ExAC
KMT2A-R iALL	42.3±10.4	212.1±32.6	76.8±14.7	7722.3±266.0
P337 expressed	38	174	89	7590
P337 Germline	17	88	47	6235
P337 Somatic	21	86	42	1355

780   [1]AF: allele frequency; [2]ExAC: Exome aggregation consortium

FIGURE 1

a

Diagnosis RNA vs exome

P810
P809
P438
P399
P401
P337

Number of variants

b

Diagnosis RNA vs remission exome

P810
P809
P438
P399
P401
P337

Number of variants

c

Diagnosis exome vs remission exome

P810
P809
P438
P399
P401
P337

Number of variants

Not in ExAC   <0.5% AF in ExAC   0.5-1% AF in ExAC   >1% AF in ExAC   Discordant >20 reads   Discordant <20 reads

d

"Silent genomic landscape"

P399   P401   P438   P809   P810   Ultra-mutated   P337

Remission exome VAF

Diagnosis exome VAF

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

Legend:
- ■ Blood progenitor
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-Germline*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-MLLT1*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-AFF1*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-MLLT3*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-MLLT4*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-MLLT10*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-EPS15*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-USP2*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-CASC5*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-MLLT6*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-ELL*
- ▢ *MLL/KMT2A-ENL*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-SEPT9*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-ENAH*
- ■ *MLL/KMT2A-GAS7*
- ◯ Diagnostic infant ALL
- ▢ Relapse infant ALL
- △ Childhood ALL
- ◇ Childhood AML
- ▽ Leucegene AML