Title:

Methionine coordinates a hierarchically organized anabolic program enabling

proliferation

Adhish S. Walvekar[1], Rajalakshmi Srinivasan[1], Ritu Gupta[1] and Sunil Laxman[1*]

[1] Institute for Stem Cell biology and Regenerative Medicine,

NCBS-TIFR campus, GKVK Post, Bellary Road, Bangalore 560065.

[*] Correspondence: sunil@instem.res.in

Keywords: methionine, S-adenosyl methionine, Gcn4, growth, pentose phosphate pathway,

NADPH, reductive biosynthesis

Abstract

Methionine availability during overall amino acid limitation metabolically reprograms cells to support proliferation, the underlying basis for which remains unclear. Here, we investigate the organization and mechanism of this methionine mediated anabolic program, using yeast. Combining comparative transcriptome analysis, biochemical and metabolic flux based approaches, we discover that methionine, predominantly through Gcn4p, can rewire metabolic outputs by controlling specific regulatory nodes. Methionine increases the activity of three key nodes: the pentose phosphate pathway coupled with reductive biosynthetic capacity, and overall transamination capacity, including the synthesis of glutamate/glutamine. These processes allow the completion of subsequent rate-limiting reactions in the synthesis of costly amino acids, and nucleotides, which are also induced in a methionine dependent manner. This thereby results in a biochemical cascade establishing an overall anabolic program. Thus, methionine switches cells to anabolism, co-opting a "starvation stress response" regulator, Gcn4p, to achieve this. This suggests a hierarchical metabolic framework explaining how methionine mediates an anabolic switch.

Introduction

Cell growth is expensive, and is therefore tightly co-ordinated with the intrinsic cellular metabolic state. In general, the enormous metabolic costs incurred during growth and proliferation come from two well-studied phenomena. First, to successfully complete division, a cell makes substantial metabolic investments, in order to replicate its genome, and synthesize building blocks like amino acids, lipids, nucleotides, and other macromolecules (Nelson, DL; Cox, 2017). Second, the process of protein synthesis required for growth itself consumes large amounts of energy (Warner, 1999; Warner *et al*, 2001), as the translational

output of cells increases (Jorgensen & Tyers, 2004). Understanding such changes in cellular

metabolic state coupled to global biosynthetic outputs, in the context of commitments to cell

growth and proliferation are now the focus of several studies (Broach, 2012; Ljungdahl &

Daignan-Fornier, 2012; Dechant & Peter, 2008; Brauer *et al*, 2008; Boer *et al*, 2010). For

example, one context where there is intense interest in understanding metabolic alterations

enabling growth is in cancers, where phenomena ranging from the Warburg effect (Warburg,

1956; Heiden *et al*, 2009), to the identification of the biosynthetic and metabolic

requirements for cell growth (DeBerardinis *et al*, 2008; Tong *et al*, 2009; Heiden *et al*, 2009)

are studied. Yet, given the overall complexity of metabolic rewiring, understanding how

specific, "sentinel" metabolites can function as growth signals, and identifying the core,

necessary steps by which such metabolites can rewire cells to an anabolic state, has been

challenging.

However, simple, tractable cellular models can be used to dissect and deconvolute

such complex phenomena. Studies using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have been particularly

instrumental in identifying dedicated, conserved strategies utilized by eukaryotic cells to

integrate metabolic state with growth (Gray *et al*, 2004; Cai *et al*, 2011; Slavov & Botstein,

2011; Ye *et al*, 2017; Brauer *et al*, 2008; Boer *et al*, 2010; Broach, 2012; Ljungdahl &

Daignan-Fornier, 2012; Xu *et al*, 2013; Mulleder *et al*, 2016). In such reductive studies using

yeast, preferred carbon or nitrogen sources are typically limited (thereby slowing down

overall growth). Subsequently, specific factors are reintroduced individually or in

combination. This thereby reconstitutes minimal components required for reprogramming

cells to an anabolic state, and allows the precise identification of necessary components, or

dissection of mechanistic events. Such approaches have discovered novel nutrient sensing

systems, and mechanisms by which growth outputs are controlled by the build-up and

utilization of specific metabolites (Boer *et al*, 2008; Tu *et al*, 2007; Slavov & Botstein, 2013;

Xu *et al*, 2013; Klosinska *et al*, 2011; Laxman & Tu, 2010; Sutter *et al*, 2013; Laxman *et al*,

2013; Tu *et al*, 2005; Brauer *et al*, 2008; Boer *et al*, 2010; Cai *et al*, 2011; Ye *et al*, 2017).

Interestingly, some amino acids can directly function as anabolic signals, potently

activating growth pathways independent of their roles as nitrogen or carbon sources. For

example, leucine and glutamine activate the TOR pathway directly (González & Hall, 2017;

Wolfson & Sabatini, 2017). In this context, studies from diverse organisms, observed over

many decades suggest that methionine is a strong growth signal, or "growth metabolite"

(Troen *et al*, 2007; Lee *et al*, 2016, 2014; Cavuoto & Fenech, 2012; Breillout *et al*, 1990;

Sugimura *et al*, 1959; Gu *et al*, 2017; Sutter *et al*, 2013). The most direct evidence for

methionine as a growth signal come from recent studies in yeast. When *S. cerevisiae* are

shifted from complex, amino acid replete medium with lactate as the carbon source, to a

minimal medium with the same carbon source, the addition of methionine alone (likely

through its metabolite S-adenosylmethionine (SAM)), strongly promotes growth and

proliferation (Wu & Tu, 2011; Laxman *et al*, 2014a; Sutter *et al*, 2013; Laxman *et al*, 2013;

Ye *et al*, 2017). Thus, even during otherwise overall nutrient limitation, methionine can

induce proliferation. Despite these advances, two fundamental, related questions regarding

methionine as a growth signal remain unanswered. First, what is the biochemical logic of the

methionine mediated anabolic program (i.e. how does methionine result in an anabolic

reporgramming)? Second, what is the mechanism by which methionine mediates this

anabolic rewiring, even in overall amino acid limiting conditions? We address these related

questions in this study.

Here, using a minimal, reconstitutive system in yeast, and a biochemical first-

principles approach, we uncover how methionine uniquely rewires cells to an anabolic state,

even in otherwise amino acid limited conditions. We find that methionine activates very

specific metabolic nodes in order to mediate this anabolic reprogramming. When these nodes

are coincidently activated, they further induce a cascade of dependent metabolic processes

leading to the overall biosynthesis of "costly" amino acids and nucleotides. For appropriately

executing this anabolic program and sustaining proliferation, cells unexpectedly co-opt

Gcn4p, a mediator of a nutrient stress/survival response. Collectively, these results position

methionine at the apex of an overall anabolic network, and provide an overarching metabolic

logic to understand how methionine availability results in metabolic rewiring and controling

cellular metabolic state.

    Results

    Methionine induced growth requires Gcn4p.

When wild-type, prototrophic yeast cells are shifted from a complex, amino acid rich

medium with lactate as the sole carbon source (RM), to a synthetic minimal medium

containing nitrogen base and lactate (MM), they show a significant lag phase and slower

growth. Supplementation with all 20 standard amino acids restores growth after this nutrient

downshift (Figure S1A) (Sutter *et al*, 2013; Laxman *et al*, 2014a). Importantly, methionine

supplementation alone substantially increases growth (Figure 1A), comparable to (in our

hands) or better than adding all eighteen other non-sulfur amino acids (nonSAAs) together

(Sutter *et al*, 2013; Laxman *et al*, 2014a). Collectively, even during otherwise overall amino

acid limitation, methionine availability can increase proliferation. Given that methionine is

itself not a good "nutrient source" (i.e. a poor carbon or nitrogen source), and adding

methionine alone would not create a nutrient replete medium, we wondered how methionine

might mediate a switch to an anabolic state. This is a clear metabolic supply problem. We

therefore thought that examining key growth/survival regulating nodes that are known to be

altered during growth under nutrient stress (in the presence or absence of methionine) might

serve as a good starting point from which to address this question. In the currently understood

model for cellular responses to amino acid limitation (Figure 1B), the Gcn2p kinase is

activated and phosphorylates eukaryotic initiation factor eIF2α. This results in global

translational repression, but selective translation of *GCN4*, where the Gcn4 protein controls

general amino acid biosynthesis (Figure 1B) (Hinnebusch, 2005). This process is well

conserved across eukaryotes (Vattem & Wek, 2004). By monitoring the activity status of

these steps within this model, and introducing methionine as a variable, we reasoned that

clues might emerge that will lead us to the core metabolic phenomenon regulated by

methionine. We therefore monitored outputs of responses during amino acid limitation

(illustrated in Figure 1B), to determine if any of these aspects were unusually altered in the

presence of methionine.

We first monitored global translational output by standard polysome profiling (Figure

1C). Expectedly, RM grown cells showed a 3:1 polysome: monosome ratio indicating robust

translation, and cells shifted to MM showed a drastic reduction in the polysome pool, and an

increased monosome peak (polysome:monosome ratio of ~1:1) (Figure 1C, Figure S1B).

Supplementation with either methionine, or all the nonSAAs combined, during the shift to

MM also showed an increase in the monosome pool, and a partial restoration of the polysome

levels resulting in a polysome: monosome ratio of ~1.7:1 (or ~1.3:1, for nonSAAs) (Figure

1C, Figure S1B), suggesting slightly increased translation due to methionine. We also

monitored the phosphorylation status of eIF2α (Figure 1D), and expectedly observed a

substantial increase in the phosphorylation levels of eIF2α after a RM to MM shift. However,

methionine supplementation only marginally reduced the levels of phosphorylated eIF2α, and

levels of phosphorylation remained high (Figure 1D). Collectively, the phosphorylation status

of the eIF2α, and the results obtained with polysome profiling, suggest that methionine has a

modest impact on global translation during amino acid limited growth, but the cells continue

to appear "nutrient stressed", while showing increased proliferation. These observations were

largely in line with expected outcomes.

We next monitored the amounts of endogenous Gcn4p (chromosomally tagged with a

C-terminal HA epitope) after a shift to MM, with and without supplementation of different

amino acids, expecting a decrease in Gcn4p amounts upon the addition of methionine.

Surprisingly, Gcn4p accumulated substantially upon methionine supplementation, compared

to MM, or supplementing all 18 other nonSAAs (Figure 1E). This was independently

confirmed using immunofluorescence based experiments (Figure S1C). We therefore asked

whether Gcn4p was necessary for the increased growth upon methionine supplementation.

Figure 1: Methionine induced growth requires Gcn4p.

A) Methionine and growth during amino acid limitation. Shown are growth profiles of WT cells grown in RM and shifted to MM with or without the indicated amino acid supplements (2 mM each; nonSAAs indicates all the non-sulfur amino acids except tyrosine). The growth profile with methionine is in blue. Also see Figure S1A.

B) The current model explaining cellular responses to amino acid starvation. Amino acid starvation activates the Gcn2p kinase, leading to decreased levels of the ternary complex. The key outputs indicating amino acid starvation (highlighted in green) are increased phosphorylation of eIF2α, global translational repression, and induced Gcn4p translation.

C) Global translational repression is moderately rescued by methionine. WT cells were grown to mid-log phase in RM and shifted to MM, MM plus methionine or MM plus nonSAAs for two hours. The cells were treated with cycloheximide for 15 min before harvesting and polysome pool was fractionated on sucrose gradients using ultracentrifugation. The polysome/monosome ratio for the corresponding samples is shown. Also see Figure S1B.

D) Methionine and eIF2α phosphorylation. Yeast cells grown in RM were shifted to the indicated media. The samples were analysed for phosphorylation status of eIF2α (Sui2p in yeast) by Western blot. Rapamycin (100 nM) treated cells served as a positive control. WT cells expressing FLAG-tagged eIF2α were used to monitor the protein abundance under identical conditions. A representative blot is shown.

E) Gcn4p amounts in the presence of methionine during amino acid limited growth. Gcn4p was detected by Western blotting of WT cells (expressing Gcn4p with an HA epitope, tagged at the endogenous locus) shifted from RM to MM, or MM supplemented with the indicated

combinations of amino acids. RM grown cells treated with rapamycin (100 nM) served as a positive control for Gcn4p induction. A representative blot is shown.

F) *gcn4Δ* cells and methionine-mediated growth. The WT and *gcn4Δ* strains were shifted from RM to MM with or without methionine supplementation and growth was monitored. Also see Figure S1D.

In all panels, data shows mean±SD values. *p < 0.05.

Notably, the *gcn4Δ* cells did not show any increased growth in methionine supplemented

medium, but instead only grew comparably to WT cells in MM (Figure 1F). As controls, in

all other conditions (lacking methionine, or in RM) the growth of *gcn4Δ* cells was

indistinguishable from the WT cells (Figure S1D). Collectively, these data indicate that

Gcn4p is necessary uniquely for the methionine-mediated growth in these amino acid poor

conditions. Given the established role of Gcn4p as a regulator of metabolic homeostasis, this

suggested that methionine triggered a core transcriptional response which potentially could

be deciphered, and a key component of this response (related to metabolism) might be

mediated by the Gcn4p transcription factor. Thus, we reasoned that dissecting the

methionine-mediated overall transcriptional response might provide insight into the anabolic

reprogramming mediated by methionine, and allow the elucidation of a core metabolic

response that drives proliferation.

Methionine induces a transcriptional remodelling program driving key anabolic nodes.

These data raise two questions: (i) what is the underlying logic of the anabolic

rewiring mediated by methionine?, and (ii) what is the contribution of Gcn4p towards this

anabolic state switch? We started with a comparative RNA-seq approach in order to address

aspects of both questions. In this section we first address how methionine reprograms cells

into an anabolic state, focusing on relatively early transcriptional events (before the overall

proliferation is observed). We performed RNA-seq analysis on distinct sample sets of wild-

type cells- (i) RM grown, or cells shifted to (ii) MM for 2h, (iii) MM+Met for 2h (Met set),

and (iv) MM+nonSAAs for 2h. Transcript reads from the biological replicates showed

exceptional correlation across all conditions (Pearson correlation coefficient, R≥0.99) (Figure

S2). Setting a stringent cut-off, we only considered differentially expressed genes with $\geq \log_2$

1.5 fold changes (i.e. ~2.8 fold change), and a p-value cut-off $<10^{-4}$ for further analysis. We

first compared global transcription trends in WT cells growing in RM, MM+Met or

MM+nonSAAs to MM, with the focus being what happens when methionine is the sole

variable. We examined overall global gene expression trends in these conditions (compared

to MM), looking at the distribution of the most induced or downregulated genes (Figure 2A,

Figure S3). When we compared the global gene expression trends (broad trends of up- or

down- regulated genes) exhibited by cells in MM+Met to those in other conditions, the

MM+Met gene expression signature was more greatly resembled cells in RM, than the cells

in MM+nonSAAs (which were nearly indistinguishable from MM) (Figure 2A, Figure S3

and S4). This suggests that methionine alone is perceived by cells as a stronger anabolic cue

than all non-sulfur amino acids combined, and is sufficient to switch cells into a

transcriptional state resembling that of rapidly proliferating cells in complex, amino acid rich

media.

We next more closely examined the transcriptional response unique to methionine, by

comparing transcriptomes of cells growing in MM vs MM+Met (the only variable being

methionine). This comparison identified 372 genes, of which 262 genes were upregulated in

the +Met set (Figure 2B, Figure S4, Supplementary file E1). Using gene ontology (GO),

these genes were grouped into related processes (Figure 2C, Figure S5A, Supplementary file

E2). We expectedly observed a substantial transcriptional response related to the core

translational machinery. Additionally, GO also grouped multiple induced genes into

categories that would fit generally under "nucleotide metabolism" (Figure 2C). GO broadly

fits these under "purine/pyrimidine" or "nucleobase and nucleotide metabolism", along with

the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Figure 2C). All of this would be entirely expected

for any cell in a "growth/proliferative" state, since proliferation relies on increased translation

Figure 2

Figure 2: Methionine induces a transcriptional remodelling program driving key anabolic nodes.

A) Global trends of gene expression in RM and methionine supplemented MM. Boxplot shows gene expression levels of transcripts in WT cells grown in RM, or shifted to MM plus methionine, or MM plus nonSAAs in comparison to MM set.

B) Effect of methionine on a transcriptional program in cells. The heat map shows differentially expressed genes in MM plus methionine and MM plus nonSAAs compared to MM set.

C) GO analysis of the methionine-responsive genes. The pie-chart depicts the processes grouped by GO analysis for the up-regulated transcripts between MM plus methionine and

MM set. Numbers in the bracket indicate the number of genes from the query set/ total number of genes in the reference set for the given GO category.

D) Manual regrouping of the methionine responsive genes into relevant metabolic pathways, focusing on carbon metabolism and central metabolic processes. The pathway map includes individual genes in central carbon metabolism which are induced by methionine (indicating the fold-changes in gene expression). The arrows marked red indicate increased expression across the pathway.

and replication. Unsurprisingly therefore, the GO grouping showed a signature of a cell in a

"proliferative state".

However, this form of GO based grouping does not lend any insight into the

underlying metabolic logic or hiererchy of the methionine mediated anabolic response, and

only reveals the obvious readouts for growth. This is a limitation of using GO based analysis,

which builds groups by looking for enriched pathway terms (relying on multiple genes within

a pathway to be overrepresented). Contrastingly, for metabolic changes, entire metabolic

pathways need not be regulated. This is because most metabolic regulation happens by

controlling only key nodes or "rate-limiting steps" in metabolism (Nelson, DL; Cox, 2017).

We therefore rebuilt connections from biochemical first principles, in order to examine if

methionine only affected unique metabolic nodes, in order to attempt to put together a logical

hierarchy of metabolic responses being set-up. In this reconstruction, we particularly

emphasized: (i) whether the protein encoded by the gene regulated a "bottleneck" metabolic

step, and (ii) whether this biochemical step, and its subsequent product, was critical for

multiple other biosynthetic reactions. These nodes will not necessarily be picked up in GO

enrichments, particularly if they are solitary, and entire metabolic pathways are not

transcriptionally upregulated. However, they may in fact be central to understanding the

metabolic state. Through this reconstruction, we compartmentalized the metabolic response

regulated by methionine into a group of key biochemical reaction nodes, which could explain

how a majority of the other metabolic processes are subsequently upregulated, as described

below.

Only a few genes involved in classical "central carbon/carbohydrate metabolism"

were upregulated in the presence of methionine, and strikingly none of them group to

glycolysis, the TCA cycle or gluconeogenesis (Figure 2D). However, the genes encoding

three key enzymes of the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) (*GND1*, *RKI1* and *TKL1*), which

regulate four steps in the PPP, were highly induced in the presence of methionine (Figure

2D). Furthermore, two other genes (*SOL3* and *TAL1*), which control two other steps in the

PPP, were also induced by methionine (at just below the $\log_2$ 1.5 fold (~2.8 fold) cut-off limit

we had arbitrarily set) (Figure 2D). Gnd1p catalyzes the last step in the oxidative arm of the

PPP, generating NADPH and producing ribulose-5-phosphate. Most of the genes of the non-

oxidative arm of the PPP, which make ribose-5-phosphate and other critical intermediates,

are also upregulated (Figure 2D). Additionally, *HXK2*, encoding a hexokinase is highly

upregulated when methionine is present. While this is not even classified under the PPP by

GO grouping, this enzyme produces glucose-6-phosphate, which is the substrate for the first,

rate-limited step of the PPP, and so we included it under the PPP in our grouping (Figure

2D). Thus, only the PPP arm of carbon metabolism was induced by methionine. Second, we

noted that key regulator resulting in the formation of pyridoxal-5-phosphate or PLP (encoded

by *SNO1*), was induced (Figure 2D). PLP a central metabolite, which is required for all

transamination reactions (Nelson, DL; Cox, 2017). Third, transcripts of Gdh1p, which

regulates the key nitrogen assimilation reaction resulting in the formation of glutamate (and

GLN1, which is further required to make glutamine), was highly induced in methionine

(Figure 2D). This reaction itself requires NADPH (which is produced in the PPP, Figure 2D),

and importantly is also critical for the subsequent formation of all other amino acids, and

nucleotides (Figure 2D). Thus, this grouping suggested that methionine induced the PPP-

GDH-PLP nodes in metabolism. We hypothesized that this PPP-GDH-PLP node could be

central for all the subsequent, downstream anabolic outputs.

Methionine sets-up a hierarchical anabolic response

We therefore inspected the other up-regulated genes that could be grouped broadly

under "amino acid biosynthesis", "nucleotide synthesis", and

"oxidoreduction/transamination" categories, particularly focusing on the substrates or co-

factors required for their function. Notably, only a few genes in each of these pathways were

induced, despite most of these pathways being multi-step, multi-enzyme pathways. However,

when organized by their biochemical requirements, essentially all enzymes encoded by this

set of upregulated genes utilized either a PPP intermediate/product, and/or NADPH, and/or

glutamate/glutamine, or combinations of all of these, i.e. products coming from the PPP-

GDH-PLP nodes (Figure 3A). We next more closely examined the steps in the respective

biosynthetic pathways that these genes regulated (coming from Figure 3A). Here, we further

categorized all steps in the amino acid biosynthesis pathway as either the rate-

limiting/initiation step and/or final step in the production of that amino acid, and organized

them based on the use of costly and complex precursors or cofactors (Figure 3B). Strikingly,

we observed that the methionine induced genes (few in number) in these pathways regulated

only the most critical, rate-limiting or costly steps in amino acid biosynthesis (p-value of

$3.8e^{-09}$, Fisher's exact test), but had little or no significant role in regulating the multiple other

genes in the pathway that encode enzymes for the other, inexpensive steps (Figure 3B).

Finally, we note that these methionine-induced genes in the "amino acid biosynthesis" bin do

not just broadly represent all amino acid biosynthesis, but synthesize what are viewed as the

costliest amino acids to synthesize (Barton *et al*, 2010), namely the aromatic amino acids, the

branched-chain amino acids, and lysine, which is highly overrepresented in ribosomal and

core translational machinery proteins (Laxman *et al*, 2013).

Similarly, nucleotide biosynthesis involves very large, multi-step pathways. The

methionine dependent, upregulated genes again encoded enzymes that control only specific

critical, limiting steps in nucleotide synthesis, and utilize glutamate/glutamine and/or

Figure 3: Methionine sets-up a hierarchical metabolic response leading to anabolism.

A) Regrouping of the methionine responsive genes in amino acid and nucleotide metabolism onto their respective pathways. The schematic shows the methionine-responsive genes in various amino acid and nucleotide biosynthesis pathways, along with their fold-changes in gene expression. The requirement of PPP metabolites, NADPH, PLP or glutamate/glutamine for the individual steps is mapped on to the schematic. The arrows marked red are the steps induced in the presence of methionine. Note that all these regulated steps use PPP intermediates, and/or NADPH, and/or PLP and/or glutamine/glutamate.

B) A bird's eye-view of the amino acid biosynthesis steps regulated by methionine. Each bead (or filled circle) represents a step in the pathway (prepared according to the individual amino acid pathways shown at https://pathway.yeastgenome.org/.) A step is considered expensive (marked red) when it is either the entry or the final or involves ATP utilization or involves reduction. All the rest of the steps are considered inexpensive (marked green). Methionine responsive steps are shown with a yellow fill at the centre of the circle, for the given step. The p-value for methionine dependence of genes encoding the critical, rate-limiting or costly steps in amino acid = $3.8e^{-09}$, and for the other nodes, it is non-significant (Fisher's exact test).

C) A hierarchical organization of the methionine mediated anabolic remodelling. Methionine induces expression of genes in the PPP-GDH-PLP node, which provides precursors for the overall biosynthesis of amino acids and nucleotides.

NADPH, as well as pentose sugars from the PPP, i.e. the PPP-GDH-PLP nodes (Figure 3A). Notably, *RNR1*, which encodes the key enzyme in converting ribonucleotides to deoxyribonucleotides (and hence the critical hub for DNA synthesis), is strongly upregulated upon methionine addition (Figure 3A). Separately, we expanded this analysis and compared the methionine response to minimal medium supplemented with all other nonSAAs, and here the overall metabolic grouping or organization remained unchanged (Figure S4), with nonSAAs resembling MM. Finally, in MM+nonSAAs, the few highly induced genes (compared to RM) function in methionine (and sulfur-amino acid) related biosynthesis or salvage (Figure S5B, Supplementary file E1), and not additional reactions. This further substantiates our overall observations for the role of methionine as an "anabolic signal".

Collectively, this comparative transcriptome analysis, done from a biochemical first principles perspective, suggests not just a general anabolic remodelling due to methionine, but a hierarchical metabolic organization induced by methionine (Figure 3C). In this putative hierarchical organization, methionine induces genes regulating the PPP, key transamination reactions, and the synthesis of glutamine/glutamate (the PPP-GDH-PLP node). These three processes directly allow critical steps in synthesis of the costliest amino acids and nucleotides. The key, limiting steps in these subsequent synthesis reactions are themselves induced by methionine, collectively setting up a structured anabolic program (Figure 3C). These data thus uniquely position methionine as an anabolic cue.

Gcn4p regulates only the metabolic response due to methionine

We next asked what the contribution of Gcn4p was towards this methionine mediated metabolic rewiring, at the level of transcription. We first examined global trends of gene expression (similar to those in Figure 2A) in the absence of Gcn4p, compared to WT cells.

For this, a relative comparison of transcriptomes of *gcn4Δ* cells grown in RM, MM,

MM+Met and MM+nonSAAs to wild-type cells in MM was carried out. To our surprise, the

global gene expression trends in the Met set even more strongly resembled the RM set than

WT cells (in methionine, from Figure 2A) (Figure 4A). The transcriptional response in all

other conditions was almost unaffected (Figure 4A). This unexpectedly suggested that in the

absence of *GCN4*, methionine invokes an even stronger transcriptional response, with global

trends seemingly resembling a strong "growth state" (Figure 4A). We closely compared

transcriptomes of WT cells with *gcn4Δ* cells, under the same combination of conditions used

earlier, and analyzed our data with the same stringent filters used in the previous section. The

overall changes in transcriptomes of WT vs *gcn4Δ* cells are shown (Figure 4B, Figure S6,

Supplementary file E1). In all other conditions except methionine, WT and *gcn4Δ* cells

showed similar gene expression profiles (Figure 4B), supporting a unique role for Gcn4p in

the Met set. To dissect the role of Gcn4p in the methionine response, we compared relative

transcript amounts, for the transcripts induced by methionine, in cells lacking Gcn4p

compared to MM. When grouped using GO, we found that a very large number of genes

(~200) induced in the presence of methionine, grouped into the general groups of

"ribosome/translation", and "nucleotide synthesis" (Figure 4C, Supplementary file E2).

Again, this representation was even more striking than that seen in WT cells (Figure 2C),

suggesting a strong "growth signature" in the presence of methionine even in cells lacking

Gcn4p.

Note that (as shown earlier in Figure 1F) Gcn4p was essential for the growth

induction due to methionine. These data therefore paradoxically suggested that while the

overall "growth signature" response due to methionine was being further amplified in the

absence of Gcn4p, only a small subset of Gcn4p regulated genes were pivotal for the

metabolic outcome. We therefore more carefully analyzed the classes of transcripts induced

Figure 4

Figure 4: Gcn4p uniquely regulates only the metabolic response due to methionine.

A) Trends of gene expression in RM and methionine supplemented MM in *gcn4Δ* cells. Gene expression levels of transcripts in *gcn4Δ* cells grown in RM or shifted to MM or MM plus methionine or MM plus nonSAAs were compared to WT MM set.

B) Global transcriptional response in the absence of *GCN4*. The heat map shows differentially expressed genes ($\log_2$ 1.5-fold change; $p < 10^{-4}$) between WT and *gcn4Δ* cells in the respective growth conditions.

C) GO analysis of the methionine-responsive genes in *gcn4Δ* cells. A pie chart showing the processes grouped by GO analysis for the up-regulated transcripts between MM plus methionine and MM set in *gcn4Δ* background. Numbers in the bracket indicate the number of genes from the query set/ total number of genes in the reference set for the given GO category.

D) Contribution of *GCN4* to the methionine-response. The expression level of methionine-responsive transcripts in WT set (MM plus methionine versus MM) was compared in the *gcn4Δ* background. Amino acid biosynthesis related genes are marked with blue circles and ribosome biogenesis and function related genes are marked with red circle.

E) Regrouping of the *GCN4*-dependent genes onto metabolic nodes. The schematic shows the *GCN4*-dependent genes (comparison of MM plus methionine set between WT and *gcn4Δ*) in the PPP, amino acid and nucleotide biosynthesis pathways, along with fold-changes in gene expression. The arrows marked blue in the PPP pathway are the steps down-regulated in *gcn4Δ* cells. Key rate-limiting steps in the pathway are marked by asterisk.

in WT and *gcn4Δ* cells by methionine (+met), and separated our functional groupings (based on GO) into two broad bins. One bin represented all genes related to ribosome function and translation (as seen in Figure 2C), while the other bin separated out the core metabolic genes (similar to those in Figures 2 and 3) (Figure 4D). Strikingly, transcripts of every gene that mapped to ribosome/translation function (from Figure 2C) was higher in *gcn4Δ* cells compared to WT cells in the presence of methionine (Figure 4D). Contrastingly, every gene related to amino acid biosynthesis (and related metabolism) was significantly lower in the *gcn4Δ* cells (Figure 4D). Thus, this organization revealed that in the presence of methionine, while the induction of the translation machinery, and many "nucleotide synthesis" genes was not Gcn4p dependent, the entire core anabolic rewiring hinged upon Gcn4p.

Therefore, we further examined the methionine and Gcn4p dependent transcription of genes that functionally regulated the PPP-GDH-PLP node, or specific steps in amino acid biosynthesis (Figure 4E). Strikingly, the genes from the PPP, and transamination reactions were strongly downregulated in *gcn4Δ* cells compared to WT cells in methionine (Figure 4E). However, the transcript of the Gdh1 enzyme (required for glutamate synthesis) was methionine, but not Gcn4p dependent (Figure 4E). Next, the transcripts of genes encoding key, rate-limiting steps in multiple branched-chain or aromatic amino acid, and lysine and arginine biosynthesis were also methionine and Gcn4p dependent (Figure 4). Finally, while most nucleotide biosynthesis genes were not Gcn4p dependent, the entire RNR complex (critical for the NTP to dNTP conversion, required for DNA synthesis) was strongly methionine and Gcn4p dependent (Figure 4E). Collectively, these data suggest that the core anabolic program induced by methionine is Gcn4p dependent.

Methionine stimulates key transamination and reductive biosynthetic processes.

We next directly examined the extent of (i) methionine controlling this anabolic

rewiring, through the PPP-GDH-PLP node feeding subsequent anabolic reactions; and (ii),

the extent of Gcn4p dependence for these steps. We first tested these possibilities at the

biochemical level, comparing the actual enzyme amounts of three key targets that represent

the coupling of the PPP with other processes. These are Snz1p, Gnd2p and Gdh1p. Snz1p is

required for pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) biosynthesis (Dong *et al*, 2004), which is essential for

all transamination reactions (Nelson, DL; Cox, 2017). As illustrated earlier in Figure 2D, PLP

biosynthesis itself requires the PPP intermediate erythrose-4-phosphate as a substrate. Gnd2p

is the key NADPH generating enzyme in the oxidative branch of the PPP. Gdh1p consumes

NADPH and makes glutamate from 2-ketoglutarate. We measured amounts of these three

proteins from WT and *gcn4Δ* cells growing in MM, and MM+methionine (Figure 5A).

Notably, Snz1p and Gnd2p showed a strong induction that was both methionine dependent,

and dependent on Gcn4p (Figure 5A, Figure S7). Gdh1p was strongly dependent upon

methionine, but was less dependent on Gcn4p (Figure 5A). We next measured *in vitro* Gdh1p

activity (NADPH-GDH activity) in lysates from cells growing in MM or with methionine,

and found that overall Gdh1p activity was higher in cells growing with methionine (Figure

5B). However, as seen in the Western blot analysis (Figure 5A), the *in vitro* Gdh1p activity

was not dependent on Gcn4p (not shown). All these data strongly support the observation that

methionine drives these key, coupled steps in biosynthesis, and that they are largely mediated

by Gcn4p. Finally, an expected crude readout of this biochemical coupling should be changes

in steady-state nucleotide amounts, with a Gcn4p dependence in methionine replete

conditions. Comparing relative amounts of nucleotides in wild-type and *gcn4Δ* cells, we

noted a decrease in the nucleotide amounts in *gcn4Δ* cells in the presence of methionine

(Figure 5C). Collectively, these direct biochemical read-outs support our proposed paradigm

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 5: Methionine stimulates key transamination and reductive biosynthetic processes.

A) Snz1p, Gnd2p and Gdh1p amounts in WT or *gcn4Δ* cells. WT and *gcn4Δ* cells expressing FLAG-tagged Snz1p or Gnd2p or Gdh1p were shifted from RM to MM or MM plus methionine and amounts of these proteins were detected by Western blotting. A representative blot is shown in each case.

B) NADP-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase activity and methionine availability. Crude extracts of WT cells grown in RM and shifted to MM or MM plus methionine were analysed for intracellular biosynthetic NADP-glutamate dehydrogenase activity.

C) Relative nucleotide amounts in the presence of methionine in WT or *gcn4Δ* cells. WT and *gcn4Δ* cells grown in RM were shifted to MM (4h) with and without methionine, and the relative amounts of AMP and GMP from metabolite extracts of the respective samples were measured by LC-MS/MS.

In all panels data indicate mean±SD values. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

361 of a coupled induction of the PPP, and key transamination reactions by methionine, leading to

362 increased amino acid and nucleotide synthesis.

Methionine induces *de novo* biosynthesis of key amino acids.

Substantiating these findings using steady-state metabolite measurements alone is

insufficient (and often misleading), since any steady-state metabolite measurement (as in

Figure 5C) cannot directly distinguish synthesis from consumption. Therefore, to directly

address this possible hierarchical anabolic program, we resorted to a stable-isotope pulse

labelling and LC-MS/MS based approach to directly measure the new synthesis of amino

acids. To WT or *gcn4Δ* cells in the respective medium with or without methionine, we pulsed

^{15}N-labelled ammonium sulfate, and measured the ^{15}N incorporation into amino acids (Figure

6A, Table S2), before an effective steady-state of labelled amino acid synthesis and

consumption was reached. This permits the detection of newly synthesized amino acids,

which will incorporate the ^{15}N label. We observed that biosynthesis of all the aromatic amino

acids, lysine, histidine, proline, arginine and asparagine is strongly dependent on methionine

presence (Figure 6B). For technical reasons we could not measure label-incorporation into

branched-chain amino acids. Notably, the label immediately (~20 min) percolated in

asparagine and aromatic amino acid biosynthesis, and showed a very strong methionine

dependence (Figure 6B). Asparagine, proline and phenylalanine biosynthesis were

methionine dependent even in *gcn4Δ* cells, pointing towards possible *GCN4*-independent

influences of methionine. For all the other amino acids measured, the biosynthesis was both

methionine as well as *GCN4*-dependent (Figure 6B). These data directly indicate that

methionine availability controls the key nodes around PPP-PLP-GDH axis, thereby

generating the amino acid pool required for proliferation.

Figure 6: Methionine induces *de novo* amino acid biosynthesis

A) A schematic showing the experimental design of ^{15}N pulse-labelling experiment. Cells were shifted to MM with and without methionine, maintained for 3h. ^{15}N-ammonium sulfate was then pulsed into the medium, and the indicated, labelled metabolites were measured. The data shown is after 20 min of pulsing. Also see Table S2.

B) Methionine increases nitrogen assimilation in amino acids. Label incorporation in newly synthesized amino acids in WT and *gcn4Δ* cells was measured, as shown in the panel A. For all the labelled moieties, fractional abundance of the label was calculated. Also see Table S2.

In all panels data indicate mean±SD values. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

Methionine increases nucleotide biosynthesis in a Gcn4p dependent manner.

Given that the PPP and amino acid biosynthesis are directly regulated by methionine and Gcn4p, and the PPP and amino acids together couple to nucleotide synthesis, we predicted that collectively, perturbing this node should have a severe consequence on nucleotide biosynthesis, regardless of nucleotide biosynthesis genes themselves being directly regulated by Gcn4p. In principle, this also will reflect flux through the coupled steps of the PPP, glutamate/glutamine synthesis, and the use of intermediates from amino acid biosynthetic pathways for carbon and nitrogen assimilation into nucleotides (Figure 7A). The carbon skeleton of nucleotides comes from the PPP, the nitrogen base is directly derived from glutamine/glutamate and aspartate, and glutamate synthesis is itself coupled to NADPH (from the PPP) (Figure 7A). Nucleotide biosynthesis is also coupled to histidine and tryptophan synthesis. We therefore adopted a direct estimation of methionine and Gcn4p dependent increases in nucleotide synthesis (similar to the approach in Figure 6), predicting an increase in *de novo* nucleotide synthesis due to methionine, coming from the earlier amino acid precursors. To this end, using a stable-isotope based nitrogen or carbon pulse labelling approach, coupled to targeted LC-MS/MS based measurement of nucleotides, we separately measured the incorporation of the nitrogen and carbon label into nucleotides, as illustrated in Figure 7B and 7C. We observed a dramatic increase in ^{15}N-labelled nucleotides upon the addition of methionine, in ~1 hour (Figure 7B, Table S2). Furthermore, this methionine-mediated incorporation of ^{15}N-label in nucleotides was entirely Gcn4p dependent (Figure 7B and Figure S8).

Monitoring carbon flux is extremely challenging in a non-fermentable carbon source like lactate (as compared to glucose), given the difficulties of following the labelled carbon

A

Glucose-6-phosphate → → → Ribose sugars

NADP⁺ ⇄ **NADPH**

2-Oxoglutarate → Glutamate

Carbon-flow
Nucleotides
Nitrogen-flow

B

pulse
0.5X (¹⁵NH₄)₂SO₄

RM → MM ±Met → MM ±Met → Metabolite extraction → LC-MS/MS analysis

0.5X (NH₄)₂SO₄ (3h)   (1h, 2.15h)

○ ¹⁴N
● ¹⁵N

0 to +5 ¹⁵N-labelled AMP and GMP

1h pulse of ¹⁵N

2.15h pulse of ¹⁵N

% Label incorporated

AMP   GMP

□ WT MM
■ WT MM+Met
□ gcn4Δ MM
■ gcn4Δ MM+Met

C

pulse
0.5X (¹³C₃-Lactate)

RM → MM ±Met → MM ±Met → Metabolite extraction → LC-MS/MS analysis

0.5X (Lactate) (3h)   (30 min)

30 min pulse of ¹³C

Fold change (MM+Met/MM) in ¹³C label

AMP

○ ¹²C
● ¹³C

+1 to +5 ¹³C-labelled AMP

□ WT MM
■ WT MM+Met
□ gcn4Δ MM
■ gcn4Δ MM+Met

D

Methionine

Gcn4p

NADPH

Pentose phosphate pathway

Amino acid biosynthesis

Aromatic amino acids   G3P   R5P   NADPH   R5P

NH₄⁺

PLP   Glutamate

Amino acid pool → Nucleotide pool

Figure 7: Methionine increases nucleotide biosynthesis in a Gcn4p dependent manner.

A) A schematic showing carbon and nitrogen inputs in nucleotide biosynthesis, and their coupling to the PPP/NADPH metabolism.

B) Methionine increases nitrogen assimilation in nucleotides. The WT and *gcn4Δ* cells treated and pulse-labelled with ¹⁵N ammonium sulfate as illustrated in the top panel. For all the labelled moieties, fractional increase of the incorporated label was calculated, to measure newly synthesized AMP and GMP. (also see Figure S8 for CMP and UMP).

C) Methionine enhances carbon flux into AMP biosynthesis. An experimental set-up similar to the Panel B was employed, using ¹³C-lactate for carbon labelling. Label incorporation into nucleotides (from +1 to +5) was accounted for calculations. (note: GMP could not be

estimated because of MS/MS signal interference from unknown compounds in the metabolite extract).

E) A model illustrating how methionine triggers cell proliferation. Methionine activates the *GCN4*-mediated ISR, promoting the synthesis of PPP metabolites, PLP, NADPH and glutamate (up-regulated genes in the pathways are shown in blue), which directly feed into nitrogen metabolism. As a result, methionine activates biosynthesis of amino acids and nucleotides, allowing the cells to grow in amino acid limiting medium.

In all panels data indicate mean±SD. ns: non-significant difference, $^{**}p < 0.01$, $^{***}p < 0.001$.

molecules. Despite that, like the ^{15}N-labeling experiments described above, a similar

experimental design of 0.5X-label spiking was adopted to measure the ^{13}C-label

incorporation into AMP (Figure 7C, Table S2). We observed a significant increase in ^{13}C-

labelled AMP upon the addition of methionine, and this methionine dependent incorporation

of ^{13}C-label in AMP was not observed in cells lacking Gcn4p (Figure 7C). Collectively, these

data show a methionine and Gcn4p dependent increase in *de novo* synthesis of nucleotides,

coupling carbon and nitrogen flux that is dependent on the PPP, and glutamate synthesis.

Note that the kinetics of incorporation of label are entirely in line with the predicted

hierarchy. Increased amino acid labels (from Figure 6) were seein in ~20 min post labelled

ammonium sulfate addition, while the nucleotide label increase occurs in ~1 hr, subsequent to

the observed amino acid label increase. Thus, we directly demonstrate first the synthesis of

new amino acids, and the subsequent synthesis of nucleotides, in a methionine and Gcn4p

dependent manner.

Discussion

In this study, we show how methionine drives cellular proliferation by rewiring cells to an anabolic state, even under otherwise amino acid limited, challenging conditions. We uncover a regulated, hierarchical activation of metabolic processes by methionine, which leads to overall anabolism. We also present a mechanism of how methionine mediates this anabolic program.

Starting with a framework of existing models for responses to amino acid limitation (Figure 1), we systematically build the underlying metabolic foundations of a methionine mediated anabolic state switch. Methionine mediates a global transcriptional remodelling in cells, thereby controlling the anabolic program (Figures 2, 4). Understanding the core metabolic logic within the transcriptional response to methionine necissitated a biochemical first principles based approach, emphasizing control points at rate or resource limiting biochemical steps. The organizational metabolic logic that emerged was striking (Figure 2, 3 and 4). First, methionine positively regulates the PPP (Figure 2). The PPP provides the pentose sugar backbones for nucleotides, along with reducing equivalents (NADPH), allowing reductive biosynthesis for a variety of anabolic molecules (Nelson, DL; Cox, 2017). For amino acid and nucleotide synthesis, pyridoxal phosphate, which controls all transamination reactions, is also essential (Eliot & Kirsch, 2004), and methionine directly induced this node as well (Figure 2). Collectively, methionine strongly induced the PPP-GDH-PLP node (Figure 2). These three nodes can feed all the subsequent metabolic steps induced by methionine. Furthermore, in these subsequent anabolic nodes (the synthesis of amino acids and nucleotides), methionine only induces the expression of genes that control rate limiting or final steps (Figure 3). These biosynthetic nodes include those that synthesize what are considered the "costliest" amino acids, namely the aromatic amino acids and the branch chain amino acids (Figure 3). Notably, essentially every one of these (methionine

regulated) steps use cofactors or intermediates from the the PPP-GDH-PLP node (Figure 2 and 3). Thus, it appears that methionine sets up this striking metabolic hierarchy, as illustrated in a schematic in Figure 3C and 7D.

Interestingly, the methionine dependent growth and increased activity in most of these metabolic nodes, and thus the overall anabolic program, depends upon Gcn4p (Figure 1, Figure 4). Gcn4p is best understood as a regulator of amino acid biosynthesis during starvation (Natarajan *et al*, 2001). Many of the *GCN4* targets picked up in our study compare well with the landmark study of *GCN4* targets (Natarajan *et al*, 2001) (see Figure S9 and Table S3). However, this role of Gcn4p in the presence of methionine in synchronously controlling these key hubs of the PPP, transamination reaction, glutamate biosynthesis, coupled with rate-regulating steps in costly amino acid and nucleotide biosynthesis has not been previously appreciated. Furthermore, in this context Gcn4p enables anabolism and increased proliferation, and not just survival during starvation. Also interestingly, Gcn4p appears to regulate only the core metabolic program induced by methionine, and not the induction in the synthesis of the translation machinery (as seen in Figure 4). This induction of translation due to methionine might be through other mechanisms, including activation of the TOR pathway (Sutter *et al*, 2013; Laxman *et al*, 2014b). Finally, a combination of rigorous biochemical, and metabolic flux based analysis using stable-isotopes directly demonstrate this hierarchical coupling of the PPP, NADPH utilization and transamination reactions (in both nitrogen assimilation and carbon assimilation) first towards the increased synthesis of aromatic and branch-chain amino acids, and next towards nucleotides, in a methionine and Gcn4p dependent manner (Figures 6, 7). Collectively, our data permits the construction of an overall pyrimidical hierarchy of metabolic events, mediated by methionine, to set up an anabolic program (model in Figure 3C). This reveals general organizational principles by which cells can specifically rewire metabolism. These data also show how methionine can

co-opt Gcn4p, thought to be primarily important for survival, to achieve an anabolic, proliferative state.

The central role of the PPP in anabolism is text-book knowledge (Nelson, DL; Cox, 2017). Yet, a better appreciation of the importance of the PPP in mediating an anabolic rewiring is now emerging due to the association of the PPP to cancer metabolism (Patra & Hay, 2014; Cairns *et al*, 2011). Many anabolic transformations require contributions from the PPP, however, the metabolic cues regulating the PPP (and coupling to other processes) are not often obvious. Additionally, these studies ignore or underplay coincident but necessary metabolic events for proliferation. Our study directly addresses how methionine (likely through its downstream metabolite SAM), acts as an anabolic signal for cells, through setting up of the metabolic hierarchy explained earlier, with the co-incident PLP and glutamine nodes being critically important. This striking role of methionine as an anabolic cue seems analogous to another central metabolite, acetyl-CoA, which is better known to determine cellular decisions towards growth (Comerford *et al*, 2014; Shi & Tu, 2013; Pedro & Madeo, 2015; Mariño *et al*, 2014; Cai *et al*, 2011). Interesting correlations can be made from our observations to known roles of methionine in cancer cell metabolism, and metazoan growth. The earliest observations of methionine as important for proliferation in some cancers dates back to the 1950s (Cavuoto & Fenech, 2012; Sugimura *et al*, 1959; Breillout *et al*, 1990), and several types of cancer cells are addicted to methionine (Halpern *et al*, 1974; Stern & Hoffman, 1986; Guo *et al*, 1993; Lu & Epner, 2000; Poirson-Bichat *et al*, 2000; Kokkinakis *et al*, 2001; Cellarier *et al*, 2003; Clarke *et al*, 2016; Cavuoto & Fenech, 2012). Other, distinct studies show that *Drosophila* fed on methionine rich diets exhibit rapid growth, high fecundity, and shorter lifespans (Troen *et al*, 2007; Lee *et al*, 2016, 2014), all hallmarks of what a "proliferative" metabolite will do. Studies from yeast show how methionine inhibits autophagy, or regulates the TORC1 to boost growth (Sutter *et al*, 2013; Laxman *et al*, 2013,

2014a). One of the earliest known cell cycle entry check-points found in yeast links to methionine (Unger & Hartwell, 1976). Upon sulfate (and thereby methionine) starvation, yeast cells arrest their growth to promote survivability (Boer *et al*, 2008), and transform their proteome to preferentially express proteins containing fewer cysteine/methionine residues to save sulfur (Lagniel *et al*, 2002). There are other, less appreciated observations connecting methionine metabolism and the PPP. Yeast cells lacking *ZWF1* (glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, the first enzyme in the PPP) exhibit methionine auxotrophy (Thomas *et al*, 1991), and methionine supplementation also increases the oxidative stress tolerance of *zwf1Δ* (Campbell *et al*, 2016). Despite these studies highlighting a critical role of methionine, such a hierarchical logic explaining the organizational principles of the anabolic program mediated by methionine, and the mechanisms by which this is mediated, has thus far been elusive. Our study provides this.

Our use of a "less-preferred" carbon source, lactate, has helped reveal regulatory phenomena otherwise hidden in glucose and amino acid rich laboratory conditions, where a surfeit of costly metabolic resources (for example, unlimited PPP intermediates) are present. Interestingly, several recent reports emphasize the importance of lactate as a carbon source in rapidly proliferating cells (Kennedy *et al*, 2013; Faubert *et al*, 2017; Hu *et al*, 2017), and our observations might inform how proliferation is achieved in these conditions. Furthermore, the so called integrated stress response (ISR), and the Gcn4p ortholog in mammals, Atf4, play important roles in cancer cell proliferation (Bi *et al*, 2005; Palam *et al*, 2015; Ye *et al*, 2010), where many cancers continue to grow in apparently poor nutrient environments. Our study suggests how, in methionine rich (but otherwise amino acid limiting) conditions, Gcn4/Atf4 might function to promote growth, and not just help cells recover from nutrient stress. A separate, emerging question will be to understand how Gcn4p is itself regulated under these otherwise amino acid limited conditions, by methionine. Note that our studies would not have

been possible without using prototrophic ("wild type") yeast strains to study responses to

amino acids. Typically, studies utilize laboratory strains derived from an auxotrophic

backgrounds (eg. S288C/BY4741), which require supplemented uracil, histidine, leucine and

methionine for survival (Sherman, 1991; Pronk, 2002; Corbacho *et al*, 2011; Brachmann *et*

al, 1998; Gerashchenko & Gladyshev, 2014; Alam *et al*, 2016), and where therfore overall

amino acid homeostasis is severely altered. This precludes systematic experiments with

amino acid limitation, such as those in this study.

We close by suggesting a possible metabolic cost based hypothesis for what might

make methionine a strong growth cue. The *de novo* synthesis of methionine and its

immediate metabolites (notably SAM) is exceptionally costly in terms of NADPH molecules

invested (Thomas *et al*, 1997; Kaleta & Schäuble, 2013; Mampel *et al*, 2005; Sutter *et al*,

2013). Cells require at least 6 molecules of NADPH to reduce sulfur and synthesize a single

molecule of methionine. Since biology has tied multiple anabolic processes to reductive

biosynthesis (dependent on NADPH from the PPP), the availability of methionine might be

an ancient signal to represent a metabolic state where reductive equivalents are sufficiently

available for all other reductive biosynthetic processes as a whole.

Materials and Methods:

Yeast strains and growth media

The prototrophic CEN.PK strain (referred to as wild type, WT) was used in all experiments (van Dijken JP *et al*, 2000). Strains with gene deletions or chromosomally tagged proteins (at the C-terminus) were generated as described. Strains used in this study are listed in Table S1.

The growth media used in this study are RM (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone and 2% lactate) and MM (0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 0.5% ammonium sulfate and 2% lactate). All amino acids were supplemented at 2 mM. NonSAAs refers to the mixture of all standard amino acids (2 mM each) except methionine, cysteine and tyrosine.

The indicated strains were grown in RM with repeated dilutions (~36 hours), and the culture in the log phase (absorbance at 600 nm of ~1.2) was subsequently switched to MM, with or without addition of the indicated amino acids. For growth curves, the RM acclimatized cultures were used and diluted in a fresh medium with the starting absorbance of ~0.2 and the growth was monitored at the indicated time intervals.

Polysome analysis

The cells (50 OD) were treated with cycloheximide (100 μg/ml) for 15 minutes before harvesting in ice-filled, cold centrifuge bottles. The pellet obtained after centrifugation (8000g, 5 min, 4°C) was once washed, and then re-suspended in 0.5 ml lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7, 0.1 M NaCl, 30 mM $MgCl_2$, 1U/μl Ribolock RNase inhibitor and 80 μg/ml cycloheximide) with chilled, baked acid-washed glass beads. After bead-beating, 0.5 ml lysis buffer was added and the crude extract obtained was centrifuged (18407g, 10 min, 4°C) and the supernatant (200 μl) containing polysomes is fractionated on a sucrose gradient (7%-47% sucrose; containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7, 140 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM DTT and 50

563 µg/ml cycloheximide) by ultra-centrifugation (35000 rpm, 3 h, 4°C). The polysome profile

564 was obtained on an ISCO fractionator, wherein the absorbance at 254 nm was traced. For

565 polysome: monosome ratio calculation, area under the curve was quantified using ImageJ

566 software (*Rasband, ImageJ*, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA,

567 http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-2016).

Western blot analysis

Approximately ten OD_{600} cells were collected from respective cultures, pelleted and flash-

frozen in liquid nitrogen until further use. The cells were re-suspended in 400 µl of 10%

trichloroacetic acid and lysed by bead-beating three times: 30 sec of beating and then 1 min

of cooling on ice. The precipitates were collected by centrifugation, re-suspended in 400 µl of

SDS-glycerol buffer (7.3% SDS, 29.1% glycerol and 83.3 mM Tris base) and heated at

100°C for 10 min. The supernatant after centrifugation was treated as the crude extract.

Protein concentrations from extracts were estimated using bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo

Scientific). Equal amounts of samples were resolved on 4 to 12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen).

Coomassie blue–stained gels were used as loading controls. Western blots were developed

using the antibodies against the respective tags. We used the following primary antibodies:

monoclonal FLAG M2 (Sigma), HA (12CA5, Roche), and phosphor-eIF2α Ser51 (9721, Cell

Signaling). Horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies (mouse and rabbit)

were obtained from Sigma. For Western blotting, standard enhanced chemiluminescence

reagents (GE Healthcare) were used. ImageJ was used for quantification.

Immunofluorescence measurements

Yeast cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde, washed and resuspended in spheroplasting

buffer (40 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5; 0.5 mM $MgCl_2$; 1.2 M sorbitol).

Spheroplasts were prepared by zymolyase (MP Biomedicals, 08320921) treatment and spread

on a slide pretreated with 50 μl of 1 mg/ml polylysine (Sigma-Aldrich, P6407). Gcn4-HA

was stained with the mouse monoclonal anti-HA (12CA5) primary antibody (Roche,

11583816001) and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) secondary

antibody (Thermofisher, A32723). DNA was stained with 1μg/ml DAPI for 2 minutes,

washed and mounted in Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech, 0100-01). The cells were imaged

using Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope.

RNA-seq analysis:

Total RNA from yeast cells was extracted using hot acid phenol method (Collart & Oliviero,

2001). The quality of RNA was checked on Bioanalyzer using an RNA 6000 Nano kit

(Agilent) and the libraries were prepared using TruSeq RNA library preparation kit V2

(Illumina). The samples were sequenced on Illumina platform HiSeq2500. The raw data is

available with NCBI-SRA under the accession number SRP101768. Genome and the

annotation files of *S. cerevisiae* S288C strain were downloaded from Saccharomyces

Genome Database (SGD; http://www.yeastgenome.org/). 100-mer, single-end reads obtained

from RNA sequencing experiments were mapped to the S288C genome using Burrows

Wheeler Aligner (BWA) (Li & Durbin, 2009). Mapped reads with the mapping quality of $\geq$

20 were used for the further analysis. The number of reads mapped to each gene was

calculated and the read count matrix was generated. The read count matrix was fed into

EdgeR, a Bioconductor package used for analyzing differential gene expression (Robinson *et*

al, 2010). Genes which are differentially expressed by at least 3-fold with the p-value of

<0.0001 were considered for further analysis. Normalized gene expression was calculated by

dividing the number of reads by the gene length and the total number of reads for those

samples, then dividing each of these values with the mode of its distribution (Srinivasan *et al*,

2013). Absolute expression levels of the genes between the replicates are well correlated

with the Pearson correlation coefficient (R) values more than 0.99 (see Figure S2). Mapping

of genes to the related pathways and gene ontology analysis were carried out using public

databases such as Yeastcyc (Caspi *et al*, 2014), GeneCodis (Tabas-Madrid *et al*, 2012;

Nogales-Cadenas *et al*, 2009; Carmona-Saez *et al*, 2007) and SGD (Dwight *et al*, 2002).

Metabolite extractions and measurements by LC-MS/MS

Cells were grown in RM for ~36 hours and transferred to MM with and without methionine

for the indicated time. After incubation, cells were rapidly harvested and metabolite extracted

as described earlier (Tu *et al*, 2007). Metabolites were measured using LC-MS/MS method

as described earlier (Laxman *et al*, 2014a). Standards were used for developing multiple

reaction monitoring (MRM) methods on Thermo Scientific TSQ Vantage triple stage

quadrupole mass spectrometer or Sciex QTRAP 6500. For positive polarity mode,

metabolites were separated using a Synergi 4μ Fusion-RP 80A column (150 × 4.6 mm,

Phenomenex) on Agilent's 1290 infinity series UHPLC system coupled to mass spectrometer.

Buffers used for separation were: buffer A: 99.9% H_2O/0.1% formic acid and buffer B:

99.9% methanol/0.1% formic acid (Flow rate, 0.4 ml/min; T = 0 min, 0% B; T = 3 min, 5%

B; T = 10 min, 60% B; T = 10.1 min, 80% B; T = 12 min, 80% B; T = 14 min, 5% B; T = 15

min, 0% B; T = 20 min, stop). For negative polarity mode, metabolites were separated using

a Luna HILIC 200A column (150 × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex). Buffers used for separation were:

buffer A: 5 mM ammonium formate in H_2O and buffer B: 100% acetonitrile (flow rate: 0.4

ml/min; T = 0 min, 95% B; T = 1 min, 40% B; T = 7 min, 10% B; T = 11 min, 1% B; T = 13

min, 95% B; T = 17 min, stop). The area under each peak was calculated using Thermo

Xcalibur software (Qual and Quan browsers).

[15]N- and [13]C- based metabolite labelling experiments

For detecting ^{15}N-label incorporation in amino acids and nucleotides, ^{15}N-ammonium sulfate

with all nitrogens labelled (Sigma- Aldrich) was used. For ^{13}C-labeling experiment, [13]C-

lactate with all carbons labelled (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) was used. In the labelling

experiments, 0.5X refers to 0.25% ammonium sulfate or 1% lactate. All the parent/product

masses measured are enlisted in Table S2. Amino acid measurements were done in the

positive polarity mode. For all the nucleotide measurements, release of the nitrogen base was

monitored in positive polarity mode. For the ^{13}C-label experiment, the phosphate release was

monitored in negative polarity mode. Under these conditions, the nitrogen base release cannot

be monitored here as the nitrogen base itself has carbon skeleton, which will complicate the

analysis. The HPLC and MS/MS protocol was similar to those explained above.

GDH assays

Glutamate dehydrogenase activity was measured as described in (Doherty, 1970), with some

modifications. Yeast cells were lysed by bead-beating in lysis buffer (100 mM potassium

phosphate buffer, pH 7; 5% glycerol; 1 mM PMSF; 0.1% Tween-20; 1 mM EDTA; 1 mM 2-

mercaptoethanol). NADP-dependent activity was measured by monitoring oxidation of

NADPH (assay buffer: 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2; 10 mM 2-ketoglutarate, pH adjusted to

7.2; 100 mM ammonium chloride; 0.1 mM NADPH) at 340 nm. Protein concentrations from

extracts were estimated using bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Scientific). One enzyme unit

corresponds to the amount of enzyme required to oxidize one µmol of NADPH min^{-1} at room

temperature.

Statistical analysis

In most experiments, Student's t-test was applied for calculating the p-values. Wherever

necessary, other tests were applied and indicated accordingly.

Acknowledgements:

We acknowledge Dhananjay Shinde, Padma Ramakrishnan and the NCBS/inStem/C-CAMP

Mass spectrometry facility for LC-MS/MS support, Avadheesh Pandit and the

NCBS/inStem/C-CAMP next-generation sequencing facility for assistance with library

preparation, and Ravi Muddashetty for the polysome fractionator. We thank Utpal Banerjee,

Mark Sharpley, Marco Foiani, Christopher Bruhn, Krishnamurthy Natarajan, Arati Ramesh

and PJ Bhat for critical comments on this manuscript. This work was supported by a

Wellcome Trust-DBT IA intermediate fellowship (IA/I/14/2/501523) and inStem/DBT

institutional support to SL. ASW, RG, RS acknowledge bridging fellowships (from inStem),

and ASW, RG and RS are supported by DST SERB-national postdoctoral fellowships

(PDF/2015/000225, PDF/2016/000416 and PDF/2016/001877 respectively).

References:

Alam MT, Zelezniak A, Mülleder M, Shliaha P, Schwarz R, Capuano F, Vowinckel J,
Radmaneshfar E, Krüger A, Calvani E, Michel S, Börno S, Christen S, Patil KR,
Timmermann B, Lilley KS & Ralser M (2016) The metabolic background is a global
player in Saccharomyces gene expression epistasis. *Nat. Microbiol.* **1:** 15030 Available
at: http://www.nature.com/articles/nmicrobiol201530

Barton MD, Delneri D, Oliver SG, Rattray M & Bergman CM (2010) Evolutionary Systems
Biology of Amino Acid Biosynthetic Cost in Yeast. *PLoS One* **5:** e11935

Bi M, Naczki C, Koritzinsky M, Fels D, Blais J, Hu N, Harding H, Novoa I, Varia M,
Raleigh J, Scheuner D, Kaufman RJ, Bell J, Ron D, Wouters BG & Koumenis C (2005)
ER stress-regulated translation increases tolerance to extreme hypoxia and promotes
tumor growth. *EMBO J.* **24:** 3470–81

Boer VM, Amini S & Botstein D (2008) Influence of genotype and nutrition on survival and
metabolism of starving yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105:** 6930–6935

Boer VM, Crutchfield CA, Bradley PH, Botstein D & Rabinowitz JD (2010) Growth-limiting
Intracellular Metabolites in Yeast Growing under Diverse Nutrient Limitations. *Mol.
Biol. Cell* **21:** 198–211

Brachmann CB, Davies A, Cost GJ, Caputo E, Li J, Hieter P & Boeke JD (1998) Designer
deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains
and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. *Yeast* **14:** 115–
32

Brauer MJ, Huttenhower C, Airoldi EM, Rosenstein R, Matese JC, Gresham D, Boer VM,
Troyanskaya OG & Botstein D (2008) Coordination of Growth Rate, Cell Cycle, Stress
Response, and Metabolic Activity in Yeast. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **19:** 352–267

Breillout F, Antoine E & Poupon MF (1990) Methionine dependency of malignant tumors: a

possible approach for therapy. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **82:** 1628–32

Broach JR (2012) Nutritional Control of Growth and Development in Yeast. *Genetics* **192:**

73–105 Available at: http://www.genetics.org/cgi/doi/10.1534/genetics.111.135731

Cai L, Sutter BM, Li B & Tu BP (2011) Acetyl-CoA induces cell growth and proliferation by

promoting the acetylation of histones at growth genes. *Mol. Cell* **42:** 426–37 Available

at:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3109073&tool=pmcentrez&

rendertype=abstract [Accessed July 30, 2012]

Cairns RA, Harris IS & Mak TW (2011) Regulation of cancer cell metabolism. *Nat. Rev.*

Cancer **11:** 85–95

Campbell K, Vowinckel J, Keller MA & Ralser M (2016) Methionine Metabolism Alters

Oxidative Stress Resistance via the Pentose Phosphate Pathway. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*

24: 543–547

Carmona-Saez P, Chagoyen M, Tirado F, Carazo JM & Pascual-Montano A (2007)

GENECODIS: a web-based tool for finding significant concurrent annotations in gene

lists. *Genome Biol.* **8:** R3

Caspi R, Altman T, Billington R, Dreher K, Foerster H, Fulcher CA, Holland TA, Keseler

IM, Kothari A, Kubo A, Krummenacker M, Latendresse M, Mueller LA, Ong Q, Paley

S, Subhraveti P, Weaver DS, Weerasinghe D, Zhang P & Karp PD (2014) The MetaCyc

database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of

Pathway/Genome Databases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42:** D459-71

Cavuoto P & Fenech MF (2012) A review of methionine dependency and the role of

methionine restriction in cancer growth control and life-span extension. *Cancer Treat.*

Rev. **38:** 726–736 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2012.01.004

Cellarier E, Durando X, Vasson MP, Farges MC, Demiden A, Maurizis JC, Madelmont JC &

Chollet P (2003) Methionine dependency and cancer treatment. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* **29:** 489–99

Clarke CJ, Berg TJ, Birch J, Ennis D, Mitchell L, Cloix C, Campbell A, Sumpton D, Nixon C, Campbell K, Bridgeman VL, Vermeulen PB, Foo S, Kostaras E, Jones JL, Haywood L, Pulleine E, Yin H, Strathdee D, Sansom O, et al (2016) The Initiator Methionine tRNA Drives Secretion of Type II Collagen from Stromal Fibroblasts to Promote Tumor Growth and Angiogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* **26:** 755–65

Collart MA & Oliviero S (2001) Preparation of Yeast RNA. In *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Comerford SA, Huang Z, Du X, Wang Y, Cai L, Witkiewicz AK, Walters H, Tantawy MN, Fu A, Manning HC, Horton JD, Hammer RE, Mcknight SL & Tu BP (2014) Article Acetate Dependence of Tumors. *Cell* **159:** 1591–1602 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.020

Corbacho I, Teixidó F, Velázquez R, Hernández LM & Olivero I (2011) Standard YPD, even supplemented with extra nutrients, does not always compensate growth defects of Saccharomyces cerevisiae auxotrophic strains. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **99:** 591–600

DeBerardinis RJ, Sayed N, Ditsworth D & Thompson CB (2008) Brick by brick: metabolism and tumor cell growth. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **18:** 54–61

Dechant R & Peter M (2008) Nutrient signals driving cell growth. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **20:** 678–87 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18930818 [Accessed July 25, 2012]

van Dijken JP, Bauer, Brambilla, Duboc, Francois, Gancedo, Giuseppin, Heijnen, Hoare, Lange, Madden, Niederberger, Nielsen, Parrou, Petit, Porro, Reuss, van Riel N, Rizzi, Steensma, et al (2000) An interlaboratory comparison of physiological and genetic properties of four Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **26:** 706–

714

Doherty D (1970) [119] l-glutamate dehydrogenases (yeast). In pp 850–856.

Dong Y-X, Sueda S, Nikawa J-I & Kondo H (2004) Characterization of the products of the

genes SNO1 and SNZ1 involved in pyridoxine synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

Eur. J. Biochem. **271:** 745–752

Dwight SS, Harris MA, Dolinski K, Ball CA, Binkley G, Christie KR, Fisk DG, Issel-Tarver

L, Schroeder M, Sherlock G, Sethuraman A, Weng S, Botstein D & Cherry JM (2002)

Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD) provides secondary gene annotation using the

Gene Ontology (GO). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30:** 69–72

Eliot AC & Kirsch JF (2004) Pyridoxal phosphate enzymes: mechanistic, structural, and

evolutionary considerations. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **73:** 383–415

Faubert B, Li KY, Cai L, Hensley CT, Kim J, Zacharias LG, Yang C, Do QN, Doucette S,

Burguete D, Li H, Huet G, Yuan Q, Wigal T, Butt Y, Ni M, Torrealba J, Oliver D,

Lenkinski RE, Malloy CR, et al (2017) Lactate Metabolism in Human Lung Tumors.

Cell **171:** 358–371.e9

Gerashchenko M V. & Gladyshev VN (2014) Translation inhibitors cause abnormalities in

ribosome profiling experiments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42:** e134–e134

González A & Hall MN (2017) Nutrient sensing and TOR signaling in yeast and mammals.

EMBO J. **8:** e201696010 Available at:

http://emboj.embopress.org/lookup/doi/10.15252/embj.201696010

Gray J V., Petsko GA, Johnston GC, Ringe D, Singer RA & Werner-Washburne M (2004)

'Sleeping beauty': quiescence in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*

68: 187–206

Gu X, Orozco JM, Saxton RA, Condon KJ, Liu GY, Krawczyk PA, Scaria SM, Harper JW,

Gygi SP & Sabatini DM (2017) SAMTOR is an S -adenosylmethionine sensor for the

mTORC1 pathway. *Science (80-.).* **818:** 813–818

Guo HY, Herrera H, Groce A & Hoffman RM (1993) Expression of the biochemical defect

of methionine dependence in fresh patient tumors in primary histoculture. *Cancer Res.*

53: 2479–83

Halpern BC, Clark BR, Hardy DN, Halpern RM & Smith RA (1974) The effect of

replacement of methionine by homocystine on survival of malignant and normal adult

mammalian cells in culture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **71:** 1133–6

Heiden MG Vander, Cantley LC & Thompson CB (2009) Understanding the Warburg Effect:

The Metabolic Requirements of Cell Proliferation. *Science (80-.).* **324:** 1029–1033

Hinnebusch AG (2005) Translational regulation of GCN4 and the general amino acid control

of yeast. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **59:** 407–50

Hu X, Chao M & Wu H (2017) Central role of lactate and proton in cancer cell resistance to

glucose deprivation and its clinical translation. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2:** 16047

Jorgensen P & Tyers M (2004) How Cells Coordinate Growth and Division. *Curr. Biol.* **14:**

R1014–R1027 Available at:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0960982204008954

Kaleta C & Schäuble S (2013) Metabolic costs of amino acid and protein production in

Escherichia coli. *Biotechnol. J.* **8:** 1105–1114 Available at:

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/biot.201200267/abstract

Kennedy KM, Scarbrough PM, Ribeiro A, Richardson R, Yuan H, Sonveaux P, Landon CD,

Chi J-T, Pizzo S, Schroeder T & Dewhirst MW (2013) Catabolism of exogenous lactate

reveals it as a legitimate metabolic substrate in breast cancer. *PLoS One* **8:** e75154

Klosinska MM, Crutchfield CA, Bradley PH, Rabinowitz JD & Broach JR (2011) Yeast cells

can access distinct quiescent states. *Genes Dev.* **25:** 336–49 Available at:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3042157&tool=pmcentrez&

rendertype=abstract

Kokkinakis DM, Hoffman RM, Frenkel EP, Wick JB, Han Q, Xu M, Tan Y & Schold SC

(2001) Synergy between methionine stress and chemotherapy in the treatment of brain

tumor xenografts in athymic mice. *Cancer Res.* **61:** 4017–23

Lagniel G, Aude J, Lombardia L, Soularue P, Petat C, Werner M, Labarre J, Evry CEA &

Cre G (2002) Sulfur Sparing in the Yeast Proteome in Response to Sulfur Demand Mire.

Mol. Cell **9:** 713–723

Laxman S, Sutter BMBM, Shi L & Tu BPBP (2014a) Npr2 inhibits TORC1 to prevent

inappropriate utilization of glutamine for biosynthesis of nitrogen-containing

metabolites. *Sci. Signal.* **7:** ra120

Laxman S, Sutter BMBM & Tu BPBP (2014b) Methionine is a signal of amino acid

sufficiency that inhibits autophagy through the methylation of PP2A. *Autophagy* **10:**

386–387

Laxman S, Sutter BMBM, Wu XX, Kumar S, Guo X, Trudgian DCDC, Mirzaei H & Tu

BPBP (2013) Sulfur Amino Acids Regulate Translational Capacity and Metabolic

Homeostasis through Modulation of tRNA Thiolation. *Cell* **154:** 416–429 Available at:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867413008258

Laxman S & Tu BP (2010) Systems approaches for the study of metabolic cycles in yeast.

Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. **20:** 599–604 Available at:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3138152&tool=pmcentrez&

rendertype=abstract [Accessed August 31, 2012]

Lee BC, Kaya A & Gladyshev VN (2016) Methionine restriction and life-span control. *Ann.*

N. Y. Acad. Sci. **1363:** 116–124

Lee BC, Kaya A, Ma S, Kim G, Gerashchenko M V., Yim SH, Hu Z, Harshman LG &

Gladyshev VN (2014) Methionine restriction extends lifespan of Drosophila

melanogaster under conditions of low amino-acid status. *Nat. Commun.* **5:**

Li H & Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **25:** 1754–1760

Ljungdahl PO & Daignan-Fornier B (2012) Regulation of Amino Acid, Nucleotide, and

Phosphate Metabolism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* **190:** 885–929 Available

at: http://www.genetics.org/cgi/doi/10.1534/genetics.111.133306

Lu S & Epner DE (2000) Molecular mechanisms of cell cycle block by methionine restriction

in human prostate cancer cells. *Nutr. Cancer* **38:** 123–30

Mampel J, Schröder H, Haefner S & Sauer U (2005) Single-gene knockout of a novel

regulatory element confers ethionine resistance and elevates methionine production in

Corynebacterium glutamicum. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **68:** 228–36

Mariño G, Pietrocola F, Eisenberg T, Kong Y, Malik SA, Andryushkova A, Schroeder S,

Pendl T, Harger A, Niso-Santano M, Zamzami N, Scoazec M, Durand S, Enot DP,

Fernández ÁF, Martins I, Kepp O, Senovilla L, Bauvy C, Morselli E, et al (2014)

Regulation of autophagy by cytosolic acetyl-coenzyme A. *Mol. Cell* **53:** 710–25

Mulleder M, Calvani E, Alam MT, Wang RK, Eckerstorfer F, Zelezniak A & Ralser M

(2016) Functional Metabolomics Describes the Yeast Biosynthetic Regulome. *Cell* **167:**

553–565.e12

Natarajan K, Meyer MR, Jackson BM, Slade D, Roberts C, Hinnebusch AG & Marton MJ

(2001) Transcriptional profiling shows that Gcn4p is a master regulator of gene

expression during amino acid starvation in yeast. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **21:** 4347–68

Nelson, DL; Cox M (2017) Principles of biochemistry 7th ed. NY, USA: W. H. Freeman

Nogales-Cadenas R, Carmona-Saez P, Vazquez M, Vicente C, Yang X, Tirado F, Carazo JM

& Pascual-Montano A (2009) GeneCodis: interpreting gene lists through enrichment

analysis and integration of diverse biological information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37:**

W317–W322

Palam LR, Gore J, Craven KE, Wilson JL & Korc M (2015) Integrated stress response is

critical for gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cell Death Dis.*

6: e1913

Patra KC & Hay N (2014) The pentose phosphate pathway and cancer. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*

39: 347–354 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2014.06.005

Pedro MB & Madeo F (2015) Review Acetyl Coenzyme A : A Central Metabolite and

Second Messenger. *Cell Metab.* **21:** 805–821

Poirson-Bichat F, Gonçalves RA, Miccoli L, Dutrillaux B & Poupon MF (2000) Methionine

depletion enhances the antitumoral efficacy of cytotoxic agents in drug-resistant human

tumor xenografts. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **6:** 643–53

Pronk JT (2002) Auxotrophic yeast strains in fundamental and applied research. *Appl.*

Environ. Microbiol. **68:** 2095–100

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ & Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for

differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26:** 139–

40

Sherman F (1991) Getting started with yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* **194:** 3–21

Shi L & Tu BP (2013) Acetyl-CoA induces transcription of the key G1 cyclin CLN3 to

promote entry into the cell division cycle in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. **110:** 7318–7323

Slavov N & Botstein D (2011) Coupling among growth rate response, metabolic cycle, and

cell division cycle in yeast. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **22:** 1997–2009 Available at:

http://www.molbiolcell.org/content/22/12/1997.full

Slavov N & Botstein D (2013) Decoupling nutrient signaling from growth rate causes aerobic

glycolysis and deregulation of cell size and gene expression. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **24:** 157–

168 Available at: http://www.molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E12-09-0670

Srinivasan R, Chandraprakash D, Krishnamurthi R, Singh P, Scolari VF, Krishna S &

Seshasayee ASN (2013) Genomic analysis reveals epistatic silencing of 'expensive'

genes in Escherichia coli K-12. *Mol. Biosyst.* **9:** 2021–33

Stern PH & Hoffman RM (1986) Enhanced in vitro selective toxicity of chemotherapeutic

agents for human cancer cells based on a metabolic defect. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **76:**

629–39

Sugimura T, Birnbaum SM, Winitz M & Greenstein JP (1959) Quantitative nutritional

studies with water-soluble, chemically defined diets. VIII. The forced feeding of diets

each lacking in one essential amino acid. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **81:** 448–455

Sutter BMBM, Wu XX, Laxman S & Tu BPBP (2013) Methionine inhibits autophagy and

promotes growth by inducing the SAM-responsive methylation of PP2A. *Cell* **154:** 403–

15

Tabas-Madrid D, Nogales-Cadenas R & Pascual-Montano A (2012) GeneCodis3: a non-

redundant and modular enrichment analysis tool for functional genomics. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. **40:** W478–W483

Thomas D, Cherest H & Surdin-Kerjan Y (1991) Identification of the structural gene for

glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase in yeast. Inactivation leads to a nutritional

requirement for organic sulfur. *EMBO J.* **10:** 547–53

Thomas D, Surdin-kerjan Y & Ge C De (1997) Metabolism of Sulfur Amino Acids in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **61:** 503–532

Tong X, Zhao F & Thompson CB (2009) The molecular determinants of de novo nucleotide

biosynthesis in cancer cells. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **19:** 32–7

Troen AM, French EE, Roberts JF, Selhub J, Ordovas JM, Parnell LD & Lai C-Q (2007)

Lifespan modification by glucose and methionine in Drosophila melanogaster fed a

895 chemically defined diet. *Age (Dordr)*. **29:** 29–39

896 Tu BP, Kudlicki A, Rowicka M & McKnight SL (2005) Logic of the yeast metabolic cycle:

897  temporal compartmentalization of cellular processes. *Science* **310:** 1152–1158

898 Tu BP, Mohler RE, Liu JC, Dombek KM, Young ET, Synovec RE & McKnight SL (2007)

899  Cyclic changes in metabolic state during the life of a yeast cell. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

900  *S. A.* **104:** 16886–16891

901 Unger MW & Hartwell LH (1976) Control of cell division in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by

902  methionyl-tRNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **73:** 1664–8 Available at:

903  http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=430360&tool=pmcentrez&r

904  endertype=abstract

905 Vattem KM & Wek RC (2004) Reinitiation involving upstream ORFs regulates ATF4

906  mRNA translation in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101:** 11269–74

907 Warburg O (1956) On the origin of cancer cells. *Science* **123:** 309–14

908 Warner JR (1999) The economics of ribosome biosynthesis in yeast. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*

909  **24:** 437–40 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10542411

910 Warner JR, Vilardell J & Sohn JH (2001) Economics of ribosome biosynthesis. *Cold Spring*

911  *Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **66:** 567–74

912 Wolfson RL & Sabatini DM (2017) The Dawn of the Age of Amino Acid Sensors for the

913  mTORC1 Pathway. *Cell Metab.* **26:** 301–309

914 Wu X & Tu BP (2011) Selective regulation of autophagy by the Iml1-Npr2-Npr3 complex in

915  the absence of nitrogen starvation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **22:** 4124–4133 Available at:

916  http://www.molbiolcell.org/cgi/content/abstract/22/21/4124%5Cnpapers2://publication/d

917  oi/10.1091/mbc.E11-06-0525

918 Xu Y-F, Létisse F, Absalan F, Lu W, Kuznetsova E, Brown G, Caudy A a, Yakunin AF,

919  Broach JR & Rabinowitz JD (2013) Nucleotide degradation and ribose salvage in yeast.

Mol. Syst. Biol. **9:** 665 Available at: http://msb.embopress.org/content/9/1/665.abstract

Ye C, Sutter BM, Wang Y, Kuang Z & Tu BP (2017) A Metabolic Function for Phospholipid

and Histone Methylation. *Mol. Cell* **66:** 180–193.e8

Ye J, Kumanova M, Hart LS, Sloane K, Zhang H, De Panis DN, Bobrovnikova-Marjon E,

Diehl JA, Ron D & Koumenis C (2010) The GCN2-ATF4 pathway is critical for tumour

cell survival and proliferation in response to nutrient deprivation. *EMBO J.* **29:** 2082–96