Integrating single-cell RNA-Seq with spatial transcriptomics in pancreatic ductal
adenocarcinoma using multimodal intersection analysis

Reuben Moncada[1], Florian Wagner[1], Marta Chiodin[1], Joseph C. Devlin[1], Maayan
Baron[1], Cristina H. Hajdu[2], Diane M. Simeone[2], and Itai Yanai[1*]

[1] Institute for Computational Medicine, NYU School of Medicine, New York
[2] Pancreatic Cancer Center, NYU School of Medicine, New York

To understand tissue architecture, it is necessary to understand both which cell
types are present and the physical relationships among them. Single-cell RNA-
Seq (scRNA-Seq) has made significant progress towards the unbiased and
systematic identification of cell populations within a tissue, however, the
characterization of their spatial organization within it has been more elusive. The
recently introduced 'spatial transcriptomics' method (ST) reveals the spatial
pattern of gene expression within a tissue section at a resolution of a thousand
100 μm spots across the tissue, each capturing the transcriptomes of multiple
cells. Here, we present an approach for the integration of scRNA-Seq and ST data
generated from the same sample, and deploy it on primary pancreatic tumors
from two patients. Applying our multimodal intersection analysis (MIA), we
annotated the distinct micro-environment of each cell type identified by scRNA-
Seq. We further found that subpopulations of ductal cells, macrophages,
dendritic cells, and cancer cells have spatially restricted localizations across the
tissue, as well as distinct co-enrichments with other cell types. Our mapping
approach provides an efficient framework for the integration of the scRNA-Seq-
defined subpopulation structure and the ST-defined tissue architecture in any
tissue.

INTRODUCTION

Recent technological advances have enabled a view into cancer at unprecedented

molecular resolution[1]. Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-Seq) has emerged as a

powerful tool for the unbiased and systematic characterization of the cells present in a

given tissue[2–4]. Indeed, the application of scRNA-Seq to patient tumors has uncovered

multiple cellular subpopulations and has highlighted intercellular cross-talk within the

tumor microenvironment[5–12]. However, due to the necessity of cellular dissociation prior

to sequencing of individual cells, the spatial context for each cell is lost, thus limiting

insight into the precise spatial organization of the cell types constituting a tumor.

Methods providing spatially resolved transcriptomic profiling[13–19] have also been

introduced, and these are useful for the integration with scRNA-Seq data. For example,

in situ hybridization (ISH) gene expression atlases serve as useful references for

cellular localization[20,21]. Using the ISH atlas as a guide, it is possible to accurately map

rare subpopulations using a small subset of genes. Such atlases do not exist for solid

tumors though, which have variable tissue architecture and gene expression patterns.

Thus, high-throughput and comprehensive mapping of single-cells onto tissue requires

robust integration of multiple methods.

The spatial transcriptomics (ST) method enables spatially-resolved transcriptomic

profiling of tissue sections using spatially barcoded oligo-deoxythymidine (oligo-dT)

microarrays, allowing for unbiased mapping of transcripts over entire tissue sections[22]

(Fig. 1a). The ST method has already been used to study the mouse olfactory bulb[22],

breast cancer[22], melanoma[23], prostate cancer[24], gingival tissue[25], adult human heart

tissue[26], mouse and human spinal cord tissue[27], as well as different plant species[28].

Similarly to the other previously reported spatially-resolved transcriptomic tools[13,29,30]

however, a main limitation of the ST method is its lack of cellular resolution; each spot

captures the transcriptomes of as few as 10 to as many as 200 neighboring cells,

depending on the tissue context[31]. While scRNA-Seq and ST data each have

limitations, we reasoned that these could be overcome by an integration of the two data

modalities to enable comprehensive and unbiased tissue analysis.

Here, we present an integration of scRNA-Seq with the ST method in pancreatic

adenocarcinoma (PDAC). In this approach, a tumor is first bisected and a single-cell

suspension is generated from one half and processed for scRNA-Seq to identify the cell

populations present in the tissue. From the second half, cryosections are processed

using the ST method to provide an unbiased map of expressed transcripts across the

tissue. We then integrate these two datasets by introducing multimodal intersection

analysis (MIA), in which we infer the enrichment of specific cell types in a given tissue

region by assessing the degree of overlap between ST genes specific for that region

and the cell type-specific gene expression as defined by the scRNA-Seq data. Studying

two primary PDAC tumors from different patients we identified enrichments of specific

cell types and subpopulations across spatially restricted regions of the tissue. Our

approach for combining these two complementary and powerful technologies has the

advantage that it is easily scalable to any architecturally complex tissue.

RESULTS

Identifying cell populations in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma with single-cell
RNA-Seq

We processed fresh primary PDAC tumors from two untreated patients – henceforth,

PDAC-A and PDAC-B – for parallel scRNA-Seq and ST analysis (Fig. 1a, see

Methods). The scRNA-Seq data consisted of cells with approximately 2,500 to 3,300

unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) and approximately 1,400 to 1,700 uniquely

expressed genes per cell (Fig. S1a-d). To infer cell type identities, we used a recursive

hierarchical clustering scheme (see Methods) that applies our recently developed *k*-

nearest neighbor (KNN) smoothing algorithm[32] to reduce the noise inherent to scRNA-

Seq data[33]. We identified fifteen and eleven distinct populations in the PDAC-A and

PDAC-B tumors, respectively, providing an in-depth perspective of the PDAC tumor

microenvironment (Fig. 1b). The shared cell types between the patient samples

demonstrated strong correlation based on their gene expression profiles, suggesting

consistent annotation of clusters across samples (Fig. 1c).

To distinguish the PDAC cancer cells from the non-malignant ductal cells and other

epithelial cells, we used scRNA-Seq-based copy number variation (CNV) analysis (as

performed previously[5], see Methods). For each identified cell type, we inferred

chromosomal amplifications and deletions (with the cells of other clusters as the

background) and detected two clusters in PDAC-A and one cluster in PDAC-B that

displayed aberrant CNV profiles (Fig. 1d-e). Notably, the chromosomal loss along

chromosome 6 in both samples and the chromosomal gain on chromosome 7 in the

PDAC-B profile is consistent with the most common chromosomal abnormalities seen in

PDAC from cytogenetic data[34]. As a negative control, we removed a random subset of

ductal cells from the background and inferred the relative CNV profiles of these cells

(Fig. S2a) and found that these do not show an aberrant CNV profile (Fig. S2a). The

two PDAC-A cancer clusters – henceforth, cancer clusters 1 and 2 – were enriched for

expression of either *TM4SF1* (cluster 1) or *S100A4* (cluster 2)*,* while the PDAC-B

cancer cluster was only enriched for *TM4SF1* expression (Fig. S2b), suggesting

similarity between PDAC-A cancer cluster 1 and the PDAC-B cancer cluster.

To further validate whether the *TM4SF1* and *S100A4* expressing populations identified

in the scRNA-Seq data represent cancer cell populations, we performed double

immunofluorescence (IF) staining of TM4SF1 and S100A4 on formalin-fixed paraffin

embedded (FFPE) tissue originating from the same patients (Fig. 1f, Fig. S2c-d). We

found TM4SF1 and S100A4 signals in malignant PDAC-A ducts (Fig. S2c-d, top panels)

but not in non-malignant ducts (bottom panels). Interestingly, the PDAC-A cancer cells

displayed mutually exclusive staining for TM4SF1 and S100A4 (Fig. 1f, top). When we

double-stained PDAC-B tissue for KRT19 and TM4SF1 or KRT19 and S100A4, we

found co-localization of the KRT19 and TM4SF1 signal (Fig. 1f, middle) but no co-

localization of the KRT19 and S100A4 signals (Fig. 1f, bottom). Together with the

distinct CNV profiles for the cancer clusters, the IF signals from the scRNA-Seq

identified markers support the delineation of multiple distinct cancer cell populations.

Spatial transcriptomics of PDAC tissue

To generate unbiased transcriptomic maps of the tissue sections, we mounted

cryosections of the two unfixed PDAC tissues originating from the same tumor sample

onto the spatially barcoded ST microarray slides (see Methods). After staining the tissue

with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), the slide was presented to a pathologist (C.H.H.) for

histological annotation (Fig. 2a-b). In the PDAC-A tumor section, we defined four main

regions: cancer cell ducts and desmoplasia, non-malignant duct epithelium, stroma, and

normal acini-rich pancreatic tissue (Fig. 2a and Fig. S3a-d). The PDAC-B tissue section

(Fig. 2b) did not appear to contain normal pancreatic tissue, however we noted the

presence of interstitial space adjacent to the cancer (Fig. S3e-f).

The samples were then processed for ST analysis, involving cDNA synthesis,

amplification by *in vitro* transcription, library construction, and sequencing[19]. We

demultiplexed the sequenced reads and identified their spatial location within the tissue

using the ST location-specific barcodes of the array. By our estimation from the H&E

images, the ST spots captured approximately 20-70 cells per spot (Fig. S4). We expect

these numbers to be highly variable depending on the type of tissue and location within

the tissue queried. For example, highly fibrotic tissue regions enriched in connective

tissue (therefore, lower cellular content) may not capture many cells in ST, compared to

tissue with high cellular density such as the acinus of the pancreas (Fig. S4). We

detected approximately 2,400 UMIs and approximately 1,000 unique genes per spot for

both ST datasets (Fig. S5a-f). Other published works using the ST method (particularly

on human tissue) report similar statistics for the average number of UMIs per spot

(Table S1). In both sample datasets, we found that the spatial expression of many

dynamically expressed genes matched the annotated histological regions (Fig. 2c-d).

Multimodal Intersection Analysis

To integrate the scRNA-Seq and ST datasets, we developed Multimodal Intersection

Analysis (MIA). This analysis proceeds by first delineating sets of cell type-specific and

tissue region-specific genes and then comparing their patterns of enriched or depleted

intersections. In the scRNA-Seq data, we defined the gene sets by identifying for each

cell type those genes whose expression is statistically higher in the cells annotated to

that cell type in comparison to expression in the remaining cells ($P < 10^{-5}$, two-tailed

Student's t-test, see Methods). In parallel, in the ST data we defined sets of genes with

specific expression for each spatial region. For this, we first performed principal

components analysis (PCA) on the 200 most dynamically expressed genes across all

ST spots (Fig. S5g-h, see Methods). After clustering the spots based on the PC scores

(see Methods), we found that the clusters consistently coincided with tissue histological

annotations (Fig. 2e-f and Fig. S5g-h). We then identified genes whose expression is

significantly enriched for expression in each region ($P < 0.01$, two-tailed Student's t-

test).

With the gene sets extracted across the scRNA-Seq and ST modalities, MIA next

computes the hypergeometric distribution in order to assess the overlap between each

pair of cell type-specific and region-specific gene sets (Fig. 2g). As an example, we

found that the set of genes enriched for expression in the fibroblasts of PDAC-A

overlaps significantly with the set of genes with enriched expression in the cancer

region of the ST data (Fig. 2h, $P < 10^{-10}$). Thus, while the scRNA-Seq data led to

identification of fibroblasts, MIA further revealed that these were enriched in the cancer

region, as opposed to the stromal region. This suggests that the transcriptomes

identified for the fibroblasts correspond to that of activated fibroblasts[35] (Fig. 2h).

Extending this analysis to all pairs of cell types and tumor regions, we found that the

duct epithelium region was mainly enriched with ductal cells, while the pancreatic tissue

was enriched with acinar cells and endocrine cells ($P < 10^{-10}$, for all specified

enrichments). A similar MIA map was found for the PDAC-B sample (Fig. 2i).

Interestingly, in PDAC-B the interstitium was enriched for endothelial cells and dendritic

cells. These results support the utility of MIA maps to provide spatial and functional

annotations for the scRNA-Seq-defined cell populations.

Identification and mapping of cell type subpopulations across tissue regions

One of the most useful aspects of scRNA-Seq is its ability to distinguish distinct

subpopulations within cell types. We thus sought to characterize the intra-population

heterogeneity by identifying subpopulations within cell types and then apply MIA to ask

if they map in a spatially-restricted manner across the tumor tissue. From both tumor

samples, a majority of the scRNA-Seq cells consisted of *KRT19* expressing ductal cells

(Fig. 1b, S2b), one of the two main constituent cell types of the pancreatic exocrine

system[36]. We identified a total of four ductal subpopulations: a ductal population

expressing *APOL1* and hypoxia-response related genes including ERO1A[37] and *CA9*[38],

a terminal ductal population expressing *TFF1, TFF2,* and *TFF3*[39], a centroacinar ductal

population expressing *CRISP3* and *CFTR*[39], and antigen-presenting ductal cells

expressing major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II genes *CD74, HLA-DPA1,*

HLA-DQA2, HLA-DRA, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB5, and complement pathway components

C1S, C4A, C4B, CFB, and *CFH* (Fig. 3a-d). Although MHC class II molecules are

primarily expressed on the surface of professional antigen-presenting cells (B-cells,

macrophages, dendritic cells), epithelial cells in the liver, gastrointestinal and respiratory

tracts are known to express MHC class II[40,41]. Because of their presence in the tumor, it

is likely that these non-professional antigen-presenting ductal cells play a role in

modulating the inflammatory response within the microenvironment by promoting T cell

activation[40,41]. When we performed double IF of archival FFPE patient tissue, we found

co-localization of subpopulation markers with the duct marker KRT19, confirming the

presence of these ductal cell subpopulations (Fig. 3e-h, Fig. S6).

As in our cell type analysis, we identified marker genes specific to each ductal

subpopulation and determined the enrichment of these ductal subpopulations across

the tissue region using MIA. We found that all ductal subpopulations in PDAC-A were

enriched in the duct region of the tissue while only the hypoxic and terminal ductal cell

populations were significantly enriched in the cancer region ($P < 10^{-4}$, Fig. 3i). It is

possible that the transcriptional phenotypes exhibited by these ductal cells reflect

environmental signals from the surrounding tissue; *i.e.*, hypoxic ductal cells may be

most enriched in the cancerous region compared to the ducts because this region is

more hypoxic than other regions. Additionally, the hypoxic ductal cells also appeared to

be depleted in the pancreatic tissue ($P < 10^{-3}$, Fig. 3i). In contrast, the ductal

subpopulations in PDAC-B were all exclusively enriched in the ducts of the tissue ($P <$

10^{-4}, Fig. 3j).

We also found that the PDAC-A macrophages comprised two subpopulations, one of

which expressed *IL1B,* corresponding to an inflammatory M1 state,[42,43] and the other

resembling the M2 alternatively activated state based on its expression of *CD163* and

MS4A4A[44,45] (Fig. S7a). We also found two subpopulations of dendritic cells, one of

which expressed higher levels of complement pathway genes and MHC class II (Fig.

S7b). When we tested for the enrichment of these subpopulations across the tissue with

MIA, we found that the subpopulations of macrophages and dendritic cells appear to

have opposite patterns of enrichment across the tissue (Fig. S7b,d). The M2-like

macrophages appeared most enriched in the ducts while the M1 macrophages are

more enriched in the stroma and cancer regions (Fig. S7c). This result is consistent with

the M2-like population being tissue resident, with its location reflecting its endogenous

functional location, while the M1-like population localizes specifically to the cancer and

stroma regions. For the dendritic cell subpopulations, one subpopulation appeared most

enriched in pancreatic tissue, while a second population appeared most enriched in the

ducts of the tissue (Fig. S7d). Based on the MIA maps, it is likely that these

subpopulations play unique roles in the tissue based on their differential localization

thus highlighting new research directions.

Mapping of distinct cancer populations across tissue

In the scRNA-Seq data for the PDAC-A tumor we found two cancer cell populations that

appeared to be both genetically and transcriptionally distinct (Fig. 1b-e). Although we

found both cancer populations to be highly enriched in the corresponding ST cancer

region (Fig. 2d), we asked whether the two cancer populations co-localized with

different cell types within this region. To address this question, we used hierarchical

clustering to divide the cancer region into transcriptionally coherent sub-regions (Fig.

4a-b). After defining sets of genes enriched for expression in each sub-region, we again

applied our MIA mapping approach to study the overlap between the sub-regions sets

and the sets of genes defined above for each cell type/subpopulation from the scRNA-

Seq data.

The PDAC-A sub-region 1 exhibited enrichments of monocytes, endothelial cells and

fibroblasts, but a relative depletion of cancer cells. In the second sub-region, we found

enrichment of only the cancer cluster 1 subpopulation together with fibroblasts,

suggesting a potential specific relationship for one of the cancer subpopulations. The

third sub-region revealed an enrichment of both cancer subpopulations with hypoxic

ductal cells and an absence of fibroblasts, while the last sub-region revealed co-

enrichment of the acinar cells, cancer cluster 2, antigen presenting and centroacinar

ductal cells, and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (Fig. 4c, $P < 0.01$ for all enrichments).

In the PDAC-B sample, we characterized two sub-regions within the cancer region and

defined a gene set specific to each. In the first sub-region, we found co-enrichment of

cancer cells with terminal ductal cells and macrophages, while the second sub-region

was enriched for terminal ducts, fibroblasts, and endothelial cells (Fig. 4d). Across the

two MIA maps, we find consistent mutual exclusion of antigen-presenting ductal cells

and the *TM4SF1* expressing cancer populations (cancer cluster 1 for PDAC-A),

suggesting a possible antagonistic relationship between the immunosuppressive cancer

cells and antigen presenting cells. For example, the cancer cells may suppress antigen

presentation but cells in the adjacent areas may be able to elicit an inflammatory

response functioning as a containment method. We also observed consistent co-

enrichment of fibroblasts with endothelial cells across the MIA maps, highlighting a

potential relationship between the establishment of a fibrotic environment (by activated

fibroblasts) and the formation of vasculature (by endothelial cells). Collectively, these

results demonstrate the utility of MIA maps for generating hypotheses – requiring further

testing – on the relationships of cell populations with spatially restricted architectures,

even within a seemingly uniform histological feature.

DISCUSSION

We have presented here a method for the identification and spatial mapping of distinct

cell types together with their distinct subpopulations within heterogeneous samples. The

method begins with the characterizing of cell types and subpopulations present in a

tissue by scRNA-Seq, and in parallel, the identifying of transcriptomic regions from a

portion of the same tissue using by spatial transcriptomics (ST). We then take

advantage of the unbiased nature of both data modalities to detect enrichment of cell

populations across the spatial regions using our multimodal intersection analysis (MIA).

By applying this approach to two PDAC samples we mapped relationships among the

distinct and reproducible cell populations intrinsic to the tumor microenvironment

(immune, stromal, and malignant cells) and those comprising the normal pancreatic

tissue (pancreatic ductal cells, acinar cells, endocrine cells). We demonstrated that MIA

can be used to infer the state of a cell population given its location (see activated

fibroblasts in Figure 2), the distinct localizations of sub-populations of a cell type (ductal

subpopulations in Figure 3), and that relationships among the cell types can be

identified with in sub-regions of the tumor (Figure 4).

Established methods for mapping transcripts (ISH, FISH) or proteins

(immunohistochemistry, IHC) are limited to a few antibodies or *in situ* probes per tissue

section. Multiplexing of these also leads to a limitation in the number of targets to

visualize simultaneously. The ST method has the advantage in this respect in that it

provides an unbiased map of expressed transcripts in a given tissue section[24–26,28,46].

Although other spatially resolved transcriptomic methods can detect hundreds of RNA

species at single cell resolution[13], there remains a limit on the depth of information and

they cannot, for example, identify all genes specifically enriched for expression to a

defined spatial region of the tissue. ST is thus uniquely positioned for studying

histologically variable tissues, such as tumors, without ISH maps to guide cell location

inference[20,21]. Instead of querying specific markers using ISH to characterize the

scRNA-Seq results, the integration ST enables a more efficient initial interrogation of a

tissue.

Our approach to integrating scRNA-Seq and ST using MIA has the advantage that the

two modalities enable a better understanding of cell populations and subpopulation

structure. Because the ST spots are clustered from the transcriptomic data independent

of tissue histology, MIA maps provide an unbiased perspective into the organization of

distinct populations in local tissue niches. This allows for the inference of functional

relationships between scRNA-Seq-defined populations based on their co-localization in

space, and ultimately provides a more comprehensive characterization of cell types in

their native environment that cannot be gained from either modality alone. The unique

perspective offered by the intersection of these two technologies is likely to advance

clinical/pathological investigation of tumor tissue beyond typical histological techniques.

We imagine that the modern pathologist will be able to move beyond studying cell type-

specific markers and use the maps created by our approach to perform more precise

diagnoses of the cancer stage, type, and history.

Despite the potential of this integrated method, there are a number of limitations. First,

the current version of the ST array is about 6 x 7 mm in size, consisting of just over

1000 spots, with each spot being 100 µm in diameter and 100 µm apart. Thus, the array

is neither large enough to cover the entire tissue (in many cases) nor are the spots

small enough to provide single-cell resolution. We expect the ST technology to improve

in terms of both the number and size of the spots on the array, as well as the technical

protocol which would reduce the diffusion of transcripts across spots as much as

possible. The process of tissue dissociation necessary for scRNA-Seq has the potential

to lead to biases as some cell types may be more amenable to dissociation over others,

leading to overrepresentation in the data. Therefore, it is necessary to thoroughly

dissociate the tissue for processing in order to gain a full representation of the cell types

present in the tissue. Additionally, the tissue dissociated for scRNA-Seq comes from the

same biological sample, but is not the same exact tissue used for ST. For reliable

mapping of scRNA-Seq identified cell types in the ST data it is crucial for the scRNA-

Seq to capture the most abundant cell types present in the tissue. Despite these

limitations, ST remains very accessible to researchers as it requires only a few

additional steps compared to bulk RNA-Seq analysis of homogenized tissue; unlike

other spatially resolved transcriptomic approaches[13,14], these additional steps do not

require specialized equipment outside of what is already found in most laboratories or

research institutions[22].

Analyzing the same tumor sample with multiple modalities will likely have far-reaching

implications, particularly with regard to the identification and classification of cell

populations that constitute a heterogeneous tissue. The advent of scRNA-Seq has

allowed for the identification of cancer subpopulations and non-malignant cell

subpopulations[10,47]; thus MIA mapping can aid in assigning potential functional roles of

cellular subpopulations based on spatial localization (relative to the tissue, or relative to

the other cells present). By applying scRNA-Seq and ST on the same biological sample

as we describe here, rare subpopulations specific to the sample can be mapped to the

same tissue of origin. Moreover, therapeutically targetable cell populations identified by

our approach can be better understood by being mapped to their relative environments

in the tissue, as well as by mapping the other cell types that are co-localized with them.

In the case of tumors for which the precise composition of different tumor sub-

classifications is likely to vary from individual to individual, the subpopulation

composition and spatial localization can be ascertained for a given patient and can

perhaps be correlated with patient outcome.

METHODS

Tumor sample handling and dissociation to a single-cell suspension. Two

pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tumors were delivered in RPMI (Fisher Scientific) on

ice directly from the operating room to the lab after clearing pathology (~2 hours). Each

tumor resection was rinsed in ice cold PBS and cut into ~4-5 mm^3 pieces from which 1

mm thick slices were taken and set aside in ice-cold PBS. The remaining ~3-4 mm^3

pieces were embedded in chilled OCT and snap-frozen in isopentane cooled with liquid

N$_2$. The 1 mm tissue slices stored in PBS was further minced with scalpels to < 1 mm^3.

Tissue was rinsed from the dish with ice cold PBS and pelleted by centrifuging at 300 x

g for 3 minutes at 4 degrees. PBS was aspirated and 5 ml 0.25% pre-warmed trypsin-

EDTA with 10 U/µl DNaseI (Roche) was added and put into a 37°C water bath for 30

minutes with gentle inversion every 5 minutes. The resulting suspension was filtered

through a 100 µm cell strainer to remove larger chunks of undigested tissue. Enzymatic

digestion was quenched with the addition of FBS to a final concentration of 10%. Cells

were pelleted by centrifuging the suspension at 300 x g for 3 minutes at 4 degrees and

washed twice with 5 ml ice-cold PBS. After a final spin at 300 x g for 3 minutes, the cells

were resuspended in PBS to a final concentration of 10,000 cells/ml. The resulting

viability was >95% as shown by trypan blue exclusion.

inDrop library preparation and scRNA-Seq. From each single-cell suspension,

between 6,000 and 12,000 cells were encapsulated using the inDrop platform and

reverse transcription (RT) reaction was performed as previously described[39]. The

number of PCR cycles performed for final library amplification ranged from 9-12 cycles.

Libraries were diluted to 4 nM and paired end sequencing was performed on an Illumina

NextSeq platform. Between 139 million and 145 million paired reads were generated for

each library, corresponding to approximately 35,000 paired reads per cell.

Processing of inDrop single-cell RNA-Seq sequencing data. Raw sequencing data

obtained from the inDrop method were processed using a custom-built pipeline,

available online (https://github.com/flo-compbio/singlecell). Briefly, the "W1" adapter

sequence of the inDrop RT primer was located in the barcode read (the second read of
each fragment), by comparing the 22-mer sequences starting at positions 9-12 of the
read with the known W1 sequence ("GAGTGATTGCTTGTGACGCCTT"), allowing at
most two mismatches. Reads for which the W1 sequence could not be located in this
way were discarded. The start position of the W1 sequence was then used to infer the
length of the first part of the inDrop cell barcode in each read, which can range from 8-
11 bp, as well as the start position of the second part of the inDrop cell barcode, which
always consists of 8 bp. Cell barcode sequences were mapped to the known list of 384
barcode sequences for each read, allowing at most one mismatch. The resulting
barcode combination was used to identify the cell from which the fragment originated.
Finally, the UMI sequence was extracted, and reads with low-confidence base calls for
the six bases comprising the UMI sequence (minimum PHRED score less than 20) were
discarded. The reads containing the mRNA sequence (the first read of each fragment)
were mapped by STAR 2.5.1 with parameter "—outSAMmultNmax 1" and default
settings otherwise[48]. Mapped reads were split according to their cell barcode and
assigned to genes by testing for overlap with exons of protein-coding genes. Only
single-cell transcriptomes with $\geq$ 1000 UMIs, $\leq$ 20% mitochondrial transcripts and $\leq$ 30%
ribosomal transcripts were kept, leaving 1926 cells for the PDAC-A dataset, and 1733
cells for the PDAC-B dataset. UMI counts were normalized by the total number of
transcripts per cell, and a scale factor equivalent to the median number of transcripts
across all cells was applied (transcripts per median, TPM). Expression was transformed
using Freeman-Tukey transform as described previously[32]. Mitochondrial and ribosomal
genes were removed from the expression matrix for further analysis.
415
K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) smoothing of scRNA-Seq data. To reduce the noise
inherent to scRNA-Seq data[49], we applied our recently proposed KNN-smoothing
method[32]. Briefly, all single-cell expression profiles were normalized to median number
of total transcripts per cell, the Freeman-Tukey transformation was applied to all
expression values, and the k closest neighbors of each cell were identified using
Euclidean distance. The expression profile of each cell was then combined with those of
its neighbors, thus obtaining its smoothed expression profile.

Hierarchical clustering of single-cell RNA-Seq data and marker gene selection.
For clustering and identification of cell types, we used a recursive clustering scheme
involving data, smoothing, clustering, removal of identified clusters from the rest of the
data, and repeated until all clusters are identified. Briefly, the data was first smoothed
using our recently developed KNN-smoothing algorithm[32], normalized by the total
number of transcripts for that cell and scaled by a factor equal to the median transcript
number across all cells, and transformed using the Freeman-Tukey transformation $y =$
$sqrt(x) + sqrt(x+1)$ for variance stabilization. Cells were then clustered with Ward's
criterion using the most variable genes (defined as Fano factor and mean expression
above mean-dependent threshold). From resulting clusters, we obtained a list of marker
genes by examining genes that are differentially expressed ($P < 10^{-5}$, two-tailed
Student's t-test; effect size > 0.2, Cohen's d). Once larger clusters were identified and
removed from the data, the process was repeated and smoothed with lower values of k
to prevent smoothing over of smaller clusters of cells until all clusters were identified. In
this process, the annotated macrophages and dendritic cell clusters partitioned to two
sub-clusters. We therefore kept these sub-clusters separate as they likely represented
subpopulations. While clustering the PDAC-B data, we identified a population of ductal
cells with low UMI counts and high mitochondrial content. Because of these reasons,
and the lack of a similar population in PDAC-A, we suspected these cells to be low-
quality cells that may have arisen as an artifact of the dissociation process. These cells
were therefore removed from the PDAC-B dataset.

Correlation between cell types in the scRNA-Seq data. To determine the similarity
between cell types identified in PDAC-A and PDAC-B, the transcriptomes of all cells in
each cluster were averaged (after TPM normalization and applying the Freeman-Tukey
transform, see above), and the Pearson's correlation coefficient was computed between
the resulting averaged cell type profiles.

Identification of ductal subpopulations. To sub-cluster the ductal subpopulations, the
ductal cells were first isolated from the expression matrix and smoothed using KNN-

smoothing[32] with k = 64. Cells were then clustered with hierarchical clustering using the

top variably expressed genes (see above). To annotate subpopulations, differentially

expressed genes between each subpopulation was identified using a two-tailed

Student's t-test (selecting genes with P values less than 10^{-5}). The top 200 differentially

expressed genes for each subpopulation was used for the heatmap visualization shown

in Figure 3c-d.

Dimensionality reduction (PCA and tSNE). Dimensionality reduction methods were

performed on the Freeman-Tukey transformed data (after normalizing UMI counts to the

median as described above) using variable genes (defined as Fano factor and mean

expression above mean-dependent threshold). tSNE was performed using the following

parameters: perplexity = 30 and initial dimension = number of principal components

explaining >90% of the variance[50].

Cell cycle analysis of scRNA-Seq data. To perform the cell-cycle analysis shown in

Figure S1, we used the 'CellCycleScoring' function included in the Seurat package v.

2.3[51] to assign a cell-cycle phase to each cell in our scRNA-Seq datasets. List of genes

specific for each cell-cycle phase are available at

https://satijalab.org/seurat/cell_cycle_vignette.html.

Copy Number Variation (CNV) analysis. CNV estimation was performed for each cell

based on its expression profile using a similar approach to that previously described[5,7,9].

First, the PDAC-A and PDAC-B scRNA-Seq gene expression matrix was first smoothed

with KNN-smoothing[32], using k = 10. Next, genes were sorted based on their

chromosomal location and a moving average of gene expression was calculated using a

window size of 0.1 multiplied by the number of genes of each chromosome. The

expression was then centered to zero by subtracting the mean. A subset of 200

randomly selected ductal cells were removed as a negative control for the analysis,

leaving all remaining cells as the background.

Selection of genes with population-specific expression patterns based on single-

cell RNA-Seq data. To identify marker genes for each cell type or subpopulation, we

identified differentially expressed genes as described above ($P < 10^{-5}$, two-tailed

Student's t-test; effect size > 0.1, Cohen's d). For each gene with $P < 10^{-5}$, we examined

for which cell type the effect size was highest and determined that gene to be specific

for this cell type.

Tissue preparation, cryosectioning, fixation, staining, and brightfield imaging for

Spatial Transcriptomics (ST). Patients at NYU Langone Health consented

preoperatively to participate in the study. PDAC tumor tissue arrived in RPMI (Fisher

Scientific) on ice. Tissue was gently washed with cold 1X-PBS, and 4-5 mm^3 cubes

were removed with a scalpel for OCT-embedding. Tissue was transferred from 1X PBS

to a dry, sterile 10-cm dish and gently dried prior to equilibration in cold OCT for 2

minutes. The tissue was then transferred to a tissue-mold with OCT and snap-frozen in

liquid nitrogen-chilled isopentane. Tissue blocks were stored at -80°C until further use.

Prior to cryosectioning, the cryostat was cleaned with 100% ethanol, and equilibrated to

an internal temperature of -18°C for 30 minutes. Once equilibrated, OCT embedded

tissue blocks were mounted onto the chuck and equilibrated to the cryostat temperature

for 15-20 minutes prior to trimming. ST slide was also placed inside cryostat to keep the

slide cold and minimize RNase activity. Sections were cut at 10 µm sections and

mounted onto the ST arrays, and stored at -80°C until use, maximum of two weeks.

Prior to fixation and staining, the ST array was removed from the -80°C and into an

RNase free biosafety hood for 5 minutes to bring to room temperature, followed by

warming on a 37°C heat block for 1 minute. Tissue was fixed for 10 minutes with 3.6%

formaldehyde in 1X PBS, and subsequently rinsed in 1x PBS. Next, the tissue was

dehydrated isopropanol for 1 minute followed by staining with hematoxylin and eosin.

Slides were mounted in 65 µl 80% glycerol and bright field images were taken on a

Leica SCN400 F whole-slide scanner at 40X resolution.

ST barcoded microarray slide information. Library preparation slides used were

purchased from the Spatial Transcriptomics team

(https://www.spatialtranscriptomics.com; lot 10002 and 10003). Each of the spots

printed onto the array is 100 µm in diameter and 200 µm from the center-to-center,

covering an area of 6.2 by 6.6 mm. Spots are printed with approximately 2×10^8

oligonucleotides containing an 18-mer spatial barcode, a randomized 7-mer UMI, and a

poly-20TVN transcript capture region[22] (Fig. 1).

On-slide tissue permeabilization, cDNA synthesis, probe release. After brightfield

imaging, the ST slide was prewarmed to 42°C and attached to a pre-warmed microarray

slide module to form reaction chambers for each tissue section. The sections were pre-

permeabilized with 0.2 mg/ml BSA and 200 units of collagenase diluted in 1X HBSS

buffer for 20 minutes at 37°C and washed with 100 µl 0.1X SSC buffer twice. Tissue

was permeabilized with 0.1% pepsin in HCl for 4 minutes at 42°C and washed with 100

µl 0.1X SSC buffer twice. Reverse transcription (RT) was carried out overnight (~18-

20h) at 42°C by incubating permeabilized tissue with 75 µl cDNA synthesis mix

containing 1X First strand buffer (Invitrogen), 5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM each dNTP, 0.2 µg/µl

BSA, 50 ng/µl Actinomycin D, 1% DMSO, 20 U/µl Superscript III (Invitrogen) and 2U/µl

RNaseOUT (Invitrogen)**.** Prior to removal of probes, tissue was digested away from the

slide by incubating the tissue with 1% 2-mercaptoethanol in RLT buffer (Qiagen) for one

hour at 56°C with interval shaking. Tissue was rinsed gently with 100 µl 1X SSC, and

further digested with proteinase K (Qiagen) diluted 1:8 in PKD buffer (Qiagen) at 56°C

for 1 hour with interval shaking. Slides were rinsed in 2X SSC with 0.1% SDS, then 0.2X

SSC, and finally in 0.1X SSC. Probes were released from the slide by incubating arrays

with 65 µl cleavage mix (8.75 µM of each dNTP, 0.2 µg/µl BSA, 0.1 U/µl USER enzyme

(New England Biolabs) and incubated at 37 °C for 2 hours with interval mixing. After

incubation, 65 µl of cleaved probes was transferred to 0.2 ml low binding tubes and kept

on ice.

ST library preparation and sequencing. Libraries were prepared from cleaved probes

as previously described, with the following changes: briefly, after RNA amplification by

in vitro transcription (IVT) and subsequent bead clean-up, second RT reaction was

performed using random hexamers, eliminating the need for a primer ligation step[52]. To

determine the number of PCR cycles needed for indexing, 2 µl of the purified cDNA was

mixed with 8 µl of a qPCR mixture [1.25x KAPA HiFi HotStart Readymix (KAPA

Biosystems), 0.625 µM PCR lnPE1 primer, 12.5 nM PCR lnPE2 primer, 0.625 µM PCR

Index primer, 1.25xEVA green (Biotium). Reactions were amplified on a Bio-Rad qPCR

using the following program: 98 °C for 3 minutes, followed by 25 cycles of 98°C for 20 s,

60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s. Optimal cycle number approximated to be the number

of cycles required to reach saturation of signal minus 3-4 cycles to reach the

exponential phase of the amplification. The remaining purified cDNA was indexed and

using the same program described above, except amplified at the pre-determined

number of cycles and with the inclusion of a final 5 minute extension at 72°C. Average

lengths of the indexed, purified libraries were assessed using a 2100 Bioanalyzer

(Agilent) and concentrations were measured using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life

Technologies), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were diluted to 4

nM and paired-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq sequencer with

31 cycles for read 1, and 55 cycles for read 2. Between 100 and 125 million raw read-

pairs were generated for each sequenced library.

Primer sequences:

PCR lnPE 1:

5'-

AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGAT

CT-3'

PCR lnPE 2:

5'-GTGACTGGAAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT-3'

Cy3 anti-A probe:

[Cy3]AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGT

Cy3 anti-frame probe:

[Cy3]GGTACAGAAGCGCGATAGCAG

ST spot selection and image alignment. Upon removal of probes from ST slide, the

slide is kept at 4°C for up to 3 days. The slide was placed into a microarray cassette

and incubated with 70 µl of hybridization solution (0.2 µM Cy3-A-probe, 0.2 µM Cy3

Frame probe, in 1X PBS) for 10 minutes at room temperature. The slide was

subsequently rinsed in 2X SSC with 0.1 % SDS for 10 minutes at 50°C, followed by 1

minute washes with 0.2X SSC and 0.1X SSC at room temperature. Fluorescent images

were taken on a Hamamatsu NanoZoomer whole-slide fluorescence scanner. Brightfield

images of the tissue and fluorescent images were manually aligned with Adobe

Photoshop CS6 to identify the array spots beneath the tissue.

ST library sequence alignment and annotation. Raw sequencing data obtained from

the ST method were processed using a custom-built pipeline, available online

(https://github.com/yanailab/celseq2). The pipeline was adapted to ST sequencing data

using the following three steps: 1) Tagging and demultiplexing: the left-most 25

nucleotides (nt) of the R1 sequence contains the 18 nt for the spot-specific barcode and

then 7 nt for UMI. The R2 sequence contains the transcript sequence, and its left-most

35 nt are used. The name of every read in the R2 file is tagged with the spot-specific

barcode and UMI sequence that are extracted from the paired R1 read. The R2 file is

demultiplexed to create the 1007 spot-specific FASTQ files. If the detected spot-specific

barcode of a read is not present in the pre-defined barcodes list, the read is excluded

from the downstream analysis. 2) Alignment of demultiplexed FASTQ files

using Bowtie2[53]. 3) Counting UMI using HTSeq[54]. The reads that are aligned to a user-

defined feature (by default: "gene_name") are collapsed to count only once if they have

same UMI. Here we used the version 2.3.1 of Bowtie2[53] with default parameters and the

0.9.1 version of HTSeq in the "union" mode.

ST data analysis. UMI counts in each spot were normalized by the total number of

transcripts per spot and then multiplied by a scale factor equivalent to the median

number of transcripts per spot (TPM). A pseudocount of 1 was added prior to either

log10 or Freeman-Tukey transformation. Mitochondrial and ribosomal genes were

removed from the expression matrix for further analysis. For PCA of ST data, the 200-

700 most variable genes were selected (defined by the Fano factor above a mean-

dependent threshold). PC scores for the first six components was then plotted for each

spot corresponding to PDAC tissue. For clustering of spots, hierarchical clustering was

performed on PC scores using Ward's criterion on however many components

accounted for $\geq$ 90% of the variance in the data. To extract marker genes specific to

each of the resulting region clusters a two-tailed Student's t-test was used, $P < 0.01$.

Immunofluorescence staining of FFPE tissue. Immunofluorescent staining of FFPE

specimens was carried out using standard procedures. Briefly, sections were cut at 5

μm thickness, and dried overnight. After deparaffinizing the slides, antigen retrieval was

carried out by boiling the samples for 10 - 20 minutes in Tris-EDTA or Citrate buffer in a

microwave oven. Primary antibodies were diluted 1/100 in Tris Buffered Saline (TBS) +

0.5% BSA and incubated with slides overnight in a wet chamber kept at 4°C. Secondary

antibodies (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) were diluted 1/200 in TBS and incubated with

slides for one hour at room temperature prior to mounting and imaging. Fluorescent

images were taken on a Hamamatsu NanoZoomer whole-slide fluorescence scanner.

Determination of cell type enrichment/depletion by multimodal intersection

analysis (MIA). To assess the enrichments of each cell type/subpopulation across

tissue regions, marker genes for cell types/subpopulations and ST regions were first

identified as described above. We then queried the significance of the overlap between

ST genes and cell type marker genes using the hypergeometric cumulative distribution

test, with either all genes or the total number of marker genes across all cell types as

the background to compute the P value. To test for cell type depletion in parallel, if the

resulting P value was less than 1 - P, the $-\log_{10}(1 - P)$ was plotted instead.

 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. scRNA-Seq analysis of two PDAC patient tumors.

(a) Schematic of the experimental design and analysis. Surgically resected PDAC
tumors are split and processed in parallel for scRNA-Seq and ST. After clustering,
the cell type of each cluster is inferred according to specifically expressed genes.
Cryosections of the rest of the sample was used for ST analysis in which each spot
captures the transcriptomes of the cells at a specific location in the tissue. Applying
our Multimodal Intersection Analysis (MIA) across the two datasets reveals the
spatial distribution of the cell populations and subpopulations.
(b) t-SNE projection of 1,926 cells from the PDAC-A tumor (left panel) and 1,733 cells
from PDAC-B (right panel). Clusters are colored and labeled according to their
inferred cell type identities.
(c) Correspondence between PDAC-A and PDAC-B cell types computed by Pearson's
correlation on the average cell type transcriptome. Shared cell types are shown in
the top panel and cell types identified only in PDAC-A are indicated in the bottom
panel.
(d-e) CNV profiles inferred from scRNA-Seq on PDAC-A (d) and PDAC-B (e). Red and
blue indicate chromosomal amplifications and deletions, respectively.
(f) Double immunofluorescence staining of markers for cancer cell subpopulations. Top
panel, double staining of TM4SF1 (cancer cluster 1) and S100A4 (cancer cluster 2)
in PDAC-A formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue. Note the mutual
exclusion of TM4SF1 and S100A4 signals. Middle, KRT19 and TM4SF1 staining in
PDAC-B tissue. Bottom, KRT19 and S100A4 staining in PDAC-B tissue. Note
colocalization of KRT19 and TM4SF1 signals, but a lack of S100A4 signal in PDAC-
B as expected.

Figure 2. Spatial transcriptomics of PDAC and mapping of cell types.

(a) Annotated PDAC-A tumor cryosection on the ST slide. The annotations indicate a
region high in cancer cells and desmoplasia (red), normal pancreatic tissue (blue),
and normal duct tissue (yellow).
(b) Annotated PDAC-B tumor cryosection on the ST slide. Annotated regions include a
cancer cell rich region (red), ducts (yellow), and interstitium (green).
(c-d) Standardized expression levels of three genes in the PDAC-A ST (c) and PDAC-B
ST (d) dataset.
(e-f) Clustering of the PDAC-A and PDAC-B ST spots. Color indicates the clustering
assignments.
(g) Multimodal intersection analysis (MIA). The hypergeometric distribution is used to
infer the significance of the intersection of genes enriched in a given cell type
(fibroblasts in this case) and genes enriched in a given tissue region (cancer region).
Applying this analysis systematically for all pairs of cell types and tissue regions
allows for insight into the spatial distribution of the cell types in the tumor.
(h) The PDAC-A MIA map of all scRNA-Seq identified cell types and ST defined
regions. Each element in the matrix is computed as described in (d). Red indicates
enrichment (significant overlap), blue indicates depletion (significantly low overlap).
The bar on top indicates the regions delineated in (c).
(i) The PDAC-B MIA map.
682
Figure 3. MIA mapping of ductal subpopulations across tissue regions.
(a-b) Identifying subpopulations of ductal cells in PDAC-A (a) and PDAC-B (b). Colors in
these t-SNE projections indicates the identified subpopulations.
(c-d) The expression levels are shown for the indicated genes with subpopulation-
specific patterns. The heatmaps show the standardized expression of the top 200 genes
with subpopulation-specific expression. The genes are ordered identically across the
PDAC-A and PDAB-B heatmaps.
(e-h) Double immunofluorescence staining of KRT19 (ducts) and subpopulation markers
CA9 (e, APOL1 high/hypoxic ductal cells), AQP3 (f, centroacinar ductal cells), HLA-DR
(antigen presenting ductal cells), and TFF1 (h, terminal ductal cells).

(i-j) MIA maps of PDAC ductal subpopulations across the ST regions identified in Figure

2.

Figure 4. Cancer sub-regions reveal differential cell type and subpopulation

enrichments.

(a-b) Identifying sub-regions in the PDAC-A (a) and PDAC-B (b) ST cancer regions. The

colors indicate the cluster assignments exclusively for the spots annotated to be in the

cancer regions identified in Figure 2.

(c-d) MIA maps of the cancer identified sub-regions in PDAC-A (c) and PDAC-B (d) and

the cell type and cell subpopulations identified in Figure 1 and 3.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES AND FIGURES

Table S1. Average number of transcripts per ST spot reported in other

publications. The table indicates the number of transcripts detected per spot in other

studies using the ST method. Included are only publications or pre-prints that report the

average number of detected transcripts.

Reference	Tissue type	Average UMIs / spot
Stahl et al Science (2016)[22]	Mouse olfactory bulb	~30,000 – ~40,000
Asp et al Scientific reports (2017)[26]	Human heart biopsies	~1,000 – ~3,000
Berglund et al Nat. Comm (2018)[24]	Prostate cancer tissue	727 – 9019
Maniatis et al Biorxiv (2018)[27]	Human spinal coord samples	1225 (median)

Figure S1. scRNA-Seq data statistics.

(a-b) Histogram of unique transcripts detected per cell ($\log_{10}$) in PDAC-A (a) and PDAC-

B (b).

(c-d) Histogram of unique genes expressed per cell ($\log_{10}$) in PDAC-A (c) and PDAC-B

(d).

(e-f) PDAC-A (e) and PDAC-B (f) cells colored by UMIs per cell ($\log_{10}$) across tSNE

space.

(g-h) Cell-cycle phase assignments for PDAC-A (g) and PDAC-B (h) cells mapped

across t-SNE space.

Figure S2. Identification and validation of multiple cancer populations.

(a) PDAC-A (top) and PDAC-B (bottom) CNV profiles inferred from scRNA-Seq (same

as Fig. 1d,e). A subset of 200 randomly selected ductal cells were chosen as a

negative control for the analysis and analyzed together with cancer clusters. Note

distance between cancer cells and non-malignant ductal cells in the dendrogram on

the left.

(b) Expression of *KRT19* (marker of malignant and non-malignant ductal epithelial

cells), *TM4SF1,* and *S100A4* projected onto t-SNE of PDAC-A (top) and PDAC-B

(bottom). Note specificity of *TM4SF1* expression for PDAC-A cancer A, and *S100A4*

expression for PDAC-A cancer B. In PDAC-B, *TM4SF1* is expressed primarily by

cancer cells whereas an *S100A4* expressing cancer population is absent.

(c) Double immunofluorescence staining for KRT19 and TM4SF1 in PDAC-A FFPE

tissue. Note co-localization of KRT19 and TM4SF1 signal in malignant ducts (top

panel, white arrowheads), but not in non-malignant ducts (bottom panel, white

arrowheads).

(d) Double immunofluorescence staining for KRT19 and S100A4 in PDAC-A FFPE

tissue. Note co-localization of KRT19 and S100A4 signal in malignant ducts (top

panel, white arrowheads), but not in non-malignant ducts (bottom panel, white

arrowheads).

Figure S3. Histology for pancreatic cancer tissue used for spatial transcriptomics.

(a) Overview of PDAC-A tissue.

(b) Inset of pancreatic cancer ducts and surrounding desmoplasia. White arrowheads

indicate cancer cells organizing around tubules. Black arrowheads show the

surrounding stroma and desmoplasia.

(c) Inset of pancreatic tissue. Arrowheads indicate the acini.

(d) Inset of duct epithelium and inflamed tissue. White arrowheads indicate the

pancreatic ducts and the black arrowheads point to inflammatory cells with smaller

nuclei.

(e) Overview of PDAC-B tissue.

(f) Inset of PDAC-B tissue showing normal ducts (white arrow) surrounded by interstitial

space (black arrows).

Figure S4. Estimating the total number of cells captured by an ST spot.

ST spots were mapped back onto the H&E stained tissue, and brightfield images were

extracted from the location of each ST spot. In each enlarged spot, the dark purple dots

are nuclei, and the purple background is the cytoplasm and extracellular space.

Enlarged spots shown demonstrate that ST spots can capture as few as ten or less

cells and as many as a few dozen cells.

Figure S5. Spatial Transcriptomics (ST) statistics and dimensionality reduction

with PCA.

(a-b) Histogram of unique transcripts detected per spot ($\log_{10}$) in PDAC-A (a) and

PDAC-B (b).

(c-d) Histogram of unique genes detected per spot ($\log_{10}$) in PDAC-A (c) and PDAC-B

(d).

(e-f) Distribution of unique transcripts detected across ST spots in PDAC-A (e) and

PDAC-B (f).

(g-h) PC scores for the first six components projected onto ST data for PDAC-A (g) and

PDAC-B (h).

Figure S6. Immunofluorescence staining of ductal subpopulation markers in

PDAC tissue.

FFPE tissue was co-stained for KRT19 (duct marker) and subpopulation markers CA9

(a), AQP3 (b), HLA-DR (c), and TFF1 (d), as shown in Figure 3. Individual signals are

shown here in addition to the merged signal to better appreciate marker co-localization.

Figure S7. MIA maps of macrophage and dendritic cell subpopulations across PDAC-A tissue.

(a) Expression of M1 (top row) and M2 (bottom row) marker genes (two-tailed Student's t-test, $P < 10^{-5}$).

(b) Expression of dendritic cells A (top panel) or B (bottom panel) marker genes (two-tailed Student's t-test, $P < 10^{-5}$).

(c-d) Enrichment of macrophage (c) and dendritic cell (d) subpopulations across PDAC-A ST regions. Indicated are the number of genes used for MIA.

REFERENCES

1. Offit, K. A decade of discovery in cancer genomics. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **11,** 632–634 (2014).
2. Kolodziejczyk, A. A., Kim, J. K., Svensson, V., Marioni, J. C. & Teichmann, S. A. The Technology and Biology of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. *Mol. Cell* **58,** 610–620 (2015).
3. Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Single-cell RNA sequencing to explore immune cell heterogeneity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **18,** 35–45 (2017).
4. Ofengeim, D., Giagtzoglou, N., Huh, D., Zou, C. & Yuan, J. Single-Cell RNA Sequencing: Unraveling the Brain One Cell at a Time. *Trends Mol. Med.* **23,** 563–576 (2017).
5. Patel, A. P. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. *Science* **344,** 1396–401 (2014).
6. Tirosh, I. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq supports a developmental hierarchy in human oligodendroglioma. *Nature* **539,** 309–313 (2016).
7. Tirosh, I. *et al.* Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **352,** 189–96 (2016).
8. Venteicher, A. S. *et al.* Decoupling genetics, lineages, and microenvironment in IDH-mutant gliomas by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science (80-.).* **355,** eaai8478 (2017).
9. Darmanis, S. *et al.* Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis of Infiltrating Neoplastic Cells at the Migrating Front of Human Glioblastoma. *Cell Rep.* **21,** 1399–1410 (2017).
10. Chung, W. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq enables comprehensive tumour and immune cell profiling in primary breast cancer. *Nat. Commun.* **8,** 15081 (2017).
11. Zheng, C. *et al.* Landscape of Infiltrating T Cells in Liver Cancer Revealed by Single-Cell Sequencing. *Cell* **169,** 1342–1356.e16 (2017).
12. Horning, A. M. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq reveals a subpopulation of prostate cancer cells with enhanced cell cycle-related transcription and attenuated androgen response. *Cancer Res.* canres.1924.2017 (2017). doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1924

13. Chen, K. H., Boettiger, A. N., Moffitt, J. R., Wang, S. & Zhuang, X. Spatially
resolved, highly multiplexed RNA profiling in single cells. *Science* **348,** aaa6090
(2015).
14. Shah, S., Lubeck, E., Zhou, W. & Cai, L. In Situ Transcription Profiling of Single
Cells Reveals Spatial Organization of Cells in the Mouse Hippocampus. *Neuron*
92, 342–357 (2016).
15. Long, X., Colonell, J., Wong, A. M., Singer, R. H. & Lionnet, T. Quantitative
mRNA imaging throughout the entire Drosophila brain. *Nat. Methods* **14,** 703–706
(2017).
16. Lee, J. H. *et al.* Fluorescent in situ sequencing (FISSEQ) of RNA for gene
expression profiling in intact cells and tissues. *Nat. Protoc.* **10,** 442–458 (2015).
17. Lee, J. H. *et al.* Highly Multiplexed Subcellular RNA Sequencing in Situ. *Science*
(80-.). **343,** 1360–1363 (2014).
18. Nichterwitz, S. *et al.* Laser capture microscopy coupled with Smart-seq2 for
precise spatial transcriptomic profiling. *Nat. Commun.* **7,** 12139 (2016).
19. Lovatt, D. *et al.* Transcriptome in vivo analysis (TIVA) of spatially defined single
cells in live tissue. *Nat. Methods* **11,** 190–6 (2014).
20. Achim, K. *et al.* High-throughput spatial mapping of single-cell RNA-seq data to
tissue of origin. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33,** 503–9 (2015).
21. Satija, R., Farrell, J. A., Gennert, D., Schier, A. F. & Regev, A. Spatial
reconstruction of single-cell gene expression data. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33,** 495–502
(2015).
22. Ståhl, P. L. *et al.* Visualization and analysis of gene expression in tissue sections
by spatial transcriptomics. *Science* **353,** 78–82 (2016).
23. Thrane, K., Eriksson, H., Maaskola, J., Hansson, J. & Lundeberg, J. Spatially
resolved transcriptomics enables dissection of genetic heterogeneity in stage III
cutaneous malignant melanoma. *Cancer Res.* canres.0747.2018 (2018).
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0747
24. Berglund, E. *et al.* Spatial maps of prostate cancer transcriptomes reveal an
unexplored landscape of heterogeneity. *Nat. Commun.* **9,** 2419 (2018).
25. Lundmark, A. *et al.* Gene expression profiling of periodontitis-affected gingival
tissue by spatial transcriptomics. *Sci. Rep.* **8,** 9370 (2018).
26. Asp, M. *et al.* Spatial detection of fetal marker genes expressed at low level in
adult human heart tissue. *Sci. Rep.* **7,** 12941 (2017).
27. Maniatis, S. *et al.* Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Molecular Pathology in
Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *bioRxiv* 389270 (2018). doi:10.1101/389270
28. Giacomello, S. *et al.* Spatially resolved transcriptome profiling in model plant
species. *Nat. Plants* **3,** 17061 (2017).
29. Junker, J. P. *et al.* Genome-wide RNA Tomography in the zebrafish embryo. *Cell*
159, 662–75 (2014).
30. Chen, J. *et al.* Spatial transcriptomic analysis of cryosectioned tissue samples
with Geo-seq. *Nat. Protoc.* **12,** 566–580 (2017).
31. Saiselet, M. *et al.* Transcriptional output, cell types densities and normalization in
spatial transcriptomics. *bioRxiv* 503870 (2018). doi:10.1101/503870
32. Wagner, F., Yan, Y. & Yanai, I. K-nearest neighbor smoothing for high-throughput
single-cell RNA-Seq data. *bioRxiv* 217737 (2018). doi:10.1101/217737

33. Ziegenhain, C. *et al.* Comparative Analysis of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing
Methods. *Mol. Cell* **65,** 631–643.e4 (2017).
34. Griffin, C. A. *et al.* Consistent chromosome abnormalities in adenocarcinoma of
the pancreas. *Cancer Res.* **55,** 2394–9 (1995).
35. Shiga, K. *et al.* Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts: Their Characteristics and Their
Roles in Tumor Growth. *Cancers (Basel).* **7,** 2443–58 (2015).
36. Motta, P. M., Macchiarelli, G., Nottola, S. A. & Correr, S. Histology of the exocrine
pancreas. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* **37,** 384–98 (1997).
37. May, D. *et al.* Ero1-Lα plays a key role in a HIF-1-mediated pathway to improve
disulfide bond formation and VEGF secretion under hypoxia: implication for
cancer. *Oncogene* **24,** 1011–1020 (2005).
38. Sedlakova, O. *et al.* Carbonic anhydrase IX, a hypoxia-induced catalytic
component of the pH regulating machinery in tumors. *Front. Physiol.* **4,** 400
(2014).
39. Baron, M. *et al.* A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Map of the Human and Mouse
Pancreas Reveals Inter- and Intra-cell Population Structure. *Cell Syst.* (2016).
doi:10.1016/j.cels.2016.08.011
40. Mehrfeld, C., Zenner, S., Kornek, M. & Lukacs-Kornek, V. The Contribution of
Non-Professional Antigen-Presenting Cells to Immunity and Tolerance in the
Liver. *Front. Immunol.* **9,** 635 (2018).
41. Wosen, J. E., Mukhopadhyay, D., Macaubas, C. & Mellins, E. D. Epithelial MHC
Class II Expression and Its Role in Antigen Presentation in the Gastrointestinal
and Respiratory Tracts. *Front. Immunol.* **9,** 2144 (2018).
42. Rőszer, T. Understanding the Mysterious M2 Macrophage through Activation
Markers and Effector Mechanisms. *Mediators Inflamm.* **2015,** 816460 (2015).
43. Duluc, D. *et al.* Tumor-associated leukemia inhibitory factor and IL-6 skew
monocyte differentiation into tumor-associated macrophage-like cells. *Blood* **110,**
4319–30 (2007).
44. Hu, J. M. *et al.* CD163 as a marker of M2 macrophage, contribute to predict
aggressiveness and prognosis of Kazakh esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.
Oncotarget **8,** 21526–21538 (2017).
45. Sanyal, R. *et al.* MS4A4A: a novel cell surface marker for M2 macrophages and
plasma cells. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **95,** 611–619 (2017).
46. Thrane, K., Eriksson, H., Maaskola, J., Hansson, J. & Lundeberg, J. Spatially
resolved transcriptomics enables dissection of genetic heterogeneity in stage III
cutaneous malignant melanoma. *Cancer Res.* canres.0747.2018 (2018).
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0747
47. Li, H. *et al.* Reference component analysis of single-cell transcriptomes elucidates
cellular heterogeneity in human colorectal tumors. *Nat. Genet.* **49,** 708–718
(2017).
48. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29,** 15–
21 (2013).
49. Ziegenhain, C. *et al.* Comparative Analysis of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing
Methods. *Mol. Cell* **65,** 631–643.e4 (2017).
50. Van Der Maaten, L. & Hinton, G. Visualizing Data using t-SNE. *J. Mach. Learn.*
Res. **9,** 2579–2605 (2008).

51.   Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-
914   cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species.
915   *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36,** 411–420 (2018).
916   52.   Hashimshony, T. *et al.* CEL-Seq2: Sensitive highly-multiplexed single-cell RNA-
917   Seq. *Genome Biol.* **17,** (2016).
918   53.   Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat.*
919   *Methods* **9,** 357–359 (2012).
920   54.   Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-
921   throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31,** 166–9 (2015).

922
923

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank C. Loomis, Z. Dewan, B. Dabovic from the
NYU Experimental Pathology core, and B. Zeck and L. Chiriboga from the NYU Center
for Biospecimen Research and Development (CBRD) for technical assistance, A. Weil
from the NYU CBRD for sample acquisition, and members of the Yanai lab for
constructive comments.

Author contributions. R.M. performed the spatial transcriptomics and scRNA-Seq as
well as the data analysis. F.W. contributed to scRNA-Seq and spatial transcriptomics
analysis. M.C. contributed to spatial transcriptomics and scRNA-Seq processing, and
immunofluorescence experiments. M.B. contributed expertise in scRNA-Seq processing
and analysis. C.H.H. contributed histology analysis. D.M.S. contributed sample
acquisition. I.Y. conceived the project, contributed to the data analysis, and
interpretation of the results. RM and IY drafted the manuscript.
937

938   **Competing interests.** The authors declare no competing interests.
939

940   **Materials & Correspondence.** itai.yanai@nyulangone.org

Figure 1

Figure 2

Histological annotation key
- Cancer tubules and desmoplasia
- Duct epithelium
- Normal pancreatic tissue
- Interstitium

c CRISP3, PRSS1, TM4SF1

d COL1A1, MUC4, MUC5B

Standardized expression −1 0 1

e Cluster assignments
- Cancer region
- Pancreatic tissue
- Duct epithelium
- Stroma

f Cluster assignments
- Cancer region
- Interstitium
- Duct epithelium

g Multimodal Intersection Analysis (MIA)

Background genes (19738)

Fibroblast genes from scRNA-Seq (555) — 58 genes — Cancer region genes from ST (660)

Hypergeometric distribution: -log10(P) = 14.44

h MIA cell type - tissue region maps

PDAC-A

Cancer region (660 genes) Duct epithelium (773 genes)
Pancreatic tissue (1107 genes) Stroma (116 genes)

Cell types (# of genes)	Stroma	Duct epithelium	Cancer region	Pancreatic tissue
Acinar (129)				
Cancer cluster 1 (459)				
Cancer cluster 2 (747)				
Ductal (887)				
Endocrine (405)				
Endothelial (481)				
Fibroblasts (555)				
Macrophages (364)				
Mast (381)				
Monocytes (489)				
RBCs (33)				
T cells & NK (795)				
Tuft (571)				
DC (325)				
Plasmacytoid DC (487)				

Depletion — P-value (-log10) — Enrichment
.10 8 6 4 2 0 — 0 2 4 6 8 10

i PDAC-B

Interstitium (207 genes) Duct epithelium (540 genes) Cancer region (167 genes)

Cell types (# of genes)	Interstitium	Duct epithelium	Cancer region
Acinar (175)			
Cancer (913)			
Ductal (1106)			
Endocrine (413)			
Endothelial (1246)			
Macrophages (200)			
Mast (324)			
Monocytes (301)			
RBCs (16)			
Tuft (506)			
DC (381)			

Depletion — P-value (-log10) — Enrichment
.10 8 6 4 2 0 — 0 2 4 6 8 10

Figure 3

Figure 4

a PDAC-A

Cancer region   Cancer sub-regions

■ Cancer sub-region 1 (1460 genes)   ■ Cancer sub-region 3 (1217 genes)
■ Cancer sub-region 2 (832 genes)   ■ Cancer sub-region 4 (538 genes)

b PDAC-B

Cancer region   Cancer sub-regions

■ Cancer sub-region 1 (848 genes)
■ Cancer sub-region 2 (107 genes)

c MIA cell population-cancer sub-region maps

d

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

Histological annotation key
— Cancer tubules and desmoplasia
— Duct epithelium
— Normal pancreatic tissue
— Interstitium

Figure S4

~38 nuclei

~43 nuclei

~20 nuclei

~74 nuclei

Figure S5

a PDAC-A
Spatial Transcriptomics

Frequency vs UMI per spot (log$_{10}$)

b PDAC-B
Spatial Transcriptomics

Frequency vs UMI per spot (log$_{10}$)

c Frequency vs Genes expressed per spot (log$_{10}$)

d Frequency vs Genes expressed per spot (log$_{10}$)

e UMI (log$_{10}$)

f UMI (log$_{10}$)

g PC 1 PC 2 PC 3 PC 4 PC 5 PC 6

Score
Low — High

h PC 1 PC 2 PC 3 PC 4 PC 5 PC 6

Figure S6

Figure S7

a

IL1B *IL1RN* *CLEC5A*

MS4A4A *SDS* *CD163*

M1 M2 M1 M2 M1 M2
Macrophages Macrophages Macrophages

Expression (log₁₀)

b

TUBB *TLR5* *CLEC4A*

C1QA *C1QB* *HLA-DRB1*

A B A B A B
Dendritic cells Dendritic cells Dendritic cells

Expression (log₁₀)

c

MIA subpopulation - tissue region maps

Cancer region (660 genes)   Duct epithelium (773 genes)
Pancreatic tissue (1107 genes)   Stroma (116 genes)

Macrophage subpopulations (# of genes)

M2 (250)

M1 (278)

Depletion P-value (-log₁₀) Enrichment
.3 2 1 0 0 1 2 .3

d

Dendritic cell subpopulations (# of genes)

A (204)

B (225)

Depletion P-value (-log₁₀) Enrichment
.3 2 1 0 0 1 2 .3