CD117/c-kit Represents a Prostate Cancer Stem-Like Subpopulation Driving Progression, Migration, and TKI Resistance

Koran S. Harris, B.S.[1], Lihong Shi, M.D.[1], Brittni M. Foster, M.S.[1], Mary E. Mobley[1], Phyllis L. Elliott[1], Conner J. Song[1], Carl D. Langefeld, Ph.D.[2,3], and Bethany A. Kerr, Ph.D.[1,3,4]

[1]Department of Cancer Biology, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27157

[2]Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, Division of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27157

[3]Wake Forest Baptist Comprehensive Cancer Center, Winston-Salem, NC 27157

[4]Department of Urology, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27157

Running Title: CD117 Labels Prostate Cancer Stem-Like Cells

Corresponding Author: Bethany Kerr, Ph.D., Wake Forest School of Medicine, Medical Center Blvd, Winston-Salem, NC, 27157. Telephone: 336-716-0320; Fax: 336-716-0255; Twitter: @BethanyKerrLab; e-mail: bkerr@wakehealth.edu; ORCID: 0000-0002-2995-7549

Abstract Word Count: 189

Number of Figures: 5

Number of Tables: 1

Funding Sources: KSH: NC A&T NIH/NIGMS MARC U*STAR Grant (T34 GM083980-08) and the DOD PCRP NC Summer Research Program (W81XWH-16-1-0351). PLE: Gates Foundation Millennium Scholar. BAK: NIH/NCI grant R00 CA175291. This research was additionally supported by the Wake Forest Baptist Comprehensive Cancer Center Shared Resources grant (NIH/NCI CCSG P30 CA012197) and the Wake Forest CTSI grant (NIH/NCATS UL1 TR001420).

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions: Investigation, Writing, Review, and Editing: BAK, BMF, CDL, CJS, KSH, LS, MEM, PLE; Formal analysis and Visualization: BAK, BMF, CDL KSH, LS, MEM; Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision: BAK

Key words: cancer stem cell, CD117/c-kit, SCF, migration, therapeutic resistance, circulating tumor cell, tyrosine kinase inhibitor

ABSTRACT

Cancer stem-like cells (CSCs) are associated with cancer progression, metastasis, and recurrence. CSCs may also represent a subset of tumor-initiating cells, tumor progenitor cells, disseminated tumor cells, or circulating tumor cells (CTCs); however, which of these aggressive cell populations are also CSCs remains to be determined. In a prior study, CTCs in advanced prostate cancer patients were found to express CD117/c-kit in a liquid biopsy. Whether CD117 expression played an active or passive role in the aggressiveness and migration of these CTCs remained an open question. In this study, we use LNCaP-C4-2 human prostate cancer cells, which contain a CD117+ subpopulation, to determine how CD117 expression and activation by its ligand stem cell factor (SCF, kit ligand, steel factor) alter prostate cancer aggressiveness. CD117+ cells displayed increased proliferation and migration. Further, the CD117+ cells represented CSC population based on stemness marker gene expression and serial tumor initiation studies. SCF activation of CD117 stimulated increased proliferation and invasiveness, while CD117 inhibition by tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) decreased progression in a context-dependent manner. We demonstrate that CD117 expression and activation drives prostate cancer aggressiveness through the CSC phenotype and TKI resistance.

Abbreviations: CSC: cancer stem-like cell; CTC: circulating tumor cells; EMT: epithelial-mesenchymal transition; HSC: hematopoietic stem cell; SCF: stem cell factor; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer mortality in American men with an estimated 174,650 new cases in 2019. When diagnosed locally and treated, patients can expect a 99% 5-year survival rate. Once cancer has metastasized or recurred, however, the 5-year survival rate drops to 30% driving ongoing research to identify and treat patients harboring aggressive tumors.[1] Currently, available nomograms are unable to distinguish patients with indolent disease from those likely to experience metastasis. Ongoing research as focused on understanding the individual steps of metastasis in an attempt to develop new methods to identify patients with aggressive disease. The first steps of the metastatic process: epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), local invasion, intravasation, and survival in the circulation, represent early targets for identifying patients with aggressive tumors.[2–4] Cancer cells completing these steps become circulating tumor cells.

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are released at a rate of 3.2×10^6 cells/g tissue daily, comprising one cell out of 10^5 to 10^7 leukocytes in the bloodstream.[3,5,6] However, <0.01% of these cells will initiate metastases.[3,5] While multiple markers were proposed for prostate cancer CTCs,[7] most have yet to be validated in the circulation of patients. Further, it remains unproven whether CTCs can initiate metastases which is partially due to an inability to examine the journey of CTCs from the primary tumor to the metastatic niche.[8] A subset of CTCs postulated to drive metastasis are cancer stem-like cells (CSCs).[9,10] The CSC theory postulates that a subpopulation of tumor cells remaining after resection drives recurrence, while CTCs surviving the circulation and arresting at metastatic sites driving tumor growth are metastatic CSC.[11] In either case, CSCs are capable of self-renewal and

asymmetric division and may be able to recapitulate the initial tumor heterogeneity. Further, these CSCs are more resistant to most treatments.[11–18]

Several markers for CTCs and CSCs are postulated in the literature.[7] In a prior study, we identified CD117/c-kit positive CTCs in prostate cancer patients that were associated with cancer severity and biochemical recurrence.[19] CD117+ cell numbers were increased in the prostate tissue and circulation of patients with Gleason 8+ disease. Further, three months postoperatively, CD117 CTC numbers were equal or increased in patients with recurrent cancer; while CD117 + cell numbers had dropped 50% in patients for whom surgery was successful.[19] Further studies demonstrated that CD117 expression in prostate cancers was increased with Gleason score and that CD117 was expressed by both stromal cells in the transitional zone and cancer cells in the peripheral zone.[20] Additionally, CD117 is expressed on both normal stem cells and aggressive cancer cells with CD117 expression found on hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and stem cells in the murine prostate.[21–23] A single CD117 positive cell, which was also Lin- Sca-1+ CD133+ CD44+, regenerated an entire secreting prostate when mixed with urogenital mesenchymal cells and implanted in the renal capsule. Thus, this CD117 expressing cell was considered a prostate stem cell in adult tissue.[22] Thus, CD117 expression is associated with stemness in multiple cell types.

CD117 is a member of the type III tyrosine kinase receptors which play a key role in cell signaling and are responsible for maintaining cell functions such as cell survival, metabolism, cell growth and progression, proliferation, apoptosis, cell migration, and cell differentiation.[21,24–26] These effects of CD117 activation and signaling support its potential role in prostate cancer progression and CSC maintenance. How CD117 activation alters proliferation, stemness, and migration remains to be elucidated. The present study aimed

to determine the extent to which the CTC marker CD117 was involved in prostate cancer progression, CSC maintenance, and therapeutic resistance. The human prostate cancer cell line was separated into CD117+ and negative populations and the differences between the two subpopulations examined. The effects of CD117 activation by SCF treatment or inhibition by tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment were also tested. Using live cell imaging and xenograft models, we determine that CD117 activation drives progression, stemness, migration, and TKI resistance.

METHODS

Cell Culture, Sorting, and Treatment

To examine how CD117 expression alters cell function, human prostate cancer LNCaP-C4-2 (RRID: CVCL_4782) cells were sorted into $CD117^+$ and $CD117^-$ populations. LNCaP-C4-2 cells were cultured in RPMI1640+10%FBS and tested every six months for mycoplasma. LNCaP-C4-2 cells were transduced to express ZsGreen or Firefly luciferase-mCherry by the Wake Forest Baptist Comprehensive Cancer Center (WFBCCC) Cell Engineering Shared Resource using a lentivirus (Clontech or Genecopoeia). LNCaP-C4-2 cells maintain a $CD117^+$ cell population representing approximately 20% of the cells and were sorted into $CD117^+$ and $CD117^-$ populations using the Miltenyi MACS sorting beads or the ThemoFisher MagniSort CD117 (c-kit) positive selection kit. Sorted cells were treated with 50 ng/mL recombinant stem cell factor (SCF; Miltenyi Biotec), 5 μM imatinib mesylate (Sigma), 5 μM sunitinib malate (Sigma), or 5 μM ISCK03 (Sigma).

IncuCyte Live Cell Imaging

To image cells over time, an Essen Bioscience IncuCyte ZOOM live cell imager was used for proliferation, scratch migration, chemotaxis, and sphere formation assays. For proliferation assays, sorted LNCaP-C4-2 cells were plated at 2,000 cells per well and imaged over three days. Percentage of confluence was calculated. For scratch migration assays, sorted LNCaP-C4-2 cells were plated at 50,000 cells per well. The next day, cells were scratched using the Essen Biosciences WoundMaker tool. Scratches were imaged for 48 hours and wound width and percent closure were measured. For chemotaxis assays, sorted LNCaP-C4-2 cells were plated at 1,000 cells/top of the insert and imaged for 48 hours. The number of cells on the top and bottom of the insert were counted. For sphere formation assays, 1,000 sorted LNCaP-C4-2 cells were plated in ultra-low attachment

plates and imaged over nine days. Sphere area was calculated over time. Separate groups were treated with inhibitors or starved overnight before SCF treatment.

Immunofluorescence

For detection of stem cell and EMT marker expression, immunofluorescent staining for; SOX2 OCT4, E-cadherin, N-Cadherin, and Vimentin was conducted. Spheres were embedded into OCT freezing medium and sectioned at 10 μm thick onto UltraClear Plus Microslides (Denville Scientific). Sections were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and then incubated with either; goat polyclonal anti-SOX2 (1:20; R&D Systems; RRID: AB_355110), rabbit polyclonal anti-OCT4 (1:100; Abcam; RRID: AB_445175), goat polyclonal anti-E-cadherin (1:100; R&D Systems; RRID: AB_355568), rabbit polyclonal anti-N-cadherin (1:250; Abcam; RRID: AB_444317), or rabbit monoclonal anti-Vimentin (1:500; Abcam; RRID: AB_10562134). Sections were then incubated with either Alexa Fluor 555 polyclonal donkey anti-goat (1:500; Invitrogen; RRID: AB_2535853) or Alexa Fluor 555 polyclonal goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies, respectively (1:500; Invitrogen; RRID: AB_2535850). Slides were mounted with Prolong Gold Antifade DAPI (1:5000; Invitrogen) Images were captured on an Olympus VS110 at 10x by the Virtual Microscopy Core and relative fluorescent was quantified using ImageJ software (v1.80; NIH).

Quantitative PCR Gene Expression

To examine gene expression, cells and spheres were lysed using the Qiagen RNeasy kit and cDNA synthesized using the Applied Biosystems High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit. Primer sequences are listed in Table 1. For detection and quantification, PCR reactions were run on a Roche Light Cycler 480II using the Applied Biosystems SYBR Green PCR Master Mix. Data were analyzed by the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method.

Tumor Initiation

To assess tumor-initiating capabilities, NOD.CB17-Prkdc^{scid} mice (Jackson Laboratory; RRID: IMSR_JAX:001303) aged between 8 and 12 weeks old were injected subcutaneously with decreasing numbers of sorted LNCaP-C4-2 cells (10,000 to 10 cells) under Wake Forest School of Medicine IACUC Approval #A15-221. Tumors were imaged weekly using an IVIS Lumina bioluminescent imager after injection with 150 mg/kg luciferin (WFBCC Cell Engineering Shared Resource). After 30 days tumors were removed and weighed. For serial tumor initiation, tumors were dissociated using the Miltenyi tumor dissociation kit run on the Miltenyi gentleMACS Octo Dissociator. Resulting cells were again bead isolated and injected into a second group of mice at 10 cells and allowed to grow 30 days before tumor removal.

Tumor Microarray Analysis

To assess whether CD117 was activated in prostate cancers, we obtained tissue microarrays (TMAs) from the WFBCCC Tumor Tissue and Pathology Shared Resource under IRB Approval #38212. TMAs processed from immunohistochemistry to visualize phosphorylated (p-) CD117 (R&D Systems, 1:50) and prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) (Dako, 1:50) in tissues. Slides were scanned on a Hamamatsu Photonics NanoZoomer by the Virtual Microscopy Core. Staining was quantified using Indica Labs HALO software and grouped according to Gleason Score.

Statistical Analysis

To determine statistical significance, Student's *t* test or one-way analysis of variance with Tukey post-test were used to analyze data with the GraphPad Prism 7 software (RRID: SCR_002798). For experiments over time, linear regression models were developed to test for differences and test interactions between cell type and slope of

the relationship with time using the SAS software. Error bars represent the SEM of experiments. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, and *** $p<0.005$. Experiments were repeated at least three times.

RESULTS

CD117 Expression Stimulates Prostate Cancer Proliferation and Migration

In a prior study, we demonstrated that the number of CD117+ cells circulating in prostate cancer patients was associated with cancer severity and biochemical recurrence.[19] Based on these findings, we asked how CD117 expression on these cells promoted prostate cancer progression. In an initial study, we showed that CD117+ LNCaP-C4-2 xenograft tumors were larger and more angiogenic than tumors containing the negative population.[19] To further determine how CD117 expression drives prostate cancer aggressiveness, we sorted LNCaP-C4-2 human prostate cancer cells into CD117+ and negative populations. Using live cell imagining, we examined differences between these two populations. In a proliferation assay, CD117+ cells proliferated more quickly than the negative cells. CD117+ cells reached confluency peak at hour 58 at which point CD1117+ cells were 2.9-fold more confluent than the negative population (Figure 1A). Thus, in monolayer culture, CD117 promotes proliferation.

Since CD117+ cells were found in the patient circulation, we examined cancer cell migration using scratch and chemotaxis assays. Confluent CD117+ and negative cells were scratched to generate a wound and cell movement into the wound was measured by live cell imaging. CD117+ cultures showed increased confluence within the wound and decreased wound width over time (Figure 1B and C). Upon experimental termination, CD117+ cell wound closure was 2.7-fold higher while the wound width was 1.7-fold smaller compared to the negative population. Additionally, CD117+ and negative cells were plated on the top of a transwell and migration through pores measured over time. At experimental termination, 3.2-fold more CD117+ cells had migrated when compared

to the negative population (Figure 1D). Thus, CD117 expression increases cell migration in two dimensions.

Another marker of aggressive tumor cells is the ability to form spheres.[17,27–31] Sorted cells were examined for sphere formation by live cell imaging and changes in gene and protein expression of aggressiveness markers was measured in spheres. CD117+ cells formed 1.35-fold larger spheres on day 5 compared with the negative cells (Figure 2A). However, staining for the EMT marker vimentin demonstrated a 1.7-fold increase in CD117+ spheres compared with negative (Figure 2B). Additionally, a 2.0-fold increase in the stemness marker Oct4 was measured in CD117+ spheres (Figure 2B). To examine how growth in 3D spheres altered gene expression, we compared samples to 2D, monolayer grown cultures. The growth of CD117+ cells in spheres upregulated *Oct4* (6.8-fold) and *MMP-13* (7.7-fold) compared with monolayer cultures (Figure 2C). Interestingly, the ligand for CD117, *SCF*, expression was also increased with 3D growth of the CD117+ cells. (Figure 2C). No significant difference was seen between negative culture conditions (Figure 2C). Taken together, these data demonstrate that CD117 expression drives prostate cancer progression and this effect is increased when cells are grown in 3D spheres.

CD117+ Cells Are Cancer Stem-like Cells

More invasive cancer cells that form prostaspheres have the potential to be cancer stem-like cells (CSCs).[17] Additionally, the expression of stem cell markers including *Oct3/4* and *Sox2* are often used to examine stemness in potential CSCs.[27,32,33] To further demonstrate pluripotency, self-renewal capacity is measured by clonogenic assays and serial *in vivo* tumor initiation or limiting dilution experiments designed to examine whether a population could regenerate an entire tumor and thus be considered a

CSC.[13,14,16,34,35] In order to differentiate tumor-initiating cells from CSCs, repeated tumor-initiating xenografts are required.[35,36] To determine whether CD117+ cells displayed the CSC phenotype, we first examined the expression of stemness markers. Gene expression of *Oct4* and *Sox2* were 2.5- and 15.5-fold higher, respectively, in CD117+ cells compared with the negative population (Figure 3A). To validate if these cells were a true cancer stem-like population, we performed serial tumor initiation experiments. CD117+ and negative cells were implanted subcutaneously in immunocompromised mice at limiting dilutions. Both populations formed tumors from 500-10,000 implanted cells (data not shown). At 100 cells both groups reliably formed tumors, while at 25 cells approximately 40% of CD117+ and 30% negative cell implants formed tumors (Figure 3B). At 10 cells, 50% (13 of 26) CD117+ and 23% (6 of 26) negative cell implantations formed tumors (Figure 3B). At all cell concentrations, CD117+ cells formed larger tumors (Figure 3C). Serial tumor initiation was completed by dissociating the 10 cell tumors, repeating bead sorting, and re-implantation at 10 cells. In serial tumor initiation, CD117+ cells formed a second tumor ~25% of time (3 of 20 from 10 cells and 7 of 20 from 25 cells), while the negative implants were unable to initiate secondary tumors (Figure 3D). Thus, CD117 positive cells represent a prostate cancer stem-like subpopulation.

SCF Activation of CD117 Increases Prostate Cancer Progression

Based on these data demonstrating that CD117 expression drive prostate cancer progression, we questioned how activation of CD117 might alter these effects. CD117 is activated by the binding to SCF, its sole ligand. SCF, found in a dimer, binds to CD117 inducing dimerization, phosphorylation, and downstream signaling leading to proliferation, cytoskeletal rearrangement, and migration.[21,37,38] To examine how activation of the tyrosine kinase receptor CD117 may alter prostate cancer aggressiveness,

we first examined phosphorylated (p-)CD117 levels in prostate cancer patients. The numbers of p-CD117+ cells per core increased with Gleason Score (Figure 4A). Tumors from patients with grade 4 and 5 cancers contained 2.2-fold more p-CD117 cells compared with grade 1 patient tumors. Thus, activated CD117 is increased in patients with cancer severity.

Next, we examined how CD117 activation by SCF alters prostate cancer cell aggressiveness. First, we treated our sorted CD117+ and negative cells with SCF and found that SCF increased proliferation of CD117+ cells by live cell imaging (1.4-fold in hour 40) but had no significant effect on the negative populations (Figure 4B). We then tested how CD117+ cell activation by SCF would change invasion. Sorted cells were placed in a transwell system with SCF in the bottom chamber (Figure 4C). CD117+ cell invasion was 1.4-fold higher towards SCF than media alone at experimental termination. Thus, SCF functions as a chemoattractant factor for CD117+ cells inducing migration. Finally, SCF treatment effects on CSC gene expression was examined. CD117+ cells have much higher CSC gene expression compared with the negative population. SCF treatment stimulated small, but insignificant decreases in *Oct4* and *Sox2* expression in CD117+ cells and an increase in *Sox2* expression only in negative cells (Figure 4D). Taken together, these data demonstrate that CD117 activation induces prostate cancer progression as shown by proliferation and migration.

CD117 Inhibition Prevents Prostate Cancer Progression

Since CD117 activation induced prostate cancer progression and migration, we next examined the effects of treatment with the tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs): sunitinib, imatinib, and ISCK03. Sunitinib targets several pathways and receptors including PDGFR and VEGFR in addition to CD117.[21,39–41] Imatinib targets CD117, in

addition to BCR-Abl, RET, and PDGFR.[39,42] ISCK03 is a cell-permeable CD117 specific inhibitor that blocks SCF-induced phosphorylation.[43–45] In proliferation studies, sunitinib had the greatest reduction of proliferation for CD117+ cells (85% at 70 hours), while ISCK03 treatment resulted in a 50% reduction in proliferation and imatinib treatment did not affect proliferation (Figure 5A). We next examined the effect of TKIs on growth in 3D. In contrast to proliferation in monolayer, imatinib had the strongest effect inhibiting sphere growth 66%; while sunitinib induced 50% inhibition and ISCK03 had minimal effect at hour 136 (Figure 5B). Taken together, these data demonstrate that TKIs decrease CD117 induced proliferation and sphere formation but the effects of the individual TKIs are dependent on the culture conditions. Overall our data demonstrate that CD117 activation plays a key role in prostate cancer, progression, migration, and resistance to TKIs.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we determined how the CTC marker CD117 expression and activation affected prostate cancer progression. CD117 expression on human prostate cancer LNCaP-C4-2 cells induced increased proliferation, migration, and sphere formation. CD117+ cells expressed stemness marker genes and generated tumors in serial tumor imitation studies indicating that CD117-expressing cells represent a CSC. Beyond expression, CD117 activation was associated with increased cancer severity. SCF activation of CD117 stimulated further proliferation and invasion but had no additional effect on CSC genes. Conversely, CD117 inhibition by TKIs diminished cell proliferation and sphere formation. Our data suggest that CD117 activation drives prostate cancer progression, invasion, and TKI resistance through its induction of the CSC phenotype.

We demonstrate that CD117 expression induces prostate cancer progression and its activation increases with cancer severity. CD117 expression and overactivation is found in several cancers including gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), acute myelogenous leukemia, and melanoma.[21,24,46–48] CD117 is best studied in GIST which carry CD117 activating mutations. In GIST, CD117 expression and activation is associated with worse prognosis and bone metastasis.[49–52] However, activating mutations have only been found in GIST despite increased expression in prostate and ovarian cancers among others.[21,48,53] CD117 expression in many cancers is associated with shorter disease survival and metastasis, and is increased with cancer progression in prostate cancer patients with the highest levels of CD117 staining seen in bone metastases.[21,53–55] However, the opposite is true in myeloid/erythroid cancers, whose patients have improved or unchanged prognosis when CD117 is expressed.[56] This may be partially due to biological differences between hematologic malignancies and solid tumors in which some genes and miRNA

have opposite functions.[57] Further, in solid tumors, cancer cells are often the result of dedifferentiation whereas in hematological malignancies cancer cells are often transformed HSCs or from earlier lineage cells. Thus, the differences in CD117 function in these tumors may relate to the degree of "stemness".

Our data indicate that the CD117 subpopulation represents a prostate CSC. This finding is supported by data demonstrating that CD117 expressing cells in the normal prostate are also stem-like. CD117+ prostate stem cells were found in all murine prostate lobes and in both the luminal and basal compartments. Prostates generated from a single CD117+ cell contained neuroendocrine synaptophysin-positive cells and expressed probasin and Nkx3.1.[22] CD117 is a well-known stem-cell marker for normal hematopoietic cells and its expression declines as cells lose their plasticity during differentiation.[58–60] Further, in other cancer types, including lung and ovarian cancers, CD117 expressing cells exhibited CSC characteristics including self-renewal.[61–63] In osteosarcomas, CD117 is expressed on CSCs and confers resistance to chemotherapies.[64] In that study, CD117+ osteosarcoma cells formed spheres and successfully initiated tumors after serial transplant similar to our findings with the prostate cancer cell line. Further, in accordance with previous studies for prostate cancer, CD117 expression was higher in metastatic osteosarcoma tumors. Thus, CD117 expression appears to correlate with an ability to metastasize to bone. Our prior study demonstrating CD117 expression on CTCs, in combination with this study demonstrating CD117+ cells are tumor-initiating cells, suggests that CD117 likely plays an important role in driving bone metastasis although future studies are needed to examine a causal link.

CD117+ cell escape from the primary tumor could be caused by chemotaxis towards SCF as demonstrated by our *in vitro* data. SCF plays an important role in the homing to

and maintenance of HSCs in the bone microenvironment.[54,60,65,66] Bone marrow niche cells secreting SCF include perivascular cells, endothelial cells, pericytes, mesenchymal stem cells, megakaryocytes, and stromal cells.[67,68] Additionally, osteoblasts produce SCF and control CD117 expressing HSC numbers near trabeculae.[69] Correspondingly, SCF deletion in endothelial cells or pericytes leads to HSC depletion in bone marrow.[67,70,71] SCF also plays an important role in cancer and metastasis. Bone marrow stromal cells and prostate cancer express membrane SCF and release soluble form. However, bone marrow stromal cells express much higher levels of the soluble SCF.[54] These data indicated that SCF in the bone marrow might function as a chemoattractant stimulating prostate cancer bone metastasis as already demonstrated during Ewing's sarcoma metastasis to bone.[72] Interestingly, LNCaP-C4-2 prostate cancer cells contain a subpopulation of CD117+ cells, while the LNCaP parental subline does not. It is likely that the exposure of the LNCaP cells to bone marrow during the generation of the C4-2 subline induced CD117 expression. This was shown with the PC3 cells that once exposed to bone marrow cells started to express CD117.[54] In other cell types, SCF treatment can induce CD117 expression but can also induce internalization of the receptor.[37,73,74] These data may explain why SCF treatment stimulated increased *Sox2* expression in the negative population and had little effect on the CD117+ cell population gene expression. Further studies are needed to examine how SCF treatment might promote CD117 expression in negative cells. Binding of SCF to the CD117+ cells may confer therapeutic resistance due to internalization of the receptor or via competition with TKIs, such as imatinib, for the CD117 activation site.[75]

Our data demonstrate that CD117+ prostate CSCs do not have a strong response to TKI treatment and that their response is context dependent. The TKIs imatinib and sunitinib were developed for and have a higher specificity for other tyrosine kinases. The

lack of CD117+ cell response may be one reason for the failures of these TKIs in clinical studies.[76] Further, for prostate cancer, TKIs were given to patients with castration-resistant metastatic disease, which may be too late for the treatments to show efficacy. However, cabozantinib treatment in CRPC patients demonstrated tumor reduction and smaller bone metastases,[77] which was not tested in this study, had a higher specificity for CD117 than either imatinib or sunitinib.[21] CD117 expressing osteosarcoma cells are resistant to doxorubicin.[64] CD117 mutations in GIST are responsible for resistance to TKI treatment. 14% of GIST patients are initially resistant to imatinib and 50% develop resistance within two years of treatment. For most patients, sunitinib will then be used and effective unless one mutation, D816H/V, is present which is resistant to both TKIs. Imatinib works better on inactive CD117 and prevents activation, but doesn't bind to activated CD117.[78] Further, SCF in the bone microenvironment alters the efficacy of treatments, especially tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) which have off-target effects in bone.[79] TKIs induce osteonecrosis in the jaw even when combined with bisphosphonates.[80] One possible reason may be stimulation of osteoclast and osteoblast differentiation by SCF. Another confounding factor is that tumor microenvironment SCF induces imatinib resistance by competing for the binding site with a higher affinity for CD117.[75] Imatinib, in particular, works better on inactive CD117 and prevents activation, but doesn't bind to activated CD117.[78] Thus, the high levels of SCF in the bone microenvironment may prevent TKI treatment from working properly on CD117 expressing prostate cancer cells, the numbers of which increase after exposure to the bone marrow.[54] The failures of prior TKI research may be due to the testing of inhibitors in patients who had already developed bone metastases.[76] This may be too late to alter

progression and TKIs could be more effective earlier in the metastatic process. Further, failures may be due to the low specificity of current TKIs for CD117.[21]

In combination with our prior data showing a CD117+ CTC population in advanced prostate cancer patients, the data from this study demonstrate that CD117 expression in primary tumors and on CTCs may distinguish advanced cancer patients likely to have more aggressive tumors leading to recurrence and metastasis. Further research is required to verify that CD117 expressing cells represent CSCs in patients and that these cells initiate bone metastases, which would require the development of new bone metastases models.[81] In summary, we demonstrate that the CD117 subpopulation of LNCaP-C4-2 prostate cancer cells represent CSCs driving progression, migration, and TKI resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Brandi Bickford from the Virtual Microscopy Core for her assistance with slide scanning, Jolyn Turner from the Cell Engineering Shared Resource for assistance generating the cell lines, and Dr. Taylor Peak for assistance with TMA staining. Koran Harris was partially supported by an NC A&T NIH/NIGMS MARC U*STAR Grant (T34 GM083980-08) and the DOD PCRP NC Summer Research Program (W81XWH-16-1-0351). Phyllis Elliott is a Gates Foundation Millennium Scholar. This research was supported by an NIH/NCI grant R00 CA175291 to Dr. Kerr. This research was additionally supported by the Wake Forest Baptist Comprehensive Cancer Center Shared Resources grant (NIH/NCI CCSG P30 CA012197) and the Wake Forest CTSI grant (NIH/NCATS UL1 TR001420).

REFERENCES

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2019;69(1):7-34. doi:10.3322/caac.21551.
2. Rycaj K, Tang DG. Metastasis and Metastatic Cells: A Historical Perspective and Current Analysis. In: Liu H, Lathia JD, eds. *Cancer Stem Cells*. Elsevier; 2016:317-340. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-803892-5.00012-7.
3. Schilling D, Todenhöfer T, Hennenlotter J, Schwentner C, Fehm T, Stenzl A. Isolated, disseminated and circulating tumour cells in prostate cancer. *Nat Rev Urol*. 2012;9(8):448-463. doi:10.1038/nrurol.2012.136.
4. Reymond N, D'Água BB, Ridley AJ. Crossing the endothelial barrier during metastasis. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2013;13(12):858-870. doi:10.1038/nrc3628.
5. Butler TP, Gullino PM. Quantitation of cell shedding into efferent blood of mammary adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res*. 1975;35(3):512-516. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1090362. Accessed November 29, 2016.
6. Allan AL, Keeney M. Circulating tumor cell analysis: Technical and statistical considerations for application to the clinic. *J Oncol*. 2010;2010:426218. doi:10.1155/2010/426218.
7. Harris KS, Kerr BA. Prostate Cancer Stem Cell Markers Drive Progression, Therapeutic Resistance, and Bone Metastasis. *Stem Cells Int*. 2017;2017:8629234. doi:10.1155/2017/8629234.
8. Caixeiro NJ, Kienzle N, Lim SH, et al. Circulating tumour cells--a bona fide cause of metastatic cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev*. 2014;33(2-3):747-756. doi:10.1007/s10555-014-9502-8.
9. Chopra AS, Liu X, Liu H. Cancer Stem Cells: Metastasis and Evasion from the

Host Immune System. In: Liu H, Lathia JD, eds. *Cancer Stem Cells*. Elsevier; 2016:341-366. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-803892-5.00013-9.

10. van der Toom EE, Verdone JE, Pienta KJ. Disseminated tumor cells and dormancy in prostate cancer metastasis. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2016;40:9-15. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2016.02.002.
11. Lobo NA, Shimono Y, Qian D, Clarke MF. The Biology of Cancer Stem Cells. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2007;23(1):675-699. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.22.010305.104154.
12. Clarke MF, Dick JE, Dirks PB, et al. Cancer stem cells--perspectives on current status and future directions: AACR Workshop on cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res*. 2006;66(19):9339-9344. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3126.
13. Kreso A, Dick JE. Evolution of the cancer stem cell model. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2014;14(3):275-291. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2014.02.006.
14. Moltzahn FR, Volkmer JP, Rottke D, Ackermann R. “Cancer stem cells”-lessons from Hercules to fight the Hydra. *Urol Oncol*. 2008;26(6):581-589. doi:S1078-1439(08)00164-6 [pii] 10.1016/j.urolonc.2008.07.009.
15. Nguyen L V., Vanner R, Dirks P, Eaves CJ. Cancer stem cells: an evolving concept. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012;12(2):133-143. doi:10.1038/nrc3184.
16. Valent P, Bonnet D, De Maria R, et al. Cancer stem cell definitions and terminology: the devil is in the details. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012;12(11):767-775. doi:10.1038/nrc3368.
17. Mitra SS, He JQ, Esparza R, Hutter G, Cheshier SH, Weissman I. Introduction: Cancer Stem Cells. In: Liu H, Lathia JD, eds. *Cancer Stem Cells*. Elsevier; 2016:3-24. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-803892-5.00001-2.

18. Kyjacova L, Hubackova S, Krejcikova K, et al. Radiotherapy-induced plasticity of prostate cancer mobilizes stem-like non-adherent, Erk signaling-dependent cells. *Cell Death Differ*. 2015;22(6):898-911. doi:10.1038/cdd.2014.97.

19. Kerr BA, Miocinovic R, Smith AK, et al. CD117^{+} cells in the circulation are predictive of advanced prostate cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(3):1889-1897. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.2796.

20. Di Lorenzo G, Autorino R, D'Armiento FP, et al. Expression of proto-oncogene c-kit in high risk prostate cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2004;30(9):987-992. doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2004.07.017.

21. Foster B, Zaidi D, Young T, Mobley M, Kerr B. CD117/c-kit in Cancer Stem Cell-Mediated Progression and Therapeutic Resistance. *Biomedicines*. 2018;6(1):31. doi:10.3390/biomedicines6010031.

22. Leong KG, Wang B-E, Johnson L, Gao W-Q. Generation of a prostate from a single adult stem cell. *Nature*. 2008;456(7223):804-808. doi:10.1038/nature07427.

23. Linnekin D. Early signaling pathways activated by c-Kit in hematopoietic cells. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*. 1999;31(10):1053-1074. doi:10.1016/S1357-2725(99)00078-3.

24. Stankov K, Popovic S, Mikov M. C-KIT signaling in cancer treatment. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2014;20(17):2849-2880. doi:10.2174/13816128113199990593.

25. Wheeler DL, Yarden Y. *Receptor Tyrosine Kinases: Structure, Functions and Role in Human Disease*.; 2015. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-2053-2.

26. Wheeler DL, Yarden Y. *Receptor Tyrosine Kinases: Family and Subfamilies*.; 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-11888-8.

27. Bae K-M, Su Z, Frye C, et al. Expression of pluripotent stem cell reprogramming factors by prostate tumor initiating cells. *J Urol*. 2010;183(5):2045-2053. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2009.12.092.

28. Chen X, Li Q, Liu X, et al. Defining a Population of Stem-like Human Prostate Cancer Cells That Can Generate and Propagate Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2016;22(17):4505-4516. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2956.

29. Bae K-M, Parker NN, Dai Y, Vieweg J, Siemann DW. E-cadherin plasticity in prostate cancer stem cell invasion. *Am J Cancer Res*. 2011;1(1):71-84. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21968440. Accessed December 20, 2016.

30. Qin J, Liu X, Laffin B, et al. The PSA(-/lo) prostate cancer cell population harbors self-renewing long-term tumor-propagating cells that resist castration. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2012;10(5):556-569. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2012.03.009.

31. Jiao J, Hindoyan A, Wang S, et al. Identification of CD166 as a surface marker for enriching prostate stem/progenitor and cancer initiating cells. Tang DG, ed. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(8):e42564. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042564.

32. Beck B, Blanpain C. Unravelling cancer stem cell potential. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2013;13(10):727-738. doi:10.1038/nrc3597.

33. Jarrar A, Chumakova A, Hitomi M, Lathia JD. Enrichment and Interrogation of Cancer Stem Cells. In: Liu H, Lathia JD, eds. *Cancer Stem Cells*. Elsevier; 2016:59-98. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803892-5.00003-6.

34. Rycaj K, Tang DG. Cell-of-Origin of Cancer versus Cancer Stem Cells: Assays and Interpretations. *Cancer Res*. 2015;75(19). doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-0798.

35. Zabala M, Lobo NA, Qian D, van Weele LJ, Heiser D, Clarke MF. Overview:

Cancer Stem Cell Self-Renewal. In: Liu H, Lathia JD, eds. *Cancer Stem Cells*. Elsevier; 2016:25-58. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-803892-5.00002-4.

36. Lathia JD. Cancer stem cells: moving past the controversy. *CNS Oncol*. 2013;2(6):465-467. doi:10.2217/cns.13.42.

37. Blume-Jensen P, Claesson-Welsh L, Siegbahn A, Zsebo KM, Westermark B, Heldin CH. Activation of the human c-kit product by ligand-induced dimerization mediates circular actin reorganization and chemotaxis. *EMBO J*. 1991;10(13):4121-4128. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=1721869.

38. Okumura N, Tsuji K, Ebihara Y, et al. Chemotactic and chemokinetic activities of stem cell factor on murine hematopoietic progenitor cells. *Blood*. 1996;87(10):4100-4108. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8639767.

39. Galanis A, Levis M. Inhibition of c-Kit by tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Haematologica*. 2015;100(3):e77-9. doi:10.3324/haematol.2014.117028.

40. Di Gion P, Kanefendt F, Lindauer A, et al. Clinical Pharmacokinetics of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2011;50(9):551-603. doi:10.2165/11593320-000000000-00000.

41. Hu S, Niu H, Minkin P, et al. Comparison of antitumor effects of multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors in acute myelogenous leukemia. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2008;7(5):1110-1120. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-07-2218.

42. Juurikivi A, Sandler C, Lindstedt KA, et al. Inhibition of c-kit tyrosine kinase by imatinib mesylate induces apoptosis in mast cells in rheumatoid synovia: a potential approach to the treatment of arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*.

2005;64(8):1126-1131. doi:10.1136/ard.2004.029835.

43. Na YJ, Baek HS, Ahn SM, Shin HJ, Chang I-S, Hwang JS. [4-t-Butylphenyl]-N-(4-imidazol-1-yl phenyl)sulfonamide (ISCK03) inhibits SCF/c-kit signaling in 501mel human melanoma cells and abolishes melanin production in mice and brownish guinea pigs. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2007;74(5):780-786. doi:10.1016/J.BCP.2007.05.028.

44. Kamlah F, Hänze J, Arenz A, et al. Comparison of the Effects of Carbon Ion and Photon Irradiation on the Angiogenic Response in Human Lung Adenocarcinoma Cells. *Int J Radiat Oncol*. 2011;80(5):1541-1549. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.03.033.

45. Hirano T, Yoshikawa R, Harada H, Harada Y, Ishida A, Yamazaki T. Long noncoding RNA, CCDC26, controls myeloid leukemia cell growth through regulation of KIT expression. *Mol Cancer*. 2015;14(1):90. doi:10.1186/s12943-015-0364-7.

46. Ke H, Kazi JU, Zhao H, Sun J. Germline mutations of KIT in gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) and mastocytosis. *Cell Biosci*. 2016;6(1). doi:10.1186/s13578-016-0120-8.

47. Longley BJ, Reguera MJ, Ma Y. Classes of c-KIT activating mutations: proposed mechanisms of action and implications for disease classification and therapy. *Leuk Res*. 2001;25(7):571-576. doi:10.1016/S0145-2126(01)00028-5.

48. Medinger M, Kleinschmidt M, Mross K, et al. c-kit (CD117) expression in human tumors and its prognostic value: an immunohistochemical analysis. *Pathol Oncol Res*. 2010;16(3):295-301. doi:10.1007/s12253-010-9247-9.

49. Hou Y-Y, Grabellus F, Weber F, et al. Impact of {KIT} and {PDGFRA} gene mutations on prognosis of patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors after

complete primary tumor resection. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2009;13(9):1583-1592.

50. Andersson J, Bümming P, Meis-Kindblom JM, et al. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors with {KIT} exon 11 deletions are associated with poor prognosis. *Gastroenterology*. 2006;130(6):1573-1581.

51. Søreide K, Sandvik OM, Søreide JA, Gudlaugsson E, Mangseth K, Haugland HK. Tyrosine-kinase mutations in c-KIT and PDGFR-alpha genes of imatinib naïve adult patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) of the stomach and small intestine: Relation to tumour-biological risk-profile and long-term outcome. *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2012;14(8):619-629. doi:10.1007/s12094-012-0851-x.

52. Kosemehmetoglu K, Kaygusuz G, Fritchie K, et al. Clinical and pathological characteristics of gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) metastatic to bone. *Virchows Arch*. May 2017. doi:10.1007/s00428-017-2138-7.

53. Stemberger-Papić S, Vrdoljak-Mozetic D, Ostojić DV, et al. Expression of {CD133} and {CD117} in 64 Serous Ovarian Cancer Cases. *Coll Antropol*. 2015;39(3):745-753.

54. Wiesner C, Nabha SM, Dos Santos EB, et al. C-kit and its ligand stem cell factor: potential contribution to prostate cancer bone metastasis. *Neoplasia*. 2008;10(9):996-1003. doi:10.1593/neo.08618.

55. Mainetti LE, Zhe X, Diedrich J, et al. Bone-induced c-kit expression in prostate cancer: a driver of intraosseous tumor growth. *Int J cancer*. 2015;136(1):11-20. doi:10.1002/ijc.28948.

56. Blair A, Sutherland HJ. Primitive acute myeloid leukemia cells with long-term proliferative ability in vitro and in vivo lack surface expression of c-kit (CD117). *Exp Hematol*. 2000;28(6):660-671. doi:10.1016/S0301-472X(00)00155-7.

57. Gaur A, Jewell DA, Liang Y, et al. Characterization of MicroRNA Expression Levels and Their Biological Correlates in Human Cancer Cell Lines. *Cancer Res*. 2007;67(6):2456-2468. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2698.

58. Acar M, Kocherlakota KS, Murphy MM, et al. Deep imaging of bone marrow shows non-dividing stem cells are mainly perisinusoidal. *Nature*. 2015;526(7571):126-130. doi:10.1038/nature15250.

59. Kimura Y, Ding B, Imai N, Nolan DJ, Butler JM, Rafii S. c-Kit-mediated functional positioning of stem cells to their niches is essential for maintenance and regeneration of adult hematopoiesis. Rota M, ed. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(10):e26918. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026918.

60. Sasaki T, Mizuochi C, Horio Y, Nakao K, Akashi K, Sugiyama D. Regulation of hematopoietic cell clusters in the placental niche through SCF/Kit signaling in embryonic mouse. *Development*. 2010;137(23):3941-3952. doi:10.1242/dev.051359.

61. Luo L, Zeng J, Liang B, et al. Ovarian cancer cells with the {CD117} phenotype are highly tumorigenic and are related to chemotherapy outcome. *Exp Mol Pathol*. 2011;91(2):596-602.

62. Sakabe T, Azumi J, Haruki T, Umekita Y, Nakamura H, Shiota G. {CD117} expression is a predictive marker for poor prognosis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2017;13(5):3703-3708.

63. Foster R, Buckanovich RJ, Rueda BR. Ovarian cancer stem cells: working towards the root of stemness. *Cancer Lett*. 2013;338(1):147-157.

64. Adhikari AS, Agarwal N, Wood BM, et al. CD117 and Stro-1 identify osteosarcoma tumor-initiating cells associated with metastasis and drug resistance. *Cancer Res*.

2010;70(11):4602-4612. doi:0008-5472.CAN-09-3463 [pii] 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3463.

65. Ashman LK. The biology of stem cell factor and its receptor C-kit. *IntJBiochemCell Biol*. 1999;31(1357-2725):1037-1051. doi:10.1016/S1357-2725(99)00076-X.

66. Liang J, Wu YL, Chen BJ, Zhang W, Tanaka Y, Sugiyama H. The C-Kit receptor-mediated signal transduction and tumor-related diseases. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2013;9(5):435-443. doi:10.7150/ijbs.6087.

67. Ding L, Saunders TL, Enikolopov G, Morrison SJ. Endothelial and perivascular cells maintain haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature*. 2012;481(7382):457-462. doi:10.1038/nature10783.

68. Broudy VC. Stem cell factor and hematopoiesis. *Blood*. 1997;90(4):1345-1364. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9269751.

69. Calvi LM, Link DC. The hematopoietic stem cell niche in homeostasis and disease. *Blood*. 2015;126(22):2443-2451. doi:10.1182/blood-2015-07-533588.

70. Kacena MA, Gundberg CM, Horowitz MC. A reciprocal regulatory interaction between megakaryocytes, bone cells, and hematopoietic stem cells. *Bone*. 2006;39(5):978-984. doi:10.1016/j.bone.2006.05.019.

71. Asada N, Kunisaki Y, Pierce H, et al. Differential cytokine contributions of perivascular haematopoietic stem cell niches. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2017;19(3):214-223. doi:10.1038/ncb3475.

72. Landuzzi L, De Giovanni C, Nicoletti G, et al. The metastatic ability of Ewing's sarcoma cells is modulated by stem cell factor and by its receptor c-kit. *Am J Pathol*. 2000;157(6):2123-2131. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64850-X.

73. Reber L, Da Silva CA, Frossard N. Stem cell factor and its receptor c-Kit as targets for inflammatory diseases. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2006;533(1-3):327-340. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2005.12.067.

74. Caruana G, Cambareri AC, Ashman LK. Isoforms of c-KIT differ in activation of signalling pathways and transformation of NIH3T3 fibroblasts. *Oncogene*. 1999;18(40):5573-5581. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1202939.

75. Calipel A, Landreville S, De La Fouchardière A, et al. Mechanisms of resistance to imatinib mesylate in KIT-positive metastatic uveal melanoma. *Clin Exp Metastasis*. 2014;31(5):553-564. doi:10.1007/s10585-014-9649-2.

76. Mathew P, Thall PF, Bucana CD, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor receptor inhibition and chemotherapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer with bone metastases. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2007;13(19):5816-5824. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-1269.

77. Smith DC, Smith MR, Sweeney C, et al. Cabozantinib in patients with advanced prostate cancer: results of a phase II randomized discontinuation trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(4):412-419. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.45.0494.

78. Gajiwala KS, Wu JC, Christensen J, et al. KIT kinase mutants show unique mechanisms of drug resistance to imatinib and sunitinib in gastrointestinal stromal tumor patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106(5):1542-1547. doi:10.1073/pnas.0812413106.

79. Aleman JO, Farooki A, Girotra M. Effects of tyrosine kinase inhibition on bone metabolism: untargeted consequences of targeted therapies. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2014;21(3):R247-R259. doi:10.1530/ERC-12-0400.

80. Beuselinck B, Wolter P, Karadimou A, et al. Concomitant oral tyrosine kinase

inhibitors and bisphosphonates in advanced renal cell carcinoma with bone metastases. *Br J Cancer*. 2012;107(10):1665-1671. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.385.

81. Jinnah AH, Zacks BC, Gwam CU, Kerr BA. Emerging and Established Models of Bone Metastasis. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2018;10(6):176. doi:10.3390/cancers10060176.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. CD117 Expression Induces Cancer Cell Proliferation and Migration. LNCaP-C4-2 cells were sorted into CD117+ and negative populations. (A) Proliferation was measured by live cell imaging and represented as mean percent confluence ±SEM (*n*=12). (B-C) Cell migration was measured by live cell imaging of a scratch assay. Percent cell confluence in the wound (B) and wound width (C) are represented as mean ±SEM (*n*=6). (D) Chemotaxis through pores as measured by live cell imaging and represented as number of cells on transwell bottom normalized to initial seeding mean ±SEM (*n*=8). *** represents $p<0.005$ by Student's *t* test.

Figure 2. CD117 Promotes Aggressiveness in Three-Dimensional Culture. LNCaP-C4-2 cells were sorted into CD117+ and negative populations. (A) Sphere formation was tracked using live cell imaging and represented as mean sphere area (*n*=12). (B) After 7 days, spheres were sectioned and stained for the EMT markers: E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin; and the stemness markers: Sox2 and Oct4. Relative fluorescence is represented as mean ±SEM (*n*=3-6). (C) Gene expression was compared between sorted cells grown in 2D monolayer or 3D spheres and represented as mean fold change ±SEM (*n*=3). * represents $p<0.05$, ** represents $p<0.01$, and *** represents $p<0.005$ by Student's *t* test (A-B) and one-way ANOVA (C).

Figure 3. CD117+ Cells Represent a Cancer Stem-like Cell. LNCaP-C4-2 cells were sorted into CD117+ and negative populations. (A) Gene expression of monolayer cultured cells was examined for the stemness markers *Oct4* and *Sox2* and represented as mean fold change ±SEM (*n*=4). (B-D) Sorted cells were injected into immunocompromised mice in a limiting dilution assay. The number of tumors formed at each dilution is shown in (B). Tumor weights (C) are represented as mean ±SEM (*n*=2-

13). IVIS imaging was performed to track tumor size and presence over time. A representative image is shown in (D). * represents $p<0.05$ and ** represents $p<0.01$ by Student's *t* test.

Figure 4. CD117 Activation by SCF Stimulates Aggressiveness. (A) Tumor microarrays from prostate cancer patients were stained for phosphorylated (p) CD117. The number of positive cells per core were counted as mean ±SEM (n=5-20) and separated by Gleason score. (B-D) Sorted LNCaP-C4-2 cells were treated with 50 ng/mL stem cell factor (SCF) to activate CD117. (B) Proliferation was assessed by live cell imaging and represented as mean percentage confluence ±SEM (n=12). (C) Chemotaxis through pores towards SCF was measured by live cell imaging and represented as mean number of cells migrated to the initial numbers seeded ±SEM (n=8). (D) Gene expression of *Oct4* and *Sox2* were measured after SCF treatment and represented as mean fold change ±SEM (n=4). * represents $p<0.05$, ** represents $p<0.01$, and *** represents $p<0.005$ by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 5. Inhibition of CD117 Decreased Proliferation and Sphere Formation. Sorted LNCaP-C4-2 cells were treated with the broad tyrosine kinase inhibitors imatinib and sunitinib, as well as, ISCK03, a CD117-specific inhibitor. (A) Proliferation was measured by live cell imaging and represented as mean percent confluence ±SEM (n=6). (B) Sphere formation was tracked using live cell imaging and represented as mean sphere area (n=12). *** represents $p<0.005$ by one-way ANOVA.

TABLES

Table 1. qPCR Primers. Primer sequences for genes examined by quantitative PCR.

	Forward	**Reverse**
MMP9	TCTATGGTCCTCGCCCTGAA	CATCGTCCACCGGACTCAAA
MMP13	CATGAGTTCGGCCACTCCTT	CCTCGGAGACTGGTAATGGC
Oct4	AGCTGGAGAAGGAGAAGCTGG	TCGGACCACATCCTTCTCGAG
SCF	CCTGAGAAAGGGAAGGCCAAA	AAGGCTCCAAAAGCAAAGCC
mTOR	CGAAGCCGCGCGAACC	ATTCCGGCTCTTTAGGCCAC
Sox2	CACCTACAGCATGTCCTACTC	CATGCTGTTTCTTACTCTCCTC
Actin	GAGCACAGAGCCTCGCCTTT	ATCCTTCTGACCCATGCCCA


A
CD117⁻
CD117⁺
***
Cell Proliferation
(% Confluence)
100
80
60
40
20
0
-20
20
40
60
80
Time (hours)


B
***
Wound Confluence
(Precent)
80
60
40
20
0
0
6
14
22
29
36
44
Time (hours)


C
***
Wound Width
(μm)
2500
2000
1500
1000
500
0
0
6
14
22
29
36
44
Time (hours)


D
***
Migrated Area
Normalized to Initial Top Area
10
5
0
0
20
40
60
80
Time (hours)


A
CD117-
CD117+
***
Average Sphere Area Normalized to time 0 (mm3)
600
400
200
0
0
50
100
150
200
Time (Hours)
B
Sphere Staining Relative Fluoresences Units
500000
400000
300000
200000
100000
0
*
*
E-Cadherin
N-Cadherin
Vimentin
Sox2
Oct4
C
CD117- 2D
CD117- 3D
CD117+ 2D
CD117+ 3D
Gene Expression Relative to negative Normalized to Actin
40
30
20
10
5
4
3
2
1
0
***
***
***
*
*
**
***
***
***
MMP9
MMP13
Oct4
SCF

A



B

CELL NUMBER INJECTED	CD117+ TUMORS FORMED	CD117- TUMORS FORMED
10 CELLS	13 of 26 (50%)	6 of 26 (23%)
25 CELLS	8 of 20 (40%)	6 of 20 (30%)
100 CELLS	5 of 6 (83%)	2 of 2 (100%)

C



D




A
Number pCD117+ Cells/core
10000
8000
6000
4000
2000
0
*
Grade 1
Grades 2 & 3
Grades 4 & 5
B
CD117⁻
CD117⁺
CD117⁻ +SCF
CD117⁺ +SCF
Cell Proliferation (Percent Confluence)
100
50
0
20
40
60
80
Time (Hours)
C
Migrated Area Normalized to Initial Top Area
10
5
0
***
Time (hours)
D
Gene Expression Relative to negative control Normalized to Actin
20
15
10
5
0
*
**
Oct4
Sox2


A
Control
Imatinib
Sunitinib
ISCK03
Cell Proliferation
(% Confluence)
100
50
0
0
20
40
60
80
Time (hours)
***


B
Cross-Sectional
Sphere Area (μm²)
600
400
200
0
0
50
100
150
Time (hours)
***
***