The maternal effect gene *Wds* controls *Wolbachia* titer in *Nasonia*

Lisa J. Funkhouser-Jones[1,2,6*], Edward J. van Opstal[1,6*], Ananya Sharma[1] and Seth R.

Bordenstein[1,3,4,5,7*]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA.

[2] Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine in St.

Louis, Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[3] Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville,

TN 37235, USA.

[4] Vanderbilt Institute for Infection, Immunology and Inflammation, Vanderbilt University,

Nashville, TN 37235, USA.

[5] Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Nashville, TN 37235, USA.

[6] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[7] Lead contact

* Corresponding authors. Email: s.bordenstein@vanderbilt.edu (SRB),

lisa.j.funkhouser@gmail.com (LJF), edward.j.van.opstal@vanderbilt.edu (EjvO)

SUMMARY

Maternal transmission of intracellular microbes is pivotal in establishing long-term, intimate

symbioses. For germline microbes that exert negative reproductive effects on their hosts,

selection can theoretically favor the spread of host genes that counteract the microbe's harmful

effects. Here, we leverage a major difference in bacterial (*Wolbachia pipientis*) titers between

closely-related wasp species with forward genetic, transcriptomic, and cytological approaches to

map two quantitative trait loci that suppress bacterial titers via a maternal effect. Fine mapping

and knockdown experiments identify the gene *Wolbachia density suppressor* (*Wds*), which

dominantly suppresses bacterial transmission from mother to embryo. *Wds* evolved by lineage-

specific non-synonymous changes driven by positive selection. Collectively, our findings

demonstrate that a genetically simple change arose by Darwinian selection in less than a million

years to regulate maternally transmitted bacteria via a dominant, maternal effect gene.

INTRODUCTION

Many animals harbor microorganisms that participate in beneficial processes as diverse as nutritional uptake and metabolism [1, 2], immune cell development [3, 4], and pathogen resistance [5, 6]. However, even innocuous microbes can become harmful when not properly regulated [7, 8]. Moreover, intracellular symbionts that are maternally transmitted over multiple host generations can impose long-term, negative fitness effects on their hosts [9, 10]. In these intimate and enduring symbioses, hosts are predicted to evolve suppression that reduces the harmful effects of the symbiont [11, 12]. However, little is known about the genes and evolutionary forces that underpin regulation of maternally-transmitted symbionts despite the repeated and independent origins of maternal transmission in diverse host taxa [13]. Reverse genetic studies in insects suggest immune or developmental genes may evolve to affect endosymbiont densities [14-16] but, to our knowledge, no studies have utilized forward genetic approaches to identify the gene(s) underlying variation in host regulation of maternally-transmitted symbionts.

Here, we utilize a major host interspecific difference in titers of the maternally-transmitted bacteria *Wolbachia* and quantitative trait loci analyses to identify a maternal effect, suppressor gene in the *Nasonia* model. *Nasonia* (Order: Hymenoptera) is a genus of parasitoid wasps comprised of four closely-related species, with *N. vitripennis* last sharing a common ancestor with the other three species approximately one million years ago [17, 18]. In the lab, interspecific crosses of *Nasonia* species with the same or no *Wolbachia* produce viable and fertile hybrid females, which permits the transfer of genetic or cytoplasmic material (including intracellular *Wolbachia*) between *Nasonia* species. Consequently, *Nasonia* is a powerful model

for studying the quantitative genetics of interspecific variation in host traits such as wing size

[19, 20], head shape [21], and sex pheromones [22].

Wolbachia (Order Rickettsiales) live intracellularly in 40-52% of all arthropod species

[23, 24], and they are predominantly transmitted transovarially with occasional transfer between

host species on an evolutionary timescale [25, 26]. In most insects, including in *Nasonia*,

Wolbachia function as reproductive parasites that manipulate host reproduction through a variety

of mechanisms to achieve a greater proportion of infected females in the host population [27,

28]. Both efficient maternal transmission and host reproductive manipulation often depend upon

sufficiently high within-host *Wolbachia* densities [29, 30]; however, overproliferation of

Wolbachia can drastically reduce lifespan in *Drosophila* [10], mosquitoes [31, 32] and terrestrial

isopods [33]. Thus, coadaptation between arthropod hosts and *Wolbachia* strain(s) promotes

genetic and phenotypic changes that impact transmission of *Wolbachia* densities [34-37]. When

co-adapted host and symbiont pairs are disrupted through experimental transfer of *Wolbachia*

into a naïve host, control of the symbiosis is often lost, leading to overproliferation, expanded

tissue tropism of *Wolbachia*, changes in bacteriophage activity, and/or fitness costs not observed

in the original host species [33, 37, 38].

Each *Nasonia* species is naturally infected with different *Wolbachia* strains that were

mostly acquired through horizontal transfer but in rare cases have since co-diverged with their

host wasp species [39]. Introgression of a specific *Wolbachia* strain (*w*VitA) from one *Nasonia*

species (*N. vitripennis*) to a naïve, closely-related species (*N. giraulti*) results in a major

perturbation in which the relative *Wolbachia* densities increase by two orders of magnitude with

an associated reduction in fecundity in *N. giraulti* [37]. Importantly, *w*VitA densities and

Nasonia fecundity return to normal when *w*VitA is crossed back into a *N. vitripennis* genomic

background from the high-density *N. giraulti* line (IntG). Since both the native *N. vitripennis* and

the *w*VitA-infected *N. giraulti* IntG lines have the same cytotype, the interspecific *Wolbachia*

density variation is established by variation in the host nuclear genome [37]. In this study, we

utilize several forward genetic techniques in *Nasonia* to dissect the genetic, evolutionary, and

cytological basis of maternal regulation of *Wolbachia*. The varied approaches culminate in the

characterization of two quantitative trait loci and the discovery of *Wolbachia density suppressor*

(*Wds*), a positively-selected, maternal effect gene that suppresses the transmission of *Wolbachia*.

RESULTS

***Nasonia vitripennis* dominantly suppresses *w*VitA titers through a maternal genetic effect**

To determine the inheritance pattern of *w*VitA densities, we reciprocally crossed *N.*

vitripennis (low-density) and *N. giraulti* IntG (high-density) individuals and measured the

Wolbachia densities of F1 female hybrids using quantitative PCR (qPCR) for a single-copy

Wolbachia gene (*groEL*) normalized to a *Nasonia* gene (*NvS6K*) (Figure 1A). The average F1

female pupal *Wolbachia* densities from pure-breeding *N. vitripennis* (N = 5) and *N. giraulti*

control families (N = 5) were 0.057 ± 0.004 and 4.805 ± 1.071 (mean ± S.E.M.), respectively,

which represents an 84-fold interspecific difference in *Wolbachia* titers and is consistent with

previous studies [37]. Interestingly, while F1 hybrid females from both crosses had identical

genotypes (i.e., heterozygous at all loci) and the same cytotype (*N. vitripennis*), the average

Wolbachia densities in reciprocal F1 hybrid females were significantly different at 0.149 ± 0.029

vs 1.746 ± 0.187 (Figure 1A, N = 10 for both crosses, Kruskal-Wallis test: H = 24.99, df = 3, p <

0.0001, Dunn's multiple comparisons test: p = 0.03).

To test whether the difference in F1 *Wolbachia* densities was due to maternal *Wolbachia*

load or to a maternal genetic effect, we backcrossed F1 females to their paternal line and pooled

five female F2 pupae per F1 mother for qPCR (Figure 1B). If a maternal genetic effect regulates

Wolbachia densities, F2 pupae from both experimental lines should have similar *Wolbachia*

levels since F1 hybrid mothers are genotypically identical. Indeed, the densities of F2 pupal

offspring of both low- and high-density F1 mothers were not significantly different (Figure 1B,

0.086 ± 0.007, N = 14, and 0.161 ± 0.024, N = 13, respectively, Dunn's multiple comparisons

test: p = 0.18), supporting the inference that maternal nuclear genotype plays an important role in

regulating *Wolbachia* densities. Furthermore, since the densities of both F2 hybrid groups were

more similar to the *N. vitripennis* control (0.053 ± 0.001, N = 6) than to the *N. giraulti* control

(3.364 ± 0.174, N = 6), the *N. vitripennis* suppression gene(s) producing the low *Wolbachia*

density phenotype is dominant (Figure 1B).

Disparities in embryonic *w*VitA levels between *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* are established

during oogenesis

We previously showed that variation in *w*VitA loads between *N. vitripennis* and *N.*

giraulti exists in early embryos, with strict posterior localization of *w*VitA in *N. vitripennis* that

is perturbed in *N. giraulti* embryos [37]. Since the *w*VitA density disparity is partially controlled

through a maternal genetic effect (Figure 1B), we reasoned that an embryonic disparity in *w*VitA

densities between *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* is likely established in the egg chamber during

oogenesis (Figure 2A). Indeed, nucleic acid staining with SYTOX Green revealed that fewer

*w*VitA bacteria are present in stage three *N. vitripennis* egg chambers (Figure 2B) than in *N.*

giraulti ones at the same stage (Figure 2E, Figure S1). Once in the oocyte, *w*VitA localizes to the

posterior pole at low density in *N. vitripennis* (Figure 2B) but occurs at high density in the

posterior pole with an expanded distribution toward the anterior end of the oocyte in *N. giraulti*

(Figure 2E). The lack of puncta in ovaries from uninfected *N. vitripennis* confirms that the

SYTOX nucleic acid dye effectively stains *Wolbachia* but no other cytoplasmic elements, such

as mitochondria (Figure 2D). Altogether, the distribution and density of *w*VitA in oocytes of

these two *Nasonia* species perfectly mirror their embryonic *w*VitA patterns (Figure 2C and 2F),

suggesting that *Wolbachia* density differences are mainly established during oogenesis and

regulated through maternal effect genes, rather than zygotic genes expressed later in embryonic

development.

Phenotype-based selection and introgression identifies two maternal suppressor genomic

regions

In an initial approach to determine the location and number of loci that suppress *w*VitA

densities in *N. vitripennis*, we selected upon the low-density phenotype of this species while

serially backcrossing hybrid females to *N. giraulti* IntG males (Figure 3A). Since the phenotype

is controlled through a maternal genetic effect, hybrid females were selected based on the *w*VitA

densities of their offspring, with sisters of the low-density offspring used as mothers in the next

round of introgression (Figure 3A). Two independent selection lines were generated

simultaneously to help discriminate between *N. vitripennis* regions maintained due to selection

(present in both lines) versus those maintained through chance (present in only one line).

For each independent line, DNA from three females that produced ninth-generation

offspring with the lowest *Wolbachia* densities were pooled and genotyped on a *Nasonia*

genotyping microarray composed of 19,681 sequence markers that differ between *N. vitripennis*

and *N. giraulti* [40]. Both selection lines (L1 and L2) displayed an enrichment of *N. vitripennis*

alleles along the central portions of chromosomes 2 and 3 out of *Nasonia*'s five chromosomes

(Figure 3B). On the most recent *N. vitripennis* linkage map [40], the area of enrichment on

chromosome 2 for L1 occurs between 38 cM and 51.1 cM, while enrichment in L2 extends from

25.6 cM to 38 cM (Figure 3B). Although overlap in *N. vitripennis* allele enrichment between L1

and L2 on chromosome 2 occurs at 38 cM, the exact position and size of the overlap cannot be

determined due to the fact that it falls within the poorly-assembled heterochromatic regions

flanking the centromere [40]. For chromosome 3, the areas of enrichment for *N. vitripennis*

alleles between L1 and L2 coincide starting at 35 cM and ending at 47.5 cM.

QTL analysis validates the two maternal effect suppressor regions

To validate the *Wolbachia* density-suppressing chromosomal regions determined through

phenotypic selection, we performed an independent quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis in

which F1 hybrid females were backcrossed to high-density *N. giraulti* IntG males to obtain 191

F2 recombinant females. Each F2 female was phenotyped by measuring the *Wolbachia* densities

of her F3 pupal offspring. Since the most informative individuals in QTL mapping are those with

the most extreme phenotypes [41], we selectively genotyped F2 females with the lowest (0.072 –

0.409, N = 42) and highest (2.958 – 10.674, N = 42) F3 pupal *Wolbachia* titers with a total of 47

microsatellite markers   across chromosomes 1, 2 and 3 with an average distance between

markers of 3 cM (Table S1). Using genotype data for selected individuals and phenotype data for

all F2 females (Data S1), we identified two significant QTL regions at a genome-wide

significance level of α = 0.05 (LOD > 2.29): one QTL peak on chromosome 2 at 43 cM (p <

0.001) and the other on chromosome 3 at 41.5 cM (p < 0.001, Figure 3C). Strikingly, the 95%

Bayes credible interval on chromosome 2 corresponds to the same region identified by the

genotyping microarray as enriched for *N. vitripennis* alleles in introgression Line 1 (38 cM –

51.1 cM), while the 95% Bayes credible interval on chromosome 3 also contains a region that

was enriched for *N. vitripennis* alleles (35 cM – 47.5 cM) in both introgression lines. Thus, the

microarray and QTL analyses complement each other and confirm that suppressor genes of

major effect for *w*VitA density are located near the centromeric regions on chromosomes 2 and

3.

As a negative control, we genotyped the same individuals with markers located on

Nasonia chromosome 1 (Data S1), which was not enriched for *N. vitripennis* alleles after the

selection introgression. In the QTL analysis, the highest peak on chromosome 1 was not

statistically significant (Figure 3C), indicating again that chromosomes 2 and 3 are likely the

only chromosomes harboring genes of major effect for the *w*VitA density trait.

To determine the effect of each QTL on density suppression, the average percent

reduction in F3 pupal *Wolbachia* densities was calculated for the F2 females with *N. vitripennis*

alleles at markers close to one or both of the calculated QTL peaks. Females with *N. vitripennis*

chromosome 2 or chromosome 3 QTLs produced offspring with a 52% or 32% reduction in

densities, respectively, compared to offspring of females that were homozygous *N. giraulti* at

both QTL loci (Figure 3C, inset). Furthermore, these effects acted additively for a 91% reduction

in densities in offspring of females with *N. vitripennis* alleles at both loci compared to offspring

of F2 females with *N. giraulti* alleles at both loci (Figure 3C, inset).

Marker-assisted introgression confirms and narrows the maternal effect suppressor QTL

on chromosome 3

To validate the QTLs on chromosomes 2 and 3 and narrow the gene candidate regions,

we independently introgressed the QTL regions from *N. vitripennis* into an *N. giraulti* IntG

background for at least nine generations using marker-assisted selection (similar to Figure 3A,

see STAR Methods). After the ninth generation, we conducted sibling matings to produce

segmental introgression lines that were homozygous *N. vitripennis* for the marker of interest.

Unfortunately, generating *N. vitripennis* homozygous lines for the chromosome 2 region was not

possible due to hybrid sterility, so we focused exclusively on the chromosome 3 region.

The initial homozygous and heterozygous introgression lines generated from sibling

matings identified a candidate region 3.4 Mb in size containing 288 genes (Line IntC3) that

suppressed *w*VitA densities by 60%, while lines lacking this region had little to no density

suppression (Figure 4, Data S2). Surprisingly, the percent effect of the chromosome 3

homozygous introgression on *Wolbachia* suppression was nearly double that observed in the

QTL study (60% vs 32%, Figure 3C inset). However, the QTL study was performed on F2

hybrid females while the introgression lines underwent at least nine generations of backcrossing.

If there is a *N. vitripennis*-specific negative regulator of the *Wolbachia* suppressor gene on a

different chromosome, then the allele would likely be present in F2 hybrids but would have

recombined out with subsequent backcrossing to *N. giraulti* IntG. The stronger phenotype could

also be due to the homozygous introgression lines having two copies of the *N. vitripennis*

chromosome 3 candidate region, while F2 hybrid females were heterozygous. However, this is

unlikely since heterozygous introgression females had the same level of *Wolbachia* suppression

as their homozygous counterparts (Figure 4, C3-3 and C3-4 vs. C3-5 and C3-6, Kruskal-Wallis

test: $H = 1.39$, $df = 3$, $p = 0.71$).

Line IntC3 was further backcrossed to *N. giraulti* IntG to generate four recombinant lines

(R3, R4, R5, and R6) that suppressed *w*VitA densities by 58 – 78% (depending on the line) with

an overlapping candidate region of 780 kb and 44 genes (Figure 4). Finally, line R6 was

backcrossed to *N. giraulti* IntG to obtain three recombinant lines, two of which caused 67% (R6-

2) and 68% (R6-3) density suppression. The overlapping *N. vitripennis* region in lines R6-2 and

R6-3 was 165 kb and contained only 32 genes (Figure 4).

RNA-seq identifies a single candidate gene (*Wds*) based on expression differences in

***Nasonia* ovaries**

To identify candidate genes within the 165 kb, 32-gene region that are differentially

expressed in the maternal germline of *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti*, we performed high-

throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on four independent pools of 40 ovary samples from the

parental *N. vitripennis* line 12.1, the introgression line IntC3, and five independent pools from

the parental *N. giraulti* line IntG (Table S2). Seven genes in the 32-gene candidate region

exhibited significant differences in expression between the three aforementioned lines (Table

S3). However, since the density trait is controlled by a dominant *N. vitripennis* maternal effect

allele (Figure 1B), we reasoned that the most likely candidate gene(s) would be upregulated in *N.*

vitripennis compared to *N. giraulti*. Analysis of the RNA-seq data indicated that only one of the

seven genes (*LOC100679092*) was consistently and significantly overexpressed in *N. vitripennis*

and IntC3 (low density) ovaries compared to *N. giraulti* IntG (high density) ovaries, which was

confirmed in independent biological replicates by RT-qPCR (79-fold and 92-fold higher

expression in *N. vitripennis* and IntC3 than *N. giraulti* IntG, respectively, Figure S2). The RNA-

seq data also validated the same predicted gene splicing model for *LOC100679092* for both *N.*

vitripennis and *N. giraulti*, indicating that the expression differences are not due to species-

specific alternative splicing of the gene. As an uncharacterized gene with no known protein

domains, we hereby name the gene *Wds* for *Wolbachia density suppressor* gene.

Wds controls embryonic *w*VitA densities via a maternal effect

Parental RNAi has successfully been used in *Nasonia* to examine the effects of maternal genes on embryonic development [42, 43]. If the *N. vitripennis* allele of *Wds* (*Wds$_v$*) is responsible for suppressing *Wolbachia* titers, we expect that post-transcriptional knockdown of *Wds$_v$* transcripts in IntC3 mothers will result in reduced density suppression and, consequently, an increase in *w*VitA levels in the resulting embryos. Indeed, injection of IntC3 mothers with dsRNA against *Wds$_v$* significantly increased offspring embryonic *w*VitA densities (696 ± 67.9, N = 24) by 56% or 63% compared to embryonic *w*VitA densities from mothers injected with dsRNA against a control bacterial gene, maltose transporter subunit E (*malE*) (447 ± 52.1, N = 24) or buffer-injected females (426 ± 50.3, N = 23), respectively (Figure 5A, Kruskal-Wallis test: H = 13.1, df = 3, p < 0.01, Dunn's multiple comparisons test: p = 0.006 and p = 0.027 compared to *Wds$_v$* group). This increase coincided with a 57% knock-down in *Wds$_v$* gene expression in RNAi females compared to the buffer-injected controls (Figure 5B, Mann Whitney U test, p = 0.0015). Furthermore, we compared embryonic *w*VitA densities from mothers injected with dsRNA against *Nasonia* gene *LOC100679394* (*Mucin-5AC*), a gene that was significantly upregulated in *N. vitripennis* but immediately outside the chromosome 3 candidate region. Embryos from mothers injected with dsRNA against *Mucin-5AC* did not produce significantly higher *w*VitA densities (459 ± 75.9, N = 25) compared to embryos from either *malE*-RNAi (447 ± 52.1, N = 24) or buffer-injected females (426 ± 50.3, N = 25, Figure 5A), even though *Mucin-5AC*-RNAi mothers had a 71% decrease in *Mucin-5AC* gene expression versus buffer-injected controls (Figure S3, Mann Whitney U test p = 0.0003).

To further validate the effect of Wds_v on *Wolbachia* densities, females from recombinant

line R6-3 (homozygous *N. vitripennis* for the 32-gene candidate region only) were injected with

dsRNA against Wds_v. Knock-down of Wds_v in R6-3 females again significantly increased

embryonic *w*VitA densities (314 ± 34.4, N = 21) by 43% or 54% compared to embryonic *w*VitA

densities from mothers injected with dsRNA against the control bacterial gene *malE* (219 ± 39.2,

N = 19) or from buffer-injected females (204 ± 28.4, N = 20), respectively (Figure 5C, Mann

Whitney U, p = 0.049 and p = 0.023 compared to the Wds_v group). This increase coincided with

a 45% knock-down in Wds_v gene expression in RNAi females compared to the buffer-injected

controls (Figure 5D, Mann Whitney U test, p = 0.0041).

Accelerated evolution and positive selection impacts *Wds* in *N. vitripennis*

The *Nasonia* genus is comprised of four closely-related species, with *N. vitripennis*

sharing a common ancestor with the other three species approximately one million years ago [17,

18]. Wds protein sequences are 95% identical between *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* with ten

amino acid differences (and no indels) out of 201 total amino acids (Figure 6A). Between the

more closely-related *N. giraulti* and *N. longicornis* species that diverged approximately 400,000

years ago [17], Wds is 99% identical with two amino acid differences that evolved specifically in

N. giraulti (Figure 6A)*. Interestingly, Wds in *Trichomalopsis sarcophagae,* the wasp species

most closely-related to *Nasonia* [44]*, shares 95% amino acid identity to the *N. vitripennis*

protein, but 97% and 98% identity to the *N. giraulti* and *N. longicornis* proteins, respectively

(Figure 6A). Taken together, there are seven unique amino acid changes in *N. vitripennis* that led

to accelerated protein sequence evolution in Wds_v (Figures 6A, B). Furthermore, three of those

seven amino acid changes fall within a region of high positive selection based on a sliding

window analysis of the Ka/Ks ratio (Figure 6C) [45]. Additionally, these changes are associated

with a shift in the isoelectric point (pI) of the Wds protein, an important factor in protein

evolution [46]. The pI drops from 9.24 in *N. vitripennis* to 8.75 in *N. giraulti*. In contrast, the

isoelectric point difference for the Mucin-5AC control is minimal ($\Delta pI = 0.04$).

Overall, Wds in the *N. vitripennis* lineage experienced recent amino acid substitutions,

possibly in response to acquisition of the *w*VitA *Wolbachia* strain that horizontally transferred

into *N. vitripennis* after *N. vitripennis'* divergence from its common ancestor with *N. giraulti* and

N. longicornis [39]. Outside of these four species, the next closest Wds orthologs are found in

wasps such as *Trichogramma pretiosum, Copidosoma floridanum*, and *Polistes canadensis*, but

they only share 29% – 42% amino acid identity to Wds_v across a majority of the sequence (Table

S4). While more distant orthologs are present in other Hymenopterans such as bees and ants

(Table S4), only portions of the proteins can be properly aligned. Therefore, our findings

demonstrate a rapidly evolving, taxon-restricted gene can contribute directly to the adaptive

evolution of regulating maternal symbiont transmission.

DISCUSSION

The main goal of this study was to determine the number and types of gene(s) that control the most widespread, maternally-transmitted symbiont in animals [23, 24]. Unlike reverse genetic screens that mutate genes and then look for phenotypes, which may produce off-target effects unrelated to the true function of the protein, this forward genetic screen utilized an unbiased, candidate-blind approach to dissect the genetic basis of variation in host suppression of maternally-transmitted *Wolbachia*. We found that suppression of *w*VitA in *N. vitripennis* can be mapped to two regions of the *Nasonia* genome that regulate nearly all of the *Wolbachia* density suppression.

The identification of the *Wds* gene demonstrates that host regulation of maternally-transmitted symbiont density is adaptive and can proceed through lineage-specific amino acid changes in a maternal effect gene. The *N. vitripennis*-specific substitutions in Wds were possibly driven by *N. vitripennis's* acquisition of *w*VitA after its divergence with *N. giraulti* [39]. Indeed, another *N. vitripennis*-specific *Wolbachia* strain, *w*VitB, maintains its low levels after transfer to *N. giraulti* [37], indicating that Wds_v may encode a specific regulator of *w*VitA. Furthermore, *N. giraulti* maintains its native *w*GirA *Wolbachia* strain at comparable levels to *w*VitA in *N. vitripennis* [37], but whether Wds_g is involved in regulating *w*GirA remains to be tested.

The Wds protein has areas of low complexity and a predicted signal peptide at its N-terminus (Figure 6C), but otherwise does not contain any characterized protein domains that allude to its function. Staining of *w*VitA in *Nasonia* ovaries revealed a trend of higher *Wolbachia* titers in the nurse cells of *N. vitripennis* than *N. giraulti* (Figure S1B,C) concurrent with significantly lower *Wolbachia* levels in *N. vitripennis* oocytes than in *N. giraulti* oocytes (Figure S1A). Thus, Wds_v may operate by hindering *w*VitA trafficking between nurse cells and the

developing oocyte in *N. vitripennis*, perhaps by preventing *w*VitA binding to microtubule motor

proteins responsible for *Wolbachia* transport into the oocyte [47, 48].   Furthermore, in

*Drosophila, Wolbachia w*Mel bacteria in the oocyte increase proportionally faster than those in

the nurse cells [47]. If the same is true for *Nasonia,* then high *w*VitA densities in *N. giraulti*

could be a result of increased *w*VitA trafficking to the oocyte (due to a lack of repression by

Wds$_g$) compounded with faster proliferation once in the oocyte.

Alternatively, Wds$_v$ could suppress *Wolbachia* replication by upregulating a host immune

response or by downregulating host pathways that *Wolbachia* rely upon for growth. For example,

inhibiting host proteasome activity in *Drosophila* significantly reduces *Wolbachia* oocyte titers

[49], presumably due to a reduction in the availability of amino acids, a key nutrient that

Wolbachia scavenges from its host [50]. However, if Wds$_v$ regulates a general host pathway that

impacts *Wolbachia* replication (such as host proteolysis), then we would expect both *w*VitA and

*w*VitB titers to increase when transferred to *N. giraulti*. Instead, the strain-specificity of Wds$_v$

suggests a more direct interaction with *Wolbachia*, such as a competitive inhibitor of motor

protein binding and nurse cell to oocyte trafficking, as discussed above.

The findings presented here indicate that keeping maternally-transmitted symbionts in

check can have a simple genetic basis, even for obligate intracellular bacteria that must be

regulated within host cells and tissues. Moreover, a single maternal effect gene with a major

consequence on the density phenotype demonstrates how natural selection can rapidly shape the

evolution of density suppression of maternally transmitted symbionts in invertebrates. Future

studies are warranted to tease apart the specific host-*Wolbachia* interactions driving *Wolbachia*

regulation in *Nasonia* and to determine whether these interactions are paralleled in other insect-

Wolbachia symbioses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank John Colbourne for his assistance with the *Nasonia* genotyping

microarray, Jürgen Gadau for his helpful advice on QTL mapping, Jack Werren for providing the

Nasonia genetic map before its publication, and Patrick Ferree for sharing his unpublished data

of *Wolbachia* staining in *Nasonia* ovaries. We would also like to acknowledge Jeff Jones for

helping with the MatLab heat-map figure. This work was supported by National Science

Foundation award 1456778 to SRB and Graduate Research Fellowship 0909667 to LJF, National

Institutes of Health awards (NIH) R01 AI132581 and R21 HD086833 to SRB, T32 GM008554,

and a Vanderbilt Microbiome Initiative Award. Imaging was performed through the use of the

Vanderbilt Cell Imaging Shared Resource (supported by NIH grants CA68485, DK20593,

DK58404, DK59637 and EY08126). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, L.J.F., E.J.vO, and S.R.B.; Methodology, L.J.F., E.J.vO, and S.R.B.; Formal

Analysis, L.J.F. and E.J.vO; Investigation, L.J.F., E.J.vO, and A.S.; Writing – Original Draft,

L.J.F. and E.J.vO; Writing – Review and Editing, L.J.F., E.J.vO, A.S., and S.R.B.; Visualization,

L.J.F. and E.J.vO. Project Administration, S.R.B.; Funding Acquisition, L.J.F. and S.R.B.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1.   Ley, R.E., Turnbaugh, P.J., Klein, S., and Gordon, J.I. (2006). Microbial ecology: human

gut microbes associated with obesity. Nature *444*, 1022-1023.

2.   Turnbaugh, P.J., Ley, R.E., Mahowald, M.A., Magrini, V., Mardis, E.R., and Gordon, J.I.

(2006). An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature

444, 1027-1031.

3.   Round, J.L., Lee, S.M., Li, J., Tran, G., Jabri, B., Chatila, T.A., and Mazmanian, S.K.

(2011). The Toll-like receptor 2 pathway establishes colonization by a commensal of the human

microbiota. Science *332*, 974-977.

4.   Ivanov, II, Frutos Rde, L., Manel, N., Yoshinaga, K., Rifkin, D.B., Sartor, R.B., Finlay,

B.B., and Littman, D.R. (2008). Specific microbiota direct the differentiation of IL-17-producing

T-helper cells in the mucosa of the small intestine. Cell Host Microbe *4*, 337-349.

5.   Candela, M., Perna, F., Carnevali, P., Vitali, B., Ciati, R., Gionchetti, P., Rizzello, F.,

Campieri, M., and Brigidi, P. (2008). Interaction of probiotic *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*

strains with human intestinal epithelial cells: adhesion properties, competition against

enteropathogens and modulation of IL-8 production. Int J Food Microbiol *125*, 286-292.

6.   Fukuda, S., Toh, H., Hase, K., Oshima, K., Nakanishi, Y., Yoshimura, K., Tobe, T.,

Clarke, J.M., Topping, D.L., Suzuki, T., et al. (2011). Bifidobacteria can protect from

enteropathogenic infection through production of acetate. Nature *469*, 543-547.

7.   Calderone, R.A., and Fonzi, W.A. (2001). Virulence factors of *Candida albicans*. Trends

Microbiol *9*, 327-335.

8.   Mitchell, J. (2011). *Streptococcus mitis*: walking the line between commensalism and

pathogenesis. Mol Oral Microbiol *26*, 89-98.

9.   Fleury, F., Vavre, F., Ris, N., Fouillet, P., and Bouletreau, M. (2000). Physiological cost

induced by the maternally-transmitted endosymbiont *Wolbachia* in the *Drosophila* parasitoid

Leptopilina heterotoma. Parasitology *121 Pt 5*, 493-500.

10.   Min, K.T., and Benzer, S. (1997). *Wolbachia*, normally a symbiont of *Drosophila,* can be

virulent, causing degeneration and early death. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *94*, 10792-10796.

11.   Koehncke, A., Telschow, A., Werren, J.H., and Hammerstein, P. (2009). Life and death

of an influential passenger: *Wolbachia* and the evolution of CI-modifiers by their hosts. PLoS

One *4*, e4425.

12.   Jaenike, J. (2009). Coupled population dynamics of endosymbionts within and between

hosts. Oikos *118*, 353-362.

13.   Funkhouser, L.J., and Bordenstein, S.R. (2013). Mom knows best: the universality of

maternal microbial transmission. PLoS Biol *11*, e1001631.

14.   Login, F.H., Balmand, S., Vallier, A., Vincent-Monegat, C., Vigneron, A., Weiss-Gayet,

M., Rochat, D., and Heddi, A. (2011). Antimicrobial peptides keep insect endosymbionts under

control. Science *334*, 362-365.

15.   Serbus, L.R., Ferreccio, A., Zhukova, M., McMorris, C.L., Kiseleva, E., and Sullivan, W.

(2011). A feedback loop between *Wolbachia* and the *Drosophila* gurken mRNP complex

influences *Wolbachia* titer. J Cell Sci *124*, 4299-4308.

16.   Newton, I.L., Savytskyy, O., and Sheehan, K.B. (2015). *Wolbachia* utilize host actin for

efficient maternal transmission in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS Pathog *11*, e1004798.

17.   Campbell, B.C., Steffen-Campbell, J.D., and Werren, J.H. (1993). Phylogeny of the

Nasonia species complex (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) inferred from an internal transcribed

spacer (ITS2) and 28S rDNA sequences. Insect Mol Biol *2*, 225-237.

18.   Raychoudhury, R., Desjardins, C.A., Buellesbach, J., Loehlin, D.W., Grillenberger, B.K.,

Beukeboom, L., Schmitt, T., and Werren, J.H. (2010). Behavioral and genetic characteristics of a

new species of *Nasonia*. Heredity *104*, 278-288.

19.   Gadau, J., Page, R.E., and Werren, J.H. (2002). The genetic basis of the interspecific

differences in wing size in *Nasonia* (Hymenoptera; Pteromalidae): major quantitative trait loci

and epistasis. Genetics *161*, 673-684.

20.   Loehlin, D.W., Oliveira, D.C., Edwards, R., Giebel, J.D., Clark, M.E., Cattani, M.V., van

de Zande, L., Verhulst, E.C., Beukeboom, L.W., Munoz-Torres, M., et al. (2010). Non-coding

changes cause sex-specific wing size differences between closely related species of *Nasonia*.

PLoS Genet *6*, e1000821.

21.   Werren, J.H., Cohen, L.B., Gadau, J., Ponce, R., Baudry, E., and Lynch, J.A. (2015).

Dissection of the complex genetic basis of craniofacial anomalies using haploid genetics and

interspecies hybrids in *Nasonia* wasps. Dev Biol *415*, 391-405.

22.   Niehuis, O., Buellesbach, J., Gibson, J.D., Pothmann, D., Hanner, C., Mutti, N.S.,

Judson, A.K., Gadau, J., Ruther, J., and Schmitt, T. (2013). Behavioural and genetic analyses of

Nasonia shed light on the evolution of sex pheromones. Nature *494*, 345-348.

23.   Zug, R., and Hammerstein, P. (2012). Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: analysis of

recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. PLoS One *7*, e38544.

24.   Weinert, L.A., Araujo-Jnr, E.V., Ahmed, M.Z., and Welch, J.J. (2015). The incidence of

bacterial endosymbionts in terrestrial arthropods. Proc Biol Sci *282*, 20150249.

25.   Werren, J.H., Zhang, W., and Guo, L.R. (1995). Evolution and Phylogeny of *Wolbachia* -

Reproductive Parasites of Arthropods. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci *261*, 55-63.

26.   Vavre, F., Fleury, F., Lepetit, D., Fouillet, P., and Bouletreau, M. (1999). Phylogenetic

evidence for horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in host-parasitoid associations. Mol Biol Evol

16, 1711-1723.

27.   Werren, J.H., Baldo, L., and Clark, M.E. (2008). *Wolbachia*: master manipulators of

invertebrate biology. Nat Rev Microbiol *6*, 741-751.

28.   Serbus, L.R., Casper-Lindley, C., Landmann, F., and Sullivan, W. (2008). The genetics

and cell biology of *Wolbachia*-host interactions. Annu Rev Genet *42*, 683-707.

29.   Perrot-Minnot, M.J., and Werren, J.H. (1999). *Wolbachia* infection and incompatibility

dynamics in experimental selection lines. J Evol Biol *12*, 272-282.

30.   Dyer, K.A., Minhas, M.S., and Jaenike, J. (2005). Expression and modulation of

embryonic male-killing in *Drosophila innubila*: opportunities for multilevel selection. Evolution

59, 838-848.

31.   McMeniman, C.J., Lane, R.V., Cass, B.N., Fong, A.W., Sidhu, M., Wang, Y.F., and

O'Neill, S.L. (2009). Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the

mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Science *323*, 141-144.

32.   Suh, E., Mercer, D.R., Fu, Y., and Dobson, S.L. (2009). Pathogenicity of life-shortening

Wolbachia in *Aedes albopictus* after transfer from *Drosophila melanogaster*. Appl Environ

Microbiol *75*, 7783-7788.

33.   Le Clec'h, W., Braquart-Varnier, C., Raimond, M., Ferdy, J.B., Bouchon, D., and Sicard,

M. (2012). High virulence of *Wolbachia* after host switching: when autophagy hurts. PLoS

Pathog *8*, e1002844.

34.   Mouton, L., Henri, H., Bouletreau, M., and Vavre, F. (2003). Strain-specific regulation of

intracellular *Wolbachia* density in multiply infected insects. Mol Ecol *12*, 3459-3465.

35.   Kondo, N., Shimada, M., and Fukatsu, T. (2005). Infection density of *Wolbachia*

endosymbiont affected by co-infection and host genotype. Biol Lett *1*, 488-491.

36.   Rio, R.V., Wu, Y.N., Filardo, G., and Aksoy, S. (2006). Dynamics of multiple symbiont

density regulation during host development: tsetse fly and its microbial flora. Proc Biol Sci *273*,

805-814.

37.   Chafee, M.E., Zecher, C.N., Gourley, M.L., Schmidt, V.T., Chen, J.H., Bordenstein, S.R.,

and Clark, M.E. (2011). Decoupling of host-symbiont-phage coadaptations following transfer

between insect species. Genetics *187*, 203-215.

38.   Bian, G., Zhou, G., Lu, P., and Xi, Z. (2013). Replacing a native *Wolbachia* with a novel

strain results in an increase in endosymbiont load and resistance to dengue virus in a mosquito

vector. PLoS Negl Trop Dis *7*, e2250.

39.   Raychoudhury, R., Baldo, L., Oliveira, D.C., and Werren, J.H. (2009). Modes of

acquisition of *Wolbachia:* horizontal transfer, hybrid introgression, and codivergence in the

Nasonia species complex. Evolution *63*, 165-183.

40.   Desjardins, C.A., Gadau, J., Lopez, J.A., Niehuis, O., Avery, A.R., Loehlin, D.W.,

Richards, S., Colbourne, J.K., and Werren, J.H. (2013). Fine-scale mapping of the *Nasonia*

genome to chromosomes using a high-density genotyping microarray. G3 *3*, 205-215.

41.   Lander, E.S., and Botstein, D. (1989). Mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative

traits using RFLP linkage maps. Genetics *121*, 185-199.

42.   Lynch, J.A., Brent, A.E., Leaf, D.S., Pultz, M.A., and Desplan, C. (2006). Localized

maternal orthodenticle patterns anterior and posterior in the long germ wasp *Nasonia*. Nature

439, 728-732.

43.   Verhulst, E.C., Beukeboom, L.W., and van de Zande, L. (2010). Maternal control of

haplodiploid sex determination in the wasp *Nasonia*. Science *328*, 620-623.

44.   Werren, J.H., and Loehlin, D.W. (2009). The parasitoid wasp *Nasonia*: an emerging

model system with haploid male genetics. Cold Spring Harb Protoc *2009*, pdb emo134.

45.   Liang, H., Zhou, W., and Landweber, L.F. (2006). SWAKK: a web server for detecting

positive selection in proteins using a sliding window substitution rate analysis. Nucleic Acids

Res *34*, W382-384.

46.   Alende, N., Nielsen, J.E., Shields, D.C., and Khaldi, N. (2011). Evolution of the

isoelectric point of mammalian proteins as a consequence of indels and adaptive evolution.

Proteins *79*, 1635-1648.

47.   Ferree, P.M., Frydman, H.M., Li, J.M., Cao, J., Wieschaus, E., and Sullivan, W. (2005).

Wolbachia utilizes host microtubules and Dynein for anterior localization in the *Drosophila*

oocyte. PLoS Pathog *1*, e14.

48.   Serbus, L.R., and Sullivan, W. (2007). A cellular basis for *Wolbachia* recruitment to the

host germline. PLoS Pathog *3*, e190.

49.   White, P.M., Serbus, L.R., Debec, A., Codina, A., Bray, W., Guichet, A., Lokey, R.S.,

and Sullivan, W. (2017). Reliance of *Wolbachia* on High Rates of Host Proteolysis Revealed by

a Genome-Wide RNAi Screen of Drosophila Cells. Genetics *205*, 1473-1488.

50.   Caragata, E.P., Rances, E., O'Neill, S.L., and McGraw, E.A. (2014). Competition for

amino acids between *Wolbachia* and the mosquito host, *Aedes aegypti*. Microb Ecol *67*, 205-218.

51.   Perrot-Minnot, M.J., Guo, L.R., and Werren, J.H. (1996). Single and double infections

with Wolbachia in the parasitic wasp Nasonia vitripennis: effects on compatibility. Genetics *143*,

961-972.

52.   Bordenstein, S.R., Marshall, M.L., Fry, A.J., Kim, U., and Wernegreen, J.J. (2006). The

tripartite associations between bacteriophage, *Wolbachia*, and arthropods. PLoS Pathog *2*, e43.

53.   Bordenstein, S.R., and Bordenstein, S.R. (2011). Temperature affects the tripartite

interactions between bacteriophage WO, *Wolbachia*, and cytoplasmic incompatibility. PLoS One

6, e29106.

54.   Broman, K.W., Wu, H., Sen, S., and Churchill, G.A. (2003). R/qtl: QTL mapping in

experimental crosses. Bioinformatics *19*, 889-890.

55.   Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor

package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics *26*,

139-140.

56.   Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using

real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods *25*, 402-408.

57.   Pultz, M.A., Westendorf, L., Gale, S.D., Hawkins, K., Lynch, J., Pitt, J.N., Reeves, N.L.,

Yao, J.C., Small, S., Desplan, C., et al. (2005). A major role for zygotic hunchback in patterning

the *Nasonia* embryo. Development *132*, 3705-3715.

58.   Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary

Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol Biol Evol *33*, 1870-1874.

59.   Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O.

(2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing

the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol *59*, 307-321.

60.   Huelsenbeck, J.P., and Ronquist, F. (2001). MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of

phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics *17*, 754-755.

61.	Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2017). 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation

resource. Nucleic Acids Res.

62.	Taylor, M.J., and Hoerauf, A. (1999). *Wolbachia* bacteria of filarial nematodes. Parasitol

Today *15*, 437-442.

63.	McGraw, E.A., Merritt, D.J., Droller, J.N., and O'Neill, S.L. (2002). *Wolbachia* density

and virulence attenuation after transfer into a novel host. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *99*, 2918-

2923.

64.	Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,

Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform

for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods *9*, 676-682.

Figure 1. Disparities in *w*VitA titers are established through a maternal genetic effect.

(A) *w*VitA densities in female pupae from parental *N. vitripennis* 12.1 and *N. giraulti* IntG, and their reciprocal F1 hybrids. (B) *w*VitA densities in F2 pupae from F1 females backcrossed to their paternal line. For each cross, genotype (male x female) is followed by cytotype in brackets (V = *N. vitripennis*, G = *N. giraulti*, number = estimated proportion of genotype). For the circle diagrams, the inner circle represents the expected percentage of the nuclear genome that is of *N. vitripennis* (red) or *N. giraulti* (cream) origin. Green circles represent *w*VitA load (not drawn to scale). Error bars represent mean ± S.E.M. *$p < 0.05$, post-hoc Dunn's test.

Figure 2. Disparities in *w*VitA titers begin during oogenesis.

(A) Diagram of a *Nasonia* egg chamber. Large green circles represent nurse cell nuclei and small black circles represent *Wolbachia*. (B) Stage 3 egg chambers with host and *Wolbachia* DNA stained with SYTOX Green from *w*VitA-infected *N. vitripennis* 12.1. A = anterior, P = posterior, Scale bar = 15 µm. Examples of *Wolbachia* bacteria are labeled with a "W" and white arrows. (C) An embryo with host and *Wolbachia* DNA stained with HSP60 from *w*VitA-infected *N. vitripennis* 12.1. (D) Stage 3 egg chambers with host and *Wolbachia* DNA stained with SYTOX Green from uninfected *N. vitripennis* 12.1T. (E) Stage 3 egg chambers with host and *Wolbachia* DNA stained with SYTOX Green from *w*VitA-infected *N. giraulti* IntG. (F) An embryo with host and *Wolbachia* DNA stained with HSP60 from *w*VitA-infected *N. giraulti* IntG. All embryo and ovary images are representative of two and three independent experiments, respectively.

See also Figure S1

Figure 3. Two genomic regions interact additively to suppress *w*VitA titers.

(A) Schematic of introgression using density phenotype (red female = low, cream female = high)

as proxy for maternal genotype (red bar = *N. vitripennis*, cream bar = *N. giraulti*). Eighth

generation females with lowest embryonic *Wolbachia* densities were genotyped on a *Nasonia*

microarray. (B) Heatmap of the proportion of *N. vitripennis* alleles across the genome in a pool

of three females from each introgression line (L1 or L2). The proportion of *N. vitripennis* alleles

is scaled from 0 to 0.5, where 0 = no *N. vitripennis* alleles and 0.5 = all females were

heterozygous. Areas were considered enriched for *N. vitripennis* alleles at ≥ 0.2. (C) Plot of LOD

score after QTL mapping of F2 females. Shaded regions represent the 95% Bayes credible

interval for significant QTL peaks (star). Dashed line represents genome-wide significance

threshold at α = 0.05. (C, inset) *Wolbachia* density (mean ± S.E.M) of offspring based on

maternal genotype at each QTL peak (V = *N. vitripennis*, G = *N. giraulti*). Percent reduction in

densities is compared to offspring of F2 homozygous *N. giraulti* females. All maps are based on

the *Nasonia* genetic map [40]. See also Table S1 and Data S1.

Figure 4. Segmental introgression lines narrow the chromosome 3 candidate region to 32

genes.

Diploid genotypes are depicted as haplotypes, where green bars represent *N. vitripennis*

homozygous regions, dashed bars are heterozygous regions, solid cream bars are *N. giraulti* IntG

homozygous regions, and black bars are recombination breakpoints between two markers. The

star and colored region on the chromosome map represent the QTL peak and 95% Bayes credible

interval, respectively. Line graphs represent chromosome length in Mb and are drawn to scale

except for centromeric regions (broken dashes at top of the figure). Names of the molecular

markers (MM) used for genotyping are provided above the line graphs, and their locations in cM

based on the genetic map from [40] are located below the line graphs. The bar graphs show the

mean percent effect on density suppression in pupal offspring from all individual mothers with

the same haplotype. Error bars denote mean ± S.E.M.

See also Table S1, Table S3, and Data S2.

Figure 5. The *N. vitripennis* allele of *Wds* suppresses densities of vertically-transmitted

***Wolbachia*.**

(A) Number of *w*VitA *Wolbachia* per embryo from IntC3 females that were buffer-injected,

injected with dsRNA against control genes *MalE* or *Mucin-5AC*, or injected with dsRNA against

Wds$_v$. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 post-hoc Dunn's multiple comparisons test. (B) Relative gene

expression of *Wds*$_v$ in late pupae of *Wds*-RNAi and *Mucin-5AC*-RNAi females normalized to

Wds$_v$ expression in buffer-injected females. **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U test. (C) Number of

*w*VitA *Wolbachia* per embryo from R6-3 females that were buffer-injected, injected with dsRNA

against control gene *MalE* or injected with dsRNA against *Wds*$_v$. *p < 0.05, Mann-Whitney U

test. (D) Relative gene expression of *Wds*$_v$ in late pupae of *Wds*-RNAi and *MalE*-RNAi females

normalized to *Wds*$_v$ expression in buffer-injected females. **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001, Mann-

Whitney U test. All error bars represent mean ± S.E.M.

See also Figure S2, Figure S3, Table S2, Table S3, and Table S6.

Figure 6. The *N. vitripennis* allele of *Wds* is under positive selection.

(A) Alignment of Wds protein homologs from *N. vitripennis* (XP_008213336.1), *N. giraulti*

(GL276173.1), *N. longicornis* (GL277955.1), and *Trichomalopsis sarcophagae* (OXU27029.1).

(B) Amino acid phylogeny of Wds across the *Nasonia* genus and *Trichomalopsis sarcophagae*.

Bold text above branch denotes Bayesian posterior probability. Italic text below branch denotes

maximum likelihood bootstrap value. Scale bar denotes amino acid substitutions per site. (C)

Plot of Ka/Ks ratios based on a sliding window analysis across the *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti*

Wds coding sequences. Red circles indicate the locations of the seven amino acid substitutions

unique to *N. vitripennis* Wds. Diagram below illustrates location of the predicted signal peptide

and low complexity regions of the Wds protein.

See also Table S4.

STAR METHODS

Contact for Reagent and Resource Sharing

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Seth R. Bordenstein (s.bordenstein@vanderbilt.edu).

Experimental Model and Subject Details

Nasonia Parasitoid Wasps

Experiments were performed with *Nasonia vitripennis* strain 12.1, *N. giraulti* strain IntG12.1 or

hybrids of these two species. *N. vitripennis* 12.1 is singly-infected with native *Wolbachia* strain

*w*VitA and was derived from the double-infected *N. vitripennis* R511 (*w*VitA and *w*VitB) after a

prolonged period of diapause [51]. *N. giraulti* strain IntG12.1 was generated by backcrossing *N.*

vitripennis 12.1 females to uninfected *N. giraulti* Rv2x(u) males for nine generation [37],

producing hybrids with an *N. giraulti* genome and an *N. vitripennis* cytoplasm harboring *w*VitA.

All *Nasonia* were reared at 25°C in constant light on *Sarcophaga bullata* fly hosts reared in

house on bovine liver from Walnut Hills Farm (Tennessee, USA).

Method Details

Quantitative analysis of *Wolbachia* densities

Genomic DNA was extracted from pupae or adult *Nasonia* using the Gentra Puregene

Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Real-time quantitative PCR

(qPCR) was performed on a CFX96 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad) using a total reaction volume

of 25 µl: 12.5 µl of iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), 8.5 µl of sterile water, 1.0 µl each of 5

µM forward and reverse primers, and 2 µl of target DNA in single wells of a 96-well plate (Bio-

Rad). All qPCR reactions were performed in technical duplicates and included a melt curve

analysis to check for primer dimers and nonspecific amplification. Selective amplification was

performed using primers previously described for the *Wolbachia groEL* gene [52] and *Nasonia*

NvS6K gene [53]. Standard curves for each gene were constructed as previously described [53]

using a log10 dilution series of larger PCR products of known concentrations for each gene.

groEL and *S6K* copy numbers for each sample were calculated based on the following standard

curve equations: *groEL*: $y = -3.367x + 35.803$ and *S6K:* $y = -3.455x + 35.908$, where $y =$

averaged Ct value between technical duplicates and $x =$ log starting quantity of template DNA.

Wolbachia density was calculated by dividing *groEL* copy number by *S6K* copy number for each

sample. Since diploid female *Nasonia* have twice the number of *S6K* copies than males, all

experiments comparing *Wolbachia* densities were performed on either all male or all female

samples to eliminate *S6K* copy number as a confounding factor in the statistical analyses.

<u>Microsatellite marker genotyping</u>

Primers used to amplify microsatellite markers that differ in size between *N. vitripennis* and

N. giraulti are listed in Table S1. Microsatellite markers not previously published were identified

by aligning *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* genomic sequences using the Geneious alignment tool

in Geneious Pro v5.5.8 (Biomatters). The Geneious primer design tool was then used to generate

primer sets spanning each microsatellite. All PCR reactions were run on a Veriti Thermal Cycler

(Applied Biosystems) with a total reaction volume of 15 μl: 7.5 μl of GoTaq Green Master Mix

(Promega), 3.6 μl of sterile water, 1.2 μl of 5μM forward and reverse primers (see Table S1 for

annealing temp.), and 1.5 μl of target DNA. PCR products were run on 4% agarose gels in TBE

buffer (Sigma) at 90 volts for 2.5 to 6 hours, stained with GelRed (Biotium) according to

manufacturer's protocol, and imaged on a Red Personal Gel Imager (Alpha Innotech). New

markers were validated based on predicted band size using *N. vitripennis* 12.1 and *N. giraulti*

IntG as controls.

<u>Phenotypic selection introgression and genotyping</u>

N. vitripennis 12.1 females (low *w*VitA density) were backcrossed with *N. giraulti* IntG

males (high *w*VitA density) for nine generations. For each generation of backcrossing, five

female pupal offspring were pooled from each hybrid mother (N = 13 – 35 hybrid females

depending on survival at each generation), and the pupal *Wolbachia* densities were measured

using qPCR. Sisters of the pupae with the lowest *Wolbachia* densities were then used as mothers

in the next round of backcrossing (N = 60 – 80 hybrid females). Two independent selection lines

were maintained simultaneously along with control lines of pure-breeding *N. vitripennis* and *N.*

giraulti. After eight generations of selection, the three females from each introgression line that

produced ninth-generation offspring with the lowest *Wolbachia* densities were pooled and their

DNA extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) with the protocol for

purification of DNA from insects. To obtain enough DNA for microarray hybridization, we used

the REPLI-g Mini Kit (Qiagen) with the protocol for 5 µl of DNA template to amplify genomic

DNA overnight at 30 °C, then purified the DNA using ethanol precipitation. The final

concentration for each sample was diluted to 1 µg/µl and a total of 10 µl was sent to The Center

for Genomics and Bioinformatics at Indiana University to be processed on a *Nasonia* genotyping

microarray (Roche NimbleGen) tiled with probes for 19,681 single nucleotide polymorphisms

and indels that differ between *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* [40].

For each sample, the proportion of *N. vitripennis* alleles at each marker was determined

based on the ratio of hybridization to the *N. vitripennis*-specific probe versus hybridization to the

N. giraulti-specific probe, as previously described [40]. To verify species-specificity of these

markers for our *Nasonia* strains, we also genotyped *N. vitripennis* 12.1 and *N. giraulti* IntG

control females on the array, and markers that did not display the correct specificity within one

standard deviation of the median were removed from subsequent analyses (5,301 markers total).

The remaining markers were then manually mapped back to the most recent *Nasonia* linkage

map [40]. Since all introgression females received one copy of their diploid genome from their

N. giraulti father, the theoretical maximum proportion of *N. vitripennis* alleles at each marker

cluster for experimental samples is 0.5. The proportion of *N. vitripennis* alleles was averaged for

every 22 consecutive markers across each chromosome, and heat maps were generated using the

HeatMap function in MATLAB (MathWorks). Areas were considered enriched for *N. vitripennis*

alleles at ≥ 0.2.

QTL Analysis

F2 hybrid females (N = 191) were generated by backcrossing F1 *N. vitripennis*/*N. giraulti*

hybrids to *N. giraulti* IntG males. F2 females were then backcrossed again to *N. giraulti* IntG and

allowed to lay offspring. Five female pupae from each F2 female were pooled and their

Wolbachia densities measured using qPCR. Females that produced offspring with densities

within the highest and lowest quartile of the density distribution (N = 42 for each quartile) were

selectively genotyped with 47 microsatellite markers spread across chromosomes 1, 2 and 3 with

an average distance of 3 cM between markers (Table S1, Data S1). Phenotypic information for

all 191 F2 females was included in the mapping analyses to prevent inflation of QTL effects due

to the biased selection of extreme phenotypes [41]. QTL analyses were performed in R (version

3.0.2) with package R/qtl [54]. Significance thresholds for our dataset were calculated by using a

stratified permutation test with the scanone function (1000 permutations). To identify significant

QTL and their interactions, we first conducted a one-dimensional, one-QTL scan and a two-

dimensional, two-QTL scan using the EM algorithm with a step size of 1 cM and an assumed

genotype error probability of 0.001. Two significant QTLs were identified, one each on

chromosomes 2 and 3, which were predicted to act additively. The positions of identified QTL

were then refined using multiple QTL modeling with the multiple imputation algorithm (200

imputations, step size = 1 cM) assuming a model with two additive QTLs. 95% Bayes credible

intervals were calculated for each QTL after multiple QTL modeling using the bayesint function.

<u>Marker-assisted segmental introgressions</u>

Marker-assisted segmental introgression lines were generated by repeatedly backcrossing

hybrid females to *N. giraulti* IntG males for nine generations while selecting for *N. vitripennis*

alleles at three microsatellite markers on chromosome 3 (MM3.17, NvC3-18, and MM3.37).

After the ninth generation, families that maintained an *N. vitripennis* allele at one or more of

these markers were selected, and siblings were mated to each other to produce lines containing

homozygous *N. vitripennis* regions at and around the markers. Individual adult females from

each segmental line were genotyped and phenotyped separately (N = 10 – 15 females per line).

Females were hosted as virgins, five male pupal offspring per female were pooled, and pupal

Wolbachia densities were measured using qPCR. Variation across plates for a single experiment

was reduced by including a set of parental DNA controls on all plates. The parental fold-change

was then calculated by dividing the average *N. giraulti* control density by the average *N.*

vitripennis control density. To calculate the sample fold-change, the absolute density for each

sample was divided by the average density of the *N. vitripennis* control. To determine how

"effective" each segmental introgression line was at reducing densities, we calculated the percent

effect on density suppression for each sample using the following equation:

$$\% \, effect \; on \; density \; suppresion = \left(1 - \frac{sample \; fold \; change}{parental \; fold \; change}\right) \times 100$$

Each female was genotyped with markers across the region of interest, all females with

identical genotypes across all markers were grouped together, and their percent effects on density

suppression were averaged.

For the two subsequent rounds of introgression lines (R1 to R10 and R6-1 to R6-3), 300

IntC3 line females or 800 R6 line virgin females, respectively, were backcrossed to IntG males.

The resulting virgin F1 females produced haploid, recombinant males. These recombinant males

were mated to IntG females to produce heterozygous female offspring with the recombinant

genotype. Siblings were then mated to each other and genotyped for two more generations to

produce recombinant lines containing homozygous *N. vitripennis* introgressed regions reduced in

size.

A subset of the genes within the 32-gene candidate region were genotyped using sequencing

primers (Table S5) to amplify PCR products 250-750 bp for each of the R6 recombinant lines

(R6-1 to R6-3) for Sanger sequencing (GENEWIZ). All PCR reactions were run on a Veriti

Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) with a total reaction volume of 15 μl: 7.5 μl of GoTaq

Green Master Mix (Promega), 3.6 μl of sterile water, 1.2 μl of 5μM forward and reverse primers

(see Table S5 for annealing temp.), and 1.5 μl of target DNA and purified using the QIAquick

PCR Purification kit (Qiagen). At least 6 distinct single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

between *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* alleles were used to characterize the allele for each

recombinant line using Geneious Pro v5.5.8 (Biomatters).

RNA-seq of ovaries

One-day old females from *Nasonia* strains *N. vitripennis* 12.1, *N. giraulti* IntG, and *N.*

vitripennis/N. giraulti introgression line IntC3 were hosted as virgins on *S. bullata* pupae for 48

hours to stimulate feeding and oogenesis. Females were then dissected in RNase-free 1X PBS

buffer, and their ovaries were immediately transferred to RNase-free Eppendorf tubes in liquid

nitrogen. Forty ovaries were pooled for each replicate and 4-5 biological replicates were

collected per *Nasonia* strain. Ovaries were manually homogenized with RNase-free pestles, and

their RNA was extracted using the Nucleospin RNA/Protein Kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to

the manufacturer's protocol for purification of total RNA from animal tissues. After RNA

purification, samples were treated with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) for 1 hour at 37 °C,

followed by an ethanol precipitation with $1/10^{th}$ volume 3M sodium acetate and 3 volumes 100%

ethanol incubated overnight at -20 °C. PCR of samples with *Nasonia* primers NvS6KQTF4 and

NVS6KQTR4 [53] revealed some residual DNA contamination, so DNase treatment and ethanol

precipitation were repeated. After the second DNase treatment, PCR with the same primer set

confirmed absence of contaminating DNA. Sample RNA concentrations were measured with a

Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies) using the RNA HS Assay kit (Life Technologies). All

samples were run multiplexed on two lanes of the Illumina HiSeq3000 (paired-end, 150 bp reads,

~30M reads) at Vanderbilt's VANTAGE sequencing core. Raw reads were trimmed and mapped

to the *N. vitripennis* genome Nvit_2.1 (GCF_000002325.3) in CLC Genomics Workbench 8.5.1,

allowing ten gene hits per read using a minimum length fraction of 0.9 and a minimum similarity

fraction of 0.9. The number of reads generated for each sample and the percentage of reads that

mapped to the *N. vitripennis* genic and intergenic regions are provided in Table S2. Significant

differential gene expression was determined in CLC Genomics Workbench 8.5.1 at $\alpha = 0.05$ for

unique gene reads using the Empirical analysis of DGE tool, which is based on the edgeR

program commonly used for gene expression analyses [55].

RT-qPCR validation of RNA-seq results

One-day old females from *N. vitripennis* 12.1, *N. giraulti* IntG, and IntC3 were hosted with

two *S. bullata* pupae and honey to encourage ovary development. After 48 hours, ovaries were

removed in RNase-free PBS, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen then stored at -80 °C. 4-5 replicates

of fifty ovaries per replicate were collected for each *Nasonia* strain. Total RNA was extracted

from each sample using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) with the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo

Research) then treated with the DNA-free DNA Removal kit (Ambion) for one hour at 37 °C.

After ensuring with PCR that all DNA had been removed, RNA was converted to cDNA using

the SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis kit (Invitrogen).

RT-qPCR was performed on a CFX96 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad) using a total reaction

volume of 25 μl: 12.5 μl of iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), 8.5 μl of sterile

water, 1 μl each of 5 μM forward and reverse primers (see STAR Methods), and 2 μl of target

cDNA in single wells of a 96-well plate (Bio-Rad). All RT-qPCR reactions were performed in

technical duplicates and included a melt curve analysis to check for nonspecific amplification.

The 60S ribosomal protein L32 (also known as RP49) was used as an expression control. All

primers for RT-qPCR are provided in Table S6. Expression values for each candidate gene were

calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method of relative quantification [56] with RP49 as the reference gene.

Fold-change was determined by normalizing expression values to the mean expression value of

N. giraulti IntG for each gene.

<u>RNAi of candidate genes</u>

To generate DNA template for dsRNA synthesis, primers with a T7 promoter sequence on

the 5' end of each primer were used to amplify a 450-700 bp region of the targeted genes (Table

S6) by PCR using *N. vitripennis* whole-body cDNA as template. PCR amplicons were separated

by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel, excised, and purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction

kit (Qiagen). The purified PCR products were used as template for a second PCR reaction with

the same gene-specific T7 primers, then purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit

(Qiagen). After quantification with the Qubit dsDNA Broad Range Assay kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), approximately 1 ug of the purified PCR amplicon was used as template for dsRNA

synthesis with the MEGAScript RNAi kit (Ambion). The dsRNA synthesis reaction was

incubated for six hours at 37 ˚C, treated with RNase and DNase for one hour at 37 ˚C, then

column-purified according to the manufacturer's protocol.

For injection, the dsRNA was used at a final concentration standardized to 750 ng/ul

dsRNA. A Nanoject II (Drummond Scientific) was used to inject 23 nl of dsRNA (or

MEGAScript kit elution buffer) into the ventral abdomen of female *Nasonia* at the yellow pupal

stage. After emerging as adults, injected females were given honey and hosted individually on

two *S. bullata* pupae for 48 hours. On the third day after emergence, they were transferred to new

vials where they were presented with a single *S. bullata* host. After five hours, the hosts were

opened and up to ten embryos were collected in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube for each female and

stored at -80 ˚C. The females were given two hosts overnight, and then the same process was

repeated again on the fourth day.

The number of *Wolbachia* bacteria per embryo from injected females three and four days

post emergence was determined using qPCR with *Wolbachia groEL* primers as described above.

Wolbachia titers were not normalized to *Nasonia* gene copy number because early embryos have

varying numbers of genome copies depending on how many rounds of mitotic division they have

undergone [57]. To determine the knock-down efficiency of each dsRNA injection, RNA

extraction and RT-qPCR of black pupae, five days post injection, were performed with 14-17

biological replicates from each treatment group as described above using the gene-specific RT-

qPCR primers in Table S6.

Wds phylogeny and selection analyses

N. giraulti and *N. longicornis* nucleotide sequences of *Wds* were obtained from NCBI

genomic scaffold sequences GL276173 and GL277955, respectively, and indels were manually

extracted in Geneious Pro v11.0.3 (Biomatters) based on homology to *N. vitripennis* gene

LOC1006079092. Protein alignment of Wds amino acid sequences for the three *Nasonia spp.*

and its homolog in *T. sarcophagae* (TSAR_005991) was performed using the Geneious

alignment tool. MEGA7.0.26 [58] was used to identify the JTT model as the best model of

protein evolution for the alignment based on corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc).

PhyML [59] and MrBayes [60] were executed in Geneious with default parameters to construct a

maximum likelihood tree with bootstrapping and a Bayesian tree with a burn-in of 100,000,

respectively.

To identify residues under positive selection in Wds, Ka/Ks values were calculated based on

a pairwise alignment of the *N. vitripennis* and *N. giraulti Wds* coding sequences using a sliding

window analysis (window = 30 AA, step size = 1 AA, Standard Code for genetic code input) in

the SWAKK bioinformatics web server [45]. Analysis of Wds for protein structures and

conserved domains was performed using the SMART online software at http://smart.embl-

heidelberg.de [61]. Protein pIs were predicted using the online ExPASY Compute pI/Mw tool.

<u>Imaging *Wolbachia* in *Nasonia*</u>

For *Wolbachia* staining in ovaries, female *Nasonia* were hosted on *Sarcophaga bullata*

pupae for two to three days before dissection to encourage ovary development. Females were

dissected in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, where ovaries were removed with

forceps and individual ovarioles were separated with fine needles. Ovaries were fixed in 4%

formaldehyde in PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100 (PBST) for 20 minutes at room temperature then

transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing PBST. Samples were washed quickly three

times with PBST then incubated in PBST plus 1 mg/ml RNase A for three hours at room

temperature then overnight at 4 ˚C. After removing the RNase A solution, ovaries were

incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes in PBST with 1:300 SYTOX green nucleic acid

stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific) before washing twice with PBST, 15 minutes each time. Ovaries

were then transferred to a glass slide and mounted in ProLong Gold antifade solution (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) and covered with a glass cover slip sealed with nail polish. Ovary images in

Figure 2 are representative of three independent experiments performed on different days with 2

– 3 females per species.

For *Wolbachia* staining in embryos, female *Nasonia* were hosted on a single *S. bullata*

pupae for five hours. The host puparium was peeled away, and embryos were transferred with a

probe to a glass vial containing 5 mL heptane. After shaking for two minutes, 5 mL methanol

was added to the vial and shaken for another two minutes. Dechorionated embryos that sunk to

the bottom of the vial were transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube with methanol then were

serially rehydrated in increasing ratios of methanol to PBST for 1 min each (90% MeOH:10%

PBST, 75% MeOH: 25% PBST, 50% MeOH: 50% PBST, 25% MeOH:75% PBST) before a

final wash in 100% PBST for 5 mins. Embryos were then blocked in PBST + 0.2% BSA (PBST-

BSA) for 30 mins then PBST-BSA + 5% normal goat serum (PBANG) for 1 hour followed by a

2-hour incubation in PBANG + 1 mg/ml RNase. Embryos were stained overnight at 4 ˚C with

monoclonal mouse anti-human Hsp60 antibody (Sigma; 1:250), which cross-reacts with

Wolbachia but not insect proteins [62, 63]. After washing in PBST-BSA (4X, 15 mins each),

embryos were incubated in goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 1:500)

for 2 hours, washed again in PBST-BSA (4X, 15 mins each), then stained with SYTOX Green

(1:300) nuclear stain. Embryos were mounted to coverslips with Prolong Diamond Antifade

Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Embryo images in Figure 2 are representative of two

independent experiments performed on different days.

All images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 510 META inverted confocal microscope at the

Vanderbilt University Medical Center Cell Imaging Shared Resource core and processed with

Fiji software [64]. Quantification of *Wolbachia* in *Nasonia* oocytes and nurse cells of stage 3 egg

chambers was performed by calculating corrected total cell fluorescence with ImageJ software

1.47v. *Nasonia* oocyte images taken at the same relative z-stack slice were traced and the area

integrated intensity was measured and compared to a background region (a traced region next to

the egg chamber that has no fluorescence). Corrected total cell fluorescence was calculated as

integrated intensity of the oocyte – (area of the oocyte * mean fluorescence of the background

region). Quantification of *Wolbachia* in *Nasonia* nurse cells was also measured by counting the

total number of fluorescent puncta, representative of *Wolbachia* and absent in *Wolbachia*-free

Nasonia, in the *Nasonia* nurse cell cytoplasms.

Quantification and Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses, unless otherwise noted, were performed in GraphPad Prism 6.07

(GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Outliers were removed from the results on embryonic

Wolbachia titers and *Wds* RT-qPCR using the ROUT method, Q=1%. Non-parametric tests were

used on all data since most data did not pass a Shapiro-Wilk test for normality or sample sizes

were too small. Mann-Whitney U tests were used for comparisons between two groups, whereas

a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare multiple groups. If the Kruskal-Wallis test was

significant ($p \leq 0.05$), a post-hoc Dunn's test of multiple comparisons was used to calculate

significance for all pair-wise combinations within the group. All averages are reported as mean ±

S.E.M. For all quantifications of pupal *Wolbachia* densities, sample size "N" represents one pool

of five pupae. For any data referring to adult *Nasonia* (genotyping, RNAi or RT-qPCR), sample

size "N" denotes individual *Nasonia*. Statistical parameters for each experiment are reported in

the results section and in any related figure legends.

Data and Software Availability

RNA-seq data generated in this study is available in the Sequence Reads Archive under

Bioproject ID PRJNA430433.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes three figures, six tables and two datasets.

Data S1. QTL genotypes and phenotypes, Related to Figure 3.

V/G = heterozygous female (red), G/G = homozygous *N. giraulti* female (cream), and question

marks indicate an unknown genotype. Markers that fall within the 95% Bayes cedible interval

are highlighted in purple (chromosome two) or green (chromosome three).

Data S2. Chromosome 3 segmental introgression haplotypes and their effects on *w*VitA

density suppression, Related to Figure 4.

cM locations based on genetic linkage map from [40].

A Nurse Cells   Oocyte

B *Wolbachia*-infected *N. vitripennis*   SYTOX
W   W   A   P   W

C HSP60
A   P   W

D Uninfected *N. vitripennis*   SYTOX

E *Wolbachia*-infected *N. girault*   SYTOX
W   W

F HSP60
W   W

A

Nvit (Low Density)

P

F1

F2

F3

F8

Ngir (High Density)

X ♂

Genotyping Microarray

B

Proportion of *N. vit* alleles

0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5

C

LOD Score

Chr 2 only
Chr 3 only
Both

Mean *Wolbachia* density

52%
32%
91%

GG-GG VG-GG   GG-GG GG-VG   GG-GG VG-VG

Maternal Genotype at QTL (Chr2-Chr3)

p < 0.05

~30 Mb ~900 genes

~27 Mb ~1000 genes

cM 31.4 50.2 57.7 95.6

cM 19 38 50 68.6 86.9

cM 17.5 35 38 61.5 73 89.8

Chromosome 1 **Chromosome 2** **Chromosome 3**

Chr. 3